

Reconstruction in the Horndeski theory within the scope of the Bianchi I cosmology

Ruslan K. Muharlyamov^{1,*} and Tatiana N. Pankratyeva^{2,†}

¹*Department of General Relativity and Gravitation, Institute of Physics, Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya str. 18, Kazan 420008, Russia*

²*Department of Higher Mathematics, Kazan State Power Engineering University, Krasnoselskaya str. 51, Kazan 420066, Russia*

In the previous article Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 590 (2021) (arXiv: 2110.15396) we have proposed a reconstruction method for the kinetic gravity braiding theory in the framework of the flat Friedman-Robertson-Walker spacetime. Here we develop this method in the Bianchi I spacetime model for a subclass of the Horndeski theory: $G_5 \sim \phi$, $G_2(X) \neq 0$, $G_3(X) \neq 0$. The Hubble parameter $H(t)$ and the canonical kinetic term $X(t)$ are set a priori. The choice of the function $X(t)$ determines the anisotropic properties of the Universe. This makes it possible to provide believable anisotropy. The presented method allows for a realistic model of the Universe to simply reconstruct some scalar field theory. Reconstruction example is given for anisotropic model of a post-inflationary transition to the radiation-dominated phase. The model is investigated for the absence ghosts and Laplacian instabilities.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb

Keywords: Horndeski theory, Bianchi I cosmology, dark energy

I. INTRODUCTION

To explain accelerated expansion of the Universe and other observational facts, modifications of the gravity theory are used. The Horndeski gravity (HG) has interesting properties [1]. The theory is constructed in such a way that the motion equations are of the order of the derivative no higher than the second. In this sense, the HG is the most general variant of the scalar-tensor theory of gravitation. As we will see below, the action density has a cumbersome form and contains four arbitrary functions. However, this provides a wide field for action. Within the framework of the HG, important cosmological and astrophysical problems (screening of the cosmological constant, kinetic inflation, late de Sitter stage, hairy black holes, etc.) are solved [2–7].

Authors [8] proposed such a parametrization of the action density for the HG:

$$L_H = \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_5 \right), \quad (1)$$

one has:

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = G_2(\phi, X), \quad \mathcal{L}_3 = -G_3(\phi, X) \square \phi,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = G_4(\phi, X) R + G_{4X}(\phi, X) \left[(\square \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2 \right],$$

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = G_5(\phi, X) G_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi - \frac{1}{6} G_{5X} \left[(\square \phi)^3 - 3 \square \phi (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2 + 2 (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^3 \right], \quad (2)$$

respectively, where g is the determinant of metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$; R is the Ricci scalar and $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor; the factors G_i ($i = 2, 3, 4, 5$) are arbitrary functions of the scalar field ϕ and the canonical kinetic term, $X = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla_\mu \phi$. We consider the definitions $G_{iX} \equiv \partial G_i / \partial X$, $(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^2 \equiv \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla^\mu \phi$, and $(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)^3 \equiv \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi \nabla^\nu \nabla^\rho \phi \nabla_\rho \phi \nabla^\mu \phi$.

We continue the research begun in the work [7], which considered the kinetic gravity braiding (KGB) theory ($G_2(X) \neq 0$, $G_3(X) \neq 0$, $G_4 = 1/(16\pi)$) in the flat Friedman-Robertson-Walker spacetime (FRW). Here we present a reconstruction method in the Bianchi I spacetime model (BI) and in the FRW spacetime for the subclass of HG:

$$G_2(X) \neq 0, \quad G_3(X) \neq 0, \quad G_4 = 1/(16\pi), \quad G_5 = \eta \cdot \phi/2, \quad \eta = \text{const}. \quad (3)$$

*Electronic address: rmukhar@mail.ru

†Electronic address: ghjkl.15@list.ru

The functions G_2 , G_3 are reconstructed based on the given the form of the Hubble parameter $H(t)$ and kinetic density $X(t)$. The choice freedom of functions H , X allows you to regulate the issues of model stability [9, 10]. As shown in refs. [11–13], the presence of the function G_5 in the action density can lead to non-standard behavior of the anisotropic Universe. During the reconstruction, the function X directly models the the anisotropy behavior of the Universe. This moment is important to ensure believable anisotropy. An important criterion for the viability of any anisotropic model is its isotropization time. Observational data indicate that the isotropization of the Universe occurred quite early, no later than the beginning of primary nucleosynthesis, $t \sim 1 s$ [14]. Authors [15] argue that the particle production provides the early isotropization.

The presented method allows for a realistic model of the Universe to simply reconstruct some scalar field theory. For example, we will reconstruct the field theory for a post-inflationary transition to the radiation-dominated phase. Authors R. C. Bernardo and I. Vega [16] proposed their own version of reconstruction the KGB theory for the FRW spacetime. In this theory, the scalar charge is zero and the dynamics of parameters $H(t)$ and $X(t)$ is provided by the presence of other matter with variable density $\rho(t)$. In our method, the only source is the scalar field ϕ . The dynamics of functions $H(t)$ and $X(t)$ is provided by the nonzero scalar charge. For the BI model, there is an additional contribution to the dynamics of $H(t)$, $X(t)$ from the nonzero anisotropic charges. R. C. Bernardo et al [17, 18] significantly develop the ideas of reconstruction in connection with observational data. Authors [17] reconstruct the parameters $H(t)$ from cosmic chronometers, supernovae, and baryon acoustic oscillations compiled data sets via the Gaussian process method and use it to draw out HG that are fully anchored on expansion history data. In the work [18], dark energy is studied through the viewpoints of parametric and nonparametric analyses of late-time cosmological data.

II. FIELD EQUATIONS

We assume that the space-time is anisotropic, spatially homogeneous and is described by the metric (Bianchi I model):

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a_1^2(t)dx^2 + a_2^2(t)dy^2 + a_3^2(t)dz^2. \quad (4)$$

The scale factors a_i and the scalar field $\phi(t)$ depend only on t . Then the gravity equations of take the form [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} G_0^0 \left(\mathcal{G} - 2G_{4X}\dot{\phi}^2 - 2G_{4XX}\dot{\phi}^4 + 2G_{5\phi}\dot{\phi}^2 + G_{5X\phi}\dot{\phi}^4 \right) = G_2 - G_{2X}\dot{\phi}^2 - \\ - 3G_{3X}H\dot{\phi}^3 + G_{3\phi}\dot{\phi}^2 + 6G_{4\phi}H\dot{\phi} + 6G_{4X\phi}\dot{\phi}^3H - 5G_{5X}H_1H_2H_3\dot{\phi}^3 - G_{5XX}H_1H_2H_3\dot{\phi}^5, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{G}G_i^i - (H_j + H_k)\frac{d\mathcal{G}}{dt} = G_2 - \dot{\phi}\frac{dG_3}{dt} + 2\frac{d}{dt}(G_{4\phi}\dot{\phi}) - \frac{d}{dt}(G_{5X}\dot{\phi}^3H_jH_k) - G_{5X}\dot{\phi}^3H_jH_k(H_j + H_k). \quad (6)$$

Here the dot denotes the t -derivative, one has $H_i = \dot{a}_i/a_i$, and the average Hubble parameter is $H = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 H_i \equiv \dot{a}/a$ with $a = (a_1a_2a_3)^{1/3}$. The Einstein tensor components are

$$G_0^0 = -(H_1H_2 + H_2H_3 + H_3H_1), \quad (7)$$

$$G_i^i = -\left(\dot{H}_j + \dot{H}_k + H_j^2 + H_k^2 + H_jH_k\right), \quad (8)$$

where the triples of indices $\{i, j, k\}$ take values $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{2, 3, 1\}$, or $\{3, 1, 2\}$. In addition, we have defined

$$\mathcal{G} = 2G_4 - 2G_{4X}\dot{\phi}^2 + G_{5\phi}\dot{\phi}^2. \quad (9)$$

Variation of action (1) on $\phi(t)$ gives the equation:

$$\frac{1}{a^3} \frac{d}{dt}(a^3 \mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{P}, \quad (10)$$

with

$$\mathcal{J} = \dot{\phi} \left[G_{2X} - 2G_{3\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi}(G_{3X} - 2G_{4X\phi}) + \right.$$

$$+G_0^0(-2G_{4X} - 2\dot{\phi}^2 G_{4XX} + 2G_{5\phi} + G_{5X\phi}\dot{\phi}^2) + H_1 H_2 H_3 (3G_{5X}\dot{\phi} + G_{5XX}\dot{\phi}^3) \Big], \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} = & G_{2\phi} - \dot{\phi}^2 (G_{3\phi\phi} + G_{3X\phi}\ddot{\phi}) + RG_{4\phi} + 2G_{4X\phi}\dot{\phi}(3\ddot{\phi}H - \dot{\phi}G_0^0) + \\ & + G_0^0 G_{5\phi\phi}\dot{\phi}^2 + G_{5X\phi}\dot{\phi}^3 H_1 H_2 H_3. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In metric (4), we divide the anisotropic and isotropic parts

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)[e^{2(\beta_+ + \sqrt{3}\beta_-)} dx^2 + e^{2(\beta_+ - \sqrt{3}\beta_-)} dy^2 + e^{-4\beta_+(t)} dz^2]. \quad (13)$$

The functions $e^{\beta_+ + \sqrt{3}\beta_-}$, $e^{\beta_+ - \sqrt{3}\beta_-}$ and $e^{-2\beta_+}$ are the deviation from isotropy, and $a(t)$ is the isotropic part. The rate of expansion in the direction of x , y and z are given by

$$H_1 = H + \dot{\beta}_+ + \sqrt{3}\dot{\beta}_-, \quad H_2 = H + \dot{\beta}_+ - \sqrt{3}\dot{\beta}_-, \quad H_3 = H - 2\dot{\beta}_+. \quad (14)$$

We define the four arbitrary functions G_i ($i = 2, 3, 4, 5$) as follows

$$G_2 = G_2(X), \quad G_3 = G_3(X), \quad G_4 = \frac{1}{16\pi}, \quad G_5 = \frac{\eta\phi}{2}. \quad (15)$$

Taking into account (13), (14) and (15) from equations (5), (6) and (10) we obtain the consequences

$$3(H^2 - \sigma^2) \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{3\eta\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \right) = -G_2 + \dot{\phi}^2 G_{2X} + 3G_{3X} H \dot{\phi}^3, \quad (16)$$

$$(2\dot{H} + 3H^2 + 3\sigma^2) \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{\eta\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \right) + \eta H \cdot \frac{d(\dot{\phi}^2)}{dt} = -G_2 + G_{3X} \dot{\phi}^2 \ddot{\phi}, \quad (17)$$

$$\dot{\beta}_\pm \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{\eta\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \right) = \frac{C_\pm}{a^3}, \quad (18)$$

$$\dot{\phi} \left[G_{2X} + 3HG_{3X}\dot{\phi} - 3\eta(H^2 - \sigma^2) \right] = \frac{C_0}{a^3}, \quad (19)$$

with C_0 , C_+ and C_- being integration constants. Constants C_\pm correspond to the anisotropic charges; C_0 is the scalar charge. For convenience, introduced a shear scalar

$$\sigma^2 = \dot{\beta}_+^2 + \dot{\beta}_-^2. \quad (20)$$

Among Eqs. (16), (17), (18) and (19) only three are independent. We have five functions ($H(a)$, $X(a)$, σ^2 , G_2 , G_3) and three independent equations. Therefore, we have two degrees of freedom. By setting the expansion scenario of the Universe $H(a)$ and the kinetic dependence $X(a)$, we find the functions $\dot{\beta}_\pm$, $G_2(X)$ and $G_3(X)$. If all charges are simultaneously $C_0 = C_\pm = 0$, then the system (16), (17), (18), (19) can only have a stationary solution H , $X = \text{const}$, $\dot{\beta}_\pm = 0$. Equality $\dot{\beta}_\pm = 0$ means that space-time has become the FRW spacetime. In case $C_0 \neq 0$, $C_\pm \neq 0$, we will have a rich variety of cosmological models.

Combining Eqs. (16), (17) and (19), we arrive at the equalities

$$G_2 = -3 \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \eta X \right) (H^2[a(X)] - \sigma^2[a(X)]) + \frac{\varepsilon C_0 \sqrt{2X}}{a^3(X)}, \quad (21)$$

$$G_{3X} = \frac{2\eta H}{\varepsilon \sqrt{2X}} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon \sqrt{2X}} \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \eta X \right) \left(H'_X + \frac{3a'_X \sigma^2}{a(X)H} \right) + \frac{C_0 a'_X}{H a^4(X)}, \quad (22)$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ defines the sign of the derivative $\dot{\phi} = \varepsilon \sqrt{2X}$. Here we took into account $\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi} = \dot{X} = aH/a'_X$. It is assumed that for the given function $X(a)$ there is an inverse function $a(X)$.

As can be seen from the corollary of equation (18)

$$\dot{\beta}_\pm = \frac{C_\pm}{a^3 \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \eta X(a) \right)}, \quad (23)$$

the anisotropic properties of the Universe are directly determined by the choice of the density $X(a)$.

III. REDUCTION TO THE ISOTROPIC CASE

To begin with, we consider reconstruction in isotropic space. If we set $\dot{\beta}_{\pm}$ to zero, then we obtain the isotropic spacetime:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)[dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2], \quad (24)$$

then the field equations (16), (17) and (19) take the form

$$3H^2 \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{3\eta\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \right) = -G_2 + \dot{\phi}^2 G_{2X} + 3G_{3X} H \dot{\phi}^3, \quad (25)$$

$$(2\dot{H} + 3H^2) \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{\eta\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \right) + \eta H \cdot \frac{d(\dot{\phi}^2)}{dt} = -G_2 + G_{3X} \dot{\phi}^2 \ddot{\phi}, \quad (26)$$

$$\dot{\phi} \left[G_{2X} + 3HG_{3X}\dot{\phi} - 3\eta H^2 \right] = \frac{C_0}{a^3}. \quad (27)$$

The restored functions (21), (22) will take the form

$$G_2 = -3 \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \eta X \right) H^2 [a(X)] + \frac{\varepsilon C_0 \sqrt{2X}}{a^3(X)}, \quad (28)$$

$$G_{3X} = \frac{2\eta H}{\varepsilon \sqrt{2X}} + \frac{2H'_X}{\varepsilon \sqrt{2X}} \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \eta X \right) + \frac{C_0 a'_X}{H a^4(X)}. \quad (29)$$

When considering the modified theories of gravity, one has to worry about the presence of ghosts as well as Laplacian instabilities [9, 10]. Two conditions related to scalar perturbations must be satisfied [9]:

$$c_S^2 \equiv \frac{3(2w_1^2 w_2 H - w_2^2 w_4 + 4w_1 w_2 \dot{w}_1 - 2w_1^2 \dot{w}_2)}{w_1(4w_1 w_3 + 9w_2^2)} \geq 0, \quad (30)$$

for the avoidance of Laplacian instabilities associated with the scalar field propagation speed, and

$$Q_S \equiv \frac{w_1(4w_1 w_3 + 9w_2^2)}{3w_2^2} > 0, \quad (31)$$

for the absence of ghosts, where

$$w_1 \equiv 2(G_4 - 2XG_{4X}) - 2X(G_{5X}\dot{\phi}H - G_{5\phi}), \quad (32)$$

$$w_2 \equiv -2G_{3X}X\dot{\phi} + 4G_4H - 16X^2G_{4XX}H + 4(\dot{\phi}G_{4\phi X} - 4HG_{4X})X + 2G_{4\phi}\dot{\phi} + 8X^2HG_{5\phi X} + 2HX(6G_{5\phi} - 5G_{5X}\dot{\phi}H) - 4G_{5XX}\dot{\phi}X^2H^2, \quad (33)$$

$$w_3 \equiv 3X(G_{2X} + 2XG_{2XX}) + 6X(3X\dot{\phi}HG_{3XX} - G_{3\phi X}X - G_{3\phi} + 6H\dot{\phi}G_{3X}) + 18H(4HX^3G_{4XXX} - HG_4 - 5X\dot{\phi}G_{4\phi X} - G_{4\phi}\dot{\phi} + 7HG_{4X}X + 16HX^2G_{4XX} - 2X^2\dot{\phi}G_{4\phi XX}) + 6H^2X(2H\dot{\phi}G_{5XXX}X^2 - 6X^2G_{5\phi XX} + 13XH\dot{\phi}G_{5XX} - 27G_{5\phi X}X + 15H\dot{\phi}G_{5X} - 18G_{5\phi}), \quad (34)$$

$$w_4 \equiv 2G_4 - 2XG_{5\phi} - 2XG_{5X}\ddot{\phi}. \quad (35)$$

For the tensor perturbations the conditions for avoidance of ghost and Laplacian instabilities are respectively written as [9]

$$Q_T \equiv \frac{w_1}{4} > 0, \quad c_T^2 \equiv \frac{w_4}{w_1} \geq 0. \quad (36)$$

Taking into account (28), (29) and $G_5 = \eta\phi/2$, we rewrite functions Q_T , c_T^2 , c_S^2 and Q_S in the form

$$Q_T = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \eta X(a) \right), \quad c_T^2 = \frac{1 - 8\pi\eta \cdot X(a)}{1 + 8\pi\eta \cdot X(a)}, \quad (37)$$

$$Q_S = \frac{3\left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \eta X\right)}{w_2^2} \left[S \left(\frac{1}{\pi} + 16\eta X \right) \left(\frac{X^{5/2} H'_a}{a^4 (X'_a)^2 H} - \frac{X^{3/2}}{2a^4 X'_a} \right) + \frac{4S^2 X^3}{a^8 (X'_a)^2 H^2} \right], \quad (38)$$

$$c_S^2 = \frac{1}{Q_S} \left[-\omega_4 + 2H \left(\frac{\omega_1^2 a}{w_2} \right)'_a \right], \quad S = \varepsilon C_0 \sqrt{2}, \quad (39)$$

where $w_1 = 1/(8\pi) + \eta X$, $w_4 = 1/(8\pi) - \eta X$ and

$$w_2 = 2 \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \eta X \right) \left(H - \frac{2H'_a X}{X'_a} \right) - \frac{2SX^{3/2}}{a^4 X'_a H}. \quad (40)$$

The Hubble parameter $H(a)$ is set from cosmological considerations. The choice of the function $X(a)$ gives a chance to exclude ghosts and Laplace instabilities in the region of applicability of the model $H(a)$.

A. Post-inflationary transition to the radiation-dominated phase

Now we will show reconstruction example of the gravitating scalar field theory for a post-inflationary transition to the radiation-dominated phase. Further, the theory will be tested for the absence of pathologies.

We define the Hubble parameter $H(a)$ as follows

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi\rho}{3}, \quad \rho = \frac{2\rho_d}{a^4 + 1}, \quad \rho_d = \text{const}. \quad (41)$$

The effective energy density ρ corresponds to the equation of state

$$p = \frac{\rho}{3} - \mu\rho^2, \quad \mu > 0 \quad (42)$$

describes the transition from the inflationary era to the radiation era in the the early Universe [19]. The linear equation of state $p = \rho/3$ corresponds to radiation. The polytropic equation of state $p = -\mu\rho^2$ may be due to Bose-Einstein condensates with repulsive ($\mu < 0$) or attractive ($\mu > 0$) self-interaction, or have another origin. We write the deceleration parameter DP as follows

$$q(\rho) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) - 1 = \frac{\rho + 3p}{2\rho} = 1 - \frac{3\mu\rho}{2} = 1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_d}, \quad (43)$$

where the parameter ρ_d corresponds a inflexion point:

$$q(\rho_d) = 0, \quad \rho_d = \frac{2}{3\mu}. \quad (44)$$

The Universe is accelerating when $\rho > \rho_d$ and decelerating when $\rho \leq \rho_d$. The inflexion point $a = a_d = 1$ corresponds to the end of inflation. When $a \ll 1$, the density ρ takes the maximum value $2\rho_d$. The Planck density ρ_p will be determined so that it approximately corresponds to he maximum value: $\rho_p \approx 2\rho_d$. Thus the density will take the form

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_p}{a^4 + 1}. \quad (45)$$

In the early time ($a \ll 1$), the model has the quasi-de Sitter behavior:

$$H^2 \rightarrow \frac{8\pi\rho_p}{3} = \text{const}. \quad (46)$$

At late time ($a \gg 1$) the Universe enters in the radiation era:

$$H^2 \propto \frac{1}{a^4}. \quad (47)$$

We define the input function $X(a)$:

$$X = \frac{m}{8\pi\eta a^6}, \quad m = \text{const}. \quad (48)$$

Looking ahead, we note that the choice of $X(a)$ will determine the behavior of the anisotropy in the Bianchi I model. Assumption (48) allows us to obtain from (28), (29) the functions G_2 and G_{3X} :

$$G_2 = S \left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{1/2} X - \frac{\rho_p \cdot X^{2/3} (1 + 8\pi\eta X)}{\left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} + X^{2/3}}, \quad (49)$$

$$G_{3X} = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi\rho_p}} \cdot X^{-5/6} \left[\left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} + X^{2/3} \right]^{1/2} \times \\ \times \left\{ -\frac{S}{6} \left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{16\pi\rho_p}{3} \left[\frac{\eta X^{2/3}}{\left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} + X^{2/3}} + \left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} \frac{1 + 8\pi\eta X}{24\pi X^{1/3} \left(\left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} + X^{2/3} \right)^2} \right] \right\}. \quad (50)$$

During the radiation era ($a \gg 1$), the functions G_2 , G_3 have approximately view:

$$G_2 \simeq -\rho_p \left(\frac{m}{8\pi\eta} \right)^{2/3} \cdot X^{2/3}, \\ G_3 \simeq -\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\rho_p}{3\pi}} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{8\pi\eta} \right)^{1/3} \cdot X^{-1/6} + \text{const}. \quad (51)$$

The quasi-de Sitter behavior ($a \ll 1$):

$$G_2 \simeq \left[-8\pi\eta\rho_p + S \left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{1/2} \right] \cdot X, \\ G_3 \simeq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi\rho_p}} \cdot \left[\frac{16\pi\eta\rho_p}{3} - \frac{S}{6} \left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{1/2} \right] \cdot X^{1/2} + \text{const}. \quad (52)$$

For the model under consideration, we rewrite functions Q_T , c_T^2 , Q_S and c_S^2 in the form:

$$Q_T = \frac{a^6 + m}{32\pi a^6}, \quad c_T^2 = \frac{a^6 - m}{a^6 + m}, \quad (53)$$

$$Q_S = \frac{3B(a^6 + m)(1 + a^4)^2 [2(a^6 + 2m)(a^4 + 3) + B(a^4 + 1)^2]}{8\pi a^6 [2(a^6 + m)(a^4 + 3) + B(a^4 + 1)^2]^2}, \quad (54)$$

$$c_S^2 = \frac{1}{Q_S} \left[-\omega_4 + 2H \left(\frac{\omega_1^2 a}{w_2} \right)' \right]_a, \quad (55)$$

where

$$\omega_1 = \frac{a^6 + m}{8\pi a^6}, \quad \omega_4 = \frac{a^6 - m}{8\pi a^6}, \quad (56)$$

$$\omega_2 = \frac{A[2(a^6 + m)(a^4 + 3) + B(a^4 + 1)^2]}{24\pi a^6 (1 + a^4)^{3/2}}, \quad A \equiv \sqrt{\frac{8\pi\rho_p}{3}}, \quad B \equiv \frac{S}{A^2} \left(\frac{8\pi m}{\eta} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (57)$$




FIG. 1: Profile of the sound speeds squared c_S^2 for $m = 0.01$, $B = 1$. The sound speed squared is positive.




FIG. 2: Profile of the function Q_S for $m = 0.01$, $B = 1$. The function Q_S is positive.

Conditions (36) for tensor perturbations are satisfied when $a^6 > |m|$. In the case $m > 0$, the inequality $Q_T > 0$ holds for any $a > 0$. However, c_T^2 has a negative limit, $c_T^2 \rightarrow -1$, $a \rightarrow 0$. The function Q_S , c_S^2 have the following approximations:

$$Q_S \propto \frac{3Bm(12m+B)}{8\pi(6m+B)^2a^6}, a \rightarrow 0; \quad Q_S \propto \frac{3B}{8\pi a^2}, a \rightarrow +\infty; \quad (58)$$

$$c_S^2 \rightarrow \frac{(B-24m)(6m+B)}{3B(12m+B)}, a \rightarrow 0; \quad c_S^2 \propto \frac{8a^2}{3B}, a \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (59)$$

The profiles of functions c_S^2 , Q_S are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Conditions (30), (31) for the scalar perturbations are satisfied.

IV. BIANCHI I MODEL

Let us consider an anisotropic generalization of the model (41), (48). The assumption (48) for the function $X(a)$ gives a corollary to equation (23):

$$\dot{\beta}_{\pm} = \frac{8\pi C_{\pm} \cdot a^3}{a^6 + m}, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{(8\pi)^2 \sigma_0^2 a^6}{(a^6 + m)^2}, \quad (60)$$

where $\sigma_0^2 \equiv C_-^2 + C_+^2$. In the general theory of relativity, spatial anisotropies produce in the Einstein equations terms proportional to $1/a^6$, which become dominant when one goes backwards in time. Here, the shear scalar σ^2 is a non-monotonic function with the properties

$$\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } 0 \leftarrow a \rightarrow \infty. \quad (61)$$

The shear scalar tend to zero for $a \rightarrow 0$ and their contribution to the total energy balance is $\propto a^6$ instead of $\propto 1/a^6$. This is the non-standard behavior at small a . The shear scalar is suppressed at late time as well by the factor $1/a^6$. Taking into account anisotropy, we generalize the assumption (41):

$$H^2 = \sigma^2 + \frac{8\pi\rho}{3}, \quad (62)$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_p}{a^4 + 1}. \quad (63)$$

The mean anisotropy parameter \mathcal{A} is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_i - H}{H} \right)^2 = \frac{2\sigma^2}{H^2} = \\ &= 2 \left[1 + \frac{F(a^6 + m)^2}{a^6(a^4 + 1)} \right]^{-1}, \quad F \equiv \frac{\rho_p}{24\pi\sigma_0^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

This parameter describes the isotropization process of the Universe. The function \mathcal{A} has a limit: $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0$ as $0 \leftarrow a \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the anisotropy effect is totally negligible at early and late times. The behavior of the parameter \mathcal{A} is shown in Fig. 3. As we can see, the selected function $X(a)$ provides isotropization in later times. Since the anisotropy is suppressed at early and late times, the behavior of the model (62) is similar to that of the model (41) at these times:

$$H^2 \approx \frac{8\pi\rho}{3} \rightarrow \frac{8\pi\rho_p}{3} = \text{const}, \quad a \ll 1. \quad (65)$$

$$H^2 \approx \frac{8\pi\rho}{3} \propto \frac{1}{a^4}, \quad a \gg 1. \quad (66)$$

There is a difference for models in intermediate times. The quasi-de Sitter stage and the radiation era are separated by the anisotropic phase. The profile Fig.4 compares the behavior of the Hubble parameter for models (41) and (62). The scale factors a of the two models are shown in Fig.5.

Assumption (48) allows us to obtain from (21), (22) the functions G_2 and G_{3X} :

$$G_2 = S \left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{1/2} X - \frac{\rho_p \cdot X^{2/3} (1 + 8\pi\eta X)}{\left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} + X^{2/3}}, \quad (67)$$

$$G_{3X} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon\sqrt{2X}} \left(\frac{(8\pi)^3 \sigma_0^2 \eta X}{m(1 + 8\pi\eta X)^2} + \frac{8\pi\rho_p}{3} \cdot \frac{X^{2/3}}{\left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} + X^{2/3}} \right)^{-1/2} \times$$

$$\times \left\{ -\frac{S}{6} \left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{16\pi\rho_p}{3} \left[\frac{\eta X^{2/3}}{\left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} + X^{2/3}} + \left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} \frac{1 + 8\pi\eta X}{24\pi X^{1/3} \left(\left(\frac{8\pi\eta}{m} \right)^{2/3} + X^{2/3} \right)^2} \right] \right\}. \quad (68)$$

Here, approximations (51), (52) are also valid. Stability conditions (30), (31), (36) have been derived for the homogeneous and isotropic backgrounds. They can be used also in the Bianchi I model case at early and late times, since the anisotropies are then damped.




FIG. 3: Profile of the parameter \mathcal{A} for $m = 0.01$, $F = 1$.




FIG. 4: Profiles of the parameter $\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi\rho_p}} \cdot H$ for isotropic model (red) and anisotropic model (blue).




FIG. 5: Scalar factor profiles for isotropic model (red) and anisotropic model (blue) with the initial values $a(0) = 0.1$.

V. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a way to reconstruct the HG theory on the Hubble evolution and on the canonical kinetic term X in the Bianchi I spacetime model. The experience of many researchers makes it possible to set a scenario for the evolution of the Universe *a priori*. The freedom of choice $X(a)$ is used to regulate the stability of the model and the anisotropic properties of the Universe. The result of the standard way of solving field equations is not predictable.

We have reconstructed the scalar theory, which gives the model of post-inflationary transition to the radiation-dominated phase in the Bianchi I spacetime. As a basis for the reconstruction, we took a fluid with the nonlinear equation of state. At the Universe stages with suppressed anisotropy, this equation has the form $p = \rho/3 - \mu\rho^2$. The term $-\mu\rho^2$ may be due to Bose-Einstein condensates with repulsive ($\mu < 0$) or attractive ($\mu > 0$) self-interaction. The kinetic dependence $X(a) \sim a^{-6}$ gives the following properties for the model. It is important that in the process of expansion, the Universe is isotropized. Interestingly, the anisotropy is also suppressed in the early times of the Universe. At intermediate times, there is a finite burst of anisotropy with further relaxation. The isotropic analogue of the model does not contain ghosts and Laplacian instabilities for scalar perturbations. For the tensor perturbations, on the interval $(0, a_1)$, the square of the sound speed c_T^2 is negative. For the anisotropic model, these judgments are valid at stages of the Universe with suppressed anisotropy.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No. 19-52-15008).

- [1] G.W. Horndeski: *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10**, 363 (1974)
- [2] S.V. Sushkov: *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 103505 (2009)
- [3] S.A. Appleby, A. De Felice and E.V. Linder: *JCAP* **10** 060 (2012)
- [4] T. P. Sotiriou and S.-Y. Zhou: *Phys. Rev. D* **90** 124063 (2014)
- [5] E. Babichev, C. Charmousis and A. Lehebel: *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **33** 154002 (2016)
- [6] A. Maselli, H. O. Silva, M. Minamitsuji and E. Berti: *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 104049 (2015)
- [7] R. K. Muharlyamov, T. N. Pankratyeva, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **136**, 590 (2021)
- [8] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **126**, 511 (2011)
- [9] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa: *JCAP* **1202**, 007 (2012)
- [10] S. A. Appleby and E. V. Linder: *JCAP* **1203**, 043 (2012)
- [11] H. W. H. Tahara, S. Nishi, T. Kobayashi and J. Yokoyama: *JCAP* 07 (2018) 058, arXiv:1805.00186
- [12] A. A. Starobinsky, S. V. Sushkov, M. S. Volkov, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 064039 (2020)
- [13] R. Galeev, R. K. Muharlyamov, A. A. Starobinsky, S. V. Sushkov, M. S. Volkov, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 104015 (2021)
- [14] Hawking S.W. and Taylor R.J., *Nature*. **299**, 1278 (1966)
- [15] Hu B.L. and Parker L., *Physical Review. D.* **17** 933 (1978)
- [16] R. C. Bernardo and I. Vega: *JCAP* **1910**, 058 (2019)
- [17] R. C. Bernardo and J. L. Said: *JCAP09* (2021) 014, arXiv:2105.12970
- [18] R. C. Bernardo, D. Grandon, J. L. Said and V. H. Cardenas: arXiv:2111.08289
- [19] P.H. Chavanis: *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **129**, 38 (2014)