

# HOLOMORPHIC STABILITY FOR CARLEMAN PAIRS OF FUNCTION SPACES

YONG HAN, YANQI QIU, AND ZIPENG WANG

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce a notion of holomorphic stability for pairs of function spaces on a planar domain  $\Omega$ . In the case of the open unit disk  $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$  equipped with a radial measure  $\mu$ , by establishing Bourgain-Brezis type inequalities, we show that the pair

$$(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$$

of weighted harmonic Bergman space and harmonic Hardy space is holomorphically stable if and only if  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure. With some extra efforts, we also obtain an analogous result for the upper half plane equipped with horizontal-translation invariant measures.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Holomorphic stability for Carleman pairs.** Let  $\Omega$  be a planar domain and let  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  be the space of holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$ . In what follows, we shall always consider a pair  $(X, Y)$  of vector spaces both consisting of functions on  $\Omega$ .

**Definition** (Holomorphic stability). *The pair  $(X, Y)$  is called holomorphically stable if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:*

- (i)  $(X + \mathcal{O}(\Omega)) \cap Y \subset X$ ;
- (ii)  $(X + \mathcal{O}(\Omega)) \cap (Y \setminus X) = \emptyset$ ;
- (iii)  $(X + Y) \cap \mathcal{O}(\Omega) = X \cap \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ .

Here  $X + \mathcal{O}(\Omega) = \{f + g | f \in X, g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)\}$  and  $X + Y = \{f + g | f \in X, g \in Y\}$ .

The Venn diagram in Figure 1 illustrates a holomorphically stable pair  $(X, Y)$ .




FIGURE 1.  $(X + \mathcal{O}(\Omega)) \cap Y \subset X$ .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30H10, 30H20; Secondary 32A10, 32A36.

*Key words and phrases.* holomorphic stability, weighed Bergman spaces, Hardy spaces, Zen-type spaces, Bourgain-Brezis type inequalities.

YH is supported by the grant NSFC 11688101. YQ is supported by grants NSFC Y7116335K1, NSFC 11801547 and NSFC 11688101. ZW is supported by the grant NSFC 11601296.

For any  $f \in X$  and  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ , we interpret  $f + g$  as a holomorphic perturbation of  $f$ . Then the holomorphic stability of a pair  $(X, Y)$  means that a holomorphic perturbation of any element in  $X$  remains in  $X$  provided that it is contained in  $Y$  after the perturbation.

Note that a holomorphically stable pair  $(X, Y)$  always satisfies the condition

$$Y \cap \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \subset X.$$

Such pairs will be referred as *Carleman pairs*. This terminology comes from the classical Carleman embeddings of some classical holomorphic-function spaces on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  in the complex plane (see [Car21, Sai79, GK89, Vuk03]). For its generalization to complex domains of arbitrary dimension, see Hörmander [Hor67]. Note that if  $Y \subset X$ , then the pair  $(X, Y)$  is trivially holomorphically stable. Therefore, the notion of the holomorphic stability is of interests only for the pairs  $(X, Y)$  satisfying

$$Y \not\subset X \text{ and } Y \cap \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \subset X.$$

Our research is inspired by Da Lio, Rivière and Wettstein's very recent work [DLRW21] on Bourgain-Brezis type inequalities, where they essentially proved that the pair

$$(B^2(\mathbb{D}), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$$

of the harmonic Bergman space  $B^2(\mathbb{D})$  and the classical harmonic Hardy space  $h^1(\mathbb{D})$  is holomorphically stable (the precise definitions of  $B^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $h^1(\mathbb{D})$  are given in §1.2). The notion of holomorphic stability leads to many natural questions. Generalization of our work in more general planar domains (including the non-simply connected ones) is more involved and will be given in the sequel to this paper.

**1.2. Main results.** The harmonic Hardy space  $h^1(\mathbb{D})$  is defined by

$$h^1(\mathbb{D}) := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{D}) \mid \|u\|_{h^1(\mathbb{D})} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(re^{i\theta})| d\theta < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{D})$  denotes the space of all harmonic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . The Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{D})$  is then defined as  $H^1(\mathbb{D}) := h^1(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ .

Throughout the paper, all measures are assumed to be positive measures. Given a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , the associated weighted harmonic Bergman space  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  and weighted Bergman space  $A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  are defined as

$$B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) := L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) \cap \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{D}) \text{ and } A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) := L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}),$$

both of which inherit the norm of  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ . If  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ , then we use the simplified notation  $B^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $A^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is called *boundary-accessable* if its support is not relatively compact in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Note that if  $\mu$  is boundary-inaccessible, then it is trivial to verify that the pair  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$  is holomorphically stable. Therefore, in what follows, we always assume that  $\mu$  is boundary-accessable.

A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is called a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 \mu(dz) \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{D})}, \quad \forall f \in H^1(\mathbb{D}).$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a radial boundary-accessable measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then the pair*

$$(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$$

is holomorphically stable if and only if  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure.

A natural conjecture is

**Conjecture.** *For any boundary-accessable measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , the pair  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$  is holomorphically stable if and only if  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure.*

For a finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  which is not necessarily radial, a simple situation (which in general is rather different from the situation in Theorem 1.1, see Theorem 1.2 below for more details) for the holomorphic stability of  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$  is provided as follows. Consider the linear map  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  defined on the space  $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{D})$  by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}_+ \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n \bar{z}^n \right) := \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n.$$

Then the pair  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$  is holomorphically stable if both

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{Q}_+ : h^1(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}_+ : B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) \longrightarrow A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$$

are bounded linear operators.

It is not hard to see that the operator (1.3) is bounded if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(dz)}{|1 - e^{-i\theta} z|^2} < \infty.$$

Hence the boundedness of the operator (1.3) in general fails even for a radial  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure. On the other hand, the boundedness of (1.4) holds for all radial finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For general weights, a clear sufficient condition for the boundedness of the operator (1.4) is that the *Bergman projection* being bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  (which then is equivalent to the condition that  $\mu$  is a  $B_2$ -weight à la Békollé-Bonami, see [BB78] for more details on Bergman projections). Consequently, for any  $B_2$ -weight  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  satisfying (1.5), the pair  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$  is holomorphically stable.

For a radial boundary-accessable finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , the space  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  is complete and  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$  is a Banach space equipped with the norm:

$$\|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} := \inf \left\{ \|g\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} + \|h\|_{h^1(\mathbb{D})} \mid f = g + h, \ g \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) \text{ and } h \in h^1(\mathbb{D}) \right\}.$$

Recall that a closed subspace  $B_1$  of a Banach space  $B$  is called *complemented* in  $B$  if there exists a bounded linear projection from  $B$  onto  $B_1$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a radial boundary-accessable  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$$

*is a closed subspace of  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ . Moreover, the above subspace is complemented in  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $\mu$  satisfies the condition*

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(dz)}{1 - |z|^2} < \infty.$$

*Remark.* For radial measures on  $\mathbb{D}$ , the conditions (1.5) and (1.6) are clearly equivalent.

In general, the notion of holomorphic stability for the pair  $(B^2(\Omega, \mu), h^1(\Omega))$  is not conformally invariant, where  $\Omega$  is assumed to have a nice boundary and  $h^1(\Omega)$  is then defined as the set of all the Poisson convolutions of functions in  $L^1(\partial\Omega, ds)$  (where  $ds$  is the arc-length measure on  $\partial\Omega$ ). In particular, our following result for the upper half plane does not seem to be a direct consequence of the result on the unit disk and its proof requires more efforts.

Let  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Im(z) > 0\}$  denote the upper half plane. In this case, the suitable spaces for studying the holomorphic stability are the harmonic Zen-type spaces (which reduces to the ordinary harmonic Bergman space when the weight is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{H}$ ), see [Har09, JPP13].

A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  is called *boundary-accessable* if its support is not contained in

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon := \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Im(z) > \varepsilon\}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Given a horizontal translation-invariant boundary-accessable measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ , define the harmonic Zen-type space by

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{H}) \left| \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)} = \sup_{L>0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{H}} |g(z + iL)|^2 \mu(dz) \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{H})$  denotes the set of all harmonic functions on  $\mathbb{H}$ . It is easy to see that the above space  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$  is complete and thus is a Hilbert space.

The relation between  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$  and the ordinary weighted harmonic Bergman space

$$B^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu) := L^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu) \cap \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{H})$$

is given as follows. For any  $g \in \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{H})$  and any  $y > 0$ , define  $g_y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$(1.8) \quad g_y(x) := g(x + iy), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Recall the Poisson kernel for  $\mathbb{H}$  at the point  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$ :

$$(1.9) \quad P_z^{\mathbb{H}}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{(x - t)^2 + y^2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set

$$(1.10) \quad \text{Poi}(\mathbb{H}) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{H}) \left| g_y \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } g_{y+y'} = P_{iy'}^{\mathbb{H}} * g_y \quad \forall y, y' > 0 \right. \right\}.$$

One can easily check that, for any horizontal translation-invariant boundary-accessable measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ ,

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu) = B^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu) \cap \text{Poi}(\mathbb{H}).$$

The harmonic Hardy space  $h^1(\mathbb{H})$  is defined by

$$h^1(\mathbb{H}) := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{H}) \left| \|u\|_{h^1(\mathbb{H})} = \sup_{y>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x + iy)| dx < \infty \right. \right\}$$

and the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{H})$  is defined as  $H^1(\mathbb{H}) := h^1(\mathbb{H}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$ . A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  is called a (1, 2)-Carleson measure if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(1.12) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbb{H}} |f(z)|^2 \mu(dz) \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{H})}, \quad \forall f \in H^1(\mathbb{H}).$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a horizontal translation-invariant boundary-accessable measure on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then the pair*

$$(\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{H}))$$

*is holomorphically stable if and only if  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure.*

**1.3. Sketch of the proof.** Here we give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is based on a generalization of the following one-dimensional Bourgain-Brezis-type inequality due to Da Lio-Rivière-Wettstein: there exists a universal constant  $C > 0$  such that for any smooth function  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  with  $\int u(e^{i\theta})d\theta = 0$ ,

$$(1.13) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C \left( \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T})+L^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{H}(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T})+L^1(\mathbb{T})} \right),$$

where the Hilbert transform of  $u$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H}u(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{sgn}(n) \widehat{u}(n) e^{in\theta}$$

and the  $1/4$ -fractional Laplace transform  $(-\Delta)^{1/4}u$  is given by

$$(-\Delta)^{1/4}u(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |n|^{1/2} \widehat{u}(n) e^{in\theta}.$$

The inequality (1.13) implies

$$(1.14) \quad \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(\|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D})+h^1(\mathbb{D})} + \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D})+h^1(\mathbb{D})}), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D}),$$

where, slightly by abusing the notation,  $\mathcal{H}f$  is defined by

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{H}f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n z^n - \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n \bar{z}^n, \quad \text{provided that } f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n \bar{z}^n$$

In our situation, we are able to prove that, if  $\mu$  is a radial boundary-accessable measure, then  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$  if and only if there exists a constant  $C_\mu > 0$  such that for any bounded harmonic function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_h(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ ,

$$(1.16) \quad \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} \leq C_\mu(\|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)+h^1(\mathbb{D})} + \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)+h^1(\mathbb{D})}).$$

The inequality (1.16) applied to holomorphic functions immediately gives the result stated in Theorem 1.1.

The proof of the inequality (1.16) relies on a weighted version of Bourgain-Brezis-type inequality obtained in Theorem 1.4 below. More precisely, define a Fourier multiplier operator by

$$(1.17) \quad \mathcal{A}_\mu u \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2|n|} \mu(dz) \right)^{-1/2} \widehat{u}(n) e^{in\theta}, \quad u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}),$$

where

$$\widehat{u}(n) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define also a Sobolev-type space corresponding to the radial weight  $\mu$  by

$$(1.18) \quad H_\mu(\mathbb{T}) := \left\{ v \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{v}(n) e^{in\theta} \mid \|v\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{v}(n)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2|n|} \mu(dz) \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}.$$

*Remark.* For a general  $\mu$ , the coefficients of a formal Fourier series  $v \in H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$  may have non-polynomial growth and it may not represent a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T})$ . However, if  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ , then  $H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$  is the Sobolev space  $H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\mu$  be a radial boundary-accessible finite measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure if and only if there exists a universal constant  $C_\mu > 0$  such that for any smooth function  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ ,*

$$(1.19) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C_\mu \left( \|\mathcal{A}_\mu u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})+L^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_\mu u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})+L^1(\mathbb{T})} \right).$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON CARLESON MEASURES

Recall that throughout the paper, all measures are assumed to be positive. We shall use the famous geometric characterization of the  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measures on  $\mathbb{D}$  defined in (1.1). For any interval  $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ , the Carleson box  $S_I$  is defined by

$$S_I = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} \mid \frac{z}{|z|} \in I, 1 - \frac{|I|}{2\pi} \leq |z| < 1 \right\},$$

where  $|I|$  denotes the arc-length of  $I$ . Let  $|S_I|$  denote the Lebesgue measure of  $S_I$ , then a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure if and only if (see [Car62] and [Dur69])

$$\sup_{I \text{ is an arc in } \mathbb{T}} \frac{\mu(S_I)}{|S_I|} < \infty.$$

In particular, we have

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mu(dz) = \sigma(dr)d\theta$  be a radial measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{0 < \delta < 1} \frac{\sigma([1 - \delta, 1))}{\delta} < \infty.$$

The  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measures on the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  is defined in (1.12) and its geometric characterization (see, e.g., [Ryd20, Thm. 2.1]) is given as follows: a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{H}$  if and only if

$$\sup_{I \text{ is an interval in } \mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu(Q_I)}{|Q_I|} < \infty,$$

where  $|Q_I|$  is the Lebesgue measure of the Carleson box  $Q_I$  defined by

$$Q_I = \left\{ z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \mid x \in I, 0 < y < |I| \right\},$$

here  $|I|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, we have

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mu(dz) = dx\Pi(dy)$  be a horizontal translation-invariant measure on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure if and only if*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{y > 0} \frac{\Pi((0, y])}{y} < \infty.$$

*Remark.* While all  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measures on  $\mathbb{D}$  are finite, a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{H}$  needs not be.

## 3. HOLOMORPHIC STABILITY: THE DISK CASE

This section is mainly devoted to proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We shall use the following elementary observation: if  $\mu(dz) = \sigma(dr)d\theta$  is a radial boundary-accessible finite measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ , then

- both  $A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  and  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  are closed in  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ ;
- for any  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , the evaluation map  $\text{ev}_z : B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ev}_z(f) = f(z)$$

is a continuous linear functional on  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ ;

- for any  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma_k = \int_0^1 r^{2k} \sigma(dr) \geq \int_{[\rho, 1)} r^{2k} \sigma(dr) \geq \rho^{2k} \sigma([\rho, 1)).$$

Recall the definition (1.18) of the space  $H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$ : for any  $v \in H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$ , we set

$$(3.3) \quad \|v\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{v}(n)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2|n|} \mu(dz) = 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{v}(n)|^2 \sigma_n.$$

By (3.2) and (3.3), for any  $r \in [0, 1)$ , the Poisson transformation  $P_r^\mathbb{D} * v$  of an element  $v \in H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$  is a smooth function given by

$$P_r^\mathbb{D} * v(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} \widehat{v}(n) e^{in\theta}.$$

Any  $v \in H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$  has a natural harmonic extension (denoted again by  $v$ ) on  $\mathbb{D}$ :

$$(3.4) \quad v(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{v}(n) e_n(z) \quad \text{with} \quad e_n(z) = \begin{cases} z^n & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ \bar{z}^{|n|} & \text{if } n \leq -1 \end{cases}.$$

**3.1. The derivation of Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.4.** If  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$  is a holomorphically stable pair, then we have set-theoretical inclusion

$$H^1(\mathbb{D}) \subset A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu).$$

It follows that  $\mu$  is a finite measure, which, when combined with the assumption of the theorem, implies that  $\mu$  is a radial boundary-accessible finite measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Therefore,  $A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  is complete. Hence the embedding  $H^1(\mathbb{D}) \subset A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  is continuous by the Closed Graph Theorem. In other words,  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Now assume that  $\mu$  is a radial boundary-accessible  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . To prove the holomorphic stability of the pair  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$ , it suffices to show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \|f\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} \leq C \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Indeed, assuming (3.5) and let  $u \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  with  $u + f \in h^1(\mathbb{D})$ , we obtain

$$\|f\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} \leq C \|u - (u + f)\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq C (\|u\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} + \|u + f\|_{h^1(\mathbb{D})}) < \infty$$

and hence  $f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) \subset B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ . It follows that  $u + f \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  and this gives the holomorphic stability of the pair  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{D}))$ .

It remains to prove (3.5). For any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  and any  $0 < r < 1$ , write  $f_r(z) := f(rz)$ . Then, since  $\mu$  is radial,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f_r\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} = \|f\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}$$

and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|f_r\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})}.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that (3.5) holds for all  $f$  belonging to the following class:

$$\mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \{f \mid f \text{ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of } \overline{\mathbb{D}}\}.$$

We now proceed to the derivation of the inequality (3.5) for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  from the inequality (1.19) obtained in Theorem 1.4. Observe that, any  $v \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  has the form

$$v(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e_n(z),$$

where  $e_n$  is defined as in (3.4). Then, by the radial assumption on  $\mu$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \|v\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2|n|} \mu(dz).$$

Comparing (3.6) and (1.18), we obtain a natural isometric isomorphism  $H_\mu(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  that associates any  $v \in H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$  to its harmonic extension in  $\mathbb{D}$  defined by (3.4). Similarly, there is a natural isometric isomorphism  $L^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow h^1(\mathbb{D})$ . Therefore, we get a natural identification of the Banach spaces

$$H_\mu(\mathbb{T}) + L^1(\mathbb{T}) \simeq B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}).$$

Hence, for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ , by taking  $u = f|_{\mathbb{T}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  in (1.19), we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\mu^{-1}(f|_{\mathbb{T}})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C_\mu(\|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} + \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})}),$$

with  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  defined in (1.17),  $\mathcal{H}f$  defined in (1.15). Since  $\mathcal{H}f = f - f(0)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  and  $|f(0)| \leq \|f\|_{h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}) + h^1(\mathbb{D})}$ , we get

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\mu^{-1}(f|_{\mathbb{T}})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq 3C_\mu \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}).$$

Finally, notice that, for any  $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n z^n \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\mu^{-1}(f|_{\mathbb{T}})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} |c_n|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2|n|} \mu(dz) = \|f\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}^2.$$

Thus we obtain the desired inequality (3.5) for all  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  and complete the derivation of Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.4.

**3.2. The proof of Theorem 1.4.** A pair  $(a, b)$  of sequences  $a = (a(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $b = (b(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is called  $\mu$ -adapted if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $a(0) \neq 0$ ;
- (ii) for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad |a(n)|^2 b(n) \operatorname{sgn}(n) = \sigma_n^{-1}, \quad \text{where } \sigma_n := \int_0^1 r^{2|n|} \sigma(dr) > 0;$$

(iii) there exists a constant  $C_b$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{C_b} \leq |b(n)| \leq C_b, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^*.$$

Note that the condition (3.7) implies in particular that  $b(n) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $\mu$  is a radial boundary-accessible  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $(a, b)$  be a  $\mu$ -adapted pair of sequences. Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(3.9) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(\|\mathcal{T}_a u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})+L^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|\mathcal{T}_b \mathcal{T}_a u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})+L^1(\mathbb{T})}), \quad \forall u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}),$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_a$  and  $\mathcal{T}_b$  are the Fourier multipliers defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}_a u}(n) = a(n)\widehat{u}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{T}_b u}(n) = b(n)\widehat{u}(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The next criterion of radial  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measures will be useful for us.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha(dr)$  be a finite measure on  $[0, 1)$ . Then the inequality*

$$(3.10) \quad \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\sin \theta}{(r - \cos \theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta} \alpha(dr) \right| < \infty$$

holds if and only if

$$\sup_{0 < \delta < 1} \frac{\alpha([1 - \delta, 1))}{\delta} < \infty.$$

We postpone the proof of Lemma 3.2 for a while and proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\mu(dz) = \sigma(dr)d\theta$  be a radial boundary-accessible  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ , then there exists a function  $w_\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  such that*

$$(3.11) \quad \widehat{w}_\sigma(n) = \operatorname{sgn}(n)\sigma_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

*Proof.* Under the assumption of the lemma, set

$$(3.12) \quad w_\sigma(e^{i\theta}) = 2i \int_0^1 \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{|r^2 - e^{-i\theta}|^2} \sigma(dr).$$

We first show that  $w_\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Indeed, by change-of-variable  $r = \sqrt{s}$ ,

$$w_\sigma(e^{i\theta}) = 2i \int_0^1 \frac{\sin \theta}{(\cos \theta - s)^2 + \sin^2 \theta} \sigma'(ds),$$

where  $\sigma'(ds) = s\sigma_*(ds)$  with  $\sigma_*(ds)$  being the push-forward of the measure  $\sigma$  under the map  $s = r^2$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sigma([1 - \delta, 1)) \leq C\delta, \quad \forall \delta \in (0, 1).$$

Then, by the definition of  $\sigma'$ , there exists a constant  $C' > 0$  such that

$$\sigma'([1 - \delta, 1)) \leq C'\delta, \quad \forall \delta \in (0, 1).$$

Hence,  $w_\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  by Lemma 3.2.

It remains to prove the equality (3.11). Since  $w_\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , we have

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \int_0^1 \frac{r^2 |\sin \theta|}{|r^2 - e^{-i\theta}|^2} \sigma(dr) = \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{|r^2 - e^{-i\theta}|^2} \sigma(dr) \right| < \infty.$$

Therefore, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{w}_\sigma(n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( 2i \int_0^1 \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{|r^2 - e^{-i\theta}|^2} \sigma(dr) \right) e^{-in\theta} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 2i \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{|r^2 - e^{-i\theta}|^2} e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right) \sigma(dr).\end{aligned}$$

Then by the elementary identity (which converges absolutely for any fixed  $0 \leq r < 1$ )

$$2i \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{|r^2 - e^{-i\theta}|^2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \operatorname{sgn}(n) e^{in\theta} r^{2|n|}, \quad \forall r \in [0, 1),$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 2i \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{|r^2 - e^{-i\theta}|^2} e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \operatorname{sgn}(n) r^{2|n|}$$

and hence

$$\widehat{w}_\sigma(n) = \operatorname{sgn}(n) \int_0^1 r^{2|n|} \sigma(dr) = \operatorname{sgn}(n) \sigma_n.$$

This is the desired equality (3.11).  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Let  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Note that  $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|u - \widehat{u}(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + |\widehat{u}(0)|$ . Clearly, if  $\mathcal{T}_a u = f + g$  with  $f \in H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $a(0)\widehat{u}(0) = \widehat{f}(0) + \widehat{g}(0)$  and

$$|a(0)\widehat{u}(0)| \leq |\widehat{f}(0)| + |\widehat{g}(0)| \leq \|f\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}.$$

It follows that  $|\widehat{u}(0)| \leq |a(0)|^{-1} \|\mathcal{T}_a u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T}) + L^1(\mathbb{T})}$ . Therefore, from now on, we may assume that  $\widehat{u}(0) = 0$ . Take any pairs of decompositions

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}_a u &= f_1 + g_1, \\ \mathcal{T}_b \mathcal{T}_a u &= f_2 + g_2, \end{cases}$$

with  $f_1, f_2 \in H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . Then for any  $0 < r < 1$ , we have (the following Poisson convolutions will be used in the proof of the equality (3.21) below)

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} P_r^\mathbb{D} * (\mathcal{T}_a u) &= P_r^\mathbb{D} * f_1 + P_r^\mathbb{D} * g_1, \\ P_r^\mathbb{D} * (\mathcal{T}_b \mathcal{T}_a u) &= P_r^\mathbb{D} * f_2 + P_r^\mathbb{D} * g_2. \end{cases}$$

That is,

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{cases} r^{|n|} a(n) \widehat{u}(n) &= r^{|n|} \widehat{f}_1(n) + r^{|n|} \widehat{g}_1(n), & n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ r^{|n|} b(n) a(n) \widehat{u}(n) &= r^{|n|} \widehat{f}_2(n) + r^{|n|} \widehat{g}_2(n), & n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

From (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.16) \quad \|P_r^\mathbb{D} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} r^{2|n|} |\widehat{u}(n)|^2 \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{|n|}}{a(n)} \widehat{f}_1(n) r^{|n|} \overline{\widehat{u}(n)}}_{\text{denoted by I}} + \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{|n|}}{a(n)} \widehat{g}_1(n) r^{|n|} \overline{\widehat{u}(n)}}_{\text{denoted by II}}.\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |I| &\leq \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} r^{2|n|} |\widehat{u}(n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{2|n|} |\widehat{f}_1(n)|^2}{|a(n)|^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{C_b/2\pi} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that if  $(a, b)$  is a  $\mu$ -adapted pair of sequences, then by (3.7) and (3.8), for any  $v \in H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$(3.18) \quad \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{|\widehat{v}(n)|^2}{|a(n)|^2} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} |\widehat{v}(n)|^2 |b(n)| \sigma_n \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{C_b/2\pi} \|v\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})}.$$

By (3.15),

$$(3.19) \quad \text{II} = \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{|n|} (a(n) \widehat{u}(n) - \widehat{f}_1(n))}{|a(n)|^2 b(n)} r^{|n|} \overline{\widehat{f}_2(n)}}_{\text{denoted by III}} + \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{|n|} \widehat{g}_1(n) r^{|n|} \overline{\widehat{g}_2(n)}}{|a(n)|^2 b(n)}}_{\text{denoted by IV}}.$$

Then by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{III}| &\leq \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{|n|} \widehat{u}(n)}{a(n) b(n)} r^{|n|} \overline{\widehat{f}_2(n)} \right| + \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{|n|} \widehat{f}_1(n)}{|a(n)|^2 b(n)} r^{|n|} \overline{\widehat{f}_2(n)} \right| \\ &\leq \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \left| \frac{r^{|n|} \widehat{f}_2(n)}{a(n) b(n)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{2|n|} |\widehat{f}_1(n)|^2}{|a(n)|^2 |b(n)|} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{r^{2|n|} |\widehat{f}_2(n)|^2}{|a(n)|^2 |b(n)|} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using similar inequality as (3.18), under the conditions (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$(3.20) \quad |\text{III}| \leq \sqrt{C_b/2\pi} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})}.$$

We now proceed to the estimate of term IV in the decomposition (3.19). Note that

$$\widehat{\widetilde{g}}_2(n) = \widehat{\widetilde{g}}_2(n), \text{ where } \widetilde{g}_2(e^{i\theta}) := g_2(e^{-i\theta}).$$

For any  $0 < r < 1$ , set

$$h_r = (P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * g_1) * (P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * \widetilde{g}_2).$$

A priori, we only have  $g_1 * \widetilde{g}_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , but  $h_r \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$  for any  $0 < r < 1$ . By (3.7),

$$\text{IV} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \text{sgn}(n) \sigma_n r^{|n|} \widehat{g}_1(n) r^{|n|} \overline{\widehat{g}_2(n)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \widehat{h}_r(n) \text{sgn}(n) \sigma_n.$$

By Lemma 3.3,  $w_\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . Then the Plancherel's identity implies

$$(3.21) \quad \text{IV} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \widehat{h}_r(n) \widehat{w}_\sigma(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \widehat{h}_r(n) \overline{\widehat{w}(n)} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} h_r \bar{w}_\sigma - \int_{\mathbb{T}} h_r \int_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{w}_\sigma.$$

Hence

$$(3.22) \quad |\text{IV}| \leq 2 \|h_r\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \|w_\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})}.$$

By (3.16), (3.17), (3.19), (3.20) and (3.22), there is a constant  $C = C(a, b, \mu)$ , depending only on  $(a, b)$  and the measure  $\mu$  but not on  $r \in (0, 1)$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 &\leq C \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + C \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \\ &\quad + C \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + C \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * g_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by a standard argument, there is a constant  $C' = C'(a, b, \mu)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &\leq C' \left( \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * g_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|P_r^{\mathbb{D}} * g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \right) \\ &\leq C' \left( \|f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \|g_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to the contractive property of the Poisson convolution on both  $H_\mu(\mathbb{T})$  and  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $r$  approach to 1, then

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C' \left( \|f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \|g_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} + \|f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{T})} + \|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \right).$$

Since the decompositions (3.13) are arbitrary, we obtain the desired inequality (3.9).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* If  $\mu = \sigma(dr)d\theta$  is a radial boundary-accessable  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ , then we obtain the inequality (1.19) from Proposition 3.1 by taking

$$a(n) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2|n|} \mu(dz) \right)^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_n}} \text{ and } b(n) = \text{sgn}(n).$$

Conversely, if the inequality (1.19) holds, then by the argument in the first two paragraphs of §3.1, the measure  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\square$

It remains to prove Lemma 3.2. We shall apply a result due to Garnett about the boundary behavior of Poisson integrals on the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Lemma 3.4** (Garnett, see, e.g., [RU88, pp. 210]). *Let  $\nu$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+t^2} \nu(dt) < \infty$ . Then the following two assertions are equivalent:*

- $\sup_{y>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{t^2+y^2} \nu(dt) < \infty$
- $\sup_{L>0} \frac{\nu([-L, L])}{2L} < \infty$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* Note that

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\sin \theta}{(r - \cos \theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta} \alpha(dr) \right| = \sup_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} \int_0^1 \frac{\sin \theta}{(r - \cos \theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta} \alpha(dr).$$

For any  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ , consider the point  $z = e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$  and recall the Poisson kernel  $P_z^{\mathbb{H}}$  at the point  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  given in (1.9), then

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\sin \theta}{(r - \cos \theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta} \sigma(dr) = \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{e^{i\theta}}^{\mathbb{H}}(t) 1_{[0,1)}(t) \sigma(dt).$$

Consider the Möbius transformation  $\phi$  defined by  $\phi(z) = \frac{z-1}{z+1}$ . Then  $\phi$  is an automorphism of the upper half plane and

$$P_{\phi(z)}^{\mathbb{H}}(\phi(t)) |\phi'(t)| = P_z^{\mathbb{H}}(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}.$$

Note that when  $\theta$  ranges over  $(0, \pi)$ , the image  $\phi(e^{i\theta})$  ranges over  $i\mathbb{R}_+$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{e^{i\theta}}^{\mathbb{H}}(t) 1_{[0,1)}(t) \sigma(dt) &= \sup_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\phi(e^{i\theta})}^{\mathbb{H}}(\phi(t)) |\phi'(t)| 1_{[0,1)}(t) \sigma(dt) \\ &= \sup_{y > 0} \int_0^1 P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}(\phi(t)) |\phi'(t)| \sigma(dt). \end{aligned}$$

By change-of-variable  $s = \phi(t)$ ,

$$\int_0^1 P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}(\phi(t)) |\phi'(t)| \sigma(dt) = \int_{-1}^0 P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}(s) \frac{(1-s)^2}{2} \sigma \circ \phi^{-1}(ds).$$

Then

$$\sup_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} \int_0^1 \frac{\sin \theta}{(r - \cos \theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta} \sigma(dr) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sup_{y > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{y^2 + s^2} \tilde{\sigma}(ds),$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}(ds) = (1-s)^2 \mathbb{1}_{(-1,0)}(s) \sigma \circ \phi^{-1}(ds)$ . Clearly,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(ds)}{1+s^2} < \infty$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.4, the inequality (3.10) holds if and only if

$$(3.23) \quad \sup_{L > 0} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}([-L, L])}{L} < \infty.$$

By the definition of  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , it is easy to see that  $\frac{1}{2}\sigma(I_L) \leq \tilde{\sigma}([-L, L]) \leq 2\sigma(I_L)$ , where  $I_L$  is the open interval

$$I_L := \left( \frac{1 - \min(L, 1)}{1 + \min(L, 1)}, 1 \right) \subset (0, 1).$$

It follows that, (3.23) holds if and only if  $\sup_{L > 0} \frac{\sigma(I_L)}{L} < \infty$ , which in turn is equivalent to

$$\sup_{0 < \delta < 1} \frac{\sigma([1 - \delta, 1])}{\delta} < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.1, the above inequality holds if and only if  $\mu(dz) = \sigma(dr)d\theta$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . This completes the whole proof.  $\square$

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Fix a radial boundary-accessible  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure  $\mu(dz) = \sigma(dr)d\theta$ . By Theorem 1.1,

$$(3.24) \quad (B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) = B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) = A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu).$$

By (3.5), there exists a constant  $C = C_\mu > 0$ , such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ ,

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{1}{C} \|f\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} \leq \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} = \|f\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}.$$

That is, the identity map

$$id : A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) \rightarrow (B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) \subset B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$$

is an isomorphic isomorphism. Thus  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  is a closed subspace of  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ .

Now suppose that the extra condition (1.6) is satisfied. We are going to show that the closed subspace  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  is complemented in  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ . Indeed, under the condition (1.6), for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , the following holomorphic function

$$k_\theta(z) := \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z} = \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-in\theta} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

belongs to  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$  and

$$(3.26) \quad M_\mu := \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \|k_\theta\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \|k_\theta\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(dz)}{1 - |z|^2} \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Recall the definition (1.2) of  $\mathcal{Q}_+$ . Clearly, since  $\mu$  is radial,  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  defines an orthogonal projection from  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  onto  $A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$ . Then

$$(3.27) \quad \|\mathcal{Q}_+(u)\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|\mathcal{Q}_+(u)\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)} \leq \|u\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}, \quad \forall u \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu).$$

Note also that if  $v = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e_n \in h^1(\mathbb{D})$ , that is,

$$\tilde{v} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{in\theta} \in L^1(\mathbb{T}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_{h^1(\mathbb{D})} = \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})},$$

then it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{Q}_+(v) = \mathcal{Q}_+\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e_n\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k_\theta \tilde{v}(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Hence, by (3.26),

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}_+(v)\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|k_\theta\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} |\tilde{v}(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ &\leq M_\mu \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} = M_\mu \|v\|_{h^1(\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.27) and (3.28) and the definition of the norm on  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_+(f)\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq M_\mu \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})}, \quad \forall f \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}).$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  defines a bounded linear projection from  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$  onto

$$(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Hence  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  is complemented in  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ .

Finally, assume that the condition (1.6) is not satisfied. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sigma_n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_0^1 r^{2n} \sigma(dr) = \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma(dr)}{1 - r^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(dz)}{1 - |z|^2} = \infty.$$

Let us show that  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  is not complemented in  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ . Otherwise, there exists a bounded linear projection operator

$$P : B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow (B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$$

onto the closed subspace  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ . That is,

- $P \circ P = P$ ,
- $P(f) = f$  for all  $f \in (B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ ,
- $P(g) \in (B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  for all  $g \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ .

Since  $\mu$  is radial, for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , the rotation map  $\tau_\theta$  defined by  $\tau_\theta(f)(z) = f(e^{i\theta}z)$  preserves both the norms of functions in  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$  and the norms of functions in  $h^1(\mathbb{D})$ . Therefore,  $\tau_\theta$  preserves the norms of functions in  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$ :

$$\|\tau_\theta(f)\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} = \|f\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})}, \quad \forall f \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}).$$

Consequently, the operator-norm of the composition operator

$$P_\theta = \tau_{-\theta} \circ P \circ \tau_\theta : B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$$

is bounded by that of  $P$ :

$$\|P_\theta\| \leq \|P\|.$$

It can be easily checked that  $P_\theta$  is also a projection operator from  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$  onto  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ .

Define a bounded linear operator  $\mathcal{P} : B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$  via the Bochner integral (see, e.g., [Yos95, Section V.5])

$$\mathcal{P} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_\theta d\theta.$$

Then

$$(3.29) \quad \|\mathcal{P}\| \leq \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \|P_\theta\| = \|P\| < \infty$$

and

$$(3.30) \quad \mathcal{P}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_\theta(f) d\theta, \quad \forall f \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}).$$

Since the evaluation map  $\text{ev}_z$  defined in (3.1) is a continuous linear functional on  $B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$(3.31) \quad [\mathcal{P}(f)](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [P_\theta(f)](z) d\theta, \quad \forall f \in B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D}).$$

Note that  $P_\theta(f) = f$  for any  $f \in (B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  and any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , thus

$$\mathcal{P}(f) = f, \quad \forall f \in (B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}).$$

On the other hand, for any integer  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(3.32) \quad \mathcal{P}(e_{-n}) = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Indeed, for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,

$$(\tau_\theta(e_{-n}))(z) = \overline{(e^{i\theta}z)}^n = e^{-in\theta} \bar{z}^n = e^{-in\theta} e_{-n}(z).$$

Thus  $P \circ \tau_\theta(e_{-n}) = e^{-in\theta} P(e_{-n})$ . By (3.24),

$$P(e_{-n}) \in (B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) = A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu),$$

we can write

$$P(e_{-n})(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{(n)} z^k \in A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu),$$

with

$$(3.33) \quad \|P(e_{-n})\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}^2 = 2\pi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k^{(n)}|^2 \sigma_k < \infty.$$

Thus, for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\theta(e_{-n})(z) &= [\tau_{-\theta}(e^{-in\theta} P(e_{-n}))](z) = e^{-in\theta} [\tau_{-\theta}(P(e_{-n}))](z) \\ &= e^{-in\theta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{(n)} (e^{-i\theta} z)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{(n)} e^{-i(k+n)\theta} z^k, \end{aligned}$$

where the last series converges absolutely by the inequalities (3.2), (3.33) and

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k^{(n)} z^k| \right)^2 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k^{(n)}|^2 \sigma_k \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{2k}}{\sigma_k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k^{(n)}|^2 \sigma_k \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{2k}}{\rho^{2k} \sigma([ \rho, 1 ])} , \quad \forall \rho \in (0, 1).$$

Therefore, by (3.31), for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$[\mathcal{P}(e_{-n})](z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{(n)} e^{-i(k+n)\theta} z^k \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} c_k^{(n)} e^{-i(k+n)\theta} z^k \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 0.$$

This is the desired equality (3.32).

However, if we take the harmonic extension of the Féjer kernel on  $\mathbb{D}$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_N(z) = \sum_{j=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{N}\right) e_j(z), \quad N \geq 1,$$

then

$$(3.34) \quad \|\mathcal{F}_N\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|\mathcal{F}_N\|_{h^1(\mathbb{D})} = \|\mathcal{F}_N|_{\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} = 1.$$

Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a projection onto  $(B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$  and satisfies (3.32), we have

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_N) = \sum_{j=0}^N \left(1 - \frac{j}{N}\right) e_j$$

and, by the radial assumption on  $\mu$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_N)\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^N (1 - j/N)^2 \|e_j\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}^2 = 2\pi \sum_{j=0}^N (1 - j/N)^2 \sigma_j.$$

Then, by (3.25),

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_N)\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})}^2 \geq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_N)\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu)}^2}{C^2} \geq \frac{2\pi}{C^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_j = \infty.$$

This contradicts to the following inequality (which is a consequence of (3.29) and (3.34))

$$\sup_{N \geq 1} \|\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_N)\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D}, \mu) + h^1(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|P\| < \infty.$$

Hence we complete the whole proof of the theorem.

## 4. HOLOMORPHIC STABILITY: THE UPPER HALF PLANE CASE

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3. For any Radon measure  $\Pi$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$  satisfying the condition (2.2), define

$$\mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-4\pi y|\xi|} \Pi(dy) & \text{if } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^*, \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Recall the following definition of the Fourier transform for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i2\pi x\xi} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Recall the definition (1.7) of  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$ . For any  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$  and  $y > 0$ , recall the definition of the function  $g_y$  defined in (1.8). Note that the Fourier transform of the Poisson kernel  $P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}$  given in (1.9) has the following form (see [Kat04, Chapter VI, p. 140]):

$$\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi) = e^{-2\pi y|\xi|}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, by (1.10) and (1.11), we have  $\widehat{g}_y(\xi) = e^{-2\pi(y-y')|\xi|} \widehat{g}_{y'}(\xi)$  for all  $0 < y' < y$  and hence

$$(4.1) \quad e^{2\pi y|\xi|} \widehat{g}_y(\xi) = e^{2\pi y'|\xi|} \widehat{g}_{y'}(\xi), \quad \forall 0 < y' < y.$$

**Definition.** Let  $\mu(dz) = dx\Pi(dy)$  be a boundary-accessible  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{H}$ . For any  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$ , define a function  $\widehat{g}_0$  by

$$(4.2) \quad \widehat{g}_0(\xi) := e^{2\pi y|\xi|} \widehat{g}_y(\xi), \quad y > 0,$$

where, by (4.1), the right hand side of the equality (4.2) is independent of  $y > 0$ .

By (4.2) and the Plancherel's identity, the norm of any  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$  has the form:

$$(4.3) \quad \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{g}_0(\xi)|^2 \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) d\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$

*Remark.* If the function  $\widehat{g}_0$  defined in (4.2) belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then it is the Fourier transform of a function  $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and the equality (4.2) is equivalent to  $g_y = P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * g_0$ . However, the notation  $\widehat{g}_0$  is only formal for a general  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$ , that is, it may not correspond to the Fourier transform of a generalized function  $g_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition.** Suppose that  $\mu(dz) = dx\Pi(dy)$  is a boundary-accessible  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Let  $H_\mu(\mathbb{R})$  be the Hilbert space defined by the norm completion as follows:

$$H_\mu(\mathbb{R}) := \overline{\left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|f\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) d\xi \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})}}.$$

For any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , set

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{H}}(f)(z) := (P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * f)(x), \quad z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Immediately from the definition of  $H_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ , we see that the map

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{H}}(f) \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$$

extends to a unitary map from  $H_\mu(\mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$ .

Similar to the disk case, for a given measure  $\mu(dz) = dx\Pi(dy)$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ , a pair  $(a, b)$  of two functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  is called  $\mu$ -adapted if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad |a(\xi)|^2 b(\xi) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) = \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi)^{-1};$$

(ii) there exists a constant  $C_b > 0$  such that

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{C_b} \leq |b(\xi)| \leq C_b.$$

Given any Radon measure  $\Pi$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying (2.2), Garnett's result stated in Lemma 3.4 implies that the following function  $W^\Pi$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$(4.6) \quad W^\Pi(x) := i \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} \Pi(dy), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose that  $\mu(dz) = dx\Pi(dy)$  is a boundary-accessable  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{H}$  and let  $(a, b)$  be a  $\mu$ -adapted pair of functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then for any  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,*

$$(4.7) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|\mathcal{T}_a u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})+L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{T}_b u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})+L^1(\mathbb{R})}),$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_a, \mathcal{T}_b$  are the Fourier multipliers associated to  $a, b$  given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}_a u}(\xi) = a(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{T}_b u}(\xi) = b(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi)$$

and the constant  $C = C(b, \Pi) > 0$  can be taken to be

$$(4.8) \quad C(b, \Pi) = \sqrt{C_b + \|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + 1} < \infty$$

*Remark.* If either  $\mathcal{T}_a u$  or  $\mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{T}_b u$  does not belong to  $H_\mu(\mathbb{R}) + L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then the right hand side of (4.7) is understood as  $\infty$ .

**4.1. The derivation of Theorem 1.3 from Proposition 4.1.** Let  $\mu(dz) = dx\Pi(dy)$  be a boundary-accessable Radon measure on  $\mathbb{H}$ . If the pair  $(\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu), h^1(\mathbb{H}))$  is holomorphically stable, then  $H^1(\mathbb{H}) = h^1(\mathbb{H}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$  and by the Closed Graph Theorem, this embedding is continuous: there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(4.9) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)} \leq C \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{H})}, \quad \forall f \in H^1(\mathbb{H}).$$

Recall the definition (1.10) of the space  $\operatorname{Poi}(\mathbb{H})$ . Since  $H^1(\mathbb{H}) \subset \operatorname{Poi}(\mathbb{H})$ ,

$$(4.10) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{H}} |f(z)|^2 \mu(dz), \quad \forall f \in H^1(\mathbb{H}).$$

The inequality (4.9) and the equality (4.10) together imply that the measure  $\mu$  is a  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure.

Suppose now that  $\mu(dz) = dx\Pi(dy)$  is a boundary-accessable  $(1, 2)$ -Carleson measure on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Assume that

$$(4.11) \quad f = g + h \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H}), g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu), h \in h^1(\mathbb{H}).$$

Then, the goal is to show that  $f \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$ . It suffices to show

$$(4.12) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)} \leq 2\sqrt{2 + \|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}} (\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)} + \|h\|_{h^1(\mathbb{H})}).$$

To avoid technical issues, we first consider the truncated measures of  $\mu$ . That is, for any  $R > 0$ , define

$$\mu_R(dz) = dx\Pi_R(dy), \text{ where } \Pi_R(dy) = \mathbf{1}(y < R) \cdot \Pi(dy).$$

Define  $W^{\Pi_R} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  in a similar way as in (4.6). Then  $\|W^{\Pi_R}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$  for any  $R > 0$ . Therefore, the desired inequality (4.12) follows from

$$(4.13) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} \leq 2\sqrt{2 + \|W^{\Pi_R}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}} \left( \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} + \|h\|_{h^1(\mathbb{H})} \right).$$

Now we are going to apply Proposition 4.1. For any  $R > 0$ , define a  $\mu_R$ -adapted pair  $(a_R, b)$  of functions by

$$a_R(\xi) := \mathcal{L}_{\Pi_R}(\xi)^{-1/2} = \left( \int_0^R e^{-4\pi y|\xi|} \Pi(dy) \right)^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad b(\xi) = \text{sgn}(\xi).$$

In particular, by (2.2),

$$(4.14) \quad \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} a_R(\xi)^{-1} \leq \sqrt{\Pi((0, R))} < \infty.$$

For any  $y > 0$ , define  $f_y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f^y : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f_y(x) = f(x + iy), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad f^y(z) = f(z + iy), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

And  $g_y, g^y, h_y, h^y$  are defined similarly.

**Claim I.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the function  $f_\varepsilon$  belongs to the classical analytic Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$  and hence

$$(4.15) \quad \text{supp}(\widehat{f_\varepsilon}) \subset [0, \infty).$$

*Remark.* The assertion (4.15) does not follow from the fact that  $f_\varepsilon$  is the restriction onto the real line of a holomorphic function defined on a neighborhood of the closed upper-half plane. For instance, the following function

$$K(x) := \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and is the restriction of an entire function on the complex plane. However,  $\text{supp}(\widehat{K}) = [-1/2, 1/2] \not\subset [0, \infty)$ .

Since  $h \in h^1(\mathbb{H})$ , there exists  $h_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with

$$(4.16) \quad h_y = P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * h_0, \quad \forall y > 0.$$

Thus  $h_y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . By (1.11), the assumption  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$  implies that  $g_y \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Consequently

$$(4.17) \quad f_y = g_y + h_y \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Again by (4.16) and (1.11), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$f_y = P_{i(y-\varepsilon)}^{\mathbb{H}} * g_\varepsilon + P_{i(y-\varepsilon)}^{\mathbb{H}} * h_\varepsilon, \quad \forall y \geq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{y > \varepsilon} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x + iy)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \infty.$$

The above inequality combined with  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$  implies that  $f_\varepsilon \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ . This completes the proof of Claim I.

Since  $g \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the function  $g^\varepsilon$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu)$  and hence  $g^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)$ . Then by the natural unitary map between  $H_{\mu_R}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)$ ,

$$\|g_\varepsilon\|_{H_{\mu_R}(\mathbb{R})} = \|g^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)}.$$

Note that the equality (4.17) and the inequality (4.14) together imply that the function  $a_R(\xi)^{-1}\widehat{f}_\varepsilon(\xi)$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then there exists a unique function  $u_\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with

$$(4.18) \quad \widehat{u}_\varepsilon(\xi) = a_R(\xi)^{-1}\widehat{f}_\varepsilon(\xi).$$

Hence, by (4.15),  $\text{supp}(\widehat{u}_\varepsilon) \subset [0, \infty)$ . It follows that,

$$\widehat{f}_\varepsilon(\xi) = a_R(\xi)\widehat{u}_\varepsilon(\xi) = a_R(\xi)\text{sgn}(\xi)\widehat{u}_\varepsilon(\xi) = a_R(\xi)b(\xi)\widehat{u}_\varepsilon(\xi).$$

That is,  $f_\varepsilon = \mathcal{T}_{a_R}u_\varepsilon = \mathcal{T}_{a_R}\mathcal{T}_bu_\varepsilon$ . Therefore, since  $u_\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , we may apply (4.7) and get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq 2\sqrt{2 + \|W^{\Pi_R}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}\|f_\varepsilon\|_{H_{\mu_R}(\mathbb{R})+L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2 + \|W^{\Pi_R}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}\left(\|g_\varepsilon\|_{H_{\mu_R}(\mathbb{R})} + \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\right) \\ &= 2\sqrt{2 + \|W^{\Pi_R}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}\left(\|g^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} + \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\right) \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2 + \|W^{\Pi_R}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}\left(\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} + \|h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{H})}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to the simple observation: for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\|g^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|h_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|h\|_{h^1(\mathbb{H})}.$$

Finally, by Plancherel's identity, the equalities (4.18) and (4.3),

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\widehat{f}_\varepsilon(\xi)|^2}{a_R(\xi)^2} d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}_\varepsilon(\xi)|^2 \mathcal{L}_{\Pi_R}(\xi) d\xi = \|f^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)}^2.$$

Thus,

$$\|f^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} \leq 2\sqrt{2 + \|W^{\Pi_R}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}\left(\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} + \|h\|_{h^1(\mathbb{H})}\right).$$

The inequality (4.13) now follows immediately since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|f^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{H}, \mu_R)}.$$

## 4.2. The proof of Proposition 4.1.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\Pi$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying (2.2). Then there is a function  $W^\Pi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that the following equality*

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) \overline{W^\Pi(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{u}(\xi) \text{sgn}(\xi) \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) d\xi$$

*holds for all  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying*

$$(4.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{u}(\xi)| \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) d\xi < \infty.$$

*Remark.* The equality (4.19) means that the Fourier transform, in a certain distributional sense, of the function  $W^\Pi$ , is given by  $\widehat{W^\Pi}(\xi) = \text{sgn}(\xi) \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi)$ . If  $\Pi(dy) = dy$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , then

$$\mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) = \frac{1}{2|\xi|} \quad \text{and} \quad W^\Pi(x) = \frac{i\pi}{2} \text{sgn}(x).$$

In general, the Fourier transform of  $W^\Pi$  can only be understood in a certain distributional sense and the condition (4.20) in Lemma 4.2 can not be removed.

The proof of Lemma 4.2 is postponed to the end of this section.

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* Take  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose that we have decompositions

$$(4.21) \quad \mathcal{T}_a u(x) = f_1(x) + g_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{T}_b u(x) = f_2(x) + g_2(x)$$

with  $f_1, f_2 \in H_\mu(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . That is,

$$(4.22) \quad \widehat{u}(\xi)a(\xi) = \widehat{f}_1(\xi) + \widehat{g}_1(\xi), \quad \widehat{u}(\xi)a(\xi)b(\xi) = \widehat{f}_2(\xi) + \widehat{g}_2(\xi).$$

For any fixed  $y > 0$ , applying the Poisson convolution to both sides of (4.21), we have

$$P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * \mathcal{T}_a u = P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * f_1 + P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * g_1, \quad P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * (\mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{T}_b) u = P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * f_2 + P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * g_2.$$

By Plancherel's identity and (4.22),

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 \widehat{u}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{u}(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 \frac{\widehat{f}_1(\xi) + \widehat{g}_1(\xi)}{a(\xi)} \overline{\widehat{u}(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 \frac{\widehat{f}_1(\xi)}{a(\xi)} \overline{\widehat{u}(\xi)} d\xi}_{\text{denoted by } I_1} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 \frac{\widehat{g}_1(\xi)}{a(\xi)} \overline{\widehat{u}(\xi)} d\xi}_{\text{denoted by } I_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and the conditions (4.4), (4.5) together imply

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi) \widehat{f}_1(\xi)}{a(\xi)} \right|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{C_b} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \sqrt{C_b} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

And, by (4.22) and  $b(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}$ , the integral  $I_2$  can be decomposed as

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 \frac{\widehat{g}_1(\xi)}{a(\xi)} \overline{\left( \frac{\widehat{f}_2(\xi) + \widehat{g}_2(\xi)}{a(\xi)b(\xi)} \right)} d\xi \\ &= \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 \frac{(a(\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi) - \widehat{f}_1(\xi))\widehat{f}_2(\xi)}{|a(\xi)|^2 b(\xi)} d\xi}_{\text{denoted by } I_3} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 \frac{\widehat{g}_1(\xi)\widehat{g}_2(\xi)}{|a(\xi)|^2 b(\xi)} d\xi}_{\text{denoted by } I_4}. \end{aligned}$$

The integral  $I_3$  can be easily controlled. Indeed, again by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and (4.4), (4.5),

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi) \widehat{f}_2(\xi)}{a(\xi)b(\xi)} \right|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi) \widehat{f}_1(\xi)|^2}{|a(\xi)|^2 |b(\xi)|} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi) \widehat{f}_2(\xi)|^2}{|a(\xi)|^2 |b(\xi)|} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{C_b} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} + \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \sqrt{C_b} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} + \|f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} \|f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the integral  $I_4$ . Since  $g_1, g_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , for any  $y > 0$ , one can define

$$(4.27) \quad G_y := (P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * g_1) * (P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * \tilde{g}_2) = P_{2iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * (g_1 * \tilde{g}_2), \quad \text{where } \tilde{g}_2(x) := g_2(-x).$$

In particular,

$$(4.28) \quad \widehat{G_y}(\xi) = |\widehat{P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}}}(\xi)|^2 \widehat{g_1}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g_2}(\xi)} = e^{-4\pi y|\xi|} \widehat{g_1}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g_2}(\xi)}.$$

**Claim A.** For any  $y > 0$ , the function  $G_y$  defined in (4.27) satisfies

$$(4.29) \quad G_y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

and

$$(4.30) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{G_y}(\xi)| \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) d\xi < \infty.$$

Indeed,  $g_1 * \tilde{g}_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  since  $g_1, g_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, (4.29) follows from the definition (4.27) and the simple observation that  $P_{2iy}^{\mathbb{H}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . By (4.22),

$$\frac{\widehat{g_1}(\xi)}{a(\xi)} = \widehat{u}(\xi) - \frac{\widehat{f_1}(\xi)}{a(\xi)}, \quad \frac{\widehat{g_2}(\xi)}{a(\xi)b(\xi)} = \widehat{u}(\xi) - \frac{\widehat{f_2}(\xi)}{a(\xi)b(\xi)}.$$

The assumptions  $f_1, f_2 \in H_\mu(\mathbb{R})$  combined with the conditions (4.4), (4.5) on the pair  $(a, b)$  imply that both functions  $\widehat{f_1}/a$  and  $\widehat{f_2}/(ab)$  belong to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and hence  $\widehat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , we obtain, by using (4.4) again, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{G_y}(\xi)| \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) d\xi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-4\pi y|\xi|} \frac{|\widehat{g_1}(\xi)|}{|a(\xi)|} \cdot \frac{|\widehat{g_2}(\xi)|}{|a(\xi)b(\xi)|} d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \widehat{u}(\xi) - \frac{\widehat{f_1}(\xi)}{a(\xi)} \right| \cdot \left| \widehat{u}(\xi) - \frac{\widehat{f_2}(\xi)}{a(\xi)b(\xi)} \right| d\xi \\ &\leq \left\| \widehat{u} - \frac{\widehat{f_1}}{a} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left\| \widehat{u} - \frac{\widehat{f_2}}{ab} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Claim A, the function  $G_y$  satisfies all the required conditions of Lemma 4.2. Hence, by (4.28), (4.4) and (4.19),

$$I_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{G_y}(\xi) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_y(x) \overline{W^\Pi(x)} dx.$$

It follows that

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_4| &\leq \|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|G_y\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|P_{2iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * (g_1 * \tilde{g}_2)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|g_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.23), (4.24), (4.25), (4.26) and (4.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq \sqrt{C_b} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} + \sqrt{C_b} \|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + \|f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} \|f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} + \|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|g_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by a standard argument, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on the constants  $C_b$  and  $\|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$  such that

$$\|P_{iy}^{\mathbb{H}} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|f_1\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} + \|g_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|f_2\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})} + \|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}).$$

The constant  $C$  in the above inequality can be taken to be

$$C = \sqrt{C_b + \|W^\Pi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + 1}.$$

Since the decompositions (4.21) are arbitrary, we get

$$\|P_{iy}^\mathbb{H} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|\mathcal{T}_a u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})+L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\mathcal{T}_b \mathcal{T}_a u\|_{H_\mu(\mathbb{R})+L^1(\mathbb{R})}).$$

Finally, by taking the limit  $y \rightarrow 0^+$  and using

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \|P_{iy}^\mathbb{H} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

we obtain the desired inequality (4.7) and complete the whole proof of the proposition.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.2.* Fix a Radon measure  $\Pi$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying (2.2). By Garnett's result stated in Lemma 3.4, one can define a function  $W^\Pi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  by (4.6).

Now we show that  $W^\Pi$  satisfies the equality (4.19). For any  $0 < \varepsilon < R < \infty$ , set

$$(4.32) \quad W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi(x) := i \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} \Pi_{\varepsilon,R}(dy), \quad \text{where } \Pi_{\varepsilon,R}(dy) = \mathbf{1}(\varepsilon < y < R) \cdot \Pi(dy).$$

**Claim B.** For any  $0 < \varepsilon < R < \infty$ , we have  $W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and the Fourier transform of  $W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi$  is given by the Bochner integral for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -vector valued function:

$$(4.33) \quad \widehat{W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi} = \int_\varepsilon^R \ell_y \Pi(dy), \quad \ell_y(\xi) := \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) e^{-2y|\xi|}.$$

In particular,  $\widehat{W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi}$  can be identified with a  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^*)$ -function by the formula

$$(4.34) \quad \widehat{W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi}(\xi) = \int_\varepsilon^R \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) e^{-2y|\xi|} \Pi(dy), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Indeed, for any  $y > 0$ , recall that the conjugate Poisson kernel (see, e.g., [Gra14, formula (4.1.16)]) of  $\mathbb{H}$  is given by

$$Q_{iy}^\mathbb{H}(x) = \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Clearly,  $Q_{iy}^\mathbb{H} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $y > 0$  and the map  $y \mapsto Q_{iy}^\mathbb{H}$  is continuous from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , hence it is uniformly continuous from  $[\varepsilon, R]$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Consequently, using the definition (4.32) of  $W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi$  and the fact that  $\Pi_{\varepsilon,R}$  is a finite measure with support contained in  $[\varepsilon, R]$ , we obtain that  $W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and the following equality in the sense of the Bochner integral for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -vector valued functions:

$$\widehat{W_{\varepsilon,R}^\Pi} = i \int_\varepsilon^R \widehat{Q_{iy}^\mathbb{H}} \Pi(dy).$$

Then the equality (4.33) follows immediately since (see, e.g., [Gra14, formula (4.1.33)])

$$\widehat{Q_{iy}^\mathbb{H}}(\xi) = -i \ell_y(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) e^{-2y|\xi|}.$$

**Claim C.** For any  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$(4.35) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \left[ \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} \Pi(dy) \right] dx = 0.$$

Indeed, for any  $y > 0$ , set  $F_\Pi(y) := \Pi((0, y])$ . Then  $\Pi(dy) = dF_\Pi(y)$  and by the assumption (2.2), there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(4.36) \quad F_\Pi(y) \leq Cy, \quad \forall y > 0.$$

By integration by parts for the absolutely continuous function  $F_\Pi$ ,

$$(4.37) \quad \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} \Pi(dy) = \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} F_\Pi(y) \Big|_{y=0}^{y=\varepsilon} + \int_0^\varepsilon F_\Pi(y) \frac{2\pi xy}{(y^2 + \pi^2 x^2)^2} dy.$$

In particular, if  $\Pi(dy)$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , then the equality (4.37) becomes

$$(4.38) \quad \arctan\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi x}\right) = \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} dy = \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} y \Big|_{y=0}^{y=\varepsilon} + \int_0^\varepsilon y \frac{2\pi xy}{(y^2 + \pi^2 x^2)^2} dy.$$

Comparing (4.37) and (4.38) and using (4.36), we obtain

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\pi|x|}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} \Pi(dy) \leq C \arctan\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi|x|}\right).$$

Therefore, by dominated convergence theorem, for any  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} \Pi(dy) dx \right| \leq C \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x)| \arctan\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi|x|}\right) dx = 0.$$

**Claim D.** For any  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$(4.39) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \left[ \int_R^\infty \frac{\pi x}{y^2 + \pi^2 x^2} \Pi(dy) \right] dx = 0.$$

The proof of the equality (4.39) is similar to that of (4.35) and thus is omitted here.

Now fix any  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying (4.20). By (4.35) and (4.39),

$$(4.40) \quad \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \\ R \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) \overline{W_{\varepsilon, R}^\Pi(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) \overline{W^\Pi(x)} dx.$$

Moreover, since both  $u$  and  $W^\Pi$  belong to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , the Plancherel's identity implies

$$(4.41) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) \overline{W_{\varepsilon, R}^\Pi(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{u}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{W_{\varepsilon, R}^\Pi}(\xi)} d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{u}(\xi) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \left[ \int_\varepsilon^R e^{-2y|\xi|} \Pi(dy) \right] d\xi.$$

Using the assumption (4.20), we obtain, by dominated convergence theorem,

$$(4.42) \quad \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \\ R \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{u}(\xi) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \left[ \int_\varepsilon^R e^{-2y|\xi|} \Pi(dy) \right] d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{u}(\xi) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \mathcal{L}_\Pi(\xi) d\xi.$$

Combining (4.40), (4.41) and (4.42), we obtain the desired equality (4.19).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BB78] David Bekollé and Aline Bonami. Inégalités à poids pour le noyau de Bergman. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 286(18):A775–A778, 1978.
- [Car21] Torsten Carleman. Zur Theorie der linearen Integralgleichungen. *Math. Z.*, 9(3-4):196–217, 1921.
- [Car62] Lennart Carleson. Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 76:547–559, 1962.
- [DLRW21] Francesca Da Lio, Tristan Rivière, and Jerome Wettstein. Bergman-Bourgain-Brezis-type inequality. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 281(9):Paper No. 109201, 33, 2021.

- [Dur69] Peter L. Duren. Extension of a theorem of Carleson. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75:143–146, 1969.
- [GK89] Theodore W. Gamelin and Dmitry S. Khavinson. The isoperimetric inequality and rational approximation. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 96(1):18–30, 1989.
- [Gra14] Loukas Grafakos. *Classical Fourier analysis*, volume 249 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014.
- [Har09] Zen Harper. Boundedness of convolution operators and input-output maps between weighted spaces. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 3(1):113–146, 2009.
- [Hor67] Lars Hormander.  $L^p$  estimates for (pluri-) subharmonic functions. *Math. Scand.*, 20:65–78, 1967.
- [JPP13] Birgit Jacob, Jonathan R. Partington, and Sandra Pott. On Laplace-Carleson embedding theorems. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 264(3):783–814, 2013.
- [Kat04] Yitzhak Katznelson. *An introduction to harmonic analysis*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 2004.
- [RU88] Wade Ramey and David Ullrich. On the behavior of harmonic functions near a boundary point. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 305(1):207–220, 1988.
- [Ryd20] Eskil Rydhe. On Laplace-Carleson embeddings, and  $L^p$ -mapping properties of the Fourier transform. *Ark. Mat.*, 58(2):437–457, 2020.
- [Sai79] Saburo Saitoh. The Bergman norm and the Szego norm. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 249(2):261–279, 1979.
- [Vuk03] Dragan Vukotić. The isoperimetric inequality and a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 110(6):532–536, 2003.
- [Yos95] Kōsaku Yosida. *Functional analysis*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the sixth (1980) edition.

YONG HAN: COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHENZHEN UNIVERSITY, SHENZHEN 518060, GUANGDONG, CHINA

*Email address:* hanyong@szu.edu.cn

YANQI QIU: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, HUBEI, CHINA; INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & HUA LOO-KENG KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, AMSS, CAS, BEIJING 100190, CHINA

*Email address:* yanqi.qiu@hotmail.com

ZIPENG WANG: COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING 401331, CHINA

*Email address:* zipengwang@cqu.edu.cn