arXiv:2111.11246v1 [math-ph] 22 Nov 2021

GENERALIZED SINGLE-VALUED HYPERLOGARITHMS

OLIVER SCHNETZ

Abstract. Single-valued hyperlogarithms are generalized to include primitives of differential forms $\mathrm{d}z/(az\overline{z}+bz+c\overline{z}+d)$, $a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{C}$, where $\overline{z}$ is the complex conjugate of the variable $z\in\mathbb{C}$. The construction of these generalized single-valued hyperlogarithms (GSVHs) relies on a commutative hexagon which allows one to express primitives as anti-primitives. The article provides a proved constructive theory of GSVHs.

1. Introduction

Single-valued hyperlogarithms on the punctured complex plane have a plethora of applications in mathematics. A classical example is the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm (see [24] and the references therein),

$$D(z)=\mathrm{Im}\,(\mathrm{Li}_2(z)+\log(1-z)\log|z|), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathrm{Li}_2(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}z^k/k^2$ is the (multivalued) dilogarithm. There exists a natural class of single-valued functions which generalizes the Block-Wigner dilogarithm to hyperlogarithms of higher weights. This class of single-valued hyperlogarithms is well studied and understood (see, e.g., [4, 5, 17]).

In recent years, certain calculations in perturbative Quantum Field Theory (pQFT) led to single-valued functions on the punctured complex plane (see, e.g., [13, 17]). It quickly became clear that the class of single-valued hyperlogarithms is too restricted to describe many of these functions (even if they are of hyperlogarithmic nature) [11, 17, 18].

While single-valued hyperlogarithms are iterated integrals of differential forms with poles in $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z-a},\quad a\in\mathbb{C},$$

there is demand for including differential forms with denominators which are bilinear in z and $\overline{z}$ (the complex conjugate of z),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{az\overline{z}+bz+c\overline{z}+d},\quad a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{2}$$

One expects that (iterated) single-valued primitives of these differential forms exist if the zero locus of the denominator is empty in $\mathbb{C}$. By integrating $\mathrm{d}z/(z+1/\overline{z})$, e.g., we get $\log(z\overline{z}+1)$ which is single-valued on $\mathbb{C}$ (Example 68).

This setup, however, still is too specific. In practice, one often has denominators which vanish on a curve in $\mathbb{C}$. A frequent case is the denominator $z-\overline{z}$ which vanishes on the real axis. In general, these differential forms have no single-valued primitives on the punctured complex plane. The logarithm $\log(z-\overline{z})$ inherits the singular real axis from the differential form.

Nonetheless, it may happen that a numerator cancels the singularity of the denominator to render the function single-valued on the punctured complex plane. Because $D(z)$ in (1) vanishes on the real line, one examples of this type is (see Example 71, Section 8.3, and [11])

$$\frac{D(z)}{z-\overline{z}}. \tag{3}$$

Another example of a function with lifted singularity is (Example 69)

$$\frac{\log(z\overline{z})}{z-1/\overline{z}}. \tag{4}$$

Both functions have single-valued primitives on the (punctured) complex plane. Generalized single-valued hyperlogarithms (GSVHs) are the most general class of single-valued functions which emerge from iteratively integrating differential forms (2), see Definition 44. In this article we present a theory of these functions. We prove the existence of single-valued primitives (and other fundamental properties




FIGURE 1. The inductive construction of GSVHs by a commutative hexagon.

of GSVHs) in Theorem 74 using the commutativity of the hexagon in Figure 1. The proof is constructive (Section 7) and implemented in the Maple package `HyperlogProcedures` [19] (which also contains application to pQFT).

In detail, the paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we set up the notation and compile some results on iterated integrals and hyperlogarithms. In Section 4 we generalize to hyperlogarithms in two variables z and $\overline{z}$ which can either be considered independent or complex conjugates. We fix a quadratically closed number field $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ which is closed under complex conjugation, $\overline{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}$, and construct the $\mathbb{C}$-vector-space $\mathcal{GH}_{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of (possibly multivalued) generalized hyperlogarithms with a finite singular set $z \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \{-(c\overline{z}+d)/(a\overline{z}+b),\ a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{F}\}$ which emerges from iteratively integrating differential forms of type (2). In Section 5 we define the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ of single-valued functions with point-like singularities in a finite set $\Sigma_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$ (which – at this point – is not related to $\Sigma(\overline{z})$). Our notion of single-valuedness does not only demand the absence of monodromies but the (stronger) existence of single-valued log-Laurent expansions

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{m,\overline{m} \geq M_a} c_{\ell,m,\overline{m}}^{a} [\log(z-a)(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]^{\ell} (z-a)^{m} (\overline{z}-\overline{a})^{\overline{m}}, \quad M_a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

at all $a \in \Sigma$ and at infinity (Definition 35; these expansions are natural in the context of pQFT [1, 17, 18]).

Generalized single-valued hyperlogarithms are defined in Section 6 as the intersection of both spaces

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{GH}_{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma_0 \subset \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$$

is the union of $\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ over all finite singular loci Σ_0.

Likewise, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the union over all $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We define $\partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\partial_{\overline{z}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\partial_z \partial_{\overline{z}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ which – by Theorem 74 – become derivatives of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. The functions (3) and (4), e.g., are in $\partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. These function spaces are subsets of the general space of GSVHs $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ where hyperlogarithms in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ may have coefficients with bilinear denominators in z and $\overline{z}$ (which are consistent with single-valuedness).

With these notations we prove the commutativity of the hexagon in Figure 1, where projections π_{∂_z} and $\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}$ kill (anti-)residues in $\partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\partial_{\overline{z}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, respectively.

We use the commutative hexagon to prove the structure theorem for GSVHs (Theorems 63 and 74).

Theorem 1. *The hexagon in Figure 1 commutes. The space $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ of GSVHs is stable under taking (anti-)primitives, complex conjugation, and fractional linear transformations. For any finite set of fractional linear transformations $\Sigma(\overline{z})$ and any finite set Σ_0 of singular points in $\mathbb{F}$ we obtain an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0} \xrightarrow{\partial_z} \partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{GH}_{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{C}}$.

In the last two sections we investigate GSVHs more concretely. Section 7 explains how to explcitly use the commutative hexagon for the construction of GSVHs. The results of this section are the basis for the Maple implementation of GSVHs in `HyperlogProcedures` [19]. In Section 8 we focus on GSVHs whose letters in $\Sigma(\overline{z})$ relate to involutions on the complex plane. We conclude the article with the special case $\Sigma(\overline{z}) = \{0, 1, \overline{z}\}$, where the involution is complex conjugation (Section 8.3). The $\mathbb{C}$-vector-space

$\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ has finite dimensions at fixed weights (the number of iterated integrations). We conjecture these dimensions up to weight nine (Table 1) with a proof up to weight five (Theorem 90). In Theorem 93 we prove that GSVHs in $\{0,1,\overline{z}\}$ are defined over the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra of multiple zeta values (MZVs, see (88)).

Acknowlegements

The author is indebted to Dirk Kreimer for his support while being a visiting scientist at Humboldt University, Berlin, from 2011 to 2015 where the project started and many results were obtained. He is also very grateful to F. Brown for his interest which lead to many inspiring and helpful discussions. The author is supported by DFG grant SCHN 1240.

2. Iterated integrals

Let

$$\Sigma = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N\} \subset \mathbb{C} \tag{6}$$

be a finite set of points in $\mathbb{C}$. Let Σ^* be the set of words with letters in Σ, with $e \in \Sigma^*$ being the empty word. Let $|w|$ be the length of the word w and $\widetilde{w}$ be w in reversed order. With the shuffle product

$$ua \sqcup\!\sqcup vb = (u \sqcup\!\sqcup vb)a + (ua \sqcup\!\sqcup v)b, \quad \text{for } u, v \in \Sigma^*,\ a, b \in \Sigma, \tag{7}$$

and $e \sqcup\!\sqcup u = u = u \sqcup\!\sqcup e$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-span $\langle \Sigma^* \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ becomes a commutative ring.

For any word $w = a_1 \ldots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ and any path $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma$ with $a_0 = \gamma(0)$ and $a_{n+1} = \gamma(1)$ we define the iterated integral [12]

$$I(a_0, a_1 \ldots a_n, a_{n+1}) = \int_{0<t_1<\ldots<t_n<1} \gamma^* \frac{\mathrm{d}t_1}{t_1 - a_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \gamma^* \frac{\mathrm{d}t_n}{t_n - a_n}, \tag{8}$$

where $\gamma^*\omega$ is the pullback of the differential form ω by γ.

Because (in this one-dimensional case) the integrand is closed, it is clear that the iterated integral is a homotopy invariant. It neither depends on the parametrization of the curve γ nor on the shape of the path γ as long as the endpoints are fixed and no singularities are crossed. It is convenient to generalize to the potentially singular case where the endpoints a_0, a_{n+1} are in Σ. In this case the value of the iterated integral may depend on the direction in which the endpoints are approached (see, e.g., Section 2.2 in [17] for an elementary approach to iterated integrals). In the case of hyperlogarithms (Section 3) the initial point is 0 and the endpoint is the variable z. One typically choses the initial point to be approached from the positive real axis (this, e.g., gives $I(0,0,z) = \log z$). An equivalent alternative is to shuffle-regularize iterated integrals, see Section 3.2.

In the case of single-valued functions (Section 5) the regularization convention for γ is insignificant as long as it is used consistently. In [19] the path γ is always a straight line between the endpoints (this gives $I(0,0,z) = \log|z|$).

In general, iterated integrals are very convenient to handle. An (incomplete) list of identities (all of which are easy to verify) is [17]

I0: $I(a_0, a_1) = 1$ by definition.

I1: $I(a_0, w, a_{n+1})$ is independent of the parametrization of γ.

I2: $I(a_0, w, a_{n+1})$ is a homotopy invariant.

I3: $I(a_0, w, a_0) = 0$ for the constant path $\gamma = a_0$ and $|w| \geq 1$.

I4: $I(a_0, w, a_{n+1}) = (-1)^{|w|} I(a_{n+1}, \widetilde{w}, a_0)$ if the path γ is reversed.

I5: For any $x \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$I(a_0, a_1 \ldots a_n, a_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} I(a_0, a_1 \ldots a_k, x) I(x, a_{k+1} \ldots a_n, a_{n+1})$$

by path composition $\gamma = \gamma_1\gamma_2$ with $\gamma_1(1) = x = \gamma_2(0)$.

I6: For any $x \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$I(a_0, u, x) I(a_0, v, x) = I(a_0, u \sqcup\!\sqcup v, x)$$

by shuffling the t_i in the integration domain of (8).

I7: For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $B \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_0 \neq a_1$, $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$,

$$I(a_0, a_1 \ldots a_n, a_{n+1}) = I(Aa_0 + B, (Aa_1 + B) \ldots (Aa_n + B), Aa_{n+1} + B)$$

by substituting $t_i \mapsto (t_i - B)/A$ in (8).

I8: For letters $a_0, \dots, a_{n+1}$ which depend on a variable x,

$$\partial_x I(a_0, a_1 \dots a_n, a_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\partial_x \log \frac{a_{k+1} - a_k}{a_k - a_{k-1}} \right) I(a_0, a_1 \dots a_{k-1} a_{k+1} \dots a_n, a_{n+1})$$

(where $\partial_x \log 0 = 0$) by using integration by parts in (8).

Note that in **I7** the alphabet Σ changes to $A\Sigma + B$. There exists an analogous formula for any Möbius transformation $t \mapsto \frac{at+b}{ct+d}$ [17]. Singular iterated integrals are more subtle: The transformation $t \mapsto t/A$ formally maps $I(0,0,1) = 0$ to $I(0,0,A) = \log(A)$. If $A = 1$, however, **I7** holds for all iterated integrals. Likewise, identities **I0**–**I6** and **I8** also hold for singular iterated integrals.

There also exists a formula for the Galois coaction on iterated integrals [6, 15]. Although the existence of a coaction is central in the theory of iterated integrals, we will not use it in this article.

3. Hyperlogarithms

3.1. **General theory.** In this section we mostly follow the first sections of [5]. For completeness we give (somewhat independent) proofs for the results in this section.

Consider the ring of regular functions on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma$,

$$\mathcal{O}_\Sigma = \mathbb{C}[z, ((z-a)^{-1})_{a\in\Sigma}]. \tag{9}$$

(One may think of the generator z as being related to a puncture at infinity.) For any word $w \in \Sigma^*$ we define the multivalued analytic hyperlogarithm $L_w(z)$ as iterated integral,

$$L_w(z) = I(0^+, w, z). \tag{10}$$

The $L_w(z)$ span the space of hyperlogarithms over $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$,

$$\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma = \langle L_w(z),\ w \in \Sigma^* \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma}.$$

The homotopy dependence of $I(0^+, w, z)$ reflects the multivaluedness of $L_w(z)$. We get from **I8**

$$\partial_z L_{wa}(z) = \frac{1}{z-a} L_w(z) \tag{11}$$

with (regualrized) limits $L_w(0) = 0$. If $0 \in \Sigma$, we get for a string $0^{\{n\}}$ of n letters 0

$$L_{0^{\{n\}}}(z) = \frac{1}{n!} \log^n(z). \tag{12}$$

If the path of the iterated integral does not encircle singularities then $L_w(z)$ can be expressed as multiple sum. With $w = b_1 0^{\{n_1-1\}} b_2 0^{\{n_2-1\}} \dots b_r 0^{\{n_r-1\}}$ (i.e. $b_1 = a_1$, $b_2 = a_{n_1+1}$, $\dots$) we get

$$L_w(z) = (-1)^r \sum_{0<k_1<k_2<\dots<k_r} \frac{(\frac{b_2}{b_1})^{k_1} (\frac{b_3}{b_2})^{k_2} \cdots (\frac{z}{b_r})^{k_r}}{k_1^{n_1} k_2^{n_2} \cdots k_r^{n_r}} \quad \text{for small } |z|. \tag{13}$$

We refer to $|w|$ as the weight of the hyperlogarithm L_w. The number r of non-zero letters in w is the depth of L_w (and of w). It will follow from Theorem 4 that weight and depth of hyperlogarithms are well-defined.

Let $\mathbb{C}\langle\Sigma\rangle$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector-space freely generated by Σ^*. Following F. Brown in [4, 5] we use the formal algebra (note that in a computer implementation one would use this formal setup)

$$\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma := \mathbb{C}\langle\Sigma\rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$$

as model of hyperlogarithms. We consider $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ as free $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ module where multiplication is on the right[1].

We consider hyperlogarithms as (analytic) realizations of the map

$$\text{eval}: \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma & \to & \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \\ w \otimes \phi(z) & \mapsto & \phi(z) L_w(z). \end{array} \tag{14}$$

The $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ module $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ has a trivial Hopf-algebra structure with shuffle multiplication (7) and deconcatenation as coproduct[2]. The antipode is given by $w \mapsto (-1)^{|w|} \widetilde{w}$ which, by **I4**, corresponds to path reversal.

[1] In [5] multiplication is on the left. Because of the connection to motivic Galois theory where the Galois group conveniently coacts to the right we employ a left to right notation.

[2] The Galois coaction structure has no connection to this Hopf-algebra structure.

By **I6** eval maps the shuffle product to the pointwise product of complex functions,

$$\text{eval}\,[(u \sqcup\!\sqcup v) \otimes \phi(z)] = \phi(z) L_u(z) L_v(z), \quad \text{for } u, v \in \Sigma^*,\ \phi \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma, \tag{15}$$

which lifts eval to a homomorphism between the $\mathbb{C}$-algebras $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$.

Words $0^{\{k\}}aw$, $a \neq 0$, $w \in \Sigma^*$, which begin in 0 have a unique representation (see Lemma 3 in [20])

$$0^{\{k\}}aw = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i 0^{\{k-i\}} \sqcup\!\sqcup a[0^{\{i\}} \sqcup\!\sqcup w]. \tag{16}$$

With (12), (13), and (15) this defines integral and sum representations for hyperlogarithms of words which begin with 0,

$$L_{0^{\{k\}}aw} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \frac{(\log z)^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} L_{a[0^{\{i\}} \sqcup\!\sqcup w]}(z), \tag{17}$$

where the hyperlogarithms are extended to Σ^* by linearity. One can use (12), (13), (17) or (11) and $L_w(0) = 0$ as alternative definitions of hyperlogarithms.

We make $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ a differential algebra by defining a derivative ∂_z. For the empty word e we set

$$\partial_z(e \otimes \phi) = e \otimes \partial_z \phi(z).$$

For all other words we define

$$\partial_z(wa \otimes \phi) = wa \otimes \partial_z \phi(z) + w \otimes \frac{\phi(z)}{z - a}. \tag{18}$$

For any $a \in \Sigma$ we define left and right derivatives—with respect to $\sqcup\!\sqcup$, see (7)—δ^{l}_a and δ^{r}_a on Σ^* by

$$\delta^{\text{l}}_a w = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } w = au, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad \delta^{\text{r}}_a w = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } w = va, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

We get

$$\partial_z = \text{id} \otimes \partial_z + \sum_{a \in \Sigma} \delta^{\text{r}}_a \otimes \frac{1}{z - a}. \tag{20}$$

The above formula implies that ∂_z is a derivation on $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. We find that eval is a homomorphism of differential algebras.

We also define a residue $\text{res}_a^{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma}$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ acting on $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$,

$$\text{res}_a^{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma}(w \otimes \phi) = w \otimes \text{res}_a \phi,$$

where res_a on the right hand side is the residue at a in $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$.

Because $\text{res}_a \partial_z = 0$ we find that $\text{res}_a^{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma} \circ \partial_z$ decreases the weights of the words in $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. The residue in $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ needs a further evaluation at $z = a$,

$$\text{res}_a = \text{eval}_a \circ \text{res}_a^{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma} := \text{eval}|_{z=a} \circ \text{res}_a^{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma}.$$

Without restriction we assume that any $x = \sum_w w \otimes \phi_w \in \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ is a finite sum over distinct words w with non-zero canceled fractions ϕ_w. Any such representation is unique. The maximum weight (length) of words in x induces a strict partial order on $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. It is a standard technique in our proofs to show that a minimal counter-example does not exist. To use this technique efficiently it is convenient to refine the order induced by the weight.

Definition 2. *Let $x = \sum_w w \otimes \phi_w \in \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ as above with weight $|x| = \max\{|w|\}$. Let $N_x = \#\{w, |w| = |x|\}$ be the number of words in the maximum weight part of x. The strict partial order '$\prec$' on $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ is defined by*

$$x \prec y \Leftrightarrow |x| < |y| \text{ or } (|x| = |y| \text{ and } N_x < N_y). \tag{21}$$

Note that $\partial_z f \not\succ f$ for all $f \in \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$.

Lemma 3. *The kernel of ∂_z in $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ is $e \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ be of minimum weight n such that $\partial_z x = 0$. Modulo lower weights ∂_z only acts on the right hand side of the tensor product. For $\partial_z x = 0$ we hence need that ∂_z trivializes the fractions of the maximum weight part of x. Hence, these fractions are constant. If the weight of x is at least 1 then the maximum weight part of x is of the form $\sum_{wa} wa \otimes c_{wa}$ with $0 \neq c_{wa} \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \in \Sigma$, and $w \in \Sigma^*$ of weight $n - 1$. We get $0 = \text{res}_a^{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma} \partial_z x = \sum_w w \otimes c_{wa}$ modulo terms of weight $\leq n - 2$. Hence all $c_{wa} = 0$ and the claim follows by contradiction. □

We get the following structure theorem for hyperlogarithms.

Theorem 4 (F. Brown 2004 [5])**.** *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finite set.*

(1) *The map eval is an isomorphism. In particular, $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ module.*
(2) *The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \xrightarrow{\partial_z} \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. I.e. the kernel of ∂_z in $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ is $\mathbb{C}$ and every $f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ has a primitive $F \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ with $\partial_z F = f$.
(3) *$\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ is differentially simple. I.e. for every $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ there exists a differential operator D such that $Df = 1$.*

Proof. We know that eval is a surjective differential homomorphism. To prove (1) we show that there exists no $0 \neq x \in \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ with $\operatorname{eval} x = 0$. Because the order $\prec$ is discrete we may assume that x is minimal with respect to $\prec$ and all Σ. Let $w \otimes \phi$ be a term in x of maximum weight. Because $\{\phi = 0\}$ is a finite set in $\mathbb{C}$ we may assume without restriction that $\{\phi = 0\} \subseteq \Sigma$. We have $\phi^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. Because the term $w \otimes 1$ in $(e \otimes \phi^{-1})x$ loses weight upon differentiation we have $\partial_z(e \otimes \phi^{-1})x \prec x$. Hence $\partial_z(e \otimes \phi^{-1})x = 0$ by minimality of x. From Lemma 3 we get $(e \otimes \phi^{-1})x \in e \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Hence $x = e \otimes c\phi$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. From $\operatorname{eval} x = c\phi = 0$ we get $c = 0$ which is a contradiction.

By statement (1) and Lemma 3 the kernel of ∂_z is $\mathbb{C}$. To prove (2) it hence suffices to show that every $f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ has a primitive. We do this by induction over the weight $n \in \{-\infty, 0, 1, \ldots\}$ of f. A primitive of 0 is 0. For $f \neq 0$ we may assume by linearity using partial fraction decomposition that $f(z) = (z-a)^k L_w(z)$ for $w \in \Sigma^*$, $a \in \Sigma$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. A primitive of $f(z) = (z-a)^{-1} L_w(z)$ is $L_{wa}(z) \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$. If $k \neq -1$, integration by parts yields

$$\int (z-a)^k L_w(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = \frac{(z-a)^{k+1}}{k+1} L_w(z) - \frac{1}{k+1} \int (z-a)^{k+1} \partial_z L_w(z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

With (11) the right hand side exists in $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ by induction.

We also prove (3) by induction over the weight n of f. Let $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ and let Q be the common denominator of all coefficients of terms with weight n in f. Then Qf has only polynomial coefficients in the maximum weight piece. Let m be the maximum degree of these polynomial. With $D_0 = \partial_z^m Q$ we find that $D_0 f$ has weight n but $\partial_z D_0 f$ has weight $\leq n-1$. If $D_0 f = c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ then $D = D_0/c$. Otherwise we obtain from statement (2) that $\partial_z D_0 f \neq 0$. By induction there exists a differential operator D_1 such that $D = D_1 \partial_z D_0$ fulfills $Df = 1$. □

3.2. **Regularized evaluation.** With the definition

$$L_{0\{n\}}(0) = 0, \quad \text{if } n \geq 1, \tag{22}$$

we get $L_w(0) = 0$ for all $w \neq e$.

The evaluation of a hyperlogarithm $L_w(z)$ at $z = a \neq 0$ is singular if w ends in a. In this case we can use (16) from the right (swap the order of all letters) to shuffle-regularize w. With the map $t \mapsto a - t$ in (8) (see **I7**) and path reversal **I4** it is natural to define

$$L_{a\{n\}}(a) = (-1)^n L_{0\{n\}}(a). \tag{23}$$

Identities (22) and (23) regularize the evaluation of hyperlogarithms $L_w(a)$ for any word w at any $a \in \Sigma$.

Note that the prescription is a definition. Had we used the map $t \mapsto t - a$ in **I7**, the right hand side of (23) would have been $(-1)^n L_{0\{n\}}(-a)$ (which possibly generates a new letter $-a$). In the case of single-valued functions where $\log|a|$ replaces $\log(a)$ both prescriptions are equivalent. We skip a detailed treatment of regularization here and use (23) as definition.

Example 5. *We want to evaluate $L_{012}(z)$ at $z = 2$. Using (16), (17), and (23) we get*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{012}(2) &= L_2(2)L_{01}(2) - L_{201}(2) - L_{021}(2) \\ &= -L_0(2)[L_0(2)L_1(2) - L_{10}(2)] - L_{201}(2) - L_0(2)L_{21}(2) + L_{201}(2) + L_{210}(2) \\ &= -(\log 2)^2 L_1(2) + \log 2[L_{10}(2) - L_{21}(2)] + L_{210}(2). \end{aligned}$$

3.3. **Series expansions of hyperlogarithms.** Let

$$\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} = \operatorname{eval}\Sigma^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of hyperlogarithms with constant coefficients.

With (13) we obtain from (17) an expansion of $L_w(z)$ for any word w,

$$L_w(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{|w|} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c^0_{\ell,m} (\log z)^\ell z^m, \quad c^0_{\ell,m} \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{24}$$

which converges in a neighborhood of 0. This generalizes to all values $a \in \Sigma$.

Lemma 6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ have weight n. For any $a \in \Sigma$ there exists an $M_a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$f(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \sum_{m=M_a}^{\infty} c^a_{\ell,m} [\log(z-a)]^\ell (z-a)^m, \quad c^a_{\ell,m} \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{25}$$

in a neighborhood of $z = a$. If $f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ then $M_a = 0$.

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ the result follows from (24) by **I7** with $A = 1, B = -a$ and path concatenation **I5** at $x = 0$. To obtain the expansion for a general $f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ one has to Laurent expand the coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. In this case M_a is the maximum pole order of the coefficients at $z = a$. □

Note that the values of the coefficients $c^a_{\ell,m}$ depend on the sheet on which the multivalued hyperlogarithm f is expanded (see next subsection).

At $z = \infty$ there exists an analogous expansion in $1/z$ (use Section 4.2 and (24) for $f(1/z)$),

$$f(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{M_\infty} c^\infty_{\ell,m} (\log z)^\ell z^m, \quad c^\infty_{\ell,m} \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{26}$$

with $M_\infty = 0$ if $f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ the function $f \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ is holomorphic at $z = a$.

3.4. **Monodromy.** From now on we assume that the path of integration in $L_w(z)$ is a straight line from 0^+ to z with regularizations (22) and (23) if necessary.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma$ fix a cycle basis $\{\gamma_a, a \in \Sigma\}$ of the homotopy group of $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma$ where a cycle $\gamma_a = \gamma_{za}\gamma_{aa}\gamma_{za}^{-1} \subset \mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma$ goes from z to a regular neighborhood of a (by γ_{za}), encircles a in counter-clockwise direction (by γ_{aa}), and goes back to z following γ_{za} with reversed orientation. Define

$$\mathcal{M}_a L_w(z) = \gamma_a^* L_w(z), \tag{27}$$

which means we analytically continue $L_w(z)$ from z back to z along γ_a. Note that, by construction, $\mathcal{M}_a$ is an algebra homomorphism. The operators $\mathcal{M}_a$ generate a representation of the homotopy group of $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma$. A change of the cycle basis amounts a conjugation of the generators $\mathcal{M}_a$.

Let us first study $\mathcal{M}_0$. For γ_{z0} we use the straight line from z to a small positive ϵ. We assume that there are no singularities on this path (otherwise we have to move z slightly). We get $\gamma_0^* L_0(z) = \gamma_0^* \log(z) = L_0(z) + 2\pi\mathrm{i}$. With (12) we find

$$\mathcal{M}_0 L_{0^{\{n\}}}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(2\pi\mathrm{i})^j}{j!} L_{0^{\{n-j\}}}(z). \tag{28}$$

With (13) it is clear that $\mathcal{M}_0$ is trivial on $L_w(z)$ for all words w that do not begin in 0.

Lemma 7. *For any word $0^{\{k\}}w$, $w \in \Sigma^*$ with $\delta_0^1 w = 0$, Equation (28) generalizes to (Lemma 2.2 in* [17]*)*

$$\mathcal{M}_0 L_{0^{\{k\}}w}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(2\pi\mathrm{i})^j}{j!} L_{0^{\{k-j\}}w}(z). \tag{29}$$

If we pull $\mathcal{M}_0$ back to $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ using the isomorphism eval we get

$$\mathcal{M}_0 0^{\{k\}} w \otimes \phi = \sum_{j=0}^{k} 0^{\{k-j\}} w \otimes \frac{(2\pi\mathrm{i})^j \phi}{j!}. \tag{30}$$

Proof. With (28) it suffices to show (30) for $w = au$ with $0 \neq a \in \Sigma$. From (16) and (28) we get for the shuffle homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_0$,

$$\mathcal{M}_0 0^{\{k\}} au \otimes \phi = \mathcal{M}_0 \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i 0^{\{k-i\}} \sqcup\!\sqcup a[0^{\{i\}} \sqcup\!\sqcup w] \otimes \phi = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} (-1)^i 0^{\{k-i-j\}} \sqcup\!\sqcup a[0^{\{i\}} \sqcup\!\sqcup w] \otimes \frac{(2\pi\mathrm{i})^j \phi}{j!}.$$

We swap the sums and use (16) again to evaluate the sum over i yielding (30). □

It is convenient to write $\mathcal{M}_0$ as an exponential,

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \exp(2\pi\mathrm{i} m_0), \quad \text{with } m_0 = \delta_0^{\mathrm{l}} \otimes 1. \tag{31}$$

The *infinitesimal monodromy* m_0 is a nilpotent derivation on $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. From (31) it is clear that $\mathcal{M}_0$ is unipotent.

At $z = 0$ the right hand side of (29) vanishes if $w \neq e$. We get for the regularized limit

$$\operatorname{eval}_0 \mathcal{M}_0 L_w(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2\pi\mathrm{i})^n}{n!} & \text{if } w = 0^{\{n\}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

For general a we choose the path $\gamma_a = \gamma_{\epsilon z}^{-1} \gamma_{\epsilon a} \gamma_{aa} \gamma_{\epsilon a}^{-1} \gamma_{\epsilon z}$ to have five sections: From z straight to ϵ, from ϵ straight to a, a tiny circle around a in counter-clockwise direction, from a straight back to ϵ, and from ϵ straight back to z. We assume that $\epsilon > 0$ is small and that there are no singularities on this path.

In the limit $\epsilon \to 0^+$ the first part of γ_a cancels the path γ_{0z} from 0^+ to z of $L_w(z)$. By path concatenation we get $\gamma_{0z}\gamma_a \to \gamma_{0a}\gamma_{aa}\gamma_{0a}^{-1}\gamma_{0z}$. Translating (32) to $z = a$ the local monodromy operator γ_{aa} maps sequences $a^{\{k\}}$ to $(2\pi\mathrm{i})^k/k!$ and is zero otherwise. With **I4** and **I5** we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_a(w \otimes 1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{w = u a^{\{k\}} v x} \frac{(-1)^{|v|} (2\pi\mathrm{i})^k}{k!} L_u(a) L_{\widetilde{v}}(a)\, x \otimes 1 \tag{33}$$

for words $u, v, x \in \Sigma^*$. The infinitesimal monodromy m_a is the 2πi-coefficient,

$$\mathcal{M}_a = \exp(2\pi\mathrm{i} m_a), \quad \text{with} \quad m_a(w \otimes 1) = \sum_{w = uavx} (-1)^{|v|} L_u(a) L_{\widetilde{v}}(a)\, x \otimes 1. \tag{34}$$

If w contains a sequence of at least two letters a then (33) and (34) have singular terms which are defined by regularitzation, see Section 3.2. One can use the reversed version of (16) to derive a fully regular formula for the monodromy of a regular word (i.e. a word that does not begin in 0). So, the monodromy of regular words does not depend on the regularization prescription.

To see that $\mathcal{M}_a = \exp(2\pi\mathrm{i} m_a)$ one has to use that $\sum_{w=vu} (-1)^{|v|} L_{\widetilde{v}}(a) L_u(a) = \delta_{w,e}$ by path-reversal **I4**, path composition **I5**, and the triviality of the constant path **I3** ($\delta_{a,b} = 1$ if $a = b$ and zero otherwise). The sum in m_a^k collapses from $w = u_1 a v_1 u_2 a v_2 \ldots u_k a v_k x$ to $w = u_1 a^{\{k\}} v_k x$.

Likewise, we see that the evaluation at $z = a$ cuts off a letter a from the right,

$$\operatorname{eval}_a m_a = \operatorname{eval}_a \delta_a^{\mathrm{r}} \otimes 1. \tag{35}$$

With regularization (22) it is also clear that (34) reduces to (31) in the case $a = 0$.

We see that ∂_z and m_a commute,

$$[\partial_z, m_a] = 0. \tag{36}$$

With eval we lift (34) to a formula in $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$,

$$m_a L_w(z) = \sum_{w = uavx} (-1)^{|v|} L_u(a) L_{\widetilde{v}}(a) L_x(z). \tag{37}$$

Modulo lower weights we have $m_a = \delta_a^{\mathrm{l}} \otimes 1$, i.e.

$$m_a L_{bw}(z) = \delta_{a,b} L_w(z) + \text{terms of lower weight}. \tag{38}$$

For later use we rewrite (35) using the residue operator on $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$,

$$\operatorname{eval}_a m_a = \operatorname{res}_a \partial_z. \tag{39}$$

3.5. **A commutative hexagon.** We have defined two derivations on $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$, namely ∂_z and m_a. Because hyperlogarithms are analytic the derivative $\partial_{\overline{z}}$ with respect to the complex conjugate of z is zero. Later, when we define GSVHs, we sacrifice analyticity for single-valuedness. This means that m_a becomes trivial whereas $\partial_{\overline{z}}$ becomes non-trivial. The main tool for the construction of GSVHs will be a commutative hexagon which has a (simpler) analogue in the analytic case with $\partial_{\overline{z}}$ replaced by m_a. We present this analogue as introduction to GSVHs. An application of the commutative hexagon for hyperlogarithms is integration in the motivic f-alphabet [23].

Before we prove commutativity of the hexagon we need to define a generic infinitesimal monodromy (covering all points $a \in \Sigma$). To this end we extend $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ to $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ where the first factor keeps track of the puncture a. By $f \mapsto e \otimes f$ we embed $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ into $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$. (A model for $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ is $\Sigma^* \otimes \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ which we do not use here.) We define

$$m : \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \\ w \otimes f(z) & \mapsto & \sum_{a\in\Sigma} wa \otimes m_a f(z). \end{array} \tag{40}$$

The derivative ∂_z only acts on the right factor of $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$.

Proposition 8. *Let $\Sigma^*_> = \Sigma^* \backslash \{e\}$ be the set of non-empty words. The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \xrightarrow{m} \Sigma^*_> \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. I.e. the kernel of m in $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ is $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ and for every $x \in \Sigma^*_> \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ there exists an $X \in \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ such that $mX = x$.

Proof. We first show that the kernel of m is $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. It is clear that $m\, \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma = 0$. Assume that there exists an $x \in \Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ with $mx = 0$ and weight $n \geq 1$ (in the right factor). We uniquely write $x = \sum_{a\in\Sigma, u, v\in\Sigma^*} u \otimes \phi_{uav}(z) L_{av}(z)$ with $0 \neq \phi_{uav} \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$. By (38) we have $0 = mx = \sum_{a,u,v} ua \otimes \phi_{uav}(z) L_v(z)$ modulo weights $\leq n-2$. By Theorem 4 (1) we get $\sum_{a,u} ua \otimes \phi_{uav}(z) = 0$ for all v of weight $n-1$. Hence $\phi_{uav}(z) = 0$ which is a contradiction.

We prove the existence of X by induction over the weight n of $x \in \Sigma^*_> \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$. For $x = 0$ we set $X = 0$. For $n \geq 0$ we use linearity to assume that $x = ua \otimes \phi(z) L_v(z)$ with $a \in \Sigma, u, v \in \Sigma^*, \phi \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma, L_v \in \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$. We set $X = u \otimes \phi(z) L_{av}(z) - Y$ and get from (38) that $mX = x + y - mY$ with y of weight $\leq n-1$. By induction there exists an Y such that $mY = y$ which completes the proof. □

Consider the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of hyperlogarithms with constant coefficients $\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$. The derivative ∂_z maps $\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$ onto $\partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$, the $\mathbb{C}$-vector-space of hyperlogarithms with simple pole coefficients. Likewise m maps $\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$ to $m\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma = \Sigma \otimes \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$, the $\mathbb{C}$-vector-space of hyperlogarithms with single letters as left factors. We also define $\partial_z m \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma = m\partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma = \Sigma \otimes \partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$, see (36).

On $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ we have the residue $\operatorname{res}_a : \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$. We define the projection π_{∂_z} onto the residue-free part of $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$,

$$\pi_{\partial_z} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma & \to & \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \\ f(z) & \mapsto & f(z) - \sum_{a\in\Sigma} \frac{\operatorname{res}_a f}{z-a} \end{array} \tag{41}$$

with trivial extension to $\Sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$. The projection π_m is the identity on $e \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$. On $\Sigma^*_> \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ we define

$$\pi_m : \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^*_> \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma & \to & \Sigma^*_> \otimes \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \\ wa \otimes f(z) & \mapsto & wa \otimes (f(z) - f(a)). \end{array} \tag{42}$$

We have the obvious identities

$$m\pi_{\partial_z} = m \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_z \pi_m = \partial_z. \tag{43}$$

Finally, we define on $\partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$ and on $m\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$ an integral and an inverse of m (respectively) which maps into the subspace of $\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$ which evaluates to 0 at $z = 0$ (possibly after regularization, Section 3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0 \mathrm{d}z : \partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma \to \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma, \qquad f \mapsto F(z) - F(0) \quad \text{if} \quad \partial_z F = f, \\ &m^{-1} : m\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma \to \mathcal{HL}_\Sigma, \qquad x \mapsto X(z) - X(0) \quad \text{if} \quad mX = x. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The operators $\int_0 \mathrm{d}z$ and m^{-1} exist and are well-defined by Theorem 4 (2) and by Proposition 8. The integral $\int_0 \mathrm{d}z$ acts on the right factor of $\Sigma^* \otimes \partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$.

Theorem 9. *The diagram in Figure 2 commutes.*




FIGURE 2. The inductive construction of hyperlogarithms with a commutative hexagon.

Proof. Let $f \in \partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$ and $f_1 = \int_0 \pi_{\partial_z} f \mathrm{d}z$, $f_2 = m^{-1}\pi_m \int_0 mf \mathrm{d}z$. We need to show that $f_1 = f_2$.

By (36) and (43) we have $\partial_z m(f_1 - f_2) = m\pi_{\partial_z} f - \partial_z \pi_m \int_0 mf \mathrm{d}z = mf - mf = 0$. By Theorem 4 (2) we get $m(f_1 - f_2) \in \Sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}$. From (38) and Proposition 8 we get

$$f_1(z) - f_2(z) = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} c_a L_a(z) + d \quad \text{with } c_a, d \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Because $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = 0$ we have $d = 0$. We choose $b \in \Sigma$ and apply $\mathrm{eval}_b m_b$ to the above equation,

$$[m_b(f_1 - f_2)](b) = c_b.$$

By (39) we have $(m_b f_1)(b) = \mathrm{res}_b \partial_z f_1 = 0$ because $\partial_z f_1 = \pi_{\partial_z} f$ is in the image of π_{∂_z}. Likewise $(m_b f_2)(b) = 0$ because mf_2 is in the image of π_m. We find $c_b = 0$ for all $b \in \Sigma$ and hence $f_1 = f_2$. □

The following examples illustrate the role of π_{∂_z} and π_m.

Example 10. *Let $f(z) = z^{-1} \in \partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0\}}$. Then $\int_0 f(z)\mathrm{d}z = L_0(z) = \log(z)$ whereas $mf(z) = 0$. The hexagon commutes because $\pi_{\partial_z} z^{-1} = 0$.*

Example 11. *Let $f(z) = L_a(z)/(z-b) \in \partial_z \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{a,b\}}$ for $a \neq b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\pi_{\partial_z} f(z) = [L_a(z) - L_a(b)]/(z-b)$ and*

$$\int_0 \pi_{\partial_z} f(z)\mathrm{d}z = L_{ab}(z) - L_a(b)L_b(z) \in \mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{a,b\}}.$$

On the other hand we have $mf(z) = a \otimes (z-b)^{-1}$ and $\int_0 mf(z)\mathrm{d}z = a \otimes L_b(z)$. With (37), we get

$$mL_{ab}(z) = a \otimes L_b(z) - a \otimes L_b(a) + b \otimes L_a(b).$$

Therefore

$$m^{-1} a \otimes L_b(z) = L_{ab}(z) + L_b(a)L_a(z) - L_a(b)L_b(z).$$

Direct application of m^{-1} to $a \otimes L_b(z)$ does not reproduce $L_{ab}(z) - L_a(b)L_b(z)$. With $\pi_m a \otimes L_b(z) = a \otimes L_b(z) - a \otimes L_b(a)$ and $m^{-1} a \otimes L_b(a) = L_b(a)L_a(z)$ the spurious term $L_b(a)L_a(z)$ cancels.

4. Generalized hyperlogarithms

Let $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a quadratically closed number field, i.e.

$$x \in \mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \overline{x}, \pm\sqrt{x} \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{45}$$

The smallest field $\mathbb{F}$ are the constructible numbers, the largest field is $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 12. *For applications in massless pQFT the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i}/3})$ possibly suffice* [10, 20, 21]. *We expect that pQFT uses only a small subspace of the GSVHs defined in Section 6.*

To describe single-valued hyperlogarithms on the punctured complex plane we need to give up analyticity. Because the differentials ∂_z and $\partial_{\overline{z}}$ commute, in most situations one has the option to either consider z and $\overline{z}$ as complex conjugates or as independent variables. Depending on the context we shift from one picture to the other. We use double arguments $z, \overline{z}$ in functions if we consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent variables.

Remark 13. *With minimal modifications it is possible to develop a very similar theory of GSVHs where z and $\overline{z}$ are replaced by any linear combination of z and $\overline{z}$ (or more general functions of $z, \overline{z}$). One may, e.g., use real and imaginary parts of z. For the (rather special) Gegenbauer method in* [1, 17] *one needs to use the modulus and the argument of z. In many situations, however, the variables z and $\overline{z}$ are most efficient. In pQFT, e.g., one has to invert the Laplacian $\partial_z \partial_{\overline{z}}$ which factorizes in z and $\overline{z}$.*

In contrast to the concept of single-valuedness (Section 5), the definition of generalized hyperlogarithms is of technical nature. In pQFT there exists no fundamental property that requires generalized hyperlogarithms. They are merely the most general functions that are well understood and can be handled efficiently. For small graphs most graphical functions can be expressed in terms of generalized hyperlogarithms [1, 17].

4.1. **Fractional linear transformations.** Let

$$\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}} = \left\{ \beta(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \tag{46}$$

be the set of fractional linear transformations (FLTs) with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$. The FLT β is invertible if $ad - bc \neq 0$. Then β is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ (a Möbius transformation). Because

$$\partial_z \frac{az+b}{cz+d} = \frac{ad-bc}{(cz+d)^2},$$

$ad - bc = 0$ is equivalent to constant β. For any $\beta(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d)$ with $ad - bc \neq 0$ the inverse of β is (we do *not* use β^{-1} for the reciprocal of β)

$$\beta^{-1}(z) = \frac{dz-b}{-cz+a}.$$

Lemma 14. *For any variables $z, \overline{z}$ and $\beta(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d) \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with $ad - bc \neq 0$ we have*

$$\partial_z \log(\overline{z} - \beta(z)) = \frac{1}{z - \beta^{-1}(\overline{z})} - \frac{1}{z + d/c},$$

where the second term on the right hand side is absent if $c = 0$.

Proof. Because $ad - bc \neq 0$ either $a \neq 0$ or $c \neq 0$. We get

$$\overline{z} - \beta(z) = \frac{(c\overline{z} - a)(z - \beta^{-1}(\overline{z}))}{cz+d}.$$

Taking $\partial_z \log$ gives the result. □

In general, the product of two FLTs is not a sum of FLTs (it may have squares). Here, we need the converse property that the difference of two FLTs is the product of two FLTs.

Lemma 15. *Let $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$.*

(1) *There exist $\beta_3, \beta_4 \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$ such that*

$$\beta_1(z) - \beta_2(z) = \beta_3(z) \cdot \beta_4(z)$$

(2) *We have*

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z \log(z - \beta_1(\overline{z})) & \partial_{\overline{z}} \log(z - \beta_1(\overline{z})) \\ \partial_z \log(z - \beta_2(\overline{z})) & \partial_{\overline{z}} \log(z - \beta_2(\overline{z})) \end{pmatrix} = [\partial_{\overline{z}} \log(\beta_1(\overline{z}) - \beta_2(\overline{z}))] \left[\partial_z \log \frac{z - \beta_1(\overline{z})}{z - \beta_2(\overline{z})} \right].$$

Proof. For $a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$\frac{a_1 z + b_1}{c_1 z + d_1} - \frac{a_2 z + b_2}{c_2 z + d_2} = \frac{(a_1 c_2 - a_2 c_1) z^2 + (a_1 d_2 - a_2 d_1 + b_1 c_2 - b_2 c_1) z + b_1 d_2 - b_2 d_1}{(c_1 z + d_1)(c_2 z + d_2)}.$$

Because $\mathbb{F}$ is quadratically closed, the numerator factorizes in $\mathbb{F}$ and the product may be expressed as $\beta_3(z)\beta_4(z)$ for a suitable choice of $\beta_3(z)$ and $\beta_4(z)$. Statement (2) is an explicit calculation. □

Statement (1) is the sole reason for our restriction to quadratically closed fields.

4.2. **Iterated integrals with parameters.** Let

$$\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma \subset \mathbb{F}} \mathcal{HL}_{\Sigma}.$$

Likewise $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of hyperlogarithms in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with constant coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$.

Any iterated integral with letters in $\mathbb{C}(z)$ is in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. A bootstrap algorithm for the conversion to constant letters is given by differentiating the iterated integral with respect to z using **I8**. This lowers the weight of the iterated integral. By induction the derivative is in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. A priori, the primitive is in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Using **I8** it is not hard to see that the primitive is in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. This algorithm was suggested by F. Brown [2, 3] and later implemented by E. Panzer [16].

To emphasize the dependence on the variable z (or $\overline{z}$) we will give $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$ an argument. So, $\mathcal{HL}_{\Sigma}(z)$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of hyperlogarithms in z and $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(z)$ is the set of FLTs over $\mathbb{F}$ in z.

Lemma 16. *We consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent variables. Let $f(z,\overline{z}) = L_{\beta_1(z)\dots\beta_n(z)}(\overline{z})$ be a hyperlogarithm in $\overline{z}$ with letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(z)$. For any $a \in \mathbb{F}$ we get $\lim_{z\to a} f(z,\overline{z}) \in \mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$, where the explicit result may depend on the direction in which z approaches a.*

Proof. We use induction over n. For $n = 0$ we have $f = 1$ and the claim is trivial. If $n \geq 1$ then $f(z,0) = 0$ and

$$\partial_{\overline{z}} f(z,\overline{z}) = \frac{1}{\overline{z} - \beta_n(z)} L_{\beta_1(z)\dots\beta_{n-1}(z)}(\overline{z}).$$

By Brown's algorithm, the hyperlogarithm on the right hand side can be converted into a hyperlogarithm in z (with $\overline{z}$-dependent letters). By Lemma 6 it admits a log expansion at $z = a$. By induction the constant term is in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$. The factor $(\overline{z} - \beta_n(z))^{-1}$ has an expansion at $z = a$ with constant term $(\overline{z} - \beta_n(a))^{-1}$ if $\beta_n(a) \neq \infty$. In this case $\beta_n(a) \in \mathbb{F}$ and integration with respect to $\overline{z}$ gives $f \in \mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$ plus terms that vanish in the limit $z \to a$. If $\beta_n(a) = \infty$, the constant term vanishes and $\lim_{z\to a} f(z,\overline{z}) = 0$. □

Example 17. *Consider $f(z,\overline{z}) = L_{z-a}(\overline{z})$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}$. We get the regularized limit (see Section 3.2)*

$$\lim_{z\to a} f(z,\overline{z}) = \lim_{z\to a} \log(1 - \overline{z}/(z-a)) = \lim_{z\to a} \log(-\overline{z}/(z-a)) = \log(\overline{z}) + c = L_0(\overline{z}) + c$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ whose value depends on the direction in which z approaches a.

Remark 18. *Note that due to possible singularities, taking limits in hyperlogarithms cannot be performed by substitution (in general). As an example consider*

$$\lim_{z\to 0} L_{z0}(\overline{z}) = \lim_{z\to 0} L_{10}(\overline{z}/z) = -\lim_{z\to 0} \mathrm{Li}_2(\overline{z}/z) = \lim_{z\to 0} \frac{[\log(z/\overline{z}) \pm \mathrm{i}\pi]^2}{2} - \zeta(2) = \frac{[\log(\overline{z}) \mp \mathrm{i}\pi]^2}{2} - \zeta(2)$$
$$\neq\ L_{00}(\overline{z}) = \frac{(\log \overline{z})^2}{2},$$

where the sign ambiguity is related to monodromy. The subtle step in Brown's algorithm is to accurately take the limits $z \to 0$ that provide the integration constants [16].

Proposition 19. *Let $f(z,\overline{z}) = L_{\beta_1(z)\dots\beta_n(z)}(\overline{z})$ be a hyperlogarithm in $\overline{z}$ with letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(z)$. Then f is a linear combination of hyperlogarithms in z with letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and coefficients in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$.*

Proof. We consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent variables and use induction over n (following Brown's algorithm). For $n = 0$ the claim is trivial.

By differentiation with respect to z we get from **I8** a sum of hyperlogarithms in $\overline{z}$ of weight $n-1$ with factors $\pm\partial_z \log(\beta_{k+1} - \beta_k)$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$ (where $\beta_0 = 0$ and $\beta_{n+1} = \overline{z}$). By induction the hyperlogarithms are linear combinations of hyperlogarithms in z with letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and coefficients in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$.

With Lemma 15 (1) we express $\beta_{k+1} - \beta_k$ for $k < n$ as product of two FLTs over $\mathbb{F}$. Upon differentiation the log becomes a sum of simple poles in z at values in $\mathbb{F}$.

The term $k = n$ is only non-zero if β_n is not constant. In this case we get from Lemma 14 that the coefficient is a sum of simple poles with values in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$.

By (11) both cases have primitives which are linear combinations of hyperlogarithms in z with letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$. These hyperlogarithms vanish in the limit $z \to 0$. To determine f we need to add the integration constant (in z) which is $\lim_{z\to 0} f(z,\overline{z})$. By Lemma 16 this limit is in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$ □

Example 20. *Consider $f(z,\overline{z}) = L_{z-a}(\overline{z})$, $a \in \mathbb{F}$, from Example 17. We have*

$$f(z,\overline{z}) = \log\Big(1 - \frac{\overline{z}}{z-a}\Big) = \log\Big[\Big(1 - \frac{z}{\overline{z}+a}\Big)\Big(1 + \frac{\overline{z}}{a}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{z}{a}\Big)^{-1}\Big] = L_{\overline{z}+a}(z) + L_{-a}(\overline{z}) - L_a(z).$$

Differentiation with respect to z gives $\partial_z f(z,\overline{z}) = (z-\overline{z}-a)^{-1} - (z-a)^{-1}$. Upon integration we get the first and the third term. The second term is $\lim_{z\to 0} f(z,\overline{z})$.

To obtain an explicit result one can use the path convention at the beginning of Section 3.4. Otherwise the result depends on the sheets where the hyperlogarithms are evaluated. This will become insignificant when we pass to single-valued functions.

4.3. **Definition of generalized hyperlogarithms.** Let $\overline{z}$ be the complex conjugate of z. Let

$$\Sigma(\overline{z}) = \{\beta_1(\overline{z}), \beta_2(\overline{z}), \ldots, \beta_N(\overline{z})\} \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$$

be a finite set of FLTs over $\mathbb{F}$ in the variable $\overline{z}$ with subset of constants $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}$. We define the ring of regular functions on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma(\overline{z}) := \mathbb{C}\backslash\{z = \beta_i(\overline{z}), i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ as the ring generated by $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma(\overline{z})}(z)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}}}(\overline{z})$, see (9),

$$\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z}) = \mathbb{C}[z, (z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}_{\beta\in\Sigma(\overline{z})}, \overline{z}, (\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{-1}_{b\in\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}}]. \tag{47}$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z) = \bigcup_{\Sigma\subset\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z}) = \bigcup_{\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})} \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z}). \tag{48}$$

Example 21. *Reciprocals of bilinear forms in z and $\overline{z}$ are in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})$. For $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{F}$, $a \neq 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{az\overline{z} + bz + c\overline{z} + d} = \frac{1}{a}\cdot\frac{1}{\overline{z}+b/a}\cdot\frac{1}{z + (c\overline{z}+d)/(a\overline{z}+b)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\{-\overline{b}/\overline{a}, -(c\overline{z}+d)/(a\overline{z}+b)\}}(z,\overline{z}).$$

Remark 22. *By partial fraction decomposition first in z and then (the coefficients) in $\overline{z}$ every $f \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ has a unique representation as*

$$f(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{\beta(\overline{z})\in\Sigma(\overline{z}), b\in\mathbb{F}, m,\overline{m}} c^{\beta,b}_{m,\overline{m}} (z-\beta(\overline{z}))^m (\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}},$$

where the sum is finite with $m, \overline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m, \overline{m} < 0$ if $\beta \neq 0, b \neq 0$, respectively. Because partial fraction decomposition may generate (spurious) singularities in $\overline{z}$ we cannot assume that $b \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}$. By Lemma 15 (1), however, we have $b \in \mathbb{F}$.

Definition 23. *Let (Σ^* is the sets of words in Σ)*

$$\mathcal{GH}_\Sigma = \langle L_v(\overline{z}) L_{w(\overline{z})}(z), v \in \overline{\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}}^*, w(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z})^* \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})} \tag{49}$$

be the space of generalized hyperlogarithms on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma(\overline{z})$. We use the notation $\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$ for the set of generalized hyperlogarithms with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$. The sets $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are the unions of $\mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$ over all finite $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ (respectively).

By Theorem 24 (1) there exists a unique representation of any $f \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ as a linear combination of hyperlogarithms $L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)$ with non-zero canceled fractions as coefficients. The weight of f is the maximum total weight $|v| + |w(\overline{z})|$ in this representation.

We suppress the $\overline{z}$ dependence of $\Sigma(\overline{z})$ in subscripts. In $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ we denote both arguments z and $\overline{z}$ to avoid confusion with $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z)$.

4.4. **Properties of generalized hyperlogarithms.** In analogy to Theorem 4 and Proposition 8 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 24. *Let $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be finite.*

1. *$\mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$-module which is closed under multiplication. In particular, $\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.*
2. *The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}} \xrightarrow{\partial_z} \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}} \longrightarrow 0$$

   is exact. I.e. the kernel of ∂_z in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and every $f \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ has a primitive $F \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with $\partial_z F = f$.
3. *$\mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ is differentially simple. I.e. for every $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ there exists a differential operator D such that $Df = 1$.*

(4) *$\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are stable under complex conjugation,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}} = \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}} = \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{50}$$

(5) *$\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are stable under transformations in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$: For any $f \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $g \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we have*

$$f(\beta(z)) \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}} \quad \text{and} \quad g(\beta(z)) \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{51}$$

Proof. We consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent complex variables. For (1) we apply Theorem 4 (1) to the hyperlogarithms in z. The coefficients are hyperlogarithms in $\overline{z}$ on which we apply Theorem 4 (1) again. This proves that $\mathcal{GH}_{\Sigma}$ is free. With (15) it is clear that $\mathcal{GH}_{\Sigma}$ is closed under multiplication.

We fix $\overline{z}$. By Theorem 4 (2) the kernel of ∂_z on $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are functions which do not depend on z. By statement (1) the space of these functions is $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$. To prove the existence of primitives in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we use the explicit inductive construction in the proof of Theorem 4 (2). To do so we need partial fraction decomposition with respect to z in $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(z,\overline{z})$ which can be achieved by repeatedly using the formula

$$\frac{1}{(z-\beta_i(\overline{z}))(z-\beta_j(\overline{z}))} = \frac{1}{(\beta_i(\overline{z})-\beta_j(\overline{z}))(z-\beta_i(\overline{z}))} - \frac{1}{(\beta_i(\overline{z})-\beta_j(\overline{z}))(z-\beta_j(\overline{z}))}.$$

By Lemma 15 (1) it is clear that both terms on the right hand side are in $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma'}(z,\overline{z})$ for some $\Sigma'(\overline{z}) \supseteq \Sigma(\overline{z})$ which may contain new (constant) singularities due to zeros of $\beta_i(\overline{z}) - \beta_j(\overline{z})$.

For (3) we follow the steps in the proof of Theorem 4 (3). Now, multiplication with the common denominator Q ensures that the maximum weight part of Qf is a polynomial in z and $\overline{z}$ with maximum degree $(m,\overline{m})$ in $(z,\overline{z})$. We define $D_0 = \partial_z^m \partial_{\overline{z}}^{\overline{m}} Q$ and find that $D_0 f$ has weight n but $\partial_z D_0 f$ and $\partial_{\overline{z}} D_0 f$ have weight $\leq n-1$. If $D_0 f = c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ then $D = D_0/c$. Otherwise $\partial_z D_0 f \neq 0$ or $\partial_{\overline{z}} D_0 f \neq 0$. If $\partial_z D_0 f \neq 0$ (or $\partial_{\overline{z}} D_0 f \neq 0$) then (by induction) there exists a differential operator D_1 such that $D = D_1 \partial_z D_0$ (or $D = D_1 \partial_{\overline{z}} D_0$) fulfills $Df = 1$.

For (4) we observe that (see Example 21) the complex conjugate of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(z,\overline{z})$ can be written as $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma'}(z,\overline{z})$ for some new set $\Sigma'(\overline{z})$. So, it suffices to prove the second identity in (50). The complex conjugate of $L_v(\overline{z}) L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)$ is $L_{\overline{v}}(z) L_{\overline{w}(z)}(\overline{z})$. The first factor is in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. By Proposition 19 we can write the second factor in terms of hyperlogarithms in z with letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and coefficients in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$. Hence, also the second factor is in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. By statement (1) the product is in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

To show (5) we first observe that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})$ is stable under transformations in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$. A generator $1/(z-\beta_i(\overline{z}))$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})$ is transformed to $1/(\beta(z)-\gamma(\overline{z}))$ with $\gamma = \beta_i \circ \overline{\beta} \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The result has a denominator which is bilinear in z and $\overline{z}$. By Example 21, it is in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})$.

By linearity it suffices to show that $g(\beta(z)) \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ for $g(z) = L_v(\overline{z}) L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)$, $v \in \overline{\Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}}^*$, $w \in \Sigma^*$, see (49). We use Proposition 19 for $\beta_1 \dots \beta_n \mapsto w \circ \overline{\beta} \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^*$ and $(z,\overline{z}) \mapsto (\overline{z}, \beta(z))$ to obtain that $L_{w(\overline{\beta(z)})}(\beta(z))$ is a linear combination of hyperlogarithms in $\overline{z}$ with letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\beta(z)) \subseteq \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(z)$ and coefficients in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\beta(z))$. By Brown's algorithm (see Section 4.2) the coefficiens are in $\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(z)$. Likewise $L_v(\overline{\beta(z)}) \in \mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$. The result shuffles to a function in $\overline{\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}} = \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ (see statement (4)). □

Remark 25. *With statement (4) in the above theorem we see that the complex conjugate of statement (2) holds for $\partial_{\overline{z}}$. In particular, $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is closed under taking anti-primitives.*

Example 26. *Every product of hyperlogarithms in z and in $\overline{z}$ with letters in $\mathbb{F}$ is in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$,*

$$\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}(z) \mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z}) \subset \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

Example 27. *Extending Example 21 to generalized hyperlogarithms, we observe that every iterated integral in forms with denominators which are bilinear in z and $\overline{z}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$. This is clear from using Brown's algorithm as in the proof of Proposition 19.*

In general, it is not easy to see if a given integral is in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

4.5. **Singular decomposition.** Any function $f \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ has a log-Laurent expansion at $z = 0$ whose coefficients have log-Laurent expansions at $\overline{z} = 0$, see (24). In general, with every order in z the pole order in $\overline{z}$ increases. It is also possible to first expand f in $\overline{z}$ and the coefficients in z. In this case one may get increasingly negative orders in z. For GSVHs we are interested in functions where both expansions are equal. This is equivalent to the existence of a global limit for pole orders in z and $\overline{z}$.

Definition 28. *A function f admits a (simultaneous) log-Laurent expansion at $z=\overline{z}=0$ if*

$$f(z,\overline{z})=\sum_{\ell,\overline{\ell}=0}^{L}\sum_{m,\overline{m}=M}^{\infty}c_{\ell,\overline{\ell},m,\overline{m}}(\log z)^{\ell}(\log\overline{z})^{\overline{\ell}}z^{m}\overline{z}^{\overline{m}},\quad 0\le L,M\in\mathbb{Z},\ c_{\ell,\overline{\ell},m,\overline{m}}\in\mathbb{C},\tag{52}$$

in a neighborhood of $z=\overline{z}=0$. The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of functions with log-Laurent expansions at 0 is $\mathcal{R}^0$. If $L=M=0$ we say that f is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at 0, see Definition 35.

One may consider $\mathcal{R}^0$ as space of regular functions at 0. Note that the definition of $\mathcal{R}^0$ does not depend on the sheets on which the logarithms are evaluated. By (24) we get $\mathcal{HL}(z)\mathcal{HL}(\overline{z})\subset\mathcal{R}^0$.

Example 29. *The function $\log(z-\overline{z})=L_{\overline{z}}(z)+L_0(\overline{z})\pm\mathrm{i}\pi$ is not in $\mathcal{R}^0$.*

In this section we derive a unique decomposition of a function $f\in\mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ into a regular and a singular part such that f is regular at 0 if and only if its singular part vanishes. We need the decomposition to prove Theorem 52 which, in turn, is essential to prove the main theorem for GSVHs, Theorem 74.

Lemma 30. *Let $w(\overline{z})$ be a word with letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and $\delta_0^1 w(\overline{z})=0$. Then (the empty sum is zero)*

$$L_{0\{L\}w(\overline{z})}(z)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{L}\Big(g_\ell(z,\overline{z})\log^\ell(z)+\sum_{\substack{w=u\beta v\\ \beta\neq 0=\beta(0)}}f_\ell^{\beta v}(\overline{z})L_{0\{\ell\}\beta(\overline{z})v(\overline{z})}(z)\Big),\tag{53}$$

where $u,v\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^*$ and $\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The functions $g_\ell(z,\overline{z})\in\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at 0 with $g_\ell(0,\overline{z})=0$. The functions $f_\ell^{\beta v}(\overline{z})\in\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$ are anti-holomorphic at $\overline{z}=0$.

Proof. The proof is by induction over the weight n of $w(\overline{z})$. The case $n=0$ is trivial by (12).

Assume that $n\ge 1$ and $w(\overline{z})=x(\overline{z})\beta_n(\overline{z})$ with $x\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^*$ and $\beta_n\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$. By induction we obtain

$$\partial_z L_{0\{L\}x(\overline{z})\beta_n(\overline{z})}(z)=\frac{1}{z-\beta_n(\overline{z})}\Big(\sum_{\ell=0}^{L}g_\ell(z,\overline{z})\log^\ell(z)+\sum_{\substack{x=u\beta v\\ \beta\neq 0=\beta(0)}}f_\ell^{\beta v}(\overline{z})L_{0\{\ell\}\beta(\overline{z})v(\overline{z})}(z)\Big).\tag{54}$$

If $\beta_n(\overline{z})=0$ we use integration by parts $\ell+1$ times in the first term of the sum over ℓ, iteratively integrating $g_\ell(z,\overline{z})/z$ (which is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at 0 because $g_\ell(0,\overline{z})=0$) and differentiating $\log^\ell(z)$. With (44) we obtain a set of new functions $\tilde{g}_\ell\in\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\tilde{g}_\ell(0,\overline{z})=0$. Integration of the second term merely adds a letter 0 to $v(\overline{z})$. The integration constant is zero because both sides of (53) vanish at $z=0$ (and any value of $\overline{z}$ in the neighborhood of 0). This gives the result for $\beta_n(\overline{z})=0$.

If $\beta_n(0)\neq 0$ then $1/(z-\beta_n(\overline{z}))$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at 0 and the result follows like in the case $\beta_n(\overline{z})=0$.

We are left with the case $\beta_n(\overline{z})\neq 0=\beta_n(0)$ which implies $\beta_n(\overline{z})=a\overline{z}/(b\overline{z}+1)$ for some constants $a,b\in\mathbb{F}$, $a\neq 0$. In (54) we write

$$g_\ell(z,\overline{z})=[g_\ell(z,\overline{z})-g_\ell(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z})]+g_\ell(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z}).\tag{55}$$

Because $g_\ell(z,\overline{z})$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic, $g_\ell(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z})$ is anti-holomorphic at $\overline{z}=0$. By $g_\ell\in\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$g_\ell(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z})=\sum_{v,w(\overline{z})}c_{v,w(\overline{z})}L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})}(\beta_n(\overline{z})),\quad c_{v,w(\overline{z})}\in\mathbb{C}.$$

With Brown's algorithm and Lemma 15 (1) we obtain $L_{w(\overline{z})}(\beta_n(\overline{z}))\in\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$. Therefore $g_\ell(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z})\in\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$. This implies $g_\ell(z,\overline{z})-g_\ell(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z})\in\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

By the theory of complex functions (or by explicit calculation) we see that

$$g_\ell(z,\overline{z})-g_\ell(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z})=[z-\beta_n(\overline{z})]h_\ell(z,\overline{z})$$

with functions h_ℓ which are $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at 0. We follow the argument of the case $\beta_n(\overline{z})=0$ to see that integrating the first term of (55) gives rise to a set of new functions $\tilde{g}_\ell\in\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\tilde{g}_\ell(0,\overline{z})=0$. Integrating the second term of (55) gives $\ell!g_\ell(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z})L_{0\{\ell\}\beta_n(\overline{z})}(z)$ which is the term $v=e$ in the second sum of (53).

The terms $v\neq e$ are trivially obtained from integrating the second sum in (54). □

Let $\mathcal{R}^0(\overline{z})$ be the space of anti-analytic functions in $\mathcal{R}^0$. Complementary to Definition 28 we define singular functions at 0.

Definition 31. *For any finite set $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ we define the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$ of singular functions at 0 as the $\mathcal{R}^0(\overline{z})$-span of hyperlogarithms $L_{0^{\{\ell\}}\beta(\overline{z})v(\overline{z})}(z)$ with $\beta(\overline{z}) \neq 0 = \beta(0)$. So, every $f \in \mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$ has a unique representation as*

$$f(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{\substack{\beta v \\ \beta\neq 0=\beta(0)}} f_\ell^{\beta v}(\overline{z}) L_{0^{\{\ell\}}\beta(\overline{z})v(\overline{z})}(z), \quad \text{with } L \geq 0,\ \beta \in \Sigma,\ v \in \Sigma^*,\ f_\ell^{\beta v}(\overline{z}) \in \mathcal{R}^0(\overline{z}). \tag{56}$$

We consider the $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ modules $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0$ and $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$. Note that a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0$ does not in general have an expansion (52). After the multiplication with a common denominator Q, however, we get $Qf \in \mathbb{C}[z,\overline{z}]\mathcal{R}^0 = \mathcal{R}^0$.

The module $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0$ is stable under differentiation with respect to z (because $\partial_z\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z}) \subset \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ and $\partial_z\mathcal{R}^0 \subset \mathcal{R}^0$) whereas $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$ is not, $\partial_z\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \ni \partial_z L_{0^{\{\ell\}}\beta(\overline{z})}(z) = L_{0^{\{\ell\}}}(z)/(z-\beta(\overline{z})) \notin \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$.

Proposition 32. *For any finite set $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}} = \{0\}. \tag{57}$$

Proof. Consider $f(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_w f_w(z,\overline{z}) L_{w(\overline{z})}(z) \in \mathcal{X} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$, where $w(\overline{z}) = 0^{\{\ell\}}\beta(\overline{z})v(\overline{z})$ with $\beta(\overline{z}) \neq 0 = \beta(0)$ (by $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$) and $f_w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ (by $f \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$). We assume that $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{X}$ is a minimal counter-example with respect to '$\prec$' in Definition 2 for the weight $|w(\overline{z})|$.

We consider $\partial_z f$ and $\partial_{\overline{z}} f$ which are in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The differential operators act on $f_w(z,\overline{z})$ and on $L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)$. In the latter case we get from **I8** a sum of hyperlogarithms with one letter of $w(\overline{z})$ removed times factors in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})$. By Lemma 30 the hyperlogarithms split into terms in $\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and terms in $\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. We subtract all terms of the first type from $\partial_z f$ (or $\partial_{\overline{z}} f$) to obtain an expression in $\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ which also is in $\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$. The expression is in $\mathcal{X}$ and it has the coefficients $\partial_z f_w$ (or $\partial_{\overline{z}} f_w$) in the highest weight part.

For any polynomial $0 \neq P \in \mathbb{C}[z,\overline{z}]$ we get $0 \neq Pf \in \mathcal{X}$. From a given function in $\mathcal{X}$ we can hence construct new functions in $\mathcal{X}$ where the coefficients of highest weight are multiplied with polynomials and repeatedly differentiated with ∂_z and $\partial_{\overline{z}}$. From (the proof of) Theorem 24 (3) we construct a differential operator D with $Df_v(z,\overline{z}) = 1$ for a fixed $v(\overline{z})$ of maximum weight. We may hence assume $f_v = 1$ without restriction.

By induction, the differential operators ∂_z and $\partial_{\overline{z}}$ map f to polynomials in $\log(z)$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$, see (53). Integrating $\partial_z f$ provides hyperlogarithms with words $0^{\{\ell\}}\beta(\overline{z})$. Because $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$ we get

$$f(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{\substack{\beta(\overline{z})\in\Sigma(\overline{z}) \\ \beta\neq 0=\beta(0)}} f_{0^{\{\ell\}}\beta}(z,\overline{z}) L_{0^{\{\ell\}}\beta(\overline{z})}(z).$$

Because $\partial_z f$ and $\partial_{\overline{z}} f$ are polynomials in $\log(z)$ we get $f_{0^{\{L\}}\beta}(z,\overline{z}) =: f_\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We assume that L is minimal, i.e. at least one $f_\beta \neq 0$.

We multiply $f \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0$ with the common denominator $Q(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{k+\overline{k}\leq d} c_{k,\overline{k}} z^k \overline{z}^{\overline{k}}$, $c_{k,\overline{k}} \in \mathbb{C}$, so that $Qf \in \mathcal{R}^0$. Using (17) we calculate the coefficient of $(\log z)^L$ in the log-Laurent expansion of Qf,

$$c_L(z,\overline{z}) = \frac{Q(z,\overline{z})}{L!} \sum_{\substack{\beta(\overline{z})\in\Sigma(\overline{z}) \\ \beta\neq 0=\beta(0)}} f_\beta L_{\beta(\overline{z})}(z).$$

The FLTs $\beta(\overline{z})$ are of the form $a\overline{z}/(b\overline{z}+1)$ with $a,b \in \mathbb{F}$, $a \neq 0$. We expand $Q(z,\overline{z})$ and $L_{\beta(\overline{z})}(z) = \log(1 - z(b\overline{z}+1)/a\overline{z})$ first in z and then in $\overline{z}$ to obtain

$$c_L(z,\overline{z}) = -\frac{1}{L!} \sum_{\substack{k,\overline{k}\geq 0 \\ k+\overline{k}\leq d}} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \sum_{\beta(\overline{z})=\frac{a\overline{z}}{b\overline{z}+1}\in\Sigma(\overline{z})} c_{k,\overline{k}} f_\beta z^{k+k_1} \overline{z}^{\overline{k}-k_1+i} \frac{\binom{k_1}{i} b^i}{k_1 a^{k_1}}.$$

Large values of k_1 give poles in $\overline{z}$. We read off the $z^m\overline{z}^{\overline{m}-m}$ coefficient of c_L,

$$c_{L,m,\overline{m}-m} = -\frac{1}{L!} \sum_{\substack{k,\overline{k}\geq 0 \\ k+\overline{k}\leq \min\{d,\overline{m}\}}} \sum_{\beta(\overline{z})=\frac{a\overline{z}}{b\overline{z}+1}\in\Sigma(\overline{z})} c_{k,\overline{k}} f_\beta \frac{\binom{m-k}{\overline{m}-k-\overline{k}} b^{\overline{m}-k-\overline{k}}}{(m-k)a^{m-k}}, \quad \text{if } m > \overline{m}. \tag{58}$$

Because $Qf \in \mathcal{R}^0$ we get $c_{L,m,\overline{m}-m} = 0$ for fixed $\overline{m}$ and sufficiently large m. This leads to a system of linear equations for $c_{k,\overline{k}} f_\beta$. With an infinite number of values for m we may consider m as a variable (by interpolation). For $k + \overline{k} = \overline{m}$ the summand has poles $a^{k-m}/(m-k)$. For all other values of $k, \overline{k}$ the summands are holomorphic in m. By linear independence every pole term has to vanish separately. If $\overline{m} \le d$,

$$\sum_{\beta(\overline{z}) = \frac{a\overline{z}}{b\overline{z}+1} \in \Sigma(\overline{z})} c_{k,\overline{m}-k} f_\beta \frac{1}{(m-k)a^{m-k}} \quad \text{for all } k = 0, \dots, \overline{m}.$$

Because at least one $c_{k,\overline{m}-k} \neq 0$ we get $\sum_\beta f_\beta \, a^{k-m} = 0$ which is a Vandermonde system in the parameter a. The system has trivial kernel and we obtain

$$\sum_{b:\beta(\overline{z}) = \frac{a\overline{z}}{b\overline{z}+1} \in \Sigma(\overline{z})} f_\beta = 0 \quad \text{for all } a.$$

We conclude that there exists at least one $\beta(\overline{z}) = \frac{a\overline{z}}{b\overline{z}+1} \in \Sigma(\overline{z})$ with $b \neq 0$ and $f_\beta \neq 0$. Now, we also consider $\overline{m}$ as a variable. We multiply (58) with $(m-\overline{m})!(\overline{m}-d)!/(m-1)!$ and obtain for $\overline{m} > d$,

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{k,\overline{k} \ge 0 \\ k+\overline{k} \le d}} \sum_{\substack{\beta(\overline{z}) = \frac{a\overline{z}}{b\overline{z}+1} \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \\ b \neq 0}} \frac{c_{k,\overline{k}} f_\beta \, a^{k-m} b^{\overline{m}-k-\overline{k}}}{(m-k,k)(m-\overline{m}+1,\overline{k})(\overline{m}-d+1,d-k-\overline{k})},$$

where we used the Pochhammer symbol $(x,n) = (x+n-1)!/(x-1)!$. Note that terms with $b = 0$ vanish. We prove by induction over $n \le d$ that $c_{k,\overline{k}} = 0$ for all $k + \overline{k} \le n$. For $n = d$ this is a contradiction to $Q \neq 0$.

For $n = 0$ we consider the pole $1/\overline{m}$ which only exists in the term $k = \overline{k} = 0$. By linear independence of pole terms we get $\sum_{\beta: b \neq 0} c_{0,0} f_\beta a^{-m} b^{\overline{m}}/(\overline{m}-d+1,d) = 0$. After multiplication with $(\overline{m}-d+1,d)$ this is a Vandermonde system for a and b in m and $\overline{m}$, respectively. Because there exists a β with $b \neq 0$ and $f_\beta \neq 0$ we get $c_{0,0} = 0$.

For general $n \le d$ we need to consider poles in $\overline{m}$ and in m. To do this we use a partial fraction basis in $\overline{m}$ whose coefficients are $b^{\overline{m}-k-\overline{k}}$ times a function in m (see Remark 22). Consider the pole $1/(\overline{m}-n)$ which has contributions from terms with $k + \overline{k} \le n$. By induction we can restrict ourselves to terms with $k + \overline{k} = n$ and obtain for the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\beta: b \neq 0} \frac{c_{k,n-k} f_\beta \, a^{k-m} b^{\overline{m}-n}}{(m-k,k)(m-n+1,n-k)(n-d+1,d-n)} \\ &= \frac{(m-n+1,n-1)}{(n-d+1,d-n)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\beta: b \neq 0} \frac{c_{k,n-k} f_\beta \, a^{k-m} b^{\overline{m}-n}}{m-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The individual terms in the last sum vanish as they have different poles in m. From the Vandermonde system we get $c_{k,n-k} f_\beta = 0$ for all β with $b \neq 0$ and all $k = 0, \dots, n$. The claim follows because at least one $f_\beta \neq 0$. □

Remark 33. *The stronger $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{F}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{F}(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0 = \{0\}$ is also true. Here, we only need (57) which is easier to prove.*

Theorem 34. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{FLT}_\mathbb{F}$ be finite and consider the point $z = 0$. Every $f \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ has a unique decomposition $f(z) = f_\mathrm{r}(z) + f_\mathrm{s}(z)$ into a regular part $f_\mathrm{r} \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}_\mathbb{F}$ and a singular part $f_\mathrm{s} \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{GH}_\mathbb{F}$. If $f \in \mathcal{GH}^\mathbb{C}_\Sigma$ then $f_\mathrm{r} \in \mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}^\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{F}$ and $f_\mathrm{s} \in \mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{GH}^\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{F}$.*

Proof. We first show the existence of the decomposition. By linearity it suffices to show the existence for the individual terms $f(z) = \phi(z) L_v(\overline{z}) L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)$ in (49), $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$, $v \in \overline{\Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}}^*$, $w(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z})^*$. If $f \in \mathcal{GH}^\mathbb{C}_\Sigma$ then $\phi \in \mathbb{C}$. We use (53) for $L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)$ and shuffle $\phi(z) L_v(\overline{z})$ with the result. Because $L_v(\overline{z}) \in \mathcal{R}^0(\overline{z})$, see (24), we find that the terms with $\log^\ell(z)$ in (53) are in $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}_\mathbb{F}$ and in $\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}^\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{F}$ if $f \in \mathcal{GH}^\mathbb{C}_\Sigma$. Likewise we get that the other terms are in $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{GH}_\mathbb{F}$ or in $\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{GH}^\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{F}$, respectively.

For uniqueness we assume that $f(z) = f_\mathrm{s}(z) + f_\mathrm{r}(z) = g_\mathrm{s}(z) + g_\mathrm{r}(z)$ are two decompositions. We get $f_\mathrm{s}(z) - g_\mathrm{s}(z) = -f_\mathrm{r}(z) + g_\mathrm{r}(z) \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0 \cap \mathcal{GH}_\mathbb{F}$. By Proposition 32 we get $f_\mathrm{s}(z) = g_\mathrm{s}(z)$ and $f_\mathrm{r}(z) = g_\mathrm{r}(z)$. □

The above theorem implies that $f \in \mathcal{GH}^\mathbb{C}_\Sigma$ is in $\mathcal{R}^0$ if and only if its singular part vanishes.

5. Single-valued functions

In the previous section we defined functions which may have one-dimensional singular loci in $\mathbb{C}$ (such as $\log(z-\overline{z})$). For single-valuedness we now consider a finite set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ of point-like singularities.

In the context of pQFT, single-valuedness is a fundamental property of all graphical functions ([17] with a proof in [14]). Graphical functions have single-valued log-Laurent expansions at their singular points 0, 1, and ∞ (conjectured in [14, 17] with a partial proof in [1]).

Definition 35. *Let Σ be a finite set of points in $\mathbb{C}$. A function f on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at $a \in \Sigma$ if*

$$f(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L_a} \sum_{m,\overline{m}=M_a}^{\infty} c^a_{\ell,m,\overline{m}} [\log(z-a)(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]^\ell (z-a)^m (\overline{z}-\overline{a})^{\overline{m}} \tag{59}$$

in some neighborhood of a. A function f has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at ∞ if

$$f(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L_\infty} \sum_{m,\overline{m}=-\infty}^{M_\infty} c^\infty_{\ell,m,\overline{m}} [\log z\overline{z}]^\ell z^m \overline{z}^{\overline{m}} \tag{60}$$

in some neighborhood of ∞. We say that f is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic (i.e. $\mathbb{C}$-valued real-analytic) at $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ if $L_a = M_a = 0$.

The space of $\mathbb{C}$-analytic functions on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma$ with single-valued log-Laurent expansions at $\Sigma \cup \{\infty\}$ is $\mathcal{SV}_\Sigma$. We also define

$$\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{SV}_\Sigma.$$

Remark 36.

1. *If f has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a then f has trivial monodromy at a.*
2. *Because single-valuedness is defined locally it is clear that $\mathcal{SV}_\Sigma$ is a bi-differential $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.*
3. *In general, one expects that every $f \in \mathcal{SV}_\Sigma$ has a primitive in $\mathcal{SV}_\Sigma$. Because integration has a non-local character, it is not easy to construct these primitives in general.*
4. *The space $\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is invariant under complex conjugation, $\overline{\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}} = \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$.*
5. *The space $\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is invariant under linear transformations $z \mapsto az+b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and under the inversion $z \mapsto 1/z$. These transformations generate $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{C}}$; for any $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have $f(\beta(z)) \in \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Example 37. *From the expansion formulae (25), (26) for hyperlogarithms in $\mathcal{HL}_\Sigma$ we deduce that every single-valued linear combination of products of hyperlogarithms in z and $\overline{z}$ has single-valued log-Laurent expansions at $\Sigma \cup \{\infty\}$. These single-valued hyperlogarithms were constructed and studied by F. Brown in* [4, 5]. *Examples of low weights are (D is the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm (1))*

$$\log(z) + \log(\overline{z}) \in \mathcal{SV}_{\{0\}}, \quad \log(1-z) + \log(1-\overline{z}) \in \mathcal{SV}_{\{1\}}, \quad D(z) \in \mathcal{SV}_{\{0,1\}}.$$

Example 38. *The function $f(z) = \log(z - \overline{z} + 1) \in \mathcal{GH}_{\{\overline{z}-1\}}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic in $\mathbb{C}$. It fails to have a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at infinity because $f(z) = \log(z) + \log(1 - (\overline{z}-1)/z)$ has increasing powers of $\overline{z}$ in the expansion at $z = \infty$. Therefore $f \notin \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Example 39. *The function $f(z) = \log(z\overline{z}+1)$ is in $\mathcal{SV}_\emptyset$. It is real-analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ and at infinity,*

$$\log(z\overline{z}+1) = \log(z\overline{z}) + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} (z\overline{z})^m \quad \text{for } |z| > 1.$$

On the other hand, $\log(z\overline{z}-1)$ is singular on the unit circle and hence not in $\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Generalizing the previous example (where $\beta(\overline{z}) = -1/\overline{z}$) we define for any field $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathrm{FLT}^{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z}) = \Big\{ \beta(\overline{z}) = \frac{a\overline{z}+b}{c\overline{z}+d} \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}, c \neq 0, \ \{z = \beta(\overline{z}), z \in \mathbb{C}\} = \emptyset \Big\}. \tag{61}$$

Exclusion of the case $c = 0$ avoids the situation of Example 38.

Example 40. *The function $f(z) = \log(az\overline{z} + bz + c\overline{z} + d)$ is in $\mathcal{SV}_\emptyset$ if $-(c\overline{z}+d)/(a\overline{z}+b) \in \mathrm{FLT}^{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$. By definition of $\mathrm{FLT}^{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z})$ it is clear that f is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic in $\mathbb{C}$. Because $a \neq 0$, f has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at infinity as in Example 39.*

More interesting (and more important in pQFT, see Remark 80 (2)) are single-valued functions with letters which are not in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\emptyset}(\overline{z})$.

Example 41. *We will see in Example 71 that there exists a single-valued primitive of $f(z) = D(z)/(z-\overline{z})$ (see also [11]). Note that the denominator of f vanishes on the real line. This singularity is lifted by the numerator, so that $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{\{0,1\}}$.*

Example 42. *Graphical functions in even dimensions ≥ 4 (conjecturally) are in $\mathcal{SV}_{\{0,1\}}$ [1].*

Example 43. *The function $1/(z-2\overline{z})$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. It has trivial monodromy at 0 but fails to have a single-valued log-Laurant expansion at 0. Therefore $1/(z-2\overline{z}) \notin \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$*

We define the projection onto the anti-residue-free part $\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}$ as the complex conjugate of (41),

$$\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}} f(z) = f(z) - \sum_{a\in\Sigma} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{res}}_a f}{\overline{z}-\overline{a}},$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{res}}_a f$ is the anti-residue of f at a, i.e. the coefficient $c^a_{0,0,-1}$ in (59) (whereas $\mathrm{res}_a f = c^a_{0,-1,0}$).

In analogy to (39) we have on $\mathcal{SV}_\Sigma$

$$\mathrm{res}_a \partial_z = \overline{\mathrm{res}}_a \partial_{\overline{z}} \tag{62}$$

for any $a \in \Sigma$ because both sides are the coefficient $c^a_{1,0,0}$ in (59).

6. Generalized single-valued hyperlogarithms

Generalized single-valued hyperlogarithms are generalized hyperlogarithms which are single-valued in the sense of Definition 35.

6.1. Definitions and first results.

Definition 44. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ be a quadratically closed number field, see (45). Let $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ be finite, see (46). Then*

$$\mathcal{G}_\Sigma = \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{63}$$

is the space of generalized single-valued hyperlogarithms (GSVHs) on $\mathbb{C}\backslash(\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F})$, see Remark 46 (2). Likewise, $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma = \mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of GSVHs with constant coefficients. We also define

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})} \mathcal{G}_\Sigma, \quad \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})} \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma.$$

The weight of $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ is the weight of $f \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ in Definition 23.

Example 45. *Examples of GSVHs are in Examples 37, 39, 40, and 41. Graphical functions are not GSVHs in general because they can fail to be generalized hyperlogarithms.*

By Theorem 24 and Remark 36 it is clear that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a bi-differential algebra which is closed under complex conjugation and transformations in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Remark 46.

(1) *In our context, constants have weight 0. One can set up a motivic version of GSVHs where, e.g., $\zeta(3)$ has weight 3. In this context one may add the motivic weight of constants to the weight of GSVHs which lifts the weight to a grading on GSVHs. We will not pursue this here. The weight in the above definition is a filtration.*

(2) *We will prove in Theorem 54 that single-valued functions in $\mathcal{GH}_\Sigma$ can only have singularities at $\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}$ (and at infinity). We have*

$$\mathcal{G}_\Sigma = \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}.$$

It is possible that a function in $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ with minimal set $\Sigma(\overline{z})$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a point in $\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}$, see Example 88.

We will prove that for any finite set $\Sigma_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$ of singularities the space $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ is closed under taking (anti-)primitives (Theorem 74). To do this we will use the commutative hexagon in Figure 1 where we use the notation $\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\partial_z\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ for the set of functions obtained by differentiating $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The technical difficulty in the proof is to show Corollary 60 which states that an expression in $\partial_z\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ with linearly independent coefficients has single-valued individual terms. We need a series of auxiliary results.

Lemma 47. *Let $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}\backslash\mathbb{F}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a = \beta(\overline{a})$. Let $f(z,\overline{z})$ be $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a. Then, there exists a function $g(z,\overline{z})$ which is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a such that*

$$\frac{f(z,\overline{z})}{z-\beta(\overline{z})} = \frac{f(\beta(\overline{z}),\overline{z})}{z-\beta(\overline{z})} + g(z,\overline{z}).$$

Proof. Fix $\overline{z}$ in a neighborhood of $\overline{a}$ such that f is holomorphic at $z = \beta(\overline{z})$ (we have $\beta(\overline{a}) = a$), $f(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(\overline{z})(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^k$ with anti-holomorphic functions f_k. This gives

$$g(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k+1}(\overline{z})[z-a-(\beta(\overline{z})-\beta(\overline{a}))]^k.$$

Because $\beta(\overline{a}) \neq \infty$ we get that $\beta(\overline{z}) - \beta(\overline{a})$ expands into a Taylor series in $\overline{z}-\overline{a}$ with low degree one. The above series expands into a Taylor series at $(z,\overline{z}) = (a,\overline{a})$. □

Example 48. *Consider $f(z) = \log(z\overline{z})/(z-1/\overline{z})$. Because $\log(z\overline{z}) \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0\}}$ we obtain from Lemma 47 that f is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a for $|a| = 1$ and hence at $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Because $(z-1/\overline{z})^{-1}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at 0 and at ∞ we get $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0,\overline{z}^{-1}\}}$.*

Lemma 49. *Let $\beta_i \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}\backslash\mathbb{F}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a = \beta_i(\overline{a})$ for $i = 1,\ldots,n$ and $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $\sum_i f_i(z,\overline{z})/(z-\beta_i(\overline{z}))$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion (59) at a if and only if $f_i(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) = 0$ for all i in a neighborhood of $\overline{z} = \overline{a}$.*

Proof. By (59) we have

$$f_i(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \frac{[\log(z-a)(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]^\ell}{[(z-a)(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]^{-M}} f_{\ell,i}(z,\overline{z}), \tag{64}$$

with $\mathbb{C}$-analytic functions $f_{\ell,i}$ at a and $L, M \in \mathbb{Z}$. Because $f_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we can use (49) to see that the coefficients of $\log^\ell(\overline{z}-\overline{a})$ in the expansion of f_i are in $\mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Hence $f_{\ell,i} \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Using Lemma 47 for $f_{\ell,i}(z,\overline{z})$ gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_i(z,\overline{z})}{z-\beta_i(\overline{z})} - g(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \frac{[\log(z-a)(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]^\ell}{[(z-a)(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]^{-M}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_{\ell,i}(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z})}{z-\beta_i(\overline{z})} \tag{65}$$

with a function g which has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a.

Assume $f_1(\beta_1(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) = \ldots = f_n(\beta_n(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) = 0$ but there exists an i such that $f_{\ell,i}(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) \neq 0$ for some ℓ. Let L' be the maximum of these ℓ. From (64) we get ($a = \beta_i(\overline{a})$)

$$0 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L'} \frac{[\log(\beta_i(\overline{z})-\beta_i(\overline{a}))(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]^\ell}{[(\beta_i(\overline{z})-\beta_i(\overline{a}))(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]^{-M}} f_{\ell,i}(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z}).$$

Because the $f_{\ell,i}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a we get that the $f_{\ell,i}(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z})$ are anti-holomorphic at $\overline{z} = \overline{a}$. The coefficient of $\log^{L'}(\overline{z}-\overline{a})$ on the right hand side is zero which implies $f_{L',i}(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) = 0$. The contradiction gives $f_{\ell,i}(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) = 0$ for all ℓ, i and the right hand side of (65) vanishes.

If there exists an i such that $f_i(\beta(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) \neq 0$ then $f_{\ell,i}(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) \neq 0$ for some ℓ. Let L' be the maximum of these ℓ. To use Theorem 34 we shift $(z,\overline{z}) \mapsto (z+a,\overline{z}+\overline{a})$ in (65). By partial fraction decomposition in z we obtain a primitive H of the right hand side. From (12) we get

$$H(z,\overline{z}) = L'![(\beta_i(\overline{z}+\overline{a})-a)\overline{z}]^M f_{L',i}(\beta_i(\overline{z}+\overline{a}),\overline{z}+\overline{a}))L_{0^{\{L'\}}(\beta_i(\overline{z}+\overline{a})-a)}(z) + \ldots.$$

Because $f_{\ell,i} \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we get $H \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The above term of H is in $\mathcal{S}^0_\Sigma$ for $\Sigma(\overline{z}) = \{0, \beta_i(\overline{z}+\overline{a})-a\}$.

In Theorem 34 we get $H_{\mathrm{s}} \neq 0$. Hence $H \notin \mathcal{R}^0 \subset \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})\mathcal{R}^0$. The expansion of H in z generates poles in $\overline{z}$ of increasing order (see the proof of Proposition 32). This structure is preserved upon differentiation (this is trivial for the maximum power of $\log(z\overline{z})$). Because $g(z+a,\overline{z}+\overline{a}) \in \mathcal{R}^0$ in (65) this implies that $\sum_i f_i(z+a,\overline{z}+\overline{a})/(z+a-\beta_i(\overline{z}+\overline{a})) \notin \mathcal{R}^0$. So, $\sum_i f_i(z,\overline{z})/(z-\beta_i(\overline{z}))$ has no single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a. □

Lemma 50. *Let $\beta_i(\overline{z}) = a_i\overline{z} + b_i$ with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$, $a_i \neq 0$, for $i = 1,\ldots,n$, and $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $\sum_i f_i(z,\overline{z})/(z-\beta_i(\overline{z}))$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion (60) at ∞ if and only if $f_i(\beta_i(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) = 0$ for all i in a neighborhood of $\overline{z} = \infty$.*

Proof. Let $g(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_i f_i(1/z,1/\overline{z})/(1/z-\beta_i(1/\overline{z}))$. We need to study g at $z=\overline{z}=0$. We get

$$g(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_i(1/z,1/\overline{z})}{1/z - a_i/\overline{z} - b_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-z\overline{z}f_i(1/z,1/\overline{z})}{(b_i\overline{z}+a_i)(z-\overline{z}/(b_i\overline{z}+a_i))}.$$

Consider $\tilde{f}_i = -z\overline{z}f_i(1/z,1/\overline{z})/(b_i\overline{z}+a_i)$ and $\tilde{\beta}_i(\overline{z}) = \overline{z}/(b_i\overline{z}+a_i)$. We have $\tilde{f}_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ by Theorem 24 (5) and $\tilde{\beta}_i(0)=0$ because $a_i \neq 0$. With Lemma 49 we obtain that g has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at 0 if and only if

$$-\frac{\tilde{\beta}_i(\overline{z})\overline{z}f_i(1/\tilde{\beta}_i(\overline{z}),1/\overline{z})}{b_i\overline{z}+a_i} = -\frac{\overline{z}^2 f_i(a_i/\overline{z}+b_i,1/\overline{z})}{(b_i\overline{z}+a_i)^2} = 0$$

for all i in a neighborhood of $\overline{z}=0$. This is equivalent to $f_i(a_i\overline{z}+b_i,\overline{z})=0$ for all i in a neighborhood of $\overline{z}=\infty$. □

Example 51. *Consider $f(z) = D(z)/(z-\overline{z})$, see Example 41. By Example 37 we have $D \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1\}}$. If $D = D(z,\overline{z})$ is considered as a function in $z,\overline{z}$ then $D(\overline{z},\overline{z}) = 0$ in the neighborhood of any $\overline{z} = \overline{a} \in \mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$. From Lemma 47 we get that f is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at $\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0,1\}$ and hence in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$. By Lemmas 49 and 50 the function f has single-valued log-Laurent expansions at $z=0,1,\infty$. So, $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$.*

Theorem 52. *Let $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ be finite. Let $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$, see (47). Every $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ has a unique $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma$ representation as*

$$f(z) = \sum_{\phi\in\mathcal{B}_\Sigma} \phi(z)h_\phi(z) \quad \text{with} \quad h_\phi \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{66}$$

Proof. Existence and uniqueness of a $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma$ representation with $h_\phi \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ follow from Theorem 24 (1). We need to show that $h_\phi \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$.

We first show that $h_\phi \in \mathcal{R}^0$. From $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ and Theorem 34 we get that in the decomposition of f we have $0 = f_{\mathrm{s}} = \sum_\phi \phi h_{\phi,\mathrm{s}}$ with $h_\phi = h_{\phi,\mathrm{r}} + h_{\phi,\mathrm{s}}$ and $h_{\phi,\mathrm{r}}, h_{\phi,\mathrm{s}} \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. From Theorem 24 (1) we get $h_{\phi,\mathrm{s}}=0$ by linear independence of the $\phi(z)$. Therefore $h_\phi = h_{\phi,\mathrm{r}} \in \mathcal{R}^0$.

Consider the monodromy $\mathcal{M}_0$ at 0, see Section 3.4. Because $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have $f = \mathcal{M}_0 f = \sum_\phi \phi \mathcal{M}_0 h_\phi$ with $\mathcal{M}_0 h_\phi \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$. By linear independence of the ϕ we get $\mathcal{M}_0 h_\phi = h_\phi$. We rearrange the (multivalued) log-Laurent expansion of h_ϕ at $z=0$ so that it has the terms $(\log z\overline{z})^\ell (\log\overline{z})^{\overline{\ell}} z^m \overline{z}^{\overline{m}}$. We get $\mathcal{M}_0(\log z\overline{z})^\ell(\log\overline{z})^{\overline{\ell}} = (\log z\overline{z})^\ell(\log\overline{z})^{\overline{\ell}} - 2\pi\mathrm{i}\overline{\ell}(\log\overline{z})^{\overline{\ell}-1}$ plus terms with lower powers in $\log\overline{z}$. If there exist terms with $\overline{\ell}\geq 1$ we consider the two leading powers in $\log\overline{z}$ yielding $\overline{\ell}=0$. By contradiction, h_ϕ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at 0.

For general $a\in\mathbb{C}$ we use $f(z+a)\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ which follows from Theorem 24 (5) and Remark 36 (5). We get that $h_\phi(z+a)$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at 0. Equivalently, $h_\phi(z)$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a. Considering $f(1/z)$ we find that $h_\phi(1/z)$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at 0. Therefore $h_\phi(z)$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at ∞.

In the neighborhood of the expansion points single-valued log-Laurent expansions are $\mathbb{C}$-analytic. By compactness of $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$ it follows that h_ϕ only has a finite number of singularities. Hence $h_\phi \in \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$. This implies $h_\phi \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$. □

Remark 53. *It is possible to define $\delta_\beta^{\mathrm{dR}} = \mathrm{res}_\beta \partial_z$ on $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ for any $\beta(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z})$ in analogy to (19). Theorem 52 implies that $\delta_\beta^{\mathrm{dR}}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$. To prove this property is a core difficulty in this article. In Corollary 60 we prove the stronger result that $(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}\delta_\beta^{\mathrm{dR}}$ maps $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ into $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$.*

Theorem 54. *let $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ be finite. Then $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma = \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ then $M_a = 0$ in the single-valued log-Laurent expansions (59), (60) at $a \in (\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F})\cup\{\infty\}$.*

Proof. Any function in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ has single-valued log-Laurent expansions with $M_a=0$. For the expansion in z this follows from (49), Lemma 6, and (26). By complex conjugation, Theorem 24 (4), this also holds for the expansion in $\overline{z}$. So, we only need to prove the first statement.

We first show that $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}$. We trivially have $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \supseteq \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}$. For $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}$ we fix $a \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F})$. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ have weight n. We prove by induction over n that f is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a. For $n=0$ the function f is constant and the claim is trivial. If $n\geq 1$ then $\partial_z f(z) = g(z)/(z-\beta(\overline{z})) \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ with $\beta(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z})$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ with weight $n-1$. By induction g is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a.

For β we have two possibilities: If $a \neq \beta(\overline{a})$ then $(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a. Therefore $\partial_z f$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a. Otherwise $a = \beta(\overline{a}) \notin \Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}$. Therefore $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}$. We use Lemma 49 yielding $g(\beta(\overline{z}), \overline{z}) = 0$ in a neighborhood of $\overline{z} = \overline{a}$. From Lemma 47 we get that $\partial_z f$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a.

Consider the single-valued log-Laurent expansion of $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ at a. By explicit differentiation with respect to z we see that a $\mathbb{C}$-analytic $\partial_z f$ comes from a $\mathbb{C}$-analytic f up to a pole term in $\mathbb{C}[1/(\overline{z}-\overline{a})]$. The pole term is zero because $M_a = 0$. Hence $f \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}$.

Now, let $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ and fix $a \notin \Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}$. We need to show that f is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a. Consider a basis of $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ with each element in $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$. From Theorem 52 we get $h_\phi \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence, $h_\phi \in \mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}$. Therefore h_ϕ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a.

We consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent variables. The function $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ has poles at $\{z = \beta(\overline{z}), \beta(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z})\}$ and at $\{\overline{z} = \overline{b}, b \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}\}$. Because $a \neq \beta(\overline{z})$, ϕ is holomorphic at $z = a$. Hence f is holomorphic at a. Likewise, ϕ is anti-holomorphic at $\overline{z} = \overline{a}$ because $z \neq \beta(\overline{a})$ and $\overline{a} \neq \overline{b}$ for all $b \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}$. So, f is anti-holomorphic at $\overline{z} = \overline{a}$. Because f has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a we get that f is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a. □

Definition 55. *Let $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ be finite. With $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}(\overline{z})$ as in (61) we define*

$$\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z}) = \mathbb{C}[z, \overline{z}, ((z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1})_{\beta(\overline{z})\in\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}(\overline{z})}, ((z-b)^{-1}, (\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{-1})_{b\in\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}}]. \tag{67}$$

Lemma 56. *The weight zero piece of $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ is $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})$: $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z}) = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})$.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ in analogy to Example 40.

Assume that a canceled fraction $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma \cap \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ has a factor $z-\beta(\overline{z})$ with $\beta(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \backslash (\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}(\overline{z}) \cup \mathbb{F})$ in the denominator,

$$f(z,\overline{z}) = \frac{P(z,\overline{z})}{(z-\beta(\overline{z}))Q(z,\overline{z})},$$

with polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[z,\overline{z}]$. We have $P(z,\overline{z})/(z-\beta(\overline{z})) \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma$. By definition of $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}$ there either exists an $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a = \beta(\overline{a})$ or β is linear but not constant. In any case we get from Lemmas 49 and 50 that $P(\beta(\overline{z}),\overline{z}) = 0$ in some neighborhood of $\overline{z} = \overline{a}$ or ∞ (respectively). Therefore, the factor $z-\beta(\overline{z})$ cancels in f. By contradiction we obtain $f \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})$. □

Definition 57. *Let $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ be finite. Then*

$$\partial_z \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} = \langle (z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1},\, \beta(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \rangle_{\mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}} \tag{68}$$

is the space of generalized hyperlogarithms with simple pole coefficients. In analogy to (63) we define $\partial_z \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} = \partial_z \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, $\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} = \partial_{\overline{z}}(\partial_z \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}})$ and

$$\partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})} \partial_z \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \partial_{\overline{z}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \overline{\partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})} \partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Clearly, $\partial_z(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \subseteq \partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We also have $\partial_{\overline{z}}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}) \subseteq \partial_{\overline{z}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, see **I8** in Section 2 and Lemmas 14 and 15. We will show that equality holds (Corollary 64) which also implies that $\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \partial_z(\partial_{\overline{z}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Proposition 58. *Let $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ be finite and let $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\langle (z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}, \beta(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})}$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z}) \partial_z \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\Sigma$,*

$$f(z) = \sum_{\phi\in\mathcal{B}_\Sigma} \phi(z) h_\phi(z), \quad h_\phi \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}},$$

then $\phi h_\phi \in \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. The statement of the theorem is stable under basis transformations. Therefore, we can assume without restriction that $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma$ is the basis of partial fractions in z and $\overline{z}$ defined in Remark 22.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{\beta,b,m,\overline{m}} g_{m,\overline{m}}^{\beta,b}(z,\overline{z})(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^m (\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}} \in \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z}) \partial_z \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$ where the sum is finite with $g_{m,\overline{m}}^{\beta,b} \in \mathcal{GH}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\beta(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z})$, $b \in \mathbb{F}$, $m, \overline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$. From Theorem 52 we get $g_{m,\overline{m}}^{\beta,b} \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Fix $a \in \mathbb{C}$. If $a \neq \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ or $\beta(\overline{a}) \neq a$ then $(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a. In this case $g_{m,\overline{m}}^{\beta,b}(z,\overline{z})(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^m(\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}}$ inherits the single-valued log-Laurent expansion from $g_{m,\overline{m}}^{\beta,b}$. This also holds in the case $\beta = a$.

By subtracting these terms from the sum we obtain a reduced sum $\sum_{\beta,b,\overline{m}} g_{-1,\overline{m}}^{\beta,b}(z,\overline{z})(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}(\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}}$ where all β have the property $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}$ and $\beta(\overline{a}) = a$. We have $m = -1$ because $(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1} \notin \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})$.

The reduced sum has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a (because f and all subtracted terms have such an expansion). Lemma 49 for $n=1$ and $f_1(z,\overline{z})=\sum_{b,\overline{m}} g^{\beta,b}_{-1,\overline{m}}(z,\overline{z})(\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}}$ gives $f_1(\beta(\overline{z}),\overline{z})=0$ in some neighborhood of $\overline{z}=\overline{a}$. We have $g^{\beta,b}_{-1,\overline{m}}(\beta(\overline{z}),\overline{z})\in\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and by linear independence (Theorem 4 (1)) we get $g^{\beta,b}_{-1,\overline{m}}(\beta(\overline{z}),\overline{z})=0$ for all b, $\overline{m}$. Lemma 49 for $n=1$ and $f_1(z,\overline{z})=g^{\beta,b}_{-1,\overline{m}}(z,\overline{z})(\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}}$ gives that $g^{\beta,b}_{-1,\overline{m}}(z,\overline{z})(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}(\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}}$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a.

At infinity we observe that terms with $\beta\in\mathbb{F}$ or $\beta(\infty)\neq\infty$ trivially have single-valued log-Laurent expansions at ∞. We reduce to a sum $\sum_{\beta,b,\overline{m}} g^{\beta,b}_{-1,\overline{m}}(z,\overline{z})(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}(\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}}$ with linear $\beta\notin\mathbb{F}$ (again $(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}\notin\mathcal{O}^{\emptyset}_{\Sigma}(z,\overline{z})$). We use Lemma 50 to prove that $g^{\beta,b}_{-1,\overline{m}}(z,\overline{z})(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}(\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}}$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at ∞.

By compactness of $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$, every term $g^{\beta,b}_{-1,\overline{m}}(z,\overline{z})(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}(\overline{z}-\overline{b})^{\overline{m}}$ has a finite number of singular points and hence is in $\mathcal{SV}^{\mathbb{C}}$. □

Example 59. *Proposition 58 does not generalize to all functions $f\in\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}$. Consider $f(z)=\log(z\overline{z})/(z-1/\overline{z})$ (see Example 48). We have $f\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,\overline{z}^{-1}\}}$ and*

$$\partial_z f(z)=\frac{\overline{z}}{z-1/\overline{z}}-\frac{\overline{z}}{z}-\frac{\log(z\overline{z})}{(z-1/\overline{z})^2}\in\mathcal{G}_{\{0,\overline{z}^{-1}\}}.$$

Expansion at $z=0$ shows that the terms $\overline{z}/(z-1/\overline{z})$ and $-\log(z\overline{z})/(z-1/\overline{z})^2$ are not in $\mathcal{SV}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Corollary 60. *Let $\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ be finite. Every individual term in the $\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$ span of $\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$ (see (68)) is single-valued (i.e. in $\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$).*

Proof. Extend $\{(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1}\}$ to a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\langle(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{-1},\beta(\overline{z})\in\Sigma(\overline{z})\rangle_{\mathcal{O}^{\emptyset}_{\Sigma}(z,\overline{z})}$ and use Proposition 58. □

6.2. **The commutative hexagon.**

Lemma 61. *The kernels of ∂_z and $\partial_{\overline{z}}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z)$, respectively. In particular, the kernels of ∂_z and $\partial_{\overline{z}}$ in $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $f\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with $\partial_z f=0$. Then, by Theorem 24 (2), we have $f\in\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$. Because $f\in\mathcal{SV}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we get from Proposition 8 that $f\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$. For $f\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we get $f\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})\cap\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}=\mathbb{C}$. The result for the kernel of $\partial_{\overline{z}}$ follows by complex conjugation. □

Definition 62. *Let $f\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z=F(z)\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$$

if $\partial_z F=f$ and $F(0)=0$ (with regularization, see Section 3.2). For $g\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we say that a function $G\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a single-valued primitive of g, i.e. $G=\int_{\mathrm{sv}} g\,\mathrm{d}z$, if $\partial_z G=g$. We define the complex conjugate $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\mathrm{d}\overline{z}$ such that complex conjugation commutes with integration.

Note that by Lemma 61 $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\mathrm{d}z$ is unique on $\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ whereas it is multivalued on $\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Single-valued primitives on $\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ differ by anti-analytic functions in $\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ which, by Proposition 8, are in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$.

We need the commutativity of the hexagon in Figure 1 to prove the existence of $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\mathrm{d}z$ (which will be extended to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ in Definition 72).

Theorem 63. *The hexagon in Figure 1 commutes. In particular, for any $f\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ there exists a unique single-valued primitive $\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f\mathrm{d}z\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$.*

Proof. We first show that the hexagon is well-defined. Let $f\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The primitive $\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f\mathrm{d}z$ is defined up to an anti-analytic rational function $g\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})\cap\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. We get $g(\overline{z})=\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}} c_a/(\overline{z}-\overline{a})$ with $c_a\in\mathbb{C}$. This implies $\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}g=0$ which ensures that the hexagon is well-defined.

Now, consider $f\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. We use induction over the weight n of f in the set $\{-\infty,0,1,2,\ldots\}$.

If $n=-\infty$ then $f=0$ and $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\pi_{\partial_z}f\mathrm{d}z=0$. Likewise, we have $G=\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\partial_{\overline{z}}f\mathrm{d}z=0$ and $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}G\,\mathrm{d}\overline{z}=0$.

Now, assume that $n\geq 0$. By linearity and Corollary 60 we may assume that

$$f(z)=\frac{h(z)}{z-\beta(\overline{z})},\quad h\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}. \tag{69}$$

In particular (see Definition 23), h is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of iterated integrals

$$L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)=I(0,a_1\ldots a_\ell,\overline{z})I(0,\beta_1(\overline{z})\ldots\beta_m(\overline{z}),z),$$

where $\ell+m\le n$, $a_i\in\mathbb{F}$, $i=1,\dots,\ell$, $\beta_k(\overline{z})\in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$, $k=1,\dots,m$. By **I8** in Section 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\overline{z}}\frac{L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)}{z-\beta(\overline{z})} &= [\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z\log(z-\beta(\overline{z}))]L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)\\ &\quad+[\partial_z\log(z-\beta(\overline{z}))]\left[\frac{L_{v^\ell}(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)}{\overline{z}-a_\ell}+L_v(\overline{z})\sum_{k=1}^{m}\Big(\partial_{\overline{z}}\log\frac{\beta_{k+1}-\beta_k}{\beta_k-\beta_{k-1}}\Big)L_{w(\overline{z})^k}(z)\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_0=0$ and $\beta_{m+1}=z$. The superscripts in v^ℓ and $w(\overline{z})^k$ refer to letters which have to be removed from the words v and $w(\overline{z})$, respectively. For the empty word e we define $L_{e^i}=0$. Using Lemma 15 (2) we write the term $[\partial_z\log(z-\beta(\overline{z}))]L_v(\overline{z})[\partial_{\overline{z}}\log(z-\beta_m(\overline{z}))]L_{w(\overline{z})^m}(z)$ in the above sum as

$$\left[[\partial_{\overline{z}}\log(z-\beta(\overline{z}))][\partial_z\log(z-\beta_m(\overline{z}))]+[\partial_{\overline{z}}\log(\beta(\overline{z})-\beta_m(\overline{z}))]\Big[\partial_z\log\frac{z-\beta(\overline{z})}{z-\beta_m(\overline{z})}\Big]\right]L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})^m}(z).$$

We get

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}\frac{L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)}{z-\beta(\overline{z})}=\partial_z[(\partial_{\overline{z}}\log(z-\beta(\overline{z})))L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)]+g_{v,w(\overline{z})}(z) \tag{70}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}g_{v,w(\overline{z})}(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\partial_{\overline{z}}\log\frac{\beta_{k+1}(\overline{z})-\beta_k(\overline{z})}{\beta_k(\overline{z})-\beta_{k-1}(\overline{z})}\Big|_{\beta_{m+1}=\beta}\right)\frac{L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})^k}(z)}{z-\beta(\overline{z})}+[\partial_{\overline{z}}\log(\overline{z}-a_\ell)]\frac{L_{v^\ell}(\overline{z})I_{w(\overline{z})}(z)}{z-\beta(\overline{z})}\\ &\quad-[\partial_{\overline{z}}\log(\beta(\overline{z})-\beta_m(\overline{z}))]\frac{L_v(\overline{z})L_{w(\overline{z})^m}(z)}{z-\beta_m(\overline{z})}.\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

We return to the function f by summing over the words v and $w(\overline{z})$ with their coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$. Equation (70) becomes

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}f(z)=\partial_z[(\partial_{\overline{z}}\log(z-\beta(\overline{z})))h(z)]+g(z)=\partial_z[-f(z)\partial_{\overline{z}}\beta(\overline{z})]+g(z), \tag{72}$$

where g is given by the sum over the $g_{v,w(\overline{z})}$. Because $\partial_z f,\partial_{\overline{z}}f\in\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$ we get $g\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$. By (71) we get $g\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})\partial_z\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Hence $g\in\mathcal{O}^{\emptyset}_{\Sigma}(z,\overline{z})\partial_z\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$ for some $\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$. We use Proposition 58 and obtain that in the partial fraction basis of Remark 22

$$g(z,\overline{z})=\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}}\frac{g_a(z,\overline{z})}{\overline{z}-\overline{a}},\quad g_a\in\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where we used Lemma 15 (1) to restrict the (finite) sum to values in $\mathbb{F}$. From (71) we get $g_a\in\partial_z\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$, hence $g_a\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

The weight of the g_a is less than n. We use induction to obtain primitives $G_a\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ for the g_a. Altogether we get

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}f(z)=\partial_zG(z)\quad\text{with}\quad G(z)=[\partial_{\overline{z}}\log(z-\beta(\overline{z}))]h(z)+\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}}\frac{G_a(z)}{\overline{z}-\overline{a}}.$$

The first term in G is in $\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$, see (72). By Lemma 14 it is in $\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and hence it is in $\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The second term is in $\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ because $G_a\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Therefore $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\partial_{\overline{z}}f\,\mathrm{d}z=G$.

Now, we construct a single-valued primitive of $\pi_{\partial_z}f$. Consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent variables and determine a primitive F_1 of $\pi_{\partial_z}f$ in $\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ by multivalued integration with respect to z using (11). With $G_1=\partial_{\overline{z}}F_1\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we have

$$\partial_zG_1(z,\overline{z})=\partial_z\partial_{\overline{z}}F_1(z,\overline{z})=\partial_{\overline{z}}\pi_{\partial_z}f(z,\overline{z})=\partial_{\overline{z}}f(z,\overline{z})=\partial_zG(z,\overline{z})=\partial_z\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}G(z,\overline{z}).$$

By Theorem 24 (2) we get

$$G_1(z,\overline{z})=\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}G(z,\overline{z})+G_2(\overline{z}),\quad G_2(\overline{z})\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{HL}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z}).$$

Multivalued integration with respect to $\overline{z}$ provides an anti-primitive $F_2(\overline{z})\in\mathcal{HL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ of $G_2(\overline{z})$. We define

$$F(z,\overline{z})=F_1(z,\overline{z})-F_2(\overline{z})\in\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$$

and get

$$\partial_zF(z,\overline{z})=\pi_{\partial_z}f(z,\overline{z})\quad\text{and}\quad\partial_{\overline{z}}F(z,\overline{z})=G_1(z,\overline{z})-G_2(\overline{z})=\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}G(z,\overline{z})=\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\partial_{\overline{z}}f(z,\overline{z})\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

We have to show that F is single valued. Fix a point a in $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$. The function $\partial_z F=\pi_{\partial_z} f\in\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion (59), (60) at a. By explicit integration $\partial_z F$ has a primitive $\tilde F$ with a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a. The function $F\in\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ has a (possibly multivalued) log-Laurent expansion at $(z,\overline z)=(a,\overline a)$ which has to coincide with $\tilde F$ up to an anti-analytic function. Because $\partial_{\overline z}(F-\tilde F)$ is single-valued at a we get for $a\in\mathbb{C}$,

$$\partial_{\overline z}[F(z)-\tilde F(z)]=\sum_{\overline m=M_a}^{\infty} c_{\overline m}(\overline z-\overline a)^{\overline m},$$

with an analogous formula for $a=\infty$. By explicit integration we get

$$F(z)=\tilde F(z)+\sum_{\overline m\neq -1}\frac{c_{\overline m}}{\overline m+1}(\overline z-\overline a)^{\overline m+1}+c_{-1}\log(\overline z-\overline a)+d \tag{73}$$

for some constant $d\in\mathbb{C}$. Because $\partial_{\overline z}F$ has no anti-residues we get

$$\overline{\operatorname{res}}_a\partial_{\overline z}\tilde F=-c_{-1}.$$

By (62) this implies $\operatorname{res}_a\partial_z\tilde F=-c_{-1}$. If we apply $\operatorname{res}_a\partial_z$ to both sides of (73) and use that $\partial_z F$ is residue-free we obtain $0=-c_{-1}$. From (73) and the single-valuedness of $\tilde F$ we get that F is single-valued at a.

Because $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$ is compact F can only have a finite number of singularities, hence $F\in\mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then ($F(0)$ is the regularized limit of $F(z)$ at $z=0$)

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\pi_{\partial_z}f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z=\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\pi_{\partial_{\overline z}}G(z)\,\mathrm{d}\overline z=F(z)-F(0)\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$$

is the (unique) single-valued primitive of $\pi_{\partial_z}f$ and anti-primitive of $\pi_{\partial_{\overline z}}G$. □

Note that the proof of the existence of single-valued primitives is fully constructive, see Section 7. By symmetry under complex conjugation (Theorem 24 (4)) we also get single-valued anti-primitives for functions in $\partial_{\overline z}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. In general, the set of letters $\Sigma(\overline z)$ is not stable under taking single-valued (anti-)primitives. It may be augmented by constants related to factorizing differences of FLTs in Lemma 15 (1).

Corollary 64. *Every $f\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ ($\overline f\in\partial_{\overline z}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$) has a single-valued (anti-)primitive $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}f\mathrm{d}z$ ($\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\overline f\mathrm{d}\overline z$). In particular,*

$$\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}=\partial_z(\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}),\quad \partial_{\overline z}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}=\partial_{\overline z}(\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}),\quad \partial_{\overline z}\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}=\partial_{\overline z}\partial_z(\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}})=\partial_z(\partial_{\overline z}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}). \tag{74}$$

Proof. Let $f\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$ for some finite $\Sigma(\overline z)\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline z)$. We have $f\in\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ for some finite set $\Sigma_0\subset\mathbb{C}$. Define

$$F(z)=\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\pi_{\partial_z}f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z+\sum_{a\in\Sigma_0}(\operatorname{res}_a f)[L_a(z)+L_{\overline a}(\overline z)].$$

The first term exists by Theorem 63. We get $F\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\partial_z F=f$ by (41), and $F(0)=0$. Hence $F=\int_{\mathrm{sv}}f\mathrm{d}z$. Equations (74) follow. □

6.3. **Examples.**

Example 65. *For $\Sigma\subset\mathbb{F}$ we inductively define single-valued hyperlogarithms $\mathcal{L}_w(z)$ for $w\in\Sigma^*$ by (see Definition 75 for an extension to GSVHs)*

$$\mathcal{L}_e(z)=1,\quad \mathcal{L}_{wa}(z)=\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\frac{\mathcal{L}_w(z)}{z-a}\,\mathrm{d}z,\quad w\in\Sigma^*,\ a\in\Sigma. \tag{75}$$

For every word $w\in\Sigma^*$ we get that $\mathcal{L}_w\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$ is a sum of terms $L_{\overline u}(\overline z)L_v(z)$ with $u,v\in\Sigma^*$ (see [4, 5] and Example 26).

As in the commutative hexagon in Figure 2 the subtraction of residues vital.

Example 66. *Let $f(z)=z^{-1}\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0\}}$ (see Example 10). Then $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z=\mathcal{L}_0(z)=\log(z\overline z)$ whereas $\partial_{\overline z}f=0$. The hexagon commutes because $\pi_{\partial_z}f=0$.*

Example 67. *Let $f(z)=\mathcal{L}_a(z)/(z-b)\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{a,b\}}$ for $a,b\in\mathbb{F}$, $a\neq b$. Then $\pi_{\partial_z}f(z)=[\mathcal{L}_a(z)-\mathcal{L}_a(b)]/(z-b)=[L_a(z)+L_{\overline a}(\overline z)-\mathcal{L}_a(b)]/(z-b)$ and*

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\pi_{\partial_z}f(z)\mathrm{d}z=\mathcal{L}_{ab}(z)-\mathcal{L}_a(b)\mathcal{L}_b(z)\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{a,b\}}.$$

We use the commutative hexagon in Figure 1 to obtain the representation (49) for $\mathcal{L}_{ab}(z)$. Multivalued integration of $\pi_{\partial_z} f(z)$ gives

$$L_{ab}(z) + L_{\overline{a}}(\overline{z})L_b(z) - \mathcal{L}_a(b)L_b(z) + g(\overline{z}) \tag{76}$$

for some anti-analytic function $g(\overline{z})$.

On the other hand we have $\partial_{\overline{z}} f(z) = 1/[(\overline{z}-\overline{a})(z-b)]$ and $\int_{\mathrm{sv}} \partial_{\overline{z}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = \mathcal{L}_b(z)/(\overline{z}-\overline{a})$. Subtraction of the anti-residue gives $(\mathcal{L}_b(z) - \mathcal{L}_b(a))/(\overline{z}-\overline{a})$. Multivalued integration with respect to $\overline{z}$ leads to

$$L_{\overline{a}}(\overline{z})L_b(z) + L_{\overline{b}\overline{a}}(\overline{z}) - \mathcal{L}_b(a)L_{\overline{a}}(\overline{z}) + h(z) \tag{77}$$

for some analytic function $h(z)$. The term $L_{\overline{a}}(\overline{z})L_b(z)$ has both, a z- and a $\overline{z}$-dependence and is hence reproduced in (76). This is a general feature of the approach: the calculation of these terms in either of the integrations is redundant. From the anti-analytic terms in the above equation we read off $g(\overline{z})$ while the analytic terms in (76) provide $h(z)$,

$$g(\overline{z}) = L_{\overline{b}\overline{a}}(\overline{z}) - \mathcal{L}_b(a)L_{\overline{a}}(\overline{z}), \qquad h(z) = L_{ab}(z) - \mathcal{L}_a(b)L_b(z).$$

The hexagon commutes. We get

$$\mathcal{L}_{ab}(z) = L_{ab}(z) + L_{\overline{a}}(\overline{z})L_b(z) + L_{\overline{b}\overline{a}}(\overline{z}) + \mathcal{L}_a(b)L_b(\overline{z}) - \mathcal{L}_b(a)L_{\overline{a}}(\overline{z})$$

with $\mathcal{L}_{ab}(0) = 0$ (as required). We see that the projections π_{∂_z}, $\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}$ are vital for the hexagon to commute. Note that for the calculation of $\mathcal{L}_{ab}$ it suffices to consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent variables and extract $g(\overline{z})$ from the limit $z = 0$ in (77), see (83).

The above examples are single-valued hyperlogarithms [4, 5]. In the following examples we construct genuine GSVHs of weights 1, 2, and 3.

Example 68. *Consider $f(z) = (z + 1/\overline{z})^{-1} \in \partial_z \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{-\overline{z}^{-1}\}}$, see Example 39. Because $z\overline{z} \geq 0$ the function f has no singularities in $\mathbb{C}$. So, $\pi_{\partial_z} f = f$ and*

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = \log(z + 1/\overline{z}) + g(\overline{z}).$$

Differentiation of f with respect to $\overline{z}$ yields $(z\overline{z}+1)^{-2}$ which integrates (with $\int_{\mathrm{sv}} \mathrm{d}z$) to $(\overline{z}+1/z)^{-1} \in \partial_{\overline{z}} \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The result has no anti-residue, so that

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = \log(\overline{z} + 1/z) + h(z).$$

Comparison of both equations leads to

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} \frac{1}{z\overline{z}+1}\,\mathrm{d}z = \frac{\log(z\overline{z}+1)}{\overline{z}}.$$

Example 69. *Consider $f(z) = \log(z\overline{z})/(z-1/\overline{z}) \in \partial_z \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,\overline{z}^{-1}\}}$, see Example 48, Section 8.1, and Example 4.11 in* [18]*. The singularity at $z = 1/\overline{z}$ is lifted by the numerator. We have $\pi_{\partial_z} f = f$ and with $\log(z\overline{z}) = \mathcal{L}_0(z) = L_0(z) + L_0(\overline{z})$ we obtain*

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = L_{0,\overline{z}^{-1}}(z) + L_0(\overline{z})L_{\overline{z}^{-1}}(z) + g(\overline{z}).$$

Differentiation of f with respect to $\overline{z}$ yields $-\log(z\overline{z})/(z\overline{z}-1)^2 + 1/(z\overline{z}-1)$. The sum is in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ although the individual terms are not. We use integration by parts for the first term to obtain

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} \left(-\frac{\log(z\overline{z})}{(z\overline{z}-1)^2} + \frac{1}{z\overline{z}-1}\right)\mathrm{d}z = \frac{\log(z\overline{z})}{\overline{z}-1/z} \in \partial_{\overline{z}} \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}. \tag{78}$$

The result has no anti-residues and we get

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = L_{0,z^{-1}}(\overline{z}) + L_0(z)L_{z^{-1}}(\overline{z}) + h(z). \tag{79}$$

The result is not in the $z, \overline{z}$ basis of (49). With the role of z and $\overline{z}$ interchanged, we seem to need a transformation into the $z, \overline{z}$ basis before we are able to extract $g(\overline{z})$ and $h(z)$. More efficiently, we use the redundancy of the procedure, set $z = 0$ in (78) (for general $\overline{z}$), and determine $g(\overline{z})$ by multivalued integration with respect to $\overline{z}$, see (83). Because $\lim_{z\to 0} \log(z\overline{z})/(\overline{z} - 1/z) = 0$ for all $\overline{z}$, we get $g(\overline{z}) = 0$,

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} \frac{\log(z\overline{z})}{z\overline{z}-1}\,\mathrm{d}z = \frac{L_{0,\overline{z}^{-1}}(z) + L_0(\overline{z})L_{\overline{z}^{-1}}(z)}{\overline{z}}.$$

Example 70. *To show that we can also use the commutative hexagon to integrate with* $\int_{\rm sv}\mathrm{d}\overline{z}$ *in the* $z,\overline{z}$ *basis, we now consider the complex conjugate of the previous example. We take* $f(z)=\log(z\overline{z})/(\overline{z}-1/z)\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. *The complex conjugate commutative hexagon gives the complex conjugates of the previous equations. We use the complex conjugate of (79) to obtain*

$$\int_{\rm sv} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}\overline{z}=L_{0,\overline{z}^{-1}}(z)+L_0(\overline{z})L_{\overline{z}^{-1}}(z)+h(\overline{z}).$$

The right hand side is in the desired $z,\overline{z}$ *basis and we determine* $h(\overline{z})$ *by taking the limit* $z=0$ *in* $f(z)$ *before the multivalued integration with respect to* $\overline{z}$. *Because* $f(0,\overline{z})=0$ *we obtain*

$$\int_{\rm sv}\frac{\log(z\overline{z})}{z\overline{z}-1}\,\mathrm{d}\overline{z}=\frac{L_{0,\overline{z}^{-1}}(z)+L_0(\overline{z})L_{\overline{z}^{-1}}(z)}{z}.$$

Example 71. *Consider* $[\mathcal{L}_{01}(z)-\mathcal{L}_{10}(z)]/(z-\overline{z})=4\mathrm{i}D(z)/(z-\overline{z})$, *see Example 51 and Section 8.3. The single-valued primitive of* $D(z)/(z-\overline{z})\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ *was first constructed (using the Galois coaction) in* [11]. *The construction with the commutative hexagon is analogous to the previous examples. We obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\rm sv}\frac{\mathcal{L}_{01}(z)-\mathcal{L}_{10}(z)}{z-\overline{z}}\,\mathrm{d}z &= L_{01\overline{z}}(z)-L_{10\overline{z}}(z)+L_0(\overline{z})L_{1\overline{z}}(z)-L_1(\overline{z})L_{0\overline{z}}(z)\\ &\quad+L_{10}(\overline{z})L_{\overline{z}}(z)-L_{01}(\overline{z})L_{\overline{z}}(z)+L_{100}(\overline{z})-L_{101}(\overline{z})\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}.\end{aligned}$$

This is the first (by weight) GSVH in the alphabet $0,1,\overline{z}$ *which is not a single-valued multiple polylogarithm. The alphabet* $0,1,\overline{z}$ *is of particular importance in pQFT because it is ubiquitous in dimensionally regularized amplitudes* [18, 22].

6.4. **The structure theorem for GSVHs.** We use the partial fraction basis in Remark 22 to extend single-valued integration to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Definition 72. *Let* $f=(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^m h(z)\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $h\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. *If* $m=-1$ *then* $f\in\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ *and* $\int_{\rm sv} f\mathrm{d}z$ *is (uniquely) defined in Definition 62. Otherwise we use integration by parts to inductively define*

$$\int_{\rm sv}(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^m h(z)\,\mathrm{d}z=\frac{(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{m+1}}{m+1}h(z)-\frac{1}{m+1}\int_{\rm sv}(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{m+1}\partial_z h(z)\,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{80}$$

We extend the definition to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ *by linearity in* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ *using the partial fraction basis in Remark 22. The complex conjugate integral* $\int_{\rm sv}\mathrm{d}\overline{z}$ *is defined such that complex conjugation commutes with integration.*

Proposition 73. *The single-valued integrals* $\int_{\rm sv}\mathrm{d}z$ *and* $\int_{\rm sv}\mathrm{d}\overline{z}$ *in Definition 72 are well-defined endomorphisms of* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ *which are consistent with the single-valued integrals on* $\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ *in Definition 62.*

For every $f\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ *we get* $\partial_z\int_{\rm sv} f\mathrm{d}z=f$ *and* $\partial_{\overline{z}}\int_{\rm sv} f\mathrm{d}\overline{z}=f$.

Proof. We have $\int_{\rm sv}0\,\mathrm{d}z=0$. Using linearity in the partial fraction basis of Remark 22 for $0\neq f\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we may assume that $f(z)=(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^m h(z)$, with $\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, $h\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. We can iterate (80) because $(z-\beta(\overline{z}))^{m+1}\partial_z h(z)=((z-\beta(\overline{z}))\partial_z-m)f(z)\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$. With every iteration the weight of h is reduced until $h=0$. This ensures that the integration algorithm terminates after a finite number of steps.

We show that $\int_{\rm sv}\mathrm{d}z$ maps into $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$. For $m=-1$ this is proved in Theorem 63. For $m\neq-1$ it suffices to show that both terms on the right hand side of (80) are single-valued. The first term equals $f(z)(z-\beta(\overline{z}))/(m+1)\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ while the second term is single-valued by induction over the weight of h. So, for every $f\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we get a well-defined function $F=\int_{\rm sv} f\mathrm{d}z\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

By induction over the weight of f we get $\partial_z F=f$ because (80) becomes an identity upon differentiation with respect to z.

Let $f\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $F=\int_{\rm sv} f\mathrm{d}z$ according to Definition 72. We need to show that $F\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. By Corollary 64 we have $f\in\partial_z(\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}})$,

$$f(z)=\partial_z\sum_{\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}}\frac{h_\beta(z)}{\overline{z}-\beta(z)}=\sum_{\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}}\Big[[\partial_{\overline{z}}\partial_z\log(\overline{z}-\beta(z))]h_\beta(z)+\frac{\partial_z h_\beta(z)}{\overline{z}-\beta(z)}\Big],$$

where the sum is finite and $h_\beta\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$. From (49) we get $\partial_z h_\beta(z)=g_\beta(z)/(z-\gamma(\overline{z}))$ with $g_\beta\in\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\gamma\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The terms with $\beta\in\mathbb{F}$ integrate to $F_0(z)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}}h_\beta(z)/(\overline{z}-\beta)$. In the other terms $\beta(z)=(az+b)/(cz+d)$ is invertible and we get from Lemma 14,

$$\partial_z\frac{h_\beta(z)}{\overline{z}-\beta(z)}=\frac{[\partial_{\overline{z}}\beta^{-1}(\overline{z})]h_\beta(z)}{(z-\beta^{-1}(\overline{z}))^2}+\frac{g_\beta(z)}{(\overline{z}-\beta(z))(z-\gamma(\overline{z}))}.$$

Using Lemma 14 with $(z,\beta)\mapsto(\overline{z},\beta^{-1})$ we get

$$-\frac{\partial_{\overline{z}}\beta^{-1}(\overline{z})}{z-\beta^{-1}(\overline{z})}=\frac{1}{\overline{z}-\beta(z)}-\frac{1}{\overline{z}-a/c},$$

where the second term is absent if $c=0$. With this equation, integration by parts in (80) gives for the integral over the terms with $\beta\notin\mathbb{F}$,

$$F_1(z)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}\setminus\mathbb{F}}\Big[\frac{h_\beta(z)}{\overline{z}-\beta(z)}-\frac{h_\beta(z)}{\overline{z}-a/c}+\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\frac{g_\beta(z)}{(\overline{z}-a/c)(z-\gamma(\overline{z}))}\,\mathrm{d}z\Big]=\sum_{\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}\setminus\mathbb{F}}\frac{h_\beta(z)}{\overline{z}-\beta(z)},$$

where we used $\int_{\mathrm{sv}}\frac{g_\beta(z)}{z-\gamma(\overline{z})}\,\mathrm{d}z=h_\beta(z)$ according to the case $m=-1$ in Definition 72. Altogether, $F(z)=F_0(z)+F_1(z)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}}h_\beta/(\overline{z}-\beta(z))\in\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

By complex conjugation Proposition 73 also holds for integration with respect to $\overline{z}$. □

From Theorem 63 we obtain the following structure theorem for GSVHs.

Theorem 74. *Let $\mathbb{F}=\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ be a quadratically closed field, see (45). Let $\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and $\Sigma_0\subset\mathbb{F}$ be finite sets of letters and singular points, respectively.*

(1) *Let $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})$ be the ring of regular functions, see Definition 55. Then $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})$-module which is closed under multiplication. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.*

(2) *The sequence*

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_0}(\overline{z})\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}\xrightarrow{\partial_z}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}\longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. I.e. the kernel of ∂_z in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_0}(\overline{z})$ and every $f\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ has a primitive $F\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ with $\partial_z F=f$.

(3) *$\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ is differentially simple. I.e. for every $0\neq f\in\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ there exists a differential operator D such that $Df=1$.*

(4) *$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are stable under complex conjugation,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}},\quad\overline{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}.\tag{81}$$

In particular, the sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_0}(z)\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}\xrightarrow{\partial_{\overline{z}}}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}\longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(5) *$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are stable under transformations in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$. I.e. for any $f\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $g\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\beta\in\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$,*

$$f(\beta(z))\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}},\quad\text{and}\quad g(\beta(z))\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}.\tag{82}$$

(6) *Every $f\in\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ is in $\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma\cap\mathbb{F}}$. If $f\in\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$, the single-valued log-Laurent expansions (59), (60) at $a\in(\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F})\cup\{\infty\}$ have $M_a=0$.*

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 24 (1) and Remark 36 (2) that $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Lemma 56 states that the weight zero part of $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ is $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})$. Hence $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ is a $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\emptyset(z,\overline{z})$-module. It is free by Theorem 24 (1).

By Theorem 24 (2) and Proposition 8 the kernel of ∂_z in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}=\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_0}(\overline{z})$. In Proposition 73 it was proved that every $f\in\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$ has a primitive $\tilde{F}\in\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ for some finite set $\tilde{\Sigma}(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$. By Theorem 54 the function $\tilde{F}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic outside $\tilde{\Sigma}_0:=\tilde{\Sigma}(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}$. If $\tilde{\Sigma}_0\subset\Sigma_0$ then $F:=\tilde{F}\in\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$. Otherwise let $a\in\tilde{\Sigma}_0\setminus\Sigma_0$. Because $f\in\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma_0}$, the single-valued log-Laurent expansion of $\tilde{F}$ at a differentiates (with respect to z) to a $\mathbb{C}$-analytic function at a. By explicit differentiation of its expansion we see that $\tilde{F}$ is the sum of a $\mathbb{C}$-analytic function at a and a pole part $G_a(\overline{z})\in\mathbb{C}[(\overline{z}-\overline{a})^{-1}]$. We consider all points in $\tilde{\Sigma}_0\setminus\Sigma_0$ and set $F:=\tilde{F}-\sum_{a\in\tilde{\Sigma}_0\setminus\Sigma_0}G_a$. We get $\partial_z F=\partial_z\tilde{F}=f$ and $F\in\mathcal{SV}_{\Sigma_0}$.

To prove (3) we use the differential operator D that was constructed in the proof of Theorem 24 (3).

Statement (4) follows from Theorem 24 (4) and Remark 36 (4).

Statement (5) follows from Theorem 24 (5) and Remark 36 (5).

Statement (6) is Theorem 54. □

Theorem 74 (5) and (6) suggest that GSVHs should be considered as objects on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$.

7. Single-valued integration

The commutative hexagon in Figure 1 establishes a bootstrap algorithm for the construction of GSVHs. The crucial step is the single-valued integration in $\partial_z\partial_{\overline{z}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ on the bottom right sector. After using integration by parts there is always a weight-drop in this integration. The subtraction of residues with π_{∂_z} needs to be compensated by adding single-valued logarithms. With the strategy of Examples 69 and 70 we get the following inductive formulae for the single-valued integral of a function $f \in \partial_z\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$, $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}\,(\overline{z})$, in the $z, \overline{z}$ basis of (49) (in terms of the multivalued integrals $\int_0 \mathrm{d}z$ and $\int_0 \mathrm{d}\overline{z}$, see (44)),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z &= \int_0 \pi_{\partial_z} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z + \int_0 \Big(\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}} \int_{\mathrm{sv}} \partial_{\overline{z}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}z\Big)\Big|_{z=0} \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + \sum_{a\in\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}} (\mathrm{res}_a f)\mathcal{L}_a(z),\\
\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f(z)\,\mathrm{d}\overline{z} &= \int_0 \Big(\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}} f(z)\Big)\Big|_{z=0} \mathrm{d}\overline{z} + \int_0 \pi_{\partial_z} \int_{\mathrm{sv}} \partial_z f(z)\,\mathrm{d}\overline{z}\,\mathrm{d}z + \sum_{a\in\Sigma(\overline{z})\cap\mathbb{F}} (\overline{\mathrm{res}}_a f)\mathcal{L}_a(z),
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

where we consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent variables. Both integrations in (83) preserve the $z, \overline{z}$ basis.

7.1. **Extension of GSVHs.** Equation (83) was used for first calculations with GSVHs in a predecessor of [19]. Because the algorithm was programmed in Maple which is not ideal for handling large expressions it turned out that the following single-valued representation is more efficient.

We use (83) to extend single-valued hyperlogarithms $\mathcal{L}_w$ in Example 65 to words $w(\overline{z}) \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})^*$. By linearity, GSVHs can be expressed as sums of such extended single-valued hyperlogarithms (ESVHs).

Definition 75. *Let $\beta(\overline{z}) \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and $w(\overline{z}) \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})^*$. We inductively define $\mathcal{L}_{w(\overline{z})}(z)$ by $\mathcal{L}_e(z) = 1$ for the empty word e and (see (75))*

$$\mathcal{L}_{w(\overline{z})\beta(\overline{z})}(z) = \int_{\mathrm{sv}} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{w(\overline{z})}(z)}{z - \beta(\overline{z})}\,\mathrm{d}z, \tag{84}$$

where $\int_{\mathrm{sv}} \mathrm{d}z$ is defined by the first identity in (83) with zero (anti-)residues at non-constant points ($\mathrm{res}_\beta = \overline{\mathrm{res}}_\beta = 0$ if $\beta(\overline{z}) \notin \mathbb{F}$). Integration by parts in the bottom right sector of the commutative hexagon is defined via (80). The space of ESVHs is the free $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$ module

$$\mathcal{E}_\Sigma = \langle \mathcal{L}_{w(\overline{z})}(z),\, w(\overline{z}) \in \mathrm{FLT}^*_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})}.$$

The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of ESVHs with constant coefficients is $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})} \mathcal{E}_\Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{F}} = \bigcup_{\Sigma(\overline{z})\subset\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})} \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}_\Sigma.$$

Note that ESVHs are not always single-valued in the sense of Definition 35. They may fail to have single-valued log-Laurent expansions and they may have non-trivial monodromies.

Example 76. *Consider the function $f(z) = 1/(z - 2\overline{z})$ which is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ but fails to have a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at 0, see Example 43. Using Definition 75 we obtain*

$$\mathcal{L}_{2\overline{z}}(z) = L_{2\overline{z}}(z) + L_0(\overline{z}) \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{2\overline{z}\}}.$$

Because $|z/(2\overline{z})| = 1/2 < 1$ we find that $L_{2\overline{z}}(z) = \log(1 - z/(2\overline{z}))$ has trivial monodromy at 0. Hence $\mathcal{M}_0\mathcal{L}_{2\overline{z}}(z) = \mathcal{L}_{2\overline{z}}(z) - 2\pi\mathrm{i}$. We have $\mathcal{L}_{2\overline{z}}(z) \notin \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{2\overline{z}\}}$.

Example 77. *For the weight three GSVH in the letters 0, 1, $\overline{z}$ in Example 71 we have*

$$\int_{\mathrm{sv}} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{01}(z) - \mathcal{L}_{10}(z)}{z - \overline{z}}\,\mathrm{d}z = \mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}}(z) - \mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}}(z) \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{0,1,\overline{z}} \tag{85}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}}(z) &= L_{01\overline{z}}(z) + L_0(\overline{z})L_{1\overline{z}}(z) + L_{10}(\overline{z})L_{\overline{z}}(z)\\
&\quad + 2L_{100}(\overline{z}) + 2L_{010}(\overline{z}) + 2L_{001}(\overline{z}) - L_{101}(\overline{z}) - L_{110}(\overline{z}) - \zeta(2)L_1(\overline{z}),\\
\mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}}(z) &= L_{10\overline{z}}(z) + L_1(\overline{z})L_{0\overline{z}}(z) + L_{01}(\overline{z})L_{\overline{z}}(z)\\
&\quad + L_{100}(\overline{z}) + 2L_{010}(\overline{z}) + 2L_{001}(\overline{z}) - L_{110}(\overline{z}) - \zeta(2)L_1(\overline{z}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ is the Riemann zeta function at 2. Neither $\mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}}(z)$ nor $\mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}}(z)$ are GSVHs because each one is singular on the real axis. Note that many terms cancel in $\mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}}(z) - \mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}}(z)$.

Example 78. *For $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}(\overline{z})$, see (61), we have $\mathcal{E}_\Sigma = \mathcal{G}_\Sigma$. In particular, the dimension d_n of GSVHs in $\Sigma(\overline{z})$ with weight n is $|\Sigma(\overline{z})|^n$. This case also contains the class of single-valued hyperlogarithms defined in* [4, 5] *where $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{F}$.*

Note that the weight zero piece of $\mathcal{E}_\Sigma$ is $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z,\overline{z})$, see (47), in contrast to the weight zero piece $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\emptyset}(z,\overline{z})$ of $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma$ (see Lemma 56). In analogy to Theorem 74 (2) we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}} \xrightarrow{\partial_z} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the existence of primitives uses integration by parts as in Definition 72. The kernel of ∂_z in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is given by anti-analytic functions in the weight zero piece $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(z,\overline{z})$. This is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$.

In $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}}$ single-valued integration with respect to z is trivial. We need the commutative hexagon in Figure 1 to find anti-derivatives $\partial_{\overline{z}} f$ and anti-primitives $\int_{\mathrm{sv}} f \mathrm{d}\overline{z}$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The bottleneck of most calculations in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the evaluation of ESVHs at certain points. This evaluation, in general, demands the conversion into the $z,\overline{z}$ basis (49). Only for constant letters there exists a significant shortcut: The evaluation $\mathcal{L}_w(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, can be obtained from the multivalued evaluation $L_w(a)$ by the single-valued map which has a simple formula in the f-alphabet [8].

Expressing GSVHs in terms of ESVHs as in (85) makes expressions much more compact. In the Maple implementation [19] this advantage of using ESVHs clearly outweights the drawbacks.

7.2. **The f-alphabet.** A third option to present GSVHs (in addition to the $z,\overline{z}$ basis and ESVHs) is the conversion into the f-alphabet. One can use F. Brown's decomposition algorithm (for motivic numbers (periods) defined in [7]) to convert GSVHs into the f-alphabet [9]. This representation has the simplest structure at the expense of more lengthy expressions. It has not yet been used and all results are experimental [23]. However, in future implementations of GSVHs the f-alphabet should be considered an option.

8. Construction of some GSVHs

By Corollary 60, the space $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is (inductively) spanned by GSVHs

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathrm{sv}} \frac{h(z)}{z - \beta(\overline{z})}\,\mathrm{d}z, \tag{86}$$

with $\beta(\overline{z}) \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ and $h(z)/(z-\beta(\overline{z})) \in \partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. This leads to the following problem.

Problem 79. *Fix $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Construct functions $h \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $h(z)/(z-\beta(\overline{z})) \in \partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Remark 80.

1. *If $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}$ then every $h \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ gives $h(z)/(z-\beta(\overline{z})) \in \partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ (Example 78). So, we may restrict ourselves to the case $\beta \notin \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}$.*
2. *Graphical functions live in Euclidean space whereas QFT is built on Minkowski metric. For the transition from Euclidean to Minkowski metric one needs to analytically continue $\overline{z}$ away from the complex conjugate of z such that z and $\overline{z}$ become independent real variables. In this situation, letters in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}$ quickly develop singularities (see, e.g., Example 68). The situation is more stable for $\beta \notin \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}$ where singularities are only attained on higher monodromy sheets. Accordingly we observe in graphical functions letters which are not in $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}$.*

8.1. **Involutions.** A special case arises when $z \mapsto \beta(\overline{z})$ is an involution.

Definition 81. *Let $\overline{\beta}$ be β with complex conjugated coefficients and*

$$\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{2} = \{\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}, \beta \circ \overline{\beta} = \mathrm{id}\}.$$

Equivalently, $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$ is the subset of $\mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$ for which the map $\tau : z \mapsto \beta(\overline{z})$ is an involution, $\tau^2 = \mathrm{id}$.

Example 82. *The identity $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}$ gives $\tau : z \mapsto \overline{z}$, see Example 71 and Section 8.3.*
In Examples 69 and 70 we have $z \mapsto 1/z \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$.

Lemma 83. *Every $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$ has a representation*

$$\beta(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+\overline{a}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \overline{b} = -b, \overline{c} = -c.$$

Every such β is uniquely represented by the projective point $(\mathrm{Re}\, a : \mathrm{Im}\, a : \mathrm{Im}\, b : \mathrm{Im}\, c) \in \mathbb{PR}^3$.




FIGURE 3. A graphical function which contains an exceptional GSVH.

Proof. For any $\beta(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d) \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^2(z)$ an inverse is given by $\beta^{-1}(z) = (dz-b)/(-cz+a)$ which has to equal $\overline{\beta}$ up to a non-zero common factor α in the coefficients. We get

$$\overline{a} = d\alpha, \overline{b} = -b\alpha, \overline{c} = -c\alpha, \overline{d} = a\alpha.$$

By complex conjugation we get $\alpha\overline{\alpha} = 1$. If we multiply the coordinates with $\alpha^{-1/2}$ we obtain the desired representation of β. The representation is unique up to a common factor η with $\eta = \overline{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. □

Proposition 84. *Let $h \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^2$. Then*

$$f(z) = \frac{h(z) - h(\beta(\overline{z}))}{z - \beta(\overline{z})} \tag{87}$$

is a symmetric function (under $\tau : z \mapsto \beta(\overline{z})$) in $\partial_z \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. It is clear by Theorem 24 (4), (5) that $f \in \mathcal{GH}_{\mathbb{F}}$. The symmetry of f is evident from (87). We need to show that $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Fix $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We have $h(z) - h(\beta(\overline{z})) \in \mathcal{SV}_\Sigma$ for some finite set Σ, see Remark 36 (4), (5). Because $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^2$ the function $h(z) - h(\beta(\overline{z})) \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ vanishes at $z = \beta(\overline{z})$ for any (independent) values of $\overline{z}$.

If $a \neq \beta(\overline{a})$ then $1/(z - \beta(\overline{z}))$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic at a and f has a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at a. By Lemmas 49 and 50 (note that $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}$) this statement extends to $a = \beta(\overline{a})$. Because $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is compact, the function f has a finite number of singular points. Hence $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{\mathbb{C}}$. □

Example 85. *For $h(z) = \mathcal{L}_0(z)/2$, $\beta(z) = z^{-1}$ we obtain the function $\log(z\overline{z})/(z - 1/\overline{z})$ in Example 69.*

The complex conjugate of $h(z) = \mathcal{L}_{01}(z)$ is $\mathcal{L}_{10}(z)$. With $\beta = \mathrm{id}$ we get the integrand $(\mathcal{L}_{01}(z) - \mathcal{L}_{10}(z))/(z - \overline{z})$ in Example 71.

8.2. **Exceptional GSVHs.** In the notion of Section 7.1, the function $f(z)$ in (86) is a linear combination of ESVHs which end in the letter $\beta(\overline{z})$.

If the zero locus of the denominator in the integrand of (86) is empty, h can be any GSVH in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. If $\beta \in \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^2$ and $h(z) = [h_1(z) - h_1(\beta(\overline{z}))]h_2(z)$ with $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, the construction of f is a trivial extension of Proposition 84. If none of these cases is true then f is an exceptional GSVH.

Definition 86. *Assume $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ as is (86). If $\beta \notin \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\emptyset}$ and either $\beta \notin \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}^2$ or there exist no $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $h(z) = [h_1(z) - h_1(\beta(\overline{z}))]h_2(z)$ then f is an exceptional GSVH.*

Note that factorization is readily checked using an algebra basis of ESVHs in Lyndon words.

Example 87. *Consider the ESVH of weight six that emerges from integrating the square of the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm (1) twice with respect to $\int_{\mathrm{sv}} \mathrm{d}z/(z - \overline{z})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} f_6(z) = {} & 3\mathcal{L}_{0011\overline{zz}} - 3\mathcal{L}_{0110\overline{zz}} - 3\mathcal{L}_{1001\overline{zz}} + 3\mathcal{L}_{1100\overline{zz}} + \mathcal{L}_{01010\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{01100\overline{z}} - 3\mathcal{L}_{01110\overline{z}} \\ & + 2\mathcal{L}_{10010\overline{z}} - 2\mathcal{L}_{10100\overline{z}} - 3\mathcal{L}_{11001\overline{z}} + 6\mathcal{L}_{11100\overline{z}} + 6\zeta(3)\mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Expansions at $0, 1, \infty$ to high orders suggest that $f_6 \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, see Section 8.3. In this case f_6 is exceptional.

Example 88. *Consider the four-dimensional graphical function $f_G(z)$ for the graph G whose completion $\overline{G}$ is depicted in Figure 3* [1, 17]. *An explicit calculation with HyperlogProcedures* [19] *gives $f_G(z) \in$*

weight	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
dimension d_n	1	2	4	9	21	52	134?	358?	986?	2781?
# generators	0	2	1	3	6	16	38?	105?	284?	805?

TABLE 1. (Conjectured) dimensions d_n and numbers of generators in $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ for weights ≤ 9.

$\mathcal{O}^{\emptyset}_{\Sigma}(z,\overline{z})\partial_z\mathcal{GH}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\Sigma}$ for $\Sigma(\overline{z}) = \{0,1,\overline{z},-\overline{z},1-\overline{z},\overline{z}/(\overline{z}-1)\,z-\overline{z},z+\overline{z}\}$. Every graphical function is single-valued [14]*. The function $f_G(z)$ has denominators $z-\overline{z}$ and $z+\overline{z}$. By Proposition 58, single-valued integration of the terms with denominator $z+\overline{z}$ gives a GSVH of weight nine. It is exceptional. The numerator h in (86) does not factorize. Hence $h_2 = 1$ in Definition 86. An explicit calculation with HyperlogProcedures shows that $h(z) \neq -h(-\overline{z})$.*

Question 89.

(1) *Does there exist a general construction method for (some) exceptional GSVHs?*
(2) *What is the smallest (by weight) exceptional GSVH?*
(3) *Do GSVHs with letters $\notin \mathrm{FLT}^{\emptyset}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z}) \cup \mathrm{FLT}^{2}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ exist? If yes, what is the smallest (exceptional) example of such a GSVH?*

8.3. **The alphabet 0, 1, $\overline{z}$.** Consider the alphabet $\Sigma(\overline{z}) = \{0,1,\overline{z}\}$. This mathematically interesting case is important in dimensionally regularized pQFT [11, 18, 22].

Because every GSVH in $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of ESVHs in $\{0,1,\overline{z}\}$ we obtain finite dimensional vector-spaces at each weight

$$d_n = \dim \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\text{weight } = n) \leq 3^n.$$

The actual dimensions are much smaller than 3^n. At weights one and two we only have single-valued multiple polylogarithms whereas at weight three one genuine GSVH exists, see Examples 71, 77.

Theorem 90. *The dimensions and numbers of generators in $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ for weights ≤ 5 are as given in Table 1.*

Proof. The numbers of generators follow from the dimensions d_n. We get a lower bound for d_n by explicit construction of GSVHs in $\{0,1,\overline{z}\}$ using Proposition 84. Up to weight $n \leq 3$ we obtain 2^n single-valued multiple polylogarithms plus $\mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}}$ in Example 77. By integration with denominators z and $z-1$ the nine GSVHs at weight three give rise to 18 GSVHs of weight four. The three missing GSVHs of weight four can be constructed with (87). The result is $\mathcal{L}_{001\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{100\overline{z}}$, $\mathcal{L}_{011\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{110\overline{z}}$, and $2\mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}\overline{z}} - 2\mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{010\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{101\overline{z}}$ (calculations were done with HyperlogProcedures [19]).

At weight 5 we need $52 - 2 \times 21 = 10$ new GSVHs. Nine of them are direct applications of (87),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_{0001\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{1000\overline{z}}, && \mathcal{L}_{0010\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{0100\overline{z}},\\
&\mathcal{L}_{0011\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{1100\overline{z}} + 2\zeta(3)\mathcal{L}_{1\overline{z}}, && \mathcal{L}_{0101\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{1010\overline{z}} - 4\zeta(3)\mathcal{L}_{1\overline{z}},\\
&\mathcal{L}_{0111\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{1110\overline{z}} - 2\zeta(3)\mathcal{L}_{1\overline{z}}, && \mathcal{L}_{1011\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{1101\overline{z}} + 6\zeta(3)\mathcal{L}_{1\overline{z}},\\
&2\mathcal{L}_{001\overline{z}\overline{z}} - 2\mathcal{L}_{100\overline{z}\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}0\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}0\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{0010\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{0100\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{1001\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{1010\overline{z}} + 2\zeta(3)\mathcal{L}_{1\overline{z}},\\
&2\mathcal{L}_{011\overline{z}\overline{z}} - 2\mathcal{L}_{110\overline{z}\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}1\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}1\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{0101\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{0110\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{1011\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{1101\overline{z}} + 2\zeta(3)\mathcal{L}_{1\overline{z}},\\
&4\mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}\overline{z}\overline{z}} - 4\mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}\overline{z}\overline{z}} - 2\mathcal{L}_{010\overline{z}\overline{z}} + 2\mathcal{L}_{101\overline{z}\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}0\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}1\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}0\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}1\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{0101\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{1010\overline{z}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The tenth GSVH has the product $(\mathcal{L}_{01\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{10\overline{z}})^2/4$ in the numerator (see Definition 86) yielding

$$\mathcal{L}_{0011\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{0110\overline{z}} - \mathcal{L}_{1001\overline{z}} + \mathcal{L}_{1100\overline{z}}.$$

Because $\mathcal{E}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(z,\overline{z})$ module (see Section 7.1), linear independence is easy to check.

For an upper bound consider the generic linear combination of all $(3^{n+1}-1)/2$ ESVHs in $\{0,1,\overline{z}\}$ up to weight n. We expand each ESVH at $z=0$, $z=1$, and $z=\infty$ in z and $\overline{z}$. In these expansions we consider the ESVHs as generalized hyperlogarithms (Section 4.3) and expand first in z and then the coefficient in $\overline{z}$. For any word which has at least one letter $\overline{z}$ we obtain increasing pole orders in $\overline{z}$ (with increasing degree in z). In linear combinations which are GSVHs all poles have to cancel (Theorem 74 (6)). This condition gives increasing systems of linear equations whose rank R stabilizes at $(3^{n+1}-1)/2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} d_k$. We (inductively) get $d_n \leq (3^{n+1}-1)/2 - R - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k$. The procedure `zzdims` in HyperlogProcedures [19] gives the desired result. □

In practice, the upper bound $(3^{n+1}-1)/2 - R - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k$ for d_n drops rapidly with the size of the linear system (the orders in z and $\overline{z}$) until it stabilizes. The conjectured dimensions in Table 1 are these stabilized upper bounds. At weight six we conjecturally encounter three exceptional GSVHs (see Example 87).

For $n \geq 2$ the dimensions in Table 1 are approximated by the recursion

$$d_n \approx 5d_{n-1} - 6d_{n-2}$$

with surplus elements in dimensions three and five and a missing element in dimension nine. An ansatz for a generating function is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n x^n \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{1-2x} + \frac{x^3 + x^5 - x^9 + \ldots}{(1-2x)(1-3x)},$$

where the first term counts the number of single-valued multiple polylogarithms in the letters 0 and 1.

The number contents of GSVHs in $\{0, 1, \overline{z}\}$ is given by multiple zeta values (MZVs).

Definition 91. *The $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra of MZVs is the $\mathbb{Q}$-span of MZV sums*

$$\zeta(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_r} n_1^{-k_1} n_2^{-k_2} \cdots n_r^{-k_r}, \quad n_i = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\},\ n_r \geq 2. \tag{88}$$

The weight of the tuple $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$ is $n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_r$. For any finite $\Sigma(\overline{z}) \subset \mathrm{FLT}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{z})$ we define $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathrm{MZV}} \subset \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}}$ as the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra of GSVHs in $\Sigma(\overline{z})$ with MZV coefficients. Likewise, for any finite set $\Sigma_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\mathrm{MZV}}(z, \overline{z}) &= \mathrm{MZV}[z, ((z - \beta(\overline{z}))^{-1})_{\beta(\overline{z}) \in \Sigma(\overline{z})}, \overline{z}, ((\overline{z} - \overline{b})^{-1})_{b \in \Sigma(\overline{z}) \cap \mathbb{F}}], \\ \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_0}^{\mathrm{MZV}}(z) &= \mathrm{MZV}[z, ((z-a)^{-1})_{a \in \Sigma_0}]\end{aligned}$$

are the subrings of $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(z, \overline{z})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_0}(z)$ which are defined over MZVs (respectively).

Lemma 92. *For any $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}^{\mathrm{MZV}}$ the regularized limit $f(1)$ is an MZV.*

Proof. We use the representation of f in the $z, \overline{z}$ basis (49),

$$f(z) = \sum_{v, w(\overline{z})} c_{v, w(\overline{z})} L_v(\overline{z}) L_{w(\overline{z})}(z), \tag{89}$$

where $v \in \{0,1\}^*$, $w(\overline{z}) \in \{0, 1, \overline{z}\}^*$, and $c_{v,w(\overline{z})}$ is an MZV. We consider z and $\overline{z}$ as independent variables. Because f is a GSVH with a single-valued log-Laurent expansion at 1 we may determine $f(1)$ by first taking the limit $\overline{z} \to 1$ followed by the limit $z \to 1$. In $L_v(\overline{z})$ we obtain the regularized limit $L_v(1)$ which is an MZV. In $L_{w(\overline{z})}(z)$ the value $\overline{z} = 1$ differs from the lower bound 0 and the upper bound z of the iterated integral. The limit $\overline{z} \to 1$ is regular yielding $L_{w(1)}(z)$. Because $w(1) \in \{0,1\}^*$ the regularized limit $z \to 1$ gives the MZV $L_{w(1)}(1)$. Therefore every summand in $f(1)$ is the product of three MZVs. $\square$

Theorem 93. *Theorem 74 restricts to $\mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV}) = \mathcal{O}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}^{\mathrm{MZV}}(z, \overline{z}) \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}^{\mathrm{MZV}}$, where statement (5) restricts to the Möbius transformations $z \to \{z, 1-z, 1/z, 1/(1-z), z/(z-1), (z-1)/z\}$ that stabilize the singular points $0, 1, \infty$. In particular, the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{0,1\}}^{\mathrm{MZV}}(\overline{z}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV}) \xrightarrow{\partial_z} \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{90}$$

is exact. We have

$$\mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}^{\mathrm{MZV}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{91}$$

Proof. For (90) it suffices to show that every $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV})$ has a primitive. The alphabet $0, 1, \overline{z}$ is stable under partial fraction decomposition because for $a = 0, 1$,

$$\frac{1}{z-a}\frac{1}{z-\overline{z}} = \frac{1}{\overline{z}-a}\Big(\frac{1}{z-\overline{z}} - \frac{1}{z-a}\Big),$$

and $1/(\overline{z} - a) \in \mathcal{O}_{0,1,\overline{z}}(z, \overline{z})$. Moreover, the projections π_{∂_z} and $\pi_{\partial_{\overline{z}}}$ filter (anti-)resides at 0 and 1. The (anti-)residue at 0 trivially maps into MZVs. The (anti-)residue at 1 maps into MZVs by Lemma 92.

The previous arguments are symmetric under complex conjugation so that we can also use the commutative hexagon in Figure 1 to take anti-primitives in $\mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV})$, see (83).

We use induction over the weight of f to show that $\mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV})$ is invariant under complex conjugation. The weight zero piece $\mathcal{O}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}^{\emptyset,\mathrm{MZV}}(z, \overline{z})$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV})$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\{0,1\}}^{\mathrm{MZV}}(z, \overline{z})$ which is invariant under complex

conjugation. Assume $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV})$ has weight $n \geq 1$. Because $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(z,\overline{z})$ is invariant under complex conjugation we may assume without restriction that $f \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ with $f(0) = 0$. Then $g = \partial_z f$ has weight $n-1$. By induction g has a complex conjugate $\overline{g}$. The anti-primitive $\overline{f} = \int_{\mathrm{sv}} \overline{g}\, \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV})$ possibly differs from the complex conjugate of f by a constant. Because $f(0) = \overline{f}(0) = 0$ the constant is zero.

For (5), consider the Möbius transformation $\phi(z) = z/(z-1)$. It is an endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1\}}(z,\overline{z})$ and because it maps $1/(z-\overline{z})$ to $-(z-1)(\overline{z}-1)/(z-\overline{z})$ it is also an endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(z,\overline{z})$. As in the case of complex conjugation we show by induction over the weight that $\mathcal{G}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(\mathrm{MZV})$ is invariant under ϕ. The integration constant is trivial because $\phi(0) = 0$.

Consider the Möbius transformation $\tau(z) = 1-z$ which is also an endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}(z,\overline{z})$. If we use induction over the weight of $f \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ with $f(0) = 0$ we run into the difficulty that $f(\tau(0)) = f(1)$. By Lemma 92 the value $f(1)$ is an MZV and we obtain that $f(\tau(z)) \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$.

Statement (5) is true because the set of Möbius transformations that stabilize $0, 1, \infty$ is generated by ϕ and τ.

To prove (91) we represent $f \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of ESVHs in $\{0,1,\overline{z}\}$. By induction over the weight (using the commutative hexagon, see Section 7.1) we see that ESVHs in $0,1,\overline{z}$ are defined over MZVs (as in (89)). The space $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ is given by those linear combinations of ESVHs which have single-valued log-Laurent expansions at 0, 1, and ∞, see the proof of Theorem 90. These expansions provide linear equations for the ESVHs in $\{0,1,\overline{z}\}$ of weight $\leq n$. At 0 these equations have MZV-coefficients, see (13). By statement (5) the expansions at 1 and at ∞ can be mapped to expansions at 0 by Möbius transformations which stabilize $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$. So, these expansion also give rise to linear equations with MZV-coefficients. For weight $\leq n$ the space $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ is obtained from the $(3^{n+1}-1)/2$ ESVHs in $\{0,1,\overline{z}\}$ of weight $\leq n$ by a finite number of these linear equations. Because the total weight (the weight of the MZV plus the weight of the ESVH) is a filtration, the coefficients of ESVHs with weight n are rational (MZVs of weight 0). The solution of the system provides $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$ at weight n as MZV-span of ESVHs. Therefore $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{MZV}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ surjects onto $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\{0,1,\overline{z}\}}$. The kernel is zero because the map is an embedding. □

A detailed understanding of GSVHs in $0,1,\overline{z}$ could lead to much faster calculations in this alphabet. However, for most computations at modest weights in pQFT the bottleneck is not handling these GSVHs.

References

[1] **M. Borinsky, O. Schnetz**, *Graphical functions in even dimensions*, arXiv:2105.05015 [hep-th], submitted to Comm. in Number Theory and Physics (2021).

[2] **F.C.S. Brown**, *The massless higher-loop two-point function*, Comm. Math. Phys. 287 925-958 (2009).

[3] **F.C.S. Brown**, *On the periods of some Feynman integrals*, arXiv:0910.0114 [math.AG] (2009).

[4] **F.C.S. Brown**, *Single-valued multiple polylogarithms in one variable*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 338, 527-532 (2004).

[5] **F.C.S. Brown**, *Single-valued hyperlogarithms and unipotent differential equations*, unpublished notes available on the homepage of F. Brown (2004).

[6] **F.C.S. Brown**, *Mixed Tate Motives over* $\mathbb{Z}$, Annals of Mathematics 175, No. 2, 949-976 (2012).

[7] **F.C.S. Brown**, *On the decomposition of motivic multiple zeta values*, in Galois-Teichmüller theory and arithmetic geometry, Vol. 68 of Adv. Studies in Pure Math. (Tokyo), Math. Soc. Japan, 31-58 (2012).

[8] **F.C.S. Brown**, *Single-valued periods and multiple zeta values*, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma 2, e25 (2014).

[9] **F.C.S. Brown**, *Notes on motivic periods*, Comm. in Number Theory and Physics 11, No. 3, 557-655 (2017).

[10] **F.C.S. Brown, O. Schnetz**, *Modular forms in quantum field theory*, Comm. in Number Theory and Physics 7, No. 2, 293-325 (2013).

[11] **F. Chavez, C. Duhr**, *Three-mass triangle integrals and single-valued polylogarithms*, JHEP 1211, 114-144 (2012).

[12] **K. Chen**, *Algebras of Iterated Path Integrals and Fundamental Groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 156, 359-379 (1971).

[13] **J. Drummond, C. Duhr, P. Heslop, J. Pennington, V.A. Smirnov**, *Leading singularities and off-shell conformal integrals*, J. High Energ. 2013, No. 8, 133-190 (2013).

[14] **M. Golz, E. Panzer, O. Schnetz**, *Graphical functions in parametric space*, Lett. Math. Phys. 107, No. 6, 1177-1182 (2017).

[15] **A.B. Goncharov**, *Galois symmetries of fundamental groupoids and noncommutative geometry*, Duke Math. J. 128, No. 2, 209-284 (2005).

[16] **E. Panzer**, *Algorithms for the symbolic integration of hyperlogarithms with applications to Feynman integrals*, Computer Physics Comm. 188, 148-166 (2015).

[17] **O. Schnetz**, *Graphical functions and single-valued multiple polylogarithms*, Comm. in Number Theory and Physics 8, No. 4, 589-675 (2014).

[18] **O. Schnetz**, *Numbers and Functions in Quantum Field Theory*, Phys. Rev. D 97, 085018 (2018).
[19] **O. Schnetz**, `HyperlogProcedures`, Version 0.5, Maple package available on the homepage of the author, `https://www.math.fau.de/person/oliver-schnetz/` (2021).
[20] **O. Schnetz**, *The Galois coaction on the electron anomalous magnetic moment*, Comm. in Number Theory and Physics 12, No. 2, 335-354 (2018).
[21] **O. Schnetz**, *Geometries in perturbative quantum field theory*, Comm. in Number Theory and Physics 15, No. 4, 743-791 (2021).
[22] **O. Schnetz**, *Seven loops* ϕ^4, in preparation.
[23] **O. Schnetz**, *f-hyperlogarithms*, virtual talk given in the seminar on motives and period integrals in Quantum field theory and String theory, IHES, Paris, January 13 2021.
[24] **D. Zagier**, *The dilogarithm function*, Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics, and Geometry II, 3-65 (2007).

Department Mathematik, Cauerstrasse 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
Email address: `schnetz@mi.uni-erlangen.de`