AN OBSERVATION ON THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM AT INFINITY IN RIEMANNIAN CONES

JEAN C. CORTISSOZ

ABSTRACT. In this short paper we show a sufficient condition for the solvability of the Dirichlet problem at infinity in Riemannian cones (as defined below). This condition is related to a celebrated result of Milnor that classifies parabolic surfaces. When applied to smooth Riemannian manifolds with a special type of metrics (which generalise rotational symmetry) we obtain generalisations of classical criteria for the solvability of the Dirichlet problem at infinity. Our proof is short and elementary: it uses separation of variables and comparison arguments for ODE's.

Key words: Dirichlet problem, bounded harmonic function, Riemannian cone.

AMS 2010 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 31C05, 53C21

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. A proof of Theorem 1	5
3.1. Weak Solvability	7
4. Some Remarks	8
4.1. Acknowledgements	8
References	8

1. INTRODUCTION

We define a Riemannian cone as follows. Let N be an (n-1)-dimensional compact manifold, and consider the quotient space

$$M = (N \times [0, \infty)) / (N \times \{0\}),$$

endowed with a complete metric that can be written as

(1)
$$g = dr^2 + \phi(r)^2 g_N,$$

where g_N is any smooth metric on N. We shall assume that ϕ is smooth, and that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi'(0) = 1$. It is usual to define the cone metric using $g = r^2$, so our definition of a Riemannian cone is a bit more general. Also, if $N = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and g is smooth up to the pole (or vertex), with g_N any smooth metric on the unit (n-1)-dimensional sphere, this definition of a cone defines a family of metrics in \mathbb{R}^n that includes those with rotational symmetry, which are those obtained when $N = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and it is endowed with the round metric.

The Laplacian in a cone can be written for r > 0 as:

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + (n-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\phi^2}\Delta_N$$

where Δ_N is the Laplacian in N, and as expected, a function $u : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if $\Delta u = 0$. We will prove the following result -for applications see below.

Theorem 1. Assume that

(2)
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\phi(s)} \, ds < \infty,$$

and, if $\dim(N) \ge 2$, that there is an R_0 such that if $r > R_0$ then $\phi'(r) \ge 0$. Then for any $f: N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ regular enough (to avoid technicalities just set $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$), the Dirichlet problem is uniformly solvable at infinity. By this we mean that there is a harmonic function $u: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} u(r, \omega) = f(\omega) \quad uniformly.$$

The definition of uniform solvability at infinity we used in the previous statement is stronger than the one commonly used when studying the Dirichlet problem at infinity in Cartan-Hadamard manifolds, i.e., when both definitions apply, uniform solvability implies solvability in the sense of Choi [6]. By smooth enough we mean that f must be regular enough so that its expansion in eigenfunctions of the Laplacian Δ_N converges uniformly. The fact that enough regularity of f implies the absolute convergence of its expansion in eigenfunctions of the Laplacian was shown by Peetre in [17]. Theorem 1 implies that cones with metrics satisfying (2) have a wealth of nontrivial bounded harmonic functions.

For applications of our main result, we specialize to the case when $N = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with an arbitrary smooth metric so that the cone is a smooth Riemannian manifold. From Theorem 1, given $f \in C(N)$, taking a sequence f_n of smooth functions such that $f_n \to f$ uniformly, then solving the Dirichlet problem for each f_n (in this case the solution is unique by the asymptotic maximum principle of Choi, Proposition 2.5 in [6]), we obtain a uniformly convergent sequence of harmonic functions (again by the asymptotic maximum principle), and thus:

Corollary 1. Assume that (2) holds and, if $\dim(N) \ge 2$, that there is an $R_0 \ge 0$ such that if $r > R_0$ then $\phi'(r) \ge 0$. Then for any continuous $f : N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the Dirichlet problem is uniquely solvable at infinity. By this we mean that there is a harmonic function $u : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

 $\lim_{r \to \infty} u(r, \omega) = f(\omega) \quad in the cone topology (see Section 2).$

Corollary 1 seems to be new: notice that we do not require the manifold to be of nonpositive curvature, that is, to be Cartan-Hadamard; however, if we assume the manifold to be Cartan-Hadamard, the hypothesis ϕ' can be dropped, as it is automatically satisfied. From the previous corollary we obtain the following.

Corollary 2. Let g be a metric of the form (1). If there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $-\phi''/\phi \leq -(1+\epsilon)/r^2 \log r$, for large enough r, and ϕ is unbounded, then for any $f \in C(N)$, the Dirichlet problem is uniquely solvable at infinity.

The proof of this corollary is as follows. By Milnor's argument in [15], we have that

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\phi\left(s\right)} \, ds < \infty.$$

The condition $\phi' \geq 0$ is automatically satisfied for large enough r: if $\phi' < 0$ for all r large enough, then $1/\phi$ would be increasing for r large enough and then it would not be integrable, and hence at some point, say r_1 , we must have $\phi'(r_1) \ge 0$, and since the radial curvature $-\phi''/\phi$ is negative, ϕ' will be nonnegative from then on; thus the hypotheses of Corollary 1 hold and Corollary 2 follows. Again, notice that Corollary 2 includes metrics that are not necessarily rotationally symmetric, since in the case of $N = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ it is not required that the metric it carries to be the round metric nor conformal to it, in contrast with the results proved in [6] and [14] which require either one (specially [6] where rotational symmetry is strongly required in the calculations -see Section 3. On the other hand, in [14]rotational symmetry does not seem to be essential, in the sense that the metric that \mathbb{S}^{n-1} carries does not need to be the round metric, but the author only proves the transience of the manifold). In this sense, Corollary 2 is new for dimensions greater or equal than 4. As an aside comment: when Milnor's criteria is used, the " ϕ unbounded" part in its statement is usually replaced by saying that the manifold has everywhere nonpositive curvature, and so, again, the assumption of ϕ being unbounded might be replaced by assuming the stronger condition that the manifold is a Cartan-Hadamard manifold, which is the assumption made by Choi in [6].

Regarding (2), in a beautiful work [16], R. Neel shows that in Cartan-Hadamard surfaces if we write the metric as

(3)
$$dr^2 + J(r,\theta)^2 d\theta^2,$$

and

(4)
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{J(r,\theta)} \, dr < \infty,$$

then the Dirichlet problem at infinity is uniquely solvable. His approach is probabilistic, and his result strengthens the following result of Doyle, at least in the case of surfaces, which is proved in [10]: For a Riemannian manifold with a metric written as (3), (4) implies transience.

Also, our proof is quite elementary, perhaps strikingly simple when compared with the published proofs of the results mentioned above, and it reveals that there is a notion of weak solvability for the Dirichlet problem at infinity that has not been treated before in the literature (to the best of our knowledge), and which perhaps deserves more consideration. In fact, we have the following:

Theorem 2. Assume that there is an R_0 such that if $r > R_0 \phi'(r) \ge 0$ and that it satisfies (2). Then for any $f \in L^2(N)$ the Dirichlet problem weakly is solvable at infinity. By this we mean that there is function $u : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies $\Delta u = 0$ in the sense of distributions (and hence classically when M is a manifold), and such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} u(r, \omega) = f(\omega) \quad in \quad L^2(N).$$

The main ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1 is separation of variables (for a precursor of our proof see [8]). We shall show that we can write a whole family of harmonic functions as

$$u(r,\omega) = \sum_{m} \varphi_{m}(r) \left(\sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{m,k}(\omega)\right),$$

where $f_{m,k}$ are the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian Δ_{g_N} of N with eigenvalue λ_m^2 . Then we show that that the φ_m can be chosen to be nonnegative, increasing and bounded. In the case when the sectional curvature satisfies $K \leq -1$ everywhere, we can even have for large enough r

(5)
$$0 \le \varphi_m(r) \le A_m \tanh^{\lambda_m} r,$$

for a convenient constant A_m .

Since the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian in N form a basis for $L^{2}(N)$, then we can solve the Dirichlet problem.

The Dirichlet problem at infinity has a rich history full of deep and interesting results (see for instance [1, 2, 6, 12, 18]). Theorem 1 and its consequences represent an improvement in the case of rotationally symmetric metrics (by also including a bit more general type of metrics) as given in [6], and it is a natural extension of the classical result of Milnor in [15]. Also, our main result bears some resemblance with that of March in [14], where under the hypothesis

(6)
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \phi(r)^{n-3} \int_{r}^{\infty} \phi(\rho)^{1-n} d\rho dr < \infty,$$

and rotational symmetry, the author proves the existence of nonconstant bounded harmonic functions in M. The reader will find the proof of Theorem 1, our main result, in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

Here we define what is to be understood as to solve the Dirichlet problem at infinity. A way of doing it is by firstly defining a compactification. To this end, define the set \overline{M}

$$\overline{M} = N \times [0, \infty] / (N \times \{0\}),$$

where $[0, \infty]$ is a compactification of $[0, \infty)$ which is homeomorphic to [0, 1]. The subspace $\partial_{\infty} M := N \times \{\infty\}$ plays the role of a boundary, and in fact, when Nis homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , \overline{M} has the structure of a topological manifold with boundary. Given the previous definition, a way of defining that **the Dirichlet problem is solvable at infinity** is as follows: Given $f \in C(N)$ there exists a function $u : \overline{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is in $C(\overline{M})$, is harmonic in M, and such that its restriction to $\partial_{\infty} M$ is f. In the case of Cartan-Hadamard manifolds, this definition of solvability coincides with the definition of solvability given by Choi, who uses the **cone topology** as defined by Eberlein-O'Neill [9], and which is equivalent, in the sense of homeomorphism, to the one defined for the compactification above: the resulting spaces in both cases are homeomorphic to the closed *n*-ball.

A stronger definition of solvability was used in the statement of the Theorem 1, let us recall it. We shall say that the Dirichlet problem is uniformly

solvable at infinity if there is a harmonic function $u: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} u\left(r, \omega\right) = f\left(\omega\right)$$

uniformly on $\omega \in N$. Notice that using this definition, if $f \in C(N)$ then we can extend u to \overline{M} continuously and hence this definition of solvability implies the one given above. In the case of $N = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ (not necessarily with the round metric) uniform solvability implies solvability in the sense of Choi in [6].

Observe then that with the definitions of solvability given in the previous paragraph, if the Dirichlet problem is solvable at infinity for a given continuous data, then the corresponding harmonic extension is bounded; so solving the Dirichlet problem at infinity gives a method for proving the existence of bounded nonconstant harmonic functions.

Also, we can define the concept of weak solvability at infinity for the Dirichlet problem. In this case, given $f \in L^2(N)$ we say that the Dirichlet problem is weakly solvable at infinity with boundary data f if there is a function $u: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is harmonic in the sense of distributions (and thus harmonic by Weyl's lemma), and such that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} u(r, \omega) = f(\omega) \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(N).$$

3. A proof of Theorem 1

The starting point of our proof of Theorem 1 is a simple computation. We use separation of variables to find the equation the functions φ_m must satisfy so that the product $\varphi_m(r) f_{m,k}(\Omega)$ is harmonic. We let $f_{m,k}$ be the eigenfunctions of the *m*-th eigenvalue, λ_m^2 (we use the convention that $\lambda_m \geq 0$), of the Laplacian of *N*. It is elementary to prove then that the equation to be satisfied by the $\varphi'_m s$ is

(7)
$$\varphi_m'' + (n-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\varphi_m' - \frac{\lambda_m^2}{\phi^2}\varphi_m = 0.$$

First we show that there is a solution such that $\varphi_m(0) = 0$, if $m \neq 0$, and that we can choose $\varphi_m > 0$ near 0 (for m = 0 we just choose the constant function 1). Indeed, since $\phi(r) \sim r$, r = 0 is a regular singular point of the equation, and thus, near r = 0 a solution can be written as

$$q\left(r\right) = r^{k}p\left(r\right),$$

where $k = \frac{-(n-2) + \sqrt{(n-2)^2 + 4\lambda_m^2}}{2} > 0$ satisfies the indicial equation

$$k(k-1) + (n-1)k - \lambda_m^2 = 0,$$

where p is smooth and p(0) = 1 (see page 45 in [3], a classical paper by M. Bôcher, and Chapters 4 and 5 in [5]). From this our assertion follows.

Next we show that φ_m is nondecreasing. From its general form, it is clear that $\varphi'_m(0) > 0$ near 0. Assume then that at some point $\varphi'_m = 0$ occurs for the first time. Then, since up to that first point $\varphi_m \ge 0$, using equation (7), shows that $\varphi''_m \ge 0$, which in turn implies our claim.

Define the function

$$\eta_m(r) = \exp\left[-\int_r^\infty \frac{\lambda_m}{\phi} \, ds\right].$$

Of course here is where we need the fundamental assumption that

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\phi} \, ds < \infty.$$

A straightforward computation shows that if $r > R_0$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_m \eta_m = (n-2) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} \lambda_m \eta_m \ge 0$$

This is why we need the hypothesis $\phi'(r) \ge 0$ for r large enough. We note in passing that when dim (N) = n - 1 = 1, the η_m 's thus defined give explicit solutions to (7) (this was pointed out to me by J. E. Bravo): this is the reason why in dimension 2 the assumption $\phi' \ge 0$ is not required.

Next, we are going to use the inequality above to show that for $R_1 > R_0 > 0$, if $r > R_1$, it then holds that $0 \le \varphi_m(r) \le A_m \eta_m(r)$ for an appropriate constant A_m . So let $A_m > 0$ large enough so that

$$\varphi(R_1) < A_m \eta_m(R_1), \quad \varphi'_m(R_1) < A_m \eta'_m(R_1).$$

We now show that $(A_m\eta_m - \varphi_m)'(r) \ge 0$ must also hold for $r > R_1$. Say the strict inequality holds up to $r_1 > R_1$ and that equality is attained at $r = r_1$. Then, as up to $r = r_1$ we have that $h_m := A_m\eta_m - \varphi_m > 0$, then we get

$$h_m''(r_1) \ge \frac{\lambda_m^2}{\phi^2} h_m(r_1) > 0,$$

and hence right after r_1 we again have $h'_m > 0$. But then, this implies that $A\eta_m \ge \varphi_m$ right after r_1 . Therefore, we have that for $r \ge R_1$ that $\varphi_m \le A_m \eta_m$, and hence φ_m is bounded above.

Notice that in the case that the sectional curvature satisfies $K \leq -1$ everywhere, then, by the Bishop-Gromov theorem (which in the case of rotational symmetry reduces to a simple ODE comparison argument), $\phi(r) \geq \sinh r$, and hence we have that $0 \leq \varphi_m \leq A_m \tanh^{\lambda_m} r$, and claim (5) holds. In any case, the previous estimates show that by multiplying by appropriate constants, we may assume that $\lim_{r\to\infty} \varphi_m(r) = 1$.

Given $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$ we can represent it as

$$f\left(\omega\right) = \sum_{m} \sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{m,k}\left(\omega\right)$$

From this we get a harmonic extension

$$u(r,\omega) = \sum_{m} \varphi_{m}(r) \sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{m,k}(\omega).$$

If f is smooth enough (assume $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$ from now on), it is not difficult to show that u is twice differentiable and harmonic. All we need to prove is that the harmonic function u satisfies the boundary conditions at infinity. Let $\epsilon > 0$, we estimate as follows. By the triangular inequality,

$$\left|f\left(\omega\right)-u\left(r,\omega\right)\right| \leq \sum_{m}\left(1-\varphi_{m}\left(r\right)\right)\left|\sum_{k}c_{m,k}f_{m,k}\left(\omega\right)\right|.$$

ī.

ī.

6

Pick M such that

$$\sum_{n\geq M} \left| \sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{k,m} \left(\omega \right) \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

This can be done by Peetre's result as soon as f is smooth enough. Let R > 0 be such that if $r \ge R$, for $m = 0, 1, \ldots, M$

$$1 - \varphi_m \le \frac{\epsilon}{2L},$$

where L bounds

$$\sum_{m \le M} \left| \sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{k,m} \left(\omega \right) \right|$$

from above. Under this considerations, we obtain that, for $r \ge R$,

$$\left|f\left(\omega\right)-u\left(r,\omega\right)\right|<\epsilon$$

and our claim is now proved. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

3.1. Weak Solvability. Notice that if we only require $f \in L^2(N)$, the harmonic extension u is harmonic in the sense of distributions (and hence harmonic) and we can also show that $u(r, \omega) \to f(\omega)$ as $r \to \infty$ in $L^2(N)$, and in consequence that the Dirichlet problem is weakly solvable. First we show that u is weakly harmonic (and hence almost everywhere equal to a smooth harmonic function, and thus harmonic). This is standard: Given $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(N)$ we let

$$u_{l}(r,\omega) = \sum_{m \leq l} \varphi_{m}(r) \sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{m,k}(\omega) \,.$$

As u_l is harmonic, then

$$\int_{M} u_{l}(x) \, \Delta \varphi(x) \, d\mu_{g} = 0.$$

Since the φ_m are uniformly bounded, and $u_l \to u$ in $L^2_{loc}(M)$, then we must have that

$$\int_{M} u(x) \,\Delta\varphi(x) \,\, d\mu_g = 0,$$

which is what we wanted to show.

The following computation, which can be originally found in [4] and that we reproduce for the convenience of the reader, shows that the boundary data is satisfied in an L^2 -sense as described above. Denoting by $\|\cdot\|_2$ the $L^2(N)$ -norm, using the orthogonality of the eigenfunctions, we can estimate

$$\|f(\omega) - u(r,\omega)\|_{2}^{2} = \left\|\sum_{m} (1 - \varphi_{m}(r)) \sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{k,m}(\omega)\right\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{m} |1 - \varphi_{m}(r)|^{2} \left\|\sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{m,k}(\omega)\right\|_{2}^{2}.$$

Pick M such that

$$\sum_{m \ge M} \left\| \sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{m,k} \left(\omega \right) \right\|_{2}^{2} \le \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{8},$$

and let R > 0 such that if $r \ge R$, for $m = 0, 1, \ldots, M$ we have that

$$|1 - \varphi_m| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2K},$$

where K is such that

$$\sum_{m \le M} \left\| \sum_{k} c_{m,k} f_{m,k} \left(\omega \right) \right\|_{2}^{2} \le K.$$

Notice that $|1 - \phi_m(r)| \leq 1$. Hence, putting all this together, we find that given any $\epsilon > 0$ for r large enough

$$\left\|f\left(\cdot\right) - u\left(r,\cdot\right)\right\|_{2} < \epsilon,$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

4. Some Remarks

The case of N being a Lie group, Taylor in [19] gave sufficient conditions for the eigenfunction expansion of f to converge uniformly to f. For instance if $N = \mathbb{S}^3$ with the round metric, then if $f \in C^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, the Dirichlet problem is not just solvable but uniformly solvable at infinity in \mathbb{R}^4 endowed with a rotationally symmetric metric such that the factor ϕ satisfies (2) and such that $\phi' \geq 0$ (or if \mathbb{R}^4 with the given metric is a Cartan-Hadamard manifold). On the other hand, if N is a Lie group of dimension 4k, it is only required that $f \in H^{2k}(N)$ for the limit $\lim_{r\to\infty} u(r,\omega) = f(\omega)$ to be uniform.

4.1. Acknowledgements. The author wants to thank J. E. Bravo for discussing and bouncing some of the ideas used in this paper; the staff of "La Valija de Fuego" for giving the author a marvelous space to think about mathematics; my students for making me think about separation of variables; and specially my wonderful wife and baby son for being so patient with me when I am "spaced out".

References

- M. Anderson, "The Dirichlet problem at infinity for manifolds of negative curvature", J. Differential Geom. 18, no. 4, 701–721 (1983).
- [2] M. Anderson and R. Schoen, "Positive harmonic functions on complete manifolds of negative curvature", Ann. of Math. (2) 121, no. 3, 429–461 (1985).
- [3] M. Bôcher, "On regular singular points of linear differential equations of the second order whose coefficients are not necessarily analytic", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1, no. 1, 40–52 (1900).
- [4] J. E. Bravo, J. C. Cortissoz and D. Peters-Stein, "Some observations on Liouville's theorem and the Dirichlet problem at infinity on surfaces", preprint (2021).
- [5] E. A. Coddington, N. Levinson, "Theory of ordinary differential equations", McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955. xii+429 pp.
- [6] H. Choi, "In Asymptotic Dirichlet problems for harmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds ", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 281, no. 2, 691–716 (1984).
- [7] J. C. Cortissoz, "A note on harmonic functions on surfaces", Amer. Math. Monthly, 123 (9), 884–893 (2016).
- [8] J. Dodziuk, "L² harmonic forms on rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifolds", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77, no. 3, 395–400 (1979).
- [9] P. Eberlein, B. O'Neill, "Visibility manifolds "Pacific J. Math. 46, 45–109 (1973).
- [10] A. Grigor'yan, "Analytic and Geometric background of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 No 2, 135–249 (1999).
- [11] G. Herglotz, "Über potenzreihen mit positivem, realem Teil im Einheitskreis", Ber. Verh. Sachs, Akad. Wiss., Leipzig, Math. -Phys. Klasse, 63 (1911).

- [12] R. Ji, "The asymptotic Dirichlet problems on manifolds with unbounded negative curvature ", Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 167, no. 1, 133–157 (2019).
- [13] P. Li, "Geometric analysis", Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012). x+406 pp.
- [14] P. March, "Brownian motion and harmonic functions on rotationally symmetric manifolds", Ann. Probab. 14, no. 3, 793–801 (1986).
- [15] J. Milnor, "On deciding whether a surface is parabolic or hyperbolic", Amer. Math. Monthly 84, 43–46 (1977).
- [16] R. Neel, "Brownian Motion and the Dirichlet Problem at Infinity on Two-dimensional Cartan-Hadamard Manifolds", Potential Anal. 41, 443–462 (2014).
- [17] J. Peetre, "Absolute convergence of eigenfunction expansions", Math. Ann. 169 (1967), 307– 314.
- [18] D. Sullivan, "The Dirichlet problem at infinity for a negatively curved manifold "J. Differential Geom. 18, no. 4, 723–732 (1983).
- [19] M. E. Taylor, "Fourier series on compact Lie groups", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1103–1105.

Email address: jcortiss@uniandes.edu.co

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES, BOGOTÁ DC, COLOMBIA