

# Quantum coordinate ring in WZW model and affine vertex algebra extensions

Yuto Moriwaki \*

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University  
Kyoto, Japan

**Abstract.** In this paper, we construct various simple vertex superalgebras which are extensions of affine vertex algebras, by using abelian cocycle twists of representation categories of quantum groups. This solves the Creutzig and Gaiotto conjectures [CG, Conjecture 1.1 and 1.4] in the case of type ABC. If the twist is trivial, the resulting algebras correspond to chiral differential operators in the chiral case, and to WZW models in the non-chiral case.

## Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra,  $h^\vee$  the dual Coxeter number and  $r^\vee$  the lacing number, that is,  $r^\vee = 1$  (resp.  $r^\vee = 2$  and  $r^\vee = 3$ ) if the simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simply-laced (resp. of type BCF and of type G). Let  $k, k' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy

$$(0.1) \quad \frac{1}{r^\vee(k + h^\vee)} + \frac{1}{r^\vee(k' + h^\vee)} = N.$$

Let  $P$  be the weight lattice and  $Q$  the root lattice and set

$$V_{\mathfrak{g},k,k'}^N(P) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},k'}(\lambda^*),$$

where  $P^+$  is the dominant integer weights. Here,  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda)$  is the Weyl module induced from  $L(\lambda)$ , the irreducible finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ . The module induced from the dual representation  $L(\lambda)^*$  of level  $k'$  is denoted by  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k'}(\lambda^*)$ .

Creutzig and Gaiotto conjectured that  $V_{\mathfrak{g},k,k'}^N(P)$  inherits a vertex superalgebra structure based on gauge theory [CG, Conjecture 1.1]. The construction of this algebra is considered to be important not only in gauge theory but also in various areas of mathematics, such as the quantum geometric Langlands program (see [FG]).

For  $N = 0$ , the condition (0.1) can be written as  $k + k' = -2h^\vee$ . Such a  $\bar{k} = k'$  is called a *dual level*. The  $V_{\mathfrak{g},k,\bar{k}}^0(P)$  is called a *chiral differential operator*, and its geometric constructions are known [AG, FS, GMS1, GMS2, Zh]. In [CKM2, Corollary 1.4] and [Fe, Proposition 5.3], it was shown that  $V_{\mathfrak{g},k,k'}^N(P)$  is a vertex algebra when  $N \in 2n_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $n_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $n_{\mathfrak{g}}P$  is an integral lattice with respect to the bilinear form  $\langle\langle -, - \rangle\rangle : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  which is normalized as  $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$  for short roots  $\alpha$ .

---

\*email: moriwaki.yuto (at) gmail.com

In this paper, we will show that the construction for a general integer  $N$  follows from a certain conjecture about the representation category of quantum groups (see Conjecture A below). More precisely, if Conjecture A is true for  $(\mathfrak{g}, N)$ , then we show that  $V_{\mathfrak{g},k,k'}^N(P)$  is an abelian intertwining algebra with abelian cocycle  $EM^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N) \in H_{\text{ab}}^3(P/Q, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ .

Conjecture A is proved for any  $N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  and any simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (Proposition 2.15) and for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  and simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type ABC (see Main Theorem A below). This in particular solves the Creutzig-Gaiotto conjecture for type ABC. In fact, we can construct a more general family of vertex superalgebras including  $V_{\mathfrak{g},k,k'}^N(P)$ .

More specifically, we construct families of simple vertex superalgebras which are extensions of  $V_M \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^r L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i}(0) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k'_i}(0)$ , where  $V_M$  is the lattice vertex (super)algebra associated with an integral lattice  $M$  (for the precise statement, see Theorem B below). This allows us to construct, for example, the following vertex superalgebra for any  $n \geq 2$  (Proposition 3.12 and 3.14):

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{\text{sl}_n}} L_{\text{sl}_n, k}(\lambda) \otimes L_{\text{sl}_n, k'}(\lambda^*) \otimes V_{\frac{i(\lambda)\sqrt{n}}{n} + \sqrt{n}\mathbb{Z}}, & \text{for } \frac{1}{k+n} + \frac{1}{k'+n} = 1 \\ & \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{\text{sl}_n}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^n L_{\text{sl}_n, k_i}(\lambda) \otimes L_{\text{sl}_n, k'_i}(\lambda^*), & \text{for } \frac{1}{k_i+n} + \frac{1}{k'_i+n} = 1 \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{Z}}$  is a rank one lattice vertex (super)algebra and  $V_{\frac{i(\lambda)\sqrt{n}}{n} + \sqrt{n}\mathbb{Z}}$  is its module,  $i$  is a map given by  $i : P_{\text{sl}_n} \rightarrow P_{\text{sl}_n}/Q_{\text{sl}_n} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  (The first algebra is the algebra conjectured in [CG, Conjecture 1.4]).

In the following, we will explain that *quantum groups* and *quantum coordinate rings* appear naturally when considering extensions of affine vertex algebras, and then we will discuss the conjecture about the representation categories of quantum groups and the main results derived from it.

### 0.1. Quantum coordinate rings and WZW models

There is a natural correspondence between *commutative algebra objects* in the representation category of a vertex (operator) algebra and extensions of the vertex (operator) algebra [FRS, HKL]. The representation category of the affine VOA  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)$  at level  $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is called the Drinfeld category  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)$  [TK, FZ, EFK] and is equivalent to the representation category of the quantum group  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod as braided tensor categories [Dr1, Dr2, KL, Lu2]. Here,  $q = \exp(\pi i \rho)$ ,  $\rho = \frac{1}{r^\vee(k+h^\vee)}$ , and  $R(\rho)$  is the R-matrix of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  which gives the braiding.

The dual Hopf algebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is called a *quantum coordinate ring* and denoted by  $O_q(G)$ . By the natural  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -bimodule structure on  $O_q(G)$ , it defines a commutative algebra object in  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1})$ -mod (Proposition 1.11 and Proposition 3.1).

Using an isomorphism of quasi-triangular Hopf algebras  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)_{21}^{-1}) \cong (U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1})$  (Proposition 2.12) and the Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence, we can think of  $O_q(G)$  as a commutative algebra object of  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes D(\mathfrak{g}, k)^{\text{rev}}$ , where  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)^{\text{rev}}$  is the braided tensor

category with the reverse braiding. Hence,  $O_q(G)$  defines an extension of the tensor product of the “holomorphic” affine vertex algebra  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)$  and an “anti-holomorphic” affine vertex algebra  $\overline{L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)}$ ,

$$F_{G,k} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda) \otimes \overline{L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda^*)}, \quad Y : F_{G,k} \rightarrow \text{End} F_{G,k}[[z, \bar{z}, |z|^{\mathbb{C}}]].$$

This algebra satisfies the axiom of a *full vertex algebra*<sup>†</sup> (one of the formulations of the non-chiral CFT introduced in [Mo]) and is nothing but the analytic continuation of *the WZW model* in physics (Proposition 3.2).

Also, using an isomorphism  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1}) \cong (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))$  (Proposition 2.14),  $O_q(G)$  gives a commutative algebra object in  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes D(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{k})$ , which corresponds to the chiral differential operator  $V_{\mathfrak{g},k,\bar{k}}^0(P)$ . These are the stories for the  $N = 0$  case.

## 0.2. Graded twisting

Next, we discuss the case where the level is shifted by  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The representation category of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is natural graded by  $P/Q$ . In general, for an abelian group  $A$  and an  $A$ -graded braided tensor category, we can “twist” the category by an abelian cocycle  $(\alpha, c) \in H_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  (see [KW, NY]). By Eilenberg and Mac Lane,  $H_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  and the space of all quadratic forms on  $A$  are isomorphic as a group.

Now, for  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the quadratic form  $Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N : P/Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  on  $P/Q$  is defined by

$$Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N(\lambda) = \exp(N\pi i \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle) \text{ for } \lambda \in P/Q.$$

Denote by  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N}$  the twist of the braided tensor category  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  by the abelian cocycle associated with the quadratic form  $Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N$  (for more precise definitions, see Section 1.3 and Section 2.4). Then, we expect that:

**Conjecture A.** *For any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  and any simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + N))$  are equivalent as braided tensor categories.*

We will show this conjecture in the following cases (Proposition 2.15 and Theorem 2.16):

**Main Theorem A.** *Conjecture A is true at least in the following cases:*

- (1)  $N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for any simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ;
- (2)  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  for a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type ABC.

Furthermore, in the case of type D,  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho), \Lambda_v)\text{-mod}^{Q_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}}}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho + N), \Lambda_v)\text{-mod}$  are equivalent as braided tensor categories, where  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho), \Lambda_v)\text{-mod}$  is the full subcategory of  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  generated by the vector representation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n})$ .

We briefly explain the proof of Theorem A. In the case of type B, we use the Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \rightarrow U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ . In this case, the pullback by  $\phi$  does not transfer the type 1 representation of  $U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$  to the type 1 representation  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ .

<sup>†</sup> $F_{G,k}$  also satisfies the axiom of a *full field algebra* introduced by Huang and Kong in [HK].

This is why “the twisted category” appears. This will be discussed in detail in the appendix. In the case of type ACD, Theorem A can be proved by using a characterization of the braided tensor category of type A (resp. type BCD) by [KW](resp. [TW]), instead of a direct proof using such Hopf algebra isomorphisms. The result for the braided tensor category of type BD by [TW] is a characterization of subcategories, and hence the claim for type D is weaker than Conjecture A.

### 0.3. Lax monoidal functors and main theorem

We will now state the result and the proof of the construction of vertex superalgebras (Main Theorem B) using Conjecture A. Let  $A$  be an abelian group and let  $\mathbf{Vec}_A$  be the category of  $A$ -graded vector spaces. Then,  $\mathbf{Vec}_A$  is a trivial braided tensor category. Using the fact that  $O_q(G)$  gives a commutative algebra object in  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))$ -mod and that  $O_q(G)$  is a  $P/Q$ -graded algebra, we can construct a *lax monoidal functor*

$$O_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q} \rightarrow (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{-q}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}$$

which preserves the braiding (we call it a *lax braided monoidal functor*, see Section 1.2).

Using Conjecture A with simultaneous grading twists of  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod, we obtain a lax braided monoidal functor (Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.5)

$$O_{\mathfrak{g}}^N : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N} \rightarrow (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + N)) \otimes (U_{-q}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}.$$

Since a lax braided monoidal functor transfers a supercommutative algebra object to a supercommutative algebra object (Lemma 1.1), we only need to find a supercommutative algebra object in  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N}$ .

To find a supercommutative algebra object in a more flexible framework, we consider an even lattice  $M$ . The dual lattice of  $M$  is  $M^\vee$ , and a quadratic form on the abelian group  $M^\vee/M$  is defined by  $Q_M(\lambda) = \exp(\pi i(\lambda, \lambda))$ . Then, from [DL], the representation category of the lattice vertex algebra  $V_M$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{Vec}_{M^\vee/M}^{Q_M}$  as a braided tensor category. Thus, from supercommutative algebra objects in  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q \oplus M^\vee/M}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N \oplus Q_M}$ , we can construct extensions of  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},k'}(0) \otimes V_M$ . Here,  $k, k'$  satisfy

$$\rho + N = \frac{1}{r^\vee(k + h^\vee)}, \quad -\rho = \frac{1}{r^\vee(k' + h^\vee)},$$

which is essentially the same with  $\frac{1}{r^\vee(k+h^\vee)} + \frac{1}{r^\vee(k'+h^\vee)} = N$ . Also, supercommutative algebra objects in  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q \oplus M^\vee/M}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N \oplus Q_M}$  can be constructed from a *super isotropic subspace* of quadratic space  $(P/Q \oplus M^\vee/M, Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N \oplus Q_M)$ . (for the definition of a super isotropic subspace, see Section 1.3). Our main result can then be stated as follows:

**Main Theorem B.** *Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  be simple Lie algebras and  $k_i, k'_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $N_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{r_i^\vee(k_i + h_i^\vee)} + \frac{1}{r_i^\vee(k'_i + h_i^\vee)} = N_i$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $M$  be an even lattice and  $(A, Q)$  a quadratic space defined by

$$A = \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r P_i / Q_i \right) \oplus M^\vee / M, \quad Q = \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r Q_{\mathfrak{g}_i}^{N_i} \right) \oplus Q_M.$$

Let  $(I, p)$  be a super isotropic subspace of the quadratic space  $(A, Q)$ . Set

$$V_{\vec{\mathfrak{g}}, \vec{k}, \vec{k}', M}^{\vec{N}}(I) = \bigoplus_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu) \in I} \bigotimes_{i=1}^r L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i, k'_i}^{N_i}(\lambda_i + Q_i) \otimes V_{\mu+M},$$

for  $(\mathfrak{g}, k, k', M, I)$  with  $\vec{\mathfrak{g}} = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$  and  $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ ,  $\vec{k}' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_r)$ ,  $\vec{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ , where

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i, k'_i}^{N_i}(\lambda_i + Q_i) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in (\lambda_i + Q_i) \cap P_i^+} L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i}(\lambda) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k'_i}(\lambda).$$

Assume that for each  $a = 1, \dots, r$  one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1)  $N_a$  is even;
- (2) The simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_a$  is of type ABC;
- (3) The simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_a$  is of type D and  $\text{pr}_a(I) \subset \Lambda_v / Q_i$ , where  $\text{pr}_a : (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r P_i / Q_i) \oplus M^\vee / M \rightarrow P_a / Q_a$  is the projection to the  $a$ -th component.

Then, there is a simple vertex superalgebra structure on  $V_{\vec{\mathfrak{g}}, \vec{k}, \vec{k}', M}^{\vec{N}}(I)$  as an extension of  $\left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^r L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i}(0) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k'_i}(0) \right) \otimes V_M$ . Furthermore, the even part ( $s = 0$ ) and the odd part ( $s = 1$ ) are given by

$$V_{\vec{\mathfrak{g}}, \vec{k}, \vec{k}', M}^{\vec{N}}(I)_s = \bigoplus_{\substack{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu) \in I \\ p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu) = s}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^r L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i, k'_i}^{N_i}(\lambda_i + Q_i) \otimes V_{\mu+M}.$$

We remark that Conditions (1), (2) and (3) in the theorem are due to the fact that Conjecture A has only been partially proved. The list of all vertex superalgebras (except for type A) that can be constructed from the theorem for  $M = 0, r = 1$  is summarized in Table 1 and 2. In this case, super isotropic subspaces  $I \subset P/Q$  correspond to lattices  $L$  such that  $Q \subset L \subset P$ .

In the case of  $A_{n-1}$  type, there are various ways to choose shift  $N$  and lattice  $L$ . In fact, for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can show that  $V_{\text{sl}_n, k, k'}^N(mP + Q)$  is a vertex superalgebra if  $\exp(\frac{m^2 N(n-1)}{n} \pi i) = -1$  and a non-super vertex algebra if  $\exp(\frac{m^2 N(n-1)}{n} \pi i) = 1$  (see Proposition 3.13 (4)).

This paper is organized as follows: We recall the definition of a braided tensor category, a lax monoidal functor and supercommutative algebra object in Section 1.2 and the fundamental results of abelian cocycles, graded twists and super isotropic subspaces in Section 1.3. In Section 1.4, we recall some elementary results of quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and in Section 1.5, we briefly review the Drinfeld category and the fact that supercommutative algebra objects in the Drinfeld category corresponds to vertex superalgebra extensions of the affine vertex algebra. Non-chiral cases are briefly explained in Section 1.6, which will be used for the construction of WZW models.

type	shift $N$	lattice $L$	super
$B_{2n}$	$1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	S
$B_{2n}$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$B_{2n+1}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$Q$	
$B_{2n+1}$	$2 + 4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$B_{2n+1}$	$4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$C_n$	$1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	S
$C_n$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$D_n$	$1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$	$\Lambda_v$	S
$D_n$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$\Lambda_v$	
$D_{4n+2}$	$2 + 4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	S
$D_{4n}$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$D_{2n}$	$4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	S
$D_{2n+1}$	$4 + 8\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$D_{2n+1}$	$8\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$D_{2n+1}$	$8\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	

TABLE 1. List of type BCD

type	shift $N$	lattice $L$	super
$E_6$	$6\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	S
$E_6$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$Q$	
$E_7$	$2 + 4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$E_7$	$4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$E_8$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$F_4$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$G_2$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	

TABLE 2. List of type EFG

Section 2.1 and 2.2 is devoted to recalling the definition and some results of quantum group, its R-matrix and the Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence. Then, we show that isomorphisms among quantum groups give equivalences of braided tensor categories in Section 2.3. In Section 2.4, we state Conjecture A and prove Theorem A.

In Section 3.1 and 3.2, we recall the definition of quantum coordinate rings and construct the lax monoidal functor by using it. Then, Theorem B is proved. Various vertex algebras as applications of Theorem B are constructed in Section 3.3. Finally, in Appendix, Theorem A is proved in the case of type B.

### Acknowledgements

I wish to express my gratitude to Shigenori Nakatsuka for letting me know about the Creutzig and Gaiotto's conjecture and valuable discussions and to Yuki Arano for discussions on quantum groups, and to Makoto Yamashita and Hironori Oya for giving me the references. I would also like to thank Tomoyuki Arakawa and Thomas Creutzig for valuable comments. This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

## 1. PRELIMINARY

1.1. **Notations.** We fix the following notations:

- $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,
- $\mathfrak{h}$  its Cartan subalgebra,
- $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  the root system,
- $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subset \Delta$  the set of simple roots,

Let  $(-, -)$  be an invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  normalized by  $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$  for long roots  $\alpha$ ,

$h^\vee$  the dual Coxeter number,

$P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  the weight lattice,

$P^+ \subset P$  the dominant integer weights,

$Q \subset P$  the root lattice,

$\rho \in P$  half the sum of all positive roots,

Let  $\langle\langle -, - \rangle\rangle$  be another invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  normalized by  $\langle\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\rangle = 2$  for short roots  $\alpha$ .

Let  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  be independent formal variables. We will use the notation  $\underline{z}$  for the pair  $(z, \bar{z})$  and  $|z|$  for  $z\bar{z}$ . For a vector space  $V$ , we denote by  $V[[z^{\mathbb{C}}, \bar{z}^{\mathbb{C}}]]$  the set of formal sums

$$\sum_{s, \bar{s} \in \mathbb{C}} a_{s, \bar{s}} z^s \bar{z}^{\bar{s}}$$

such that  $a_{s, \bar{s}} \in V$ . The space  $V[[z^{\mathbb{C}}, \bar{z}^{\mathbb{C}}]]$  contains various useful subspaces:

$$\begin{aligned} V[[z^{\mathbb{C}}]] &= \left\{ \sum_{s \in \mathbb{C}} a_s z^s \mid a_s \in V \right\}, \\ V[[z^{\pm}]] &= \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in V \right\}, \\ V[[z, \bar{z}, |z|^{\mathbb{C}}]] &= \left\{ \sum_{s, \bar{s} \in \mathbb{C}} a_{s, \bar{s}} z^s \bar{z}^{\bar{s}} \mid a_{s, \bar{s}} = 0 \text{ unless } s - \bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \\ V[[z, \bar{z}]] &= \left\{ \sum_{n, \bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n, \bar{n}} z^n \bar{z}^{\bar{n}} \mid a_{n, \bar{n}} \in V \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also denote by  $V((z, \bar{z}, |z|^{\mathbb{C}}))$  the subspace of  $V[[z, \bar{z}, |z|^{\mathbb{C}}]]$  consisting of the series  $\sum_{s, \bar{s} \in \mathbb{R}} a_{s, \bar{s}} z^s \bar{z}^{\bar{s}} \in V[[z, \bar{z}, |z|^{\mathbb{C}}]]$  such that:

- (1) For any  $H \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\#\{(s, \bar{s}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid a_{s, \bar{s}} \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(s + \bar{s}) \leq H\}$  is finite.
- (2) There exists  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a_{s, \bar{s}} = 0$  unless  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq N$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \bar{s} \geq N$

and  $V((z))$  the subspace of  $V[[z^{\pm}]]$  consisting of the series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n \in V[[z^{\pm}]]$  such that:

- (1) There exists  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a_n = 0$  unless  $n \geq N$ .

The space  $V((z))$  is called the space of formal Laurent series. Thus,  $V((z, \bar{z}, |z|^{\mathbb{C}}))$  is a generalization of the Laurent series to two-variables.

**1.2. Braided tensor category.** This section is mainly based on [EGNO] (for a lax monoidal functor, see also [AM]). Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an essentially small,  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, monoidal category. We write

$$\otimes: \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad (M, N) \mapsto M \otimes N$$



for the tensor product functor with unit object  $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\bullet} &: 1_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \bullet \xrightarrow{\cong} \bullet, \quad (l_M: 1_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes M \xrightarrow{\cong} M), \\ r_{\bullet} &: \bullet \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \bullet, \quad (r_M: M \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\cong} M), \\ \alpha_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet} &: (\bullet \otimes \bullet) \otimes \bullet \xrightarrow{\cong} \bullet \otimes (\bullet \otimes \bullet), \quad \left( \alpha_{M,N,L}: (M \otimes N) \otimes L \xrightarrow{\cong} M \otimes (N \otimes L) \right), \end{aligned}$$

the structural natural isomorphisms of functors satisfying the pentagon and triangle axioms, see [Ka, BK]. The last one is called the associativity isomorphism.

A *braided monoidal tensor category* is a monoidal tensor category  $\mathcal{B}$  equipped with a natural isomorphism of functors, called the *braiding*,

$$B_{\bullet, \bullet}: (\bullet \otimes \bullet) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\bullet \otimes \bullet) \circ \sigma, \quad (B_{M,N}: M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\cong} N \otimes M),$$

where  $\sigma$  is the functor

$$\sigma: C \times C \rightarrow C \times C, \quad (M, N) \mapsto (N, M).$$

The natural isomorphism  $B_{\bullet, \bullet}$  is required to satisfy the hexagon identity,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (M \otimes N) \otimes L & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{M,N,L}} & M \otimes (N \otimes L) & \xrightarrow{B_{M,N \otimes L}} & (N \otimes L) \otimes M \\ \downarrow B_{M,N \otimes Id} & & & & \downarrow \alpha_{N,L,M} \\ (N \otimes M) \otimes L & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{N,M,L}} & N \otimes (M \otimes L) & \xrightarrow{Id \otimes B_{M,L}} & N \otimes (L \otimes M) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \otimes (N \otimes L) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{M,N,L}^{-1}} & (M \otimes N) \otimes L & \xrightarrow{B_{M \otimes N, L}} & L \otimes (M \otimes N) \\ \downarrow Id \otimes B_{N,L} & & & & \downarrow \alpha_{L,M,N}^{-1} \\ M \otimes (L \otimes N) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{M,L,N}^{-1}} & (M \otimes L) \otimes N & \xrightarrow{B_{M,L \otimes Id}} & (L \otimes M) \otimes N \end{array}.$$

A *twist*, or a *balance*, in a braided monoidal category  $\mathcal{B}$  is a natural transformation  $\theta$  from the identity functor on  $\mathcal{B}$  to itself satisfying

$$B_{M,N} B_{N,M} = \theta_{M \otimes N} \circ (\theta_M^{-1} \otimes \theta_N^{-1}).$$

A *balanced monoidal category* is a braided monoidal category equipped with such a balance.

Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \alpha, B)$  be a braided tensor category and  $B_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\text{rev}}$  a natural isomorphism defined by

$$B_{M,N}^{\text{rev}} = B_{N,M}^{-1}: M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M.$$

Then, it is clear that  $(\mathcal{B}, \alpha, B^{\text{rev}})$  is a braided tensor category, which is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ .

A *supercommutative algebra object* in  $\mathcal{B}$  is a triple  $(S = S_0 \oplus S_1, \{m_{i,j}\}_{i,j=0,1}, \eta)$ , consisting of objects  $S_0, S_1 \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B})$  and morphisms  $m_{i,j} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(S_i \otimes S_j, S_{i+j})$  and a nonzero morphism  $\eta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(1_{\mathcal{B}}, S_0)$  such that:

(SCA1)  $m \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}) \circ l_S^{-1} = \text{id} = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes \eta) \circ r_S^{-1}$  as a map  $S \rightarrow S$ ;

(SCA2)  $m \circ (m \otimes \text{id}) = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes m) \circ \alpha_{S,S,S}$  as a map  $(S \otimes S) \otimes S \rightarrow S$ ,

where  $m: S \otimes S \rightarrow S$  is a map defined linearly by  $\{m_{i,j}\}_{i,j=0,1}$ , and

(SCA3)  $m_{j,i} \circ B_{S_i, S_j} = (-1)^{ij} m_{i,j}$  as a map  $S_i \otimes S_j \rightarrow S_{i+j}$  for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .



If a supercommutative algebra object in  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of only the even part, i.e.,  $S_1 = 0$ , then the triple  $(S_0, m_{0,0}, \eta)$  is called a *commutative algebra object* in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be braided tensor categories. A *lax monoidal* functor between them is a functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and a morphism

$$\epsilon : 1_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow F(1_{\mathcal{C}})$$

and a natural transformation

$$\mu_{M,N} : F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(N) \rightarrow F(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N) \quad (\text{for all } M, N \in \mathcal{C})$$

such that:

LM1) For all objects  $M, N, L \in \mathcal{C}$ , the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(N)) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(L) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{F(M), F(N), F(L)}^{\mathcal{D}}} & F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} (F(N) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(L)) \\ \downarrow \mu_{M,N} \otimes id & & \downarrow id \otimes \mu_{N,L} \\ F(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(L) & & F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(N \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} L) \\ \downarrow \mu_{M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N, L} & & \downarrow \mu_{M, N \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} L} \\ F((M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} L) & \xrightarrow{F(\alpha_{M,N,L}^{\mathcal{C}})} & F(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} (N \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} L)) \end{array}$$

LM2) For all  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(M) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes id} & F(1_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(M) \\ \downarrow l_{F(M)}^{\mathcal{D}} & & \downarrow \mu_{1_{\mathcal{C}}, M} \\ F(M) & \xleftarrow{F(l_M^{\mathcal{C}})} & F(1 \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} 1_{\mathcal{D}} & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \epsilon} & F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(1_{\mathcal{C}}) \\ \downarrow r_{F(M)}^{\mathcal{D}} & & \downarrow \mu_{M, 1_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ F(M) & \xleftarrow{F(r_M^{\mathcal{C}})} & F(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} 1), \end{array}$$

where  $l^{\mathcal{C}}, r^{\mathcal{C}}, l^{\mathcal{D}}, r^{\mathcal{D}}$  denote the left and right unitors of the two monoidal categories, respectively.

Note that if  $\epsilon$  and all  $\mu_{M,N}$  are isomorphism, then  $F$  is called a *monoidal functor* (sometimes called a strong monoidal functor).

A lax monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is called a *lax braided monoidal functor* if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(N) & \xrightarrow{B_{F(M), F(N)}^{\mathcal{D}}} & F(N) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(M) \\ \mu_{M,N} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_{N,M} \\ F(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N) & \xrightarrow{F(B_{M,N}^{\mathcal{C}})} & F(N \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M) \end{array}$$

for all objects  $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$ .

The following lemma is clear from the definition.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a lax braided monoidal functor and  $(S = S_0 \oplus S_1, \{m_{i,j}\}_{i,j=0,1}, \eta)$  be a supercommutative algebra object in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then,  $F(S_0) \oplus F(S_1)$  is naturally equipped with the structure of a supercommutative algebra object in  $\mathcal{D}$  by setting*

$$\eta^F : 1_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} F(1_{\mathcal{C}}) \xrightarrow{F(\eta)} F(S_0)$$

and for  $i, j = 0, 1$

$$m_{i,j}^F : F(S_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(S_j) \xrightarrow{\mu_{S_i, S_j}} F(S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} S_j) \xrightarrow{F(m_{i,j})} F(S_{i+j}).$$

**1.3. Graded twist of braided tensor categories.** In this section, we will review how to construct a new braided tensor category using an abelian cocycle and give examples of braided tensor categories and (super) commutative algebra objects, which play an important role in this paper.

Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group, and let  $\omega : A \times A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $c : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be maps satisfying

$$\omega(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) = \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \omega(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1) c(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= c(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) c(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ \omega(\alpha_3, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{-1} c(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)^{-1} &= c(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_2)^{-1} c(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in A$ . Let  $Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  be the set of all pairs of functions  $(\omega, c)$  satisfying (1.1), which is an abelian group with respect to the pointwise multiplication, and elements of this group are called *abelian cocycles*. Any map  $k : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  defines an abelian cocycle  $(d_2(k), c_k)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (1.2) \quad (d_2 k)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= k(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) k(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3)^{-1} k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{-1}, \\ c_k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) k(\alpha_2, \alpha_1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in A$ . Let  $B_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  be the subgroup of *abelian coboundaries*, that is, of the abelian cocycles defined by (1.2). The group  $H_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times) = Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times) / B_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  is called the *abelian cohomology group* of  $A$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ .

Abelian cocycles  $Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  can be used to construct a new braided tensor category from an  $A$ -graded braided tensor category. An  $A$ -graded category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category with full subcategories  $\mathcal{C}_a$  for  $a \in A$ , such that any object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  admits a unique (up to isomorphism) decomposition  $M \cong \bigoplus_{a \in A} M_a$  with  $M_a \in \mathcal{C}_a$  and there are no nonzero morphisms between objects in  $\mathcal{C}_a$  and  $\mathcal{C}_b$  for any  $a \neq b$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  *$A$ -graded braided tensor category* if in addition it is a braided tensor category, such that  $1_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}_0$  and the monoidal structure satisfies  $M \otimes N \in \mathcal{C}_{ab}$  for all homogeneous objects  $M \in \mathcal{C}_a$  and  $N \in \mathcal{C}_b$ . For a semisimple braided tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a universal grading (see [BNY]).

Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \alpha, B)$  be an  $A$ -graded braided tensor category and  $(\omega, c) \in Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ . Let us define a new braided tensor category  $\mathcal{B}^{\omega, c}$  as follows. As a category, it is the same as  $\mathcal{B}$  and

the bifunctor  $\otimes$  and the unit object  $1_{\mathcal{B}}$  are also the same as those in  $\mathcal{B}$ . The differences are in the new associativity isomorphism  $\alpha^\omega$  and the unit morphisms  $l^\omega$ ,  $r^\omega$  and the braiding  $B^c$ . They are defined by the formulas,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{M_1, M_2, M_3}^\omega &= \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \alpha_{M_1, M_2, M_3} : (M_1 \otimes M_2) \otimes M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes (M_2 \otimes M_3) \\ l_{M_1}^\omega &= \omega(0, 0, \alpha_1)^{-1} l_{M_1} : 1_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes M_1 \rightarrow M_1 \\ r_{M_1}^\omega &= \omega(\alpha_1, 0, 0) r_{M_1} : M_1 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow M_1 \\ B_{M_1, M_2}^c &= c(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) B_{M_1, M_2} : M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow M_2 \otimes M_1\end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha_i \in A$  and  $M_i \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). We note that by [EGNO, Remark 2.6.3], we may assume that the abelian cocycle satisfies  $\omega(\alpha, 0, 0) = \omega(0, 0, \beta) = 1$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in A$ , which is called a *normalized cocycle*.

A category of  $A$ -graded vector spaces give an example of an  $A$ -graded braided tensor category. Let  $\mathbf{Vec}_A$  denote the category of  $A$ -graded vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e., vector spaces  $V$  with a decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} V_\alpha$ . Morphisms in this category are linear maps which preserve the grading. Define the tensor product on this category by the formula

$$(V \otimes W)_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\beta \in A} V_\beta \otimes W_{\alpha - \beta}$$

and the unit object  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Vec}_A} = \mathbb{C}\delta_0$ , where for  $\alpha \in A$   $\mathbb{C}\delta_\alpha$  is a one-dimensional vector space defined by

$$(\mathbb{C}\delta_\alpha)_\beta = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & (\text{if } \alpha = \beta) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathbf{Vec}_A$  is a braided tensor category with the associativity isomorphism, unit morphisms, and the braiding being the identities. Since  $\mathbf{Vec}_A$  has an  $A$ -grading as a braided tensor category, for any  $(\omega, c) \in Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ ,  $\mathbf{Vec}_A^{\omega, c}$  is a braided tensor category. We note that the equivalence classes of  $\mathbf{Vec}_A^{\omega, c}$  as braided tensor categories only depends on the cohomology classes in  $H_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ .

Due to Eilenberg and Mac Lane, any abelian cocycle can be obtained from quadratic forms on  $A$ . More precisely, a quadratic form on  $A$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is a map  $Q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $Q(\alpha) = Q(-\alpha)$  and the symmetric function

$$b(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{Q(\alpha + \beta)}{Q(\alpha)Q(\beta)}$$

is a bicharacter, i.e.,  $b(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta) = b(\alpha_1, \beta)b(\alpha_2, \beta)$  for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta \in A$ . Set  $\text{Quad}(A)$  be the group of quadratic forms on  $A$ .

For any abelian cocycle  $(\omega, c) \in Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ , let  $Q_{\omega, c} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a function defined by  $Q_{\omega, c}(\alpha) = c(\alpha, \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in A$ . Then, it is easy to check that  $Q_{\omega, c}$  is a quadratic form and the map  $\text{EM} : H_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \text{Quad}(A)$ ,  $(\omega, c) \mapsto Q_{\omega, c}$  is well-defined. Then, Eilenberg and Mac Lane show the following result (see [EGNO]):

**Theorem 1.2** (Eilenberg and Mac Lane). *The above map  $\text{EM} : H_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \text{Quad}(A)$  is an isomorphism of abelian groups.*

We will denote by  $\mathbf{Vec}_A^Q$  the braided tensor category associated with  $\text{EM}^{-1}(Q) \in H_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  for  $Q \in \text{Quad}(A)$ . The following proposition in [EGNO, Section 8.4] is useful:

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $Q \in \text{Quad}(A)$  and  $(\omega, c) \in Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  be a corresponding abelian cocycle. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a bicharacter  $B : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $Q(x) = B(x, x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .*
- (2) *The 3-cocycle  $\omega$  is trivial, i.e., there exists a map  $k : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\omega = d_2(k)$ .*

We will construct (super) commutative algebra objects in  $\mathbf{Vec}_A^Q$ . Let  $Q$  be a quadratic form on  $A$ . A pair of a subgroup  $I \subset A$  and a group homomorphism  $p : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is called a *super isotropic subspace* of  $(A, Q)$  if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad Q(\alpha) = (-1)^{p(\alpha)}, \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in I.$$

If a super isotropic subspace  $(I, p)$  satisfies  $p(\alpha) = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in I$ , i.e., the restriction of the quadratic form on  $I$  is trivial, then  $I$  is called an *isotropic subspace* of  $(A, Q)$ .

Let  $(I, p)$  be a super isotropic subspace of  $A$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} S(I)_0 &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A, p(\alpha)=0} \mathbb{C}\delta_\alpha, \\ S(I)_1 &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A, p(\alpha)=1} \mathbb{C}\delta_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

objects in  $\mathbf{Vec}_A^Q$ . Let  $(\omega_Q, c_Q) \in Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  be the normalized abelian cocycle associated with the quadratic form  $Q$  by Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 1.4.** *There is a function  $k : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that:*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} k(\alpha, 0) &= 1 = k(0, \alpha), \\ \omega_Q(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= k(\alpha_2, \alpha_3)k(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3)^{-1}k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{-1}, \\ c_Q(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= (-1)^{p(\alpha_1)p(\alpha_2)}k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)k(\alpha_2, \alpha_1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B_I : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a bicharacter defined by  $B_I(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^{p(\alpha)p(\beta)}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in I$ . Then, by (1.3),  $B_I(\alpha, \alpha) = Q(\alpha)$  for any  $\alpha \in I$  and by Lemma 1.3,  $\text{EM}(1_I, B_I) = Q|_I \in \text{Quad}(I)$ , where  $Q|_I$  is the restriction of the quadratic form  $Q$  on  $I \subset A$  and  $1_I$  is the trivial 3-cocycle on  $I$ . Since the restriction of  $(\omega_Q, c_Q) \in Z_{\text{ab}}^3(A, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  on  $I \subset A$  also satisfies  $\text{EM}(\omega_Q|_I, c_Q|_I) = Q|_I$ , by Theorem 1.2 there exists  $k : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_Q(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= k(\alpha_2, \alpha_3)k(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3)^{-1}k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{-1}, \\ c_Q(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= B_I(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)k(\alpha_2, \alpha_1)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in I$ . Since  $\omega_Q$  is normalized,  $\omega_Q(\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_2) = k(0, \alpha_3)k(\alpha_1, 0)^{-1}$  implies that  $k(-, -)$  satisfies  $k(\alpha, 0) = 1 = k(0, \alpha)$  for any  $\alpha \in I$ .  $\square$

Define maps  $m_{i,j} : S(N)_i \otimes S(N)_j \rightarrow S(N)_{i+j}$  by the linear extensions of  $k(\alpha, \beta) \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}\delta_{\alpha+\beta}} : \mathbb{C}\delta_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}\delta_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\delta_{\alpha+\beta}$  for  $\alpha \in p^{-1}(i)$  and  $\beta \in p^{-1}(j)$ , and  $\eta : \mathbb{C}\delta_0 \rightarrow S(N)_0$  by the inclusion map. Then, we have:

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $(I, p)$  be a super isotropic subspace of  $(A, Q)$ . Then, the above triple  $(S(I) = S(I)_0 \oplus S(I)_1, \{m_{i,j}\}_{i,j=0,1}, \eta)$  is a supercommutative algebra object in  $\mathbf{Vec}_A^Q$ .*

**Remark 1.6.** *Let  $k' : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be another map satisfying (1.4). Then,  $f(\alpha, \beta) = k(\alpha, \beta)k'(\alpha, \beta)^{-1}$  satisfies  $d_2(f)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 1$  for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I$ . Thus,  $f$  is a normalized 2-cocycle of  $I$  (in the sense of the usual group cohomology). Since  $f(\alpha, \beta) = f(\beta, \alpha)$  by (1.4),  $f$  is coboundary (see for example [FLM]). Thus, the non-trivial superalgebra structure on  $S(I)$  is unique up to isomorphism.*

It is noteworthy that the braided tensor category  $\mathbf{Vec}_A^Q$  naturally arises from lattice vertex operator algebras. We end this section by recalling this fact. An even lattice is a finite rank free abelian group  $M$  equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $(-, -) : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(\alpha, \alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\alpha \in M$ . Let  $M$  be an even lattice (not assumed to be positive-definite). We can extend the bilinear form  $(-, -)$  linearly on the vector space  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $M^\vee = \{\lambda \in M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \mid (\lambda, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } \alpha \in M\}$ , the dual lattice. Define a quadratic form  $Q_M$  on  $M^\vee/M$  by

$$Q_M(\lambda) = \exp(\pi i(\lambda, \lambda)) \text{ for } \lambda \in M^\vee.$$

Since  $M$  is an even lattice,  $Q_M$  is well-defined.

Let  $V_M$  be the lattice vertex operator algebra. Then, by [DL], we have:

**Proposition 1.7.** *The module category of the lattice vertex operator algebra  $V_M$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{Vec}_{M^\vee/M}^{Q_M}$  as braided tensor categories.*

**1.4. Quasi-triangular Hopf algebra.** In this section, we recall the definition of a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra and its standard results from [Ka, ES, KS]. Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra. We denote the unit morphism of  $H$  by  $\eta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H$  and the counit  $\epsilon : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the multiplication  $m : H \otimes H \rightarrow H$ , the co-multiplication  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  and the antipode  $S : H \rightarrow H$ . Throughout of this paper, the antipode is assumed to be invertible.

Let  $(H, \eta, \epsilon, m, \Delta, S)$  be a Hopf algebra and  $P_{21} : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  a transposition defined by  $a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$  for  $a, b \in H$  and set

$$m_{\text{op}} = m \circ P_{21} : H \otimes H \rightarrow H,$$

$$\Delta^{\text{cop}} = P_{21} \circ \Delta : H \otimes H \rightarrow H.$$

Then,  $(H, \eta, \epsilon, m_{\text{op}}, \Delta, S^{-1})$ ,  $(H, \eta, \epsilon, m, \Delta^{\text{cop}}, S^{-1})$  and  $(H, \eta, \epsilon, m_{\text{op}}, \Delta^{\text{cop}}, S)$  are again Hopf algebras, which are denoted by  $H_{\text{op}}$ ,  $H^{\text{cop}}$  and  $H_{\text{op}}^{\text{cop}}$ , respectively.

A Hopf algebra  $H$  is *quasi-triangular* if there exists an invertible element  $R \in H \otimes H$  such that

- (1)  $R\Delta(x)R^{-1} = \Delta^{\text{op}}(x)$  for all  $x \in H$ ;
- (2)  $(\Delta \otimes 1)(R) = R_{13}R_{23}$ ;

$$(3) (1 \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12}.$$

The element  $R \in H \otimes H$  is called an  $R$ -matrix.

Let  $(H, R)$  be a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. Then, the category of left  $H$ -modules, denoted by  $(H, R)\text{-mod}$ , inherits a natural braided tensor category structure. The braiding  $B_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M$  is defined by

$$B_{M,N} = P_{M,N} \circ (\rho_M \otimes \rho_N)(R),$$

where  $\rho_M : H \rightarrow \text{End } M$  and  $\rho_N : H \rightarrow \text{End } N$  are the structure homomorphisms. Quasi-triangular Hopf algebras  $(H_1, R_1)$  and  $(H_2, R_2)$  are said to be isomorphic if there exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism  $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  such that  $(f \otimes f)(R_1) = R_2$ . If quasi-triangular Hopf algebras  $(H_1, R_1)$  and  $(H_2, R_2)$  are isomorphic, then their module categories  $(H_1, R_1)\text{-mod}$  and  $(H_2, R_2)\text{-mod}$  are isomorphic as braided tensor categories.

Importantly, an  $R$ -matrix of a Hopf algebra  $H$  is not unique. In fact, for an  $R$ -matrix  $R \in H \otimes H$ ,  $R_{21}^{-1} \in H \otimes H$  is again an  $R$ -matrix, where  $R_{21} = P_{21}(R) = \sum_i \beta_i \otimes \alpha_i$  for  $R = \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i$ , and the quasi-triangular Hopf algebras  $(H, R)$  and  $(H, R_{21}^{-1})$  are not isomorphic in general. The following lemma is clear from the definition:

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $(H, R)$  be a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. Then,  $(H, R_{21}^{-1})\text{-mod}$  and  $((H, R)\text{-mod})^{\text{rev}}$  are equivalent as braided tensor categories.*

The following lemma is also clear from the definition:

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $(H, R)$  be a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. Then,*

- (1)  $R^{-1}$  and  $R_{21}$  are  $R$ -matrices of  $H_{\text{op}}$ ;
- (2)  $R^{-1}$  and  $R_{21}$  are  $R$ -matrices of  $H^{\text{cop}}$ .

We will give an example of a commutative algebra object in a braided tensor category, introduced in the previous section. Let  $(H, \eta, \epsilon, m, \Delta)$  be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra and  $H^\vee$  is a dual vector space of  $H$ . Then,  $H^\vee$  is canonically a Hopf algebra, called a *dual Hopf algebra*. The unit, counit, multiplication and co-multiplication are given by

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta^\vee &= \epsilon \in H^\vee, \\ \epsilon^\vee(f) &= f(\eta(1)), \\ m^\vee(f \otimes g) &= (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta \in H^\vee \\ \Delta^\vee(f) &= f \circ m \in H^\vee \otimes H^\vee \end{aligned}$$

for  $f, g \in H$ . Let  $\langle - \rangle : H^\vee \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the canonical pairing. Then, it satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle m^\vee(f \otimes g), a \rangle &= \langle f \otimes g, \Delta(a) \rangle, \\ \langle f, m(a \otimes b) \rangle &= \langle \Delta^\vee(f), a \otimes b \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for  $a, b \in H$  and  $f, g \in H^\vee$ .

Furthermore,  $H^\vee$  is a left  $H \otimes H$  module where the left module structure is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad (a \otimes b) \cdot f = f(S(b) - a)$$

for  $a, b \in H$  and  $f \in H^\vee$ .

As we will see in the following lemma, it is natural to view  $H^\vee$  as an  $H \otimes H^{\text{cop}}$ -module.

**Lemma 1.10.** *The unit  $\eta^\vee : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H^\vee$  and the multiplication  $m^\vee : H^\vee \otimes H^\vee \rightarrow H^\vee$  are morphisms in  $H \otimes H^{\text{cop}}$ -mod.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in H$ ,  $f, g \in H^\vee$  and  $x \in H$ . By  $(a \otimes b)\eta^\vee(1) = (a \otimes b)\epsilon = \epsilon(S(b) - a) = \epsilon(S(b))\epsilon(-)\epsilon(a) = \epsilon(b)\epsilon(-)\epsilon(a) = \eta^\vee((a \otimes b)1)$ ,  $\eta^\vee : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H^\vee$  is a  $H \otimes H^{\text{cop}}$ -module homomorphism. By

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (a \otimes b) \cdot m^\vee(f \otimes g), x \rangle &= \langle m^\vee(f \otimes g), S(b)xa \rangle \\ &= \langle f \otimes g, \Delta(S(b)xa) \rangle \\ &= \langle f \otimes g, \Delta(S(b))\Delta(x)\Delta(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle f \otimes g, S(\Delta^{\text{cop}}(b))\Delta(x)\Delta(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle (a \otimes b) \cdot (f \otimes g), \Delta(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle m^\vee((a \otimes b) \cdot (f \otimes g)), x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us assume that  $R = \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i \in H \otimes H$  is an R-matrix of  $H$ . Then, by Lemma 1.9,  $(H^{\text{cop}}, R^{-1})$  is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. Let  $R^{-1} = \sum_j \alpha'_j \otimes \beta'_j$  and set  $R^{1,-1} \equiv \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \otimes \alpha'_j \otimes \beta_i \otimes \beta'_j \in H \otimes H \otimes H \otimes H$ . Then,  $R^{1,-1}$  is an R-matrix of  $H \otimes H^{\text{cop}}$ .

The following result is mentioned in many literatures (see [CW] or [DM]):

**Proposition 1.11.** *Let  $(H, R)$  be a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. Then,  $(H^\vee, m^\vee, \eta^\vee)$  is a commutative algebra object in  $(H \otimes H^{\text{cop}}, R^{1,-1})$ -mod.*

*Proof.* As discussed above,  $H^\vee$  is an  $H \otimes H^{\text{cop}}$ -module and by Lemma 1.10,  $m^\vee$  and  $\eta^\vee$  are  $H \otimes H^{\text{cop}}$ -module homomorphisms. Since the dual Hopf algebra is a unital associative algebra, (CA1) and (CA2) hold. To show (CA3), we recall that  $(S \otimes S)(R) = R$  holds for any R-matrix. Then, by (1.6), for any  $x \in H$  and  $f, g \in H^\vee$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m^\vee(P \circ R^{1,-1} \cdot (f \otimes g)), x \rangle &= \langle P \circ R^{1,-1} \cdot (f \otimes g), \Delta(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle R^{1,-1} \cdot (f \otimes g), \Delta(x)^{\text{cop}} \rangle \\ &= \langle f \otimes g, S(R^{-1})\Delta(x)^{\text{cop}}R \rangle \\ &= \langle f \otimes g, R^{-1}\Delta^{\text{cop}}(x)R \rangle \\ &= \langle f \otimes g, \Delta(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle m^\vee(f \otimes g), x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (CA3) holds.

□



**1.5. Affine vertex algebra and Drinfeld category.** In the previous section, braided tensor categories were constructed by using quasi-triangular Hopf algebras. Another way to construct braided tensor categories is to use the representation theory of vertex algebras [Hua]. In the case of affine vertex algebras, the resulting braided tensor category coincides with Drinfeld categories defined from the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations [KZ, Dr1, TK, ES]. In this section, we briefly explain the relationship between affine vertex algebras and Drinfeld categories based on [EFK].

Let  $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple complex Lie algebra. We will use the notations introduced in section 1.1. Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$  be the affine Lie algebra which is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded:  $\deg(a \otimes t^n) = -n$ ,  $\deg(c) = 0$ . Define  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^+ = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ ,  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^- = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ ,  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ , and  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\geq 0} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^+ \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0$ . Let  $L(\lambda)$  denote the finite dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\gamma \in P^+$ , which can be regarded as a  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\geq 0}$ -module by letting  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^+$  act trivially and the central element  $c$  act by the scalar  $k$ . The induced module  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\geq 0}}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} L(\lambda)$  is called *the Weyl module*. It has a natural  $\mathbb{C}$ -grading,  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda))_{\Delta(\lambda)+n}$  with  $\Delta(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda+2\rho, \lambda)}{2(k+h^\vee)}$  and  $(L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda))_{\Delta(\lambda)} = L(\lambda)$ .

Then,  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)$  inherits a vertex operator algebra structure, called an *affine vertex operator algebra* and  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda)$  is a  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)$ -module. We consider a category of  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)$ -modules whose object is a direct sum of  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda)$ 's for  $\lambda \in P^+$  and morphisms are  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)$ -module homomorphisms. We denote this  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear abelian category by  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ .

Following [EFK], we will briefly review the fact that the abelian category  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)$  has a balanced braided tensor category structure. Let  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda \in P^+$ . It is well-known that for any  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module homomorphisms,  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L(\lambda_1) \otimes L(\lambda_2), L(\lambda_0))$ , there exists a unique intertwining operator

$$I_f(-, z) : L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_2), L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_0))[[z^{\mathbb{C}}]]$$

such that it can be written as

$$(1.8) \quad I_f(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n - \Delta) z^{-n-1+\Delta} \text{ with } \Delta = \Delta(\lambda_0) - \Delta(\lambda_1) - \Delta(\lambda_2)$$

for any  $a \in L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_1)$  and

$$a(-\Delta - 1)b = f(a \otimes b)$$

for any  $a \in L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_1)_{\Delta(\lambda_1)} = L(\lambda_1)$  and  $b \in L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_2)_{\Delta(\lambda_2)} = L(\lambda_2)$  (See [EFK, Theorem 3.1.1] or [FZ, Theorem 1.5.3]).

We denote the space of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module homomorphisms,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L(\lambda_1) \otimes L(\lambda_2), L(\lambda_0))$  by  $V_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{\lambda_0}$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L(\lambda_1) \otimes L(\lambda_2) \otimes L(\lambda_3), L(\lambda_0))$  by  $V_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0}$ . Then, define a tensor product by

$$L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_1) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_2) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P^+} V_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{\alpha} L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\alpha).$$

Then, by the natural  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphism  $V_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P^+} V_{\lambda_1 \alpha}^{\lambda_0} \otimes V_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_1) \otimes (L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_2) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_3)) &\cong L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_1) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\alpha} \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\alpha) \right) \\
 (1.9) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda_0} \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{\lambda_1 \alpha}^{\lambda_0} \otimes V_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\alpha} \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_0) \\
 &\cong V_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0} \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Set  $Y_2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z_1 \neq z_2, z_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } z_2 \neq 0\}$ , an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then, a composition of vertex operator defines a multi-valued holomorphic function on  $Y_2$  and the monodromy defines a braided tensor category structure on  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ .

More precisely, set  $Y_2^> = \{(z_1, z_2) \in Y_2 \mid |z_1| > |z_2|, |\text{Arg } z_2| < \pi, |\text{Arg } z_1| < \pi\}$  and  $Y_2^< = \{(z_1, z_2) \in Y_2 \mid |z_1| < |z_2|, |\text{Arg } z_2| < \pi, |\text{Arg } z_1| < \pi\}$ . Let  $Mul(Y_2^>)$  (resp.  $Mul(Y_2^<)$ ) be the space of holomorphic functions on  $Y_2^>$  (resp.  $Y_2^<$ ) such that it has an analytic continuation to a multi-valued holomorphic function on  $Y_2$ .

Let  $f \in V_{\lambda_1 \alpha}^{\lambda_0}$  and  $g \in V_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\alpha}$  and  $a_i \in L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $u$  be an element of the restricted dual space  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_0)^{\vee} = \bigoplus_h L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_0)_h^*$ . Then, the composition of the intertwining operators

$$u(I_f(a_1, z_1)I_g(a_2, z_2)a_3) \in \mathbb{C}[[z_1^{\mathbb{C}}, z_2^{\mathbb{C}}]]$$

is absolutely convergent to a holomorphic function on  $Y_2^>$  and has an analytic continuation to a multivalued holomorphic function on  $Y_2$ . This follows since the formal power series  $u(I_f(a_1, z_1)I_g(a_2, z_2)a_3)$  satisfies the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation, see [KZ, TK, ES]. Thus, we have a linear map

$$C(u, a_1, a_2, a_3; z_1, z_2) : V_{\lambda_1 \alpha}^{\lambda_0} \otimes V_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\alpha} \rightarrow Mul(Y_2^>)$$

for  $a_i \in L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_i)$  and  $u \in L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_0)^{\vee}$ . Hence, by  $V_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P^+} V_{\lambda_1 \alpha}^{\lambda_0} \otimes V_{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\alpha}$ , we have

$$C(u, a_1, a_2, a_3; z_1, z_2) : V_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0} \rightarrow Mul(Y_2^>)$$

and similarly

$$C(u, a_1, a_2, a_3; z_2, z_1) : V_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0} \rightarrow Mul(Y_2^<)$$

by changing the role of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a path from a point in  $Y_2^>$  to a point in  $Y_2^<$  and  $A(\gamma) : Mul(Y_2^>) \rightarrow Mul(Y_2^<)$  a linear map defined by the analytic continuation of a function in  $Mul(Y_2^>)$  along the path  $\gamma$ . Then, by using the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation, there exists a unique linear isomorphism

$$M(\gamma) : V_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0} \rightarrow V_{\lambda_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0}$$

such that for any  $a_i \in V_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_i)$  and  $u \in V_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda_0)^{\vee}$  the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0} & \xrightarrow{M(\gamma)} & V_{\lambda_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_3}^{\lambda_0} \\
 \downarrow C(u, a_1, a_2, a_3; z_1, z_2) & & \downarrow C(u, a_2, a_1, a_3; z_2, z_1) \\
 Mul(Y_2^>) & \xrightarrow{A(\gamma)} & Mul(Y_2^<).
 \end{array}$$

It is noteworthy that  $M(\gamma)$  is independent of the choice of  $a_i \in L_{g,k}(\lambda_i)$  and  $u \in L_{g,k}(\lambda_0)^\vee$  and thus by (1.9) this linear map  $M(\gamma)$  gives “a structure morphism of braided tensor category”,

$$M(\gamma) : L_{g,k}(\lambda_1) \otimes (L_{g,k}(\lambda_2) \otimes L_{g,k}(\lambda_3)) \rightarrow L_{g,k}(\lambda_2) \otimes (L_{g,k}(\lambda_1) \otimes L_{g,k}(\lambda_3)).$$

In fact, by setting  $\lambda_3 = 0$ , it gives an isomorphism  $L_{g,k}(\lambda_1) \otimes L_{g,k}(\lambda_2) \rightarrow L_{g,k}(\lambda_2) \otimes L_{g,k}(\lambda_1)$ , which is the braiding  $B_{L_{g,k}(\lambda_1), L_{g,k}(\lambda_2)}$  and the composition of the braiding and  $M(\gamma)$  gives the associative isomorphism  $L_1 \otimes (L_2 \otimes L_3) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes B} L_1 \otimes (L_3 \otimes L_2) \xrightarrow{M} L_3 \otimes (L_1 \otimes L_2) \xrightarrow{B} (L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes L_3$ . In this way, the monodromy of the intertwining operators define a braided tensor category structure on  $D(g, k)$  (see for example [ES, Ka]). This braided tensor category is introduced by Drinfeld by using the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation and called a *Drinfeld category*.

We remark that we can consider antiholomorphic formal variable  $\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2$ . Then, the formal power series

$$u(I_f(a_1, \bar{z}_1)I_g(a_2, \bar{z}_2)a_3) \in \mathbb{C}[[\bar{z}_1^{\mathbb{C}}, \bar{z}_2^{\mathbb{C}}]]$$

is absolutely convergent to an antiholomorphic function on  $Y_2^>$ . All of the above discussions can be carried out in parallel, and a braided tensor category can be defined. We denote this antiholomorphic Drinfeld category by  $\overline{D(g, k)}$ . An object in  $\overline{D(g, k)}$  is written as  $\overline{L_{g,k}(\lambda)}$  to emphasize that it is an object in  $\overline{D(g, k)}$ .

Since the holomorphic and antiholomorphic solutions of a KZ-equation are the same on the real subspace  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cap Y_2$ , the associative isomorphisms of  $D(g, k)$  and  $\overline{D(g, k)}$  are the same. Furthermore, the difference of the braidings is an inverse of each other. This is because for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  the monodromy of the holomorphic function  $z^\alpha$  around the origin (counterclockwise) is  $\exp(2\pi i \alpha)$ , and the monodromy of an antiholomorphic function  $\bar{z}^\alpha$  around the origin is  $\exp(-2\pi i \alpha)$ . Hence, we have:

**Lemma 1.12.** *For  $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\overline{D(g, k)}$  and  $D(g, k)^{\text{rev}}$  are equivalent as braided tensor categories.*

We note that  $D(g, k)$  is a balanced braided tensor category with the balance

$$(1.10) \quad \theta_{L_{g,k}(\lambda)} = \exp(2\pi i \Delta(\lambda)) = \exp\left(\pi i \frac{(\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda)}{k + h^\vee}\right)$$

and the balance on  $\overline{D(g, k)}$  is given by

$$(1.11) \quad \theta_{\overline{L_{g,k}(\lambda)}} = \exp\left(-\pi i \frac{(\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda)}{k + h^\vee}\right).$$

Finally, we briefly review the fact that for any commutative algebra object in the Drinfeld categories  $D(g, k) \otimes D(g, k')$  with  $k, k' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , we can construct a vertex algebra as an extension of the affine vertex algebra  $L_{g,k}(0) \otimes L_{g,k'}(0)$  (see for more detail [HKL]).

Let  $(V, m, \eta)$  be a commutative algebra object in  $D(g, k) \otimes D(g, k')$  such that the balance  $\theta$  trivially acts on  $V$ ,  $\theta_V = \text{id}$ . Then,  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \lambda' \in P^+} (L_{g,k}(\lambda) \otimes L_{g,k'}(\lambda'))^{n_{\lambda, \lambda'}}$  where  $n_{\lambda, \lambda'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

is the multiplicity. By (1.8) and (1.10),  $n_{\lambda,\lambda'} = 0$  unless

$$\frac{(\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda)}{2(k + h^\vee)} + \frac{(\lambda' + 2\rho, \lambda')}{2(k' + h^\vee)} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

that is,  $V$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. By the definition of  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ , the multiplication  $m : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$  corresponds to an intertwining operator  $I_m(-, z) : V \rightarrow \text{End}(V, V)[[z^\pm]]$ . For any  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in V$  and  $u \in V^\vee = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n^*$  and any path  $\gamma$  from  $Y_2^>$  to  $Y_2^<$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A(\gamma)u(I_m(a_1, z_1)I_m(a_2, z_2)a_3) &= A(\gamma)C(u, a_1, a_2, a_3; z_1, z_2)(m(\text{id} \otimes m)) \\ &= C(u, a_2, a_1, a_3; z_2, z_1)(M(\gamma)m(\text{id} \otimes m)) \\ &= C(u, a_2, a_1, a_3; z_2, z_1)(m(\text{id} \otimes m)) \\ &= u(I_m(a_2, z_2)I_m(a_1, z_1)a_3). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the assumption that  $V$  is a commutative associative algebra object. Hence,  $I_m(-, z)$  satisfies the locality condition, which implies that  $V$  is a vertex algebra. The vacuum vector  $\mathbf{1}$  is defined by the non-zero morphism  $\eta : L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},k'}(0) \rightarrow V$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{1} = \eta(\mathbf{1}_{L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{L_{\mathfrak{g},k'}(0)})$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_{L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{1}_{L_{\mathfrak{g},k'}(0)}$ ) is the vacuum vector of  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)$  (resp.  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k'}(0)$ ). Hence, we have (We omit the details here, but refer instead to [HKL]):

**Proposition 1.13.** *Let  $k, k' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(V, m, \eta)$  be a commutative algebra object in  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes D(\mathfrak{g}, k')$  such that  $\theta_V = \text{id}$ . Then,  $(V, I_m(-, z), \mathbf{1})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra.*

Similarly, let  $(V = V_0 \oplus V_1, \{m_{i,j}\}_{i,j=0,1}, \eta)$  be a supercommutative algebra object in  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes D(\mathfrak{g}, k')$  such that the balance  $\theta|_{V_i} = (-1)^i$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . By the definition of  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)$ , the multiplication  $m : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$  corresponds to an intertwining operator  $I_m(-, z) : V \rightarrow \text{End}(V, V)[[z^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}]]$ . Since  $m$  does not have any component which is included in  $\text{Hom}(V_i \otimes V_j, V_{1+i+j})$ , the vertex operator  $I_m(-, z) \in \text{End}(V, V)[[z^\pm]]$ . The rest of the argument is completely similar to the above (for more detail, see [CKM1]). Hence, we have:

**Proposition 1.14.** *Let  $k, k' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(V = V_0 \oplus V_1, \{m_{i,j}\}_{i,j=0,1}, \eta)$  be a supercommutative algebra object in  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes D(\mathfrak{g}, k')$  such that  $\theta_{V_i} = (-1)^i$ . Then,  $(V, I_m(-, z), \mathbf{1})$  is a vertex superalgebra.*

**1.6. Non-chiral case.** In the last section we introduce a complex conjugate of the Drinfeld category,  $\overline{D(\mathfrak{g}, k)}$  which is defined by the intertwining operator  $I(-, \bar{z})$  with an antiholomorphic formal variable. Thus, a commutative algebra object in the Drinfeld categories  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes \overline{D(\mathfrak{g}, k')}$  should corresponds to a non-chiral vertex algebra whose vertex operator  $Y(-, \underline{z})$  consisting of holomorphic and antiholomorphic formal variables,

$$Y(a, \underline{z}) = \sum_{r,s \in \mathbb{C}} a(r, s) z^{-r-1} \bar{z}^{-s-1}.$$

Such an algebra is introduced in [Mo] and called a full vertex algebra. In this section, we briefly recall the definition of a full vertex algebra and show a result similar to Proposition 1.13 for the FULL case.

For a  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -graded vector space  $F = \bigoplus_{h, \bar{h} \in \mathbb{C}^2} F_{h, \bar{h}}$ , set  $F^\vee = \bigoplus_{h, \bar{h} \in \mathbb{C}^2} F_{h, \bar{h}}^*$ , where  $F_{h, \bar{h}}^*$  is the dual vector space of  $F_{h, \bar{h}}$ . A *full vertex algebra* is a  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $F = \bigoplus_{h, \bar{h} \in \mathbb{C}^2} F_{h, \bar{h}}$  equipped with a linear map

$$Y(-, \underline{z}) : F \rightarrow \text{End}(F)[[z^\pm, \bar{z}^\pm, |z|^\mathbb{C}]], \quad a \mapsto Y(a, \underline{z}) = \sum_{r, s \in \mathbb{C}} a(r, s) z^{-r-1} \bar{z}^{-s-1}$$

and a non-zero element  $\mathbf{1} \in F_{0,0}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- FV1) For any  $a, b \in F$ ,  $Y(a, \underline{z})b \in F((z, \bar{z}, |z|^\mathbb{C}))$ ;
- FV2)  $F_{h, \bar{h}} = 0$  unless  $h - \bar{h} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- FV3) For any  $a \in F$ ,  $Y(a, \underline{z})\mathbf{1} \in F[[z, \bar{z}]]$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Y(a, \underline{z})\mathbf{1} = a(-1, -1)\mathbf{1} = a$ ;
- FV4)  $Y(\mathbf{1}, \underline{z}) = \text{id} \in \text{End}F$ ;
- FV5) For any  $a, b, c \in F$  and  $u \in F^\vee$ , the formal power series  $u(Y(a, \underline{z}_1)Y(b, \underline{z}_2)c)$ ,  $u(Y(b, \underline{z}_2)Y(a, \underline{z}_1)c)$  and  $u(Y(Y(a, \underline{z}_0)b, \underline{z}_2)c)$  are absolutely convergent in the region  $\{|z_1| > |z_2|\} = Y_2^>$ ,  $\{|z_2| > |z_1|\} = Y_2^<$  and  $\{|z_2| > |z_0|\}$  respectively and have analytic continuations to the same single-valued real analytic function on  $Y_2$  by taking  $z_0 = z_1 - z_2$  (See [Mo] for more detailed definition).
- FV6)  $F_{h, \bar{h}}(r, s)F_{h', \bar{h}'} \subset F_{h+h'-r-1, \bar{h}+\bar{h}'-s-1}$  for any  $r, s, h, h', \bar{h}, \bar{h}' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Remark 1.15.** In the original definition in [Mo], a full vertex algebra  $F$  is assumed to be  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -graded and  $Y(a, \underline{z}) \in \text{End}F[[z, \bar{z}, |z|^\mathbb{R}]]$  since any conformal field theory satisfies this condition.

Let  $(F, Y, \mathbf{1})$  be a full vertex algebra. Set  $\bar{F} = F$  and  $\bar{F}_{h, \bar{h}} = F_{\bar{h}, h}$  for  $h, \bar{h} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $\bar{Y}(-, \underline{z}) : \bar{F} \rightarrow \text{End}(\bar{F})[[z, \bar{z}, |z|^\mathbb{C}]]$  by  $\bar{Y}(a, \underline{z}) = \sum_{r, s \in \mathbb{C}} a(r, s) z^{-s-1} \bar{z}^{-r-1}$ . Then,  $(\bar{F}, \bar{Y}, \mathbf{1})$  is a full vertex algebra. We call it a conjugate full vertex algebra of  $(F, Y, \mathbf{1})$ .

We will consider a full vertex algebra  $L_{g,k}(0) \otimes \overline{L_{g,k'}(0)}$  which is the tensor product of the vertex algebra  $L_{g,k}(0)$  and the conjugate (full) vertex algebra  $\overline{L_{g,k'}(0)}$ .

Let  $(F, m, \eta)$  be a commutative algebra object in  $D(g, k) \otimes \overline{D(g, k')}$  such that  $\theta_F = \text{id}$ . Then,  $F = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \lambda' \in P^+} (L_{g,k}(\lambda) \otimes \overline{L_{g,k'}(\lambda')})^{n_{\lambda, \lambda'}}$  where  $n_{\lambda, \lambda'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  is the multiplicity. Similarly to the last section, by (1.8) and (1.11),  $n_{\lambda, \lambda'} = 0$  unless

$$\frac{(\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda)}{2(k + h^\vee)} - \frac{(\lambda' + 2\rho, \lambda')}{2(k' + h'^\vee)} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

that is,  $F$  satisfies (FV2). By the definition of the Drinfeld category, the multiplication  $m : F \otimes F \rightarrow F$  corresponds to an intertwining operator  $I_m(-, \underline{z}) : F \rightarrow \text{End}(F, F)[[z^\mathbb{C}, \bar{z}^\mathbb{C}]]$ . (FV6) follows from the definition of the intertwining operators and (FV2) and (FV6) imply  $I_m(a, \underline{z}) \in \text{End}(F, F)[[z, \bar{z}, |z|^\mathbb{C}]]$  for any  $a \in F$ . Thus, (FV1), (FV3) and (FV4) follows from the definition of the intertwining operators and the assumption (CA1). (FV5) follows from the same argument in the last section. Hence, we have:

**Proposition 1.16.** Let  $k, k' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(F, m, \eta)$  be a commutative algebra object in  $D(g, k) \otimes \overline{D(g, k')}$  such that  $\theta_F = \text{id}$ . Then,  $(F, I_m(-, \underline{z}), \mathbf{1})$  is a full vertex algebra.

## 2. QUANTUM GROUP AND ISOMORPHISMS

As shown in the previous section, in order to construct extensions of affine vertex algebras we would like to construct a commutative algebra object in  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes D(\mathfrak{g}, k')$ .

In Section 2.1 and 2.2, we review the equivalence between the Drinfeld category  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)$  and a module category of a quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , and prepare the settings and results needed later. Then, we will prove (twisted) equivalences among the module categories at different levels by using isomorphisms among quantum groups and the explicit description of the R-matrix in Section 2.3 and by the characterization of the braided tensor category in Section 2.4.

**2.1. Definition of quantum group.** In this section, we recall the definition of a quantum group based on [Ja, KS].

Fix an element  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Recall the notations for the simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (see Section 1.1), in particular, the normalized invariant bilinear form  $\langle\langle -, - \rangle\rangle$  which satisfies  $\langle\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\rangle = 2$  for short roots  $\alpha$ . Put  $q_\alpha := q^{\langle\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\rangle/2}$  for  $\alpha \in \Pi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n_q &:= \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\ n_q! &:= n_q(n-1)_q \dots 1_q, \\ \binom{n}{m}_q &:= \frac{n_q!}{m_q!(n-m)_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.1.** *The quantized enveloping algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the algebra defined by generators  $\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha, K_\alpha, K_{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$  and relations*

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= 1, \quad K_\alpha K_\beta = K_{\alpha+\beta}, \\ K_\alpha E_\beta K_{-\alpha} &= q^{\langle\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\rangle} E_\beta, \quad K_\alpha F_\beta K_{-\alpha} = q^{-\langle\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\rangle} F_\beta, \\ [E_\alpha, F_\beta] &= \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}}, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} (-1)^r \binom{1-a_{\alpha\beta}}{r}_{q_\alpha} E_\alpha^r E_\beta E_\alpha^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}-r} &= 0, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} (-1)^r \binom{1-a_{\alpha\beta}}{r}_{q_\alpha} F_\alpha^r F_\beta F_\alpha^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}-r} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\langle\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\rangle} = \frac{2\langle\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \beta, \beta \rangle\rangle}$ , the Cartan matrix, for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$ . One may define a Hopf algebra structure on  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(K_\alpha) &= K_\alpha \otimes K_\alpha, \quad \varepsilon(K_\alpha) = 1, \quad S(K_\alpha) = K_\alpha^{-1}, \\ \Delta(E_\alpha) &= E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha, \quad \varepsilon(E_\alpha) = 0, \quad S(E_\alpha) = -K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha, \\ \Delta(F_\alpha) &= F_\alpha \otimes K_\alpha^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_\alpha, \quad \varepsilon(F_\alpha) = 0, \quad S(F_\alpha) = -F_\alpha K_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is graded by the root lattice  $Q = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ : The grading is given by  $\deg E_\alpha = \alpha$ ,  $\deg F_\alpha = -\alpha$  and  $\deg K_\alpha = 0$ . Let  $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp.  $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ ) be the subalgebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by all  $E_\alpha$ 's (resp.  $K_\alpha$ 's,  $F_\alpha$ 's) with  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . Then, these subalgebras are graded subalgebras of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in Q} U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\mu$ . Since  $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$  is a commutative algebra, we can define for each  $\lambda \in Q$  an element  $K_\lambda$  in  $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$  by

$$K_\lambda = \prod_{\beta \in \Pi} K_\beta^{m_\beta} \text{ if } \lambda = \sum_{\beta \in \Pi} m_\beta \beta.$$

For each  $\lambda \in P$ , let  $L_q(\lambda)$  be the unique irreducible highest module of highest weight  $\lambda$ , that is, there exists nonzero  $v_\lambda \in L_q(\lambda)$  such that

$$K_\mu v_\lambda = q^{\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle} v_\lambda, \quad E_\alpha v_\lambda = 0.$$

If  $\lambda \in P^+$ , then  $L_q(\lambda)$  is finite dimensional. We say a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is of *type 1* if it decomposes into a direct sum of  $L_q(\lambda)$ 's for  $\lambda \in P^+$ . Notice that any subquotient of type 1 module is also of type 1. Hence,  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -mod is a locally finite semisimple abelian category. We remark that the abelian category structure does not depend on  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , however the tensor category structure does.

For any type 1 module  $M$ , set for all  $\lambda \in P$

$$M_\lambda = \{m \in M \mid K_\alpha m = q^{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle} m \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi\}.$$

Then, we have

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} M_\lambda.$$

The following Hopf algebra isomorphisms are important in this paper:

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,*

- (1) *There exist Hopf algebra isomorphisms  $\omega : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ ,  $\theta : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})_{\text{op}}$  and  $\psi : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$  such that: For any  $\alpha \in \Pi$*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(E_\alpha) &= F_\alpha, & \omega(F_\alpha) &= E_\alpha, & \omega(K_\alpha) &= K_\alpha^{-1}, \\ \theta(E_\alpha) &= E_\alpha, & \theta(F_\alpha) &= F_\alpha, & \theta(K_\alpha) &= K_\alpha, \\ \psi(E_\alpha) &= -K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha, & \psi(F_\alpha) &= -F_\alpha K_\alpha, & \psi(K_\alpha) &= K_\alpha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) *There exists an algebra isomorphism  $\tau : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\text{op}}$ ,*

$$\tau(E_\alpha) = E_\alpha, \quad \tau(F_\alpha) = F_\alpha, \quad \tau(K_\alpha) = K_\alpha^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to show that  $\theta$  and  $\omega$  are Hopf algebra isomorphisms. Since  $\psi = S \circ \theta$  and  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\theta} U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})_{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{S} U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ ,  $\psi$  gives a Hopf algebra isomorphism.  $\square$



**2.2. Bilinear form and R-matrix.** In this section, we recall the definitions of a bilinear form on  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  and the R-matrix. Here, we sometimes simplify our notations and write only  $U = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $U^+ = U_q(\mathfrak{g})^+$  and  $U^- = U_q(\mathfrak{g})^-$ . This section is mainly based on [Ja] (see also [Lu1, KS]). The subalgebra of  $U$  generated by  $U^+$  and  $U^0$  (resp.  $U^-$  and  $U^0$ ) is denoted by  $U^{\geq 0}$  (resp.  $U^{\leq 0}$ ). Recall that  $U$  is a  $Q$ -graded algebra and for all  $\mu \in Q$ ,

$$U_\mu = \{u \in U \mid K_\alpha u K_\alpha^{-1} = q^{\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle} u \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Pi\}.$$

Set  $U_\mu^+ = U^+ \cap U_\mu$  and  $U_\mu^- = U^- \cap U_{-\mu}$  for  $\mu \in Q$  with  $\mu \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** [Ja, Proposition 6.12 and Corollary 8.30] *There exists a unique bilinear pairing  $(-, -) : U^{\leq 0} \times U^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $x, x' \in U^{\geq 0}$ , all  $y, y' \in U^{\leq 0}$ , all  $\mu, \nu \in Q$ , and all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$*

$$\begin{aligned} (y, xx') &= (\Delta y, x' \otimes x), & (yy', x) &= (y \otimes y', \Delta x), \\ (K_\mu, K_\nu) &= q^{-\langle \mu, \nu \rangle}, & (F_\alpha, E_\beta) &= -\delta_{\alpha\beta} (q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1})^{-1} \\ (K_\mu, E_\alpha) &= 0 & (F_\alpha, K_\mu) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the restriction of  $(-, -)$  to any  $U_\mu^- \times U_\mu^+$  with  $\mu \in Q$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$  is a non-degenerate pairing.

The bilinear form is preserved by the isomorphisms  $\omega : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ :

**Lemma 2.4.** [Ja, Lemma 6.16.] *For all  $x \in U^+$  and  $y \in U^-$ ,  $(\omega(x), \omega(y)) = (y, x)$ .*

We will construct the R-matrix according to [Ja]. Choose for each  $\mu \in Q$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$  a basis  $u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu, \dots, u_{r(\mu)}^\mu$  of  $U_\mu^+$ . By Proposition 2.3 we can find a basis  $v_1^\mu, v_2^\mu, \dots, v_{r(\mu)}^\mu$  of  $U_\mu^-$  such that  $(v_j^\mu, u_i^\mu) = \delta_{i,j}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Set

$$\Theta_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{r(\mu)} v_i^\mu \otimes u_i(\mu) \in U_\mu^- \otimes U_\mu^+.$$

By linear algebra,  $\Theta_\mu$  does not depend on the choice of the basis  $(u_i^\mu)_i$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.4, we have:

**Lemma 2.5** ([Ja]). *For all  $\mu \in Q$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ ,*

$$(\omega \otimes \omega)\Theta_\mu = P_{21}(\Theta_\mu),$$

where  $P_{21}$  is the transposition.

Let  $U \hat{\otimes} U$  be the completion of the vector space  $U \otimes U$  with respect to the descending sequence of vector spaces

$$(U^+ U^0 \sum_{\text{wt } \mu \geq N} U_\mu^-) \otimes U + U \otimes U^- U^0 \sum_{\text{wt } \mu \geq N} U_\mu^+)$$

for  $N = 1, 2, \dots$  and similarly  $U \hat{\otimes}_{\text{rev}} U$  the completion with respect to

$$(U^- U^0 \sum_{\text{wt } \mu \geq N} U_\mu^+) \otimes U + U \otimes U^+ U^0 \sum_{\text{wt } \mu \geq N} U_\mu^-)$$

for  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, the algebra structure on  $U \otimes U$  extends to algebra structures on  $U \hat{\otimes} U$  and  $U \hat{\otimes}_{\text{rev}} U$ , and  $\Theta = \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \Theta_\mu$  is in  $U \hat{\otimes} U$  and  $P_{21}\Theta$  is in  $U \hat{\otimes}_{\text{rev}} U$ .

Set  $\Delta^\tau = (\tau \circ \tau) \circ \Delta \circ \tau^{-1}$ , c.f. Section 2.1. Then, we have:

**Proposition 2.6** ([Ja]). *The element  $\Theta \in U \hat{\otimes} U$  satisfies*

$$\Delta(u) \circ \Theta = \Theta \circ \Delta^\tau(u)$$

for any  $u \in U$ .

Furthermore,  $\Theta$  is unique in the following sense:

**Theorem 2.7.** [Lu1, Theorem 4.1.2.] *Let  $\Gamma_\mu \in U_\mu^- \otimes U_\mu^+$  be a family of elements (with  $\mu \geq 0$ ) such that:*

- (1)  $\Gamma_0 = 1 \otimes 1$ ;
- (2)  $\Gamma = \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \Gamma_\mu$  satisfies  $\Delta(u)\Gamma = \Gamma\Delta^\tau(u)$  for all  $u \in U$  (identify in  $U \hat{\otimes} U$ ).

Then,  $\Gamma_\mu = \Theta_\mu$  for all  $\mu \geq 0$ .

Fix a complex number  $\rho$  with  $q = \exp(\pi i \rho)$ . Note that if  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  satisfy  $q = \exp(\pi i \rho) = \exp(\pi i \rho')$ , then  $\rho - \rho' \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Define for all type 1  $U$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  a bijective linear map  $f_\rho : M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$  by

$$f_\rho(m \otimes n) = \exp(-\pi i \rho \langle \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle \rangle) m \otimes n \text{ for any } m \in M_\lambda \text{ and } n \in N_\mu$$

and for all  $\mu, \lambda \in P$ . By definition, we have:

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $n_g$  be a minimal positive integer such that  $n_g \langle \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\lambda, \mu \in P$ . Then,  $f_\rho = f_{\rho+2n_g N}$  for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  (as a linear map).*

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be type 1  $U$ -modules. Since for any  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$ ,  $\Theta_\mu(m \otimes n) = 0$  for almost all  $\mu \geq 0$ , we can define a linear map

$$\Theta = \Theta_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N, \quad \Theta = \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \Theta_\mu.$$

Since  $\Theta$  is unipotent, and thus, invertible, we can define a linear map

$$R(\rho) = (\Theta \circ f_\rho)^{-1} : M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N$$

for all type 1  $U$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ . Then, as an operator acting on the tensor product of type 1  $U$ -modules,  $R(\rho)$  satisfies

- R1)  $R(\rho)\Delta(x)R(\rho)^{-1} = \Delta^{\text{op}}(x)$  for all  $x \in U$ ;
- R2)  $(\Delta \otimes 1)(R(\rho)) = R_{13}(\rho)R_{23}(\rho)$ ;
- R3)  $(1 \otimes \Delta)(R(\rho)) = R_{13}(\rho)R_{12}(\rho)$ .

Thus, in the same way as for quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, we can define a braided tensor category structure on the category of type 1  $U$ -modules. We denote it by  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$ .

Set  $r^\vee = \frac{\langle \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \rangle}{2}$  for a long root  $\alpha$ , which is the ratio of the norm of long roots and short roots. Note that  $r^\vee = 1$  when the Lie algebra is simply-laced. The result is due to Drinfeld, Kazhdan and Lusztig [Dr1, Dr2, KL, Lu2] (see also [BK]):

**Theorem 2.9** (Drinfeld, Kazhdan-Lusztig). *Let  $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\rho(k) = \frac{1}{r^\vee(k+h^\vee)} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, there exists an equivalence of balanced braided tensor categories between  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)$  and  $(U_{\exp(\pi i \rho(k))}(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho(k))\text{-mod})$ .*

We note that  $R_{21}(\rho)^{-1} = (P_{21}\Theta) \circ f_\rho$  also satisfies (R1)-(R3). Denote by  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R_{21}(\rho)^{-1})\text{-mod}$  the braided tensor category defined by  $R_{21}(\rho)^{-1}$ . Then, similarly to Lemma 1.8, by the above theorem, we have:

**Corollary 2.10.** *There exists an equivalence of balanced braided tensor categories between  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k)^{\text{rev}}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho(k))_{21}^{-1})\text{-mod}$ .*

It is noteworthy that the braided tensor category structure depends on the choice of  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ . However, by Lemma 2.8, we have:

**Corollary 2.11.** *There exists an equivalence of balanced braided tensor categories between  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + 2n_{\mathfrak{g}}N))\text{-mod}$  for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

A comparison between  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + N))\text{-mod}$ , in a more general situation, will be made in Section 2.4.

**2.3. Isomorphism between  $q$  and  $q^{-1}$ .** Recall that our first aim is to construct a commutative algebra object in  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes D(\mathfrak{g}, k)^{\text{rev}}$  (or  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes D(\mathfrak{g}, k')$ ) (see Proposition 1.16 and 1.13). By Theorem 2.9, it suffices to consider it in  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R_{21}(\rho^{-1}))\text{-mod}$ . In this section, we will show that three quasi-triangular Hopf algebras  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)_{21}^{-1})$ ,  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1})$  and  $(U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))$  are isomorphic and thus their module categories are equivalent as balanced braided tensor categories.

We first consider the equivalence between  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)_{21}^{-1})\text{-mod}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1})\text{-mod}$ . Recall that by Lemma 2.2 there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\omega : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ . We will show that this isomorphism induces an equivalence of balanced braided tensor categories.

Let  $M$  be a type 1  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ -module and  $\omega^*M$  a type 1  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module defined by

$$a \cdot_\omega m = \omega(a) \cdot m \quad \text{for any } a \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } m \in M.$$

Denote by  $\omega^*M \otimes \omega^*N$  (resp.  $M \otimes N$ ) the tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$  as  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules (resp.  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ -modules). Since  $\omega^*M \otimes \omega^*N$  and  $M \otimes N$  have the same underlying vector space, we can compare the R-matrices acting on them.

Since  $K_\alpha \cdot_\omega v = q^{-\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} v$  for  $v \in M_\lambda$ ,  $v \in (\omega^*M)_{-\lambda}$  and thus the action of  $f(\rho)$  on  $\omega^*M \otimes \omega^*N$  and  $M \otimes N$  are the same.

Thus, it suffices to compare  $\Theta$  with  $(\omega \otimes \omega)(P_{21}\Theta)$ . They are the same by Lemma 2.5. Thus, we have:

**Proposition 2.12.** *The Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\omega : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$  induces an equivalence between  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)_{21}^{-1})\text{-mod}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1})\text{-mod}$  as balanced braided tensor categories.*

We will next consider the equivalence between  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  and  $(U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(-\rho)^{-1})\text{-mod}$ . Recall that by Lemma 2.2 there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\psi : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ . We will show that this isomorphism induces an equivalence of balanced braided tensor categories.

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be a type 1  $U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ -modules. Denote by  $\psi^*M \otimes \psi^*N$  (resp.  $M \otimes N$ ) the tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$  as  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules (resp.  $U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ -modules). Since  $\psi^*M \otimes \psi^*N$  and  $M \otimes N$  have the same underlying vector space, we can compare the  $R$ -matrices again. We note that  $\Theta^q = \Theta$  for  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\Theta^{q^{-1}} = \Theta$  for  $U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$  are different. It suffices to show that  $R(-\rho)^{-1} = \Theta^{q^{-1}} \circ f_{-\rho}$  and  $\psi^*R(\rho) = \psi^*(f_{\rho}^{-1} \circ (\Theta^q)^{-1})$  are the same as linear maps on  $M \otimes N$ . We need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}^{-}$  and  $u' \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}^{+}$  for  $\mu \in Q$  with  $\mu \geq 0$ .*

(1) *For any type 1  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,*

$$f_{\rho}^{-1} \circ (u \otimes u') \circ f_{\rho} = uK_{\mu} \otimes K_{-\mu}u'$$

*as linear maps acting on  $M \otimes N$ .*

(2)  *$\psi(uK_{\mu}) \in U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}^{-}$  and  $\psi(K_{-\mu}u') \in U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}^{+}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda, \lambda' \in P$ . Since  $(u \otimes u') \cdot M_{\lambda} \otimes N_{\lambda'} \subset M_{\lambda-\mu} \otimes N_{\lambda'+\mu}$ , for any  $v \in M_{\lambda}$  and  $w \in N_{\lambda'}$

$$f_{\rho}^{-1} \circ (u \otimes u') \circ f_{\rho}(v \otimes w) = \exp(\pi i \rho(\langle \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \lambda', \mu \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \mu, \mu \rangle \rangle))(u \otimes u')(v \otimes w).$$

Hence,  $f_{\rho}^{-1} \circ (u \otimes u') \circ f_{\rho} = q^{-\langle \langle \mu, \mu \rangle \rangle}(u \otimes u')(K_{\mu} \otimes K_{-\mu}) = (uK_{\mu} \otimes K_{-\mu}u')$ , which implies (1).

(2) follows from the definition of  $\psi$ .  $\square$

Since  $(\Theta^q)^{-1}$  is an infinite sum of elements  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}^{-} \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}^{+}$  with  $\mu \geq 0$ , by the above lemma, there exists a family of elements  $\Gamma_{\mu} \in U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}^{-} \otimes U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}^{+}$  such that

$$\Gamma = \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \Gamma_{\mu} = (\psi \otimes \psi)(f_{\rho}^{-1} \circ (\Theta^q)^{-1} \circ f_{\rho}).$$

Thus, to obtain the equivalence of the categories, it suffices to show that  $\Gamma = \Theta^{q^{-1}}$  as an element in  $U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes} U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ , which follows from Theorem 2.7. Hence, we have:

**Proposition 2.14.** *The Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\psi : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$  induces an equivalence between  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  and  $(U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(-\rho)^{-1})\text{-mod}$  as balanced braided tensor categories.*

**2.4. Graded twists of Drinfeld categories.** In this section, we will consider a graded twist of the braided tensor category  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  (see Section 1.3).

Let  $S$  be a subset of the weight lattice  $P$  such that  $Q+S \subset S$  (a subset of the coset  $P/Q$ ). Let  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho), S)\text{-mod}$  be the full subcategory of  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  whose object is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $L_q(\mu)$  for  $\mu \in S \cap P^{+}$ . Since  $L_q(\mu) \otimes L_q(\mu') \in (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho), \mu + \mu' + Q)\text{-mod}$  for any  $\mu, \mu' \in P$ , the subcategories  $\{(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho), \mu + Q)\text{-mod}\}_{\mu \in P/Q}$  define a  $P/Q$ -grading on  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  (see Section 1.3). We note that if  $L$  is a subgroup of  $P$  with  $Q \subset L$ , then  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho), L)\text{-mod}$  is closed under the tensor product and thus a braided tensor subcategory.

Let  $Q_g : P/Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a map defined by

$$Q_g(\lambda) = \exp(\pi i \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle).$$

Since  $Q$  is an even lattice with respect to  $\langle \langle -, - \rangle \rangle$ , the map is well-defined. Since

$$\frac{Q_g(\lambda + \mu)}{Q_g(\lambda)Q_g(\mu)} = \exp(2\pi i \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle)$$

is a bicharacter on  $P/Q$ ,  $Q_g$  is a quadratic form. We note that  $Q_g^N$  is also a quadratic form for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Theorem 1.2, we can consider the graded twist of  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod associated with these quadratic forms. We first show the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.15.** *For any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the identify functor gives an equivalence between  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod $^{Q_g^{2N}}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + 2N))$ -mod as braided tensor categories.*

*Proof.* We note that  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + 2N))$ -mod has the same underlying monoidal category and only the difference is the braiding. Since  $R(\rho) = (\Theta \circ f_\rho)^{-1}$ , the difference comes from  $f_\rho$ .

Let  $B_N : P/Q \times P/Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a bicharacter defined by

$$B_N(\lambda, \mu) = \exp(2\pi i N \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle)$$

and  $1_{P/Q} : P/Q \times P/Q \times P/Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a trivial 3-cocycle. Then,  $\text{EM}(Q_g^{2N})^{-1} = (1_{P/Q}, B_N) \in H_{\text{ab}}^3(P/Q, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  by Lemma 1.3. Thus,  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod $^{Q_g^{2N}}$  is equivalent to  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), B_N \circ R(\rho))$ -mod. Since

$$\begin{aligned} B_N \circ f_\rho^{-1}|_{L_q(\lambda)_{\lambda+\alpha} \otimes L_q(\mu)_{\mu+\beta}} &= \exp(2\pi i N \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle) \exp(\pi i \rho \langle \lambda + \alpha, \mu + \beta \rangle) \\ &= \exp(\pi i (\rho + 2N) \langle \lambda + \alpha, \mu + \beta \rangle) \\ &= f_{\rho+2N}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

the assertion holds.  $\square$

Hereafter, we will consider the twisted braided tensor category  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod $^{Q_g^N}$  for odd integers  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We note that  $\exp(\pi i (\rho + N)) = (-1)^N q$ . Hence, if  $N$  is an odd number,  $q$  will change. We conjecture that the following statement holds:

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra. For any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod $^{Q_g^N}$  and  $(U_{(-1)^N q}(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + N))$ -mod are equivalent as braided tensor categories.*

The conjecture is true if  $N$  is even by Proposition 2.15. We will prove this conjecture for the Lie algebra of type ABC and partially for type D. In the case of type D, we show the conjecture for some full subcategory of  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho))$ -mod.

More precisely, let  $\lambda_1$  be the fundamental weight of  $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$  such that  $L_q(\lambda_1)$  is isomorphic to the vector representation of  $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ . Let  $\Lambda_v$  be the subgroup of  $P$  generated by the root lattice  $Q$  and  $\lambda_1$ . Then, the monoidal subcategory of  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho))$ -mod generated by the vector representation is equal to  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho), \Lambda_v)$ -mod. We remark that  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho), \Lambda_v)$ -mod is graded by  $\Lambda_v/Q = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and the restriction of the quadratic

form  $Q_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}} : P/Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  gives a quadratic form on  $\Lambda_v/Q \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . We denote it by the same symbol  $Q_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}}$ .

**Theorem 2.16.** *Conjecture 1 is true if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a simple Lie algebra of type ABC. In the case of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ , i.e., of type  $D_n$ ,  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho), \Lambda_v)\text{-mod}^{\mathcal{Q}_s^N}$  and  $(U_{(-1)^N q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho + N), \Lambda_v)\text{-mod}$  are equivalent as braided tensor categories for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type B, that is  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ , there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \rightarrow U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ . So in this case, the above theorem can be proved as in the previous section. However, this case is a little more complicated because of the existence of twist, and the proof is given in appendix (Theorem 3.25). In this section, we will prove the theorem for the simple Lie algebra of type ACD.

We first consider the case of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ , denote  $Q_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$  by  $Q$  for short. In [KW], semisimple rigid monoidal tensor categories with fusions rule of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  is classified. Such categories  $C$  is parametrized by the pairs  $(q_C, \tau_C)$  of nonzero complex numbers defined up to replacing  $(q_C, \tau_C)$  by  $(q_C^{-1}, \tau_C^{-1})$ , such that  $q_C^{n(n-1)/2} = \tau_C^n$  and  $q_C$  is not a nontrivial root of unity [Jo]. It is easy to verify that for  $(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{\mathcal{Q}^N}$  the corresponding parameters are  $q_C = q^2$  and  $\tau_C = (-1)^{(n-1)N} q^{n-1}$ . Thus,  $(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}$  and  $(U_{-q}(\mathfrak{sl}_n), R(\rho + 2k + 1))\text{-mod}$  are equivalent as a monoidal category for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We need more work to determine the integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (or the braiding structure). Let  $X$  be a vector representation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ . Then,  $X \otimes X$  is a direct sum of two simple objects,  $X^{\otimes 2} \cong X_a \oplus X_s$ , where  $X_s$  is the symmetric tensor and  $X_a$  is the antisymmetric tensor. The braiding  $B_{X,X} \in \text{End} X^{\otimes 2}$  acts as a scalar on each component. In the untwisted  $(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n), R(\rho))$  case, these values are

$$\begin{aligned} B_{X,X}|_{X_s} &= \exp(\pi i \rho (1 - \frac{1}{n})), \\ B_{X,X}|_{X_a} &= -\exp(\pi i \rho (-1 - \frac{1}{n})) \end{aligned}$$

(see for example [KS, Section 8.4.3]).

Hereafter, we will use the labeling of the Dynkin diagram in [Hum] and  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n-1}$  are the fundamental weights with respect to this labeling. Then, the vector representation is isomorphic to the highest weight representation  $L_q(\lambda_1)$ . Since  $Q(\lambda_1) = \exp(\pi i \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle) = \exp(\pi i (1 - \frac{1}{n}))$ , for the twisted braided tensor category  $(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n), R(\rho))^{\mathcal{Q}}$ , the values of the twisted braiding  $B_{X,X}^{\mathcal{Q}}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} B_{X,X}^{\mathcal{Q}}|_{X_s} &= \exp(\pi i (1 - \frac{1}{n})) \exp(\pi i \rho (1 - \frac{1}{n})) = \exp(\pi i (\rho + 1) (1 - \frac{1}{n})), \\ B_{X,X}^{\mathcal{Q}}|_{X_a} &= -\exp(\pi i (1 - \frac{1}{n})) \exp(\pi i \rho (-1 - \frac{1}{n})) = -\exp(\pi i (-(\rho + 1) - \frac{\rho + 1}{n} + 2)) \\ &= -\exp(\pi i (\rho + 1) (-1 - \frac{1}{n})), \end{aligned}$$

which are the same with that of  $(U_{-q}(\mathfrak{sl}_n), R(\rho + 1))$ . By [KW, Section 2], the braiding is uniquely determined by the eigenvalues of  $B_{X,X} : X \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes X$ . Hence, the conjecture is true for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ .



**Remark 2.17.** For odd  $n$ , this result is proved by using the generator and relation of the quantum coordinate ring  $O_q(\mathrm{SU}(n))$  [PR] or [BY] and for  $n = 2$  is shown, for example, in [BNY].

There is a partial extension of the result of Kazhdan and Wenzl to type BCD obtained by Tuba and Wenzl [TW]. In [TW, Theorem 9.4], semisimple rigid monoidal tensor categories with fusions rule of  $\mathrm{sp}_{2n}$  (resp.  $\mathrm{so}_n$ ) are classified. By using their characterization, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>}$  there is an equivalence of monoidal categories,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (U_q(\mathrm{sp}_n), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{sp}_{2n}}} &\cong (U_{(-1)q}(\mathrm{sp}_{2n}), R(\rho + 1))\text{-mod} \\ (U_q(\mathrm{so}_n), R(\rho), \Lambda_v)\text{-mod}^{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{so}_n}} &\cong (U_{(-1)q}(\mathrm{so}_n), R(\rho + 1), \Lambda_v)\text{-mod}. \end{aligned}$$

It is important to note that their characterization of the representation category of type BD is for a smaller category that does not include the spin representations and there is no such characterization for the whole category  $(U_q(\mathrm{so}_n), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$ . So, to get a monoidal equivalence  $(U_q(\mathrm{so}_n), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{so}_n}} \cong (U_{(-1)q}(\mathrm{so}_n), R(\rho + 1))\text{-mod}$ , which includes the spin representation, we need to think further. This can be obtained explicitly in the case of type B using the Hopf algebra isomorphism in Appendix.

Hereafter, we will show that the monoidal equivalences (2.1) are equivalences as braided tensor categories.

We will first consider the case of type  $C_n$ . Let  $X$  be the vector representation of  $U_q(\mathrm{sp}_{2n})$ . In order to show that they are the same braided tensor category, it suffices to show that the braiding on  $X$  is the same as above. The tensor product  $X \otimes X$  is a direct sum of three simple objects,  $X^{\otimes 2} \cong X_s \oplus X_a \oplus X_1$ , where  $X_s$  is the symmetric tensor and  $X_1$  is the trivial representation. The eigenvalues of the braiding  $B_{X,X} \in \mathrm{End}X^{\otimes 2}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} B_{X,X}|_{X_s} &= q, \\ B_{X,X}|_{X_a} &= -q^{-1}, \\ B_{X,X}|_{X_1} &= -q^{-(1+2n)} \end{aligned}$$

(see [KS, Section 8.4.3]). Since the vector representation is isomorphic to the highest weight representation  $L_q(\lambda_n)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{sp}_{2n}}(\lambda_n) = \exp(\pi i \langle \lambda_n, \lambda_n \rangle) = -1$ , the braidings of  $(U_q(\mathrm{sp}_{2n}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{\mathcal{Q}}$  and  $(U_{(-1)q}(\mathrm{sp}_{2n}), R(\rho + 1))\text{-mod}$  are the same on  $X^{\otimes 2}$ . Hence, the conjecture is true for  $\mathrm{sp}_{2n}$ .

Finally, we will consider the case of type  $D_n$ . Let  $X$  be the vector representation of  $\mathrm{so}_{2n}$ , which is isomorphic to the highest weight representation  $L_q(\lambda_1)$ . The tensor product  $X \otimes X$  is a direct sum of three simple objects,  $X^{\otimes 2} \cong X_s \oplus X_a \oplus X_1$ , where  $X_s$  is the traceless symmetric tensor and  $X_a$  is the antisymmetric tensor, and  $X_1$  is the trivial representation. The eigenvalues of the braiding  $B_{X,X} \in \mathrm{End}X^{\otimes 2}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} B_{X,X}|_{X_s} &= q, \\ B_{X,X}|_{X_a} &= -q^{-1}, \\ B_{X,X}|_{X_1} &= q^{1-2n} \end{aligned}$$



(see [KS, Section 8.4.3] again). Since  $Q(\lambda_1) = \exp(\pi i \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_1 \rangle) = -1$ , the braidings of  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^Q$  and  $(U_{(-1)q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho + 1))\text{-mod}$  are the same on  $X^{\otimes 2}$ . Hence, the conjecture is true for the subcategory of  $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ .

### 3. CONSTRUCTIONS

By Lemma 1.8, Proposition 2.12 and Proposition 2.14, we have equivalences of the balanced braided tensor categories

$$\begin{aligned} ((U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod})^{\text{rev}} &\cong (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)_{21}^{-1})\text{-mod} \\ &\cong (U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1})\text{-mod} \\ &\cong (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}. \end{aligned}$$

In Section 3.1, we will give commutative algebra objects in  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1})\text{-mod}$ . In Section 3.2, by using it, we will construct a lax monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q} \rightarrow (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.16 the grading twist associated with the quadratic form  $Q_{\mathfrak{g}} : P/Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  gives us a lax braided monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^N : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N} \rightarrow (U_{(-1)^N q}(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + N)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}.$$

The main Theorem will be proved by using this functor. As an application, we construct many vertex superalgebras. This will be discussed in Section 3.3.

**3.1. Quantum coordinate ring.** Let  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$  be the dual vector space of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ . As we have seen in Section 1.4, if a Hopf algebra is finite dimensional, then its dual is a Hopf algebra. In the case of infinite dimension, in order to make the product (1.5) well-defined, we must consider an appropriate subspace of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ .

Let  $G$  be the simply-connected simple Lie group associated with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $\lambda \in P^+$ ,  $m \in L_q(\lambda)$  and  $f \in L_q(\lambda)^*$ , define a linear map  $c_{m,f}^{\lambda} : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$c_{m,f}^{\lambda}(a) = f(a \cdot m)$$

for  $a \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$  be the subspace of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$  spanned by all matrix coefficients  $\{c_{m,f}^{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in P^+, m \in L_q(\lambda), f \in L_q(\lambda)^*}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$  is closed under the product defined by

$$a \cdot b = (a \otimes b) \circ \Delta \text{ for } a, b \in \mathcal{O}_q(G).$$

As discussed in Section 1.4, there is a bimodule structure, or equivalently, a left  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}})\text{-module}$  structure on  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ . Then, we have a  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}})\text{-module isomorphism}$

$$\oplus_{\lambda \in P^+} c_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\lambda} : \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} L_q(\lambda) \otimes L_q(\lambda)^* \cong \mathcal{O}_q(G).$$

Similarly to the case of dual Hopf algebras,  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$  inherits a natural Hopf algebra structure defined by (1.5). This Hopf algebra is called a *quantum coordinate ring* [KS].

Similarly to Lemma 1.11, by Lemma 1.8 and Proposition 2.12, we have:

**Proposition 3.1.** *The quantum coordinate ring  $O_q(G)$  is a commutative algebra object in  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}, R(\rho)^{-1})\text{-mod}$  and satisfies  $\theta_{O_q(G)} = \text{id}$ . The unit of  $O_q(G)$  is given by a natural injection  $\epsilon : L_q(0) \otimes L_q(0) \rightarrow O_q(G)$ . Furthermore, if  $I \subset O_q(G)$  satisfies*

- (1)  $am \in I$  for any  $m \in I$  and  $a \in O_q(G)$ ;
- (2)  $(u \otimes v) \cdot a \in I$  for any  $a \in I$  and  $u \otimes v \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ ,

*then  $I = 0$  or  $I = O_q(G)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\lambda \in P^+$ , set  $\lambda^* = -w_0(\lambda)$ , where  $w_0$  is the longest element in the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then, the dual module  $L_q(\lambda)^*$  is isomorphic to  $L_q(\lambda^*)$ . By (1.10) and (1.11),

$$\theta_{L_q(\lambda) \otimes L_q(\lambda)^*} = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{k + h^\vee}((\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) - (\lambda^* + 2\rho, \lambda^*))\right).$$

Since  $(\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) - (\lambda^* + 2\rho, \lambda^*) = (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) - (w_0(\lambda) - 2\rho, w_0(\lambda))$   
 $= (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) - (\lambda - 2w_0(\rho), \lambda) = 0$ , we have  $\theta_{O_q(G)} = \text{id}$ .

Assume that  $I \neq O_q(G)$ . Since  $I$  is stable under the bimodule action, by  $I \neq O_q(G)$ ,  $I \cap L_q(0) \otimes L_q(0) = 0$ . Thus,  $\epsilon^\vee(I) = 0$  or more specifically  $a(1) = 0$  for any  $a \in I$ . By (1.7), this implies that  $a = 0$ . Hence,  $I = 0$ .  $\square$

Consequently, by Proposition 1.16, we have:

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any  $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , there exists a simple full vertex algebra structure on*

$$F_{G,k} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+ \cap L} L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda) \otimes \overline{L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda^*)}$$

*as an extension of the full vertex algebra  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0) \otimes \overline{L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the simplicity. Let  $I \subset F_{G,k}$  be a left ideal such that  $I \neq F_{G,k}$ . Since  $F_{G,k}$  has a subalgebra which is isomorphic to  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0) \otimes \overline{L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)}$ ,  $I$  is an  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0) \otimes \overline{L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0)}$ -module. Thus, there is a  $D(\mathfrak{g}, k) \otimes \overline{D(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{k})}$  homomorphism  $i : I \rightarrow F_{G,k}$  and by the equivalence of categories it corresponds to  $i' : I' \rightarrow O_q(G)$ . Then,  $I'$  is a left ideal of  $O_q(G)$  and stable under the action of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ . Thus, by Proposition 3.1,  $I' = 0$  and  $I = 0$ , which implies that  $F_{G,k}$  is a simple full vertex algebra.  $\square$

This full vertex algebra is the underlying algebra of the (analytic continuation of) WZW-model associated with the Lie group  $G$  and level  $k$ .

By Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 1.13, we also have:

**Proposition 3.3.** *By  $\psi : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{cop}}$ ,  $O_q(G)$  is a commutative algebra object in  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}$  and satisfies  $\theta_{O_q(G)} = \text{id}$ . In particular, for any  $k \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists a simple vertex algebra structure on*

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+ \cap L} L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(\lambda) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},\bar{k}}(\lambda^*),$$

*where  $\bar{k} = -k - 2h^\vee$ , as an extension of the vertex algebra  $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}(0) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g},\bar{k}}(0)$ .*

This vertex algebra is called a chiral differential operator constructed by many different ways [AG, FS, GMS1, GMS2, Zh].

**3.2. Quantum coordinate ring as lax monoidal functor.** Recall that in Section 1.3 we introduce a category of  $P/Q$ -graded vector space  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}$ , which is a braided tensor category with the trivial associative isomorphism and the trivial braiding, and for  $\lambda \in P/Q$ ,  $\mathbb{C}\delta_\lambda \in \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}$  is the one-dimensional vector space with the grading  $\lambda$ . We will construct a lax braided monoidal functor  $O_g : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q} \rightarrow (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}$ .

For  $\lambda \in P/Q$ , set

$$O_q(G)_\lambda = \oplus_{\mu \in (\lambda+Q) \cap P^+} L_q(\mu) \otimes L_q(\mu)^*.$$

Then,  $O_q(G) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P/Q} O_q(G)_\lambda$  and  $O_q(G)$  is a  $P/Q$ -graded algebra, that is,  $O_q(G)_\lambda \cdot O_q(G)_{\lambda'} \subset O_q(G)_{\lambda+\lambda'}$  for any  $\lambda, \lambda' \in P/Q$ .

Define a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $O_g : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q} \rightarrow (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}$  as follows: For an object  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P/Q} V_\lambda \in \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}$ ,

$$O_g(V) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P/Q} O_q(G)_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda,$$

where  $- \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} -$  is the tensor product of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. For a morphism  $\{f_\lambda : V_\lambda \rightarrow W_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in P/Q}$ ,

$$O_g(f) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P/Q} \text{id}_{O_q(G)_\lambda} \otimes f_\lambda : \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P/Q} O_q(G)_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P/Q} O_q(G)_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_\lambda.$$

Since  $O_q(G)$  is a  $P/Q$ -graded algebra, we can define linear maps  $m(\lambda, \lambda') : O_g(\lambda) \times O_g(\lambda') \rightarrow O_g(\lambda + \lambda')$  by using the product  $\cdot : O_q(G) \times O_q(G) \rightarrow O_q(G)$  for  $\lambda, \lambda' \in P/Q$ . Since  $O_q(G)$  is a left  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module and the product  $\cdot$  is compatible with this left module structure,  $m(\lambda, \lambda') \in \text{Hom}_{U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})}(O_g(\lambda) \otimes O_g(\lambda'), O_g(\lambda + \lambda'))$ .

Thus, for any objects  $V, W \in \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}$ , we have a natural transformation

$$m_{V,W} : O_g(V) \otimes O_g(W) \rightarrow O_g(V \otimes W)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{V,W} : (O_q(G)_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda) \otimes_{U_q} (O_q(G)_{\lambda'} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_{\lambda'}) &\cong (O_q(G)_\lambda \otimes_{U_q} O_q(G)_{\lambda'}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (V_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_{\lambda'}) \\ &\xrightarrow{m(\lambda, \lambda') \otimes \text{id}_{V_\lambda \otimes W_{\lambda'}}} O_q(G)_{\lambda+\lambda'} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (V_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_{\lambda'}) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\lambda, \lambda' \in P/Q$  and the linear extension of it. Let  $\epsilon : L_q(0) \otimes L_q(0) \rightarrow O_q(G)_0$  be the natural injection. Then, we have:

**Lemma 3.4.** *The functor  $O_g : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q} \rightarrow (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}$  together with a morphism  $\epsilon : L_q(0) \otimes L_q(0) \rightarrow O_g(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}})$  and the natural transformation  $m_{V,W} : O_g(V) \otimes O_g(W) \rightarrow O_g(V \otimes W)$  is a lax braided monoidal functor.*

*Proof.* Since both  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}$  are strict monoidal categories, that is, the associative isomorphism  $\alpha$  and units  $l, r$  is trivial, (LM1) and (LM2) follows from the fact that  $O_q(G)$  is an associative algebra. Furthermore, since  $O_q(G)$  is a commutative algebra object,  $O_g$  is a lax braided monoidal functor.  $\square$

We consider the  $P/Q$ -grading on  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))$ -mod obtained from the  $P/Q$ -grading on the left component,  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod. Let us consider the grading twist of  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))$ -mod by the quadratic form  $Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N : P/Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  for  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since the grading twist does not change the underlying category structure,  $O_{\mathfrak{g}}$  still gives a functor  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}} \rightarrow (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ . We denote it by  $O_{\mathfrak{g}}^N$ . Since  $O_{\mathfrak{g}}$  preserves the gradings on  $\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}$  and  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))$ -mod, the following proposition clearly follows from the above lemma:

**Proposition 3.5.** *The functor  $O_{\mathfrak{g}}^N : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}} \rightarrow (U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}} \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}$  is a lax braided monoidal functor.*

Now, we can prove the main theorem. Let  $M$  be an even lattice,  $M^\vee$  a dual lattice, and  $Q_M : M^\vee/M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  a quadratic form given by  $Q_M(\lambda) = \exp(\pi i(\lambda, \lambda))$ . Let  $k, k' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy

$$\frac{1}{r^\vee(k + h^\vee)} + \frac{1}{r^\vee(k' + h^\vee)} = N.$$

For  $\lambda \in P/Q$ , set

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}, k, k'}^N(\lambda + Q) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in (\lambda + Q) \cap P^+} L_{\mathfrak{g}, k}(\mu) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g}, k'}(\mu)^*.$$

Then, we have:

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  be simple Lie algebras and  $k_i, k'_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $N_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{r^\vee(k_i + h_i^\vee)} + \frac{1}{r^\vee(k'_i + h_i^\vee)} = N_i$$

*for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $M$  be an even lattice and  $(A, Q)$  a quadratic space defined by*

$$A = \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r P_i/Q_i \right) \oplus M^\vee/M,$$

$$Q = \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r Q_{\mathfrak{g}_i}^{N_i} \right) \oplus Q_M.$$

*Let  $(I, p)$  be a super isotropic subspace of the quadratic space  $(A, Q)$ . Set*

$$V_{\vec{\mathfrak{g}}, \vec{k}, \vec{k}', M}^{\vec{N}}(I) = \bigoplus_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu) \in I} \bigotimes_{i=1}^r L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i, k'_i}^{N_i}(\lambda_i + Q_i) \otimes V_{\mu+M}$$

*for  $(\mathfrak{g}, k, k', M, I)$  with  $\vec{\mathfrak{g}} = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$  and  $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r), \vec{k}' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_r), \vec{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_r)$ . Assume that for each  $a = 1, \dots, r$  one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1)  $N_a$  is even;
- (2) The simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_a$  is of type ABC;
- (3) The simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_a$  is of type D and  $\text{pr}_a(I) \subset \Lambda_v/Q_i$ , where  $\text{pr}_a : (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r P_i/Q_i) \oplus M^\vee/M \rightarrow P_a/Q_a$  is the projection to the  $a$ -th component.

Then, there is a simple vertex superalgebra structure on  $V_{\vec{g}, \vec{k}, \vec{k}', M}^{\vec{N}}(I)$  as an extension of  $\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i}(0) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k'_i}(0)\right) \otimes V_M$ . Furthermore, the even part ( $s = 0$ ) and the odd part ( $s = 1$ ) are given by

$$V_{\vec{g}, \vec{k}, \vec{k}', M}^{\vec{N}}(I)_s = \bigoplus_{\substack{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu) \in I \\ p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu) = s}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^r L_{\mathfrak{g}_i, k_i, k'_i}^{N_i}(\lambda_i + Q) \otimes V_{\mu+M}.$$

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity, we consider only the case of  $r = 1$ . Let  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . First, we assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not of type D. By the assumption, Conjecture 1 is true for  $(\mathfrak{g}, N)$  by Proposition 2.15 and Theorem 2.16. Combining this with Proposition 3.5, we have a lax braided monoidal functor

$$O_{\mathfrak{g}}^N : \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N} \rightarrow (U_{(-1)^N q}(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + N)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod}.$$

By Proposition 1.7, we also have a lax braided monoidal functor

$$\mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N} \otimes \mathbf{Vec}_{M^{\vee}/M}^{Q_M} \rightarrow (U_{(-1)^N q}(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho + N)) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R(-\rho))\text{-mod} \otimes V_M\text{-mod}.$$

By Lemma 1.5, we can associate to a super isotropic subspace  $(I, p)$  a supercommutative algebra object  $S(I) \in \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q \oplus M^{\vee}/M}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N \oplus Q_M} = \mathbf{Vec}_{P/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N} \otimes \mathbf{Vec}_{M^{\vee}/M}^{Q_M}$ . By Lemma 1.1, the image of  $S(I)$  by the lax braided monoidal functor is again a supercommutative algebra object. Then, by Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 1.14,  $S(I)$  corresponds to an extension of  $L_{\mathfrak{g}, k}(0) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g}, k'}(0) \otimes V_M$  with

$$\rho + N = \frac{1}{r^{\vee}(k + h^{\vee})}, \quad -\rho = \frac{1}{r^{\vee}(k' + h^{\vee})},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{r^{\vee}(k + h^{\vee})} + \frac{1}{r^{\vee}(k' + h^{\vee})} = N.$$

In the case of type D, by Theorem 2.16, we have a lax braided monoidal functor

$$O_{\mathfrak{g}}^N : \mathbf{Vec}_{\Lambda_{\vee}/Q}^{Q_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n}}^N} \rightarrow (U_{(-1)^N q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(\rho + N), \Lambda_{\vee}) \otimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}), R(-\rho), \Lambda_{\vee})\text{-mod},$$

which is enough to show the assertion by  $\text{pr}_1(I) \subset \Lambda_{\vee}/Q$ . The simplicity follows from Proposition 3.1 and the definition of  $S(I)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** A similar statement is true for a full vertex algebra. In this case, the condition for the levels is

$$\frac{1}{r^{\vee}(k + h^{\vee})} - \frac{1}{r^{\vee}(k' + h^{\vee})} = N.$$

**Remark 3.8.** As a consequence of the above proof, we see that

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P/Q} L_{\mathfrak{g}, k, k'}^N(\lambda + Q)$$

is an abelian intertwining algebra with abelian cocycle  $\text{EM}^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{g}}^N) \in H_{ab}^3(P/Q, \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ .

**3.3. Applications.** In this section, we introduce some examples of vertex (super)algebras which can be constructed from Theorem 3.6.

In the construction, it is important to know the quadratic space  $(P/Q, Q_g)$ . We will use the labeling of the Dynkin diagram in [Hum]. Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$  be the fundamental weight of  $g$ . Table 3 summarizes the information about the quadratic space  $(P/Q, Q_g)$ :

TABLE 3. Quadratic space

type	$P/Q$	Generator	Value of $Q_g$
$A_{n-1}$	$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$	$\lambda_1$	$Q(\lambda_1) = \exp(\frac{n-1}{n}\pi i)$
$B_n$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\lambda_n$	$Q(\lambda_n) = i^n$
$C_n$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\lambda_n$	$Q(\lambda_n) = -1$
$D_{2n}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\lambda_1, \lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n-1}$	$Q(\lambda_1) = -1, Q(\lambda_{2n-1}) = Q(\lambda_{2n}) = i^n$
$D_{2n+1}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\lambda_{2n+1}$	$Q(\lambda_{2n+1}) = \exp(\frac{2n+1}{4}\pi i)$
$E_6$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$\lambda_6$	$Q(\lambda_6) = \exp(\frac{4}{3}\pi i)$
$E_7$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\lambda_7$	$Q(\lambda_7) = -i$
$E_8, F_4, G_2$	0	-	-

We note that for type D,  $\lambda_1$  corresponds to the vector representation, and  $\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n$  correspond to the spin representation and its conjugate representation. For type D, let  $\Lambda_s$  (resp.  $\Lambda_c$  and  $\Lambda_v$ ) be a subgroup of the weight lattice generated by  $Q$  and  $\lambda_n$  (resp.  $\lambda_{n-1}$  and  $\lambda_1$ ).

**Remark 3.9.** To check the value of  $Q_g$  in the case of type  $E_6$  or  $E_7$ , it is convenient to use the fact that  $\mathbf{Vec}_{A_k}^{Q_{A_k}}$  and  $\mathbf{Vec}_{E_{8-k}}^{Q_{E_{8-k}}}$  are braided reverse equivalent. In fact, the unimodular lattice  $E_8$  is an index 2 (resp. index 3) extension of  $A_1 \oplus E_7$  (resp.  $A_2 \oplus E_6$ ). Thus,  $Q(\lambda_7^{E_7})Q(\lambda_1^{A_1})$  (resp.  $Q(\lambda_6^{E_6})Q(\lambda_1^{A_2})$ ) should be equal to 1.

The following lemma is obvious:

**Lemma 3.10.** Let  $(A, Q)$  be a quadratic space and  $I$  a subgroup of  $A$ . If  $Q(\alpha) \in \{1, -1\}$  for any  $\alpha \in A$  and  $I$  is a cyclic group, then  $I$  is a super isotropic subspace.

**Remark 3.11.** If  $I$  is not cyclic, then the above lemma is not true. For example,  $D_{2n}$  satisfies  $Q_{D_{2n}}(\alpha) \in \{1, -1\}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , however, is not super isotropic.

Then, for example, we have:

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s = \{1, -1\}$  satisfy

$$\frac{1}{k+n} + \frac{1}{k'+n} = s + nN.$$

Then,  $\bigoplus_{a=0}^{n-1} L_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n,k,k'}}^{1+nN}(a\lambda_1 + Q) \otimes V_{\frac{a\sqrt{sn}}{n} + \sqrt{sn}\mathbb{Z}}$  is a simple vertex superalgebra, where  $V_{\sqrt{sn}\mathbb{Z}}$  is a lattice vertex (super)algebra associated with a rank one lattice such that  $(\alpha, \alpha) = sn$ .

*Proof.* We first assume that  $n$  is even. Then,  $\sqrt{sn}\mathbb{Z}$  is an even lattice. Let  $I \subset P/Q \oplus (\sqrt{sn}\mathbb{Z})^\vee / \sqrt{sn}\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$  be a subgroup generated by  $(\lambda_1, \frac{\sqrt{sn}}{n})$ . Since

$$Q(\lambda_1, \frac{\sqrt{sn}}{n}) = Q_{\text{sl}_n}(\lambda_1)^s Q_{\sqrt{sn}\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{\sqrt{sn}}{n}) = \exp(s\pi i \frac{n-1}{n}) \exp(s\pi i \frac{1}{n}) = -1,$$

by Lemma 3.10,  $I$  is a super isotropic subspace. Thus, the assertion follows from Theorem 3.6.

We next consider the case of  $n$  is odd and consider the even lattice  $\sqrt{4sn}\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $I \subset P/Q \oplus (\sqrt{4sn}\mathbb{Z})^\vee / \sqrt{4sn}\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4n\mathbb{Z}$  be a subgroup generated by  $(\lambda_1, \frac{\sqrt{4sn}}{2n})$ . Then,  $I \cong \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$  and by the above calculus  $I$  is a super isotropic. Hence,

$$(3.1) \quad V = \bigoplus_{b=0}^{2n-1} L_{\text{sl}_n, k, k'}^{1+nN}(b\lambda_1 + Q) \otimes V_{\frac{b\sqrt{sn}}{n} + \sqrt{4sn}\mathbb{Z}}$$

is a vertex superalgebra. Since  $n(\lambda_1, \frac{\sqrt{4sn}}{2n}) = (0, \sqrt{sn}) \in P/Q \oplus (\sqrt{4sn}\mathbb{Z})^\vee / \sqrt{4sn}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V$  contains a subalgebra isomorphic to the lattice vertex superalgebra  $V_{\sqrt{sn}\mathbb{Z}}$ . Rewrite (3.1) as the sum of  $V_{\sqrt{sn}\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules to get the assertion.  $\square$

If  $r = 1$  and  $M = 0$  in Theorem 3.6, then the super isotropic subspace  $I$  corresponds to a subgroup of  $P/Q$ , which is denoted by  $V_{\mathfrak{g}, k, k'}^N(I)$ . Even when the shift  $N$  is even and  $M = 0$ , various nontrivial vertex superalgebras can be constructed, for example:

**Proposition 3.13.** *For any  $n \geq 1$ ,*

- (1) *For any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_{\text{so}_{4n+a}, k, k'}^{2+4N}(P)$  is a vertex superalgebra if  $a = 0, 3$ , and a non-super vertex algebra if  $a = 1$ , and an abelian intertwining algebra if  $a = 2$ ;*
- (2) *For any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_{\text{so}_{4n+a}, k, k'}^{1+2N}(\Lambda_\nu)$  is a vertex superalgebra if  $a = 2$ , and a non-super vertex algebra if  $a = 0, 1, 3$ .*
- (3) *For any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_{\mathfrak{e}_7, k, k'}^{2+4N}(P)$  is a vertex superalgebra;*
- (4) *For any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_{\text{sl}_n, k, k'}^N(mP + Q)$  is a vertex superalgebra if  $\exp(\frac{m^2 N(n-1)}{n}\pi i) = -1$  and a non-super vertex algebra if  $\exp(\frac{m^2 N(n-1)}{n}\pi i) = 1$ .*

All possible choices of  $(\mathfrak{g}, N, I)$  (except for A type) is summarized in Table 4: In the case of type  $A_n$ , there are various ways of the subgroup  $I \subset P/Q$  (see for example Proposition 3.13 (4)).

Finally, we give examples of vertex superalgebras with  $r \geq 2$ :

**Proposition 3.14.** *For any  $n, m \geq 1$ ,*

- (1) *For any  $N_1, \dots, N_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P/Q} \bigotimes_{i=1}^n L_{\text{sl}_n, k, k'}^{1+nN_i}(\lambda + Q)$$

*is a vertex superalgebra if  $n$  is even, and a non-super vertex algebra if  $n$  is odd.*

- (2) *For any  $N_A, N_B \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}} L_{\text{sl}_{2n}, k, k'}^{n+2nN_A}(a\lambda_1 + Q) \otimes L_{\text{so}_{4m+3}, k, k'}^{1+2N_B}(a\lambda_{2m+1} + Q)$$



type	shift	$I$	super
$B_{2n}$	$1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	$S$
$B_{2n}$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$B_{2n+1}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$Q$	
$B_{2n+1}$	$2 + 4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$B_{2n+1}$	$4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$C_n$	$1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	$S$
$C_n$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$D_n$	$1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$	$\Lambda_v$	$S$
$D_n$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$\Lambda_v$	
$D_{4n+2}$	$2 + 4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$D_{4n}$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$D_{2n}$	$4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$D_{2n+1}$	$4 + 8\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	$S$
$D_{2n+1}$	$8\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	

TABLE 4. List of non-super triple

is a vertex superalgebra if  $(-1)^{n+m+N_A+N_B} = -1$ , and a non-super vertex algebra if  $(-1)^{n+m+N_A+N_B} = 1$ .

## APPENDIX

In Appendix, we will prove Conjecture 1 for the simple Lie algebras of type  $B_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). The reader may wonder why our proof only applies to type B. In order to clarify the path of the proof, we will first explain the reason. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra (not assumed to be of type B). We want to relate the representation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  to the representation of  $U_{-q}(\mathfrak{g})$ . So we think of a representation that is not type 1. That is, for each  $\lambda \in P$  let  $\mathbb{C}\chi_\lambda$  be a one-dimensional representation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  defined by

$$E_\alpha \cdot \chi_\lambda = F_\alpha \cdot \chi_\lambda = 0, \quad K_\alpha \cdot \chi_\lambda = (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} \chi_\lambda \text{ for } \alpha \in \Pi.$$

Then, for a type 1 module  $M$ ,  $M \otimes \mathbb{C}\chi_\lambda$  and  $\mathbb{C}\chi_\lambda \otimes M$  are  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, which are not of type 1. For example, for  $L_q(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}\chi_\lambda$ , we have

$$K_\alpha \cdot v_\lambda \otimes \chi_\lambda = q^{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} v_\lambda \otimes \chi_\lambda$$

for the highest weight vector  $v_\lambda \in L_q(\lambda)$ . In order for the correspondence of sending  $L_q(\lambda)$  to  $L_q(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}\chi_\lambda$  to be compatible with “the graded twist” on  $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R(\rho))$ -mod, we expect  $\chi_{\lambda+\alpha} = \chi_\lambda$  to hold for any  $\alpha \in Q$  and  $\lambda \in P$ . However, we have:

**Lemma 3.15.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\chi_{\lambda+\alpha} = \chi_\lambda$  for any  $\alpha \in Q$  and  $\lambda \in P$ ;
- (2)  $\langle \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in Q$ ;
- (3) The simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_1$  or of type  $B_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ).

type	shift	$I$	super
$E_6$	$6\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	$S$
$E_6$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$Q$	
$E_7$	$2 + 4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$E_7$	$4\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$E_8$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$F_4$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	
$G_2$	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$P$	

TABLE 5. List of super triple

Therefore, only in the case of type B, the correspondence of sending  $L_q(\lambda)$  to  $L_q(\lambda) \otimes \chi_\lambda$  is compatible with the  $P/Q$ -grading.

The following lemma is very important (this lemma itself holds for any simple Lie algebra).

**Lemma 3.16.** *Let  $\gamma \in P$ . For any type 1 module  $M \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$ , define a linear map  $h_M^\gamma : M \otimes \mathbb{C}\chi_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\chi_\gamma \otimes M$  by*

$$h_M^\gamma(m_\lambda \otimes \chi_\gamma) = \exp(\pi i(\gamma, \lambda))\chi_\gamma \otimes m_\lambda$$

*for any  $\lambda \in P$  and  $m_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ . Then,  $h_M^\gamma$  is a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module homomorphism. In particular, the family of the maps  $\{h_M^\gamma\}_{M \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}}$  is a natural transformation of  $- \otimes \mathbb{C}\chi_\gamma$  and  $\mathbb{C}\chi_\gamma \otimes -$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in P$  and  $m_\lambda \in M_\lambda$  and  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . Since

$$E_\alpha \cdot (m_\lambda \otimes \chi_\gamma) = \Delta(E_\alpha) \cdot (m_\lambda \otimes \chi_\gamma) = (E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha) \cdot (m_\lambda \otimes \chi_\gamma) = (E_\alpha \cdot m_\lambda) \otimes \chi_\gamma,$$

and  $E_\alpha \cdot m_\lambda \in M_{\lambda+\alpha}$  we have  $h_M^\gamma(E_\alpha \cdot (m_\lambda \otimes \chi_\gamma)) = \exp(\pi i(\gamma, \lambda + \alpha))(\chi_\gamma \otimes E_\alpha \cdot m_\lambda)$ .

Similarly, since  $E_\alpha \cdot (\chi_\gamma \otimes m_\lambda) = (E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha) \cdot (\chi_\gamma \otimes m_\lambda) = (K_\alpha \cdot \chi_\gamma \otimes E_\alpha \cdot m_\lambda)$ , and  $K_\alpha \cdot \chi_\gamma = (-1)^{\langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle} \chi_\gamma$ , we have

$$E_\alpha \cdot h_M^\gamma(m_\lambda \otimes \chi_\gamma) = \exp(\pi i(\gamma, \lambda))E_\alpha \cdot (\chi_\gamma \otimes m_\lambda) = \exp(\pi i(\gamma, \lambda + \alpha))(\chi_\gamma \otimes E_\alpha \cdot m_\lambda).$$

Hence,  $h_M^\gamma(E_\alpha \cdot (m_\lambda \otimes \chi_\gamma)) = E_\alpha \cdot h_M^\gamma(m_\lambda \otimes \chi_\gamma)$  for any  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . It is easy to check this for  $F_\alpha$  and  $K_\alpha$  and thus  $h_M^\gamma$  is a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module homomorphism. The naturality is obvious.  $\square$

We will now proceed to the case of type B. We first recall the explicit descriptions of the root system of those Lie algebras. According to [Hum], the root system of type  $B_n$  can be written as

$$\{\pm e_i \pm e_j, e_i\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the simple roots and the fundamental weights as

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) = (e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n, e_n),$$

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) = (e_1, e_1 + e_2, \dots, e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{n-1}, \frac{e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n}{2}).$$

The weight lattice is spanned by  $\{e_i, \lambda_n\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$  and  $P/Q \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  is generated by  $\lambda_n$ . It is noteworthy that by the normalization  $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle = 2$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (see Lemma 3.15) and  $\langle \lambda_n, \lambda_n \rangle = \frac{n}{2}$ . Let us denote  $E_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_i}, K_{\alpha_i}$  by  $E_i, F_i, K_i$  for short.

We will define a type 2 module of  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ . We first observe that by Lemma 3.15 the one dimensional representation  $\mathbb{C}\chi_\gamma$  is only depends on  $\gamma \in P/Q = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote  $\chi_{\lambda_n}$  by  $\chi$ .

For each  $\lambda \in P^+$ , let  $L_q^\Pi(\lambda)$  be the unique irreducible highest module defined by

$$K_i v_\lambda = (-q)^{\langle \alpha_i, \lambda \rangle} v_\lambda, \quad E_i v_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We say a  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -module is of *type 2* if it decomposes into a direct sum of  $L_q^\Pi(\lambda)$ 's for  $\lambda \in P^+$ . Denote the category of type 2 (resp. of type 1)  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -modules by  $C^\Pi$  (resp.

$C^I$ ). For  $S = I, II$  and  $i \in P/Q = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $C_i^S$  be a full subcategory of  $C^S$  consisting of modules which is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $L_q^S(\lambda)$ 's for  $\lambda \in i\lambda_n + Q$ . The following lemma is clear from the definition:

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $\lambda \in Q \cap P^+$  and  $\lambda' \in (\lambda_n + Q) \cap P^+$ . Then,  $L_q^{II}(\lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $L_q(\lambda)$  and  $L_q(\lambda') \otimes \chi$  and  $\chi \otimes L_q(\lambda')$  are isomorphic to  $L_q^{II}(\lambda)$  as  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -modules.*

Thus, we can define a functor  $F : C^I \rightarrow C^{II}$  by  $F(M) = (\mathbb{C}\chi^0 \otimes M_0) \oplus (\mathbb{C}\chi \otimes M_1)$  for any  $M = M_0 \oplus M_1 \in C^I = C_0^I \oplus C_1^I$ , where  $\mathbb{C}\chi^0$  is the trivial representation. Then,  $F$  gives an equivalence of abelian categories.

Let  $M, N \in C^{II}$ . Since  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$  is a Hopf algebra,  $M \otimes N$  is a  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -module and it is easy to show that  $M \otimes N \in C^{II}$ . Thus,  $C^{II}$  is naturally a monoidal category. Let  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $\exp(\pi i \rho) = q$  and denote the braided tensor category  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}), R(\rho))$ -mod by  $C^I(\rho)$ . In this section, we will prove Conjecture 1 for type B in three steps:

- (1) To give a braided tensor category structure on  $C^{II}$ , denoted by  $C^{II}(\rho + 1)$ ;
- (2) To show that a Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \rightarrow U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$  induces an equivalence of braided tensor categories between  $C^{II}(\rho + 1)$  and  $(U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}), R(\rho + 1))$ -mod;
- (3) To show that  $F : C^I(\rho)^{Q_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}} \rightarrow C^{II}(\rho + 1)$  gives an equivalence of braided tensor categories.

We will first consider Step (1). For any type 2 module  $M^{II}$ , set for all  $\lambda \in P$

$$M_\lambda^{II} = \{m \in M^{II} \mid K_i m = (-q)^{\langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle} m \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then, we have

$$M^{II} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} M_\lambda^{II}.$$

In order to define the R-matrix for type 1 representations, we consider a linear map  $f_\rho$  (see Section 2.2). Define for all type 2  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -modules  $M^{II}$  and  $N^{II}$  a bijective linear map  $f_\rho^{II} : M^{II} \otimes N^{II} \rightarrow M^{II} \otimes N^{II}$  by

$$f_\rho(m \otimes n) = \exp(-\pi i(\rho + 1)\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle) m \otimes n \text{ for any } m \in M_\lambda^{II} \text{ and } n \in N_\mu^{II}$$

and for all  $\mu, \lambda \in P$ .

Then, a statement similar to Lemma 2.13 holds for type 2 modules by replacing  $f_\rho$  with  $f_\rho^{II}$ .

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let  $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})_\mu^-$  and  $u' \in U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})_\mu^+$  for  $\mu \in Q$  with  $\mu \geq 0$ . For any type 2  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -modules  $M^{II}$  and  $N^{II}$ ,*

$$(f_\rho^{II})^{-1} \circ (u \otimes u') \circ f_\rho^{II} = u K_\mu \otimes K_{-\mu} u'$$

as linear maps acting on  $M^{II} \otimes N^{II}$ .

Let us define a linear map  $R(\rho)^{II}$  by

$$R(\rho)^{II} = (\Theta \circ f_\rho^{II})^{-1} : M^{II} \otimes N^{II} \rightarrow M^{II} \otimes N^{II}$$

for all type 2  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -modules  $M^\mathbb{H}$  and  $N^\mathbb{H}$ . Then, by the above lemma,  $R(\rho)^\mathbb{H}$  satisfies the axiom of an R matrix (R1-R3 in Section 2.2) as an operator on  $C^\mathbb{H}$  (see for example [Ja, Section 3]). Denote by  $C^\mathbb{H}(\rho + 1)$  the braided tensor category defined by  $R(\rho)^\mathbb{H}$ .

We next consider Step (2). We remark that among  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ , only  $\alpha_n$  is a short root.

**Proposition 3.19.** *There exist Hopf algebra isomorphisms  $\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)) \rightarrow U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1))$  such that:*

$$\phi(E_i) = E_i, \quad \phi(F_i) = F_i, \quad \phi(K_i) = K_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\phi(E_n) = -E_n, \quad \phi(F_n) = F_n, \quad \phi(K_n) = K_n.$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from an easy computation. The point is that  $q_{\alpha_i} = q^{\frac{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle}{2}} = q^2$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  since they are long roots and  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in Q$  (see Lemma 3.15). In particular,  $q_\alpha = (-q)_\alpha$  for long roots. The only non-trivial relation is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} (-1)^r \binom{1-a_{\alpha\beta}}{r}_{q_\alpha} E_\alpha^r E_\beta E_\alpha^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}-r} = 0,$$

for  $\alpha = \alpha_n$  and  $\beta = \alpha_{n-1}$ , which follows from  $\binom{3}{1}_{-q} = 3_{-q} = (-1)^{3+1} 3_q = \binom{3}{1}_q$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.20.** *We note that the above proposition is also applicable to the case of  $A_1 = B_1$ , that is,*

$$\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_{-q}(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \quad \phi(E) = -E, \quad \phi(F) = F, \quad \phi(K) = K.$$

*It is noteworthy that the Hopf algebras  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  and  $U_{q'}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $q' = \pm q^\pm$  (see [KS, Proposition 6 in Section 3]).*

As shown in Section 2.3, the pullback by  $\phi$  induces an equivalence of categories between  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1))$ -mod and  $U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1))$ -mod, but in general the pullback of a type 1 representation does not necessarily a type 1 representation.

In fact, let  $M$  be a type 1  $U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1))$ -module and  $\phi^*M$  an  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1))$ -module defined by

$$a \cdot_\phi m = \phi(a) \cdot m \quad \text{for any } a \in U_q(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)) \text{ and } m \in M.$$

Then, we have

$$K_i \cdot_\phi v = (-q)^{\langle \alpha_i, \lambda \rangle} v = (-1)^{\langle \alpha_i, \lambda \rangle} q^{\langle \alpha_i, \lambda \rangle} v \text{ for } v \in M_\lambda.$$

Hence,  $\phi^*M$  is a type 2 module and  $\phi$  define a functor  $\phi^* : (U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}), R(\rho+1))\text{-mod} \rightarrow C^\mathbb{H}(\rho+1)$ . Then, the following lemma follows from a similar argument in Section 2.3:

**Lemma 3.21.** *The Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)) \rightarrow U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1))$  induces an equivalence between  $C^\Pi(\rho+1)$  and  $(U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)), R(\rho+1))$ -mod as balanced braided tensor categories.*

This completes step (1) and step (2). Next, we will show the last step, that is, the functor  $F : C^I \rightarrow C^\Pi$  gives a braided tensor equivalence between  $(C^I)^{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}}$  and  $C^\Pi$ . For any  $M \in C^I$ , define a linear map  $h_M : M \otimes \mathbb{C}\chi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\chi \otimes M$  by

$$h_M(m_\lambda \otimes \chi) = \exp(\pi i(\lambda_n, \lambda))\chi \otimes m_\lambda$$

for any  $\lambda \in P$  and  $m_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ . Then,  $h_\bullet$  is a natural transformation by Lemma 3.16.

We note that  $C^\Pi$  is a full subcategory of a strict monoidal category, the category of all  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -modules, and thus  $C^\Pi$  is also strict. Hence, we can assume that  $\chi^0 \otimes M = M$  for any  $M \in C^\Pi$ . Define a  $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ -module isomorphism  $\epsilon_2 : \mathbb{C}\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}\chi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\epsilon_2(\chi \otimes \chi) = 1$ .

Let  $M_i \in C_i^I$  and  $N_j \in C_j^I$  for  $i, j = 0, 1$ . Define a natural transformation  $g_{M_i, N_j} : F(M_i) \otimes F(N_j) \rightarrow F(M_i \otimes N_j)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{M_0, N_0} &: (\chi^0 \otimes M_0) \otimes (\chi^0 \otimes N_0) = \chi^0 \otimes M_0 \otimes N_0, \\ g_{M_1 \otimes N_0} &: (\chi^1 \otimes M_1) \otimes (\chi^0 \otimes N_0) = \chi^1 \otimes M_1 \otimes N_0, \\ g_{M_0 \otimes N_1} &: (\chi^0 \otimes M_0) \otimes (\chi^1 \otimes N_1) \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\chi^0} \otimes h_{M_0} \otimes \text{id}_{N_1}} \chi^1 \otimes M_0 \otimes N_1, \\ g_{M_1 \otimes N_1} &: (\chi^1 \otimes M_1) \otimes (\chi^1 \otimes N_1) \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\chi^1} \otimes h_{M_1} \otimes \text{id}_{N_1}} \chi^2 \otimes M_1 \otimes N_1 \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2 \otimes \text{id}_{M_1 \otimes N_1}} \chi^0 \otimes M_1 \otimes N_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have:

**Proposition 3.22.** *The functor  $F : C^I \rightarrow C^\Pi$  together with the natural transformation  $g_{M, N} : F(M) \otimes F(N) \rightarrow F(M \otimes N)$  and  $\epsilon : \mathbf{1} = F(\mathbf{1})$  is a monoidal functor between  $(C^I)^{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}}$  and  $C^\Pi$ .*

Before giving the proof, we remark that the value  $\exp(\pi i p \langle \langle \lambda_n, \lambda_n \rangle \rangle) = \exp(\frac{\pi i n p}{2})$  is not well-defined for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , which is the source of the 3-cocycle  $\alpha : (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . In fact, let  $\iota : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a map defined by  $a \mapsto a$ . Then, we have:

**Lemma 3.23.** *The explicit form of the abelian cocycle  $(\alpha_n, c_n) \in Z_{ab}^3(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  such that  $c(a, a) = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}(a)$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = P/Q$  can be give by*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(a, b, c) &= \begin{cases} (-1)^n & (a = b = c = 1) \\ 1 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \\ &= \exp\left(\pi i a \frac{\iota(b) + \iota(c) - \iota(a+b)}{2}\right), \\ c_n(a, b) &= \begin{cases} i^n & (a = b = 1) \\ 1 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*proof of Proposition 3.22.* We will verify the conditions (LM1) and (LM2) in Section 1.2. Since both  $C^I$  and  $C^\Pi$  are strict monoidal categories, the associative isomorphisms are

trivial before twisting. Let  $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $M_i \in C_{p_i}^I$ ,  $\beta_i \in P$ , and  $v_i \in (M_i)_{\beta_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{M_1 \otimes M_2, M_3} \circ (g_{M_1, M_2} \otimes \text{id}_{M_3}) ((\chi^{p_1} \otimes v_1 \otimes \chi^{p_2} \otimes v_2) \otimes \chi^{p_3} \otimes v_3) \\ = g_{M_1 \otimes M_2, M_3} (\exp(p_2 \pi i \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_1 \rangle \rangle) (\chi^{p_1+p_2} \otimes v_1 \otimes \chi^{p_3} \otimes v_3)) \\ = \exp(\pi i (p_2 \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_1 \rangle \rangle + p_3 \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \rangle \rangle)) (\chi^{p_1+p_2+p_3} \otimes (v_1 \otimes v_2) \otimes v_3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{M_1, M_2 \otimes M_3} \circ (\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes g_{M_2, M_3}) (\chi^{p_1} \otimes v_1 \otimes (\chi^{p_2} \otimes v_2 \otimes \chi^{p_3} \otimes v_3)) \\ = g_{M_1, M_2 \otimes M_3} (\exp(\pi i p_3 \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_2 \rangle \rangle) \chi^{p_1} \otimes v_1 \otimes (\chi^{p_2+p_3} \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3)) \\ = \exp(\pi i (p_3 \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_2 \rangle \rangle + \iota(p_2, p_3) \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_1 \rangle \rangle)) \chi^{p_1+p_2+p_3} \otimes v_1 \otimes (v_2 \otimes v_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to verify (LM1), it suffices to show that

$$\alpha(p_1, p_2, p_3) \exp(\pi i (p_2 \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_1 \rangle \rangle + p_3 \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_1 + \beta_2 \rangle \rangle)) = \exp(\pi i (p_3 \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_2 \rangle \rangle + \iota(p_2, p_3) \langle \langle \lambda_n, \beta_1 \rangle \rangle)),$$

which follows from Lemma 3.23. (LM2) is obvious. Hence, the assertion holds.  $\square$

Finally, we will prove that  $F : C^I(\rho)^{Q_{\text{so}_{2n+1}}} \rightarrow C^{\text{II}}(\rho + 1)$  is a braided monoidal functor. Let  $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $M_i \in C_{p_i}^I$ ,  $\beta_i \in P$ , and  $m_i \in (M_i)_{\beta_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . It suffices to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M_1) \otimes_{\text{II}} F(M_2) & \xleftarrow{(B_{F(M_1), F(M_2)}^{\text{II}})^{-1}} & F(M_2) \otimes_{\text{II}} F(M_1) \\ g_{M_1, M_2} \downarrow & & \downarrow g_{M_2, M_1} \\ F(M \otimes_I M_2) & \xleftarrow{c_n(p_1, p_2) F(B_{M_1, M_2}^I)^{-1}} & F(M_2 \otimes_I M_1), \end{array}$$

where  $c_n(p_1, p_2)$  is given in Lemma 3.23. Recall  $\Theta = \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \Theta_\mu$  and  $\Theta_\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{r(\mu)} v_i^\mu \otimes u_i^\mu \in U_\mu^- \hat{\otimes} U_\mu^+$  (see Section 2.2). Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{M_1, M_2} \circ (B_{F(M_1), F(M_2)}^{\text{II}})^{-1} (\chi^{p_2} \otimes m_2) \otimes (\chi^{p_1} \otimes m_1) \\ = g_{M_1, M_2} \circ \Theta \circ f_p^{\text{II}} \circ P_{21} (\chi^{p_2} \otimes v_2) \otimes (\chi^{p_1} \otimes v_1) \\ = \exp(-\pi i (\rho + 1) \langle \langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle \rangle) \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{r(\mu)} g_{M_1, M_2} (v_i^\mu \cdot (\chi^{p_1} \otimes m_1)) \otimes (u_i^\mu \cdot (\chi^{p_2} \otimes m_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i$  and  $\Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v_i^\mu \cdot (\chi^{p_1} \otimes m_1)) \otimes (u_i^\mu \cdot (\chi^{p_2} \otimes m_2)) &= (\chi^{p_1} \otimes v_i^\mu \cdot m_1) \otimes (K_\mu \cdot \chi^{p_2} \otimes u_i^\mu \cdot m_2) \\ &= (-1)^{p_2 \langle \langle \lambda_n, \mu \rangle \rangle} (\chi^{p_1} \otimes v_i^\mu \cdot m_1) \otimes (\chi^{p_2} \otimes u_i^\mu \cdot m_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{M_1, M_2} \circ (B_{F(M_1), F(M_2)}^{\parallel})^{-1} (\chi^{p_2} \otimes m_2) \otimes (\chi^{p_1} \otimes m_1) \\
&= \exp(\pi i(\rho + 1)\langle\langle\beta_1, \beta_2\rangle\rangle) \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{r(\mu)} \exp(\pi i \iota(p_2)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_1 + \mu\rangle\rangle) (-1)^{p_2\langle\langle\lambda_n, \mu\rangle\rangle} (\chi^{p_1+p_2} \otimes v_i^\mu \cdot m_1 \otimes u_i^\mu \cdot m_2) \\
&= \exp(\pi i(\rho + 1)\langle\langle\beta_1, \beta_2\rangle\rangle) \exp(\pi i \iota(p_2)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_1\rangle\rangle) \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{r(\mu)} (\chi^{p_1+p_2} \otimes v_i^\mu \cdot m_1 \otimes u_i^\mu \cdot m_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_n(p_1, p_2) F(B_{M_1, M_2}^I)^{-1} \circ g_{M_1, M_2} (\chi^{p_2} \otimes m_2) \otimes (\chi^{p_1} \otimes m_1) \\
&= c_n(p_1, p_2) \exp(\pi i \iota(p_1)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_2\rangle\rangle) F(B_{M_1, M_2}^I)^{-1} (\chi^{p_1+p_2} \otimes m_2 \otimes m_1) \\
&= c_n(p_1, p_2) \exp(\pi i \iota(p_1)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_2\rangle\rangle) \chi^{p_1+p_2} \otimes (\Theta \circ f_\rho \circ P_{21}(m_2 \otimes m_1)) \\
&= c_n(p_1, p_2) \exp(\pi i \iota(p_1)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_2\rangle\rangle) \exp(-\pi i \rho(\beta_1, \beta_2)) \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{r(\mu)} \chi^{p_1+p_2} \otimes (v_i^\mu \cdot m_1 \otimes u_i^\mu m_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of the conjecture comes down to the following Lemma:

**Lemma 3.24.** *If  $(M_i)_{\beta_i} \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then*

$$c_n(p_1, p_2) = \exp(\pi i(\langle\langle\beta_1, \beta_2\rangle\rangle + \iota(p_2)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_1\rangle\rangle - \iota(p_1)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_2\rangle\rangle)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $k : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a map defined by  $k(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \exp(\pi i(\langle\langle\beta_1, \beta_2\rangle\rangle + \iota(\beta_2)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_1\rangle\rangle - \iota(\beta_1)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \beta_2\rangle\rangle))$ , where  $\iota : P \rightarrow P/Q = \{0, 1\}$  is defined by the composition of the projection and the identification.

We claim that  $k(\beta_1 + \alpha, \beta_2) = k(\beta_1, \beta_2 + \alpha) = k(\beta_1, \beta_2)$  for any  $\alpha \in Q$ . The difference  $k(\beta_1 + \alpha, \beta_2)k(\beta_1, \beta_2)^{-1}$  is equal to  $\exp(\pi i(\langle\langle\beta_2, \alpha\rangle\rangle + \iota(\beta_2)\langle\langle\lambda_n, \alpha\rangle\rangle))$ . Thus, if  $\beta_2 \in Q$  i.e.,  $\iota(\beta_2) = 0$ , then  $k(\beta_1 + \alpha, \beta_2)k(\beta_1, \beta_2)^{-1}$  is equal to 1 by Lemma 3.15. Similarly, if  $\iota(\beta_2) = 1$ , then  $k(\beta_1 + \alpha, \beta_2)k(\beta_1, \beta_2)^{-1} = \exp(\pi i(\langle\langle\beta_2, \alpha\rangle\rangle + \langle\langle\lambda_n, \alpha\rangle\rangle)) = \exp(\pi i(\langle\langle\lambda_n, \alpha\rangle\rangle + \langle\langle\lambda_n, \alpha\rangle\rangle)) = 1$ , thus the claim is proved.

Since  $k(0, 0) = k(\lambda_n, 0) = k(0, \lambda_n) = 1$  and  $k(\lambda_n, \lambda_n) = i^n$ , the assertion follows from Lemma 3.23.  $\square$

Hence, we have:

**Theorem 3.25.** *The composition of  $F$  and  $\phi^*$  gives a braided monoidal equivalence between  $(U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}^{Q_{\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}}}$  and  $(U_{-q}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}), R(\rho))\text{-mod}$  for any  $n \geq 1$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [AG] S. Arkhipov and D. Gaitsgory, Differential operators on the loop group via chiral algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2002, (4), 165–210.
- [AM] M. Aguiar and S. Mahajan, Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras, CRM Monograph Series, **29**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [BY] J. Bichon and R. Yuncken, Quantum subgroups of the compact quantum group  $SU_{-1}(3)$ , Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., **46**, 2014, 2, 315–328.



- [BK] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov, Jr., Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors, University Lecture Series, **21**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [BNY] J. Bichon, S. Neshveyev and M. Yamashita, Graded twisting of categories and quantum groups by group actions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **66**, 2016, 6.
- [CG] T. Creutzig and D. Gaiotto, Vertex algebras for S-duality, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **379**, 2020, (3), 785–845.
- [CKM1] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade, R. McRae, Tensor categories for vertex operator superalgebra extensions, *arXiv:1705.05017 [q-alg]*.
- [CKM2] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade, R. McRae, Glueing vertex algebras, *arXiv:1906.00119 [q-alg]*.
- [CW] M. Cohen and S. Westreich, From supersymmetry to quantum commutativity, *J. Algebra*, **168**, 1994, (1), 1–27.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators, *Progress in Mathematics*, **112**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [DM] J. Donin and A. Mudrov, Reflection equation, twist, and equivariant quantization, *Israel J. Math.*, **136**, 2003, 11–28.
- [Dr1] V.G. Drinfeld, Quasi-Hopf algebras, *Algebra i Analiz*, **1**, 1989, (6), 114–148.
- [Dr2] V.G. Drinfeld, On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ , *Algebra i Analiz*, **2**, 1990, (4), 149–181.
- [EGNO] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, Tensor categories, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **205**, 2015.
- [EFK] P. Etingof, I. Frenkel, A. Kirillov, Jr., Lectures on representation theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, AMS, **58**, 1998.
- [ES] P. Etingof and O. Schiffmann, Lectures on quantum groups, *Lectures in Mathematical Physics*, Second, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.
- [Fe] B.L. Feigin, Extensions of vertex algebras. Constructions and applications, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **72**, 2017, 4(436), 131–190.
- [FG] E. Frenkel and D. Gaiotto, Quantum Langlands dualities of boundary conditions, *D-modules*, and conformal blocks, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, **14**, 2020, (2).
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **104**, 1993, (494).
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman, Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **134**, 1988.
- [FRS] J. Fuchs, I. Runkel and C. Schweigert, Conformal correlation functions, Frobenius algebras and triangulations, *Nucl. Phys.* **624** 2002, 452–468.
- [FS] I.B. Frenkel and K. Styrkas, Modified regular representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, VOA structure and semi-infinite cohomology, *Adv. Math.*, **206**, 2006, (1), 57–111.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, **66**, 1992, (1), 123–168.
- [GMS1] V. Gorbounov, F. Malikov and V. Schechtman, On chiral differential operators over homogeneous spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **26**, 2001, (2), 83–106.
- [GMS2] V. Gorbounov, F. Malikov and V. Schechtman, Gerbes of chiral differential operators. II. Vertex algebroids, *Invent. Math.*, **155**, 2004, (3), 605–680.
- [Hua] Y.-Z. Huang, Vertex operator algebras, the Verlinde conjecture, and modular tensor categories, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **102**, 2005, (15), 5352–5356.
- [Hum] J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **9**, Second printing, revised, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.
- [HK] Y.-Z. Huang, L. Kong, Full field algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **272**, 2007, (2), 345–396.

- [HKL] Yi-Zhi. Huang and A. Kirillov, Jr. and J. Lepowsky, Braided tensor categories and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **337**, 2015, (3), 1143–1159.
- [Ja] J.C. Jantzen, Lectures on quantum groups, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **6**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [Jo] B.P.A. Jordans, A classification of  $SU(d)$ -type  $C^*$ -tensor categories, *Internat. J. Math.*, **25**, 2014, **9**.
- [Ka] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **155**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [KL] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras. I, II, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **6**, 1993, (4), 905–947, 949–1011.
- [KS] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, Quantum groups and their representations, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [KW] D. Kazhdan and H. Wenzl, Reconstructing monoidal categories, *Adv. Soviet Math.*, **16**, 111–136.
- [KZ] V.G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Current algebra and Wess-Zumino model in two dimensions, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **247**, 1984, (1), 83–103.
- [Lu1] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Reprint of the 1994 edition, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010.
- [Lu2] G. Lusztig, Monodromic systems on affine flag manifolds, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, **445**, 1994, (1923), 231–246; Erratum, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, **450**, 1995, 731–732.
- [Mo] Y. Moriwaki, Two-dimensional conformal field theory, current-current deformation and mass formula, arXiv:2007.07327 [q-alg].
- [NY] S. Neshveyev and M. Yamashita, Twisting the  $q$ -deformations of compact semisimple Lie groups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **67**, (2015), 2.
- [PR] C. Pinzari and J.E. Roberts, A rigidity result for extensions of braided tensor  $C^*$ -categories derived from compact matrix quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **306**, (2011), 3.
- [TK] A. Tsuchiya and Y. Kanie, Vertex operators in conformal field theory on  $\mathbf{P}^1$  and monodromy representations of braid group, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **16**.
- [TW] I. Tuba and H. Wenzl, On braided tensor categories of type  $BCD$ , *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **581**, 2005.
- [Zh] M. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to modified regular representations of affine Lie algebras, *Adv. Math.*, **219**, 2008, (5), 1513–1547.