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#### Abstract

We establish some existence results for a class of critical $N$-Laplacian problems in a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. In the absence of a suitable direct sum decomposition of the underlying Sobolev space to which the classical linking theorem can be applied, we use an abstract linking theorem based on the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomological index to obtain a nontrivial critical point.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper we establish some existence results for the class of critical $N$-Laplacian problems

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{N} u & =h(u) e^{\alpha|u|^{N^{\prime}}} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2, \alpha>0, N^{\prime}=N /(N-1)$ is the Hölder conjugate of $N$, and $h$ is a continuous function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} h(t)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\beta:=\liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} t h(t)<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]This problem is motivated by the Trudinger-Moser inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\substack{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \\\|u\| \leq 1}} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha_{N}|u|^{N^{\prime}}} d x<\infty, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space with the norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\| & =\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x\right)^{1 / N}, \\
\alpha_{N} & =N \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{N-1}=\frac{2 \pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(N / 2)}
$$

is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (see Trudinger [14] and Moser [10]). Problem (1.1) is critical with respect to this inequality and hence lacks compactness. Indeed, the associated variational functional satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition only at energy levels below a certain threshold (see Proposition 2.1 in the next section).

In dimension $N=2$, problem (1.1) is semilinear and has been extensively studied in
 has been studied mainly when

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t):=\int_{0}^{t} h(s) e^{\alpha|s|^{N^{\prime}}} d s \leq \lambda|t|^{N} \quad \text { for small } t \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ (see, e.g., [1] , 5, 9]). Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{N} d x} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the first eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{N} u & =\lambda|u|^{N-2} u & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.7}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The case $h(t)=\lambda|t|^{N-2} t$ with $\lambda>0$, for which $\beta=\infty$, was recently studied in Yang and Perera |15. The remaining case, where $N \geq 3, \lambda \geq \lambda_{1}$, and $\beta<\infty$, does not seem to have been studied in the literature. This case is covered in our results here, which are for large $\beta<\infty$ and allow $N \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_{1}$ in (1.5).

Let $d$ be the radius of the largest open ball contained in $\Omega$. Our first result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\alpha>0, h$ satisfies (1.2) and (1.3), and $G$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(t) \geq-\frac{1}{N} \sigma_{0}|t|^{N} \quad \text { for } t \geq 0  \tag{1.8}\\
& G(t) \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(\lambda_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)|t|^{N} \quad \text { for }|t| \leq \delta \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $\sigma_{0} \geq 0$ and $\sigma_{1}, \delta>0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta>\frac{1}{N \alpha^{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N} e^{\sigma_{0} /(N-1) \kappa} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa=\frac{1}{N!}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N}$, then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.
In particular, we have the following corollary for $\sigma_{0}=0$.
Corollary 1.2. Assume that $\alpha>0, h$ satisfies (1.2) and (1.3), and $G$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } t \geq 0, \\
& G(t) \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(\lambda_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)|t|^{N} \quad \text { for }|t| \leq \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\sigma_{1}, \delta>0$. If

$$
\beta>\frac{1}{N \alpha^{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N},
$$

then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.
Corollary 1.2 should be compared with Theorem 1 of do Ó 9], where this result is proved under the stronger assumption $h(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$.

To state our second result, let $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of problem (1.7) based on the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomological index that was introduced in Perera 11] (see Proposition 2.3 in the next section). We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $\alpha>0, h$ satisfies (1.2) and (1.3), and $G$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(t) \geq \frac{1}{N}\left(\lambda_{k-1}+\sigma_{0}\right)|t|^{N} \quad \forall t,  \tag{1.11}\\
& G(t) \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(\lambda_{k}-\sigma_{1}\right)|t|^{N} \quad \text { for }|t| \leq \delta \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $k \geq 2$ and $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \delta>0$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ depending on $\Omega, \alpha$, and $k$, but not on $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$, or $\delta$, such that if

$$
\beta>\frac{1}{\alpha^{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N} e^{c / \sigma_{0}^{N-1}},
$$

then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.
Theorem 1.3 should be compared with Theorem 1.4 of de Figueiredo et al. [3, [4], where this result is proved in the case $N=2$ under the additional assumption that $0<2 G(t) \leq$ $t h(t) e^{\alpha t^{2}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. However, the linking argument used in [3, [] is based on a splitting of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ that involves the eigenspaces of the Laplacian, and this argument does not extend to the case $N \geq 3$ where the $N$-Laplacian is a nonlinear operator and therefore has no linear eigenspaces. We will prove Theorem 1.3 using an abstract critical point theorem based on the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomological index that was proved in Yang and Perera [15] (see Section 2.4).

In the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 , the inner radius $d$ of $\Omega$ comes into play when verifying that certain minimax levels are below the compactness threshold given in Proposition 2.1.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 A compactness result

Weak solutions of problem (1.1) coincide with critical points of the $C^{1}$-functional

$$
E(u)=\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x, \quad u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) .
$$

We recall that a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence of $E$ is a sequence $\left(u_{j}\right) \subset W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ such that $E\left(u_{j}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $E^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 will be based on the following compactness result.

Proposition 2.1. Assume that $\alpha>0$ and $h$ satisfies (1.2) and (1.3). Then for all $c \neq 0$ satisfying

$$
c<\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1},
$$

every $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence of $E$ has a subsequence that converges weakly to a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1).

Proof. Let $\left(u_{j}\right) \subset W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ be a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence of $E$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{N}\left\|u_{j}\right\|^{N}-\int_{\Omega} G\left(u_{j}\right) d x=c+\mathrm{o}(1) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) u_{j}=\left\|u_{j}\right\|^{N}-\int_{\Omega} u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\left.\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x=\mathrm{o}\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show that $\left(u_{j}\right)$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. Multiplying (2.1) by $2 N$ and subtracting (2.2) gives

$$
\left\|u_{j}\right\|^{N}+\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}}-2 N G\left(u_{j}\right)\right) d x=2 N c+\mathrm{o}\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|+1\right)
$$

so it suffices to show that $t h(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}-2 N G(t)$ is bounded from below. Let $0<\varepsilon<$ $\beta /(2 N+1)$. By (1.2) and (1.3), for some constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(t)| \leq \varepsilon e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}+C_{\varepsilon} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{th}(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}} \geq(\beta-\varepsilon) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}-C_{\varepsilon} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t$. So

$$
\operatorname{th}(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}-2 N G(t) \geq[\beta-(2 N+1) \varepsilon] e^{\left.\alpha|t|\right|^{N^{\prime}}}-(2 N+1) C_{\varepsilon},
$$

which is bounded from below.
Since $\left(u_{j}\right)$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$, a renamed subsequence converges to some $u$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for all $p \in[1, \infty)$, and a.e. in $\Omega$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) v=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{N-2} \nabla u_{j} \cdot \nabla v d x-\int_{\Omega} v h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. By ( 1.2 ), given any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}\right| \leq \varepsilon e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}+C_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall t . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.2),

$$
\sup _{j} \int_{\Omega} u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x<\infty
$$

which together with (2.4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{j} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x<\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, it follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that the sequence $\left(v h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}}\right)$ is uniformly integrable and hence

$$
\int_{\Omega} v h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} v h(u) e^{\alpha|u|^{N^{\prime}}} d x
$$

by Vitali's convergence theorem, so it follows from (2.5) that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x-\int_{\Omega} v h(u) e^{\alpha|u|^{N^{\prime}}} d x=0 .
$$

Then this holds for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ by density, so the weak limit $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1).

Suppose that $u=0$. Then

$$
\int_{\Omega} G\left(u_{j}\right) d x \rightarrow 0
$$

since (2.3) and (2.7) imply that the sequence $\left(G\left(u_{j}\right)\right)$ is uniformly integrable, so (2.1) gives $c \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{j}\right\| \rightarrow(N c)^{1 / N} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N c<\nu<\left(\alpha_{N} / \alpha\right)^{N-1}$. Then $\left\|u_{j}\right\| \leq \nu^{1 / N}$ for all $j \geq j_{0}$ for some $j_{0}$. Let $q=$ $\alpha_{N} / \alpha \nu^{1 /(N-1)}>1$. By the Hölder inequality,

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x\right| \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{q \alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x\right)^{1 / q}
$$

where $1 / p+1 / q=1$. The first integral on the right-hand side converges to zero since $h$ is bounded and $u_{j} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$, and the second integral is bounded by (1.4) since $q \alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}=\alpha_{N}\left|\widetilde{u}_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}$, where $\widetilde{u}_{j}=u_{j} / \nu^{1 / N}$ satisfies $\left\|\widetilde{u}_{j}\right\| \leq 1$ for $j \geq j_{0}$, so

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\left.\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x \rightarrow 0
$$

Then $u_{j} \rightarrow 0$ by (2.2) and hence $c=0$ by (2.8), contrary to assumption. So $u$ is a nontrivial solution.

## $2.2 \mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomological index

The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomological index of Fadell and Rabinowitz [8] is defined as follows. Let $W$ be a Banach space and let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of symmetric subsets of $W \backslash\{0\}$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\bar{A}=A / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ be the quotient space of $A$ with each $u$ and $-u$ identified, let $f: \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{\infty}$ be
the classifying map of $\bar{A}$, and let $f^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{\infty}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\bar{A})$ be the induced homomorphism of the Alexander-Spanier cohomology rings. The cohomological index of $A$ is defined by

$$
i(A)= \begin{cases}\sup \left\{m \geq 1: f^{*}\left(\omega^{m-1}\right) \neq 0\right\}, & A \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & A=\emptyset\end{cases}
$$

where $\omega \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{\infty}\right)$ is the generator of the polynomial ring $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{\infty}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[\omega]$. For example, the classifying map of the unit sphere $S^{m-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}, m \geq 1$ is the inclusion $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{\infty}$, which induces isomorphisms on $H^{q}$ for $q \leq m-1$, so $i\left(S^{m-1}\right)=m$.

The following proposition summarizes the basic properties of the cohomological index (see Fadell and Rabinowitz [8]).

Proposition 2.2. The index $i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0, \infty\}$ has the following properties:
(i) Definiteness: $i(A)=0$ if and only if $A=\emptyset$.
(ii) Monotonicity: If there is an odd continuous map from $A$ to $B$ (in particular, if $A \subset$ $B)$, then $i(A) \leq i(B)$. Thus, equality holds when the map is an odd homeomorphism.
(iii) Dimension: $i(A) \leq \operatorname{dim} W$.
(iv) Continuity: If $A$ is closed, then there is a closed neighborhood $N \in \mathcal{A}$ of $A$ such that $i(N)=i(A)$. When $A$ is compact, $N$ may be chosen to be a $\delta$-neighborhood $N_{\delta}(A)=\{u \in W: \operatorname{dist}(u, A) \leq \delta\}$.
(v) Subadditivity: If $A$ and $B$ are closed, then $i(A \cup B) \leq i(A)+i(B)$.
(vi) Stability: If $S A$ is the suspension of $A \neq \emptyset$, obtained as the quotient space of $A \times$ $[-1,1]$ with $A \times\{1\}$ and $A \times\{-1\}$ collapsed to different points, then $i(S A)=i(A)+1$.
(vii) Piercing property: If $A, A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are closed, and $\varphi: A \times[0,1] \rightarrow A_{0} \cup A_{1}$ is a continuous map such that $\varphi(-u, t)=-\varphi(u, t)$ for all $(u, t) \in A \times[0,1], \varphi(A \times[0,1])$ is closed, $\varphi(A \times\{0\}) \subset A_{0}$ and $\varphi(A \times\{1\}) \subset A_{1}$, then $i\left(\varphi(A \times[0,1]) \cap A_{0} \cap A_{1}\right) \geq i(A)$.
(viii) Neighborhood of zero: If $U$ is a bounded closed symmetric neighborhood of 0 , then $i(\partial U)=\operatorname{dim} W$.

### 2.3 Eigenvalues

Eigenvalues of problem (1.7) coincide with critical values of the functional

$$
\Psi(u)=\frac{1}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{N} d x}, \quad u \in S=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x=1\right\} .
$$

We have the following proposition (see Perera (11] and Perera et al. 12, Proposition 3.52 and Proposition 3.53]).

Proposition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the class of symmetric subsets of $S$ and set

$$
\lambda_{k}:=\inf _{\substack{M \in \mathcal{F} \\ i(M) \geq k}} \sup _{u \in M} \Psi(u), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Then $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \cdots \rightarrow+\infty$ is a sequence of eigenvalues of problem (1.7). Moreover, if $\lambda_{k-1}<\lambda_{k}$, then

$$
i\left(\Psi^{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)=i\left(S \backslash \Psi_{\lambda_{k}}\right)=k-1
$$

where $\Psi^{a}=\{u \in S: \Psi(u) \leq a\}$ and $\Psi_{a}=\{u \in S: \Psi(u) \geq a\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
We will also need the following result of Degiovanni and Lancelotti ([0] Theorem 2.3]).
Proposition 2.4. If $\lambda_{k-1}<\lambda_{k}$, then $\Psi^{\lambda_{k-1}}$ contains a compact symmetric set $C$ of index $k-1$ that is bounded in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$.

### 2.4 An abstract critical point theorem

We will use the following abstract critical point theorem proved in Yang and Perera 15, Theorem 2.2] to prove Theorem 1.3. This result generalizes the linking theorem of Rabinowitz [13].

Theorem 2.5. Let $E$ be a $C^{1}$-functional defined on a Banach space $W$ and let $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ be disjoint nonempty closed symmetric subsets of the unit sphere $S=\{u \in W:\|u\|=1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(A_{0}\right)=i\left(S \backslash B_{0}\right)<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exist $R>\rho>0$ and $\omega \in S \backslash A_{0}$ such that

$$
\sup E(A) \leq \inf E(B), \quad \sup E(X)<\infty
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{s v: v \in A_{0}, 0 \leq s \leq R\right\} \cup\left\{R \pi((1-t) v+t \omega): v \in A_{0}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\} \\
& B=\left\{\rho u: u \in B_{0}\right\} \\
& X=\left\{s v+t \omega: v \in A_{0}, s, t \geq 0,\|s v+t \omega\| \leq R\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\pi: W \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow S, u \mapsto u /\|u\|$ is the radial projection onto $S$. Let

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C(X, W): \gamma(X) \text { is closed and }\left.\gamma\right|_{A}=i d_{A}\right\}
$$

and set

$$
c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup _{u \in \gamma(X)} E(u) .
$$

Then $\inf E(B) \leq c \leq \sup E(X)$, and $E$ has a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence.

### 2.5 Moser sequence

For $j \geq 2$, let

$$
\omega_{j}(x)=\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}^{1 / N}} \begin{cases}(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}, & |x| \leq d / j  \tag{2.10}\\ \frac{\log (d /|x|)}{(\log j)^{1 / N}}, & d / j<|x|<d \\ 0, & |x| \geq d\end{cases}
$$

(see Moser 10]).
Proposition 2.6. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \omega_{j}^{m} d x=\frac{m!\omega_{N-1}^{1-m / N} d^{N}}{N^{m+1}(\log j)^{m / N}}\left[1-\frac{1}{j^{N}} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \frac{(N \log j)^{m-l}}{(m-l)!}\right], \quad m=1, \ldots, N \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \omega_{j}\right|^{m} d x= \begin{cases}\frac{\omega_{N-1}^{1-m / N} d^{N-m}}{(N-m)(\log j)^{m / N}}\left(1-\frac{1}{j^{N-m}}\right), & m=1, \ldots, N-1  \tag{2.12}\\ 1, & m=N\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\int_{\Omega} \omega_{j}^{m} d x=\frac{\omega_{N-1}^{1-m / N} d^{N}}{(\log j)^{m / N}}\left[I_{m}+\frac{(\log j)^{m}}{N j^{N}}\right]
$$

where

$$
I_{m}=\int_{1 / j}^{1}(-\log s)^{m} s^{N-1} d s
$$

We have

$$
I_{1}=\frac{1}{N^{2}}\left[1-\frac{1}{j^{N}}(N \log j+1)\right],
$$

and integrating by parts gives the recurrence relation

$$
I_{m}=\frac{m}{N} I_{m-1}-\frac{(\log j)^{m}}{N j^{N}}, \quad m \geq 2
$$

So

$$
I_{m}=\frac{m!}{N^{m+1}}\left[1-\frac{1}{j^{N}} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(N \log j)^{m-l}}{(m-l)!}\right],
$$

and (2.11) follows. The integral in (2.12) is easily evaluated.

### 2.6 A limit calculation

We will need the following limit in the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proposition 2.7. We have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} n e^{-n\left(t-t^{N^{\prime}}\right)} d t=N .
$$

Proof. Let $f_{n}(t)=n e^{-n\left(t-t^{N^{\prime}}\right)}$ and set $t_{0}=\left(N^{\prime}\right)^{-1 /\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)}$. For $t \neq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(t)=g_{n}(t)-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{e^{-n\left(t-t^{N^{\prime}}\right)}}{1-N^{\prime} t^{N^{\prime}-1}}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{n}(t)=\frac{N^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime}-1\right) t^{N^{\prime}-2} e^{-n\left(t-t^{N^{\prime}}\right)}}{\left(1-N^{\prime} t^{N^{\prime}-1}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Fix $\delta$ so small that $0<\delta<t_{0}<1-\delta<1$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{\delta} f_{n}(t) d t+\int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} f_{n}(t) d t+\int_{1-\delta}^{1} f_{n}(t) d t . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\delta} f_{n}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{\delta} g_{n}(t) d t-\frac{e^{-n\left(\delta-\delta^{N^{\prime}}\right)}}{1-N^{\prime} \delta^{N^{\prime}-1}}+1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $t \in(0, \delta), g_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left|g_{n}(t)\right| \leq N^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime}-1\right) t^{N^{\prime}-2} /\left(1-N^{\prime} \delta^{N^{\prime}-1}\right)^{2}$, so $\int_{0}^{\delta} g_{n}(t) d t \rightarrow 0$ by the dominated convergence theorem. So $\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}(t) d t \rightarrow 1$ by (2.15). A similar calculation shows that $\int_{1-\delta}^{1} f_{n}(t) d t \rightarrow N-1$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that $\int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} f_{n}(t) d t \rightarrow 0$. So $\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}(t) d t \rightarrow N$ by (2.14).

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 by showing that the functional $E$ has the mountain pass geometry with the mountain pass level $c \in\left(0,(1 / N)\left(\alpha_{N} / \alpha\right)^{N-1}\right)$ and applying Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 3.1. There exists a $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\inf _{\|u\|=\rho} E(u)>0 .
$$

Proof. Since (1.2) implies that $h$ is bounded, there exists a constant $C_{\delta}>0$ such that

$$
|G(t)| \leq C_{\delta}|t|^{N+1} e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}} \quad \text { for }|t|>\delta
$$

which together with (1.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(\lambda_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{N} d x+C_{\delta} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{N+1} e^{\alpha|u|^{N^{\prime}}} d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{N} d x \leq \frac{\rho^{N}}{\lambda_{1}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\|u\|$. By the Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{N+1} e^{\alpha|u|^{N^{\prime}}} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2(N+1)} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{2 \alpha|u|^{N^{\prime}}} d x\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first integral on the right-hand side is bounded by $C \rho^{2(N+1)}$ for some constant $C>0$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem. Since $2 \alpha|u|^{N^{\prime}}=2 \alpha \rho^{N^{\prime}}|\widetilde{u}|^{N^{\prime}}$, where $\widetilde{u}=u / \rho$ satisfies $\|\widetilde{u}\|=1$, the second integral is bounded when $\rho^{N^{\prime}} \leq \alpha_{N} / 2 \alpha$ by (1.4). So combining (3.1)-(3.3) gives

$$
\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \rho^{N}+\mathrm{O}\left(\rho^{N+1}\right) \quad \text { as } \rho \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Then

$$
E(u) \geq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \rho^{N}+\mathrm{O}\left(\rho^{N+1}\right)
$$

and the desired conclusion follows from this for sufficiently small $\rho>0$.
We may assume without loss of generality that $B_{d}(0) \subset \Omega$. Let $\left(\omega_{j}\right)$ be the sequence of functions defined in (2.10).

Lemma 3.2. We have
(i) $E\left(t \omega_{j}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $j \geq 2$,
(ii) $\exists j_{0} \geq 2$ such that

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} E\left(t \omega_{j_{0}}\right)<\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1}
$$

Proof. [i] Fix $0<\varepsilon<\beta$. By (1.3), $\exists M_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{th}(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}>(\beta-\varepsilon) e^{\left.\alpha|t|\right|^{N^{\prime}}} \quad \text { for }|t|>M_{\varepsilon} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}>\alpha^{2 N-2} t^{2 N} /(2 N-2)$ ! for all $t$, then there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t h(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}} \geq \frac{1}{(2 N-2)!}(\beta-\varepsilon) \alpha^{2 N-2} t^{2 N}-C_{\varepsilon}|t| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t) \geq \frac{2 N-1}{(2 N)!}(\beta-\varepsilon) \alpha^{2 N-2} t^{2 N}-C_{\varepsilon}|t| \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t$. Since $\left\|\omega_{j}\right\|=1$ and $\omega_{j} \geq 0$, then

$$
E\left(t \omega_{j}\right) \leq \frac{t^{N}}{N}-\frac{2 N-1}{(2 N)!}(\beta-\varepsilon) \alpha^{2 N-2} t^{2 N} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{j}^{2 N} d x+C_{\varepsilon} t \int_{\Omega} \omega_{j} d x
$$

and the conclusion follows.
(ii) Set

$$
H_{j}(t)=E\left(t \omega_{j}\right)=\frac{t^{N}}{N}-\int_{\Omega} G\left(t \omega_{j}\right) d x, \quad t \geq 0
$$

If the conclusion is false, then it follows from (i) that for all $j \geq 2, \exists t_{j}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{j}\left(t_{j}\right)=\frac{t_{j}^{N}}{N}-\int_{\Omega} G\left(t_{j} \omega_{j}\right) d x=\sup _{t \geq 0} H_{j}(t) \geq \frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1},  \tag{3.7}\\
& H_{j}^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right)=t_{j}^{N-1}-\int_{\Omega} \omega_{j} h\left(t_{j} \omega_{j}\right) e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}} \omega_{j}^{N^{\prime}}} d x=0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G(t) \geq-C_{\varepsilon} t$ for all $t \geq 0$ by (3.6), (3.7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j}^{N} \geq t_{0}^{N}-N \delta_{j} t_{j} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
t_{0}=\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{(N-1) / N}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{j}=C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{j} d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Proposition 2.6. First we will show that $t_{j} \rightarrow t_{0}$.
By (3.9) and the Young's inequality,

$$
(1+\nu) t_{j}^{N} \geq t_{0}^{N}-\frac{N-1}{\nu^{1 /(N-1)}} \delta_{j}^{N^{\prime}} \quad \forall \nu>0,
$$

which together with (3.10) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j} \geq t_{0} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write (3.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j}^{N}=\int_{\left\{t_{j} \omega_{j}>M_{\varepsilon}\right\}} t_{j} \omega_{j} h\left(t_{j} \omega_{j}\right) e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}} \omega_{j}^{N^{\prime}}} d x+\int_{\left\{t_{j} \omega_{j} \leq M_{\varepsilon}\right\}} t_{j} \omega_{j} h\left(t_{j} \omega_{j}\right) e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}} \omega_{j}^{N^{\prime}}} d x=: I_{1}+I_{2} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $r_{j}=d e^{-M_{\varepsilon}\left(\omega_{N-1} \log j\right)^{1 / N} / t_{j}}$. Since liminf $t_{j}>0$, for all sufficiently large $j, d / j<r_{j}<d$ and $t_{j} \omega_{j}(x)>M_{\varepsilon}$ if and only if $|x|<r_{j}$. So (3.4) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} \geq(\beta-\varepsilon) & \int_{\left\{|x|<r_{j}\right\}} e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}} \omega_{j}^{N^{\prime}}} d x=(\beta-\varepsilon)\left(\int_{\{|x| \leq d / j\}} e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}} \omega_{j}^{N^{\prime}}} d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\left\{d / j<|x|<r_{j}\right\}} e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}} \omega_{j}^{N^{\prime}}} d x\right)=:(\beta-\varepsilon)\left(I_{3}+I_{4}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=\frac{\omega_{N-1}}{N}\left(\frac{d}{j}\right)^{N} e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}} \log j / \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)}}=\frac{\omega_{N-1}}{N} d^{N} j^{\alpha\left(t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}-t_{0}^{N^{\prime}}\right) / \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $t h(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}} \geq-C_{\varepsilon} t$ for all $t \geq 0$ by (3.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2} \geq-C_{\varepsilon} t_{j} \int_{\left\{t_{j} \omega_{j} \leq M_{\varepsilon}\right\}} \omega_{j} d x \geq-\delta_{j} t_{j} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.12)-(3.15) and noting that $I_{4} \geq 0$ gives

$$
t_{j}^{N} \geq(\beta-\varepsilon) \frac{\omega_{N-1}}{N} d^{N} j^{\alpha\left(t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}-t_{0}^{N^{\prime}}\right) / \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)}}-\delta_{j} t_{j} .
$$

It follows from this that

$$
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j} \leq t_{0},
$$

which together with (3.11) shows that $t_{j} \rightarrow t_{0}$.
Next we estimate $I_{4}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{4}= & \int_{\left\{d / j<|x|<r_{j}\right\}} e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}[\log (d /|x|)]^{N^{\prime}} /\left(\omega_{N-1} \log j\right)^{1 /(N-1)}} d x \\
= & \omega_{N-1}\left(\int_{d / j}^{d} e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}[\log (d / r)]^{N^{\prime}} /\left(\omega_{N-1} \log j\right)^{1 /(N-1)}} r^{N-1} d r\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{r_{j}}^{d} e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}[\log (d / r)]^{N^{\prime}} /\left(\omega_{N-1} \log j\right)^{1 /(N-1)}} r^{N-1} d r\right) \\
= & \omega_{N-1} d^{N}\left(\log j \int_{0}^{1} e^{-N t\left[1-\left(t_{j} / t_{0}\right)^{N^{\prime}} t^{1 /(N-1)}\right] \log j} d t\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{s_{j}}^{1} s^{N-1} e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}(-\log s)^{N^{\prime}} /\left(\omega_{N-1} \log j\right)^{1 /(N-1)}} d s\right), \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t=\log (d / r) / \log j, s=r / d$, and $s_{j}=r_{j} / d=e^{-M_{\varepsilon}\left(\omega_{N-1} \log j\right)^{1 / N} / t_{j}} \rightarrow 0$. For $s_{j}<s<1, \alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}(-\log s)^{N^{\prime}} /\left(\omega_{N-1} \log j\right)^{1 /(N-1)}$ is bounded by $\alpha M_{\varepsilon}^{N^{\prime}}$ and goes to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$, so the last integral converges to

$$
\int_{0}^{1} s^{N-1} d s=\frac{1}{N}
$$

So combining (3.12)-(3.16) and letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$
t_{0}^{N} \geq(\beta-\varepsilon) \frac{\omega_{N-1}}{N} d^{N}\left(L_{1}+L_{2}-1\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} e^{-n\left[1-\left(t_{j} / t_{0}\right)^{N^{\prime}}\right]}, \\
& L_{2}=\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} n e^{-n\left[t-\left(t_{j} / t_{0}\right)^{N^{\prime}} t^{N^{\prime}}\right]} d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $n=N \log j \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in this inequality gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N} \frac{1}{L_{1}+L_{2}-1} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.7), (1.8), and Proposition 2.6,

$$
t_{j}^{N}-t_{0}^{N} \geq N \int_{\Omega} G\left(t_{j} \omega_{j}\right) d x \geq-\sigma_{0} t_{j}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{j}^{N} d x \geq-\frac{\sigma_{0} t_{j}^{N}}{\kappa n},
$$

so

$$
\left(\frac{t_{j}}{t_{0}}\right)^{N^{\prime}} \geq\left(1+\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\kappa n}\right)^{-1 /(N-1)} \geq 1-\frac{\sigma_{0}}{(N-1) \kappa n}
$$

This gives

$$
L_{1} \geq e^{-\sigma_{0} /(N-1) \kappa}
$$

and

$$
L_{2} \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} n e^{-n\left(t-t^{N^{\prime}}\right)-\sigma_{0} t^{N^{\prime}} /(N-1) \kappa} d t \geq N e^{-\sigma_{0} /(N-1) \kappa}
$$

by Proposition 2.7. So (3.17) gives

$$
\beta \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N} \frac{1}{N e^{-\sigma_{0} /(N-1) \kappa}-\left(1-e^{-\sigma_{0} /(N-1) \kappa}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{N \alpha^{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N} e^{\sigma_{0} /(N-1) \kappa},
$$

contradicting (1.10).
We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $j_{0}$ be as in Lemma 3.2 (iii). By Lemma 3.2 (i), $\exists R>\rho$ such that $E\left(R \omega_{j_{0}}\right) \leq 0$, where $\rho$ is as in Lemma 3.1. Let

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)\right): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=R \omega_{j_{0}}\right\}
$$

be the class of paths joining the origin to $R \omega_{j_{0}}$, and set

$$
c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \gamma([0,1])} E(u) .
$$

By Lemma 3.1, $c>0$. Since the path $\gamma_{0}(t)=t R \omega_{j_{0}}, t \in[0,1]$ is in $\Gamma$,

$$
c \leq \max _{u \in \gamma_{0}([0,1])} E(u) \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} E\left(t \omega_{j_{0}}\right)<\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1} .
$$

If there are no $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequences of $E$, then $E$ satisfies the $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ condition vacuously and hence has a critical point $u$ at the level $c$ by the mountain pass theorem. Then $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1) and $u$ is nontrivial since $c>0$. So we may assume that $E$ has a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence. Then this sequence has a subsequence that converges weakly to a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1) by Proposition 2.1.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3 using Theorem 2.5. We take $A_{0}$ to be the set $C$ in Proposition 2.4 and $B_{0}=\Psi_{\lambda_{k}}$. Since $i\left(S \backslash B_{0}\right)=k-1$ by Proposition 2.3, (2.9) holds.

Lemma 4.1. There exists a $\rho>0$ such that $\inf E(B)>0$, where $B=\left\{\rho u: u \in B_{0}\right\}$.
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, there exists a constant $C_{\delta}>0$ such that

$$
|G(t)| \leq C_{\delta}|t|^{N+1} e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}} \quad \text { for }|t|>\delta,
$$

which together with (1.12) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t) \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(\lambda_{k}-\sigma_{1}\right)|t|^{N}+C_{\delta}|t|^{N+1} e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}} \quad \forall t . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in B_{0}$ and $\rho>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\rho u|^{N} d x \leq \frac{\rho^{N}}{\lambda_{k}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\rho u|^{N+1} e^{\alpha|\rho u|^{N^{\prime}}} d x \leq \rho^{N+1}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2(N+1)} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{2 \alpha \rho^{N^{\prime}}|u|^{N^{\prime}}} d x\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first integral on the right-hand side of (4.3) is bounded by the Sobolev embedding theorem, and the second integral is bounded when $\rho^{N^{\prime}} \leq \alpha_{N} / 2 \alpha$ by (1.4). So combining (4.1)-(4.3) gives

$$
\int_{\Omega} G(\rho u) d x \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \rho^{N}+\mathrm{O}\left(\rho^{N+1}\right) \quad \text { as } \rho \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Then

$$
E(\rho u) \geq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\lambda_{k}} \rho^{N}+\mathrm{O}\left(\rho^{N+1}\right)
$$

and the desired conclusion follows from this for sufficiently small $\rho$.
We may assume without loss of generality that $B_{d}(0) \subset \Omega$. Let $\left(\omega_{j}\right)$ be the sequence of functions defined in (2.10).

Lemma 4.2. We have
(i) $E(s v) \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in A_{0}, s \geq 0$,
(ii) for all $j \geq 2$,

$$
\sup \left\{E\left(R \pi\left((1-t) v+t \omega_{j}\right)\right): v \in A_{0}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\} \rightarrow-\infty \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty
$$

(iii) $\exists j_{0} \geq 2$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{E\left(s v+t \omega_{j_{0}}\right): v \in A_{0}, s, t \geq 0\right\}<\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1} .
$$

Proof. (i) By (1.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u) \leq \frac{1}{N}\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x-\left(\lambda_{k-1}+\sigma_{0}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{N} d x\right] . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $v \in A_{0}$ and $s \geq 0$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|s v|^{N} d x \geq \frac{s^{N}}{\lambda_{k-1}}
$$

since $A_{0} \subset \Psi^{\lambda_{k-1}}$, so (4.4) gives

$$
E(s v) \leq-\frac{1}{N} \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\lambda_{k-1}} s^{N} \leq 0 .
$$

(ii) Fix $0<\varepsilon<\beta$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2 (i), $\exists M_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{th}(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}}>(\beta-\varepsilon) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}} \quad \text { for }|t|>M_{\varepsilon} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t h(t) e^{\alpha|t|^{N^{\prime}}} \geq \frac{1}{(2 N-2)!}(\beta-\varepsilon) \alpha^{2 N-2} t^{2 N}-C_{\varepsilon}|t| \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t) \geq \frac{2 N-1}{(2 N)!}(\beta-\varepsilon) \alpha^{2 N-2} t^{2 N}-C_{\varepsilon}|t| \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t$. Let $A_{1}=\left\{\pi\left((1-t) v+t \omega_{j}\right): v \in A_{0}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$. For $u \in A_{1}$ and $R>0$, (4.7) gives

$$
E(R u) \leq \frac{R^{N}}{N}-\frac{2 N-1}{(2 N)!}(\beta-\varepsilon) \alpha^{2 N-2} R^{2 N} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 N} d x+C_{\varepsilon} R \int_{\Omega}|u| d x .
$$

The set $A_{1}$ is compact since $A_{0}$ is compact, so the first integral on the right-hand side is bounded away from zero on $A_{1}$. Since the second integral is bounded, the desired conclusion follows.
(iii) If the conclusion is false, then it follows from (i) and (iii) that for all $j \geq 2$, there exist $v_{j} \in A_{0}, s_{j} \geq 0, t_{j}>0$ such that

$$
E\left(s_{j} v_{j}+t_{j} \omega_{j}\right)=\sup \left\{E\left(s v+t \omega_{j}\right): v \in A_{0}, s, t \geq 0\right\} \geq \frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1}
$$

Set $u_{j}=s_{j} v_{j}+t_{j} \omega_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{N}\left\|u_{j}\right\|^{N}-\int_{\Omega} G\left(u_{j}\right) d x \geq \frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\tau u_{j} \in\left\{s v+t \omega_{j}: v \in A_{0}, s, t \geq 0\right\}$ for all $\tau \geq 0$ and $E\left(\tau u_{j}\right)$ attains its maximum at $\tau=1$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} E\left(\tau u_{j}\right)\right|_{\tau=1}=E^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) u_{j}=\left\|u_{j}\right\|^{N}-\int_{\Omega} u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|{N^{N^{\prime}}}^{x}} d x=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|v_{j}\right\|=\left\|\omega_{j}\right\|=1$ and $G(t) \geq 0$ for all $t$ by (1.11), (4.8) gives

$$
s_{j}+t_{j} \geq t_{0}
$$

where

$$
t_{0}=\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{(N-1) / N}
$$

First we show that $s_{j} \rightarrow 0$ and $t_{j} \rightarrow t_{0}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.
Combining (4.8) with (1.11) gives

$$
\left\|s_{j} v_{j}+t_{j} \omega_{j}\right\|^{N} \geq\left(\lambda_{k-1}+\sigma_{0}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|s_{j} v_{j}+t_{j} \omega_{j}\right|^{N} d x+t_{0}^{N}
$$

Set $\tau_{j}=s_{j} / t_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tau_{j} v_{j}+\omega_{j}\right\|^{N} \geq\left(\lambda_{k-1}+\sigma_{0}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\tau_{j} v_{j}+\omega_{j}\right|^{N} d x+\left(\frac{t_{0}}{t_{j}}\right)^{N} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(v_{j}\right)$ is bounded in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$, Proposition 2.6 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\tau_{j} v_{j}+\omega_{j}\right\|^{N} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\tau_{j}\left|\nabla v_{j}\right|+\left|\nabla \omega_{j}\right|\right)^{N} d x=\tau_{j}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{j}\right|^{N} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \omega_{j}\right|^{N} d x \\
& \quad+\sum_{m=1}^{N-1}\binom{N}{m} \tau_{j}^{N-m} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{j}\right|^{N-m}\left|\nabla \omega_{j}\right|^{m} d x \leq \tau_{j}^{N}+1+c_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \frac{\tau_{j}^{N-m}}{(\log j)^{m / N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\tau_{j} v_{j}+\omega_{j}\right|^{N} d x \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(\tau_{j}\left|v_{j}\right|-\omega_{j}\right)^{N} d x=\tau_{j}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{j}\right|^{N} d x \\
&+\sum_{m=1}^{N}(-1)^{m}\binom{N}{m} \tau_{j}^{N-m} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{j}\right|^{N-m} \omega_{j}^{m} d x \geq \frac{\tau_{j}^{N}}{\lambda_{k-1}}-c_{2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\tau_{j}^{N-m}}{(\log j)^{m / N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$. So (4.10) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\lambda_{k-1}} \tau_{j}^{N}+\left(\frac{t_{0}}{t_{j}}\right)^{N} \leq 1+c_{3} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\tau_{j}^{N-m}}{(\log j)^{m / N}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c_{3}>0$, which implies that $\left(\tau_{j}\right)$ is bounded and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j} \geq t_{0} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next combining (4.9) with (4.5) and (4.6) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|u_{j}\right\|^{N}=\int_{\left\{\left|u_{j}\right|>M_{\varepsilon}\right\}} u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|{N^{N^{\prime}}}^{x}} d x+\int_{\left\{\left|u_{j}\right| \leq M_{\varepsilon}\right\}} u_{j} h\left(u_{j}\right) e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x \\
& \geq(\beta-\varepsilon) \int_{\left\{\left|u_{j}\right|>M_{\varepsilon}\right\}} e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x-C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\left\{\left|u_{j}\right| \leq M_{\varepsilon}\right\}}\left|u_{j}\right| d x \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

For $|x| \leq d / j$,

$$
\left|u_{j}\right| \geq t_{j} \omega_{j}-s_{j}\left|v_{j}\right| \geq \frac{t_{j}}{\omega_{N-1}^{1 / N}}\left[(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}-c_{4} \tau_{j}\right]
$$

for some constant $c_{4}>0$, and the last expression is greater than $M_{\varepsilon}$ for all sufficiently large $j$ since $\left(\tau_{j}\right)$ is bounded and $\liminf t_{j}>0$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left\{\left|u_{j}\right|>M_{\varepsilon}\right\}} e^{\alpha\left|u_{j}\right|^{N^{\prime}}} d x \geq e^{\alpha t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left[(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}-c_{4} \tau_{j}\right]^{N^{\prime}} / \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)}} \int_{\{|x| \leq d / j\}} d x \\
=\frac{\omega_{N-1} d^{N}}{N} j^{\alpha\left[t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left(1-c_{4} \tau_{j} /(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}\right)^{N^{\prime}}-t_{0}^{N^{\prime}}\right] / \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for large $j$. On the other hand,

$$
\int_{\left\{\left|u_{j}\right| \leq M_{\varepsilon}\right\}}\left|u_{j}\right| d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(s_{j}\left|v_{j}\right|+t_{j} \omega_{j}\right) d x \leq c_{5} t_{j}\left[\tau_{j}+\frac{1}{(\log j)^{1 / N}}\right]
$$

for some constant $c_{5}>0$ by Proposition 2.6. So (4.13) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
(\beta-\varepsilon) j^{\alpha\left[t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left(1-c_{4} \tau_{j} /(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}\right)^{N^{\prime}}-t_{0}^{N^{\prime}}\right] / \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)} \leq} \leq & \frac{N t_{j}^{N}\left(\tau_{j}+1\right)^{N}}{\omega_{N-1} d^{N}} \\
& +c_{6} t_{j}\left[\tau_{j}+\frac{1}{(\log j)^{1 / N}}\right] \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $c_{6}>0$. Since $\left(\tau_{j}\right)$ is bounded, it follows from this that

$$
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j} \leq t_{0},
$$

which together with (4.12) shows that $t_{j} \rightarrow t_{0}$. Then (4.11) implies that $\tau_{j} \rightarrow 0$, so $s_{j}=\tau_{j} t_{j} \rightarrow 0$.

Now we show that there exists a constant $c>0$ depending only on $\Omega, \alpha$, and $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N} e^{c / \sigma_{0}^{N-1}} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of (4.14) goes to $(N / d)^{N} / \alpha^{N-1}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. If $\beta \leq(N / d)^{N} / \alpha^{N-1}$, then we may take any $c>0$, so suppose $\beta>(N / d)^{N} / \alpha^{N-1}$. Then for $\varepsilon<\beta-(N / d)^{N} / \alpha^{N-1}$ and all sufficiently large $j$, 4.14) gives $j^{\alpha\left[t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left(1-c_{4} \tau_{j} /(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}\right)^{N^{\prime}}-t_{0}^{N^{\prime}}\right] / \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)}} \leq 1$, so

$$
\frac{t_{0}}{t_{j}} \geq 1-\frac{c_{4} \tau_{j}}{(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}}
$$

Combining this with (4.11) gives

$$
\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\lambda_{k-1}} \tau_{j}^{N}-\frac{N c_{4} \tau_{j}}{(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}} \leq c_{3} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\tau_{j}^{N-m}}{(\log j)^{m / N}}
$$

so

$$
\sigma_{0} \tau_{j}^{N} \leq c_{7} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\tau_{j}^{N-m}}{(\log j)^{m / N}}
$$

for some constant $c_{7}>0$. Set $\widetilde{\tau}_{j}=\tau_{j}(\log j)^{1 / N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0} \widetilde{\tau}_{j}^{N} \leq c_{7} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \widetilde{\tau}_{j}^{N-m} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\tau}_{j} \leq \frac{c_{8}}{\sigma_{0}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c_{8}>0$. Taking $\sigma_{0}$ smaller in (1.11) if necessary, we may assume that $\sigma_{0} \leq 1$. So if $\widetilde{\tau}_{j}<1$, then (4.17) holds with $c_{8}=1$, so suppose $\widetilde{\tau}_{j} \geq 1$. Then (4.16) gives (4.17) with $c_{8}=N c_{7}$. Now (4.11) gives

$$
\left(\frac{t_{0}}{t_{j}}\right)^{N} \leq 1+\frac{c_{3}}{\log j} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \widetilde{\tau}_{j}^{N-m} \leq 1+\frac{c_{9}}{\sigma_{0}^{N-1} \log j}
$$

for some constant $c_{9}>0$, so

$$
\left(\frac{t_{0}}{t_{j}}\right)^{N^{\prime}} \leq\left(1+\frac{c_{9}}{\sigma_{0}^{N-1} \log j}\right)^{1 /(N-1)} \leq 1+\frac{c_{9}}{\sigma_{0}^{N-1} \log j}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left[1-\frac{c_{4} \tau_{j}}{(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}}\right]^{N^{\prime}}-t_{0}^{N^{\prime}}=t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left[\left(1-\frac{c_{4} \widetilde{\tau}_{j}}{\log j}\right)^{N^{\prime}}-\left(\frac{t_{0}}{t_{j}}\right)^{N^{\prime}}\right] \\
\geq t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left[\left(1-\frac{c_{10}}{\sigma_{0} \log j}\right)^{N^{\prime}}-\left(1+\frac{c_{9}}{\sigma_{0}^{N-1} \log j}\right)\right] \geq-t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left(\frac{N^{\prime} c_{10}}{\sigma_{0} \log j}+\frac{c_{9}}{\sigma_{0}^{N-1} \log j}\right) \\
\geq-\frac{c_{11}}{\sigma_{0}^{N-1} \log j}
\end{array}
$$

for some constants $c_{10}, c_{11}>0$, so

$$
j^{\alpha\left[t_{j}^{N^{\prime}}\left(1-c_{4} \tau_{j} /(\log j)^{(N-1) / N}\right)^{N^{\prime}}-t_{0}^{N^{\prime}}\right] / \omega_{N-1}^{1 /(N-1)}} \geq j^{-c / \sigma_{0}^{N-1} \log j}=e^{-c / \sigma_{0}^{N-1}}
$$

for some constant $c>0$. Combining this with (4.14) and passing to the limit gives

$$
(\beta-\varepsilon) e^{-c / \sigma_{0}^{N-1}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^{N}
$$

and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives (4.15).
We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3 .
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $j_{0} \geq 2$ be as in Lemma 4.2 (iii). By Lemma 4.2 (ii), $\exists R>\rho$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{E\left(R \pi\left((1-t) v+t \omega_{j_{0}}\right)\right): v \in A_{0}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\} \leq 0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho>0$ is as in Lemma 4.1. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{s v: v \in A_{0}, 0 \leq s \leq R\right\} \cup\left\{R \pi\left((1-t) v+t \omega_{j_{0}}\right): v \in A_{0}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}, \\
& X=\left\{s v+t \omega_{j_{0}}: v \in A_{0}, s, t \geq 0,\left\|s v+t \omega_{j_{0}}\right\| \leq R\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining Lemma 4.2 (i), (4.18), and Lemma 4.1 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup E(A) \leq 0<\inf E(B), \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

while Lemma (ivi) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup E(X) \leq \sup \left\{E\left(s v+t \omega_{j_{0}}\right): v \in A_{0}, s, t \geq 0\right\}<\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C(X, W): \gamma(X) \text { is closed and }\left.\gamma\right|_{A}=i d_{A}\right\},
$$

and set

$$
c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup _{u \in \gamma(X)} E(u) .
$$

By Theorem 2.5, $\inf E(B) \leq c \leq \sup E(X)$, and $E$ has a (PS $)_{c}$ sequence. By (4.19) and (4.20),

$$
0<c<\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{N}}{\alpha}\right)^{N-1},
$$

so a subsequence of this $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence converges weakly to a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1) by Proposition 2.1.
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