

C*-ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS AND COMPOSITION OPERATORS WITH SELF-SIMILAR MAPS

HIROYASU HAMADA

ABSTRACT. Let K be a compact metric space and let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ be a system of proper contractions on K . We study a C*-algebra $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ generated by all multiplication operators by continuous functions on K and composition operators C_{γ_i} induced by γ_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ on a certain L^2 space. Suppose that K is self-similar. We consider the Hutchinson measure μ^H of γ and the L^2 space $L^2(K, \mu^H)$. Then we show that the C*-algebra $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ under some conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Several authors considered C*-algebras generated by composition operators (and Toeplitz operators) on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ ([2, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 19]). On the other hand, there are some studies on C*-algebras generated by composition operators on L^2 spaces, for example [3, 4, 14]. Matsumoto [14] introduced some C*-algebras associated with cellular automata generated by composition operators and multiplication operators. Let R be a rational function of degree at least two, let J_R be the Julia set of R and let μ^L be the Lyubich measure of R . In [3], we studied the C*-algebra $\mathcal{MC}_R$ generated by all multiplication operators by continuous functions in $C(J_R)$ and the composition operator C_R induced by R on $L^2(J_R, \mu^L)$.

Let K be a compact metric space, let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ be a system of proper contractions on K and let $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$ be measurable. Suppose that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are inverse branches of φ and K is self-similar. Let μ^H be the Hutchinson measure of γ .

In [4], we studied the C*-algebra $\mathcal{MC}_\varphi$ generated by all multiplication operators by continuous functions in $C(K)$ and the composition operator C_φ induced by φ on $L^2(K, \mu^H)$. Then the C*-algebra $\mathcal{MC}_\varphi$ is isomorphic to the C*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(K)$ associated with γ introduced in [9] under some condition.

In this paper we study a C*-algebra $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ generated by all multiplication operators by continuous functions in $C(K)$ and composition operators C_{γ_i} induced by γ_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ on $L^2(K, \mu^H)$. Then we show that the C*-algebra $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ under some conditions.

We can prove the main theorem using Cuntz-Pimsner algebras and a proposition in [16]. However we prove this theorem directly using multiplication operators and composition operators in this paper.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55, 47B33; Secondary 28A80, 46L08.

Key words and phrases. composition operator, multiplication operator, C*-algebra, self-similar.

2. MAIN THEOREM

Let (K, d) be a compact metric space. A continuous map $\gamma : K \rightarrow K$ is called a *proper contraction* if there exists constants $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < 1$ such that

$$c_1 d(x, y) \leq d(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) \leq c_2 d(x, y), \quad x, y \in K.$$

Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ be a family of proper contractions on (K, d) . We say that K is called *self-similar* with respect to γ if $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(K)$. See [1] and [10] for more on fractal sets.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}(K)$ the Borel σ -algebra on K .

Lemma 2.1 ([6]). *Let K be a compact metric space and let γ be a system of proper contractions. If $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and $p_i > 0$ for i , then there exists a unique probability measure μ on K such that*

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \mu(\gamma_i^{-1}(E))$$

for $E \in \mathcal{B}(K)$.

We call the measure μ given by Lemma 2.1 the *self-similar measure* on K with $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$. In particular, we denote by μ^H the self-similar measure with $p_i = \frac{1}{n}$ for i and call this measure the *Hutchinson measure*. We say that γ satisfies the *measure separation condition* in K if $\mu(\gamma_i(K) \cap \gamma_j(K)) = 0$ for any self-similar measure μ and $i \neq j$.

For $a \in L^\infty(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$, we define the multiplication operator M_a on $L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$ by $M_a f = af$ for $f \in L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$. Let $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$ be measurable. Suppose that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are inverse branches of φ , that is, $\varphi(\gamma_i(x)) = x$ for $x \in K$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $i = 1, \dots, n$. Set $(\gamma_{i*} \mu^H)(E) = \mu^H(\gamma_i^{-1}(E))$ and $(\mu^H \circ \gamma_i)(E) = \mu^H(\gamma_i(E))$ for $E \in \mathcal{B}(K)$. Then $\gamma_{i*} \mu^H$ and $\mu^H \circ \gamma_i$ are measures on K .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ be a system of proper contractions on K . Assume that K is self-similar and the system $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the measure separation condition in K . Then we have*

$$(\mu^H \circ \gamma_i)(E) = \frac{1}{n} \mu^H(E)$$

for $E \in \mathcal{B}(K)$.

Proof. By the definition of Hutchinson measure, we have

$$\mu^H(E) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^H(\gamma_i^{-1}(E))$$

for $E \in \mathcal{B}(K)$. Since γ_i is a proper contraction, $\gamma_i : K \rightarrow \gamma_i(K)$ is bijective. Thus we obtain the desired equation. $\square$

We can calculate the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\gamma_{i*} \mu^H}{d\mu^H}$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ be a system of proper contractions on K . Assume that K is self-similar and the system $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the measure*

separation condition in K . Then we have

$$\frac{d\gamma_{i*}\mu^H}{d\mu^H}(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } x \in \gamma_i(K), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \gamma_i(K) \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Fix $E \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ and $i \neq j$. We consider $F := \gamma_i^{-1}(\gamma_j(E))$. Since the system $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the measure separation condition in K , we have

$$\gamma_{i*}\mu^H(\gamma_j(E)) = \mu^H(F) = n\mu^H(\gamma_i(F)) \leq n\mu^H(\gamma_i(K) \cap \gamma_j(K)) = 0$$

by Lemma 2.2. Thus $\gamma_{i*}\mu^H(\gamma_j(E)) = 0$. It follows that

$$(1) \quad \frac{d\gamma_{i*}\mu^H}{d\mu^H}(x) = 0$$

for $x \notin \gamma_i(K)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\gamma_{i*}\mu^H}{d\mu^H} = n.$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain the desired conclusion. $\square$

Write $C_{\gamma_i}f = f \circ \gamma_i$ for $f \in L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$. Assume that K is self-similar and the system $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the measure separation condition in K . By Lemma 2.3, the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\gamma_{i*}\mu^H}{d\mu^H}$ is bounded. By [20, Theorem 2.1.1], the operator $C_{\gamma_i} : L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H) \rightarrow L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$ is bounded. It is called a composition operator on $L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$ induced by γ_i . Set $V_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}C_{\gamma_i}^*$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ be a system of proper contractions on K and let $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$ be measurable. Suppose that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are inverse branches of φ . Assume that K is self-similar and the system $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the measure separation condition in K . Then we have*

$$V_i^*V_i = I \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n V_jV_j^* = I$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. We consider the closed subspace $\mathcal{K}_i = \{f \in L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H) \mid f \text{ vanishes on } K \setminus \gamma_i(K)\}$ of $L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$. Since the system $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the measure separation condition in K , we have $\mathcal{K}_i \perp \mathcal{K}_j$ for $i \neq j$. It is sufficient to show that $V_i^* : \mathcal{K}_i \rightarrow L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$ is a surjective isometry. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_i^*f\|^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \int_K |f(\gamma_i(x))|^2 d\mu^H(x) = \frac{1}{n} \int_K |f(y)|^2 d(\gamma_{i*}\mu^H)(y) \\ &= \int_{\gamma_i(K)} |f(y)|^2 d\mu^H(y) = \int_K |f(y)|^2 d\mu^H(y) = \|f\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{K}_i$, where $y = \gamma_i(x)$ is a change of variables. Thus V_i^* is an isometry.

For $g \in L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$, we define the function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n}g(\varphi(y)) & \text{if } y \in \gamma_i(K), \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin \gamma_i(K). \end{cases}$$

Since $\gamma_i : K \rightarrow \gamma_i(K)$ is bijective and its inverse is $\varphi|_{\gamma_i(K)} : \gamma_i(K) \rightarrow K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K |f(y)|^2 d\mu^H(y) &= n \int_{\gamma_i(K)} |g(\varphi(y))|^2 d\mu^H(y) \\ &= n \int_K |g(x)|^2 d(\mu^H \circ \gamma_i)(x) = \int_K |g(x)|^2 d\mu^H(x) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.2. Therefore it follows that $f \in \mathcal{K}_i$. We also have

$$(V_i^* f)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} f(\gamma_i(x)) = g(\varphi(\gamma_i(x))) = g(x)$$

for $x \in K$. Hence V_i^* is surjective. $\square$

Definition. We denote by $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ the C^* -algebra generated by all multiplication operators by continuous functions in $C(K)$ and composition operators C_{γ_i} by γ_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ on $L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$.

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.5. *Let K be a compact metric space, let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ be a system of proper contractions on K and let $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$ be measurable. Suppose that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are inverse branches of φ . Assume that K is self-similar and the system $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ satisfies the measure separation condition in K . Then $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, the C^* -subalgebra $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ generated by $V_1, \dots, V_n$ in $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$. We only need to show that $M_a \in C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ for $a \in C(K)$.

For a finite word $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k$, we use the multi-index notation $V_\omega = V_{\omega_1} \dots V_{\omega_k}$ and $\gamma_\omega = \gamma_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ \gamma_{\omega_k}$. Fix $x_0 \in K$. For distinct finite words $\omega(1), \dots, \omega(n^k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k$, we define operators V and D on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n^k} L^2(K, \mathcal{B}(K), \mu^H)$ by

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{\omega(1)} & \cdots & V_{\omega(n^k)} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} M_{a \circ \gamma_{\omega(1)} - (a \circ \gamma_{\omega(1)})(x_0)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & M_{a \circ \gamma_{\omega(2)} - (a \circ \gamma_{\omega(2)})(x_0)} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{a \circ \gamma_{\omega(n^k)} - (a \circ \gamma_{\omega(n^k)})(x_0)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Proposition 2.4, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{n^k} V_{\omega(i)} V_{\omega(i)}^* = I$ and $V^* V = I$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| M_a - \sum_{i=1}^{n^k} (a \circ \gamma_{\omega(i)})(x_0) V_{\omega(i)} V_{\omega(i)}^* \right\| \\
&= \left\| M_a \sum_{i=1}^{n^k} V_{\omega(i)} V_{\omega(i)}^* - \sum_{i=1}^{n^k} (a \circ \gamma_{\omega(i)})(x_0) V_{\omega(i)} V_{\omega(i)}^* \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n^k} V_{\omega(i)} M_{a \circ \gamma_{\omega(i)} - (a \circ \gamma_{\omega(i)})(x_0)} V_{\omega(i)}^* \right\| \\
&= \|VDV^*\| \\
&= \|D\| \\
&= \max \{ \|a \circ \gamma_{\omega(i)} - (a \circ \gamma_{\omega(i)})(x_0)\| \mid i = 1, \dots, n^k \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since a is uniformly continuous and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are proper contractions, the above norm is sufficient small for a sufficient large k . Thus $M_a \in C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark. In the proof of Theorem 2.5, we mainly use multiplication operators and composition operators themselves. We can also prove this theorem using the Cuntz-Pimsner algebras as following.

Consider the C*-algebra $A = C(K)$ and the canonical Hilbert right A -module $X = A^n$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, we define a left A -action $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A(X)$ by the diagonal matrix

$$(\phi(a))(x) = \text{diag}(a(\gamma_1(x)), \dots, a(\gamma_n(x)))$$

for $a \in A$, $x \in K$, where we identify $\mathcal{L}_A(X)$ with $M_n(A)$. We consider the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_X$ of the Hilbert bimodule X over A . We note that $\mathcal{O}_X$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by $a \in A$ and S_ξ with $\xi \in X$ satisfying that $aS_\xi = S_{\phi(a)\xi}$, $S_\xi a = S_\xi a$, $S_\xi S_\eta = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A$ for $a \in A$, $\xi, \eta \in X$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n S_{u_i} S_{u_i}^* = 1$, where $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the canonical finite basis of X . By Remark 4.8 or Proposition 4.10 in [16], the C*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_X$ is canonically isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_X$ is simple.

It is easily seen that $aS_{u_i} = S_{u_i}(a \circ \gamma_i)$ and $S_{u_i}^* S_{u_i} = 1$ for $a \in A$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. On the other hand, we have corresponding equations $M_a V_i = V_i(a \circ \gamma_i)$, $V_i^* V_i = I$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n V_j V_j^* = I$ for $a \in A$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ by Proposition 2.4. By the universality and the simplicity of $\mathcal{O}_X$, there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ such that $\Phi(a) = M_a$, $\Phi(S_{u_i}) = V_i$ for $a \in A$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore the C*-algebra $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$.

We give some examples for C*-algebras generated by composition operators $C_{\gamma_1}, \dots, C_{\gamma_n}$ and multiplication operators.

Example. Let $K = [0, 1]$ and

$$\gamma_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}x, \quad \gamma_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then K is the self-similar set with respect to $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. The Hutchinson measure μ^H on K coincides with the Lebesgue measure on K . The system γ satisfies the

measure separation condition in K . Let $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$ be defined by

$$\varphi(y) = \begin{cases} 2y & 0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2y + 1 & \frac{1}{2} < y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then γ_1 and γ_2 are inverse branches of φ . By Theorem 2.5, the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$. The function φ is not continuous. Note that φ in Theorem 2.5 is not necessary continuous.

Example. Let $K = [0, 1]$ and

$$\gamma_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}x, \quad \gamma_2(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x + 1.$$

Then K is the self-similar set with respect to $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. The Hutchinson measure μ^H on K coincides with the Lebesgue measure on K . The system γ satisfies the measure separation condition in K . Let $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$ be defined by

$$\varphi(y) = \begin{cases} 2y & 0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ -2y + 2 & \frac{1}{2} \leq y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The function φ is called the tent map. Then γ_1 and γ_2 are inverse branches of φ . By Theorem 2.5, the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{MC}_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$. This example is also considered in [4].

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Yasuo Watatani for suggesting the problem and for many stimulating conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry*, Wiley, Chichester, 1997.
- [2] H. Hamada, *Quotient algebras of Toeplitz-composition C^* -algebras for finite Blaschke products*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **8** (2014), 843–862.
- [3] H. Hamada, *C^* -algebras generated by multiplication operators and composition operators with rational functions*, J. Operator Theory **75** (2016), 289–298.
- [4] H. Hamada, *C^* -algebras generated by multiplication operators and composition operators by functions with self-similar branches*, arXiv:1911.10993.
- [5] H. Hamada and Y. Watatani, *Toeplitz-composition C^* -algebras for certain finite Blaschke products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 2113–2123.
- [6] J. Hutchinson, *Fractals and self-similarity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), 713–747.
- [7] M. T. Jury, *The Fredholm index for elements of Toeplitz-composition C^* -algebras*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **58** (2007), 341–362.
- [8] M. T. Jury, *C^* -algebras generated by groups of composition operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), 3171–3192.
- [9] T. Kajiwara and Y. Watatani, *C^* -algebras associated with self-similar sets*, J. Operator Theory **56** (2006), 225–247.
- [10] J. Kigami, *Analysis on Fractals*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [11] T. L. Kriete, B. D. MacCluer and J. L. Moorhouse, *Toeplitz-composition C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **58** (2007), 135–156.
- [12] T. L. Kriete, B. D. MacCluer and J. L. Moorhouse, *Spectral theory for algebraic combinations of Toeplitz and composition operator*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), 2378–2409.
- [13] T. L. Kriete, B. D. MacCluer and J. L. Moorhouse, *Composition operators within singly generated composition C^* -algebras*, Israel J. Math. **179** (2010), 449–477.
- [14] K. Matsumoto, *C^* -algebras associated with cellular automata*, Math. Scand. **75** (1994), 195–216.
- [15] E. Park, *Toeplitz algebras and extensions of irrational rotation algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull. **48** (2005), 607–613.
- [16] C. Pinzari, Y. Watatani and K. Yonetani, *KMS States, entropy and the variational principle in full C^* -dynamical systems*, Commun. Math. Phys., **213**, 331–379.

- [17] K. S. Quattermous, *A semigroup composition C^* -algebra*, J. Operator Theory **67** (2012), 581–604.
- [18] K. S. Quattermous, *Fixed point composition and Toeplitz-composition C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 743–764.
- [19] M. K. Sarvestani and M. Amini, *The C^* -algebra generated by irreducible Toeplitz and composition operators*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **47** (2017), 1301–1316.
- [20] R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, *Composition operators on function spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, **179**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KOSEN), SASEBO COLLEGE, OKISHIN, SASEBO, NAGASAKI, 857-1193, JAPAN.

Email address: h-hamada@sasebo.ac.jp