

A close look on 2-3 mixing angle with DUNE in light of current neutrino oscillation data

Sanjib Kumar Agarwalla,^{a,d,e} Ritam Kundu,^{a,d} Suprabh Prakash,^b Masoom Singh^{a,c}

^a*Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Sainik School Post, Bhubaneswar 751005, India*

^b*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C.I.T. Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India*

^c*Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar 751004, India*

^d*Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094*

^e*International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Strada Costiera 11, Trieste 34151, Italy*

E-mail: sanjib@iopb.res.in (ORCID: 0000-0002-8367-8401),

ritam.k@iopb.res.in (ORCID: 0000-0003-3258-4357),

suprabh@imsc.res.in (ORCID: 0000-0002-1529-4588), masoom@iopb.res.in

(ORCID: 0000-0002-8363-7693)

ABSTRACT: Recent global fit analyses of world oscillation data under 3ν hypothesis show a preference for normal mass ordering (NMO) at 2.5σ and provide 1.6σ indications for lower θ_{23} octant ($\sin^2\theta_{23} < 0.5$) and leptonic CP violation ($\sin\delta_{\text{CP}} < 0$). A high-precision measurement of 2-3 mixing angle is pivotal to convert these hints into discoveries and to address the long-standing flavor problem. In this work, we study in detail the capabilities of the long-baseline experiment DUNE to establish the deviation from maximal θ_{23} and to resolve its octant at high confidence levels in light of the current neutrino oscillation data. We exhibit the possible correlations and degeneracies among $\sin^2\theta_{23}$, Δm_{31}^2 , and δ_{CP} in $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ and $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ oscillation channels at the probability and event levels. Introducing for the first time, a bi-events plot in the plane of total ν and $\bar{\nu}$ disappearance events, we discuss the impact of $\sin^2\theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$ degeneracy in establishing non-maximal θ_{23} and show how this degeneracy can be resolved by exploiting the spectral shape information in ν and $\bar{\nu}$ disappearance events. A 3σ (5σ) determination of non-maximal θ_{23} is possible in DUNE with an exposure of 336 kt·MW·years if the true value of $\sin^2\theta_{23} \lesssim 0.465$ (0.450) or $\sin^2\theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.554$ (0.572) for any value of true δ_{CP} and true NMO. We study the individual contributions from appearance and disappearance channels, impact of systematic uncertainties and marginalization over oscillation parameters, importance of spectral analysis and data from both ν and $\bar{\nu}$ runs, while analyzing DUNE's sensitivity for the discovery of a non-maximal θ_{23} . We observe that both ν and $\bar{\nu}$ data are essential to settle the θ_{23} octant at a high confidence level. DUNE can resolve the octant of θ_{23} at 4.2σ (5σ) using 336 (480) kt·MW·years of exposure assuming the present best-fit values of $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ (0.455) and δ_{CP} (223°) as their true choices and with true NMO. DUNE can improve the current relative 1σ precision on $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ (Δm_{31}^2) by a factor of 4.4 (2.8) using 336 kt·MW·years of exposure.

KEYWORDS: Neutrino, Oscillation, Maximal θ_{23} , Deviation, Octant, Long-Baseline, DUNE

ARXIV EPRINT: [2111.aaaaa](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.11748)

Contents

1	Introduction and motivation	1
2	Discussion at the level of probabilities	5
3	Discussion at the level of events	9
3.1	Salient features of DUNE	9
3.2	Appearance and disappearance event rates as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, Δm_{31}^2 , and δ_{CP}	10
3.3	Disappearance event spectra to resolve $\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$ degeneracy	14
4	Our findings	16
4.1	Deviation from maximal θ_{23}	16
4.1.1	Contributions from appearance and disappearance channels and role of systematics	17
4.1.2	Advantage due to spectral analysis and impact of marginalization over oscillation parameters	19
4.1.3	Individual contributions from neutrino and antineutrino runs	21
4.1.4	Performance as a function of exposure	22
4.2	Octant of θ_{23}	23
4.3	Precision measurements of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and Δm_{31}^2	25
5	Summary and conclusions	28
A	Comparison of global neutrino data analyses and current bounds on the neutrino oscillation parameters	30

1 Introduction and motivation

A deeply-relevant and much-awaited result concerning neutrinos in recent times is the *hint* for violation of the CP symmetry in the leptonic sector. The T2K collaboration [1] in their 2019 results [2] have shown that their neutrino and antineutrino appearance data point towards CP being near-maximally violated *i.e.* $|\sin \delta_{\text{CP}}|$ is close to 1. They obtain a best-fit value of δ_{CP} at 252° while the CP-conserving values of $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 0^\circ, \pm 180^\circ$ are ruled out at 95% confidence level (C.L.). They also report a preference for the normal mass ordering (NMO) over the inverted mass ordering (IMO) at nearly 68% confidence level. NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 270^\circ$ is in fact one of the most favorable parameter combinations for which early hints regarding mass ordering and CP violation can be expected from the currently running long-baseline accelerator experiments [3, 4]. The same set of measurements are also being

carried out by the $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$ experiment [5–7] which operates at a longer baseline with more energetic neutrinos. The recent results from $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$ [8] also show a preference for NMO, but their best-fit to δ_{CP} is not in conjunction with T2K. $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$'s δ_{CP} best-fit value of 148° is 2.5σ away from T2K's best-fit. However, the two experiments agree on δ_{CP} measurements when they assume IMO to be true – each reporting a best-fit value around 270° . The tension between these two data sets is not yet at a statistically significant level and we need to wait for further data from T2K and $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$ to see if this tension persists. In any case, a 5σ *discovery* of any of the current unknowns in neutrino oscillation physics does not seem to be within the reach of either of these experiments [4]. Nonetheless, these results are quite important and play an important role in the global fit studies.

Fig. 1 summarizes our current understanding of the six neutrino oscillation parameters in the standard three-neutrino framework. It confirms that we have already attained a remarkable precision on solar oscillation parameters (Δm_{21}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$), atmospheric mass-splitting (Δm_{31}^2) [12], and reactor mixing angle (θ_{13}) [13, 14]. In this figure, we compare the 1σ (shown with colored rectangular blocks) and 3σ allowed (shown with horizontal lines) regions of the oscillation parameters that have been calculated by doing a combined analyses [9–11, 15] of the existing global neutrino data. These works take into account the data from the Solar (Gallex and GNO [16], SAGE [17], the four phases of Super-K (SK I-IV) [18–20], SNO [21], and Borexino I-III [22–24]), atmospheric (IceCube/DeepCore [25, 26] and the four phases of Super-K (SK I-IV) [27, 28]), reactor (KamLAND [29], Daya Bay [30], and RENO [31, 32]), and the accelerator experiments (MINOS [33, 34], T2K [35], and $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$ [36]). All the three studies find that the earlier tension between Solar and KamLAND data has been reduced considerably after incorporating the recent results from Super-K Phase IV 2970 days of solar data (energy spectra and day-night asymmetry) [37]. Additionally, both Esteban *et al.* [9, 15] and Capozzi *et al.* [11] also consider the recent Super-K Phase IV atmospheric data [37].

In Fig. 1, the blue (red) regions are obtained assuming NMO (IMO). Note that in the case of the solar oscillation parameters *i.e.* $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ and Δm_{21}^2 , the IMO and NMO regions are identical. Vertical black dashed lines in the panels related to $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ depict their corresponding values in the tri-bimaximal mixing scheme [38–40]. Note that while Esteban *et al.* and de Salas *et al.* quote the values of atmospheric mass-splitting in terms of Δm_{31}^2 , Capozzi *et al.* express it in terms of $\Delta m^2 = m_3^2 - (m_1^2 + m_2^2)/2$, where $\Delta m_{31}^2 = \Delta m^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2/2$ for both NMO and IMO.

For completeness, in Table 5 of Appendix A, we also give the numbers from the three global fit studies that we use to generate Fig. 1. A novel aspect of Fig. 1 is that all three global fits now rule out $\delta_{\text{CP}} \in [0, \sim 135^\circ]$ at 3σ confidence level and $\delta_{\text{CP}} \in [0, \sim 180^\circ]$ at 1σ confidence level, while predicting the best-fit value to lie somewhere in the range $[200^\circ, 230^\circ]$. The constraint in δ_{CP} is essentially due to the data from T2K and $\text{NO}\nu\text{A}$ as discussed earlier. As far as the neutrino mass ordering is concerned, all three global fits show preference for NMO, ruling out IMO at close to 2.5σ [9–11, 15]. Therefore, for the sake of simplicity, in this work, we show our results assuming NMO both in data and fit. We observe that the results do not change much for IMO.

Another important feature that emerges from Fig. 1 is that the current 3σ allowed




Figure 1. Current 1σ (see rectangular boxes) and 3σ (see horizontal lines) allowed ranges of the neutrino oscillation parameters obtained from the global fit studies performed by Esteban *et al.* [9], de Salas *et al.* [10], and Capozzi *et al.* [11]. The blue (red) lines and boxes represent the values for NMO (IMO). In each panel, the best-fit value of respective oscillation parameter is shown by blue (red) dots for NMO (IMO). Vertical black dashed lines in the panels related to $\sin^2\theta_{12}$ and $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ show their corresponding values in the tri-bimaximal mixing scheme. Note that the measurements of $\sin^2\theta_{12}$ and Δm_{sol}^2 ($\equiv \Delta m_{21}^2$) are not sensitive to the choice of mass ordering.

range in $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ is ~ 0.4 to 0.6 . This range is still relatively large as compared to the current uncertainties on θ_{12} and θ_{13} and it spans on either sides of $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.5$. The value $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.5$ (or equivalently $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$) corresponds to the case of maximal mixing (henceforth, referred to as *maximality*) between the ν_2 , ν_3 and ν_μ , ν_τ eigenstates, which can in principle allows for a complete flavor transition between ν_μ and ν_τ . However,

the recent global fit studies suggest that $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \neq 0.5$ (see Fig. 1) or $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} \neq 1$. This leads to the so-called octant degeneracy of θ_{23} *i.e.* a lack of knowledge regarding whether θ_{23} is less than 45° (denoted as lower octant, LO) or greater than 45° (labelled as higher octant, HO) [41–45]. But before the question of octant of θ_{23} arises, it is vital to establish the exclusion of maximality at a high significance, which is the main thrust of this work. In Ref. [11], the authors find a preference at 1.6σ for θ_{23} in the LO with respect to the secondary best-fit in HO. They obtain a best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ in the LO assuming NMO and disfavor maximal θ_{23} mixing at $\sim 1.8\sigma$. However, there is a slight disagreement between the three global fit studies as far as the measurement of θ_{23} is concerned (see top right panel in Fig. 1). In Ref. [10], de Salas *et al.* find a best-fit in the HO around $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \sim 0.57$ assuming NMO, while Capozzi *et al.* [11] and Esteban *et al.* [15] obtain the best-fit around $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \sim 0.45$ in the LO. This difference in the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ is probably due to the recent Super-K Phase I-IV 364.8 kt·yrs of atmospheric data [37] that only Capozzi *et al.* and Esteban *et al.* consider in their latest analyses.

The issue of non-maximal θ_{23} and the resolution of its octant (if $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} \neq 1$) have far reaching consequences as far as the models explaining neutrino masses and mixings are concerned [40, 46–49]. Some examples of such models are quark-lepton complementarity [50–53], A_4 flavor symmetry [54–58], and μ - τ permutation symmetry [59–67]. The μ - τ permutation symmetry is of particular interest since the current oscillation data strongly indicates that this symmetry is not exact in Nature. A high-precision measurement of 2-3 mixing angle and the measurement of its octant are inevitable to disclose the pattern of deviations from the above mentioned symmetries, which in turn will help us to explain tiny neutrino masses and one small and two large mixing angles in the lepton sector [68, 69]. It has also been shown that without an accurate measurement of θ_{23} , a precise measurement of δ_{CP} will not be possible [70].

There are several studies in the literature addressing the issues related to the 2-3 mixing angle in the context of various neutrino oscillation experiments. For example, see Refs. [44, 70–91]. In this work, we analyze in detail the sensitivities of the next generation, high-precision long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment DUNE (Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment) [92–97] to establish the deviation from maximal θ_{23} and to resolve its octant at high confidence level in light of the current neutrino oscillation data. While estimating DUNE’s capability for the discovery of non-maximal θ_{23} , we shed light on some relevant issues such as: (i) the individual contributions from appearance and disappearance channels, (ii) impact of systematic uncertainties and marginalization over oscillation parameters, and (iii) importance of spectral analysis and data from both neutrino and antineutrino runs. We also study how much improvement DUNE can offer in the precision measurements of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and Δm_{31}^2 as compared to their current precision. While estimating the achievable precision on these parameters in DUNE, we also quantify the contribution from individual appearance and disappearance channels and demonstrate the importance of having both neutrino and antineutrino data.

The layout of this paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we discuss the potential of DUNE’s baseline and energy in establishing deviation from maximal θ_{23} at the level of probabilities. Next, in Sec. 3, we describe the key features of DUNE which are relevant for our numerical

simulation and discuss the impact of possible correlations and degeneracies among $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, Δm_{31}^2 , and δ_{CP} at the level of total event rates, bi-events, and event spectra. In Sec. 4, we quantify the performance of DUNE to establish non-maximal θ_{23} , to settle the correct octant of θ_{23} , and to precisely measure the values of atmospheric oscillation parameters – $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and Δm_{31}^2 . We also address several issues which are relevant to achieve the above mentioned goals. In Sec. 5, we summarize our findings and make concluding remarks. In Appendix A, we provide the best-fit values of the oscillation parameters along with their currently allowed 1σ and 3σ ranges obtained by the three global fit studies [9–11, 15].

2 Discussion at the level of probabilities

Parameter	Best-fit	1σ range	2σ range	3σ range	Relative 1σ Precision (%)
$\Delta m_{21}^2/10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$	7.36	7.21 - 7.52	7.06 - 7.71	6.93 - 7.93	2.3
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}/10^{-1}$	3.03	2.90 - 3.16	2.77 - 3.30	2.63 - 3.45	4.5
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}/10^{-2}$	2.23	2.17 - 2.30	2.11 - 2.37	2.04 - 2.44	3.0
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}/10^{-1}$	4.55	4.40 - 4.73	4.27 - 5.81	4.16 - 5.99	6.7
$\Delta m_{31}^2/10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$	2.522	2.490 - 2.545	2.462 - 2.575	2.436 - 2.605	1.1
$\delta_{\text{CP}}/^\circ$	223	200 - 256	169 - 313	139 - 355	16

Table 1. The benchmark values of the oscillation parameters and their corresponding ranges that we consider in our study assuming NMO. In second column, we mention the best-fit values as given in Ref. [11]. The third, fourth, and fifth columns depict the current 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ allowed ranges, respectively under NMO scheme. The sixth column depicts the present relative 1σ precision on various oscillation parameters as given in Ref. [11].

In the three-neutrino framework, the flavor eigenstates $|\nu_\alpha\rangle$ ($\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$) and the mass eigenstates $|\nu_i\rangle$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are connected by the 3×3 unitary Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix U :

$$|\nu_\alpha\rangle = \sum_i U_{\alpha i}^* |\nu_i\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\nu}_\alpha\rangle = \sum_i U_{\alpha i} |\bar{\nu}_i\rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

Following the standard Particle Data Group convention [98], the vacuum PMNS matrix U is parametrized in terms of the three mixing angles (θ_{23} , θ_{13} , θ_{12}) and one Dirac-type CP phase (δ_{CP}). The probability that a neutrino, with flavor α and energy E , after traveling a distance L , can be detected as a neutrino with flavor β is given by

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{j>i} \mathcal{R}(U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\beta j} U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}^*) \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{ji}^2 L}{4E} + 2 \sum_{j>i} \mathcal{I}(U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\beta j} U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}^*) \sin \frac{\Delta m_{ji}^2 L}{2E}, \quad (2.2)$$

where, $\Delta m_{ji}^2 = m_j^2 - m_i^2$. Approximate analytical expressions for oscillation probabilities including matter effect have been derived in Ref. [99], retaining terms only up to second order in the small parameters $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ and α ($\equiv \Delta m_{21}^2 / \Delta m_{31}^2$). The analytical expression for muon neutrino survival probability ($P_{\mu\mu}$) under the constant matter density approximation

is given in Eq. 33 of Ref. [99]. Considering the current best-fit values of oscillation parameters (see second column in Table 1), we have $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \approx 0.02$, $\alpha \approx 0.03$, $\alpha \sin \theta_{13} \approx 0.004$, and $\alpha^2 \approx 0.0008$. Therefore, ignoring the sub-leading terms which are of the order α^2 and approximating $\cos \theta_{13}$ equal to 1, Eq. 33 of Ref. [99] simplifies to

$$P_{\mu\mu} \approx 1 - M \sin^2 2\theta_{23} - N \sin^2 \theta_{23} - R \sin 2\theta_{23} + T \sin 4\theta_{23}, \quad (2.3)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sin^2 \Delta \\ &- \alpha \cos^2 \theta_{12} \Delta \sin 2\Delta \\ &+ \frac{2}{\hat{A} - 1} \sin^2 \theta_{13} \left(\sin \Delta \cos \hat{A} \Delta \frac{\sin(\hat{A} - 1)\Delta}{\hat{A} - 1} - \frac{\hat{A}}{2} \Delta \sin 2\Delta \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$N = 4 \sin^2 \theta_{13} \frac{\sin^2(\hat{A} - 1)\Delta}{(\hat{A} - 1)^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$R = 2\alpha \sin \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos \delta_{\text{CP}} \cos \Delta \frac{\sin \hat{A} \Delta \sin(\hat{A} - 1)\Delta}{\hat{A} \hat{A} - 1}, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$T = \frac{1}{\hat{A} - 1} \alpha \sin \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos \delta_{\text{CP}} \sin \Delta \left(\hat{A} \sin \Delta - \frac{\sin \hat{A} \Delta}{\hat{A}} \cos(\hat{A} - 1)\Delta \right). \quad (2.7)$$

In the above equations, $\Delta \equiv \Delta m_{31}^2 L/4E$ and $\hat{A} \equiv A/\Delta m_{31}^2$. The Wolfenstein matter term, $A = 2\sqrt{2}G_F N_e E = 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \times \rho \text{ (g/cm}^3\text{)} \times E \text{ (GeV)}$, where G_F is the Fermi coupling constant, N_e is the ambient electron density, E is the energy of neutrino, and ρ is the constant matter density through which neutrino propagates. In Eq. 2.3, all the terms containing θ_{23} (see Eqs. 2.4 to 2.7) provide crucial information to establish non-maximal θ_{23} and contribute towards the precision measurement of θ_{23} , which are the focus of this work. The first term in Eq. 2.4, which is proportional to $\sin^2 \Delta$, is the leading term in muon neutrino survival channel and contributes the most to address the above mentioned physics issues. The term in Eq. 2.5, which is the leading term in $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ appearance channel, is suppressed by the small quantity $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$, and the terms in Eqs. 2.6 and 2.7 are proportional to the quantity $\alpha \sin \theta_{13}$ which is around ~ 0.004 . Therefore, these terms provide sub-leading contributions towards establishing deviation from maximal mixing and to precisely measure the value of θ_{23} . Note that the terms in Eqs. 2.6 and 2.7 are proportional to $\cos \delta_{\text{CP}}$. These terms may help to measure the value of δ_{CP} , but they are blind to CP asymmetry. On the other hand, the terms in Eq. 2.5 and 2.7, which are proportional to $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and $\sin 4\theta_{23}$, respectively provide information on the octant of θ_{23} .

The main sensitivity to settle the octant of θ_{23} stems from $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ appearance channel ($P_{\mu e}$), which when expressed up to first order in $\alpha \sin \theta_{13}$ is given by (ignoring the term $\propto \alpha^2$ and $\cos \theta_{13} \approx 1$)

$$P_{\mu e} \approx N \sin^2 \theta_{23} + O \sin 2\theta_{23} \cos(\Delta + \delta_{\text{CP}}), \quad (2.8)$$

where,

$$O = 2\alpha \sin \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} \frac{\sin \hat{A}\Delta \sin(\hat{A}-1)\Delta}{\hat{A} \hat{A}-1}. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that the first term in Eq. 2.8 is sensitive to octant of θ_{23} , while the second term is sensitive to CP phase δ_{CP} . This leads to an octant- δ_{CP} degeneracy in the measurements made via appearance channel. However, this degeneracy can be resolved with the help of balanced neutrino and antineutrino data in appearance mode as discussed for the first time in Ref. [100]. Since, both the terms in $P_{\mu e}$ contain information on θ_{23} (see Eq. 2.8), they contribute towards establishing deviation from maximal θ_{23} (see discussion in Sec. 4.1.1 and Fig. 7) and to precisely measure the value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (see discussion in Sec. 4.3 and Fig. 13).

To understand the role of oscillation channels $P_{\mu\mu}$ and $P_{\mu e}$ in distinguishing a non-maximal $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ from maximal mixing *i.e.* $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$, we draw Fig. 2. In this figure, we show oscillation probabilities as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. To generate this figure, we use our benchmark values of the oscillation parameters and their corresponding ranges from Table 1. The top panels are for $P_{\mu\mu}$ while the bottom panels are for $P_{\mu e}$. In the left (right) panels, we show the probabilities for neutrino (antineutrino). The solid black curves in each of these figures show the probability corresponding to the best-fit values of Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} for each $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ whereas the different shades of blue and red bands correspond to the variation in probability due to 1, 2, and 3 σ variations in Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} , respectively. To generate these probabilities, we choose $E = 2.5$ GeV and $L = 1300$ km which correspond to the DUNE's baseline and peak-energy fluxes. $E \sim 2.5$ GeV also corresponds to the first oscillation maximum (minimum) in $P_{\mu e}$ ($P_{\mu\mu}$). From Fig. 2, we make the following observations.

- $P_{\mu\mu}$ varies a lot as Δm_{31}^2 is varied while it changes only marginally with respect to δ_{CP} ¹. The opposite behavior is seen in the case of $P_{\mu e}$ [70, 85]. Therefore, we see significant degeneracies among the oscillation parameters Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in $P_{\mu\mu}$ channel. For $P_{\mu e}$ channel, the degeneracies are observed among δ_{CP} and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$.
- For values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in the HO that are very close to $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$ *i.e.* $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \in [0.5, 0.53]$, $P_{\mu\mu}$ shows a flat behavior, *i.e.* the slope of the $P_{\mu\mu}$ is nearly 0². This is observed in both neutrino and antineutrino probabilities.
- For values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in LO that are very close to $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$, $P_{\mu\mu}$ is steep.
- For values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ adequately far from $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$, $P_{\mu\mu}$ is very steep in both LO as well as HO.
- $P_{\mu e}$ shows a monotonic increase with respect to $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. This is true for both LO and HO, and in case of both neutrinos and antineutrinos.

¹ $P_{\mu\mu}$ depends only on $\cos \delta_{\text{CP}}$ at the sub-leading interference term proportional to $\alpha \sin^2 \theta_{13}$. See Eq. 33 of Ref. [99].

²Note that the minimum of $P_{\mu\mu}$ is in HO, slightly away from $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$ due to finite θ_{13} correction. See Ref. [101] for details.




Figure 2. Probability as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ for $E = 2.5$ GeV, $L = 1300$ km, and $\rho = 2.87$ g/cm³ assuming NMO. The top (bottom) panels are for disappearance (appearance) channel. The left (right) panels are for neutrino (antineutrino). The black solid curves show the probability considering the best-fit values of oscillation parameters as given in Table 1. The three shaded blue (red) regions depict the variations in probability due to the present 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ allowed ranges in Δm_{31}^2 (δ_{CP}). The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ with the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ as shown by the vertical brown line. See Table 1 for details. Note that y-ranges are different in the bottom two panels.

Thus, based on the observations made above, we expect the results to have the following features:

- Since, we expect the combination of $P_{\mu\mu}$ and $P_{\mu e}$ channels to resolve the degeneracies that are present in each of them individually, we do not expect the sensitivity to exclude non-maximal θ_{23} be too much affected by the choice of Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} within

the given 3σ range.

- For values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in the HO and very close to 0.5, we expect the sensitivity to deviation from maximality to come mainly from the appearance channel. However, for $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ values farther away from 0.5, the disappearance channel will contribute significantly. In the LO, we expect the main sensitivity to come from the disappearance channel even for $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ values very close to 0.5.

While the above arguments have been made using the probabilities calculated with a particular choice of $E = 2.5$ GeV, we will see in the results section that these features hold in general.

3 Discussion at the level of events

We start this section by mentioning the salient features of DUNE which are crucial for our numerical simulations. Then, we show the total appearance and disappearance event rates in neutrino and antineutrino modes as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, Δm_{31}^2 , and δ_{CP} to establish some physics issues which are necessary to understand our main results. We also exhibit the bi-events plot in the plane of neutrino - antineutrino disappearance events and display their event spectra.

3.1 Salient features of DUNE

In order to calculate the expected event rates in DUNE and to estimate its sensitivity towards various physics issues, we use the publically available software GLOBES (General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator) [102, 103]. We consider the simulation details as described in Ref. [96]. DUNE will look for $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ (disappearance) and $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ (appearance) oscillations in both neutrino and antineutrino modes. Neutrinos are produced at the LBNF's Main Injector in Fermilab, Illinois, Chicago where protons of energy 120 GeV and power 1.2 MW are bombarded on a graphite target. This leads to the production of charged mesons which then decay in flight producing the neutrinos. Using the desired polarity in the horn-focusing system, neutrino or antineutrino mode can be selected. The neutrino flux at DUNE is wide-band with energies ranging from few hundreds of MeV to few tens of GeV, but the flux peaks at around 2.5 GeV with majority of the flux lying in 1 GeV to 5 GeV region. These neutrinos first see a near detector (ND) placed 574 m downstream from the source and a far detector (FD) located roughly 5000 ft below the Earth's surface at the Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF) in Lead, South Dakota, USA. The main purpose of ND is to precisely measure the unoscillated neutrino flux so as to reduce the systematic uncertainties related to fluxes. The distance between the source of production of neutrinos in Fermilab and the FD is 1284.9 km and the neutrinos traverse through Earth matter of roughly constant density of around 2.848 g/cm³. The FD is a 40 kt liquid argon timeprojection chamber (LArTPC) and is placed underground in order to minimize cosmogenic and atmospheric backgrounds. We consider a total run-time of 7 years equally divided in neutrino and antineutrino mode with a total of 1.1×10^{21} protons on target (P.O.T.). This corresponds to a net 168 kt·MW·years of exposure each in ν and $\bar{\nu}$

mode. The energy resolution of the FD in (0.5 – 5) GeV range is around (15 – 20)%. Our assumptions on systematic uncertainties are based on the material provided in Ref. [96]. The errors are bin-to-bin correlated and are same for both neutrinos and antineutrinos. In the appearance channel *i.e.* for the electron events, the normalization error is 2% while for the disappearance channel *i.e.* for the muon events, the normalization error is 5%. For the background events, the error varies from 5% to 20%.


3.2 Appearance and disappearance event rates as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, Δm_{31}^2 , and δ_{CP}

In Table 2, we show the total neutrino and antineutrino event rates for DUNE as a function of the oscillation parameters for both disappearance and appearance channels. The three columns correspond to LO ($\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$) on the left, MM ($\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$) in the center and HO ($\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.599$) on the right. The central number in each cell, shown in boldface corresponds to the total number of events for the best-fit values of $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 223^\circ$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, while considering $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in LO, MM, and HO in second, third, and fourth columns, respectively. Rest of the oscillation parameters are kept at their respective best-fit values (see Table 1 for details). We determine other two numbers in the left and right (top and bottom) of central value by varying δ_{CP} (Δm_{31}^2) from its central best-fit value to 3σ lower and upper bounds, respectively (as explained in the schematic diagram above Table 2).

From Table 2, we note the following:

- The appearance events change by a lot when $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ or δ_{CP} is varied. This is observed in the case of both neutrino and antineutrino events. Thus, there are distinct θ_{23} - δ_{CP} pairs which give same number of total events.
- The change in appearance events due to variation in Δm_{31}^2 is very small and the number of events in the three cases (best-fit, 3σ upper bounds, and 3σ lower bounds) are almost degenerate.
- In the case of disappearance events, the central number for LO and HO are close to one another, but they are different from MM. The numbers also change significantly with respect to Δm_{31}^2 . As far as δ_{CP} variation is concerned, the event numbers show almost no change. Thus, in the case of disappearance events, there appears a $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ - Δm_{31}^2 degeneracy at the level of total rates.

The observations made above are in line with the physics discussion done before in Sec. 2 based on probabilities. As an example, we note that for ν appearance events, the numbers can vary between 820 to 969 for LO and 908 to 1058 for MM as δ_{CP} is varied in the current 3σ range. Thus, there is a significant overlap in ν appearance events for LO and MM due to unknown δ_{CP} . However, for ν disappearance channel, the same oscillation parameter sets give number of events in the range 10870 to 10896 and 10646 to 10663, respectively, which may help to reduce the degeneracy as observed in appearance channel. The reverse argument can also be made where, for ν disappearance events, the numbers



Channel		LO	MM	HO
Appearance	ν	1104	1193	1383
		\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow
	$820 \leftarrow \mathbf{1121} \rightarrow 969$	$908 \leftarrow \mathbf{1211} \rightarrow 1058$	$1107 \leftarrow \mathbf{1403} \rightarrow 1254$	
	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	
Disappearance	$\bar{\nu}$	1135	1226	1421
		\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow
	$206 \leftarrow \mathbf{208} \rightarrow 258$	$289 \leftarrow \mathbf{230} \rightarrow 280$	$338 \leftarrow \mathbf{279} \rightarrow 329$	
	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	
		210	232	281

Appearance	ν	11018	10797	11249
		\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow
	$10870 \leftarrow \mathbf{10870} \rightarrow 10896$	$10646 \leftarrow \mathbf{10646} \rightarrow 10663$	$11100 \leftarrow \mathbf{11100} \rightarrow 11095$	
	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	
Disappearance	$\bar{\nu}$	10758	10532	10986
		\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow
	$6397 \leftarrow \mathbf{6306} \rightarrow 6280$	$6219 \leftarrow \mathbf{6219} \rightarrow 6193$	$6477 \leftarrow \mathbf{6477} \rightarrow 6452$	
	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	
		6234	6146	6406

Table 2. Total appearance and disappearance event rates in ν and $\bar{\nu}$ mode. We assume 3.5 years of ν run and 3.5 years of $\bar{\nu}$ run and estimate the event rates for three different choices of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$: 0.455 (LO), 0.5 (MM), and 0.599 (HO). The central number in each cell corresponds to the current best-fit values of $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 223^\circ$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ assuming NMO. The other four numbers in each cell show the number of events corresponding to the present 3σ lower and upper bounds in Δm_{31}^2 (up and down arrows) and δ_{CP} (left and right arrows). For clarity, see the schematic diagram given above this table.

vary between 10758 to 11018 for LO and 10532 to 10797 for MM as Δm_{31}^2 is varied in its current 3σ range. But in the case of neutrino appearance channel, the corresponding events are lie in the range of 1104 to 1135 for LO and 1193 to 1226 for MM. Thus, the degeneracy that exists in the disappearance channel is partially resolved through the measurements made using appearance channel.

In Fig. 3, we show the disappearance (top panels) and appearance (bottom panels) event rates for various choices of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in the range $[0.4, 0.6]$. To generate this figure, we




Figure 3. Total event rates as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ for DUNE assuming NMO. The top (bottom) panels are for disappearance (appearance) channel. The left (right) panels are for neutrino (antineutrino) assuming 3.5 years of run. The black solid curves show the event rates considering the best-fit values of oscillation parameters as given in Table 1. The three shaded blue (red) regions show the variations in events due to present 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ allowed ranges in Δm_{31}^2 (δ_{CP}). The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ with the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ as shown by the vertical brown line. See Table 1 and related text for details. Note that y-ranges are different in all the four panels.

use the benchmark values of the oscillation parameters and their corresponding ranges as given in Table 1. We see that the total event rates follow the same behavior as previously seen in Fig. 2, where we show $P_{\mu\mu}$ and $P_{\mu e}$ as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ assuming $E = 2.5$ GeV. Note that though in Sec. 2, we discuss the main physics issues assuming a particular value of $E = 2.5$ GeV, similar features are retained at the total event rates level as well in Fig. 3.

In Fig. 4, we show the dependence of disappearance events on the oscillation param-




Figure 4. Bi-events plot for DUNE in the plane of neutrino - antineutrino disappearance events assuming 336 kt·MW·years of exposure equally divided in neutrino and antineutrino modes. The blue line is obtained by varying Δm_{31}^2 in its 3σ range of $[2.43 : 2.6] \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ with $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ (LO). The red line depicts the same with $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$ (MM). The black dot on each line shows the disappearance events corresponding to the best-fit value of $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.52 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. The values of other oscillation parameters are taken from Table 1 assuming NMO. The blue (red) rectangular region on blue (red) line portrays the variation in event rates due to 3σ range in Δm_{31}^2 expected from JUNO [104, 105]. The horizontal (vertical) error bars for the points $[(\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455, \Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.52 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)$ and $(\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455, \Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)]$ show the 1σ statistical uncertainties which are obtained by taking the square root of the ν ($\bar{\nu}$) disappearance events.

eters Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ through the bi-events plot where we display the total neutrino (antineutrino) disappearance events on the x-axis (y-axis) assuming 3.5 years of run. We obtain blue curve by varying Δm_{31}^2 in its current 3σ range of $[2.43 : 2.6] \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ assuming the current best-fit of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ (see LO in legends). The red curve portrays the same with $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$ (see MM in legends). The black dot on each line shows the disappearance events corresponding to the best-fit value of $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.52 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. The values of other oscillation parameters are taken from Table 1 assuming NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 223^\circ$. The blue (red) rectangular region on blue (red) curve shows the variation in event rates due to allowed 3σ range in Δm_{31}^2 as expected from JUNO [104, 105]. The horizontal (vertical) error bars for the points $[(\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455, \Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.52 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)$ and $(\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455, \Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)]$ show the 1σ statistical uncertainties which are obtained by taking the square root of the neutrino (antineutrino) disappearance events.

The 1σ statistical uncertainty in neutrino disappearance events corresponding to the benchmark oscillation parameters $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ (see horizontal error bar around the black dot on blue line) has some overlap with the neutrino

events on the red line. The same is true for antineutrino disappearance events (see vertical error bar around the black dot on blue line). These overlapping regions due to 1σ statistical fluctuations get reduced when we consider the variation in event rates for the allowed 3σ range in Δm_{31}^2 ($2.48 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ to $2.56 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, see rectangular red region) as expected from JUNO [104, 105]. Therefore, we can conclude that based on only total event rates, a definitive exclusion of MM is not possible at high confidence level for the current best-fit values of oscillation parameters. We demonstrate later that the $\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$ degeneracy that is present at the total event rates level can be resolved by including the spectral shape information along with total event rates and we can establish the deviation from maximal θ_{23} at high confidence level in DUNE. If we consider the event rates and their 1σ statistical uncertainties corresponding to the oscillation parameters $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ (current best-fit) and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ (current 1σ upper bound) on the blue line then we see more overlap with the event rates on the red line corresponding to MM solution. The overlap is less for the benchmark oscillation parameters $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ (current best-fit) and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ (current 1σ lower bound) on the blue line.

3.3 Disappearance event spectra to resolve $\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$ degeneracy

In Fig. 5, we show the event spectra for $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ disappearance channel as a function of the reconstructed neutrino energy. The top left (top right) panel is for neutrino (antineutrino) disappearance events. In the top panel, the thick and thin colored histograms correspond to a LO and MM value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, respectively. For a given value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, we exhibit the event spectra for three different choices of Δm_{31}^2 : $2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ (BF, see blue lines), $2.436 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ (LB, see red lines), and $2.605 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ (UB, see green lines). Looking at Fig. 5, it seems that there is significant degeneracy between LO and MM when a full 3σ variation in Δm_{31}^2 is considered. However, on observing closely, it can be seen that the events for energy bins on either side of the oscillation minimum (maximum in the case of $P_{\mu e}$) at $E = 2.5 \text{ GeV}$ behave oppositely when Δm_{31}^2 is varied. This is made more evident in the lower panel of Fig. 5 where the left (right) figure correspond to neutrino (antineutrino). The three set of curves correspond to ratio of events in each reconstructed energy bin - N_i/N (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) where N is the number of events when $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. In the ratio, N_1, N_2, N_3 are number of events when $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.438 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, and $2.602 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, respectively. It can be seen from the lower panels in Fig. 5, that the ratio of events approach 1 (reduction in sensitivity towards exclusion of maximality) on one side of the oscillation minimum while moving farther away from 1 compared to the blue line on the other side of the oscillation minimum. This explains that, while some of the energy bins decreases the sensitivity in deviation from maximal choice of θ_{23} , the other energy bins help to increase. Therefore, we conclude that doing a spectral analysis further breaks the $\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$ degeneracy seen in total event rates and therefore current 3σ uncertainty in Δm_{31}^2 will not affect the sensitivity of DUNE in establishing non-maximal mixing of θ_{23} .




Figure 5. In the top left (right) panel, we show the expected neutrino (antineutrino) disappearance event spectra as a function of reconstructed neutrino (antineutrino) energy assuming 3.5 years of ν ($\bar{\nu}$) run. The thick (thin) colored histograms correspond to a LO (MM) value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ (0.5). For a given value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, we depict the event spectra for three different choices of Δm_{31}^2 : $2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ [current best-fit (BF), blue lines], $2.436 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ [current 3σ lower bound (LB), red lines], and $2.605 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ [current 3σ upper bound (UB), green lines]. In the bottom left panel, we show the ratio of neutrino disappearance events in each energy bin as a function of reconstructed neutrino energy assuming 3.5 years of ν run. We present the same for antineutrino in the bottom right panel. The brown, blue, and green curves show the ratio of N_1/N , N_2/N , and N_3/N , respectively, where N : events in a given energy bin for $(\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455)$, N_1 : events in a given energy bin for $(\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.436 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5)$, N_2 : events in a given energy bin for $(\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5)$, and N_3 : events in a given energy bin for $(\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.605 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5)$.

4 Our findings

In this section, we demonstrate the capability of DUNE to address three important issues related to atmospheric oscillation parameter: (i) possible deviation of θ_{23} from maximal mixing (45°), (ii) the correct octant of θ_{23} if it turns out to be non-maximal in Nature, and (iii) the achievable precision on the atmospheric oscillation parameters $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and Δm_{31}^2 in light of current neutrino oscillation data. To estimate the sensitivities, we use the following definition of Poissonian χ^2

$$\chi^2(\vec{\omega}, \kappa_s, \kappa_b) = \min_{(\vec{\lambda}, \kappa_s, \kappa_b)} \left\{ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{y}_i - x_i - x_i \ln \frac{\tilde{y}_i}{x_i}) + \kappa_s^2 + \kappa_b^2 \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where, n is the total number of reconstructed energy bins and

$$\tilde{y}_i(\vec{\omega}, \{\kappa_s, \kappa_b\}) = N_i^{th}(\vec{\omega})[1 + \pi^s \kappa_s] + N_i^b(\vec{\omega})[1 + \pi^b \kappa_b]. \quad (4.2)$$

In the above equation, $N_i^{th}(\vec{\omega})$ denotes the predicted number of signal events in the i -th energy bin for a set of oscillation parameters $\vec{\omega} = \{\theta_{23}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{12}, \Delta m_{21}^2, \Delta m_{31}^2, \delta_{CP}\}$ and $\vec{\lambda}$ is the set of oscillation parameters which we have marginalized in the fit. For an instance, when we address the issue of deviation from maximality, $\vec{\lambda} = \{\Delta m_{31}^2, \delta_{CP}\}$. $N_i^b(\vec{\omega})$ represents the number of background events in the i -th energy bin where the neutral (charged) current backgrounds are independent (dependent) on $\vec{\omega}$. The quantity π^s (π^b) is the normalization uncertainty on signal (background). The quantities κ_s and κ_b are the systematic pulls [106–108] on signal and background, respectively. We incorporate the prospective data in Eq. 4.1 using the variable $x_i = N_i^{ex} + N_i^b$, where N_i^{ex} denotes the observed charged current signal events in the i -th energy bin and N_i^b represents the background as mentioned earlier.

4.1 Deviation from maximal θ_{23}

As discussed previously in Sec. 1, the three global analyses of the oscillation data do not agree on the octant in which the best-fit value of θ_{23} lies. Further, they all find $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$ to be allowed at 3σ confidence level. Therefore, before we address the issue of resolving the octant of θ_{23} , it is imperative to question at what confidence level maximal 2-3 mixing can be ruled out. We define $\Delta\chi^2$ for deviation from maximal θ_{23} as follows:

$$\Delta\chi_{DM}^2 = \min_{(\vec{\lambda}, \kappa_s, \kappa_b)} \left\{ \chi^2(\sin^2 \theta_{23}^{true} \in [0.4, 0.6]) - \chi^2(\sin^2 \theta_{23}^{test} = 0.5) \right\}, \quad (4.3)$$

Here, $\vec{\lambda} = \{\delta_{CP}, \Delta m_{31}^2\}$ are the oscillation parameters over which the $\Delta\chi^2$ has been marginalized, while κ_s and κ_b are the systematic pulls on signal and background, respectively.

In Fig. 6, we show the potential of DUNE to establish deviation from maximal θ_{23} as a function of the true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in the range of 0.4 to 0.6. The black lines in both left and right panels display the ability of DUNE in establishing deviation from maximal θ_{23} assuming true NMO and δ_{CP} (true) = 223° . In the left panel, we show the results with nominal neutrino and antineutrino runs of 3.5 years each, while in the right panel we show results




Figure 6. The black curve in the left (right) panel shows the potential of DUNE to establish the deviation from maximal θ_{23} as a function of true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$ with 7 (10) years of total exposure equally divided in ν and $\bar{\nu}$ modes. The red bands portray the same for true δ_{CP} in the range of 139° to 355° . In the fit, we marginalize over the current 3σ range of Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} , while keeping rest of the oscillation parameters fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1. The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ as obtained in the global fit study [11] with the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ as shown by vertical brown line. The horizontal orange lines show the sensitivity (expressed in $\sigma = \sqrt{\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2}$) for the current best-fit and 1σ upper and lower bounds of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$.

with 5 years of running in each mode. The red bands in Fig. 6 portray the variation in $\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2$ for true δ_{CP} in its current 3σ allowed range of 139° to 355° (see Table 1). Left panel reveals that for $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true}) = 0.47$ (current 1σ upper bound), 0.455 (current best-fit), and 0.44 (current 1σ lower bound), DUNE can exclude maximal mixing solution at 2.07σ , 4.2σ , and 6.5σ , respectively assuming true NMO and with a total 7 years of run equally divided in neutrino and antineutrino modes. For a total 10 years of run, the above sensitivities get enhanced to 2.44σ , 5σ , and 7.71σ , respectively (see right panel). We observe that a 3σ (5σ) determination of non-maximal θ_{23} is possible in DUNE with a total exposure of 7 years if the true value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \lesssim 0.465$ (0.450) or $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.554$ (0.572) for any value of true δ_{CP} in its present 3σ range and true NMO (see left panel). When we increase the total exposure from 7 years to 10 years, we see a marginal enhancement in the sensitivity (see right panel).

4.1.1 Contributions from appearance and disappearance channels and role of systematics

We now explore how the appearance and disappearance channels individually contribute towards the exclusion of MM. In Fig. 7, we show the $\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2$ as a function of true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ for appearance (in solid blue), disappearance (in solid red) and combined (in solid black). It is interesting to note that for true values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in HO that are very close to MM,




Figure 7. Performance of DUNE to establish deviation from maximality as a function of true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}} (\text{true}) = 223^\circ$ with 7 years of exposure equally divided in ν and $\bar{\nu}$ modes. Red, blue, and black curves show the sensitivity obtained using disappearance channel, appearance channel and their combinations, respectively. The solid (dashed) lines depict the results with (without) systematic uncertainties. In the fit, we marginalize over the current 3σ range of Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} , while keeping rest of the oscillation parameters fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1. The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ as obtained in the global fit study [11] assuming NMO with the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ as shown by vertical brown line. The capability of DUNE to establish non-maximal θ_{23} at 3σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2 = 9$) confidence level is shown by horizontal pink dotted line.

the appearance channel provides better sensitivity towards the exclusion of MM. However, for $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.56$, the $\Delta\chi^2$ increases very rapidly. In the case of LO, we see that it is mainly the disappearance channel that contributes to the exclusion of MM. In order to understand such a behavior, we refer to Section 2, where we showed that for $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.5$, $P_{\mu\mu}$ shows a flat behavior while $P_{\mu e}$ increases linearly. It is only when $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ is a little away from 0.5 that $P_{\mu\mu}$ increases steeply. On the other hand, in LO, $P_{\mu\mu}$ is very steep even for values which are close to 0.5. In this figure, we also discuss the role that systematic uncertainties play in deteriorating the sensitivity of DUNE towards exclusion of MM. We consider two scenarios here which are shown in Fig. 7. In the first case, we consider an ideal experimental setup with no systematic uncertainties (shown with dashed curves in Fig. 7). In the second case, we consider the DUNE’s nominal systematic uncertainties (shown by solid curves in Fig. 7) described in Ref. [96]. Looking at Fig. 7, it appears that both appearance and disappearance channels are affected by the systematics especially when going from a no systematics ideal experimental setup to the realistic situation. For

example, at true $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$, systematic uncertainties deteriorate the MM-exclusion from $\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2 = 25$ to $\Delta\chi^2 = 20$. In order to explore this point further, we generate results for three more choices of systematic uncertainties. The results are shown in Table 3.

True $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	Channels	2%, 5%	0%, 0%	5%, 5%	5%, 10%	10%, 10%
0.455 (Best-fit)	App.+Disapp.	17.64	24.13	16.88	16.74	15.42
	App.	3.52	4.05	2.33	2.33	1.05
	Disapp.	14.31	18.79	14.31	14.16	14.16
0.473 (1σ upper bound)	App.+Disapp.	4.28	5.72	3.88	3.84	3.42
	App.	1.27	1.47	0.84	0.84	0.38
	Disapp.	2.99	3.88	2.99	2.97	2.97

Table 3. Impact of systematics uncertainties on the determination of non-maximal $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. We show results for $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) = 0.455 (current best-fit) and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) = 0.473 (current 1σ upper bound) assuming true NMO and δ_{CP} (true) = 223° with 7 years of exposure equally shared in ν and $\bar{\nu}$ modes. We estimate the sensitivity for different choices of normalization uncertainties on appearance and disappearance events [(2%, 5%), (0%, 0%), (5%, 5%), (5%, 10%), and (10%, 10%)], where (2%, 5%) is the benchmark choice [96]. We keep the normalization uncertainties on various backgrounds fixed at their default values as given in Ref. [96]. Results are given for appearance channel, disappearance channel, and their combination. In the fit, we marginalize over the current 3σ range of Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} , keeping rest of the oscillation parameters fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1.

We show the results for two values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ corresponding to the current best-fit of 0.455 and the present 1σ upper bound of 0.473 (see Table 1). The three rows in Table 3 correspond to combined data from appearance and disappearance, only appearance data and only disappearance data. The different columns correspond to various choice of the systematic errors denoted as $(x\%, y\%)$ where $x\%$ denotes the normalization error in the measurement of electron-like events (due to appearance) while $y\%$ denotes the normalization error in the measurement of muon-like events (due to disappearance). We do not change the systematic uncertainties for background events and consider the same systematic uncertainty values for both neutrino and antineutrino channels. Our results show that while the sensitivity certainly deteriorates as we go from the ideal case of (0%, 0%) to the nominal values of (2%, 5%), there is negligible decrease in sensitivity due to only disappearance channel as the errors are increased further. The appearance channels are affected more because of systematic uncertainties, but since their contribution to the overall sensitivity to establish deviation from maximal θ_{23} is marginal, it does not affect much. Therefore, we conclude that DUNE’s sensitivity to MM exclusion will not be systematics dominated and similar performance can be expected even with somewhat worse systematics.

4.1.2 Advantage due to spectral analysis and impact of marginalization over oscillation parameters

We now explore the benefit of spectral shape information in DUNE on top of total event rates in establishing deviation from maximality. In Fig. 8, we show $\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2$ as a function of true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ for four cases. The blue and black curves are obtained based on total event rates, while the red and green curves show the sensitivity when we include the spectral




Figure 8. Potential of DUNE to establish deviation from maximality as a function of true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$ with the combined 3.5 years $\nu + 3.5$ years $\bar{\nu}$ run. Blue (Black) curve shows the performance based on total event rates when Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} are marginalized (fixed) in the fit. Red (green) curve depicts the sensitivity based on rate + shape analysis when Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} are marginalized (fixed) in the fit. See text for details. The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ as obtained in the global fit study [11] with the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ as shown by vertical brown line. The horizontal orange lines show the sensitivity (expressed in $\sigma = \sqrt{\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2}$) due to individual runs for the current best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. The capability of DUNE to establish non-maximal θ_{23} at 3σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2 = 9$) confidence level is shown by horizontal pink dotted line. For simplicity, we do not consider systematic uncertainties in this figure.

shape information along with total event rates. For both total rate and rate + shape analyses, we estimate the sensitivities in the fixed-parameter and marginalized scenarios. In the fixed-parameter case, we keep all the oscillation parameters fixed at their best-fit values (see second column in Table 1) in both data and fit, while in the marginalized case, we minimize over Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} in their current 3σ ranges. This comparison between fixed-parameter (see black and green lines) and marginalized (see blue and red lines) scenarios enable us to see how much the sensitivity gets deteriorated due to the uncertainties on Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} . While establishing non-maximal θ_{23} , the bulk of the sensitivity stems from the disappearance channel (see Fig. 7) and the uncertainty on Δm_{31}^2 affects this channel more than δ_{CP} . This can be seen from the top panels of Figs. 2 and 3, and Fig. 6 also confirms that the impact of δ_{CP} is minimal in establishing the deviation from maximality. At the same time, we expect that the upcoming medium-baseline reactor

experiment JUNO will measure Δm_{31}^2 with utmost precision [104, 105] before DUNE will start taking data. Therefore, it makes complete sense to analyze the potential of DUNE to establish non-maximal θ_{23} in the fixed-parameter scenario (see black and green lines). However, Fig. 8 also reveals that the impact of uncertainty on Δm_{31}^2 in the marginalized case is substantially reduced when we exploit the spectral shape information (see red line) – thanks to the intense wide-band beam resulting into high-statistics in disappearance mode and excellent energy resolution of LArTPC detector in DUNE [109, 110].

We see from Fig. 8 that the ability of DUNE to exclude maximal mixing solution in the fit for $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) = 0.455, gets significantly enhanced from 1.53σ (see blue line) to 4.91σ (see red line) when we include spectral shape information in the analysis. We see this improvement in the sensitivity because the impact of $\Delta m_{31}^2 - \sin^2 \theta_{23}$ degeneracy gets reduced substantially when we perform rate + shape analysis instead of using only total rates. As we already demonstrate before using Fig. 5 in Sec. 3 that we can reduce the impact of this degeneracy because of the fact that energy bins on either side of the oscillation minimum in disappearance events show different behavior with respect to a change in the value of Δm_{31}^2 . For this reason, in the fit, the test value of Δm_{31}^2 does not get deviate much from its central best-fit value. Nevertheless, we observe that even in the case of rate + shape analysis, the uncertainty in Δm_{31}^2 reduces the potential of DUNE to establish deviation from maximality for $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) = 0.455 from 5.28σ to 4.91σ while going from fixed-parameter case to marginalized scenario. So, an ultra-precise measurement of Δm_{31}^2 in future will undoubtedly enhance DUNE’s capability to establish deviation from maximal θ_{23} . For the sake of simplicity, while addressing the advantage due to spectral analysis and the impact of marginalization over oscillation parameters in Fig. 8, we do not take into account the systematic uncertainties in the analysis.

4.1.3 Individual contributions from neutrino and antineutrino runs

In Fig. 9, we demonstrate the capability of DUNE to establish non-maximal θ_{23} assuming true NMO and δ_{CP} (true) = 223° . The red, blue, and black curves in the left (right) panel are drawn assuming 3.5 (5) years of neutrino run, 3.5 (5) years of antineutrino run, and the combined 7 (10) years of $\nu + \bar{\nu}$ run, respectively. We observe that the sensitivity of DUNE to exclude maximal θ_{23} gets improved significantly when we combine the data from both neutrino and antineutrino modes (see black curves) as compared to the stand-alone neutrino (see red curves) or antineutrino (see blue curves) run. Mostly, the data from neutrino run contributes in the combined analysis due to their superior statistics. We notice from the left panel that a 2.1σ , 3.4σ , and 4.2σ determination of non-maximal θ_{23} is possible in DUNE considering 3.5 years of $\bar{\nu}$ run, 3.5 years of ν run, and the combined 3.5 years $\nu + 3.5$ years $\bar{\nu}$ run, respectively assuming the present best-fit values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (0.455) and δ_{CP} (223°) as their true choices and with true NMO. In the right panel, the sensitivities get improved to 2.5σ , 4σ , and 5σ with 5 years of $\bar{\nu}$ run, 5 years of ν run, and the combined 5 years $\nu + 5$ years $\bar{\nu}$ run, respectively.




Figure 9. Potential of DUNE to establish the deviation from maximal θ_{23} as a function of true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$. The red, blue, and black curves in the left (right) panel are drawn assuming 3.5 (5) years of neutrino run, 3.5 (5) years of antineutrino run, and the combined 7 (10) years of $\nu + \bar{\nu}$ run, respectively. In the fit, we marginalize over the current 3σ range of Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} , while keeping rest of the oscillation parameters fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1. The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ as obtained in the global fit study [11] assuming NMO with the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ as shown by vertical brown line. The horizontal orange lines show the sensitivity (expressed in $\sigma = \sqrt{\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2}$) due to individual runs for the current best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. The capability of DUNE to establish non-maximal θ_{23} at 3σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2 = 9$) and 5σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{DM}}^2 = 25$) confidence levels are shown by horizontal pink dotted lines.

4.1.4 Performance as a function of exposure

The DUNE collaboration is planning to adopt an incremental approach where they will gradually increase the exposure by adding the new detector modules to their setup and will also upgrade the beam power from 1.2 MW to 2.4 MW after 6 years [95, 97]. This staging approach is well justified in light of the challenges that appear while operating a high-power superbeam and in constructing a massive underground 40 kt liquid argon detector. A nominal deployment plan is discussed in Ref. [95], where the collaboration plans to start the experiment with two far detector (FD) modules having a total fiducial mass of 20 kt and with a beam power of 1.2 MW. After one year, they plan to add one more FD module of 10 kt fiducial mass and after two more years, they will add another 10 kt FD module to have the total fiducial mass of 40 kt. After operating the experiment for six years with a beam power of 1.2 MW, there is also a plan to upgrade the beam power to 2.4 MW [95].

In Fig. 10, we exhibit the performance of DUNE to establish the possible deviation of true values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ from MM choice ($\sin^2 \theta_{23}^{\text{test}} = 0.5$) in the fit as a function of exposure expressed in the units of kt·MW·years. We show the results at 3σ (see yellow curves), 2σ (see blue curves), and 1σ (see green curves) confidence levels assuming $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$,




Figure 10. The discovery of true values of non-maximal $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ as a function of exposure (kt·MW·years) at 3σ (yellow curves), 2σ (blue curves), and 1σ (green curves) confidence levels. For a given exposure, we assume equal run-time in both ν and $\bar{\nu}$ modes. We consider true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$. We marginalize over δ_{CP} and Δm_{31}^2 in the fit in their allowed 3σ ranges as given in Table 1.

and true NMO. While obtaining the results, we marginalize over δ_{CP} and Δm_{31}^2 in their presently allowed 3σ ranges as given in Table 1. We see a significant improvement in the discovery of a non-maximal θ_{23} while increasing the exposure from 50 kt·MW·years to 100 kt·MW·years. In Fig. 10 we show for the first time the true values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ that DUNE can distinguish from $\sin^2 \theta_{23}^{\text{test}} = 0.5$ using these exposures at various confidence levels (see dashed vertical lines). While further increasing the exposure from 100 kt·MW·years to 336kt·MW·years which is our benchmark choice, we see a marginal increment in the performance. Note that we hardly see any improvement in the sensitivity if we increase the exposure further which suggest that the statistics is not a limiting factor anymore and any possible reduction in the systematic uncertainties may enhance the results further.

4.2 Octant of θ_{23}

In this subsection, we study the potential of DUNE to resolve the octant of 2-3 mixing angle. We define $\Delta\chi_{\text{octant}}^2$ in the following fashion

$$\Delta\chi_{\text{octant}}^2(\zeta) = \min_{(\delta_{\text{CP}}, \Delta m_{31}^2, \kappa_s, \kappa_b)} \{ \chi^2(\zeta^{\text{true}}) - \chi^2(\zeta^{\text{test}}) \}. \quad (4.4)$$




Figure 11. Octant discovery potential of DUNE as a function of true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$. The red, blue, and black curves in the left (right) panel are drawn assuming 3.5 (5) years of neutrino run, 3.5 (5) years of antineutrino run, and the combined 7 (10) years of $\nu + \bar{\nu}$ run, respectively. In the fit, we marginalize over the current 3σ range of Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} , while keeping rest of the oscillation parameters fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1. The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ as obtained in the global fit study [11] assuming NMO with the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ as shown by vertical brown line. The horizontal orange lines show the sensitivity (expressed in $\sigma = \sqrt{\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2}$) due to individual runs for the current best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. The octant discovery potential at 1σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2 = 1$) and 3σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2 = 9$) confidence levels are shown by horizontal pink dotted lines.

Here, ζ^{true} is the true value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in lower or upper octant and ζ^{test} is the test value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in opposite octant including the test value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$. δ_{CP} and Δm_{31}^2 are the oscillation parameters over which $\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2$ has been marginalized in the fit, while κ_s , and κ_b are the systematic pulls [106–108] on signal and background, respectively.

In Fig. 11, we show $\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2$ as a function of true $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, where, for each true value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, we consider test values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in its present 3σ range in the opposite octant including $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{test}) = 0.5$ in the fit and pick up the minimum value of $\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2$. Here, we consider true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$. The red, blue, and black curves in the left (right) panel are obtained assuming 3.5 (5) years of neutrino run, 3.5 (5) years of antineutrino run, and the combined 7 (10) years of $\nu + \bar{\nu}$ run, respectively. In the fit, we marginalize over the present 3σ range of Δm_{31}^2 and δ_{CP} , while keeping rest of the oscillation parameters fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1. The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ as obtained in the global fit study [11] assuming NMO with the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ as shown by vertical brown line. The horizontal orange lines show the sensitivity (expressed in $\sigma = \sqrt{\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2}$) due to individual runs for the current best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. The octant discovery potential at 1σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2 = 1$) and 3σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{Octant}}^2 = 9$) confidence levels are shown by horizontal pink dotted lines.

It is evident from Fig. 11 that the combined data from neutrino and antineutrino modes (see black curves) significantly improve the result by breaking the octant - δ_{CP} degeneracy as discussed before in [100]. Assuming the current best-fit values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ and $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 223^\circ$ as their true choices and with true NMO, the octant of θ_{23} can be settled in DUNE at 4.3σ (5σ) using 336 (480) kt·MW·years of exposure which corresponds to 7 years (10 years) of data taking with equal sharing in neutrino and antineutrino modes. A 3σ (5σ) resolution of θ_{23} octant is possible in DUNE with an exposure of 336 kt·MW·years if the true value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \lesssim 0.462$ (0.450) or $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.553$ (0.569) assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$. The same is possible with 480 kt·MW·years of exposure if the true value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \lesssim 0.466$ (0.454) or $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.548$ (0.565).

4.3 Precision measurements of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and Δm_{31}^2




Figure 12. The left panel shows $\Delta\chi_{\text{PM}}^2$ around $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true}) = 0.455$ and the right panel depicts $\Delta\chi_{\text{PM}}^2$ for $\Delta m_{31}^2(\text{true}) = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}}(\text{true}) = 223^\circ$. The black (red) curves show the precision with 7 (10) years of exposure, equally divided in neutrino and antineutrino modes. Blue lines portray the present precision from the global fit study [11]. In the fit, we marginalize over the current 3σ range of Δm_{31}^2 ($\sin^2 \theta_{23}$) in the left (right) panel. In both the panels, we also marginalize over the current 3σ range of δ_{CP} , while keeping rest of the oscillation parameters fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1. The dark (light)-shaded grey area shows the currently allowed 1σ (2σ) region in $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (Δm_{31}^2) in the left (right) panel as obtained in the global fit study [11] assuming NMO. The precision at 1σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{PM}}^2 = 1$) and 3σ ($\Delta\chi_{\text{PM}}^2 = 9$) confidence levels are shown by horizontal pink dotted lines.

In this subsection, we estimate the sensitivity of the DUNE experiment to constrain the oscillation parameters Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. We calculate the relative 1σ -precision with which DUNE can measure these oscillation parameters and compare them with the existing constraints. In Fig. 12, we show $\Delta\chi_{\text{PM}}^2$ as a function of the test oscillation parameters $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (left panel) and Δm_{31}^2 (right panel). $\Delta\chi_{\text{PM}}^2$ is computed as follows:

$$\Delta\chi_{\text{PM}}^2(\zeta^{\text{test}}) = \min_{(\lambda, \kappa_s, \kappa_b)} \{ \chi^2(\zeta^{\text{test}}) - \chi^2(\zeta^{\text{true}}) \}. \quad (4.5)$$

Here, ζ^{true} is the best-fit value of the oscillation parameter under consideration and ζ^{test} represents a test value of the same oscillation parameter in its currently allowed 3σ range [11]. $\vec{\lambda}$ denotes the set of oscillation parameters over which we perform marginalization in the fit for a given analysis. κ_s and κ_b are the systematic pulls on signal and background, respectively. In the fit, we minimize $\chi^2(\zeta^{\text{test}})$ over the systematic uncertainties to obtain $\Delta\chi_{\text{PM}}^2(\zeta^{\text{test}})$. We show the results in Table 4. The relative 1σ precision in the measurement of oscillation parameters ζ is estimated as follows:

$$p(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta^{\text{max}} - \zeta^{\text{min}}}{6.0 \times \zeta^{\text{true}}} \times 100\%. \quad (4.6)$$

Here, ζ^{max} and ζ^{min} represent the allowed 3σ upper and lower bounds, respectively. In the fourth column of Table 4, we mention the current relative 1σ precision on $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ and Δm_{31}^2 from the recent global fit study [11]. The achievable relative 1σ precision³ on Δm_{31}^2 from the upcoming medium-baseline reactor experiment JUNO [105] is mentioned in the fifth column. We observe that DUNE can improve the current relative 1σ precision on $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ (Δm_{31}^2) by a factor of 4.4 (2.8) using 3.5 years of neutrino and 3.5 years of antineutrino runs. The total exposure of 10 years equally shared in neutrino and antineutrino modes further improves the precision on these parameters.

Parameter	Relative 1σ precision (%)			
	DUNE (3.5 ν + 3.5 $\bar{\nu}$) yrs	DUNE (5 ν + 5 $\bar{\nu}$) yrs	Capozzi <i>et al.</i> [11]	JUNO [104, 105]
$\sin^2\theta_{23}$	1.53	1.31	6.72	—
Δm_{31}^2	0.39	0.31	1.09	0.50

Table 4. Relative 1σ precision on $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ and Δm_{31}^2 around the true choices $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.455$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. The second and third columns show the performance of DUNE with 7 and 10 years of exposures, respectively, equally divided in neutrino and antineutrino modes. The fourth column depicts the current relative 1σ precision on these parameters from the global fit study [11]. The achievable precision on Δm_{31}^2 from the upcoming JUNO experiment [104, 105] is mentioned in the fifth column. Note that JUNO is insensitive to θ_{23} .

In Fig. 13, we show the allowed regions in the test $\sin^2\theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$ at 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ for 1 degree of freedom. The shaded light-grey region shows the currently allowed 3σ values due to the existing oscillation data [11]. As can be observed, the allowed region is quite large especially in the parameter $\sin^2\theta_{23}$. Further, both maximal mixing and wrong octant solutions are allowed at the 3σ C.L. The current best-fit to the global data is the lower octant solution of $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.455$ shown by the black dot. We give the results for DUNE with 7 years of equally shared exposure in neutrino and antineutrino modes, with only disappearance data (blue, green, and red contours) and combined appearance and disappearance data (solid black contours) considering the true value of $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ to be 0.455. It can be seen that the disappearance data significantly constrains the allowed range of

³The achievable precision on atmospheric oscillation parameters using the full exposure of T2K and NO ν A is discussed in Ref. [111].




Figure 13. Allowed regions in the test ($\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$) plane. The shaded light-grey region shows the current 3σ allowed ranges from Ref. [11]. Blue, green, and red contours portray the 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ allowed regions, respectively using 3.5 years of neutrino and 3.5 years of antineutrino disappearance data in DUNE. Solid black lines exhibit the performance of DUNE at 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ combining both appearance and disappearance data in 3.5 years of neutrino and 3.5 years of antineutrino runs. The black dot depicts the true choices of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.455$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 223^\circ$. In the fit, we marginalize over the current 3σ range of $\delta_{\text{CP}} = [139^\circ : 355^\circ]$ and the rest of the oscillation parameters are kept fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1.

$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. However, it is still unable to rule out the wrong octant solution even at 1σ . On the other hand, though the appearance data only marginally improves the $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ precision in the right octant, it plays the main role in completely ruling out the wrong octant solution. We also find that the combined appearance and disappearance data improves the precision in measurement of both $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in correct octant and Δm_{31}^2 when compared to the precision when obtained with only disappearance data.

In Fig. 14, we show the benefit of having data from both neutrino and antineutrino modes, the merit of which was discussed elaborately in the context of T2K and NO ν A in Ref. [100]. The left panel explores the allowed region in the test ($\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$) plane considering 3.5 years of neutrino run and having contributions from both disappearance and appearance channels. The middle panel depicts the same for 3.5 years of antineutrino run. In the right panel, we demonstrate how the allowed region in the test ($\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$) plane gets shrunk when we combine the data from 3.5 years of neutrino and 3.5 years of antineutrino runs. From the left and middle panels, we observe that the prospective data

from only neutrino or only antineutrino run cannot rule out the wrong octant solution even at 1σ confidence level, while with only antineutrino run, even maximal mixing solution of θ_{23} is allowed at 2σ . However, from the right panel of Fig. 14, it is evident that the data from both neutrino and antineutrino runs are quite effective in ruling out the wrong octant solution as well as the maximal mixing at 3σ confidence level. This happens because the combined neutrino and antineutrino data can resolve the octant- δ_{CP} degeneracy [100] that exists in the stand-alone neutrino or antineutrino data. We also notice that the allowed regions for $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ and Δm_{31}^2 around the correct octant get reduced when we combine the data from both neutrino and antineutrino modes (see right panel). The increase in statistics due to both neutrino and antineutrino runs and the possible complementarity between them lead to these improvements in the sensitivity.




Figure 14. Allowed regions in the test ($\sin^2\theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$) plane at 1σ (blue), 2σ (green), and 3σ (red) C.L. combining appearance and disappearance data in DUNE. Left (Middle) panel is for 3.5 years of neutrino (antineutrino) run. The right panel shows the performance of combined neutrino (3.5 years) and antineutrino (3.5 years) runs. The black dot depicts the true choices of $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.455$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.522 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ assuming true NMO and $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 223^\circ$. In the fit, we marginalize over the current 3σ range of $\delta_{\text{CP}} = [139^\circ : 355^\circ]$ and the rest of the oscillation parameters are kept fixed at their present best-fit values as shown in Table 1.

5 Summary and conclusions

We have achieved remarkable precision on the solar ($\theta_{12}, \Delta m_{21}^2$) and atmospheric ($\theta_{23}, \Delta m_{31}^2$) oscillation parameters over the last few years. According to Ref. [11], the current relative 1σ errors on $\sin^2\theta_{12}$, Δm_{21}^2 , $\sin^2\theta_{23}$, and Δm_{31}^2 are 4.5%, 2.3%, 6.7%, and 1.1%, respectively. The recent hints for normal mass ordering (at $\sim 2.5\sigma$), as well as for lower octant θ_{23} ($\theta_{23} < 45^\circ$) and for δ_{CP} in the lower half-plane ($\sin\delta_{\text{CP}} < 0$) signify major developments in the three-flavor neutrino oscillation paradigm. The high-precision measurement of θ_{13} from the Daya Bay reactor experiment and the possible complementarities between the recent Super-K Phase I-IV atmospheric data and the appearance and disappearance data from the ongoing long-baseline oscillation experiments - NO ν A and T2K, play an important role in providing these crucial hints. An accurate measurement of θ_{23} and resolution of

its octant (if θ_{23} turns out to be non-maximal) are crucial to transform these preliminary hints into 5σ discoveries. A discovery of non-maximal θ_{23} at high confidence level will serve as a crucial input to the theories of neutrino masses and mixings and it will certainly be a major breakthrough in addressing the the age-old flavor problem. In this paper, we explore in detail the sensitivities of the upcoming high-precision long-baseline experiment DUNE to establish the possible deviation from maximal θ_{23} and to resolve its octant at high confidence level in light of the recent neutrino oscillation data.

We start the paper by showing the possible correlations and degeneracies among the oscillation parameters $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, Δm_{31}^2 , and δ_{CP} in the context of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ disappearance channel and $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ appearance channel at the probability and event levels. We introduce for the first time, a bi-events plot in the plane of total neutrino and antineutrino disappearance events to demonstrate the impact of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \Delta m_{31}^2$ degeneracy in establishing deviation from maximality. Next, we show how the spectral shape information in neutrino and antineutrino disappearance events can play an important role to resolve this degeneracy.

Using the latest simulation details of DUNE [96], we observe that a 3σ (5σ) determination of non-maximal θ_{23} is possible in DUNE with an exposure of 336 kt·MW·years if the true value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \lesssim 0.465$ (0.450) or $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.554$ (0.572) for any value of true δ_{CP} in the present 3σ range and true NMO. DUNE can exclude the maximal mixing solution of θ_{23} at 4.2σ (5σ) with a total 7 (10) years of run (equally divided in neutrino and antineutrino modes) assuming the present best-fit values of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (0.455) and δ_{CP} (223°) as their true choices with true NMO. The same can be enhanced to 6.5σ (7.7σ) if we assume $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) = 0.44, which is the current 1σ lower bound. On the other hand, the sensitivity can be reduced to 2.07σ (2.44σ) if $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) turns out to be 0.473, which is the current 1σ upper bound.

We study the role that systematic uncertainties play in establishing deviation from maximality by varying the normalization errors in both appearance and disappearance channels. We explore the contribution that each oscillation channel has on the sensitivity and show how performing a spectral analysis alleviates the possible reduction in sensitivity due to the marginalization over Δm_{31}^2 that is present when only total event rates are considered. We also explore the effect of exposure and the individual contributions from neutrino and antineutrino modes.

We notice that both neutrino and antineutrino data are needed to reduce the impact of octant - δ_{CP} degeneracy, which in turn allows us to resolve the θ_{23} octant at a high confidence level. DUNE can settle the issue of θ_{23} octant at 4.3σ (5σ) using 336 (480) kt·MW·years of exposure assuming $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) = 0.455, δ_{CP} (true) = 223° , and true NMO. On the other hand, the octant ambiguity of θ_{23} can be resolved at 3σ (5σ) in DUNE with an exposure of 336 kt·MW·years if the true value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \lesssim 0.462$ (0.450) or $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.553$ (0.569) assuming δ_{CP} (true) = 223° and true NMO. If we increase the exposure to 480 kt·MW·years (corresponding to 10 years of run), the wrong octant solution can be excluded if $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) $\lesssim 0.466$ (0.454) or $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true) $\gtrsim 0.548$ (0.565) keeping the assumptions on other oscillation parameters same.

Finally, we quote how accurately DUNE can measure the atmospheric oscillation pa-

rameters. We observe that DUNE can improve the current relative 1σ precision on $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (Δm_{31}^2) by a factor of 4.4 (2.8) using 336 kt·MW·years of exposure. We analyze how much contribution we obtain from individual appearance and disappearance oscillation channels and also study the importance of having data from both neutrino and antineutrino modes while measuring these parameters.

We hope that this study serves as an important addition to several fundamental physics issues that can be explored by the high-precision long-baseline experiment DUNE and provides a boost to the physics reach of DUNE.

A Comparison of global neutrino data analyses and current bounds on the neutrino oscillation parameters

Reference	Esteban <i>et al.</i> [9, 15]	de Salas <i>et al.</i> [10]	Capozzi <i>et al.</i> [11]
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.304^{+0.012}_{-0.012}$	$0.318^{+0.016}_{-0.016}$	$0.303^{+0.013}_{-0.013}$
3σ range	$0.269 \rightarrow 0.343$	$0.271 \rightarrow 0.369$	$0.263 \rightarrow 0.345$
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ (NMO)	$0.02246^{+0.00062}_{-0.00062}$	$0.02200^{+0.00069}_{-0.00062}$	$0.02230^{+0.00070}_{-0.00060}$
3σ range	$0.02060 \rightarrow 0.02435$	$0.02000 \rightarrow 0.02405$	$0.02040 \rightarrow 0.02440$
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ (IMO)	$0.02241^{+0.00074}_{-0.00062}$	$0.02250^{+0.00064}_{-0.00070}$	$0.02230^{+0.00060}_{-0.00060}$
3σ range	$0.02055 \rightarrow 0.02457$	$0.02018 \rightarrow 0.02424$	$0.02030 \rightarrow 0.02450$
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (NMO)	$0.450^{+0.019}_{-0.016}$	$0.574^{+0.014}_{-0.014}$	$0.455^{+0.018}_{-0.015}$
3σ range	$0.408 \rightarrow 0.603$	$0.434 \rightarrow 0.610$	$0.416 \rightarrow 0.599$
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (IMO)	$0.570^{+0.016}_{-0.022}$	$0.578^{+0.010}_{-0.017}$	$0.569^{+0.013}_{-0.021}$
3σ range	$0.410 \rightarrow 0.613$	$0.433 \rightarrow 0.608$	$0.417 \rightarrow 0.606$
$\frac{\Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2}{10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2}$	$7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$7.50^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$	$7.36^{+0.16}_{-0.15}$
3σ range	$6.82 \rightarrow 8.04$	$6.94 \rightarrow 8.14$	$6.93 \rightarrow 7.93$
$\frac{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}$ (NMO)	$2.55^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$2.56^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$2.522^{+0.023}_{-0.03}$
3σ range	$2.430 \rightarrow 2.593$	$2.47 \rightarrow 2.63$	$2.436 \rightarrow 2.605$
$\frac{ \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 }{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}$ (IMO)	$2.45^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$2.46^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$2.418^{+0.0304}_{-0.024}$
3σ range	$2.410 \rightarrow 2.574$	$2.37 \rightarrow 2.53$	$2.341 \rightarrow 2.501$
δ_{CP}/\circ (NMO)	230^{+36}_{-25}	194^{+24}_{-22}	223^{+33}_{-23}
3σ range	$144 \rightarrow 350$	$128 \rightarrow 359$	$139 \rightarrow 355$
δ_{CP}/\circ (IMO)	278^{+22}_{-30}	284^{+26}_{-28}	274^{+25}_{-27}
3σ range	$194 \rightarrow 345$	$200 \rightarrow 353$	$193 \rightarrow 342$

Table 5. Best-fit values, $\pm 1\sigma$ uncertainties, and 3σ allowed ranges of the three-flavor neutrino oscillation parameters from the three global fit analyses of world neutrino data [9–11, 15]. For Esteban *et al.* [9, 15], Δm_{atm}^2 stands for Δm_{31}^2 (Δm_{32}^2) for NMO (IMO). For de Salas *et al.* [10] and Capozzi *et al.* [11] Δm_{atm}^2 signifies Δm_{31}^2 for both NMO and IMO. Note that for Capozzi *et al.*, we estimate the values of Δm_{31}^2 for both NMO and IMO using the relation $\Delta m_{31}^2 = \Delta m^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2/2$ where $\Delta m^2 = m_3^2 - (m_1^2 + m_2^2)/2$.

Table 5 shows the best-fit values and current 1σ and 3σ allowed ranges of various oscillation parameters as obtained in the three global fit studies [9–11, 15] of world neutrino data. Note that for Esteban *et al.* [9, 15], Δm_{atm}^2 stands for Δm_{31}^2 (Δm_{32}^2) for NMO (IMO). Δm_{atm}^2 represents Δm_{31}^2 for both NMO and IMO in the studies performed by de Salas *et al.* [10] and Capozzi *et al.* [11]. For Capozzi *et al.*, we estimate the values of Δm_{31}^2 for both NMO and IMO using the relation $\Delta m_{31}^2 = \Delta m^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2/2$ where $\Delta m^2 = m_3^2 - (m_1^2 + m_2^2)/2$. As far as the measurement of θ_{23} is concerned, there is a slight disagreement between the three global fit studies. de Salas *et al.* in Ref. [10], obtain a best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in HO around ~ 0.57 assuming NMO, while Capozzi *et al.* in Ref. [11] and Esteban *et al.* in Ref. [9, 15] find the best-fit value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ in LO around ~ 0.45 . This discrepancy maybe due to the recent Super-K Phase I-IV 364.8 kt.yrs of atmospheric data [37] that only Capozzi *et al.* and Esteban *et al.* include in their latest analyses. It is indeed impressive to see that all the three global fit analyses indicate towards leptonic CP violation ($\sin \delta_{\text{CP}} < 0$). The recent analysis by Capozzi *et al.* [11] finds a preference for $\delta_{\text{CP}} \simeq 223^\circ$ with respect to the CP-conserving value of $\delta_{\text{CP}} = 180^\circ$ at 1.6σ C.L. under NMO scheme and disfavors the values of δ_{CP} in the range of 0° to 139° at more than 3σ C.L. assuming NMO.

Acknowledgments

We thank the organizers of the IRCHEP 1400 conference where the preliminary results from this work were presented. We acknowledge the support of the Department of Atomic Energy (DAE), Govt. of India. S.K.A. is supported by the Young Scientist Project [INSA/SP/YSP/144/2017/1578] from the Indian National Science Academy (INSA). S.K.A. acknowledges the financial support from the Swarnajayanti Fellowship Research Grant (No. DST/SJF/PSA-05/2019-20) provided by the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India and the Research Grant (File no. SB/SJF/2020-21/21) from the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) under the Swarnajayanti Fellowship by the DST, Govt. of India. M.S. acknowledges financial support from the DST, Govt. of India (DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2018/IF180059). The numerical simulations are carried out using SAMKHYA: High-Performance Computing Facility at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar.

References

- [1] **T2K** Collaboration, K. Abe et al., *The T2K Experiment*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **659** (2011) 106–135, [[arXiv:1106.1238](#)].
- [2] **T2K** Collaboration, K. Abe et al., *Constraint on the matter–antimatter symmetry-violating phase in neutrino oscillations*, *Nature* **580** (2020), no. 7803 339–344, [[arXiv:1910.03887](#)]. [Erratum: *Nature* 583, E16 (2020)].
- [3] S. Prakash, S. K. Raut, and S. U. Sankar, *Getting the Best Out of T2K and NOvA*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 033012, [[arXiv:1201.6485](#)].
- [4] S. K. Agarwalla, S. Prakash, S. K. Raut, and S. U. Sankar, *Potential of optimized NOvA for large θ_{13} & combined performance with a LArTPC & T2K*, *JHEP* **12** (2012) 075, [[arXiv:1208.3644](#)].

- [5] **NOvA** Collaboration, D. S. Ayres et al., *The NOvA Technical Design Report*, . FERMILAB-DESIGN-2007-01, 2007.
- [6] D. Ayres et al., *Letter of Intent to build an Off-axis Detector to study $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ oscillations with the NuMI Neutrino Beam*, [hep-ex/0210005](#).
- [7] **NOvA** Collaboration, D. S. Ayres et al., *NOvA: Proposal to Build a 30 Kiloton Off-Axis Detector to Study $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ Oscillations in the NuMI Beamline*, [hep-ex/0503053](#).
- [8] **NOvA** Collaboration, M. A. Acero et al., *An Improved Measurement of Neutrino Oscillation Parameters by the NOvA Experiment*, [arXiv:2108.08219](#).
- [9] NuFIT v5.1 (2021), <http://www.nu-fit.org/>.
- [10] P. F. de Salas, D. V. Forero, S. Gariazzo, P. Martínez-Miravé, O. Mena, C. A. Ternes, M. Tórtola, and J. W. F. Valle, *2020 global reassessment of the neutrino oscillation picture*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 071, [[arXiv:2006.11237](#)].
- [11] F. Capozzi, E. Di Valentino, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Melchiorri, and A. Palazzo, *Unfinished fabric of the three neutrino paradigm*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021), no. 8 083031, [[arXiv:2107.00532](#)].
- [12] H. Nunokawa, S. J. Parke, and R. Zukanovich Funchal, *Another possible way to determine the neutrino mass hierarchy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 013009, [[hep-ph/0503283](#)].
- [13] M. Blennow, P. Coloma, P. Huber, and T. Schwetz, *Quantifying the sensitivity of oscillation experiments to the neutrino mass ordering*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 028, [[arXiv:1311.1822](#)].
- [14] H. Minakata, H. Sugiyama, O. Yasuda, K. Inoue, and F. Suekane, *Reactor measurement of θ_{13} and its complementarity to long baseline experiments*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 033017, [[hep-ph/0211111](#)]. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 70, 059901 (2004)].
- [15] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, and A. Zhou, *The fate of hints: updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 178, [[arXiv:2007.14792](#)].
- [16] B. T. Cleveland, T. Daily, J. Raymond Davis, J. R. Distel, K. Lande, C. K. Lee, P. S. Wildenhain, and J. Ullman, *Measurement of the solar electron neutrino flux with the homestake chlorine detector*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **496** (mar, 1998) 505–526.
- [17] **SAGE** Collaboration, J. N. Abdurashitov et al., *Measurement of the solar neutrino capture rate with gallium metal. III: Results for the 2002–2007 data-taking period*, *Phys. Rev. C* **80** (2009) 015807, [[arXiv:0901.2200](#)].
- [18] **Super-Kamiokande** Collaboration, J. Hosaka et al., *Solar neutrino measurements in Super-Kamiokande-I*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 112001, [[hep-ex/0508053](#)].
- [19] **Super-Kamiokande** Collaboration, J. P. Cravens et al., *Solar neutrino measurements in Super-Kamiokande-II*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 032002, [[arXiv:0803.4312](#)].
- [20] **Super-Kamiokande** Collaboration, K. Abe et al., *Solar neutrino results in Super-Kamiokande-III*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 052010, [[arXiv:1010.0118](#)].
- [21] **SNO** Collaboration, B. Aharmim et al., *Combined Analysis of all Three Phases of Solar Neutrino Data from the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory*, *Phys. Rev. C* **88** (2013) 025501, [[arXiv:1109.0763](#)].
- [22] G. Bellini et al., *Precision measurement of the Be solar neutrino interaction rate in Borexino*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 141302, [[arXiv:1104.1816](#)].

- [23] **Borexino** Collaboration, G. Bellini et al., *Measurement of the solar $8B$ neutrino rate with a liquid scintillator target and 3 MeV energy threshold in the Borexino detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 033006, [[arXiv:0808.2868](#)].
- [24] **BOREXINO** Collaboration, G. Bellini et al., *Neutrinos from the primary proton–proton fusion process in the Sun*, *Nature* **512** (2014), no. 7515 383–386.
- [25] **IceCube** Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen et al., *Determining neutrino oscillation parameters from atmospheric muon neutrino disappearance with three years of IceCube DeepCore data*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015), no. 7 072004, [[arXiv:1410.7227](#)].
- [26] **IceCube Collaboration** Collaboration, J. et al., “Icecube oscillations: 3 years muon neutrino disappearance data.”
- [27] **Super-Kamiokande** Collaboration, K. Abe et al., *Atmospheric neutrino oscillation analysis with external constraints in Super-Kamiokande I-IV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018), no. 7 072001, [[arXiv:1710.09126](#)].
- [28] **SuperKamiokande** Collaboration, “Atmospheric neutrino oscillation analysis with external constraints in Super-Kamiokande I-IV.” link to data release: <http://www-sk.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/sk/publications/result-e.html#atmosci2018>, 2018.
- [29] **KamLAND** Collaboration, A. Gando et al., *Reactor On-Off Antineutrino Measurement with KamLAND*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013), no. 3 033001, [[arXiv:1303.4667](#)].
- [30] **Daya Bay** Collaboration, D. Adey et al., *Measurement of the Electron Antineutrino Oscillation with 1958 Days of Operation at Daya Bay*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018), no. 24 241805, [[arXiv:1809.02261](#)].
- [31] **RENO** Collaboration, G. Bak et al., *Measurement of Reactor Antineutrino Oscillation Amplitude and Frequency at RENO*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018), no. 20 201801, [[arXiv:1806.00248](#)].
- [32] **RENO** Collaboration, J. Yoo, *Recent Results from RENO Experiment*, 2020. Talk given at the XXIX International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Chicago, USA, <https://indico.fnal.gov/event/43209/contributions/187886/attachments/130339/158753/Neutrino2020YooRENO.pdf>.
- [33] **MINOS** Collaboration, P. Adamson et al., *Measurement of Neutrino and Antineutrino Oscillations Using Beam and Atmospheric Data in MINOS*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013), no. 25 251801, [[arXiv:1304.6335](#)].
- [34] **MINOS** Collaboration, P. Adamson et al., *Electron neutrino and antineutrino appearance in the full MINOS data sample*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013), no. 17 171801, [[arXiv:1301.4581](#)].
- [35] **T2K** Collaboration, P. Dunne, *Latest Neutrino Oscillation Results from T2K*, 2020. Talk given at the XXIX International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Chicago, USA, https://indico.fnal.gov/event/43209/contributions/187830/attachments/129636/159603/T2K_Neutrino2020.pdf.
- [36] **NOvA** Collaboration, A. Himmel, *New Oscillation Results from the NOvA Experiment*, 2020. Talk given at the XXIX International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Chicago, USA, <https://indico.fnal.gov/event/43209/contributions/187840/attachments/130740/159597/NOvA-Oscillations-NEUTRINO2020.pdf>.

- [37] **Super Kamiokande** Collaboration, Y. Nakajima, *Recent Results and future prospects from Super-Kamiokande*, 2020. Talk given at the XXIX International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Chicago, USA, https://indico.fnal.gov/event/43209/contributions/187863/attachments/129474/159089/nakajima_Neutrino2020.pdf.
- [38] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, *Tri-bimaximal mixing and the neutrino oscillation data*, *Phys. Lett. B* **530** (2002) 167, [[hep-ph/0202074](#)].
- [39] P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, *Symmetries and generalizations of tri - bimaximal neutrino mixing*, *Phys. Lett. B* **535** (2002) 163–169, [[hep-ph/0203209](#)].
- [40] S. F. King, A. Merle, S. Morisi, Y. Shimizu, and M. Tanimoto, *Neutrino Mass and Mixing: from Theory to Experiment*, *New J. Phys.* **16** (2014) 045018, [[arXiv:1402.4271](#)].
- [41] G. L. Fogli and E. Lisi, *Tests of three-flavor mixing in long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments*, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 3667–3670, [[hep-ph/9604415](#)].
- [42] V. Barger, D. Marfatia, and K. Whisnant, *Breaking eight-fold degeneracies in neutrino CP violation, mixing, and mass hierarchy*, *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 073023, [[hep-ph/0112119](#)].
- [43] H. Minakata, H. Nunokawa, and S. J. Parke, *Parameter degeneracies in neutrino oscillation measurement of leptonic CP and T violation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D66** (2002) 093012, [[hep-ph/0208163](#)].
- [44] H. Minakata, M. Sonoyama, and H. Sugiyama, *Determination of θ_{23} in long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments with three-flavor mixing effects*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 113012, [[hep-ph/0406073](#)].
- [45] K. Hiraide, H. Minakata, T. Nakaya, H. Nunokawa, H. Sugiyama, W. J. C. Teves, and R. Z. Funchal, *Resolving θ_{23} degeneracy by accelerator and reactor neutrino oscillation experiments*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 093008, [[hep-ph/0601258](#)].
- [46] R. Mohapatra and A. Smirnov, *Neutrino Mass and New Physics*, *Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.* **56** (2006) 569–628, [[hep-ph/0603118](#)].
- [47] C. H. Albright and M.-C. Chen, *Model Predictions for Neutrino Oscillation Parameters*, *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 113006, [[hep-ph/0608137](#)].
- [48] C. H. Albright, A. Dueck, and W. Rodejohann, *Possible Alternatives to Tri-bimaximal Mixing*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C70** (2010) 1099–1110, [[arXiv:1004.2798](#)].
- [49] S. F. King and C. Luhn, *Neutrino Mass and Mixing with Discrete Symmetry*, [arXiv:1301.1340](#).
- [50] M. Raidal, *Relation between the neutrino and quark mixing angles and grand unification*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **93** (2004) 161801, [[hep-ph/0404046](#)].
- [51] H. Minakata and A. Y. Smirnov, *Neutrino mixing and quark-lepton complementarity*, *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 073009, [[hep-ph/0405088](#)].
- [52] J. Ferrandis and S. Pakvasa, *Quark-lepton complementarity relation and neutrino mass hierarchy*, *Phys.Rev.* **D71** (2005) 033004, [[hep-ph/0412038](#)].
- [53] S. Antusch, S. F. King, and R. N. Mohapatra, *Quark-lepton complementarity in unified theories*, *Phys.Lett.* **B618** (2005) 150–161, [[hep-ph/0504007](#)].
- [54] E. Ma, *Plato’s fire and the neutrino mass matrix*, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A17** (2002) 2361–2370, [[hep-ph/0211393](#)].

- [55] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, *Softly broken $A(4)$ symmetry for nearly degenerate neutrino masses*, *Phys.Rev.* **D64** (2001) 113012, [[hep-ph/0106291](#)].
- [56] K. Babu, E. Ma, and J. Valle, *Underlying $A(4)$ symmetry for the neutrino mass matrix and the quark mixing matrix*, *Phys.Lett.* **B552** (2003) 207–213, [[hep-ph/0206292](#)].
- [57] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *$S(3) \times Z(2)$ model for neutrino mass matrices*, *JHEP* **0508** (2005) 013, [[hep-ph/0504153](#)].
- [58] E. Ma, *Tetrahedral family symmetry and the neutrino mixing matrix*, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A20** (2005) 2601–2606, [[hep-ph/0508099](#)].
- [59] T. Fukuyama and H. Nishiura, *Mass matrix of Majorana neutrinos*, [hep-ph/9702253](#).
- [60] R. N. Mohapatra and S. Nussinov, *Bimaximal neutrino mixing and neutrino mass matrix*, *Phys.Rev.* **D60** (1999) 013002, [[hep-ph/9809415](#)].
- [61] C. Lam, *A 2-3 symmetry in neutrino oscillations*, *Phys.Lett.* **B507** (2001) 214–218, [[hep-ph/0104116](#)].
- [62] P. Harrison and W. Scott, *$\mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry in lepton mixing and neutrino oscillations*, *Phys.Lett.* **B547** (2002) 219–228, [[hep-ph/0210197](#)].
- [63] T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, *$S(2L)$ permutation symmetry for left-handed μ and τ families and neutrino oscillations in an $SU(3)-L \times SU(1)-N$ gauge model*, *Phys.Rev.* **D67** (2003) 015006, [[hep-ph/0209294](#)].
- [64] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *A Discrete symmetry group for maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing*, *Phys.Lett.* **B572** (2003) 189–195, [[hep-ph/0305046](#)].
- [65] A. Ghosal, *An $SU(2)(L) \times U(1)(Y)$ model with reflection symmetry in view of recent neutrino experimental result*, [hep-ph/0304090](#).
- [66] Y. Koide, *Universal texture of quark and lepton mass matrices with an extended flavor $2 \rightarrow 3$ symmetry*, *Phys.Rev.* **D69** (2004) 093001, [[hep-ph/0312207](#)].
- [67] R. Mohapatra and W. Rodejohann, *Broken μ - τ symmetry and leptonic CP violation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D72** (2005) 053001, [[hep-ph/0507312](#)].
- [68] Z.-z. Xing and S. Zhou, *A partial $\mu - \tau$ symmetry and its prediction for leptonic CP violation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **737** (2014) 196–200, [[arXiv:1404.7021](#)].
- [69] Z.-z. Xing and Z.-h. Zhao, *A review of μ - τ flavor symmetry in neutrino physics*, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **79** (2016), no. 7 076201, [[arXiv:1512.04207](#)].
- [70] H. Minakata and S. J. Parke, *Correlated, precision measurements of θ_{23} and δ using only the electron neutrino appearance experiments*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013), no. 11 113005, [[arXiv:1303.6178](#)].
- [71] S. Antusch, P. Huber, J. Kersten, T. Schwetz, and W. Winter, *Is there maximal mixing in the lepton sector?*, *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 097302, [[hep-ph/0404268](#)].
- [72] M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, and A. Y. Smirnov, *Measuring the deviation of the 2-3 lepton mixing from maximal with atmospheric neutrinos*, *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 093005, [[hep-ph/0408170](#)].
- [73] D. Choudhury and A. Datta, *Detecting matter effects in long baseline experiments*, *JHEP* **07** (2005) 058, [[hep-ph/0410266](#)].

- [74] S. Choubey and P. Roy, *Probing the deviation from maximal mixing of atmospheric neutrinos*, *Phys.Rev.* **D73** (2006) 013006, [[hep-ph/0509197](#)].
- [75] D. Indumathi, M. Murthy, G. Rajasekaran, and N. Sinha, *Neutrino oscillation probabilities: Sensitivity to parameters*, *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 053004, [[hep-ph/0603264](#)].
- [76] T. Kajita, H. Minakata, S. Nakayama, and H. Nunokawa, *Resolving eight-fold neutrino parameter degeneracy by two identical detectors with different baselines*, *Phys.Rev.* **D75** (2007) 013006, [[hep-ph/0609286](#)].
- [77] K. Hagiwara and N. Okamura, *Solving the degeneracy of the lepton-flavor mixing angle θ_{atm} by the T2KK two detector neutrino oscillation experiment*, *JHEP* **0801** (2008) 022, [[hep-ph/0611058](#)].
- [78] A. Samanta and A. Smirnov, *The 2-3 mixing and mass split: atmospheric neutrinos and magnetized spectrometers*, *JHEP* **1107** (2011) 048, [[arXiv:1012.0360](#)].
- [79] S. K. Agarwalla, S. Prakash, and S. Uma Sankar, *Exploring the three flavor effects with future superbeams using liquid argon detectors*, *JHEP* **1403** (2014) 087, [[arXiv:1304.3251](#)].
- [80] S.-F. Ge, K. Hagiwara, and C. Rott, *A Novel Approach to Study Atmospheric Neutrino Oscillation*, [arXiv:1309.3176](#).
- [81] S.-F. Ge and K. Hagiwara, *Physics Reach of Atmospheric Neutrino Measurements at PINGU*, [arXiv:1312.0457](#).
- [82] A. Chatterjee, P. Ghoshal, S. Goswami, and S. K. Raut, *Octant sensitivity for large θ_{13} in atmospheric and long baseline neutrino experiments*, *JHEP* **1306** (2013) 010, [[arXiv:1302.1370](#)].
- [83] S. Choubey and A. Ghosh, *Determining the Octant of θ_{23} with PINGU, T2K, NO ν A and Reactor Data*, *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 166, [[arXiv:1309.5760](#)].
- [84] M. Bass et al., *Baseline Optimization for the Measurement of CP Violation, Mass Hierarchy, and θ_{23} Octant in a Long-Baseline Neutrino Oscillation Experiment*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015), no. 5 052015, [[arXiv:1311.0212](#)].
- [85] P. Coloma, H. Minakata, and S. J. Parke, *Interplay between appearance and disappearance channels for precision measurements of θ_{23} and δ* , *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 093003, [[arXiv:1406.2551](#)].
- [86] K. Bora, D. Dutta, and P. Ghoshal, *Determining the octant of θ_{23} at LBNE in conjunction with reactor experiments*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **30** (2015), no. 14 1550066, [[arXiv:1405.7482](#)].
- [87] C. R. Das, J. Maalampi, J. a. Pulido, and S. Vihonen, *Determination of the θ_{23} octant in LBNO*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 048, [[arXiv:1411.2829](#)].
- [88] N. Nath, M. Ghosh, and S. Goswami, *The physics of antineutrinos in DUNE and determination of octant and δ_{CP}* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **913** (2016) 381–404, [[arXiv:1511.07496](#)].
- [89] M. Ghosh, P. Ghoshal, S. Goswami, N. Nath, and S. K. Raut, *New look at the degeneracies in the neutrino oscillation parameters, and their resolution by T2K, NO ν A and ICAL*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016), no. 1 013013, [[arXiv:1504.06283](#)].
- [90] S. K. Agarwalla, S. S. Chatterjee, and A. Palazzo, *Octant of θ_{23} in danger with a light sterile neutrino*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017), no. 3 031804, [[arXiv:1605.04299](#)].

- [91] P. Ballett, S. F. King, S. Pascoli, N. W. Prouse, and T. Wang, *Sensitivities and synergies of DUNE and T2HK*, [arXiv:1612.07275](#).
- [92] **DUNE** Collaboration, R. Acciarri et al., *Long-Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) and Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE): Conceptual Design Report, Volume 2: The Physics Program for DUNE at LBNF*, [arXiv:1512.06148](#).
- [93] **DUNE** Collaboration, B. Abi et al., *Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE), Far Detector Technical Design Report, Volume I Introduction to DUNE*, *JINST* **15** (2020), no. 08 T08008, [[arXiv:2002.02967](#)].
- [94] **DUNE** Collaboration, B. Abi et al., *Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE), Far Detector Technical Design Report, Volume II: DUNE Physics*, [arXiv:2002.03005](#).
- [95] **DUNE** Collaboration, B. Abi et al., *Long-baseline neutrino oscillation physics potential of the DUNE experiment*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020), no. 10 978, [[arXiv:2006.16043](#)].
- [96] **DUNE** Collaboration, B. Abi et al., *Experiment Simulation Configurations Approximating DUNE TDR*, [arXiv:2103.04797](#).
- [97] **DUNE** Collaboration, A. A. Abud et al., *Low exposure long-baseline neutrino oscillation sensitivity of the DUNE experiment*, [arXiv:2109.01304](#).
- [98] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, P. Zyla et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2020** (2020), no. 8 083C01.
- [99] E. K. Akhmedov, R. Johansson, M. Lindner, T. Ohlsson, and T. Schwetz, *Series expansions for three flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities in matter*, *JHEP* **04** (2004) 078, [[hep-ph/0402175](#)].
- [100] S. K. Agarwalla, S. Prakash, and S. U. Sankar, *Resolving the octant of θ_{23} with T2K and NOvA*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 131, [[arXiv:1301.2574](#)].
- [101] S. K. Raut, *Effect of non-zero θ_{13} on the measurement of θ_{23}* , *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28** (2013) 1350093, [[arXiv:1209.5658](#)].
- [102] P. Huber, M. Lindner, and W. Winter, *Simulation of long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments with GLOBES (General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator)*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **167** (2005) 195, [[hep-ph/0407333](#)].
- [103] P. Huber, J. Kopp, M. Lindner, M. Rolinec, and W. Winter, *New features in the simulation of neutrino oscillation experiments with GLOBES 3.0: General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **177** (2007) 432–438, [[hep-ph/0701187](#)].
- [104] **JUNO** Collaboration, M. Gonchar, *Neutrino Oscillation Physics in JUNO*, 2021. Talk given at the European Physical Society conference on high energy physics 2021, Berlin, Europe, https://indico.desy.de/event/28202/contributions/105921/attachments/67350/83887/epshep21_gonchar_juno_oscillation_v1-1-2.pdf.
- [105] **JUNO** Collaboration, F. An et al., *Neutrino Physics with JUNO*, *J. Phys. G* **43** (2016), no. 3 030401, [[arXiv:1507.05613](#)].
- [106] P. Huber, M. Lindner, and W. Winter, *Superbeams versus neutrino factories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B645** (2002) 3–48, [[hep-ph/0204352](#)].
- [107] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, and A. Palazzo, *Getting the most from the statistical analysis of solar neutrino oscillations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 053010, [[hep-ph/0206162](#)].

- [108] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and M. Maltoni, *Atmospheric neutrino oscillations and new physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 033010, [[hep-ph/0404085](#)].
- [109] A. Friedland and S. W. Li, *Understanding the energy resolution of liquid argon neutrino detectors*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019), no. 3 036009, [[arXiv:1811.06159](#)].
- [110] V. De Romeri, E. Fernandez-Martinez, and M. Sorel, *Neutrino oscillations at DUNE with improved energy reconstruction*, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 030, [[arXiv:1607.00293](#)].
- [111] S. K. Agarwalla, S. Prakash, and W. Wang, *High-precision measurement of atmospheric mass-squared splitting with T2K and NOvA*, [arXiv:1312.1477](#).