

---

# Critical initialization of wide and deep neural networks through partial Jacobians: general theory and applications to LayerNorm

---

Darshil Doshi<sup>1\*</sup> Tianyu He<sup>1\*</sup> Andrey Gromov<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Deep neural networks are notorious for defying theoretical treatment. However, when the number of parameters in each layer tends to infinity the network function is a Gaussian process (GP) and quantitatively predictive description is possible. Gaussian approximation allows to formulate criteria for selecting hyperparameters, such as variances of weights and biases, as well as the learning rate. These criteria rely on the notion of criticality defined for deep neural networks. In this work we describe a new way to diagnose (both theoretically and empirically) this criticality. To that end, we introduce *partial Jacobians* of a network, defined as derivatives of preactivations in layer  $l$  with respect to preactivations in layer  $l_0 < l$ . These quantities are particularly useful when the network architecture involves many *different* layers. We discuss various properties of the partial Jacobians such as their scaling with depth and relation to the neural tangent kernel (NTK). We derive the recurrence relations for the partial Jacobians and utilize them to analyze criticality of deep MLP networks with (and without) LayerNorm. We find that the normalization layer changes the optimal values of hyperparameters and critical exponents. We argue that LayerNorm is more stable when applied to preactivations, rather than activations due to larger correlation depth.

## 1. Introduction

When the number of parameters goes to infinity the functional space description of deep neural networks simplifies dramatically. The network function,  $f(x)$ , in this limit, is a Gaussian process (Neal, 1996; Lee et al., 2018) with a

kernel – sometimes referred to as neural network Gaussian process (NNGP) kernel (Lee et al., 2018) – determined by the network architecture and hyperparameters (*e.g.* depth, precise choice of layers and the activation functions, as well as the distribution of weights and biases). The same line of reasoning was developed for recurrent neural networks in the 90s (Molgedey et al., 1992). Furthermore, for the MSE loss function the training dynamics under stochastic gradient descent (SGD) can be solved exactly in terms of the NTK (Jacot et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2019). A large body of work was devoted to the calculation of the NNGP kernel and NTK for different architectures, calculation of the finite width corrections to these quantities, and empirical investigation of the training dynamics of wide networks (Novak et al., 2018b; Xiao et al., 2018; Hron et al., 2020; Dyer & Gur-Ari, 2019; Andreassen & Dyer, 2020; Lewkowycz & Gur-Ari, 2020; Aitken & Gur-Ari, 2020; Geiger et al., 2020; Hanin, 2021; Roberts et al., 2021; Yaida, 2020; Shankar et al., 2020; Arora et al., 2019b;a; Lee et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2018; Yang & Hu, 2021; Yang, 2019b;a; Matthews et al., 2018; Garriga-Alonso et al., 2018; Allen-Zhu et al., 2019; Tsuchida et al., 2021).

One important result that arose from these works is that network architecture determines the most appropriate initialization of the weights and biases (Poole et al., 2016; Schoenholz et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2018). To state these results we define the preactivations as follows

$$h_i^{l+1}(x) = \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} w_{ij}^{l+1} \phi(h_j^l(x)) + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $w_{ij}^{l+1}$  is the  $N_l \times N_{l+1}$  matrix of weights,  $b_i^{l+1}$  is  $1 \times N_{l+1}$  vector of biases in the  $l + 1$ -th layer; and  $\phi$  is the activation function.  $x$  corresponds to the  $1 \times N_0$  vector of inputs. Both  $w_{ij}^l$  and  $b_i^l$  are initialized from standard normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . This convention is known as *NTK parametrization* (Jacot et al., 2018). For a network of depth  $L$ , the network function is given by  $f(x) = h^L(x)$ . Different network architectures, and, in particular, activation functions,  $\phi$ , lead to different optimal choices of  $(\sigma_w, \sigma_b)$ . The optimal choice can be understood using the language of statistical mechanics as a critical point (or line) in the  $\sigma_b - \sigma_w$  plane. The notion of criticality becomes sharp as

---

\*Equal contribution <sup>1</sup>Brown Theoretical Physics Center & Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA. Correspondence to: Andrey Gromov <andrey.gromov@brown.edu>.

the network depth,  $L$ , becomes large. In functional terms, criticality ensures that after every step of SGD the network function receives an  $O(1)$  update, which implies that both NNGP and NTK remain  $O(1)$  (assuming that the learning rate is also  $O(1)$ ) as the network gets deeper (Roberts et al., 2021). Very deep networks will not train, unless initialized critically.

## 1.1. Results

We extend the past work by reformulating the criticality condition directly in the functional space. To that end, we introduce a set of partial Jacobians  $J_{ij}^{l_0, l}$  as follows

$$J_{ij}^{l_0, l}(x) = \frac{\partial h_j^l(x)}{\partial h_i^{l_0}(x)}. \quad (2)$$

To quantify the magnitude of the partial Jacobians we study their  $\mathbb{L}_2$  norm, averaged over parameter-initializations,  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}(x)$ , defined as

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}(x) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_j^l(x)}{\partial h_i^{l_0}(x)} \frac{\partial h_j^l(x)}{\partial h_i^{l_0}(x)} \right\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where the angular brackets denote averaging over parameter initializations. The Jacobian norm (*i.e.*  $\mathcal{J}^{0, l}(x)$ ) of trained feed-forward neural networks was studied in (Novak et al., 2018a), where it was correlated with generalization. Partial Jacobians with  $l_0 = l - 1$  were studied in the context of RNNs (Chen et al., 2018; Can et al., 2020), where they were referred to as *state-to-state* Jacobians.

In what follows, we show that criticality, studied previously in literature, occurs when the norms of these Jacobians either remain finite, or vanish *algebraically* as  $l$  becomes large. To prove this we derive the recurrence relation for  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}(x)$  in the limit  $N_l \rightarrow \infty$ ; and analyze it at large depth. Algebraic behaviour of partial Jacobians with depth is characterized by an architecture-dependent critical exponent,  $\zeta$ , so that  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}(x) \approx l^{-\zeta}$ . Such behaviour is familiar from statistical mechanics when a system is tuned to a critical point (Cardy, 1996). Away from criticality, there are two phases: ordered and chaotic. In the ordered phase partial Jacobians exponentially vanish with depth, whereas in the chaotic phase partial Jacobians grow exponentially. This dichotomy of phases holds for both bounded and unbounded activation functions.

We then apply the obtained results to analyze the effect of the LayerNorm on the network criticality. We find that LayerNorm ensures that NNGP kernel remains finite at any depth; and alters the criticality of  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}(x)$  (and, equivalently, NTK). Furthermore, we contrast two cases: (i) LayerNorm followed by non-linearity  $\phi$ , and (ii) non-linearity followed by LayerNorm. We find that these two cases are not equivalent. Specifically, the optimal initializations in

the two cases differ from each other, as well as from the case without LayerNorm. The former option allows training in a very wide range of parameters initializations, which makes it a better option for deep networks with a complex architecture. Finally, we show that all our theoretical results are in excellent agreement with empirical tests.

## 2. Recurrence relations

To discuss the phases quantitatively we derive the recurrence relations for the norm of partial Jacobians, as well as the norm of preactivations, in the limit of infinite width.

When  $N_l \rightarrow \infty$  for  $l = 1, \dots, L - 1$ , the averaging over parameter initializations can be expressed as the averaging over the Gaussian process  $h^l(x)$  with kernel  $\mathcal{K}^l(x, x')$ . That is, the expectation value of a general function of preactivations,  $\mathcal{O}(h^l(x))$ , is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(h_i^l(x)) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l(x, x)}} \int dh^l \mathcal{O}(h_i^l(x)) e^{-\frac{(h_i^l(x))^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l(x, x)}}. \quad (4)$$

When performing analytic calculations we use the infinite width convention, while in our experiments we average over initializations of  $w^l, b^l$ .

The norm of the preactivations coincides with the GP kernel evaluated on the diagonal

$$\mathcal{K}^l(x, x) = \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \langle h_i^l(x) h_i^l(x) \rangle. \quad (5)$$

The recurrence relations for the GP kernel and partial Jacobians take the form

$$\mathcal{K}^{l+1}(x, x) = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \langle \phi(h_i^l(x)) \phi(h_i^l(x)) \rangle + \sigma_b^2, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1}(x) = \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}(x), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \langle \phi'(h_i^l(x)) \phi'(h_i^l(x)) \rangle. \quad (8)$$

Eq. (6) is valid for finite  $N_l$ . It has appeared in the literature before (Lee et al., 2018). Eq. (7) is new and valid only in the limit of infinite width. It is derived in a manner similar to recurrence relation for NTK discussed in (Jacot et al., 2018). From here on we drop the explicit dependence on  $x$  to improve readability.

The means appearing in (6)-(8) are self-averaging and, therefore, deterministic. Consequently, we can drop the averaging over parameters

$$\frac{1}{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \phi(h_i^l)^2 \right\rangle_{N_l \rightarrow \infty} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \phi(h_i^l)^2. \quad (9)$$

Alternatively, we can drop the summation over  $i$  and take the average using (4). This observation will come particularly handy while analyzing the LayerNorm.

The expectation values that appear in (6)-(8) are evaluated using (4). They lead to explicit equations for the critical line and the critical point, summarized in Table 1. Details of these calculations as well as the derivation of (6)-(8) can be found in the Supplementary Material (SM). A subtlety emerges in Eq. (7) when  $l_0 = 0$ , where a correction of the order  $O(N_0^{-1})$  arises for non-scale invariant activation functions. This subtlety is discussed in the SM.

When the depth of the network becomes large, the  $l$ -dependence of the expressions in angular brackets (5),(8) saturate to a (possibly infinite) constant value; which means that  $\mathcal{K}^l$ ,  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l}$  and  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  have reached a fixed point. We denote the corresponding quantities as  $\mathcal{K}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,*}$ ,  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ . The existence of a fixed point is not obvious and should be checked on case by case basis. Fixed point analysis for  $\mathcal{K}^l$  was done in (Poole et al., 2016) for bounded activation functions and in (Roberts et al., 2021) for the general case. The stability is formulated in terms of

$$\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}^{l+1}}{\partial \mathcal{K}^l} \right|_{\mathcal{K}^l = \mathcal{K}^*}. \quad (10)$$

The norm of preactivations remains finite (or behaves algebraically) when  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = 1$ .

Eq. (7) nicely expresses  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l+1}$  as a linear function of  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l}$ . The behaviour of  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l+1}$  at large  $l$  is determined by  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$ . When  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l > 1$  partial Jacobians diverge exponentially, while for  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l < 1$  partial Jacobians vanish exponentially. Finite width deep networks are untrainable when initialized  $O(1)$  away from criticality. As shown later, there is a plateau in performance of MLP networks around the critical line, which is determined by an equation

$$\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1, \quad (11)$$

which is an implicit equation on  $\sigma_b, \sigma_w$ ; and generally outputs a critical line in  $\sigma_b$ - $\sigma_w$  plane. The parameter  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$  has to be calculated on case-by-case basis either using Eq. (8) or using the method presented in the next section. Everywhere on a critical line  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l}$  saturates to a constant or vanishes algebraically.

When the condition  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = 1$  is added, we are left with a critical point<sup>1</sup>. Critical lines and points, as well as critical exponents are summarized in Table 1. This analysis of criticality at infinite width agrees with (Roberts et al., 2021), where  $\chi_{\perp}$  is to be identified with  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ . In particular, condition (11) ensures that NTK is  $O(1)$  at initialization.

<sup>1</sup>Scale-invariant activation functions are more forgiving: away from the critical point  $\mathcal{K}^l$  scales algebraically with  $l$ .

Table 1. Critical lines and Jacobian critical exponents for various activation functions. The critical points are presented in the format  $(\sigma_w^*, \sigma_b^*)$ , while the critical lines are presented in the form of equations.

ACTIVATION	CRITICAL POINT/LINE	EXPONENT
RELU	$(\sqrt{2}, 0)$	0
SCALE-INVARIANT	$\left( \sqrt{\frac{2}{a_+^2 + a_-^2}}, 0 \right)$	0
ERF	$\left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}}, 0 \right)$	1
GELU	$(1.408, 0.416)$	66.668
LAYERNORM-RELU	$\sigma_b = 0$	0
RELU-LAYERNORM	$\sigma_b = 0.683\sigma_w$	0
LAYERNORM-ERF	$\sigma_b = 0.324\sigma_w$	0
ERF-LAYERNORM	EQ.(D.14)	0
LAYERNORM-GELU	$\sigma_b = 0.175\sigma_w$	0
GELU-LAYERNORM	EQ.(E.20)	0

## 2.1. Empirical diagnostic of criticality

Partial Jacobians provide a clear practical way to diagnose whether the network is critical or not. This method is ultimately rooted in the fact that in order to compute  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l}$  one does not have to propagate the signal through the entire network, collecting non-universal effects. Proper choice of  $l_0$  and  $l$  allows one to minimize the non-universal effects and cleanly extract  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ . This method can be straightforwardly implemented in PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) using hooks.

Recurrence relation (7), supplemented with the initial condition  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l_0+1} = \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l_0}$ , can be formally solved as

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l} = \prod_{\ell=l_0}^{l-1} \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{\ell}. \quad (12)$$

We would like to obtain an estimate of  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$  as accurately as possible. To that end, imagine that for some  $l' > l_0$  the fixed point has been essentially reached and  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l'} \approx \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ . Then the partial Jacobian

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l} = (\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*)^{l-l'-1} \cdot \prod_{\ell=l_0}^{l'} \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{\ell} \quad (13)$$

depends on the details of how the critical point is approached. These details are encoded in the last factor.

If the network is homogeneous, *i.e.* consists of repeating the same pattern of layers, then the larger  $l_0$  is the closer we are to the fixed point. The most economic choice of estimating  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$  is then to compute the partial Jacobian right next to the network output

$$\mathcal{J}^{L-2,L-1} \Big|_{L \rightarrow \infty} = \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*. \quad (14)$$

Eq. (14) is the central result of this section and will be heavily used in the remainder of this work.

Note that for deep networks and away from criticality the partial Jacobian norms take form

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l} \approx c_{l_0} e^{\pm \frac{l}{\xi}}, \quad \xi = \frac{1}{|\log \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*|}, \quad (15)$$

where  $c_{l_0}$  is a non-universal constant that depends on  $l_0$ ; the sign is positive in the chaotic phase and negative in the ordered phase.  $\xi$  has the meaning of correlation length: on the depth scale of a few  $\xi$  the gradients remain appreciable, and hence the network with the depth of a few  $\xi$  will train.

At criticality,  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$  and the correlation length diverges; indicating that gradients can propagate arbitrarily far. A more careful analysis of non-linear corrections shows that Jacobian norms at criticality sometimes show algebraic behaviour with depth and can still vanish in the infinite depth limit – but much slower than the ordered phase.

## 2.2. Scaling at a critical point

At criticality  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  saturates to a fixed value  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$ . If we are interested in a partial Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}$  with  $l - l_0 = O(L)$  then it is essential to know how exactly  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  approaches 1. Depending on this detail we may find that Jacobians saturate to a constant (which is not necessarily 1 and depends on  $l_0$  as well as the data), or behave *algebraically* as a function of depth. This behavior is characterized by a critical exponent  $\zeta$  mentioned in the introduction. Saturation to a constant implies that  $\zeta = 0$ . Generally we have

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}(x) = O(l^{-\zeta}). \quad (16)$$

We compute the critical exponents analytically in the limit of infinite width. Note that  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$ , given by (8), depends on  $\mathcal{K}^l$  by the virtue of (4). Consequently, Eqs. (6)-(8) are coupled through non-linear (in  $\mathcal{K}^l$  and  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}$ ) terms. These non-linear corrections are absent for ReLU activation function, but appear for other activation functions.

To compute the critical exponent we expand the recurrence relation (6) around fixed point  $\mathcal{K}^*$  to quadratic order in fluctuations  $\delta\mathcal{K}^l = \mathcal{K}^l - \mathcal{K}^*$  which is solved by  $\mathcal{K}^l = O(l^{-1})$ . Then  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  is given by

$$\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l = 1 - \frac{\zeta}{l}. \quad (17)$$

Thus, close to the critical point, partial Jacobian norms satisfy

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} = \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{l}\right) \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}, \quad (18)$$

which, at large  $l$ , is solved by

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l} = c_{l_0} \cdot l^{-\zeta}, \quad (19)$$

where  $c_{l_0}$  is a non-universal constant. Specific values of  $\zeta$  are presented in Table 1.

We checked the scaling empirically by plotting  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}$  vs.  $l$  in a log – log plot and fitting the slope. These results are presented in Fig.1. The agreement with infinite width calculation is excellent.




Figure 1. log-log plot of the partial Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}^{0, l}$  vs.  $l$  for Erf, ReLU activation functions. The critical exponent predicted from the infinite width analysis are in agreement with the data. The fluctuations get larger towards the output because the aspect ratio approaches  $1/4$ .

## 3. Layer normalization

The fact that critical initialization is concentrated on a single point  $(\sigma_w^*, \sigma_b^*)$  may appear unsettling because great care must be taken to initialize the network critically. The situation can be substantially improved by utilizing the normalization techniques known as LayerNorm (Ba et al., 2016) and GroupNorm (Wu & He, 2018). These techniques are used as alternatives to BatchNorm (Ioffe & Szegedy, 2015) whenever the batch size may vary during training. Infinite-width theory of BatchNorm was studied previously (Yang et al., 2018), where it was shown that, similarly to Dropout (Schoenholz et al., 2016), BatchNorm destroys criticality and the ordered phase; leaving only the chaotic phase. This renders very deep networks with BatchNorm untrainable (Yang et al., 2018). Unlike BatchNorm, LayerNorm *preserves criticality*. We will discuss LayerNorm in detail, while the (trivial) generalization to GroupNorm will be mentioned afterwards. The LayerNorm can act either on activations or preactivations. Depending on this choice criticality will occur on different critical *lines* in  $\sigma_b - \sigma_w$  plane. Furthermore, we will conclude that when LayerNorm is applied to *preactivations*<sup>2</sup> the correlation length is enhanced allowing to train much deeper networks even far away from criticality.

<sup>2</sup>In the original work (Ba et al., 2016) the LayerNorm was applied to activations.

### 3.1. LayerNorm on preactivations

The case when LayerNorm is applied to preactivations is the easiest to analyze. The LayerNorm action takes form

$$\tilde{h}_i^l = \frac{h_i^l - \mathbb{E}[h^l]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(h^l)^2] - \mathbb{E}[h^l]^2}}, \quad (20)$$

where we introduced  $\mathbb{E}[h^l] = \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} h_i^l$ . Note that at finite width symbols  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{O}]$  and  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$  perform different operations, while in the limit of infinite width they are equal due to self-averaging.




Figure 2. Phase diagrams for ReLU, Erf and GELU. Black line indicates the critical line obtained through an infinite width limit calculation; while the dot indicates the critical point. Prefix or suffix LN indicates whether LayerNorm was applied before or after activation function. Analytic expressions for the critical lines and points can be found in Table 1.

In the limit of infinite width  $\mathbb{E}[h^l] = 0$  and the denominator in (20) is the NNGP kernel  $\mathcal{K}^l$ , defined according to (5)

$$\tilde{h}_i^l = \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(h^l)^2]}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}^l}} h_i^l. \quad (21)$$

Normalized preactivations,  $\tilde{h}^l$ , are distributed according to  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  for all  $l, \sigma_w, \sigma_b$ . The norms are, therefore, *always* finite and the condition  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = 1$  is no longer relevant.

The recurrence relations (6)-(8) for the NNGP kernel and partial Jacobians are only slightly modified

$$\mathcal{K}^{l+1} = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \langle \phi(\tilde{h}_i^l)^2 \rangle + \sigma_b^2, \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}, \quad (23)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l \mathcal{K}^l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \langle \phi'(\tilde{h}_i^l)^2 \rangle. \quad (24)$$

Assuming that the value of  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  at the fixed point is  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ , the network is critical when

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1. \quad (25)$$

Eq.(24) leads to an important conclusion. The numerator and denominator both grow exponentially in chaotic phase and decay exponentially in the ordered phase. Their ratio, however, changes *very slowly* with  $l$ . Because of this  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^*$  remains close to 1 in a very wide range of parameters. Consequently, the correlation length  $\tilde{\xi} = |\log \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^*|^{-1}$  is *large* even far away from criticality. This leads to much higher trainability of relatively deep networks even away from criticality.

### 3.2. LayerNorm on activations

LayerNorm applied to activations takes form

$$\widetilde{\phi(h_i^l)} = \frac{\phi(h_i^l) - \mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)^2] - \mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)]^2}}. \quad (26)$$

To analyze (26) we take the limit of infinite width and *replace* the means with the averages over parameters as such

$$\widetilde{\phi(h_i^l)} = \frac{\phi(h_i^l) - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2}}, \quad (27)$$

where to lighten up the notation we used  $\langle \phi(h^l) \rangle = \frac{1}{N_l} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \phi(h_i^l) \rangle$ . The recurrence relations for the NNGP kernel and partial Jacobians takes form

$$\mathcal{K}^{l+1} = \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2, \quad (28)$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}, \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l = \sigma_w^2 \frac{\langle \phi'(h^l)^2 \rangle}{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2}. \quad (30)$$

At the fixed point we define  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^*$  as before. The fixed point is critical when

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1. \quad (31)$$

In all cases we discussed so far, the criticality condition can be evaluated analytically in the limit of infinite width. We find that this condition is remarkably accurate even for relatively narrow and deep networks.

### 3.3. Vanishing of critical exponents

Algebraic behaviour of partial Jacobians observed in section 2.2 disappears when the LayerNorm is introduced. This can be understood quantitatively in the limit of infinite width. We do not find any empirical deviations from this conclusion for finite width, large depth networks.

To see why this happens we examine Eqs. (24),(30). The expression for  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  contains the expectation values  $\langle \phi(\tilde{h}^l)\phi(\tilde{h}^l) \rangle$  and  $\langle \phi'(\tilde{h}^l)\phi'(\tilde{h}^l) \rangle$ , which, by the virtue of (22),(28), combined with (4), do not depend on  $l$ . Similar argument works for  $\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l$ . At finite width we find (empirically) that  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l, \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  quickly saturate to their fixed point values and do not cause algebraic correction to  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0,l}$ .




Figure 3. Performance of deep MLP networks at and away from criticality, with and without LayerNorm. The blue plateau, corresponding to LayerNorm applied to preactivations, continues to train at very large values of  $\sigma_w^2$  without the need to tune the learning rate.

### 3.4. GroupNorm

The GroupNorm is a generalization of LayerNorm (Wu & He, 2018), where the neurons in each layer are grouped together in several groups, and then normalized within each group separately. The LayerNorm is a particular case when the number of groups,  $N_g$ , is 1. When  $N_g = O(1)$  our analysis is not altered: the GroupNorm criticality is exactly the same as for LayerNorm. However, it is possible to scale the number of groups with the width. In this case, the critical line slightly changes, however analytic description of this effect is beyond the scope of this paper.

## 4. Experiments

We would like to understand the relevance of the theoretical results presented in the previous two sections to real finite width neural networks trained with SGD. First, we check that our infinite width analytic calculations agree with empirically calculated partial Jacobians. Second, we show that in the case of MLP architecture, the networks train only in the vicinity of the critical initialization. Finally, we demonstrate that LayerNorm acting on preactivations dramatically enhances the range of parameters where the network trains.

### 4.1. Phase diagrams

In this section we map out the phase diagrams in the  $\sigma_b$ - $\sigma_w$  plane for various activation functions with and without LayerNorm. Our main tool is Eq. (14). The partial Jacobians are calculated numerically for an MLP (with and without LayerNorm) with  $N_l = 1000, L = 50$  and averaged over initializations and inputs. The details are further elaborated in SM. The location of the critical line agrees remarkably well with our infinite width computations. Results are presented in Fig. 2. One fortunate outcome of both theory and experiment is that when LayerNorm is applied to preactivations, ReLU networks can still be initialized using He initialization (He et al., 2015), which in our conventions is  $(\sqrt{2}, 0)$ .




Figure 4. Correlation length is plotted as a function of  $\sigma_w^2$  for ReLU, Erf and GELU with and without LayerNorm. The lines correspond to theoretical values of  $\xi$  obtained from  $\chi^*$ , calculated in the limit of infinite width. When the LayerNorm is applied to preactivations the correlation length is larger and slowly saturates to a constant at large  $\sigma_w^2$ .

### 4.2. Effects of criticality on training

In this section we empirically investigate the performance of deep MLP networks around and away from criticality. We find that both training and generalization accuracy form a plateau around critical line  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$ . The width of the plateau is determined by how quickly the correlation depth  $\xi$  decreases away from criticality. When  $\xi$  is substantially smaller than the depth, the network does not train. When the network depth becomes larger the width of the plateau shrinks because only networks with large correlation lengths train.

The same conclusions hold true with the LayerNorm applied to activations. It exhibits a plateau  $\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$ . When LayerNorm is applied to preactivations, it substantially smoothen the dependence of  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^*$  on  $\sigma_w, \sigma_b$  as can be seen from Fig. 2, thereby increasing correlation length. Increased correlation length, (perhaps, aided by additional effects we cannot access analytically at present) leads to a dramatically (1-2 orders of magnitude) wider plateau at fixed depth.

We have further investigated the correlation length empirically in Fig. 4. When LayerNorm acts on preactivations

the correlation length tends to a finite value at large  $\sigma_w$  ensuring that shallow networks will train under an extremely broad variety of conditions, while deep networks train well even far from the critical lines as can be seen on Fig. 3. As mentioned previously, away from criticality the networks of depth  $c\xi$  will train. In the SM we have empirically investigated the coefficient  $c$  in the infinite width limit and found it is not affected by LayerNorm.

## 5. Conclusions

We have introduced the partial Jacobians as a tool to analyze the propagation of gradients through deep neural networks directly in the functional space. Using the partial Jacobian evaluated close to the output,  $\mathcal{J}^{L-2,L-1} \approx \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ , we have introduced a very simple empirical test for criticality that requires a single backward pass. We have also shown that criticality formulated in terms of partial Jacobians is equivalent to criticality studied previously in literature (Poole et al., 2016; Roberts et al., 2021). Partial Jacobians are likely to play an important role in quantifying the criticality of networks that consist of many different kinds of layers.

As an example of a more complex architecture we have studied the normalization layers, LayerNorm and GroupNorm, combined with MLP. In the limit of infinite width, we showed that (i) critical point becomes a critical line, making initialization much easier, (ii) the position of the critical line in  $\sigma_b$ - $\sigma_w$  plane differs from the critical line  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$  without LayerNorm. This implies that one should not use the same  $\sigma_w, \sigma_b$  after LayerNorm is introduced. We have checked experimentally that the critical lines obtained in the limit of infinite width are in excellent agreement with empirically obtained critical lines. Finally, we showed that training performance of MLP remains roughly the same on a small plateau around critical initialization. The width of the plateau is dramatically larger when the LayerNorm is applied to preactivations, because the correlation length  $\xi$  is the largest in this case. We expect that applying LayerNorm to preactivations combined with critical initialization (whenever possible) should ensure robustness and lessen the hyperparameter search.

## Acknowledgements

We thank T. Can, G. Gur-ari, B. Hanin, D. Roberts and S. Yaida for comments on the manuscript. D.D., T.H. and A.G. we supported, in part, by the NSF CAREER Award DMR-2045181 and by the Salomon Award.

## References

Aitken, K. and Gur-Ari, G. On the asymptotics of wide networks with polynomial activations. *arXiv preprint*

*arXiv:2006.06687*, 2020.

Allen-Zhu, Z., Li, Y., and Song, Z. A convergence theory for deep learning via over-parameterization. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 242–252. PMLR, 2019.

Andreassen, A. and Dyer, E. Asymptotics of wide convolutional neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.08675*, 2020.

Arora, S., Du, S. S., Hu, W., Li, Z., Salakhutdinov, R., and Wang, R. On exact computation with an infinitely wide neural net. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 8141–8150, 2019a.

Arora, S., Du, S. S., Li, Z., Salakhutdinov, R., Wang, R., and Yu, D. Harnessing the power of infinitely wide deep nets on small-data tasks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019b.

Ba, J. L., Kiros, J. R., and Hinton, G. E. Layer normalization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.06450*, 2016.

Can, T., Krishnamurthy, K., and Schwab, D. J. Gating creates slow modes and controls phase-space complexity in grus and lstms. In *Mathematical and Scientific Machine Learning*, pp. 476–511. PMLR, 2020.

Cardy, J. *Scaling and renormalization in statistical physics*, volume 5. Cambridge university press, 1996.

Chen, M., Pennington, J., and Schoenholz, S. Dynamical isometry and a mean field theory of rnns: Gating enables signal propagation in recurrent neural networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 873–882. PMLR, 2018.

Dyer, E. and Gur-Ari, G. Asymptotics of wide networks from feynman diagrams. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019.

Garriga-Alonso, A., Rasmussen, C. E., and Aitchison, L. Deep convolutional networks as shallow gaussian processes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.05587*, 2018.

Geiger, M., Jacot, A., Spigler, S., Gabriel, F., Sagun, L., d’Ascoli, S., Biroli, G., Hongler, C., and Wyart, M. Scaling description of generalization with number of parameters in deep learning. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2020(2):023401, 2020.

Hanin, B. Random neural networks in the infinite width limit as gaussian processes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.01562*, 2021.

- 
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., and Sun, J. Delving deep into rectifiers: Surpassing human-level performance on imagenet classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 1026–1034, 2015.
- Hron, J., Bahri, Y., Sohl-Dickstein, J., and Novak, R. Infinite attention: Nngp and ntk for deep attention networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 4376–4386. PMLR, 2020.
- Ioffe, S. and Szegedy, C. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 448–456. PMLR, 2015.
- Jacot, A., Gabriel, F., and Hongler, C. Neural tangent kernel: Convergence and generalization in neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.07572*, 2018.
- Krizhevsky, A. et al. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. 2009.
- Lee, J., Bahri, Y., Novak, R., Schoenholz, S. S., Pennington, J., and Sohl-Dickstein, J. Deep neural networks as gaussian processes. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.
- Lee, J., Xiao, L., Schoenholz, S., Bahri, Y., Novak, R., Sohl-Dickstein, J., and Pennington, J. Wide neural networks of any depth evolve as linear models under gradient descent. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32: 8572–8583, 2019.
- Lee, J., Schoenholz, S. S., Pennington, J., Adlam, B., Xiao, L., Novak, R., and Sohl-Dickstein, J. Finite versus infinite neural networks: an empirical study. 2020.
- Lewkowycz, A. and Gur-Ari, G. On the training dynamics of deep networks with  $l_2$  regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.08643*, 2020.
- Matthews, A. G. d. G., Hron, J., Rowland, M., Turner, R. E., and Ghahramani, Z. Gaussian process behaviour in wide deep neural networks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.
- Molgedey, L., Schuchhardt, J., and Schuster, H. G. Suppressing chaos in neural networks by noise. *Physical review letters*, 69(26):3717, 1992.
- Neal, R. M. Priors for infinite networks. In *Bayesian Learning for Neural Networks*, pp. 29–53. Springer, 1996.
- Novak, R., Bahri, Y., Abolafia, D. A., Pennington, J., and Sohl-Dickstein, J. Sensitivity and generalization in neural networks: an empirical study. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018a.
- Novak, R., Xiao, L., Bahri, Y., Lee, J., Yang, G., Hron, J., Abolafia, D. A., Pennington, J., and Sohl-dickstein, J. Bayesian deep convolutional networks with many channels are gaussian processes. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018b.
- Novak, R., Xiao, L., Hron, J., Lee, J., Alemi, A. A., Sohl-Dickstein, J., and Schoenholz, S. S. Neural tangents: Fast and easy infinite neural networks in python. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019.
- Novak, R., Xiao, L., Hron, J., Lee, J., Alemi, A. A., Sohl-Dickstein, J., and Schoenholz, S. S. Neural tangents: Fast and easy infinite neural networks in python. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020. URL <https://github.com/google/neural-tangents>.
- Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin, Z., Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L., Desmaison, A., Kopf, A., Yang, E., DeVito, Z., Raison, M., Tejani, A., Chilamkurthy, S., Steiner, B., Fang, L., Bai, J., and Chintala, S. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. In Wallach, H., Larochelle, H., Beygelzimer, A., d’Alché-Buc, F., Fox, E., and Garnett, R. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*, pp. 8024–8035. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019.
- Poole, B., Lahiri, S., Raghu, M., Sohl-Dickstein, J., and Ganguli, S. Exponential expressivity in deep neural networks through transient chaos. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 29:3360–3368, 2016.
- Roberts, D. A., Yaida, S., and Hanin, B. The principles of deep learning theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.10165*, 2021.
- Schoenholz, S. S., Gilmer, J., Ganguli, S., and Sohl-Dickstein, J. Deep information propagation. 2016.
- Shankar, V., Fang, A., Guo, W., Fridovich-Keil, S., Ragan-Kelley, J., Schmidt, L., and Recht, B. Neural kernels without tangents. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 8614–8623. PMLR, 2020.
- Tsuchida, R., Pearce, T., van der Heide, C., Roosta, F., and Gallagher, M. Avoiding kernel fixed points: Computing with elu and gelu infinite networks. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pp. 9967–9977, 2021.
- Williams, C. Computing with infinite networks. In Mozer, M. C., Jordan, M., and Petsche, T. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 9. MIT Press, 1997.



- 
- Wu, Y. and He, K. Group normalization. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pp. 3–19, 2018.
- Xiao, H., Rasul, K., and Vollgraf, R. Fashion-mnist: a novel image dataset for benchmarking machine learning algorithms, 2017.
- Xiao, L., Bahri, Y., Sohl-Dickstein, J., Schoenholz, S., and Pennington, J. Dynamical isometry and a mean field theory of cnns: How to train 10,000-layer vanilla convolutional neural networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 5393–5402. PMLR, 2018.
- Xiao, L., Pennington, J., and Schoenholz, S. Disentangling trainability and generalization in deep learning, 2020. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=Bkx1mxSKvB>.
- Yaida, S. Non-gaussian processes and neural networks at finite widths. In *Mathematical and Scientific Machine Learning*, pp. 165–192. PMLR, 2020.
- Yang, G. Scaling limits of wide neural networks with weight sharing: Gaussian process behavior, gradient independence, and neural tangent kernel derivation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.04760*, 2019a.
- Yang, G. Wide feedforward or recurrent neural networks of any architecture are gaussian processes. 2019b.
- Yang, G. and Hu, E. J. Tensor programs iv: Feature learning in infinite-width neural networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 11727–11737. PMLR, 2021.
- Yang, G., Pennington, J., Rao, V., Sohl-Dickstein, J., and Schoenholz, S. S. A mean field theory of batch normalization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.

## A. Experimental Details

We are using PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) library for our experiments.

Figure 1: We generated MNIST-like inputs from Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, 0.25)$ .  $\mathcal{J}^{0,l}$  data was averaged over 25 different parameter-initializations. Networks were initialized at corresponding critical points with depth  $L = 250$  and width  $N_l = 1000$ . The fitting was done with data points with  $l > 100$ .

Figure 2: All phase diagrams were plotted by using  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{L-1}$  generated from networks with  $L = 50$  and  $N_l = 1000$ .  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{L-1}$  data were averaged over 300 different parameter-initializations. Inputs were generated from a normal Gaussian distribution, arranged in MNIST-like shape.

Figure 3: In all cases, networks are trained using stochastic gradient descent with MSE. We used the Fashion MNIST dataset (Xiao et al., 2017). All networks had depth  $L = 50$  and width  $N_l = 500$ . The learning rates were logarithmically sampled

- within  $(10^{-8}, 10^6)$  for ReLU,  $(10^{-5}, 10)$  for LN-ReLU and ReLU-LN;
- within  $(10^{-5}, 1)$  for Erf, LN-Erf and Erf-LN;
- within  $(10^{-8}, 10)$  for GELU,  $(10^{-3}, 10)$  for LN-GELU and GELU-LN, where  $\lambda_{\max}$  is the largest eigenvalue of NTK for each  $\sigma_w$ .

Figure 4: We used the same empirical data used in 4, with analytical data generated from our theories.

## B. Technical details

We will drop the dependence of  $h_i^l(x)$  on  $x$  throughout the Appendices. It should not cause any confusion since we are *always* considering a single input.

### B.1. NNGP Kernel

First, we derive the recurrence relation for NNGP kernel Eq.(6). As mentioned in main text, weights and biases are initialized (independently) from standard normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . We then have

$$\langle w_{ij}^l w_{mn}^l \rangle = \delta_{im} \delta_{jn} \text{ and } \langle b_i^l b_j^l \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

by definition.

Starting from the definition given in Eq.(5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^{l+1} &\equiv \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \langle h_i^{l+1} h_i^{l+1} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} w_{ij}^{l+1} \phi(h_j^l) + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} w_{ik}^{l+1} \phi(h_k^l) + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} w_{ij}^{l+1} w_{ik}^{l+1} \phi(h_j^l) \phi(h_k^l) + \sigma_b^2 b_i^{l+1} b_i^{l+1} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \phi(h_j^l) \phi(h_j^l) + \sigma_b^2 \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \langle \phi(h_j^l) \phi(h_j^l) \rangle + \sigma_b^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Next we evaluate the expectation over activations for an arbitrary layer  $l$ .

In infinite width limit, each preactivation  $h_i^l(x)$  is an i.i.d. Gaussian random variable; sampled from the distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{K}^l(x, x))$ . In this case, averaging over parameters is equivalent to averaging over the preactivation distribution (Roberts et al., 2021).

$$\langle \phi(h_i^l) \phi(h_i^l) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l \phi(h_i^l) \phi(h_i^l) e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

This integral can be evaluated explicitly for several choices of activation functions.

## B.2. Jacobians

Next, we show a detailed derivation of the recurrence relations for partial Jacobians Eq.(7).

We start from the definition of the partial Jacobian ( $l > l_0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} &\equiv \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_k^l} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N_i} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_m^l} \frac{\partial h_m^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \sum_{k,m=1}^{N_i} \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} w_{ik}^{l+1} \phi'(h_k^l) \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} w_{im}^{l+1} \phi'(h_m^l) \right) \left( \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_m^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \sum_{k,m=1}^{N_i} w_{ik}^{l+1} w_{im}^{l+1} \phi'(h_k^l) \phi'(h_m^l) \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_m^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \left\langle \phi'(h_k^l) \phi'(h_k^l) \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \left\langle \phi'(h_k^l) \phi'(h_k^l) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

In the infinite width limit, the sum over neurons in a layer self-averages due to the law of large numbers. This allows us to represent the expectation value of a product as product of expectation values. (This holds for  $l_0 \neq 0$ . We will show momentarily that the  $l_0 = 0$  case acquires corrections due to finite input width  $N_0$ ). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} &= \sigma_w^2 \langle \phi'(h_k^l) \phi'(h_k^l) \rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sigma_w^2 \langle \phi'(h_k^l) \phi'(h_k^l) \rangle \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l} \\ \implies \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} &= \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  is defined by Eq.(8).

The critical line is defined by requiring  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$ , where critical points are reached by further requiring  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = 1$ .

As we mentioned in main text,  $l_0 = 0$  is subtle since the input dimension is fixed  $N_0$ , which can not be assumed to be infinity. Even though for dataset like MNIST, usually  $N_0$  is not significantly smaller than width  $N_l$ . We show how to take finite  $O(N_0^{-1})$  correction into account by using one example.

First we take  $\mathcal{J}^{0,2}$ .

$$\mathcal{J}^{0,2} = \frac{1}{N_2} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \frac{\partial h_i^2}{\partial h_j^0} \frac{\partial h_i^2}{\partial h_j^0} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{N_2} \left\langle \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \sum_{k,m=1}^{N_1} w_{ik}^2 w_{im}^2 \phi'(h_k^1) \phi'(h_m^1) \frac{\partial h_k^1}{\partial h_j^0} \frac{\partial h_m^1}{\partial h_j^0} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \sum_{k,m=1}^{N_1} \frac{\sigma_w^4}{N_0 N_1} \langle w_{ik}^2 w_{im}^2 w_{kj}^1 w_{mj}^1 \phi'(h_k^1) \phi'(h_m^1) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} \frac{\sigma_w^4}{N_0 N_1} \langle w_{kj}^1 w_{kj}^1 \phi'(h_k^1) \phi'(h_k^1) \rangle \\
&= \sigma_w^2 \left( \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^1 + \frac{2\sigma_w^2}{N_0} \chi_{\Delta}^1 \sum_k \frac{1}{N_0} h_k^0 h_k^0 \right) \\
&= \left( \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^1 + \frac{2\sigma_w^2}{N_0} \chi_{\Delta}^1 \sum_k \frac{1}{N_0} h_k^0 h_k^0 \right) \mathcal{J}^{0,1}, \tag{B.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where to get the result we used integrate by parts, then explicitly integrated over  $w_{ij}^1$ . With a new quantity we defined is

$$\chi_{\Delta}^l = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \langle \phi''(h_i^l) \phi''(h_i^l) + \phi'''(h_i^l) \phi'(h_i^l) \rangle. \tag{B.7}$$

Notice that the correction is order  $O(N_0^{-1})$ . If one calculate the recurrence relation for deeper layers, the correction to  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  will be  $O(N_l^{-1})$ , which means it can be ignored in infinite width limit. The  $\mathcal{J}^{0,2}$  example justified factorization of the integral when we go from the last line of Eq.(B.4) to Eq.(B.5).

Finally, the full jacobian in infinite width limit can be written as

$$\mathcal{J}^{0,l} = \sigma_w^2 \left( \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^1 + \frac{2\sigma_w^2}{N_0} \chi_{\Delta}^1 \sum_k \frac{1}{N_0} h_k^0 h_k^0 \right) \prod_{l'=2}^{l-1} \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l'}, \tag{B.8}$$

where any partial jacobian with  $l_0 > 0$  does not receive an  $O(N_0^{-1})$  correction.

### B.3. NTK

The NTK is defined as

$$\Theta^l = \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^l \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right\rangle. \tag{B.9}$$

To calculate the recurrence relation for NTK, we first isolate the  $l' = l$  term in Eq.(B.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_l} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^l} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^l} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_l} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_{l-1}} \delta_{ia} \phi(h_b^{l-1}) \delta_{ia} \phi(h_b^{l-1}) + \sum_{c=1}^{N_l} \delta_{ic} \delta_{ic} \sigma_b^2 \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_{l-1}} \phi(h_b^{l-1}) \phi(h_b^{l-1}) + \sigma_b^2 \right\rangle \\
&= \mathcal{K}^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

$l = 1$  is a special case such that  $\Theta^1 = \mathcal{K}^1$ .

Next, consider all the terms with  $l' < l$

$$\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} w_{ij}^{l'} w_{ik}^{l'} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(h_j^{l-1})}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(h_k^{l-1})}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(h_j^{l-1})}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(h_k^{l-1})}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(h_j^{l-1})}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(h_j^{l-1})}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(h_j^{l-1})}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(h_j^{l-1})}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(h_j^{l-1}) \phi'(h_j^{l-1}) \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&\rightarrow \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(h_j^{l-1}) \phi'(h_j^{l-1}) \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} \Theta^{l-1}, \tag{B.11}
\end{aligned}$$

where to get the last two lines, we first use self-averaging when sum over  $b$  and  $c$ , which is guaranteed by the law of large numbers. Then we just use definition of  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1}$ .

The recurrence relation for NTK in the infinite width limit is obtained by adding the two pieces in Eqs. (B.10),(B.11) together.

$$\Theta^l = \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l \Theta^{l-1} + \mathcal{K}^{l-1}. \tag{B.12}$$

Notice that the recurrence coefficient in Eq.(B.12) is the same as that in the recurrence relation for Jacobian.

#### B.4. LayerNorm on Pre-activations

The general definition of LayerNorm on preactivations is given by

$$\tilde{h}_i^l = \frac{h_i^l - \mathbb{E}[h^l]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(h^l)^2] - \mathbb{E}[h^l]^2}} \gamma_i^l + \beta_i^l, \tag{B.13}$$

where  $\gamma_i^l$  and  $\beta_i^l$  are learnable parameters that take the values 1 and 0, respectively, at initialization. This leads to the form in equation (20). In the limit of infinite width, using the law of large numbers, the average over neurons  $\mathbb{E}[O]$  can be replaced by the average of parameter-initializations  $\langle O \rangle$ . Additionally, in this limit, the preactivations are i.i.d. Gaussian distributed:  $h^l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{K}^l)$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[h^l] = \langle h^l \rangle = 0, \tag{B.14}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(h^l)^2] = \langle (h^l)^2 \rangle = \mathcal{K}^l. \tag{B.15}$$

The normalized preactivation then simplifies to the form of Eq.(21).

LayerNorm modifies the recurrence relation for preactivations (Eq.(1)) to

$$h_i^{l+1} = \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} w_{ij}^{l+1} \phi(\tilde{h}_j^l) + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1}. \tag{B.16}$$

This, in turn, modifies the recurrence relations for NNGP kernel, Jacobian and NTK. (see Eqs.(22)-(24))

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}^{l+1} &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \langle h_i^{l+1} h_i^{l+1} \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} w_{ij}^{l+1} \phi(\tilde{h}_j^l) + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} w_{ik}^{l+1} \phi(\tilde{h}_k^l) + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \langle \phi(\tilde{h}_j^l) \phi(\tilde{h}_j^l) \rangle + \sigma_b^2. \tag{B.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial \tilde{h}_k^l} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_k^l}{\partial h_k^l} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N_l} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial \tilde{h}_m^l} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_m^l}{\partial h_m^l} \frac{\partial h_m^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \sum_{k,m=1}^{N_l} \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} w_{ik}^{l+1} \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}^l}} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} w_{im}^{l+1} \phi'(\tilde{h}_m^l) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}^l}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_m^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l \mathcal{K}^l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l \mathcal{K}^l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \right\rangle \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l} \\
&= \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}, \tag{B.18}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  is defined as in Eq.(24).

Note that the evaluation of  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}$  requires taking Gaussian average similar to the cases without LayerNorm. The key difference here is that the average is taken over the distribution of  $\tilde{h}^l(x) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^l = 1)$ . This can be summarized by the following substitution

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l O(h_i^l) e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\tilde{h}_i^l O(\tilde{h}_i^l) e^{-\frac{(\tilde{h}_i^l)^2}{2}}. \tag{B.19}$$

If the learnable LayerNorm parameters  $\gamma_i^l$  and  $\beta_i^l$  are switched on, then the NTK gets contributions from them in addition to the terms in Eq.(B.9).

$$\Theta^l = \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^l \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \right\rangle \tag{B.20}$$

To evaluate the NTK, we divide Eq.(B.20) into three pieces. From the terms involving gradients w.r.t the weights  $w_{ab}^{l'}$  and biases  $b_c^{l'}$ , we isolate the term with  $l' = l$ . From the terms involving gradients w.r.t the LayerNorm parameters  $\gamma_a^{l'}$  and  $\beta_b^{l'}$ , we isolate the term with  $l' = l - 1$ . All the rest of the terms are grouped together. Consider the isolated term with  $l' = l$  first.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_l} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^l} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^l} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_{l-1}} \phi(\tilde{h}_b^{l-1}) \phi(\tilde{h}_b^{l-1}) + \sigma_b^2 \right\rangle \\
&= \mathcal{K}^l. \tag{B.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the isolated term involving gradients w.r.t LayerNorm parameters, with  $l' = l$ .

$$\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} w_{ij}^l w_{ik}^l \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_k^{l-1})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_k^{l-1})}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_j^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_j^{l-1}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_j^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_j^{l-1}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) (\tilde{h}_j^{l-1} \tilde{h}_j^{l-1} + 1) \right\rangle \\
&= \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} + \sigma_w^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \tilde{h}_j^{l-1} \tilde{h}_j^{l-1} \right\rangle \\
&= 2\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} + \sigma_w^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi''(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi''(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \right\rangle + \sigma_w^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'''(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \right\rangle \\
&= 2\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} + 2\chi_{\Delta}^{l-1}, \tag{B.22}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_{\Delta}^l$  is defined as in Eq.(B.7).

Next, we consider all the remaining terms in Eq.(B.20).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-2} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} w_{ij}^{l'} w_{ik}^{l'} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_k^{l-1})}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_k^{l-1})}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-2} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} w_{ij}^{l'} w_{ik}^{l'} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_k^{l-1})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_k^{l-1})}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-2} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1})}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \sum_{l'=1}^{l-2} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}^{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-2} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_\nu} \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}^{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}^{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu'}} \right) \right\rangle \\
& = \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l \mathcal{K}^{l-1}} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \phi'(\tilde{h}_j^{l-1}) \right\rangle \left( \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_\nu} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_\nu} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{\nu'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{\nu'}} \right) \right\rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-2} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_\nu} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu'}} \right) \right\rangle \right) \\
& = \frac{\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1}}{\mathcal{K}^{l-1}} \Theta^{l-1} \\
& = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} \Theta^{l-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.23}$$

Now we combine the terms in Eqs. (B.21), (B.22) and (B.23) to obtain the recurrence relation for NTK.

$$\Theta^l = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} \Theta^{l-1} + \mathcal{K}^l + 2\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} + 2\chi_{\Delta}^{l-1}. \tag{B.24}$$

### B.5. LayerNorm on activations

The general definition of LayerNorm on activations is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\phi(h_i^l)} & = \frac{\phi(h_i^l) - \mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)^2] - \mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)]^2}} \gamma_i^l + \beta_i^l \\
& = \frac{\phi(h_i^l) - \mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)^2] - \mathbb{E}[\phi(h^l)]^2}} \\
& = \frac{\phi(h_i^l) - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{B.25}$$

where the second line (same as Eq.(27)) follows from the fact that at initialization, the parameters  $\gamma_i^l$  and  $\beta_i^l$  take the values 1 and 0 respectively. In the third line, we have invoked the infinite width limit.

The recurrence relation for preactivations (Eq.(1)) gets modified to

$$h_i^{l+1} = \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} w_{ij}^{l+1} \widetilde{\phi(h_j^l)} + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1}. \tag{B.26}$$

Next, we calculate the modifications to the recurrence relations for NNGP kernel, Jacobians and NTK.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}^{l+1} & = \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \langle h_i^{l+1} h_i^{l+1} \rangle \\
& = \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} w_{ij}^{l+1} \widetilde{\phi(h_j^l)} + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} w_{ik}^{l+1} \widetilde{\phi(h_k^l)} + \sigma_b b_i^{l+1} \right) \right\rangle \\
& = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \langle \widetilde{\phi(h_j^l)}^2 \rangle + \sigma_b^2 \\
& = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \left( \frac{\phi(h_j^l) - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2}} \right)^2 \right\rangle + \sigma_b^2
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \frac{(\phi(h_j^l) - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle)^2}{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2} \right\rangle + \sigma_b^2 \\
&= \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} &= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_k^l} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N_l} \frac{\partial h_i^{l+1}}{\partial h_m^l} \frac{\partial h_m^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_{l+1}} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{l+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \sum_{k,m=1}^{N_l} \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} w_{ik}^{l+1} \widetilde{\phi'(h_k^l)} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{N_l}} w_{im}^{l+1} \widetilde{\phi'(h_m^l)} \right) \left( \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_m^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \left\langle \widetilde{\phi'(h_k^l)} \widetilde{\phi'(h_k^l)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \frac{\partial h_k^l}{\partial h_j^{l_0}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \widetilde{\phi'(h_k^l)}^2 \right\rangle \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l} \\
&= \sigma_w^2 \frac{\langle \phi'(h^l)^2 \rangle}{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2} \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l} \\
&= \bar{\chi}_J^l \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l},
\end{aligned} \tag{B.28}$$

where  $\bar{\chi}_J^l$  is defined in Eq.(30).

Evaluate  $\bar{\chi}_J^l$  in this case is similar to cases in previous section. The only difference is the averages are taking over the distribution  $h^{l-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{K}^{l-1} = \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)$ . Again this can be summarized as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l O(h_i^l) e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} \int dh_i^l O(h_i^l) e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}}. \tag{B.29}$$

NTK in this case also acquires an additional contribution from the LayerNorm parameters  $\gamma_i^l$  and  $\beta_i^l$ .

$$\Theta^l = \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^l \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \right\rangle \tag{B.30}$$

We separate the terms into three pieces in the exact same manner as we did for Eq.(B.20).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_l} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^l} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^l} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^l} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_{l-1}} \widetilde{\phi(h_b^{l-1})} \widetilde{\phi(h_b^{l-1})} + \sigma_b^2 \right\rangle \\
&= \mathcal{K}^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.31}$$

$$\frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} w_{ij}^l w_{ik}^l \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_k^{l-1}})}{\partial \gamma_a^{l-1}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_k^{l-1}})}{\partial \beta_b^{l-1}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \left( \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \gamma_j^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \gamma_j^{l-1}} + \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \beta_j^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \beta_j^{l-1}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \left( \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \gamma_j^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \gamma_j^{l-1}} + \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \beta_j^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \beta_j^{l-1}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \left( \left( \frac{\phi(h_j^l) - \langle \phi(h^{l-1}) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2}} \right)^2 + 1 \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \frac{1}{\langle \phi(h^{l-1})^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^{l-1}) \rangle^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} (\phi(h_j^{l-1}) - \langle \phi(h^{l-1}) \rangle)^2 \right\rangle + \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \\
&= 2\sigma_w^2
\end{aligned} \tag{B.32}$$

Thus, the NTK is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta^l &= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-2} \left\langle \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_i^l}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} w_{ij}^{\nu} w_{ik}^{\nu} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_k^{\nu-1}})}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_k^{\nu-1}})}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-2} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} w_{ij}^{\nu} w_{ik}^{\nu} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_k^{\nu-1}})}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_k^{\nu-1}})}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-1} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-2} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{\nu-1}})}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})} \frac{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})}{\partial \phi(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}})} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-2} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \frac{1}{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{\nu}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{\nu}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \frac{1}{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-2} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{\nu}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{\nu-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{\nu}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2 N_{l-1}}{N_l} \frac{1}{\langle \phi(h^l)^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^l) \rangle^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \phi'(\widetilde{h_j^{l-1}}) \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \left( \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial w_{ab}^{l'}} + \sum_{c=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial b_c^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{l-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l-1}} \sum_{l'=1}^{l-2} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{N_{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \gamma_a^{l'}} + \sum_{b=1}^{N_{l'-1}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \frac{\partial h_j^{l-1}}{\partial \beta_b^{l'}} \right) \right\rangle \right) \\
& = \frac{\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1}}{\langle \phi(h^{l-1})^2 \rangle - \langle \phi(h^{l-1}) \rangle^2} \Theta^{l-1} \\
& = \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} \Theta^{l-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.33}$$

Now we combine the terms in Eqs. (B.31), (B.32) and (B.33) to obtain the recurrence relation for NTK.

$$\Theta^l = \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^{l-1} \Theta^{l-1} + \mathcal{K}^l + 2\sigma_w^2 \tag{B.34}$$

## C. Scale Invariant Activation Functions

Scale invariant activation functions are defined as

$$\phi(x) = a_+ x \Theta(x) + a_- x \Theta(-x), \tag{C.1}$$

where  $\Theta(x)$  is the Heaviside step function. ReLU is the special case with  $a_+ = 1$  and  $a_- = 0$ .

### C.1. NNGP

First evaluate the average in Eq.(B.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi(h_i^l) \phi(h_i^l) \rangle & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l (a_+^2 + a_-^2) (h_i^l)^2 e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\
& = \frac{a_+^2 + a_-^2}{2} \mathcal{K}^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Thus we obtain the recurrence relation for NNGP kernel with scale invariant activation function.

$$\mathcal{K}^{l+1} = \frac{\sigma_w^2 (a_+^2 + a_-^2)}{2} \mathcal{K}^l + \sigma_b^2. \tag{C.3}$$

Finite fixed point of the recurrence relation above exists only if

$$\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = \frac{\sigma_w^2 (a_+^2 + a_-^2)}{2} \leq 1. \tag{C.4}$$

As a result

$$\sigma_w^2 \leq \frac{2}{a_+^2 + a_-^2}. \tag{C.5}$$

For  $\sigma_w^2 = \frac{2}{a_+^2 + a_-^2}$  case, finite fixed point exists only if  $\sigma_b^2 = 0$ .

### C.2. Jacobian(s)

The calculation is quite straight forward, by definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l & = \sigma_w^2 \langle \phi'(h_i^l) \phi'(h_i^l) \rangle \\
& = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l [a_+ \Theta(h_i^l) - a_- \Theta(h_i^l)]^2 e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_w^2(a_+^2 + a_-^2)}{2}, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

where we used the property  $x\delta(x) = 0$  for Dirac's delta function to get the first line.

Thus the critical line is defined by

$$\sigma_w = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a_+^2 + a_-^2}}. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

For ReLU with  $a_+ = 1$  and  $a_- = 0$ , the network is at critical line when

$$\sigma_w = \sqrt{2}, \quad (\text{C.8})$$

where the critical point is located at

$$(\sigma_w, \sigma_b) = (\sqrt{2}, 0). \quad (\text{C.9})$$

### C.3. LayerNorm on Pre-activations

Use the substitution rule Eq.(B.19) and combine all known results of scale invariant functions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l &= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l \mathcal{K}^l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \right\rangle \Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{l-1}=1} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_w^2(a_+^2 + a_-^2)}{\sigma_w^2(a_+^2 + a_-^2) + 2\sigma_b^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.10})$$

For this case,

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l \leq 1 \quad (\text{C.11})$$

is always true. The equality only holds at  $\sigma_b = 0$  line.

### C.4. LayerNorm on Activations

First we substitute  $\mathcal{K}^{l-1} = \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2$  into known results

$$\langle \phi'(h_i^l) \phi'(h_i^l) \rangle = \frac{a_+^2 + a_-^2}{2}, \quad (\text{C.12})$$

$$\langle \phi(h_i^l) \phi(h_i^l) \rangle = \frac{a_+^2 + a_-^2}{2} (\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2). \quad (\text{C.13})$$

There is a new expectation value we need to show explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(h_i^l) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh_i^l \phi(h_i^l) e^{-\frac{1}{2} h_i^l (\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)^{-1} h_i^l} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} \int_0^{\infty} dh_i^l (a_+ - a_-) h_i^l e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} \\ &= (a_+ - a_-) \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2}{2\pi}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.14})$$

Thus

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2} \cdot \frac{\pi(a_+^2 + a_-^2)}{\pi(a_+^2 + a_-^2) - (a_+ - a_-)^2}. \quad (\text{C.15})$$

The critical line is defined by  $\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$ , which can be solved as

$$\sigma_b = \sqrt{\frac{(a_+ - a_-)^2}{\pi(a_+^2 + a_-^2) - (a_+ - a_-)^2}} \sigma_w. \quad (\text{C.16})$$

For ReLU with  $a_+ = 1$  and  $a_- = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_b &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi - 1}} \sigma_w \\ &\approx 0.683 \sigma_w.\end{aligned}\tag{C.17}$$

## D. Erf activation function

Erf activation function is defined as

$$\phi(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt.\tag{D.1}$$

### D.1. NNGP Kernel

To evaluate Eq.(B.3), we introduce two dummy variables  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  (Williams, 1997).

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi(\lambda_1 h_i^l) \phi(\lambda_2 h_i^l) \rangle &= \int d\lambda_1 \int d\lambda_2 \frac{d^2}{d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2} \langle \phi(\lambda_1 h_i^l) \phi(\lambda_2 h_i^l) \rangle \\ &= \int d\lambda_1 \int d\lambda_2 \int dh_i^l \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi^3 \mathcal{K}^l}} (h_i^l)^2 e^{-(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{K}^l})(h_i^l)^2} \\ &= \int d\lambda_1 \int d\lambda_2 \frac{4\mathcal{K}^l}{\pi (1 + 2\mathcal{K}^l(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2))} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{2\mathcal{K}^l \lambda_1 \lambda_2}{1 + 2\mathcal{K}^l(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{D.2}$$

where Eq.(B.3) is the special case with  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ .

Thus the recurrence relation for NNGP kernel with Erf activation function is

$$\mathcal{K}^{l+1} = \frac{2\sigma_w^2}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{2\mathcal{K}^l}{1 + 2\mathcal{K}^l} \right) + \sigma_b^2.\tag{D.3}$$

As in scale invariant case, finite fixed point only exists when

$$\chi_{\mathcal{K}^*}^* = \frac{4\sigma_w^2}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1 + 2\mathcal{K}^*)\sqrt{1 + 4\mathcal{K}^*}} \leq 1.\tag{D.4}$$

Numerical results show the condition is satisfied everywhere in  $\sigma_b - \sigma_w$  plane, where  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}^*}^* = 1$  is only possible when  $\mathcal{K}^* = 0$ .

### D.2. Jacobians

Follow the definition

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l &= \sigma_w^2 \langle \phi'(h_i^l) \phi'(h_i^l) \rangle \\ &= \frac{4\sigma_w^2}{\sqrt{2\pi^3 \mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l e^{-2(h_i^l)^2} e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\ &= \frac{4\sigma_w^2}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4\mathcal{K}^l}}.\end{aligned}\tag{D.5}$$

To find phase boundary  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$ , we need to combine Eq.(D.3) and Eq.(D.5) and evaluate them at  $\mathcal{K}^*$ .

$$\mathcal{K}^* = \frac{2\sigma_w^2}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{2\mathcal{K}^*}{1 + 2\mathcal{K}^*} \right) + \sigma_b^2,\tag{D.6}$$

$$\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = \frac{4\sigma_w^2}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4\mathcal{K}^*}} = 1.\tag{D.7}$$

One can solve equations above and find the critical line

$$\sigma_b = \sqrt{\frac{16\sigma_w^4 - \pi^2}{4\pi^2} - \frac{2\sigma_w^2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\frac{16\sigma_w^4 - \pi^2}{16\sigma_w^4 + \pi^2}\right)}. \quad (\text{D.8})$$

Critical point is reached by further requiring  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = 1$ . Since  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* \leq \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^*$ , the only possible case is  $\mathcal{K}^* = 0$ , which is located at

$$(\sigma_w, \sigma_b) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}}, 0\right). \quad (\text{D.9})$$

### D.3. LayerNorm on Pre-activations

Use the substitution rule Eq.(B.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l &= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l \mathcal{K}^l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \right\rangle \Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{l-1}=1} \\ &= \frac{4\sigma_w^2}{\sqrt{5} [2\sigma_w^2 \arcsin\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + \pi\sigma_b^2]}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.10})$$

The critical line is then defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi} \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} - \arcsin\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \right]} \sigma_w \\ &\approx 0.324\sigma_w. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.11})$$

### D.4. LayerNorm on Activations

Due to the symmetry of Erf activation function  $\langle \phi(h_i^l) \rangle = 0$ , we only need to modify our known results.

$$\langle \phi'(h_i^l) \phi'(h_i^l) \rangle = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}}, \quad (\text{D.12})$$

$$\langle \phi(h_i^l) \phi(h_i^l) \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\frac{2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}{1 + 2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}\right). \quad (\text{D.13})$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l = \frac{2\sigma_w^2}{\sqrt{1 + 4(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\arcsin\left(\frac{2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}{1 + 2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}\right)}, \quad (\text{D.14})$$

where the phase boundary is defined by the transcendental equation  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l = 1$ .

## E. GELU

GELU activation function is defined as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \frac{x}{2} \left[ 1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{x}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}} e^{-t^2} dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.1})$$

## E.1. NNGP Kernel

Evaluate Eq.(B.3) for GELU

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi(h_i^l) \phi(h_i^l) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l \frac{(h_i^l)^2}{4} \left[ 1 + \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right]^2 e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l \frac{(h_i^l)^2}{4} \left[ 1 + \operatorname{erf}^2 \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{32\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l (h_i^l)^2 \operatorname{erf}^2 \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathcal{K}^l}{\sqrt{32\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l \operatorname{erf}^2 \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\
&\quad + \frac{(\mathcal{K}^l)^2}{\sqrt{32\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l \left[ \operatorname{erf}' \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \operatorname{erf}' \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \operatorname{erf}'' \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathcal{K}^l}{2\pi} \left[ \arcsin \left( \frac{\mathcal{K}^l}{1+\mathcal{K}^l} \right) + \frac{2\mathcal{K}^l}{(1+\mathcal{K}^l)\sqrt{1+2\mathcal{K}^l}} \right], \tag{E.2}
\end{aligned}$$

where from the third line to the fourth line we used integrate by parts twice, and to get the last line we used results from Erf activations.

Thus the recurrence relation of NNGP Kernel is

$$\mathcal{K}^{l+1} = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{K}^l}{4} + \frac{(\mathcal{K}^l)^2}{2\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{\mathcal{K}^l}{1+\mathcal{K}^l} \right) + \frac{(\mathcal{K}^l)^3}{\pi(1+\mathcal{K}^l)\sqrt{1+2\mathcal{K}^l}} \right] \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2. \tag{E.3}$$

As a result

$$\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{4} + \frac{\sigma_w^2}{2\pi} \left[ \arcsin \left( \frac{\mathcal{K}^*}{1+\mathcal{K}^*} \right) + \frac{4(\mathcal{K}^*)^3 + 11(\mathcal{K}^*)^2 + 5\mathcal{K}^*}{(1+\mathcal{K}^*)^2(1+2\mathcal{K}^*)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]. \tag{E.4}$$

## E.2. Jacobians

Follow the definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l &= \sigma_w^2 \langle \phi'(h_i^l) \phi'(h_i^l) \rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2}} h_i^l}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right]^2 e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{K}^l}} \int dh_i^l \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{erf}^2 \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{h_i^l \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{h_i^l}{\sqrt{2}} \right) e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{e^{-(h_i^l)^2} (h_i^l)^2}{2\pi} \right] e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2\mathcal{K}^l}} \\
&= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{4} + \frac{\sigma_w^2}{2\pi} \left[ \arcsin \left( \frac{\mathcal{K}^l}{1+\mathcal{K}^l} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{K}^l(3+5\mathcal{K}^l)}{(1+\mathcal{K}^l)(1+2\mathcal{K}^l)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right], \tag{E.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where we dropped odd function terms to get the third line, and to get the last line we used known result for Erf in the second term, integrate by parts in the third term.

Here to get the critical line is harder. One can use the recurrence relation of NNGP kernel at fixed point  $\mathcal{K}^*$  and  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = 1$

$$\mathcal{K}^* = \left[ \frac{\sigma_w^2}{4} + \frac{\sigma_w^2 \mathcal{K}^*}{2\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{\mathcal{K}^*}{1+\mathcal{K}^*} \right) + \frac{\sigma_w^2 (\mathcal{K}^*)^2}{\pi(1+\mathcal{K}^*)\sqrt{1+2\mathcal{K}^*}} \right] \mathcal{K}^* + \sigma_b^2, \tag{E.6}$$

$$\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^* = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{4} + \frac{\sigma_w^2}{2\pi} \left[ \arcsin \left( \frac{\mathcal{K}^*}{1+\mathcal{K}^*} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{K}^*(3+5\mathcal{K}^*)}{(1+\mathcal{K}^*)(1+2\mathcal{K}^*)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right] = 1. \tag{E.7}$$

Cancel the arcsin term,  $\sigma_w$  and  $\sigma_b$  then can be written as a function of  $\mathcal{K}^*$

$$\sigma_w = 2 \left[ 1 + \frac{2\mathcal{K}^*(3+5\mathcal{K}^*)}{\pi(1+\mathcal{K}^*)(1+2\mathcal{K}^*)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{\mathcal{K}^*}{1+\mathcal{K}^*} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (\text{E.8})$$

$$\sigma_b = \frac{\mathcal{K}^*}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1+2\mathcal{K}^*)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \sigma_w. \quad (\text{E.9})$$

$$(\text{E.10})$$

One can then scan  $\mathcal{K}^*$  to draw the critical line.

In order to locate critical point, we further require  $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = 1$ . To locate the critical point, we solve  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^0 - \chi_{\mathcal{K}}^* = 0$  instead. We have

$$\frac{\sigma_w^2 [(\mathcal{K}^*)^3 - 3(\mathcal{K}^*)^2 - 2\mathcal{K}^*]}{2\pi(1+\mathcal{K}^*)^2(1+2\mathcal{K}^*)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0, \quad (\text{E.11})$$

which has two non-negative solutions out of three

$$\mathcal{K}^* = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{K}^* = \frac{3 + \sqrt{17}}{2}. \quad (\text{E.12})$$

One can then solve  $\sigma_b$  and  $\sigma_w$  by plugging corresponding  $\mathcal{K}^*$  values.

$$(\sigma_w, \sigma_b) = (2, 0), \text{ for } \mathcal{K}^* = 0, \quad (\text{E.13})$$

$$(\sigma_w, \sigma_b) \approx (1.408, 0.416), \text{ for } \mathcal{K}^* = \frac{3 + \sqrt{17}}{2}. \quad (\text{E.14})$$

### E.3. LayerNorm on Pre-activations

Use the same substitution rule Eq.(B.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l &= \frac{\sigma_w^2}{N_l \mathcal{K}^l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \left\langle \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \phi'(\tilde{h}_k^l) \right\rangle \Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{l-1}=1} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_w^2 (6\pi + 4\sqrt{3})}{\sigma_w^2 (6\pi + 3\sqrt{3}) + 18\pi\sigma_b^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.15})$$

The critical line is then at

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_b &= \left( 6\sqrt{3}\pi \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_w \\ &\approx 0.175\sigma_w. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.16})$$

### E.4. LayerNorm on Activations

First we need to evaluate a new expectation value

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(h_i^l) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} \int dh_i^l \frac{h_i^l}{2} \left[ 1 + \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] e^{-\frac{(h_i^l)^2}{2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2}{\sqrt{2\pi(1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.17})$$

where we used integrate by parts to get the result.

The other integrals are modified to

$$\langle \phi'(h_i^l) \phi'(h_i^l) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \arcsin \left( \frac{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2}{1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2} \right) + \frac{(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)[3 + 5(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)]}{(1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)[1 + 2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right], \quad (\text{E.18})$$



$$\langle \phi(h_i^l) \phi(h_i^l) \rangle = \frac{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2}{4} + \frac{(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)^2}{2\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2}{1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2} \right) + \frac{(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)^3}{\pi(1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)\sqrt{1 + 2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}}. \quad (\text{E.19})$$

One can then combine those results to find  $\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l$

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l = \frac{\sigma_w^2 (1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2) \left[ \pi + 2 \arcsin \left( \frac{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2}{1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2} \right) + \frac{2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)(3 + 5(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2))}{(1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)(1 + 2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]}{\pi(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)(1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2) - 2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)^2 + \frac{4(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)^2}{\sqrt{1 + 2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)}} + 2(\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2)(1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2) \arcsin \left( \frac{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2}{1 + \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_b^2} \right)}. \quad (\text{E.20})$$

The critical line defined by  $\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l = 1$ , one can numerically solve it by scanning over  $\sigma_b$  and  $\sigma_w$ .

## F. Critical Exponents

### F.1. Erf

We show how to extract critical exponents of NNGP kernel and jacobian of Erf activation function (Roberts et al., 2021).

Critical point for Erf is at  $(\sigma_b, \sigma_w) = (0, \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}})$ , with  $\mathcal{K}^* = 0$ . Now suppose  $l$  is large enough such that the deviation of  $\mathcal{K}^l$  from fixed point value  $\mathcal{K}^*$  is small. Define  $\delta\mathcal{K}^l \equiv \mathcal{K}^l - \mathcal{K}^*$ . Eq.(D.3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{K}^{l+1} &= \frac{1}{2} \arcsin \left( \frac{2\delta\mathcal{K}^l}{1 + 2\delta\mathcal{K}^l} \right) \\ &\approx \delta\mathcal{K}^l - 2(\delta\mathcal{K}^l)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.1})$$

Use power law ansatz  $\delta\mathcal{K}^l = Al^{-\zeta}$

$$(l+1)^{-\zeta} = l^{-\zeta} - 2Al^{-2\zeta}. \quad (\text{F.2})$$

Multiply by  $l^\zeta$  on both side and use Taylor expansion  $(\frac{l}{l+1})^\zeta \approx 1 - \frac{\zeta}{l}$

$$\frac{\zeta}{l} = 2Al^{-\zeta}. \quad (\text{F.3})$$

For arbitrary  $l$ , the only non-trivial solution of the equation above is

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \zeta = 1. \quad (\text{F.4})$$

Next we analyze critical exponent of jacobians by expanding (D.5) around  $\mathcal{K}^* = 0$  critical point  $(\sigma_b, \sigma_w) = (0, \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}})$ .

To leading order  $l^{-1}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l &\approx 1 - 2\delta\mathcal{K}^l \\ &\approx 1 - \frac{1}{l}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.5})$$

Thus the recurrence relation for partial Jacobian, at large  $l$ , takes form

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l}. \quad (\text{F.6})$$

Consider a sufficiently large fixed  $l_\Lambda$ , with  $l \gg l_\Lambda$ . We write recurrence relations of jacobians as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l_\Lambda+l} &= \prod_{l'=l_\Lambda}^{l_\Lambda+l-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{l'}\right) \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l_\Lambda} \\ &= \frac{l_\Lambda - 1}{l + l_\Lambda - 1} \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l_\Lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F.7})$$

where  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, \star} = 0$  is clearly the fixed point.

Expand around that fixed point

$$\delta \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l} = c_{l_0} l^{-1}, \quad (\text{F.8})$$

with a non-universal constant  $c_{l_0}$ .

The critical exponent is

$$\zeta = 1, \quad (\text{F.9})$$

which is the same as  $\delta K^l$ .

## F.2. GELU

GELU behaves in a different way compare to Erf. First we discuss the  $\mathcal{K}^\star = 0$  critical point, which is located at  $(\sigma_b, \sigma_w) = (0, 2)$ . We expand Eq.(E.3), and keep next to leading order  $\delta \mathcal{K}^l = \mathcal{K}^l - \mathcal{K}^\star$

$$\delta \mathcal{K}^{l+1} \approx \delta \mathcal{K}^l + \frac{6}{\pi} (\delta \mathcal{K}^l)^2. \quad (\text{F.10})$$

Use power law ansatz  $\delta \mathcal{K}^l = A l^{-\zeta}$

$$(l+1)^{-\zeta} = l^{-\zeta} + \frac{6}{\pi} A l^{-2\zeta}. \quad (\text{F.11})$$

Multiply by  $l^\zeta$  on both side and use Taylor expansion  $(\frac{l}{l+1})^\zeta \approx 1 - \frac{\zeta}{l}$

$$\frac{\zeta}{l} = -\frac{6A}{\pi} l^{-\zeta}. \quad (\text{F.12})$$

For arbitrary  $l$ , the only non-trivial solution of the equation above is

$$A = -\frac{\pi}{6} \text{ and } \zeta = 1, \quad (\text{F.13})$$

which is not possible since  $\delta \mathcal{K}^l \geq 0$  for this case. This result means scaling analysis is not working here.

Next, we consider the other fixed point with  $\mathcal{K}^\star = \frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}$  at  $(\sigma_b, \sigma_w) = (0.416, 1.408)$ . Expand NNGP kernel recurrence relation again.

$$\delta \mathcal{K}^{l+1} \approx \delta \mathcal{K}^l + 0.00014 (\delta \mathcal{K}^l)^2. \quad (\text{F.14})$$

Following the same analysis, we find

$$\delta \mathcal{K}^l \approx -7142.9 l^{-1}. \quad (\text{F.15})$$

Looks like scaling analysis works for this case, since  $\mathcal{K}^\star > 0$ . The solution shows that the critical point is half-stable (Roberts et al., 2021). If  $\mathcal{K}^l < \mathcal{K}^\star$ , the fixed point is repelling, while when  $\mathcal{K}^l > \mathcal{K}^\star$ , the fixed point is attractive. However, the extremely large coefficient in the scaling behavior of  $\delta \mathcal{K}^l$  embarrasses the analysis. Since for any network with a reasonable depth, the deviation  $\delta \mathcal{K}^l$  is not small.

Now we can expand  $\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l$  at some large depth, up to leading order  $l^{-1}$ .

$$\chi_{\mathcal{J}}^l \approx 1 - \frac{66.668}{l}. \quad (\text{F.16})$$

Consider a sufficiently large fixed  $l_\Lambda$ , with  $l \gg l_\Lambda$ . We write recurrence relations of jacobians as

$$\mathcal{J}^{l_0, l_\Lambda+l} = \prod_{l'=l_\Lambda}^{l_\Lambda+l-1} \left( 1 - \frac{66.668}{l'} \right) \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l_\Lambda}, \quad (\text{F.17})$$

where  $\mathcal{J}^{l_0, \star} = 0$  is clearly the fixed point.

Then

$$\delta \mathcal{J}^{l_0, l} \approx c_{l_0} l^{-66.668}, \quad (\text{F.18})$$

where  $c_{l_0}$  is a positive non-universal constant. Which tells us the critical exponent of this system is not traceable with only leading order corrections.

## G. Additional Experimental Results

In figure 5, we showed empirically that the critical exponent of partial Jacobians are vanished for Erf with LayerNorm.




Figure 5.  $\log - \log$  plot of partial Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}^{0,l}$  vs.  $l$  for LN-Erf and Erf-LN.

In figure 6, we tested  $6k$  samples from CIFAR-10 dataset (Krizhevsky et al., 2009) with kernel regression based on neural tangents library (Novak et al., 2019) (Lee et al., 2019) (Novak et al., 2020). Test accuracy from kernel regression reflects the trainability with SGD in ordered phase. We found that the trainable depth is predicted by the correlation length  $c\xi$  with LayerNorm applied to preactivations, where the prefactor  $c = 28$ . The prefactor we had is the same as vanilla cases in (Xiao et al., 2020). The difference is from the fact that they used  $\log_{10}$  and we used  $\log_e$ .




Figure 6. Test accuracy for LayerNorm applied to preactivations.  $\sigma_b^2 = 0.5$  for all cases. Correlation lengths calculated using analytical results of  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{J}}^l$ .

In figure 7 we compare the training performances of MLP networks with Erf activation function, with and without LayerNorm.  $\sigma_b^2 = 0.1$ ; and  $\sigma_w^2$  are chosen so as to keep the correlation depth  $\xi$  fixed at 6.0. The networks are trained using SGD on Fashion MNIST. The network has with  $L = 500$ . The learning rates are chosen based on a logarithmic scan with short training time.




Figure 7. Training performances of MLP networks as a function of network depth, at fixed correlation length  $\xi = 6.0$ .  $\sigma_w^2$  are taken to be 2.276, 5.735 and 1.005 for Erf without LayerNorm, Erf with LayerNorm on preactivations and Erf with LayerNorm on activations, respectively.  $\sigma_b^2 = 0.1$  in all three cases.

In figure 8, we explore the broad range in  $\sigma_w^2$  of the performance of MLP network with Erf activation function and LayerNorm on preactivations. The network has depth  $L = 50$  and width  $N_l = 500$ ; and is trained using SGD on Fashion MNIST. The learning rates are chosen based on a logarithmic scan with a short training time.




Figure 8. Training performance of MLP networks with Erf activation function; and LayerNorm applied to preactivations. It continues to train for several orders of magnitude of  $\sigma_w^2$  (with learning-rate tuning).