

Fourier inversion theorems for integral transforms involving Bessel functions

Alexey Gorshkov

Abstract

Usually such area of mathematics as differential equations acts as a consumer of results given by functional analysis. This article will give an example of the reverse interaction of these two fields of knowledge. Namely, the derivation and study of integral transforms will be carried out using partial differential equations. We will study generalised Weber-Orr transforms - its invertibility theorems in $f \in L_1 \cap L_2$, spectral decomposition, Plancherel-Parseval identity. These transforms possess nontrivial kernel, so spectral decomposition must involve not only continuous spectrum, but also eigen functions which correspond to zero eigen value. We give a new approach to the study of classical and generalized Weber-Orr transforms with a complete derivation of the inversion formulas.

Primary 76D07; Secondary 33C10

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Classical Weber-Orr Transform $W_{k,k}[\cdot]$	3
2.1	Initial boundary value problem	4
2.2	Proof of the inversion theorem	9
3	Weber-Orr transform $W_{k,k\pm 1}[\cdot]$	9
3.1	Initial-boundary value problem	12
3.2	Proof of the inversion theorem	17

1 Introduction

Most of Fourier transforms are based on generalised eigen functions associated with some differential operator A and its inversion formulas represent the spectrum decomposition of A . If A obtains complete system of generalised eigen functions and $\ker(A) = \{0\}$ then inversion property as well as Plancherel theorem become valid for corresponding Fourier transform.

But A with nontrivial kernel breaks down all basic properties of the Fourier transform F . Thus transform F also gets nontrivial kernel. In order to complete the system of generalised eigen functions one should add ordinary eigen functions $\{e_k\}$ associated with eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$. And Plancherel equity doesn't hold

until we complete it by the sum of squares of the Fourier coefficients gaining Plancherel-Parseval type identity like

$$\|f\|^2 = \|F[f]\|^2 + \sum_k (f, e_k)^2. \quad (1)$$

This article is devoted to transforms involving Bessel functions. Here we deduce invertibility theorems for Weber-Orr transforms even when some of them possess nontrivial kernel and prove Plancherel-Parseval identity above. Applying presented methods one could obtain similar proofs for Hankel transform as well as for other ones involving Bessel functions.

The inversion formulas for the Weber-Orr transforms, since the first publication of these transforms by Weber in 1873, have traditionally been given under the assumption of a limited variation for the original function f . In paper [3] published in 1923 Titchmarsh proved inversion theorem under the assumption of bounded variation of f :

$$\frac{f(r-0) + f(r+0)}{2} = W_{k,k}^{-1} [W_{k,k}[f]](r), r > r_0, \quad (2)$$

where

$$W_{k,k}[f](\lambda) = \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{J_k(\lambda s)Y_k(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda s)J_k(\lambda r_0)}{\sqrt{J_k^2(\lambda r_0) + Y_k^2(\lambda r_0)}} f(s) s \, ds, k, k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3)$$

$$W_{k,k}^{-1}[\hat{f}](r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{J_k(\lambda r)Y_k(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda r)J_k(\lambda r_0)}{\sqrt{J_k^2(\lambda r_0) + Y_k^2(\lambda r_0)}} \hat{f}(\lambda) \lambda \, d\lambda, \quad (4)$$

where $r_0 > 0$, $J_k(r)$, $Y_k(r)$ are Bessel functions of the first and second kind respectively.

Later, the definition of the Weber-Orr transforms was generalized to the case of various indices k, l :

$$W_{k,l}[f](\lambda) = \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{J_k(\lambda s)Y_l(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda s)J_l(\lambda r_0)}{\sqrt{J_l^2(\lambda r_0) + Y_l^2(\lambda r_0)}} f(s) s \, ds, k, l \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)$$

$$W_{k,l}^{-1}[\hat{f}](r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{J_k(\lambda r)Y_l(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda r)J_l(\lambda r_0)}{\sqrt{J_l^2(\lambda r_0) + Y_l^2(\lambda r_0)}} \hat{f}(\lambda) \lambda \, d\lambda. \quad (6)$$

Further studies of generalized Weber-Orr transforms were based on the works of Weber and Titchmarsh, and the restrictions on the function f as well as the equality in the form (2) remained the same.

In this paper will be given new proofs of Weber-Orr transform including Plancherel type equalities and the validity of the inversion formulas for $f \in L_1 \cap L_2$ which is more typical for Fourier transforms. We give a new approach to the study of generalized and classical Weber-Orr transforms with a complete derivation of inversion formulas in the class of integrable functions where equality (2) will be replaced by identity almost everywhere.

Weber-Orr transform is based on generalised eigen functions of operator

$$\Delta_k = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{k^2}{r^2}.$$

In unbounded domains with various boundary conditions it has continuous spectrum $\sigma(\Delta) = \mathbb{R}_-$. Its resolvent $R(\tau) = (\Delta_k - \tau I)^{-1}$ is the branching function with jump discontinuity along spectrum at branch point $\tau = 0$. In case of non-trivial kernel in addition to continuous part of spectrum the eigen value $\tau = 0$ will generate term like R_{-1}/τ in expansion of $R(\tau)$, where R_{-1} is the projector onto eigen subspace $\ker(\Delta_k)$ leading to equities like (1). In this paper will be shown that namely $W_{k,k\pm 1}$ have a nontrivial kernel.

The Weber-Orr transforms find an application in many fields of mathematics including analysis and mathematical physics. With its help can be solved various boundary-value problems with Dirichlet condition. Of particular interest are the transforms $W_{k,k\pm 1}$. Using $W_{k,k-1}$ the author in [7] solved the non-stationary Stokes problem in exterior of the disc by explicit formula for vorticity in terms of Fourier coefficients $w_k(t, r)$:

$$w_k(t, r) = W_{|k|, |k|-1}^{-1} \left[e^{-\lambda^2 t} W_{|k|, |k|-1} [w(0, r)] \right].$$

Then in paper [9] this integral transform was applied to 2D and 3D Navier-Stokes systems in external domains, so this type of transform can be considered as *hydrodynamic Fourier transform*.

2 Classical Weber-Orr Transform $W_{k,k}[\cdot]$

In this section we prove inversion formula for $W_{k,k}[\cdot]$. The Fourier transform typically is based on generalized eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator Δ defined in an unbounded domain. Δ is a generator of a strongly continuous semigroup that solves a certain initial-boundary value problem for some partial differential equation. The transformation $W_{k,k}[\cdot]$ can be associated with the Dirichlet initial-boundary value problem for the heat equation in the exterior of a circle within radius $r_0 > 0$ with zero condition on its boundary.

For functions defined on $E \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ along with classic spaces $L_1(E)$, $L_2(E)$ we will use $L_2(E; r)$ of square-integrable functions with infinitesimal element $r dr$ supplied with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_2(E; r)}^2 = \int_E |f(r)|^2 r dr.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f(r)\sqrt{r} \in L_1(r_0, \infty) \cap L_2(r_0, \infty)$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $r_0 > 0$. Then the Weber-Orr transforms $W_{k,k}[\cdot]$ defined by (27), (28) satisfy almost everywhere*

$$f(r) = W_{k,k}^{-1} [W_{k,k}[f]](r), \quad (7)$$

and the following Plancherel equity holds:

$$\|f\|_{L_2(r_0, \infty; r)} = \|W_{k,k}[f]\|_{L_2(0, \infty; r)}$$

We derive the relation (7) from some initial boundary value problem for heat equation with the initial datum $f(r)$.

Now we prove the following

Lemma 2.2. *For $\lambda > 1$ with some $C = C(k, r_0)$ holds*

$$|W_{k,k}[f](\lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|f\sqrt{r}\|_{L_1(0,\infty)}.$$

Proof. Using

$$\frac{\max(|J_k(\lambda r_0)|, |Y_k(\lambda r_0)|)}{\sqrt{J_k(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_k(\lambda r_0)^2}} \leq 1 \quad (8)$$

and from the following asymptotic form of Bessel functions for large argument (see Bateman, Erdelyi[1])

$$J_k(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \left(\cos\left(z - \frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) - \frac{(4k^2 - 1)(4k^2 - 9)}{8z} \sin\left(z - \frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|^2}\right) \quad (9)$$

$$Y_k(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \left(\sin\left(z - \frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{(4k^2 - 1)(4k^2 - 9)}{8z} \cos\left(z - \frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|^2}\right) \quad (10)$$

we will have with some $C > 0$

$$\left| \int_{r_0}^{\infty} J_k(\lambda s) f(s) s \, ds \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} J_k(\lambda s) \sqrt{\lambda s} f(s) \sqrt{s} \, ds \right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|f\sqrt{r}\|_{L_1(0,\infty)},$$

$$\left| \int_{r_0}^{\infty} Y_k(\lambda s) f(s) s \, ds \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} Y_k(\lambda s) \sqrt{\lambda s} f(s) \sqrt{s} \, ds \right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|f\sqrt{r}\|_{L_1(0,\infty)},$$

and

$$\left| \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{J_k(\lambda s) Y_k(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda s) J_k(\lambda r_0)}{\sqrt{J_k^2(\lambda r_0) + Y_k^2(\lambda r_0)}} f(s) s \, ds \right| \leq \frac{2C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|f\sqrt{r}\|_{L_1(0,\infty)}.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

2.1 Initial boundary value problem

Consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial w(t, r)}{\partial t} - \Delta_k w(t, r) = 0, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\Delta_k w(t, r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} w(t, r) \right) - \frac{k^2}{r^2} w(t, r).$$

We supplement it with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$w(t, r_0) = 0 \quad (12)$$

and the initial condition

$$w(0, r) = f(r) \quad (13)$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the problem (11)-(13) is the Dirichlet problem for the k -th Fourier coefficient of the heat equation in the exterior of a circle of radius r_0 with a zero boundary. But our study covers any real k as well as complex one.

Laplace transform

$$\hat{w}(\tau, r) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} w(t, r) d\tau$$

reduces (11)-(13) to Poisson equation with parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\Delta_k \hat{w} - \tau \hat{w} = -f(r), \quad (14)$$

or

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{w}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{k^2}{r^2} + \tau \right) \hat{w} = -f(r). \quad (15)$$

Homogeneous Bessel equation (15) with zero right-hand side has two basis solutions - modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind $I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r)$, $K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r)$.

Using

$$I_k(r) \frac{\partial K_k(r)}{\partial r} - K_k(r) \frac{\partial I_k(r)}{\partial r} = -r^{-1}.$$

we will have the particular solution of non-homogeneous Bessel equation (15):

$$K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_{r_0}^r f(s) I_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds + I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_r^\infty f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds.$$

Finally, excluding exponentially growing function $I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r)$ from (15) using boundary condition (12) we have the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}(\tau, r) = & -\frac{K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r_0)}{K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r_0)} \int_{r_0}^\infty f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds \\ & + K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_{r_0}^r f(s) I_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds + I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_r^\infty f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In order to obtain the solution $w(t, r)$ we apply inverse Laplace transform

$$w(t, r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\eta} e^{\tau t} \hat{w}(\tau, r) d\tau,$$

where $\Gamma_\eta = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \tau = \eta\}$, η - fixed arbitrary positive real number.

We change contour of integration from Γ_η to Hankel-type contour $\Gamma_{-\eta,\varepsilon}^-$ with some $\varepsilon > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{-\eta,\varepsilon}^- = & (-\eta - i\infty, -\eta - i\varepsilon] \cup [-\eta - i\varepsilon, -i\varepsilon] \cup [-i\varepsilon, i\varepsilon] \\ & \cup [i\varepsilon, -\eta + i\varepsilon] \cup [-\eta + i\varepsilon, -\eta + i\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Define oriented contour $\gamma_{\pm,\eta} = [-\eta, 0] \cup [0, -\eta]$. Then, going $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ we will have:

$$\begin{aligned} w(t, r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\tau t} \hat{\omega}(\tau - i0, r) d\tau + \int_0^{-\infty} e^{\tau t} \hat{\omega}(\tau + i0, r) d\tau \right) \quad (17) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2 t} (w(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - w(-\lambda^2 + i0, r)) \lambda d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

I_k, K_k on imaginary line is given by formulas (see [2]):

$$I_k(-i\lambda r) = e^{-\frac{\pi ik}{2}} J_k(\lambda r), \quad (18)$$

$$I_k(i\lambda r) = e^{-\frac{\pi ik}{2}} J_k(-\lambda r), \quad (19)$$

$$K_k(-i\lambda r) = \frac{\pi i}{2} e^{\frac{\pi ik}{2}} H_k^{(1)}(\lambda r), \quad (20)$$

$$K_k(i\lambda r) = \frac{\pi i}{2} e^{\frac{\pi ik}{2}} H_k^{(1)}(-\lambda r), \quad (21)$$

$$H_k^{(1)}(-\lambda r) = -e^{-\pi ik} H_k^{(2)}(\lambda r), \quad (22)$$

$$J_k(-\lambda r) = e^{\pi ik} J_k(\lambda r). \quad (23)$$

Here $H_k^{(1)}, H_k^{(2)}$ - Hankel functions (see [1], [2]):

$$H_k^{(1)}(\lambda r) = J_k(\lambda r) + iY_k(\lambda r)$$

$$H_k^{(2)}(\lambda r) = J_k(\lambda r) - iY_k(\lambda r).$$

We decompose function $\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)$ in (17):

$$\hat{\omega}(\tau, r) = -G_{k,1}(\tau, r) + G_{k,2}(\tau, r),$$

where $G_{k,1}(\tau, r), G_{k,2}(\tau, r)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,1}(\tau, r) &= \frac{K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r)I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r_0)}{K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r_0)} \int_{r_0}^\infty f(s)K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s)sd s, \\ G_{k,2}(\tau, r) &= K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_{r_0}^r f(s)I_k(\sqrt{\tau}s)sd s \\ &\quad + I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_r^\infty f(s)K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s)sd s. \end{aligned}$$

Then with help of (18)-(23) we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{k,1}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - G_{k,1}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) = \\
& = \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{K_k(-i\lambda r)I_k(-i\lambda r_0)K_k(-i\lambda s)}{K_k(-i\lambda r_0)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{K_k(i\lambda r)I_k(i\lambda r_0)K_k(i\lambda s)}{K_k(i\lambda r_0)} \right) f(s) s ds \\
& = \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{H_k^{(1)}(\lambda r)J_k(\lambda r_0)H_k^{(1)}(\lambda s)}{H_k^{(1)}(\lambda r_0)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{H_k^{(1)}(-\lambda r)J_k(-\lambda r_0)H_k^{(1)}(-\lambda s)}{H_k^{(1)}(-\lambda r_0)} \right) f(s) s ds \\
& = \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{H_k^{(1)}(\lambda r)J_k(\lambda r_0)H_k^{(1)}(\lambda s)}{H_k^{(1)}(\lambda r_0)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{H_k^{(2)}(\lambda r)J_k(\lambda r_0)H_k^{(2)}(\lambda s)}{H_k^{(2)}(\lambda r_0)} \right) f(s) s ds \\
& = \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(J_k(\lambda r) + iY_k(\lambda r))J_k(\lambda r_0)(J_k(\lambda s) + iY_k(\lambda s))}{J_k(\lambda r_0) + iY_k(\lambda r_0)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{(J_k(\lambda r) - iY_k(\lambda r))J_k(\lambda r_0)(J_k(\lambda s) - iY_k(\lambda s))}{J_k(\lambda r_0) - iY_k(\lambda r_0)} \right) f(s) s ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we expand one lemma which was proved in [8] for non-negative integer k on the case of arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{R}$:

Lemma 2.3. For any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $r, s > 0$ modified Bessel functions I_k, K_k will satisfy:

$$I_k(-ir)K_k(-is) - I_k(ir)K_k(is) = \pi i J_k(r)J_k(s).$$

Proof. Multiplying among themselves the following relations on Bessel functions with argument $-z$ given in [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
I_k(-z) &= e^{i\pi k} I_k(z) \\
K_k(-z) &= e^{-i\pi k} K_k(z) - i\pi I_k(z)
\end{aligned}$$

we will have

$$I_k(-ir)K_k(-is) - I_k(ir)K_k(is) = i\pi e^{i\pi k} I_k(-ia)I_k(-ib).$$

Aplying (18) we get the statement of the lemma. □

From this lemma follows

$$G_{k,2}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - G_{k,2}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) = \pi i \int_{r_0}^{\infty} J_k(\lambda r)J_k(\lambda s)f(s) s ds,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) \\
&= - (G_{k,1}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - G_{k,1}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r)) \\
&+ G_{k,2}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - G_{k,2}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) = \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \left(\right. \\
&\quad - \frac{J_k(\lambda r_0)(J_k(\lambda r) + iY_k(\lambda r))(J_k(\lambda s) + iY_k(\lambda s))(J_k(\lambda r_0) - iY_k(\lambda r_0))}{J_k(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_k(\lambda r_0)^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{J_k(\lambda r_0)(J_k(\lambda r) - iY_k(\lambda r))(J_k(\lambda s) - iY_k(\lambda s))(J_k(\lambda r_0) + iY_k(\lambda r_0))}{J_k(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_k(\lambda r_0)^2} \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \frac{J_k(\lambda r)J_k(\lambda s)(J_k(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_k(\lambda r_0)^2)}{J_k(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_k(\lambda r_0)^2} \right) f(s) s ds.
\end{aligned}$$

After a series of transformations we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) \\
&= \pi i \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{(J_k(\lambda r_0)Y_k(\lambda r) - Y_k(\lambda r_0)J_k(\lambda r))}{J_k(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_k(\lambda r_0)^2} \\
&\quad \times (J_k(\lambda r_0)Y_k(\lambda s) - Y_k(\lambda r_0)J_k(\lambda s)) f(s) s ds
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (17)

$$\begin{aligned}
w(t, r) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(J_k(\lambda r_0)Y_k(\lambda r) - Y_k(\lambda r_0)J_k(\lambda r)) e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\sqrt{J_k(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_k(\lambda r_0)^2}} \\
&\quad \times \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{(J_k(\lambda r_0)Y_k(\lambda s) - Y_k(\lambda r_0)J_k(\lambda s))}{\sqrt{J_k(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_k(\lambda r_0)^2}} f(s) s ds \lambda d\lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

or

$$w(t, \cdot) = W_{k,k}^{-1} \left[e^{-\lambda^2 t} W_{k,k}[f] \right]. \tag{25}$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f(r)\sqrt{r} \in L_1(r_0, \infty) \cap L_2(r_0, \infty)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the solution $w(t, r) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(r_0, \infty; r))$ of (11), (12), (13) is given by (25).*

Proof. Δ_k generates strongly continuous semi-group in $L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$ for boundary-value problem (11), (12), (13). Indeed, Laplace operator $\Delta = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2$ generates strongly continuous semi-group in $L_2(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2, |x| \geq r_0\})$ (see [11]). Then from relation $\Delta[f(r)e^{ik\varphi}] = e^{ik\varphi} \Delta_k f(r)$ operator Δ_k does the same in $L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$ and solution of boundary-value problem exists. Last integral in (24) by lemma 2.2 is bounded by $1/\sqrt{\lambda}$. Then first integral in (24) involving $e^{-\lambda^2 t}$ is well defined and the theorem is proved. \square

2.2 Proof of the inversion theorem

We prove theorem 2.1 using the initial-boundary value problem (11), (12),(13) solved in previous subsection. All we need is to prove the validity of the limit transition $t \rightarrow 0$ in (25).

Proof. Let $w(t, r)$ be the solution of (11), (12) with initial datum $f(r)$. Since Δ_k generates strongly continuous semi-group in $L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$ then

$$\|w(t, \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{L_2(r_0, \infty; r)} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we prove weak convergence in $L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$

$$w(t, \cdot) \rightharpoonup W_{k,k}^{-1} [W_{k,k}[f]], \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

It is enough to prove the weak convergence for $g(r)$ from the dense set of functions from $C_0^\infty(r_0, \infty)$. From Fubini's theorem

$$\left(W_{k,k}^{-1} \left[e^{-\lambda^2 t} W_{k,k}[f] \right], g(\cdot) \right) = \left(e^{-\lambda^2 t} W_{k,k}[f], W_{k,k}[g] \right) = \left(e^{-\lambda^2 t} \hat{f}(\lambda), \hat{g}(\lambda) \right),$$

where $\hat{f}(\lambda) = W_{k,k}[f](\lambda)$, $\hat{g}(\lambda) = W_{k,k}[g](\lambda)$.

And from lemma (2.2) follows

$$\left| \left(e^{-\lambda^2 t} \hat{f}(\lambda), \hat{g}(\lambda) \right) \right| = \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2 t} \hat{f}(\lambda) \hat{g}(\lambda) \lambda \, d\lambda \right| \leq C \|f\|_{L_1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2 t} |\hat{g}(\lambda)| \sqrt{\lambda} \, d\lambda. \quad (26)$$

Since $g(r)$ has compact support then for large λ

$$\hat{g}(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

and integral tails in right side of (26) of the form

$$\int_L^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda^2 t}}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \, d\lambda$$

converge uniformly to zero when $L \rightarrow \infty$ by t , and integrand function $e^{-\lambda^2 t} / \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}$ converges uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}_+ . So we can pass to limit $t \rightarrow 0$ in (26). Then $w(t, \cdot) \rightharpoonup W_{k,k}^{-1} [W_{k,k}[f]]$ and combined with $w(t, \cdot) \rightarrow f(\cdot)$ formula (7) holds almost everywhere. \square

3 Weber-Orr transform $W_{k,k\pm 1}[\cdot]$

In this section we provide Δ_k with such Robin-type boundary condition, that the kernel of Δ_k becomes nontrivial. The resolvent $R(\tau) = (\Delta_k - \tau I)^{-1}$ is branching function with jump discontinuity along continuous spectrum $\sigma_c(\Delta_k) = \mathbb{R}_-$.

Eigen value $\tau = 0$ will generate term like R_{-1}/τ in expansion of $R(\tau)$, where R_{-1} is the projector onto eigen subspace $\ker(\Delta_k)$. This subspace combined with functions from continuous part of the spectrum $\sigma_c(\Delta_k)$ constitute full system in $L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$.

Transform $W_{k,k\pm 1}[\cdot]$ is defined for $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $r_0 > 0$ as

$$W_{k,k\pm 1}[f](\lambda) = \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{J_k(\lambda s)Y_{k\pm 1}(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda s)J_{k\pm 1}(\lambda r_0)}{\sqrt{J_{k\pm 1}^2(\lambda r_0) + Y_{k\pm 1}^2(\lambda r_0)}} f(s) s ds. \quad (27)$$

We define inverse operator

$$W_{k,k\pm 1}^{-1}[\hat{f}](r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{J_k(\lambda r)Y_{k\pm 1}(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda s)J_{k\pm 1}(\lambda r_0)}{\sqrt{J_{k\pm 1}^2(\lambda r_0) + Y_{k\pm 1}^2(\lambda r_0)}} \hat{f}(\lambda) \lambda d\lambda. \quad (28)$$

As we will see $\ker(W_{k,k\pm 1}^{-1}) \neq \{0\}$ and Plancherel identity will be supplemented by additional term as in (1) and inversion formula like (7) for $W_{k,k\pm 1}[\cdot]$ lose its validity.

When transform $W_{k,k}[\cdot]$ helps to find the solution of heat equation with zero Dirichlet condition by formula (25), $W_{k,k\pm 1}[\cdot]$ solves heat equation

$$\frac{\partial w(t, r)}{\partial t} - \Delta_k w(t, r) = 0, \quad (29)$$

with Robin-type boundary

$$r_0 \frac{\partial w(t, r)}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_0} \mp k w(t, r_0) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (30)$$

Supply this boundary problem with initial datum

$$w(0, r) = f(r). \quad (31)$$

If we had inversion formula like (7) then the solution of (29), (30), (31) would be given by the following equality

$$w(t, \cdot) = W_{k,k\pm 1}^{-1} \left[e^{-\lambda^2 t} W_{k,k\pm 1}[f] \right].$$

But since

$$\Delta_k [1/r^k] = 0, \quad \Delta_k [r^k] = 0$$

then for $k > 1$ the function $1/r^k \in \ker(\Delta_k) \subset L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$, and for $k < -1$ the function $r^k \in \ker(\Delta_k) \subset L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$. Both $1/r^k$ and r^k are the stationary solutions of (29). $1/r^k$ satisfies boundary condition with sign "+" in (30) when r^k does the same with "-". And so, these functions must be in kernel of $W_{k,k\pm 1}$ which is proved in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For $k > 1$ function $1/r^k \in \ker(W_{k,k-1}) \subset L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$, and for $k < -1$ $r^k \in \ker(W_{k,k-1}) \subset L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$. For $k < -1$ function $r^k \in \ker(W_{k,k+1}) \subset L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$.*

Proof. For $k > 1$ function $1/r^k \in L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$, and for $k < -1$ function $r^k \in L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$. From relations (see [1])

$$\begin{aligned}\int s^{-k+1} J_k(s) ds &= s^{-k+1} J_{k-1}(s), \\ \int s^{-k+1} Y_k(s) ds &= s^{-k+1} Y_{k-1}(s), \\ \int s^{k+1} J_k(s) ds &= s^{k+1} J_{k+1}(s), \\ \int s^{k+1} Y_k(s) ds &= s^{k+1} Y_{k+1}(s)\end{aligned}$$

follows

$$\begin{aligned}W_{k,k-1}[1/r^k](\lambda) &= \\ \int_{r_0}^{\infty} (J_k(\lambda s) Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda s) J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)) (1/s^k) s ds &= 0, \quad k > 1, \\ W_{k,k+1}[r^k](\lambda) &= \\ \int_{r_0}^{\infty} (J_k(\lambda s) Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0) - Y_k(\lambda s) J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)) (s^k) s ds &= 0, \quad k < -1.\end{aligned}$$

□

The main result of this section is the following theorem. It involves inversion formulas and Plancherel-Parseval type identity like (1).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f(r)\sqrt{r} \in L_1(r_0, \infty) \cap L_2(r_0, \infty)$, $r_0 > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the associated Weber-Orr transforms (27), (28) satisfy almost everywhere*

$$\begin{aligned}f(r) &= W_{k,k-1}^{-1} [W_{k,k-1}[f]](r), \quad k \leq 1, \\ f(r) &= W_{k,k-1}^{-1} [W_{k,k-1}[f]](r) + \frac{2(k-1)r_0^{2(k-1)}}{r^k} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} s^{-k+1} f(s) ds, \quad k > 1, \\ f(r) &= W_{k,k+1}^{-1} [W_{k,k+1}[f]](r), \quad k \geq -1, \\ f(r) &= W_{k,k+1}^{-1} [W_{k,k+1}[f]](r) - \frac{2(k+1)r_0^{2(k+1)}}{r_0^{2(k+1)}} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} s^{-k+1} f(s) ds, \quad k < -1.\end{aligned}$$

and the following Plancherel-Parseval equity holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L_2(r_0, \infty; r)}^2 &= \|W_{k,k-1}[f]\|_{L_2(0, \infty; r)}^2, \quad k \leq 1, \\ \|f\|_{L_2(0, \infty; r)}^2 &= \|W_{k,k-1}[f]\|_{L_2(0, \infty; r)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2(k-1)r_0^{2(k-1)} (f, 1/r^k)_{L_2(r_0, \infty; r)}^2, \quad k > 1, \\ \|f\|_{L_2(r_0, \infty; r)}^2 &= \|W_{k,k+1}[f]\|_{L_2(0, \infty; r)}^2, \quad k \geq -1, \\ \|f\|_{L_2(0, \infty; r)}^2 &= \|W_{k,k+1}[f]\|_{L_2(0, \infty; r)}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2(k+1)}{r_0^{2(k+1)}} (f, r^k)_{L_2(r_0, \infty; r)}^2, \quad k < -1.\end{aligned}$$

From this theorem immediately follows

Corollary 3.3 (Bessel-type inequality). *For $f(r)$, $f(r)\sqrt{r} \in L_1(r_0, \infty) \cap L_2(r_0, \infty)$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $r_0 > 0$ Bessel-type inequality holds:*

$$\|W_{k,k\pm 1}[f]\|_{L_2(0,\infty;r)}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L_2(r_0,\infty;r)}^2.$$

3.1 Initial-boundary value problem

Due to symmetry of transforms $W_{k,k-1}$, $W_{k,k+1}$ further study will be carried out only for $W_{k,k-1}$ with boundary

$$r_0 \frac{\partial w(t, r)}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_0} + kw(t, r_0) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (32)$$

In this section we will solve the initial boundary value problem (29), (31), (32) reducing it to elliptic equation with parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$.

Laplace transform

$$\hat{w}(\tau, r) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau t} w(t, r) dt$$

reduces (29) to

$$\Delta_k \hat{w} - \tau \hat{w} = -f(r), \quad (33)$$

or

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{w}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{k^2}{r^2} + \tau \right) \hat{w} = -f(r). \quad (34)$$

Particular solution of this equation was established in previous section:

$$\hat{w}_p(\tau, r) = K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_{r_0}^r f(s) I_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds + I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_r^\infty f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds.$$

Since

$$\frac{\hat{w}_p}{\partial r}(\tau, r_0) = \sqrt{\tau} I_k'(\sqrt{\tau}r_0) \int_{r_0}^\infty f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 \frac{\partial \hat{w}_p}{\partial r}(\tau, r_0) + k \hat{w}_p(\tau, r_0) &= \sqrt{\tau} r_0 I_k'(\sqrt{\tau}r_0) \int_{r_0}^\infty f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds \\ &\quad + k I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r_0) \int_{r_0}^\infty f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

From differentiation relation on Bessel functions

$$I_{k-1}(r) = \frac{k}{r} I_k(r) + \frac{dI_k}{dr}(r)$$

we will have

$$\sqrt{\tau} r_0 I_k'(\sqrt{\tau}r_0) + k I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r_0) = \sqrt{\tau} r_0 I_{k-1}(\sqrt{\tau}r_0).$$

and

$$r_0 \frac{\partial \hat{w}_p}{\partial r}(\tau, r_0) + k \hat{w}_p(\tau, r_0) = r_0 \sqrt{\tau} I_{k-1}(\sqrt{\tau} r_0) \int_{r_0}^{\infty} f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau} s) s ds.$$

From

$$K_{k-1}(r) = -\frac{k}{r} K_k(r) - \frac{dK_k}{dr}(r)$$

follows

$$r_0 \frac{\partial K_k(\sqrt{\tau} r)}{\partial r}(\sqrt{\tau} r_0) + k K_k(\sqrt{\tau} r_0) = -r_0 \sqrt{\tau} K_{k-1}(\sqrt{\tau} r_0).$$

And then after excluding exponentially growing function $I_k(\sqrt{\tau} r)$ the general solution of (34) is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\omega}(\tau, r) &= \frac{K_k(\sqrt{\tau} r) I_{k-1}(\sqrt{\tau} r_0)}{K_{k-1}(\sqrt{\tau} r_0)} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau} s) s ds \quad (35) \\ &+ K_k(\sqrt{\tau} r) \int_{r_0}^r f(s) I_k(\sqrt{\tau} s) s ds + I_k(\sqrt{\tau} r) \int_r^{\infty} f(s) K_k(\sqrt{\tau} s) s ds. \end{aligned}$$

The solution $w(t, x)$ of the heat equation is expressed by inverse Laplace transform

$$w(t, r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\eta} e^{\tau t} \hat{\omega}(\tau, r) d\tau,$$

where $\Gamma_\eta = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \tau = \eta\}$, η - fixed arbitrary positive real number.

By analogy with derivation of $W_{k,k}[\cdot]$ in previous section since $\sqrt{\tau}$ involved in (35) is a branching function, then we change contour of integration from Γ_η to $\Gamma_{-\eta, \varepsilon}^-$ with some $\varepsilon > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{-\eta, \varepsilon}^- &= (-\eta - i\infty, -\eta - i\varepsilon] \cup [-\eta - i\varepsilon, -i\varepsilon] \cup [-i\varepsilon, i\varepsilon] \\ &\cup [i\varepsilon, -\eta + i\varepsilon] \cup [-\eta + i\varepsilon, -\eta + i\infty). \end{aligned}$$

From (33) function $\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)$ can be expressed via resolvent $R(\tau) = (\Delta_k - \tau I)^{-1}$:

$$\hat{\omega} = -R(\tau) f(r).$$

At this point there will be a significant difference from the case of derivation of $W_{k,k}[\cdot]$. From Lemma 3.1 since $1/r^k \in \ker(W_{k,k-1})$ then $R(\tau)$ has nonzero residue at $\tau = 0$ for $k > 1$ (see [10]). If we define oriented contour $\gamma_{\pm, \eta} = [-\eta, 0] \cup [0, -\eta]$, then when going $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ we will have the equality:

$$\begin{aligned} w(t, r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\tau t} \hat{\omega}(\tau - i0, r) d\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{-\infty} e^{\tau t} \hat{\omega}(\tau + i0, r) d\tau + \operatorname{res}_{\tau=0}[\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\operatorname{res}_{\tau=0}[\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)] = \begin{cases} 0, & k \leq 1, \\ \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\tau|=\rho} \hat{\omega}(\tau, r) d\tau, & k > 1. \end{cases}$$

Set $\tau = -\lambda^2$. Then, we will have

$$w(t, r) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2 t} (w(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - w_k(-\lambda^2 + i0, r)) \lambda d\lambda + \operatorname{res}_{\tau=0}[\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)]. \quad (36)$$

We decompose function $\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)$ in (35) as

$$\hat{\omega}(\tau, r) = G_{k,1}(\tau, r) + G_{k,2}(\tau, r),$$

where $G_{k,1}(\tau, r)$, $G_{k,2}(\tau, r)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,1}(\tau, r) &= \frac{K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r)I_{k-1}(\sqrt{\tau}r_0)}{K_{k-1}(\sqrt{\tau}r_0)} \int_{r_0}^\infty f(s)K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) s ds, \\ G_{k,2}(\tau, r) &= K_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_{r_0}^r f(s)I_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) s ds \\ &\quad + I_k(\sqrt{\tau}r) \int_r^\infty f(s)K_k(\sqrt{\tau}s) s ds. \end{aligned}$$

With help of (18)-(23) we will have

$$\begin{aligned} &G_{k,1}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - G_{k,1}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) = \\ &= \int_{r_0}^\infty \left(\frac{K_k(-i\lambda r)I_{k-1}(-i\lambda r_0)K_k(-i\lambda s)}{K_{k-1}(-i\lambda r_0)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{K_k(i\lambda r)I_{k-1}(i\lambda r_0)K_k(i\lambda s)}{K_{k-1}(i\lambda r_0)} \right) f(s) s ds \\ &= -\frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{r_0}^\infty \left(\frac{H_k^{(1)}(\lambda r)J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)H_k^{(1)}(\lambda s)}{H_{k-1}^{(1)}(\lambda r_0)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{H_k^{(1)}(-\lambda r)J_{k-1}(-\lambda r_0)H_k^{(1)}(-\lambda s)}{H_{k-1}^{(1)}(-\lambda r_0)} \right) f(s) s ds \\ &= -\frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{r_0}^\infty \left(\frac{H_k^{(1)}(\lambda r)J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)H_k^{(1)}(\lambda s)}{H_{k-1}^{(1)}(\lambda r_0)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{H_k^{(2)}(\lambda r)J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)H_k^{(2)}(\lambda s)}{H_{k-1}^{(2)}(\lambda r_0)} \right) f(s) s ds \\ &= -\frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{r_0}^\infty \left(\frac{(J_k(\lambda r) + iY_k(\lambda r))J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)(J_k(\lambda s) + iY_k(\lambda s))}{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0) + iY_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(J_k(\lambda r) - iY_k(\lambda r))J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)(J_k(\lambda s) - iY_k(\lambda s))}{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0) - iY_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)} \right) f(s) s ds. \end{aligned}$$

From lemma 2.3 follows

$$G_{k,2}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - G_{k,2}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) = \pi i \int_{r_0}^{\infty} J_k(\lambda r) J_k(\lambda s) f(s) ds,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) \\ &= G_{k,1}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - G_{k,1}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) \\ &+ G_{k,2}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - G_{k,2}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) = -\frac{\pi i}{2} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \left(\right. \\ & \frac{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)(J_k(\lambda r) + iY_k(\lambda r))(J_k(\lambda s) + iY_k(\lambda s))(J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0) - iY_{k-1}(\lambda r_0))}{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2} \\ &+ \frac{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)(J_k(\lambda r) - iY_k(\lambda r))(J_k(\lambda s) - iY_k(\lambda s))(J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0) + iY_{k-1}(\lambda r_0))}{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2} \\ &\left. - 2 \frac{J_k(\lambda r) J_k(\lambda s) (J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2)}{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2} \right) f(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

After opening brackets in previous formula we will have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r) \\ &= \pi i \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{(J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)Y_k(\lambda r) - Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)J_k(\lambda r))}{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2} \\ & \times (J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)Y_k(\lambda s) - Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)J_k(\lambda s)) f(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Then we substitute the obtained jump discontinuity $\hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 - i0, r) - \hat{\omega}(-\lambda^2 + i0, r)$ into (36):

$$\begin{aligned} w(t, r) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)Y_k(\lambda r) - Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)J_k(\lambda r))}{\sqrt{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2}} \\ & \times \left(\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{(J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)Y_k(\lambda s) - Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)J_k(\lambda s))}{\sqrt{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2}} f(s) ds \right) e^{-\lambda^2 t} \lambda d\lambda \\ &+ \text{res}_{\tau=0}[\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will find the residues of $\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)$ at $\tau = 0$.

Lemma 3.4. *The residues of $\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)$ defined by (35) for $k > 1$ at $\tau = 0$ are given by*

$$\text{res}_{\tau=0}[\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)] = \frac{2(k-1)r_0^{2k-2}}{r^k} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} s^{-k+1} f(s) ds, k > 1.$$

Proof. We will use the asymptotic form for small arguments $0 < |z| \leq \sqrt{k+1}$ (see [1]):

$$I_k(z) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^k,$$

$$K_k(z) \sim \frac{\Gamma(k)}{2} \left(\frac{2}{z}\right)^k.$$

For $k > 1$

$$G_{k,1}(\tau, r) \sim \frac{2(k-1)r_0^{2k-2}}{\tau r^k} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} s^{-k+1} f(s) ds$$

and

$$\text{res}_{\tau=0}[G_{k,1}(\tau, r)] = \frac{2(k-1)r_0^{2k-2}}{r^k} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} s^{-k+1} f(s) ds.$$

Since

$$K_k(\sqrt{\tau r}) I_k(\sqrt{\tau s}) \sim \frac{s}{2kr}$$

the residues of $G_{k,2}(\tau, r)$ are equal to zero and

$$\text{res}_{\tau=0}[\hat{\omega}(\tau, r)] = \text{res}_{\tau=0}[\hat{G}_{k,1}(\tau, r)].$$

□

Finally, the solution of the heat equation (29), supplied with Robin condition (32) and initial datum $f(r)$ is represented as

for $k \leq 1$:

$$w(t, r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{R_{k,k-1}(\lambda, r)}{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2} \times \left(\int_{r_0}^{\infty} R_{k,k-1}(\lambda, s) f(s) s ds \right) e^{-\lambda^2 t} \lambda d\lambda, \quad (37)$$

for $k > 1$:

$$w(t, r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{R_{k,k-1}(\lambda, r)}{J_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2 + Y_{k-1}(\lambda r_0)^2} \times \left(\int_{r_0}^{\infty} R_{k,k-1}(\lambda, s) f(s) s ds \right) e^{-\lambda^2 t} \lambda d\lambda + \frac{2(k-1)r_0^{2k-2}}{r^k} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} s^{-k+1} f(s) ds \quad (38)$$

These relations lead to the following

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f(r)\sqrt{r} \in L_1(r_0, \infty)$, $r_0 > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the solution $w(t, r) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; L_2(r_0, \infty; r))$ of (29), (31), (32) is given by*

for $k \leq 1$:

$$w(t, r) = W_{k,k-1}^{-1} \left[e^{-\lambda^2 t} W_{k,k-1}[f] \right], \quad (39)$$

for $k > 1$:

$$w(t, r) = W_{k,k-1}^{-1} \left[e^{-\lambda^2 t} W_{k,k-1}[f] \right] + \frac{2(k-1)r_0^{2k-2}}{r^k} \left(\frac{1}{r}, f \right)_{L_2(r_0, \infty; r)} \quad (40)$$

The proof of this theorem is similar to ones given in theorem 2.4.

3.2 Proof of the inversion theorem

Proof. For $k \leq 1$ the proof of the theorem 3.2 repeats word by word the proof of theorem 2.1 in section 2.2. We must prove the validity of the limit transition $t \rightarrow 0$ in (39). Let $w(t, r)$ be solution of (29), (32) with initial datum $f(r)$. Δ_k generates strongly continuous semi-group in $L_2(r_0, \infty; r)$ and

$$\|w(t, \cdot) - f(\cdot)\| \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

Then as in section 2.2 one could get

$$w(t, \cdot) \rightarrow W_{k,k-1}^{-1} [W_{k,k-1}[f]], \quad t \rightarrow 0$$

and so almost everywhere holds

$$f(\cdot) = W_{k,k-1}^{-1} [W_{k,k-1}[f]] \quad \|\cdot\|.$$

For $k > 1$ we have addition stationary term in (40) and also can pass to limit $t \rightarrow 0$ obtaining the required inversion formula. \square

References

- [1] Bateman, H., Erdelyi, A. Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. II. McGraw-Hill, New York (1953).
- [2] Watson, G.N.: A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Second Edition. Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [3] Titchmarsh, E.C.: Weber's integral theorem. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 22:2, 15-28 (1924).
- [4] Srivastav, R.P.: A pair of dual integral equations involving Bessel functions of the first and the second kind, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. 14:2, 149-158 (1964).

-
- [5] Krajewski, J., Olesiak, Z.: Associated Weber integral transforms of $W_{\nu-l,j}[\cdot]$ and $W_{\nu-2,j}[\cdot]$ types. Bull. de L'Academe Polonaise des Scs. Vol. XXX, No. 7-8 (1982).
- [6] Nasim, C: Associated Weber integral transforms of arbitrary order. Ind. J. Pure & Appl. Math. 20:11, 126-1138 (1989).
- [7] Gorshkov, A. Associated Weber–Orr Transform, Biot–Savart Law and Explicit Form of the Solution of 2D Stokes System in Exterior of the Disc. J. Math. Fluid Mech. 21, 41 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00021-019-0445-2>
- [8] Gorshkov, A.V.: Boundary Stabilization of Stokes System in Exterior Domains. J. of Math. Fluid Mech. 18:4, 679-697 (2016).
- [9] Gorshkov, A.V.: 2D Navier-Stokes system and no-slip boundary condition for vorticity in exterior domains. arXiv:2106.15291v2
- [10] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1966.
- [11] K. Yosida, Functional analysis, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., 123, SpringerVerlag, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg; Academic Press, New York, 1965. MathSciNet