PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

One Flavour QCD as an analogue computer for SUSY

Michele Della Morte,[a,b] **Benjamin Jäger,**[a,b,c] **Francesco Sannino,**[a,c,d,e]
J. Tobias Tsang[a,b] **and Felix P. G. Ziegler**[a,b,f,*]

[a] *CP3-Origins, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark*
[b] *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science (IMADA), University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark*
[c] *Danish-IAS, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark*
[d] *Dipartimento di Fisica "E. Pancini", Universit'a di Napoli Federico II — INFN sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo Edificio 6, Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli NA, Italy*
[e] *Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Largo S. Marcellino, 10, 80138 Napoli NA, Italy*
[f] *School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Edinburgh, EH9 3FD, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
E-mail: felix.ziegler@ed.ac.uk

We numerically study QCD with a single quark flavour on the lattice probing predictions from effective field theories that are equivalent to minimal super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory in the large N_c limit. The hadronic spectrum including excited states is analysed using one gauge coupling and several physical volumes and fermion masses. We use the LapH method and also compute disconnected diagrams. Lattice simulations with an odd number of Wilson fermions give rise to regions of configuration space with a negative fermionic weight entailing a sign problem. We perform a detailed analysis on the spectrum of the Wilson-Dirac operator and report on observed cases of a negative fermion determinant in our ensembles.

The 38th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory, LATTICE2021 26th-30th July, 2021
Zoom/Gather@Massachusetts Institute of Technology

*Speaker

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons
Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

arXiv:2111.12695v1 [hep-lat] 24 Nov 2021

1. Introduction

In these proceedings we report on our ongoing efforts to study supersymmetry (SUSY) via lattice computations of the hadronic spectrum of $N_f = 1$ QCD. The relation between supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and QCD with a single quark flavour can be seen as follows. The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2g^2} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^a_{\mu\nu} + \overline{\psi}(m_0 + \gamma_\mu D_\mu)\psi \,, \tag{1}$$

describes a QCD-like theory containing a single Dirac fermion in the two-index anti-symmetric representation of the gauge group $SU(N_c)$ whereas the gluons are in the adjoint representation. Note that $\psi^{ij} = \psi^b \, (t^b)^{ij}$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N_c$, $a = 1, \ldots, N_c^2 - 1$, $b = 1, \ldots, \frac{N_c(N_c-1)}{2}$. Historically, this theory was studied in a technicolour extension to QCD at large N_c by Corrigan and Ramond [1], who named the fermion field in the two-index anti-symmetric representation a *lark* (merging the words *quark* and *large*). Moreover, it was shown that the lark theory (1) and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super-Yang-Mills (SYM) theory are equivalent in the limit $N_c \to \infty$ with regard to the bosonic sector of the spectrum [2, 3]. Note also that the number of fermionic degrees of freedom scales as N_c^2 as $N_c \to \infty$ in both the lark theory and in SYM signalling their equivalence.[1] For $N_c = 3$ the two-index anti-symmetric representation coincides with the conjugate representation, i.e. a lark is equivalent to an anti-quark, hence (1) describes $N_f = 1$ QCD.

In SYM the even and odd parity mesons are degenerate. Deviations from the degeneracy have been studied in the lark theory in the large N_c limit in [4] and [5]. Both works use planar equivalence to predict the lightest pseudo-scalar meson to be lighter than the lightest scalar meson. In particular, the former work takes into account the explicit SUSY breaking due to the finite fermion mass studying low-energy effective Lagrangians of the lark theory and making use of exact SUSY results at the effective action level. The lark theory by Corrigan and Ramond has led to a plethora of applications including investigations of meson scattering [6], a study on (super-) glue balls in comparison to QCD mesons and glue balls [7], investigations of the conformal window [8, 9] as well as works in phenomenology [10, 11].

In this work we simulate $N_f = 1$ QCD on the lattice to probe the mentioned prediction and to study relics of SYM for $N_c = 3$. This also comes with the advantage of lower simulation costs compared to direct lattice simulations of SYM, the latter being hard because massless fermions need to be handled. However, it should be emphasised that $N_f = 1$ QCD should be regarded if at all as a proxy for SUSY.

A few years back a lattice study probing the planar equivalence prediction was presented at the Lattice conference by the Münster group and collaborators [12]. Our work at hand can be regarded as an update in an advanced setup using tree-level $O(a)$-improved Wilson fermions. In addition, we also extract excited states of the mesonic spectrum.

Unlike in the continuum formulation where the fermion determinant is guaranteed to be positive in the lattice formulation with Wilson fermions there are regions of configuration space with a negative fermionic weight. This gives rise to a sign problem. We present a detailed analysis

[1] This can be seen from a simple counting of dimensions. For the lark theory there are 2 Dirac spinors with 4 components each and the dimension of the anti-symmetric representation scales as $N_c^2/2$ for large N_c whereas for SYM there are 2 Majorana spinors with 2 components each and the adjoint representation scales as N_c^2 for large N_c.

on the sign of the fermion determinant on our gauge field ensembles. This account is organised as follows: In Section 2 we summarise our lattice setup, followed by the sign problem analysis in Section 3. In Section 4 we show the results on the hadronic spectrum and we conclude this work in Section 5.

2. Lattice setup

The lattice action considered in this work contains the tree-level Symanzik improved gauge action and the tree-level $O(a)$-improved Wilson clover fermion action ($c_{SW} = 1$). We restrict ourselves to a single gauge coupling corresponding to $\beta = 4.5$. To simulate the single quark flavour the RHMC algorithm [13, 14] is used. $N_f = 1$ QCD comes with two main challenges. (i) The scale setting cannot be carried out in the usual way as in e.g. $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD by using an experimentally known (low-energy) quantity such as a hadron mass. (ii) chiral symmetry is absent which excludes comparisons with chiral perturbation theory. Addressing challenge (i), we obtain an approximation to the scale by setting the lattice spacing using the Wilson flow in the pure gauge theory following [15] which results in $a \approx 0.06$ fm. Regarding challenge (ii) it is noteworthy that even in the absence of chiral symmetry it is possible to guarantee (at least approximately) a well-defined extrapolation to zero quark mass. To that end the mass of the lightest pseudo-scalar meson, called the *fake pion*, is measured in the partially quenched extension of the single flavour theory obtained by adding an additional valence quark, see [12] for details and references. Simulating $N_f = 1$ QCD amounts to navigating in unknown territory in parameter space for the various mentioned reasons. To our knowledge neither chiral perturbation theory nor the method put forward in [16, 17] for estimating finite volume effects have been worked out for the lark theory in general. However, we consider these effects to be sub-leading at the level of precision we are interested in. Therefore we have produced and analysed gauge field ensembles for several physical volumes $L/a \in \{12, 16, 20, 24, 32\}, T/a = 64$ and hopping parameters[2] $\kappa \in \{0.1350, 0.1370, 0.1390, 0.1400, 0.1405, 0.1410\}$. All configurations for this project have been generated with the `openQCD` software package [18].

3. Sign problem

As mentioned above in a setup of a single flavour of Wilson fermions at finite lattice spacing there exist regions of configuration space on which the fermion determinant is negative. This can also occur in multi-flavour QCD and has been subject to a recent study in $N_f = 2 + 1$ flavour QCD [19]. Since we are generating our gauge field ensembles with respect to the sign quenched fermionic weight we need to monitor the sign of the fermion determinant on configurations we measure observables on and in case a negative determinant is detected it has to be accounted for by reweighting. Since a direct computation of the sign of the fermion determinant is numerically too expensive, we infer it indirectly from the low-lying eigenvalue spectrum of the Wilson Dirac operator D. γ_5-hermiticity of D guarantees the eigenvalues to come either in complex conjugated pairs or to be real. This entails that only real eigenvalues can produce a sign change of the determinant. Hence,

[2]The hopping parameter is defined as $\kappa = \frac{1}{2(4+m_0)}$.




Figure 1: *Left:* Showcase of stage (i) of the determinant sign analysis. The 12 lowest eigenvalues and their corresponding chiralities of Q are computed on a subset of ca. 40 configuration from the $L/a = 24$ ensemble at $\kappa = 0.1410$. It is visible that there are a few points in the second and fourth quadrant signalling that the corresponding eigenvalues at this bare mass move towards zero as the mass is infinitesimally increased. These configurations are further checked in stage (ii) of the analysis with regard to whether and how many sign changes of the eigenvalue functions appear.
Right: Showcase of stage 2 of the determinant sign analysis. Displayed is the partially quenched computation of the lowest 20 eigenvalues of Q on a fixed gauge configuration in the $(L/a = 24, \kappa = 0.1410)$ ensemble for a range of values for the bare mass around the simulation mass $m_0^* \approx -0.453$ indicated by the pink vertical line. This configuration is safe in the sense that the fermion determinant is positive as there are no sign changes of the eigenvalue functions $\lambda_i(m_0)$.

on a given configuration it remains to check if there is an odd number of negative real eigenvalues of D.

In practice it is more convenient to consider the Hermitian matrix $Q := \gamma_5 D$. Because $\det(Q) = \det(D)$ and since a zero eigenvalue of D is also a zero eigenvalue of Q we can thus reduce the sign computation to analysing the behaviour of the low eigenvalues $\lambda_i(m_0)$ of Q as a function of the bare mass m_0. Monitoring the sign and counting the zero crossings of the low eigenvalues of Q when varying m_0, the change in the number of negative real eigenvalues of D can be inferred.

Technically, we proceed in two stages: (i) For a given configuration simulated with a bare mass m_0^* we compute the lowest lying eigenpairs (λ_i, ϕ_i) of Q. In addition, the chirality $\chi_i = d\lambda_i/dm_0|_{m_0=m_0^*} = (\phi_i, \gamma_5\phi_i)$ being the slope of the eigenvalue function [19, 20] is computed. Hence from the sign of the chirality we can infer if a given eigenvalue moves towards or away from zero as m_0 is increased infinitesimally. A showcase for stage (i) of the analysis is displayed in the left panel of Figure 1.

(ii) Configurations giving rise to low eigenvalues of Q that might cross zero are further analysed using the tracking method presented in [19]. On a given configuration we measure the lowest N_{ev} eigenpairs (λ_i, ϕ_i) of Q for several bare masses m_0 around the simulation mass. The measurements were carried out using the `PRIMME` package [21, 22] combined with `openQCD`. Assuming that $\text{span}(\{\phi_i\})$ changes slowly and continuously as m_0 is varied in steps of Δm_0 allows to extract the




Figure 2: Showcase of stage 2 of the tracking analysis where the occurrence of a negative fermionic determinant can be deduced from a single sign change in one of the low eigenvalues of Q above the simulation mass m_0^*. From this we can also conclude that D must have an odd number of negative real eigenvalues at the simulation mass.

eigenvalue function $\lambda_i(m_0)$ by matching the basis vectors $\phi_i(m_0)$ and $\phi_j(m_0 + \Delta m_0)$ with respect to their overlap. The right panel of Figure 1 displays a showcase for the tracking method where the conclusion is that the fermion determinant is positive on the analysed configuration. We measured the sign of the fermion determinant only for $\kappa = 0.1410$ on the $L/a = 16, 24$ ensembles. For both volumes the occurrence of $\det(D) < 0$ is less than 1% of the configurations which were taken into account for measuring correlators and masses shown in Section 4. From this we conclude that the sign problem is mild for the parameters and volumes investigated in this work. Figure 2 shows one of the rare cases we found where the sign of the determinant is negative. Here a single eigenvalue function changes sign once at a bare mass larger than the simulation mass. Note that in the limit of $m_0 \gg 0$ $\det(D)$ is positive and so are all real eigenvalues of D. Decreasing m_0 to the simulation mass m_0^* and finding an odd number of zeros of the $\lambda_i(m_0)$ implies that $\det(D) < 0$ at m_0^*.

In the ensembles generated at smaller values of κ the sign problem is even milder as an increasing mass shifts the eigenvalues of D to larger values decreasing the likelihood of a negative determinant to occur.

4. Hadron spectroscopy

We are interested in the massless limit of the mesonic spectrum of $N_f = 1$ QCD. We are using the LapH method [23, 24] which provides a suitable framework to include quark-disconnected pieces, which are vital to correctly extract the spectrum. It furthermore allows to cheaply construct different operators $\hat{O}_i$ inducing the same quantum numbers and hence the same spectrum but differing in the approach to the ground state. We are interested in the masses of the pseudo-scalar (P), scalar (S), and vector (V) mesons. In principle a scalar glueball can be present, so in addition to operators of the type $\bar{q}\Gamma q$ we also use a purely gluonic operator that is expected to




Figure 3: *Left:* Spectrum of the pseudo-scalar meson for the various bare masses m_0 at fixed volume $L/a = 16$. *Right:* Spatial volume dependence of the spectrum of the pseudo-scalar meson at fixed $\kappa = 0.1390$.

predominantly couple to the glueball (G). We extract the spectrum by performing simultaneous correlated three-exponential fits to several correlation functions using the ansatz

$$C_{ij}(t) = \sum_n \langle 0|\hat{O}_i|n\rangle\langle n|\hat{O}_j^\dagger|0\rangle e^{-m_n t} \,. \tag{2}$$

In Figure 3 the result for the spectrum of the pseudo-scalar meson is shown. As a measure of stability we perform each for different subsets of the operators in the basis, shown by the different symbols (circles, squares, diamonds). In the left panel the bare quark mass dependence is shown at fixed volume ($L/a = 16$). As expected the ground state is strongly mass dependent. In the right panel we investigate the volume dependence of the spectrum at fixed bare quark mass corresponding to $\kappa = 0.1390$. At this value of κ, we find the ground state to be volume independent, but with sizable finite size effects being displayed for the smallest volume.

For the scalar-glueball channel the extracted spectrum looks qualitatively different as shown in Figure 4. The left panel shows the mass dependence at the same fixed volume. Looking at the ground and first excited state, the lightest observed state is mass-insensitive at fixed volume whilst the first excited state displays a clear mass dependence. In the right panel of the same figure we again investigate the volume dependence of these states at fixed mass. For this value of κ, there appears to be one volume dependent state and one volume independent state with a cross-over somewhere between $L/a = 16$ and $L/a = 20$. We repeat this comparison for each volume and in each case identify the mass-sensitive state with a scalar meson. This identification is guided by monitoring the behaviour of the overlap factors, for example whether the correlation function purely built from the gluonic operators couples more strongly to the ground or the first excited state. The resulting data points are shown in the left hand panel of Figure 5. From the right hand panel of Figure 5 we find that the remaining lowest state is strongly volume dependent, getting heavier as the volume increases. This is inconsistent with the expected behaviour for a glueball state, so we identify this state to be a finite volume state possibly resulting from flux tubes around the periodic lattice, also called a torelon state [25]. However, in the study at hand we have not further investigated the




Figure 4: *Left*: Spectrum of the scalar meson for the various bare masses m_0 at fixed volume $L/a = 16$. *Right*: Spatial volume dependence of the spectrum of the scalar meson at fixed $\kappa = 0.139$.




Figure 5: Categorisation of the spectrum of the scalar meson into a mass-dependent (left) and a remaining lowest state (right). In the right-hand side plot the strong volume dependence is inconsistent with what is expected for a glueball state. A possible interpretation is that this state is a finite volume torelon state.

character of these states and whether they are torelon states. In principle this could be done by computing correlators of spatial Wilson loops.

Figure 6 shows the mass ratio of the (mass-dependent) pseudo-scalar to scalar meson. Disregarding the smallest volume which appears to show strong finite size effects the results for the different volumes are in agreement in the range between large and intermediate masses. Our data confirms that the pseudo-scalar meson is lighter than the scalar one. For a robust comparison with the low-energy effective theory prediction from [4] we need to perform an extrapolation to vanishing




Figure 6: Ratio of the pseudo-scalar to scalar meson mass as a function of the various bare masses m_0.

quark mass. This is subject to ongoing work and we are currently producing more statistics at the largest volumes and hopping parameters.

5. Conclusion and perspectives

We have presented an analysis on the hadronic spectrum of $N_f = 1$ QCD in the mesonic sector. By including excited states we have extracted the mass dependency of the scalar and pseudo-scalar state. The next step of performing the extrapolation to zero quark mass is subject to ongoing work. From this, comparisons with the predictions from low-energy effective theories for the deviation from the even-odd parity degeneracy can be made. Loosely speaking, this will also show what remnant SUSY is contained in the $N_c = 3$ lark theory. Moreover, we have shown that the sign problem due to the use of Wilson fermions is mild but must be monitored. We emphasise the relevance of this aspect also in multi-flavour QCD simulations.

Future work will be devoted to investigating the lark theory on the lattice for larger number of colours $N_c > 3$. To that end we are working on code development and the use of GPU accelerators.

Acknowledgements: We thank John Bulava for his contributions in the early stages of this project. We thank Felipe Attanasio and Antonio Rago for discussions. The project leading to this application has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 894103. M.D.M. and J.T.T. are partially supported by DFF Research project 1. Grant n. 8021-00122B. The computing resources for this work were provided by the U. of Southern Denmark and DeiC Interactive HPC (UCloud).

References

[1] E. Corrigan and P. Ramond, Phys. Lett. B **87**, 73 (1979)

[2] A. Armoni, et al., Nucl. Phys. B **667**, 170 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0302163

[3] A. Armoni, et al., Phys. Rev. D **71**, 045015 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0412203

[4] F. Sannino and M. Shifman, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 125004 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0309252

[5] A. Armoni and E. Imeroni, Phys. Lett. B **631**, 192 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0508107

[6] F. Sannino and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 014014 (2007), arXiv:0704.0602 [hep-ph]

[7] A. Feo, et al., Phys. Rev. D **70**, 096004 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0408214

[8] F. Sannino and K. Tuominen, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 051901 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0405209

[9] D. D. Dietrich and F. Sannino, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 085018 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0611341

[10] D. K. Hong, et al., Phys. Lett. B **597**, 89 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0406200

[11] D. D. Dietrich, et al., Phys. Rev. D **72**, 055001 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0505059

[12] F. Farchioni, et al., PoS **LATTICE2008**, 128 (2008), arXiv:0810.0161 [hep-lat]

[13] A. D. Kennedy, et al., Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **73**, 834 (1999), arXiv:hep-lat/9809092

[14] M. A. Clark and A. D. Kennedy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 051601 (2007), arXiv:hep-lat/0608015

[15] M. Lüscher, JHEP **08**, 071 (2010), [Erratum: JHEP 03, 092 (2014)], arXiv:1006.4518 [hep-lat]

[16] Lüscher, M., Commun. Math. Phys. **104**, 177 (1986)

[17] Lüscher, M., Commun. Math. Phys. **105**, 153 (1986)

[18] `http://cern.ch/luscher/openQCD`

[19] D. Mohler and S. Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 074506 (2020), arXiv:2003.13359 [hep-lat]

[20] G. Akemann, et al., Phys. Rev. D **83**, 085014 (2011), arXiv:1012.0752 [hep-lat]

[21] A. Stathopoulos and J. R. McCombs, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software **37**, 21:1 (2010)

[22] L. Wu, et al., SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing **39**, S248 (2017), `https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1082214`

[23] C. Morningstar, et al., Phys. Rev. D **83**, 114505 (2011), arXiv:1104.3870 [hep-lat]

[24] M. Peardon, et al. (Hadron Spectrum), Phys. Rev. D **80**, 054506 (2009), arXiv:0905.2160 [hep-lat]

[25] C. Michael, Nucl. Phys. B **259**, 58 (1985)