

GLOBAL HYDROSTATIC APPROXIMATION OF HYPERBOLIC NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM WITH SMALL GEVREY CLASS 2 DATA

MARIUS PAICU AND PING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We investigate the hydrostatic approximation of a hyperbolic version of Navier-Stokes equations, which is obtained by using Cattaneo type law instead of Fourier law, evolving in a thin strip $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \varepsilon)$. The formal limit of these equations is a hyperbolic Prandtl type equation. We first prove the global existence of solutions to these equations under a uniform smallness assumption on the data in Gevrey 2 class. Then we justify the limit globally-in-time from the anisotropic hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system to the hyperbolic Prandtl system with such Gevrey 2 class data. Compared with [27] for the hydrostatic approximation of 2-D classical Navier-Stokes system with analytic data, here the initial data belong to the Gevrey 2 class, which is very sophisticated even for the well-posedness of the classical Prandtl system (see [14, 32]), furthermore, the estimate of the pressure term in the hyperbolic Prandtl system arises additional difficulties.

In memory of Professor Geneviève Raugel

Keywords: Incompressible hyperbolic Navier-Stokes Equations, hydrostatic approximation, hyperbolic Prandtl system.

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35Q30, 76D03

1. INTRODUCTION

The Navier-Stokes system governing the evolution of Newtonian incompressible viscous fluids reads

$$(NS) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t U + U \cdot \nabla U - \nu \Delta U + \nabla P = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} U = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is obtained from the momentum equations

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t U + U \cdot \nabla U = \operatorname{div} \tau,$$

coupled with the Fourier law to describe the stress tensor

$$(1.2) \quad \tau(t) = -P \operatorname{Id} + \nu \left(\nabla U + (\nabla U)^\top \right) (t),$$

where U stands for the velocity field, P the scalar pressure function and ν is the viscosity coefficient of the fluid.

Nevertheless, the system (NS) gives rise to a physical paradox coming from the fact that the equation of the velocity has infinite propagation speed. In order to avoid this non-physical aspect, Cattaneo [7] (see also Vernotte [34]) proposed to modify the heat equation to a hyperbolic version known as Cattaneo's heat transfer law. More precisely, they proposed to replace the Fourier law (1.2) by the following hyperbolic model

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \theta + \frac{1}{\beta} \partial_t \theta - \Delta \theta = 0.$$

The resulting system has finite propagation speed and constitutes a satisfactory physical model being compatible with the principle of relativity and with the second law of thermodynamics. It is therefore natural to consider a hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system by adding the term $\eta \partial_t^2 u$ to the classical Navier-Stokes system (NS), where $\eta = \frac{1}{c^2}$ is a small parameter. The hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system (1.5) has been extensively studied in the literature and one may check [2, 5, 13, 18, 22, 23, 24] and the references therein. The justification of this system by using the Cattaneo's law follows by considering that the stress tensor is given by the solution of the retarded equation

$$(1.3) \quad \tau(t + \eta) = -P\text{Id} + \nu \left(\nabla U + (\nabla U)^\top \right) (t).$$

By using the fact that $\tau(t + \eta) \simeq \tau(t) + \eta \partial_t \tau(t)$ and applying the operator $(\eta \partial_t + \text{Id})$ to the momentum equations (1.1), we obtain the following quasilinear hyperbolic version of Navier-Stokes equations:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \eta \partial_t^2 U + \partial_t U + U \cdot \nabla U + \eta (U \cdot \nabla U)_t - \nu \Delta U + \nabla P = 0, \\ \text{div } U = 0, \\ U|_{t=0} = U_0, \quad \partial_t U|_{t=0} = U_1. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we neglect the term $\eta (U \cdot \nabla U)_t$ in (1.4), and then we get the hyperbolic version of Navier-Stokes system:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \eta \partial_t^2 U + \partial_t U + U \cdot \nabla U - \nu \Delta U + \nabla P = 0, \\ \text{div } U = 0, \\ U|_{t=0} = U_0, \quad \partial_t U|_{t=0} = U_1. \end{cases}$$

We remark that the system (1.5) can also be obtained by the relaxation of the Euler's equations under a diffusive scaling, as it is proposed in [5]. Indeed, Brenier, Natalini and Puel [5] proved the global existence and uniqueness of solutions to the following system:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t U + \nabla \cdot V = \nabla P, \\ \sqrt{\eta} \partial_t V + \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\eta}} \nabla U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}} (U \otimes U - V), \\ \text{div } U = 0, \\ U|_{t=0} = U_0, \quad V|_{t=0} = V_0, \end{cases}$$

with initial data in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^2)^2 \times H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)^4$, where $\mathbb{T}^2$ is the periodic square $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. Moreover they proved the convergence of such solutions to a smooth solution of the classical Navier-Stokes system. In fact, one can show that as $\eta \rightarrow 0+$, the equations governing the leading order terms in (1.6) are again (1.5).

Paicu and Raugel in [23, 24] obtained the global existence and uniqueness result for the system (1.5) with significantly improved regularity for the initial data by using the Strichartz inequalities for this dispersive model. Hachicha [18] obtained the global existence and uniqueness result of the perturbed Navier-Stokes system (1.5) under suitable smallness assumptions on the initial data in the space $H^{\frac{n}{2}+\delta} \times H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n = 2, 3$. Moreover, she proved the local in time convergence of such solutions towards solution of the classical Navier-Stokes system with initial data in $H^{\frac{n}{2}-1+s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > 0$. Very recently, Coulaud, Hachicha and Raugel [13] investigated the well-posedness of a hyperbolic quasi-linear version of the Navier-Stokes equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In particular, under smallness assumptions on the data, they proved the global well-posedness of the system.

On the other hand, we recall that in order to describe geophysical flows on the Earth, it is usually assumed that vertical scale is much smaller than horizontal one as the fluid layer depth is small compared to the radius of the earth. In order to take into account this anisotropy between horizontal and vertical directions, we shall suppose that the fluid is evolving in a thin striped domain and for a vanishing viscosity so that they are good approximation of the atmospheric flow and oceanic flow.

The purpose of this paper is first to show the global existence solutions to (1.5) in the thin domain $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{R} \times (0, \varepsilon)$ and for a vanishing viscosity $\nu = \varepsilon^2$, we denote the corresponding solutions as $(U^\varepsilon, P^\varepsilon)$, and then to justify the limit system when the parameter ε goes to zero. For simplicity, here we take $\eta = 1$ in (1.5). The case when $\eta \rightarrow 0+$ also presents interest and will be considered separately.

We complement the system (1.5) with the non-slip boundary condition

$$U|_{y=0} = U|_{y=\varepsilon} = 0,$$

and the initial condition

$$U|_{t=0} = \left(u_0\left(x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right), \varepsilon v_0\left(x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \right) = U_0^\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}^\varepsilon.$$

As in [4, 19, 27] for the classical Navier-Stokes system, we write

$$(1.7) \quad U(t, x, y) = \left(u^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right), \varepsilon v^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad P(t, x, y) = p^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Let $\mathcal{S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < y < 1\}$. After a natural change of scale (see [27]), the system (1.5) becomes the following scaled anisotropic hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system:

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u^\varepsilon + \partial_t u^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \partial_x u^\varepsilon + v^\varepsilon \partial_y u^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 u^\varepsilon - \partial_y^2 u^\varepsilon + \partial_x p^\varepsilon = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{S} \times]0, \infty[, \\ \varepsilon^2 (\partial_t^2 v^\varepsilon + \partial_t v^\varepsilon + u^\varepsilon \partial_x v^\varepsilon + v^\varepsilon \partial_y v^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 v^\varepsilon - \partial_y^2 v^\varepsilon) + \partial_y p^\varepsilon = 0, \\ \partial_x u^\varepsilon + \partial_y v^\varepsilon = 0, \\ (u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (u_0, v_0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial_t u^\varepsilon, \partial_t v^\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (u_1, v_1), \end{cases}$$

together with the boundary condition

$$(1.9) \quad (u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)|_{y=0} = (u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)|_{y=1} = 0.$$

Formally taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the system (1.8), we obtain the hyperbolic Prandtl equations:

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + v \partial_y u - \partial_y^2 u + \partial_x p = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{S} \times]0, \infty[, \\ \partial_y p = 0, \\ \partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad u_t|_{t=0} = u_1, \end{cases}$$

together with the boundary condition

$$(1.11) \quad (u, v)|_{y=0} = (u, v)|_{y=1} = 0.$$

The goal of this paper is to justify the limit from the system (1.8) to the system (1.10) with initial data in the Gevrey class 2. Before we present the main results of this paper, we remark that similar to the classical Prandtl equation (P), the nonlinear term $v \partial_y u$ in (1.10) will lead to one derivative loss in the x variable in the process of energy estimates. Thus, it is natural to work with analytic data in order to overcome this difficulty if we don't impose extra structural assumptions on the initial data (see [15, 30]). Indeed, for the data which is analytic in x, y variables, Sammartino and Caffisch [31] established the local well-posedness result of the system (P) in the upper half space. Later, the analyticity in y variable was removed by Lombardo, Cannone and Sammartino in [20]. Lately, Paicu and Zhang [26] proved the global well-posedness of the system (P) with small analytic data. We mention that the optimal

local well-posedness result of Prandtl system is obtained by Dietert and Gérard-Varet in [14] (see also [16]), where the authors proved the local well-posedness of (P) with Gevrey class 2 data (one may check [32] for the corresponding global result). We also mention that for a class of convex data, Gérard-Varet, Masmoudi and Vicol [17] proved the well-posedness of the hydrostatic Navier-Stokes system in the Gevrey class.

Our first result in this paper is concerned with the global well-posedness of the hyperbolic Prandtl system (1.10) with small data in Gevrey class 2 for x variable.

Theorem 1.1. (1) *Let $a > 0$. We assume that the initial data satisfies*

$$(1.12) \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}^0(u_0, u_1) \leq c_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{H}_s^0(u_0, u_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, u_1, \partial_y u_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} \\ + \sqrt{a\mathfrak{K}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}} + a\mathfrak{K} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}},$$

for some c_0 sufficiently small and there holds the compatibility condition $\int_0^1 u_0 dy = \int_0^1 u_1 dy = 0$. Then the system (1.10) has a unique global solution u so that for any $t > 0$, there holds

$$(1.13) \quad E_{\frac{1}{2}}(u)(t) \leq C \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}^0(u_0, u_1),$$

where

$$(1.14) \quad E_s(u)(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u_\Phi, \partial_y u_\Phi, (\partial_t u)_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)} + \sqrt{a\mathfrak{K}} \|e^{\frac{3}{4}\mathfrak{K}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} \\ + a\mathfrak{K} \|e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{K}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})} + \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}((\partial_t u)_\Phi, \partial_y u_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)}.$$

u_Φ will be determined by (2.1), the constant $\mathfrak{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4(1+\mathcal{K})}\right)$ with $\mathcal{K}$ being determined by Poincaré inequality on the strip $\mathcal{S}$ (see (2.12)), and the functional spaces will be presented in Appendix A.

(2) *If we assume moreover that for $s > -\frac{1}{4}$, $\mathfrak{H}_s^0(u_0, u_1) < \infty$, then one has*

$$(1.15) \quad E_s(u)(t) \leq C \mathfrak{H}_s^0(u_0, u_1) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

(3) *If we assume in addition that*

$$(1.16) \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}^0(u_0, u_1) + \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{3}{4}}^0(u_0, u_1) + \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}^0(\partial_y u_0, \partial_y u_1) \\ + \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_y u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \leq c_1,$$

for some c_1 sufficiently small, then for any $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, one has

$$(1.17) \quad E_s(\partial_y u)(t) + \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(\partial_t^2 u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)} \leq C \left(\mathfrak{H}_s^0(u_0, u_1) + \mathfrak{H}_{s+\frac{1}{4}}^0(u_0, u_1) \right. \\ \left. + \mathfrak{H}_s^0(\partial_y u_0, \partial_y u_1) + \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_y u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s+1}}^2 \right).$$

Remark 1.1. Compared with the wellposedness result of the hydrostatic Navier-Stokes system with initial data in Gevrey class in [17], here we do not need the convex assumption on the initial data. Compared with the proof of the well-posedness result of the Prandtl system in [14, 32] with initial data in the Gevrey class 2, our proof here is much simplified. The main idea is to use multi time-weighted norms like $\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}$, $\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^2(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})}$ and $\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}$ (see (2.25) and Definition A.3 below).

The second result is about the global well-posedness of the system (1.8) with small Gevrey class 2 data in x variable. The main interesting point is that the smallness of data is independent of ε and there holds the global uniform estimate (1.20) with respect to the parameter ε .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $a > 0$. We assume that the initial data satisfies*

$$(1.18) \quad \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, \varepsilon v_0, u_1, \varepsilon v_1, \partial_y(u_0, \varepsilon v_0))\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}} \cap \mathcal{B}^1} \leq c_2$$

for some c_2 sufficiently small. Then the system (1.8) has a unique global solution $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ so that for any $t > 0$, there holds

$$(1.19) \quad E_{\mathfrak{R}}^1(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)(t) \leq C \mathfrak{H}_\varepsilon^1(u_0, v_0),$$

where

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{\mathfrak{R}}^1(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'}((u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon v^\varepsilon)_\Phi, \varepsilon \partial_x(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon v^\varepsilon)_\Phi, \partial_y(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon v^\varepsilon)_\Phi, (\partial_t u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon \partial_t v^\varepsilon)_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &+ \sqrt{a\mathfrak{R}} \|e^{\frac{3}{4}\mathfrak{R}t'}(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon v^\varepsilon)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + a\mathfrak{R} \|e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{R}t'}(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon v^\varepsilon)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^1)} \\ &+ \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'}(\varepsilon \partial_x(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon v^\varepsilon), \partial_y(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon v^\varepsilon), \partial_t(u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon v^\varepsilon))_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_\varepsilon^1(u_0, v_0) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, \varepsilon v_0, \varepsilon \partial_x(u_0, \varepsilon v_0), \partial_y(u_0, \varepsilon v_0), u_1, \varepsilon v_1)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &+ \sqrt{a\mathfrak{R}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}}} + a\mathfrak{R} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

where $(u_\Phi^\varepsilon, v_\Phi^\varepsilon)$ will be given by (2.1).

The third result is concerned with the convergence of the solutions from the scaled anisotropic hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system (1.8) to the hyperbolic Prandtl system (1.10), which corresponds to [27] for hydrostatic approximation of Navier-Stokes system in a thin strip with analytic data in the tangential variable. Compared with [27], here our initial data belong to Gevrey class 2.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $a > 0$ and (u_0, v_0) satisfy (1.18). Let (u_0, u_1) verify (1.12), (1.16) and $\mathfrak{H}_3^0(u_0, u_1) < \infty$, and the compatibility condition $\int_0^1 u_0 dy = \int_0^1 u_1 dy = 0$. Let $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ and u be the global solutions of the system (1.8) and (1.10) obtained respectively in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Then we have*

$$(1.22) \quad E_0^1(w_\varepsilon^1, w_\varepsilon^2)(t) \leq C(\mathfrak{H}_\varepsilon^1(w_{0,\varepsilon}^1, w_{0,\varepsilon}^2) + M\varepsilon),$$

Here the energy functionals $E_0^1(w_\varepsilon^1, w_\varepsilon^2)(t)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_\varepsilon^1(w_{0,\varepsilon}^1, w_{0,\varepsilon}^2)$ are determined respectively by (1.20) and (1.21), $w_\varepsilon^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u^\varepsilon - u$, $w_\varepsilon^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v^\varepsilon - v$ and v is determined from u via $\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0$ and $v|_{y=0} = v|_{y=1} = 0$.

Remark 1.2. *Similar convergence result from hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system to hyperbolic hydrostatic equations has been rigorously justified in the analytic functional framework by Aarach [1].*

The structure of this paper lists as follows: in Section 2, we prove the global well-posedness of the system (1.10) with initial data in the Gevrey class 2 for the tangential variable. Let us point out that the multi time-weighted Chemin-Lerner norms plays an important role. These ideas are common to the proof of the three theorems in this paper.

Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the propagation of regularity for $\partial_y u_\Phi$, which arises additional difficulty in the estimate of the pressure function compared with that in [27].

Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the global well-posedness of the system (1.8), namely the proof of Theorem 1.2

Finally we present the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 5.

In the appendix A, we shall collect some basic tools on the functional framework used in this paper.

We end this introduction by the notations that we shall use in this context. For $a \lesssim b$, we mean that there is a uniform constant C , which may be different on different lines, such that $a \leq Cb$. We denote by $(a|b)_{L^2}$ the $L^2(\mathcal{S})$ inner product of a and b . We designate by $L_T^p(L_h^q(L_v^r))$ the space $L^p([0, T]; L^q(\mathbb{R}_x; L^r(\mathbb{R}_y)))$. Finally, we denote by $(d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $(d_k(t))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$) to be a generic element of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_k = 1$ (resp. $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_k(t) = 1$).

2. GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE SYSTEM (1.10)

In this section, we study the global well-posedness of the hyperbolic Prandtl equations (1.10) with small Gevrey class 2 data. Namely, we are going to present the proof of part (1) and part (2) of Theorem 1.1.

As in [9, 10, 26, 27, 28, 29, 33], especially motivated by [32], we define

$$(2.1) \quad u_\Phi(t, x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1}(e^{\Phi(t, \xi)} \widehat{u}(t, \xi, y)) \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi(t, \xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a - \lambda \theta(t)) |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the quantity $\theta(t)$ describes the evolution of the loss of Gevrey radius of u , which is determined by

$$(2.2) \quad \dot{\theta}(t) = \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}t} \quad \text{with} \quad \theta|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{a\kappa}{4\lambda} \right)^2,$$

where λ is a large enough constant to be determined later on. Indeed we observe from (2.2) that

$$\theta(t) = \frac{2\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\kappa} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}t} \right),$$

so that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$a - \lambda \theta(t) = \frac{a}{2} \left(1 + e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}t} \right) > \frac{a}{2}.$$

Hence by virtue of (2.1), $\Phi(t, \xi)$ verifies the following convex inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(t, \xi) \leq \Phi(t, \xi - \eta) + \Phi(t, \eta) \quad \text{for} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Part (1). For simplicity, we just present the *a priori* estimates for smooth enough solutions of (1.10). Indeed in view of (1.10) and (2.1), we observe that u_Φ verifies

$$(2.4) \quad \partial_t(\partial_t u)_\Phi + \lambda \dot{\theta}(t) |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t u)_\Phi + (\partial_t u)_\Phi + (u \partial_x u)_\Phi + (v \partial_y u)_\Phi - \partial_y^2 u_\Phi + \partial_x p_\Phi = 0,$$

where $|D_x|^s$ denotes the Fourier multiplier in the horizontal variable with symbol $|\xi|^s$.

By applying Δ_k^h to (2.4) and taking L^2 inner product of the resulting equation with $\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi$, we find

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & - (\Delta_k^h \partial_y^2 u_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} = - (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ & - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h \partial_x p_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (1.11) and $\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0$, we get, by using integration by parts, that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_k^h \partial_x p_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} &= - (\Delta_k^h p_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \partial_x(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &= (\Delta_k^h p_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \partial_y(\partial_t v)_\Phi)_{L^2} = - (\Delta_k^h \partial_y p_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v)_\Phi)_{L^2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Whereas observing from (2.1) that

$$(2.6) \quad (\partial_t u)_\Phi = \partial_t u_\Phi + \lambda \dot{\theta} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\Phi,$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 &= \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_t u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^3 \dot{\theta}^3 \| |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ 2\lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2 (|D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_t u_\Phi | |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(|D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_t u_\Phi(t) | |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t))_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2.$$

Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} - (\Delta_k^h \partial_y^2 u_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} &= - (\Delta_k^h \partial_y^2 u_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u_\Phi + \lambda \dot{\theta} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\Phi))_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By inserting the above estimates into (2.5), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ & + \lambda \dot{\theta} (\| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_t u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2) \\ & - 2\lambda^2 \dot{\theta} \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^3 \dot{\theta}^3 \| |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & = - (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we get, by applying Δ_k^h to (2.4) and then taking L^2 inner product of the resulting equation with $\Delta_k^h u_\Phi$, that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\Delta_k^h \partial_t(\partial_t u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} + \lambda \dot{\theta} (|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ & + (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h \partial_y^2 u_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ & = - (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h \partial_x p_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using integration by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_k^h \partial_t(\partial_t u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} &= \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \partial_t u_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} - \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi | |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi \|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2.$$

Similarly, one has

$$(\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2.$$

By substituting the above estimates into (2.8), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left((\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t) | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t))_{L^2} + \lambda \dot{\theta}(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ & - \| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda(\dot{\theta} - \ddot{\theta}) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + 2\lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 = - (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By summing up (2.7) with $\frac{1}{2} \times (2.9)$, we achieve

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{F}_0(t) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\dot{\theta} - \ddot{\theta}) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} (\| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_t u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2) \\ & + \lambda^2 (\dot{\theta}^2 - 2\dot{\theta}\ddot{\theta}) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^3 \dot{\theta}^3 \| |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2) \\ & = - (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}u)_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}u)_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{F}_0(t)$ is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_0(t) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \left(\| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t) | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t))_{L^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda \dot{\theta}(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} \| \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} \| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t) | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t))_{L^2} + \frac{3}{16} \| \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{3} \| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t) + \frac{3}{4} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{6} \| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \| \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \dot{\theta}(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \leq \mathfrak{F}_0(t) \leq \frac{3}{4} \| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \| \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \dot{\theta}(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

While due to $(u_\Phi, v_\Phi)|_{y=0} = (u_\Phi, v_\Phi)|_{y=1} = 0$, we get, by applying Poincaré inequality, that

$$(2.12) \quad \| \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \leq \mathcal{K} \| \partial_y \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2.$$

Moreover, by virtue of (2.2), one has $\ddot{\theta}(t) = -\frac{\mathfrak{K}}{2}\dot{\theta}(t)$, then by (2.12), if we take $\mathfrak{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4(1+\kappa)}\right)$, we find

$$(2.13) \quad \lambda\left(\frac{\dot{\theta}(t)}{4} - \frac{\ddot{\theta}(t)}{2}\right) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \left(\frac{\dot{\theta}(t)^2}{2} - 2\dot{\theta}(t)\ddot{\theta}(t) \right) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \\ + \frac{1}{4} (\| \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2) - 2\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{F}_0(t) \geq 0.$$

Then by multiplying (2.10) by $e^{2\mathfrak{K}t}$ and integrating the resulting inequality over $[0, t]$, we achieve

$$(2.14) \quad \mathfrak{G}_k(u)(t) \leq \frac{3}{4} \| e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u)(0) \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_0 \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h u_0 \|_{L^2}^2 \\ + \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \| e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h u_0 \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \delta 2^k \| e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h u_0 \|_{L^2}^2 \\ + \int_0^t | (e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h (u \partial_x u)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} u)_\Phi)_{L^2} | dt' \\ + \int_0^t | (e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h (v \partial_y u)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} u)_\Phi)_{L^2} | dt',$$

with $\mathfrak{G}_k(u)(t)$ being determined by

$$(2.15) \quad \mathfrak{G}_k(u)(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{6} \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u)_\Phi \|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi \|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 \\ + \frac{\lambda}{2} \dot{\theta}(t) \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \\ + \lambda \int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \left(\frac{1}{4} \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u_\Phi, \partial_y u_\Phi)(t') \|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt' \\ + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^2(t') \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t') \|_{L^2}^2 dt' + \lambda^3 \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t') \|_{L^2}^2 dt' \\ + \frac{1}{4} (\| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u)_\Phi \|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \| \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi \|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2).$$

In what follows, we shall always assume that $t < T_0^\star$ with $T_0^\star$ being determined by

$$(2.16) \quad T_0^\star \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \{ t > 0, \quad \| \partial_y u_\Phi(t) \|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \delta e^{-\mathfrak{K}t} \}.$$

The estimates of the last two terms in (2.14) rely on the following two lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *For any $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$ and $t \leq T_0^\star$, there holds*

$$(2.17) \quad \int_0^t | (e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h (u \partial_x \mathfrak{a})_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_\Phi)_{L^2} | dt' \\ \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \mathfrak{a}_\Phi \|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \| e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \mathfrak{b}_\Phi \|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})},$$

where the time-weighted Chemin-Lerner type norms $\| \cdot \|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}$ and $\| \cdot \|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}$ will be given by Definition A.3. In particular, when $\mathfrak{a} = u$ or $\mathfrak{a} = \partial_y u$, (2.17) holds for any $s > -\frac{1}{4}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let us assume that $\|\mathbf{a}_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \delta e^{-\Re t}$ for $t \leq T_\alpha^*$. Then for any $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$ and $t \leq T_\alpha^*$, there holds*

$$(2.18) \quad \int_0^t |(e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(v\mathbf{a})_\Phi | e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\Re t'} \mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}.$$

Furthermore for $t \leq T_{1,\alpha}^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ t \leq T_\alpha^*, \|u_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^1} \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\Re}{2}t} \right\}$ and $s > -\frac{1}{4}$, one has

$$(2.19) \quad \int_0^t |(e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(v\mathbf{a})_\Phi | e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} (\|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} + \|e^{\Re t'} \mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}) \|e^{\Re t'} \mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}.$$

We admit the above lemmas for the time being and continue our proof of Theorem 1.1. Indeed we first deduce from Lemma 2.1 that for $t \leq T_0^*$ and $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$

$$(2.20) \quad \int_0^t |(e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(u\partial_x u)_\Phi | e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})},$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad \int_0^t |(e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(u\partial_x u)_\Phi | e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ = \int_0^t |(e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(u\partial_x u)_\Phi | e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u_\Phi + \lambda \dot{\theta}(t) |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\Phi))_{L^2}| dt' \\ \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} (\|e^{\Re t'} \partial_t u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} + \lambda \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}).$$

Whereas it follows from Lemma 2.2 that for $t \leq T_0^*$ and $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$

$$(2.22) \quad \int_0^t |(e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(v\partial_y u)_\Phi | e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})},$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \int_0^t |(e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(v\partial_y u)_\Phi | e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} (\|e^{\Re t'} \partial_t u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} + \lambda \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lambda \geq 1$. Then by inserting the above estimates into (2.14), we find that for $t \leq T_0^*$ and $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$

$$\mathfrak{G}_k(u)(t) \leq \frac{3}{4} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h u_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ + \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \delta 2^k \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ + C d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \left(\|e^{\Re t'} (u_\Phi, \partial_t u_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^2 + \lambda \|e^{\Re t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^2 \right).$$

Then thanks to (2.2), by multiplying the above inequality by 2^{2ks} and then taking square root of the resulting inequality, and finally by summing up the resulting ones over $\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{s,\lambda}(u)(t) &\leq C \left(\|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, u_1, \partial_y u_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} + \sqrt{a\mathfrak{K}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a\mathfrak{K} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} + \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u_\Phi, \partial_t u_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \leq T_0^*$ and $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$, where we used (2.2) so that $\lambda\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{a\mathfrak{K}}{4}$, and

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{s,\lambda}(u)(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u_\Phi, \partial_y u_\Phi, (\partial_t u)_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)} + \sqrt{a\mathfrak{K}} \|e^{\frac{3}{4}\mathfrak{K}t'}u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} \\ &\quad + a\mathfrak{K} \|e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{K}t'}u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})} + \sqrt{\lambda} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u_\Phi, \partial_t u_\Phi, \partial_y u_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} \\ &\quad + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}^2(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})} + \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} + \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}((\partial_t u)_\Phi, \partial_y u_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lambda = 4C^2$ in (2.24) leads to

$$(2.26) \quad E_{s,\frac{\lambda}{4}}(t) \leq C\mathfrak{H}_s^0(u_0, u_1),$$

for $t \leq T_0^*$ and $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$, and $\mathfrak{H}_s^0(u_0, u_1)$ being determined by (1.12). In particular, we deduce from (1.12) and (2.26) that for $t \leq T_0^*$

$$(2.27) \quad E_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{\lambda}{4}}(t) \leq C\mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}^0(u_0, u_1) \leq Cc_0,$$

which implies

$$(2.28) \quad \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq Cc_0 e^{-\mathfrak{K}t} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} e^{-\mathfrak{K}t} \quad \forall t \leq T_0^*,$$

if we take c_0 in (1.10) to be so small that $Cc_0 \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Then we deduce by a continuous argument that T_0^* determined by (2.16) equals $+\infty$ and (1.13) holds. This completes the proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1.

Part (2). We also deduce from (2.25) and (2.27) that

$$a\mathfrak{K} \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{K}}{2}t'}u_\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{B}^1)} \leq Cc_0.$$

By taking c_0 in (1.10) to be so small that $\frac{Cc_0}{a\mathfrak{K}} \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we deduce that

$$(2.29) \quad \|u_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^1} \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\mathfrak{K}}{2}t} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Thanks to (2.29), we get, by applying (2.19), that for any $s > -\frac{1}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi) e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}u)_\Phi|_{L^2} dt' &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} (\|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \\ &\quad + \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}\partial_y u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}) \left(\|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}\partial_t u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then along the same line to the proof (2.24), we deduce that for any $s > -\frac{1}{4}$

$$E_{s,\lambda}(t) \leq C \left(\mathfrak{H}_s^0(u_0, u_1) + \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u_\Phi, \partial_y u_\Phi, \partial_t u_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \right),$$

Taking $\lambda = 4C^2$ in the above inequality gives rise to

$$E_{s,\frac{\lambda}{4}}(t) \leq C\mathfrak{H}_s^0(u_0, u_1) \quad \text{for } s > -\frac{1}{4},$$

which implies (1.15). This finishes the proof of part (2) of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Now let us present the proof of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. Indeed, we observe that it amounts to prove these lemmas for $\mathfrak{K} = 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}} \geq 0$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{b}} \geq 0$ (and similar assumption for the proof of the product law in the rest of this paper, one may check [10] for detail).

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We first get, by applying Bony's decomposition (A.3) in the horizontal variable to $u\partial_x \mathfrak{a}$, that

$$u\partial_x \mathfrak{a} = T_u^h \partial_x \mathfrak{a} + T_{\partial_x \mathfrak{a}}^h u + R^h(u, \partial_x \mathfrak{a}).$$

Accordingly, we shall handle the following three terms:

- Estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_u^h \partial_x \mathfrak{a})_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$

Considering the support properties to the Fourier transform of the terms in $T_u^h \partial_x \mathfrak{a}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_u^h \partial_x \mathfrak{a})_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_x \mathfrak{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt'. \end{aligned}$$

However, due to $u|_{y=0} = 0$, we write $u(t, x, y) = \int_0^y \partial_y u(t, x, y') dy'$, so that we deduce from Lemma A.1 and Poincaré inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.30) \quad & \|\Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t)\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim d_j(t) \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|S_{k'-1}^h u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

This together with (2.2) and (2.16) ensures that for $t \leq T_0^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_u^h \partial_x \mathfrak{a})_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \int_0^t \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathfrak{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^2(t') \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathfrak{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get, by applying Hölder inequality and using Definition A.3, that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.31) \quad & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_u^h \partial_x \mathbf{a})_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k 2^{-2ks} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} \left(\sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} d_{k'} 2^{(k-k')(s-\frac{1}{4})} \right) \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

• Estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_x \mathbf{a}}^h u)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$

Again considering the support properties to the Fourier transform of the terms in $T_{\partial_x \mathbf{a}}^h u$ and thanks to (2.30), we deduce that for $t \leq T_0^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.32) \quad & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_x \mathbf{a}}^h u)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t d_{k'}(t) \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Yet we observe from Definition A.3 and $s < \frac{3}{4}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.33) \quad & \left(\int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \sum_{\ell \leq k'-2} 2^{\frac{3\ell}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_\ell^h \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\ell \leq k'-2} d_\ell 2^{\ell(\frac{3}{4}-s)} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \\
& \lesssim d_{k'} 2^{k'(\frac{3}{4}-s)} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, for $s < \frac{3}{4}$, it comes out

$$(2.34) \quad \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_x \mathbf{a}}^h u)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}.$$

On the other hand, we get, by a similar derivation of (2.32) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_x \mathbf{a}}^h u)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t d_{k'}(t) \|\partial_x \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} d_{k'} \left(\int_0^t \|\partial_x \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

While it follows from the derivation of (2.33) that

$$\left(\int_0^t \|\partial_x \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{2}})}.$$

Therefore (2.34) holds for $s = \frac{3}{4}$.

• Estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(u, \partial_x \mathbf{a}))_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$

Again considering the support properties to the Fourier transform of the terms in $R^h(u, \partial_x \mathbf{a})$, we get, by applying Lemma A.1 and (2.30), that for $t \leq T_0^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(u, \partial_x \mathbf{a}))_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_x \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^2(t') \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $s > -\frac{1}{4}$, by applying Hölder inequality and using Definition A.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(u, \partial_x \mathbf{a}))_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k 2^{-2ks} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} d_{k'} 2^{(k-k')(s+\frac{1}{4})} \right) \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

By summing up the estimates (2.31), (2.34) and (2.35), we conclude the proof of (2.17) for $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$.

On the other hand, we observe from (2.30) that

$$\|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^k \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

so that we get, by a similar derivation of (2.32) that for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_x u}^h u)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x u_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \int_0^t \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.31) and (2.35) ensures that (2.17) holds for any $s > -\frac{1}{4}$ in case $\mathbf{a} = u$.

Finally when $\mathbf{a} = \partial_y u$, we get, by applying (2.30), that for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_x \partial_y u}^h u)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x \partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \int_0^t \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|\partial_y u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.31) and (2.35) ensures that (2.17) holds for any $s > -\frac{1}{4}$ in case $\mathbf{a} = \partial_y u$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.1. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Once again, by applying Bony's decomposition (A.3) for the horizontal variable to $v\mathbf{a}$, we obtain

$$v\mathbf{a} = T_v^h \mathbf{a} + T_{\mathbf{a}}^h v + R^h(v, \mathbf{a}).$$

Accordingly, we shall handle the following three terms:

- Estimate of $\int_0^t (\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \mathbf{a})_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2} dt'$

We first observe from (2.2) and the assumption: $\|\mathbf{a}_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \delta e^{-\mathfrak{R}t}$ for $t \leq T_\mathbf{a}^*$. that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \mathbf{a})_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^2(t') \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt'.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0$ and (1.11), we write $v(t, x, y) = -\int_0^y \partial_x u(t, x, y') dy'$. Then we deduce from Lemma A.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.36) \quad & \|\Delta_k^h v_\Phi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \int_0^1 \|\Delta_k^h \partial_x u_\Phi(t, \cdot, y')\|_{L_h^\infty} dy' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} \int_0^1 \|\Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t, \cdot, y')\|_{L_h^2} dy' \lesssim 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} \|\Delta_k^h u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2},
\end{aligned}$$

from which and $s < \frac{3}{4}$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.37) \quad & \left(\int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{\ell \leq k'-2} 2^{\frac{3\ell}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_\ell^h u_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\ell \leq k'-2} d_\ell 2^{\ell(\frac{3}{4}-s)} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \\
& \lesssim d_{k'} 2^{k'(\frac{3}{4}-s)} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by virtue of Definition A.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \mathbf{a})_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

The case for $s = \frac{3}{4}$ can be proved along the same line to (2.34).

- Estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_\mathbf{a}^h v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_\mathbf{a}^h v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t)\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt',
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.2) and (2.36) ensures that for $t \leq T_{\mathfrak{a}}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\mathfrak{a}}^h v)_{\Phi} \mid \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi})_{L^2}| dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \int_0^t \|\mathfrak{a}_{\Phi}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then thanks to Definition A.3, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we achieve

$$(2.38) \quad \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\mathfrak{a}}^h v)_{\Phi} \mid \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi})_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}.$$

- Estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v, \mathfrak{a}))_{\Phi} \mid \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi})_{L^2}| dt'$

We get, by applying lemma A.1 and (2.36), that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v, \mathfrak{a}))_{\Phi} \mid \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi})_{L^2}| dt' \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2(L_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\infty})} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \mathfrak{a}_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} \|\mathfrak{a}_{\Phi}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with Definition A.3 and $s > -\frac{1}{4}$ ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v, \mathfrak{a}))_{\Phi} \mid \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_{\Phi})_{L^2}| dt' \\ (2.39) \quad & \lesssim d_k 2^{-2ks} \|u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} d_{k'} 2^{(k-k')(\frac{1}{4}+s)} \right) \\ & \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|\mathfrak{b}_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}. \end{aligned}$$

By summing up the above estimates, we arrive at (2.18) for $s \in]-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$.

On the other hand, we deduce from (2.36) that for $t \leq T_{1, \mathfrak{a}}^*$

$$\|S_{k'-1}^h v_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \|u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^1} \lesssim 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \dot{\theta}(t'),$$

from which, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \mathbf{a})_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\Delta_{k'}^h \mathbf{a}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|\mathbf{a}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.38) and (2.39) ensures (2.19). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. $\square$

3. PROPAGATION OF REGULARITIES FOR $\partial_y u_\Phi$

The purpose of this section is to present the proof of part (3) of Theorem 1.1. Due to the boundary conditions for $v : v|_{y=0} = 0 = v|_{y=1}$, we get, by integrating $\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0$ over $[0, 1]$ with respect to y variable, that

$$\partial_x \int_0^1 u(t, x, y) dy = 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^1 u(t, x, y) dy \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(t).$$

Let us determine the pressure p via

$$(3.1) \quad \partial_x p = \partial_y u(t, x, 1) - \partial_y u(t, x, 0) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \int_0^1 u^2(t, x, y) dy.$$

So that by integrating the equation $\partial_t^2 u + \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + v \partial_y u - \partial_y^2 u + \partial_x p = 0$ for $y \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$f''(t) + f(t) = 0,$$

which together with the compatibility conditions that $\int_0^1 u_0(x, y) dy = 0 = \int_0^1 u_1(x, y) dy$, that is, $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$, ensures that $f(t) = 0$.

Proof of (1.17). In what follows, we shall always denote $\omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_y u$ and assume that $t < T_1^*$ with T_1^* being determined by

$$(3.2) \quad T_1^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \{ t > 0, \quad \|\partial_y \omega_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \delta e^{-\kappa t} \}.$$

Thanks to $\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0$, we get, by applying ∂_y to (1.10), that

$$\partial_t^2 \omega + \partial_t \omega + u \partial_x \omega + v \partial_y \omega - \partial_y^2 \omega + \partial_y \partial_x p = 0.$$

In view of (2.1), we get, by applying the Fourier multiplier $e^{\Phi(t, D)}$ to the above equation, that

$$\partial_t(\partial_t \omega)_\Phi + \lambda \dot{\theta} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t \omega)_\Phi + (\partial_t \omega)_\Phi + (u \partial_x \omega)_\Phi + (v \partial_y \omega)_\Phi - \partial_y^2 \omega_\Phi + \partial_y \partial_x p_\Phi = 0.$$

By taking L^2 inner product of the above equation by $(\partial_t \omega)_\Phi + \frac{1}{2} \omega_\Phi$ and using the fact that $-\partial_y \omega + \partial_x p$ vanishes on the boundary of $\mathcal{S}$, we get, by using a similar derivation of (2.14),

that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{G}_k(\omega)(t) &\leq \frac{3}{4} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t \omega)(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \omega_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \omega_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \delta 2^k \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \omega_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (u \partial_x \omega)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t \omega + \frac{1}{2} \omega)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
&\quad + \int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (v \partial_y \omega)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t \omega + \frac{1}{2} \omega)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' + I_k(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where the functional $\mathfrak{G}_k(\omega)(t)$ is determined by (2.15) and

$$(3.4) \quad I_k(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t (e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \Delta_k^h \partial_x p_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t \partial_y \omega_\Phi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_y \omega_\Phi))_{L^2} dt'.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that for any $\mathfrak{s} > -\frac{1}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (u \partial_x \omega)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t \omega + \frac{1}{2} \omega)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2k\mathfrak{s}} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{3}{4}})} \\
&\quad \times (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\omega_\Phi, \partial_t \omega_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{1}{4}})} + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{3}{4}})}).
\end{aligned}$$

Whereas it follows from (2.29), Lemma 2.2 and (3.2) that for $t \leq T_1^\star$ and for any $\mathfrak{s} > -\frac{1}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (v \partial_y \omega)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t \omega + \frac{1}{2} \omega)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2k\mathfrak{s}} (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{3}{4}})} \\
&\quad + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{1}{4}})}) (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\omega_\Phi, \partial_t \omega_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{1}{4}})} + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{3}{4}})}).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad |I_k(t)| &\leq C d_k^2 2^{-2k\mathfrak{s}} \left(\mathfrak{N}_\mathfrak{s} + \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{2}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t'}^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{3}{4}})}^2 + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{1}{2}})}^2 \right. \\
&\quad + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s})} + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s})} \\
&\quad + \frac{a\mathfrak{R}}{4C} \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{2}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\omega, \partial_y \omega)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{1}{4}})}^2 \\
&\quad \left. + \lambda (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (u, \omega)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{3}{4}})}^2 + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+1})}^2) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad \mathfrak{N}_\mathfrak{s} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_y \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s}} \\
&\quad + \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{1+\mathfrak{s}}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_y \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (3.5) involves technicality, which we postpone in the Appendix B.

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad \mathfrak{L}_s &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} (\omega_0, \partial_y u_1, \partial_y \omega_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mathfrak{s}}^2 + a\mathfrak{R} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{1}{4}}}^2 \\
&\quad + a^2 \mathfrak{R}^2 \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathfrak{s}+1}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by substituting the above estimates into (3.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}_k(\omega)(t) &\leq C d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \left(\mathfrak{L}_s + \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{2}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^2 + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})}^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)} + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)} \\ &\quad + \frac{a\mathfrak{R}}{4C} \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{2}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\omega, \partial_y \omega_\Phi, \partial_t \omega_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^2 + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+1})}^2) + \lambda^2 \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then thanks to (2.2) and (2.25), by multiplying the above inequality by 2^{2ks} and then taking square root of the resulting inequality, finally by summing up the inequalities for k over $\mathbb{Z}$, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s,\lambda}(\omega)(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)} + a\mathfrak{R} \|e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})} + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)}) \\ &\quad + C \left(\mathfrak{L}_s + \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{2}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})} \right. \\ &\quad + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (w, \partial_y w, \partial_t w)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^2 + \sqrt{\lambda} (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \\ &\quad \left. + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+1})}) + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.2) so that $\lambda \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{a\mathfrak{R}}{4}$. By taking $\lambda = 4C^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s,\frac{\lambda}{4}}(\omega)(t) &\leq C \left(\mathfrak{L}_s + \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{2}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_t u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\lambda} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} + \sqrt{\lambda} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+1})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.26) ensures that for $t \leq T_1^*$,

$$(3.8) \quad E_{s,\frac{\lambda}{4}}(\omega)(t) \leq C (\mathfrak{L}_s + \mathfrak{H}_s(u_0, u_1) + \mathfrak{H}_{s+\frac{1}{4}}(u_0, u_1)).$$

In particular, we deduce from (1.16) and (3.8) that

$$\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \partial_y \omega_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq E_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{\lambda}{4}}(\omega)(t) \leq C (\mathfrak{L}_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}(u_0, u_1) + \mathfrak{H}_{\frac{3}{4}}(u_0, u_1)) \leq C c_1,$$

so that for $t \leq T_1^*$, there holds

$$\|\partial_y \omega_\Phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C c_1 e^{-\mathfrak{R}t} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} e^{-\mathfrak{R}t},$$

for c_1 sufficiently small. Then a continuous argument shows that T_1^* defined by (3.2) equals ∞ . Moreover, (1.17) holds for $E_s(\partial_y u)(t)$.

Let us turn to the estimate of $\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\partial_t^2 u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)}$. Indeed, by applying Δ_k^h to (2.4) and taking L^2 inner product of the resulting equation with $\Delta_k^h (\partial_t^2 u)_\Phi$, we obtain

$$\|\Delta_k^h (\partial_t^2 u)_\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y^2 u_\Phi\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_k^h (\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_k^h (u \partial_x u)_\Phi\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_k^h (v \partial_y u)_\Phi\|_{L^2}.$$

By multiplying the above inequality by $2^{2ks} e^{2\mathfrak{R}t}$ and then integrating the resulting inequality over $[0, t]$, and finally summing up k over $\mathbb{Z}$, we achieve

$$(3.9) \quad \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t} (\partial_t^2 u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)} \leq \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t} (\partial_t u, \partial_y^2 u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)} + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t} (u \partial_x u, v \partial_y u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)}.$$

Yet it follows from the proof of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that

$$\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t}(u\partial_x u, v\partial_y u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)} \lesssim \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+1})}.$$

By substituting the above estimates, (2.27) and (3.8) into (3.9), we obtain the estimate (1.17) for $\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t}(\partial_t^2 u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)}$. This completes the proof of (1.17) and thus Theorem 1.1. $\square$

4. GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE SYSTEM (1.8)

In this section, we prove the global well-posedness of the scaled anisotropic hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system (1.8) with small Gevrey class 2 data and establish uniform estimates for such solutions, namely, we are going to present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In the rest of this section, we shall prove that under the assumption of (1.18), there holds the *a priori* estimate (1.20) for smooth enough solutions of (1.8), and neglect the regularization procedure. For simplicity, we shall neglect the supscript ε . Then in view of (1.8) and (2.1), we observe that (u_Φ, v_Φ) verifies

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t(\partial_t u)_\Phi + \lambda\dot{\theta}|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_t u)_\Phi + (\partial_t u)_\Phi + (u\partial_x u)_\Phi + (v\partial_y u)_\Phi \\ \quad - \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 u_\Phi - \partial_y^2 u_\Phi + \partial_x p_\Phi = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_t(\partial_t v)_\Phi + \lambda\dot{\theta}|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_t v)_\Phi + (\partial_t v)_\Phi + (u\partial_x v)_\Phi + (v\partial_y v)_\Phi \right. \\ \quad \left. - \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 v_\Phi - \partial_y^2 v_\Phi \right) + \partial_y p_\Phi = 0, \\ \partial_x u_\Phi + \partial_y v_\Phi = 0 \quad \text{for } (t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{S}, \\ (u_\Phi, v_\Phi)|_{y=0} = (u_\Phi, v_\Phi)|_{y=1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

By applying the dyadic operator Δ_k^h to (4.1) and then taking the L^2 inner product of the resulting equations with $(\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi, \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v)_\Phi)$, we find

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda\dot{\theta} \left\| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & - \varepsilon^2 \left(\Delta_k^h \partial_x^2(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi \right)_{L^2} - \left(\Delta_k^h \partial_y^2(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi \right)_{L^2} \\ & = - \left(\Delta_k^h(u\partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi \right)_{L^2} - \left(\Delta_k^h(v\partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi \right)_{L^2} \\ & - \varepsilon^2 \left(\Delta_k^h(u\partial_x v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v)_\Phi \right)_{L^2} - \varepsilon^2 \left(\Delta_k^h(v\partial_y v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v)_\Phi \right)_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\partial_x u_\Phi + \partial_y v_\Phi = 0$, so that

$$(\Delta_k^h \nabla p_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \partial_t v)_\Phi)_{L^2} = 0.$$

Here and in all that follows, we always denote

$$(\vec{\mathfrak{a}}, \vec{\mathfrak{b}})_{L^2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathcal{S}} (\mathfrak{a}_1(x)\mathfrak{b}_1(x) + \mathfrak{a}_2(x)\mathfrak{b}_2(x)) \, dx$$

for $\vec{\mathfrak{a}}(x) = (\mathfrak{a}_1(x), \mathfrak{a}_2(x))$ and $\vec{\mathfrak{b}}(x) = (\mathfrak{b}_1(x), \mathfrak{b}_2(x))$.

We first get, by a similar derivations as those equalities above (2.7), that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\dot{\theta} \left\| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi \right\|_{L^2}^2 &= \lambda\dot{\theta} \left\| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u_\Phi, \varepsilon \partial_t v_\Phi) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^3 \dot{\theta}^3 \left\| |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left\| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -(\Delta_k^h \partial_x^2(u, \varepsilon^2 v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \partial_t v)_\Phi)_{L^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2, \\ -(\Delta_k^h \partial_y^2(u, \varepsilon^2 v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \partial_t v)_\Phi)_{L^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By inserting the above equalities into (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \left(\| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_t(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ (4.3) \quad &\quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad - 2\lambda^2 \dot{\theta} \ddot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^3 \dot{\theta}^3 \| |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -(\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u)_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v)_\Phi)_{L^2} - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v)_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we get, by taking L^2 inner product of (4.1) with $\Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi$, that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Delta_k^h \partial_t(\partial_t u, \varepsilon^2 \partial_t v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi)_{L^2} + \lambda \dot{\theta} (|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon^2 \partial_t v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &\quad + (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon^2 \partial_t v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi)_{L^2} - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h \partial_x^2(u, \varepsilon^2 v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ (4.4) \quad &\quad - (\Delta_k^h \partial_y^2(u, \varepsilon^2 v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi)_{L^2} + (\Delta_k^h \nabla p_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &= -(\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h v_\Phi)_{L^2} - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h v_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using integration by parts and (2.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Delta_k^h \partial_t(\partial_t u, \varepsilon^2 \partial_t v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi)_{L^2} - \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \dot{\theta} \frac{d}{dt} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon^2 \partial_t v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(u, v)_\Phi)_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2.$$

By substituting the above inequalities into (4.4), we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left((\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi(t) | \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t))_{L^2} + \lambda \dot{\theta}(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) - \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda(\dot{\theta} - \ddot{\theta}) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \\ (4.5) \quad &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -(\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h u_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h v_\Phi)_{L^2} - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h v_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $\mathfrak{F}_1(t)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_1(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi(t) | \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t))_{L^2} + \lambda \dot{\theta}(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t) \|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get, by summing up (4.3) with $\frac{1}{2} \times (4.5)$, that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{F}_1(t) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\dot{\theta} - \ddot{\theta}) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \dot{\theta} \left(\| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_t(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 (\dot{\theta}^2 - 2\dot{\theta}\ddot{\theta}) \| |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^3 \dot{\theta}^3 \| |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &= - (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}u)_\Phi)_{L^2} - (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}u)_\Phi)_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h(u \partial_x v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v + \frac{1}{2}v)_\Phi)_{L^2} - \varepsilon^2 (\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v + \frac{1}{2}v)_\Phi)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

While due to $(u_\Phi, v_\Phi)|_{y=0} = (u_\Phi, v_\Phi)|_{y=1} = 0$, by applying Poincaré inequality, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \|\Delta_k(u_\Phi, \varepsilon v_\Phi)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \mathcal{K} \|\partial_y \Delta_k(u_\Phi, \varepsilon v_\Phi)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Let $\mathfrak{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4(1+\mathcal{K})}\right)$. Then, by virtue of (2.11), we get, by using Lemma A.1 and by multiplying (4.6) by $e^{2\mathfrak{K}t}$ and then integrating the resulting inequality over $[0, t]$, that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.8) \quad &\mathbf{G}_k(u, v)(t) \leq \frac{3}{4} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_1, \varepsilon v_1)(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_x(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \delta 2^k \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(|(e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h(u \partial_x u + v \partial_y u)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}u)_\Phi)_{L^2}| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 |(e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h(u \partial_x v + v \partial_y v)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v + \frac{1}{2}v)_\Phi)_{L^2}| \right) dt'. \end{aligned}$$

where $G_k(u, v)(t)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad G_k(u, v)(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{6} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{16} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 \\
&+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \dot{\theta}(t) \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \dot{\theta}^2(t) \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&+ \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h((u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi, \partial_t(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi, \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi)(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \\
&+ \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^2(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \\
&+ \lambda^3 \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{3}{4}} \Delta_k^h(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h((\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v)_\Phi, \varepsilon \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi, \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we shall always assume that $t < T_2^*$ with T_2^* being determined by

$$(4.10) \quad T_2^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \{ t > 0, \quad \|(\partial_y u, \varepsilon \partial_x u)_\Phi(t)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \delta e^{-\mathfrak{R}t} \}.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $t \leq T_2^*$

$$\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h(u \partial_x v)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h v_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \varepsilon v_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \varepsilon v_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h(u \partial_x v)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
&\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \varepsilon v_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \varepsilon \partial_t v_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \varepsilon v_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})}).
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the remaining terms in (4.8) relies on the following lemma, which we admit for the time being.

Lemma 4.1. *For $t \leq T_2^*$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad &\varepsilon \int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h(v \partial_y v)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
&\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'}(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from Lemma 4.1 that for $t \leq T_2^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t |(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h(v \partial_y v)_\Phi | e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h(\partial_t v + \frac{1}{2}v)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
&\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'}(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} (\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \varepsilon(v_\Phi, \partial_t v_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \varepsilon v_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})}).
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lambda \geq 1$, then by inserting the above estimates and (2.20-2.23) into (4.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(u, v)(t) &\leq \frac{3}{4} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_1, \varepsilon v_1)(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_x(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \delta 2^k \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C d_k^2 2^{-k} \left(\|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}((u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi, \partial_t u_\Phi, \varepsilon \partial_t v_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})}^2 + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying the above inequality by 2^k and then taking square root of the resulting inequality, and summing up the inequalities over $\mathbb{Z}$, we find that for $t \leq T_2^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{K}, \lambda}^\varepsilon(u, v) &\leq C \left(\|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, \varepsilon v_0, \varepsilon \partial_x(u_0, \varepsilon v_0), \partial_y(u_0, \varepsilon v_0), u_1, \varepsilon v_1)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right. \\ &\quad + \sqrt{a\mathfrak{K}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}}} + a\mathfrak{K} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u_0, \varepsilon v_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}^1} \\ &\quad \left. + \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}((u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi, \partial_t u_\Phi, \varepsilon \partial_t v_\Phi)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.2) so that $\lambda \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{a\mathfrak{K}}{4}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{K}, \lambda}^\varepsilon(u, v) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u, \varepsilon v, \varepsilon \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v), \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v), (\partial_t u, \varepsilon \partial_t v))_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{a\mathfrak{K}} \|e^{\frac{3}{4}\mathfrak{K}t'}(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(\varepsilon \partial_x(u, \varepsilon v), \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v), \partial_t(u, \varepsilon v))_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ (4.12) \quad &\quad + a\mathfrak{K} \|e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{K}t'}(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^1)} + \sqrt{\lambda} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}((u, \varepsilon v, \partial_y(u, \varepsilon v))_\Phi, (\partial_t u_\Phi, \varepsilon \partial_t v_\Phi))\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} \\ &\quad + \lambda \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^2(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^1)} + \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'}(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lambda = \max(C^2, 1)$ in the above inequality leads to (1.19) for $t \leq T_2^*$.

In particular, we deduce from (1.18) and (1.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon \partial_x u, \partial_y u)_\Phi(t) &\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C \left(1 + \sqrt{a\mathfrak{K}} + a\mathfrak{K} \right) c_2 e^{-\mathfrak{K}t} \\ (4.13) \quad &\leq \frac{\delta}{2} e^{-\mathfrak{K}t} \quad \text{for any } t \leq T_2^*, \end{aligned}$$

if we take c_1 in (1.18) to be so small that $C \left(1 + \sqrt{a\mathfrak{K}} + a\mathfrak{K} \right) c_2 \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Then we deduce by a continuous argument that T_2^* determined by (4.10) equals $+\infty$ and (1.19) holds for any $t > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Once again we shall prove (4.11) for $\mathfrak{K} = 0$. By applying Bony's decomposition (A.3) to $v \partial_y v$, we write

$$v \partial_y v = T_v^h \partial_y v + T_{\partial_y v}^h v + R^h(v, \partial_y v).$$

Let us handle the following three terms:

- Estimate of $\varepsilon \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \partial_y v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$

Due to $\partial_y v = -\partial_x u$, for $t \leq T_2^*$, one deduces from (2.2) and (4.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \partial_y v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_y v_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \varepsilon \|\partial_x u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

from which, (2.37) and Definition A.3, we infer

$$\varepsilon \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \partial_y v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \leq d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})}.$$

- Estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_y v}^h v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$
Observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_y v}^h v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt',
\end{aligned}$$

from which, Lemma A.1, (2.30) and (4.10), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_y v}^h v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \int_0^t \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{k'} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then thanks to Definition A.3, we achieve

$$\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_y v}^h v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|v_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})}.$$

- Estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v, \partial_y v))_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$

Due to $\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0$, we get, by applying lemma A.1 and (2.30), that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v, \partial_y v))_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_x u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2_\mathbf{h}(L^\infty_\mathbf{v})} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with Definition A.3 ensures that

$$\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v, \partial_y u))_\Phi | \Delta_k^h \mathbf{b}_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|v_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}^2_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \|\mathbf{b}_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}^2_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})}.$$

By summing up the above estimates, we obtain (4.11). This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.1. $\square$

5. THE CONVERGENCE TO THE HYPERBOLIC PRANDTL SYSTEM

In this section, we justify the limit from the scaled anisotropic hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system (1.8) to the hydrostatic hyperbolic Navier-Stokes system (1.10) in a 2-D striped domain. To this end, we introduce

$$w_\varepsilon^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u^\varepsilon - u, \quad w_\varepsilon^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v^\varepsilon - v, \quad q_\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^\varepsilon - p,$$

where $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon, p^\varepsilon)$ (resp. (u, v, p)) are solutions to the system (1.8) (resp. (1.10)) obtained in Theorem 1.2 (resp. Theorem 1.1). Then $(w_\varepsilon^1, w_\varepsilon^2, q_\varepsilon)$ verifies

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w_\varepsilon^1 + \partial_t w_\varepsilon^1 - \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 w_\varepsilon^1 - \partial_y^2 w_\varepsilon^1 + \partial_x q_\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon^1 & \text{in } \mathcal{S} \times]0, \infty[, \\ \varepsilon^2 (\partial_t^2 w_\varepsilon^2 + \partial_t w_\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 w_\varepsilon^2 - \partial_y^2 w_\varepsilon^2) + \partial_y q_\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon^2, \\ \partial_x w_\varepsilon^1 + \partial_y w_\varepsilon^2 = 0, \\ (w_\varepsilon^1, w_\varepsilon^2)|_{y=0} = (w_\varepsilon^1, w_\varepsilon^2)|_{y=1} = 0, \\ (w_\varepsilon^1, w_\varepsilon^2)|_{t=0} = (u_0^\varepsilon - u_0, v_0^\varepsilon - v_0), \quad (\partial_t w_\varepsilon^1, \partial_t w_\varepsilon^2)|_{t=0} = (u_1^\varepsilon - u_1, v_1^\varepsilon - v_1). \end{cases}$$

where $v_i, i = 0, 1$, is determined from u_i via $\partial_x u_i + \partial_y v_i = 0$ and $v_i|_{y=0} = v_i|_{y=1} = 0$, and

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R_\varepsilon^1 &= \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 u - (u^\varepsilon \partial_x u^\varepsilon - u \partial_x u) - (v^\varepsilon \partial_y u^\varepsilon - v \partial_y u), \\ R_\varepsilon^2 &= -\varepsilon^2 (\partial_t^2 v + \partial_t v - \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 v - \partial_y^2 v + u^\varepsilon \partial_x v^\varepsilon + v^\varepsilon \partial_y v^\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

We now present the proof of Theorem 1.3. In what follows, we shall neglect the subscript ε in $(w_\varepsilon^1, w_\varepsilon^2)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. In view of (5.1), we get, by using a similar derivation of (4.8), that

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_k(w^1, w^2)(t) &\leq \frac{3}{4} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(w_0^1, \varepsilon w_0^2)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_x(w_0^1, \varepsilon w_0^2)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y(w_0^1, \varepsilon w_0^2)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(w_0^1, \varepsilon w_0^2)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(w_0^1, \varepsilon w_0^2)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \delta 2^k \|e^{a|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(w_0^1, \varepsilon w_0^2)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \left(|(\Delta_k^h R_\Phi^1 | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2} w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| + |(\Delta_k^h R_\Phi^2 | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| \right) dt'.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where the functional $G_k(w^1, w^2)(t)$ is defined by (4.9).

We now claim that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h R_\Phi^1 | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2} w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
 &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \left(\varepsilon^2 \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{2}})} \|(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + (\|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \theta^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}) \|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \theta(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \theta^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h R_\Phi^2 | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2} w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
 &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \left(\varepsilon^2 (\|(\partial_t^2 u, \partial_t u, \partial_y u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{2}})} + \varepsilon^2 \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{7}{2}})}) \|(\partial_y w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right. \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon (\varepsilon \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{9}{4}})} + \|(u, \varepsilon v, w_\Phi^1, \varepsilon w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \theta^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \\
 &\quad \left. + \varepsilon \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})}) \|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \theta(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \theta^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

the proof of which will be postponed after we finish the proof of Theorem 1.3.

On the other hand, due to $\mathfrak{H}_3^0(u_0, u_1) < \infty$, we deduce from (1.13) and (1.15) that there exists some positive constant M so that

$$E_{\frac{1}{2}}(u)(t) + E_3(u)(t) \leq M, \tag{5.6}$$

for the energy functional $E_s(u)$ being determined by (1.14).

Moreover, it follows from (1.17) and Theorem 1.2 that

$$E_{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial_y u)(t) + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\partial_t^2 u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{2}})} + E_{\mathfrak{R}}^1(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) \leq M. \tag{5.7}$$

In particular, we deduce from (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{7}{2}})} &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{7}{2}k} \|\Delta_k^h u_\Phi\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{7}{2}k} \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{2}t} \Delta_k^h u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \\
 &\lesssim \|e^{\frac{\mathfrak{R}}{2}t} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{7}{2}})} \leq C E_3(u)(t) \leq CM.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{9}{4}})} + \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{2}})} \leq CM. \tag{5.9}$$

Let $\mathfrak{E}_{0,\lambda}^\varepsilon(w^1, w^2)$ be given by (4.12). Thanks to (5.6-5.9), by substituting (5.4) and (5.5) into (5.3), and taking square root of the resulting inequality, and then multiplying it by $2^{\frac{k}{2}}$ and summing up the inequalities for k over $\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_{0,\lambda}^\varepsilon(w^1, w^2) &\leq C \left(\mathfrak{H}_0^1(w_0^1, w_0^2) + (M\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1, \varepsilon w_\Phi^2, \varepsilon \partial_y w_\Phi^2, \varepsilon \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad + (\|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} + M^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})})^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} (M\varepsilon + \|(w_\Phi^1, \varepsilon w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} + \varepsilon M^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \left. \times (\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Young's inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_{0,\lambda}^\varepsilon(w^1, w^2) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_y w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1, \varepsilon w_\Phi^2, \varepsilon \partial_y w_\Phi^2, \varepsilon \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + C \left(\mathfrak{H}_0^1(w_0^1, w_0^2) \right. \\ (5.10) \quad &\quad \left. + M\varepsilon + \|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1, \varepsilon w_\Phi^2, \varepsilon \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|(w^1, \varepsilon w^2)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By taking $\lambda = 4C^2$ in (5.10), we achieve

$$\mathfrak{E}_{0,\frac{\lambda}{4}}^\varepsilon(w^1, w^2) \leq C(\mathfrak{H}_0^1(w_0^1, w_0^2) + M\varepsilon),$$

which leads to (1.22). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. $\square$

Let us now present the proof of (5.4) and (5.5).

Proof of (5.4). According (5.2), we write

$$R_\varepsilon^1 = \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 u - (u^\varepsilon \partial_x w^1 + w^1 \partial_x u) - (v^\varepsilon \partial_y w^1 + w^2 \partial_y u).$$

We first observe that

$$(5.11) \quad \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h \partial_x^2 u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi |_{L^2} | dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \varepsilon^2 \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{2}})} \|(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

- The estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(u^\varepsilon \partial_x w^1 + w^1 \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2} w^1)_\Phi |_{L^2} | dt'.$

It follows from (1.19) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.12) \quad &\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(u^\varepsilon \partial_x w^1)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2} w^1)_\Phi |_{L^2} | dt' \\ &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} (\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,\dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}). \end{aligned}$$

While we get, by applying Bony's decomposition (A.3) to $w^1 \partial_x u$, that

$$w^1 \partial_x u = T_{w^1}^h \partial_x u + T_{\partial_x u}^h w^1 + R^h(w^1, \partial_x u).$$

Notice that

$$\|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_x u_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \lesssim d_{k'}(t) 2^{-\frac{k'}{4}} \|u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we deduce from (1.13) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{w^1}^h \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w + \frac{1}{2}w)_\Phi^1)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_x u_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} d_{k'} 2^{-\frac{k'}{4}} \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_\Phi^1\|_{L_t^2(L_h^\infty(L_v^2))} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

While we get, by applying Lema A.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(w^1, \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h w_\Phi^1)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_x u_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|\Delta_k^h w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_x u}^h w^1)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2}w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$.

As a result, it comes out

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(w^1 \partial_x u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w_\Phi^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
(5.13) \quad & \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} (\|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}) \\
& \quad \times (\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}).
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(v^\varepsilon \partial_y w^1)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2}w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$.

We write

$$v^\varepsilon \partial_y w^1 = w^2 \partial_y w^1 + v \partial_y w^1.$$

We first deduce from (1.13), (1.19) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.14) \quad & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(w^2 \partial_y w^1)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} (\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}).
\end{aligned}$$

Whereas by applying Bony's decomposition (A.3) to $v \partial_y w^1$, we find

$$v \partial_y w^1 = T_v^h \partial_y w^1 + T_{\partial_y w^1}^h v + R^h(v, \partial_y w^1).$$

It follows from (2.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{\ell \leq k'-2} 2^{\frac{3\ell}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_\ell^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim d_{k'}(t) 2^{\frac{k'}{4}} \|u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_v^h \partial_y w^1)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2}w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_y w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{\frac{k'}{4}} \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_y w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Whereas thanks to (2.36), we get, by applying Lemma A.1, that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(v, \partial_y w^1))_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2}w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h v_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_y w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{-\frac{k'}{4}} \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_y w_\Phi^1\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_y w^1}^h v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2}w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$.

As a consequence, we arrive at

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y w^1)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2}w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(w^2 \partial_y u)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2}w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$.

By applying Bony's decomposition (A.3) to $w^2 \partial_y u$, we write

$$w^2 \partial_y u = T_{w^2}^h \partial_y u + T_{\partial_y u}^h w^2 + R^h(w^2, \partial_y u).$$

In view of (2.37), we have

$$\left(\int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h w_\Phi^2(t')\|_{L^\infty}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim d_{k'} 2^{\frac{k'}{4}} \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})},$$

so that we get, by applying Hölder's inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{w^2}^h \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1, w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h w_\Phi^2(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1, w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h w_\Phi^2(t')\|_{L^\infty}^2 \dot{\theta}^3(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^t \|\Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1, w^1)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 \dot{\theta}(t') dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

While thanks to (2.36), we get, by applying Lemma A.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(w^2, \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h w_\Phi^2(t')\|_{L_h^2(L^\infty)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2} \|\partial_y u_\Phi(t')\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_k^h w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_y u}^h w^2)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^1, \partial_t w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$.

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.16) \quad & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(w^2 \partial_y u)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^1 + \frac{1}{2} w^1)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^1, \partial_t w_\Phi^1)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^1\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By summing up (5.11-5.16), we conclude the proof of (5.4). $\square$

Proof of (5.5). We first observe from $\partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0$ and Poincaré inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.17) \quad & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(\partial_t^2 v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^2, w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|(\partial_t^2 u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{3}{2}})} \|(\partial_y w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{1}{2}})}, \\
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(\partial_t v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|(\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{3}{2}})} \|(\partial_y w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{1}{2}})}, \\
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(\partial_y^2 v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|\partial_y u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{3}{2}})} \|(\partial_y w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{1}{2}})}, \\
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(\partial_x^2 v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{7}{2}})} \|(\partial_y w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{1}{2}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(u^\varepsilon \partial_x v^\varepsilon)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$.

We write

$$u^\varepsilon \partial_x v^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon \partial_x w^2 + u^\varepsilon \partial_x v.$$

It follows from (1.20), (2.2) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(u^\varepsilon \partial_x w^2)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ & \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} (\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}). \end{aligned}$$

By applying Bony's decomposition in the horizontal variable to $u^\varepsilon \partial_x v$ gives

$$u^\varepsilon \partial_x v = T_{u^\varepsilon}^h \partial_x v + T_{\partial_x v}^h u^\varepsilon + R^h(u^\varepsilon, \partial_x v).$$

Due to

$$\|S_{k'-1}^h u_\Phi^\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|u_\Phi^\varepsilon(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y u_\Phi^\varepsilon(t')\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and (2.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{u^\varepsilon}^h \partial_x v)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h u_\Phi^\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_x v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} 2^{2k'} \|u_\Phi^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k^h u_\Phi\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{9}{4}})} (\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}). \end{aligned}$$

While again thanks to (2.36), we find

$$\|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x v_\Phi\|_{L_t^2(L^\infty)} \lesssim d_{k'} 2^{\frac{k'}{4}} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{9}{4}})},$$

from which, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(T_{\partial_x v}^h u^\varepsilon)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h \partial_x v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi^\varepsilon(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} d_{k'} 2^{-\frac{k'}{4}} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{9}{4}})} \|u^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{9}{4}})} (\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})}). \end{aligned}$$

Along the same line, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(R^h(u^\varepsilon, \partial_x v))_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi^\varepsilon(t')\|_{L_h^2(L^\infty)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h \partial_x v_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h w_\Phi^1(t')\|_{L^2} dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{\frac{3k'}{2}} \|u_\Phi^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(B^{\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k^h u_\Phi\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\Delta_k^h w_\Phi^2(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(B^{\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{9}{4}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.19) \quad & \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(u^\varepsilon \partial_x v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(B^{\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{9}{4}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $\int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(v^\varepsilon \partial_y v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(\partial_t w^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt'$.

We first note that

$$v^\varepsilon \partial_y v^\varepsilon = v \partial_y w^2 + w^2 \partial_y w^2 + v \partial_y v + w^2 \partial_y v.$$

We first deduce Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(w^2 \partial_y w^2)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|(w_\Phi^1, \varepsilon w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y v)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(w_\Phi^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|(u, \varepsilon v)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(v \partial_y w^2)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} \|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(B^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left(\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

And (5.13) ensures that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(w^2 \partial_x u)_\Phi \mid \Delta_k^h(w^2, \partial_t w^2)_\Phi)_{L^2}| dt' \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-k} (\|u_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(B^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(B^{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})}) \\
& \quad \times \left(\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(B^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(B^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, it comes out

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t |(\Delta_k^h(v^\varepsilon \partial_y v^\varepsilon)_\Phi | \Delta_k^h w_\Phi^1)_{L^2}| dt' &\lesssim \varepsilon d_k^2 2^{-k} \left(\|(u, \varepsilon v, w^1, \varepsilon w^2)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon \|u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_y w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right) \left(\|(w_\Phi^2, \partial_t w_\Phi^2)\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{3}{4}})} + \lambda \|w_\Phi^2\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \hat{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up (5.17-5.20) gives rise to (5.5). $\square$

APPENDIX A. LITTLEWOOD-PALEY THEORY AND FUNCTIONAL FRAMEWORK

In this section, we shall collect some basic facts on anisotropic Littlewood-Paley theory, which we have been used in this context. Let us first recall from [3] that

$$(A.1) \quad \Delta_k^h a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-k}|\xi|)\hat{a}), \quad S_k^h a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-k}|\xi|)\hat{a}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}a$ and $\hat{a}$ denote the partial Fourier transform of the distribution a with respect to x variable, that is, $\hat{a}(\xi, y) = \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}(a)(\xi, y)$, and $\chi(\tau)$, $\varphi(\tau)$ are smooth functions such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp } \varphi &\subset \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} / \frac{3}{4} \leq |\tau| \leq \frac{8}{3} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \tau > 0, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1, \\ \text{Supp } \chi &\subset \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} / |\tau| \leq \frac{4}{3} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\tau) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Definition A.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u \in S'_h(\mathcal{S})$, which means that u belongs to $S'(\mathcal{S})$ and satisfies $\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \|S_k^h u\|_{L^\infty} = 0$, we set

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\| (2^{ks} \|\Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})}.$$

- For $s \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we define $\mathcal{B}^s(\mathcal{S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in S'_h(\mathcal{S}) \mid \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} < \infty\}$.
- If k is a positive integer and if $-\frac{1}{2} + k < s \leq \frac{1}{2} + k$, then we define $\mathcal{B}^s(\mathcal{S})$ as the subset of distributions u in $S'_h(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\partial_x^k u$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}^{s-k}(\mathcal{S})$.

In order to obtain a better description of the regularizing effect of the diffusion equation, we need to use Chemin-Lerner type spaces $\tilde{L}_T^\lambda(\mathcal{B}^s(\mathcal{S}))$ from [11].

Definition A.2. Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$ and $T \in]0, +\infty]$. We define $\tilde{L}_T^p(\mathcal{B}^s(\mathcal{S}))$ as the completion of $C([0, T]; S(\mathcal{S}))$ by the norm

$$\|a\|_{\tilde{L}_T^p(\mathcal{B}^s)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ks} \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta_k^h a(t)\|_{L^2}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with the usual change if $p = \infty$.

In order to overcome the difficulty that one can not use Gronwall type argument in the framework of Chemin-Lerner type spaces, we need to use the time-weighted Chemin-Lerner norm, which was introduced by us in [25].

Definition A.3. Let $f(t) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be a nonnegative function. We define

$$(A.2) \quad \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_{t,f}^p(\mathcal{B}^s)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ks} \left(\int_0^t f(t') \|\Delta_k^h a(t')\|_{L^2}^p dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For the convenience of the readers, we recall the following anisotropic Bernstein type lemma from [12, 21].

Lemma A.1. *Let $\mathbf{B}_h$ be a ball of $\mathbb{R}_h$, and $\mathbf{C}_h$ a ring of $\mathbb{R}_h$; let $1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Then there holds:*

If the support of $\hat{a}$ is included in $2^k \mathbf{B}_h$, then

$$\|\partial_x^N a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^q)} \lesssim 2^{k(N + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_1})} \|a\|_{L_h^{p_2}(L_v^q)}.$$

If the support of $\hat{a}$ is included in $2^k \mathbf{C}_h$, then

$$\|a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^q)} \lesssim 2^{-kN} \|\partial_x^N a\|_{L_h^{p_1}(L_v^q)}.$$

In this context, we constantly use Bony's decomposition (see [6]) in the horizontal variable:

$$(A.3) \quad fg = T_f^h g + T_g^h f + R^h(f, g),$$

where

$$T_f^h g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_k S_{k-1}^h f \Delta_k^h g, \quad \text{and} \quad R^h(f, g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_k \Delta_k^h f \tilde{\Delta}_k^h g$$

$$\text{with } \tilde{\Delta}_k^h g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 1} \Delta_{k'}^h g.$$

APPENDIX B. THE PROOF OF (3.5)

The goal of this section is to present the proof of (3.5).

Proof of (3.5). For simplicity, we denote $\mathfrak{W}(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega(t, x, 1) - \omega(t, x, 0)$. In view of (3.1), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h \partial_x p_{\Phi} \cdot e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t \mathfrak{W} + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{W})_{\Phi} dx &= (\frac{1}{2} - \Re) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi})^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi})^2 dx + \lambda \dot{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi})^2 dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\Re t} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (u^2)_{\Phi} dy \right) \cdot e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t \mathfrak{W} + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{W})_{\Phi} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since we shall not handle the estimate of the term $\partial_t \partial_y \omega_\Phi$ and $\partial_y p = 0$, we get, by integrating the above equation over $[0, t]$ and using integration by parts, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (B.1) \quad I_k(t) = & \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mathfrak{K}\right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\mathfrak{K}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi)^2 dx dt' + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\mathfrak{K}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi)^2 dx \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_0)^2 dx + \lambda \int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\mathfrak{K}t} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi)^2 dx dt' \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{K}t} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (u^2)_\Phi dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{K}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi dx \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^1 \Delta_k^h e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_x (u_0^2) dy \right) \cdot e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_0 dx \\
 & - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (u^2)_\Phi dy \right) e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi dx dt' \\
 & + \left(\mathfrak{K} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (u^2)_\Phi dy \right) e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi dx dt' \\
 & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{K}t} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (u \partial_t u)_\Phi dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{K}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi dx dt' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^9 I_k^i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$\int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t, x, y) dy = 0,$$

for any fixed $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists $Y_k(t, x)$ so that $\Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t, x, Y_k(t, x)) = 0$. So that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t, x, y))^2 &= (\Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t, x, y))^2 - (\Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t, x, Y_k(t, x)))^2 \\
 &\leq \int_0^1 |\partial_y (\Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t, x, y))|^2 dy \leq 2 \|\Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t, x, \cdot)\|_{L_v^2} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_\Phi(t, x, \cdot)\|_{L_v^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

from which, we infer

$$(B.2) \quad \|\Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t)\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)}^2 \leq 2 \|\Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{L^2}.$$

Thanks to (B.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_k^1| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi\|_{L_t^2(L_v^\infty(L_h^2))}^2 \lesssim \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\
 &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathcal{B}^s)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Along the same line, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_k^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L_v^\infty(L_h^2))}^2 \lesssim \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \\
 &\lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|I_k^3| \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_y \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s}.$$

Furthermore, we get, by a similar derivation of (B.2), that

$$|I_k^4| \lesssim \lambda d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{K}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}.$$

To handle the estimate of I_k^5 to I_k^8 , we use Bony's decomposition (A.3) for u^2 in the horizontal variable to write

$$u^2 = 2T_u^h u + R^h(u, u).$$

We first get, by applying (2.30) and (2.16), that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\Re t} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (R^h(u, u))_{\Phi} dy \right) \cdot e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi} dx \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|e^{\Re t} \Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t)\|_{L^2} \|e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h \omega_{\Phi}(t)\|_{L_v^\infty(L_h^2)} \\ & \lesssim \delta 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \|e^{\frac{\Re}{2}t} \Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t)\|_{L^2} \|e^{\frac{\Re}{2}t} \Delta_{k'}^h \omega_{\Phi}(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \delta d_k^2 2^{k(\frac{3}{4}-s)} \|e^{\frac{\Re}{2}t'} u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\frac{\Re}{2}t'} \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\Re t} \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} d_{k'} 2^{-k'(s+\frac{3}{4})} \right) \\ & \lesssim \delta d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\frac{\Re}{2}t'} u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\frac{\Re}{2}t'} \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\Re t} \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\Re t} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (T_u^h u)_{\Phi} dy \right) \cdot e^{\Re t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi} dx.$$

Hence we obtain

$$|I_k^5| \lesssim \delta d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\frac{\Re}{2}t'} u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\frac{\Re}{2}t'} \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\Re t} \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}^s)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

While by applying the law of product in Besov spaces and (B.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_k^6| & \lesssim \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_x \Delta_k^h(u_0^2)\|_{L^2} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \omega_0\|_{L_v^\infty(L_h^2)} \\ & \lesssim 2^k \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h(u_0^2)\|_{L^2} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \omega_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} u_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^{s+1}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\delta|D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \partial_y \omega_0\|_{\mathcal{B}^s}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly by applying Lemma A.1, (2.30) and (2.16), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\Re t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (R^h(u, u))_{\Phi} dy \right) \cdot e^{\Re t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi} dx dt' \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L_h^2(L_v^\infty)} \|e^{\Re t'} \Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} \|e^{\Re t'} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h \omega_{\Phi}\|_{L_v^\infty(L_h^2)} dt' \\ & \lesssim 2^{2k} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \|e^{\Re t'} \Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} \|e^{\Re t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_{\Phi}\|_{L_v^\infty(L_h^2)} dt', \end{aligned}$$

from which and (B.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (R^h(u, u))_{\Phi} dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{R}t} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi} dx dt' \right| \\
& \lesssim 2^{2k} \sum_{k' \geq k-3} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} d_k^2 2^{k(\frac{3}{2}-s)} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+1})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\sum_{k' \geq k-3} d_{k'} 2^{-k'(s+\frac{3}{2})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+1})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for

$$\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (T_u^h u)_{\Phi} dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{R}t} |D_x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi} dx dt'.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$|I_k^7| \lesssim \lambda \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+1})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Along the same line, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (R^h(u, u))_{\Phi} dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi} dx dt' \right| \\
& \lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_{\Phi}\|_{L^\infty(L_h^2)} dt' \\
\text{(B.3)} \quad & \lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_{k'}^h u_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_{k'}^h \omega_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (T_u^h u)_{\Phi} dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_{\Phi} dx dt'.$$

So that there holds

$$|I_k^8| \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_{\Phi}\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally to deal with the estimate of I_k^9 , we use Bony's decomposition (A.3) for uu_t in the horizontal variable to write

$$uu_t = T_u^h u_t + T_{u_t}^h u + R^h(u, u_t).$$

We first observe from (2.30) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (T_u^h u_t)_\Phi dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi dx dt' \right| \\
& \lesssim 2^k \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \int_0^t \|S_{k'-1}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty(L_h^2)} dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^k \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}^2(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h (\partial_t u)_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}^3(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \partial_y \omega_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2}^2 dt' \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^2(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice from (1.13) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (R^h(u, u_t))_\Phi dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi dx dt' \right| \\
& \lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \|\tilde{\Delta}_{k'}^h (\partial_t u)_\Phi(t')\|_{L_h^\infty(L_v^2)} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty(L_h^2)} dt' \\
& \lesssim 2^k \sum_{k' \geq k-3} \int_0^t \dot{\theta}^2(t') \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_{k'}^h u_\Phi(t')\|_{L^2} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \Delta_k^h \omega_\Phi(t')\|_{L^\infty(L_h^2)} dt',
\end{aligned}$$

from which, we deduce by a similar derivation of (B.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (R^h(u, u_t))_\Phi dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi dx dt' \right| \\
& \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \int_0^1 \Delta_k^h \partial_x (T_{u_t}^h u)_\Phi dy \right) \cdot e^{\mathfrak{R}t} \Delta_k^h \mathfrak{W}_\Phi dx dt'.$$

As a result, it comes out

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_k^9| & \lesssim d_k^2 2^{-2ks} \left(\|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} (\partial_t u)_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^2(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{2}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} u_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}^3(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{3}{4}})} \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|e^{\mathfrak{R}t'} \partial_y \omega_\Phi\|_{\tilde{L}_{t, \dot{\theta}(t)}^2(\mathcal{B}^{s+\frac{1}{4}})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By inserting the above estimates into (B.1), we arrive at (3.5). $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Both authors are supported by K.C.Wong Education Foundation. M. Paicu was partially supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, Project IFSMACS, grant ANR-15-CE40-0010. Ping Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grants 11731007, 12031006 and 11688101.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aarach, Global well-posedness of 2D hyperbolic perturbation of the Navier-Stokes system in a thin strip, arXiv:2011.04043.
- [2] B. Abdelhedi, Global existence of solutions for hyperbolic Navier-Stokes equations in three space dimensions *Asymptot. Anal.*, **112** (2019), 213-225.
- [3] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 343, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [4] O. Besson and M. R. Laydi, Some estimates for the anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations and for the hydrostatic approximation, *RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.*, **26** (1992), 855-865.
- [5] Y. Brenier, R. Natalini and M. Puel, On a relaxation approximation of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **132** (2004), 1021-1028.
- [6] J. M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **14** (1981), 209-246.
- [7] C. Cattaneo, Sulla conduzione del calore, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, **3** (1949), 83-101.
- [8] C. Cattaneo, Sur une forme de l'équation de la chaleur éliminant le paradoxe d'une propagation instantanée, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **247** (1958), 431-433.
- [9] J.-Y. Chemin, Le système de Navier-Stokes incompressible soixante dix ans après Jean Leray, *Actes des Journées Mathématiques à la Mémoire de Jean Leray*, 99-123, Sémin. Congr., 9, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2004.
- [10] J.-Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher and M. Paicu, Global regularity for some classes of large solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Ann. of Math.*, **173** (2011), 983-1012.
- [11] J.-Y. Chemin and N. Lerner, Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes, *J. Differential Equations*, **121** (1995), 314-328.
- [12] J. Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, On the global wellposedness to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **272** (2007), 529-566.
- [13] O. Coulaud, I. Hachicha and G. Raugel, Hyperbolic quasilinear Navier-Stokes Equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$, *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 2021 in press.
- [14] H. Dietert and D. Gérard-Varet, Well-posedness of the Prandtl equations without any structural assumption, *Ann. PDE*, **5** (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 51 pp.
- [15] D. Gérard-Varet and E. Dormy, On the ill-posedness of the Prandtl equation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23** (2010), 591-609.
- [16] D. Gérard-Varet and N. Masmoudi, Well-posedness for the Prandtl system without analyticity or monotonicity, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, **48** (2015), 1273-1325.
- [17] D. Gérard-Varet, N. Masmoudi and V. Vicol, Well-posedness of the hydrostatic Navier-Stokes equations, *Anal. PDE*, **13** (2020), 1417-1455.
- [18] I. Hachicha, *Approximations hyperboliques des équations de Navier-Stokes*, thèse Université d'Evry, 2013.
- [19] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible models*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **3**. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
- [20] M. C. Lombardo, M. Cannone and M. Sammartino, Well-posedness of the boundary layer equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **35** (2003), 987-1004.
- [21] M. Paicu, Équation anisotrope de Navier-Stokes dans des espaces critiques, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **21** (2005), 179-235.
- [22] R. Racke and J. Saal, Hyperbolic Navier-Stokes equations II: Global existence of small solutions, *Evol. Equ. Control Theory*, **1** (2012), 217-234.
- [23] M. Paicu and G. Raugel, Une perturbation hyperbolique des equations de Navier-Stokes, *ESAIM: Proc.*, 2007, Vol. 21, 65-87.
- [24] M. Paicu and G. Raugel, A hyperbolic singular perturbation of the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$, manuscript, (2008).
- [25] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes system in the critical spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **307** (2011), 713-759.
- [26] M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global existence and decay of solutions to Prandtl system with small analytic data, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **241** (2021), 403-446.
- [27] M. Paicu, P. Zhang and Z. Zhang, On the hydrostatic approximation of the Navier-Stokes equations in a thin strip, *Adv. Math.*, **372** (2020), 107293.

- [28] M. Paicu and Z. Zhang, Global regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations with some classes of large initial data, *Anal. PDE*, **4** (2011), 95-113.
- [29] M. Paicu and Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with ill-prepared initial data, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, **13** (2014), 395-411.
- [30] M. Renardy, Ill-posedness of the hydrostatic Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **194** (2009), 877-886.
- [31] M. Sammartino and R. E. Caflisch, Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions, of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space. I. Existence for Euler and Prandtl equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **192** (1998), 433-461.
- [32] C. Wang, Y. Wang and P. Zhang, On the global small solution of 2-D Prandtl system with initial data in the optimal Gevrey class, arXiv:2103.00681.
- [33] P. Zhang and Z. Zhang, Long time well-posedness of Prandtl system with small data, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **270** (2016), 2591-2615.
- [34] P. Vernotte. Some possible complication in the phenomena of thermal conduction, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **252** (1961), 2190-2215.

UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BORDEAUX, F-33405 TALENCE CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: `marius.paicu@math.u-bordeaux.fr`

¹ ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS & SYSTEMS SCIENCE AND HUA LOO-KENG KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, CHINA.

Email address: `zp@amss.ac.cn`

¹Corresponding author