

An inverse problem of determining fractional orders in a fractal solute transport model

Gongsheng Li* Xianzheng Jia Wenyi Liu Zhiyuan Li

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology

Zibo, Shandong 255049, China

Abstract: A fractal mobile-immobile (MIM in short) solute transport model in porous media is set forth, and an inverse problem of determining the fractional orders by the additional measurements at one interior point is investigated by Laplace transform. The unique existence of the solution to the forward problem is obtained based on the inverse Laplace transform, and the uniqueness of the inverse problem is proved in the real-space of Laplace transform by the maximum principle, and numerical inversions with noisy data are presented to demonstrate a numerical stability of the inverse problem.

Keywords: Fractal solute transport model; fractional order; Laplace transform; inverse problem; uniqueness; numerical inversion

MSC(2010) 35R11; 35R30; 65M06

1 Introduction

Solute transport in porous media is a complicated process involving in physical/chemical and biological reactions with fluid mechanics, and the traditional models are the advection-dispersion equations and the mobile-immobile (MIM in short) solute transport models. The MIM model describes the hydrodynamic behavior in the mobile zone and the mass transfer process between the mobile zone and the immobile zone, which can characterize the physical/chemical non-equilibrium of solute transport in heterogeneous porous media.

*Corresponding author, Email: ligs@sdut.edu.cn

Although the physical and chemical non-equilibrium models are based on different concepts, they can be described by the same mathematical equation in dimensionless form, see [25, 34] for instance. A MIM solute transport undergoing linear sorption without degradations and source/sink reactions is expressed via:

$$\begin{cases} \beta R \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{P} \frac{\partial^2 C_1}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} - \omega(C_1 - C_2), \\ (1 - \beta) R \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial t} = \omega(C_1 - C_2), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where C_1, C_2 are the dimensionless solute concentrations in the equilibrium and non-equilibrium sites respectively, $P > 0$ is the Plect number, and $R \geq 1$ is the retardation factor due to the sorption, and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ is a partitioning coefficient between the equilibrium and non-equilibrium phases, and $\omega > 0$ is the first-order mass transfer rate.

The system (1.1) is a classical integer-order MIM model for solute transport in porous media which has been studied and applied widely by hydrogeologists not only in laboratory but also in field tests, see [3, 6, 16, 21, 35, 40] for instance. However, there were some researches in the last decades constantly indicated that fractional differential equations could be more suitable than those of classical models to describe non-Darcian flow or anomalous diffusion in some special environment, especially in low-permeability porous media, see [4, 8, 23, 24, 27, 43] for instance. The solute mass transfer or the chemical reaction in a heavy heterogeneous porous media is not an instantaneous process but a longtime dynamical behavior due to the memory effect, in which case fractional diffusion equations incorporating with the memory effect are expected to describe the anomalous diffusion processes, see [1, 2, 7, 9, 29, 41, 44], for instance.

This paper is devoted to a modified model of (1.1) by replacing the first-order derivatives on time in the model with Caputo fractional derivatives, which results in a novel fractal MIM solute transport system. Such a fractal MIM model can describe some anomalous diffusion behaviors in the mobile zone and dynamical processes with memory effect in the immobile zone especially in low-permeability porous media. It is important to study the solution of the coupled fractal model, however, it is of the same importance to identify and determine those unknown parameters in the model with suitable additional information, which leads to corresponding inverse problems in the fractal MIM solute transport.

On the research of the forward problem like the system (1.1) including the fractional-order forms, the method of Laplace transform is often utilized to deduce an expression of the solution in frequency domain, and then numerical solution is obtained by approximating the inverse Laplace transform (see [29] for instance). However, there are no theoretical

analysis to the solution's properties in mathematics. For the fractal MIM solute transport model in this paper, we will give the unique existence of the solution to the forward problem also by the method of Laplace transform, where a bounded estimate for the mapping function of Laplace transform is established to ensure the convergence of the contour integral.

As for inverse problems associated with a system of fractional differential equations, there are still few studies in the known literatures. For the researches on inverse problems in one fractional diffusion equation, we refer to [5, 14, 19, 28, 37] for some early work, and recently see [10, 11, 17, 32, 33, 36, 38, 42] and the references therein.

The fractional order in a fractal model is a key parameter to characterize the heavy-tail sub-diffusion of the solute with memory effect. However, it is always unknown in advance which leading to inverse problems of identifying the fractional order. We will consider an inverse problem of determining the two fractional orders in the fractal MIM solute transport system using the additional data measured at one interior point. The uniqueness of the inverse problem is proved by the Laplace transform method under the condition that infinite measurements can be obtained at the space point. Such condition seems to be unreasonable for real-life problems, but it gives us an approach to the Laplace transform for the solution on $t \geq 0$, and it only needs a set of limited data on concrete numerical inversions. Furthermore, based on the finite difference solution of the forward problem, numerical inversions with noisy data are presented by using a modified Levenberg-Marquart algorithm.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, some preliminaries on the Laplace transform and the maximum principle are given, and in Section 3 a fractal MIM solute transport model is introduced, and the unique solvability of the forward problem is derived based on the inverse Laplace transform. In Section 4, an inverse problem of determining the fractional orders is considered, and its uniqueness is proved by the maximum principle in the real space of the Laplace transform. In Section 5, numerical inversions with noisy data are presented to demonstrate a numerical stability of the inverse problem, and concluding remarks are given in section 6.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give some preliminaries on the Laplace transform and its inverse transform of a real-valued function, and the maximum principle of elliptic operator.

2.1 Basic facts on the Laplace transform

In this subsection, the function $f(t)$ is assumed to be the first-order differentiable on $t \in [0, \infty)$ such that the first-order derivative $f'(t)$ and the α -order fractional derivative $\partial_t^\alpha f(t)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) exist. The function $\bar{f}(s)$ of the complex variable s defined by

$$\bar{f}(s) = \mathcal{L}\{f(t); s\} = \int_0^\infty \exp(-st)f(t)dt, \quad (2.1)$$

is called the Laplace transform of $f(t)$ ($t \geq 0$), where $f(t)$ satisfies the growth condition $|f(t)| \leq M \exp(c_0 t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and M, c_0 are positive constants.

If confining the parameter s in the real space of $s > c_0$, we can get the sign of the Laplace transform function.

Lemma 2.1 Assume that the function $f(t)$ is nonnegative for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and satisfies the growth condition, then there holds

$$\bar{f}(s) \geq 0, \quad s > c_0, \quad (2.2)$$

and $\bar{f}(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof Obviously, if $f(t) \geq 0$ and $s > c_0 > 0$, there must have $\bar{f}(s) \geq 0$ by (2.1). Furthermore, there holds

$$\bar{f}(s) \leq M \int_0^\infty \exp(-st) \exp(c_0 t) dt = \frac{M}{s - c_0} \rightarrow 0, \quad s \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.3)$$

The inverse Laplace transform of the function $f(t)$ is defined via:

$$f(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\bar{f}(s); t\} = \int_{s_0 - i\infty}^{s_0 + i\infty} \bar{f}(s) \exp(st) ds, \quad (2.4)$$

where $s_0 = \text{Re}(s) > c_0$.

Lemma 2.2 If the Laplace transform function $\bar{f}(s)$ satisfies the condition

$$|\bar{f}(s)| \leq \frac{C}{|s|}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of s and $\text{Re}(s) > c_0$, then the contour integral in (2.4) is convergent at each given $t > 0$, and the inverse Laplace transform is well-defined.

Proof See the Appendix.

Finally we give the Laplace transform of the Caputo fractional derivative $\partial_t^\alpha f(t)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$). The Caputo fractional derivative $\partial_t^\alpha f(t)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ is defined by

$$\partial_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\alpha} d\tau, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function, see [12, 26] for detailed definitions and properties of fractional derivatives.

On performing Laplace transform for a fractional derivative function, some regularity is needed for the performed function, see [13] for detailed analysis. We set

$$W^{1,1}(0, \infty) := \{f(t) \in L^1(0, \infty); f'(t) \in L^1(0, \infty)\}; \quad (2.7)$$

and for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we set

$$W_\alpha(0, \infty) := \{f(t) \in W^{1,1}(0, \infty); t^{1-\alpha} f'(t) \in L^\infty(0, \infty)\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Next for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we set

$$V_\alpha(0, \infty) := \{f(t) \in W_\alpha(0, \infty); \exists M, c_0 > 0 \text{ such that } |f(t)| \leq M e^{c_0 t}\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Now for $f(t) \in V_\alpha(0, \infty)$, we can define the Laplace transform of the fractional derivative $\partial_t^\alpha f$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}\{\partial_t^\alpha f(t); s\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \partial_t^\alpha f(t) dt, \quad \text{Re}(s) > c_0, \quad (2.10)$$

and there holds

$$\mathcal{L}\{\partial_t^\alpha f(t); s\} = s^\alpha \bar{f}(s) - s^{\alpha-1} f(0), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\bar{f}(s)$ denotes the Laplace transform of $f(t)$ on $t \in [0, \infty)$.

2.2 Maximum principle of elliptic operator

Lemma 2.3([30]) Let I be a bounded interval in \mathbf{R} , and $u = u(x)$ be a nonconstant solution of

$$a(x)u'' + b(x)u' + h(x)u \geq 0, \quad x \in I, \quad (2.12)$$

where the coefficients $a(x), b(x)$ and $h(x)$ are bounded and $h(x) \leq 0$ in I , and there exists a constant $a_0 > 0$ such that $a(x) \geq a_0 > 0$ in I . Then a nonnegative maximum of u can only occur on ∂I , and $du/d\nu > 0$ there, where ν denotes a normal vector pointing

outward at the boundary.

Corollary 2.1 Let $I = (0, 1)$. Under the conditions of Lemma 2.3, suppose further that $u(0) = 0$ and $u'(1) = 0$, then there must have $u(x) \leq 0$, $x \in I$.

Proof By Lemma 2.3, the solution u can not attain its maximum at $x = 1$ since $u'(1) = 0$, and it has to assume its maximum at $x = 0$, i.e., there is $u(x) \leq 0$ in I .

3 The fractal MIM model

3.1 The forward problem

Consider the solute transport model (1.1) in a 1D finite space domain but in the infinite time domain. Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$ by dimensionless and $\Omega_\infty = \Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Assume that the solute transport and diffusion begins in the mobile phase, and the solute variation in the immobile is a dynamical process due to the low-permeability and heavy heterogeneity of the porous media. Then it could be more suitable that the solute diffusion in the immobile zone is described by a time-fractional differential equation. Correspondingly, the advection-diffusion processes in the mobile zone can also be governed by a time-fractional advection-diffusion equation. In addition, assume that there are the first-order degrading reactions in the two zones respectively, and a fractal MIM model for reactive solute transport for $(x, t) \in \Omega_\infty$ is established as follows on the basis of (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} \beta R_1 \partial_t^\alpha u_1 = \frac{1}{P} \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - \omega(u_1 - u_2) - \lambda u_1, \\ (1 - \beta) R_2 \partial_t^\gamma u_2 = \omega(u_1 - u_2) - \mu u_2, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $u_1 = u_1(x, t)$ and $u_2 = u_2(x, t)$ denote the solute concentrations in the mobile and the immobile zones respectively, and $R_1, R_2 > 1$ are the retardation coefficients with time-scale actions, and $\lambda, \mu > 0$ are the first-order degradation coefficients (or the coefficients of zero-order derivatives in mathematics) in the mobile and immobile zones respectively; $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\omega > 0$ are the same meanings as denoted in (1.1), and $\partial_t^\alpha u_1$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and $\partial_t^\gamma u_2$ ($0 < \gamma < 1$) denote the Caputo fractional derivatives on time $t > 0$. Here the fractional orders α and γ are the indexes describing the sub-diffusion characteristics with long-time memory in the mobile and immobile zones, respectively.

For the model (3.1), the initial condition is given as:

$$u_1(x, 0) = 0, \quad u_2(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (3.2)$$

which means that the concentration of the solute in the studied region is zero at the initial stage. The boundary condition at $x = 0$ is given as

$$u_1(0, t) = 1, \quad u_2(0, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

which implies that the left-hand side of the region in the mobile is an input source for $t > 0$. The boundary condition at $x = 1$ is impermeable, which is given by

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x}(1, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x}(1, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

As a result, we get a coupled system composed by the fractal MIM solute transport model (3.1) with the initial boundary value conditions (3.2)-(3.4), which is called the forward problem. We consider the unique solvability of the forward problem by Laplace transform method in the next subsection.

3.2 Existence of the solution

Due to physical reasons, the only solutions of (3.1) we are interested in are the bounded and nonnegative ones in Ω_∞ , and according to the background of solute transport in porous media and the physical/chemical laws, the parameters in the model (3.1) satisfy the following natural condition throughout this paper:

$$(A1) \quad 0 < \alpha, \gamma < 1, \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \quad R_1, R_2 \geq 1, \quad P > 0, \quad \omega > 0, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \mu > 0.$$

Suppose that the Laplace transforms on $t \geq 0$ for all functions in the system (3.1) are existed. By performing Laplace transform for the system (3.1), and thanks to the formula (2.11) and the homogeneous initial condition (3.2), we get

$$\begin{cases} \beta R_1 s^\alpha \bar{u}_1 = \frac{1}{P} \frac{d^2 \bar{u}_1}{dx^2} - \frac{d\bar{u}_1}{dx} - \omega(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2) - \lambda \bar{u}_1, \\ (1 - \beta) R_2 s^\gamma \bar{u}_2 = \omega(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2) - \mu \bar{u}_2, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ due to the boundedness of the solution. Since there is

$$\bar{u}_2 = \frac{\omega}{(1 - \beta) R_2 s^\gamma + \omega + \mu} \bar{u}_1, \quad (3.6)$$

we have

$$a \frac{d^2 \bar{u}_1}{dx^2} - \frac{d\bar{u}_1}{dx} + b \bar{u}_1 = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where $a = \frac{1}{P}$, and

$$b = -\beta R_1 s^\alpha - \omega - \lambda + \frac{\omega^2}{(1 - \beta) R_2 s^\gamma + \omega + \mu}. \quad (3.8)$$

It is noted that Eq.(3.7) is the second-order ordinary differential equation on $x \in \Omega$ with constants coefficients. By (3.3) and (3.4) the boundary conditions are given as

$$\bar{u}_1(0; s) = \frac{1}{s}, \quad \bar{u}'_1(1; s) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

In the follows we give a solution's representation for the boundary value problem (3.7), (3.9) by the eigenvalue method.

By using the trigonometric representation of complex number, and noting $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, there must have $\text{Re}(b) < 0$ by (3.8). By solving the characteristic equation ($a > 0$)

$$a\eta^2 - \eta + b = 0,$$

we get

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4ab}}{2a}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4ab}}{2a}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\text{Re}(\eta_1) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(\eta_2) < 0$ due to $\text{Re}(b) < 0$ and $a > 0$. So the solution of the problem (3.7), (3.9) is expressed by

$$\bar{u}_1(x; s) = c_1 e^{\eta_1 x} + c_2 e^{\eta_2 x}, \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{\eta_2 s^{-1}}{\eta_2 - \eta_1 e^{\eta_1 - \eta_2}}; \quad c_2 = \frac{\eta_1 s^{-1}}{\eta_1 - \eta_2 e^{\eta_2 - \eta_1}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Together with (3.6) follows the expression of $\bar{u}_2(x; s)$. In order to utilize the inverse Laplace transform to obtain the solution of the forward problem, we need a bounded estimate for \bar{u}_1 given by (3.11).

Lemma 3.1 For the mapping function of Laplace transform given by (3.11), there holds

$$|\bar{u}_1| \leq \frac{1}{|s|} C, \quad (3.13)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of s , and $\text{Re}(s) > 0$.

Proof As indicated in the above, there is $\text{Re}(b) < 0$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. From (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{u}_1| &\leq \frac{1}{|s|} \left| \frac{\eta_2 e^{\eta_2}}{\eta_2 e^{\eta_2} - \eta_1 e^{\eta_1}} \right| |e^{\eta_1 x}| + \frac{1}{|s|} \left| \frac{\eta_1 e^{\eta_1}}{\eta_1 e^{\eta_1} - \eta_2 e^{\eta_2}} \right| |e^{\eta_2 x}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|s|} (I_1 + I_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{\left| 1 - \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} e^{\eta_1 - \eta_2} \right|} |e^{\eta_1 x}|; \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{\left| 1 - \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} e^{\eta_2 - \eta_1} \right|} |e^{\eta_2 x}|.$$

For the estimates of I_1 and I_2 , we need the properties of η_1 and η_2 . By (3.10) there are

$$\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4ab})^2}{4ab}, \quad \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} = \frac{(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4ab})^2}{4ab}; \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\eta_1 - \eta_2 = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4ab}}{a}, \quad \eta_1 + \eta_2 = \frac{1}{a}. \quad (3.16)$$

By the expression of b given in (3.8), there holds

$$b \rightarrow -\omega - \lambda + \frac{\omega^2}{\omega + \mu}, \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0,$$

which means that the norm of the coefficient b is lower bounded. Now we estimate the term of I_1 .

Firstly by (3.15) and noting $\text{Re}(b) < 0$, there exists a positive constant C_1 such that $|\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2}| = 1 + C_1 > 1$, and there is $\text{Re}(\eta_1 - \eta_2) > 0$. Then there holds

$$\left| \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} e^{\eta_1 - \eta_2} \right| = \left| \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} \right| \cdot |e^{\eta_1 - \eta_2}| = (1 + C_1) e^{\text{Re}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)} > 1. \quad (3.17)$$

Next by (3.16) there is

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{2a} + \frac{\eta_1 - \eta_2}{2}.$$

Noting $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$|e^{\eta_1 x}| = |e^{\frac{x}{2a}}| |e^{\frac{(\eta_1 - \eta_2)x}{2}}| \leq e^{\frac{1}{2a}} e^{\frac{\text{Re}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)}{2}}.$$

Therefore we get

$$I_1 \leq \frac{|e^{\eta_1 x}|}{\left| \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} e^{\eta_1 - \eta_2} \right| - 1} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2a}} \frac{e^{\frac{\text{Re}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)}{2}}}{(1 + C_1) e^{\text{Re}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)} - 1} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2a}} \frac{e^{\text{Re}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)}}{e^{\text{Re}(\eta_1 - \eta_2)} - 1}, \quad (3.18)$$

which implies that there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that $I_1 \leq C_2$.

Similarly there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that $I_2 \leq C_3$, thus the assertion (3.13) is valid, and the proof is completed.

With the above lemma, we are ready to give the unique existence of the solution to the forward problem.

Theorem 3.1 The forward problem (3.1) with (3.2)-(3.4) has a unique solution in Ω_∞ .

Proof We only need to prove the existence of the inverse Laplace transform on \bar{u}_1 . By

Lemma 3.1, there is $|\bar{u}_1(x, s)| \leq \frac{1}{|s|}C$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$. Therefore utilizing Lemma 2.2, the contour integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_0-i\infty}^{s_0+i\infty} \bar{u}_1(x, s)e^{st} ds,$$

is convergent for $(x, t) \in \Omega_\infty$, which is the solution $u_1(x, t)$, i.e., there is

$$u_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_0-i\infty}^{s_0+i\infty} \bar{u}_1(x, s)e^{st} ds, \quad (3.19)$$

where $s_0 = \text{Re}(s) > 0$. Similarly we can get the expression of the solution $u_2(x, t)$.

This theorem gives the unique existence of the solution to the forward problem, however, the space for the solution is not deduced since the solution's regularity is still open. It is noted that the solution in the form of Laplace transform is not convenient to practice and application due to the expensive computational cost of the contour integral. Nevertheless, it is meaningful in mathematics we get the existence of the solution to the forward problem, and we will give a finite difference solution in Section 5.

In the follows, we consider an inverse problem of identifying the fractional orders $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ by the additional measurements on u_1 at one interior point, and we will prove its uniqueness also based on the Laplace transform, and perform numerical inversions by the Levenberg-Marquart algorithm together with homotopy technique.

4 The inverse problem of fractional orders

4.1 The inverse problem

When the model (3.1) is applied to study a real solute transport problem in a heterogeneous porous media, some model parameters are always unknown, such as the fractional order, the mass transfer rate, etc. Suppose that the fractional orders α and γ are unknown, we are to determine them by some additional measurements at one interior point in the mobile zone.

The additional condition is given as

$$u_1(x_0, t), \quad t > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $x_0 \in \Omega$ is a fixed point. Based on the above discussions, the inverse problem is to identify the two fractional orders $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ using the overposed condition (4.1) based on the forward problem (3.1), (3.2)-(3.4).

4.2 The uniqueness

The uniqueness of an inverse problem is important not only for theoretical analysis but also for numerical computations. We will prove the uniqueness in the mapping space of Laplace transform using the maximum principle of elliptic operator.

An inverse problem is often investigated in an admissible set of the unknowns. For the considered inverse problem, we assume that the unknown parameters satisfy the natural condition $(\alpha, \gamma) \in S_{ad}$, where S_{ad} is given by

$$S_{ad} = \{(\alpha, \gamma) : 0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \gamma < 1\}. \quad (4.2)$$

For any given $(\alpha, \gamma) \in S_{ad}$, denote $u_1^{\alpha, \gamma}(x, t)$ as the solution of the forward problem in the mobile zone, and $u_2^{\alpha, \gamma}(x, t)$ the solution in the immobile zone. The solution should have some regularity so as to ensure to perform Laplace transforms for the solution itself and its derivatives, including the fractional-order derivatives. In the real-space of the Laplace transform, we can prove a uniqueness for the inverse fractional order problem.

Theorem 4.1 Assume that $u_1^{\alpha, \gamma}, u_2^{\alpha, \gamma}$ are the nonnegative and bounded solutions of the forward problem corresponding to $(\alpha, \gamma) \in S_{ad}$ in the mobile and immobile zones respectively, and $x_0 \in \Omega$ is a fixed interior point. If $u_1^{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}(x_0, t) = u_1^{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}(x_0, t)$ for $t > 0$ and $(\alpha_i, \gamma_i) \in S_{ad}$ ($i = 1, 2$), then there holds $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$.

Proof By utilizing the Laplace transform and noting the homogeneous initial condition, there hold

$$\beta R_1(s^\alpha \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma}) = \frac{1}{P} \frac{d^2 \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma}}{dx^2} - \frac{d\hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma}}{dx} - \omega(\hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma} - \hat{u}_2^{\alpha, \gamma}) - \lambda \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma}, \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$(1 - \beta)R_2(s^\gamma \hat{u}_2^{\alpha, \gamma}) = \omega(\hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma} - \hat{u}_2^{\alpha, \gamma}) - \mu \hat{u}_2^{\alpha, \gamma}. \quad (4.4)$$

From (4.4) there is

$$\hat{u}_2^{\alpha, \gamma} = \frac{\omega \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma}}{(1 - \beta)R_2 s^\gamma + \omega + \mu}. \quad (4.5)$$

Substituting (4.5) into (4.3) we get

$$\frac{1}{P} \frac{d^2 \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma}}{dx^2} - \frac{d\hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma}}{dx} + \left\{ \frac{\omega^2}{(1 - \beta)R_2 s^\gamma + \omega + \mu} - \omega - \lambda - \beta R_1 s^\alpha \right\} \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma} = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Now for $(\alpha_i, \gamma_i) \in S_{ad}$ ($i = 1, 2$), there hold the expressions for $\hat{u}_1^{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}$ and $\hat{u}_1^{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}$ corresponding to (4.6). Let $U(x) = \hat{u}_1^{\alpha_1, \gamma_1} - \hat{u}_1^{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}$ and assume that $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$. There holds for $x \in \Omega$

$$\frac{1}{P} \frac{d^2 U}{dx^2} - \frac{dU}{dx} + cU = d, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$c = -\omega - \lambda - \beta R_1 s^{\alpha_1} + \frac{\omega^2}{(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_1} + \omega + \mu}, \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$d = \hat{u}_1^{\alpha_2, \gamma_2} \left\{ \beta R_1 (s^{\alpha_1} - s^{\alpha_2}) + \frac{\omega^2 (1-\beta) R_2 (s^{\gamma_1} - s^{\gamma_2})}{[(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_1} + \omega + \mu][(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_2} + \omega + \mu]} \right\}, \quad (4.9)$$

and the boundary conditions are $U(0) = 0$ and $U'(1) = 0$.

Let us consider the case of $s \geq s_0 > 0$. Thanks to the priori conditions of $\omega > 0, \lambda > 0, \mu > 0$ and $R_1, R_2 \geq 1, 1 - \beta > 0$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -\omega - \lambda - \beta R_1 s^\alpha + \frac{\omega^2}{(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_1} + \omega + \mu} \\ &\leq -\omega - \lambda - \beta R_1 s^\alpha + \omega \\ &\leq -\lambda - \beta R_1 s_0^\alpha < 0, \quad s \geq s_0. \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite (4.9) as

$$d = s \hat{u}_1^{\alpha_2, \gamma_2} \left\{ \beta R_1 \frac{s^{\alpha_1} - s^{\alpha_2}}{s} + \frac{\omega^2 (1-\beta) R_2 (s^{\gamma_1} - s^{\gamma_2})}{s[(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_1} + \omega + \mu][(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_2} + \omega + \mu]} \right\}. \quad (4.10)$$

By Lemma 2.1, thanks to the nonnegative property of the solution $u_1(x, t)$, follows that $s \hat{u}_1^{\alpha_2, \gamma_2} \geq 0$ for $s \geq s_0 > 0$.

By the assumption $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$ there holds $s^{\alpha_1} - s^{\alpha_2} > 0$ ($s > 1$) and

$$\beta R_1 \frac{s^{\alpha_1} - s^{\alpha_2}}{s} \sim s^{-1+\alpha_1}, \quad s \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.11)$$

here and in the follows, the symbol \sim denotes an equivalence, $A \sim B$ means that $A/B \rightarrow$ constant. By the a priori conditions for the known parameters we have

$$\frac{\omega^2 (1-\beta) R_2 (s^{\gamma_1} - s^{\gamma_2})}{s[(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_1} + \omega + \mu][(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_2} + \omega + \mu]} \sim \frac{\omega^2}{(1-\beta)R_2} \frac{s^{-\gamma_2} - s^{-\gamma_1}}{s}, \quad s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.12)$$

Since $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (0, 1)$, and $s^{-\gamma_2} - s^{-\gamma_1} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, there holds

$$\frac{\omega^2}{(1-\beta)R_2} \frac{s^{-\gamma_2} - s^{-\gamma_1}}{s} \sim s^{-1-\gamma^*}, \quad s \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\gamma^* = \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$. Noting that

$$\frac{s^{-1+\alpha_2}}{s^{-1-\gamma^*}} = s^{\alpha_2+\gamma^*} \rightarrow \infty, \quad s \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.14)$$

we get by (4.11) and (4.13)

$$\beta R_1 \frac{s^{\alpha_1} - s^{\alpha_2}}{s} + \frac{\omega^2 (1-\beta) R_2 (s^{\gamma_1} - s^{\gamma_2})}{s[(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_1} + \omega + \mu][(1-\beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_2} + \omega + \mu]} \geq 0, \quad s \geq s_0. \quad (4.15)$$

Together with (4.10) concludes that $d \geq 0$ for $s \geq s_0$. As a result by applying Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.1 to the equation (4.7) with $U(0) = 0, U'(1) = 0$, there holds $U(x) < 0$ for $x \in \Omega, s \geq s_0$, and then we get

$$U(x_0) < 0, \quad s \geq s_0. \quad (4.16)$$

On the other hand, by the additional condition $u_1^{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}(x_0, t) = u_1^{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}(x_0, t)$ ($t > 0$), we have by Laplace transform

$$U(x_0) = \hat{u}_1^{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}(x_0, s) - \hat{u}_1^{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}(x_0, s) = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

This is a contradiction with (4.16) and there must have $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$. Similarly, $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ is impossible. Therefore $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.

Furthermore, denote $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 := \alpha$, we can prove $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ by the similar arguments. Let $V(x) = \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma_1} - \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma_2}$ and assume that $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$. There holds for $x \in \Omega$

$$\frac{1}{P} \frac{d^2 V}{dx^2} - \frac{dV}{dx} + \bar{c}V = \bar{d}, \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\bar{c} = -\omega - \lambda + \frac{\omega^2}{(1 - \beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_1} + \omega + \mu}, \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$\bar{d} = \hat{u}_1^{\alpha, \gamma_2} \frac{\omega^2(1 - \beta)R_2(s^{\gamma_1} - s^{\gamma_2})}{[(1 - \beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_1} + \omega + \mu][(1 - \beta)R_2 s^{\gamma_2} + \omega + \mu]}, \quad (4.20)$$

and the boundary conditions are $V(0) = 0$ and $V'(1) = 0$.

Also consider the case of $s \geq s_0 > 0$. Obviously there is $\bar{c} \leq -\lambda < 0$. By the assumption of $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$s^{\gamma_1} - s^{\gamma_2} > 0, \quad s > 1. \quad (4.21)$$

Then there holds $\bar{d} \geq 0$ for $s \geq s_0 > 0$, and there must have $V(x) < 0$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $s \geq s_0$ also by Corollary 2.1, which leads to a contradiction with the additional condition. Thus the assumption $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$ is not valid, and similarly $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$ is not valid too. So there must have $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$. The proof is over.

5 Numerical inversions

This section is devoted to numerical inversions for the inverse fractional-order problem by utilizing a modified Levenberg-Marquart algorithm. On the concrete numerical

computations, we only need a series of additional measurements at a limited time interval. So we can deal with the forward problem for $(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T)$, where $T > 0$ is a finite number, and the additional condition is given as $\{u(x_0, t)\}_{0 < t \leq T}$, here $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ also denotes a fixed space point. For utilization of the inversion algorithm we need numerical solution of the forward problem. Recently in [20], the authors gave an implicit finite difference scheme to the forward problem, and proved its convergence and stability. For completeness of this paper, we introduce the difference scheme in the follows.

5.1 The finite difference scheme

Let m, n be positive integers, and $h = 1/m, \tau = T/n$ be grid steps to discretize the domain. Denote $x_i = ih (i = 0, \dots, m), t_k = k\tau (k = 0, \dots, n)$ as the grid points, and $u_1^{i,k} \approx u_1(x_i, t_k), u_2^{i,k} \approx u_2(x_i, t_k)$ as the approximations. By the general finite difference method as used to fractional diffusion equations (see [15, 18, 22] for instance), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta R_1}{\tau^\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^k [u_1^{i,j+1} - u_1^{i,j}] [(k+1-j)^{1-\alpha} - (k-j)^{1-\alpha}] \\ &= \frac{1}{P} \frac{u_1^{i+1,k+1} - 2u_1^{i,k+1} + u_1^{i-1,k+1}}{h^2} - \frac{u_1^{i,k+1} - u_1^{i-1,k+1}}{h} \\ & - \omega \left(u_1^{i,k+1} - \frac{u_2^{i-1,k+1} + u_2^{i+1,k+1}}{2} \right) - \lambda u_1^{i,k+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1-\beta)R_2}{\tau^\gamma \Gamma(2-\gamma)} \sum_{j=0}^k [u_2^{i,j+1} - u_2^{i,j}] [(k+1-j)^{1-\gamma} - (k-j)^{1-\gamma}] \\ &= \omega \left(\frac{u_1^{i-1,k+1} + u_1^{i+1,k+1}}{2} - u_2^{i,k+1} \right) - \mu u_2^{i,k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

We denote $r_1 = \frac{\tau^\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{P\beta R_1 h^2}$, $r_2 = \frac{\tau^\gamma \Gamma(2-\gamma)}{(1-\beta)R_2}$, and

$$\begin{cases} A = \frac{\tau^\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\beta R_1 h} + r_1, & D = \frac{\omega \tau^\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{2\beta R_1}, & E = \frac{r_2 \omega}{2}; \\ B = 1 + A + r_1 + 2D + \frac{\tau^\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\beta R_1} \lambda, & F = 1 + 2E + r_2 \mu. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

We get an implicit difference equations given as

$$\begin{cases} -A u_1^{i-1,k+1} + B u_1^{i,k+1} - r_1 u_1^{i+1,k+1} - D u_2^{i-1,k+1} - D u_2^{i+1,k+1} \\ = u_1^{i,k} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (u_1^{i,j+1} - u_1^{i,j}) [(k+1-j)^{1-\alpha} - (k-j)^{1-\alpha}], \\ -E u_1^{i-1,k+1} - E u_1^{i+1,k+1} + F u_2^{i,k+1} \\ = u_2^{i,k} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (u_2^{i,j+1} - u_2^{i,j}) [(k+1-j)^{1-\gamma} - (k-j)^{1-\gamma}]. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

Denote a new variable by

$$U^k = (u_1^{1,k}, u_1^{2,k}, \dots, u_1^{m-1,k}, u_2^{1,k}, u_2^{2,k}, \dots, u_2^{m-1,k})^T, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the initial boundary value conditions are discretized as

$$\begin{aligned} U^{(0)} &= (u_1^{1,0}, u_1^{2,0}, \dots, u_1^{m-1,0}; u_2^{1,0}, u_2^{2,0}, \dots, u_2^{m-1,0})^T \\ &= (0, 0, \dots, 0; 0, 0, \dots, 0)^T, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^{0,k} &= 1, & u_2^{0,k} &= 0, & k &= 0, 1, \dots, n; \\ u_1^{m-1,k} &= u_1^{m,k}, & u_2^{m-1,k} &= u_2^{m,k}, & k &= 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

By rearranging (5.4) we get the difference scheme in the matrix form:

$$\begin{cases} MU^1 = U^0, \\ MU^{k+1} = NU^k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \Psi_j^k U^j + N_0 U^0, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

where the coefficient matrix M is a $2(m-1)$ -order matrix defined by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.6)$$

where M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{21} and M_{22} are all $m-1$ -order matrices given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} B & -r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -A & B & -r_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -A & B & -r_1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -A & B - r_1 \end{pmatrix}, & M_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -D & 0 & -D & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -D & 0 & -D \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -D & -D \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -E & 0 & -E & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -E & 0 & -E \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -E & -E \end{pmatrix}, & M_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} F & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & F & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & F & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & F \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

And the matrices N and N_0 in (5.5) are all $2(m-1)$ -order defined by

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} (2 - 2^{1-\alpha}) \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & (2 - 2^{1-\gamma}) \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}, N_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_k \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \zeta_k \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.7)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the $m-1$ -order identity matrix, \mathbf{O} denotes the $m-1$ -order zero matrix, and

$$\xi_k = (k+1)^{1-\alpha} - k^{1-\alpha}, k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$\zeta_k = (k+1)^{1-\gamma} - k^{1-\gamma}, k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

And the matrix Ψ_j^k is defined by

$$\Psi_j^k = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,j}^k \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & b_{2,j}^k \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$b_{1,j}^k = 2(k+1-j)^{1-\alpha} - (k-j)^{1-\alpha} - (k-j+2)^{1-\alpha},$$

and

$$b_{2,j}^k = 2(k+1-j)^{1-\gamma} - (k-j)^{1-\gamma} - (k-j+2)^{1-\gamma},$$

for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $k = 2, \dots, n-1$.

It is noted that under the natural condition (A1) given in Subsection 3.2, the coefficient matrix M given by (5.6) is strictly diagonal dominant, and the finite difference scheme (5.5) is uniquely solvable. By solving the difference scheme (5.5), numerical solution of the forward problem is solved with which the modified Levenberg-Marquart algorithm is applied to give numerical inversions for the inverse problem.

5.2 Numerical inversions

For convenience of writing, we set $z := (\alpha, \gamma) \in S_{ad}$ as the exact solution to the inverse problem, and S_{ad} is given by (4.2), and we write the solution of the forward problem in the mobile zone as $u_1[z]$ to emphasize its dependence upon the unknown $z = (\alpha, \gamma)$. By $u_1^\delta(x_0, t)$ we denote the noisy observation data given as

$$u_1^\delta(x_0, t) = u_1(x_0, t) + \theta\delta, t \in (0, T_1], \quad (5.9)$$

where $\delta > 0$ denotes the noise level, and θ is a random vector distributed in $[-1, 1]$.

Based on the Levenberg-Marquart method, consider the following minimization problem combining with the homotopy idea:

$$\min_{z \in S_{ad}} \{(1-\kappa) \|u_1[z](x_0, t) - u_1^\delta(x_0, t)\|_2^2 + \kappa \|z\|_2^2\}, \quad (5.10)$$

where $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ is the homotopy parameter which decreases continuously from 1 to 0. By discretization for (5.10), and by linearization as done in the Levenberg-Marquart method, we can get a normal equation on the perturbation δz for given $z \in S_{ad}$

$$((1-\kappa)G^T G + \kappa I)\delta z = (1-\kappa)(G^T(\eta^\delta - \xi)), \quad (5.11)$$

where $G = (g_{ki})_{n \times 2}$ is the Jacobi matrix, and $g_{k1} = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \alpha}(x_0, t_k)$, $g_{k2} = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \gamma}(x_0, t_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$; and

$$\eta^\delta = (u_1^\delta(x_0, t_1), \dots, u_1^\delta(x_0, t_n))^T; \xi = (u_1[z](x_0, t_1), \dots, u_1[z](x_0, t_n))^T.$$

By suitably choosing $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, we work out an optimal perturbation δz by (5.11), and then we get the next iteration by linear iteration $z = z + \delta z$.

On the concrete inversions, we choose a Sigmoid-type function depending upon the iterations as the homotopy parameter given as

$$\kappa(j) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\sigma(j-j_0)}}, \quad (5.12)$$

here j is the number of iterations, j_0 is the preestimated number of iterations, and $\sigma > 0$ is the adjust parameter. We choose $j_0 = 5$ and $\sigma = 0.9$ in all of the following computations. In addition, the forward problem is solved numerically by the finite difference scheme (5.5), and the final time is set to be $T = 100$ in order to reveal the long-time behaviors of the fractional diffusion system, and the additional data are obtained at the interior point $x_0 = 0.5 \in (0, 1)$. It is noted that the initial iteration is chosen as zero, i.e., $z_0 = (0, 0)$ except for Ex.5.3. We refer to [31, 39] for the detailed procedures of performance of the inversion algorithm.

Example 5.1 In the first numerical experiment, let $\alpha = 0.8$ and $\gamma = 0.25$ be the exact fractional orders, which could be suitable for some real situations where the diffusion in the immobile zone is slower than that in the mobile zone, and the exact solution of the inverse problem is expressed as $z = (0.8, 0.25)$. In addition, we take the parameters $P = 5, R_1 = R_2 = 2, \beta = 0.5, \omega = 1.5, \lambda = 0.05$ and $\mu = 0.1$ as basic settings. By substituting the exact orders into the forward problem, the solution is computed and the additional data at $x_0 = 0.5$ are obtained, with which the inversion algorithm is applied to reconstruct the fractional orders.

The inversion results with noisy data and exact data are listed in Table 1, where δ denotes the noise level, and $\delta = 0$ means that the inversion is performed with noise-free data, and $\bar{z}^{inv} := (\bar{\alpha}^{inv}, \bar{\gamma}^{inv})$ denotes the average inversion solution with 10-time continuous inversions, and \bar{Err} denotes the relative error in the solutions, given by $\bar{Err} =$

$\|z - \bar{z}^{inv}\| / \|z\|$, and \bar{j} denotes the average number of iterations.

Table 1. The inversion results in Ex.5.1

δ	\bar{z}^{inv}	\bar{Err}	\bar{j}
5%	(0.82564596, 0.24445217)	3.13e - 2	20.5
1%	(0.79570944, 0.25106402)	5.27e - 3	16.1
0.1%	(0.80061766, 0.25018621)	7.69e - 4	15.8
0.01%	(0.79993667, 0.25000822)	7.61e - 5	15
0	(0.79999999, 0.25000000)	6.59e - 10	15

Example 5.2 In this example, we choose the model parameters as $P = 1, R_1 = R_2 = 2$, $\beta = 0.5, \omega = 1.5, \lambda = 0.05$ and $\mu = 0.1$, and we take $\alpha = 0.75$ and $\gamma = 0.75$ as the exact solution of the inverse problem, i.e., $z = (0.75, 0.75)$. This situation could occur if the solute variations in the mobile and immobile zones have the same fractal dynamics. As done in Ex.5.1, the inversion results with noisy data and exact data are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. The inversion results in Ex.5.2

δ	\bar{z}^{inv}	\bar{Err}	\bar{j}
5%	(0.74747782, 0.79993481)	4.71e - 2	22.5
1%	(0.75619961, 0.74402864)	8.12e - 3	20.3
0.1%	(0.75046744, 0.74927740)	8.11e - 4	18.5
0.01%	(0.75005237, 0.74994325)	7.28e - 5	18
0	(0.75000000, 0.74999999)	1.37e - 9	18

Example 5.3 In this example, we are concerned with a special case in which the fractional order in the immobile zone is greater than that in the mobile zone. Let $\alpha = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.8$ as the exact solution of the inverse problem, i.e., $z = (0.3, 0.8)$. The model parameters are chosen as $P = 1, R_1 = R_2 = 2, \beta = 0.5, \omega = 0.5, \lambda = 0.05$ and $\mu = 0.5$. It is noted that the inversion results become unstable if still choosing zero as the initial iteration. The reason maybe come from the choice of the fractional orders where the order in the mobile zone is smaller than that in the immobile. However, by choosing the initial iteration as $z_0 = (1, 1)$, the inversion algorithm can be realized successfully. The inversion

results are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. The inversion results in Ex.5.3

δ	\bar{z}^{inv}	\bar{Err}	\bar{j}
5%	(0.29960169, 0.88268139)	9.67e - 2	28.5
1%	(0.29677359, 0.81211370)	1.46e - 2	25.3
0.1%	(0.30000177, 0.80163716)	1.91e - 3	23.1
0.01%	(0.30012901, 0.79979368)	2.84e - 4	23
0	(0.30000000, 0.80000000)	1.29e - 10	22

From Tables 1-3 it can be seen that the inversion solutions approximate to the exact solutions as the noise goes to zero, and the inversion algorithm is of numerical stability against noise in the data. The fractional orders are important to the fractal MIM solute transport model, and it could be more suitable for real situations by the inversion results that the fractional order in the mobile zone cannot be less than that in the immobile. In addition, by the natural conditions the fractional orders should be in S_{ad} in theory. However, the situation could have a little change in numerical experiments. In our examples we choose $z_0 = (0, 0)$ or $z_0 = (1, 1)$ as the initial iteration so as to show the universality of the inversion algorithm, and the inversion results are satisfactory. Actually, if choosing $z_0 = (0.1, 0.1)$ or $z_0 = (0.9, 0.9)$ as the initial iteration correspondingly, the inversion results are better than those of using $z_0 = (0, 0)$ or $z_0 = (1, 1)$.

6 Conclusion

A fractal MIM solute transport model is studied from system identification. The unique existence of solution to the fractal system is discussed in mathematics by the method of Laplace transform, and the uniqueness of identifying the fractional orders is proved in the real-space of Laplace transform. Numerical inversions with noisy data are presented to demonstrate the numerical stability of the inverse problem. We will focus on the research of regularity of the solution for the forward problem, and study inverse problems of determining other parameters in the fractal system.

Appendix-Proof of Lemma 2.2

We need to prove the convergence of the contour integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_0-i\infty}^{s_0+i\infty} F(s)e^{st} ds, \quad (A.1)$$

where $F(s)$ satisfying (2.5). In the follows we denote C as any positive constant if there is no specification. At first we need a convergent assertion for an infinite integral on a real-valued function, which is deduced by the comparison criterion.

Lemma A.1 Let $g(r)$ be a nonnegative function on $[r_0, +\infty)$ for given $r_0 > 0$, and be integrable on any finite interval of $[r_0, +\infty)$, and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^p g(r) = q$. Then the integral $\int_{r_0}^{+\infty} g(r) dr$ is convergent if $p > 1$ and $0 \leq q < +\infty$.

For the estimation of the contour integral (A.1), we are to utilize Cauchy integral theorem. For given angles θ_1, θ_2 and a radius $\varepsilon > 0$, and a infinitely large constant $R > 0$, a closed curve is plotted in Figure A-1, where L_R denotes the line from $s_0 - iR$ to $s_0 + iR$, and Γ_R^+ denotes a finite line from $s_0 + iR$ to the given point A_1 , and Γ_+ denotes the line A_1A_2 and the circular arc A_2A_3 , where $\theta_1 \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $\theta_2 \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, and there are Γ_R^- and Γ_- symmetrically corresponding to Γ_R^+ and Γ_+ , respectively.




Figure A-1. A closed curve for computation of the contour integral

From Cauchy integral theorem, it holds that

$$\oint_{L_R \cup \Gamma_R^+ \cup \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_- \cup \Gamma_R^-} F(s)e^{st} ds = 0, \quad (A.2)$$

and we get

$$\int_{L_R} F(s)e^{st} ds = - \int_{\Gamma_R^\pm} F(s)e^{st} ds - \int_{\Gamma_\pm} F(s)e^{st} ds. \quad (A.3)$$

We firstly estimate the integrals on Γ_R^\pm . Denote $\Gamma_R^+ = \Gamma_{R_1}^+ \cup \Gamma_{R_2}^+$, where $\Gamma_{R_1}^+ : s = x + iR, 0 < x < s_0$; $\Gamma_{R_2}^+ : s = x + iR, \text{Re } s \leq 0$. By the condition (2.5), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{R_1}^+} F(s)e^{st} ds \right| &\leq \int_{\Gamma_{R_1}^+} |F(s)| \cdot |e^{st}| |ds| \\ &\leq C \int_0^{s_0} \frac{1}{|s|} e^{xt} dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R} \cdot \frac{1}{t} (e^{s_0 t} - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (A.4)$$

Then for any given $t > 0$, there is

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{R_1}^+} F(s)e^{st} ds \right| = 0. \quad (A.5)$$

For the integral on $\Gamma_{R_2}^+$, we have the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{R_2}^+} F(s)e^{st} ds \right| &\leq \int_{\Gamma_{R_2}^+} \frac{C}{|s|} \cdot e^{(\text{Re } s)t} |ds| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R} \int_{\Gamma_{R_2}^+} e^{(\text{Re } s)t} |ds| \\ &= \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{R \tan(\theta_1)} e^{-xt} dx = \frac{C}{R t} (1 - e^{-R \tan(\theta_1)t}). \end{aligned} \quad (A.6)$$

Thanks to $\theta_1 \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, there is

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{R_2}^+} F(s)e^{st} ds \right| = 0. \quad (A.7)$$

Combing with (A.5) follows that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_R^+} F(s)e^{st} ds \right| = 0. \quad (A.8)$$

Similarly for the integral on Γ_R^- , there holds

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_R^-} F(s)e^{st} ds \right| = 0. \quad (A.9)$$

Next we estimate the integrals $\int_{\Gamma_{\pm}} F(s)e^{st}ds$ in (A.3).

As done in the above, we firstly give the estimation for the integral on Γ_+ . Noting $\Gamma_+ = A_1A_2 + \widehat{A_2A_3}$, there is

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_+} F(s)e^{st}ds \right| \leq \left| \int_{A_1A_2} F(s)e^{st}ds \right| + \left| \int_{\widehat{A_2A_3}} F(s)e^{st}ds \right|. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

For the integral $\left| \int_{A_1A_2} F(s)e^{st}ds \right|$, by the condition (2.5) and the polar coordinate transformation $s = re^{i\theta_2}$ along the line A_1A_2 , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{A_1A_2} F(s)e^{st}ds \right| &\leq \int_{A_1A_2} |F(s)|e^{(\text{Re}s)t}|ds| \\ &\leq C \int_{A_1A_2} \frac{1}{|s|} e^{(\text{Re}s)t} |ds| \\ &= C \int_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{R}{\cos(\theta_1)}} \frac{1}{r} e^{r \cos(\theta_2)t} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Noting $\theta_2 \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, there is $\cos(\theta_2) < 0$. By utilizing Lemma A.1 where $p = 2, q = 0$, we deduce that for given $t > 0$, the integral $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{R}{\cos(\theta_1)}} \frac{1}{r} e^{r \cos(\theta_2)t} dr$ is convergent as $R \rightarrow \infty$. So there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{R}{\cos(\theta_1)}} \frac{1}{r} e^{r \cos(\theta_2)t} dr \leq C. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Now we estimate the integral on the arc $\widehat{A_2A_3}$. There holds

$$\left| \int_{\widehat{A_2A_3}} F(s)e^{st}ds \right| \leq C \int_{\widehat{A_2A_3}} \frac{1}{|s|} e^{\text{Re}(s)t} |ds|. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Noting that $|s| = \varepsilon$ on the circular arc, and the length of the arc is $|\widehat{A_2A_3}| = \frac{\theta_2\pi}{180}\varepsilon$, we conclude that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\widehat{A_2A_3}} \frac{1}{|s|} e^{\text{Re}(s)t} |ds| \leq \frac{e^{\varepsilon t}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\widehat{A_2A_3}} |ds| \leq C. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Therefore there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_+} F(s)e^{st}ds \right| \leq C. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Similarly we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_-} F(s)e^{st}ds \right| \leq C. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Based on (A.3), combining (A.15), (A.16) with (A.8) and (A.9), we arrive at

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{L_R} F(s) e^{st} ds \right| \leq C, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

which means that the contour integral $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{s_0-i\infty}^{s_0+i\infty} F(s) e^{st} ds$ is bounded at each given $t > 0$. The proof is completed.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11871313), and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (No. ZR2019MA021).

References

- [1] B. Baeumer, M. M. Meerschaert, Fractional diffusion with two time scales, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **373** (2007) 237–251.
- [2] D. A. Benson, S. W. Wheatcraft, M. M. Meerschaert, Application of a fractional advection-dispersion equation, *Water Resources Research* **36** (2000) 1403–1412.
- [3] D. A. Benson, M. M. Meerschaert, A simple and efficient random walk solution of multi-rate mobile/immobile mass transport equations, *Adv. Water Resour.* **32** (2009) 532–539.
- [4] M. Caputo, W. Plastino, Diffusion in porous layers with memory, *Geophys. J. Int.* **158** (2004) 385.
- [5] J. Cheng, J. Nakagawa, M. Yamamoto, T. Yamazaki, Uniqueness in an inverse problem for a one-dimensional fractional diffusion equation, *Inverse Problems* **25** (2009) 115002.
- [6] G. Y. Gao, S. Y. Feng, Y. Ma, H. B. Zhan, G. H. Huang, Semi-analytical solution for reactive solute transport dynamic model with scale-dependent dispersion and immobile water (in Chinese), *Chinese Journal of Hydrodynamics* **25** (2010) 206–216.
- [7] E. Gerolymatou, I. Vardoulakis, R. Hilfer, Modelling infiltration by means of a nonlinear fractional diffusion model, *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* **39** (2006) 4104.
- [8] S. Hansbo, Consolidation equation valid for both Darcian and non-Darcian flow, *Geotechnique* **51** (2001) 51–54.
- [9] J. F. Kelly, M. M. Meerschaert, Space-time duality and high-order fractional diffusion, *Phys. Rev. E* **99** (2019) 022122.
- [10] Y. Kian, L. Oksanen, E. Soccorsi, M. Yamamoto, Global uniqueness in an inverse problem for time fractional diffusion equations, *Journal of Differential Equations* **264** (2018) 1146–1170.

- [11] Y. Kian, Z. Y. Li, Y. K. Liu, M. Yamamoto, The uniqueness of inverse problems for a fractional diffusion equation with a single measurement, *Mathematische Annalen* **380** (2021) 1465–1495.
- [12] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [13] A. Kubica, K. Ryszewska, M. Yamamoto, *Theory of Time-Fractional Differential Equations an Introduction*, Springer, Berlin, 2020.
- [14] G. S. Li, D. L. Zhang, X. Z. Jia, M. Yamamoto, Simultaneous inversion for the space-dependent diffusion coefficient and the fractional order in the time-fractional diffusion equation, *Inverse Problems* **29** (2013) 065014.
- [15] G. S. Li, C. L. Sun, X. Z. Jia, D. H. Du, Numerical solution to the multi-term time fractional diffusion equation in a finite domain, *Numer. Math. Theor.–Meth. Appl.* **9** (2016) 337–357.
- [16] X. Li, Z. Wen, Q. Zhu, H. Jakada, A mobile-immobile model for reactive solute transport in a radial two-zone confined aquifer, *Journal of Hydrology* **580** (2020) 124347.
- [17] Z. Y. Li, K. Fujishiro, G. S. Li, Uniqueness in the inversion of distributed orders in ultra-slow diffusion equations, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **369** (2020) 112564.
- [18] F. Liu, P. Zhuang, V. Anh, I. Turner, K. Burrage, Stability and convergence of the difference methods for the space-time fractional advection-diffusion equation, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **191** (2007) 12–20.
- [19] J. J. Liu, M. Yamamoto, A backward problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation. *Applicable Analysis* **89** (2010) 1769–1788.
- [20] W. Y. Liu, G. S. Li, X. Z. Jia, Numerical simulation for a fractal MIM model for solute transport in porous media, *Journal of Mathematics Research* **13** (2021) 31–44.
- [21] C. Lu, Z. Wang, Y. Zhao, S. S. Rathore, et al., A mobile-immobile solute transport model for simulating reactive transport in connected heterogeneous fields, *Journal of Hydrology* **560** (2018) 97–108.
- [22] M. M. Meerschaert, C. Tadjeran, Finite difference approximations for fractional advection-dispersion flow equations, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **172** (2004) 65–77.
- [23] R. J. Miller, P. F. Low, Threshold gradient for water flow in clay systems, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **27** (1963) 605–609.
- [24] A. D. Obembe, M. E. Hossain, S. A. Abu-Khamsin, Variable-order derivative time fractional diffusion model for heterogeneous porous media, *J. Petrol. Sci. Eng.* **152** (2017) 391–405.
- [25] L. P. Pang, M. E. Close, Non-equilibrium transport of Cd in alluvial gravels, *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* **36** (1999) 185–206.

- [26] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic, San Diego, 1999.
- [27] R. Raghavan, Fractional derivatives: application to transient flow, *J. Petrol. Sci. Eng.* **80** (2011) 7–13.
- [28] K. Sakamoto, M. Yamamoto, Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **382** (2011) 426–447.
- [29] R. Schumer, D. A. Benson, Fractal mobile/immobile solute transport, *Water Resources Research* **39** (2003) 1296–1308.
- [30] R. P. Sperb, *Maximum Principles and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [31] C. L. Sun, G. S. Li, X. Z. Jia, Simultaneous inversion for the diffusion and source coefficients in the multi-term TFDE, *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering* **25** (2017) 1618–1638.
- [32] C. L. Sun, J. J. Liu, An inverse source problem for distributed order time-fractional diffusion equation, *Inverse Problems* **36** (2020) 055008.
- [33] L. L. Sun, Y. Zhang, T. Wei, Recovering the time-dependent potential function in a multi-term time-fractional diffusion equation, *Applied Numerical Mathematics* **135** (2019) 228–245.
- [34] N. Toride, F. J. Leij, M. T. Van Genuchten, The CXTFIT Code for Estimating Transport Parameters from Laboratory or Field Tracer Experiments, Version 2.0, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Research Report No. 137, 1995.
- [35] M. T. Van Genuchten, R. J. Wagenet, Two-site/two-region models for pesticide transport and degradation: Theoretical development and analytical solutions, *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **53** (1989) 1303–1310.
- [36] J. Xian, X.-B. Yan, T. Wei, Simultaneous identification of three parameters in a time-fractional diffusion-wave equation by a part of boundary Cauchy data, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **384** (2020) 125382.
- [37] M. Yamamoto, Y. Zhang, Conditional stability in determining a zeroth-order coefficient in a half-order fractional diffusion equation by a Carleman estimate, *Inverse Problems* **28** (2012) 105010.
- [38] M. Yamamoto, Uniqueness in determining fractional orders of derivatives and initial values, *Inverse Problems* **37** (2021) 095006.
- [39] D. L. Zhang, G. S. Li, X. Z. Jia, H. L. Li, Simultaneous inversion for space-dependent diffusion coefficient and source magnitude in the time fractional diffusion equation, *Journal of Mathematics Research* **5** (2013) 65–78.

- [40] D. S. Zhang, B. Shen, J. Shen, Q. J. Wang, X. Q. Wu, Quasi-analytical solution and numerical simulation for two-region model of solute transport through soils under steady state flow (in Chinese), *Chinese Journal of Hydrodynamics* **19** (2004) 507–512.
- [41] Y. Zhang, D. A. Benson, D. M. Reeves, Time and space nonlocalities underlying fractional-derivative models: Distinction and literature review of field applications, *Advances in Water Resources* **32** (2009) 561–581.
- [42] X. C. Zheng, J. Cheng, H. Wang, Uniqueness of determining the variable fractional order in variable-order time-fractional diffusion equations, *Inverse Problems* **35** (2019) 125002.
- [43] H. W. Zhou, S. Yang, S. Q. Zhang, Conformable derivative approach to anomalous diffusion, *Phy. A Stat. Mech. Appl.* **491** (2018) 1001–1013.
- [44] H. W. Zhou, S. Yang, S. Q. Zhang, Modeling non-Darcian flow and solute transport in porous media with the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative, *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **68** (2019) 603–615.