Observations on holographic aspects of four-dimensional asymptotically flat $\mathcal{N} = 2$ black holes

Pedro Aniceto, Gabriel Lopes Cardoso and Suresh Nampuri

Center for Mathematical Analysis, Geometry and Dynamical Systems,
Department of Mathematics, Instituto Superior Técnico,
Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

pedro.aniceto@tecnico.ulisboa.pt, gabriel.lopes.cardoso@tecnico.ulisboa.pt,
nampuri@gmail.com

arXiv:2111.13190v3 [hep-th] 24 May 2022

ABSTRACT

In this note, we explore holographic attributes of four-dimensional near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole solutions in ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories at the two-derivative level by recasting them as a specific first-order deformation in solution space, associated with an infinitesimal Harrison transformation, of black holes in an AdS_2 space-time. Specifically, we use this link to exhibit how bulk properties, such as mass and entropy, of four-dimensional near-extremal black holes are holographically encoded in the one-dimensional boundary theory dual to gravity in an infinitesimally deformed AdS_2 space-time. We do so for the case of four-dimensional near-extremal black holes that arise as deformations in solution space of BPS black holes by changing the non-extremality parameter. For these near-extremal black holes, we further show that the nAdS_2 attractor mechanism can be recast as a specific deformation of the BPS flow equations in four dimensions. Additionally, we also discuss time-dependent perturbations of the four-dimensional near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solutions from a two-dimensional point of view.

May 25, 2022

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Dimensionally reduced two-dimensional bulk Lagrangian **4**

3 Equations of motion **8**
- 3.1 Constant curvature solution 9
- 3.2 First-order perturbations around constant curvature solution 9
  - 3.2.1 Double-extreme four-dimensional BPS black hole solutions 14
  - 3.2.2 Near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solutions 17
  - 3.2.3 Time-dependent perturbations of near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solutions 22

4 Variational principle and holographic renormalization **23**

5 Asymptotic symmetries **26**

A Relating (Y, Υ) to $(\hat{Y}^I, \hat{\Upsilon})$ **29**

B First-order flow equations from Hamilton's principal function **30**

C BPS flow equations in Fefferman-Graham coordinates **33**

D nAdS$_2$ from deformed BPS first-order flow equations **35**

1 Introduction

In this note we discuss aspects of the holographic correspondence for asymptotically flat four-dimensional near-extremal black hole solutions that arise in four-dimensional ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories at the two-derivative level. We do so by first reducing these theories down to two dimensions on a two-sphere, and subsequently viewing the four-dimensional solutions as solutions to the equations of motion of the theory in two dimensions.

It is well known that the above class of two-derivative four-dimensional gravitational theories admits two distinct types of vacua and hence, correspondingly, two types of four-dimensional static black hole solutions based on these spatial asymptotia or vacua [1, 2]. The first corresponds to an asymptotic $AdS_2 \times S^2$ space-time and includes two-dimensional black holes in the AdS_2 geometry [3]. The AdS/CFT correspondence posits a description of these backgrounds in a holographically dual one-dimensional CFT. The second corresponds to four-dimensional asymptotic Minkowski space-time and includes static extremal and non-extremal black hole solutions which are supported by the scalar fields in the theory. The radial flow of the scalar fields from the vacuum to the black hole horizon is governed by an effective black hole potential generated by the $U(1)$ gauge fields in the theory.

In the case of extremal black holes, whose near horizon geometry is the vacuum $AdS_2 \times S^2$ background and hence can be seen as solitonic solutions interpolating between the two vacua [1, 2], the scalar fields are fixed in terms of the charges at the black hole horizon, independent of their asymptotic values, i.e., the extremal black hole near-horizon geometry acts as an attractor for the scalar field flows [4–7]. This attractor mechanism serves as a local test for the presence of an extremal black horizon. This corresponds to the black hole potential being extremized at the attractor point. Therefore, if the asymptotic values of the scalar fields for an extremal black hole with given charges are chosen to lie at their attractor values, the extremal black solution is supported by constant physical scalar fields and the resulting solution is referred to as double-extreme, with a geometry described by a four-dimensional extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole solution. The near-horizon geometry containing an AdS_2 factor, wherein all geometrical scales as well as physical fields are determined purely by the charges independently of the asymptotic vacuum, implies that the extremal black hole entropy must be fully captured by the near-horizon AdS_2 factor, and hence admit a holographic description in terms of the dual one-dimensional CFT. However, non-extremal static four-dimensional black holes have a Rindler near-horizon geometry and cannot be seen as interpolating solutions between vacua, precluding a clear template for a holographic description. These solutions are characterized by the extremal black hole parameters, such as charge, in addition to a non-extremality parameter μ which encodes the temperature of the black hole and can be viewed as one of the axes in the asymptotically flat black hole solution space of the theory. Moving along this axes from the origin corresponds to an on-shell deformation starting from an extremal black hole and sliding through non-extremal black holes at different temperatures and different μ-dependent horizon location and entropy, but with the same charges.

In this note, we take preliminary steps in exploring the holographic description of the simplest types of non-extremal black holes, namely near-extremal solutions with $\mu \ll 1$ and supported by constant physical scalar fields. These have non-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom metrics which we view as on-shell deformations to first order in μ from BPS double-extreme solutions. Near-extremal black hole solutions are in effect, the 'closest' non-extremal black solutions in solution space to the double-extreme black holes. At this order, the near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole solution has a thermodynamic temperature that is proportional to μ, its entropy is shifted by a term proportional to μ relative to the entropy of the extremal solution, while its mass is shifted by a term proportional to μ^2 relative to the mass of the extremal solution. The equations of motion of the theory, and hence the solution space, are invariant under a one-parameter family of transformations [8] called Harrison transformations, which map the above near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solution to a two-dimensional black hole with an asymptotic $AdS_2 \times S^2$ geometry, namely the first type of aforementioned solutions. The horizon features of the four-dimensional black hole and its two-dimensional Harrison image are identical. Denoting the transformation parameter by ε, we can hence model the four-dimensional near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole of interest as a specific infinitesimal Harrison transformation of a two-dimensional black hole in an AdS_2 space-time. The infinitesimal transformation acts as a deformation in solution space, implemented to first order in ε and μ.

Using this link, we ascribe mass to the deformed two-dimensional solution, and we show that this definition is consistent with the first law of black hole mechanics, which relates the change of the entropy of the deformed two-dimensional solution to the change of its mass.

We then exploit the link between four-dimensional and two-dimensional solutions provided by the Harrison transformation as a first step to exploring the holographic attributes of four-dimensional near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole solutions. By virtue of the AdS/CFT correspondence, we expect the two-dimensional black hole parameters and their perturbations to be encoded in terms of corresponding operators and their perturbations in the holographically dual quantum mechanics. Consequently, we exhibit how bulk properties of the four-dimensional near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, such as mass and entropy, are encoded in terms of the expectation value of the holographic stress tensor of the one-dimensional boundary theory and of the operator dual to the two-dimensional dilaton, respectively.

We continue our exploration of this holographic setup by considering time-dependent perturbations of the four-dimensional near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solutions from a two-dimensional point of view. To this end, we use the two-dimensional equations of motion to analyze first-order perturbations around two-dimensional constant curvature solutions that are supported by constant scalar fields as well as electric-magnetic charges. Our findings for the allowed first-order perturbations are the counterpart of those obtained previously in a different context, namely five-dimensional pure Einstein gravity with cosmological constant reduced to two dimensions [9], and three-dimensional topologically massive gravity reduced to two dimensions [10]. When restricting to the subset of perturbations that are induced solely by turning on a perturbation of the two-dimensional dilaton, the renormalized on-shell action describing the dynamics of these perturbations takes the form of the DFF action [11]. This is again in accord with the findings in [9, 10].

While in the above we focussed on near-extremal black hole solutions in four dimensions that are supported by physical scalar fields that are constant, one may also consider the more general situation where the scalar fields supporting the near-extremal black hole solution are not any longer constant. This may be achieved by changing the asymptotic values of the scalar fields away from the double-extreme values mentioned earlier. The resulting flow equations can be viewed as deformations of the flows in the extremal case. In the case where these near-extremal solutions are on-shell deformations of extremal black holes, a suitable framework for describing the resulting scalar flows is the nAdS_2 attractor mechanism for near-extremal black holes [12]. It posits that to first order in the non-extremality parameter μ, the near-horizon behaviour of the metric and of the scalar fields of the non-extremal black hole solution is governed by the near-horizon behaviour of the associated extremal black hole solution. In the case when the near-extremal black hole solution is an on-shell deformation of a BPS black hole solution, we show how the nAdS_2 attractor mechanism for near-extremal black holes [12] is encoded in deformed BPS flow equations. We do this by using the formalism of Hamilton's principal function in the two-dimensional theory, to rewrite the standard flow equations for four-dimensional BPS solutions [4, 6] in ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories in terms of a real quantity W.

Subsequently, by performing a judicious deformation of these flow equations, we show how the nAdS_2 attractor mechanism for near-extremal black holes arises at lowest order in the deformation parameter μ and infinitesimally away from the horizon.

As mentioned above, in this note we focus on near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solutions that we regard as first-order deformations of four-dimensional BPS backgrounds in ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories at the two-derivative level. We then explore some of their holographic attributes by viewing them as a specific first-order perturbation of a two-dimensional black hole in an AdS_2 space-time. We refer to [13] for further work on the holographic properties of near-extremal solutions in ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories in four dimensions. It would be interesting to extend the analysis given here by including R^2-corrections based on F-terms. While their effect on BPS solutions in the near-horizon region is well studied [14, 15], this is not the case for near-extremal black hole solutions. It would also be interesting to further extend the analysis by introducing further deformations, for instance deformations that break spherical symmetry. While in this note we focussed on near-extremal solutions in ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories in four dimensions, work on holographic properties of near-extremal solutions in gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories in four dimensions has recently appeared in [13].

We expect the holographic setup outlined in this note to indicate preliminary steps towards improving the $\text{nAdS}_2/\text{nCFT}_1$ dictionary [9, 10, 13, 16–20] for four-dimensional asymptotically flat black holes.

2 Dimensionally reduced two-dimensional bulk Lagrangian

In this section, we review the dimensional reduction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in four dimensions at the two-derivative level on a two-sphere down to two dimensions. This dimensional reduction has been discussed in the literature, both in the context of Poincaré supergravity theories (see, for instance, [12]) as well as in the context of the superconformal approach to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity [15]. Here, we follow [15]. The resulting two-dimensional action is a generalization of the two-dimensional action that results when dimensionally reducing the four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory on a two-sphere [18, 19].

We consider the Wilsonian Lagrangian describing the coupling of Abelian vector multiplets to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in four dimensions at the two-derivative level. The associated Lagrangian can be constructed using the superconformal multiplet calculus [21]. This requires adding two compensating multiplets, whose role is to ensure that upon gauge fixing, the resulting theory is a Poincaré supergravity theory. One of these compensating multiplets is a vector multiplet, while the other compensating multiplet can be taken to be a hyper multiplet. Below we will display the resulting bosonic part of this Lagrangian in Poincaré gauge.

As is well-known [22], this Lagrangian is encoded in a holomorphic function $F(X)$, which is homogeneous of second degree under scalings by $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$,

$$F(\lambda X) = \lambda^2 F(X) \,. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, the X^I $(I = 0, \ldots, n)$ denote complex scalar fields that reside in the Abelian vector multiplets (which include the compensating vector multiplet). We denote $F_I = \partial F(X)/\partial X^I$, $F_{IJ} = \partial^2 F(X)/\partial X^I \partial X^J$. The Poincaré gauge condition is given by

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}(X)} \equiv i\left(\bar{X}^I F_I - \bar{F}_I X^I\right) = \frac{1}{G_4} \,, \tag{2.2}$$

where G_4 denotes Newton's constant in four dimensions. In Poincaré gauge, the bosonic part of the Lagrangian is given by [14]

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi\, e^{-1}\, L = -\frac{1}{2G_4} R + \big[& i\mathcal{D}^\mu F_I\, \mathcal{D}_\mu \bar{X}^I \\ & + \tfrac{1}{4} i F_{IJ} (F^{-I}_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{X}^I T^-_{ab})(F^{-Jab} - \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{X}^J T^{ab-}) \\ & - \tfrac{1}{8} i F_I (F^{+I}_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{4} X^I T^+_{ab})\, T^{ab+} - \tfrac{1}{32} i F\, \bar{\hat{A}} + \text{h.c.} \big] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu X^I &= \partial_\mu X^I + i A_\mu X^I \,, \\ \mathcal{D}_\mu F_I &= \partial_\mu F_I + i A_\mu F_I \,, \\ \hat{A} &= (T^-_{ab})^2 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

with (2.3) subjected to the condition (2.2). The $U(1)$ connection A_μ, when using its equation of motion, becomes expressed as

$$A_\mu = \tfrac{1}{2} e^{\mathcal{K}(X)} \left(F_I \partial_\mu \bar{X}^I - \bar{X}^I \partial_\mu F_I + c.c.\right) \,, \tag{2.5}$$

which is subjected to the condition (2.2).

We will consider the dimensional reduction of the above theory on a two-sphere S^2,

$$ds_4^2 = ds_2^2 + v_2\, d\Omega_2^2 \,, \tag{2.6}$$

where $d\Omega_2^2$ denotes the line element of the two-sphere S^2, and where v_2 depends on the coordinates of the two-dimensional line element ds_2^2. We denote the local coordinates on S^2 by (θ, φ), and local coordinates in two space-time dimensions by (r, t). In a spherically symmetric configuration, T^-_{ab} is expressed in terms of a single complex scalar field w [15],

$$T^-_{\underline{rt}} = -i T^-_{\underline{\theta\varphi}} = w \,, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\underline{rt}, \underline{\theta\varphi}$ denote Lorentz indices. Then,

$$\hat{A} = -4w^2 \,. \tag{2.8}$$

The four-dimensional backgrounds we will consider are supported by complex scalar fields X^I and w, as well as by electric fields e^I and magnetic charges p^I,

$$\begin{aligned} & ds_4^2 = ds_2^2 + v_2(r,t)\, \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\varphi^2\right) \,, \\ & ds_2^2 = h_{ij} dx^i dx^j = dr^2 + h_{tt}(r,t)\, dt^2 \,, \\ & F^I_{rt} = e^I \quad , \quad F^I_{\theta\varphi} = p^I \sin\theta \,, \\ & \hat{A} = -4w^2 \quad , \quad X^I \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $X^I = X^I(r,t)$, $w = w(r,t)$ and $e^I = e^I(r,t)$. Here we have written ds_2^2 in Fefferman-Graham form. We will denote

$$\sqrt{-h} = \sqrt{-\det h_{ij}} \,. \tag{2.10}$$

In the background (2.9), the associated four-dimensional Ricci scalar R_4 is given by

$$R_4 = R_2 - \frac{2}{v_2} + \frac{2}{v_2}\Box v_2 - \frac{(\partial v_2)^2}{2{v_2}^2} \,, \tag{2.11}$$

where R_2 denotes the Ricci scalar associated to ds_2^2. Note that in the conventions used here [15], the Ricci scalar of S^2 is negative.

Let us now discuss the evaluation of the four-dimensional Wilsonian Lagrangian (2.3) in a background of the form (2.9) and its subsequent reduction on a two-sphere S^2. Following [15], we introduce the rescaled fields

$$Y^I = \tfrac{1}{4} v_2 \, \bar{w} \, X^I \,, \quad \Upsilon = \tfrac{1}{16} v_2^2 \, \bar{w}^2 \, \hat{A} = -\tfrac{1}{4} v_2^2 \, |w|^4 \,. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that Y^I and Υ are $U(1)$ invariant, since w and X^I carry the same $U(1)$ weight. Υ is real and negative. In the rescaled variables, the relation (2.1) becomes

$$F(\lambda Y) = \lambda^2 F(Y) \,. \tag{2.13}$$

The covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}X^I$ may be expressed as

$$\tfrac{1}{4} v_2 \bar{w} \mathcal{D}_\mu X^I = \partial_\mu Y^I + i a_\mu Y^I - \tfrac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Sigma \, Y^I \,, \tag{2.14}$$

where we defined

$$\Sigma = \ln\left(v_2^2 |w|^2\right) = \ln\left(2\, v_2 \sqrt{-\Upsilon}\right) \,, \tag{2.15}$$

and where a_μ is given by the same expression as in (2.5), but with X^I replaced everywhere by Y^I,

$$a_\mu = \tfrac{1}{2}\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)} \left(F_I(Y) \partial_\mu \bar{Y}^I - \bar{Y}^I \partial_\mu F_I(Y) + c.c.\right) \,, \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = i \left(\bar{Y}^I F_I - \bar{F}_I Y^I\right) \,. \tag{2.17}$$

Then, using (2.2), we infer the relation

$$v_2 \sqrt{-\Upsilon} = 8 G_4 \, e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} \,, \tag{2.18}$$

which expresses Υ in terms of Y^I and v_2, as well as the relation

$$\Sigma = \ln\left(16\, G_4 \, e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}\right) \,. \tag{2.19}$$

Using (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{16} v_2^2 |w|^2 \, i \left(\mathcal{D}^\mu F_I \, \mathcal{D}_\mu \bar{X}^I - c.c.\right) = i \left(\partial^\mu F_I(Y) \, \partial_\mu \bar{Y}^I - \partial^\mu \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y}) \, \partial_\mu Y^I\right) \\ &- e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} \left(a^\mu a_\mu - \tfrac{1}{4} \partial^\mu \Sigma \partial_\mu \Sigma\right) - \tfrac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \Sigma \, \partial_\mu \left(e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}\right) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

We then insert (2.20) in (2.3) to express the Lagrangian in terms of the scalar fields $Y^I, \bar{Y}^I$.

Subsequently, we evaluate the Lagrangian in the background (2.9). Integrating over the two-sphere S^2 yields the reduced Lagrangian,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_1 &= \tfrac{1}{8}N_{IJ}\Big[(\sqrt{-h}/v_2)^{-1}e^I e^J - \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{v_2}\,p^I p^J\Big] - \tfrac{1}{4}(F_{IJ}+\bar{F}_{IJ})e^I p^J \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}ie^I\Big[F_I + F_{IJ}\bar{Y}^J - \text{h.c.}\Big] - \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{-h}}{v_2}\,p^I\Big[F_I - F_{IJ}\bar{Y}^J + \text{h.c.}\Big]\,, \\
\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{2G_4}\left(1 - \tfrac{1}{2}v_2\left(R_2 + \frac{2}{v_2}\Box v_2 - \frac{(\partial v_2)^2}{2{v_2}^2}\right)\right) \\
&\quad + i\frac{\sqrt{-h}}{v_2}\Big[F - Y^I F_I + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{F}_{IJ}Y^I Y^J - \text{h.c.}\Big] \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{-h}\,v_2\,e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{2G_4}\Big(i\left(\partial^\mu F_I\,\partial_\mu\bar{Y}^I - \partial^\mu\bar{F}_I\,\partial_\mu Y^I\right) \\
&\qquad\qquad - e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}\left(a^\mu a_\mu - \tfrac{1}{4}\partial^\mu\Sigma\partial_\mu\Sigma\right) - \tfrac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\Sigma\,\partial_\mu e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}\Big)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where now $F_I = \partial F(Y)/\partial Y^I$, $F_{IJ} = \partial^2 F(Y)/\partial Y^I \partial Y^J$ and

$$N_{IJ} = -i\left(F_{IJ} - \bar{F}_{IJ}\right)\,. \tag{2.22}$$

Next, to make the underlying electric-magnetic duality manifest, we perform a Legendre transformation of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the p^I, thereby replacing p^I by the conjugate quantity $f_I = -\partial\mathcal{F}/\partial p^I$ [15]. Note that f_I is a field strength in two dimensions, i.e. $f_I = G_{I\,rt}$ with G_I a two-form. The resulting Lagrangian $H(e^I, f_J)$,[1]

$$H(e^I, f_J) = \mathcal{F}(e^I, p^J) + p^I f_I\,, \tag{2.23}$$

is the two-dimensional bulk Lagrangian that we will work with. In order to make the electric-magnetic duality of $H(e^I, f_J)$ manifest, we define

$$R_{IJ} = F_{IJ} + \bar{F}_{IJ} \quad , \quad N^{IJ}N_{JK} = \delta^I_K\,. \tag{2.24}$$

Then, upon dropping a total derivative term,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(e^I, f_J) &= \frac{1}{4}\,(\sqrt{-h}/v_2)^{-1}\,(e^I, f_I)\begin{bmatrix} N_{IJ} + R_{IK}N^{KL}R_{LJ} & -2R_{IK}N^{KJ} \\ -2N^{IK}R_{KJ} & 4N^{IJ}\end{bmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} e^J \\ f_J\end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + 2i\,(e^I, f_I)\begin{pmatrix} F_I - \bar{F}_I \\ -(Y^I - \bar{Y}^I)\end{pmatrix} + \sqrt{-h}\,\frac{v_2}{2G_4}\,\left[-R_2 + \frac{2}{v_2} + \frac{(\partial v_2)^2}{2{v_2}^2}\right] \\
&\quad - \sqrt{-h}\,\frac{2\,e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{v_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{-h}\,v_2\,e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{G_4}\left[N_{IJ}\partial Y^I\,\partial\bar{Y}^J + e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}\,N_{IJ}Y^I\partial\bar{Y}^J\,N_{KL}\bar{Y}^L\partial Y^K\right]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

[1] We omit the dependence on the scalars v_2, Y^I, for notational simplicity.

When taking the complex scalar fields Y^I to be constant, this two-dimensional bulk Lagrangian describes a dilaton-gravity action in two dimensions that reduces to the one in [18] when considering the reduction of the four-dimensional Einstein+Maxwell theory on a two-sphere.

3 Equations of motion

The two-dimensional bulk action (2.25) gives rise to the following equations of motion. The equations of motion for the field strengths e^I and f_J are solved by [23]

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^I \\ f_I \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{2v_2} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2N^{IK}R_{KJ} & -4N^{IJ} \\ N_{IJ} + R_{IK}N^{KL}R_{LJ} & -2R_{IK}N^{KJ} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^J \\ q_J \end{pmatrix} + 4 \begin{pmatrix} Y^I + \bar{Y}^I \\ F_I + \bar{F}_I \end{pmatrix} \right\} , \tag{3.1}$$

with integration constants p^I and q_I.

In what follows, we restrict to linearized order in fluctuations and in units of $G_4 = 1$. The equation of motion for Y^I reads,

$$\Delta_I - 2\partial_I e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} + v_2^2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)} \left(N_{IJ}\Box\bar{Y}^J + e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)} N_{IJ}\bar{Y}^J\, N_{KL}Y^K\Box\bar{Y}^L \right) = 0 , \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_I e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} &= -N_{IJ}\bar{Y}^J , \\ \Delta_M &= i\bar{F}_{IK}N^{KL}F_{LPM} \left(2N^{PJ}q_J - N^{PQ}\bar{F}_{QJ}\, p^J \right) p^I - iN^{IK}F_{KLM}N^{LJ}\, q_I q_J \\ &\quad + 2q_M - 2F_{IM}\, p^I - 4N_{IM}\bar{Y}^I . \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In the case of solutions with constant Y^I, the resulting algebraic equations are the attractor equations given in [15].

Using

$$\delta R_2 = \left(h^{ij}\Box - \nabla^i\nabla^j \right) \delta h_{ij} - R^{ij}\delta h_{ij} , \tag{3.4}$$

we obtain for the equation of motion for the two-dimensional metric $ds_2^2 = h_{ij}dx^i dx^j$,

$$\begin{aligned} & h^{ij} \left[-\frac{1}{8} \frac{v_2}{(\sqrt{-h})^2} (e^I, f_I) \begin{bmatrix} N_{IJ} + R_{IK}N^{KL}R_{LJ} & -2R_{IK}N^{KJ} \\ -2N^{IK}R_{KJ} & 4N^{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^J \\ f_J \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{v_2} - \frac{1}{2}\Box v_2 \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^i\nabla^j v_2 = 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Taking the trace of this equation gives

$$-\frac{1}{4} \frac{v_2}{(\sqrt{-h})^2} (e^I, f_I) \begin{bmatrix} N_{IJ} + R_{IK}N^{KL}R_{LJ} & -2R_{IK}N^{KJ} \\ -2N^{IK}R_{KJ} & 4N^{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^J \\ f_J \end{pmatrix} + 1 - 2\frac{e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{v_2} - \frac{1}{2}\Box v_2 = 0 . \tag{3.6}$$

The equation of motion for v_2 reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{-h})^2} (e^I, f_I) \begin{bmatrix} N_{IJ} + R_{IK}N^{KL}R_{LJ} & -2R_{IK}N^{KJ} \\ -2N^{IK}R_{KJ} & 4N^{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^J \\ f_J \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}R_2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Box v_2}{v_2} + \frac{2\, e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{v_2^2} = 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Dividing (3.6) by v_2 and adding (3.7) to it gives

$$R_2 = 2\left(\frac{1}{v_2} - \frac{\Box v_2}{v_2}\right) . \tag{3.8}$$

3.1 Constant curvature solution

The above equations of motion admit the following constant curvature solution supported by constant scalar fields v_2 and Y^I [23],

$$\begin{aligned} ds_2^2 &= dr^2 + h_{tt}(r,t)\, dt^2 \quad , \quad -h_{tt} = \left(\alpha(t)\, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}} + \beta(t)\, e^{-r/\sqrt{v_1}}\right)^2 , \\ v_1 &= v_2 = e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}\, G_4 = i(\bar{Y}^I F_I - Y^I \bar{F}_I)\, G_4 , \\ Y^I - \bar{Y}^I &= ip^I \quad , \quad F_I - \bar{F}_I = iq_I , \\ \begin{pmatrix} f_I \\ e^I \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{v_2} \begin{pmatrix} F_I + \bar{F}_I \\ Y^I + \bar{Y}^I \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The two-dimensional space-time metric has constant curvature scalar, $R_2 = 2/v_1 > 0$, where v_1 denotes a constant scale factor of length dimension 2. The scalar fields Y^I satisfy the horizon BPS attractor equations for single centre BPS black holes in four dimensions [14]. Expressing the field strengths $e^I = F^I_{rt}$ and $f_I = G_{I\,rt}$ in terms of gauge potential one-forms,

$$e^I = F^I_{rt} = \partial_r A^I_t - \partial_t A^I_r \quad , \quad f_I = G_{I\,rt} = \partial_r \tilde{A}_{I\,t} - \partial_t \tilde{A}_{I\,r} , \tag{3.10}$$

and working in the gauge

$$A^I_r = \tilde{A}_{I\,r} = 0 , \tag{3.11}$$

the gauge potentials associated with the field strengths (3.1) take the following form in this background [23],

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_{tI} \\ -A^I_t \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{v_1}\, \frac{\alpha(t)\, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}}}{\sqrt{-h}} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(t)}{\alpha(t)}\, e^{-2r/\sqrt{v_1}}\right) \begin{pmatrix} f_I \\ -e^I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mu}_I(t) \\ -\mu^I(t) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.12}$$

Setting $\sqrt{v_1}\,\alpha(t) = 1$, $\sqrt{v_1}\,\beta(t) = -\mu^2/4$ in (3.9), with constant μ^2, yields a two-dimensional solution describing a black hole in AdS_2 [3]. We will return to this solution in section 3.2.2.

3.2 First-order perturbations around constant curvature solution

In the following, we will take the solution (3.9) as a background and consider perturbations around this background,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-h} &= \sqrt{-h_0} + \sqrt{-h_1} , \\ v_2 &= v_{2,0} + \chi , \\ Y^I &= Y^I_0 + \mathcal{Y}^I , \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\sqrt{-h_0}, v_{2,0}, Y_0^I$ will denote the background expressions (3.9). The field strengths e^I and f_J are then perturbed according to (3.1). We will work to first order in the perturbations $\sqrt{-h_1}, \chi, \mathcal{Y}^I$. At this order, we may drop terms in the equations of motion that involve more than one field being differentiated, since these terms will be of higher order in the perturbations. To keep track of the order of the perturbation, we will attach a parameter ϵ to each of above perturbations.

To first order in ϵ, the equation of motion (3.2) becomes

$$N_{IJ}^{(0)} \left(\Box - \frac{2}{v_1} \right) \bar{\mathcal{Y}}^J + e^{\mathcal{K}(Y_0)} N_{IJ} \bar{Y}_0^J \, N_{KL} Y_0^K \Box \bar{\mathcal{Y}}^L = 0 \,, \tag{3.14}$$

where $N_{IJ}^{(0)}$ denotes the combination (2.22) evaluated at Y_0^I. Contracting this expression with Y_0^I gives

$$Y_0^I N_{IJ}^{(0)} \, \bar{\mathcal{Y}}^J = 0 \,, \tag{3.15}$$

which implies that the first-order perturbation of $e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}$ vanishes,

$$\delta e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = 0 \,. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus, (3.14) reduces to

$$\left(\Box - \frac{2}{v_1} \right) \bar{\mathcal{Y}}^I = 0 \,. \tag{3.17}$$

We note that the condition (3.8) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-h_0}} \left(\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{v_1} \right) \sqrt{-h_1} = -\frac{\chi}{v_1^2} - \frac{\Box \chi}{v_1} \,. \tag{3.18}$$

Next, we use (3.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(e^I, f_I) \begin{bmatrix} N_{IJ} + R_{IK} N^{KL} R_{LJ} & -2 R_{IK} N^{KJ} \\ -2 N^{IK} R_{KJ} & 4 N^{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^J \\ f_J \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{-h}}{v_2} \right)^2 \left[(p^I, q_I) \begin{bmatrix} N_{IJ} + R_{IK} N^{KL} R_{LJ} & -2 R_{IK} N^{KJ} \\ -2 N^{IK} R_{KJ} & 4 N^{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^J \\ q_J \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + 8 \left(Z(Y) + \bar{Z}(\bar{Y}) \right) - 16 e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} \right] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$Z(Y) = p^I F_I(Y) - q_I Y^I \,. \tag{3.20}$$

We note that under a first-order perturbation around the background (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta \left\{ \tfrac{1}{4} (p^I, q_I) \begin{bmatrix} N_{IJ} + R_{IK} N^{KL} R_{LJ} & -2 R_{IK} N^{KJ} \\ -2 N^{IK} R_{KJ} & 4 N^{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^J \\ q_J \end{pmatrix} + 2 (p^I, q_I) \begin{pmatrix} F_I + \bar{F}_I \\ -\left(Y^I + \bar{Y}^I \right) \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \\ &- 2 N_{IJ}^{(0)} \left(Y_0^I \bar{\mathcal{Y}}^J + \bar{Y}_0^I \mathcal{Y}^J \right) = 2 \, \delta e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Using this equation, we obtain

$$\delta\left\{(p^I,q_I)\begin{bmatrix}N_{IJ}+R_{IK}N^{KL}R_{LJ} & -2R_{IK}N^{KJ}\\ -2N^{IK}R_{KJ} & 4N^{IJ}\end{bmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}p^J\\ q_J\end{pmatrix}+8\left(Z(Y)+\bar{Z}(\bar{Y})\right)-16e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}\right\}=0\,. \tag{3.22}$$

Next, using this result in (3.7), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-h_0}}\left(\partial_r^2-\frac{1}{v_1}\right)\sqrt{-h_1}=-2\frac{\chi}{v_1^2}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Box\chi}{v_1}\,. \tag{3.23}$$

Comparing this equation with (3.18) we infer

$$\Box\chi=2\frac{\chi}{v_1}\,. \tag{3.24}$$

Inserting this back into (3.23) gives

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-h_0}}\left(\partial_r^2-\frac{1}{v_1}\right)\sqrt{-h_1}=-3\frac{\chi}{v_1^2}\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Now we consider the equation of motion (3.5), which we rewrite, using (3.19), as

$$\begin{aligned}h^{ij}\Bigg\{-\frac{1}{v_2}\Bigg[\frac{1}{8}(p^I,q_I)\begin{bmatrix}N_{IJ}+R_{IK}N^{KL}R_{LJ} & -2R_{IK}N^{KJ}\\ -2N^{IK}R_{KJ} & 4N^{IJ}\end{bmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}p^J\\ q_J\end{pmatrix}\\ +\left(Z(Y)+\bar{Z}(\bar{Y})\right)-2e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}\Bigg]+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{e^{-\mathcal{K}}}{v_2}-\frac{1}{2}\Box v_2\Bigg\}\\ +\frac{1}{2}\nabla^i\nabla^j v_2=0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Using (3.22), the rr-component of this equation gives rise to

$$\partial_r^2\chi-\Box\chi+\frac{\chi}{v_1}=0\,. \tag{3.27}$$

Combining with (3.24) gives

$$\partial_r^2\chi=\frac{\chi}{v_1}\,. \tag{3.28}$$

Equation (3.28) is solved by

$$\chi=\nu(t)\,e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}}+\vartheta(t)\,e^{-r/\sqrt{v_1}}\,. \tag{3.29}$$

Since χ is of order ϵ, so are ν and ϑ.

The tr-component of (3.26) gives rise to

$$\partial_t\partial_r\chi-\left(\partial_r\log\sqrt{-h_0}\right)\partial_t\chi=0\,. \tag{3.30}$$

Inserting (3.29) into this equation gives rise to three equations that are obtained by equating the exponential terms. Two of these equations are identically satisfied. The third equation gives the relation

$$\nu'\beta=\vartheta'\alpha\,, \tag{3.31}$$

where $' = \partial_t$. There are two cases to consider: either $\nu' = 0$ or $\nu' \neq 0$. If $\nu' = 0$ then $\vartheta' = 0$ (if we assume that $\alpha \neq 0$). On the other hand, if $\nu' \neq 0$, then

$$\beta = \alpha \, \frac{\vartheta'}{\nu'} \, . \tag{3.32}$$

Thus, β is now determined in terms of α, ν and ϑ. Since both ν and ϑ are of order ϵ, β is of order ϵ^0, as required for the background (3.9).

The tt-component of (3.26) gives rise to

$$\nabla^t \nabla_t \chi + \frac{\chi}{v_1} - \Box \chi = 0 \, . \tag{3.33}$$

Expressing $\Box = \nabla^t \nabla_t + \nabla^r \nabla_r = \nabla^t \nabla_t + \partial_r^2$, we see that (3.33) equals (3.28). On the other hand, inserting (3.24) into (3.33) gives

$$\nabla^t \nabla_t \chi - \frac{\chi}{v_1} = 0 \, , \tag{3.34}$$

which equals (3.27). Writing out this equation gives

$$\partial_t^2 \chi - \left(\partial_t \log \sqrt{-h_0} \right) \partial_t \chi + h_{tt,0} \left(\left(\partial_r \log \sqrt{-h_0} \right) \partial_r \chi - \frac{\chi}{v_1} \right) = 0 \, . \tag{3.35}$$

Inserting

$$\sqrt{-h_0} = \alpha(t) \, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}} + \beta(t) \, e^{-r/\sqrt{v_1}} \tag{3.36}$$

as well as (3.29) into (3.35), we obtain three equations that are obtained by equating the exponential terms, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \nu'' \alpha - \nu' \alpha' + \frac{2}{v_1} (\alpha \vartheta + \beta \nu) \alpha^2 &= 0 \, , \\ \nu'' \beta + \vartheta'' \alpha - \vartheta' \alpha' - \nu' \beta' + \frac{4}{v_1} (\alpha \vartheta + \beta \nu) \alpha \beta &= 0 \, , \\ \vartheta'' \beta - \vartheta' \beta' + \frac{2}{v_1} (\alpha \vartheta + \beta \nu) \beta^2 &= 0 \, . \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

There are several cases to consider. We will focus on the following two cases. In the first case we take $\nu' = \vartheta' = 0$, in which case we infer (assuming $\alpha \neq 0$)

$$\alpha \vartheta + \beta \nu = 0 \, . \tag{3.38}$$

In the second case we take $\nu' \neq 0, \vartheta' \neq 0$, in which case we have the relation (3.32). In the following, we will focus on this second case. Let us consider the last equation in (3.37). Using (3.32), we obtain (assuming $\alpha \neq 0$)

$$\vartheta' + \frac{\nu'}{\nu} \vartheta = - \frac{v_1}{2} \frac{\nu'}{\alpha \nu} \left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha} \right)' \, . \tag{3.39}$$

This ODE for ϑ is solved by

$$\vartheta = \frac{1}{\nu} \left(c_0 - \frac{v_1}{4} \left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \, . \tag{3.40}$$

Since both ν and ϑ are of order ϵ, the integration constant c_0 is of order ϵ^2. Using (3.39) and (3.40) in (3.31), we infer the relation

$$\beta\nu = \alpha\frac{\nu}{\nu'}\,\vartheta' = -\alpha\vartheta - \frac{v_1}{2}\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)' = -\frac{\alpha}{\nu}\left(c_0 - \frac{v_1}{4}\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)^2\right) - \frac{v_1}{2}\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)' . \tag{3.41}$$

Next, we find that the first two equations in (3.37) are identically satisfied, as can be verified by using (3.32) and (3.39). Thus, there are no constraints on $\nu(t)$ other than $\nu' \neq 0$, which we assumed in the above. ϑ is specified by (3.40), while β has to satisfy (3.32).

Finally, we return to (3.25) and solve for the metric fluctuation $\sqrt{-h_1}$,

$$\sqrt{-h_1} = -\frac{\sqrt{-h_0}}{v_1}\,\chi - 2\,\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial_t\nu}{\alpha}\right) , \tag{3.42}$$

up to a homogeneous solution that can be absorbed into the definitions of α and β [9]. Thus,

$$\sqrt{-h} = \sqrt{-h_0} + \sqrt{-h_1} = \sqrt{-h_0}\left(1 - \frac{\chi}{v_1}\right) - 2\,\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial_t\nu}{\alpha}\right) . \tag{3.43}$$

To ensure that the perturbations χ and $\sqrt{-h_1}$ are small, we demand [9]

$$\left|\frac{\chi}{v_1}\right| \ll 1 \tag{3.44}$$

which, for large r becomes

$$\left|\frac{\nu}{v_1}\,e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}}\right| \ll 1 . \tag{3.45}$$

This can be ensured [9] by introducing a cutoff r_c for the radial coordinate r at large r, $r_c \gg 1$, and by demanding that the amplitude ν is accordingly small, so that (3.45) is satisfied for a large cutoff r_c. Under this assumption, the asymptotic behaviour of $\sqrt{-h}$ is given by the asymptotic behaviour of $\sqrt{-h_0}$, which is what we will use.

The wave equations (3.17) and (3.24) for the scalar perturbations are massive Klein-Gordon equations with mass-square parameter $m^2 = 2/v_1$. These perturbations correspond to boundary operators with scaling dimension $\Delta = 2$,

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}\left(d + \sqrt{d + 4m^2 v_1}\right) = 2 , \tag{3.46}$$

where $d = 1, m^2 = 2/v_1$. Since $\Delta > d = 1$, these operators are irrelevant operators [9, 10, 20].

Thus, summarizing, at order ϵ we have the perturbations

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-h_1} &= -\frac{\sqrt{-h_0}}{v_1}\,\chi - 2\,\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial_t\nu}{\alpha}\right) , \\ \chi &= \nu(t)\,e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}} + \vartheta(t)\,e^{-r/\sqrt{v_1}} , \\ \left(\Box - \frac{2}{v_1}\right)\bar{\mathcal{Y}}^I &= 0 \quad , \quad Y_0^I N_{IJ}^{(0)}\,\bar{\mathcal{Y}}^J = 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

These induce a perturbation of the field strengths e^I and f_J according to (3.1). The perturbations ν and ϑ satisfy additional conditions, as discussed above. For instance, when $\nu' = \vartheta' = 0$, ν and ϑ have to satisfy the condition (assuming $\alpha \neq 0$)

$$\alpha\vartheta + \beta\nu = 0 \,, \tag{3.48}$$

while when $\nu' \neq 0, \vartheta' \neq 0$, they satisfy the relations (assuming $\alpha \neq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta &= \frac{1}{\nu}\left(c_0 - \frac{v_1}{4}\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)^2\right) \,, \\ \beta &= \alpha\frac{\vartheta'}{\nu'} = -\frac{\alpha}{\nu^2}\left(c_0 - \frac{v_1}{4}\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)^2\right) - \frac{v_1}{2\,\nu}\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)' \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

where the integration constant c_0 is of order ϵ^2. The last relation in (3.49) can also be expressed as

$$\alpha\vartheta + \beta\nu = -\frac{v_1}{2}\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)' \,. \tag{3.50}$$

These results are the counterpart of those obtained previously in a different context, namely five-dimensional pure Einstein gravity with cosmological constant reduced to two dimensions [9], and three-dimensional topologically massive gravity reduced to two dimensions [10].

In the following, we will set $\mathcal{Y}^I = 0$. We will thus only keep the perturbation χ given in (3.29), which then induces the perturbations of the remaining fields as described above. In section 4 we will discuss the boundary action that describes the dynamics of $\nu(t)$. This boundary action is the DFF action [11]. In [24], the n particle extension of the DFF model has been proposed as a quantum mechanics model for the microstates of the extremal Reissner-Nordstrom in four dimensions.

Next, we will recast double-extreme and near-extremal four-dimensional black holes in the language of the two-dimensional perturbation analysis given above.

3.2.1 Double-extreme four-dimensional BPS black hole solutions

In the following we will consider the dimensional reduction of double-extreme four-dimensional single centre BPS black hole solutions. These four-dimensional solutions have a near-horizon geometry given by $AdS_2 \times S^2$. By working one step away from the horizon, we will recast these interpolating solutions in terms of the perturbation analysis performed in the previous subsection.

In [14], the four-dimensional BPS black hole solutions were given in terms of rescaled fields Y^I and Υ. However, the rescaled fields used in [14] differ from the rescaled fields (2.12) used here. We will therefore denote the fields (Y^I, Υ) used in [14] with a hat, i.e. $(\hat{Y}^I, \hat{\Upsilon})$, so as to distinguish them from the fields defined in (2.12). In Appendix A we will determine how these two sets of fields are related, and this relation will be used below.

We write the four-dimensional space-time metric of the four-dimensional single centre BPS black hole solution as in [14],

$$ds_4^2 = -e^{2g(R)}\,dt^2 + e^{-2g(R)}\,\left(dR^2 + R^2\,\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,d\varphi^2\right)\right)\ . \tag{3.51}$$

The metric factor e^{-2g} is determined in terms of the scalar fields $\hat{Y}^I$ by

$$e^{-2g} = G_4\,i\left(\bar{\hat{Y}}^I\,F_I(\hat{Y}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{\hat{Y}})\,\hat{Y}^I\right)\ , \tag{3.52}$$

where the $\hat{Y}^I$ are determined in terms of harmonic functions (H^I, H_I) by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{Y}^I - \bar{\hat{Y}}^I \\ F_I(\hat{Y}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{\hat{Y}}) \end{pmatrix} = i\begin{pmatrix} H^I \\ H_I \end{pmatrix} = i\begin{pmatrix} h^I + \frac{p^I}{R} \\ h_I + \frac{q_I}{R} \end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{3.53}$$

where $(h^I, h_I) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ denote integration constants, subject to $h^I q_I - h_I p^I = 0$. We recall the BPS flow equation (c.f. (C.1))

$$R^2\,\partial_R g = G_4\,e^{2g}\left(p^I\,F_I(\hat{Y}) - q_I\,\hat{Y}^I\right)\ , \tag{3.54}$$

where $\partial_R g > 0$ [6]. The metric factor v_2 in (2.9) is given by

$$v_2 = e^{-2g}\,R^2 = G_4\,R^2\,\left(H^I F_I(\hat{Y}) - H_I\hat{Y}^I\right)\ , \tag{3.55}$$

where we used (3.53). The horizon is located at $R = 0$.

Using the relations given in Appendix A,

$$Y^I = \hat{Y}^I\,\frac{R^2\,\sqrt{-\hat{\Upsilon}}}{8}\quad,\quad \Upsilon = -\hat{\Upsilon}^2\frac{R^4}{64}\ , \tag{3.56}$$

as well as [14]

$$\hat{\Upsilon} = -64\,(\partial_R g)^2\ , \tag{3.57}$$

we obtain

$$Y^I = \hat{Y}^I\,R^2\,|\partial_R g|\quad,\quad \Upsilon = -64\,R^4\,(\partial_R g)^2\ . \tag{3.58}$$

Next, we specialise to double-extreme single centre BPS black holes, i.e. BPS black holes supported by constant scalar fields $z^A = Y^A/Y^0$ (with $A = 1, \ldots, n$). To this end, we set $h^I = c\,p^I, h_I = c\,q_I$, with $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Using (3.53), we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{Y}^I - \bar{\hat{Y}}^I \\ F_I(\hat{Y}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{\hat{Y}}) \end{pmatrix} = i\begin{pmatrix} p^I \\ q_I \end{pmatrix}\,f(R)\quad,\quad f(R) = c + \frac{1}{R}\ . \tag{3.59}$$

Solving these equations gives rise to

$$\hat{Y}^I = A^I\,f(R)\ , \tag{3.60}$$

where the constants A^I denote the solutions to the horizon attractor equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{Y}^I - \bar{\hat{Y}}^I \\ F_I(\hat{Y}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{\hat{Y}}) \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} p^I \\ q_I \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{3.61}$$

Then, from (3.55) and (3.54) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= G_4 \, (R\, f(R))^2 \, \left(p^I F_I(A) - q_I A^I\right) \ , \\ R^2 \, \partial_R g &= \frac{1}{f(R)} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

and hence, using (3.58) we obtain

$$Y^I = A^I \quad , \quad \Upsilon = -\frac{64}{f^2(R)} \ . \tag{3.63}$$

Thus, for double-extreme single centre BPS black holes, the scalar fields Y^I are constant. The four-dimensional line element (3.51) then takes the form

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{R^2}{v_{2,0}\,(cR+1)^2}\, dt^2 + v_{2,0}\, \frac{(cR+1)^2}{R^2}\, dR^2 + v_{2,0}\,(cR+1)^2 \, \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\varphi^2\right) \ , \tag{3.64}$$

where

$$v_{2,0} = G_4 \, \left(p^I F_I(A) - q_I A^I\right) \ . \tag{3.65}$$

When $c = 0$, this describes an $AdS_2 \times S^2$ solution supported by constant scalars Y^I.

Next we convert the radial coordinate R to the radial coordinate r in (2.9) using $dr = e^{-g(R)}\, dR$,

$$\frac{r}{\sqrt{v_1}} = \log R + cR \ , \tag{3.66}$$

where $v_1 = v_{2,0}$. Working away from the horizon at order $cR \ll 1$, we infer

$$R = e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}} - c\, e^{2r/\sqrt{v_1}} \ . \tag{3.67}$$

At this order, the metric (3.64) reads

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{e^{2r/\sqrt{v_1}}}{v_{2,0}} \left(1 - 4c\, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}}\right) dt^2 + dr^2 + v_{2,0} \left(1 + 2c\, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}}\right) \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\varphi^2\right) \ . \tag{3.68}$$

It can be viewed as a perturbation of an $AdS_2 \times S^2$ background using (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}^I &= 0 \ , \\ \chi &= 2c\, v_{2,0}\, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}} \ , \\ \sqrt{-h_1} &= -2c\, \frac{e^{2r/\sqrt{v_1}}}{\sqrt{v_{2,0}}} = -\frac{\sqrt{-h_0}}{v_1}\, \chi \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

This is of the form (3.47) with constant $\nu = 2c\, v_1$ and vanishing ϑ, and satisfies (3.38).

The above perturbation can be viewed as the infinitesimal form of a symmetry transformation, called Harrison transformation, which transforms the double-extreme near-horizon geometry to a double-extreme interpolating solution, keeping the scalar fields at the attractor values [8].

3.2.2 Near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solutions

We describe how to view a four-dimensional near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole as a specific deformation of a two-dimensional black hole in an AdS_2 space-time by means of an infinitesimal Harrison transformation. We set $G_4 = 1$ for simplicity in the following.

We will consider near-extremal black hole solutions which arise from double-extreme single centre BPS black hole solutions, which are supported by constant scalar fields Y_0^I, by turning on a non-extremality parameter μ. These are therefore near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole solutions that are supported by constant scalar fields Y_0^I, with line element given by $(\rho > \rho_+ > 0)$

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{(\rho-\rho_+)(\rho-\rho_-)}{\rho^2}\,dt^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho-\rho_+)(\rho-\rho_-)}\,d\rho^2 + \rho^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,d\varphi^2\right)\ . \quad (3.70)$$

Defining the non-extremality parameter μ and the constant Σ in terms of the outer and inner horizons $r_\pm$, respectively,

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_+ - \rho_-) \geq 0 \quad , \quad \Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_+ + \rho_-) > 0\ , \quad (3.71)$$

and introducing $R = \rho - \Sigma$, the line element (3.70) can be written as $(R > \mu \geq 0)$

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{R^2-\mu^2}{(R+\Sigma)^2}\,dt^2 + \frac{(R+\Sigma)^2}{R^2-\mu^2}\,dR^2 + (R+\Sigma)^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,d\varphi^2\right)\ . \quad (3.72)$$

We recall the relation [8]

$$\Sigma^2 = \Sigma_0^2 + \mu^2\ , \quad (3.73)$$

where $\pi\Sigma_0^2$ denotes the entropy of the extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, which is described by (3.72) with $\mu = 0$, and whose horizon is at $R = 0$.

The non-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole solution possesses temperature

$$T = \frac{\rho_+ - \rho_-}{4\pi\rho_+^2} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi(\Sigma+\mu)^2}\ , \quad (3.74)$$

Wald entropy [25]

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm Wald} = \pi(R+\Sigma)^2|_{R=\mu} = \pi\left(\mu+\Sigma\right)^2\ , \quad (3.75)$$

and ADM mass

$$M = \Sigma\ . \quad (3.76)$$

Working to lowest order in μ/Σ_0, these quantities become

$$T = \frac{\mu}{2\pi\Sigma_0^2} \quad , \quad \mathcal{S}_{\rm Wald} = \pi\left(\Sigma_0^2 + 2\mu\Sigma_0\right) \quad , \quad M = \Sigma_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}\frac{\mu^2}{\Sigma_0}\ . \quad (3.77)$$

These quantities satisfy the first law $\delta M = T\,\delta S_{\rm Wald}$, where the variation δ refers to a change of the non-extremality parameter μ.

Next, let us consider a different solution to the four-dimensional equations of motion, namely $(R > \mu \geq 0)$

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{R^2-\mu^2}{\Sigma_0^2}\,dt^2 + \frac{\Sigma_0^2}{R^2-\mu^2}\,dR^2 + \Sigma_0^2\,\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,d\varphi^2\right)\,, \tag{3.78}$$

which is the line element of a product metric, describing a two-dimensional black hole and a two-sphere of constant radius Σ_0. When $\mu = 0$, this product geometry describes the near-horizon geometry of an extremal black hole, which we will take to be BPS. This two-dimensional black hole is an exact solution of the two-dimensional equations of motion, and it can be put into the form (3.9), as follows. First note that the two-dimensional black hole has constant curvature $R_2 = 2/\Sigma_0^2$. Thus, the role of v_1 in (3.9) is now played by Σ_0^2, i.e. $v_1 = \Sigma_0^2$. Then, performing the coordinate transformation $dr = \Sigma_0\,dR/\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}$, i.e.

$$e^{r/\Sigma_0} = \frac{\mu}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R+\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}{R-\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}} = \frac{R+\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}{2}\,,\quad e^{-r/\Sigma_0} = 2\,\frac{R-\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}{\mu^2}\,, \tag{3.79}$$

we obtain

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{1}{\Sigma_0^2}\,\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0} - \frac{\mu^2}{4}e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)^2 dt^2 + dr^2 + \Sigma_0^2\,\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,d\varphi^2\right)\,, \tag{3.80}$$

which is of the form (3.9) with $\alpha = 1/\Sigma_0$ and $\beta = -\mu^2/4\Sigma_0 \leq 0$, and with constant $v_2 = \Sigma_0^2 = v_1$.

In the following, we define $h_{tt,0}$ to be

$$h_{tt,0} = -\frac{1}{\Sigma_0^2}\,\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0} - \frac{\mu^2}{4}e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)^2\,. \tag{3.81}$$

The location of the horizon of the two-dimensional black hole is at $\sqrt{-h_0}|_{r_h} = 0$,

$$e^{2r_h/\Sigma_0} = \frac{\mu^2}{4}\,, \tag{3.82}$$

its temperature is

$$T = \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\partial_r\sqrt{-h_0}|_{r_h} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi\,\Sigma_0^2}\,, \tag{3.83}$$

and its Wald entropy is

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm Wald} = \pi\,v_2 = \pi\,\Sigma_0^2\,. \tag{3.84}$$

The entropy of the two-dimensional black hole differs from the entropy (3.77) of the four-dimensional non-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. In the following, we will view the solution (3.80) as a background solution and describe how to regard the non-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solution as a deformation of the former, to lowest order in the deformation parameter μ/Σ_0.

First, we note that the metric factors in the line element (3.72) can be written in terms of three ratios, namely R/Σ_0, μ/Σ_0 and μ/R, where we recall the relation (3.73). Since $\mu/R = (\mu/\Sigma_0)(\Sigma_0/R)$, we take R/Σ_0 and μ/Σ_0 to be the independent ratios. We multiply R and μ by a factor ε, thereby attaching a factor ε to each of these two ratios. We also rescale $t \to t/\varepsilon$. Subsequently we expand the line element (3.72) to lowest order in ε. At first order in ε, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ds_4^2 = &-\frac{R^2-\mu^2}{\Sigma_0^2}\left(1-2\,\varepsilon\,\frac{R}{\Sigma_0}\right)dt^2 + \frac{\Sigma_0^2(1+2\,\varepsilon\,\frac{R}{\Sigma_0})}{R^2-\mu^2}\,dR^2 \\ &+\Sigma_0^2\left(1+2\,\varepsilon\,\frac{R}{\Sigma_0}\right)\left(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta\,d\varphi^2\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.85}$$

This describes a first-order deformation of the product geometry (3.78), and hence of (3.80). For consistency with the first-order expansion in ε, we introduce a cutoff R_c for the radial coordinate, i.e. $R_c \geq R \geq \mu$, and we demand $\Sigma_0 \gg R_c \gg \mu$.

Next, we perform the coordinate transformation

$$dr = \Sigma_0\,\frac{\left(1+\varepsilon\,\frac{R}{\Sigma_0}\right)}{\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}\,dR\,, \tag{3.86}$$

which results in

$$\frac{r}{\Sigma_0} = \log\frac{R+\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}{2} + \varepsilon\,\frac{\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}{\Sigma_0} = \log\frac{R+\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0} - \frac{\mu^2}{4}e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\,, \tag{3.87}$$

where, at first order in ε, we have made use of (3.79) in the second term. Thus, to first order in ε, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R+\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}{2} &= e^{r/\Sigma_0}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0}-\frac{\mu^2}{4}e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\right)\,, \\ 2\,\frac{R-\sqrt{R^2-\mu^2}}{\mu^2} &= e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0}-\frac{\mu^2}{4}e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{R^2-\mu^2} = &\; e^{r/\Sigma_0}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0}-\frac{\mu^2}{4}e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\right) \\ &-\frac{\mu^2}{4}\,e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0}-\frac{\mu^2}{4}e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.89}$$

Then, the metric (3.85) takes the form (2.9) with

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= \Sigma_0^2+\chi\,, \\ \chi &= 2\,\varepsilon\,\Sigma_0\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0}+\frac{\mu^2}{4}\,e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\,, \\ h_{tt} &= -\frac{1}{\Sigma_0^2}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0}-\frac{\mu^2}{4}\,e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)^2\left(1-\frac{4\,\varepsilon}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0}+\frac{\mu^2}{4}\,e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.90}$$

Hence, at first order in ε, we obtain

$$\sqrt{-h} = \frac{1}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0} - \frac{\mu^2}{4}\,e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2\,\varepsilon}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0} + \frac{\mu^2}{4}\,e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\right) \ . \tag{3.91}$$

Writing $\sqrt{-h} = \sqrt{-h_0} + \sqrt{-h_1}$, where $h_{tt,0}$ is given in (3.81), we infer

$$\sqrt{-h_1} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0} - \frac{\mu^2}{4}\,e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right)\frac{2}{\Sigma_0}\left(e^{r/\Sigma_0} + \frac{\mu^2}{4}\,e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\right) = -\frac{\sqrt{-h_0}}{\Sigma_0^2}\,\chi \ . \tag{3.92}$$

This is of the form (3.47), with

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\Sigma_0} \quad , \quad \beta = -\frac{\mu^2}{4\Sigma_0} \quad , \quad \nu = 2\,\varepsilon\,\Sigma_0 \quad , \quad \vartheta = \varepsilon\,\frac{\Sigma_0\mu^2}{2} \ . \tag{3.93}$$

These constant values satisfy (3.48), as they must.

We note that if we define the constant

$$c_0 \equiv (\varepsilon\,\Sigma_0\mu)^2 \ , \tag{3.94}$$

we obtain the relations

$$\vartheta = \frac{c_0}{\nu} \quad , \quad \beta = -\frac{\alpha}{\nu^2}\,c_0 \ . \tag{3.95}$$

In section 3.2.3 we will consider time-dependent perturbations of the non-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. These are governed by (3.49). We will show that when switching off the time-dependent perturbation, we obtain (3.95).

Finally, let us return to the condition (3.45) that the perturbation χ must satisfy. At large r, the metric factor h_{tt} behaves as

$$h_{tt} = -\left(\frac{e^{r/\Sigma_0}}{\Sigma_0}\right)^2\left(1 - 4\varepsilon\frac{e^{r/\Sigma_0}}{\Sigma_0}\right) \ . \tag{3.96}$$

To ensure that the term proportional to ε is suppressed [9], we cut off the radial direction at $r_c < +\infty$, and we demand

$$\frac{e^{r_c/\Sigma_0}}{\Sigma_0} \ll 1 \ , \tag{3.97}$$

which is equivalent to the condition $R_c \ll \Sigma_0$ discussed above.

In the coordinates used in (3.85), the horizon is still at $R = \mu$, and the first-order deformed solution (3.85) exhibits the following change in the entropy when compared with the entropy of the exact solution (3.78),

$$\Delta\mathcal{S} = \varepsilon\,2\pi\,\mu\,\Sigma_0 \ . \tag{3.98}$$

To lowest order in ε, the temperature of the deformed solution, in the coordinates used in (3.85), is given by $T = \mu/(2\pi\Sigma_0^2)$, and thus agrees with (3.83). Hence, to lowest order in ε, the deformed solution (3.85) exhibits the change

$$T\Delta\mathcal{S} = \varepsilon\,\frac{\mu^2}{\Sigma_0} \tag{3.99}$$

when compared with (3.78). Since $T\Delta\mathcal{S}$ is non-vanishing, the deformed solution should carry mass, as required by the first law of black hole mechanics. To be able to infer the mass of the deformed solution, we observe that working to second order in ε, the four-dimensional asymptotically flat Reissner-Nordstrom black hole can be viewed as a two-dimensional ε-deformed background. In order to see this, in (3.72) we perform the rescaling $\mu \to \varepsilon\,\mu$, $R \to \varepsilon\,R$ and $t \to t/\varepsilon$, so as to be working with the same coordinates used in (3.85). We also expand Σ to second order in ε. Then, (3.72) becomes

$$ds_4^2 = -\frac{R^2-\mu^2}{(\varepsilon R+\Sigma)^2}\,dt^2 + \frac{(\varepsilon R+\Sigma)^2}{R^2-\mu^2}\,dR^2 + (\varepsilon R+\Sigma)^2\,\left(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta\,d\varphi^2\right)\,, \quad (3.100)$$

with Σ replaced by $\Sigma_0 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2\,\mu^2/\Sigma_0$. Expanding (3.100) to second order in ε gives (3.85), with additional terms proportional to ε^2, which we do not exhibit. On the other hand, when taking the limit $R \gg 1$ in (3.100), the term proportional to dt^2 becomes (up to $\mathcal{O}(1/R^2)$)

$$-\left(1-\frac{2}{\varepsilon\,R}\left(\Sigma_0+\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2\,\frac{\mu^2}{\Sigma_0}\right)\right)\frac{dt^2}{\varepsilon^2}\,. \quad (3.101)$$

We now identify the coefficient of the term $1/R$ with twice the mass M, which we write as $M = M_0 + \Delta M$ with

$$M_0 = \frac{\Sigma_0}{\varepsilon} \quad , \quad \Delta M = \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\,\frac{\mu^2}{\Sigma_0}\,. \quad (3.102)$$

Note that M_0 is independent of μ. Then, varying (3.102) with respect to μ, we establish the validity of the first law for the deformed solution at first order in ε,

$$\delta\Delta M = T\,\delta\Delta\mathcal{S}\,. \quad (3.103)$$

Thus, the above definition of mass is consistent with the first law of black hole mechanics.

As shown in [8], there is a transformation, called Harrison transformation, that maps the exact solution (3.78) to the exact solution (3.100). This transformation changes the asymptotic behaviour of the solution, while keeping the horizon fixed at $R = \mu$. It acts as follows on the exact solution (3.78). If we write the latter as

$$ds_4^2 = -a^2(R)\,dt^2 + \frac{dR^2}{a^2(R)} + b^2(R)\,d\Omega^2\,, \quad (3.104)$$

then the Harrison transformation acts as [8]

$$a \to \Lambda(R)\,a \quad , \quad b \to \frac{b}{\Lambda(R)}\,. \quad (3.105)$$

For the choice

$$\Lambda(R) = \frac{\Sigma_0}{\varepsilon R+\Sigma}\,, \quad (3.106)$$

the solution (3.78) gets mapped to the solution (3.100) with the rescaling $t \to t/\varepsilon$. To first order in ε, this Harrison transformation precisely yields (3.85).

3.2.3 Time-dependent perturbations of near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solutions

Next, let us consider time dependent perturbations of the near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom solution. To do so, we add a time-dependent perturbation to χ given in (3.90),

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \nu(t)\, e^{r/\Sigma_0} + \vartheta(t)\, e^{-r/\Sigma_0} \\ &= \varepsilon\,(2\Sigma_0 + N(t))\, e^{r/\Sigma_0} + \varepsilon\left(\frac{\Sigma_0\,\mu^2}{2} + \mathbb{T}(t)\right) e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.107}$$

with $N' \neq 0$. We work again at first order in ε.

The time dependent perturbation $\nu(t)$ will induce a time-dependent deformation of β, c.f. (3.49). Hence, the space-time metric $\sqrt{-h_0}$ (c.f. (3.81)) is deformed to

$$\sqrt{-h_0} = \frac{1}{\Sigma_0}\, e^{r/\Sigma_0} + \beta(t)\, e^{-r/\Sigma_0}\,, \tag{3.108}$$

with $\beta(t)$ determined in terms of $\nu(t)$ by the last equation of (3.49). We write

$$\beta(t) = -\frac{\mu^2}{4\Sigma_0} + l(t)\,, \tag{3.109}$$

where below $l(t)$ will be determined in terms of $N(t)$. Note that the last equation of (3.49) implies that $\beta(t)$ is of order ε^0. According to (3.47), $\sqrt{-h_0}$ is further deformed into $\sqrt{-h} = \sqrt{-h_0} + \sqrt{-h_1}$, with

$$\sqrt{-h_1} = -\frac{\sqrt{-h_0}}{\Sigma_0^2}\,\chi - 2\,\varepsilon\,\Sigma_0\, N''(t)\,, \tag{3.110}$$

where in this expression we only retain terms up to order ε.

Now recall from (3.49) that β and ϑ are determined in terms of ν. Using the first relation in (3.49), we obtain to first order in ε,

$$\vartheta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\Sigma_0 + N}\left((\Sigma_0\,\mu)^2 - \frac{\Sigma_0^4}{4}\,(N')^2\right)\,, \tag{3.111}$$

where we used (3.94). Then, comparing with (3.107), we infer

$$\mathbb{T}(t) = -\left(\frac{2\Sigma_0\mu^2 N + \Sigma_0^4\,(N')^2}{4(2\Sigma_0 + N)}\right)\,. \tag{3.112}$$

Using the last relation in (3.49), we obtain, using the value for α given in (3.93),

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{\Sigma_0(2\Sigma_0+N)^2}\left((\Sigma_0\mu)^2 - \frac{\Sigma_0^4}{4}\,(N')^2\right) - \frac{\Sigma_0^3}{2(2\Sigma_0+N)}\,N''\,. \tag{3.113}$$

Comparing with (3.109) we infer

$$l(t) = \frac{\mu^2}{4\Sigma_0}\,N\,\frac{(4\Sigma_0+N)}{(2\Sigma_0+N)^2} + \frac{\Sigma_0^3}{4(2\Sigma_0+N)^2}\,(N')^2 - \frac{\Sigma_0^3}{2(2\Sigma_0+N)}\,N''\,. \tag{3.114}$$

Next, we will assume the hierarchy $|N| \ll \mu \ll \Sigma_0$. Then we obtain the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) &= -\frac{\mu^2}{4\Sigma_0} - \frac{\Sigma_0^2}{4} N'' \,, \\ \nu(t) &= \varepsilon \left(2\Sigma_0 + N(t)\right) \quad , \quad \vartheta = \varepsilon \, \frac{\mu^2 \left(2\Sigma_0 - N(t)\right) - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_0^3 (N')^2}{4} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.115}$$

When switching off $N(t)$, these expressions reduce to (3.95).

In section 4 we will discuss the boundary action that describes the dynamics of $\nu(t)$. This boundary action depends on the constant c_0, c.f. (4.22). If we take the background to be the near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, this parameter takes the value (3.94).

4 Variational principle and holographic renormalization

The equations of motion for the dynamical fields $h_{ij}, e^I, f_J, v_2, Y^I$ are obtained by subjecting the action to a variational principle with a prescribed set of boundary conditions that are imposed at the boundaries of the two-dimensional space-time manifold M. Here, for simplicity, we will consider just one boundary ∂M, which we take to be time-like. In view of the condition (3.45), we take ∂M to be the timelike line at $r = r_c \gg 1$. We will demand that the expressions given below are finite when removing the cutoff, i.e. when $r_c \to +\infty$. Hence, they will be independent of the cutoff at leading order, and they will coincide with the expressions computed in the limit $r \to +\infty$, up to exponentially suppressed cutoff dependent terms. Hence, in the following, we will take the boundary ∂M to be at $r = +\infty$ and ignore exponentially suppressed cutoff dependent terms, for simplicity. Obtaining a consistent variational principle for the dynamical fields requires adding suitable boundary terms to the bulk action. Let us consider the term

$$-\frac{1}{2G_4} \sqrt{-h}\, v_2\, R_2 \tag{4.1}$$

in the bulk Lagrangian (2.25). A consistent variational principle for the space-time metric h_{ij} requires adding a Gibbons-Hawking boundary term of the form

$$\frac{1}{G_4} \int_{\partial M} dt \, \sqrt{-\gamma}\, v_2\, K \,, \tag{4.2}$$

where K denotes the trace of the extrinsic curvature tensor, and $\sqrt{-\gamma}$ denotes the induced metric on ∂M. Then, varying the combination (4.1) and (4.2) with respect to the space-time metric results in

$$\frac{1}{2G_4} \int_{\partial M} dt \, \sqrt{-\gamma}\, \partial_r v_2\, \gamma^{tt}\, \delta\gamma_{tt} \,, \tag{4.3}$$

a result that will be used shortly. In a situation where γ_{tt} diverges at the boundary ∂M and hence cannot be kept fixed at the boundary, this term will have to be cancelled by the variation of additional boundary terms [20, 26]. Proceeding in this manner, one obtains a combined bulk-boundary action that implements a consistent variational principle with appropriately prescribed boundary conditions.

In the following, we discuss the renormalized on-shell action S_{ren} [27] for the perturbed solution (3.47). We restrict ourselves to the case when $\mathcal{Y}^I = 0$. The resulting renormalized action has been derived before in the context of five-dimensional pure Einstein gravity with cosmological constant reduced to two dimensions [9], and three-dimensional topologically massive gravity reduced to two dimensions [10]. Here we follow [10].

The renormalized on-shell action is a functional of the modes $\alpha(t), \nu(t)$ and $\mu^I(t), \tilde{\mu}_I(t)$ (c.f. (3.12)) that parametrize the perturbed solution (3.13), c.f. (3.9), (3.47) and (3.49) (recall that $\beta(t)$ and $\vartheta(t)$ are expressed in terms of $\alpha(t)$ and $\nu(t)$). Then, if one varies S_{ren} with respect to $\alpha(t), \nu(t), \mu^I(t), \tilde{\mu}_I(t)$, one is considering variations in the space of solutions to the field equations,

$$\delta S_{ren} = \int_{\partial M} dt\, \alpha \left(\hat{\pi}^{tt}\, \delta(-\alpha^2) + \hat{\pi}_{v_2}\, \delta\nu + \hat{\pi}_I\, \delta\mu^I + \hat{\tilde{\pi}}_I\, \delta\tilde{\mu}^I \right) , \tag{4.4}$$

where the $\hat{\pi}$ are evaluated on-shell and finite. In the boundary theory, $\alpha(t), \nu(t), \mu^I(t), \tilde{\mu}_I(t)$ act as sources. The variations $\delta(-\alpha^2), \delta\nu, \delta\mu^I, \delta\tilde{\mu}^I$ implement a variational principle with the following boundary conditions on the fields,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{tt} &= -\alpha^2\, e^{2r/\sqrt{v_1}} , \\ \chi &= \nu\, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}} , \\ A_t^{ren\, I} &= \mu^I \quad , \quad \tilde{A}_{It}^{ren} = \tilde{\mu}_I , \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\gamma_{tt} &= \delta(-\alpha^2)\, e^{2r/\sqrt{v_1}} , \\ \delta v_2 &= \delta\chi = \delta\nu\, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}} , \\ \delta A_t^{ren\, I} &= \delta\mu^I \quad , \quad \delta\tilde{A}_{It}^{ren} = \delta\tilde{\mu}_I . \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Here, $A_t^{ren\, I}$ and $\tilde{A}_{It}^{ren}$ are fields that differ from A_t^I and $\tilde{A}_{It}$ and that are such that they approach μ^I and $\tilde{\mu}_I$ at $r = +\infty$, respectively. We refer to [20, 23] for the construction of these fields. Then, (4.4) can also be written as

$$\delta S_{ren} = \int_{\partial M} dt \left(\pi^{tt}\, \delta\gamma_{tt} + \pi_{v_2}\, \delta v_2 + \pi_I\, \delta A_t^{ren\, I} + \tilde{\pi}^I\, \delta\tilde{A}_{It}^{ren} \right) , \tag{4.7}$$

where the π are evaluated on-shell and finite,

$$\hat{\pi}^{tt} = \lim_{r\to+\infty} \frac{e^{2r/\sqrt{v_1}}}{\alpha} \pi^{tt} \quad , \quad \hat{\pi}_{v_2} = \lim_{r\to+\infty} \frac{e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}}}{\alpha} \pi_{v_2} \quad , \quad \hat{\pi}_I = \frac{\pi_I}{\alpha} \quad , \quad \hat{\tilde{\pi}}^I = \frac{\tilde{\pi}^I}{\alpha} . \tag{4.8}$$

Following [10], we now determine S_{ren} to first order in ϵ (c.f. section 3.2), by first obtaining the $\hat{\pi}$ and subsequently integrating these expressions. Thus, we take the terms in (4.4) to be of order ϵ. This implies that $\hat{\pi}^{tt}$ is of order ϵ, while $\hat{\pi}_{v_2}$ is of order ϵ^0.

Varying (4.2) with respect to v_2, i.e. $\delta v_2 = \delta\chi$ with $\delta\chi$ given in (4.6), yields

$$\frac{1}{G_4} \int_{\partial M} dt\, \sqrt{-\gamma}\, \delta\chi\, K . \tag{4.9}$$

In this expression, since $\delta\chi$ is of order ϵ, K is evaluated in the background metric $\sqrt{-h_0}$,

$$K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_1}}\left[1 - 2\,\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\,e^{-2r/\sqrt{v_1}} + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-4r/\sqrt{v_1}}\right)\right] , \tag{4.10}$$

and hence (4.9) gives rise to a divergence $e^{2r/\sqrt{v_1}}$. To cancel this divergence, we add the following boundary term to (4.2) [10],

$$\frac{1}{G_4}\int_{\partial M} dt\,\sqrt{-\gamma}\,\left(v_2\,K - \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{v_1}}\right) . \tag{4.11}$$

Varying this expression with respect to χ yields

$$\frac{1}{G_4}\int_{\partial M} dt\,\sqrt{-\gamma}\,\delta\chi\left(K - \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_1}}\right) , \tag{4.12}$$

which is finite. On the other hand, varying the second term in (4.11) with respect to γ_{tt} gives

$$-\frac{1}{2G_4}\int_{\partial M} dt\,\sqrt{-\gamma}\,\frac{\chi}{\sqrt{v_1}}\,\gamma^{tt}\,\delta\gamma_{tt} . \tag{4.13}$$

Adding this to (4.3) gives

$$\frac{1}{2G_4}\int_{\partial M} dt\,\sqrt{-\gamma}\,\left(\partial_r v_2 - \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{v_1}}\right)\gamma^{tt}\,\delta\gamma_{tt} , \tag{4.14}$$

which is finite, as can be verified by using (3.47). Thus, the variation of the sum of (4.1) and (4.11) with respect to χ and with respect to the space-time metric yields a finite result at order ϵ. Using (4.8) we infer

$$\hat\pi^{tt} = \frac{1}{2G_4}\lim_{r\to+\infty}\left[\frac{\sqrt{-\gamma}\,\gamma^{tt}\,e^{2r/\sqrt{v_1}}}{\alpha}\left(\partial_r v_2 - \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{v_1}}\right)\right] = \frac{\vartheta}{G_4\,\sqrt{v_1}\,\alpha^2} , \tag{4.15}$$

which is of order ϵ. Next, using (4.12) and (4.8) we obtain

$$\hat\pi_{v_2} = \frac{1}{G_4}\lim_{r\to+\infty}\left[\frac{\sqrt{-\gamma}\,e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}}}{\alpha}\left(K - \frac{1}{\sqrt{v_1}}\right)\right] = -\frac{2}{G_4\,\sqrt{v_1}}\frac{\beta}{\alpha} , \tag{4.16}$$

which is of order ϵ^0. This expression will receive a correction of order ϵ^1 induced by the variation of the term $(\partial v_2)^2/v_2$ in the bulk Lagrangian (2.25), but since here we only determine S_{ren} to order ϵ, we drop this correction term. Using (3.50), we note the relation [9]

$$\frac{\alpha^2\,\hat\pi^{tt}}{\nu} + \frac{1}{G_4\sqrt{v_1}}\,\frac{v_1}{2\alpha\,\nu}\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)' = \frac{\hat\pi_{v_2}}{2} . \tag{4.17}$$

Next, using the expression (3.49) and integrating

$$\alpha\,\hat\pi^{tt} = -\frac{\partial S_{ren}}{\partial(\alpha^2)} = -\frac{1}{2\alpha}\frac{\partial S_{ren}}{\partial\alpha} \quad , \quad \alpha\,\hat\pi_{v_2} = \frac{S_{ren}}{\partial\nu} \tag{4.18}$$

with respect to α and ν we obtain [9, 10], up to a total derivative term, and up to terms proportional to μ^I and $\tilde{\mu}_I$,

$$S_{ren} = -\int_{\partial M} dt\, \frac{2}{G_4\,\sqrt{v_1}} \left(c_0\, \frac{\alpha}{\nu} + \frac{v_1}{4}\, \frac{(\nu')^2}{\alpha\,\nu} \right) . \tag{4.19}$$

Finally, using that on-shell [23],

$$\alpha\,\hat{\pi}_I = -q^I = \frac{\partial S_{ren}}{\partial \mu^I} \quad , \quad \alpha\,\hat{\tilde{\pi}}_I = p_I = \frac{\partial S_{ren}}{\partial \tilde{\mu}_I} \, , \tag{4.20}$$

and integrating with respect to $\mu^I, \tilde{\mu}_I$, we obtain for the renormalized on-shell action to first order in ϵ,

$$S_{ren} = \int_{\partial M} dt \left(-\frac{2}{G_4\,\sqrt{v_1}} \left(c_0\, \frac{\alpha}{\nu} + \frac{v_1}{4}\, \frac{(\nu')^2}{\alpha\,\nu} \right) - q_I\,\mu^I + p^I\,\tilde{\mu}_I \right) . \tag{4.21}$$

This is the renormalized action based on the perturbed solution (3.47) for the case $\mathcal{Y}^I = 0$, and assuming $\nu' \neq 0$. Substituting $\nu(t) = x^2(t)$ in (4.19), we note that the renormalized on-shell action (4.19) is related to the DFF action [11],

$$S_{\rm DFF} = -\int_{\partial M} dt\, \frac{2}{G_4\,\sqrt{v_1}} \left(c_0\, \frac{\alpha(t)}{x^2(t)} + \frac{v_1}{\alpha(t)} (x')^2 \right) . \tag{4.22}$$

In this action, the special geometry data of the four-dimensional theory is encoded in $v_1 = v_{2,0} = e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y_0)} G_4$.

5 Asymptotic symmetries

The AdS/CFT correspondence posits that a perturbation of bulk parameters, such as black hole mass, must be holographically encoded in the boundary CFT. Using the anomalous transformation behaviour of $\hat{\pi}^{tt}$ and $\hat{\pi}_{v_2}$ under asymptotic symmetry transformations [9, 20], we show how to holographically encode the change of black hole mass under perturbations, i.e. ΔM, as well as the hot attractor invariant [8] associated to it, i.e. $v_{2,0}$. We use the notation of [23].

We consider the following residual transformations that leave the Fefferman-Graham form of the metric (2.9) and the gauge (3.11) of the gauge fields invariant [20],

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\alpha(t) &= \partial_t \left((\varepsilon(t)\,\alpha(t)) + \frac{\sigma(t)}{\sqrt{v_1}}\,\alpha(t) \right) , \\ \delta\nu(t) &= \varepsilon(t)\,\partial_t\nu(t) + \frac{\sigma(t)}{\sqrt{v_1}}\,\nu(t) \, . \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

These residual transformations depend on two arbitrary functions[2] of t, $\varepsilon(t)$ and $\sigma(t)$. Using the expression for β given in (3.49), one verifies that the residual transformations

[2]The function $\varepsilon(t)$ used in this section should not be confused with the expansion parameter ε used in the previous sections.

(5.1) correctly induce the transformation law of β [20],

$$\delta\beta = \partial_t\left(\varepsilon\,\beta\right) - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{v_1}}\,\beta - \frac{\sqrt{v_1}}{2}\,\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial_t\sigma}{\alpha}\right)\,. \tag{5.2}$$

Then, $\hat{\pi}^{tt}$ and $\hat{\pi}_{v_2}$ given in (4.15) and in (4.16), respectively, transform as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right) &= \frac{1}{G_4\,\sqrt{v_1}}\,\delta\vartheta = \varepsilon\,\partial_t\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right) - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{v_1}}\,\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right) - \frac{1}{2G_4}\,\frac{\partial_t\nu\partial_t\sigma}{\alpha^2}\,,\\ \delta\hat{\pi}_{v_2} &= -\frac{2}{G_4\,\sqrt{v_1}}\,\delta\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \varepsilon\,\partial_t\hat{\pi}_{v_2} - 2\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{v_1}}\,\hat{\pi}_{v_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha\,G_4}\partial_t\left(\frac{\partial_t\sigma}{\alpha}\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Now we consider asymptotic symmetries, that is residual transformations that leave the sources invariant, i.e. $\delta\alpha = \delta\nu = 0$. The condition $\delta\alpha = 0$ results in [20]

$$\sigma = -\sqrt{v_1}\partial_+\zeta \quad , \quad \zeta = \varepsilon\,\alpha \quad , \quad \partial_+ = \frac{1}{\alpha}\,\partial_t\,, \tag{5.4}$$

while the condition $\delta\nu = 0$ results in [9]

$$\zeta\,\partial_+\nu = \nu\,\partial_+\zeta\,, \tag{5.5}$$

which is solved by

$$\zeta = k\,\nu \quad , \quad k > 0\,. \tag{5.6}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right) &= \zeta\,\partial_+\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right) + \left(\partial_+\zeta\right)\,\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{v_1}}{2G_4}\,\frac{(\partial_+^2\zeta)\partial_+\zeta}{k}\,,\\ \delta\hat{\pi}_{v_2} &= \zeta\,\partial_+\hat{\pi}_{v_2} + 2\,\left(\partial_+\zeta\right)\,\hat{\pi}_{v_2} - \frac{\sqrt{v_1}}{G_4}\,\partial_+^3\zeta\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Note that the transformation law of $\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}$ is not of the standard type: its scaling weight (the coefficient of the term $\left(\partial_+\zeta\right)\,\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right)$) is $\Delta = 1$, not $\Delta = 2$. A combination that involves $\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}$ and has scaling weight $\Delta = 2$ is the one given in (4.17): since it equals $\frac{1}{2}\hat{\pi}_{v_2}$, it transforms in the same way as $\hat{\pi}_{v_2}$ under asymptotic symmetry transformations.

The Noether charge associated with the asymptotic symmetry transformation (5.6) is the constant c_0 [9] which, using (3.49), can be expressed as

$$c_0 = G_4\sqrt{v_1}\,\nu\,\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right) + \frac{v_1}{4}\,\left(\frac{\nu'}{\alpha}\right)^2\,. \tag{5.8}$$

In the specific case of the near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, which satisfies (3.93), the relation (5.8) yields

$$2G_4\sqrt{v_1}\,\alpha^2\,\left(\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\right) = \frac{\alpha^3 c_0}{\varepsilon} = 2\Delta M\,, \tag{5.9}$$

with ΔM given in (3.102). Defining

$$\hat{\pi}^t_t = -\alpha^2\,\hat{\pi}^{tt}\,, \tag{5.10}$$

and using $\alpha^2 = 1/v_1$, this becomes

$$-\frac{G_4}{\sqrt{v_1}}\,\hat{\pi}^t_t = \Delta M\ . \tag{5.11}$$

Next, let us consider a particular rescaling of $\hat{\pi}_{v_2}$, with the intend of relating the rescaled quantity, $\hat{\pi}_\psi$, to Wald's entropy of the BPS solution (3.9). Namely, inspection of (2.12) shows that there are two possibilities to perform the rescaling $v_2 \to \lambda\, v_2$ keeping G_4 fixed: either w also scales, or w does not scale. In the latter case one infers that $(Y^I, \Upsilon) \to (\lambda\, Y^I, \lambda^2 \Upsilon)$. This is the scaling that was used in [23] to relate $\hat{\pi}_\psi$ to Wald's entropy in the presence of R_2^2 interactions. Here we consider the former possibility: we take w to scale as $w \to \lambda^{-1}\, w$, in which case we obtain $(Y^I, \Upsilon) \to (Y^I, \lambda^{-2}\, \Upsilon)$, so that under an infinitesimal variation we get $\delta v_2 = v_2\, \delta\lambda$, $\delta Y^I = 0$, $\delta\Upsilon = -2\Upsilon\, \delta\lambda$. This is consistent with the fluctuation analysis at the two-derivative level given in section 3.2: inspection of (3.15) and (3.17) shows that we may take $\delta Y^I = \mathcal{Y}^I = 0$ (we recall that we used (2.18) to remove the dependence on Υ in the two-derivative Lagrangian). Setting

$$v_2 = e^{-\psi}\, G_4\ , \tag{5.12}$$

and taking $\delta\lambda = -\delta\psi$, we obtain

$$\delta v_2 = -\delta\psi\, v_2 \quad , \quad \delta Y^I = 0\ . \tag{5.13}$$

Subsequently, we define

$$\hat{\pi}_\psi = -v_{2,0}\, \hat{\pi}_{v_2} = \frac{2\, v_{2,0}}{G_4\, \sqrt{v_1}}\, \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{v_1}}\, \frac{\mathcal{S}^{\rm extr}_{\rm Wald}}{\pi}\, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\ , \tag{5.14}$$

where $\mathcal{S}^{\rm extr}_{\rm Wald} = \pi v_{2,0}/G_4$ denotes the background value given in (3.9), which is the hot attractor invariant for a near-extremal four-dimensional black hole [8]. $\hat{\pi}_\psi$ has the anomalous transformation behaviour

$$\delta\hat{\pi}_\psi = \zeta\, \partial_+\hat{\pi}_\psi + (2\partial_+\zeta)\, \hat{\pi}_{v_2} + \sqrt{v_1}\, \frac{\mathcal{S}^{\rm extr}_{\rm Wald}}{\pi}\, \partial_+^3\zeta\ . \tag{5.15}$$

Taking

$$\delta\psi = \Xi\, e^{r/\sqrt{v_1}}\ , \tag{5.16}$$

where $\Xi(t)$ acts as a source for an irrelevant operator of scaling dimension 2 in the boundary theory, the variation of the renormalized on-shell action (4.4) becomes

$$\delta S_{ren} = \int_{\partial M} dt\, \alpha \left(\hat{\pi}^{tt}\, \delta(-\alpha^2) + \hat{\pi}_\psi\, \delta\Xi + \hat{\pi}_I\, \delta\mu^I + \hat{\tilde{\pi}}_I\, \delta\tilde{\mu}^I \right)\ . \tag{5.17}$$

Note that the expression for $\hat{\pi}_{v_2}$ given in (4.16) is of order ϵ^0. It would be interesting to verify whether at order ϵ^1 $\hat{\pi}_\psi$ also captures the change in the entropy (3.98) of the near-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by FCT/Portugal through CAMGSD, IST-ID, projects UIDB/04459/2020 and UIDP/04459/2020, through the LisMath PhD fellowship PD/BD/128415/2017 (P. Aniceto) and through the FCT Project CERN/FIS-PAR/0023/2019.

A Relating (Y, Υ) to $(\hat{Y}^I, \hat{\Upsilon})$

In [14], the authors considered a particular rescaling of the fields $(X^I, \hat{A})$. Here, we will denote the resulting rescaled fields by $(\hat{Y}^I, \hat{\Upsilon})$. The rescaling made use of the metric factor e^g in the four-dimensional space-time metric,

$$ds_4^2 = -e^{2g(R)}\, dt^2 + e^{-2g(R)} \left(dR^2 + R^2 \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\varphi^2\right)\right) , \tag{A.1}$$

as well as of a $U(1)$ phase h, which here we identify with the phase of w, $w = |w|\, h$, introduced in (2.7). The rescaled fields $(\hat{Y}^I, \hat{\Upsilon})$ are given by

$$\hat{Y}^I = e^{-g}\, \bar{h}\, X^I \quad , \quad \hat{\Upsilon} = e^{-2g}\, \bar{h}^2\, \hat{A} \, . \tag{A.2}$$

Comparing with (2.12), we infer the relations

$$Y^I = \lambda\, \hat{Y}^I \quad , \quad \Upsilon = \lambda^2\, \hat{\Upsilon} \, , \tag{A.3}$$

with

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4} v_2 |w| e^g \quad , \quad v_2 = e^{-2g}\, R^2 \, . \tag{A.4}$$

Using (2.2), we obtain

$$e^{-2g} = G_4\, e^{-\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})} \, , \tag{A.5}$$

where $e^{-\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})}$ takes the form (2.17), with Y^I replaced by $\hat{Y}^I$. Combining this with (2.18), we get

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})} = 8\, \frac{e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{R^2\, \sqrt{-\Upsilon}} \, . \tag{A.6}$$

Inserting $Y^I = \lambda\, \hat{Y}^I$ into this equation, we infer

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{R^2 \sqrt{-\Upsilon}}{8} \, . \tag{A.7}$$

Then,

$$\Upsilon = \lambda^2\, \hat{\Upsilon} = \frac{R^2 \sqrt{-\Upsilon}}{8}\, \hat{\Upsilon} \, , \tag{A.8}$$

and hence

$$\sqrt{-\Upsilon} = -\hat{\Upsilon}\, \frac{R^2}{8} \quad , \quad \Upsilon = -\hat{\Upsilon}^2\, \frac{R^4}{64} \, . \tag{A.9}$$

Furthermore,

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\, R\, (-\Upsilon)^{1/4} = \frac{1}{8}\, R^2\, \sqrt{-\hat{\Upsilon}} \tag{A.10}$$

and hence,

$$Y^I = \frac{R^2\, \sqrt{-\hat{\Upsilon}}}{8}\, \hat{Y}^I \,. \tag{A.11}$$

Thus, equations (A.8) and (A.11) relate (Y, Υ) to $(\hat{Y}^I, \hat{\Upsilon})$.

B First-order flow equations from Hamilton's principal function

In Fefferman-Graham gauge (2.9), and in the gauge $A^I_r = 0, \tilde{A}_{rI} = 0$, the canonical momenta conjugate to $h_{tt}, v_2, Y^I, A^I_t, \tilde{A}_{tI}$, computed using the two-derivative bulk Lagrangian (2.25) and the Gibbons-Hawking boundary term (4.2), take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{tt} &= \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{2G_4}\, h^{tt} \partial_r v_2 \,, \\
\pi_{v_2} &= \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{2G_4} \left(\frac{\partial_r h_{tt}}{h_{tt}} + \frac{\partial_r v_2}{v_2} \right) , \\
\pi^Y_I &= -\frac{\sqrt{-h}\, v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}\, N_{IJ}}{G_4} \left(\partial_r \bar{Y}^J + e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}\, \bar{Y}^J\, Y^L N_{KL}\, \partial_r \bar{Y}^K \right) , \\
\pi^t_I &= -\frac{\sqrt{-h}\, v_2\, h_{tt}}{2} \left[\left(N_{IJ} + R_{IK} N^{KL} R_{LJ} \right) \partial_r A^J_t - 2 R_{IJ} N^{JK} \partial_r \tilde{A}_{tK} \right] + 2i \left(F_I - \bar{F}_I \right) , \\
\tilde{\pi}^{tI} &= -\frac{\sqrt{-h}\, v_2\, h_{tt}}{2} \left[4 N^{IJ} \partial_r \tilde{A}_{tJ} - 2 N^{IJ} R_{JK} \partial_r A^K_t \right] - 2i \left(Y^I - \bar{Y}^I \right) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where $e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = i \left(\bar{Y}^I\, F_I(Y) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y})\, Y^I \right)$. We note the constraint $\pi^Y_I Y^I = 0$. Inverting the above relations except the one for π^Y_I, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r v_2 &= \frac{2G_4}{\sqrt{-h}} h_{tt} \pi^{tt} = \frac{2G_4}{\sqrt{-h}} \pi^t_t \,, \\
\partial_r \gamma_{tt} &= \frac{2G_4}{\sqrt{-h}} \gamma_{tt} \left(\pi_{v_2} - \frac{\pi^t_t}{v_2} \right) , \\
\partial_r A^I_t &= 2 \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{v_2} N^{IJ} \left(\pi^t_J + \frac{1}{2} R_{JK} \tilde{\pi}^{tK} + N_{JK} \left(Y^K + \bar{Y}^K \right) \right) , \\
\partial_r \tilde{A}_{tI} &= \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{v_2} \left(R_{IJ} N^{JK} \pi^t_K + \frac{1}{2} \left(N_{IJ} + R_{IK} N^{KL} R_{LJ} \right) \tilde{\pi}^{tJ} + 2 \left(F_I + \bar{F}_I \right) \right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Next, we decompose the combination $Y^L N_{KL}\, \partial_r \bar{Y}^K$ into real and imaginary parts,

$$Y^L N_{KL}\, \partial_r \bar{Y}^K = \mathcal{R} + i \mathcal{I} \,, \tag{B.3}$$

where we note that

$$e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)} \mathcal{R} = \frac{e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{2} \partial_r \left(Y^L N_{KL}\, \bar{Y}^K \right) e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)/2} \partial_r e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2} \,. \tag{B.4}$$

We then write the canonical momentum π_I^Y as

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_I^Y &= -\frac{\sqrt{-h}\, v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}\, N_{IJ}}{G_4}\left(\partial_r \bar{Y}^J + e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}\, \bar{Y}^J\, (\mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I})\right) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-h}\, v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\, N_{IJ}}{G_4}\left(\partial_r\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\bar{Y}^J\right) + ie^{3\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\, \bar{Y}^J\mathcal{I}\right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

In general, this relation cannot be inverted due to the constraint $\pi_I^Y Y^I = 0$. However, we observe that when

$$\mathcal{I} = 0 \,, \tag{B.6}$$

we may invert the relation (B.5). The condition (B.6) is, for instance, satisfied by BPS solutions, see (B.19). Thus, in the following, we will restrict to solutions that satisfy (B.6).

Inserting (B.6) into the above equation for π_I^Y gives

$$\pi_I^Y = -\frac{\sqrt{-h}\, v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\, N_{IJ}}{G_4}\partial_r\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\bar{Y}^J\right) \,, \tag{B.7}$$

and hence

$$\partial_r\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\bar{Y}^I\right) = -\frac{G_4}{\sqrt{-h}\, v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ}\pi_J^Y \,, \tag{B.8}$$

subject to (B.6).

Next, following [9], we write Hamilton's principal function $\mathcal{S}$, which only depends on coordinates and not on canonical momenta, as follows,

$$\mathcal{S}(h_{tt}, v_2, Y^I, \bar{Y}^I, A_t^I, \tilde{A}_{tI}) = U(h_{tt}, v_2, Y^I, \bar{Y}^I) + \int dt\left(-q_I A_t^I + p^I \tilde{A}_{tI}\right) \,. \tag{B.9}$$

This gives

$$\pi_I^t = \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\partial A_t^I} = -q_I \quad , \quad \tilde{\pi}^{tI} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\partial \tilde{A}_{tI}} = p^I \,. \tag{B.10}$$

We restrict ourselves to the case when U does not depend on h_{tt},

$$U(v_2, Y^I, \bar{Y}^I) = \frac{1}{G_4}\int dt\sqrt{-h}\, W(v_2, Y^I, \bar{Y}^I) \,, \tag{B.11}$$

where W is real. Using

$$\pi^{tt} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\delta h_{tt}} = -\frac{1}{2G_4\sqrt{-h}}\, W \quad , \quad \pi_{v_2} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\delta v_2} = \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{G_4}\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_2} \,, \tag{B.12}$$

and inserting this into the relations (B.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_r v_2 &= W \,, \\ \partial_r h_{tt} &= 2h_{tt}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{W}{v_2}\right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

Finally, using

$$\pi_I^Y = \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta Y^I} = \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{G_4} \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^I} \,, \tag{B.14}$$

we infer

$$\partial_r \left(e^{\mathcal{K}/2} \bar{Y}^I \right) = -\frac{1}{v_2 \, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ} \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^J} \,. \tag{B.15}$$

Inserting this relation into (B.6) yields

$$\mathrm{Im} \left(Y^I \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^I} \right) = 0 \,. \tag{B.16}$$

We take

$$W(v_2, Y, \bar{Y}) = 2 \left(\sqrt{v_2} - e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2} \, \mathrm{Re} Z(Y) \right) \,, \tag{B.17}$$

where

$$Z(Y) = p^I F_I(Y) - q_I Y^I \,. \tag{B.18}$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Im} \left(Y^I \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^I} \right) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow Z(Y) = \bar{Z}(\bar{Y}) \,. \tag{B.19}$$

Thus, summarizing, we obtained the following set of flow equations in Fefferman-Graham coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r v_2 &= W \,, \\ \partial_r h_{tt} &= 2 h_{tt} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{W}{v_2} \right) \,, \\ \partial_r \left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2} \, \bar{Y}^I \right) &= -\frac{1}{v_2 \, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ} \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^J} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

with

$$W(v_2, Y, \bar{Y}) = 2 \left(\sqrt{v_2} - e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2} \, \mathrm{Re} Z(Y) \right) \,, \tag{B.21}$$

where

$$Z(Y) = p^I F_I(Y) - q_I \, Y^I = p^I F_I(\bar{Y}) - q_I \, \bar{Y}^I = \bar{Z}(\bar{Y}) \,. \tag{B.22}$$

These flow equations are solved by BPS solutions, as we will verify in Appendix C. In Appendix D we will show that a particular deformation of these flow equations encodes the nAdS$_2$ attractor mechanism [12] when working infinitesimally away from the horizon.

C BPS flow equations in Fefferman-Graham coordinates

In the following, we set $G_4 = 1$ for convenience, and we show that the flow equations for single centre BPS solutions in four dimensions at the two-derivative level take the form (B.20), (B.21), (B.22) when written in Fefferman-Graham coordinates.

The standard form of the BPS flow equations [4, 6] uses the four-dimensional line element (A.1). These flow equations, when formulated in terms of the scalar fields $\hat{Y}^I$ defined in Appendix A, take the form (see, for instance, eq. (671) in [28]),

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 \partial_R g &= e^{2g}\, \mathrm{Re} Z(\hat{Y}) \,, \\ R^2\, \partial_R \hat{Y}^I &= N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\hat{Y}}^J} \bar{Z}(\bar{\hat{Y}}) \,, \\ \mathrm{Im} Z(\hat{Y}) &= 0 \,, \\ \hat{A}_R &= 0 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\hat{Y}) &= p^I F_I(\hat{Y}) - q_I \hat{Y}^I \,, \\ \hat{A}_R &= -\tfrac{1}{2} e^{2g} \left[\left(F_I(\hat{Y}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{\hat{Y}}) \right) \partial_R \left(\hat{Y}^I - \bar{\hat{Y}}^I \right) - \left(\hat{Y}^I - \bar{\hat{Y}}^I \right) \partial_R \left(F_I(\hat{Y}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{\hat{Y}}) \right) \right] \,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Note that when written in terms of the scalar fields Y^I, BPS solutions satisfy the condition

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = \mathrm{Re} Z(Y) > 0 \,. \tag{C.3}$$

This can be seen as follows. Using (A.11), we obtain

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = e^{-\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})} \frac{R^4}{64} \left(-\hat{\Upsilon} \right) \,. \tag{C.4}$$

Using that for a BPS solution [14]

$$\sqrt{-\hat{\Upsilon}} = 8 |\partial_R g| \,, \tag{C.5}$$

we obtain from (C.4),

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = e^{-\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})} \frac{R^4}{8} \sqrt{-\hat{\Upsilon}}\, |\partial_R g| = e^{-2g} \frac{R^4}{8} \sqrt{-\hat{\Upsilon}}\, |\partial_R g| \,, \tag{C.6}$$

where we used (A.5). Using the first flow equation in (C.1) we infer

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = \frac{R^2}{8} \sqrt{-\hat{\Upsilon}}\, |\mathrm{Re} Z(\hat{Y})| = |\mathrm{Re} Z(Y)| \,, \tag{C.7}$$

where we used (A.11) once more. Finally, demanding $\partial_R g > 0$ along the BPS flow [6], and hence $\mathrm{Re} Z(\hat{Y}) > 0$, we obtain (C.3).

Note that, in general, (C.3) does not imply that the Y^I are constant along a BPS flow. Double-extreme BPS black holes are solutions where the Y^I are constant along the BPS flow. These are discussed in section 3.2.1.

Let us now verify that the standard flow equations (C.1) become the flow equations (B.20) in Fefferman-Graham coordinates. To this end, we will use the following relation, which is a consequence of (A.3).

$$e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\, Z(Y) = e^{\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})/2}\, Z(\hat{Y})\ . \tag{C.8}$$

Using $\partial_r = e^g\, \partial_R$ as well as $v_2 = e^{-2g} R^2$, we obtain

$$\partial_r v_2 = e^g \partial_R \left(e^{-2g} R^2\right) = -2e^{-g} \partial_R g\, R^2 + 2e^{-g} R = -2e^{-g} \partial_R g\, R^2 + 2\sqrt{v_2}\ . \tag{C.9}$$

Using the first equation of (C.1), this becomes

$$\partial_r v_2 = -2e^g\, \mathrm{Re} Z(\hat{Y}) + 2\sqrt{v_2}\ . \tag{C.10}$$

Using first (A.5) and then (C.8), we obtain

$$\partial_r v_2 = -2e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\, \mathrm{Re} Z(Y) + 2\sqrt{v_2} = W\ . \tag{C.11}$$

Next, let us verify the flow equation for h_{tt},

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r h_{tt} &= e^g \partial_R \left(-e^{2g}\right) = -2e^{5g}\, \frac{\mathrm{Re} Z(\hat{Y})}{R^2} \\
&= \frac{2}{v_2} h_{tt}\, e^g\, \mathrm{Re} Z(\hat{Y}) = \frac{2}{v_2} h_{tt}\, e^{\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})/2}\, \mathrm{Re} Z(\hat{Y}) \\
&= \frac{2}{v_2} h_{tt}\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\, \mathrm{Re} Z(Y) = 2h_{tt} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{W}{v_2}\right)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

Finally, we verify the flow equation for Y^I,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r \left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\, \bar{Y}^I\right) &= e^g \partial_R \left(e^{\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})/2}\, \bar{\hat{Y}}^I\right) = e^g \partial_R \left(e^{\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})/2}\right) \bar{\hat{Y}}^I + e^{\mathcal{K}(\hat{Y})/2} \frac{e^g}{R^2}\, N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{Y}^J} Z(\hat{Y}) \\
&= e^g \partial_R \left(e^g\right) \bar{\hat{Y}}^I + \frac{1}{v_2}\, N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{Y}^J} Z(\hat{Y}) \\
&= \frac{e^{2g}}{R^2}\, \partial_R g\, R^2\, \bar{\hat{Y}}^I + \frac{1}{v_2}\, N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{Y}^J} Z(\hat{Y}) \\
&= \frac{1}{v_2}\, \bar{Y}^I + \frac{1}{v_2}\, N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^J} Z(Y)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

This we compare with

$$-\frac{1}{v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ}\, \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^J} = \frac{2}{v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ} \left(\frac{\partial e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}}{\partial Y^J}\right) \mathrm{Re} Z(Y) + \frac{1}{v_2}\, N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^J} Z(Y)\ . \tag{C.14}$$

Next, using $e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = -\bar{Y}^I N_{IJ} Y^J$, we write

$$\frac{\partial e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}}{\partial Y^J} = -\frac{e^{3\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}}{2}\, \frac{\partial e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{\partial Y^J} = \frac{e^{3\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}}{2}\, N_{JK} \bar{Y}^K\ , \tag{C.15}$$

so that

$$-\frac{1}{v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ}\, \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^J} = \frac{e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)}}{v_2}\, \bar{Y}^I\, \mathrm{Re} Z(Y) + \frac{1}{v_2}\, N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^J} Z(Y)\ . \tag{C.16}$$

Then, using (C.3), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ}\, \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^J} = \frac{1}{v_2}\, \bar{Y}^I\ + \frac{1}{v_2}\, N^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^J} Z(Y)\ . \tag{C.17}$$

Thus, from (C.13) we infer,

$$\partial_r \left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2} \bar{Y}^I \right) = -\frac{1}{v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ}\, \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^J}\ . \tag{C.18}$$

Finally, we note that the condition $\mathrm{Im} Z(\hat{Y}) = 0$ in (C.1) is equivalent to (B.22) by virtue of (A.3), and that the condition $\hat{A}_R = 0$, when written in terms of the fields Y^I, is satisfied by virtue of (B.6).

D nAdS$_2$ from deformed BPS first-order flow equations

At the two-derivative level, non-extremal single centre static black hole solutions in four dimensions are obtained from extremal single centre static black hole solutions by turning on a deformation parameter μ. The nAdS$_2$ attractor mechanism [12] posits that to first order in μ, the near-horizon behaviour of the metric and of the scalar fields of the non-extremal black hole solution is governed by the near-horizon behaviour of the extremal black hole solution. We will refer to the deformed solution as a nAdS$_2$ deformation of an extremal black hole solution. In the following, we will show that at first order in μ and infinitesimally away from the horizon, the nAdS$_2$ deformation of BPS black hole solutions is governed by first-order flow equations that are a deformation of the flow equations (B.20).

The most general line element for a static, spherically symmetric non-extremal black hole solution at the two-derivative level in four dimensions takes the form [12],

$$ds_4^2 = -e^{2p(R)}\, f(R)\, dt^2 + e^{-2p(R)}\, f^{-1}(R)\, dR^2 + e^{-2p(R)}\, d\Omega_2^2\ ,\ \ f(R) = R^2 - \mu^2 > 0, \tag{D.1}$$

where $R > \mu > 0$. In these coordinates, the outer horizon of the non-extremal black hole is at $R = \mu$. A BPS black hole in ungauged $N = 2$ supergravity theories is an extremal black hole that satisfies $\mu = 0$ as well as

$$e^{-2p(R)} = e^{-2g(R)}\, R^2 = i \left(\bar{\hat{Y}}^I\, F_I(\hat{Y}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{\hat{Y}})\, \hat{Y}^I \right)\, R^2\ , \tag{D.2}$$

where the $\hat{Y}^I$ are determined in terms of harmonic functions (H^I, H_I) according to (3.53).

Upon changing the radial coordinate as $R \to R + \mu$, the above line element takes the form

$$ds_4^2 = -e^{2p(R)}\, f(R)\, dt^2 + e^{-2p(R)}\, f^{-1}(R)\, dR^2 + e^{-2p(R)}\, d\Omega_2^2 \quad ,\quad f(R) = R^2 + 2\mu R > 0, \tag{D.3}$$

where now $R > 0$, and the outer horizon of the non-extremal black hole is at $R = 0$. This line element can be brought into the form (2.9) by performing the change of coordinates

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = e^{p(R)} \sqrt{f(R)}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \,, \tag{D.4}$$

in which case

$$v_2(r) = e^{-2p(R)}|_{R=R(r)} \quad , \quad h_{tt}(r) = -e^{2p(R)}\, f(R)|_{R=R(r)} \,. \tag{D.5}$$

The solution (D.5) is supported by complex scalar fields $Y^I(r)$, c.f. (2.12).

In the following, we will derive the nAdS_2 attractor mechanism for non-extremal black holes [12] by demanding that at lowest order in μ and R, v_2, h_{tt} and Y^I satisfy flow equations that are a particular deformation of the flow equations given in (B.20), as follows. Using (D.4), the radial derivative $\partial_r\phi$ of a field ϕ can be expressed in terms of $\partial_R\phi$ as $\partial_r\phi = e^{p(R)}\sqrt{f(R)}\,\partial_R\phi$. Now multiply this expression by $\frac{1}{2}(\partial_R f)/\sqrt{f}$, which equals 1 when $\mu = 0$, thereby obtaining the combination $\frac{1}{2}e^{p(R)}\,(\partial_R f)\,\partial_R\phi$. Proceeding in this manner with the flow equations (B.20), we are led to consider the following deformed flow equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}e^{p}\,(\partial_R f)\,\partial_R v_2 &= W \,, \\
\frac{1}{2}e^{p}\,(\partial_R f)\,\partial_R h_{tt} &= 2h_{tt}\left(\left(1+\frac{\mu^2}{f}\right)\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{W}{v_2}\right) \,, \\
\frac{1}{2}e^{p}\,(\partial_R f)\,\partial_R\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\,\bar{Y}^I\right) &= -\frac{1}{v_2\, e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}} N^{IJ}\,\frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^J} \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.6}$$

where W is given by (B.21), and where we impose (B.22). Note that we have added a term proportional to μ^2/f on the right hand side of the flow equation for h_{tt}, and that μ^2/f is of order zero in the small quantities μ and R. We now solve the flow equations (D.6) to lowest order in μ and in R.

Working infinitesimally away from the horizon $R = 0$ and to first order in the perturbation parameter μ, we expand the metric factor $e^{-2p(R)}$ in (D.3) and the complex scalar fields Y^I as

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-2p(R)} &= A^2 + \alpha\,\mu + 2BR + \mathcal{O}(R^2, \mu^2, \mu R) \,, \\
Y^I(R) &= Y_0^I + y^I\mu + Z^I R + \mathcal{O}(R^2, \mu^2, \mu R) \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.7}$$

where $A^2, \alpha, B, Y_0^I, y^I, Z^I$ denotes constants. In this expansion, terms proportional to μR, μ^2 or R^2 are taken to be of the same order. When setting $\mu = 0$, the resulting solution describes the near-horizon solution of a BPS black hole and hence, the constants A^2 and Y_0^I are determined by the BPS attractor equations (3.9). The remaining constants in (D.7) are determined through the deformed flow equations (D.6), as follows. First, we list the following expansions, for later use (we take $A > 0$),

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-p(R)} &= A\left(1 + \frac{\alpha\,\mu}{2A^2} + \frac{B}{A^2}R\right) + \mathcal{O}(R^2, \mu^2, \mu R) \,, \\
e^{p(R)} &= \frac{1}{A}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha\,\mu}{2A^2} - \frac{B}{A^2}R\right) + \mathcal{O}(R^2, \mu^2, \mu R) \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.8}$$

First we analyze the flow equation for v_2,

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{p(R)}(\partial_R f)\partial_R v_2 = e^{p(R)}(R+\mu)\,\partial_R e^{-2p(R)} = W\ . \tag{D.9}$$

At first order in μ and R, the left hand side gives

$$\frac{2B}{A}(R+\mu)\ , \tag{D.10}$$

while the right hand side gives

$$\begin{aligned} W\ =\ 2\Bigg(A+\frac{\alpha\mu}{2A}+\frac{B}{A}R-e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}-\partial_I\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\,\mathrm{Re}Z(Y)\right)|_{Y_0}\ \left(Z^I R+y^I\mu\right) \\ -\partial_{\bar{I}}\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\,\mathrm{Re}Z(Y)\right)|_{Y_0}\ \left(\bar{Z}R+\bar{y}^I\mu\right)\Bigg)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.11}$$

Using $e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y)} = -N_{IJ}\bar{Y}^I Y^J$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_I\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\,\mathrm{Re}Z(Y)\right)|_{Y_0} = \left[\frac{1}{2}N_{IJ}\bar{Y}^J e^{3\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\,\mathrm{Re}Z(Y)+\frac{1}{2}e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\ \left(p^J F_{JI}-q_I\right)\right]|_{Y_0} \\ &=\frac{1}{2}e^{\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\left[N_{IJ}\bar{Y}^J+p^J F_{JI}-q_I\right]|_{Y_0}=0\ , \end{aligned} \tag{D.12}$$

where we used the BPS relation $e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y_0)} = \mathrm{Re}Z(Y_0)$ and the BPS attractor equations (3.9) for Y_0^I. This results in

$$W = \frac{\alpha\mu}{A}+2\frac{B}{A}R\ , \tag{D.13}$$

where we used the BPS relation $A^2 = e^{-\mathcal{K}(Y_0)}$. Comparing this with (D.10) determines α to equal

$$\alpha = 2B\ . \tag{D.14}$$

Next, we consider the flow equation for h_{tt},

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{p(R)}(\partial_R f)\partial_R h_{tt} = 2h_{tt}\,\frac{(R+\mu)}{f}\left(\left(\partial_R e^p\right)f+\frac{e^p}{2}\partial_R f\right) = 2h_{tt}\left(\left(1+\frac{\mu^2}{f}\right)\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_2}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{W}{v_2}\right)\ , \tag{D.15}$$

which yields

$$\frac{(R+\mu)}{f}\left(\left(\partial_R e^p\right)f+\frac{e^p}{2}\partial_R f\right) = \left(1+\frac{\mu^2}{f}\right)\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_2}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{W}{v_2}\ . \tag{D.16}$$

Using (D.14), the left hand side gives

$$-\frac{B}{A^3}\,(R+\mu)+\frac{1}{A}\left(1-\frac{\alpha\mu}{2A^2}-\frac{B}{A^2}R\right)\left(1+\frac{\mu^2}{f}\right)\ , \tag{D.17}$$

which precisely equals the combination on the right hand side of (D.16).

Finally, we turn to the flow equation for $\bar{Y}^I$,

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{p}(\partial_R f)\partial_R\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\,\bar{Y}^I\right)=e^{p}(R+\mu)\partial_R\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\bar{Y}^I\right)=-\frac{1}{v_2\,e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}}N^{IJ}\,\frac{\partial W}{\partial Y^J}\,. \tag{D.18}$$

To first order in μ, R we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\bar{Y}^I \;=\; & e^{\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\left(\bar{Y}_0^I+\bar{Z}^I R+\bar{y}^I\mu\right) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}e^{3\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\bar{Y}_0^I\,N_{PQ}|_{Y_0}\left(\bar{Y}_0^P(Z^Q R+y^Q\mu)+Y_0^P(\bar{Z}^Q R+\bar{y}^Q\mu)\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{D.19}$$

and hence,

$$\partial_R\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\bar{Y}^I\right)=e^{\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\bar{Z}^I+\frac{1}{2}e^{3\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\bar{Y}_0^I\,N_{PQ}|_{Y_0}\left(\bar{Y}_0^P Z^Q+Y_0^P\bar{Z}^Q\right)\,. \tag{D.20}$$

We then obtain for the left hand side of (D.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}e^{p}(\partial_R f)\partial_R\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\bar{Y}^I\right) \;=\; & \frac{1}{A}(R+\mu)\left[e^{\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\bar{Z}^I\right. \\ & \left.+\frac{1}{2}e^{3\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\bar{Y}_0^I\,N_{PQ}|_{Y_0}\left(\bar{Y}_0^P Z^Q+Y_0^P\bar{Z}^Q\right)\right]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{D.21}$$

Next, we compute the right hand side of (D.18). Expanding around Y_0^I and using (D.12), we obtain,

$$\partial_I W=-2\partial_I\left(e^{\mathcal{K}(Y)/2}\,\mathrm{Re}Z\right)=-(\bar{Y}-\bar{Y}_0)^J\,N_{IJ}|_{Y_0}\,e^{\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\,. \tag{D.22}$$

Then, the flow equation (D.18) becomes, to first order in μ, R,

$$\frac{1}{A}(R+\mu)\left[e^{\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\bar{Z}^I+\frac{1}{2}e^{3\mathcal{K}(Y_0)/2}\bar{Y}_0^I\,N_{PQ}|_{Y_0}\left(\bar{Y}_0^P Z^Q+Y_0^P\bar{Z}^Q\right)\right]\;=\;\frac{1}{A^2}\left(\bar{Z}^I R+\bar{y}^I\mu\right)\,. \tag{D.23}$$

This equation is satisfied if we demand

$$y^I=Z^I\quad,\quad Y_0^P\,N_{PQ}|_{Y_0}\bar{Z}^Q=0\,, \tag{D.24}$$

in which case

$$Y^I=Y_0^I+Z^I(R+\mu)\quad,\quad Y_0^P\,N_{PQ}|_{Y_0}\left(Y^Q-Y_0^Q\right)=0\,. \tag{D.25}$$

Note that the latter condition is consistent with the condition (3.15) derived from the analysis of first-order perturbations.

Summarizing, for the expansions (D.7) we obtain at first order in μ, R,

$$e^{-2p(R)}=A^2+2B(R+\mu)\;\;,\;\;Y^I(R)=Y_0^I+Z^I(R+\mu)\;\;,\;\;Y_0^P\,N_{PQ}|_{Y_0}\bar{Z}^Q=0\,. \tag{D.26}$$

At this order, the expressions for $e^{-2p(R)}$ and $Y^I(R)$ can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2p(R)} \;&=\; A^2+(R+\mu)\,\frac{\partial e^{-2p_{\rm BPS}}(R)}{\partial R}|_{R=0}\,, \\ Y^I(R) \;&=\; Y_0^I+(R+\mu)\frac{\partial Y^I_{\rm BPS}(R)}{\partial R}|_{R=0}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{D.27}$$

where the metric function $p_{\rm BPS}(R)$ and the scalar fields $Y^I_{\rm BPS}(R)$ are those that describe interpolating BPS solutions. At the horizon $R = 0$, we obtain the horizon values

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2p(0)} &= A^2 + \mu \frac{\partial e^{-2p_{\rm BPS}}(R)}{\partial R}|_{R=0} \,, \\ Y^I(0) &= Y^I_0 + \mu \frac{\partial Y^I_{\rm BPS}(R)}{\partial R}|_{R=0} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{D.28}$$

and the physical scalar fields $z^A = Y^A/Y^0$ behave as

$$z^A(0) = z^A_0 + \mu \frac{\partial z^A_{\rm BPS}(R)}{\partial R}|_{R=0} \,, \tag{D.29}$$

where z^A_0 denotes the BPS value at the horizon. Thus, to first order in μ, the horizon values $e^{-2p(0)}, Y^I(0)$ and $z^A(0)$ equal the BPS values $e^{-2p_{\rm BPS}(\mu)}, Y^I_{\rm BPS}(\mu)$ and $z^A_{\rm BPS}(\mu)$. This is precisely the nAdS_2 attractor behaviour of the metric factor and of the scalar fields [12]. Note that since the BPS solution $Y^I_{\rm BPS}(R)$ satisfies (B.22), also (D.27) satisfies the condition (B.22).

References

[1] G. W. Gibbons and C. M. Hull, *A Bogomolny Bound for General Relativity and Solitons in N=2 Supergravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **109** (1982) 190–194.

[2] G. W. Gibbons, *Solitons and black holes in four-dimensions, five-dimensions*, 1903.04942.

[3] M. Spradlin and A. Strominger, *Vacuum states for AdS(2) black holes*, *JHEP* **11** (1999) 021, [hep-th/9904143].

[4] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, and A. Strominger, *N=2 extremal black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) R5412–R5416, [hep-th/9508072].

[5] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, *Supersymmetry and attractors*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (1996) 1514–1524, [hep-th/9602136].

[6] S. Ferrara, G. W. Gibbons, and R. Kallosh, *Black holes and critical points in moduli space*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **500** (1997) 75–93, [hep-th/9702103].

[7] K. Goldstein, N. Iizuka, R. P. Jena, and S. P. Trivedi, *Non-supersymmetric attractors*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 124021, [hep-th/0507096].

[8] K. Goldstein, V. Jejjala, and S. Nampuri, *Hot Attractors*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 075, [1410.3478].

[9] A. Castro, F. Larsen, and I. Papadimitriou, *5D rotating black holes and the $nAdS_2/nCFT_1$ correspondence*, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 042, [1807.06988].

[10] A. Castro and B. Mühlmann, *Gravitational anomalies in $nAdS_2/nCFT_1$*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **37** (2020), no. 14 145017, [1911.11434].

[11] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, and G. Furlan, *Conformal Invariance in Quantum Mechanics*, *Nuovo Cim. A* **34** (1976) 569.

[12] F. Larsen, *A nAttractor mechanism for $nAdS_2/nCFT_1$ holography*, *JHEP* **04** (2019) 055, [1806.06330].

[13] A. Castro and E. Verheijden, *Near-AdS_2 Spectroscopy: classifying the spectrum of operators and interactions in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 4D supergravity*, 2110.04208.

[14] G. L. Cardoso, B. de Wit, J. Kappeli, and T. Mohaupt, *Stationary BPS solutions in N=2 supergravity with R^2 interactions*, *JHEP* **12** (2000) 019, [hep-th/0009234].

[15] G. L. Cardoso, B. de Wit, and S. Mahapatra, *Black hole entropy functions and attractor equations*, *JHEP* **03** (2007) 085, [hep-th/0612225].

[16] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, *Models of AdS_2 backreaction and holography*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 014, [1402.6334].

[17] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford, and Z. Yang, *Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two dimensional Nearly Anti-de-Sitter space*, *PTEP* **2016** (2016), no. 12 12C104, [1606.01857].

[18] G. Sárosi, *AdS_2 holography and the SYK model*, *PoS* **Modave2017** (2018) 001, [1711.08482].

[19] A. R. Brown, H. Gharibyan, H. W. Lin, L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius, and Y. Zhao, *Complexity of Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019), no. 4 046016, [1810.08741].

[20] M. Cvetič and I. Papadimitriou, *AdS_2 holographic dictionary*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 008, [1608.07018]. [Erratum: JHEP 01, 120 (2017)].

[21] B. de Wit, P. G. Lauwers, and A. Van Proeyen, *Lagrangians of N=2 Supergravity - Matter Systems*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **255** (1985) 569–608.

[22] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, *Potentials and Symmetries of General Gauged N=2 Supergravity: Yang-Mills Models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **245** (1984) 89–117.

[23] P. Aniceto, G. L. Cardoso, and S. Nampuri, *R^2 corrected AdS_2 holography*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 255, [2010.08761].

[24] G. W. Gibbons and P. K. Townsend, *Black holes and Calogero models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **454** (1999) 187–192, [hep-th/9812034].

[25] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, *Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 846–864, [gr-qc/9403028].

[26] I. Papadimitriou, *Holographic renormalization as a canonical transformation*, *JHEP* **11** (2010) 014, [1007.4592].

[27] K. Skenderis, *Lecture notes on holographic renormalization*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 5849–5876, [hep-th/0209067].

[28] G. L. Cardoso and T. Mohaupt, *Special geometry, Hessian structures and applications*, *Phys. Rept.* **855** (2020) 1–141, [1909.06240].