

# Quantum signatures of black hole mass superpositions

Joshua Foo,<sup>1,\*</sup> Cemile Senem Arabaci,<sup>2</sup> Magdalena Zych,<sup>3</sup> and Robert B. Mann<sup>4,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Centre for Quantum Computation & Communication Technology, School of Mathematics & Physics,  
The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Queensland, 4072, Australia*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 3G1*

<sup>3</sup>*Centre for Engineered Quantum Systems, School of Mathematics and Physics,  
The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Queensland, 4072, Australia*

<sup>4</sup>*Perimeter Institute, 31 Caroline St., Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada*

(Dated: November 29, 2021)

In his seminal work, Bekenstein conjectured that quantum-gravitational black holes possess a discrete mass spectrum, due to quantum fluctuations of the horizon area. The existence of black holes with quantized mass implies the possibility of considering superposition states of a black hole with different masses. Here we construct a spacetime generated by a BTZ black hole in a superposition of masses, using the notion of nonlocal correlations and automorphic fields in curved spacetime. This allows us to couple a particle detector to the black hole mass superposition. We show that the detector's dynamics exhibits signatures of quantum-gravitational effects arising from the black hole mass superposition in support of and in extension to Bekenstein's original conjecture.

*Introduction*—Black holes continue to captivate physicists from a diverse array of backgrounds, ranging from cosmology and astroparticle physics, to quantum field theory and general relativity. Because of the extreme gravitational environments they generate, they are considered primary candidates for studying regimes in which quantum gravity effects are present [1–3]. Indeed, the discoveries of Hawking radiation [4] and black hole evaporation [5] gave rise to the well-known information paradox [6–9] and an entire field seeking its resolution, which aptly illustrates the existing conflicts between quantum theory and general relativity.

Bekenstein [10–12] was among the first to recognise that a complete theory of quantum gravity must account for the treatment of black holes as quantum objects. His key insight was in demonstrating that the black hole horizon area, and hence its mass, is an adiabatic invariant, with an associated discrete quantization [13]. This idea was later developed by Bekenstein and Mukhanov [14], who argued that the quantization of the mass should modify the character of Hawking radiation to possess a discrete emission spectrum with even spacing between energy levels. These pioneering studies have given rise to the burgeoning research field of quantum black holes [15–20].

The existence of mass-quantized black holes implies that they may also exist in superpositions of mass eigenstates. A mass-superposed black hole would represent an example of a quantum superposition of spacetimes; since the different masses individually define a unique classical solution to Einstein's field equations, the resulting amplitudes of the mass-superposition state correspond to associated 'spacetime states'. Understanding the effects that arise in such a spacetime superposition is an important stepping stone towards understanding how spacetime itself may be quantized.

The notion of spacetime superpositions has attracted significant recent interest, and has been analyzed in the context of indefinite causal order [21], quantum reference frames and the equivalence principle [22–24], analog gravity [25], and

tabletop experiments aimed at testing the quantum nature of gravity [26–28]. A recent investigation by the present authors developed an operational approach where a particle detector (modelled as the Unruh-deWitt detector [29]) evolves in a de Sitter spacetime which is in superpositions of spatial translations and curvature, to study the quantum effects arising in such a background [30]. However, the conformal equivalence of de Sitter and Rindler spacetime (a uniformly accelerated reference frame in Minkowski spacetime), meant that many of the effects produced by the spacetime superposition could be directly mapped to those experienced by a detector in a superposition of semiclassical trajectories in flat Minkowski spacetime [31, 32].

We are thus motivated by the problem of detecting genuinely quantum-gravitational effects that do not arise for relativistic quantum matter in a classical spacetime [33] and in particular focus on effects possibly arising from quantum superpositions of black hole spacetimes. Gambini et. al. have commented on the possibility of such superpositions in the framework of loop quantum gravity [34, 35]; other approaches by Arrasmith et. al. and Demers et. al. have utilized toy models to study intrinsic decoherence effects of black hole spatial superpositions [36–38].

In this Letter, we propose a new method for studying quantum-gravitational effects produced by black holes in a superposition of masses, which we specifically apply to the Banados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) black hole. We show that such a black hole mass superposition does indeed elicit novel effects with no direct analogue in a classical spacetime. Our approach is an operational one, in which the notion of proper distance, which allows one to recover the spacetime metric, is obtained from the strength of field correlations. These (two-point) field correlations include those evaluated between the different spacetime amplitudes in superposition [39, 40]. In this way we are able to calculate the response of a particle detector in the non-classical spacetime considered. As the detector interacts with the Hawking radiation of the black hole,

its response experiences unique resonances at squared rational values of the black hole mass ratio (between the black hole amplitudes in superposition). This quantum-gravitational effect provides an independent and novel signature which supports and extends upon Bekenstein's conjecture regarding the discrete mass eigenspectrum of quantum black holes.

Throughout this Letter, we utilise natural units,  $\hbar = k_B = c = 8G = 1$ .

*AdS-Rindler and the BTZ black hole*—The BTZ black hole is a (2+1)-dimensional solution to Einstein's field equations with a negative cosmological constant  $\Lambda = -1/l^2$  where  $l$  is the anti-de Sitter (AdS) length scale (the BTZ spacetime is asymptotically AdS) [41–43]. We begin with the AdS-Rindler metric, which is given by

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f(r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\phi^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $f(r) = (r^2/l^2 - 1)$  and  $\phi$  takes values on the full real line. The BTZ spacetime is obtained as a quotient of AdS-Rindler spacetime [44–46] under the identification  $\Gamma : \phi \rightarrow \phi + 2\pi\sqrt{M}$  (making it a true angular coordinate) and appropriately rescaling the temporal and radial coordinates with a mass parameter  $\sqrt{M}$  (see Supplemental Materials for further details). This yields the BTZ metric,

$$ds^2 = -g(r)dt^2 + g(r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\phi^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $g(r) = (r^2/l^2 - M)$  and  $\sqrt{M}l < r < \infty$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ ,  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ . The spacetime has a local Tolman temperature  $T_L = r_H/(2\pi l^2 \sqrt{g(R)})$ , where  $R$  denotes the radial coordinate and  $r_H = \sqrt{M}l$  is the radial coordinate of the event horizon.

*Automorphic field theory on the BTZ black hole spacetime*—We now consider the necessary components for constructing a quantum field theory on this background. The relevant tools for coupling quantum detectors to fields in the BTZ spacetime are drawn from Refs. [44, 46–52]. We firstly require the theory of automorphic fields, which for the BTZ spacetime, are constructed from the ordinary (massless scalar) fields  $\hat{\psi}$  in (2+1)-dimensional AdS spacetime (AdS<sub>3</sub>) via the identification  $\Gamma$ , yielding [53]

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}} \sum_n \eta^n \hat{\psi}(\Gamma^n \mathbf{x}) \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (t, r, \phi)$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = \sum_n \eta^{2n}$  and  $\eta = \pm 1$  (corresponding to untwisted and twisted fields respectively). The Wightman functions (two-point correlation functions) between the spacetime points  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'$  is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{n,m} \eta^n \eta^m \langle 0 | \hat{\psi}(\Gamma_D^n \mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}(\Gamma_D^m \mathbf{x}') | 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{n,m} \eta^n \eta^m W_{\text{AdS}}(\Gamma_D^n \mathbf{x}, \Gamma_D^m \mathbf{x}') \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\Gamma_D^n : (t, r, \phi) \rightarrow (t, r, \phi + 2\pi n \sqrt{M_D})$  in a BTZ spacetime where the black hole mass  $M_D$  is fixed. The amplitude

on the right-hand side of Eq. (4) is taken with respect to the AdS vacuum state; the thermal properties of the black hole arise from the aforementioned topological identifications at the level of the field operator (see [47] for details about the construction of the BTZ Wightman function as an image sum of the vacuum AdS Wightman function). Although the normalisation factor is formally divergent, the entire expression is convergent.

To perform our analysis, we consider the field quantized on a background arising from superposing BTZ spacetimes with different black hole masses. The black hole–quantum field system can be described in the tensor product Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{BH}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_F$ , where we consider the black hole to be (without loss of generality) in a symmetric superposition<sup>1</sup> of two mass states  $|M_A\rangle, |M_B\rangle$  while the field is in the AdS vacuum  $|0\rangle$ . When coupling an ancillary quantum system (a particle detector) to the black hole–quantum field system, we will require correlation functions between the superposed fields on the different spacetime amplitudes of the superposition. To obtain these correlation functions, we can perform the same procedure as Eq. (4) (refer to Supplemental Materials):

$$W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{n,m} \eta^n \eta^m W_{\text{AdS}}(\Gamma_A^n \mathbf{x}, \Gamma_B^m \mathbf{x}'), \quad (5)$$

where two different isometries,  $\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B$ , corresponding to the different masses in superposition,  $M_A, M_B$ , act on the coordinates of the field operators. This identification, enacted at the level of the field operators, yields a Wightman function characterising amplitudes between fields associated with two BTZ black holes with different masses.

*Particle detectors*—We are interested in coupling a particle detector to the black hole–quantum field system in order to understand the physical effects induced by a spacetime associated with a black hole in a superposition of two masses,  $M_A$  and  $M_B$ . We utilize the Unruh-deWitt particle detector model, which is a pointlike two-level system coupled to the field [29]. We will consider a detector prepared in its ground state  $|g\rangle$  at a fixed radial coordinate  $R_D$ , which here means it is in a superposition of proper distances from the black hole horizon<sup>2</sup>. The detector couples to the field and black hole via the interaction Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int.}} = \lambda \eta(\tau) \hat{\sigma}(\tau) \sum_{D=A,B} \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_D) \otimes |M_D\rangle \langle M_D| \quad (7)$$

<sup>1</sup> Conceptually, a black hole mass-superposition may be generated by sending a photon prepared in a wavepacket distribution of frequencies into the black hole. This would in general create a black hole in a superposition of energy (i.e. mass-energy) eigenstates.

<sup>2</sup> The proper distance between the point  $R_D$  to the horizon  $r_{H_A}$  of the black hole amplitude with mass  $M_A$  is

$$d(R_D, r_{H_A}) = l \ln \left[ \frac{R_D + \sqrt{R_D^2 - r_{H_A}^2}}{r_{H_A}} \right]. \quad (6)$$

This relation holds similarly for the distance between the detector and the black hole amplitude with mass  $M_B$ .

where  $|\lambda| \ll 1$  is the coupling constant,  $\eta(\tau)$  is a time-dependent switching function, and  $\hat{\sigma}(\tau) = (|e\rangle\langle g|e^{i\Omega\tau} + \text{H.c.})$  is the SU(2) ladder operator between the energy eigenstates  $|g\rangle$  and  $|e\rangle$  with energy gap  $\Omega$ ,  $|M_D\rangle\langle M_D|$  is a projector on the black hole mass, and  $\hat{\phi}(x_D)$  is the field operator for the spacetime associated with amplitude  $D$  of the black hole mass superposition. This interaction means that for each BTZ black hole mass  $M_D$ , the field is identified accordingly, i.e.  $(t, r, \phi) \rightarrow (t, r, \phi + 2\pi n\sqrt{M_D})$ . Finally,  $\tau$  is a proper time related to the coordinate time  $t$  of the BTZ spacetime with mass  $M_D$  by  $\tau = \gamma_D t$  where  $\gamma_D = \sqrt{g(R_D)}$  is a redshift factor evaluated at the radial coordinate of the detector. The evolution of the system relative to the coordinate time  $t$  is described as

$$|\psi(t_f)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}_{0,S}t_f}\hat{U}(t_i, t_f)e^{i\hat{H}_{0,S}t_i}|\psi(t_i)\rangle \quad (8)$$

where  $\hat{H}_{0,S}$  is the free Hamiltonian of the whole system, including the evolution of the mass eigenstates of the black hole between the initial and final times  $t_i$  and  $t_f$ . This evolution introduces a relative phase between the constituent states of the superposition. Particular attention must be paid to the definition of  $t_i$  and  $t_f$ . Here, we assume that the black hole–field–detector system is in a tensor product with an external ‘clock state’ whose time coordinate defines the evolution of the spacetime constructed from the black hole superposition. For example, for sufficiently large radial coordinate  $r$ , the fractional difference in proper times of the clock between two spacetimes with mass  $M_A$  and  $M_B$  respectively is  $(M_B - M_A)t^2/2r$ . Given the finite precision of any physical clock, such a factorizable clock state can thus be associated with the proper time of a clock at sufficiently large  $r$ . One should expect a theory of quantum gravity describing spacetime superpositions to admit these kinds of factorizable clock states, as well as clock states that are entangled with the spacetime (i.e. in superposition with the spacetime) [54].

Returning to Eq. (8), we have the time-evolution operator expanded in the Dyson series to leading order in the coupling strength,

$$\hat{U} = I + \hat{U}^{(1)} + \hat{U}^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \quad (9)$$

where the first- and second-order terms are

$$\hat{U}^{(1)} = -i\lambda \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \hat{H}_{\text{int}}(\tau), \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{U}^{(2)} = -\lambda^2 \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{t_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \hat{H}_{\text{int}}(\tau)\hat{H}_{\text{int}}(\tau'). \quad (11)$$

Since we are interested in the final state of the detector, we evolve the initial state according to Eq. (8) and then look at the final state of the detector conditioned on measuring/projecting the black hole in a mass-superposition basis. This scenario describes a Mach-Zehnder type interference where the interferometric paths are associated with different mass states of the black hole, and yields a detector transition probability conditioned upon the measurement. If one considers, for

example, a measurement in the symmetric-antisymmetric superposition basis,  $|\pm\rangle$ , then (upon tracing out the final states of the field) one finds that the ground and excited state probabilities of the detector are given by (refer to Supplemental Materials)

$$P_G^{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (P_A + P_B) \right], \quad (12)$$

$$P_E^{(\pm)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4} (P_A + P_B \pm 2 \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) L_{AB}), \quad (13)$$

where  $P_D$  ( $D = A, B$ ) is the transition probability of a static detector  $D$  outside a BTZ black hole with classical mass  $M_D$ , while  $L_{AB}$  is a cross-correlation term quantifying the correlations between the different fields on the spacetime superposition. Explicitly,

$$P_D = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{t_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \chi(\tau) \bar{\chi}(\tau') W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'), \quad (14)$$

$$L_{AB} = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{t_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \chi(\tau) \bar{\chi}(\tau') W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'), \quad (15)$$

where  $\chi(\tau) = \eta(\tau)e^{-i\Omega\tau}$ . We have also defined  $\Delta E = |\sqrt{M_A} - \sqrt{M_B}|$  as the energy difference between the black hole mass eigenstates and  $\Delta t = t_f - t_i$  as the time window over which the interaction is switched on. Note that the probabilities in Eq. (12) and (13), obtained for conditional measurements in the complete basis  $|\pm\rangle$ , add to unity.

Calculations involving Unruh-deWitt detectors interacting with quantum fields typically take the limit  $\Delta t \rightarrow \infty$ ; one integrates over the entire history of the detector. In this case, the presence of an oscillating phase, dependent on the mass and time difference, means that such a limit is not well-defined (consider Eq. (12) and (13) for example)<sup>3</sup>. In our analysis, we consider for the sake of argument arbitrarily chosen values of  $t_f$  such that  $\sigma \ll \Delta t$  where  $\sigma$  is a characteristic timescale for which the detector interacts with the field. Specifically, we consider transition probabilities for Gaussian detector switching functions,  $\eta(\tau) = \exp(-\tau^2/2\sigma^2)$  (in the Supplemental Materials, we also derive results for compactly supported  $\cos^2(\tau)$  switching functions). For the former, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_D}{\sigma} &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}H_0(0)}{8} - \frac{i}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \text{PV} \int_{-t_f/2l}^{t_f/2l} \frac{dz X_0(2lz)H_0(2lz)}{\sinh(z)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi} \sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n \neq m} \text{Re} \int_0^{t_f/l} \frac{dz X_0(lz)H_0(lz)}{\sqrt{\beta_{nm} - \cosh(z)}} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $X_0(z)$ ,  $H_0(z)$  are functions of the detector and spacetime parameters derived in the Supplemental Materials. Like

<sup>3</sup> Alternatively, one may utilize the rotating-frame transformation [55], commonly used in quantum optics and atomic physics, to absorb any relative phases into the definitions of the states. This approach allows for  $t_f \rightarrow \infty$ , as shown in the Supplemental Materials.

wise, the cross-term is given by

$$\frac{L_{AB}}{\sigma} = \frac{Y_0}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n,m} \text{Re} \int_0^{t_f/l} \frac{dz Z_0(lz) Q_0(lz)}{\sqrt{\alpha_{nm} - \cosh(z)}}. \quad (17)$$

$Y_0$ ,  $Z_0(z)$  and  $Q_0(z)$  are likewise derived in the Supplemental Materials. The constants beneath the roots (also appearing in the compact switching case) take the form

$$\beta_{nm} = \frac{1}{\gamma_D^2} \left[ \frac{R_D^2 \cosh(2\pi(n-m)\sqrt{M_D})}{M_D l^2} - 1 \right], \quad (18)$$

$$\alpha_{nm} = \frac{1}{\gamma_A \gamma_B} \left[ \frac{R_D^2 \cosh(2\pi(m\sqrt{M_A} - n\sqrt{M_B}))}{\sqrt{M_A M_B} l^2} - 1 \right]. \quad (19)$$

**Results**—We are now able to analyze the response of the detector outside the mass-superposed black hole. In Fig. 1, we have plotted the response of the detector as a function of the mass ratio of the black hole superposition,  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A}$ . In (a), we have plotted the conditional transition probabilities for measurements in the symmetric and antisymmetric superposition basis, while in (b) we have considered measurements in the  $|i\pm\rangle = (|M_A\rangle \pm i|M_B\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$  basis. The most interesting features in the transition probability are the resonant peaks that occur at rational values of the square root ratio of the superposed masses. In (a), we have denoted some of these ratios with dashed lines. We ascribe this behaviour to a constructive interference effect between the modes, quantized on the (superposed) topologically closed AdS spacetime, and yielding characteristic resonances for the aforementioned integer values of the square root mass ratio. While we have predominantly shown the transition probability for  $M_B < M_A$ , these resonances also occur for  $M_B > M_A$ . The resonance effect also occurs for other values of the square root mass ratio – in (b), we have highlighted the ratios  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A} = (n-1)/n$  for  $n \in \{3, \dots, 8\}$ . To understand this, note that in Eq. (19), the argument of  $\cosh(x)$  vanishes when  $m\sqrt{M_A} = n\sqrt{M_B}$ . These ‘coincidences’ can only occur for certain terms in the image sum when the ratio  $\sqrt{M_A/M_B}$  is a rational number, which includes ratios allowed by Bekenstein’s quantum black hole conjecture. Specifically, the allowed mass values of the BTZ black hole, assuming the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization scheme for the horizon radius, are given by [20]:

$$r_H = \sqrt{M}l = n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (20)$$

While our construction does not require that the superposed masses are quantized in integer values, the form of Eq. (19) explains the origin of the signatory resonances. The detector responds uniquely to black hole mass superpositions with mass ratios corresponding to the predicted masses of Bekenstein’s conjecture. This result provides independent support for Bekenstein’s conjecture, demonstrating how the detector’s excitation probability can reveal a genuinely quantum-gravitational property about a quantum black hole<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>4</sup> We have plotted (for aesthetic purposes)  $P_E/\sigma$  for integer and rational




FIG. 1. Transition probability of the detector as a function of the square root of the mass ratio of the superposed amplitudes. The measurement basis corresponding to the relevant plot is indicated by the figure legends. In (a), the dashed lines correspond to  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A} = 1/n$  where  $n = \{1, \dots, 6\}$ . In (b), the dashed lines correspond to  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A} = (n-1)/n$  where  $n = \{3, \dots, 8\}$ . Moreover, the oscillating cross term in (b) is  $\pi/2$  out-of-phase with that for the black hole measured in the (anti)symmetric basis. In all plots we have also used  $l/\sigma = 5$ ,  $R_D/\sigma = 25$ ,  $t_f = 5\sigma$  and  $M_A l^2 = 2$ .

Another feature worth noting is the low-frequency oscillation in the transition probability as  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A}$  is varied. This behaviour arises from the dynamical evolution of the black hole superposition, which depends on the energy difference between the superposed states. As  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A} \rightarrow 1$ , the transition probability approaches that of a single black hole for a measurement in  $|+\rangle$ , whereas it vanishes for  $|-\rangle$  which is ill-defined in this limit. For measurements in  $|i\pm\rangle$ , the transition probability approaches half of that of a detector situated outside the black hole with classical mass  $M_A = M_B$ . In (a), the amplitude of the oscillation decreases when  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A} \ll 1$

values of  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A}$  with  $M_A l^2 = 2$ , so the peaks shown in Fig. 1 do not all correspond to integer values of the individual masses (the resonances all correspond to rational ratios). However such effects are manifest when the superposed horizon radii are integers (ala Bekenstein’s conjecture).

or  $\gg 1$ ; this occurs due to the decay of the correlation term  $L_{AB}$  between the superposed masses.

*Metric for spacetime superpositions*—The approach we have taken has allowed us to study the quantum effects of a spacetime (black hole) superposition through the calculation of a physical observable – the transition probability of a quantum detector coupling to a scalar field. This transition probability is constructed from the two-point correlations of the field on the spacetime in superposition, generated from the BTZ black hole via a superposition of masses. Beyond this operational description, it is possible to write down a conditional spacetime metric using these correlators. To understand this, we review a related argument recently made by Saravani et. al. and Kempf [56, 57]. Recall that in general relativity, spacetime is described by the pair  $(M, \sigma)$  where  $M$  is a differentiable manifold and  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$  is the Synge world function (or the geodesic distance) for two points  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$  [58–61]. The latter contains all the information about a spacetime, since it allows one to reconstruct the metric [58–61]:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = - \lim_{x \rightarrow x'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\nu} \sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'). \quad (21)$$

Since the quantum correlations of a field decay with the magnitude of the distance between spacetime points, these studies replaced the notion of proper distance with that of an appropriate measure of such correlations. In [57], the Feynman propagator is used, but other objects like the Wightman function (most relevant to this work) are also suitable. Using the Wightman function, the spacetime metric can be expressed as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Upsilon(D) \lim_{x \rightarrow x'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\nu} W(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')^{\frac{2}{D-2}} \quad (22)$$

where  $\Upsilon(D) = -(1/2)(\Gamma(D/2 - 1)/(4\pi^{D/2}))^{\frac{2}{D-2}}$  and  $D > 2$  is the spacetime dimension<sup>5</sup>. In our case, building a spacetime in superposition occurs at the level of the field operator. By taking  $\hat{\phi}(x) \rightarrow \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i f_i \phi(x_i)$  (where  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i\}$ ,  $\sum_i |f_i|^2 = 1$  and the relative phases between  $f_i$  are determined by the state in which the black hole is measured), the Wightman function becomes a sum over all two-point correlators between the fields  $\phi(x_i)$ ,  $\phi(x'_j)$ , defined with respect to the coordinates of the spacetime states in superposition. In principle then, one obtains a ‘conditional metric’ that is effectively seen by a detector in the superposed spacetime, using correlations between the field operators parametrized by the coordinates covering black hole spacetimes associated with different masses. Equation (22) can be modified as follows, yielding a conditional metric describing a superposition of spacetimes:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda(D) \lim_{x \rightarrow x'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\nu} \sum_{i,j} f_i f_j^* W(x_i, x'_j)^{\frac{2}{D-2}}. \quad (23)$$

<sup>5</sup> A unique expression exists for  $D = 2$

From the prescription of Saravani et. al. and Kempf, we have arrived at a heuristic expression for the metric describing the kinds of spacetime superpositions discussed in this Letter.

*Conclusion*—In this Letter, we have demonstrated the detection of genuine quantum-gravitational phenomena via a simple particle detector model in a spacetime with quantum degrees of freedom. In particular, we have constructed an operational description of a BTZ black hole spacetime in a superposition of masses, via the theory of automorphic fields in curved spacetime. The response of an Unruh-deWitt detector to these fields in this spacetime features ‘local’ terms corresponding to the individual amplitudes of the black hole mass eigenstates, as well as ‘cross-correlations’ between the different spacetime amplitudes. These cross-correlation terms are calculated using field operators associated with different topological identifications, which are respectively associated with the different BTZ masses. We have shown that the response of the detector is sensitive to the mass ratio of the superposed black hole, in particular exhibiting signatory peaks at rational values of  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A}$ . This prescription for the detection of a quantum-gravitational effect is the first of its kind, and constitutes a new method for investigating effects implied by Bekenstein’s conjecture about the quantization of a black hole’s mass [14]. Finally, we presented a description of a conditional spacetime metric arising from such scenarios which is constructed using field correlations as a measure of distance, a notion first proposed by Saravani et. al. and Kempf [56, 57].

The scheme presented here represents a path to further understanding important quantum gravity ideas like quantum black holes and spacetime superpositions. Such notions are crucial for a complete description of quantum gravity; however thus far they have only been considered heuristically. Our approach allows for observables, such as the response of a first-quantized system, to be calculated. By connecting the notion of quantum field correlations with the superposed spacetime metric, we have also opened up the possibility of studying the dynamics of spacetime superpositions and the effects they may induce on systems such as low-energy particles, which continues to be a topic of growing interest.

*Acknowledgements*—This research was supported by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Quantum Computation and Communication Technology (No. CE1701000012) and Engineered Quantum Systems ARC Grants (No. EQuS CE170100009) and Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (No. DE180101443). This work was supported in part by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by Asian Office of Aerospace Research and Development Grant No. FA2386-19-1-4077.

\* [joshua.foo@uqconnect.edu.au](mailto:joshua.foo@uqconnect.edu.au)

[1] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Euclidean quantum gravity* (World Scientific, 1993).

- [2] R. M. Wald, Black holes, singularities and predictability, in *Quantum theory of gravity. Essays in honor of the 60th birthday of Bryce S. Dewitt* (1984).
- [3] P. Nicolini, Noncommutative black holes, the final appeal to quantum gravity: A review, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **24**, 1229 (2009), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X09043353>.
- [4] S. W. Hawking, Black hole explosions?, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974).
- [5] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **43**, 199 (1975).
- [6] S. W. Hawking, Breakdown of predictability in gravitational collapse, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 2460 (1976).
- [7] S. B. Giddings, The Black hole information paradox, in *PASCOS/HOPKINS 1995 (Joint Meeting of the International Symposium on Particles, Strings and Cosmology and the 19th Johns Hopkins Workshop on Current Problems in Particle Theory)* (1995) pp. 415–428, [arXiv:hep-th/9508151](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9508151).
- [8] S. D. Mathur, The information paradox: a pedagogical introduction, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **26**, 224001 (2009).
- [9] J. A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Quantum aspects of black holes, in *Spring School on String Theory and Quantum Gravity (To be followed by Workshop on String Theory 8-10 Apr)* (1993) pp. 175–223, [arXiv:hep-th/9209055](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9209055).
- [10] J. D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333 (1973).
- [11] J. D. Bekenstein, The quantum mass spectrum of the kerr black hole, in *JACOB BEKENSTEIN: The Conservative Revolutionary* (World Scientific, 2020) pp. 331–334.
- [12] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Stability of a schwarzschild singularity, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1063 (1957).
- [13] S. Hod, Bohr’s correspondence principle and the area spectrum of quantum black holes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 4293 (1998).
- [14] J. D. Bekenstein and V. Mukhanov, Spectroscopy of the quantum black hole, *Physics Letters B* **360**, 7 (1995).
- [15] J. D. Bekenstein, Quantum black holes as atoms, in *8th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Gravitation and Relativistic Field Theories (MG 8)* (1997) pp. 92–111, [arXiv:gr-qc/9710076](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9710076).
- [16] Quantum tunneling and black hole spectroscopy, *Physics Letters B* **686**, 279 (2010).
- [17] E. C. Vagenas, Area spectrum of rotating black holes via the new interpretation of quasinormal modes, *JHEP* **11**, 073, [arXiv:0804.3264 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.3264).
- [18] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, Z. Zaz, and A. Bhat, Quantum fluctuations of a btz black hole in massive gravity, *Physics Letters B* **773**, 325 (2017).
- [19] K. Lochan and S. Chakraborty, Discrete quantum spectrum of black holes, *Physics Letters B* **755**, 37 (2016).
- [20] Y. Kwon and S. Nam, Area spectra of the rotating BTZ black hole from quasinormal modes, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **27**, 125007 (2010).
- [21] M. Zych, F. Costa, I. Pikovski, and C. Brukner, Bell’s theorem for temporal order, *Nature Communications* **10**, 10.1038/s41467-019-11579-x (2019).
- [22] F. Giacomini, Spacetime Quantum Reference Frames and superpositions of proper times, *Quantum* **5**, 508 (2021).
- [23] F. Giacomini and C. Brukner, Einstein’s equivalence principle for superpositions of gravitational fields (2021), [arXiv:2012.13754 \[quant-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.13754).
- [24] F. Giacomini and C. Brukner, Quantum superposition of spacetimes obeys einstein’s equivalence principle (2021), [arXiv:2109.01405 \[quant-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.01405).
- [25] C. Barceló, L. J. Garay, and G. García-Moreno, Superposing spacetimes: lessons from analogue gravity (2021), [arXiv:2104.15078 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.15078).
- [26] M. Christodoulou and C. Rovelli, On the possibility of laboratory evidence for quantum superposition of geometries, *Physics Letters B* **792**, 64–68 (2019).
- [27] C. Marletto and V. Vedral, Gravitationally induced entanglement between two massive particles is sufficient evidence of quantum effects in gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 240402 (2017).
- [28] S. Bose, A. Mazumdar, G. W. Morley, H. Ulbricht, M. Toroš, M. Paternostro, A. A. Geraci, P. F. Barker, M. S. Kim, and G. Milburn, Spin entanglement witness for quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 240401 (2017).
- [29] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi, and G. E. A. Matsas, The unruh effect and its applications, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 787 (2008).
- [30] J. Foo, R. B. Mann, and M. Zych, Schrödinger’s cat for de sitter spacetime, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **38**, 115010 (2021).
- [31] J. Foo, S. Onoe, and M. Zych, Unruh-dewitt detectors in quantum superpositions of trajectories, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 085013 (2020).
- [32] L. C. Barbado, E. Castro-Ruiz, L. Apadula, and C. Brukner, Unruh effect for detectors in superposition of accelerations, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 045002 (2020).
- [33] M. Zych and C. Brukner, Quantum formulation of the Einstein Equivalence Principle, *Nature Phys.* **14**, 1027 (2018), [arXiv:1502.00971 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.00971).
- [34] R. Gambini, J. Olmedo, and J. Pullin, Quantum black holes in loop quantum gravity, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **31**, 095009 (2014).
- [35] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, Loop quantization of the schwarzschild black hole, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 211301 (2013).
- [36] A. Arrasmith, A. Albrecht, and W. H. Zurek, Decoherence of black hole superpositions by hawking radiation, *Nature Communications* **10**, 10.1038/s41467-019-08426-4 (2019).
- [37] J.-G. Demers and C. Kiefer, Decoherence of black holes by hawking radiation, *Physical Review D* **53**, 7050–7061 (1996).
- [38] C. Kiefer, Conceptual problems in quantum gravity and quantum cosmology, *ISRN Mathematical Physics* **2013**, 1–17 (2013).
- [39] J. Foo, R. B. Mann, and M. Zych, Entanglement amplification between superposed detectors in flat and curved spacetimes, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 065013 (2021).
- [40] J. Foo, S. Onoe, R. B. Mann, and M. Zych, Thermalism, causality, and the quantum-controlled unruh–dewitt detector, *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 043056 (2021).
- [41] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Black hole in three-dimensional spacetime, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1849 (1992).
- [42] S. Carlip, The (2+1)-Dimensional black hole, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12**, 2853 (1995), [arXiv:gr-qc/9506079](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9506079).
- [43] S. Carlip and S. J. Carlip, *Quantum gravity in 2+ 1 dimensions*, Vol. 50 (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
- [44] L. Hodgkinson and J. Louko, Static, stationary, and inertial unruh-dewitt detectors on the btz black hole, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 064031 (2012).
- [45] P. Langlois, Causal particle detectors and topology, *Annals of Physics* **321**, 2027 (2006).
- [46] A. R. H. Smith and R. B. Mann, Looking inside a black hole, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **31**, 082001 (2014).
- [47] G. Lifschytz and M. Ortiz, Scalar field quantization on the (2+1)-dimensional black hole background, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 1929 (1994).
- [48] L. J. Henderson, R. A. Hennigar, R. B. Mann, A. R. H. Smith, and J. Zhang, Harvesting entanglement from the black hole vacuum, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **35**, 21LT02 (2018).
- [49] M. P. G. Robbins, L. J. Henderson, and R. B. Mann, En-

- tanglement amplification from rotating black holes (2020), [arXiv:2010.14517 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.14517).
- [50] L. J. Henderson, R. A. Hennigar, R. B. Mann, A. R. Smith, and J. Zhang, Anti-hawking phenomena, *Physics Letters B* **809**, 135732 (2020).
- [51] L. de Souza Campos and C. Dappiaggi, The anti-hawking effect on a btz black hole with robin boundary conditions, *Physics Letters B* **816**, 136198 (2021).
- [52] M. P. G. Robbins and R. B. Mann, Anti-hawking phenomena around a rotating btz black hole (2021), [arXiv:2107.01648 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.01648).
- [53] P. Langlois, *Imprints of spacetime topology in the Hawking-Unruh effect*, Other thesis (2005), [arXiv:gr-qc/0510127](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0510127).
- [54] E. Castro Ruiz, F. Giacomini, and C. Brukner, Entanglement of quantum clocks through gravity, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, E2303–E2309 (2017).
- [55] K. B. Whaley and J. C. Light, Rotating-frame transformations: A new approximation for multiphoton absorption and dissociation in laser fields, *Phys. Rev. A* **29**, 1188 (1984).
- [56] M. Saravani, S. Aslanbeigi, and A. Kempf, Spacetime curvature in terms of scalar field propagators, *Physical Review D* **93**, 10.1103/physrevd.93.045026 (2016).
- [57] A. Kempf, Replacing the Notion of Spacetime Distance by the Notion of Correlation, *Front. in Phys.* **9**, 247 (2021).
- [58] R. M. Wald, *General relativity* (University of Chicago press, 2010).
- [59] J. L. Synge, *Relativity: The General Theory* (New York: Interscience Publishers, 1960).
- [60] S. A. Fulling *et al.*, *Aspects of quantum field theory in curved spacetime*, 17 (Cambridge university press, 1989).
- [61] N. D. Birrell, N. D. Birrell, and P. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space* (Cambridge university press, 1984).

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

### Geometric details of AdS<sub>3</sub> and the BTZ spacetime

In this section we review the necessary geometric details for the AdS-Rindler spacetime and the BTZ black hole. AdS<sub>3</sub> is defined as the submanifold,

$$-l^2 = -T_1^2 - T_2^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2, \quad (24)$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$  with metric given by

$$ds^2 = -dT_1^2 - dT_2^2 + dX_1^2 + dX_2^2. \quad (25)$$

This geometry is a solution to the (2+1)-dimensional Einstein field equations with negative cosmological constant. Choosing the embedding coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{l^2}} \cosh \phi, & X_1 &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{l^2}} \sinh \phi, \\ T_2 &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{l^2} - 1} \sinh \frac{t}{l}, & X_2 &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{l^2} - 1} \cosh \frac{t}{l}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

one obtains the AdS-Rindler metric stated in the main text:

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - 1\right) dt^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - 1\right) dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \quad (27)$$

which corresponds to a wedge of AdS<sub>3</sub> for a uniformly accelerated observer. To obtain the BTZ metric from Eq. (27), one defines  $r = \tilde{r}/\sqrt{M}$ ,  $t = \tilde{t}\sqrt{M}$  and  $\phi = \tilde{\phi}\sqrt{M}$ , which yields

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{\tilde{r}^2}{l^2} - M\right) d\tilde{t}^2 + \left(\frac{\tilde{r}^2}{l^2} - M\right)^{-1} d\tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{\phi}^2 \quad (28)$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}$  is identified with period  $2\pi$ . Alternatively, one can begin directly from Eq. (25) and utilise the parametrisation

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{Ml^2}} \cosh(\sqrt{M}\phi), & X_1 &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{Ml^2}} \sinh(\sqrt{M}\phi), \\ T_2 &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{Ml^2} - 1} \sinh \frac{\sqrt{M}t}{l}, & X_2 &= l\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{Ml^2} - 1} \cosh \frac{\sqrt{M}t}{l}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

which yields the same metric. In our construction it will be favourable to begin with the AdS-Rindler coordinates and then re-scale the variables after obtaining the Wightman functions.

### Derivation of the BTZ Wightman functions

Here we derive the relevant Wightman functions needed to calculate the detector response function. We begin with the Wightman function for a massless, conformally coupled scalar field in the AdS<sub>3</sub> vacuum, given by [42, 47]

$$W_{\text{AdS}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{4\pi l\sqrt{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')}} - \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') + 2}} \right] \quad (30)$$

where

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{2l^2} \left[ (X_1 - X'_1)^2 - (T_1 - T'_1)^2 + (X_2 - X'_2)^2 - (T_2 - T'_2)^2 \right] \quad (31)$$

is the squared geodesic between  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x}'$  in the embedding space  $\mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$ . The parameter  $\zeta \in [-1, 1]$  encodes the boundary condition at infinity for AdS spacetime; the special values  $\zeta = \{-1, 0, 1\}$  correspond to Neumann, transparent, and Dirichlet boundary conditions respectively. For simplicity, we consider only transparent boundary conditions ( $\zeta = 0$ ); however the results are easily extended to generic boundary conditions. The BTZ Wightman function in the AdS vacuum is constructed via the method of images,

$$W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_n \sum_m \eta^n \eta^m W_{\text{AdS}}(\Gamma_D^n \mathbf{x}, \Gamma_D^m \mathbf{x}') \quad (32)$$

where  $\Gamma_D x$  denotes the action of the identification  $\phi \rightarrow \phi + 2\pi n\sqrt{M_D}$  on the spacetime point  $x$  and  $\eta = -1, +1$  corresponds to twisted and untwisted fields respectively. Again for simplicity, we consider only untwisted fields. It should be noted that Eq. (32) differs from the usual form of the Wightman functions used previously (such as [48]), which utilize the property that  $\Gamma_D^n$  and  $\Gamma_D^m$  are elements of the same group  $\text{SL}(\mathbb{R})$  to simplify  $W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$  as follows:

$$W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_n \sum_m \eta^n \eta^m W_{\text{AdS}}(\Gamma_D^n \mathbf{x}, \Gamma_D^m \mathbf{x}'), \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_n \sum_m \eta^n (\eta^n \eta^m) W_{\text{AdS}}(\Gamma^n \mathbf{x}, \Gamma_D^n \Gamma_D^m \mathbf{x}'), \quad (34)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_n \eta^{2n} \sum_m \eta^m W_{\text{AdS}}(\mathbf{x}, \Gamma_D^m \mathbf{x}'), \quad (35)$$

$$= \sum_m \eta^m W_{\text{AdS}}(\mathbf{x}, \Gamma_D^m \mathbf{x}'). \quad (36)$$

However when considering superpositions of masses (effectively superpositions of identifications  $\Gamma_A^n, \Gamma_B^m$ ), such a simplification is not appropriate.

Returning to Eq. (32), we need to calculate  $\sigma(\Gamma_D^n \mathbf{x}, \Gamma_D^m \mathbf{x}')$ . Inserting the BTZ-scaled AdS<sub>3</sub> coordinates (26) into Eq. (31) along with the periodic identification of the  $\phi$  coordinate  $\phi \rightarrow \phi + 2\pi n\sqrt{M_D}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Gamma^n \mathbf{x}, \Gamma^m \mathbf{x}') &= \frac{R_D^2}{l^2} \cosh \left[ 2\pi(m-n)\sqrt{M_D} \right] - 1 - \left[ \frac{R_D^2}{l^2} - 1 \right] \cosh \frac{t-t'}{l} \\ &= \tilde{\gamma}_D^2 \left[ \frac{\tilde{R}_D^2}{M_D \tilde{\gamma}_D^2 l^2} \cosh \left[ 2\pi(m-n)\sqrt{M_D} \right] - \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_D^2} - \cosh \frac{t-t'}{l} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma}_D = \sqrt{\tilde{R}_D^2/M_D l^2 - 1}$  and the tildes denote that we have identified the AdS  $R_D$  with the scaled BTZ coordinate. We have not rescaled the time coordinate, as this will be integrated over when computing the transition probability. The BTZ Wightman function can thus be written as

$$W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_D} \frac{1}{4\pi l\sqrt{2}} \sum_n \sum_m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{R}_D^2}{M_D \tilde{\gamma}_D^2 l^2} \cosh(2\pi(m-n)\sqrt{M_D}) - \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_D^2} - \cosh(s/l)}} \quad (38)$$



where  $s = t - t'$ . For the cross term, the geodesic distance is

$$\sigma(\Gamma_A^n \mathbf{x}, \Gamma_B^m \mathbf{x}') = \sqrt{\frac{R_A^2}{l^2}} \sqrt{\frac{R_B^2}{l^2}} \cosh \left[ 2\pi(m\sqrt{M_A} - n\sqrt{M_B}) \right] - 1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_A^2}{l^2} - 1} \sqrt{\frac{R_B^2}{l^2} - 1} \cosh \frac{t-t'}{l}, \quad (39)$$

$$= \tilde{\gamma}_A \tilde{\gamma}_B \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{R}_A^2}{M_A \tilde{\gamma}_A^2 l^2}} \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{R}_B^2}{M_B \tilde{\gamma}_B^2 l^2}} \cosh \left[ 2\pi(m\sqrt{M_B} - n\sqrt{M_B}) \right] - \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_A \tilde{\gamma}_B} - \cosh \frac{t-t'}{l} \right], \quad (40)$$

$$= \tilde{\gamma}_A \tilde{\gamma}_B \left[ \frac{\tilde{R}_D^2}{\tilde{\gamma}_A \tilde{\gamma}_B \sqrt{M_A M_B} l^2} \cosh \left[ 2\pi(m\sqrt{M_A} - n\sqrt{M_B}) \right] - \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_A \tilde{\gamma}_B} - \cosh \frac{t-t'}{l} \right], \quad (41)$$

where in the last line we have assumed that the detector is at the single radial coordinate  $R_D$ . Again, we have left  $t, t'$  in the AdS coordinate system, since these variables are being integrated over. This step expresses our assumption that there exists some factorizable ‘clock state’ (i.e. reference frame) that is in a tensor product with the spacetime superposition. Therefore,

$$W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}_A \tilde{\gamma}_B}} \frac{1}{4\pi l \sqrt{2}} \sum_n \sum_m \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{R}_D^2}{\sqrt{M_A M_B} \tilde{\gamma}_A \tilde{\gamma}_B l^2} \cosh(2\pi(m\sqrt{M_A} - n\sqrt{M_B})) - \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_A \tilde{\gamma}_B} - \cosh(s/l)}}. \quad (42)$$

$W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$  straightforwardly reduces to  $W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$  when  $M_A \rightarrow M_B = M_D$ .

### Dynamical evolution in the interaction picture

We derive here the final ground and excited state probabilities for the detector after its interaction with the black hole-quantum field system. In the interaction picture, the evolution of the initial state of the system,  $|\psi(t_i)\rangle = (|M_A\rangle + |M_B\rangle)|0\rangle|g\rangle/\sqrt{2}$  is given by

$$|\psi(t_f)\rangle = e^{-iH_0, st_f} \hat{U} e^{iH_0, st_i} |\psi(t_i)\rangle \quad (43)$$

where the time-evolution operator to second order in the coupling is given by

$$\hat{U} = I - i\lambda \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) - \lambda^2 \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{t_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau') + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3). \quad (44)$$

Note especially that we are considering the evolution of the system between the initial and final times  $t_i, t_f$ , in the coordinate time of the metric rather than the proper time of the detector. Evolving the initial state gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_f)\rangle &= e^{-iH_0, st_f} e^{i\hat{H}_0, st_i} |\psi(t_i)\rangle - e^{-i\hat{H}_0, st_f} (i\lambda) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) e^{i\hat{H}_0, st_i} |\psi(t_i)\rangle \\ &\quad - e^{-i\hat{H}_0, st_f} (\lambda^2) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{t_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau') e^{iH_0, st_i} |\psi(t_i)\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Inserting the joint state of the detector, black hole, and field into Eq. (45) and applying the free Hamiltonian on the black hole eigenstates introduces a time-dependent relative phase between the components of the superposition state:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_f)\rangle &= e^{-i\hat{H}_0, st_f} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|M_A\rangle + e^{i\Delta E t_i} |M_B\rangle) |0\rangle |g\rangle - e^{-i\hat{H}_0, st_f} (i\lambda) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|M_A\rangle + e^{i\Delta E t_i} |M_B\rangle) |0\rangle |g\rangle \\ &\quad - e^{-i\hat{H}_0, st_f} (\lambda^2) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{t_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau') \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|M_A\rangle + e^{i\Delta E t_i} |M_B\rangle) |0\rangle |g\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where  $\Delta E = E_B - E_A$  and we have neglected a global phase. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_f)\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|M_A\rangle + e^{-i\Delta E \Delta t} |M_B\rangle) |0\rangle |g\rangle - (i\lambda) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|M_A\rangle + e^{-i\Delta E \Delta t} |M_B\rangle) |0\rangle |g\rangle \\ &\quad - (\lambda^2) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{t_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau') \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|M_A\rangle + e^{-i\Delta E \Delta t} |M_B\rangle) |0\rangle |g\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where  $\Delta t = t_f - t_i$ . Conditioning on  $|\pm\rangle$  and then tracing out the field yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_\phi \left[ \langle \pm | \psi(t_f) \rangle \langle \psi(t_f) | \pm \rangle \right] &= \frac{|g\rangle\langle g|}{2} \left( 1 \pm \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} d\tau' \eta(\tau) \eta(\tau') e^{-i\Omega(\tau-\tau')} \left( W(x_A, x'_A) + W(x_B, x'_B) \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2 |e\rangle\langle e|}{4} \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} d\tau' \eta(\tau) \eta(\tau') e^{-i\Omega(\tau-\tau')} \left( W(x_A, x'_A) + W(x_B, x'_B) \pm 2 \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) W(x_A, x'_B) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

yielding the total ground and excited detector probabilities,

$$P_G^{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (P_A + P_B) \right] \quad (49)$$

$$P_E^{(\pm)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \left( P_A + P_B \pm 2 \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) L_{AB} \right), \quad (50)$$

as stated in the main text. The individual terms  $P_A, P_B$  denote the transition probability of the detector in the BTZ spacetime with mass  $M_A, M_B$  respectively, while  $L_{AB}$  is the cross-correlation between the quantum fields on the superposed spacetime. The total transition probabilities denote the joint probability of finding the detector in its ground or excited state *and* measuring the control in the respective  $|\pm\rangle$  basis. Formally, if one wishes to study the *conditional* detector transition probability, then the final detector state needs to be normalized to unity as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Tr}_\phi \left[ \langle \pm | \psi(t_f) \rangle \langle \psi(t_f) | \pm \rangle \right]}{P_G^{(\pm)} + P_E^{(\pm)}}. \quad (51)$$

Applying the binomial approximation and keeping only terms up to  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ , we obtain

$$\tilde{P}_G^{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 \pm \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) \right)^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \left( 1 + \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) \right) \left( P_A + P_B \pm 2 \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) L_{AB} \right) \quad (52)$$

$$\tilde{P}_E^{(\pm)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \left( 1 + \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) \right) \left( P_A + P_B \pm 2 \cos(\Delta E \Delta t) L_{AB} \right) \quad (53)$$

for the respective ground and excited probabilities. The disadvantage of taking this approach is that the assumption of perturbation theory breaks down when the oscillating  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  term in Eq. (52) becomes small (i.e. on the order of  $\lambda^2$ ).

Finally, if we apply a similar argument as above but condition on  $|i\pm\rangle = (|M_A\rangle \pm i|M_B\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , then

$$P_G^{(i\pm)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \sin(\Delta E \Delta t) \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (P_A + P_B) \right] \quad (54)$$

$$P_E^{(i\pm)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \left( P_A + P_B \pm 2 \sin(\Delta E \Delta t) L_{AB} \right). \quad (55)$$

### Transition probability for finite-time interactions

Having calculated the general form of the ground and excited state probabilities of the detector, we can now put all of the pieces together and calculate the explicit forms of  $P_D$  and  $L_{AB}$ . Following our approach in obtaining the BTZ Wightman functions from the AdS-Rindler coordinates, the quantities  $R_D, \gamma_D, \gamma_A$  and  $\gamma_B$  should henceforth be understood as  $\tilde{R}_D, \tilde{\gamma}_D, \tilde{\gamma}_A$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_B$ . The transition probability for a detector in a classical spacetime is given by

$$P_D = \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} d\tau' \eta(\tau) \eta(\tau') e^{-i\Omega(\tau-\tau')} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(x_D(\tau), x'_D(\tau')) \quad D = A, B \quad (56)$$

where we have considered the symmetric integral bounds  $t_i = -t_f$  for simplicity. Including the redshifts on the proper time, we obtain

$$P_D = \gamma_D^2 \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} dt \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} dt' \eta(\gamma_D t) \eta(\gamma_D t') e^{-i\Omega \gamma_D (t-t')} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(x_D(t), x'_D(t')). \quad (57)$$

Making the substitution  $u = t$  and  $s = t - t'$  yields

$$P_D = \lambda^2 \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \int_{u-t_f}^{u+t_f} ds \eta(\gamma_D u) \eta(\gamma_D(u-s)) e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(s). \quad (58)$$

At this point, we can specialize to a particular profile for the switching function of the detector. In both cases, we choose switching functions with characteristic width  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \ll t_f$  i.e. the detector switching is much narrower than the interaction time of the entire system. In such a regime, the following condition is satisfied

$$\int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \int_{u-t_f}^{u+t_f} ds \eta(\gamma_D u) \eta(\gamma_D(u-s)) \simeq \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \eta(\gamma_D u) \eta(\gamma_D(u-s)) \quad (59)$$

for switching functions strongly localized around  $u = 0$ ,  $u - s = 0$  (i.e.  $\sigma \ll t_f$  where  $t_f > 0$ ). This approximation is demonstrated numerically for Gaussian switching functions in Fig. 2. This allows us to make the following simplification to the




FIG. 2. The difference,  $\Delta$  between the exact and approximated evaluation of the integrals in Eq. (59), corresponding to the left- and right-hand sides respectively. We have plotted  $\Delta I$  as a function of  $t_f$  for three fixed values of  $\sigma$ . As  $t_f \gg \sigma$ , the difference between the exact and approximated integrals vanishes.

integration variables:

$$P_D \simeq \lambda^2 \gamma_D^2 \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \eta(\gamma_D u) \eta(\gamma_D(u-s)) e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(s). \quad (60)$$

Such a simplification is justified because the switching functions in  $u$  and  $u - s$ , and thus the integrands themselves, only have strong support in the regions  $u \in [-t_f, t_f]$  and  $s \in [-t_f, t_f]$ . For the cross term, we have

$$L_{AB} = \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} d\tau' \eta(\tau) \eta(\tau') e^{-i\Omega(\tau-\tau')} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(x_A(\tau), x_B(\tau')) \quad (61)$$

Including the redshifts and performing the usual change of variables, one obtains the expression

$$L_{AB} = \gamma_A \gamma_B \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \eta(\gamma_A u) \eta(\gamma_B(u-s)) e^{-i\Omega(\gamma_A u - \gamma_B(u-s))} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(s) \quad (62)$$

*Gaussian switching*—Let us consider first a Gaussian switching function of the form  $\eta(x) = \exp(-x^2/2\sigma^2)$ . The transition probability becomes

$$P_D = \lambda^2 \gamma_D^2 \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 u^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 (u-s)^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(s) \quad (63)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \gamma_D \sigma}{2} \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 s^2}{4\sigma^2}} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} H_0(s) W(s) \quad (64)$$

where  $H_0(s) = \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{\gamma_D(s+2t_f)}{2\sigma}\right] - \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{\gamma_D(s-2t_f)}{2\sigma}\right]$ . For the cross term,

$$L_{AB} = \gamma_A \gamma_B \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds e^{-\frac{\gamma_A^2 u^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{\gamma_B^2 (u-s)^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{-i\Omega(\gamma_A u - \gamma_B(u-s))} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(s) \quad (65)$$

and then performing the integration over  $u$ ,

$$L_{AB} = \frac{\gamma_A \gamma_B \sigma \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}} e^{-\frac{(\gamma_A - \gamma_B)^2 \sigma^2 \Omega^2}{2(\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2)}} \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds e^{-\frac{\gamma_A^2 \gamma_B^2 s^2}{2(\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2) \sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{i\Omega s \gamma_A \gamma_B (\gamma_A + \gamma_B)}{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}} Q_0(s) W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(s) \quad (66)$$

where

$$Q_0(s) = \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{(\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2)t_f + i(\gamma_A - \gamma_B)\Omega\sigma^2 - \gamma_B^2 s}{\sqrt{2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}}\right] + \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{(\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2)t_f - i(\gamma_A - \gamma_B)\Omega\sigma^2 + \gamma_B^2 s}{\sqrt{2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}}\right] \quad (67)$$

*Cosine switching*—For compact switching, we utilise the switching function

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} \cos^2\left[\frac{x}{\delta}\right] & -\frac{\pi\delta}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (68)$$

where  $\delta$  is the characteristic width of the switching function. The transition probability becomes

$$P_D = \lambda^2 \gamma_D^2 \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \cos^2\left[\frac{\gamma_D u}{\delta}\right] \Theta_u \cos^2\left[\frac{\gamma_D(u-s)}{\delta}\right] \Theta_{u-s} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(s) \quad (69)$$

where we have defined the Heaviside step functions  $\Theta_u$ ,  $\Theta_{u-s}$  which only has support over the prescribed domains of the compactly supported switching. The bounds of the  $u$  integration can thus be redefined as

$$P_D = \lambda^2 \gamma_D^2 \int_{-\pi\delta/2\gamma_D}^{\pi\delta/2\gamma_D} du \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \cos^2\left[\frac{\gamma_D u}{\delta}\right] \cos^2\left[\frac{\gamma_D(u-s)}{\delta}\right] \Theta_{u-s} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(s). \quad (70)$$

Performing the  $u$  integration yields

$$P_D = \frac{\lambda^2 \pi \delta \gamma_D}{8} \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \left(2 + \cos\left[\frac{2\gamma_D s}{\delta}\right]\right) e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(D)}(s) \quad (71)$$

Meanwhile for the  $L_{AB}$  term,

$$L_{AB} = \gamma_A \gamma_B \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds Y_0(s) W_{\text{BTZ}}^{(AB)}(s) \quad (72)$$

where

$$Y_0 = \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} du \cos^2\left[\frac{\gamma_A u}{\delta}\right] \Theta_u \cos^2\left[\frac{\gamma_B(u-s)}{\delta}\right] \Theta_{u-s} e^{-i\Omega(\gamma_A u - \gamma_B(u-s))}. \quad (73)$$

In Fig. 3, we find similar qualitative features in the transition probability as found in the Gaussian switching case. We have additionally plotted the cross-term  $L_{AB}$  alongside the overall transition probabilities of the detector. When choosing a Gaussian switching with a comparable width and area under the curve, we obtain transition probabilities on the same order of magnitude.

### Integral expressions for $P_D$ and $L_{AB}$ with Gaussian switching

Here, we calculate the simplified integral form of  $P_D$  and  $L_{AB}$  for a Gaussian detector switching, as shown in Eqs. (16) and (17) in the main text. Inserting the Wightman functions into the expression for the transition probability, we obtain the following expression

$$\frac{P_D}{\sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\gamma_D}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi l\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{\gamma_D} \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 s^2}{4\sigma^2}} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} H_0(s)}{\sqrt{\frac{R_D^2}{\gamma_B^2 l^2} \cosh(2\pi(n-m)\sqrt{M}) - \frac{1}{\gamma_B^2} - \cosh(s/l)}}, \quad (74)$$




FIG. 3. Normalised transition probability of the detector with cosine switching. The qualitative features that appeared in the Gaussian switching case likewise appear here. We have used the parameters  $l/\delta = 3.33$ ,  $R_D/\delta = 16.67$ ,  $t_f = 5\delta$ ,  $M_A l^2 = 0.5$ ,

where  $H_0(s) = \text{erf}\left[\frac{\gamma_D(s+2t_f)}{2\sigma}\right] - \text{erf}\left[\frac{\gamma_D(s-2t_f)}{2\sigma}\right]$ . Splitting up the summation into contributions where  $n = m$  and  $n \neq m$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_D}{\sigma} &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\gamma_D}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi l\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n=m} \frac{1}{\gamma_D} \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 s^2}{4\sigma^2}} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} H_0(s)}{\sqrt{1 - \cosh(s/l)}} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\gamma_D}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi l\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{1}{\gamma_D} \int_{-t_f}^{t_f} ds \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 s^2}{4\sigma^2}} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s} H_0(s)}{\sqrt{\frac{R_D^2}{\gamma_D^2 l^2} \cosh(2\pi(n-m)\sqrt{M}) - \frac{1}{\gamma_D^2} - \cosh(s/l)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Using the identity  $\sinh^2(s/2l) = \cosh(s/l) - 1$  and making simplifying substitutions of the integration variable yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_D}{\sigma} &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\gamma_D}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n=m} \frac{1}{\gamma_D} \int_{-t_f/2l}^{t_f/2l} dz \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 l^2 z^2}{\sigma^2}} e^{-2i\Omega\gamma_D l z} H_0(2lz)}{i\sqrt{2} \sinh(z)} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\gamma_D}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{1}{\gamma_D} \int_{-t_f/l}^{t_f/l} dz \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 l^2 z^2}{4\sigma^2}} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D l z} H_0(lz)}{\sqrt{\frac{R_D^2}{\gamma_D^2 l^2} \cosh(2\pi(n-m)\sqrt{M}) - \frac{1}{\gamma_D^2} - \cosh(z)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

To deal with the poles in the first integral, it is convenient to utilise the Sokhotski formula,

$$\frac{1}{\sinh(z - i\epsilon)} = i\pi\delta(z) + \text{PV} \frac{1}{\sinh(z)} \quad (77)$$

which allows for the simplification:

$$\frac{P_D}{\sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}H_0(0)}{8} - \frac{i}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \text{PV} \int_{-t_f/2l}^{t_f/2l} dz \frac{X_0(2lz)H_0(2lz)}{\sinh(z)} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}\pi} \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n \neq m} \text{Re} \int_0^{t_f/l} dz \frac{X_0(lz)H_0(lz)}{\sqrt{\beta_{nm} - \cosh(z)}}, \quad (78)$$

having defined

$$X_0(s) = e^{-\frac{\gamma_D^2 s^2}{4\sigma^2}} e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D s}, \quad (79)$$

$$\beta_{nm} = \frac{R_D^2}{\gamma_D^2 l^2} \cosh(2\pi(n-m)\sqrt{M}) - \frac{1}{\gamma_D^2}. \quad (80)$$

This is the result stated in Eq. (16) in the main text. In the infinite interaction-time limit, this reduces to Eq. (17) derived in [50]. Likewise, substituting the Wightman function for the cross-term into Eq. (66), we obtain

$$\frac{L_{AB}}{\sigma} = \frac{Y_0}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n,m} \text{Re} \int_0^{t_f/l} dz \frac{Z_0(lz)Q_0(lz)}{\sqrt{\alpha_{nm} - \cosh(z)}} \quad (81)$$

where  $Q_0(s)$  is given in (67) and we have defined

$$Y_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_A \gamma_B} \sqrt{\pi}}{4\pi \sqrt{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}} e^{-\frac{(\gamma_A - \gamma_B)^2 \sigma^2 \Omega^2}{2(\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2)}}, \quad (82)$$

$$Z_0(lz) = e^{-\frac{\gamma_A^2 \gamma_B^2 l^2 z^2}{2(\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2) \sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{i\Omega lz \gamma_A \gamma_B (\gamma_A + \gamma_B)}{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}}, \quad (83)$$

$$\alpha_{nm} = \frac{1}{\gamma_A \gamma_B} \left[ \frac{R_D^2 \cosh(2\pi(m\sqrt{M_A} - n\sqrt{M_B}))}{\sqrt{M_A M_B} l^2} - 1 \right]. \quad (84)$$

This is Eq. (17) as shown in the main text.

### Rotating frame transformation

Up to this point, we have considered the black hole as dynamically evolving under its free Hamiltonian, which is manifest as a rotating phase between the energy eigenstates in superposition. If one utilizes the rotating frame transformation, one may absorb the time-dependent phases in Eq. (47) into the definition of  $|M_B\rangle$ , yielding

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_f)\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M_A\rangle + |M_B\rangle)|0\rangle|g\rangle - (i\lambda) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M_A\rangle + |M_B\rangle)|0\rangle|g\rangle \\ &\quad - (\lambda^2) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} d\tau \int_{t_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau) \hat{H}_{\text{int.}}(\tau') \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|M_A\rangle + |M_B\rangle)|0\rangle|g\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Following the same procedure, one can measure in the  $|\pm\rangle$  basis and trace out the field, which leaves the following expressions for the ground and excited state probabilities of the detector:

$$P_G^{(\pm)} = \frac{(1 \pm 1)}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (P_A + P_B) \right], \quad (86)$$

$$P_E^{(\pm)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4} (P_A + P_B \pm 2L_{AB}). \quad (87)$$

The sum of these probabilities equals unity. The utility of taking the rotating frame transformation is that one can obtain closed-form expressions for  $P_D$  and  $L_{AB}$  in the infinite interaction time limit (i.e.  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$  and  $t_f \rightarrow \infty$ ). In this limit, it is more convenient to work with the normalised transition probability,  $F_D = P_D/\sigma$  and  $F_{AB} = L_{AB}/\sigma$ , so we define:

$$F_G^{(\pm)} = \frac{(1 \pm 1)}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (F_A + F_B) \right], \quad (88)$$

$$F_E^{(\pm)} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4} (F_A + F_B \pm 2F_{AB}). \quad (89)$$

The individual terms in this limit are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_D &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \frac{e^{-2i\Omega\gamma_D lz}}{\sinh(z)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n \neq m} \text{Re} \int_0^{\infty} dz \frac{e^{-i\Omega\gamma_D lz}}{\sqrt{\frac{R_D^2}{M_D \gamma_D^2 l^2} \cosh(2\pi(n-m)\sqrt{M_D}) - \frac{1}{\gamma_D^2} - \cosh(z)}} \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Defining  $\cosh(\alpha_{nm}) = \frac{R_D^2}{M_D \gamma_D^2 l^2} \cosh(2\pi(n-m)\sqrt{M_D}) - \frac{1}{\gamma_D^2}$ , then this simplifies to

$$F_D = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \left( 1 - \tanh(\Omega\pi l \gamma_D) \right) + \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \left( 1 - \tanh(\Omega\pi l \gamma_D) \right) P_{-\frac{1}{2} + i\Omega\gamma_D}(\cosh(\alpha_{nm})) \quad (91)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n, m} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \left( 1 - \tanh(\Omega\pi l \gamma_D) \right) P_{-\frac{1}{2} + i\Omega l \gamma_D}(\cosh(\alpha_{nm})) \quad (92)$$

It is straightforwardly to show that this is equivalent to the expression derived in [50] for the response of a static detector outside a BTZ black hole with classical mass. Likewise for the cross-term,

$$F_{AB} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_A \gamma_B} \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}} \frac{1}{2\pi \sum_n \eta^{2n}} \sum_{n,m} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{dz e^{-\frac{i\Omega z \gamma_A \gamma_B (\gamma_A + \gamma_B)}{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{R_D^2}{\sqrt{M_A M_B} \gamma_A \gamma_B l^2} \cosh(2\pi(m\sqrt{M_A} - n\sqrt{M_B})) - \frac{1}{\gamma_A \gamma_B} - \cosh(z)}}} \quad (93)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_n \eta^{2n}} \frac{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\gamma_A \gamma_B}}{\sqrt{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \left(1 - \tanh(\Omega \pi l \tilde{\gamma})\right) \sum_n \sum_m P_{-\frac{1}{2} + i\Omega l \tilde{\gamma}}(\cosh(\alpha_{nm}^{AB})), \quad (94)$$

where we have defined  $\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma_A \gamma_B (\gamma_A + \gamma_B)}{\gamma_A^2 + \gamma_B^2}$ . In Fig. 4, we have plotted the transition probability as a function of  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A}$ . The




FIG. 4. Transition probability in the rotating frame as a function of  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A}$ . We have used  $R_D/l = 4$ ,  $M_A l^2 = 1$  and  $\Omega l = -1$ . The vertical dotted lines correspond to the values  $\sqrt{M_B/M_A} = 1/n$  where  $n = \{1, \dots, 5\}$ .

resonant peaks in the transition probability are manifest at rational values of the square root mass ratio, as in the finite-interaction time scenario. Since we are using a frame in which the superposition state of the black hole is stationary, the low-frequency oscillatory envelope determined by the  $\cos(\Delta E \Delta t)$  term in the finite-time case is no longer present.