

Quantization of a Black-Hole Gravity: geometrodynamics and the quantum phase-space formalism

Carla R. Almeida* and Denis C. Rodrigues^{a†}

^a*PPGCosmo, CCE - Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, 29075-910 Vitória, ES.*

(Dated: November 29, 2021)

Quantum gravity is effective in domains where both quantum effects and gravity are important, such as in the vicinity of space-time singularities. This paper will investigate the quantization of a black-hole gravity, particularly the region surrounding the singularity hidden inside the event horizon. Describing the system with a Hamiltonian formalism, we apply the covariant integral method to find the Wheeler-DeWitt equation of the model. We find that the quantized system has a discrete energy spectrum, and the time coefficient g_{00} provides the dynamics for this model in a non-trivial way. In a semi-classical analysis, different configurations for the phase space of a Schwarzschild black hole is obtained.

I. Introduction

Between the detection of gravitational waves produced by the merge of two black holes and the release of a shadow-image by the Event Horizon Telescope team, it seems safe to say that the near future of black-hole physics is exciting. New observational techniques and technology provide promising tools for us to learn more about those objects, and the theory tells us there is still plenty to unveil about them. Perhaps the most curious feature of those objects is the singularity hidden by the event horizon—a hole of infinity density in the fabric of space-time. Strange as it is, it is still a proper prediction of general relativity (GR) [1]. It is, however, located in a region forever outside of our reach. The interior of a black hole is inaccessible to external observers. Nevertheless, there is still a chance to test theories about that region via measurable phenomena, like gravitational waves and Hawking radiation.

The investigation of black holes using quantum theory is not new. In fact, the thermodynamical theory, proposed in the early 1970s [2], requires the consideration of quantum effects near the event horizon. The imbalance of particle/anti-particle production in this region leads to a low but constant rate of produced particles being emitted to infinity—the Hawking radiation. In the extreme environment of the neighborhood around the singularity, however, gravity virtually annuls any

* cralmeida00@gmail.com

† deniscr@gmail.com

quantum effects if we consider this same *ad hoc* merge of quantum theory and GR. An alternative would be to quantize gravity itself to investigate if the quantization leads to relevant corrections to the classical theory. Naturally, quantum gravity has a specific scope of action: Planck-scale regions with strong gravitational influence. This paper investigates precisely such region of a Schwarzschild black hole with the lenses of a quantum-gravity theory via Wheller-DeWitt equation.

However, instead of the canonical, we will consider another quantization method based on the symmetry group of the system's phase-space. The canonical method, in which we have the operators,

$$\hat{Q} : f(x) \mapsto xf(x) \quad ; \quad \hat{P} : f(x) \mapsto -i\frac{d}{dx}f(x), \quad (1)$$

more suitable for variables $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For different coordinate domains, this method becomes inadequate [3]. It happens because the quantization depends on the symmetry between the variable and its momentum, and different domains will have different symmetry groups for their phase-space. The covariant integral method is, thus, a more interesting alternative instead of the canonical one. It takes into consideration those symmetries to obtain quantum operators of any classical function (or distribution) of the position and momentum. In this alternate method, the canonical is a particular case of the covariant integral quantization for the whole real line [4], in which the symmetries are elements of the Weyl-Heisenberg group.

Gravitational models, including cosmological ones, are often described by strictly positive parameters, such as the radius in polar coordinate or the scale factor of the Universe in a FRLW metric. The canonical quantization of this kind of variable is often clunky, leading to the necessity of extra conditions and terms placed by hand to maintain the desirable features of a quantum theory, such as, for example, the self-adjointness of the kinetic potential operator. To avoid these issues in the quantization of strictly positive variables, $\mathbb{R}_+^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x > 0\}$, thus, we shall use the covariant integral method based on the affine group

$$\Pi_+ := \{(q, p) | q > 0; p \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad ; \quad (q, p)(q_0, p_0) := \left(qq_0, \frac{p_0}{q} + p \right). \quad (2)$$

This method has recently been used in scenarios such as the early Universe [5–7]. In these models, the initial singularity was replaced in a semi-classical limit by a smooth bounce. For the case of black holes, affine quantization has been applied for simplified models with interesting results, such as singularity removal and displacement of the horizon [8].

In this paper, we approach the problem from a different angle. The goal is to study the quantum

system described by the Wheeler-DeWitt (WdW) equation of a black-hole model. The Hamiltonian description of general relativity is a constrained system [9]. In particular, the quantization of the Hamiltonian constraint, $H = 0$, leads to the WdW equation [10]

$$\hat{H}\Psi = 0. \quad (3)$$

When compared to the Schrödinger equation, which governs the dynamics of the quantum system, we notice the wave function Ψ in (28) is time-independent. This is known as the problem of time [11]: time disappears in quantized gravitational models. It happens mainly because the Hamiltonian constraint is valid in the spatial hypersurface of a 3+1 decomposition,

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -N^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij}(dx^i + \beta^i dt)(dx^j + \beta^j dt), \quad (4)$$

and thus it is effectively calculated “for a given time.” Nevertheless, in this ADM formalism, the functions N and β^i are not dynamical entities. Thus, we shall consider that the dynamics of the quantized system are given by another intrinsic parameter. We will investigate how this realizes in the case of black holes. For this initial investigation, we will consider $c = \hbar = G = 1$.

This paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the tools to apply the affine quantization method in the model. In Section III, we review the Hamiltonian formalism for a black hole, as laid out in Cavaglià et al. [12]. The quantization of the black hole system via Wheeler-DeWitt equation is exposed in Section IV. In Section V, we restrict our calculations to the interior of the event horizon. And, finally, the semi-classical limit offered by the affine quantization method is presented in Section VI. We end our paper with a discussion of our results.

II. An exposition of the affine quantization method

The affine quantization method has a rich mathematical foundation. A deeper revision of this method can be found in [13]. For our purpose, however, we will present a brief exposition of the quantization procedure. The goal is to pose enough formalism to understand the tools we will use, namely the final form of the affine operator and the introduction of a semi-classical picture derived from the method.

Let us consider the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_γ , defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma := L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}_+, \frac{dx}{x^{\gamma+1}} \right). \quad (5)$$

It is the space of square-integrable functions on the half-plane equipped with the measure $d\mu(x) = dx/x^{\gamma+1}$. It has a continuous basis $|x\rangle$, which is orthogonal and closed, that is,

$$\langle x|x'\rangle = x^{\gamma+1}\delta(x-x') \quad ; \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{\gamma+1}}|x\rangle\langle x'| = I_{\mathcal{H}_\gamma}, \quad (6)$$

where $I_{\mathcal{H}_\gamma}$ is the identity of \mathcal{H}_γ . To build the quantum operator, we will make use of the so-called *coherent states* $|q, p\rangle$, quantum states representing the physical system [14], defined as

$$\langle x|q, p\rangle = q^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} e^{ipx} \psi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\psi(x) \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+, \frac{dx}{x^{\gamma+1}}\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+, \frac{dx}{x^{\gamma+2}}\right) = \mathcal{H}_\gamma \cap \mathcal{H}_{\gamma+1}. \quad (8)$$

The function ψ is called a *fiducial vector* or *wavelets*, and the condition (8) is necessary to ensure the smoothness of the quantum operator. In this paper, we will consider $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\bar{\psi} = \psi$.

The affine quantization is a map that takes a classical function $f(q, p)$ and turns it into a unique operator A_f such that

$$A_f = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dqdp}{2\pi c_\gamma} f(q, p) |q, p\rangle\langle q, p|. \quad (9)$$

The constant $c_\gamma = c_\gamma^{(0)}$ is defined by the rule,

$$c_\gamma^{(n)} = c_\gamma^{(n)}(\psi) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{\gamma+2}} |\psi^{(n)}(x)|^2, \quad (10)$$

where $\psi^{(n)}$ is the n^{th} derivative of ψ . Therefore, for a generic function $\varphi(x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the operator A_f applied to φ in the basis $|x\rangle$ is given by,

$$\langle x|A_f|\varphi\rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dqdp}{2\pi c_\gamma} f(q, p) \int_0^\infty \frac{dx'}{(x')^{\gamma+1}} q^\gamma e^{ip(x-x')} \psi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x'}{q}\right) \varphi(x'). \quad (11)$$

Clearly, the covariant integral method, to which we are presenting here for the affine group (2), is quite different from the canonical one. But we can better understand its realization with the quantization of classical parameters, such as position, momentum, and kinetic energy.

Using (11), the quantization of q^β and p , and p^2 yields,

$$\langle x|A_{q^\beta}|\varphi\rangle = \frac{c_{\gamma+\beta}}{c_\gamma} x^\beta \varphi(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_{q^\beta} = \frac{c_{\gamma+\beta}}{c_\gamma} \hat{Q}^\beta; \quad (12)$$

$$\langle x|A_p|\varphi\rangle = -i \frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x) + i \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{x} \varphi(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_p = \hat{P} + i \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right) \hat{Q}^{-1}; \quad (13)$$

where \hat{Q} and \hat{P} are, respectively, the canonical position and momentum operators defined in 1. Writing those A_{q^β} and A_p in terms of the canonical operator allows us to visualize how the affine method acts. Constant aside, the affine quantization of the position results in the same canonical operator of this parameter. There is a more dramatic change for the quantization of the momentum, however, with the appearance of a term \hat{Q}^{-1} . This happens because we are considering a general Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_γ for the system. If, for example, $\gamma = -1$, that is, $\mathcal{H}_{-1} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$, then A_p coincides with the canonical momentum operator. With a similar calculation, we can find A_{p^2} ,

$$A_{p^2} = \hat{P}^2 + i(\gamma+1) \hat{Q}^{-1} \hat{P} + \left(\frac{c_{\gamma-2}^{(1)}}{c_\gamma} - \frac{(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)}{2} \right) \hat{Q}^{-2}. \quad (14)$$

We see that, at least for $\gamma = -1$, the affine quantization naturally recovers the self-adjoint character of the kinetic potential (see [13]).

Definition (9) provides an interesting way to obtain a dequantization map by considering the (classical) function \check{f} as the expected value of the operator A_f with respect to the coherent states $|p, q\rangle$,

$$\check{f} := \langle q, p|A_f|q, p\rangle. \quad (15)$$

This is the quantum phase-space portrait, and it can be interpreted as a quantum correction of the classical function f . We can, however, obtain \check{f} directly from the function f using (9) and (15):

$$\begin{aligned} \check{f} &= \frac{1}{2\pi c_\gamma} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty dq' dp' q^\gamma q'^\gamma \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{dx dx'}{x^{\gamma+1} (x')^{\gamma+1}} f(q', p') \\ &\quad \times e^{ip(x-x')} e^{-ip'(x-x')} \psi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x'}{q}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x}{q'}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x'}{q'}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It is worth mentioning that, when the constant \hbar is reinstated, $\check{f} \rightarrow f$ for $\hbar \rightarrow 0$.

With these tools in hand, let us apply this method for the case of a black hole gravity.

III. Hamiltonian Description of a black hole

The classical theory of black holes is often studied with the Lagrangian formalism, considering the Einstein-Hilbert action from which Einstein's equations are derived. For our purposes, however, we will describe a spherical-symmetric black-hole solution with a Hamiltonian formalism, as proposed in [12].

Let us write the spherical symmetric solution of Einstein's equation as,

$$ds^2 = -4a(r)dt^2 + 4n(r)dr^2 + b^2(r)d\Omega^2. \quad (17)$$

According to Birkhoff's Theorem [15], every spherical symmetric solution to Einstein's equations is the Schwarzschild solution (or Reissner-Nordstöm, if charged). Thus, we have,

$$a(r) = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}\right) = n(r)^{-1} \quad ; \quad b(r) = r, \quad (18)$$

where r_S is the Schwarzschild radius. But let us keep the coordinates (a, b) for the moment.

Considering a 3 + 1 decomposition of the space-time [9], the action is written as

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{V_4} d^4x \sqrt{-g} (R + 2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial V_4} d^3x \sqrt{h} \mathbf{K}, \quad (19)$$

where \mathbf{K} represents the extrinsic curvature of the spacial hypersurface, and Λ is the cosmological constants. For simplicity, we are going to consider $\Lambda = 0$. With $g_{\mu\nu}$ given by (17), and integrating in Ω [16], the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} , given by

$$S = \int dt \int dr \mathcal{L}(a, b, l), \quad (20)$$

becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = 2l \left(\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{l^2} + \frac{a\dot{b}^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right), \quad (21)$$

with dot being the derivative with respect to r . The non-dynamical quantity l is given by $l = 4\sqrt{an}$. As usual, a simple calculation using the canonical momenta results in the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = lH$, where

$$H = \frac{1}{b^2} [p_a(bp_b - ap_a)] - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (22)$$

Let us consider the canonical coordinate change,

$$\alpha = \ln(|a|) \quad ; \quad \beta = 2\sqrt{|a|b}. \quad (23)$$

In these coordinates, the Hamiltonian is (equation 2.25 of [12]):

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma \left(p_\beta^2 - 4 \frac{p_\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \right) - 1 \right], \quad (24)$$

where $\sigma = \text{sign}(a)$. For a Schwarzschild black hole, $\sigma = 1$ means the region on the exterior of the black hole, since the metric would have the signature $(-, +, +, +)$, while $\sigma = -1$ represents the region on the interior of a black hole, where the coefficients for the time and radial coordinates change signs, that is, the signature becomes $(+, -, +, +)$.

Notice that, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. For the coordinate β , thus, the affine quantization is applicable. Meanwhile, the coordinate α seems an appealing candidate to play the role of time, imparting dynamics to the model. With this in mind, it is worth considering another canonical transformation for the coordinate α :

$$T = \frac{\alpha}{p_\alpha} \quad ; \quad P_T = \frac{p_\alpha^2}{2}. \quad (25)$$

In these new coordinates, the Hamiltonian becomes,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma \left(p_\beta^2 - 8 \frac{p_T}{\beta^2} \right) - 1 \right], \quad (26)$$

The Hamiltonian constraint $H = 0$ is, then,

$$H = \beta^2 p_\beta^2 - 8 p_T - \sigma \beta^2 = 0. \quad (27)$$

This is the equation we will quantize in the next section.

IV. Quantization of Black Hole gravity

Consider $\Psi(\beta, T)$ to be the wave-function that describe the black-hole gravity. The quantization of the Hamiltonian constraint (27) leads to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation:

$$\left[A_{\beta^2 p_\beta^2} - 8 A_{p_T} - \sigma A_{\beta^2} \right] \Psi = 0, \quad (28)$$

where A_f are as defined in Section II. Before calculating those operators with the integral covariant method, however, we should analyze the Hilbert space of the wave function. Since equation (28) is separable, we must have $\Psi(\beta, T) = \Psi_1(\beta)\Psi_2(T)$, where

$$\Psi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{-2}^{(\beta)} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \beta d\beta) \quad ; \quad \Psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{-1}^{(T)} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, dT). \quad (29)$$

Thus, for the coordinate T , we can consider the canonical quantization given in (1), and then,

$$A_{p_T} = -i\partial_T. \quad (30)$$

For the coordinate β , the radial coordinate, we will use the affine quantization. Let us apply the formalism laid out in Section II with $\gamma = -2$.

The operator A_{β^2} is given in (12),

$$A_{\beta^2} = \frac{c_0}{c_{-2}}\beta^2. \quad (31)$$

For $A_{\beta^2 p_\beta^2}$, using equation (11), a lengthy calculation results in,

$$A_{\beta^2 p_\beta^2} = -\frac{c_0}{c_{-2}} \left[\beta^2 \partial_\beta^2 + 3\beta \partial_\beta + \left(1 - \frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}}{c_0} \right) \right]. \quad (32)$$

Substituting these operators in the WdW equation (28), we get a Schrödinger-like equation of the form:

$$\frac{c_0}{8c_{-2}} \left[\beta^2 \partial_\beta^2 + 3\beta \partial_\beta + \left(-\sigma\beta^2 + 1 - \frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}}{c_0} \right) \right] \Psi = i\partial_T \Psi. \quad (33)$$

Comparing (33) to the usual Schrödinger equation, $\hat{H}\Psi = i(d/dt)\Psi$, we find the quantized Hamiltonian of the system,

$$\hat{H} = \frac{c_0}{8c_{-2}} \left[\beta^2 \partial_\beta^2 + 3\beta \partial_\beta + \left(-\sigma\beta^2 + 1 - \frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}}{c_0} \right) \right], \quad (34)$$

with the dynamics being connected to the coefficient g_{00} of the metric (17). So, in a sense, the evolution is dictated by a non-trivial function of the temporal coefficient of the metric. Another important remark, the Hamiltonian operator changes for the interior and exterior regions of the black hole, as expected, according to the value of σ .

The general solution of the WdW equation (33) is,

$$\Psi(\beta, T) = \beta^{-1} [N_1 J_\nu(\sqrt{-\sigma}\beta) + N_2 Y_\nu(\sqrt{-\sigma}\beta)] e^{iET}, \quad (35)$$

where J_ν and Y_ν are Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, respectively; N_1, N_2 are constants; E is the energy of the system; and

$$\nu = \left(\frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}}{c_0} + \frac{8c_{-2}}{c_0} E \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (36)$$

Before analyzing the boundary conditions, let us first specify σ .

V. For $\sigma = -1$: the interior of the black hole

The quantization of a gravitational model is useful to investigate domains in Planck-scale where gravity plays an essential role. In this paper, we will focus on the region around the singularity $b = 0$, or equivalently, $\beta = 0$. For this reason, we will choose $\sigma = -1$, which corresponds to the interior of the black hole.

Considering the solution (35), from the boundary conditions, we must have $N_2 = 0$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, otherwise the solution is not well-defined at the origin ($\beta = 0$) [17]. Therefore, renaming $\nu = n$, from (36), we obtain a discrete energy spectrum for the system:

$$E_n = \frac{c_0}{8c_{-2}} \left(n^2 - \frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}}{c_0} \right). \quad (37)$$

And thus, the wave-function that describes the black-hole system is,

$$\Psi(\beta, T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(n) \beta^{-1} J_n(\beta) e^{iE_n T}. \quad (38)$$

To find the coefficients $N(n)$ of equation (38), let us calculate the module of Ψ_n for a given n [18].

$$|\Psi_n|^2 = |N(n)|^2 \int_0^\infty \Psi_n \Psi_n^* \beta d\beta = |N(n)|^2 \int_0^\infty [J_n(\beta)]^2 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = \frac{|N(n)|^2}{2(n+1)}. \quad (39)$$

And also, as usual,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\Psi_n|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|N_n|^2}{2(n+1)} = 1. \quad (40)$$

We can choose, for example, $|N_n|^2 = S_p^{-1}[2(n+1)^{-p+1}]$, where $p > 1$, and S_p is a convergent series defined as,

$$S_p := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^p}. \quad (41)$$

And then,

$$\Psi_n(\beta, T) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{S_p}} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}} \beta^{-1} J_n(\beta) e^{iE_n T}. \quad (42)$$

The energy levels can be calculated if we specify the fiducial vectors. Let us choose, for example, $\psi(\beta)$ as:

$$\psi(\beta) = \frac{9}{\sqrt{6}} \beta^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{3\beta}{2}}. \quad (43)$$

Thus, $c_{-2} = c_{-1} = 1$, $c_0 = 9/6$, and $c_{-2}^{(1)} = 9/8$. Substituting those values in equation (37), we obtain:

$$E_n = \frac{3}{16} \left(n^2 - \frac{3}{4} \right). \quad (44)$$

With this choice of fiducial vectors, the energy is negative for $n = 0$, and it is positive for $n \geq 1$. This could indicate that the fundamental state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is a wormhole solution, by direct relation with the violation of Null Energy Condition [19].

VI. Quantum phase-space portrait of a BH

With the quantum system described in the last section, we can utilize the dequantization map defined in equation (15) to obtain a semi-classical equation of motion for this quantized model, where the effective Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} is given by (34). Classically, identifying Hamiltonian

with energy, we have,

$$\check{H} = \langle \beta, p_\beta | \hat{H} | \beta, p_\beta \rangle = E. \quad (45)$$

However, instead of calculating this expected value, using equation (16) in the Hamiltonian constraint (27) is simpler, if we rewrite it as $\check{f}(\beta, p_\beta) = \check{p}_T$, where $f(\beta, p_\beta) = \beta^2 p_\beta^2 - \sigma \beta^2$ and considering $\check{p}_T = E$. We should remark, however, that the term \check{p}_T is not given by the affine dequantization map, since $T \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, its phase-space has a different symmetry group. And \check{H} is the classical equivalent of the effective Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} of the quantized model. So, although this parallel is interesting, the dequantization map is not applied to the Hamiltonian constraint. But, without loss of generality, let us proceed this way. Using (16), we find that,

$$\check{\beta}^2 = \frac{c_{-3}c_0}{c_{-2}} q^2; \quad (46)$$

$$\beta^2 \check{p}^2 = \frac{c_{-5}c_0}{c_{-2}} \left[\beta^2 p^2 + \left(\frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}c_{-3}}{c_{-5}c_0} + \frac{c_{-5}^{(1)}}{c_{-5}} + \frac{c_{-3}}{c_{-5}} \right) \right]. \quad (47)$$

Therefore, equation (45) becomes,

$$\beta^2 p_\beta^2 - \sigma \beta^2 = 8 \frac{c_{-2}}{c_0 c_{-5}} E - \left(\frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}c_{-3}}{c_{-5}c_0} + \frac{c_{-5}^{(1)}}{c_{-5}} + \frac{c_{-3}}{c_{-5}} \right). \quad (48)$$

Returning to the Schwarzschild-Droste coordinates (17), in terms of $a(r)$ and $b(r)$, equation (48) is,

$$\frac{4}{l^2} b^2 (\dot{a}b + 2a\dot{b})^2 - 4ab^2 = 8 \frac{c_{-2}}{c_0 c_{-5}} E - \left(\frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}c_{-3}}{c_{-5}c_0} + \frac{c_{-5}^{(1)}}{c_{-5}} + \frac{c_{-3}}{c_{-5}} \right). \quad (49)$$

In this case, we have $l = 4$ and

$$a(r) = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{r_S}{r} \right) = n(r)^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{a}(r) = r_S \frac{\dot{r}}{r}; \quad (50)$$

$$b(r) = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{b}(r) = \dot{r}, \quad (51)$$

where r_S is the Schwarzschild radius. Thus, equation (49) becomes,

$$\left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{r_S}{2} + 1 \right) r \dot{r} \right]^2 - \frac{r_S}{8} r \dot{r}^2 - r^2 + r_S r = 8 \frac{c_{-2}}{c_0 c_{-5}} E - \left(\frac{c_{-2}^{(1)}c_{-3}}{c_{-5}c_0} + \frac{c_{-5}^{(1)}}{c_{-5}} + \frac{c_{-3}}{c_{-5}} \right). \quad (52)$$

Considering the fiducial vector given in (43), we have $c_3 = 4/3$, $c_5 = 40/9$, and $c_5^{(1)} = 3$. Therefore,

$$\left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{r_S}{2} + 1 \right) r \dot{r} \right]^2 - \frac{r_S}{8} r \dot{r}^2 - r^2 + r_S r = \frac{6}{5} (E - 1). \quad (53)$$

This can be seen as a semi-classical approximation for the equation of motion.

Despite the fact that equation (53) is valid for the interior of the black hole, we can get valuable insight from the phase-space portrait from a qualitative analysis of the model. For example, taking $r_S = 2$ (or, equivalently, $M = 1$) and considering the positive, discrete energy spectrum obtained in Section V, we find different configurations for the phase-space of the system (Figures 1 and 2).




FIG. 1: Phase-space plot of (53) for the energy E_n , with $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, respectively.




FIG. 2: Phase-space plot of (53) for the energy E_n , with $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, respectively.

The last configuration remains for greater energy levels, with eventual adjustments to the graphic's curvature. It is the same behavior of the Schwarzschild classical model's phase-space [20, 21], with the momentum rapidly increasing near the singularity. At least for higher energy levels, the singularity at the $r = 0$ remains, and quantum effects do not seem relevant.

However, in the lower-energy states, the phase space splits into two disjoint regions. Disjoint regions of the phase space have appeared in non-locally corrected gravity of cosmological models

for dark energy [22]. This disruption in the momentum's continuity indicates a transition between different environments, suggesting stages of collapse in which the singularity has not yet been formed.

Naturally, this speculative analysis is incomplete, to say the least, but it gives us a good indicative of what kind of information the quantization of a black-hole gravity provides. This method is particularly useful to study micro and primordial black holes, or any BH in late stages of evaporation, since they are in a scale where quantum effects are supposed to be relevant. This initial research opens several possibilities for further investigation.

Conclusion

The quantization of a black hole's gravitational field can give us valuable insights into some of the enigmas surrounding those objects. In this initial investigation, we quantize a black hole model via the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in the mini super-space. We used the covariant integral quantization method, which we argued is more suitable for treating variables in different domains. Furthermore, it reproduces the canonical method for the usual case of coordinates in the real line. In particular, the quantization of strictly positive variables is given through the affine method, a covariant integral quantization that takes into consideration the affine symmetry of the system's phase space.

The Wheeler-DeWitt equation is obtained from the quantization of the Hamiltonian constraint of the theory of general relativity. Its most intriguing implication is that the evolution of the quantized system is given by an internal mechanism, an intrinsic variable playing the role of time. In our case, a parameter associated with the coefficient g_{00} of the metric gives the time evolution of the quantized model in a non-trivial way. Still, it is important that the dynamic can be recovered naturally—the quantization results in an effective Hamiltonian operator that governs the model. The solution of the Schrödinger-like Wheeler-DeWitt equation is a combination of Polynomials, Bessel functions, and the time exponential. Most notable, the energy spectrum of this system is discrete. The ground-state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ has negative energy, which suggests it could be a wormhole solution.

The affine method offers an intuitive dequantization map, in which we can recover a classical function of the position and momentum from any quantum operator. It is usually referred to as a phase-space portrait, and it can be interpreted as a quantum correction of classical systems. Through the dequantization map of the Hamiltonian operator, we presented a semi-classical analysis of the model for positive energies. The discrete energy spectrum generates different phase-space configurations. Lower energy levels present two disjoint regions, while high-energy levels are equivalent to what is expected from the classical theory. At least for those later levels, this formalism

does not remove the singularity at the origin.

The speculation left in this qualitative analysis of the semi-classical portrait will be further developed after we reinstate the fundamental constants G , c , and \hbar , to investigate the thermodynamical implications of the quantization. In particular, the interpretation of the energy levels, both negative and positive, could be explored through thermodynamics. Moreover, we could analyze particular cases of micro black holes, a case in which quantum gravity becomes relevant near the horizon. A thermodynamical examination of those has recently been examined as an alternative explanation for thermal-dominant gamma-ray bursts [23]. Another goal would be to extend the results to the case of a charged black hole. The quantization of a black hole gravity opens up many venues for future research.

-
- [1] Hawking, S; Penrose, R. The singularities of gravitational collapse and cosmology. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **1970**, **214**; pp. 529–548.
 - [2] Hawking, S. Black Hole explosion?. *Nature* **1974**, **248**; pp. 30–31.
 - [3] Twareque Ali, S; Englis, M. Quantization Methods: A guide to physicists and analysts. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics* **2005**, **17** 4; pp. 391–490.
 - [4] Gazeau, J.-P.; Baldiotti, M.C.; Fresenda, R. Three examples of covariant integral quantization. In Third International Satellite Conference on Mathematical Methods in Physics, Proceedings of ICMP Conference, Londrina, Brazil, 21-26 October 2013; Proceedings of Science: 2014.
 - [5] Bergeron, H.; Dapor, A.; Gazeau, J.-P.; Malkiewicz, P. Smooth Big Bounce from Affine Quantization. *Physical Review D* **2014**, **89**, 083522.
 - [6] Bergeron, H.; Czuchry, E.; Gazeau, J.-P.; Malkiewicz, P.; Piechocki, W. Smooth quantum dynamics of the mixmaster universe. *Physical Review D* **2014**, **92**, 061302(R).
 - [7] Frion, E.; Almeida, C.R. Affine quantization of the Brans-Dicke theory: Smooth bouncing and the equivalence between the Einstein and Jordan frames. *Physical Review D* **2014**, **99**, 023524.
 - [8] Zonetti, S. Affine quantization of black holes: Thermodynamics, singularity removal, and displaced horizons. *Physical Review D* **2014**, **90**, 064046.
 - [9] Gourgoulhon, E. *3+1 Formalism in General Relativity*, 1st. ed.; Springer: New York, U.S.A., 2012.
 - [10] DeWitt, B. Quantum Theory of Gravity. I. The Canonical Theory. *Physical Review* **1967**, **160** 5; pp. 1113–1148.
 - [11] Isham, C.J. Canonical Quantum Gravity and the Problem of Time. In: *Integrable Systems, Quantum Groups, and Quantum Field Theories* Ibort, L.A.; Rodríguez, M.A., Eds.; NATO ASI Series (Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences) **409**; Springer: Dordrecht, 1993; pp. 157–287.
 - [12] Cavaglià, M.; Alfaro, V.; Filippov, A.T. Hamiltonian Formalism for Black Holes and Quantization II.

- International Journal of Modern Physics D* **1996**, **5** (3); pp. 227–250.
- [13] Almeida, C.R.; Bergeron, H.; Gazeau, J.-P.; Scardua, A.C. Three examples of quantum dynamics on the half-line with smooth bouncing. *Annals of Physics* **2018**, *392*; pp.206–228.
- [14] Gazeau, J.-P. *Coherent States in Quantum Physics*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2009.
- [15] Birkhoff, George David. *Relativity and Modern Physics*; Harvard University Press: Cambridge, U.S.A., 1923.
- [16] Kuchař, K. V. Geometrodynamics of Schwarzschild black holes. *Physical Review D* **1994** **50** (6); pp. 3961—3981.
- [17] Abramowitz, M.; Stegun, I.A. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, 1st. ed.; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, D.C., U.S.A., 1964.
- [18] Gradshteyn, I.S.; Ryzhik, I.M. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. 7th ed.; Academic Press: California, United States, 2007.
- [19] Visser, M. *Lorentzian Wormholes: From Einstein to Hawking*; American Inst. of Physics: Maryland, U.S.A., 1995.
- [20] Robertson, H.P.; Noonan, T.W. *Relativity and Cosmology*; W. B. Saunders: Philadelphia, U.S.A., 1968.
- [21] Frolov, V.P.; Zelnikov, A. *Introduction to Black Hole Physics*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, England, 2011.
- [22] Jhingan, S. et. al. Phantom and Non-Phantom Dark Energy: The Cosmological Relevance of Non-Locally Corrected Gravity. *Physics Letters B* **2008** **663**; pp. 424–428.
- [23] del Barco, O. Primordial black hole origin for thermal gamma-ray bursts. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **2021** **506**; pp. 806–812.