
n -IDEAL AND n -WEAK AMENABILITY OF FRÉCHET ALGEBRAS

A PREPRINT

✉ **Ali Ranjbari***

Department of Mathematics
University of Isfahan
Isfahan, Iran
aranjbari1353@yahoo.com

✉ **Ali Rejali**

Department of Mathematics
University of Isfahan
Isfahan, Iran
rejali@sci.ui.ac.ir

November 30, 2021

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce and study some notations of amenability such as n -ideal amenability and n -weak amenability for Fréchet algebra and we examine how these concepts in Banach algebra can be generalized and defined for Fréchet algebra. We will also examine some inherited properties of n -ideal and n -weak amenable Fréchet algebra and determine the relations between m and n -weak amenability and m and n -ideal amenability for Fréchet algebra. Also, the relation of this new concepts of amenability of a Fréchet algebra and its unitization is investigated.

Keywords Fréchet algebra · n -ideal amenability · n -weak amenability

SubClass: 46H05, 46J05, 46A04, 43A15, 43A60.

1 Introduction

Some of the notions related to Banach algebras, have been introduced and studied for Fréchet algebra. For example, the notion of amenability of Fréchet algebra was studied by Pirkovskii [26]. Lawson and Read introduced and studied the notions of approximate amenability and approximate contractibility of Fréchet algebra in [24]. R. El Harti in [9], investigated the contractibility of Fréchet algebras. Abtahi and et al in [2], introduced and studied the notion of weak amenability of Fréchet algebra. Moreover, they introduced the notion of Segal Fréchet algebra in [3] and the semisimple Fréchet algebra in [1], recently, they introduce the concepts of φ -amenability and character amenability for Fréchet algebras in [4]. Furthermore, in [23] we introduced and studied the notion of Lipschitz algebra for Fréchet algebra.

In [8] Dales, Ghahramani and Gronbaek introduced the concept of n -weak amenability for Banach algebras. They defined that a Banach algebra A is n -weakly amenable if $H^1(A, A^{(n)}) = \{0\}$, where $A^{(n)}$ is the n -th dual space of A , and A is called permanently weakly amenable if it is n -weakly amenable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Dales and et al. in [8], determine the relations between m and n -weak amenability for general Banach algebras and especially for Banach algebras in various classes. They proved that for $n \geq 1$, $(n + 2)$ weak amenability always implies n -weak amenability. They also proved that if a Banach algebra A is an ideal in the second dual A^{**} , then the weak amenability of A implies the $(2m + 1)$ -weak amenability of A for all $m > 0$. Furthermore, they showed that for any locally compact group G , $L^1(G)$ is permanently weakly amenable as well as C^* -algebras are permanently weakly amenable.

Gordji and Yazdanpanah in [16] introduced the notion of ideal amenability for Banach algebras. They defined that Banach algebra A is ideally amenable if $H^1(A, I^*) = \{0\}$ for every closed (two-sided) ideal I in A . They related this concept to weak amenability in Banach algebras, and showed that ideal amenability is different from amenability and weak amenability. The authors in [22] introduced and studied the notion of I -weak amenability and also ideal

*Use footnote for providing further information about author (webpage, alternative address)—*not* for acknowledging funding agencies.

amenability for Fréchet algebra. Next, Gordji and Memarbashi in [15], studied the n -ideal amenability of Banach algebras such that A is n -ideally amenable if $H^1(A, I^{(n)}) = \{0\}$, where $I^{(n)}$ is the n -th dual space of I .

In this paper we study some notations of amenability such as n -ideal amenability and n -weak amenability for Fréchet algebra and we examine how these concepts in Banach algebra can be generalized and defined for Fréchet algebra.

2 preliminaries

Before proceeding to the main results, we provide some basic definitions and frameworks related to locally convex spaces which will be required throughout the paper. See [10], [12], [20], [25], and [27] for more information about this subject.

A locally convex space E is a topological vector space in which each point has a neighborhood basis of convex sets. Throughout the paper, all locally convex spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff.

A set ϑ of continuous seminorms on the locally convex space E is called fundamental system if for every continuous seminorm q there is $p \in \vartheta$ and $c > 0$ so that $q \leq cp$. By [25, Lemmas 22.4, 22.5], every locally convex space E has a fundamental system of seminorms $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$; equivalently a family of the seminorms satisfying the following properties:

- (i) For every $x \in E$ with $x \neq 0$, there exists an $\alpha \in A$ with $p_\alpha(x) > 0$;
- (ii) For all $\alpha, \beta \in A$, there exists $\gamma \in A$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\max(p_\alpha(x), p_\beta(x)) \leq cp_\gamma(x) \quad (x \in E).$$

Let E and F be locally convex spaces with the fundamental system of seminorms $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ in E and $(q_\beta)_{\beta \in \Gamma}$ in F . Then for every linear mapping $T : E \rightarrow F$, the following assertions are equivalent;

- (i) T is continuous;
- (ii) T is continuous at 0;
- (iii) For each $\beta \in \Gamma$ there exists an $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $c > 0$, such that $q_\beta(T(x)) \leq cp_\alpha(x)$, for all $x \in E$.

We have for every sequence $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$, if and only if, $p(x_\alpha - x) \rightarrow 0$ for all $p \in \vartheta$.

A Fréchet space is a metrizable, complete and locally convex vector space. In the other words, a Fréchet algebra is a complete algebra A generated by a sequence $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separating increasing submultiplicative seminorms, i.e. $p_n(xy) \leq p_n(x)p_n(y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x, y \in A$ such that $p_n(x) \leq p_{n+1}(x)$ for all positive integer n and $x \in A$.

We pay attention to that if a fundamental system of seminorms ϑ is countable then we can suppose without loss of generality that the sequence $\vartheta = \{p_j | j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of algebra seminorms is increasing, i.e. $(p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots)$. This can be achieved by setting $p_k(x) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} q_j(x)$ where $\{q_j | j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a given countable fundamental system of seminorms.

In the sense that $p_n(x) \leq p_{n+1}(x)$ for all positive integer n and $x \in A$, we write (A, p_k) for the corresponding Fréchet algebra. If A is unital then p_n can be chosen such that $p_n(1) = 1$.

A closed subalgebra of a Fréchet algebra (A, p_l) is clearly a Fréchet algebra.

A locally convex space E is called a (DF)-space if it has the following properties:

- (i) E has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets.
- (ii) If $V \subseteq E$ is bornivorous and is the intersection of a sequence of absolutely convex zero neighborhoods, then V is itself a zero neighborhood.

By [25, Proposition 25.7], for every Fréchet space E , its dual space E^* is a complete (DF)-space. Also by [25, Proposition 25.10], for each (DF)-space E , its dual space E^* is a Fréchet space.

Let A be a Fréchet algebra, then a Fréchet space X is called a Fréchet A -bimodule, if X is an algebraic A -bimodule and the actions on both sides are continuous, we call it locally convex A -bimodule, in the case where X is a locally convex space. We know from [15] that if X is a locally convex A -bimodule, then so is X^* , the dual space of X . Note that in this case, X^* is always considered with the strong topology on bounded subsets of X , which means the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of X , i.e:

$$p_B(y) = \sup_{x \in B} |y(x)|$$

where B runs through the bounded subsets of X . Indeed, the net $(f_\alpha)_\alpha$ in X^* is convergent to $f \in X^*$ in the strong topology of X^* , if for every bounded subset B of X

$$\sup_{x \in B} |\langle f_\alpha - f, x \rangle| \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, A^* is a locally convex A -bimodule with the following module actions:

$$A \times A^* \rightarrow A^*, (a, f) \rightarrow a \cdot f,$$

and

$$A^* \times A \rightarrow A^*, (f, a) \rightarrow f \cdot a.$$

given by

$$\langle a \cdot f, b \rangle = \langle f, ba \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle f \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle f, ab \rangle$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. Thus, A^{**} is also a locally convex A -bimodule with module actions

$$\langle a \cdot F, f \rangle = \langle F, f \cdot a \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle F \cdot a, f \rangle = \langle F, a \cdot f \rangle$$

for all $a \in A, f \in A^*$ and $F \in A^{**}$, and the strong topology on the bounded subsets of A^* .

In particular, by [25, Corollary 25.10], if A is a Fréchet algebra, then its second dual, A^{**} , is always a Fréchet algebra. We should explain that A^{**} will be considered with the first Arens product, defined, for all $m, n \in A^{**}$ and $f \in A^*$, as

$$\langle n \cdot f, a \rangle = \langle n, f \cdot a \rangle \quad (a \in A)$$

and

$$\langle m \cdot n, f \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle \quad (f \in A^*)$$

Let X be a locally convex space and consider the embedding map $\iota : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ given by $x \rightarrow \hat{x}$. Note that unlike the Banach space case, ι is not continuous, in general. By [25, p. 296], this happens for the Fréchet space. Because if A is a Fréchet algebra, then its second dual, A^{**} , is always a Fréchet algebra then A can be continuously embedded in A^{**} via the usual injection $\iota : A \rightarrow A^{**}$, and $\iota(A)$ is weak*-dense in A^{**} .

Let (A, p_k) be a Fréchet algebra and X be a locally convex A -bimodule. According to [20], a continuous derivation of A into X is a continuous linear mapping D from A into X such that $D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in A$. For each $x \in X$, the mapping $ad_x : A \rightarrow X$, defined by $ad_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$ ($a \in A$), is a continuous derivation and is called the inner derivation associated with x .

The Fréchet algebra A is amenable if $H^1(A, X^*) = \{0\}$, for every locally convex A -bimodule X .

A subset M of a linear space E is called absorbant, if $\cup_{t>0} tM = E$. A topological vector space E is called barreled if every closed, absolutely convex, absorbant set is a neighborhood of zero. Every Fréchet space is barreled [25].

A topological vector space X is called a Montel space if X is a locally convex Hausdorff and barreled and if every closed bounded subset of X is compact. According to [28, p. 376], a Montel space is reflexive.

3 n -ideal and n -weak amenability of Fréchet algebra

Let (A, p_l) be a Fréchet algebra, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and I be a closed (two-sided) ideal in A . Similar to the Banach algebra case, we say that A is $n-I$ -weakly amenable if every continuous derivation $D : A \rightarrow I^{(n)}$ is inner. Moreover we introduce the concepts of n -ideal and n -weak amenability for Fréchet algebra as the following:

Definition 3.1. Let (A, p_k) be a Fréchet algebra, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then A is n -weakly amenable if $H^1(A, A^{(n)}) = \{0\}$, where $A^{(n)}$ is the n -th dual space of A , and A is called permanently weakly amenable if it is n -weakly amenable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (1-weak amenability is called weak amenability).

Definition 3.2. A Fréchet algebra (A, p_l) is called n -ideally amenable ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) if it is $n-I$ -weakly amenable, for every closed (two-sided) ideal I in A and (A, p_l) is called permanently ideally amenable if it is n -ideally amenable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then according to the previous definitions the following assertions hold:

- a) Every n -ideally amenable Fréchet algebra is n -weakly amenable.
- b) An amenable Fréchet algebra is n -ideally amenable.

Now we are going to generalize and prove some features of n -ideal amenability and n -weakly amenability for Fréchet algebra.

The following result is a generalization of [8, Proposition 1.2], for Fréchet algebras.

Proposition 3.3. Let (A, p_l) be a Montel Fréchet algebra, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that A is $(n + 2)$ -weakly amenable, then A is n -weakly amenable.

Proof. Let $D : A \rightarrow A^{(n)}$ be a continuous derivation and $J : A^{(n)} \rightarrow A^{(n+2)}$ be the canonical map. By [28, Corollary in p. 376], J is continuous and so $JoD : A \rightarrow A^{(n+2)}$ is a continuous derivation. Since A is $(n + 2)$ -weakly amenable, then JoD is inner. Thus there exists $\varphi \in A^{(n+2)}$ such that $JoD(a) = a \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot a$, ($a \in A$).

Let $i : A^{(n-1)} \rightarrow A^{(n+1)}$ be the embedding map and $i^* : A^{(n+2)} \rightarrow A^{(n)}$ be its adjoint map such that $i^*(\varphi) = \varphi|_{i(A^{(n-1)})}$. Set $\Lambda = i^*(\varphi) \in A^{(n)}$. Then for each $a \in A$, we have

$$D(a) = (i^* o JoD)(a) = i^*(a \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot a) = a \cdot i^*(\varphi) - i^*(\varphi) \cdot a = a \cdot \Lambda - \Lambda \cdot a.$$

Therefore, $D = ad_\Lambda$ and so D is inner. □

Gordji and et al in [15, Theorem 1.6], showed that for Banach algebra, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if A is $(n + 2)$ -ideally amenable then A is n -ideally amenable. The next result is a generalization of this Theorem for Fréchet algebras.

Theorem 3.4. Let (A, p_l) be a Montel Fréchet algebra, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that A is $(n + 2)$ -ideally amenable, then A is n -ideally amenable.

Proof. Let I be an arbitrary closed ideal of A and let $D : A \rightarrow I^{(n)}$ be a continuous derivation and $J : I^{(n)} \rightarrow I^{(n+2)}$ be the canonical map. By [28, Corollary in p. 376], J is continuous and so $JoD : A \rightarrow I^{(n+2)}$ is a continuous derivation. Since A is $(n + 2)$ -ideally amenable is inner, thus there exists $\varphi \in I^{(n+2)}$ such that $JoD(a) = a \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot a$, ($a \in A$).

Let $i : I^{(n-1)} \rightarrow I^{(n+1)}$ be the embedding map and $i^* : I^{(n+2)} \rightarrow I^{(n)}$ be its adjoint map such that $i^*(\varphi) = \varphi|_{i(I^{(n-1)})}$. Set $\Lambda = i^*(\varphi) \in I^{(n)}$. Then for each $a \in A$, we have

$$D(a) = (i^* o JoD)(a) = i^*(a \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot a) = a \cdot i^*(\varphi) - i^*(\varphi) \cdot a = a \cdot \Lambda - \Lambda \cdot a.$$

Therefore, $D = ad_\Lambda$ and so D is inner. □

If A is a Fréchet algebra, then its second dual, A^{**} is always a Fréchet algebra thus A can be continuously embedded in A^{**} via the usual injection $\iota : A \rightarrow A^{**}$. Also note that if E is a Fréchet space and $F \subset E$ a closed subspace then F is Fréchet spaces therefore the following result is proved in exactly the same manner as in Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 3.5. Let (A, p_l) be a Fréchet algebra, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

- (i) If A is $(2n + 2)$ -weakly amenable, then A is $2n$ -weakly amenable.
- (ii) If A is $(2n + 2)$ -ideally amenable, then A is $2n$ -ideally amenable.

Remark 3.6. Suppose that the Fréchet algebra (A, p_l) does not have a unit. Similar to Banach algebras, there is a canonical way of adjoining an identity to A . We define the to be $A^\# = A \oplus \mathbb{C}e$. $A^\#$ is an algebra under the following product:

$$(a, \lambda)(b, \mu) = (ab + \mu a + \lambda b, \lambda\mu) \quad (a, b \in A, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}).$$

We endow $A^\#$ with the topology generated by the multiplicative seminorms $(q_l)_l$, defined by $q_l(a, \lambda) = p_l(a) + |\lambda|$.

Then $(A^\#, q_l)$ becomes a Fréchet algebra with the identity $e = (0, 1)$. Moreover, if we identify every element $a \in A$ as $(a, 0) \in A^\#$, then one can consider A as a closed ideal of $A^\#$. Define $e^* \in (A^\#)^*$ by requiring $\langle e, e^* \rangle = 1$ and $\langle a, e^* \rangle = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Then we have the following identification:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\#(2n)} &= A^{(2n)} \oplus \mathbb{C}e \\ A^{\#(2n+1)} &= A^{(2n+1)} \oplus \mathbb{C}e^* \end{aligned} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

The following result is in fact a generalization of [15, Proposition 4.1] for the Fréchet algebra case, with some slight modifications.

Theorem 3.7. Let (A, p_l) be a non-unital Montel Fréchet algebra and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

- (i) If $A^\#$ is $2n$ -weakly amenable, then A is $2n$ -weakly amenable.
- (ii) If A is $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable, then $A^\#$ is $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable.

Proof. (i) Assume that $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n)}$ be a continuous derivation. Consider $A^\#$ -module actions on $A^{(2n)}$ define as follows:

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot a^{(2n)} = a \cdot a^{(2n)} + \alpha a^{(2n)}$$

and

$$a^{(2n)} \cdot (a, \alpha) = a^{(2n)} \cdot a + \alpha a^{(2n)}$$

for all $a \in A$, $a^{(2n)} \in A^{(2n)}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Define the map $\hat{D} : A^\# \rightarrow A^\#^{(2n)}$ by $\hat{D}(a + \alpha) = D(a)$, ($a \in A$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$).

For $a, a' \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}((a, \alpha)(b, \beta)) &= \hat{D}(ab + \beta a + \alpha b, \alpha\beta) \\ &= D(ab + \beta a + \alpha b) \\ &= D(ab) + \beta D(a) + \alpha D(b) \\ &= a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b + \beta D(a) + \alpha D(b) \\ &= (a, \alpha)D(b) + D(a) \cdot (b, \beta) \\ &= (a, \alpha)\hat{D}(b, \beta) + \hat{D}(a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

Thus \hat{D} is a continuous derivation on $A^\#$. Since $A^\#$ is $2n$ -weakly amenable, so \hat{D} is inner, and thus D is inner.

(ii) Since $A^\#$ is unital, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} D : A^\# &\rightarrow A^\#^{(2n-1)} \\ a &\rightarrow \langle a, a^* \rangle e^* + \hat{D}(a) \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous derivation in which $a^* \in A^*$ and $\hat{D} : A \rightarrow A^{(2n-1)}$ is a continuous map. It is easy to see that \hat{D} is a continuous derivation. Thus there exists $\lambda \in A^{(2n-1)}$ such that $\hat{D}(a) = a \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot a$, for all $a \in A$. Given $a, b \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab, a^* \rangle &= \langle a, \hat{D}(b) \rangle + \langle b, \hat{D}(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, b \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot b \rangle + \langle b, a \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot a \rangle \\ &= \langle a \cdot b - b \cdot a, \lambda \rangle + \langle b \cdot a - a \cdot b, \lambda \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a^*|_{A^2} = 0$. By Proposition 2.1, A is weakly amenable. Now, Proposition 2.2 shows that A^2 is dense in A . Hence $a^* = 0$ and thus D is a inner derivation. \square

Proposition 3.8. Let (A, p_l) be a non-unital Fréchet algebra and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

- (i) If $A^\#$ is n -ideally amenable, then A is n -ideally amenable.
- (ii) If A is $(2n - 1)$ -ideally amenable, then $A^\#$ is $(2n - 1)$ -ideally amenable.

Proof. Let $A^\#$ be n -ideally amenable, I be a closed two-sided ideal of A and $D : A \rightarrow I^{(n)}$ be a derivation. It is easy to see that I is a closed two-sided ideal of $A^\#$, and $\tilde{D} : A^\# \rightarrow I^{(n)}$ such that $\tilde{D}(a + \alpha) = D(a)$, ($a \in A$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$) is a continuous derivation. Since $A^\#$ is ideally amenable, so \tilde{D} is inner, thus there exists $\varphi \in I^{(n)}$ such that $\tilde{D} = ad_\varphi$ when $\tilde{D}|_A = D$. Hence D is inner.

Conversely, let A be $(2n - 1)$ -ideally amenable and I be a closed two-sided ideal in $A^\#$. Since A is $(2n - 1)$ -ideally amenable, therefore A is $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable, thus by Theorem 2.6. $A^\#$ is $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable. Therefore, we can assume that $1 \notin I$ (if $1 \in A$ then $I = A^\#$) and I is an ideal of A .

Let $D : A^\# \rightarrow I^{(n)}$ be a derivation. Then

$$D(0, 1)[(a, 0)] = D[(0, 1)(a, 0)] = D(0, 0) = 0.$$

So $D(1) = D(0, 1) = 0$ and we can consider D as a derivation from A into $I^{(n)}$. Therefore D is inner. \square

Now, in order to prove more theorem, we introduce the concept self-induced for Fréchet algebra:

Definition 3.9. A Fréchet algebra (A, p_k) is called self-induced if

(i) A is essential; i.e. $\overline{A^2} = A$.

and

(ii) Every balanced bilinear map $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of the form $\varphi(a, b) = f(ab)$, for some $f \in A^*$.

The concept of self-induced Banach algebras was introduced in [8] as a generalization of unital Banach algebras. It has been shown that every unital Fréchet algebra is self-induced, and in fact every Fréchet algebra with a bounded approximate identity is self-induced.

Lemma 3.10. Let (A, p_l) be a Fréchet lgebra with a bounded approximate identity. Then A is self-induced.

Proof. Let $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a bounded approximate identity for (A, p_l) . Since A has a bounded approximate identity, so A is essential. Suppose that $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a balanced bilinear map. Now for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we define linear functional $f_\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f_\alpha(a) = \varphi(e_\alpha, a)$. If $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\omega^*} f$. Then for each $a, b \in A$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b) &= \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(e_\alpha, ab) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(e_\alpha a, b) = \varphi(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.11. Suppose that (A, p_l) is self-induced Fréchet lgebra. Let $D : A \rightarrow A^*$ be a continuous derivation. Let B be a Fréchet algebra which contains A as a closed 2-sided ideal. Then D may be extended to a continuous derivation $\tilde{D} : B \rightarrow A^*$.

Proof. Let $D : A \rightarrow A^*$ be a continuous derivation and $T \in B$. For each $a, b \in A$, consider the bilinear map $\varphi_T : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\varphi_T(a, b) = \langle a, D(bT) \rangle - \langle Ta, D(b) \rangle$. Then φ_T is balanced, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_T(ac, b) &= \langle ac, D(bT) \rangle - \langle Tac, D(b) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, c \cdot D(bT) \rangle - \langle Ta, c \cdot D(b) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, c \cdot D(bT) \rangle + \langle a, D(c) \cdot bT \rangle - \langle Ta, D(c) \cdot b \rangle - \langle Ta, c \cdot D(b) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, D(cbT) \rangle - \langle Ta, D(cb) \rangle \\ &= \varphi_T(a, cb) \end{aligned}$$

So for each $a, b \in A$ we may define $\langle ab, \tilde{D}(T) \rangle = \varphi(a, b)$. Then $\tilde{D} : B \rightarrow A^*$ is a continuous derivation. We show that $\tilde{D}|_A = D$. Let $a, b, c \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab, \tilde{D}(c) \rangle &= \phi_c(a, b) \\ &= \langle a, D(bc) \rangle - \langle ca, D(b) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, D(b) \cdot c \rangle + \langle a, b \cdot D(c) \rangle - \langle a, D(b) \cdot c \rangle \\ &= \langle a, b \cdot D(c) \rangle \\ &= \langle ab, D(c) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This show that for each $c \in A$, $\tilde{D}(c) = D(c)$, and so $\tilde{D}|_A = D$. □

We will examine some heritable properties of n -ideal amenability and n -weak amenability for Fréchet algebra, by replacing the concept self-induced with bounded approximate identity.

Theorem 3.12. Let (A, p_l) be a Fréchet algebra, I be a closed two sided and self-induced ideal of A . Then (A, p_l) is ideally amenable if and only if I is weakly amenable.

Proof. Let A be an ideally amenable Fréchet algebra, I be a closed two-sided and self-induced ideal of A . Let $D : I \rightarrow I^*$ is a continuous derivation. By Theorem 3.11, D can be extend to a continuous derivation $\tilde{D} : A \rightarrow I^*$. Since A is ideally amenable, thus \tilde{D} is inner hence there exists $\varphi \in I^*$ such that hence $\tilde{D} = ad_\varphi$. Also $\tilde{D}|_A = D$ hence D is inner, therefore I is weakly amenable. The converse is the same as proposition 8.2.2. □

Theorem 3.13. Let (A, p_l) be a Fréchet algebra, I be a closed two sided and self-induced ideal of A . If A is n -ideally amenable (or permanently ideally amenable) then I is n -ideally amenable (or permanently ideally amenable).

Proof. Let A be an ideally amenable Fréchet algebra, I be a closed two-sided and self-induced ideal of A . Let also J be a closed two-sided ideal in I , and $D : I \rightarrow J^{(n)}$ be a continuous derivation, then by Theorem 3.11, D can be extended to a continuous derivation $\tilde{D} : A \rightarrow J^{(n)}$ and since A is n -ideally amenable, so \tilde{D} is inner. Thus there exists $m \in J^{(n)}$ such that $\tilde{D} = ad_m$. Hence $D(i) = \tilde{D}(i) = ad_m(i)$ for each $i \in I$, and so D is inner. Thus I is n -ideally amenable. \square

Proposition 3.14. Let (A, p_l) be a non-unital, self-induced Fréchet algebra and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A^\#$ is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable if and only if every continuous derivation $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n+1)}$ with the condition that there is a $T \in A^*$ such that for each $a, b \in A$:

$$\langle ab, T \rangle = \langle a, D(b) \rangle + \langle b, D(a) \rangle \quad (1)$$

is inner. If this is the case, then $T = 0$.

Proof. For necessity, assume $A^\#$ is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable. Suppose that $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n+1)}$ is a continuous derivation satisfying (*). Then for each $a \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ defined the map $\bar{D} : A^\# \rightarrow A^{\#(2n+1)}$ by

$$\bar{D}(a + \alpha e) = D(a) + \langle a, T \rangle e^*$$

Then \bar{D} is a continuous derivation, In fact each $a, b \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}((a + \alpha e)(b + \beta e)) &= \bar{D}(ab + \beta a + \alpha b + \alpha \beta e) \\ &= D(ab + \alpha b + \beta a) + \langle ab + \alpha b + \beta a, T \rangle e^* \\ &= a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b + \alpha D(b) + \beta D(a) + (\langle a, D(b) \rangle + \langle b, D(a) \rangle + \alpha \langle b, T \rangle + \beta \langle a, T \rangle) e^* \\ &= a \cdot D(b) + \alpha D(b) + (\langle a, D(b) + \alpha \langle b, T \rangle \rangle e^* + D(a) \cdot b + \beta D(a) + (\langle b, D(a) \rangle + \beta \langle a, T \rangle) e^* \\ &= (D(a) + \langle a, T \rangle e^*) \cdot (b + \beta e) + (a + \alpha e) \cdot (D(b) + \langle b, T \rangle e^*) \\ &= \bar{D}(a + \alpha e) \cdot (b + \beta e) + (a + \alpha e) \cdot \bar{D}(b + \beta e) \end{aligned}$$

So \bar{D} is a derivation. We show that \bar{D} is continuous. Let $a \in A$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a + \alpha e \in A^\#$. $(a_n + \alpha_n e)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $A^\#$ such that $a_n + \alpha_n e \rightarrow a + \alpha e$. Since D is continuous, so $D(a_n) \rightarrow D(a)$. On the other $T \in A^*$ is continuous So, $\langle a_n, T \rangle \rightarrow \langle a, T \rangle$. Therefore

$$D(a_n) + \langle a_n, T \rangle e \rightarrow D(a) + \langle a, T \rangle e$$

Hence $\bar{D}(a + \alpha_n e) \rightarrow \bar{D}(a + \alpha e)$. Thus \bar{D} is continuous. Since $A^\#$ is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable, so \bar{D} is inner. Thus there exists $\varphi \in A^{\#(2n+1)}$ such that $\bar{D} = ad_\varphi$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}(a + \alpha e) &= (a + \alpha e) \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot (a + \alpha e) \\ &= a \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D = ad_\varphi$, and so D is inner.

For the sufficiency, let $\Delta : A^\# \rightarrow A^{\#(2n+1)}$ be a continuous derivation. Since $\Delta(e) = 0$. We can assume that

$$\Delta(a + \alpha e) = D(a) + \langle a, T \rangle e^* \quad (a \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{C})$$

where $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n+1)}$ a continuous operator and $T \in A^*$.

The A^* -bimodule actions on $A^{\#(2n+1)}$ are given for $a \in A$, $F \in A^{(2n+1)}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} (a + \alpha e) \cdot (F + \beta e^*) &= (aF + \alpha F) + (\langle a, F \rangle + \alpha \beta) e^* \\ (F + \beta e^*) \cdot (a + \alpha e) &= (Fa + \alpha F) + (\langle a, F \rangle + \alpha \beta) e^* \end{aligned}$$

We have from these formulas

$$\begin{aligned} D(ab) + \langle ab, T \rangle e^* &= \Delta(ab) \\ &= a \cdot \Delta(b) + \Delta(a) \cdot b \\ &= (a + 0\alpha) \cdot (D(b) + 0e^*) + (D(a) + 0e^*) \cdot (b + 0e) \\ &= a \cdot D(b) + \langle a, D(b) \rangle e^* + D(a) \cdot b + \langle b, D(a) \rangle e^* \\ &= a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b + (\langle a, D(b) \rangle + \langle b, D(a) \rangle) e^* \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $a, b \in A$, we have

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b$$

and

$$\langle ab, T \rangle = \langle a, D(b) \rangle + \langle b, D(a) \rangle$$

This show that $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n+1)}$ is a continuous derivation satisfying $(*)$, and therefore D is inner. Thus there exists $\lambda \in A^{(2n+1)}$ such that $\bar{D} = ad_\lambda$. So for each $a, b \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab, T \rangle &= \langle a, D(b) \rangle + \langle b, D(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, b \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot b \rangle + \langle b, a \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot a \rangle \\ &= \langle ab - ba, \lambda \rangle - \langle ab - ba, \lambda \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{A^2} = A$ so $T = 0$. Hence $\Delta = D$ is inner. So $A^\#$ is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable. \square

Corollary 3.15. Suppose that (A, p_l) be a Fréchet algebra with a bounded approximate identity. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Fréchet algebra $A^\#$ is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable if and only if A is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable.

Proof. Let $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be an approximate identity of A , and $m \in A^{(2n+2)}$ be a weak*-cluster point of $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. For any continuous derivation $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n+1)}$ define $T \in A^*$ by $\langle a, T \rangle = \langle D(a), m \rangle$, ($a \in A$), then for each $a, b \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ab, T \rangle &= \lim_\alpha (\langle e_\alpha a, D(b) \rangle + \langle b e_\alpha, D(a) \rangle) \\ &= \langle a, D(b) \rangle + \langle b, D(a) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Equation $(*)$ holds for any continuous derivation $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n+1)}$. So by Proposition 3.14. The case is established. \square

It was shown in Theorem 3.7, if (A, p_l) is a non-unital Fréchet lgebra and $A^\#$ is $2n$ -weakly amenable, then A is $2n$ -weakly amenable. For the converse we have the following fact:

Theorem 3.16. Let (A, p_l) be a self-induced Fréchet algebra and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If A is $2n$ -weakly amenable, then $A^\#$ is $2n$ -weakly amenable.

Proof. Let $\Delta : A^\# \rightarrow A^{\#(2n)}$ be a continuous derivation. Then there is $f \in A^*$ and a continuous operator $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n)}$ such that for each $a, b \in A$

$$\Delta(a + \alpha e) = D(a) + \langle a, f \rangle e$$

Therefore for each $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(ab) &= \Delta(ab) - \langle ab, f \rangle e \\ &= a \cdot \Delta(b) + \Delta(a) \cdot b - \langle ab, f \rangle e \\ &= a \cdot (D(b) + \langle b, f \rangle e) + (D(a) + \langle a, f \rangle e) \cdot b - \langle ab, f \rangle e \\ &= a \cdot D(b) + \langle b, f \rangle a + D(a) \cdot b + \langle a, f \rangle b - \langle ab, f \rangle e \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $a, b \in A$ we have $\langle ab, f \rangle = 0$ and

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b + \langle b, f \rangle a + \langle a, f \rangle b$$

Since $\overline{A^2} = A$ and $f|_{A^2} = 0$ so $f = 0$, and therefore D is a continuous derivation. Since A is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable, so D is inner. Thus there exists $\varphi \in A^{(2n)}$ such that $D = ad_\varphi$. Therefore for each $a \in A$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a + \alpha e) &= D(a) \\ &= a \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot a \\ &= (a + \alpha e) \cdot \varphi - \varphi \cdot (a + \alpha e) \end{aligned}$$

Thus Δ is inner and so $A^\#$ is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable. \square

Corollary 3.17. Suppose that (A, p_l) be a Fréchet algebra with a bounded approximate identity. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Fréchet algebra $A^\#$ is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable if and only if A is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable.

Proof. Since A has a bounded approximate identity. Then by Lemma. 3.10, A is self-induced. Thus if A be $(2n)$ -weakly amenable, then by Theorem 3.16. $A^\#$ is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable.

For the sufficiency, if $A^\#$ is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable. Then A is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable by Theorem 3.7. □

Corollary 3.18. Suppose that (A, p_l) be a weakly amenable Fréchet algebra. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Fréchet algebra $A^\#$ is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable if and only if A is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable.

Proof. If $A^\#$ is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable. Then A is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable by Theorem 3.7. Let A be $(2n)$ -weakly amenable. Since A is weakly amenable, $\overline{A^2} = A$ by [1, Theorem 2.3]. So by using Theorem 3.16, $A^\#$ is $(2n)$ -weakly amenable. □

Corollary 3.19. Suppose that (A, p_l) be a weakly amenable Fréchet algebra. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Fréchet algebra $A^\#$ is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable if and only if A is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable.

Proof. If A is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable. Then $A^\#$ is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable by Theorem 3.7. Let $A^\#$ be $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable. Suppose that $D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n+1)}$ is a continuous derivation. Let $P : A^{(2n+1)} \rightarrow A^\#$ be the projection with the kernel A^\perp . Then $P \circ D : A \rightarrow A^\#$ is an inner derivation. On the other hand, the continuous derivation $(I - P) \circ D : A \rightarrow A^\perp$ satisfies the equation (1) with $T = O$. In fact for each $a \in A$, we have $\langle a, (I - P) \circ D \rangle \in \ker P = A^\perp$.

From Proposition 3.9, $(I - P) \circ D$ is inner. This shows that $D = (I - P) \circ D - P \circ D$ is inner. Hence A is $(2n + 1)$ -weakly amenable.

We combine corolaries 3.18, 3.17, 3.19 and 3.15 to obtain the follow result. □

Theorem 3.20. Suppose that (A, p_l) be a Fréchet algebra which is either weakly amenable or has a bounded approximate identity. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A^\#$ is n -weakly amenable if and only if A is n -weakly amenable.

Let A and B be Fréchet algebras and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a bounded homomorphism. If I is a closed two-sided ideal of B , then $I^{(n)}$ is a locally convex A -bimodule by the following module actions

$$a \cdot F = \varphi(a) \cdot F \quad \text{and} \quad F \cdot a = F \cdot \varphi(a) \quad (\text{for } a \in A; F \in I^{(n)})$$

It is easy to check that for each $n \geq 1$, $\varphi^{(2n)} : A^{(2n)} \rightarrow B^{(2n)}$, the $2n$ -th dual operator of φ and $\varphi^{(2n-1)} : B^{(2n-1)} \rightarrow A^{(2n-1)}$, the $(2n - 1)$ -dual operator of φ are A -bimodule morphisms.

The following result is in fact a generalization of [15, Theorem 2.2] for the Fréchet algebra case, with some slight modifications.

Theorem 3.21. Let (A, p_l) be a commutative Fréchet algebra and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that A is $2n$ -weakly amenable. Then A is $2n$ -ideally amenable.

Proof. Let A be $2n$ -weakly amenable and I be a closed two sided ideal of A and $\iota : I \rightarrow A$ be the natural inclusion map, and $\iota^{(2n)} : I^{(2n)} \rightarrow A^{(2n)}$ be the $2n$ -th adjoint of ι . Suppose $D : A \rightarrow I^{(2n)}$ be a continuous derivation. Then by [25, Proposition 23.3], $\iota^{(2n)} \circ D : A \rightarrow A^{(2n)}$ is a continuous derivation. Since $A^{(2n)}$ is commutative complete barrelled locally convex A -bimodule, then $\iota^{(2n)} \circ D = 0$. Therefore, $D = 0$. □

Here is an example of a Fréchet algebra that is n -weakly amenable but not n -ideally amenable.

Example 3.22. Let (A, p_l) be a non-unital Fréchet algebra and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that A is not n -weakly amenable. But $A^\#$, unitization of A , is n -weakly amenable. Therefore, we can suppose that there exists a continuous derivation $D : A \rightarrow A^{(n)}$ such that D is not inner. Then $\tilde{D} : A^\# \rightarrow A^{(n)}$ such that $\tilde{D}(a + \alpha) = D(a)$, ($a \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$) is a continuous derivation. Since D is not inner, so \tilde{D} is not inner. Also A is a closed ideal of $A^\#$, therefore $A^\#$ is not n -ideally amenable.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Banach algebra center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Isfahan.

References

- [1] Abtahi, F., Rahnama, S., Rejali, A.: Weak amenability of Fréchet algebras. *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, **72**, ISS.4, 93–104 (2015)
- [2] Abtahi, F., Rahnama, S., Rejali, A.: Semisimple Segal Fréchet algebra. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, **71**, 146–154 (2015)
- [3] Abtahi, F., Rahnama S., Rejali, A.: Segal Fréchet algebras. *Anal. Math.* (2017), DOI 10.1007/s10476-017-0601-y
- [4] Abtahi, F., Rahnama, S., Rejali, A.: φ -amenability and character amenability of Fréchet algebras. *Forum Math.*, (2018); aop
- [5] Bade, W. G., Curtis, P. C., Dales, H. G.: Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **55**, no.3, 359–377 (1987)
- [6] Blanco, A.: On the weak amenability of $A(X)$ and its relation with the approximation property. *J. Functional Analysis*, **203**, 1–26 (2003)
- [7] Dales, H. G.: Banach algebras and automatic continuity, London Math. Soc, Monographs, 24, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2000
- [8] Dales, H. G., Ghahramani, F., Gronbaek, N.: Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras. *Studia Math.*, **128**, 19–54 (1998)
- [9] El Harti, R.: Contractible Fréchet algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **132**, no. 5, 1251–1255 (2004)
- [10] Fragoulopoulou, M.: Topological Algebras with Involution, North- Holland Mathematics Studies, 200, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2005
- [11] Ghahramani, F., Loy, R. J.: Generalized notions of amenability. *J. Func. Anal*, **208**, 229–260 (2004)
- [12] Goldmann, Uniform Fréchet algebras, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 162. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1990
- [13] Eshaghi, M., Filali, M.: Weak amenability of the second dual of a Banach algebra. *Studia Math.*, **182(3)**, 205–213 (2007)
- [14] Gordji, M.E., Habibian, F., Hayati, B.: Ideal amenability of second duals of Banach algebras. *Int. Math. Forum*, **2**, No. 16, 765–770 (2007)
- [15] Gordji, M. E., Memarbashi, R.: Derivations into n -th duals of ideals of Banach algebras. *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, **34(1)**, 59–71 (2008)
- [16] Gordji, M.E., Yazdanpanah, T.: Derivations into Duals of Ideals of Banach algebras. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, **114**, No. 4, 339–403 (2004)
- [17] Gronbæk, N.: Amenability and weak amenability of tensor algebras and algebras of nuclear operators. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A.*, **51**, no.3, 483–488 (1991)
- [18] Gronbæk, N.: A characterization of weakly amenable Banach algebras. *Studia Math.*, **94**, no.2, 149–162 (1989)
- [19] Gronbæk, N.: Weak and cyclic amenability for non-commutative Banach algebras. *Proc. Edinburg Math. Soc.*, **35**, no.2, 315–328 (1992)
- [20] Helemskii, A. Ya.: The homology of Banach and topological algebras, Moscow University Press, English transl: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989
- [21] Johnson, B. E.: Cohomology in Banach Algebras. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127**, 1–96 (1972)
- [22] Ranjbari, A., Rejali, A.: Ideal amenability of Fréchet algebra. *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, **79**, Iss. 4, 51–60 (2017)
- [23] Ranjbari, A., Rejali, A.: Fréchet-lipschitz vector-valued operator algebras. *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, to appear
- [24] Lawson, P., Read, C. J.: Approximate amenability of Fréchet algebras. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **145**, 403–418 (2008)
- [25] Meise, R., Vogt, D.: Introduction to functional analysis, Oxford Science Publications, 1997
- [26] Pirkovskii, A. Yu.: Flat cyclic Fréchet modules, amenable Fréchet algebras and approximate identities. *Homology, Homotopy Appl.*, **11**, no.1, 81–114 (2009)
- [27] Runde, V.: Lectures on Amenability, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1774, Springer, 2002
- [28] Tréves, F.: Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels, Dover Publications, Mineola, 2006