

Why MDAC? A Multi-domain Activation Function

Zhenhua Wang¹, Dong Gao^{1*}, Haozhe Liu¹, Fanglin Liu²

¹Beijing University of Chemical Technology

²Southwest Jiaotong University
{2019210490, 2019210489, gaodong*}@mail.buct.edu.cn
LF0721@my.swjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this study, a novel, general and ingenious activation function termed MDAC is proposed to surmount the troubles of gradient vanishing and non-differentiable existence. MDAC approximately inherits the properties of exponential activation function (such as Tanh family) and piecewise linear activation function (such as ReLU family). Specifically, in the positive region, the adaptive linear structure is designed to respond to various domain distributions. In the negative region, the combination of exponent and linearity is considered to conquer the obstacle of gradient vanishing. Furthermore, the non-differentiable existence is eliminated by smooth approximation. Experiments show that MDAC improves performance on both classical models and pre-training optimization models in six domain datasets by simply changing the activation function, which indicates MDAC's effectiveness and progressiveness. MDAC is superior to other prevalent activation functions in robustness and generalization, and can reflect excellent activation performance in multiple domains.

Introduction

Named entity recognition (NER) based on deep learning is an indispensable research in artificial intelligence engineering. The activation function is the core of deep learning, which lays the foundation for the nonlinearity of neural networks and the information propagation of adjacent layers (LeCun, Bengio and Hinton 2015).

The traditional and fashionable activation function, such as Tanh (Parkes & Duffy 1996; Godin et al. 2018), is smooth and differentiable anywhere because of its exponential form. However, the neurons in the activated regions on both sides of Tanh are prone to saturation, which causes the gradient to vanish and impedes the back propagation of the network.

Currently, the most mainstream and prevalent activation function is ReLU (Kevin et al. 2009; Nair and Hinton 2010; Hahnloser et al. 2000). ReLU retains the original input in the positive part and sets the propagation of the rest to zero. ReLU has superiorities in convergence speed, screening effect and alleviating gradient vanishing. Indisputably, ReLU has successfully dominated the deep neural network and has quickly become the default activation function in NER.

However, ReLU itself also is provided with some fatal deficiencies, mainly in three aspects: (1) *Negative activation paralysis*. In the negative region, ReLU forces the input value to zero, which causes the gradient to vanish in perpetuity and the neurons cannot be awakened, the model is fragile in the process of training. In previous studies, the ReLU variant, such as Leaky ReLU (Maas, Hannun and Ng 2013; Jebaveerasingh et al. 2019), entrusts a linear function with a small slope to the negative region, in other variants, such as parametric ReLU (PReLU) (Kaiming et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2020; Thakur, Yadav and Gupta 2020) and randomized Leaky ReLU (RRReLU) (Xu et al. 2015), the slopes are variable. It is proved inversely that the negative activation missing is obstructive for network in data characteristics learning. (2) *No negative output*. Similar to Sigmoid (Ito 1991; Roodschild, Sardñas and Will 2020), the output of ReLU has no negative value, the propagation rate between adjacent layers is unsatisfactory, which raises the network error rate (Glorot, Bordes and Bengio 2011) and numerous characteristics are discarded in each iteration. (3) *Non-differentiable existence*. For deep learning algorithm, parameter optimization is accompanied by gradient updating, which requires activation function, the vinculum of information transmission, to be differentiable everywhere. Significantly, ReLU, the connotation of *Max* function, loses derivability at zero point, that is, piecewise point. This defect rejects the data fitting process. To avoid this perishing circumstance, the sub-gradient (Nesterov 1998; Beck 2017) is introduced in the actual operation, and the derivative at the zero point is set to zero. This method is expedient, although the possibility of eigenvalue activation at the zero point is infrequent. In subsequent studies, such as exponential linear units (ELU) (Clevert, Unterthiner and Hochreiter 2015), S-shaped Rectified Linear Activation Unit (SReLU) (Jin et al. 2016) and scaled exponential linear unit (SELU) (Klambauer et al. 2017), the irrationality of zero point is avoided skillfully, but there are still non-differentiable existences in other locations like before.

In recent years, numerous researches have been devoted to improving ReLU in the above faultiness (Jin et al. 2016;

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: gaodong@mail.buct.edu.cn (D. Gao).

Ramachandran et al. 2017; Rössig and Petkovic 2020; Liang and Xu 2021), they have only demonstrated reasonable manifestation in some of the three thorny aspects above-mentioned, and they are incapable of maintaining advanced activation performance for NER tasks in multiple domains simultaneously. Therefore, what is in urgent demand is a universal alternative that can alleviate all three the negatives concurrently and is apposite in multi-dimensional domains. In this study, a multi-domain activation function termed MDAC with novel, general and ingenious nature is proposed. MDAC inherits merits of exponent (such as Tanh family) and piecewise linearity (such as ReLU family). In the positive region, the adaptive linear structure is designed to respond to various domain. In the negative region, the minimization of exponent and linearity is considered to surmount the obstacle of gradient vanishing. Furthermore, the non-differentiable existence such as piecewise point is eliminated by smooth approximation. Experiments for MDAC on both traditional models and pre-training optimization models in NER tasks based on multiple domain datasets. Comprehensive researches indicate that MDAC can provide advanced activation performance in various fields, which is consistent with theoretical analysis. MDAC is a multi-domain activation function and can be given priority in NER tasks. The main highlights of this research are as follows:

- A multi-domain activation function termed MDAC with novel, general and ingenious nature is proposed.
- The inheritance and the approximate smoothing algorithm are applied skillfully in design of MDAC.
- MDAC conquers the gradient vanishing problem laconically, relieves the non-differentiable existence and extricates the activation paralysis.
- MDAC is superior to other prevalent activation functions in robustness and generalization, and can provide excellent and stable activation performance in multiple domains.

Section 2 is the related work, which mainly reviews piecewise activation functions and smooth activation functions. Section 3 introduces the principle and properties of MDAC. Section 4 presents the advanced activation effectiveness of MDAC on prevailing NER models with various domain datasets. Conclusion is expounded in Section 5.

Related Work

Activation function can be divided structurally into smooth activation function and piecewise activation function.

Smooth activation function: Generally, exponential function is the critical determinant in the composition of smooth activation function. It is endowed with exponential property, which makes it possess superiorities that has derivatives of all orders and convergence rapidly. Sigmoid (Ito 1991) and

Tanh (Parkes and Duffy 1996) are the classical smooth activation functions with S-shape curve. However, the neurons in the activated regions on both sides of them are prone to saturation, which causes the gradient to vanish and impedes the back propagation of the network. To alleviate this problem, a large number of research schemes have emerged ((Elfwing, Uchibe and Doya 2018; Chen et al. 2019), and a series of variants have been proposed, such as Softplus (Glorot, Bordes and Bengio 2011), LeCun Tanh (LeCun et al. 2012), Hard Sigmoid (Gulcehre et al. 2016) and Hard Tanh (Gulcehre et al. 2016). Particularly, Swish (Ramachandran et al. 2017) introduces activation path search through the Neural Architecture Search (NAS), and weighted sigmoid gate unit (WiG) (Tanaka 2020) improves and optimizes the activation mechanism of Sigmoid by adjusting the relevant weight, these two schemes are refreshing. However, the variants above-mentioned with structural constraints and parameter adjustment still have gradient vanishing trouble, and their generalization is restricted.




Figure 1: The prevalent activation functions. Where, ReLU and Leaky ReLU are non-differentiable at $x = 0$, the gradient of Tanh vanishes at $y \approx \pm 1$, the gradients of SELU and Swish vanish at $y \approx 0$.

Piecewise activation function: Each activation region corresponds to different activation functions, which can enrich the feature extraction capability of the network and achieve tremendous success. ReLU is the most extensive application and representative interpretation, it retains the original input in the positive part and sets the propagation of the rest to zero. However, with the wide application of deep neural network, the faultiness of ReLU are gradually enlarged and revealed. In addition, for general piecewise activation functions, the piecewise points are inevitable and the treatment of non-differentiable existence is the most noteworthy topic. Various variants have been presented to further enhance the performance, for instance, Leaky ReLU (Maas, Hannun and

Ng 2013), Maxout (Goodfellow et al. 2013), PReLU (Kaiming et al. 2015) and RReLU (Xu et al. 2015) entrust a linear structure to the negative region. ELU (Clevert, Unterthiner and Hochreiter 2015), Parametric Exponential Linear Unit (PELU) (Trottier et al. 2017) and SELU (Klambauer et al. 2017) supersede linear functions with exponential functions to alleviate the problem. Elastic Exponential Linear Unit (EELU) (Daeho, Jinah and Jaeil 2020) combines the advantages of ELU and ReLU. Elastic Rectified Linear Unit (EReLU) and Elastic Parametric Rectified Linear Unit (EPRReLU) (Jiang et al. 2018) generalize activation performance by randomly changing the positive slope. Besides, other similar improvements are also presented, such as Concatenated ReLU (CReLU) (Shang et al. 2016), Hexpo (Kong and Takatsuka 2017) and Randomly Transitional ReLU (RT-ReLU) (Cao et al. 2018). However, the piecewise activation function above-mentioned do not overcome the problems of negative region gradient vanishing and non-differentiable points simultaneously. The widely concerned activation functions are shown in Fig.1.

MDAC

MDAC is proposed as a novel means to ameliorate the information transmission between neurons, which can improve the model performance in various fields only by replacing the activation mode. In this section, the design process of MDAC is expounded. Firstly, we elaborate how to transform Newton interpolation formula to smooth piecewise activation functions approximately and eliminate non-differentiable points. Secondly, we demonstrate how to surmount the problem of gradient vanishing by inheriting the merits of Tanh and ReLU. Then, the generalization factor is introduced to generalize MDAC to make it have extraordinary performance in various fields. Finally, the properties of MDAC are described.

$$\text{ReLU}(x) = \text{Max}(0, x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Leaky ReLU}(x) = \text{Max}(0, \alpha x), \alpha < 1 = \begin{cases} x, & x > 0 \\ \alpha x, & x \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{PReLU}(x) = \text{Max}(0, x) + \beta_i \text{Min}(0, x) = \begin{cases} x, & x > 0 \\ \beta_i x, & x \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Approximate smoothing: In general, it is an indisputable fact that piecewise activation functions have non-differentiable piecewise points, such as ReLU, Leaky ReLU and so on, which is a fatal defect in the process of back propagation. ReLU, Leaky ReLU and PReLU are shown in formula (1)-(3), we can notice that they are essentially *Max* function and *Min* function, which are discontinuous at zero. To make the

discontinuity differentiable and smooth, we consider interpolation, a significant method of discrete function approximation (Dzhanunts and Romm 2017). Newton interpolation method is widely spread because of its effectiveness (Chen et al. 2020), as shown in equation (4).

$$\begin{aligned} N(x) &= f(x_0) + h(x_0, x_1)(x - x_0) + \\ &\quad h(x_0, x_1, x_2)(x - x_0)(x - x_1) + \\ &\quad \dots + \\ &\quad h(x_0, \dots, x_n)(x - x_0) \dots (x - x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$h(x_i, x_j) = \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_j)}{x_i - x_j}$$

The first two terms of the equation (4) are considered to smooth the junction of piecewise activation functions. We take ReLU, the *Max* function family, as the demonstration object, and the approximation is shown in equation (5).

$$P(f_{Max}, f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_{Max} \cdot (f_2 - f_1), f_{Max} \in (0, 1) \quad (5)$$

Where f_{Max} is the switching factor, f_1 and f_2 are functions of different regions. When $f_{Max} \rightarrow 0$, $P(f_{Max}, f_1, f_2) \rightarrow f_1$; when $f_{Max} \rightarrow 1$, $P(f_{Max}, f_1, f_2) \rightarrow f_2$. Obviously, the non-differentiable point of *Max* (f_1, f_2) can be obtained by equation $f_1 - f_2 = 0$. We set the interval $-\mu < f_1 - f_2 < \mu$ as the range to be smoothed, and *Max* (f_1, f_2) to be approximated is preliminarily converted to *MaxC* (f_1, f_2, μ). as shown in equation (6). At the same time, f_{Max} is co-regulated by f_1 and f_2 , as shown in equation (7).

$$\text{MaxC}(f_1, f_2, \mu) = \begin{cases} f_2, & f_1 - f_2 \geq \mu \\ f_1, & f_1 - f_2 \leq -\mu \\ p(f_1, f_2, \mu), & f_1 - f_2 \in (-\mu, \mu) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$f_{Max} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{f_2 - f_1}{2\mu} \quad (7)$$

Equation (8) is obtained by calculating the first derivative of equation (5).

$$\frac{dP}{dx} = \frac{df_1}{dx} + \frac{df_{Max}}{dx} (f_2 - f_1) + f_{Max} \left(\frac{df_2}{dx} - \frac{df_1}{dx} \right) \quad (8)$$

For the boundary conditions of $f_{Max} = 0$ and $f_{Max} = 1$, Equation (9) and equation (10) can be obtained respectively.

$$\frac{dP}{dx} = \frac{df_1}{dx} + \mu \frac{df_{Max}}{dx} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{dP}{dx} = \frac{df_2}{dx} - \mu \frac{df_{Max}}{dx} \quad (10)$$

To achieve the same derivative of $P(f_{Max}, f_1, f_2)$ under the conditions of $f_1 - f_2 = \mu$ and $f_1 - f_2 = -\mu$, the term $\mu \cdot df_{Max}/dx$ in equations (9) and (10) needs to be eliminated. Consequently, the compensation term, $(2\mu f_{Max} \cdot df_{Max}/dx - \mu \cdot df_{Max}/dx)$, is added to the right of equation (8). Ultimately, The $Max(f_1, f_2)$ can be approximated as $P_{Max}(f_1, f_2)$, which is a newly generated smoothing function. As shown in equation (11), where f_{Max} is rendered by equation (7). Similarly, The $Min(f_1, f_2)$ can be approximated to the equation (12).

$$P_{Max}(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_{Max} \cdot (f_2 - f_1) - \mu f_{Max}^2 + \mu f_{Max} \quad (11)$$

$$P_{Min}(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_{Min} \cdot (f_2 - f_1) + \mu f_{Min}^2 - \mu f_{Min} \quad (12)$$

$$f_{Min} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{f_1 - f_2}{2\mu}$$

According to the first part, we gain the approximate smoothing of piecewise activation function, which eliminate non-differentiable points. Next, we discuss how to manage the gradient vanishing aporia.

Activate function inheritance: Although exponential functions (such as Tanh) and linear piecewise functions (such as ReLU) are not consummate, they dispose of the non-differentiability and gradient vanishing respectively. Therefore, a natural idea is to inherit their strengths and abandon their weaknesses. The information is transmitted to the adjacent layer with the original reservation in the positive region like ReLU, that is, when $x \geq 0$, $Max(0, x)$ is adopted preliminarily (Equ.13). In the negative region, we consider an exponential form similar to Tanh, rather than forcing the input to 0, besides, to overcome the thorny issue that the gradient on both sides of Tanh vanishes, we conceive a preliminary thought that small inputs are activated by linear functions, that is, when $x < 0$, $Min(Tanh, x)$ is presented preliminarily (Equ.14). Finally, the approximate smoothing in the first part is used to decorate the aforementioned two breakpoints to obtain a rough activation function MDAC (Equ.15).

$$MDAC_{positive}(x) = Max(0, x), x \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

$$MDAC_{negative}(x) = Min(Tanh, x), x < 0 \quad (14)$$

$$MDAC_{rough}(x) = P_{Max}(P_{Min}(Tanh, x), x) \quad (15)$$




Figure.2: The schematic diagram of multimodal activation of MDAC. Where, β_1 monitors the negative region and β_2 dominates the positive region, and Tanh is their transition.

The elementary MDAC is obtained from the first two parts, which is not an ideal pursuit. Next, we generalize it. **Generalization factor:** In this paper, the learnable switching factors termed β_i can play a generalization of the proposed function. β_i is considered to adjust the amplitude of the two linear activations through the transmission information of adjacent layers (Equ.16).

$$MDAC(x) = P_{Max}(P_{Min}(Tanh, \beta_1 x), \beta_2 x), \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times n \times e} \quad (16)$$

Equ.16 is the mathematical form of MADC activation function (See Algorithm 1 for the procedure), where b is batch size, n is number steps, e is embedding size. We analyze its properties at the end of this section.

MDAC' properties: Fig.2 is the schematic diagram of MADC, which contains three different groups of β_i for intuitive display. It can be distinctly seen that MDAC is mainly composed of three activation segments, which are regulated by β_1 , $Tanh$ and β_2 successively. It gets rid of the negative activation paralysis, and the output values are diverse, which is adjusted adaptively to the appropriate distribution. Macroscopically, MDAC is a smooth curve rising steadily, and there is no saturation in the whole activation region.

Formally, we compute the first derivative of MDAC for further comprehending. We have noticed that P_{Min} and P_{Max} are functional means to eliminate breakpoints, and their impact on the overall trend of MDAC is extremely weak. Therefore, for succinctness, we analyze the Equ.17, the tractable and rational substitution of Equ.16.

$$\text{Max}(0, \beta_2 x) \cup \text{Min}(\text{Tanh}, \beta_1 x) \quad (17)$$

$x \rightarrow -\infty :$

$$\text{Max}(0, \beta_2 x) \cup \text{Min}(\text{Tanh}, \beta_1 x) \rightarrow \beta_1 x \quad (18)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx}[\text{MADC}(x)] \rightarrow \beta_1$$

$x \rightarrow +\infty :$

$$\text{Max}(0, \beta_2 x) \cup \text{Min}(\text{Tanh}, \beta_1 x) \rightarrow \beta_2 x \quad (19)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx}[\text{MADC}(x)] \rightarrow \beta_2$$

Equ. 18 and Equ.19 are the derivative analysis of MDAC. The analysis shows that the derivative of MDAC will approach β_1 and β_2 at both ends of the coordinate axis with the increase of input respectively, and $\beta_1 \neq 0, \beta_2 \neq 0$, so there is no gradient vanishing in MDAC. Fig.3 is the derivative function diagram of MDAC under the condition of $\beta_1 = 1.4$ and $\beta_2 = 0.8$, which is completely consistent with the above theoretical analysis. The first derivative of MDAC will stabilize at two definite positive constants, that is, MDAC will extend predictably regardless of large or small argument input in various gradients.

In summary, MDAC has the following appreciation and superiorities:

- It can conquer the gradient vanishing problem laconically.
- It can relieve the non-differentiable existence to further improve the activation efficiency.
- It extricates the activation paralysis to make the output values are diverse.
- Its unsaturation and adjustability accelerate the convergence and improve the generalization performance.




Figure 3: The first derivative of MDAC when $\beta_1 = 1.4$ and $\beta_2 = 0.8$. It can be observed that the derivative curve is infinitely close to $y = 1.4$ and $y = 0.8$, which is completely consistent with our theoretical analysis.

Experiment

We carry out a thorough experimental comparison on both classical models and pre-training optimization models with six demanding and standard benchmark NER datasets. For each experiment, we follow the common practice and simply change the activation function in the network and report the F1-score. Formally, we elaborate this part comprehensively from the following aspects.

Datasets: We perform experiments on six datasets in distinct domains, including Weibo-NER (Peng and Dredze 2016), Clinical-NER (Kong et al. 2021), E-commerce-NER (Ding et al. 2019), People's daily-NER, Resume-NER (Zhang and Yang 2018) and HAZOP-NER (Wang, Zhang and Gao 2021). These datasets are briefly introduced below.

Dataset \ Activation	Clinical-NER		E-commerce-NER		HAZOP-NER		People's daily-NER		Resume-NER		Weibo-NER	
	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev
Leaky_ReLU	92.96	90.71	77.50	77.46	85.82	85.93	93.62	94.44	94.05	94.23	46.54	52.16
SELU	92.62	89.96	77.71	76.33	86.10	86.02	93.88	94.58	94.15	95.10	45.08	51.60
Swish	91.85	89.60	78.43	77.67	86.01	86.18	93.43	94.78	95.00	94.00	46.60	50.16
Tanh	92.10	89.34	77.72	77.78	85.03	84.57	93.68	94.87	94.00	93.85	48.84	55.34
ReLU	92.67	90.11	77.47	77.47	85.52	85.61	93.90	94.90	94.69	93.76	48.55	52.82
MDAC	93.18	90.42	77.76	77.81	86.98	86.71	94.12	95.30	95.05	94.53	49.91	55.75

Table 1: Evaluation and comparison studies on six datasets in the pre-training optimization model. We report the total F1-score successively.

Activation \ Dataset	Clinical-NER		E-commerce-NER		HAZOP-NER		People's daily-NER		Resume-NER	
	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev
Tanh	98.53	97.18	63.84	63.30	82.61	82.98	86.55	86.12	86.78	90.39
ReLU	98.55	97.49	64.79	65.96	84.95	83.31	86.62	86.31	87.53	90.99
Leaky_ReLU	98.53	97.03	63.91	64.69	83.15	83.90	86.07	85.56	86.96	90.45
SELU	98.24	97.51	63.41	64.02	84.21	83.64	86.83	86.50	87.14	90.34
Swish	98.37	96.34	63.45	63.21	84.14	82.61	85.55	85.97	87.42	90.38
MDAC	98.66	97.93	65.78	66.85	85.03	83.92	87.08	86.44	87.88	90.71

Table 2: Evaluation and comparison studies on five datasets in the classical model. We report the total F1-score successively.

- Weibo-NER: It is in the domain of social media, including entities such as person, location, organization and polity, with a total of seven tags as "GPE.NAM", "LOC.NAM", "LOC.NOM", "ORG.NAM", "ORG.NO M", "PER.NAM" and "PER.NOM".
- Clinical-NER: The Chinese clinical dataset, constructed by China conference on knowledge graph and semantic computing (CKKS2019), including six entities: disease diagnosis ("DD"), anatomical location (AL), imaging examination ("IE"), laboratory test ("LT"), operation ("OP") and medicine ("ME").
- E-commerce-NER: It is in the domain of e-commerce with the types of brands ("BRAD") and products ("PROD").
- People's daily-NER: It consists of three types of entities: location ("LOC"), organization ("ORG") and person ("PER").
- Resume-NER: It consists of resume information, involving eight types of entities: education ("EDU"), name ("NAME"), race ("RACE"), country ("CONT"), location ("LOC"), profession ("PRO"), title ("TITLE") and organization ("ORG").
- HAZOP-NER: The industrial safety dataset, consists of five types of entities: equipment ("EQU"), process label ("PL"), material ("MAT"), consequence ("CON") and attribute ("ATT").

Models: We conduct experiments on BiLSTM-CRF, the classical model (Lample et al. 2016; Liao et al. 2021), and BERT-BiLSTM-CRF, the pre-training optimization model (Devlin et al. 2018; Li, Zhang and Zhou 2020) respectively to measure MDAC more comprehensively. In particular, all other implementation conditions are the same except the activation function in each round of dataset verification. We apply Adam optimizer with the learning rate 1e-3 for both neural networks, we use the BERT-Base with 12 hidden layers and 768 hidden size. Besides, for efficient evaluation, we train 40 epochs for the classical model and 20 epochs for the pre-training optimization model.

Comparisons: Tanh (Parkes & Duffy 1996; Godin et al. 2018) and ReLU (Kevin et al. 2009; Nair and Hinton 2010; Hahnloser et al. 2000) are baselines because they represent exponential activation functions and piecewise activation functions respectively. In addition, other ingenious and advanced activation functions are also included in the comparison, such as Leaky ReLU (Maas, Hannun and Ng 2013; Jebaveerasingh et al. 2019), (SELU) (Klambauer et al. 2017) and Swish (Ramachandran et al. 2017).

Results: In the pre-training optimization model. Table 1 is the evaluation and comparison on six datasets successively. We report the total F1-score (The F1-score of each label is in Appendix). We have the following major observations from the table.

(i). In all domain data, MDAC further improves F1-score compared with other activation functions, which proves the effectiveness and generalization of MDAC.

(ii). MDAC greatly outperforms ReLU on Weibo-NER and HAZOP-NER and slightly superior to ReLU on other domain datasets. Specifically, in terms of total recognition of Weibo-NER, MDAC exceeds ReLU by 1.36% and 2.93% in test set and verification set respectively. Besides, whether tested or verified, MDAC is about 0.5 percentage points ahead on other domain datasets. These brilliant performances present that MDAC is credible in eliminating the non-differentiable existence.

(iii). MDAC improves total recognition performance remarkably comparing with Tanh in all domains, especially on HAZOP-NER, where MDAC is about two percentage point ahead in both test set and verification set. It should not be ignored that MDAC has also increased by about 1% on Weibo-NER and Resume-NER. which reflects that MDAC is beneficial in surmounting the gradient vanishing.

(iv). For Leaky ReLU, only in the validation set of Clinical-NER, it is 0.29% higher than MDAC in total F1-score, while in other domains, its performance is inferior to MDAC, especially Weibo-NER. In addition, we also find that compared with ReLU, the effect of Leaky ReLU is unsatisfactory, but decreased in some data sets, such as People's daily-NER, Weibo-NER and Resume-NER, which indicates the

Algorithm 1: MDAC

Input: Tensor X **Parameter:** Variable: β_1, β_2, f_0 . Constant: μ **Output:** Tensor Y

```
1: Let  $\mu = 0.01$ .
2: for  $x$  in  $X$  do
3:    $f_1, f_2 = \beta_1 \cdot x, \beta_2 \cdot \tanh(x)$ 
4:    $f_{\min}, f_{\max} = 0.5 + 0.5 \cdot (f_1 - f_2) / \mu, 0.5 - 0.5 \cdot (f_1 - f_2) / \mu$ 
5:   for  $f_{\min} \leftarrow 0$  to 1,  $f_{\max} \leftarrow 0$  to 1 do
6:      $P_{\max} = f_1 \cdot (1 - f_{\max}) + f_{\max} \cdot f_2 + \mu \cdot f_{\max} \cdot (1 - f_{\max})$ 
7:      $P_{\min} = f_1 \cdot (1 - f_{\min}) + f_{\min} \cdot f_2 + \mu \cdot f_{\min} \cdot (1 - f_{\min})$ 
8: return  $Y = P_{\max}(P_{\min}(f_1, f_2))$ 
```

optimization of MDAC in non-differentiable. For SELU, its recognition effect is slightly better than that of MDAC only in the validation set of Resume-NER, while in other domains, its performance is weaker than that of MDAC in both test set and verification set, especially Weibo-NER. Other noteworthy is that in some domains, SELU's performance is even worse than the baseline Tanh, which implies the progress of MDAC in gradient vanishing. For Swish, its total F1-score is slightly better than that of MDAC only in the test set of E-commerce-NER, but MDAC's performance is significantly prior to Swish in both the verification set and the test set of other five domains, which shows the robust generalization of MDAC.

Table 2 shows the evaluation and comparison of classical model on five datasets successively. The experimental results are similar to those of the pre-training optimization model in all domains, compared with other activation functions, MDAC is more advanced, we will not repeat it in detail here.

In summary, MDAC is superior to other prevalent activation functions in robustness and generalization, and can reflect excellent activation performance in multiple domains.

Conclusion

In this work, we present MDAC, the novel, general and ingenious activation function. We discuss how to integrate the inherits of exponential activation function (such as Tanh family) and piecewise linear activation function (such as ReLU family) into MDAC, and deduce the approximate smoothing, the algorithm of eliminating non-differentiable existence based on interpolation. Moreover, its attributes are also analyzed in detail. Experiments show that MDAC improves performance on both classical models and pre-training optimization models in six domain datasets by simply changing the activation function, which indicates MDAC's effectiveness and progressiveness. MDAC conquers the gradient vanishing problem laconically, relieves the non-differentiable existence and extricates the activation paralysis. It

is superior to other prevalent activation functions in robustness and generalization, and can provide excellent and stable activation performance in multiple domains. We expect MDAC applied to a wide range of applications.

Acknowledgments

We are particularly grateful for the insightful suggestions from Dong Gao, as well as the advanced technical support from Fanglin Liu and Haozhe Liu.

Appendices

Evaluation and comparison studies on six domain datasets in the pre-training optimization model. The F1-score of each label are shown in the following tables

Activation	Entity		EQU		PL		MAT		CON		ATT	
	Test	Dev	Test	Dev								
Leaky_ReLU	78.87	79.35	97.52	96.17	75.86	66.21	94.55	94.44	85.20	87.48		
SELU	81.07	82.02	98.41	96.17	77.59	63.95	93.58	94.44	82.49	84.81		
Swish	80.18	81.81	97.74	95.62	76.92	64.00	96.30	92.41	83.43	86.97		
Tanh	80.12	78.88	97.96	95.45	70.09	66.21	94.12	93.62	81.47	83.61		
ReLU	79.64	77.57	98.87	96.54	70.10	64.83	95.24	94.37	82.42	88.25		
MDAC	79.57	81.01	98.41	95.99	70.63	65.57	98.08	95.10	84.90	86.97		

Appendix Table 1. Evaluation and comparison studies on HAZOP-NER dataset.

Activation	Entity		LT		IE		OP		DD		ME		AL	
	Test	Dev	Test	Dev										
Leaky_ReLU	97.71	93.15	92.75	92.75	95.08	86.73	94.36	89.67	93.16	97.83	92.13	90.63		
SELU	94.76	89.19	93.09	94.12	95.08	85.71	94.21	87.11	91.25	98.07	92.38	90.34		
Swish	93.17	91.89	95.94	93.94	97.56	84.96	93.25	86.49	91.43	98.08	90.97	90.05		
Tanh	97.96	92.11	93.43	96.97	93.75	84.82	92.88	88.37	93.19	97.58	91.09	88.72		
ReLU	95.43	93.55	93.02	91.99	96.23	85.48	94.21	88.65	92.86	97.63	91.47	90.21		
MDAC	97.70	90.41	93.73	94.12	92.80	85.33	94.77	88.92	92.67	96.88	92.43	90.31		

Appendix Table 2. Evaluation and comparison studies on Clinical-NER dataset.

Entity \ Activation	PROD		BRAD	
	Test	Dev	Test	Dev
Leaky_ReLU	79.77	79.24	64.93	65.85
SELU	79.68	78.60	66.23	59.79
Swish	79.86	79.10	70.46	67.89
Tanh	79.53	79.77	66.36	64.90
ReLU	79.71	78.80	64.52	68.59
MDAC	79.46	79.58	67.83	65.98

Appendix Table 3. Evaluation and comparison studies on E-commerce-NER dataset.

Entity \ Activation	LOC		ORG		PER	
	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev
Leaky_ReLU	94.10	94.63	90.43	91.16	96.88	98.02
SELU	94.33	95.00	90.34	90.95	97.55	98.24
Swish	93.96	95.16	89.91	91.98	96.88	97.50
Tanh	94.32	95.55	89.93	91.06	97.21	98.23
ReLU	94.27	95.46	90.47	91.70	97.52	97.67
MDAC	94.57	95.54	90.85	92.82	97.40	97.95

Appendix Table 4. Evaluation and comparison studies on People's daily-NER dataset.

Entity \ Activation	CONT		EDU		LOC		NAME		ORG		PRO		RACE		TITLE	
	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev
Leaky_ReLU	100	100	96.89	99.07	100	100	99.10	92.60	93.09	94.29	94.74	100	96.77	94.06	93.55	
SELU	100	100	98.67	98.15	100	100	99.10	92.71	93.42	98.51	94.74	100	100	93.74	95.05	
Swish	100	100	99.11	97.22	100	100	98.67	99.55	94.32	92.72	97.06	94.74	100	90.32	94.63	93.62
Tanh	100	100	98.21	98.60	100	100	99.55	92.76	91.74	88.57	94.74	100	100	93.89	93.70	
ReLU	100	100	97.78	98.13	100	100	99.55	93.39	92.28	94.12	87.18	100	96.77	94.70	93.50	
MDAC	100	96.97	99.11	99.07	100	100	99.55	93.69	93.31	95.69	94.74	100	100	95.02	94.06	

Appendix Table 5. Evaluation and comparison studies on Resume-NER dataset.

Entity \ Activation	GPE.NAM		LOC.NAM		LOC.NOM		ORG.NAM		ORG.NOM		PER.NAM		PER.NOM	
	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev	Test	Dev
Leaky_ReLU	66.67	46.88	21.28	10.53	-	-	23.33	11.43	-	-	62.07	61.93	39.76	63.35
SELU	62.92	58.46	27.45	0.00	-	-	23.73	11.76	-	-	57.89	57.97	38.20	60.61
Swish	69.66	58.62	15.38	0.00	-	-	25.81	6.25	-	-	55.86	55.05	43.24	58.78
Tanh	65.22	60.38	20.51	14.29	-	-	29.63	13.33	-	-	56.35	57.87	50.94	67.23
ReLU	73.12	64.62	25.00	13.33	-	-	22.64	21.92	-	-	56.25	58.48	45.50	59.07
MDAC	69.77	51.60	29.41	0.00	-	-	10.53	16.13	-	-	61.78	63.87	50.00	63.67

Appendix Table 6. Evaluation and comparison studies on Resume-NER dataset.

References

- Beck A. First-order methods in optimization[M]. *SIAM*, 2017. Doi: 10.1137/1.9781611974997.ch11.
- Cao, J.; Pang, Y.; Li, X. and Liang, J. 2018. Randomly translational activation inspired by the input distributions of ReLU. *Neurocomputing*, 275, 859-868. Doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2017.09.031.
- Chen, T. Q.; Behrmann, J.; Duvenaud, D. K. and Jacobsen, J.-H. 2019. Residual flows for invertible generative modeling. In *Advances in neural information processing systems (NeurIPS)* (pp. 9913-9923). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.02735v6>.
- Chen, XP.; Wei, W.; Yang, X.; Liu, H.; and Pan, XM. 2020. Successive linear newton interpolation methods for solving the large-scale nonlinear eigenvalue problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 387. Doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2019.124663.
- Clevert, D. A.; Unterthiner, T.; and Hochreiter, S. 2015. Fast and accurate deep network learning by exponential linear units (elus). arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.07289.
- Daeho K.; Jinah K.; and Jaeil K. 2020. Elastic exponential linear units for convolutional neural networks. *Neurocomputing*, 406, 253-266. Doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2020.03.051.
- Devlin, J.; Chang, M.W.; Lee, K. and Toutanova, K. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv:1810.04805.
- Ding, R.; Xie, P.; Zhang, X.; Lu, W.; Li, L. and Si, L. 2019. A neural multi-digraph model for Chinese NER with gazetteers. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* (pp. 1462-1467). Doi: 10.18653/v1/P19-1141.
- Dzhanunts, G. A.; and Romm, Y. E. 2017. The varying piece-wise interpolation solution of the cauchy problem for ordinary differential equations with iterative refinement. *Computational Mathematics & Mathematical Physics*, 57(10), 1616-1634. Doi: 10.1134/S0965542517100074.
- Elfwing, S.; Uchibe, E. and Doya, K. 2018. Sigmoid-weighted linear units for neural network function approximation in reinforcement learning. *Neural Networks*, 107, 3-11. Doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2017.12.012.
- Glorot, X.; Bordes, A.; and Bengio, Y. 2011. Deep sparse rectifier neural networks. In *Proceedings of the fourteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics* (pp. 315-323).
- Godin, F.; Degraeve, J.; Dambre, J.; and De Neve, W. 2018. Dual rectified linear units (DReLU): A replacement for tanh activation functions in quasi-recurrent neural networks. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 116, 8-14. Doi: 10.1016/j.patrec.2018.09.006.
- Goodfellow, I.; Warde-Farley, D.; Mirza, M.; Courville, A. and Bengio, Y. 2013. Maxout networks. In *International conference on machine learning* (pp. 1319-1327). *PMLR*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4389v1>
- Gulcehre, C.; Moczulski, M.; Denil, M. and Bengio, Y. 2016. Noisy activation functions. In *International conference on machine learning* (pp. 3059-3068). *PMLR*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.00391v3>
- Hahnloser, R. H.; Sarpeshkar, R.; Mahowald, M. A.; Douglas, R. J.; and Seung, H. S. 2000. Digital selection and analogue amplification coexist in a cortex-inspired silicon circuit. *Nature*, 405(6789), 947-951. Doi: 10.1038/35016072.
- Ito, Y. 1991. Representation of functions by superpositions of a step or sigmoid function and their applications to neural network

- theory. *Neural Networks*, 4(3), 385-394. Doi: 10.1016/0893-6080(91)90075-G.
- Jebaveerasingh J.; Immanuel J. J.; Getzi J. L. P. and Nancy E. S. 2019. "Super-Resolution of Digital Images using CNN with Leaky ReLU". *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)* 8.2s11(2019): 210-212.
- Jiang, X.; Pang, Y.; Li, X.; Pan, J. and Xie, Y. 2018. Deep neural networks with elastic rectified linear units for object recognition. *Neurocomputing*, 275, 1132-1139. Doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2017.09.056.
- Jin, X.; Xu, C.; Feng, J.; Wei, Y.; Xiong, J.; and Yan, S. 2016. Deep learning with s-shaped rectified linear activation units. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (Vol. 30, No. 1). Doi: 10.4172/2375-4397.1000e111.
- Kaiming He; Xiangyu Zhang; Shaoqing Ren; and Jian Sun. 2015. Delving deep into rectifiers: Surpassing human-level performance on imagenet classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 1026–1034, 2, 4. Doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2015.123.
- Kevin J.; Koray K.; Ranzato M.; and LeCun Y. 2009. What is the best multi-stage architecture for object recognition? In *2009 IEEE 12th international conference on computer vision*, pages 2146–2153. Doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2009.5459469.
- Klambauer, G.; Unterthiner, T.; Mayr, A.; and Hochreiter, S. 2017. Self-normalizing neural networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems (NeurIPS)*. pp. 971–980.
- Kong, J.; Zhang, L.; Jiang, M. and Liu, T. 2021. Incorporating multi-level CNN and attention mechanism for Chinese clinical named entity recognition. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 116, 103737. Doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2021.103737.
- Kong, S. and Takatsuka, M. 2017. Hexpo: A vanishing-proof activation function. In *2017 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)* (pp. 2562-2567). IEEE. Doi: 10.1109/IJCNN.2017.7966168
- Lample, G.; Ballesteros, M.; Subramanian, S.; Kawakami, K.; and Dyer, C. 2016. Neural Architectures for Named Entity Recognition. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 260–270. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.01360v3>
- LeCun, Y. A.; Bottou, L.; Orr, G. B.; and Müller, K. R. 2012. Efficient backprop. In *Neural networks: Tricks of the trade* (pp. 9-48). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. Doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-35289-8_3.
- LeCun, Y.; Bengio, Y.; and Hinton, G. 2015. Deep learning. *nature*, 521(7553), 436-444.
- Liang, X. and Xu, J. 2021. Biased ReLU neural networks. *Neurocomputing*, 423, 71-79. Doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2020.09.050.
- Liao, W.; Huang, M.; Ma, P. and Wang, Y. 2021. Extracting Knowledge Entities from Sci-Tech Intelligence Resources Based on BiLSTM and Conditional Random Field. *IEICE TRANSACTIONS on Information and Systems*, 104(8), 1214-1221. Doi: 10.1587/transinf.2020BDP0007.
- Li, X.; Zhang, H. and Zhou, X. H. 2020. Chinese clinical named entity recognition with variant neural structures based on BERT methods. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 107, 103422. Doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2020.103422
- Maas, A. L.; Hannun, A. Y.; and Ng, A. Y. 2013. Rectifier nonlinearities improve neural network acoustic models. In *Proc. ICML* Vol. 30, No. 1, p. 3. Doi: 10.1.1.693.1422.
- Nair, V.; Hinton, G.E. 2010. Rectified linear units improve restricted Boltzmann machines. In: *ICML*, pp. 807–814. <https://icml.cc/Conferences/2010/papers/432.pdf>
- Nesterov Y. 1998. Introductory lectures on convex programming volume i: Basic course[J]. *Lecture notes*, 3(4): 5. Doi: 10.1.1.693.855.
- Parkes, E.; Duffy, B. 1996. An automated tanh-function method for finding solitary wave solutions to non-linear evolution equations. *Computer Physics Communications*, 98, pp. 288-300. Doi: 10.1016/0010-4655(96)00104-X.
- Ramachandran, P.; Zoph, B.; and Le, Q. V. 2017. Searching for activation functions. arXiv:1710.05941.
- Roodschild, M.; Sardiñas, J. G.; and Will, A. 2020. A new approach for the vanishing gradient problem on sigmoid activation. *Progress in Artificial Intelligence*, 9(4), 351-360. Doi: 10.1007/s13748-020-00218-y
- Rössig, A.; and Petkovic, M. 2020. Advances in verification of ReLU neural networks. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 1-44.
- Shang, W.; Sohn, K.; Almeida, D. and Lee, H. 2016. Understanding and improving convolutional neural networks via concatenated rectified linear units. In *international conference on machine learning* (pp. 2217-2225). PMLR.
- Tanaka, M. 2020. Weighted sigmoid gate unit for an activation function of deep neural network. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 135, 354-359. Doi: 10.1016/j.patrec.2020.05.017
- Thakur, R. S.; Yadav, R. N.; and Gupta, L. 2020. PReLU and edge-aware filter-based image denoiser using convolutional neural network. *IET Image Processing*, 14(15), 3869-3879. Doi: 10.1049/iet-ipr.2020.0717
- Trottier, L.; Giguere, P. and Chaib-Draa, B. 2017. Parametric exponential linear unit for deep convolutional neural networks. In *2017 16th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA)* (pp. 207-214). IEEE. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.09332>
- Wang, S. H.; Muhammad, K.; Hong, J.; Sangaiah, A. K.; and Zhang, Y. D. 2020. Alcoholism identification via convolutional neural network based on parametric ReLU, dropout, and batch normalization. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 32(3), 665-680. Doi: 10.1007/s00521-018-3924-0
- Wang, Z.; Zhang, B. and Gao, D. 2021. Text Mining of Hazard and Operability Analysis Reports Based on Active Learning. *Processes*, 9(7), 1178. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9071178>
- Zhang, Y. and Yang, J. 2018. Chinese NER using lattice LSTM. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.02023.