

# LEIBNIZ RULES AND GAUSS–GREEN FORMULAS IN DISTRIBUTIONAL FRACTIONAL SPACES

GIOVANNI E. COMI AND GIORGIO STEFANI

ABSTRACT. We apply the results established in [9] to prove some new fractional Leibniz rules involving  $BV^{\alpha,p}$  and  $S^{\alpha,p}$  functions, following the distributional approach adopted in the previous works [6, 10, 11]. In order to achieve our main results, we revise the elementary properties of the fractional operators involved in the framework of Besov spaces and we rephrase the Kenig–Ponce–Vega Leibniz-type rule in our fractional context.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. The fractional framework	2
1.2. The fractional Leibniz rule: local and non-local parts	3
1.3. Dealing with the local part	4
1.4. Dealing with the non-local part	5
1.5. Main results	7
1.6. Organization of the paper	8
2. Preliminaries	8
2.1. General notation	8
2.2. The operators $\nabla^\alpha$ and $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ and the associated fractional spaces	10
2.3. The operators $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ on Besov spaces	12
2.4. The operators $\nabla^\alpha$ and $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ on Besov spaces	13
2.5. The operators $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ on Besov spaces	15
3. Leibniz rules involving $BV^{\alpha,p}$ functions	17
3.1. Products of $BV^{\alpha,p}$ and continuous Besov functions	17
3.2. Products of $BV^{\alpha,p}$ and Besov functions	19
3.3. Some Gauss–Green formulas	21
4. Leibniz rules for $S^{\alpha,p}$ functions	23
4.1. Products of $S^{\alpha,p}$ and Besov functions	23

---

*Date:* December 1, 2021.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E35. Secondary 26B20.

*Key words and phrases.* Fractional gradient, fractional divergence, Besov space, fractional variation, fractional Leibniz rule, Kenig–Ponce–Vega inequality, fractional Gauss–Green formula.

*Acknowledgments.* The authors are members of INdAM–GNAMPA. The first author is partially supported by the PRIN 2017 Project *Variational methods for stationary and evolution problems with singularities and interfaces* (n. prot. 2017BTM7SN). The second author is partially supported by the ERC Starting Grant 676675 FLIRT – *Fluid Flows and Irregular Transport* and by the INdAM–GNAMPA Project 2020 *Problemi isoperimetrici con anisotropie* (n. prot. U-UFMBAZ-2020-000798 15-04-2020). The second author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics of the University of Pisa (Italy), where part of this work was completed, for its support and warm hospitality.

4.2. Products of two Bessel functions	24
4.3. An estimate à la Kenig–Ponce–Vega	24
4.4. Products of two $S^{\alpha,p} \cap L^\infty$ functions	25
References	28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. The fractional framework.** Given a parameter  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the *fractional  $\alpha$ -gradient* and the *fractional  $\alpha$ -divergence* are respectively the operators defined as

$$\nabla^\alpha f(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mu_{n,\alpha}$  is a suitable renormalizing constant depending on  $n$  and  $\alpha$  only.

Since its first appearance [16], the literature around the operator  $\nabla^\alpha$  has been quickly expanding in various directions, such as the study of PDEs [23, 24, 26, 27] and of functionals [4, 5, 18] involving fractional operators, the discovery of new optimal embedding inequalities [25, 29, 30] and the development of a distributional and asymptotic analysis in this fractional framework [6, 9–11, 28]. We also refer the reader to the survey [31] and to the monograph [21].

At least for sufficiently smooth functions, the operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$  are *dual*, in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f dx. \quad (1.3)$$

Equality (1.3) can be regarded as a fractional integration-by-parts formula and provides the starting point for the distributional theory developed in the previous papers [6, 9–11].

By imitating the classical definition of  $BV$  function, for a given exponent  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , we say that a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  belongs to the space  $BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if

$$|D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\} < +\infty. \quad (1.4)$$

In the case  $p = 1$ , we simply write  $BV^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The resulting linear space

$$BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is a Banach space and the fractional variation defined in (1.4) is lower semicontinuous with respect to the  $L^p$ -convergence. The fractional variation was considered by the authors in the work [10] in the *geometric* framework  $p = 1$ , also in connection with the naturally associated notion of *fractional Caccioppoli perimeter*. The fractional variation of an  $L^p$  function for an arbitrary exponent  $p \in [1, +\infty]$  was then explored in [9, 11].

By instead emulating the usual definition of Sobolev function, we say that a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  belongs to the space  $S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if there exists a function  $\nabla^\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (1.3) for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . The resulting linear space

$$S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \nabla^\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad f \in S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is a Banach space as well and, actually, can be identified with the *Bessel potential space*  $L^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whenever  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , see [6, 18].

**1.2. The fractional Leibniz rule: local and non-local parts.** Playing with its definition (1.1) (see [10, Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7] and [11, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] for the rigorous statements), one immediately sees that the fractional gradient  $\nabla^\alpha$  obeys the Leibniz-type rule

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg) = g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad (1.5)$$

at least for  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The remainder term  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)$  is the *non-local fractional gradient* of the couple  $(f, g)$  and is defined as

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y)-f(x))(g(y)-g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.6)$$

In contrast with the more familiar local part given by  $g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g$ , the operator in (1.6) is reminiscent of the intrinsic non-local nature of the fractional gradient  $\nabla^\alpha$ . An analogous Leibniz-type rule can be obtained for the fractional divergence operator (1.2), whose reminder term  $\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  is the *non-local fractional divergence* and is defined similarly to (1.6).

When dealing with less regular functions, there are two main obstacles for the validity of (1.5) naturally arising from its local and non-local parts respectively.

On the one side, in analogy with what already happens in the classical framework, one expects that the local term  $f \nabla^\alpha g + g \nabla^\alpha f$  should be replaced by the sum of two distributionally defined objects. In particular, if  $f$  and  $g$  have finite fractional variation (1.4), then it is natural to replace the fractional gradients  $\nabla^\alpha f, \nabla^\alpha g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  with the fractional variation measures  $D^\alpha f, D^\alpha g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , but one has to check that the resulting measures  $g D^\alpha f$  and  $f D^\alpha g$  are well defined.

On the other side, one has to deal with the well-posedness and the integrability properties of (the extension of) the bilinear operator (1.6) appearing as a remainder in the Leibniz rule (1.5). In particular, the elementary estimate

$$|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)(x)| \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)-f(x)||g(y)-g(x)|}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.7)$$

suggests that one needs to take the interplay between the two fractional regularities of the functions  $f$  and  $g$  into consideration.

Having in mind the development of the PDE theory [23, 24, 26, 27] and the study of functionals [4, 5, 18] in this fractional setting, the main aim of the present paper is to move a first step towards the solution of these two problems, providing new Leibniz rules for the pairing of functions having finite fractional variation as well as for Bessel functions.

**1.3. Dealing with the local part.** To treat the issues coming from the local part of the fractional Leibniz rule (1.5), we take inspiration from the classical integer case  $\alpha = 1$ .

As a matter of fact, it is well known that, if  $f, g \in BV^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in BV^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$D(fg) = g^* Df + f^* Dg \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $BV^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : |Df|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty\}$ . Here and in the following, for a function  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we let

$$f^*(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) dy \quad (1.9)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the limit (1.9) exists and  $f^*(x) = 0$  otherwise. The function  $f^*$  defined by (1.9) is the so-called *precise representative* of the function  $f$ . If  $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the limit in (1.9) exists for  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and it can be strengthened as

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f^*(x)|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} dy = 0$$

for  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus J_f$ , see [13, Section 5.9] for example, where  $J_f \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the so-called *jump set* of the function  $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (if  $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $J_f$  is empty). Since  $|Df| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  for all  $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the Leibniz rule in (1.8) is well posed.

We would like to emphasize that the role of the precise representative is obviously relevant uniquely in the presence of a measure. In fact, for Sobolev functions, it is much easier to see that, if  $f, g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , then  $fg \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$\nabla(fg) = f \nabla g + g \nabla f \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

A similar result clearly holds also for  $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1$ , in which case  $fg \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with  $r \in [1, +\infty]$  such that  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ .

Reasoning by analogy, we will have to face the issue linked to the well-posedness of the local part of the fractional Leibniz rule (1.5) only when dealing with functions with  $BV^{\alpha,p}$  regularity. The difficulties related to  $S^{\alpha,p}$  functions, instead, will depend uniquely on the non-local part of the formula.

In order to control the continuity properties of the fractional variation with respect to the Hausdorff measure, we exploit [9, Theorem 1.1], which states that, if  $f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$|D^\alpha f| \ll \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{n-1} & \text{if } p \in \left[1, \frac{n}{1-\alpha}\right), \\ \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha-\frac{n}{p}} & \text{if } p \in \left[\frac{n}{1-\alpha}, +\infty\right]. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

Consequently, the product  $g^* D^\alpha f$  is well posed as soon as  $g^*$  is well defined in term of a Hausdorff measure of dimension not larger than the ones appearing in (1.10). In particular, the function  $g$  is allowed to belong to some Besov space with sufficiently large regularity order. However, at least at the present stage of the development of the theory, we are not able to consider functions  $g$  with finite fractional variation since, in virtue of [9, Theorem 1.2],  $g^*(x)$  would be defined only for  $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha+\varepsilon}$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrarily small.

**1.4. Dealing with the non-local part.** To overcome the issue related to the non-local part of the fractional Leibniz rule (1.5), we need to understand the behavior of the non-local fractional gradient (1.6).

A first step in this direction was already made in [10, Lemma 2.6] and [11, Lemma 2.4] by the authors, who proved that

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \begin{cases} \mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{p}, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{q}, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ 2\mu_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ 2\mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

whenever  $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$  are such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . In addition, from the computations made in [18, Equation (2.11)], one can also deduce the bound

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \begin{cases} \mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{B_{\infty,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ \mu_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{\infty,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

for all  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ .

In view of the simple bound (1.7), inequalities (1.11) and (1.12) suggest that one should study the integrability properties of the operator

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)| |g(y) - g(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

in the general framework of Besov spaces. A simple application of the Minkowski and the Hölder inequalities easily shows that

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \begin{cases} [f]_{B_{p,s}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ 2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ 2 [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

whenever  $\beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  are such that  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$  and  $p, q, r, s, t \in [1, +\infty]$  are such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} = 1$ , including (1.11) and (1.12) as particular cases. Note that one can argue in a similar way for the fractional gradient  $\nabla^\alpha$  in (1.1), since the related operator

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{D}^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (1.14)$$

for all  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ .

On the one side, the elementary bounds (1.13) and (1.14) can be taken as a new starting point for the well-posedness of the fractional theory related to the operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  (and their companions  $\text{div}^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ ) in the setting of Besov spaces. This point of view has the advantage of simplifying the exposition of the theory, providing a quicker and more agile way to prove several preliminary results.

On the other side, inequalities (1.13) and (1.14) automatically extend the validity of the fractional Leibniz rule (1.5) to Besov functions, solving the well-posedness issue related

to the non-local part of the formula as soon as at least one of the two functions belongs to some Besov space.

Although easy, the bound (1.7) is rough, since moving the absolute value inside the integral sign rules most of the cancellations originally allowed by the operator in (1.6). Having this observation in mind, one is tempted to look for a different approach exploiting the effect of the cancellations inside the integral (1.6). Going back to formula (1.5), one can naively reverse the roles of the involved operators and rewrite (1.6) as

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) = \nabla^\alpha(fg) - g \nabla^\alpha f - f \nabla^\alpha g.$$

At this point, one exploits the relation  $\nabla^\alpha = R(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ , where  $R$  is the *Riesz transform* and  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  is the *fractional Laplacian* (see Section 2.1 below for the precise definitions), so that

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) = R(H_\alpha(f, g)) + [R, g](-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f + [R, f](-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}g, \quad (1.15)$$

where

$$H_\alpha(f, g) = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(fg) - g(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f - f(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}g \quad (1.16)$$

and

$$[R, f]g = R(fg) - gRf. \quad (1.17)$$

With this new definition at disposal, the integrability properties of the non-local fractional gradient (1.6) reduce to the integrability properties of the operator  $H_\alpha$  in (1.16) and the mapping properties of  $R$  and of its commutator (1.17).

On the one side, the integrability properties of the operator  $H_\alpha$  date back to the fundamental work [17] by Kenig–Ponce–Vega, where the authors proved that

$$\|H_\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha,p} \left( \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \quad (1.18)$$

for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . The estimate in (1.18) is often called *fractional Leibniz rule* and was originally motivated by the study of the Korteweg–de Vries equation. Although not fundamental for our purposes, it is worth to mention that the above bound can be strengthened by replacing the  $L^\infty$  norms appearing in the right-hand side with BMO seminorms, see [19, Theorem 7.1].

On the other side, the mapping properties of the commutator (1.17) were studied by Coifman–Rochberg–Weiss in [8], where they proved the inequality

$$\|[R, f]g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,p} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (1.19)$$

for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . Again, although not essential for our scopes, the  $L^\infty$  norm in the right-hand side of the above inequality can be replaced with the BMO seminorm, see [8, Theorem I] and also [19, Theorem 4.1].

By combining (1.15) with the estimates (1.18) and (1.19) and recalling the  $L^p$ -boundedness of the Riesz transform for  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , we conclude that

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha,p} \left( \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \quad (1.20)$$

for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Inequality (1.20) allows to extend the fractional Leibniz rule (1.5) to functions  $f, g \in S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whenever  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  by a standard approximation argument. However, since one cannot directly pass to the limit in the expression (1.6), the

non-local fractional gradient has to be understood in a proper weak sense via a non-local analogue of the fractional integration-by-parts formula (1.3), i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx \quad (1.21)$$

for all functions  $f, g$  and vector-fields  $\varphi$  in suitable Besov spaces, once again thanks to the bound (1.13).

Somehow in analogy with the failure of the  $L^1$ -boundedness of the Riesz transform, the inequalities (1.18) and (1.19) are not known in the boundary case  $p = 1$  (and are not even expected to hold, see the discussion at the beginning of [19, Section 9]). For this reason, we do not know if the non-local fractional gradient (1.6) is well defined — even in the weak sense, via (1.21) — for  $f, g \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus, at the present moment, we are not able to extend the above approach to the case  $p = 1$ .

**1.5. Main results.** We can now state the three main theorems concerning fractional Leibniz rules we are going to prove in the present paper.

Our first main result deals with the product of a  $BV^{\alpha,p}$  function with a Besov function with suitable regularity depending on the exponent  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Leibniz rule for  $BV^{\alpha,p}$  with Besov). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . If  $f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and*

$$g \in \begin{cases} B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{for } p \in \left[1, \frac{n}{n-\alpha}\right), \\ L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{for } p \in \left[\frac{n}{n-\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right), \\ L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{q,1}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } \beta \in (\beta_{n,p,\alpha}, 1) & \text{for } p \in \left[\frac{1}{1-\alpha}, +\infty\right), \\ L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{for } p = +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

where

$$\beta_{n,p,\alpha} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{p} & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and } p \in \left[\frac{1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{n}{1-\alpha}\right), \\ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\alpha + \frac{n}{p}\right) & \text{if } p \in \left[\frac{n}{1-\alpha}, +\infty\right), \end{cases}$$

then  $fg \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$D^\alpha(fg) = g^* D^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g \mathcal{L}^n + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \mathcal{L}^n \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

In addition,

$$D^\alpha(fg)(\mathbb{R}^n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx = 0,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha g dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g^* dD^\alpha f.$$

Our second main result provides an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for the product of two Bessel functions.

**Theorem 1.2** (Leibniz rule for  $S^{\alpha,p} \cdot S^{\alpha,q}$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$  for some  $r \in [1, +\infty)$ . There exists a constant  $c_{n,\alpha,p,q} > 0$ , depending on*

$n$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  only, with the following property. If  $f \in S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in S^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in S^{\alpha,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg) = g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.23)$$

and

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha,p,q} \|f\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{S^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (1.24)$$

In addition, if  $r = 1$  then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^\alpha(fg) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx = 0 \quad (1.25)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha g dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \nabla^\alpha f dx. \quad (1.26)$$

Finally, our third main results rephrases the fractional Leibniz-type formula (1.18) by Kenig–Ponce–Vega for the product of two bounded  $S^{\alpha,p}$  functions with  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . Here  $\nabla_{\text{NL},w}^\alpha$  denotes the non-local fractional gradient defined in the weak sense via the integration-by-parts formula (1.21), see Definition 4.5 below for more details.

**Theorem 1.3** (Leibniz rule in  $S^{\alpha,p} \cap L^\infty$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . There exists a constant  $c_{n,\alpha,p} > 0$ , depending on  $n$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $p$  only, with the following property. If  $f, g \in S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\nabla_{\text{NL},w}^\alpha(f, g) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , with*

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg) = g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g + \nabla_{\text{NL},w}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL},w}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha,p} \left( \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right).$$

**1.6. Organization of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we recall the main notation used throughout the paper and we prove several basic results for the involved fractional differential operators in the framework of Besov spaces.

In Section 3, we establish our first main result Theorem 1.1, proving each case in (1.22) separately, see Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.3, Corollary 3.5, Corollary 3.6 and Corollary 3.7 respectively. We also discuss some strictly related Gauss–Green formulas, see Section 3.3.

In Section 4, after having settled the inequality (1.11), we conclude our paper by showing Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. General notation.** We start with a brief description of the main notation used in this paper. In order to keep the exposition the most reader-friendly as possible, we retain the same notation adopted in the previous works [6, 9–11].

Given an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we say that a set  $E$  is compactly contained in  $\Omega$ , and we write  $E \Subset \Omega$ , if the  $\bar{E}$  is compact and contained in  $\Omega$ . We let  $\mathcal{L}^n$  and  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure and the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively, with  $\alpha \in [0, n]$ . Unless otherwise stated, a measurable set is a  $\mathcal{L}^n$ -measurable set. We also use the notation  $|E| = \mathcal{L}^n(E)$ . All functions we consider in this paper are Lebesgue measurable, unless otherwise stated. We denote by  $B_r(x)$  the standard open



Euclidean ball with center  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and radius  $r > 0$ . We let  $B_r = B_r(0)$ . Recall that  $\omega_n = |B_1| = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}/\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2}{2}\right)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial B_1) = n\omega_n$ , where  $\Gamma$  is Euler's *Gamma function*.

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $C_c^k(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\text{Lip}_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  be the spaces of  $C^k$ -regular and, respectively, Lipschitz-regular,  $m$ -vector-valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with compact support in the open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Analogously, we let  $C_b^k(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\text{Lip}_b(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  be the spaces of  $C^k$ -regular and, respectively, Lipschitz-regular,  $m$ -vector-valued bounded functions defined on the open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . In the case  $k = 0$ , we drop the superscript and simply write  $C_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $C_b(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ .

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the total variation on  $\Omega$  of the  $m$ -vector-valued Radon measure  $\mu$  is defined as

$$|\mu|(\Omega) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \varphi \cdot d\mu : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We thus let  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  be the space of  $m$ -vector-valued Radon measure with finite total variation on  $\Omega$ . We say that  $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  *weakly converges* to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , and we write  $\mu_k \rightharpoonup \mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \cdot d\mu_k = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \cdot d\mu \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Note that we make a little abuse of terminology, since the limit in (2.1) actually defines the *weak\*-convergence* in  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ .

For any exponent  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , we let  $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  be the space of  $m$ -vector-valued Lebesgue  $p$ -integrable functions on  $\Omega$ .

We let

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n+m})} < +\infty \right\}$$

be the space of  $m$ -vector-valued Sobolev functions on  $\Omega$ , see for instance [20, Chapter 10] for its precise definition and main properties. We let

$$BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = \left\{ u \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} = |Du|(\Omega) < +\infty \right\}$$

be the space of  $m$ -vector-valued functions of bounded variation on  $\Omega$ , see for instance [3, Chapter 3] or [13, Chapter 5] for its precise definition and main properties. For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ , we let

$$W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+p\alpha}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty \right\}$$

be the space of  $m$ -vector-valued fractional Sobolev functions on  $\Omega$ , see [12] for its precise definition and main properties. For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p = +\infty$ , we simply let

$$W^{\alpha,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = \left\{ u \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) : \sup_{x,y \in \Omega, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < +\infty \right\},$$

so that  $W^{\alpha,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = C_b^{0,\alpha}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , the space of  $m$ -vector-valued bounded  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous functions on  $\Omega$ .

For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$ , we let

$$B_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{B_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)} < +\infty \right\}$$

be the space of  $m$ -vector-valued Besov functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , see [20, Chapter 14] for its precise definitions and main properties, where

$$[u]_{B_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)} = \begin{cases} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|u(\cdot + h) - u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)}^q}{|h|^{n+q\alpha}} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } q \in [1, +\infty), \\ \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u(\cdot + h) - u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)}}{|h|^\alpha} & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We also let

$$b_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) = \left\{ u \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m) : [u]_{B_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)} < +\infty \right\}.$$

In order to avoid heavy notation, if the elements of a function space  $F(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  are real-valued (i.e.,  $m = 1$ ), then we will drop the target space and simply write  $F(\Omega)$ .

Given  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we also let

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x) = \nu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.2)$$

be the fractional Laplacian (of order  $\alpha$ ) of  $f \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , where

$$\nu_{n,\alpha} = 2^\alpha \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Finally, we let

$$Rf(x) = \pi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\{|y| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{y f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.3)$$

be the (vector-valued) *Riesz transform* of a (sufficiently regular) function  $f$ . We refer the reader to [15, Sections 2.1 and 2.4.4], [32, Chapter III, Section 1] and [33, Chapter III] for a more detailed exposition. We warn the reader that the definition in (2.3) agrees with the one in [33] and differs from the one in [15, 32] for a minus sign. The Riesz transform (2.3) is a singular integral of convolution type, thus in particular it defines a continuous operator  $R: L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  for any given  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , see [14, Corollary 5.2.8]. We also recall that its components  $R_i$  satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R_i^2 = -\text{Id} \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

see [14, Proposition 5.1.16].

**2.2. The operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}^\alpha$  and the associated fractional spaces.** We are going to briefly revise the theory on the non-local operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}^\alpha$ , see [6, 9–11, 28] and [21, Section 15.2].

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and set

$$\mu_{n,\alpha} = 2^\alpha \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}.$$

Recall that fractional operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$  are defined by setting

$$\nabla^\alpha f(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.4)$$

for  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.5)$$

for  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thanks to [10, Corollary 2.3], we know that

$$\nabla^\alpha: \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and, analogously,

$$\operatorname{div}^\alpha: \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

are two linear continuous operators. In addition, the fractional operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$  are *dual*, in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f dx. \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , see [28, Section 6] and [10, Lemma 2.5].

We can now quickly recall the functional spaces naturally induced by the two fractional operators (2.4) and (2.5), see [9–11] for a detailed exposition.

Let  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ . We say that a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  belongs to the space  $BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if

$$|D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\} < +\infty. \quad (2.7)$$

In the case  $p = 1$ , we simply write  $BV^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The resulting linear space

$$BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |D^\alpha f|(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is a Banach space and the fractional variation defined in (2.7) is lower semicontinuous with respect to the  $L^p$ -convergence. Arguing as in the proof of [10, Theorem 3.2], it is possible to show that a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  belongs to  $BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if there exists a finite vector valued Radon measure  $D^\alpha f$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , see [9, Theorem 3.1]. As in [9, Proposition 3.7], the vector fields  $\varphi$  can actually be taken in a wider class depending on the value of  $p$ .

In a similar fashion, we say that a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  belongs to the space  $S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if there exists a function  $\nabla^\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (2.6) for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . The resulting linear space

$$S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \nabla^\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad f \in S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is a Banach space. In addition,  $S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be identified with the Bessel potential space  $L^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whenever  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , see [6, 18].

**2.3. The operators  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  on Besov spaces.** We are now going to study the fractional operators (2.4) and (2.5) on Besov spaces. To this aim, we need to introduce two following auxiliary operators.

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We let

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all  $f \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Analogously, we let

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)| |g(x+h) - g(x)|}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following result proves that the operators  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  naturally extend to Besov spaces.

**Lemma 2.1** (Integrability of  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ . Let  $p, q, r, s, t \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} = 1$ .*

(i) *The operator  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$  satisfies*

$$\|\mathcal{D}^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (2.9)$$

for all  $f \in b_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(ii) *The operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  satisfies*

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \begin{cases} [f]_{B_{p,s}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in b_{p,s}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in b_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ 2 \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in b_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ 2 [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in b_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

*Proof.* We prove the two statements separately.

*Proof of (i)* By the Minkowski inequality, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(\cdot+h) - f|}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|f(\cdot+h) - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh \\ &= [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and (2.9) follows.

*Proof of (ii).* Let us assume that  $s, t \in (1, +\infty)$ . By the Minkowski and the (generalized) Hölder inequalities, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|f(\cdot+h) - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g(\cdot+h) - g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|f(\cdot+h) - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g(\cdot+h) - g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|f(\cdot+h) - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{|h|^{\frac{n}{s}+\beta}} \frac{\|g(\cdot+h) - g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{|h|^{\frac{n}{t}+\gamma}} dh \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|f(\cdot+h) - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^s}{|h|^{n+s\beta}} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|g(\cdot+h) - g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^t}{|h|^{n+t\gamma}} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \\
&= [f]_{B_{p,s}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)}
\end{aligned}$$

and (2.10) follows. The remaining cases are similar and are left to the reader.  $\square$

In the following result, we prove a Leibniz-type rule for the operator  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$  for the product of two Besov functions.

**Lemma 2.2** (Leibniz-type rule for  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q, r \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$ . If  $f \in B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha(fg) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with*

$$\|\mathcal{D}^\alpha(fg)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

*Proof.* For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^\alpha(fg)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)g(y) - f(x)g(x)|}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha}} dy \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(y)| |f(y) - f(x)| + |f(x)| |g(y) - g(x)|}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha}} dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x+h)| |f(x+h) - f(x)|}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh + |f(x)| \mathcal{D}^\alpha g(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Minkowski and the (generalized) Hölder inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{D}^\alpha(fg)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|g(\cdot+h)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f(\cdot+h) - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh + \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{D}^\alpha g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|g(\cdot+h)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f(\cdot+h) - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{|h|^{n+\alpha}} dh + \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{D}^\alpha g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma 2.1(i) and the conclusion readily follows.  $\square$

**2.4. The operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$  on Besov spaces.** We are now ready to study the properties of the fractional operators (2.4) and (2.5) on Besov spaces.

We start by observing that from Lemma 2.1(i) we can easily deduce the following integrability result for the operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$  when applied to Besov functions.

**Corollary 2.3** (Integrability of  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ . The linear operators in (2.4) and (2.5) can be extended to two linear operators (for which we retain the same notation) satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
\|\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \mu_{n,\alpha} [\varphi]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $f \in b_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in b_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In addition, the Leibniz-type rule for the operator  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$  proved in Lemma 2.2 allows us to deduce the following integrability property for  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$  when applied to product of Besov functions.

**Corollary 2.4** (Integrability of  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$  for products). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q, r \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$ .*

(i) *If  $f \in B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\nabla^\alpha(fg) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . In addition, if  $r = 1$  then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^\alpha(fg) \, dx = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

(ii) *If  $f \in B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha(f\varphi) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In addition, if  $r = 1$  then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{div}^\alpha(f\varphi) \, dx = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

In the proof of the above Corollary 2.4, we need the following consequence of [11, Proposition 2.7] whose simple proof is left to the reader.

**Lemma 2.5** (Zero total measure). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . If  $f \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $D^\alpha f(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$ .*

*Proof of Corollary 2.4.* Thanks to Lemma 2.2, we just need to prove (2.11) and (2.12) in the case  $r = 1$ . To this aim, let us set  $u = fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we know that  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Consequently,  $u \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by the Fubini Theorem and so (2.11) follows from Lemma 2.5. The proof of (2.12) is similar and is left to the reader.  $\square$

Thanks to Lemma 2.1(i) again, we can easily extend the validity of the integration-by-parts formula (2.6) to Besov functions.

**Lemma 2.6** (Duality for  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . If  $f \in B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then (2.6) holds.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.3, both sides of (2.6) are well posed. Thanks to Lemma 2.1(i) and the Fubini Theorem, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \frac{(y-x) \cdot (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dy \, dx \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \frac{(x-y) \cdot (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dx \, dy \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f \, dy = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \cdot \frac{(x-y)(f(x) - f(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dx \, dy.$$

Therefore, by adding up the two expressions above, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f \, dy &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(x-y) \cdot (f(x)\varphi(x) - f(y)\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{div}^\alpha(f\varphi) \, dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

thanks to Corollary 2.4(ii) and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**2.5. The operators  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  on Besov spaces.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We recall that the non-local fractional operators  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  are defined by

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi)(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (f(y) - f(x))(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.14)$$

for all  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , see [10, Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7]. From Lemma 2.1(ii), we immediately deduce the following integrability result for the operators  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  on Besov spaces.

**Corollary 2.7** (Integrability of  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ . Let  $p, q, r, s, t \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} = 1$ . The bilinear operators in (2.13) and (2.14) can be extended to two bilinear operators (for which we retain the same notation) satisfying*

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \begin{cases} \mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{B_{p,s}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in b_{p,s}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in b_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ 2\mu_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in b_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ 2\mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in b_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases}$$

and, analogously,

$$\|\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \begin{cases} \mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{B_{p,s}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} [\varphi]_{B_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in b_{p,s}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n), \varphi \in b_{q,t}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \\ 2\mu_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [\varphi]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \varphi \in b_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \\ 2\mu_{n,\alpha} [f]_{B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} & \text{for } f \in b_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), \varphi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.8** (Particular cases of Corollary 2.7). As far as we know, two particular cases of Corollary 2.7 are already known in the literature when  $\beta = \alpha/s$  and  $\gamma = \alpha/t$  (although for more regular functions). The case  $r = 1, s = p$  and  $t = q$  is considered in [10, Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7] and in the more general [11, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5]. The case  $r = p, q = +\infty, s = +\infty$  and  $t = 1$  can be recovered from the computations made in [18, Section 2.2, Equation (2.11)].

Somewhat in analogy with the duality relation (2.6) proved in Lemma 2.6, we can establish the following integration-by-parts formula for the operators  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  on Besov spaces.

**Lemma 2.9** (Duality for  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q, r \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ . If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in b_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx. \quad (2.15)$$

*Proof.* We start by noticing that both sides of (2.15) are well defined by Corollary 2.7 and the Hölder inequality. Thanks to Lemma 2.1 and the Fubini Theorem, we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \frac{(y-x) \cdot (g(y) - g(x))(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx$$

$$= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \frac{(x-y) \cdot (g(x) - g(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha+1}} dx dy$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \cdot \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \cdot \frac{(x-y)(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha+1}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by exchanging the order of integration and adding up, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (f(x) - f(y))(g(y) - g(x))(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x) \cdot (f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

We end this section with the following result showing that, under suitable Besov regularity assumptions on the function  $h$  and the vector field  $\varphi$ , the maps

$$(f, g) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, h) dx, \quad (f, g) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx,$$

are symmetric. Up to our knowledge, this property was first noticed in [18, Equation (2.15)] but only for sufficiently regular functions.

**Lemma 2.10** (Swapping property of  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  and  $\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q, r \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ . If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $h \in b_{r,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in b_{r,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, h) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, h) dx \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) dx. \quad (2.17)$$

*Proof.* Thanks to Lemma 2.1(i), both sides of (2.16) are well defined. Moreover, also thanks to the Fubini Theorem, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, h) dx &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \frac{(y-x)(g(y) - g(x))(h(y) - h(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx \\ &= -\mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \frac{(y-x)(g(y) - g(x))(h(y) - h(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx \end{aligned}$$

by simply swapping the names of the variables. An analogous reasoning proves that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, h) dx = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))(h(y) - h(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx$$



$$= -\mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \frac{(y-x)(f(y)-f(x))(h(y)-h(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy dx.$$

We can thus write

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, h) dx \\ &= -\mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y)-f(x))(g(y)-g(x))(h(y)-h(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dx dy \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, h) dx \end{aligned}$$

and (2.16) follows. The proof of (2.17) is similar and is thus left to the reader.  $\square$

### 3. LEIBNIZ RULES INVOLVING $BV^{\alpha,p}$ FUNCTIONS

In this section, we detail the proof of our first main result Theorem 1.1.

**3.1. Products of  $BV^{\alpha,p}$  and continuous Besov functions.** We begin with the following Leibniz rule for the multiplication of a  $BV^{\alpha,p}$  function with a bounded continuous Besov function.

**Theorem 3.1** ( $BV^{\alpha,p} \cdot C_b \cap B_{q,1}^\alpha$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . If  $f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in BV^{\alpha,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $r \in [1, p]$ , with*

$$D^\alpha(fg) = g D^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g \mathcal{L}^n + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \mathcal{L}^n \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.1)$$

In addition,

$$D^\alpha(fg)(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha g dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g dD^\alpha f. \quad (3.3)$$

In the proof of Theorem 3.1, we take advantage of the following Leibniz rules for the operators  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}^\alpha$  in Besov spaces. Lemma 3.2 below generalizes [10, Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6] and [11, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5].

**Lemma 3.2** (Leibniz rules for  $\nabla^\alpha$  and  $\text{div}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q, r \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$ .*

(i) *If  $f \in B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg) = g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.4)$$

(ii) *If  $f \in B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\text{div}^\alpha(f\varphi) = \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f + f \text{div}^\alpha \varphi + \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) \quad \text{in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.3, Corollary 2.4(i) and Corollary 2.7, we already know that each of the terms appearing in (3.4) and (3.5) is a well defined  $L^r$ -function. Thanks to Lemma 2.1 and the Fubini Theorem, we can thus write

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg)(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y)g(y) - f(x)g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y)g(y) - f(x)g(y) + f(x)g(y) - f(x)g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy \\
&= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy + f(x) \nabla^\alpha g(x) \\
&= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(y-x)(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))}{|y-x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy + g(x) \nabla^\alpha f(x) + f(x) \nabla^\alpha g(x) \\
&= g(x) \nabla^\alpha f(x) + f(x) \nabla^\alpha g(x) + \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , proving (3.4). The proof of (3.5) is similar and is left to the reader.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Since  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we clearly have that  $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by the Hölder inequality. We divide the proof in two steps.

*Step 1: proof of (3.1).* Let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  be given. By Lemma 3.2(ii), we can write

$$\operatorname{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) = g \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi + \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha g + \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} fg \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha g dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx.$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx.$$

Now let  $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be given by  $f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * f$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In particular, we have  $f_\varepsilon \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and we notice that  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset B_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$ , see [20, Theorem 14.8]. As a consequence, we have  $f_\varepsilon \in B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $g\varphi \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Lemma 2.6 we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f_\varepsilon dx$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . On the one side, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) dx$$

by the Hölder inequality in the case  $p < +\infty$  and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem in the case  $p = +\infty$ . On the other side, since  $g\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we also have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f_\varepsilon dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f$$

since  $D^\alpha f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * D^\alpha f \rightharpoonup D^\alpha f$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , thanks to [9, Theorem 3.2]. We thus conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f,$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} fg \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha g dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx$$

for any  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and (3.1) immediately follows by a standard approximation argument which allows us to pass to test functions in  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Step 2: proof of (3.2) and (3.3).* Since  $fg \in BV^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by Step 1, the first equation in (3.2) readily follows from Lemma 2.5. Moreover, since obviously  $\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , by Lemma 2.9 we get

$$v \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, v) dx = 0$$

for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and also the second equation in (3.2) immediately follows. By combining (3.1) with (3.2), we get (3.3) and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**3.2. Products of  $BV^{\alpha,p}$  and Besov functions.** In the remaining part of the present section we aim to relax the assumptions on the Besov function  $g$  in Theorem 3.1, proving our first main result Theorem 1.1.

Let us observe that the continuity of the Besov function  $g$  in Theorem 3.1 can be trivially dropped for  $p \in [1, \frac{n}{n-\alpha})$ , since for the conjugate exponent  $q \in (\frac{n}{\alpha}, +\infty]$  we know that  $B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by the Sobolev Embedding Theorem, see [1, Theorem 7.34(c)] for  $q < +\infty$  and [20, Corollary 14.28] for  $q = +\infty$ .

**Corollary 3.3** ( $BV^\alpha \cdot B_{q,1}^\alpha$  for  $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in [1, \frac{n}{n-\alpha})$  and set  $q = \frac{p}{p-1} \in (\frac{n}{\alpha}, +\infty]$ . If  $f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in BV^{\alpha,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with  $r \in [1, p]$ , satisfies (3.1). In addition, equalities (3.2) and (3.3) hold.*

In order to keep removing the continuity assumption on the Besov function  $g$  for higher exponents  $p \in [\frac{n}{n-\alpha}, +\infty]$ , we have to rely on the absolute continuity properties of the fractional variation established in [9, Theorem 1.1]. To this purpose, we recall the notion of  $(\alpha, p)$ -capacity, for whose properties we refer to [9, Section 5].

**Definition 3.4** (The  $(\alpha, p)$ -capacity). Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ . We let

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{\alpha,p}(K) = \inf \left\{ \|f\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p : f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), f \geq \chi_K \right\}$$

be the  $(\alpha, p)$ -capacity of the compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We begin with the following result, in which the continuity assumption on the Besov function  $g$  in Theorem 3.1 is dropped for exponents  $p \in [\frac{n}{n-\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-\alpha})$ , provided that in (3.1) and (3.3) the function  $g$  is replaced with its precise representative  $g^*$ . We emphasize that, since  $g$  is a Besov function, its precise representative satisfies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} |g(y) - g^*(x)| dy = 0$$

for every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  outside a set which is negligible with respect to a suitable  $(\beta, q)$ -capacity, see [9, Theorem 5.4] for a more detailed explanation.

**Corollary 3.5** ( $BV^{\alpha,p} \cdot L^\infty \cap B_{q,1}^\alpha$  for  $p \in [\frac{n}{n-\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-\alpha})$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in [\frac{n}{n-\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-\alpha})$  and set  $q = \frac{p}{p-1} \in (\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{n}{\alpha}]$ . If  $f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with*

$$D^\alpha(fg) = g^* D^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g \mathcal{L}^n + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \mathcal{L}^n \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.6)$$

*In addition,*

$$D^\alpha(fg)(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) dx = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha g \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g^* \, dD^\alpha f. \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof.* Note that  $n \geq 2$  trivially. Let  $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$  be a family of standard mollifiers (see [10, Section 3.3] for example) and let  $g_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * g$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $g_\varepsilon \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Theorem 3.1 we immediately get that  $fg_\varepsilon \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$D^\alpha(fg_\varepsilon) = g_\varepsilon D^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}^n + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}^n \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.3, we have  $\nabla^\alpha g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and it is not difficult to recognize that

$$\nabla^\alpha g_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * \nabla^\alpha g \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g_\varepsilon) - \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g_\varepsilon - g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq 2\mu_{n,\alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g - g_\varepsilon]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\varrho_\varepsilon * \nabla^\alpha g \rightarrow \nabla^\alpha g$  in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and, by [20, Proposition 14.5],  $[g - g_\varepsilon]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0$ , the only thing we have to prove is that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} g_\varepsilon(x) = g^*(x) \quad \text{for } |D^\alpha f| \text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.9)$$

Now, since  $g \in S^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $1 < q \leq \frac{n}{\alpha}$ , by [9, Theorem 5.4] we get that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} g_\varepsilon(x) = g^*(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_g, \quad (3.10)$$

for some set  $D_g \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\text{Cap}_{\alpha,q}(D_g) = 0$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha q+\delta} \ll \text{Cap}_{\alpha,q}$  for any  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small by [2, Theorem 5.1.13 and Corollary 5.1.14]. Since  $p < \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ , we have  $|D^\alpha f| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  by [9, Theorem 1.1(i)]. Observing that  $n-1 > n-\alpha q$ , we conclude that  $|D^\alpha f|(D_g) = 0$ , thus proving (3.9). Finally, (3.7) and (3.8) can be proved as (3.2) and (3.3) in Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

We continue to remove the continuity assumption on the Besov function  $g$  in Theorem 3.1 for larger exponents  $p \in [\frac{1}{1-\alpha}, +\infty]$ . We treat the cases  $p < +\infty$  and  $p = +\infty$  separately, see Corollary 3.6 and Corollary 3.7 below. Since we still want to keep exploiting the absolute continuity properties of the fractional variation proved in [9, Theorem 1.1], we are forced to assume some additional fractional smoothness on the function  $g$ .

**Corollary 3.6** ( $BV^{\alpha,p} \cdot L^\infty \cap B_{q,1}^\beta$  for  $p \in [\frac{1}{1-\alpha}, +\infty)$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in [\frac{1}{1-\alpha}, +\infty)$  and set  $q = \frac{p}{p-1} \in (1, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$ . Let  $\beta \in (\beta_{n,p,\alpha}, 1)$ , where*

$$\beta_{n,p,\alpha} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{p} & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and } p \in [\frac{1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{n}{1-\alpha}), \\ (1 - \frac{1}{p}) (\alpha + \frac{n}{p}) & \text{if } p \in [\frac{n}{1-\alpha}, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

*If  $f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{q,1}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies (3.6). In addition, equalities (3.7) and (3.8) hold.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$  be a family of standard mollifiers (see [10, Section 3.3] for example) and let  $g_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * g$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The proof now goes as for Corollary 3.5, again the only thing we need to prove being (3.9). We now distinguish two cases.

*Case 1:*  $n \geq 2$  and  $p \in \left[\frac{1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{n}{1-\alpha}\right)$ . By [9, Theorem 5.4], we know that the limit in (3.10) is valid for some set  $D_g \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{Cap}_{\beta,q}(D_g) = 0$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-\beta q+\delta} \ll \text{Cap}_{\beta,q}$  for any  $\delta > 0$  by [2, Theorem 5.1.13 and Corollary 5.1.14]. Since  $p < \frac{n}{1-\alpha}$ , we have  $|D^\alpha f| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  by [9, Theorem 1.1(i)]. Observing that  $n-1 > n-\beta q$ , since  $\beta > \frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ , we get that  $|D^\alpha f|(D_g) = 0$  and the conclusion follows.

*Case 2:*  $p \in \left[\frac{n}{1-\alpha}, +\infty\right)$ . If  $n = 1$  and  $p = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ , then  $\beta \in (\alpha, 1)$  and  $q = \frac{1}{\alpha} > \frac{1}{\beta}$ , so that  $B_{q,1}^\beta(\mathbb{R}) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R})$  by the Sobolev Embedding Theorem [1, Theorem 7.34(c)]. Hence the limit in (3.10) is valid for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and the conclusion follows immediately. We can thus assume either  $n = 1$  and  $p > \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$  or  $n \geq 2$ . By [9, Theorem 5.4], we know that the limit in (3.10) is valid for some set  $D_g \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{Cap}_{\beta,q}(D_g) = 0$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-\beta q+\delta} \ll \text{Cap}_{\beta,q}$  for any  $\delta > 0$  by [2, Theorem 5.1.13 and Corollary 5.1.14]. Since  $p \geq \frac{n}{1-\alpha}$ , we have  $|D^\alpha f| \ll \mathcal{H}^{\frac{n}{q}-\alpha}$  by [9, Theorem 1.1(ii)]. Observing that  $\frac{n}{q} - \alpha > n - \beta q$  if and only if  $\beta > \frac{n+\alpha}{q} - \frac{n}{q^2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\alpha + \frac{n}{p}\right)$ , we get that  $|D^\alpha f|(D_g) = 0$  and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

We notice that  $\beta_{n,p,\alpha} \rightarrow \alpha^+$  for  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ . Consequently, at least heuristically, if  $p = +\infty$ , then we can take  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $\beta \in [\alpha, 1)$ . Actually, since  $W^{\beta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $\beta \in [\alpha, 1)$ , this is equivalent to choosing  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The following result provides a rigorous formulation of this observation.

**Corollary 3.7** ( $BV^{\alpha,\infty} \cdot L^\infty \cap W^{\alpha,1}$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . If  $f \in BV^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in BV^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap BV^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies (3.6). In addition, equalities (3.7) and (3.8) hold.*

*Proof.* Note that  $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$  be a family of standard mollifiers (see [10, Section 3.3] for example) and let  $g_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * g$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The proof now goes as for Corollary 3.5, again the only thing we need to prove being (3.9). Now, by [22, Proposition 3.1], we know that the limit in (3.10) is valid for some set  $D_g \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}(D_g) = 0$ . Since  $p = +\infty$  and  $|D^\alpha f| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}$  by [9, Theorem 1.1(ii)], the conclusion immediately follows.  $\square$

**3.3. Some Gauss–Green formulas.** We conclude this section by briefly discussing some some Gauss–Green formulas in the whole space.

We emphasize the following particular cases of Corollary 3.7. Note that Corollary 3.8 below generalizes the fractional Gauss–Green formula proved in [10, Theorem 4.2] on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For the definitions of the fractional reduced boundary  $\mathcal{F}^\alpha E$  of a set  $E$  with finite fractional Caccioppoli  $\alpha$ -perimeter and of its measure theoretic inner unit fractional normal  $\nu_E^\alpha$ , we refer the reader to [10, Definition 4.7]

**Corollary 3.8** (Generalized fractional Gauss–Green formulas). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .*

(i) *If  $f \in BV^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\int_{E^1} dD^\alpha f = - \int_{\mathcal{F}^\alpha E} f \nu_E^\alpha |\nabla^\alpha \chi_E| dx$$

for all measurable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\chi_E \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where

$$E^1 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|E \cap B_r(x)|}{|B_r(x)|} = 1 \right\}.$$

(ii) If  $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$\int_E \nabla^\alpha f \, dx = - \int_{\mathcal{F}^\alpha E} f^* \nu_E^\alpha \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E|$$

for all measurable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathbb{R}^n) < +\infty$ .

**Remark 3.9.** By Corollary 3.7, if  $f \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha f \, dx = 0.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\int_{E \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E} \nu_E^\alpha |\nabla^\alpha \chi_E| \, dx = \int_{(\mathcal{F}^\alpha E) \setminus E} \nu_E^\alpha |\nabla^\alpha \chi_E| \, dx = 0$$

for all measurable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\chi_E \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

As it is apparent from Corollary 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, because of the problem of the well-posedness of the product  $g^* D^\alpha f$ , we are forced to pair a function  $f$  with bounded fractional variation together with a function  $g$  possessing a stronger  $W^{\alpha,1}$  regularity. Proposition 3.10 below shows that, if we are not interested in the fractional variation measure, both functions  $f$  and  $g$  can have the same  $S^{\alpha,1}$  regularity.

**Proposition 3.10** (Gauss–Green formula in  $S^{\alpha,1} \cap L^\infty$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . If  $f, g \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha g \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \nabla^\alpha f \, dx. \quad (3.11)$$

*Proof.* Since  $f, g \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , both sides of (3.11) are well defined. Moreover, we can find  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  and  $g_k \rightarrow g$  in  $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and pointwise a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , with  $\|f_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  and  $\|g_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This statement easily follows from the proofs of [10, Theorems 3.22 and 3.23], so we leave the details to the interested reader. We clearly have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \nabla^\alpha g_k \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \nabla^\alpha f_k \, dx$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \nabla^\alpha g_k \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha g \, dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| |\nabla^\alpha g_k - \nabla^\alpha g| \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f| |\nabla^\alpha g| \, dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha g_k - \nabla^\alpha g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f| |\nabla^\alpha g| \, dx \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \nabla^\alpha g_k \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha g \, dx$$

by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. A similar reasoning provides the other limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \nabla^\alpha f_k \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \nabla^\alpha f \, dx$$

and the proof is thus complete.  $\square$

4. LEIBNIZ RULES FOR  $S^{\alpha,p}$  FUNCTIONS

In this section, we prove our second and third main results, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 respectively.

**4.1. Products of  $S^{\alpha,p}$  and Besov functions.** Similarly to Theorem 3.1, we can prove the following Leibniz rule for the product of  $S^{\alpha,p}$  and Besov functions. Theorem 4.1 below generalizes [4, Lemma 3.4] and [18, Lemma 2.11].

**Theorem 4.1** ( $S^{\alpha,p} \cdot B_{q,1}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let  $p, q, r \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$ . If  $f \in S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $fg \in S^{\alpha,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with*

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg) = g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* We clearly have that  $fg \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by the (generalized) Hölder inequality. We now let  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  be given. By Lemma 3.2(ii), we can write

$$\text{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) = g \text{div}^\alpha \varphi + \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha g + \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ , so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} fg \text{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha g \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) \, dx.$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \, dx.$$

Now let  $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be given by  $f_\varepsilon = f * \varrho_\varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that  $f_\varepsilon \in B_{p,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $g\varphi \in B_{p',1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , by Lemma 2.6 we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon \text{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f_\varepsilon \, dx$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . On the one side, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon \text{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) \, dx$$

by the Hölder inequality in the case  $p < +\infty$  and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem in the case  $p = +\infty$ . On the other side, we also have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f_\varepsilon \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f \, dx$$

again by the Hölder inequality in the case  $p < +\infty$  and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem in the case  $p = +\infty$ , since  $\nabla^\alpha f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * \nabla^\alpha f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We thus conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}^\alpha(g\varphi) \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f \, dx,$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} fg \text{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot (g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)) \, dx$$

for any  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**4.2. Products of two Bessel functions.** We are now ready to prove our second main result Theorem 1.2, dealing with the product of two functions in  $S^{\alpha,p}$  and  $S^{\alpha,q}$  respectively, with  $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \in (0, 1]$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By [6, Theorem A.1], we can find  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  in  $S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g_k \rightarrow g$  in  $S^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Recalling [10, Lemma 2.6], for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we can write

$$\nabla^\alpha(f_k g_k) = g_k \nabla^\alpha f_k + f_k \nabla^\alpha g_k + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f_k, g_k). \quad (4.2)$$

On the one side, we clearly have that

$$g_k \nabla^\alpha f_k + f_k \nabla^\alpha g_k \rightarrow g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g \quad \text{in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  by the Hölder inequality. On the other side, by Corollary 2.7 we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f_k, g_k) - \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f_k - f, g_k)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g_k - g)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} \left( [f_k - f]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{2},p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g_k]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{2},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + [f]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{2},p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g_k - g]_{W^{\frac{\alpha}{2},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \\ & \leq c_{n,\alpha,p,q} \left( \|f_k - f\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_k\|_{S^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{S^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_k - g\|_{S^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , thanks to the known embedding properties of the Bessel potential space (see the discussion in [10, Section 3.9] and the references therein). Therefore also

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f_k, g_k) \rightarrow \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and so (1.23) and (1.24) readily follow. For the case  $r = 1$ , we observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \nabla^\alpha g_k \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \nabla^\alpha f_k \, dx$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that (1.26) immediately follows by passing to the limit thanks to the Hölder inequality again. Finally, the validity of (1.25) comes by combining (1.23) and (1.26) with Lemma 2.5. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**4.3. An estimate à la Kenig–Ponce–Vega.** The rest of the present section is devoted to the proof of our third main result Theorem 1.3. To this aim, we need the following preliminary result improving our previous integrability estimate for  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$  established in Corollary 2.7.

**Corollary 4.2** (Improved integrability of  $\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha$ ). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . There exists a constant  $c_{n,\alpha,p} > 0$ , depending on  $n, \alpha$  and  $p$  only, such that*

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha,p} \left( \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + [f]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right)$$

for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The proof of Corollary 4.2 is a simple application of two well known integrability results for commutators involving singular integral operators.

The first result we need is the following theorem which dates back to [8, Theorem I]. For a different proof, see [19, Theorem 4.1].



**Theorem 4.3** (Coifman–Rochberg–Weiss). *Let  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . There exists a constant  $c_{n,p} > 0$ , depending on  $n$  and  $p$  only, such that*

$$\|R(fg) - fRg\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,p} [f]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The second result we need provides two integrability estimates for the operator

$$H_\alpha(f, g) = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(fg) - g(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f - f(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}g$$

defined for all  $f, g \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Theorem 4.4 was originally proved in [17, Appendix A]. For a different proof, as well as for an account on the related literature, see [19, Theorem 7.1].

**Theorem 4.4** (Kenig–Ponce–Vega). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . There exists a constant  $c_{n,\alpha,p} > 0$ , depending on  $n$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $p$  only, such that*

$$\|H_\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{n,\alpha,p} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof of Corollary 4.2.* Since  $\nabla^\alpha = R(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  on  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^\alpha(fg) - g\nabla^\alpha f - f\nabla^\alpha g &= R(H_\alpha(f, g)) \\ &\quad + R(g(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f) - gR(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f \\ &\quad + R(f(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}g) - fR(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}g. \end{aligned}$$

On the one side, by Theorem 4.4 and the continuity properties of the Riesz transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(H_\alpha(f, g))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \|H_\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} [g]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the following,  $C > 0$  is a constant depending on  $n$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $p$  only that may change from line to line. On the other side, by Theorem 4.3 we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(g(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f) - gR(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C [g]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C [g]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\|R(f(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}g) - fR(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C [f]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The conclusion immediately follows.  $\square$

**4.4. Products of two  $S^{\alpha,p} \cap L^\infty$  functions.** We can now define the natural weak version of the non-local fractional gradient.

**Definition 4.5** (Weak non-local  $\alpha$ -gradient). Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1$ . Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We say that  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a *weak non-local  $\alpha$ -gradient of the pair  $(f, g)$* , and we write  $u = \nabla_{\text{NL},w}^\alpha(f, g)$ , if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot u dx$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

From Definition 4.5, we can immediately see that, if it exists, then the weak non-local gradient of the pair  $(f, g)$  is unique and, thanks to Lemma 2.10, must coincide with the weak non-local gradient of the pair  $(g, f)$ . Consequently, the resulting functional  $\nabla_{\text{NL}, w}^\alpha$  is bilinear and symmetric on its domain of definition. Moreover, in virtue of the integration-by-parts formula provided by Lemma 2.9, we immediately see that

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}, w}^\alpha(f, g) = \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } g \in B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Having Definition 4.5 at disposal, we are now ready to prove our third main result Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Since  $f, g \in S^{\alpha, p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we can find  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  and  $g_k \rightarrow g$  in  $S^{\alpha, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and, additionally,  $\|f_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  and  $\|g_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This statement easily follows from the proofs of [10, Theorem 3.22] and of [18, Theorem 2.7], so we leave the details to the interested reader. We can thus write (4.2) and argue as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. We define  $u_k = \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f_k, g_k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Corollary 4.2, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \left( \|\nabla^\alpha f_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} [g_k]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + [f_k]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha g_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large. Here and in the following,  $C > 0$  is a constant depending on  $n, \alpha$  and  $p$  only that may change from line to line. By the known reflexivity properties of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we can find a subsequence  $(u_{k_h})_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a vector-valued function  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot u_{k_h} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot u dx \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . We now observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot u_k dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g_k, \varphi) dx$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , thanks to Lemma 2.9. By Corollary 2.7 and by (2.17) in Lemma 2.10, we can also estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g_k, \varphi) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx \right| &\leq \|f_k - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g_k, \varphi)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g_k - g, \varphi) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|f_k - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} [\varphi]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g_k - g) \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2 [\varphi]_{B_{q,1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \left( \|f_k - f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g_k - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot u_k dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g_k, \varphi) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) dx \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . From (4.4), (4.5) and again (2.17) in Lemma 2.10, we hence get that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot u_k \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot u \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) \, dx \quad (4.6)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . In view of (4.3) and (4.6), we must have that

$$u = \nabla_{\text{NL}, w}^\alpha(f, g)$$

as in Definition 4.5, with

$$\|\nabla_{\text{NL}, w}^\alpha(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left( \|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right).$$

Therefore, we can integrate (4.2) against an arbitrary  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and pass to the limit as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  to get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \left( g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g + \nabla_{\text{NL}, w}^\alpha(f, g) \right) \, dx$$

and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6** (The case  $p = 1$  in Theorem 1.3). Concerning the validity of Theorem 1.3 in the case  $p = 1$ , we can make the following observation. If  $f, g \in S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$f g \in S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff \exists \nabla_{\text{NL}, w}^\alpha(f, g) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (4.7)$$

in which case

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg) = g \nabla^\alpha f + f \nabla^\alpha g + \nabla_{\text{NL}, w}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.8)$$

Indeed, for a given  $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by Theorem 3.1 we have  $gh \in S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$\nabla^\alpha(gh) = h \nabla^\alpha g + g \nabla^\alpha h + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, h) \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore, thanks to Proposition 3.10, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g \nabla^\alpha h \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla^\alpha(gh) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f h \nabla^\alpha g \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, h) \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g h \nabla^\alpha f \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f h \nabla^\alpha g \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, h) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

for all  $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the validity of (4.7) and (4.8) immediately follows. However, in contrast with what happens for the case  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  in Theorem 1.3, we do not know if the implication

$$f, g \in S^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \exists \nabla_{\text{NL}, w}^\alpha(f, g) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is true. This is due to the fact that suitable extensions of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 to the case  $p = 1$  are not known (and not even expected to be possible, see the discussion at the beginning of [19, Section 9]) due to the failure of the  $L^1$  boundedness of the Riesz transform. For strictly related considerations, see the discussion in [7, Remark 1.14].

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, 2nd ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), vol. 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [2] D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, *Function spaces and potential theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 314, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [4] J. C. Bellido, J. Cueto, and C. Mora-Corral, *Fractional Piola identity and polyconvexity in fractional spaces*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **37** (2020), no. 4, 955–981.
- [5] ———,  *$\Gamma$ -convergence of polyconvex functionals involving  $s$ -fractional gradients to their local counterparts*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **60** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 7, 29.
- [6] E. Bruè, M. Calzi, G. E. Comi, and G. Stefani, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: asymptotics II* (2020), to appear on C. R. Math., available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.03928>.
- [7] Y. Chen, Y. Ding, and G. Hong, *Commutators with fractional differentiation and new characterizations of BMO-Sobolev spaces*, Anal. PDE **9** (2016), no. 6, 1497–1522.
- [8] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 3, 611–635.
- [9] G. E. Comi, D. Spector, and G. Stefani, *The fractional variation and the precise representative of  $BV^{\alpha,p}$  functions* (2021), preprint.
- [10] G. E. Comi and G. Stefani, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: Existence of blow-up*, J. Funct. Anal. **277** (2019), no. 10, 3373–3435.
- [11] ———, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: Asymptotics I* (2019), preprint, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13419>.
- [12] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [13] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Revised edition, Textbooks in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015.
- [14] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier analysis*, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 249, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [15] ———, *Modern Fourier analysis*, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 250, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [16] J. Horváth, *On some composition formulas*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 433–437.
- [17] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **46** (1993), no. 4, 527–620.
- [18] C. Kreisbeck and H. Schönberger, *Quasiconvexity in the fractional calculus of variations: Characterization of lower semicontinuity and relaxation*, Nonlinear Anal. **215** (2022), Paper No. 112625.
- [19] E. Lenzmann and A. Schikorra, *Sharp commutator estimates via harmonic extensions*, Nonlinear Anal. **193** (2020), 111375, 37.
- [20] G. Leoni, *A first course in Sobolev spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 105, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.
- [21] A. C. Ponce, *Elliptic PDEs, measures and capacities*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 23, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2016.
- [22] A. C. Ponce and D. Spector, *A boxing inequality for the fractional perimeter*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **20** (2020), no. 1, 107–141.
- [23] A. Schikorra, T.-T. Shieh, and D. Spector,  *$L^p$  theory for fractional gradient PDE with VMO coefficients*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **26** (2015), no. 4, 433–443.
- [24] A. Schikorra, T.-T. Shieh, and D. E. Spector, *Regularity for a fractional  $p$ -Laplace equation*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **20** (2018), no. 1, 1750003, 6.

- [25] A. Schikorra, D. Spector, and J. Van Schaftingen, *An  $L^1$ -type estimate for Riesz potentials*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **33** (2017), no. 1, 291–303.
- [26] T.-T. Shieh and D. E. Spector, *On a new class of fractional partial differential equations*, Adv. Calc. Var. **8** (2015), no. 4, 321–336.
- [27] ———, *On a new class of fractional partial differential equations II*, Adv. Calc. Var. **11** (2018), no. 3, 289–307.
- [28] M. Šilhavý, *Fractional vector analysis based on invariance requirements (Critique of coordinate approaches)*, M. Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. (2019), 1–22.
- [29] D. Spector, *A noninequality for the fractional gradient*, Port. Math. **76** (2019), no. 2, 153–168.
- [30] ———, *An optimal Sobolev embedding for  $L^1$* , J. Funct. Anal. **279** (2020), no. 3, 108559, 26.
- [31] ———, *New directions in harmonic analysis on  $L^1$* , Nonlinear Anal. **192** (2020), 111685, 20.
- [32] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [33] ———, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

(G. E. Comi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, LARGO BRUNO PONTECORVO 5, 56127 PISA, ITALY

*Email address:* giovanni.comi@dm.unipi.it

(G. Stefani) DEPARTMENT MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, SPIEGELGASSE 1, CH-4051 BASEL, SWITZERLAND

*Email address:* giorgio.stefani@unibas.ch