

Tapping BERT for Preposition Sense Disambiguation

Siddhesh Pawar* Shyam Thombre* Anirudh Mittal*

CFILT, IIT Bombay, Mumbai

siddheshpawar1999@gmail.com, shyam.thombre@iitb.ac.in,
anirudhmittal@cse.iitb.ac.in

Girishkumar Ponkiya Pushpak Bhattacharyya

CFILT, IIT Bombay, Mumbai

{girishp, pb}@cse.iitb.ac.in

Abstract

Prepositions are frequently occurring polysemous words. Disambiguation of prepositions is crucial in tasks like semantic role labelling, question answering, text entailment, and noun compound paraphrasing. In this paper, we propose a novel methodology for preposition sense disambiguation (PSD), which does not use any linguistic tools. In a supervised setting, the machine learning model is presented with sentences wherein prepositions have been annotated with ‘senses’. These ‘senses’ are IDs in what is called ‘The Preposition Project (TPP)’. We use the hidden layer representations from pre-trained BERT and BERT variants. The latent representations are then classified into the correct sense ID using a Multi Layer Perceptron. The dataset used for this task is from SemEval-2007 Task-6. Our methodology gives an accuracy of 86.85% which is better than the state-of-the-art.

1 Introduction

Prepositions are among the foremost commonly used terms and they the most ambiguous words in English (Baldwin et al., 2009). According to the British National Corpus (Clear, 1993, BNC), prepositions account for four of the top 10 most frequently used terms in English (*of*, *to*, *in*, and *for*). They can impart different context to other parts of the sentences i.e. noun, verbs etc. It can be tricky to identify what’s the meaning or ‘sense’ of the preposition.

The Preposition Project (TPP) (Litkowski and Hargraves, 2021) provides a comprehensive characterization of English preposition senses suitable for use in natural language processing. Each of 673 preposition senses for 334 prepositions (mostly phrasal prepositions) has been described by giving

it a semantic role or relation name and by characterizing the syntactic and semantic properties of its complement and attachment point. Each sense is further described by its definition and sample usages from the Oxford Dictionary of English, its position in a semantic hierarchy of prepositions, its basic syntactic placement (as described in A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language), other synonymic prepositions filling a similar semantic role, FrameNet frames and frame elements used to describe the complement, other syntactic forms in which the semantic role may be realized.

This ambiguity renders the task of disambiguation of prepositions significant. It helps in semantic role labeling (Ye and Baldwin, 2006), where the task is to identify predicates, extract their arguments, and label the arguments with predefined semantic roles. In most cases, the predicate is a verb, and argument is a noun phrase (subject) or a preposition phrase (direct object or indirect object). Consider the following example:

*John ate some rice **with**₁ dal **with**₂ a spoon **with**₃ his friend.*

#	Sense ID	Relation	Complement
1	1(1)	Accompanier	anything that can accompany the attachment point
2	4(3)	Means	an instrument in the action described by the attachment point
3	9(7)	Concomitant	sb or sth linked with subject via the POA

Table 1: Senses of the three occurrences of *with* in the above example. (sb:somebody; sth: something)

Table 1 explains how a prepositions can take different meanings. TPP gives several insights for each sense for detailed analysis. Select terms like Sense ID, semantic relation and complement properties have been noted for the above example.

*The three authors contributed equally to the paper

Sense IDs are essentially labels defined by TPP. The integer outside the bracket refers to the super sense. The integer inside the bracket refers to the sense of the preposition. Mapping for supersenses and list of all possible meanings for any preposition can be found on the TPP website¹.

Understanding the sense of the preposition can help us understand fine semantic relations. This can further be instrumental in other NLP tasks like question-answering. In the example, once senses of *with* (Accompanier, Means, Concomitant) is known, answers to questions like - *What does John eat with rice?* (dal), *What does John eat rice with?* (spoon), *Who does John eat with?* (friend) become straightforward. Further, SemEval-2013 Task4 (Hendrickx et al., 2013) discusses that prepositions are a preferred choice when it comes to an understanding and expressing a relation between the components of a noun compound. Following up on this, Ponkiya et al. (2018) states that prepositional paraphrasing is a crucial step in the paraphrasing of noun compounds. Similarly, the application of PSD can also be seen in text entailment, phrasal verb paraphrasing, and so on.

Early works on Preposition sense disambiguation (PSD) like Hovy et al. (2011), Ye and Baldwin (2007) involved linguistic tools and resources like dependency parser and/or POS taggers. The current state-of-the-art by Litkowski (2013) also leveraged lemmatizer, dependency parser, along with WordNet. Gong et al. (2018) introduced a new concept free from the use of linguistic resources (except word representations). It made use of Word2Vec (Mikolov et al., 2013) which used left and right context to generate embedding. However, using a static word representation made the use of hyperparameter tuning necessary.

In this paper, we make use of more recent word representations like BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and its derivatives like RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) and Big Bird (Zaheer et al., 2020). The work by (Du et al., 2019) suggests using different layers of language models learn things differently. We identified the respective hidden layer for each preposition that maximizes the output and used that layer as input to the classifier. Our approach achieved slightly better accuracy than the current state-of-the-art while without using any linguistic tool.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses different methods tried for PSD

starting from classical machine learning algorithms and heavy linguistic resources to more recent developments. In section 3, we detail the approach used in this paper. Section 4 explains the dataset and other details of the experiment. In section 5, we analyze how different language models perform. This also includes examination of misclassified samples. We discuss results and analysis in Section 6, followed by a conclusion and potentially fruitful direction.

2 Related Work

Preposition sense disambiguation has been significantly explored using various classic machine learning techniques. They have used heavy linguistic tools and resources such as part of speech taggers, chunkers, dependency parsers, named entity extractors, WordNet based super-sense taggers, and semantic role labelers for feature engineering (Yuret, 2007; Tratz and Hovy, 2011; Srikumar and Roth, 2013).

Litkowski (2013) used lemmatizer, dependency parser as well as Word-net to extract features to get the senses of the prepositions to get state-of-the-art accuracy of 85.7% on the task of preposition sense disambiguation using the SemEval-2007 dataset. Gonen and Goldberg (2016) used signals from the un-annotated multilingual parallel corpus using sequence to sequence neural networks for preposition disambiguation and achieved accuracy within 5% of the state-of-the-art, which has been achieved in Litkowski (2013), Srikumar and Roth (2013) and Hovy et al. (2010). Recently, Gong et al. (2018) used combined word vectors of left and right context as well as a context interplay vector as features to train the sense classifier. The context interplay vector is the vector closest to the sub-spaces spanned by the left and right context word vectors. Hassani and Lee (2017) used deep convolutional neural networks along with lexical and syntactic features as well as word embeddings for sense disambiguation of spatial prepositions.

BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) is a language representation model that has been designed to pre-train contextualized deep representations of words based on large unlabelled corpora. The BERT model has been proven to be effective in extracting word features and contextual information from plain text. The contextualized BERT embeddings have been shown to be capable of clustering polysemic words into distinct sense regions in the embedding space

¹<https://www.clres.com/>




Figure 1: Model architecture for preposition sense disambiguation. The figure shows hidden layers of the transformer-based encoder model, and the arrows shows the possible latent representations. One of the hidden layers is chosen (for each preposition) for representation during testing, marked by the bold arrow

(Wiedemann et al., 2019). Among the work done on the use of embeddings for word sense disambiguation (WSD) is Peters et al. (2018) that incorporated the pre-trained ELMo embeddings as WSD features. A study by Du et al. (2019) fine-tuned BERT and used various internal representations from the BERT encoder as features for WSD. In one more study, Zhu (2020) used pre-trained embeddings from mBERT (Pires et al., 2019) along with a dependency parser to train a classifier for WSD in a cross-lingual setting in SemEval-2021 Task 2. Huang et al. (2019) incorporated sense definitions (gloss) into supervised WSD to define a new framework called GlossBERT.

3 Our Approach

The crux of our approach lies in using the embeddings learnt by the pre-trained transformer models. We work on the hypothesis that the latent representations learnt by pre-trained transformer models have ‘sense discriminative’ capabilities, for each preposition. So, fundamentally we have an ‘encoder model’ that obtains the meaningful latent representations or embeddings, and a classifier attempts to classify the embedding of the preposition into one of its senses. Thus we train a separate classifier for each of the prepositions with the obtained embeddings as the input data. The architecture of each classifier is the same, which is a Multi-Layer Perception network. The visualization of our approach can be seen in Figure 1.

3.1 Encoder model

We use BERT and its variant to get a contextual representation of a preposition. We provide a sentence as input to the model, and get representation of the preposition from different layers. We use a development set to decide which hidden-layer representation is more appropriate for each of the prepositions.

We are specifically interested in the hidden state output corresponding to the preposition token. Let preposition p is at i -th token in the input sentence S . We get a representation v_{ij} from j -th layer.

$$v_{ij} = BERT(S, i, j) \quad (1)$$

We treat j (hidden layer number) as a hyper-parameter and use a development set to fine-tune it for each preposition.

3.2 MLP Classifier

We feed the contextual representation v_{ij} to a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) to predict sense of the preposition. The MLP uses two hidden layer to compute the following:

$$h = ReLU(FF_1(v_{ij})) \quad (2)$$

$$out = softmax(FF_2(h)) \quad (3)$$

where, FF_1 and FF_2 are two feed-forward layers. The softmax function after the final layer ensures that we get the probability distribution over senses. We predict a sense with the maximum probability as the correct preposition.

System	Techniques Used	Accuracy(%)
Ye and Baldwin (2007)	chunker, dependency parser, named entity extractor, WordNet	69.3
Litkowski (2013)	lemmatizer, dependency parser, WordNet	85.7
Gonen and Goldberg (2016)	multilingual corpus, aligner, dependency parser	81.3
Gong et al. (2018)	Word2Vec with fixed context size	80.0
Our System	Pretrained Transformers	86.9

Table 2: Performance of our system for PSD compared with reported results.

3.3 Loss and Optimizer

We train the MLP classifier with the cross-entropy loss, which is given by:

$$L = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^{S_k} \mathbf{y}_n^s \log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_n^s \quad (4)$$

where, \mathbf{y}_n is the one-hot encoding of the true sense of n -th example, and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_n$ is the predicted probability distribution for the n -th example. Minimizing the cross entropy loss is equivalent to maximizing the log likelihood of the training data, thus improving the performance on the test data.

For the optimization, we make use of the *Adam* optimizer with β_1 as 0.9 and β_2 equal to 0.999 (default parameters). The loss convergence was observed to the stable when using the *adam* optimizer, which also performed well even for the test data.

4 Experiments

In our approach to PSD, we require the sentence and the preposition as input to the model. We have used the pre-trained transformer models to generate the latent representation of the preposition in the sentence. We experiment with BERT-base, BERT-large, DistilBERT, Big Bird, RoBERTa, and ALBERT. The experiments consisted of choosing the best hidden layer of transformers for extracting the latent representation.

4.1 Dataset

We use the SemEval-2007 Task 6 dataset for testing our methodology for PSD. The corpus consists of 24,633 sentences in total. There are 34 prepositions in the dataset, and the total numbers of sense are equal to 332. There is no data for 75 senses, and the number of senses per preposition varies. Preposition **on** has highest number (25) of senses while the preposition **as** has the lowest number (2) of senses.

4.2 Training and Evaluation

Since we have used the pre-trained transformer models for extracting representations for the prepositions, we froze the transformers’ parameters during the MLP classifier training. Hence, the training only included optimising the parameters of the Multi-Layer Perceptron classifier for all the senses of each preposition. For evaluating the overall performance on the task, we find the accuracy of individual classifiers. We then compute the average over all prepositions for comparison with the baseline.

5 Results and Discussions

The results for our experiments using various variants of the BERT model are shown in the table 3. The classifier for each representation was trained with the hidden layer that gave the best accuracy. The best accuracy did not necessarily come from the last layer. The classifier based on the representations from Big Bird performs the best amongst all the variants. The comparison of our system with other systems has been shown in table 2, and as can be seen, our system outperforms all the existing systems. Additionally, our system is language-agnostic and does not require linguistic tools or static word embedding, unlike other work done in PSD.

Model	Accuracy(%)
BERT - base	85.4
BERT - large	86.1
DistilBERT	81.5
RoBERTa	83.8
Big Bird	86.9
ALBERT	83.4

Table 3: Performance of our system with representations from various different pre-trained models.

Some prepositions like *through*, *on* and *after* give accuracy less than 75%. We studied the mis-

classified samples to understand why the model is unable to learn their senses well. One big reason is the data imbalance. This can be validated while comparing the prepositions *on* and *of*. They both have a similar number of classes (20 and 17 respectively), but *of* has almost 4 times the data than *on*. Given this, it can be predicted that *of* should have significantly higher accuracy than *on*. Surprisingly, accuracy for *of* (0.84) is faintly better than accuracy for *on* (0.83). After deeper analysis, it appears that though *of* has the highest number of datapoints per sense in the dataset, the distribution is highly skewed. 9 out of 17 senses of *of* get only 8% of the data, while the 4 most frequent sense enjoy about 75% of the data. Many sense with few datapoints (10) were mostly classified as a 3(1b), a sense with high number (700) of data. For even preposition *on*, there are cases of improper distribution, but much fewer than *of* which creates the disparity in the result.

However, it was observed in several cases that if the difference of data between two senses was not large, the model failed to distinguish between the senses properly. For example, among the senses of preposition *of*, the sense 11(6) represents '*noun representing the subject of action denoted in the POA*' and the sense 12(6a) represents '*noun representing the object of action denoted in the POA*'. The former sense was many times predicted as the latter suggesting that the model fails to identify the finer nuance in their meanings.

On the contrary, if the meaning of the sense is starkly different from others, it can be easy for the model to correctly predict the sense. For example, for the preposition *above*, the sense 9(3) only had 8 sentences, but still got 100% accuracy during testing. The reason behind this becomes clear after looking at how all the 5 senses of *above* are defined. Sense 9(3) complements an *established norm* or a *specified amount* like '*above average*', '*above \$ 19*', '*above 90%*'. This makes it easier for the model to identify the pattern and differentiate among senses.

Data augmentation is one of the ways widely used in deep learning systems to increase the amount of data available. The sense of the preposition depends on the properties of the point of attachment and the complement of the preposition. Thus, as long as the attachment and complement properties remain the same, the sense does not change. For every sentence in the training data, we can re-

Sentence	Sense
Mumbai is the capital of India	6(3)
Chicago is the capital of India	6(3)
India is the capital of Football	9(5)
India is the capital of Mumbai	6(3)

Table 4: Effect of substitution on the senses

place one or more words in the point of attachment and complement (preferably nouns) in the sentence with other words with the same properties. This phenomenon has been shown in 4 wherein even after substituting the nouns in the sentence, the sense of preposition *of* remains the same. In these examples, the point of attachment is a location, and the complement is also a location, so when we replace the location with a thing (in this case *football*), the sense changes. This property gives an important pointer to data augmentation, especially for the senses with very little or no data, thereby balancing the dataset. We are currently working on automating the process of identifying a set of words that retain the properties of point of attachment and complement and plan to include it in future work.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

This paper proposes a transformer-based method for the task of preposition sense disambiguation, which only relies on pre-trained language models. Different variants of the BERT model were tried, and Big Bird gave the best results on the task due to the long length of sentences in the train as well as the test set. The proposed method gives state-of-the-art results on the standard preposition sense disambiguation task without relying on any linguistic machinery, thus drastically reducing the human effort required for the task. This methodology can also be extended to low resource languages where there is an absence of linguistic resources as the BERT model is trained on the un-annotated text corpus.

In the future, we would like to investigate data augmentation techniques to expand the training dataset. The substitution of point of attachment or complement with 'similar' words seems promising. Another important future direction can be incorporating gloss pairs that are sense definitions in the BERT model to check whether GlossBERT can effectively deal with the high level of polysemy that is present in the case of prepositions.

References

- Timothy Baldwin, Valia Kordoni, and Aline Villavicencio. 2009. [Prepositions in applications: A survey and introduction to the special issue](#). *Comput. Linguist.*, 35(2):119–149.
- Jeremy H. Clear. 1993. *The British National Corpus*, page 163–187. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North*, pages 4171–4186. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jiaju Du, Fanchao Qi, and Maosong Sun. 2019. Using bert for word sense disambiguation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08358*.
- Hila Gonen and Yoav Goldberg. 2016. Semi supervised preposition-sense disambiguation using multilingual data. In *Proceedings of COLING 2016, the 26th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Technical Papers*, pages 2718–2729.
- Hongyu Gong, Jiaqi Mu, Suma Bhat, and Pramod Viswanath. 2018. [Preposition sense disambiguation and representation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1510–1521. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kaveh Hassani and Won-Sook Lee. 2017. Disambiguating spatial prepositions using deep convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 31.
- Iris Hendrickx, Zornitsa Kozareva, Preslav Nakov, Diarmuid Ó Séaghdha, Stan Szpakowicz, and Tony Veale. 2013. [SemEval-2013 task 4: Free paraphrases of noun compounds](#). In *Second Joint Conference on Lexical and Computational Semantics (*SEM), Volume 2: Proceedings of the Seventh International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval 2013)*, pages 138–143.
- Dirk Hovy, Stephen Tratz, and Eduard Hovy. 2010. What’s in a preposition? dimensions of sense disambiguation for an interesting word class. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Posters*, pages 454–462.
- Dirk Hovy, Ashish Vaswani, Stephen Tratz, David Chiang, and Eduard Hovy. 2011. Models and training for unsupervised preposition sense disambiguation. In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 323–328.
- Luyao Huang, Chi Sun, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2019. [GlossBERT: Bert for word sense disambiguation with gloss knowledge](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.07245*.
- Ken Litkowski. 2013. [Preposition disambiguation: Still a problem](#). *CL Research, Damascus, MD*, pages 1–8.
- Kenneth C. Litkowski and Orin Hargraves. 2021. [The preposition project](#). *CoRR*, abs/2104.08922.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. [RoBERTa: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach](#).
- Tomas Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg S. Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. 2013. [Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space](#).
- Matthew E Peters, Mark Neumann, Mohit Iyyer, Matt Gardner, Christopher Clark, Kenton Lee, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. Deep contextualized word representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.05365*.
- Telmo Pires, Eva Schlinger, and Dan Garrette. 2019. [How multilingual is multilingual bert?](#) *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.01502*.
- Girishkumar Ponkiya, Kevin Patel, Pushpak Bhattacharyya, and Girish Palshikar. 2018. [Treat us like the sequences we are: Prepositional paraphrasing of noun compounds using LSTM](#). In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1827–1836.
- Vivek Srikumar and Dan Roth. 2013. [Modeling semantic relations expressed by prepositions](#). *TACL*, 1:231–242.
- Stephen Tratz and Eduard Hovy. 2011. A fast, accurate, non-projective, semantically-enriched parser. In *Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1257–1268.
- Gregor Wiedemann, Steffen Remus, Avi Chawla, and Chris Biemann. 2019. Does BERT make any sense? interpretable word sense disambiguation with contextualized embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.10430*.
- Patrick Ye and Timothy Baldwin. 2006. [Semantic role labeling of prepositional phrases](#). *ACM Trans. Asian Lang. Inf. Process.*, 5(3):228–244.
- Patrick Ye and Timothy Baldwin. 2007. [Melb-Yb](#). In *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluations - SemEval ’07*, pages 241–244. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Deniz Yuret. 2007. [Ku](#). In *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluations - SemEval ’07*, pages 207–214. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Manzil Zaheer, Guru Guruganesh, Avinava Dubey, Joshua Ainslie, Chris Alberti, Santiago Ontanon, Philip Pham, Anirudh Ravula, Qifan Wang, Li Yang, et al. 2020. Big bird: Transformers for longer sequences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.14062*.

Xingran Zhu. 2020. Cross-lingual word sense disambiguation using mBERT embeddings with syntactic dependencies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.05300*.