

PRIMITIVE AXIAL ALGEBRAS ARE OF JORDAN TYPE

LOUIS ROWEN* YOAV SEGEV

ABSTRACT. The notion of axial algebra is closely related to 3-transposition groups, the Monster group and vertex operator algebras. In this work we continue our previous works and complete the proof that all algebras generated by a set of primitive axes not necessarily of the same type (see the definition in the body of the paper), are primitive axial algebras of Jordan type.

1. INTRODUCTION

In his simplified construction of the Fischer-Griess Monster group, Conway [C] constructed a commutative algebra which is not associative, denoted B^\sharp in [HRS], such that to each $2A$ -involution of the Monster, there corresponds a unique idempotent a , such that multiplication by a from $B^\sharp \rightarrow B^\sharp$ has minimal polynomial $(t-1)t(t-\frac{1}{4})(t-\frac{1}{32})$. Conway called these idempotents “axial vectors”. Moreover, the eigenspaces of these vectors have certain multiplicative properties which were called “fusion rules” in [HRS].

Another interesting motivating example is as follows. Given a normal set D of 3-transpositions in a group G , one can construct a *Fischer space*, and a corresponding *Matsuo algebra*, see [HRS]. This Matsuo algebra is a commutative, non-associative algebra generated by idempotents which are in one to one correspondence with the transpositions in D . Multiplication by these idempotents have minimal polynomial $(t-1)t(t-\lambda)$, with $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$, and again the eigenspaces satisfy certain fusion rules.

There are also the *Majorana algebras* of Ivanov [I]. In [HRS] the notion of a Majorana algebra was simplified and generalized, and all the above examples are included in the new notion, introduced in [HRS], of *axial algebras*. We refer the reader to the introduction of [HRS] for more information. See also [DPSC] for non-commutative generalizations.

This paper is a continuation of [RS1] and [RS2]. Together with the previous two papers it may be considered as a start of the classification of non-commutative axial algebras. Our main goal being to classify algebras A generated by two primitive axes, and, as a consequence we prove that for

Date: December 2, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 17A05, 17A15, 17A20 ; Secondary: 17A36, 17C27.

Key words and phrases. axis, axial algebra, fusion, idempotent.

*The first author was supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant 1623/16.

any algebra generated by primitive axes, all the axes are necessarily of Jordan type.

Throughout this paper, A is an algebra not necessarily associative or commutative, not necessarily with a multiplicative unit element, over a field F with $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$.

- (i) For $a, b \in A$, we define the *left and right* multiplication maps $L_a(b) := a \cdot b$ and $R_a(b) := b \cdot a$.
- (ii) We write $A_\lambda(X_a)$ for the eigenspace of λ with respect to the transformation X_a , $X \in \{L, R\}$, i.e., $A_\lambda(L_a) = \{v \in A : a \cdot v = \lambda v\}$, and similarly for $A_\lambda(R_a)$. Often we just write A_λ for $A_\lambda(L_a)$, when a is understood. We write $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ for $A_\lambda(L_a) \cap A_\delta(R_a)$.

From now on, let $a \in A$ be an idempotent, and $\lambda, \delta \notin \{0, 1\}$ in F .

Definition 1.1.

- (1) a is a *left axis* of *type* λ if L_a satisfies a polynomial $p(t) = t(t-1)(t-\lambda)$. The left axis a is *primitive* if $A_1 = Fa$.
- (2) A *primitive right axis* of *type* δ is defined similarly.
- (3) a is a *primitive axis (2-sided)* of *type* (λ, δ) if a is a primitive left axis of type λ and a primitive right axis of type δ and, in addition, $L_a R_a = R_a L_a$. Thus $A_{1,1} = Fa = A_1$; in particular $A_{1,\delta} = A_{\lambda,1} = 0$. Hence A decomposes into a direct sum

$$A = \overbrace{A_{1,1} \oplus A_{0,0}}^{++\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{0,\delta}}^{+-\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{\lambda,0}}^{-+\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{\lambda,\delta}}{--\text{-part}},$$

and this is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ grading of A (multiplication $(\epsilon, \epsilon')(\rho, \rho')$ is defined in the obvious way for $\epsilon, \epsilon', \rho, \rho' \in \{+, -\}$).

In this paper, a *primitive axis* is a primitive axis of type (λ, δ) , for some (λ, δ) .

- (4) a is a primitive axis of *Jordan type* if moreover $A_{\lambda,0} = A_{0,\lambda} = 0$.
- (5) A is a primitive axial algebra of Jordan type, if A is generated by primitive axes of Jordan type (not necessarily of the same type).

In [RS1] and [RS2] we showed that if A is an algebra of dimension ≤ 3 generated by two primitive axes a, b , then a and b have Jordan type (not necessarily the same!), so A is as in part (1) of the Main Theorem below. On the other hand, we showed in [RS2, Theorem 4.3] that $\dim A \leq 5$, but we did not yet have any examples of dimension 4 or 5. The purpose of this paper is to show that there are no such examples.

Main Theorem.

- (1) If A is generated by two primitive axes a, b , then $\dim(A) \leq 3$, so A is classified in [RS2, Theorem C], and [RS1, Theorems A and B].
- (2) If A is generated by a set of primitive axes, then all the primitive axes in A are of Jordan type.

We recall from [RS1] the definition of the Miyamoto involutions associated with a primitive axis of type (λ, δ) .

Definition 1.2. [Miyamoto involution] It is easy to check that any non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of A induces an automorphism of order 2 of A . Indeed, if $A = A^+ \oplus A^-$, then $y \mapsto y^+ - y^-$ is such an automorphism, where $y \in A$ and $y = y^+ + y^-$ for $y^+ \in A^+, y^- \in A^-$.

So if $a \in A$ is a primitive axis of type (λ, δ) , then we have three such automorphisms of order 2, which, conforming with the literature, we call the **Miyamoto involutions associated with a** :

- (i) $\tau_{\lambda,a} = \tau_\lambda : y \mapsto y - 2y_\lambda = \alpha_y a + y_{0,0} + y_{0,\delta} - y_{\lambda,0} - y_{\lambda,\delta}$.
- (ii) $\tau_{\delta,a} = \tau_\delta : y \mapsto y - 2y_\delta = \alpha_y a + y_{0,0} - y_{0,\delta} + y_{\lambda,0} - y_{\lambda,\delta}$.
- (iii) $\tau_{\text{diag},a} = \tau_{\text{diag}} : y \mapsto \alpha_y a + y_{0,0} - y_{0,\delta} - y_{\lambda,0} + y_{\lambda,\delta}$.

Recall also

Definition 1.3 (Fusion rules). We call the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of the eigenspaces of a left (resp. right) axis a the left (resp. right) *fusion rules* for a .

See also [HRS, subsection 2.1, p. 85].

2. TWO GENERATED PRIMITIVE AXIAL ALGEBRAS

Throughout this section, A is an algebra generated by 2 primitive axes, a of type (λ, δ) and b of type (λ', δ') .

We recall the 2-sided eigenvector decomposition of an element $v \in A$, with respect to the axis a :

$$v = \alpha_v a + v_{0,0} + v_{\lambda,0} + v_{0,\delta} + v_{\lambda,\delta}, \text{ for } \alpha_v \in F, \text{ where } v_{\mu,\nu} \in A_{\mu,\nu}(a).$$

and the decomposition of an element $w \in A$, with respect to the axis b :

$$w = \beta_w b + w_{0,0} + w_{\lambda',0} + w_{0,\delta'} + w_{\lambda',\delta'}, \text{ for } \beta_w \in F, \text{ where } w_{\mu,\nu} \in A_{\mu,\nu}(b).$$

(see [RS2, Equation (1.2)].)

Throughout this paper we denote:

$$c := b_{0,0}, \quad x := b_{\lambda,0}, \quad y := b_{0,\delta}, \quad z := b_{\lambda,\delta}.$$

and

$$c' := a_{0,0}, \quad x' := a_{\lambda',0}, \quad y' := a_{0,\delta'}, \quad z' := a_{\lambda',\delta'}.$$

In this section we shall use:

Proposition 2.1 ([RS2] Proposition 4.7). $A = Fa + Fc + Fx + Fy + Fz = Fb + Fc' + Fx' + Fy' + Fz'$.

Remark 2.2. Suppose that a and b have the same type (λ, δ) , and that $\dim(A) \leq 3$. Then either

- (1) $\dim(A) = 2$, so $A = Fa + Fb$. Furthermore $\lambda \neq \delta$, $\lambda + \delta = 1$, $ab = \delta a + \lambda b$ and $ba = \delta b + \lambda a$, or
- (2) A is commutative.

Proof. This follows from [RS1, Theorem A] and [RS2, Theorems A and B]. \square

Note that by Proposition 2.1 we have:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{If } \dim(A) = 4, \text{ then exactly one of } c', x', y', z' \text{ is } 0.$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \text{If } \dim(A) = 4, \text{ then exactly one of } c, x, y, z \text{ is } 0.$$

We start with a number of computations.

Lemma 2.3.

- (1) $\alpha_{bab}a + (bab)_{0,0} = \alpha_b^2a + \lambda x^2 + \lambda z^2 = \alpha_b^2a + \delta y^2 + \delta z^2.$
- (2) $(bab)_{\lambda,0} = \alpha_b\lambda^2x + \lambda cx + \lambda yz = \delta yz + \delta zy + \alpha_b\lambda x.$
- (3) $(bab)_{0,\delta} = \lambda xz + \lambda zx + \alpha_b\delta y = \alpha_b\delta^2y + \delta yc + \delta zx.$
- (4) $(bab)_{\lambda,\delta} = \alpha_b(\lambda^2 + \delta)z + \lambda cz + \lambda yx = \alpha_b(\lambda + \delta^2)z + \delta zc + \delta yx.$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} b(ab) &= (\alpha_b a + b_{0,0} + b_{\lambda,0} + b_{0,\delta} + b_{\lambda,\delta})(\alpha_b a + \lambda b_{\lambda,0} + \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta}) \\ &= \alpha_b^2 a + \alpha_b \lambda^2 b_{\lambda,0} + \alpha_b \lambda^2 b_{\lambda,\delta} \\ &\quad + \lambda b_{0,0} b_{\lambda,0} + \lambda b_{0,0} b_{\lambda,\delta} \\ &\quad + \lambda b_{\lambda,0}^2 + \lambda b_{\lambda,0} b_{\lambda,\delta} \\ &\quad + \alpha_b \delta b_{0,\delta} + \lambda b_{0,\delta} b_{\lambda,0} + \lambda b_{0,\delta} b_{\lambda,\delta} \\ &\quad + \alpha_b \delta b_{\lambda,\delta} + \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta} b_{\lambda,0} + \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (ba)b &= (\alpha_b a + \delta b_{0,\delta} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta})(\alpha_b a + b_{0,0} + b_{\lambda,0} + b_{0,\delta} + b_{\lambda,\delta}) \\ &= \alpha_b^2 a + \alpha_b \delta^2 b_{0,\delta} + \alpha_b \delta^2 b_{\lambda,\delta} \\ &\quad + \delta b_{0,\delta} b_{0,0} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta} b_{0,0} \\ &\quad + \alpha_b \lambda b_{\lambda,0} + \delta b_{0,\delta} b_{\lambda,0} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta} b_{\lambda,0} \\ &\quad + \delta b_{0,\delta}^2 + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta} b_{0,\delta} \\ &\quad + \alpha_b \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta} + \delta b_{0,\delta} b_{\lambda,\delta} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is $b(ab)$, so equating, fusion rules give

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_b^2 a + \lambda b_{\lambda,0}^2 + \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta}^2 &= \alpha_b^2 a + \delta b_{0,\delta}^2 + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta}^2, \text{ or} \\ \alpha_{bab}a + (bab)_{0,0} &= \alpha_b^2 a + \lambda x^2 + \lambda z^2 = \alpha_b^2 a + \delta y^2 + \delta z^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_b \lambda^2 b_{\lambda,0} + \lambda b_{0,0} b_{\lambda,0} + \lambda b_{0,\delta} b_{\lambda,\delta} &= \delta b_{0,\delta} b_{\lambda,\delta} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta} b_{0,\delta} + \alpha_b \lambda b_{\lambda,0}, \text{ or} \\ (bab)_{\lambda,0} &= \alpha_b \lambda^2 x + \lambda cx + \lambda yz = \delta yz + \delta zy + \alpha_b \lambda x. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda b_{\lambda,0} b_{\lambda,\delta} + \lambda b_{\lambda,\delta} b_{\lambda,0} + \alpha_b \delta b_{0,\delta} &= \alpha_b \delta^2 b_{0,\delta} + \delta b_{0,\delta} b_{0,0} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta} b_{\lambda,0}, \text{ or,} \\ (bab)_{0,\delta} &= \lambda xz + \lambda zx + \alpha_b \delta y = \alpha_b \delta^2 y + \delta yc + \delta zx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad & \alpha_b(\lambda^2 + \delta)b_{\lambda,\delta} + \lambda b_{0,0}b_{\lambda,\delta} + \lambda b_{0,\delta}b_{\lambda,0} \\
& = \alpha_b(\lambda + \delta^2)b_{\lambda,\delta} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta}b_{0,0} + \delta b_{0,\delta}b_{\lambda,0},
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$(2.7) \quad (bab)_{\lambda,\delta} = \alpha_b(\lambda^2 + \delta)z + \lambda cz + \lambda yx = \alpha_b(\lambda + \delta^2)z + \delta zc + \delta yx.$$

□

Lemma 2.4.

- (1) $\alpha_b a + c = \alpha_b^2 a + c^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2$.
- (2) $x = \alpha_b \lambda x + xc + cx + yz + zy$.
- (3) $y = \alpha_b \delta y + cy + yc + xz + zx$.
- (4) $z = \alpha_b(\lambda + \delta)z + cz + zc + xy + yx$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= b^2 \\
&= (\alpha_b a + c + x + y + z)^2 \\
&= \alpha_b^2 a + c^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \\
&\quad + \alpha_b \delta(y + z) + \alpha_b \lambda(x + z) \\
&\quad + cx + xc + cy + yc + cz + zc \\
&\quad + xy + yx + xz + zx + yz + zy.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from the fusion rules.

□

Lemma 2.5.

- (1) *We have*

$$(2.8) \quad \delta(y^2 + z^2) = \alpha_b(\beta_a(1 - \lambda') + (\lambda' - \alpha_b))a + \beta_a(1 - \lambda')c,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \delta(yz + zy) = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')x,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \delta(cy + xz) = (\beta_a(1 - \lambda') + \delta(\lambda' - \alpha_b))y,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \delta(cz + xy) = (\beta_a(1 - \lambda') + \delta(\lambda' - \alpha_b - \alpha_b \lambda))z.$$

- (2) *We have*

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda(x^2 + z^2) = \alpha_b(\beta_a(1 - \delta') + (\delta' - \alpha_b))a + \beta_a(1 - \delta')c,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \lambda(xc + zy) = (\beta_a(1 - \delta') + \lambda(\delta' - \alpha_b))x,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \lambda(xz + zx) = \beta_a(1 - \delta')y,$$

$$(2.15) \quad \lambda(zc + xy) = (\beta_a(1 - \delta') + \lambda(\delta' - \alpha_b - \delta \alpha_b))z.$$

Proof. By [RS1, Lemma 2.3(3)],

$$b(ba) = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + \lambda'(ba).$$

so

$$b(\alpha_b a + \delta b_{0,\delta} + \delta b_{\lambda,\delta}) = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + \lambda'(ba),$$

or

$$(2.16) \quad \delta b(y + z) = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + (\lambda' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \delta(y + z)).$$

And also,

$$(ab)b = \beta_a(1 - \delta')b + \delta'ab,$$

so

$$(2.17) \quad (\lambda x + \lambda z)b = \beta_a(1 - \delta')b + (\delta' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \lambda x + \lambda z).$$

(1) From equation (2.16) we get

$$\delta(\alpha_b a + c + x + y + z)(y + z) = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + (\lambda' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \delta y + \delta z).$$

Hence

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \delta(y^2 + z^2 + yz + zy + cy + xz + cz + xy + \alpha_b \lambda z) \\ &= \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + (\lambda' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \delta y + \delta z) \\ &= \beta_a(1 - \lambda')(\alpha_b a + c + x + y + z) + (\lambda' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \delta y + \delta z) \end{aligned}$$

Matching components via the fusion rules yields (1).

(2) By (2.17) we have

$$(\lambda x + \lambda z)(\alpha_b a + c + x + y + z) = \beta_a(1 - \delta')b + (\delta' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \lambda x + \lambda z)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda((x^2 + z^2) + xc + zy + (xz + zx) + \delta \alpha_b z + zc + xy) \\ &= \beta_a(1 - \delta')(\alpha_b a + c + x + y + z) + (\delta' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \lambda x + \lambda z). \end{aligned}$$

Matching components via the fusion rules yields (2). \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\sigma := ab - \delta'a - \lambda b$. Then*

(1) $\sigma = \gamma a - \lambda(b_{0,\delta} + b_{0,0}) = \rho b - \delta'(a_{0,0} + a_{\lambda',0})$, where

$$\gamma = \alpha_b(1 - \lambda) - \delta', \text{ and } \rho = \beta_a(1 - \delta') - \lambda.$$

(2) $\lambda(c^2 + y^2) = \alpha_b(\gamma - \rho)a - \rho c$.

Proof. (1): Is taken from [RS2, Lemma 4.1], and is easy to calculate.

(2) $\rho b = \sigma b = (\gamma a - \lambda(c + y))b = \gamma(\alpha_b a + \lambda x + \lambda z) - \lambda(c + y)b$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_b(\rho - \gamma)a + \rho c + (\rho - \gamma\lambda)x + \rho y + (\rho - \gamma\lambda)z \\ &= -\lambda(c + y)(\alpha_b a + c + x + y + z) \\ &= -\lambda(c^2 + y^2 + (cx + yz) + (cy + yc + \alpha_b \delta y) + (cz + yx)). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the $(Fa + Fc)$ -component we get (2). \square

Lemma 2.7. *If $\dim(A) = 4$ and one of x, y or z is 0, then $\beta_a = 0$.*

Proof. Assume first that $z = 0$. Then, by equation (2.16),

$$\delta by = \delta(\alpha_b a + c + x + y)y = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + (\lambda' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b + \delta y).$$

Hence (since $xy = 0$)

$$\delta(cy + y^2) = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + (\lambda' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \delta y).$$

In the LHS $b_{\lambda,0}$ has coefficient 0. Hence, in the RHS $\beta_a(1 - \lambda')x = 0$. Thus $\beta_a = 0$.

Assume next that $y = 0$. Then, by (2.2), $x \neq 0$. By (2.16),

$$\delta bz = \delta(\alpha_b a + c + x + z)z = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + (\lambda' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \delta z).$$

Hence (since $xz = 0$)

$$\delta(\alpha_b \lambda z + cz + z^2) = \beta_a(1 - \lambda')b + (\lambda' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \delta z).$$

In the LHS x has coefficient 0. Hence, in the RHS $\beta_a(1 - \lambda')x = 0$. Thus $\beta_a = 0$.

Suppose $x = 0$. Then, by (2.2), $y \neq 0$. By (2.17),

$$\lambda zb = \lambda z(\alpha_b a + c + y + z) = \beta_a(1 - \delta')b + (\delta' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \lambda z),$$

so (since $zy = 0$),

$$\lambda(\alpha_b \delta z + zc + z^2) = \beta_a(1 - \delta')b + (\delta' - \alpha_b)(\alpha_b a + \lambda z).$$

In the RHS y has coefficient 0 so $\beta_a(1 - \delta') = 0$, and again $\beta_a = 0$. \square

Proposition 2.8.

- (1) $(\beta_a(1 - \delta') + \beta_a(1 - \lambda'))x = \lambda(1 - \delta')x$.
- (2) $(\beta_a(1 - \lambda') + \beta_a(1 - \delta'))y = \delta(1 - \lambda')y$.
- (3) If $\beta_a = 0$, then $x = y = 0$.
- (4) If $\dim(A) \geq 4$, then x, y, z, x', y', z' are all distinct from 0.
- (5) If $\dim(A) \geq 4$, $\lambda' = \lambda$, and $\delta' = \delta$, then

$$\lambda' = \delta' = \lambda = \delta = 2\alpha_b = 2\beta_a.$$

Proof. (1) We have

$$(2.19) \quad \beta_a(1 - \lambda')x = \delta(yz + zy) \quad (\text{by (2.9)}),$$

$$(2.20) \quad (\beta_a(1 - \delta') + \lambda(\delta' - \alpha_b))x = \lambda(xc + zy) \quad (\text{by (2.13)}).$$

$$(2.21) \quad \alpha_b \lambda(\lambda - 1)x = (\delta - \lambda)yz + \delta zy - \lambda cx \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3(2)})$$

$$(2.22) \quad (1 - \alpha_b \lambda)x = xc + cx + yz + zy \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4(2)}).$$

So,

$$(2.23) \quad (\alpha_b \lambda(\lambda - 1) - \beta_a(1 - \lambda'))x = -\lambda yz - \lambda cx \quad (\text{by (2.19) and (2.21)}).$$

Hence, using (2.20), (2.23) and (2.22), we get

$$\left(\beta_a(1 - \delta') + \lambda(\delta' - \alpha_b)\right)x - \left(\alpha_b\lambda(\lambda - 1) - \beta_a(1 - \lambda')\right)x = \lambda(1 - \alpha_b\lambda)x.$$

This shows (1).

(2) We have

$$(2.24) \quad \left(\beta_a(1 - \lambda') + \delta(\lambda' - \alpha_b)\right)y = \delta(cy + xz) \quad (\text{by (2.10)}),$$

$$(2.25) \quad \beta_a(1 - \delta')y = \lambda(xz + zx) \quad (\text{by (2.14)}),$$

$$(2.26) \quad \alpha_b\delta(\delta - 1)y = \lambda xz + (\lambda - \delta)zx - \delta yc \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3(3)}),$$

$$(2.27) \quad (1 - \alpha_b\delta)y = cy + yc + xz + zx \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4(3)}).$$

Hence by (2.25) and (2.26)

$$\left(\alpha_b\delta(\delta - 1) - \beta_a(1 - \delta')\right)y = -\delta zx - \delta yc.$$

From this and (2.24) and (2.27),

$$\left(\beta_a(1 - \lambda') + \delta(\lambda' - \alpha_b)\right)y - \left(\alpha_b\delta(\delta - 1) - \beta_a(1 - \delta')\right)y = \delta(1 - \alpha_b\delta)y.$$

This shows (2).

(3) Suppose $\beta_a = 0$, then the LHS of both (1) and (2) is 0. So the RHS of both (1) and (2) is 0, and (3) follows from (1) and (2).

(4) Assume that $\dim(A) \geq 4$. If $\dim(A) = 5$, then (4) follows from Proposition 2.1. Suppose $\dim(A) = 4$, and one of x, y, z, x', y', z' is 0, say $x = 0$. By Lemma 2.7, $\beta_a = 0$, so by (3), also $y = 0$. This contradicts (2.2). The same argument shows that (4) holds if $y = 0$, or $z = 0$. By symmetry and (2.1), (4) holds.

(5) Assume that $\dim(A) \geq 4$. By (4), x and y are not 0. Then, by (1) and (2), since $\lambda = \lambda'$ and $\delta = \delta'$, we get $\lambda = \delta$. Now by (1) (or (2)), $\beta_a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda$. Symmetrically using (4), $\alpha_b = \frac{1}{2}\lambda$. \square

The following two results show that the hypothesis of Proposition 2.8(5) is impossible.

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose that $\lambda = \lambda' = \delta = \delta' = 2\alpha_b = 2\beta_a$. Then*

- (1) $\frac{1}{2}\lambda(b - a) + z - c = z' - c'$.
- (2) $x - y = y' - x'$.
- (3) $\frac{1}{2}\lambda a + c + x - y - z = \frac{1}{2}\lambda b + c' - x' + y' - z'$.

(4)

- (i) $yz + zy = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)x.$
- (ii) $xc + zy = \frac{1}{2}x.$
- (iii) $zy - cx = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda - 1)x.$
- (iv) $xc + cx + yz + zy = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2)x.$

(5)

- (i) $xz + zx = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)y.$
- (ii) $cy + xz = \frac{1}{2}y.$
- (iii) $xz - yc = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda - 1)y.$
- (iv) $yc + cy + xz + zx = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2)y.$

(6) $zc = cz.$ (7) $x^2 = y^2.$ (8) $c^2 + y^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda)c.$ (9) $x^2 + z^2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)c.$ (10) $z^2 - c^2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a - \lambda c.$ *Proof.* We have

$$ab = \alpha_b a + \lambda x + \lambda z = \beta_a b + \lambda y' + \lambda z',$$

so

$$(2.28) \quad \frac{1}{2}\lambda(a - b) + \lambda x + \lambda z = \lambda y' + \lambda z'.$$

By symmetry

$$(2.29) \quad \frac{1}{2}\lambda(b - a) + \lambda x' + \lambda z' = \lambda y + \lambda z.$$

(1) Subtracting (2.29) from (2.28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda(a - b) + \lambda x + \lambda z \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda(b - a) + \lambda x' + \lambda z' \right) \\ &= \lambda(y' + z' - y - z), \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$a - b + x + y + 2z = x' + y' + 2z',$$

or

$$a - b + (b - \frac{1}{2}\lambda a - c) + z = a - \frac{1}{2}\lambda b - c' + z'.$$

This shows (1).

(2) This is obtained by adding (2.28) to (2.29).

(3) This is obtained by subtracting (1) from (2).

(4& 5) Part (4) are equation (2.19)–(2.22) in this special case. Part (5) are equation (2.24)–(2.27) in this special case.

(6) This follows from Lemma 2.3(4).

(7) This follows from Lemma 2.3(1).

(8) This follows from Lemma 2.6(2), because here $\rho = \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda(1 - \lambda) - \lambda)$.

(9) $c^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a + c$, by Lemma 2.4(1). Now (9) follows from (8).

(10) By (9),

$$c^2 + x^2 + z^2 - c^2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)c.$$

By (8) and (9) we get

$$z^2 - c^2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)c - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda)c = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a - \lambda c. \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.10. *Assume the hypotheses of Lemma 2.9 hold. Then*

- (1) $z' = \frac{1}{2}(b - ba) + \frac{bz - bc}{\lambda}$.
- (2) $c' = z' + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(a - b) - z + c$.
- (3) $(z')_{\lambda,0} = 0$, $z'_{0,\lambda} = 0$, $z'_{\lambda,\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}z$, $\alpha_{z'}a + z'_{0,0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a - \frac{1}{2}c$, so
- (*) $z' = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a - \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}z$.
- (4) $c'_{\lambda,0} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda x$ and $c'_{0,\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda y$.
- (5) $\dim(A) \leq 3$.

Proof. (1) This is obtained from Lemma 2.9(1) by multiplying on the left by b and then dividing by λ .

(2) This comes from Lemma 2.9(1)

(3) By (1) and by Lemma 2.9 4(i) and 4(ii),

$$z'_{\lambda,0} = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{yz - xc}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}x = 0.$$

By (1), and Lemma 2.9(5(iii)),

$$z'_{0,\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)y + \frac{xz - yc}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)y + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)y = 0.$$

By (1) and Lemma 2.9(5),

$$z'_{\lambda,\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)z + \frac{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 z + cz - zc}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)z + \frac{1}{2}\lambda z = \frac{1}{2}z.$$

Finally, by (1) and Lemma 2.9(10),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{z'}a + z'_{0,0} &= \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{z^2 - c^2}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a - c \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)a - \frac{1}{2}c. \end{aligned}$$

(4) This follows from (2) and (3).

(5) Note that by (4) and by symmetry, $c_{\lambda,0} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda x'$. By (3), and by symmetry, $z \in Fb + Fc' + Fz'$. Comparing the $(\lambda, 0)$ -component in the two sides of equality (*) for z' in (3), with respect to b , we get

$$0 = (\frac{1}{2}\lambda - 1)a_{\lambda,0} + c_{\lambda,0} = (\frac{1}{2}\lambda - 1)x' - \frac{1}{2}\lambda x' = -x'.$$

By Proposition 2.8(4), $\dim(A) \leq 3$. \square

2.1. The dimension of A is ≤ 3 .

The goal of this subsection is to prove that $\dim(A) \leq 3$. So we assume, toward a contradiction, that $\dim(A) \geq 4$. As mentioned in the introduction, we know already that $\dim(A) \leq 5$.

Proposition 2.11. *Consider the assertion*

(*) *there exist a Miyamoto involution τ associated with b such that A is generated by a, a^τ .*

- (1) *If $\dim(A) = 4$, then (*) holds.*
- (2) *If $\dim(A) = 5$, and any subalgebra of A generated by two primitive axes of the same type has dimension distinct from 4, then (*) holds.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim(A) \geq 4$. By Proposition 2.8(4), x', y', z' are all distinct from 0. Hence there are three distinct Miyamoto involutions τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 , with respect to b , and thus axes of the form a^τ where $\tau = \tau_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and the axes $a, a^{\tau_1}, a^{\tau_2}, a^{\tau_3}$ are linearly independent.

Let a' be one of the axes of the form a^τ . Suppose that A' , the subalgebra generated by a, a^τ , has dimension ≤ 3 .

If $\lambda \neq \delta$ then since a' is also of type (λ, δ) , A' is as in Remark 2.2(1) (with a' in place of b). Writing

$$a' = \gamma_a a + \gamma_c c + \gamma_x x + \gamma_y y + \gamma_z z,$$

We have

$$aa' = \delta a + \lambda a' = (\delta + \lambda \gamma_a) a + \lambda \gamma_c c + \lambda \gamma_x x + \lambda \gamma_y y + \lambda \gamma_z z.$$

On the other hand,

$$aa' = a(\gamma_a a + \gamma_c c + \gamma_x x + \gamma_y y + \gamma_z z) = \gamma_a a + \lambda \gamma_x x + \lambda \gamma_z z.$$

Matching components shows $\gamma_c = 0$, $\delta + \lambda \gamma_a = \gamma_a$, and $\gamma_y = 0$. Also symmetrically $\gamma_x = 0$ (considering $a'a = \lambda a + \delta a'$), implying

$$a' = a + \gamma_z z,$$

so $a' \in Fa + Fz$. But there are three linearly independent possibilities for the axes a^τ , a contradiction.

Thus we may assume that $\lambda = \delta$, which, by Remark 2.2, implies A' is commutative. But now $aa' = a'a$ implies $\gamma_x = \gamma_y = 0$, so $a' \in Fa + Fc + Fz$, again contradicting $a, a^{\tau_1}, a^{\tau_2}, a^{\tau_3}$ being linearly independent.

Hence $\dim(A') \geq 4$, for some $\tau \in \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}$. This shows that both parts (1) and (2) hold. \square

Theorem 2.12. $\dim(A) \leq 3$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\dim(A) = 4$. By Proposition 2.11(1), we may assume that b is also of type (λ, δ) . By Proposition 2.8(5), $\lambda = \lambda' = \delta = \delta' = 2\alpha_b = 2\beta_a$. Now Proposition 2.10(5) supplies a contradiction.

Suppose $\dim(A) = 5$. Then, by the previous paragraph, the hypothesis of Proposition 2.11(2) holds, so by that proposition we may assume that b is also of type (λ, δ) and the same argument leads to a contradiction. \square

Proof of the Main Theorem. We can now complete the proof of the Main Theorem. In subsection 2.1 we showed that part (1) of the Main Theorem holds. It remains to prove part (2). Let X be the set of *all* primitive axes in A . By [RS2, Theorem 3.3], A is spanned by X . Let $a, b \in X$, with a of type (λ, δ) . By part (1) of the Main Theorem, $\dim(B) \leq 3$, where B is the subalgebra of A generated by a, b . By [RS2, Theorem C] and [RS1, Theorems A, B], a, b are of Jordan type *inside the subalgebra* B . Thus $b \in Fa + A_{0,0}(a) + A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$. Since this holds for any $b \in X$, and since A is spanned by X , we see that $A = Fa + A_{0,0}(a) + A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$. Thus a has Jordan type. As a was chosen arbitrarily, we see that this holds for all $x \in X$. \square

REFERENCES

- [C] J. H. Conway, *A simple construction for the Fischer-Griess monster group*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), no. 3, 513–540.
- [DPSC] T. De Medts, S.F. Peacock, D. Shpectorov, and M. Van Couwenberghe, *Decomposition algebras and axial algebras*, J. Algebra **556** (2020), 287–314.
- [I] A. A. Ivanov, *The Monster group and Majorana involutions*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **176**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [HRS] J. I. Hall, F. Rehren, S. Shpectorov, *Primitive axial algebras of Jordan type*, J. Algebra **437** (2015), 79–115.
- [RS1] L. Rowen and Y. Segev, *Axes of Jordan type in non-commutative algebras*, to appear in J. Algebra Appl.
- [RS2] L. Rowen and Y. Segev, *Axes in nonassociative algebras*, submitted (2021).

LOUIS ROWEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL

Email address: rowen@math.biu.ac.il

YOAV SEGEV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL

Email address: yoavs@math.bgu.ac.il