

Topological categories related to Fredholm operators: I. Classifying spaces

Nikolai V. Ivanov

Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Simplicial spaces	14
3.	Topological categories	20
4.	Partially ordered spaces	22
5.	Topological simplicial complexes	23
6.	Segal's introductory example	27
7.	Coverings and simplicial spaces	30
8.	Self-adjoint Fredholm operators	31
9.	Classifying spaces for self-adjoint Fredholm operators	34
10.	Polarizations and splittings	47
11.	Restricted Grassmannians	55
12.	Categories related to restricted Grassmannians	58
13.	Grassmannian bundle and Grassmannian quasi-fibration	67
14.	Classifying spaces for Fredholm operators	76
15.	Finite-unitary bundle and finite-unitary quasi-fibration	88
16.	Atiyah–Singer map	93
17.	Hilbert bundles	102
	<i>References</i>	105

Throughout this paper we work over complex numbers \mathbf{C} . There is no doubt that similar results hold over the real numbers \mathbf{R} , but, as usual, the main ideas are more transparent over \mathbf{C} .

1. Introduction

Fredholm operators and classifying spaces. By well known theorems of Atiyah [A] and of Atiyah and Singer [AS] the space \mathcal{F} of bounded Fredholm operators and a component $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of the space of bounded self-adjoint Fredholm operators are the classifying spaces for the topological complex K^0 -theory and K^1 -theory respectively. Segal [S₄] explained how these spaces are related to Quillen's constructions [Q] in higher algebraic K-theory. Namely, \mathcal{F} and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ are homotopy equivalent to the classifying spaces of two categories, which Segal denoted by \hat{C} and QC respectively (Segal attributed the definitions of these categories to Quillen). The idea behind these relations is very attractive and described by Segal as follows.

The idea is that a Fredholm operator is determined by its kernel and cokernel – a pair of finite dimensional vector spaces – in the sense that the operators with a prescribed kernel and cokernel form a contractible space. When a Fredholm operator is deformed continuously its kernel and cokernel can jump, but only by adding isomorphic pieces to each: i.e. the jumps correspond to morphisms in \hat{C} . In the same sense a self-adjoint operator is determined by its kernel, and when the kernel jumps the piece added to it is the sum of a part on which the operator was positive and a part on which it was negative, so that the jump corresponds to a morphism in QC.

Segal's paper [S₄] is an inspiring overview of a wide range of ideas related to K-theory, but hardly contains any detailed proofs. Segal devoted one page to an outline of proofs that \mathcal{F} and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ are homotopy equivalent to the classifying spaces of \hat{C} and QC respectively, and indicated that a delicate argument is similar to an argument in [S₂], Proposition 2.7. There is no doubt that the proofs are well known in some quarters, but author's attempt to reconstruct these proofs was only partially successful. The resulting proofs are inspired by the ideas of Segal [S₁] – [S₄] and Quillen [Q], but follow a different route. In particular, key roles are played by the notion of *enhanced operators* and categories of *subspace models*.

After proving these homotopy equivalence theorems we continue in the spirit of [S₄] and transplant some further ideas of Quillen [Q], [Gr] to the realm of Fredholm and self-adjoint Fredholm operators. Along the way we relate the spaces \mathcal{F} and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and the classifying spaces of related categories with more concrete classifying spaces, such as a particular kind of Grassmannians and unitary groups. The main results may be considered as partial analogues of the equivalence of Quillen's two definitions of higher algebraic K-theory, the one based on plus-construction and the one based on the Q-construction. This is one of the main theorems of Quillen [Q], [Gr]. The proofs are simpler than Quillen's ones. In particular, no analogue of the $S^{-1}S$ construction is needed. Morally, the reason is that the Hilbert space is already stable and no stabilization procedure is needed, in contrast with the algebraic K-theory. At the same time the proofs reveal a parallel between the methods of Quillen and the methods of Atiyah and Singer [AS].

Topological categories. Following Segal [S₁], we define a *topological category* as a small category in which the sets of objects and morphisms have topologies for which the usual structure maps are continuous. We denote the space of objects of a topological category \mathcal{C} by $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, and the space of morphisms by $\text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$. A topological category \mathcal{C} leads to a topological space $|\mathcal{C}|$ called its *classifying space* or *geometric realization*. Sections 2 – 5 include a review of related definitions and basic properties, while Section 6 is devoted to an example due to Segal [S₄], which is instructive and used later. Section 7 is devoted to a fundamental theorem of Segal [S₁] about topological categories related to coverings.

A simple example has a topological space X as the space of objects and the space of morphisms, and only identity morphisms. We will often identify X with this category. Its geometric realization is $|X| = X$. A partial order \leq on a space X defines a category having X as the space of objects, and the space of pairs (x, y) such that $x \leq y$ as the space of morphisms. There is a unique morphism $x \rightarrow y$ if $x \leq y$ and no other morphisms.

Simplicial spaces and topological simplicial complexes. The classifying space $|\mathcal{C}|$ is, by the definition, the geometric realization of a simplicial space $N\mathcal{C}$, the nerve of \mathcal{C} . Working with geometric realizations of simplicial spaces is complicated by a well known technical difficulty caused by collapsing of degenerate simplices. In order to deal with this difficulty one needs either to modify the definition of the geometric realization, or to work only with sufficiently nice simplicial spaces. It turns out that all simplicial spaces (the nerves of topological categories) arising in this paper are as nice in this respect as one may wish.

In this paper we deal mostly with topological spaces S partially ordered by a relation \leq and considered as a topological category. We denote its classifying space by $|S|$. At the same time S together with \leq define a structure of a *topological simplicial complex* on S . Its simplices are finite linearly ordered subsets of S . This structure leads to another geometric realization $\|S\|$. It is defined in the same way as the classical geometric realization of simplicial complexes, but takes the topology of S into account. See Section 5. The definition of $\|S\|$ involves no degenerate simplices and avoids the difficulties caused by them. In general $\|S\|$ is different from $|S|$, but $|S|$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\|S\|$ if the partially ordered space S has *free equalities*. The latter property means that the three subspaces of $S \times S$ defined by the conditions $x = y$, $x < y$, and $x > y$ on the pairs $(x, y) \in S \times S$ are closed. See Section 4 and Corollary 5.2.

It turns out that all partially ordered spaces used in this paper have free equalities, but some of the topological categories used do not arise from partial orders. Still, they are close to partially ordered spaces with free equalities. Their spaces of objects are partially ordered, and morphisms $x \rightarrow y$ exist if and only if $x \leq y$. Moreover, they have *free units* in the sense that the subspace of identity morphisms is equal to the union of several components of the space of all morphisms. The classifying spaces of such categories can be constructed in terms of non-degenerate simplices only. See Lemmas 3.1 and 2.2 for precise statements. This allows us to avoid difficulties caused by degenerate simplices.

Topological categories related to self-adjoint Fredholm operators. Let H be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} . By $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ we will denote the space of self-adjoint Fredholm operators $H \rightarrow H$ which are neither essentially positive, nor essentially negative. The last condition is well known and motivated by [AS]. For the purposes of this introduction one can assume the all operators are bounded and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is equipped with the usual topology defined by the norm of operators. But our theory applies also to unbounded operators and depends only on few basic properties of the topology of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. See Section 8 for the details. We will consider $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ also as a topological category, in the manner indicated above.

The most basic property of self-adjoint Fredholm operators is the following. If $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$, then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $-\varepsilon, \varepsilon$ do not belong to the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A and the image of the spectral projection $P_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(A)$ is finitely dimensional. We will treat the image $\text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(A)$ as an approximation to the kernel $\text{Ker } A$.

We define an *enhanced operator* (which is silently assumed to be self-adjoint and Fredholm) as a pair (A, ε) such that $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and ε is related to A as above. Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be the set of enhanced operators. We equip $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ with topology induced from the product of the topology of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and the *discrete* topology on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. There is natural partial order on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. Namely, $(A, \varepsilon) \leq (A', \delta)$ if $A = A'$ and $\varepsilon \leq \delta$. This partial order turns $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ into a topological category. The rule $(A, \varepsilon) \mapsto A$ defines a continuous *forgetting functor* $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$.

We would like to turn also the rule $(A, \varepsilon) \mapsto \text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(A)$ into a continuous functor. To this end, we need to define first the target category of this functor. Let $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ be the category having finitely dimensional subspaces $V \subset H$ as its objects, with morphisms $V \rightarrow V'$ being pairs U_-, U_+ of finitely dimensional subspaces of H such that

$$V' = U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+,$$

where \oplus denotes the sum of *orthogonal* subspaces. The subspaces U_- and U_+ are called the *negative* and *positive parts* of the morphism in question. The composition of morphisms is defined by taking the sum of negative parts and the sum of positive parts to get, respectively, the negative and the positive parts of the composition. The standard topology on the set of finitely dimensional subspaces turns $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ into a topological category.

The above rule takes objects of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ to objects of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. It naturally extends to morphisms. Indeed, suppose that $(A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow (A, \delta)$ is a morphism of the category $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. Then $\varepsilon \leq \delta$ and

$$\text{Im } P_{[-\delta, \delta]}(A) = \text{Im } P_{[-\delta, -\varepsilon]}(A) \oplus \text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(A) \oplus \text{Im } P_{[\varepsilon, \delta]}(A).$$

This orthogonal decomposition defines a morphism

$$\text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(A) \rightarrow \text{Im } P_{[-\delta, \delta]}(A)$$

of the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. This defines a functor $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$.

Finally, let us define Quillen–Segal category Q , which we will from now on denote simply by Q . It is an abstract analogue of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. The objects of Q are finitely dimensional Hilbert spaces over \mathbb{C} . A morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ is a triple (U_-, U_+, f) , where $f: V \rightarrow V'$ is an isometric embedding and U_-, U_+ are subspaces of V' such that

$$V' = U_- \oplus f(V) \oplus U_+.$$

The composition of morphisms is defined in the same way as for $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. The topology on the set of objects is discrete, and the topology on the set of morphisms is the obvious one. The categories $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and Q are related only indirectly. There is an intermediate category Q/H having as objects isometric embeddings $V \rightarrow H$, and canonical functors $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \leftarrow Q/H \rightarrow Q$. See Section 9. The main result about all these categories is the following theorem.

Theorem A. *The maps of classifying spaces*

$$|\hat{\mathcal{F}}| = |\hat{\mathcal{F}}| \leftarrow |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \leftarrow |Q/H| \rightarrow |Q|$$

induced by the functors defined or mentioned above, are homotopy equivalences.

See Theorems 9.6 and 9.7. The proofs involve two intermediate categories $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and forgetting functors $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$, which also induce homotopy equivalences of classifying spaces. See Section 9 for the definitions and proofs. Except of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, the above categories do not involve genuine Hilbert space operators and belong to the linear algebra of finitely dimensional subspaces of H . Even to deal with $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ we need only the first ideas of the theory of operators and the contractibility theorem of Kuiper [Ku].

While the category Q has a definite conceptual advantage of involving only finitely dimensional vector spaces, the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ turns out to be the most powerful tool, and after Theorem A we work mostly with $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and similar categories. Somewhat surprisingly, the classifying space $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ turns out to be homeomorphic to the space U^{fin} of isometries $H \rightarrow H$ equal to the identity id_H on a subspace of finite codimension. See Theorem 9.8, the proof of which is based on a beautiful idea of Harris [H]. There is a little subtle point: U^{fin} should be equipped with a colimit (direct limit) topology.

Polarizations. A *polarization* of a separable infinitely dimensional Hilbert space K is a pair of infinitely dimensional subspaces $K_-, K_+ \subset K$ such that $K = K_- \oplus K_+$. A version of the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ involving polarizations turns out to be very useful. It is the topological category $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ having as objects triples (V, H_-, H_+) such that V is an object of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and H_-, H_+ is a polarization of the orthogonal complement $H \ominus V$ of V in H . The topology on the set of objects is defined by the usual topology on subspaces V and norm topology on orthogonal projections $H \rightarrow H_-$. Morphisms of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ have the form

$$(V, H_-, H_+) \rightarrow (U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+, H_- \ominus U_-, H_+ \ominus U_+),$$

where U_- , U_+ are finitely dimensional subspaces of H_- , H_+ respectively. Clearly, the pair U_+ , U_- is uniquely determined and defines a morphism $V \rightarrow U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+$ of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, and hence there is a canonical functor $\mathcal{PS} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ discarding polarizations. One can easily show that the induced map $|\mathcal{PS}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. See Theorem 10.3. An important technical advantage of the category \mathcal{PS} is the fact that it can be defined also in terms of a partial order on the space of objects. See Section 10.

Restricted Grassmannians and the Grassmannian bundle. Another advantage of the category \mathcal{PS} is its close relation with the restricted Grassmannians. There are several versions of this notion. See the book [PS] by Pressley and Segal, Chapter 7. We will need the following one. Suppose that a polarization $H = K_- \oplus K_+$ of H is fixed. The corresponding *restricted Grassmannian* Gr is the space of subspaces $K \subset H$ which are *commensurable* with K_- in the sense that the intersection $K \cap K_-$ has finite codimension in K and in K_- .

This definition naturally extends from polarizations of H to objects of the category \mathcal{PS} . Namely, if $P = (V, H_-, H_+)$ is an object of \mathcal{PS} , then we define the restricted Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(P)$ as the space of subspaces $K \subset H$ which are commensurable with H_- . Obviously, if there is a morphism $P \rightarrow P'$ of the category \mathcal{PS} , then $\text{Gr}(P) = \text{Gr}(P')$. Using this fact one can easily construct a locally trivial bundle

$$\pi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$$

with the fiber $\text{Gr}(P)$ over simplices having P as a vertex. See Section 13. The methods of Quillen [Q] allow to construct a categorical model of this bundle, related to it in approximately the same way as $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is related to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. This model turns out to be not a bundle, but only a *quasi-fibration*. Unfortunately, this notion is still less known than it deserves.

Quasi-fibrations. A continuous surjective map $p: E \rightarrow B$ is called *quasi-fibration* if for every point $b \in B$, every point $e \in p^{-1}(b)$ and every $i \geq 0$ the induced map

$$p_*: \pi_i(E, p^{-1}(b), e) \rightarrow \pi_i(B, b)$$

is an isomorphism. This notion is due to Dold and Thom [DT] and plays an important role in Quillen's work [Q]. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a quasi-fibration, then every fiber $p^{-1}(b)$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to the homotopy fiber of p .

Quasi-fibrations which are not fibrations usually arise in the following context. We will assume that all involved spaces are compactly generated (this notion is recalled in Section 2) and paracompact. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a surjective continuous map. Suppose that B is the union of an increasing sequence of closed subspaces $B_0 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots$ and that the topology of B is the colimit topology defined by this sequence. Let $E_i = p^{-1}(B_i)$. Then $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a quasi-fibration if the following three conditions hold. First, the map

$$E_{i+1} \setminus E_i \rightarrow B_{i+1} \setminus B_i$$

induced by p is a locally trivial bundle for every $i \geq 0$. Second, for every $i \geq 0$ there exists an open neighborhood U_i of B_i in B_{i+1} and a deformation retraction $r_i: U_i \rightarrow B_i$ which lifts together with the deformation to a deformation retraction $\tilde{r}_i: p^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow E_i$. Finally, for every $u \in U_i$ the map

$$p^{-1}(u) \rightarrow p^{-1}(r_i(u))$$

induced by \tilde{r}_i is a weak homotopy equivalence. One can find detailed expositions of Dold–Thom theory in [Sr], Appendix A, and in [AGP], Appendix A. See also [Ha], Section 4.K. Theorem A.1.19 of [AGP] differs from the above claim only by the superfluous assumption that the bundles over $B_{i+1} \setminus B_i$ are trivial.

Quasi-fibrations arising from functors. Let $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be a functor. Let us define a category $S(f)$ having as objects triples (X, Y, ν) with X and Y being objects of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' respectively, and ν being a morphism $Y \rightarrow f(X)$ of \mathcal{C}' . Morphisms with the source (X, Y, ν) are in one-to-one correspondence with the pairs of morphisms $u: X \rightarrow X'$ and $w: Y' \rightarrow Y$ of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' respectively. The target of the morphism corresponding to (u, w) is the triple $(X', Y', f(u) \circ \nu \circ w)$.

There is a covariant functor $p_1: S(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ taking (X, Y, ν) to X , and a *contravariant* functor $p_2: S(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ taking (X, Y, ν) to Y . A contravariant functor can be considered as a covariant functor to the opposite category. Since the classifying space of a category and of its opposite are the same, contravariant functors also induce maps of classifying spaces. This leads to continuous maps

$$|p_1|: |S(f)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{C}| \quad \text{and} \quad |p_2|: |S(f)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{C}'|.$$

For every object Z of \mathcal{C}' let us denote by $Z \setminus f$ the subcategory of $S(f)$ having as objects triples of the form (X, Z, ν) and as morphisms pairs of the form (u, id_Z) . Each morphism $w: Z' \rightarrow Z$ of \mathcal{C}' induces a functor $w^*: Z \setminus f \rightarrow Z' \setminus f$ and hence a continuous map

$$|w^*|: |Z \setminus f| \rightarrow |Z' \setminus f|.$$

Quillen [Q] showed that if all induced maps $|w^*|$ are homotopy equivalences, then the map $|p_2|: |S(f)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{C}'|$ is a quasi-fibration. This is a key step in the proofs of Theorems A and B of Quillen [Q]. We will need an analogue of this result for some topological categories. These categories are nice and concrete, and direct proofs based on Quillen's ideas are more simple and transparent than proving a general theorem and applying it.

The original proofs were based on a general version of Quillen's Theorems A and B due to Ebert and Randal-Williams [ER]. This version is concerned with a generalization of topological categories, but only with fat geometric realizations, and quasi-fibrations are present only implicitly. Partly by these reasons the direct proofs appear to be more attractive.

Splittings. Let \mathcal{P} be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ having as objects the objects of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of the form $(0, H_-, H_+)$. This subcategory has only identity morphisms, and its space of objects is the space of polarizations of H . This implies that $|\mathcal{P}|$ is contractible. A *splitting* of an object M of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is a morphism $s: N \rightarrow M$ such that N is an object of \mathcal{P} .

There is a topological category $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ having as objects splittings of objects of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Morphisms of the category $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ having as the source some splitting $s: N \rightarrow M$ are in one-to-one correspondence with morphisms $f: M \rightarrow M'$. The target of the morphism corresponding to $f: M \rightarrow M'$ is the splitting $f \circ s: N \rightarrow M'$. There is an obvious forgetting functor $\phi: s\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ taking a splitting $s: N \rightarrow M$ of M to M .

The main quasi-fibration. For the functor $\phi: s\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ the objects of $S(\phi)$ can be identified with diagrams in $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of the form $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$, where P is an object of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and N is an object of \mathcal{P} . We will denote the functor $p_2: S(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ simply by p . Clearly, the functor p takes $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$ to P . It induces a continuous map

$$|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|.$$

This map is our categorical model of $\pi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$. It turns out to be a quasi-fibration.

Let P be an object of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. It turns out that $P \setminus \phi$ is a categorical model of the restricted Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(P)$. The objects of $P \setminus \phi$ can be identified with diagrams in $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of the form $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$, where N is an object of \mathcal{P} , i.e. a polarization $H = N_- \oplus N_+$ of H . It is easy to see that $N_- \in \text{Gr}(P)$. This leads to a map $\text{Ob } P \setminus \phi \rightarrow \text{Gr}(P)$, which naturally extends to a functor $h(P): P \setminus \phi \rightarrow \text{Gr}(P)$. The map $|h(P)|: |P \setminus \phi| \rightarrow \text{Gr}(P)$ turns out to be a homotopy equivalence, justifying the idea that $P \setminus \phi$ is a model of $\text{Gr}(P)$.

As we already pointed out, if $w: P' \rightarrow P$ is a morphism $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, then $\text{Gr}(P') = \text{Gr}(P)$. It follows that $|w^*|: |P' \setminus \phi| \rightarrow |P \setminus \phi|$ is a homotopy equivalence. With this result at hand, we are ready to apply Quillen's methods and prove the following theorem.

Theorem B. *The map $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a quasi-fibration.*

In the main part of the paper we proceed in a different order. Namely, we define first the categories $P \setminus \phi$ as analogues of the restricted Grassmannians, and denote them by $\mathcal{G}(P)$. Then we use the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and an analogy between these categories and restricted Grassmannians to motivate the definition of the category $S(\phi)$. In Section 11 we prove that restricted Grassmannians are homotopy equivalent to a more classical version of infinite dimensional Grassmannians, which we denote by $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. See Theorem 11.3. In Section 12 we prove that the spaces $|\mathcal{G}(P)|$ are also homotopy equivalent to $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. See Theorem 12.8. In Section 13 we prove that for a morphism $w: P' \rightarrow P$ the map $|w^*|: |\mathcal{G}(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P')|$ is a homotopy equivalence and then prove Theorem B. See Lemma 13.1 and Theorem 13.2. Similar ideas can be used to prove the following theorem.

Theorem C. *The classifying space $|S(\phi)|$ is contractible.*

The proof of Theorem B can be easily modified to prove that the map $|S(\phi)| \rightarrow |s\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ induced by the forgetting functor $p_1: S(\phi) \rightarrow s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is a quasi-fibration. It is easy to see that $|s\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is contractible and that for every splitting $s: N \rightarrow M$, i.e. every object s of $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, the space $|s\backslash p_1|$ is also contractible. Together these facts imply that $|S(\phi)|$ is weakly contractible. One can also prove directly that $|S(\phi)|$ is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex and deduce that $|S(\phi)|$ is contractible.

But the more concrete arguments used to prove Theorem B lead to a direct proof of the fact that $|S(\phi)| \rightarrow |s\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence, and hence to a direct proof of the contractibility of $|S(\phi)|$. In fact, this sort of arguments is used already in the proof of Theorem A. See the proofs of Theorems 9.3 and 9.6.

Comparing $\pi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ and $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$. The above continuous maps $|h(P)|: |P\backslash\phi| \rightarrow \text{Gr}(P)$ can be assembled into a continuous map $h: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ such that the following triangle is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 |S(\phi)| & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbf{G} \\
 & \searrow |p| & \swarrow \pi \\
 & & |\mathcal{PS}|
 \end{array}$$

Theorem D. *The map $h: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

The proof of Theorem B shows that the homotopy fiber of $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ is the geometric realizations $|P\backslash\phi|$ for every object P of \mathcal{PS} . On the other hand, the spaces $\text{Gr}(P)$ are the fibers, and hence the homotopy fibers of $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$. Comparing the homotopy sequences of $|p|$ and π shows that $h: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. In order to prove Theorem D it remains to check that \mathbf{G} is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex. This is verified in Lemma 13.5 with the help of some general results of Morita [Mo] and tom Dieck [tD₁]. It seems that the information about the structure of the map $|p|$ contained in the proof of Theorem B is sufficient to prove Theorem D directly, without proving first that \mathbf{G} is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex.

Theorem E. *The total space \mathbf{G} of the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ is contractible.*

This immediately follows from Theorems C and D.

Bott periodicity. Theorem E implies that the fibers $\text{Gr}(P)$ of π are homotopy equivalent to the loop space $\Omega|\mathcal{PS}|$. By the very definition $\text{Gr}(P)$ is homeomorphic to Gr . As was mentioned above, Gr is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. But $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is nothing else but the classifying space $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BU}$. Here $U = U(\infty)$ is the colimit of unitary groups $U(n)$. The same methods show that $U(\infty)$ is homotopy equivalent to U^{fin} . But U^{fin} is homeomorphic to $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and hence is homotopy equivalent to $|\mathcal{PS}|$. It follows that $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BU}$ is homotopy equivalent to ΩU . This is one of the classical forms of Bott periodicity.

This is not the simplest proof of Bott periodicity. Its interest is in relating the functional analytic methods of Atiyah and Singer [AS] with algebraic and topological ideas of Quillen [Q]. While the definitions of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, \mathcal{PS} and related categories are motivated by the properties of self-adjoint Fredholm operators, Fredholm operators are not used in this proof. But there is a similarity, unexpected at least to the present author, between the proof in [AS] and this one, and hence between the methods of Atiyah–Singer [AS] and Quillen [Q]. A key steps of Atiyah–Singer proof amounts to proving that the map $\exp \pi: \hat{F}_* \rightarrow -C$ from [AS], Proposition 3.3, is a quasi-fibration. See the proof of Proposition 3.5 in [AS]. The key step in our proof is the fact that $|p|$ is quasi-fibration. There is even a close analogue of the Atiyah–Singer map $\exp \pi$, namely the composition of the map $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ from Theorem A with the homeomorphism $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \rightarrow U^{\text{fin}}$ from Theorem 9.8.

The above proof of Bott periodicity can be somewhat shortened by using Theorems B and C instead of Theorem E. One can go even further and replace the Hilbert space H by the union \mathbf{C}^∞ of the sequence $\mathbf{C}^0 \subset \mathbf{C}^1 \subset \mathbf{C}^2 \dots$. The resulting proof is not included in this paper because similar proofs are well known. It is fairly close to the proof of McDuff [Mc] and especially to one of Giffen [G]. McDuff's proof is an ultimate simplification of Atiyah–Singer [AS] proof, but the exposition in [Mc] is quite condensed. A detailed version was provided by Aguilar and Prieto [AP] and then streamlined by Behrens [B₁], [B₂]. Giffen's proof is based on ideas of Quillen [Q], [Gr] and Segal [S₃].

Topological categories related to Fredholm operators. All these results have natural analogues for general (not necessarily self-adjoint) Fredholm operators. Let \mathcal{F} be the space of Fredholm operators $H \rightarrow H$. Recall that $|A| = \sqrt{A^*A}$, and that $|A|$ may be not equal to $|A^*|$. Recall also that by $W \ominus V$ we denote the orthogonal complement of V in W .

We define an *enhanced Fredholm operator* as a pair (A, ε) consisting of an operator $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \notin \sigma(|A|)$, the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$ is disjoint from the essential spectrum of $|A|$, and the same condition holds for A^* in the role of A . Equivalently and, perhaps, more intuitively, one can require that $\|A(v)\| \neq \varepsilon\|v\|$ for every non-zero $v \in H$, the space of vectors $v \in H$ such that $\|A(v)\| \leq \varepsilon\|v\|$ is finitely dimensional, and the same condition holds for A^* in the role of A . We equip the set \mathcal{E} of enhanced Fredholm operators with topology induced by the topology of \mathcal{F} and the discrete topology on $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$. Similarly to $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, the space \mathcal{E} is partially ordered, and the partial order turns \mathcal{E} into a topological category. There is an obvious forgetting functor $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

The analogue \mathcal{S} of the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined as follows. The objects of \mathcal{S} are pairs (E_1, E_2) of finitely dimensional subspaces of H . Morphisms $(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow (E'_1, E'_2)$ are defined as triples (F_1, F_2, f) , where F_1, F_2 are subspaces of H such that

$$E_1 \oplus F_1 = E'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 \oplus F_2 = E'_2$$

and f is an isometry $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$. The composition is defined by taking the direct sums of the corresponding subspaces F_1, F_2 and of the isometries. The category \mathcal{S} is a topological category in an obvious way. There is a natural functor $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. See Section 14.

Finally, let us define Quillen–Segal category \hat{C} , which we will from now on denote by S . It is an abstract analogue of \mathcal{S} . The objects of S are pairs (V_1, V_2) of finitely dimensional Hilbert spaces over \mathbf{C} . A morphism $(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow (W_1, W_2)$ of S is a triple (i_1, i_2, g) , where $i_1: V_1 \rightarrow W_1$ and $i_2: V_2 \rightarrow W_2$ are isometric embeddings and

$$g: W_1 \ominus i_1(V_1) \rightarrow W_2 \ominus i_2(V_2)$$

is an isometry. The composition is defined in an obvious way and amounts to taking the direct sum of the corresponding isometries g . There is an intermediate category S/H between \mathcal{S} and S and canonical functors $\mathcal{S} \leftarrow S/H \rightarrow S$. See Section 14.

Theorem F. *The maps of classifying spaces*

$$|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{F}| \leftarrow |\mathcal{E}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{S}| \leftarrow |S/H| \rightarrow |S|$$

induced by the functors defined or mentioned above, are homotopy equivalences.

See Theorems 14.4, 14.5, and 14.7.

Bundles and quasi-fibrations related to Fredholm operators. There are analogues of polarizations, splittings, restricted Grassmannians, etc. for Fredholm operators. The category \mathcal{PS} has as objects triples (E_1, E_2, i) such that (E_1, E_2) is an object of \mathcal{S} and $i: H \ominus E_1 \rightarrow H \ominus E_2$ is an isometry. Morphisms of \mathcal{PS} have the form

$$(E_1, E_2, i) \rightarrow (E_1 \oplus F_1, E_2 \oplus i(F_1), i'),$$

where F_1 is a finitely dimensional subspace of $H \ominus E_1$ and i' is induced by i . There is a canonical functor $\mathcal{PS} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ taking (E_1, E_2, i) to (E_1, E_2) . One can easily see that $|\mathcal{PS}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{S}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. Like the category \mathcal{PS} , the category \mathcal{PS} can be defined also in terms of a partial order on the space of objects.

The analogue of the restricted Grassmannian Gr is the group U^{fin} which we already encountered, but with the norm topology instead of the colimit one. There are also analogues

of spaces $\text{Gr}(P)$. For an object $P = (E_1, E_2, i)$ of \mathcal{PS} let $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ be the space of isometries $H \rightarrow H$ equal to i on a subspace of finite codimension in $H \ominus E_1$ considered with the norm topology. Clearly, $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ is non-empty if and only if $\dim E_1 = \dim E_2$. Let \mathcal{PS}_0 be the full subcategory of \mathcal{PS} having as objects triples (E_1, E_2, i) such that $\dim E_1 = \dim E_2$. Obviously, if there is a morphism $P \rightarrow P'$, then $U^{\text{fin}}(P) = U^{\text{fin}}(P')$. This fact allows to construct a locally trivial bundle

$$\pi: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$$

with the fiber $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ over simplices having P as a vertex. See Section 15.

Let \mathcal{U} be the full subcategory of \mathcal{PS} having as objects triples of the form $(0, 0, i)$. The category \mathcal{U} has only identity morphisms, and $|\mathcal{U}|$ is homeomorphic to the unitary group of a Hilbert space, and hence is contractible by Kuiper theorem. A *splitting* of an object M of \mathcal{PS} is a morphism $s: N \rightarrow M$ such that N is an object of \mathcal{U} . Clearly, a splitting of M exists only if M is an object of \mathcal{PS}_0 .

The topological category $s\mathcal{S}_0$ having as objects splittings of objects of \mathcal{PS}_0 and the forgetting functor $\phi: s\mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}_0$ are defined exactly as in the self-adjoint case, as also the category $S(\phi)$, the forgetting functor $p: S(\phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}_0$, and the continuous map

$$|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|.$$

This is our categorical model of the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$. The main properties of this model are the same as in the self-adjoint case. They are summarized in the following theorem and proved in Section 15.

Theorem G. *The map $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$ is a quasi-fibration, there is a natural homotopy equivalence $h: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$, and the spaces $|S(\phi)|$ and \mathbf{U} are contractible.*

The other half of Bott periodicity. The above proof of Bott periodicity, like most of other proofs, assumed as a known fact that $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is the classifying space $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BU}$. In order to prove this one needs a locally trivial bundle over a space homotopy equivalent to a connected component of $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ with contractible total space and fibers homotopy equivalent to $U = U(\infty)$. The total space of the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$ is contractible by Theorem G, and its fibers are homotopy equivalent to U^{fin} and hence to $U(\infty)$. Its base $|\mathcal{PS}_0|$ is indeed homotopy equivalent to a connected component of $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. Moreover, $|\mathcal{PS}|$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Gr}(\infty)$.

But it seems that there is no proof of this fact which is as nice as the proof that $|\mathcal{PS}|$ is homotopy equivalent to $U(\infty)$, because there is no analogue of the homeomorphism between $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and U^{fin} . A similar difficulty was encountered by Harris [H], Section 4. Following his example, we omit the details.

The homotopy equivalence $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{F}$. Theorem G implies that the loop space $\Omega\mathcal{F}$ is canonically homotopy equivalent to U^{fin} , and hence to $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. The homotopy equivalence of the spaces $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\Omega\mathcal{F}$ is one of the main results of Atiyah and Singer [AS]. In fact, Atiyah and Singer [AS] used a simple formula to construct a map $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{F}$ and proved that this map is a homotopy equivalence. The proof based on Theorem G also leads to a map $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{F}$, which is well defined up to homotopy.

Theorem H. *These two homotopy equivalences $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{F}$ are the same up to homotopy.*

See Theorem 16.6. Surprisingly, one needs to go fairly deep into Atiyah–Singer proof in order to prove that these maps are homotopic. A key role in Atiyah–Singer proof is played by the group G of unitary elements of Calkin algebra. It turns out that the classifying space $|\mathcal{PS}|$ may serve as a categorical model of G . In more details, there is a natural map $|\mathcal{PS}| \rightarrow G$, and this map is a homotopy equivalence. See Corollary 16.3.

Hilbert bundles. A big part of this theory easily extends from a fixed Hilbert space H to Hilbert bundles, thought as families $H_x, x \in X$ of Hilbert spaces parameterized by a topological space X . More precisely, for a Hilbert bundle \mathbb{H} one can define analogues of categories not involving Hilbert space operators or polarizations. The categories and topological spaces involving Hilbert space operators can be defined only when \mathbb{H} is trivial and trivialized, i.e. when one deals with operators in a fixed Hilbert space.

For example, the analogue $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H})$ of the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ has as objects finitely dimensional subspaces of the fibers $H_x, x \in X$ of \mathbb{H} . Morphisms $V \rightarrow V'$ exists only if V, V' are contained in the same fiber H_x , and in this case morphisms are defined exactly as morphisms of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ with H replaced by H_x . The definition of Q does not involve H at all, and by this reason the analogue $Q(\mathbb{H})$ of Q depends on X but otherwise is independent from \mathbb{H} . All this is explained in Section 17. The situation in the non-self-adjoint case is completely similar. There are no surprises, and this case is left to the reader.

The analytical index. The partial extension of the theory to Hilbert bundles is motivated by applications to the index theory, discussed in the companion paper [I]. While there is a satisfactory definition of the analytical index for families of general Fredholm operators, this is not quite the case for self-adjoint Fredholm operators. The standard definition, going back to Atiyah and Singer [AS₅], [AS] and to Atiyah, Patodi, and Singer [APS], requires the bundle \mathbb{H} to be trivial and even trivialized. Using categories $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H})$ and $Q(\mathbb{H})$ one can give a natural definition of the analytical index for general bundles and under minimal continuity assumptions about the family. Theorem H plays a key role in proving that this definition of the analytical index agrees with the Atiyah–Singer one.

One can also define partial analogues of categories involving polarizations. This clarifies some subtle aspects of the notion of *spectral section* of Melrose and Piazza [MP].

2. Simplicial spaces

Compactly generated spaces. A topological space X is said to be *compactly generated* if a subset of X intersecting every compact subset in closed subset is itself closed. While working with simplicial spaces, all topological spaces are usually assumed to be compactly generated, and the definition of products is modified in such a way that products are also compactly generated. We will largely follow this tradition, which goes back to Segal [S₁]. In the context of the present paper this is hardly a restriction. In fact, the class of compactly generated spaces is very broad. It includes spaces satisfying the first countability axiom, in particular metric spaces, as also locally compact spaces. See [Ke], Theorem 7.13.

In general, working with compactly generated spaces requires to redefine the topology of the products, but this is again hardly an issue in the context of the present paper. For example, the product of a compactly generated space and a locally compact space is automatically compactly generated, as is the product of two metric spaces. At the same time it is well known that the usual topological constructions preserve the property of being compactly generated, if the products are redefined. See [St].

Simplicial spaces and Δ -spaces. Let Δ be the category having sets $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ as objects and non-decreasing maps $[m] \rightarrow [n]$ as morphisms. A *simplicial set* is a contravariant functor from Δ to the category of sets, and a *simplicial space* is a contravariant functor from Δ to the category of topological spaces. A simplicial set can be considered as a simplicial space if we equip sets with the discrete topology. For a simplicial set or space K we will denote by K_n the value of the functor K on the object $[n]$, and for every non-decreasing map $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ we will denote by $\theta^*: K_n \rightarrow K_m$ the value of K on θ . The points of K_n are called the *n -simplices* of K , and the maps θ^* the *structure maps* of K . The *simplicial maps* $K \rightarrow L$ are defined as the natural transformations of functors.

There is a version of these notions which appears to be simpler. Let Δ be the category having the same objects as Δ , but only strictly increasing maps $[m] \rightarrow [n]$ as morphisms. A Δ -set is a contravariant functor from Δ to the category of sets, and a Δ -space is a contravariant functor from Δ to the category of topological spaces. A simplicial set or space defines a Δ -set or Δ -space respectively by restricting functors to Δ . While Δ -sets and Δ -spaces are actually simpler in some respects than simplicial sets and spaces, the theories of simplicial things are the right ones, and we will use Δ -things only occasionally.

Geometric realizations. Let Δ^n be the *standard geometric n -simplex*

$$\Delta^n = \{ (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \mid t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1, t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n \geq 0 \}.$$

Every non-decreasing map $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ defines a linear map $\theta_*: \mathbf{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ taking the i th vector of the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^{m+1} to the $\theta(i)$ th vector of the standard basis

of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} . This map induces a map $\Delta^m \rightarrow \Delta^n$, also denoted by θ_* . The *geometric realization* $|K|$ of a simplicial space K is defined as the quotient of the disjoint union

$$(1) \quad \coprod_{n=0,1,\dots} K_n \times \Delta^n$$

by the equivalence relation \sim generated by

$$(\sigma, \theta_*(x)) \sim (\theta^*(\sigma), x),$$

where $\sigma \in K_n$, $x \in \Delta^m$, and $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ is a non-decreasing map, i.e. a morphism of the category $\mathbf{\Delta}$. For $\sigma \in K_n$ the image of $\sigma \times \Delta^n$ in $|K|$ is denoted by $|\sigma|$. Clearly, a simplicial map $K \rightarrow L$ defines a map $|K| \rightarrow |L|$, and in this way we get a functor from simplicial spaces to topological spaces. This functor respects the products in the sense that $|K \times L| = |K| \times |L|$, where $K \times L$ is defined dimension-wise, i.e. $(K \times L)_n = K_n \times L_n$.

The *geometric realization* of a Δ -space is defined in the same way, except only strictly increasing maps θ are involved. We will denote the geometric realization of a Δ -space K by $|K|_\Delta$. Since Δ is a subcategory of $\mathbf{\Delta}$, every simplicial space K defines a Δ -space, which we will denote by ΔK . The geometric realization $|\Delta K|_\Delta$ of ΔK is often called the *fat geometric realization* of K and is denoted by $\|K\|$. There is a natural quotient map $\|K\| \rightarrow |K|$, which is a homotopy equivalence under some mild assumptions about K .

Geometric realizations and level-wise homotopy equivalences. Suppose that K, L are simplicial spaces and $f: K \rightarrow L$ is a simplicial map. The map f is a *level-wise homotopy equivalence* if the corresponding maps $K_n \rightarrow L_n$ are homotopy equivalences. We would like to be able to deduce from this that the map $|f|: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ is also a homotopy equivalence. Actually, such arguments are going to be one of our main tools. Similarly, suppose that the maps $K_n \rightarrow L_n$ are weak homotopy equivalences. In this case we would like to be able to deduce from this that the map $|f|: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ is also a weak homotopy equivalence. Unfortunately, these implications are not true without additional assumptions about K and L , and this is a somewhat annoying aspect of working with simplicial spaces.

There are two standard ways to deal with this difficulty. First, one can use the fat geometric realization $\|\bullet\|$ instead of $|\bullet|$. In fact, if f is a level-wise homotopy equivalence, then $\|f\|: \|K\| \rightarrow \|L\|$ is also a homotopy equivalence. See [S₃], Proposition A.1(ii). The same is true for weak homotopy equivalences. Unfortunately, $\|K\|$ is a much bigger space than one expects, even when $K = [n]$.

The second way is to impose some “niceness” conditions, ensuring that the above implications hold, on the considered simplicial spaces. The simplicial spaces considered in this paper are, in a sense, given, and we cannot replace them by better ones. Fortunately, it turns out that they have a very strong niceness property, to be defined in the next subsection. Moreover, their geometric realizations admit a simpler description than in general.

Simplicial spaces with free degeneracies. For a simplicial space K let

$$N_n = N_n(K) = K_n \setminus \bigcup \theta^*(K_k),$$

where the union is taken over all morphisms $\theta: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ with $n > k$, or, equivalently, over all surjective morphisms $\theta: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ with $n > k$. The n -simplices belonging to $N_n(K)$ are called the *non-degenerate n -simplices* of K , and other n -simplices are called the *degenerate n -simplices*. The simplicial space K is said to be *split*, or to have *free degeneracies*, if structure maps $\theta^*: K_k \rightarrow K_n$ induce a homeomorphism

$$(2) \quad \coprod_{\theta} N_k \rightarrow K_n,$$

where the disjoint union is taken over all surjective maps $\theta: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ and to each such θ corresponds its own copy of N_k . The decompositions (2) of spaces K_n into disjoint unions is called the *splitting* of K . These notions go back to Artin and Mazur [AM] and were used in the context of simplicial spaces by Dugger and Isaksen [DI].

Skeletons. By a classical lemma of Eilenberg and Zilber [EZ] every simplex σ of a simplicial space K admits a unique presentation in the form $\sigma = \theta^*(\tau)$ with surjective θ and non-degenerate τ (the topological structure is irrelevant here). We will call such presentation the *Eilenberg–Zilber presentation* of σ . The *n th skeleton* $\text{Sk}_n K$ of K is the simplicial subspace of K having as its m -simplices the m -simplices σ of K such that in the Eilenberg–Zilber presentation $\sigma = \theta^*(\tau)$ the simplex τ is a k -simplex with $k \leq n$.

Clearly, $|K|$ is equal to the union of subspaces $|\text{Sk}_n K|$. Moreover, the topology of $|K|$ is the same as the direct limit topology of this union. Indeed, a map from the direct limit to a topological space X is continuous if and only if its restriction to each subspace $|\text{Sk}_n K|$ is continuous, and hence if and only if the induced maps $K_n \times \Delta^n \rightarrow X$ are continuous. The latter condition is equivalent to continuity of $|K| \rightarrow X$.

Geometric realizations of simplicial spaces with free degeneracies. Let K be a simplicial space with free degeneracies and let N_n be as above. The diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N_n \times \partial \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & |\text{Sk}_{n-1} K| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ N_n \times \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad \pi \quad} & |\text{Sk}_n K|, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are induced by the quotient map from the disjoint union (1) to $|K|$ and the vertical arrows are inclusions, is obviously commutative.

Clearly, this diagram is a push-out square of sets. In fact, it is also a push-out square of topological spaces. In order to see this, suppose that $f: |\mathrm{Sk}_n K| \rightarrow X$ is a map such that $f \circ i$ and $f \circ \pi$ are continuous. The continuity of $f \circ i$ implies continuity of the induced maps $N_k \times \Delta^m \rightarrow X$, where subspaces $N_k \subset K_m$ correspond to surjective maps $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [k]$ with $k \leq n-1$. The continuity of $f \circ \pi$ implies continuity of such induced maps with $k = n$. In view of (2), the definition of $|\mathrm{Sk}_n K|$ as the quotient space implies that f is continuous. Hence the above diagram is a push-out square of topological spaces. This conclusion does not require that the spaces K_n are compactly generated.

Closed cofibrations. Let A be a subspace of X . The inclusion $A \rightarrow X$ is said to be a *closed cofibration* if A is a closed and has the homotopy extension property for all spaces.

2.1. Proposition. *Let $f: K \rightarrow L$ be a map of simplicial spaces with free degeneracies. Suppose that K_n, L_n are locally path connected for every n . If the maps $f_n: K_n \rightarrow L_n$ are (weak) homotopy equivalences for all n , then the map $|f|: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ is a (weak) homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The case of weak homotopy equivalences is due to D. Dugger and D. Isaksen [DI], Corollary A.6. The proofs for both cases are similar. Clearly, f_n takes degenerate simplices to degenerate simplices even without the free degeneracies assumption. Since K_n, L_n are locally path connected, their path components are open and closed. Since $f_n: K_n \rightarrow L_n$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, f_n induces a bijection of the sets of path components. Since K and L have free degeneracies, it follows that f_n maps $N_n(K)$ to $N_n(L)$.

It is well known that the inclusion $\partial\Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is a closed cofibration. It follows that $N_n(K) \times \partial\Delta^n \rightarrow N_n(K) \times \Delta^n$ is a closed cofibration (see [Br], Corollary 2 of Theorem 7.2.4). Since (3) is a push-out square, it follows that the inclusion $|\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} K| \rightarrow |\mathrm{Sk}_n K|$ is a closed cofibration. Of course, L has the same properties.

The simplicial map f induces a map from the push-out square (3) to the similar push-out square with L in the role of K . Suppose that the maps f_n are homotopy equivalences. In view of the previous paragraph, the gluing theorem for adjunction spaces applies (see [Br], Theorem 7.5.7). By this theorem, if $|\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} K| \rightarrow |\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} L|$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $|\mathrm{Sk}_n K| \rightarrow |\mathrm{Sk}_n L|$ is also a homotopy equivalence. An induction shows that $|\mathrm{Sk}_n K| \rightarrow |\mathrm{Sk}_n L|$ is a homotopy equivalence for every n . Since the inclusions $|\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} K| \rightarrow |\mathrm{Sk}_n K|$ are closed cofibration and $|K|$ is the direct limit of spaces $|\mathrm{Sk}_n K|$ (and the same is true for L), it follows that $|K| \rightarrow |L|$ is a homotopy equivalence. See [tD₁], Lemma 6. The case of weak homotopy equivalences is similar. ■

Good and proper simplicial spaces. The material of this subsection will be used only a couple of times. We will discuss what happens without the free degeneracies assumption. Let $s(i): [n] \rightarrow [n-1]$ be the unique non-decreasing surjective map assuming the value i

twice, and let $s_i = s(i)^*$, where $i \in [n-1]$. For a simplicial space K let

$$(4) \quad K_{n,i} = s_i(K_{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad sK_n = \bigcup_{i \in [n-1]} K_{n,i}.$$

Without the free degeneracies assumption the square (3) needs to be replaced by the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (sK_n \times \Delta^n) \cup (K_n \times \partial\Delta^n) & \longrightarrow & |Sk_{n-1} K| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ K_n \times \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & |Sk_n K|, \end{array}$$

where, as in (3), the horizontal arrows are induced by the quotient map from (1) to $|K|$ and the vertical arrows are inclusions. This is also a push-out square of topological spaces, but in order to proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 one needs to know that the left vertical arrow is a closed cofibration. One can easily see that this arrow is a closed cofibration if the inclusion $sK_n \rightarrow K_n$ is a closed cofibration. The simplicial space K is said to be *proper* if this property holds for all n . In the same spirit, K is said to be *good* if the inclusions $K_{n,i} \rightarrow K_n$ are closed cofibrations. It is easy to see that simplicial spaces with free degeneracies are both proper and good.

If for proper simplicial spaces one replaces the square (3) by the square (4), then the arguments in the proof of Proposition 2.1 still work and lead to the following result. Suppose that $f: K \rightarrow L$ is a map of proper simplicial spaces such that the maps $f_n: K_n \rightarrow L_n$ are homotopy equivalences for all n . Then $|f|: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

This theorem is due to Segal [S₂] and [S₃]. Segal's proof is arranged differently. He proves that if K is proper, then the quotient map $\|K\| \rightarrow |K|$ is a homotopy equivalence. Then one can use the nearly obvious fact that $\|f\|: \|K\| \rightarrow \|L\|$ is a homotopy equivalence if the maps $f_n: K_n \rightarrow L_n$ are homotopy equivalences. See Segal [S₃], Proposition A.1, parts (iv) and (ii). Segal's proof [S₃] uses properness and refers to Lillig [L] for the proof that a good simplicial space is proper. It seems that applying [L] requires either a slightly stronger assumptions than being good, which still holds in all applications, or some additional argument. Another proof for proper simplicial spaces is due to tom Dieck [tD₂].

Simplicial spaces and Δ -spaces. An important source of simplicial spaces with free degeneracies are Δ -spaces. A Δ -space D gives rise to simplicial space ΔD as follows. The space $(\Delta D)_n$ of n -simplices of ΔD is the space of pairs (σ, ρ) such that $\sigma \in D_k$ for some $k \leq n$ and $\rho: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ is a surjective non-decreasing map. In order to define the structure map θ^* for a non-decreasing map $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ we represent $\rho \circ \theta$ in the form $\rho \circ \theta = \tau \circ \varphi$, where τ is strictly increasing and φ is surjective and non-decreasing, and

set $\theta^*(\sigma, \rho) = (\tau^*(\sigma), \varphi)$. One can easily check that $(\theta \circ \eta)^* = \theta^* \circ \eta^*$ and hence ΔD is a simplicial space. The construction $D \mapsto \Delta D$ naturally extends to simplicial maps and defines a functor from Δ -spaces to simplicial spaces. By the definition, the canonical map

$$\coprod_{\theta} D_k \longrightarrow (\Delta D)_n$$

is a homeomorphism. Hence D_n is the space of non-degenerate n -simplices of ΔD and ΔD has free degeneracies, i.e. $N_n(\Delta D) = D_n$.

The n th skeleton $\text{Sk}_n D$ of a Δ -space D is the simplicial Δ -subspace of D having as its simplices the k -simplices of D with $k \leq n$. Clearly, $\text{Sk}_n \Delta D = \Delta \text{Sk}_n D$. Similarly to the case of simplicial spaces, the geometric realization $|D|_{\Delta}$ is equal to the direct limit of subspaces $|\text{Sk}_n D|_{\Delta}$. Also, similarly to the case of simplicial spaces, one can describe the subspaces $|\text{Sk}_n D|_{\Delta}$ in terms of push-out diagrams. Namely, the diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D_n \times \partial \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & |\text{Sk}_{n-1} D|_{\Delta} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ D_n \times \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & |\text{Sk}_n D|_{\Delta} \end{array}$$

is a push-out square of topological spaces. The proof is completely similar to the proof for the diagram (3). By comparing for each n this diagram with the diagram (3) for $K = \Delta D$ one can see that the canonical map $|D|_{\Delta} \rightarrow |\Delta D|$ is a homeomorphism.

Simplicial spaces with non-degenerate core. The following notions were introduced in the case of simplicial sets by Rourke and Sanderson [RS]. Suppose that K is a simplicial space and let $N_n = N_n(K)$. The *core* of K is the Δ -subspace $\mathbf{co} K$ of K having as its space $(\mathbf{co} K)_n$ of n -simplices the union

$$(\mathbf{co} K)_n = \bigcup_{\theta} \theta^*(N_k),$$

where θ runs over strictly increasing morphisms $[n] \rightarrow [k]$. In other terms, the simplices of $\mathbf{co} K$ are the non-degenerate simplices of K and their *faces*. There is a canonical simplicial map $\Delta \mathbf{co} K \rightarrow K$ taking the simplex (σ, ρ) of $\Delta \mathbf{co} K$ to the simplex $\rho^*(\sigma)$ of K . The existence part of Eilenberg–Zilber lemma implies that this map is always surjective (i.e. the corresponding maps of the spaces of n -simplices are surjective).

The simplicial space K is said to be a simplicial space *with non-degenerate core* if $\mathbf{co} K$ contains no degenerate simplices of K , or, equivalently, if $\theta^*(N_k) \subset N_n$ for every strictly increasing $\theta: [n] \rightarrow [k]$. If K has non-degenerate core, then $(\mathbf{co} K)_n = N_n$, i.e. the

Δ -space $\mathbf{co} K$ is the Δ -subspace of K consisting of non-degenerate simplices. In this case the uniqueness part of Eilenberg–Zilber lemma implies that the map $\Delta \mathbf{co} K \rightarrow K$ is injective and hence is an isomorphism of simplicial sets.

2.2. Lemma. *Suppose that a simplicial space K has free degeneracies and non-degenerate core. Then $\Delta \mathbf{co} K \rightarrow K$ is an isomorphism of simplicial spaces and the canonical map $|\mathbf{co} K|_\Delta \rightarrow |\Delta \mathbf{co} K| = |K|$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Since K has non-degenerate core, $(\Delta \mathbf{co} K)_n \rightarrow K_n$ is nothing else but the map (2). This implies the first statement of the lemma. In turn, this implies that $\Delta \mathbf{co} K$ has free degeneracies and hence $|\mathbf{co} K|_\Delta \rightarrow |\Delta \mathbf{co} K|$ is a homeomorphism. ■

3. Topological categories

Topological categories and their nerves. All simplicial spaces in this paper are arising from topological categories. *Topological categories* were defined by Segal [S₁] as a small categories such that their sets of objects and morphisms have topologies and the structure maps (the source and target of morphisms, the identity morphisms of objects, and the composition) are continuous. Segal attributed some of the ideas of [S₁] to Grothendieck.

As is well known, each set $[n]$ can be consider as a category having $[n]$ as the set of objects, a single morphism $i \rightarrow j$ if $i \leq j$, and no morphisms $i \rightarrow j$ otherwise. From this point of view the non-decreasing maps $[m] \rightarrow [n]$ are nothing else but functors.

A topological category \mathcal{C} defines a simplicial space $N\mathcal{C}$, its *nerve* in the sense of Segal [S₁]. The 0-simplices of $N\mathcal{C}$ are the objects of \mathcal{C} , and, in general, the n -simplices are functors $\sigma: [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The structure maps are defined by the composition of functors, i.e. if $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ is a non-decreasing map, then $\theta^*(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \theta$, where θ in the right hand side is considered as a functor. Clearly, a functor $[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is determined by its values on objects and on morphisms $i \rightarrow i + 1$, where $i \in [n - 1]$. Therefore n -simplices of $N\mathcal{C}$ correspond to sequences of morphisms of the form

$$(6) \quad v_0 \xrightarrow{p_1} v_1 \xrightarrow{p_2} \dots \xrightarrow{p_n} v_n,$$

where each v_i is an object of \mathcal{C} and each p_i is a morphism $v_{i-1} \rightarrow v_i$. Of course, the objects v_i are determined by the morphisms p_k and hence n -simplices correspond to sequences (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) of morphisms such that the composition $p_{i+1} \circ p_i$ is defined for each i between 1 and $n - 1$. So, the set of n -simplices is contained in the n -folds product of copies of the space of morphisms and hence has a natural topology. A trivial

verification shows that $N\mathcal{C}$ with this topology is a simplicial space. We will call the n -simplices of the nerve $N\mathcal{C}$ simply the *n -simplices of the category \mathcal{C}* , and will denote the space of n -simplices of \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{C}_n and the geometric realization $|N\mathcal{C}|$ by $|\mathcal{C}|$.

Basic examples are provided by the ordered sets $[n]$ equipped with the discrete topology and considered as categories. The nerve $N[n]$ is called the *abstract n -simplex*. Its geometric realization $|[n]|$ is canonically homeomorphic to the standard geometric n -simplex Δ^n .

Functors and natural transformations. A *continuous functor* between topological categories is a functor such that the corresponding maps of the spaces of objects and morphisms are continuous. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be topological categories and $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a continuous functor. Then f defines a simplicial map $Nf: N\mathcal{C} \rightarrow N\mathcal{D}$. In fact, the nerve $N\bullet$ is a functor from topological categories to simplicial spaces.

Recall that a homotopy between two simplicial maps $a, b: K \rightarrow L$ of simplicial spaces is defined as a simplicial map $K \times N[1] \rightarrow L$ such that its restrictions to $K \times 0$ and $K \times 1$ are the maps a and b . For nerves of categories and simplicial maps arising from functors natural transformations lead to homotopies. Namely, given two functors $f, g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, a natural transformation $f \rightarrow g$ defines a homotopy between Nf and Ng . Indeed, a natural transformation $t: f \rightarrow g$ can be considered as a functor $\mathcal{C} \times [1] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, where $[1]$ is considered as a category. One can easily see that the construction $\mathcal{C} \mapsto N\mathcal{C}$ commutes with the products, at least when all involved topological spaces are compactly generated. Therefore t defines a simplicial map $Nt: N\mathcal{C} \times N[1] \rightarrow N\mathcal{D}$, i.e. a homotopy, and this homotopy is a homotopy between Nf and Ng .

A functor $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ defines a continuous map $|f|: |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$. Since $|[1]|$ is canonically homeomorphic to $[0, 1]$, a natural transformation between two such functors defines a homotopy $|\mathcal{C}| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |\mathcal{D}|$. This construction turns out to be a surprisingly efficient way to construct homotopies. For example, if \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are equivalent topological categories, then the spaces $|\mathcal{C}|$ and $|\mathcal{D}|$ are homotopy equivalent.

Topological categories with free units. Clearly, an n -simplex (6) of a topological category \mathcal{C} is degenerate if and only if one of the morphisms $p_i: v_{i-1} \rightarrow v_i$ is actually an identity morphism (and, in particular, $v_{i-1} = v_i$). Let us say that a topological category \mathcal{C} has *free units* if the space of the identity morphisms of objects of \mathcal{C} is open and closed in the space $\text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ of morphisms of \mathcal{C} .

Suppose that one can define a *dimension* of objects of \mathcal{C} with the following two properties. First, the dimension is a non-negative integer or a pair of non-negative integers such that a morphism $C \rightarrow D$ of \mathcal{C} is an identity morphism if and only if the dimensions of C and D are equal. Second, the dimension is a continuous function on the space of objects. Clearly, these properties imply that \mathcal{C} has free units. In this case we say that \mathcal{C} has free units by the *dimension reasons*.

3.1. Lemma. *If \mathcal{C} is a topological category with free units, then the nerve $N\mathcal{C}$ is a simplicial space with free degeneracies.*

Proof. For an n -simplex σ corresponding to the sequence (6) let $\theta_\sigma: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ be the unique non-decreasing surjective map such that $\theta(i-1) = \theta(i)$ if and only if the morphism $p_i: v_{i-1} \rightarrow v_i$ is an identity morphism. Clearly, $\sigma = \theta_\sigma^*(\tau)$ for a unique k -simplex τ , and τ is non-degenerate. Therefore \mathcal{C}_n is equal to the union of disjoint subsets corresponding to non-decreasing surjective maps $[n] \rightarrow [k]$. Since \mathcal{C} has free units, this disjoint union of subsets is actually a disjoint union of subspaces and hence the nerve $N\mathcal{C}$ has free degeneracies. ■

4. Partially ordered spaces

Partially ordered topological spaces. Most of our categories will arise from partially ordered sets. Suppose that S is a set with a partial order \leq . We may consider S as a category having S as its set of objects, and having exactly one morphism $a \rightarrow b$ if $a \leq b$, and none otherwise. Functors between such categories are simply the non-decreasing maps, i.e. maps f such that $a \leq b$ implies $f(a) \leq f(b)$. We already used a special case of this construction, namely the case of the sets $[n]$ with their natural order.

A *partially ordered topological space*, or simply an *ordered topological space* is a topological space S together with a partial order \leq on S . If an ordered topological space S is considered as a category, then its set of morphisms is the subspace of $S \times S$ consisting of pairs (a, b) such that $a \leq b$ and hence is a topological space in a natural way. Clearly, the structure maps of the category S are continuous and hence S is a topological category. As it turns out, working with such topological categories is simpler than with general ones, and most of our topological categories will arise from ordered topological spaces.

Partially ordered spaces with free equalities. Let S be a topological space together with a partial order \leq . The order \leq is said to have *free equalities*, or simply to be *free*, if the sets

$$\{(x, y) \in S \times S \mid x = y\},$$

$$\{(x, y) \in S \times S \mid x < y\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\{(x, y) \in S \times S \mid y < x\}$$

are closed in $S \times S$. It is worth to mention that if S is compactly generated and the product $S \times S$ is taken within the category of compactly generated spaces, then the closedness of the first set is equivalent to S being *weakly Hausdorff*. But we will not use neither this fact, nor

weakly Hausdorff spaces. The property of being free is fairly restrictive. For example, the set \mathbf{R} with its usual topology and order \leq is not free. But \mathbf{R} with the discrete topology and the usual order \leq is free, as is every partially ordered set equipped with discrete topology.

4.1. Lemma. *If a partially ordered space S has free equalities, then S has free units as a topological category. In particular, its nerve is a simplicial space with free degeneracies.*

Proof. The space $\text{Mor } S$ of morphisms of the topological category S is the space of pairs $(x, y) \in S \times S$ such that $x \leq y$. It follows that if S has free equalities, then S has free units as a topological category. The second claim follows from Lemma 3.1. ■

4.2. Lemma. *Let \mathcal{C} be a partially ordered space considered as a category. Then the nerve $N\mathcal{C}$ is a simplicial space with non-degenerate core.*

Proof. Let \leq be the partial order of \mathcal{C} . The k -simplices of \mathcal{C} are non-decreasing sequences $v_0 \leq v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_k$. Such a simplex is degenerate if and only if $v_{i-1} = v_i$ for some i . Therefore the subspace N_n of non-degenerate n -simplices consists of strictly increasing sequences $v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_k$. Applying to such a simplex the structural map θ^* for a strictly increasing $\theta: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ amounts to removing from the sequence terms v_i with i not in the image of θ . It follows that $\theta^*(N_k) \subset N_n$ for every strictly increasing $\theta: [n] \rightarrow [k]$, i.e. $N\mathcal{C}$ has non-degenerate core. ■

4.3. Corollary. *If a partially ordered space S has free equalities, then the canonical map $|\text{co } S|_\Delta \rightarrow |S|$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. The nerve of S has free equalities by Lemma 4.1 and non-degenerate core by Lemma 4.2. It remains to apply Lemma 2.2. ■

5. Topological simplicial complexes

Simplicial complexes. Recall that a *simplicial complex* is a set S together with a collection of its finite subsets, called *simplices*, such that a subset of a simplex is also a simplex and S is the union of simplices. If σ is a simplex and $\tau \subset \sigma$, the simplex τ is said to be a *face* of σ . A simplex σ is said to be an *n -simplex* if σ consists of $n + 1$ elements. The *n th skeleton* $\text{Sk}_n S$ is defined as the simplicial complex having as simplices k -simplices of S with $k \leq n$. If S, T are simplicial complexes, then a *simplicial map* from S to T is defined as a map $S \rightarrow T$ taking simplices to simplices. Such maps may decrease the dimension of simplices, in contrast with simplicial maps of simplicial and Δ -sets and spaces.

A simplicial complex S is said to be *partially ordered* if S is equipped with a partial order with respect to which every simplex is linearly ordered. In this case S defines a Δ -set ΔS and a simplicial set ΔS . Their n -simplices are, respectively, increasing or non-decreasing maps $\sigma: [n] \rightarrow S$ such that $\text{Im } \sigma$ is a simplex. The structure maps are $\theta^*(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \theta$.

Topological simplicial complexes. A *topological simplicial complex* is a topological space S together with a structure of a simplicial complex on S . A *simplicial map* from S to T is a continuous map $S \rightarrow T$ taking simplices to simplices. The *geometric realization* $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ of S is the set of maps $t: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\{v \in S \mid t(v) \neq 0\}$ is a simplex and $\sum_{v \in S} t(v) = 1$. Equivalently, $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is the set of are weighted sums of the form

$$(7) \quad t_0 v_0 + t_1 v_1 + \dots + t_n v_n ,$$

such that $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a simplex and $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta^n$. If σ is the simplex $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, we will denote by $|\sigma|$ the subspace of weighted sums of the form (7) and by $\text{int } |\sigma|$ the subspace of weighted sums (7) such that $t_i > 0$ for every i . Similarly, $\text{int } \Delta^n$ is the subspace of points $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta^n$ such that $t_i > 0$ for every i .

Of course, we need also a topology on the sets $\llbracket S \rrbracket$. Since we will need only this case, we will assume that S is *partially ordered* in the sense that S is equipped with a partial order \leq inducing a linear order on each simplex. Then we can assume that $v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_n$ in sums (7). Let $\llbracket S \rrbracket_k$ be the set of maps t such that $t(v) \neq 0$ for $\leq k+1$ points v . In other terms, $\llbracket S \rrbracket_k$ is the set of weighted sums (7) with $n \leq k$. Therefore $\llbracket S \rrbracket_k$ can be identified with a quotient of the disjoint union

$$\coprod_{n \leq k} S_n \times \Delta^n ,$$

where $S_n \subset S^{n+1}$ is the set of n -tuples (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n) such that $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a simplex and $v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_n$. We equip $\llbracket S \rrbracket_k$ with the topology of this quotient. Clearly, $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is equal to the union of subspaces $\llbracket S \rrbracket_k$, and we equip $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ with the direct limit topology. If S is not partially ordered, S_n should be replaced by the set of orderings of n -simplices and $S_n \times \Delta^n$ by the quotient by the diagonal action of the symmetric group Σ_{n+1} . We omit the details. If $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a simplicial map, then the formula

$$f(t_0 v_0 + t_1 v_1 + \dots + t_n v_n) = t_0 f(v_0) + t_1 f(v_1) + \dots + t_n f(v_n) .$$

defines a map $\llbracket f \rrbracket: \llbracket S \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket T \rrbracket$. Suppose that $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a simplicial map and is *order-preserving* in the sense that $x \leq y$ implies $f(x) \leq f(y)$. Clearly, in this case the map $\llbracket f \rrbracket: \llbracket S \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket T \rrbracket$ is continuous. In fact, this is true (and easy to prove) for arbitrary simplicial maps and simplicial complexes without any orders.

Skeletons and the geometric realization. The *n th skeleton* $\text{Sk}_n S$ of a topological simplicial complex S is simply the n th skeleton of S as a simplicial complex. The topology on S

remains the same. Suppose that the topological simplicial complex S is partially ordered. Then for every n the space $\llbracket S \rrbracket_n$ is equal to the geometric realization $\llbracket \text{Sk}_n S \rrbracket$ by the definition of the latter. As in the cases of simplicial spaces and Δ -set, the spaces $\llbracket \text{Sk}_n S \rrbracket$ can be described in terms of push-out diagrams. Namely, let S_n be, as above, the space of n -tuples (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n) such that $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a simplex and $v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_n$. Since S is partially ordered, S_n is the space of n -simplices of S . The diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S_n \times \partial \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} S \rrbracket \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ S_n \times \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \llbracket \text{Sk}_n S \rrbracket \end{array}$$

is a push-out square of topological spaces. The proof is completely similar to the proof for the diagrams (5) and (3). By the definition $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is the direct limit of the spaces $\llbracket \text{Sk}_n S \rrbracket$.

Partially ordered spaces as topological simplicial complexes. Suppose now that S is a topological space together with a partial order \leq . Usually we consider S either as a topological category or the associated simplicial space. At the same time S can be considered as a topological simplicial complex having as simplices finite subsets of S linearly ordered by \leq . By the definition, every simplex of S as a topological simplicial complex is linearly ordered. This allows to define a Δ -space ΔS exactly as in the discrete case. Clearly, the n -simplices of ΔS and of S as a topological simplicial complex are the increasing sequences $v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_n$ of points $v_i \in S$. Hence the Δ -set ΔS and S as a topological simplicial complex carry the same information and there is hardly any difference between them.

One can also define a simplicial space ΔS exactly as in the discrete case. Clearly, the simplicial space ΔS is nothing else but S considered as a simplicial space. Indeed, n -simplices of ΔS are non-decreasing sequences $v_0 \leq v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_k$ of points $v_i \in S$, as also n -simplices of S as a simplicial space. By Lemma 4.2 the simplicial space S has non-degenerate core. Moreover, the proof of this lemma shows that $\mathbf{co} S = \Delta S$. By the remarks preceding Lemma 2.2 this implies that S as a *simplicial set* is canonically isomorphic to $\Delta \mathbf{co} S = \Delta \Delta S$. But S as a *simplicial space* may be different from $\Delta \Delta S$.

5.1. Lemma. *Let S be a partially ordered space. Then the geometric realization $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ of S as a topological simplicial complex is canonically homeomorphic to $|\Delta S|_\Delta$.*

Proof. As we saw, the spaces of n -simplices of ΔS and of S as a topological simplicial complex are the same. Moreover, the diagram (8) can be identified with the diagram (5) for $D = \Delta S$. An induction shows that $\llbracket \text{Sk}_k S \rrbracket$ is canonically homeomorphic to $|\text{Sk}_k D|_\Delta$.

Alternatively, one can simply observe that $\llbracket \text{Sk}_k S \rrbracket = \llbracket S \rrbracket_k$ and $|\text{Sk}_k D|_\Delta$ are obtained from the same disjoint union by taking the quotient by the same equivalence relations. It remains to pass to the direct limit over k . ■

5.2. Corollary. *If a partially ordered space S has free equalities, then ΔS , i.e. S as a simplicial space, is canonically isomorphic to $\Delta \Delta S$ and the geometric realizations $|S|$ and $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ are canonically homeomorphic.*

Proof. As we observed right before Lemma 5.1, $\mathbf{co} S = \Delta S$. If S has free equalities, then Lemma 2.2 implies that the canonical map $\Delta \mathbf{co} S \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism of simplicial spaces, and therefore $\Delta \Delta S = \Delta \mathbf{co} S$ is canonically isomorphic to S . Also, Corollary 4.3 implies that $|S|$ is canonically homeomorphic to $|\mathbf{co} S|_\Delta = |\Delta S|_\Delta$, and Lemma 5.1 implies that $|\Delta S|_\Delta$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\llbracket S \rrbracket$. The corollary follows. ■

Order-preserving maps. Suppose that S, T are partially ordered topological simplicial complexes and $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a simplicial map. If f is *strictly order-preserving* in the sense that $x < y$ implies $f(x) < f(y)$, then f induces a simplicial map $\Delta S \rightarrow \Delta T$.

Suppose now that f is only order-preserving, i.e. $x \leq y$ implies $f(x) \leq f(y)$. Then f induces a simplicial map $\Delta S \rightarrow \Delta T$. By restricting this map to the Δ -subspace ΔS of ΔS we get a simplicial map of Δ -spaces $f_*: \Delta S \rightarrow \Delta T$. More explicitly, the map $f_*: \Delta S \rightarrow \Delta T$ can be described as follows. The n -simplices of ΔS are the increasing maps $\sigma: [n] \rightarrow S$. The compositions $f \circ \sigma: [n] \rightarrow T$ are non-decreasing and hence are n -simplices of ΔT . A easy check shows that $f_*(\sigma) = f \circ \sigma$.

Suppose now that, in addition, S, T have free equalities. Let us consider the composition

$$|\Delta S|_\Delta \xrightarrow{|f_*|_\Delta} |\Delta T|_\Delta \longrightarrow |\Delta T| \longrightarrow |\Delta T|_\Delta,$$

where the middle arrow is the natural quotient map, and the right arrow is the canonical homeomorphism $|\Delta T| \rightarrow |\Delta \Delta T| \rightarrow |\Delta T|_\Delta$ (see Section 2, remarks after the diagram (5) for the last arrow). In view of Lemma 5.1 the geometric realizations $|\Delta S|_\Delta$ and $|\Delta T|_\Delta$ can be identified with the geometric realizations $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket T \rrbracket$ of S and T as topological simplicial complexes. Hence the above composition is a map $\llbracket S \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket T \rrbracket$. A direct verification shows that this map is nothing else but the induced map

$$\llbracket f \rrbracket: \llbracket S \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket T \rrbracket$$

defined above directly in terms of the map of simplicial complexes $f: S \rightarrow T$. In view of Corollary 5.2 one can also consider this map as a map $|S| \rightarrow |T|$. Another direct verification shows that this map is nothing else but $|f|: |S| \rightarrow |T|$.

6. Segal's introductory example

The category of vector spaces and a Grassmannian. Let \mathbf{Vect} be the topological category having finitely dimensional Hilbert spaces as objects and isometries as morphisms. Strictly speaking, we should choose as $\mathbf{Ob Vect}$ a set of such Hilbert spaces containing at least one representative from each isomorphism class. The set of objects is equipped with the discrete topology, but for objects V, W the set of morphisms $V \rightarrow W$ has the usual topology.

Of course, different choices of $\mathbf{Ob Vect}$ lead to equivalent topological categories, and the homotopy type of the classifying space $|\mathbf{Vect}|$ does not depend on this choice. For example, it could be the set of the spaces \mathbf{C}^n , $n \in \mathbf{N}$. In this case $|\mathbf{Vect}|$ is the disjoint union of classifying spaces $\mathrm{BU}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $\mathrm{U}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ are the unitary groups, i.e. groups of isometries $\mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$. The classifying spaces are understood in the sense of Segal [S₁], Section 3. They are different from Milnor's classifying spaces, but for nice groups such as $\mathrm{U}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ are homotopy equivalent to them. This determines the homotopy type of $|\mathbf{Vect}|$.

Now we would like to relate $|\mathbf{Vect}|$, and hence the classifying spaces $\mathrm{BU}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, with a version of Grassmann manifolds. Let us fix an infinitely dimensional separable Hilbert space H and let $G(H)$ be the space of finitely dimensional subspaces of H with the usual topology. The space $G(H)$ is the disjoint union of its connected components $G_n(H)$, where $G_n(H)$ consists of subspaces of dimension n . We can consider $G(H)$ as a topological category having $G(H)$ as the space of objects and only the identity morphisms. The topology on the set of morphisms is the topology of $G(H)$. Then $|\mathbf{G}(H)| = G(H)$.

An intermediate category. Following Segal [S₄], let us introduce a category \mathbf{Vect}/H serving as an intermediary between \mathbf{Vect} and $G(H)$. The objects of \mathbf{Vect}/H are pairs (V, α) , where V is an object of \mathbf{Vect} and $\alpha: V \rightarrow H$ is an isometric embedding. The topology on the set of objects results from the discrete topology on $\mathbf{Ob Vect}$ and the usual topology on the spaces of isometric embeddings $V \rightarrow H$. Morphisms $(V, \alpha) \rightarrow (V', \alpha')$ are morphisms $\beta: V \rightarrow V'$ such that $\alpha = \alpha' \circ \beta$. So, a morphism $(V, \alpha) \rightarrow (V', \alpha')$ exists if and only if V and V' are isomorphic Hilbert spaces, and if they are, it is unique. In particular, the set of morphisms can be identified with the set of pairs of isomorphic objects, and we equip it with the topology induced from $\mathbf{Ob Vect} \times \mathbf{Ob Vect}$. In contrast with $G(H)$ the topological category \mathbf{Vect}/H does not have free units. There are two forgetting functors,

$$\mathbf{Vect}/H \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect} \quad \text{and} \quad i: \mathbf{Vect}/H \rightarrow G(H).$$

The functor $\mathbf{Vect}/H \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ forgets about the isometric embeddings, i.e. takes (V, α) to V and takes morphisms $(V, \alpha) \rightarrow (V', \alpha')$ to the corresponding morphisms $V \rightarrow V'$. The functor i takes embeddings to their images, i.e. takes (V, α) to $\alpha(V)$. For each $W \in G(H)$ we will need also the full subcategory of \mathbf{Vect}/H having as objects pairs (V, α) such that $\alpha(V) = W$. We will denote it by $\mathbf{Vect} \downarrow W$.

6.1. Lemma. *The geometric realization $|\mathbf{Vect} \downarrow W|$ is contractible.*

Proof. Given two isometries $\alpha: V \rightarrow W$ and $\alpha': V' \rightarrow W$, there is a unique isometry $\beta: V \rightarrow V'$ such that $\alpha = \alpha' \circ \beta$. Therefore for every ordered pair of objects of $\mathbf{Vect} \downarrow W$ there is a unique morphism from the first to the second. It follows that $\mathbf{Vect} \downarrow W$ is equivalent to a category with only one object and only one morphism. The classifying space of the latter category is a one-point space, and hence $|\mathbf{Vect} \downarrow W|$ is contractible. ■

6.2. Theorem. *The maps $|\mathbf{Vect}| \leftarrow |\mathbf{Vect}/H| \rightarrow |G(H)| = G(H)$ induced by these functors are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. Let us consider $|\mathbf{Vect}/H| \rightarrow |\mathbf{Vect}|$. The space of objects of \mathbf{Vect} is discrete, and the space of objects of \mathbf{Vect}/H is the disjoint union over the objects V of \mathbf{Vect} of spaces of isometric embeddings $V \rightarrow H$. As is well known, for a finitely dimensional Hilbert space V the space of isometric embeddings $V \rightarrow H$ is contractible. It follows that $\mathbf{Vect}/H \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ induces a homotopy equivalence on objects. The space of morphisms of \mathbf{Vect}/H is a locally trivial bundle over the space of morphisms of \mathbf{Vect} , with the fiber over a morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ of \mathbf{Vect} being the space of isometric embeddings $V' \rightarrow H$. Since the spaces of isometric embeddings $V' \rightarrow H$ are contractible, $\mathbf{Vect}/H \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ is a homotopy equivalence on morphisms. An n -simplex of \mathbf{Vect}/H can be identified with an n -simplex $V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_n$ of \mathbf{Vect} together with an isometric embedding $V_n \rightarrow H$. Therefore the space of n -simplices of \mathbf{Vect}/H is a locally trivial bundle over the space of n -simplices of \mathbf{Vect} , and hence the argument for morphisms works for n -simplices.

The topological category \mathbf{Vect} does not have free units, and its nerve does not have free degeneracies. But it is easy to see that its nerve is both good and proper. It is good because for every object V the identity id_V is a neighborhood deformation retract of the group of isometries $V \rightarrow V$. It is proper by essentially the same reason: the subspace which needs to be a cofibration is a CW-subcomplex of the whole space. For the category \mathbf{Vect}/H the above description of n -simplices shows that the space of n -simplices is also a locally trivial bundle over the space of isometric embeddings $V_n \rightarrow H$ having as fibers the n -simplices of \mathbf{Vect} with the last object V_n . Now one can easily see that \mathbf{Vect}/H is also good and proper. By a classical theorem of Segal (see Section 2) this, together with the results of the previous paragraph, implies that $|\mathbf{Vect}/H| \rightarrow |\mathbf{Vect}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Let us consider now $|i|: |\mathbf{Vect}/H| \rightarrow |G(H)|$. An n -simplex of $G(H)$ as a topological category has the form $W \rightarrow W \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow W$, where W is a finitely dimensional subspace of H and each of the n arrows is the identity morphism. An n -simplex

$$V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow H$$

of \mathbf{Vect}/H is mapped to $W \rightarrow W \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow W$ if and only if the image of $V_n \rightarrow H$

is W . Hence such n -simplices can be identified with sequences of isometries of the form

$$V_0 \longrightarrow V_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow V_n \longrightarrow W.$$

It follows that the preimage of $W \in |G(H)| = G(H)$ in $|\text{Vect}/H|$ is equal to $|\text{Vect} \downarrow W|$. Lemma 6.1 implies that $|\text{Vect} \downarrow W|$ is contractible. It follows that $|\text{Vect}/H| \longrightarrow |G(H)|$ is a locally trivial bundle with contractible fibers. Since $G(H)$ is paracompact, a classical theorem of Dold [Do] implies that $|\text{Vect}/H| \longrightarrow |G(H)|$ is a homotopy equivalence. ■

6.3. Corollary. *Let Vect_n be the full subcategory of Vect having as objects vector spaces of dimension n . Then $|\text{Vect}_n|$ is homotopy equivalent to $G_n(H)$ and hence $G_n(H)$ is homotopy equivalent to $BU(\mathbf{C}^n)$.*

Proof. The first claim follows from the fact that our forgetting functors preserve the dimension of vector spaces involved. The second one follows from the fact $|\text{Vect}|$ is homotopy equivalent to the disjoint union of classifying spaces $BU(\mathbf{C}^n)$. ■

Remark. The classifying space $|\text{Vect} \downarrow W|$ can be identified with the total space of the universal $U(W)$ -bundle over $BU(W)$, where $U(W)$ is the unitary group of W , i.e. the group of isometries $W \longrightarrow W$. See [S₁], Section 3. This fact can be thought as the true reason of the contractibility of $|\text{Vect} \downarrow W|$.

6.4. Proposition. *$i: \text{Vect}/H \longrightarrow G(H)$ is not an equivalence of topological categories.*

Proof. Suppose that $k: G(H) \longrightarrow \text{Vect}/H$ is a continuous functor such that there exists a natural transformation $i \circ k \longrightarrow \text{id}$. Let us consider the composition of k with the functor $\text{Vect}/H \longrightarrow \text{Vect}$. Since the space of objects of Vect has discrete topology, this composition is constant on the components of $G(H)$. Let K_n be the value of k on the component $G_n(H)$ consisting of subspaces of dimension n . Then k provides a continuous map $V \longmapsto k(V)$ from $G_n(H)$ to objects of Vect/H of the form (K_n, α_V) , and the natural transformation $i \circ k \longrightarrow \text{id}$ provides morphisms $V \longrightarrow \alpha_V(K_n)$ of the category $G_n(H)$. But $G_n(H)$ has only identity morphisms, and hence $\alpha_V(K_n) = V$. The maps α_V continuously depend on V , and since K_n is a fixed space, they define a trivialization of the canonical vector bundle over $G_n(H)$. But this bundle is well known to be nontrivial. In fact, it is a universal bundle. The proposition follows. ■

Remark. Segal [S₄] claimed that the functor $i: \text{Vect}/H \longrightarrow G(H)$ is an equivalence of (topological) categories. Would this be true, this would immediately imply that the induced map $|\text{Vect}/H| \longrightarrow |G(H)|$ is a homotopy equivalence. By Proposition 6.4 this claim is not correct. Strictly speaking, Segal deals with real vector spaces and \mathbf{R}^∞ , the union of the sequence $\mathbf{R}^0 \subset \mathbf{R}^1 \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \subset \dots$, instead of complex vector spaces and H , but the above arguments work equally well for \mathbf{C}^∞ in the role of H , as also in the real case.

7. Coverings and simplicial spaces

Simplicial spaces associated to coverings. Let X be a topological space and U_a , $a \in \Sigma$ be a covering of X . Let Σ^{fin} be the set of finite non-empty subsets of Σ . For $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\text{fin}}$ let

$$U_\sigma = \bigcap_{a \in \sigma} U_a.$$

Following Segal [S₁], let us consider the following category X_U . Its objects are pairs (x, σ) such that $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\text{fin}}$ and $x \in U_\sigma$. If $\tau \supset \sigma$ and $x \in U_\tau$, then there is a unique morphism $(x, \tau) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ in X_U , and there are no other morphisms. The space of objects of X_U is the disjoint union of the subspaces U_σ , $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\text{fin}}$, and the structure of a category results from reversing the order of finite subsets of Σ by inclusion. If we consider X as the topological category having X as the space of objects and only identity morphisms, then the rule $(x, \sigma) \mapsto x$ defines a continuous functor $\text{pr}: X_U \rightarrow X$, and hence defines a map

$$|\text{pr}|: |X_U| \rightarrow |X| = X.$$

7.1. Theorem. *If the covering U_a , $a \in \Sigma$ is numerable, then $|\text{pr}|: |X_U| \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, there exists a homotopy inverse $\psi: X \rightarrow |X_U|$ such that $|\text{pr}| \circ \psi = \text{id}_X$ and $\psi \circ |\text{pr}|$ is homotopic to the identity in the class of maps $f: |X_U| \rightarrow |X_U|$ such that $|\text{pr}| \circ f = |\text{pr}|$.*

Proof. This is a classical theorem of Segal. See [S₁], Proposition 4.1. ■

The case of linearly ordered coverings. Suppose that Σ is linearly ordered. Then $|X_U|$ admits a slightly different description. Let us consider, for each non-negative integer n , the set Σ^n of non-decreasing sequences $s = (a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n)$ of elements of Σ . Such a sequence can be considered as a non-decreasing map $[n] \rightarrow \Sigma$. For $s \in \Sigma^n$ let

$$U_s = \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq n} U_{a_i}.$$

Let X_U^{\leq} be the simplicial space having as n -simplices the pairs (s, x) such that $s \in \Sigma^n$ and $x \in U_s$. Equivalently, the space of n -simplices of X_U^{\leq} is the disjoint union of spaces U_s over sequences $s \in \Sigma^n$. For a non-decreasing $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ the map θ^* is defined by $\theta^*(s, x) = (s \circ \theta, x)$. The rule $(s, x) \mapsto x$ defines a simplicial map

$$\text{pr}^{\leq}: X_U^{\leq} \rightarrow X.$$

7.2. Theorem. *There exists a canonical homeomorphism $h_U: |X_U| \rightarrow |X_U^{\leq}|$ such that $|\text{pr}^{\leq}| \circ h_U = |\text{pr}|$.*

Proof. See Dugger and Isaksen [DI], Proposition 2.7 and the remarks following it. ■

8. Self-adjoint Fredholm operators

Self-adjoint Fredholm operators and spectral projections. For the rest of the paper H denotes a fixed separable infinitely dimensional Hilbert space. We are interested mainly in self-adjoint Fredholm operators $A: H \rightarrow H$. The cases of bounded and of closed densely defined operators are the most fundamental ones. Most of arguments apply also to closed densely defined operators with compact resolvent, but we leave this case to a future occasion. As usual, we denote by $\sigma(A)$ the spectrum of A . If A is closed densely defined and self-adjoint and $\rho \subset \mathbf{R}$ is a Borel subset, we denote by $P_\rho(A)$ the spectral projection of A associated with ρ . For $r \in \mathbf{R}$ let

$$P_{\geq r}(A) = P_{[r, \infty)}(A), \quad P_{\leq r}(A) = P_{(-\infty, r]}(A), \quad \text{and}$$

$$P_{< r}(A) = P_{(-\infty, r)}(A), \quad P_{> r}(A) = P_{(r, \infty)}(A).$$

All these operators are self-adjoint projections. Clearly, $P_{\geq r}(A) + P_{< r}(A) = \text{id}$, where id is the identity operator $H \rightarrow H$. We equip the space of self-adjoint projections in H with the usual topology defined by the norm.

The space of self-adjoint Fredholm operators. By a well known reason discovered by Atiyah and Singer [AS] we consider only self-adjoint Fredholm operators which are not essentially positive or negative and denote by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ the space of such operators. There are two versions of the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Namely, one can require that operators in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ are bounded, or only that they are closed and densely defined. Our results and proof work equally well for both versions.

In the case of bounded operators the usual norm topology on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the most natural one. The choice of topology in the other cases is less obvious. We will take a partially axiomatic approach and begin by listing the key desirable properties of topologies on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. One can hardly justify an attempt at a full axiomatisation, and our list is not intended to be full. After this we will discuss some specific choices.

The essential spectrum and stability. If A is a self-adjoint Fredholm operator, then $0 \in \mathbf{R}$ does not belong to its essential spectrum, i.e. there exist $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $u < 0 < v$, $u, v \notin \sigma(A)$, and the image of the projection $P_{(u, v)}(A)$ is finitely dimensional. Clearly, $P_{(u, v)}(A) = P_{[u, v]}(A)$.

Our first requirement to the topology of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the *stability* of these properties in the following sense. If A, u, v are as in the previous paragraph, then $u, v \notin \sigma(B)$ and $P_{[u, v]}(B)$ is finitely dimensional projection for every B belonging to a neighborhood U of A in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover, the map $B \mapsto P_{[u, v]}(B)$ is a continuous map from U to the space of self-adjoint projections. In particular, $[u, v]$ is disjoint from the essential spectrum of B .

Uniformly invertible operators and contractibility. Suppose that $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$ and $u < 0 < v$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[u, v] \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ be the subspace of operators $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\sigma(A)$ is disjoint from $[u, v]$, or, equivalently, that $\sigma(A) \subset (-\infty, u) \cup (v, \infty)$. One may say that such operators are *uniformly invertible* with bounds u, v .

Our second requirement to the topology of the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the *contractibility* of the subspaces $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[u, v]$. This is a more precise and slightly stronger version of the contractibility alluded to by Segal [S₄]. See the quote at the beginning of the present paper.

This contractibility property is highly non-trivial. When it is known, its proof depends either on Kuiper's theorem [Ku] on the contractibility of the unitary group of a Hilbert space equipped with the norm topology, or on an argument of Dixmier and Douady [DD] proving the contractibility of this unitary group equipped with the strong operator topology. In the present paper we will rely on Kuiper's theorem, but not on Dixmier–Douady argument. Kuiper's theorem usually enters the proofs not directly, but via a theorem of Atiyah and Singer [AS], which we will explain now.

The unrestricted Grassmannian. The *unrestricted Grassmannian* \mathbf{Gr} is the set of closed subspaces K of H such that both the dimension and the codimension of K is infinite. By identifying a closed subspace K with the orthogonal projection $H \rightarrow K$ we can identify \mathbf{Gr} with a space of bonded operators in H . We equip \mathbf{Gr} by the topology induced from the norm topology by this identification. A key fact is the contractibility of \mathbf{Gr} . This is due to Atiyah and Singer [AS] and follows from Kuiper's theorem about the contractibility of the unitary groups of infinitely dimensional Hilbert spaces. See [AS], the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Half-line projections and uniformly positive operators. A natural way to prove the contractibility property is to reduce it to two other. The first one is the stability of half-line projections in the following sense. Let u, v be as above and $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[u, v]$. The *stability of half-line projections* property requires that then $B \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[u, v]$ for every B belonging to a neighborhood U of A in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $B \mapsto P_{\geq v}(B)$ is a continuous map from U to the space of self-adjoint projections. Clearly, then $B \mapsto P_{\leq u}(B)$ is also continuous.

Suppose that $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\varepsilon}$ be the space of self-adjoint operators $A: H \rightarrow H$ such that $\sigma(A) \subset (\varepsilon, \infty)$. Clearly, such operators are Fredholm. One may say that they are *uniformly positive* with bound ε . The second property is the *contractibility* of the spaces $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\varepsilon}$.

Topologies on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. In the case of bounded operators the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is considered with its norm topology. In this case the first stability property and the stability of half-line projections are well known. In the case of closed and densely defined operators the first choice is the topology of convergence in the uniform resolvent sense. We refer to [ReS], Section VIII.7 for its definition and basic properties. The first stability property follows, for example, from [ReS], Theorem VIII.23 (as stated, this theorem is concerned only with sequences, but the arguments are completely general).

But the stability of half-line projections does not hold, as a classical example of Rellich shows. See, for example, [Ka], Chapter V, Example 4.13. One can deal with this problem by refining the topology of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ simply by declaring the maps $B \mapsto P_{\geq \nu}(B)$ to be continuous. Alternatively, let $\chi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a strictly increasing continuous function such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \chi(t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \chi(t) = -1.$$

Let us refine the topology of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ by requiring the map $A \mapsto \chi(A)$ to be continuous. This refined topology is independent on the choice of χ . See [ReS], Theorem VIII.20. It is known as the *Riesz topology* and is often defined in terms of a particular choice of χ , namely,

$$\chi: t \mapsto t / \sqrt{t^2 + 1}.$$

A routine argument based on the functional calculus (see [ReS], Theorem VIII.20) shows that the maps $B \mapsto P_{\geq \nu}(B)$ are continuous in Riesz topology. Also, it is well known that on the subspace of bounded operators Riesz topology and the norm topology agree. From now on we will equip $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ with the Riesz topology for both classes of operators.

8.1. Proposition. *In both cases of bounded and of closed densely defined operators the spaces $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\varepsilon}$ are contractible.*

Proof. In the case of bounded operators, if $r > \varepsilon$, then $r \text{id} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\varepsilon}$ and the linear homotopy $(A, t) \mapsto (1 - t)A + t r \text{id}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, contracts $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\varepsilon}$ to $r \text{id}$. Let us consider the case of closed densely defined operators. Let \mathcal{Z} be the space of bounded self-adjoint operators A such that $\|A\| \leq 1$ and the kernel $\text{Ker } \text{id}_H - A^2$ is equal to 0, equipped with the norm topology. Then for the above choice of χ the map $A \mapsto \chi(A)$ defines a homeomorphism between the space of closed densely defined self-adjoint operators with the Riesz topology and \mathcal{Z} . See [Sch], Section 7.3. Clearly, this homeomorphism takes $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\varepsilon}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\chi(\varepsilon)} \cap \mathcal{Z}$. Let us choose $c \in (\chi(\varepsilon), 1)$ and consider families $A_t = tA + (1 - t)c \text{id}_H$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. If $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\chi(\varepsilon)}$, then $A_t \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\chi(\varepsilon)}$ for every t . An easy check shows that if, in addition, $A \in \mathcal{Z}$, then $A_t \in \mathcal{Z}$ for every t . It follows that these families define a homotopy contracting $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\chi(\varepsilon)} \cap \mathcal{Z}$ to $c \text{id}_H$. Therefore this intersection is contractible, and hence $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>\varepsilon}$ is also contractible. ■

8.2. Proposition. *In both cases of bounded and of closed densely defined operators the spaces $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[u, v]$ are contractible.*

Proof. The rule $A \mapsto \text{Im } P_{\geq \nu}(A)$ defines a continuous map $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[u, v] \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}$. It is a locally trivial bundle with the fibers homeomorphic to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>-u} \times \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>v}$. As we mentioned above, the base \mathbf{Gr} of this bundle is contractible. By Proposition 8.1 the fibers are also contractible. Since the base \mathbf{Gr} , being a metric space, is paracompact, this implies that the total space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[u, v]$ is also contractible. ■

9. Classifying spaces for self-adjoint Fredholm operators

Enhanced operators. An *enhanced (self-adjoint Fredholm) operator* is defined as a pair (A, ε) , where $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$ are such that $\varepsilon > 0$, the interval $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ is disjoint from the essential spectrum of A , and $-\varepsilon, \varepsilon \notin \sigma(A)$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ be the set of enhanced operators equipped with the topology defined by the topology of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and the discrete topology on the *controlling parameters* $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$. The space $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is ordered by the relation

$$(A, \varepsilon) \leq (A', \varepsilon') \text{ if } A = A' \text{ and } \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'.$$

This order defines a structure of a topological category on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ having $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ as the space of objects and a single morphism $(A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow (A, \varepsilon')$ if $A = A'$ and $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$.

We can also consider $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ as a topological category having $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ as the space of objects and only identity morphisms. Then the classifying space $|\hat{\mathcal{F}}|$ is equal to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ considered as a topological space. The obvious forgetting functor $\hat{\phi}: \hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ induces a map of classifying spaces $|\hat{\phi}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{F}}| = \hat{\mathcal{F}}$.

9.1. Theorem. *The map $|\hat{\phi}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{F}}| = \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma = \mathbf{R}_{>0}$. For every $a > 0$ let $U_a \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ be the set of operators $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ such that (A, a) is an enhanced operator. Then $U_a, a \in \Sigma$ is an open covering of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Let us consider the category $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_U$ and the functor $\text{pr}: \hat{\mathcal{F}}_U \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Using the standard order on $\Sigma = \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ we can also construct the simplicial space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_U^{\leq}$.

By the definition, $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is the disjoint union of sets $U_a, a \in \Sigma$. The order on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is induced by the usual order on $\Sigma = \mathbf{R}_{>0}$. An n -simplex of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is determined by an operator $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and a non-decreasing sequence $s = (a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n)$ of positive numbers such that (A, a_i) is an enhanced operator for every i . Since $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ is equipped with the discrete topology, the space of n -simplices of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is the disjoint union of the spaces

$$U_s = \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq n} U_{a_i}$$

over all sequences $s \in \Sigma^n$. Therefore we can identify the spaces of n -simplices of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_U^{\leq}$. Comparing the definitions shows that this identification respects the structure maps θ^* . It follows that the simplicial spaces $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_U^{\leq}$ are canonically isomorphic. One may even say that they are equal. Comparing the definitions shows that the maps

$$\hat{\phi}: \hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}} \text{ and } \text{pr}^{\leq}: \hat{\mathcal{F}}_U^{\leq} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$$

are also equal. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the map $|\text{pr}^{\leq}|: |\hat{\mathcal{F}}_U^{\leq}| \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a metric space and, in particular, is paracompact,

the covering U_a , $a \in \Sigma$ is numerable. By Theorem 7.1 the map $|\text{pr}|: |\hat{\mathcal{F}}_U| \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a homotopy equivalence. It remains to apply Theorem 7.2. ■

Enhanced operator models. An *enhanced operator model* is defined as a triple (V, F, ε) , where V is a finitely dimensional subspace of H , $F: V \rightarrow V$ is a self-adjoint operator, and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$ is such that $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma(F) \subset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Let $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ be the set of enhanced operator models equipped with topology defined by the obvious topology on pairs (V, F) and the discrete topology on the parameters $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$. The space $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is ordered by the relation

$$(V, F, \varepsilon) \leq (V', F', \varepsilon')$$

$$\text{if } V \subset V', \quad F = F'|_V, \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon',$$

and all eigenvectors of F' with eigenvalues in $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ are, in fact, eigenvectors of F . In particular, $-\varepsilon, \varepsilon$ are not eigenvalues of F' .

As in the case of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, we can consider this order as a structure of a topological category on $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. There is an obvious functor $\hat{\psi}: \hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ taking an enhanced operator (A, ε) to its *enhanced operator model* (V, F, ε) , where

$$V = \text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(A) = \text{Im } P_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(A)$$

and the operator $F: V \rightarrow V$ is induced by A .

9.2. Theorem. *The map $|\hat{\psi}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. An n -simplex of the category $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ can be identified with an operator $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ together with a non-decreasing sequence $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ of positive numbers such that (A, a_i) is an enhanced operator for every i .

Similarly, an n -simplex of the category $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ can be identified with a finitely dimensional subspace $V_n \subset H$ together with a self-adjoint operator $F_n: V_n \rightarrow V_n$ and a non-decreasing sequence $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ of positive numbers such that $\sigma(F_n) \subset (-a_n, a_n)$ and the numbers $-a_i, a_i$ are not eigenvalues of F_n . The subspaces V_i with $i < n$ can be recovered as the images $\text{Im } P_{[-a_i, a_i]}(F_n)$.

The map $\hat{\psi}_n: \hat{\mathcal{E}}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ induced by $\hat{\psi}$ keeps the sequence $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ and takes A to the subspace V_n and the operator $F_n: V_n \rightarrow V_n$ induced by A , where

$$(9) \quad V_n = \text{Im } P_{[-a_n, a_n]}(A).$$

In particular, the preimage under $\hat{\psi}_n$ of an n -simplex such as in the previous paragraph can be identified with the space of operators $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $A|_{V_n} = F_n$ and (9) holds.

By restricting such operators to the orthogonal complement $H \ominus V_n$ of V_n in H this space can be identified with the space of self-adjoint Fredholm operators B in $H \ominus V_n$ such that $\sigma(B) \cap [-a_n, a_n] = \emptyset$, i.e. with the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[-a_n, a_n]$ with $H \ominus V_n$ in the role of H .

By Proposition 8.2 the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[-a_n, a_n]$ is contractible. On the other hand, by choosing isomorphisms $H \ominus V \rightarrow H$ continuously depending on V for V close to a given V_n one can see that the map $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ is a locally trivial bundle. Since its fibers are contractible and its base $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ is paracompact, the map $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ is a homotopy equivalence by a classical theorem of Dold (see [Do], Corollary 3.2). It remains to check that $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ are good enough for this property to imply the conclusion of the theorem.

Since we are using discrete topology for ε , both categories $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ have free units. Therefore Lemma 3.1 implies that $N\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and $N\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ have free degeneracies. Now Proposition 2.1 implies that $|\hat{\psi}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. ■

Remark. The last step of the proof can be carried out slightly differently. Since the simplicial spaces $N\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and $N\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ have free degeneracies, they are also good and proper. By a theorem of Segal (see Section 2) this implies that $|\hat{\psi}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Operator models. An *operator model* is defined as a pair (V, F) , where V is a finitely dimensional subspace of H and $F: V \rightarrow V$ is a self-adjoint operator. Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ be the set of operator models equipped with the obvious topology and ordered by the relation \leq , where

$$(V, F) \leq (V', F') \text{ if } V \subset V', \quad F = F'|_V,$$

and $|\lambda| < |\lambda'|$ for every eigenvalue λ of F and every eigenvalue λ' of the restriction of F' to the orthogonal complement $V' \ominus V$. If $V = V'$, then $(V, F) \leq (V', F')$ if and only if also $F' = F$. There is an obvious forgetting functor $\hat{o}: \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

$\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ as topological simplicial complexes. Both $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ are partially ordered topological spaces. Clearly, if

$$(V, F, \varepsilon) < (V', F', \varepsilon'),$$

then either $\dim V < \dim V'$, or $\varepsilon < \varepsilon'$. Similarly, if

$$(V, F) < (V', F'),$$

then $\dim V < \dim V'$. Therefore $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ have free equalities by dimension reasons.

As explained in Section 5, the partial orders allow to consider $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ as topological simplicial complexes and to define the Δ -spaces $\Delta\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\Delta\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, as also the simplicial spaces $\Delta\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\Delta\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. The latter are nothing else but the nerves of $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ considered

as topological categories. Since the partially ordered spaces $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ have free equalities, Corollary 5.2 implies that the geometric realizations of each of them as a topological simplicial space and as a category are canonically isomorphic. The map $\hat{o}: \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ can be considered both as a functor and as an order-preserving map. As explained at the end of Section 5, the resulting maps

$$|\hat{o}|: |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \quad \text{and} \quad \llbracket \hat{o} \rrbracket: \llbracket \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket$$

are the same. Hence we can treat $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ as topological simplicial complexes.

9.3. Theorem. *The map $|\hat{o}|: |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{O}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Let $\mu = |\hat{o}| = \llbracket \hat{o} \rrbracket$. Considering $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ as topological simplicial complexes, we will use an induction by n in order to prove that the maps

$$\mu^{-1} \llbracket \text{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \text{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket$$

induced by μ are homotopy equivalences and then pass to the direct limit. In order to do this, we need a more explicit description of simplices of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

An n -simplex σ of the topological simplicial complex $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ can be identified with a strictly increasing sequence of elements of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and hence with a flag

$$(10) \quad V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_n$$

of finitely dimensional subspaces of H together with a self-adjoint operator $F: V_n \rightarrow V_n$ such that $V_i \neq V_{i+1}$ and $|\lambda| < |\lambda'|$ for every eigenvalue λ of the restriction $F|_{V_i}$ and every eigenvalue λ' of the restriction of F to $V_{i+1} \ominus V_i$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

We already used in the proof of Theorem 9.2 the fact that an l -simplex τ of $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ as a topological category can be identified with a finitely dimensional subspace $W_l \subset H$ together with a self-adjoint operator $F: W_l \rightarrow W_l$ and a sequence $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_l$ of positive numbers such that $\sigma(F) \subset (-a_l, a_l)$ and the numbers $-a_i, a_i$ are not eigenvalues of F . The l -simplices τ of $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ as a simplicial complex correspond to non-degenerate τ , i.e. to τ such that $a_i < a_{i+1}$ for every $i \leq l-1$.

Let us begin the induction by considering the map

$$(11) \quad \mu^{-1} \llbracket \text{Sk}_0 \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \text{Sk}_0 \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket.$$

The space $\llbracket \text{Sk}_0 \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket$ is nothing else but the space of objects of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, i.e. the space of operator models. Let (V_0, F_0) be an operator model. The preimage $\mu^{-1}(V_0, F_0)$ is equal to the geometric realization of the topological simplicial complex having as n -simplices the simplices τ as above such that $W_l = V_0$, $F = F_0$, and $\sigma(F)$ is contained in $(-a_i, a_i)$

for every i . The last condition is equivalent to $a_i \in (u_0, \infty)$, where $u_0 = \max |\lambda|$ over the eigenvalues λ of F_0 . It follows that $\mu^{-1}(V_0, F_0)$ can be identified with the geometric realization of the topological simplicial complex having as n -simplices increasing sequences $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n$ of numbers $> u_0$. Clearly, the geometric realization of this complex is contractible. On the other hand, the number u_0 continuously depends on the operator model (V_0, F_0) . This implies that (11) is the projection of a locally trivial bundle. Since its base is paracompact and its fibers are contractible, (11) is a homotopy equivalence.

Preparing for the step of the induction, let us fix an n -simplex σ of the simplicial complex $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ as above. Let τ as above be an l -simplex of $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ as a simplicial complex. Then $\hat{o}(\tau)$ is a simplex of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ corresponding to the same operator $F: W_l \rightarrow W_l$ and the flag of subspaces

$$W_i = \text{Im } P_{[-a_i, a_i]}(F)$$

with the possible repetitions removed. Hence $\hat{o}(\tau) = \sigma$ if and only if the corresponding operators F are equal and removing the repetitions turns the flag

$$W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_l$$

into the flag (10). The second condition holds if and only if $W_i = V_{\theta(i)}$ for some surjective non-decreasing map $\theta: [l] \rightarrow [n]$ and every $i \in [l]$. This happens if and only if each a_i belongs to a non-empty interval between some eigenvalues of F and each of these intervals contains some a_i . In more details, let

$$u(k) = \max |\lambda| \quad \text{and} \quad l(k) = \min |\lambda'|,$$

where λ runs over the eigenvalues of $F|_{V_k}$ and λ' over the eigenvalues of $F|_{V_{k+1} \ominus V_k}$. If $k = n$, then $u(k)$ is defined in the same way, but $l(k) = \infty$ by definition. Then $u(k) < l(k)$ for every k . Clearly, $\hat{o}(\tau) = \sigma$ if and only if the operators F are equal and

$$(12) \quad a_i \in (u(k), l(k)), \quad \text{where } k = \theta(i)$$

for some surjective non-decreasing map $\theta: [l] \rightarrow [n]$ and every $i \in [l]$. Let us define discrete simplicial complex $S(\sigma, F)$ as the union

$$S(\sigma, F) = \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq n} (u(k), l(k))$$

with all finite subsets of $S(\sigma, F)$ being simplices. For $a \in S(\sigma, F)$ let

$$O(a) = (V_{k(a)}, F|_{V_{k(a)}}, a) \in \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}},$$

where $k(a)$ is the unique $k \in [n]$ such that $a \in (u(k), l(k))$. The rules $a \mapsto O(a)$ and $a \mapsto k(a)$ define simplicial maps $O: S(\sigma, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $k: S(\sigma, F) \rightarrow [n]$.

The partial order of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ leads to an isomorphism $[n] \rightarrow \sigma$ such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S(\sigma, F) & \xrightarrow{O} & \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \\ \downarrow k & & \downarrow \hat{o} \\ [n] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{\mathcal{O}}. \end{array}$$

is commutative. By passing to geometric realizations we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |S(\sigma, F)| & \xrightarrow{|O|} & |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \\ \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \mu \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & |\hat{\mathcal{O}}|, \end{array}$$

where $\kappa = |k|$. Clearly, if τ is simplex of $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, then $\hat{o}(\tau) = \sigma$ if and only if $\tau = O(\alpha)$ for a simplex α of $S(\sigma, F)$ such that $k(\alpha) = [n]$, and if such α exists, it is unique.* It follows that $|O|$ induces a canonical homeomorphism

$$h_\sigma : \kappa^{-1}(\text{int } \Delta^n) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(\text{int } |\sigma|).$$

Moreover, if U_σ is the simplex $|\sigma|$ with its center removed, then there is a deformation retraction of $\mu^{-1}(U_\sigma)$ onto $\mu^{-1}(\partial|\sigma|)$ covering the radial deformation retraction of U_σ onto the boundary $\partial|\sigma|$. The simplicial map k and its geometric realization κ are standard maps depending only on the intervals in (12). In more details, $S(\sigma, F)$ is the join of $n+1$ infinite “simplices” having intervals $(u(k), l(k))$ as their sets of vertices (and finite sets of vertices as simplices), and k is the canonical map of this join to $[n]$. This shows, in particular, that $\kappa = |k|$ is a locally trivial bundle with contractible fibers over $\text{int } \Delta^n$.

Let us allow σ vary among n -simplices of the topological simplicial complex $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Clearly, the union of the interiors $\text{int } \sigma$ is equal to $\llbracket \text{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket \setminus \llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket$. Also, the intervals in (12) continuously depend on σ , as also homeomorphisms h_σ . It follows that the map

$$\mu^{-1}(\llbracket \text{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket \setminus \llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket) \rightarrow \llbracket \text{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket \setminus \llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rrbracket$$

induced by μ is a locally trivial bundle with contractible fibers and hence is a homotopy

* It is worth to point out that there are simplices τ of $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ such that $\hat{o}(\tau) \subset \sigma$, but τ is not in the image of O . The reason is that removing the k th vertex from σ adds the interval $[l(k), u(k)]$ to the allowed values of controlling parameters a_i .

equivalence. Clearly, the union

$$\mathcal{U}_{n-1} = \cup_{\sigma} U_{\sigma}$$

is an open neighborhood of $[\![\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]$ in $[\![\mathrm{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]$ and

$$\mu^{-1}([\![\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!])$$

is a deformation retract of $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{n-1})$. Suppose now that the map

$$\mu^{-1}([\![\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]) \longrightarrow [\![\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]$$

induced by μ is a homotopy equivalence. Then the map $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ induced by μ is also a homotopy equivalence. We see that the map μ is a homotopy equivalence over the open subsets $[\![\mathrm{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!] \setminus [\![\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]$ and \mathcal{U}_{n-1} of $[\![\mathrm{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]$. Also, μ is a locally trivial bundle with contractible fibers over the intersection of these subsets, and hence is a homotopy equivalence over this intersection. The glueing theorem for homotopy equivalences implies that μ is a homotopy equivalence over $[\![\mathrm{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]$, i.e. that the map

$$\mu^{-1}[\![\mathrm{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!] \longrightarrow [\![\mathrm{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]$$

induced by μ is a homotopy equivalence. See, for example, tom Dieck [tD₁], Theorem 1 for a much more general result. This completes the induction step. Passing to the direct limit completes the proof. Cf. the proof of Proposition 2.1. ■

Remarks. Clearly, the main part of the proof of Theorem 9.3 is the induction step. It follows approximately the same outline as the induction step in Atiyah–Singer proof [AS] of their Proposition 3.5. At the same time it is similar to the lemma about quasi-fibrations used by Quillen [Q] in his proof of his Theorem B. In fact, the proof of Theorem 9.3 shows that the map $\mu = |\hat{\mathcal{O}}| = [\![\hat{\mathcal{O}}]\!]$ is a quasi-fibration.

Subspace models. Operator models (V, F) still contain extra information not affecting the homotopy type of the classifying space $|\hat{\mathcal{O}}|$. Namely, the space of self-adjoint operators $F: V \longrightarrow V$ is trivially contractible. It follows that the space of operator models, which is the space of objects of the topological category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, is homotopy equivalent to the space of finitely dimensional subspaces of H . But the space of morphisms is *not* homotopy equivalent to the space of pairs V, V' of finitely dimensional subspaces such that $V \subset V'$.

Indeed, a morphism f of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ corresponds to an inequality $(V, F) \leq (V', F')$. By the definition, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that every eigenvector of F' with the eigenvalue λ such that $|\lambda| < \varepsilon$ is, in fact, an eigenvector of F , and every other is not. Therefore admissible deformations of the morphism f do not allow to turn an eigenvalue of F' to the right of $\sigma(F)$ into an eigenvalue to the left of $\sigma(F)$.

In fact, f defines a splitting of the orthogonal complement $V' \ominus V$ into a direct sum

$$V' \ominus V = V'_- \oplus V'_+$$

such that F' is negative on V'_- and positive on V'_+ . Either of these subspaces could be 0, and if both are 0, then $V' = V$ and f is an identity morphism. The space of splittings of the complement $V' \ominus V$ into the direct sum of two subspaces is homeomorphic to the union of several classical Grassmann manifolds and hence is not contractible.

The above observations partially motivate the following definitions. A *subspace model* is simply a finitely dimensional subspace V of H . The set of subspace models is equipped with the usual topology on the set of subspaces. A *morphism of subspace models* $V \rightarrow V'$ is an ordered pair U_-, U_+ of subspaces of V' defining an orthogonal decomposition

$$V' = U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+.$$

The subspaces U_- and U_+ are called the *negative* and *positive parts* of the morphism in question. The composition of morphisms is defined by taking the sum of negative parts and the sum of positive parts to get, respectively, the negative and the positive parts of the composition. The topology on the set of morphisms is defined in the obvious manner. This defines a topological category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ having subspace models as objects.

Let us assign to an operator model (V, F) the subspace model V , and to a morphism

$$(V, F) \rightarrow (V', F)$$

of operator models the morphism of subspace models corresponding to the decomposition

$$V' = U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+,$$

where

$$U_- = \text{Im } P_{(-\infty, 0)}(F' | V' \ominus V),$$

$$U_+ = \text{Im } P_{(0, \infty)}(F' | V' \ominus V),$$

i.e. having U_- and U_+ as its negative and positive parts respectively. Clearly, these rules define a forgetting functor $\hat{\omega}: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$.

9.4. Lemma. *The nerve of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is a simplicial space with free degeneracies.*

Proof. While the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is not associated with a partial order, it has free units by the same reasons as, for example, the topological category $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Indeed, if $f: V \rightarrow V'$ is a morphism of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ corresponding to an orthogonal decomposition $V' = U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+$, then f is an identity morphism if and only if $\dim V' = \dim V$, and the dimension is a

continuous function on the space of objects. By Lemma 3.1 the nerve of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is a simplicial space with free degeneracies. ■

9.5. Theorem. *The map $|\hat{\omega}|: |\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Clearly, the space of n -simplices of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is a locally trivial bundle over the space of n -simplices of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Since the space of self-adjoint operators a finitely dimensional Hilbert space with eigenvalues in a given interval is contractible, its fibers are contractible. Hence the theorem of Dold [Do] used in the proof of Theorem 9.2 implies that the projection is a homotopy equivalence. The nerves of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ have free degeneracies by Lemmas 4.1 and 9.4 respectively. Therefore it remains to apply Proposition 2.1. ■

Vector space models. A *vector space model* is a finitely dimensional vector space V together with a Hermitian scalar product on V , i.e. a finitely dimensional Hilbert space. The set of vector space models (as usual, we need to limit ourselves by vector space models belonging to a fixed universal set) is considered as a discrete set. A *morphism of vector space models* $V \longrightarrow V'$ is a triple (U_-, U_+, f) , where $f: V \longrightarrow V'$ is an isometric embedding and U_-, U_+ are subspaces of V' defining an orthogonal decomposition

$$V' = U_- \oplus f(V) \oplus U_+.$$

The composition of morphisms is defined in the same way as for subspace models, and the topology on the set of morphisms is defined in the obvious manner. This defines a topological category Q having vector space models as objects. In contract with the topology on the set of objects, the topology on the set of morphisms is not discrete. This definition is a slightly rephrased adaptation of Quillen's Q -construction [Q] due to Segal [S₄].

The intermediate category Q/H . The obvious functor $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \longrightarrow Q$, which assigns to a subspace $V \subset H$ the space V considered as an object of Q , is *not continuous* because the space of objects of Q is discrete. But Segal [S₄] defined an intermediate category Q/H such that there are continuous functors $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \longleftarrow Q/H \longrightarrow Q$. The objects of Q/H are pairs (V, h) such that V is an object of Q and h is a isometric embedding $V \longrightarrow H$. A morphism $(V, h) \longrightarrow (V', h')$ is defined as morphism (U_-, U_+, f) of vector space models $V \longrightarrow V'$ such that $h = h' \circ f$. The composition is defined in the obvious manner.

Let us assign to an object (V, h) the image $h(V)$, and to a morphism $(V, h) \longrightarrow (V', h')$ defined by (U_-, U_+, f) the morphism of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ corresponding to the decomposition

$$h'(V') = h'(U_-) \oplus h(V) \oplus h'(U_+).$$

These rules define the promised functor $i: Q/H \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$. And ignoring the isometric embeddings defines a forgetting functor $Q/H \longrightarrow Q$.

9.6. Theorem. *The maps $|Q| \longleftarrow |Q/H| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ induced by these functors are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. Let us consider $|Q/H| \longrightarrow |Q|$. This part of proof is similar to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 6.2. The space of objects of Q is discrete, and the space of objects of Q/H is the disjoint union over the objects V of Q of spaces of isometric embeddings $V \longrightarrow H$. As is well known, for a finitely dimensional vector space V with a scalar product the space of isometric embeddings $V \longrightarrow H$ is contractible. It follows that $Q/H \longrightarrow Q$ induces a homotopy equivalence on objects. The space of morphisms of Q/H is a locally trivial bundle over the space of morphisms of Q , with the fiber over a morphism $V \longrightarrow V'$ of Q being the space of isometric embeddings $V' \longrightarrow H$. Since the spaces of isometric embeddings $V' \longrightarrow H$ are contractible, $Q/H \longrightarrow Q$ induces a homotopy equivalence on morphisms also. The same argument applies to n -simplices. The categories Q and Q/H do not have free units, but they are good and proper by the same reasons as the categories \mathbf{Vect} and \mathbf{Vect}/H from Section 6. See the proof of Theorem 6.2. Therefore the same theorem of Segal implies that $|Q/H| \longrightarrow |Q|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Let us consider the map $\iota = |i|: |Q/H| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$. This part of the proof follows the same outline as the proof of Theorem 9.3. Let us begin with some preliminary remarks about $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$.

By Lemma 9.4 the simplicial space $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ has free degeneracies. The arguments in the proof of Lemma 9.4 also imply that the composition of non-identity morphisms of the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ cannot be an identity morphism. It follows that $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ has non-degenerate core. Now Lemma 2.2 implies that the canonical map $\Delta \mathbf{co} \hat{\mathcal{S}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is an isomorphism and the canonical map $|\mathbf{co} \hat{\mathcal{S}}|_{\Delta} \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore we can use $|\mathbf{co} \hat{\mathcal{S}}|_{\Delta}$ instead of $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and treat $Q/H \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ as a simplicial map $Q/H \longrightarrow \Delta \mathbf{co} \hat{\mathcal{S}}$.

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 9.3, we are going to use an induction by the skeletons of the Δ -space $\mathbf{co} \hat{\mathcal{S}}$. In order to simplify notations, we will treat $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ as a Δ -space and abbreviate $\mathbf{co} \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Let us begin the induction by considering the map

$$(13) \quad \iota^{-1} | \mathrm{Sk}_0 \hat{\mathcal{S}} | \longrightarrow | \mathrm{Sk}_0 \hat{\mathcal{S}} |.$$

The space $| \mathrm{Sk}_0 \hat{\mathcal{S}} |$ is equal to the space of objects of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, i.e. the space $G(H)$ from Section 6. If $V \in G(H)$, then $\iota^{-1}(V)$ is the preimage of V under the map $|i|: |\mathbf{Vect}| \longrightarrow G(H)$ from Section 6. As we saw in the proof of Theorem 6.2, this preimage is contractible. Hence the map (13) is a homotopy equivalence.

Next, let us fix a non-degenerate n -simplex σ of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. It has the form

$$W_0 \longrightarrow W_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow W_n,$$

where W_i are objects of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $W_i \neq W_{i-1}$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and arrows

are morphisms. The simplex σ can be considered as a subcategory of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Let $\text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma$ be the preimage of this subcategory under the functor $i: Q/H \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Then the set of objects of $\text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma$ is the disjoint union of the sets $\text{Ob Vect} \downarrow W_i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, where $\text{Vect} \downarrow W_i$ are the topological categories from Section 6. The category $\text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma$ has two types of morphisms. First, morphisms of categories $\text{Vect} \downarrow W_i$ are morphisms of $\text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma$. Second, for every pair of objects $V \rightarrow W_i$ and $V' \rightarrow W_k$ of the categories $\text{Vect} \downarrow W_i$ and $\text{Vect} \downarrow W_k$ respectively such that $i < k$ there is a unique morphism from $V \rightarrow W_i$ to $V' \rightarrow W_k$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma & \longrightarrow & Q/H \\ \downarrow k & & \downarrow i \\ \sigma & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathcal{S}}, \end{array}$$

where k is induced by i and the horizontal arrows are the inclusions. Let $\kappa = |k|$. By passing to geometric realizations we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma| & \longrightarrow & |Q/H| \\ \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \iota \\ |\sigma| & \longrightarrow & |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|, \end{array}$$

Let τ be an l -simplex of Q/H . It is uniquely determined by a sequence

$$V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_l$$

of morphisms of Q together with an isometric embedding $h_l: V_l \rightarrow H$. The corresponding isometric embeddings $h_k: V_k \rightarrow H$ are equal to the compositions of h_l with the isometric embeddings corresponding to morphisms $V_k \rightarrow V_l$. The map ι takes the simplex $|\tau|$ to the simplex $|\sigma|$ if and only if $i(\tau) = \theta^*(\sigma)$ for some surjective non-decreasing map $\theta: [l] \rightarrow [n]$. The latter condition holds implies that τ is a simplex of $\text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma$. It follows that the inclusion $|\text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma| \rightarrow |Q/H|$ induces a canonical homeomorphism

$$h_\sigma: \kappa^{-1}(\text{int } |\sigma|) \rightarrow \iota^{-1}(\text{int } |\sigma|).$$

The simplicial map k and its geometric realization κ are standard maps depending only on the dimension of subspaces W_i . In more details, the geometric realization $|\text{Vect} \downarrow \sigma|$ is the join of $n + 1$ geometric realizations $|\text{Vect} \downarrow W_i|$, and k is the canonical map of this join

to $|\sigma|$. By Lemma 6.1 the spaces $|\text{Vect} \downarrow W_i|$ are contractible. This implies, in particular, that $\kappa = |k|$ is a locally trivial bundle with contractible fibers over $\text{int}|\sigma|$.

As in the proof of Theorem 9.3, we now allow σ vary among n -simplices of the Δ -space $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, or, more precisely, of the core $\mathbf{co} \hat{\mathcal{S}}$. The union of the interiors $\text{int}|\sigma|$ is equal to

$$|\text{Sk}_n \hat{\mathcal{S}}| \sim |\text{Sk}_{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{S}}|,$$

and the rest of the proof is similar to the last part of the proof of Theorem 9.3. ■

9.7. Theorem. *The maps*

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longleftarrow |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$$

are homotopy equivalences, and $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is canonically homotopy equivalent to $|Q|$.

Proof. Theorems 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.5 together imply the first statement of the theorem. The second statement follows from Theorem 9.6. ■

Remarks. The second statement of Theorem 9.7 is due to Segal [S₄], who only outlined the main ideas behind the proof. The above proof is inspired by Segal's outline, but uses different tools. In particular, it avoids using Proposition 2.7 from [S₂], referred by Segal as the key tool for making his outline rigorous. The use of coverings in the proof of Theorem 9.1 seems to be new, as also the use of the discrete topology on the set of controlling parameters ε . Segal [S₂] uses the usual topology of \mathbf{R} for similar purposes.

In Segal's outline the role of categories $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is played by an abstract version of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. This version has as objects pairs (V, F) , where V is a finitely dimensional Hilbert space and $F: V \rightarrow V$ is a self-adjoint operator. The morphisms $(V, F) \rightarrow (V', F')$ are isometric embeddings $\iota: V \rightarrow V'$ such that $\iota \circ F = F' \circ \iota$ and the map $\text{Ker } F \rightarrow \text{Ker } F'$ induced by ι is an isomorphism. See the last paragraph of [S₄].

Small versions of the category Q . Instead of using the set of “all” finitely dimensional vector spaces with a scalar product in the definition of Q one can use any set containing a representative from each isomorphism class. The inclusion of the resulting version Q' of Q into the original one is an equivalence of categories, and hence the inclusion $|Q'| \rightarrow |Q|$ is homotopy equivalence. The smallest version has as objects the standard vector spaces \mathbf{C}^n .

Finite-unitary groups. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let U^n be the group of unitary operators $H \rightarrow H$ equal to the identity on some closed subspace of H of codimension n with the norm topology. Clearly, $U^0 \subset U^1 \subset U^2 \subset \dots$. Let U^{fin} be the union of the spaces U^n with the direct limit topology, and let $-U^{\text{fin}} = \{-u \mid u \in U^{\text{fin}}\}$.

9.8. Theorem. *There is a canonical homeomorphism $h: |\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \longrightarrow -U^{\text{fin}}$.*

Proof. An n -simplex σ of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by a sequence

$$V_0 \longrightarrow V_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow V_n,$$

of morphisms of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Let

$$V_i = U_-^i \oplus V_{i-1} \oplus U_+^i$$

be the decomposition defining the morphism $V_{i-1} \longrightarrow V_i$, and let P_-^i , P_+^i , P_i be the orthogonal projections of H onto the subspaces U_-^i , U_+^i , V_i respectively.

Let us redefine the standard n -dimensional simplex Δ^n as the space of points

$$u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

such that $0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_n \leq 1$. For an n -simplex σ as above and $u \in \Delta^n$ let $S(\sigma, u)$ be the operator $H \longrightarrow H$ equal to

$$(14) \quad -u_n P_-^n - \dots - u_2 P_-^2 - u_1 P_-^1 + u_1 P_+^1 + u_2 P_+^2 + \dots + u_n P_+^n$$

on V_n and to id_H on $H \ominus V_n$. Let

$$U(\sigma, u) = \exp(\pi i S(\sigma, u)).$$

Then $S(\sigma, u)$ is a self-adjoint operator in H , and $U(\sigma, u)$ is a unitary operator equal to $-\text{id}_H$ on $H \ominus V_n$. The maps $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_n \times \Delta^n \longrightarrow -U^{\text{fin}}$ defined by $(\sigma, u) \longmapsto U(\sigma, u)$ agree with the equivalence relation defining $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and hence induce a map

$$h: |\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \longrightarrow -U^{\text{fin}}.$$

The spectral theorem implies that h is a bijection, and since $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and $-U^{\text{fin}}$ have the direct limit topology, h is a homeomorphism. ■

9.9. Corollary. *There is a canonical homotopy equivalence $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow U^{\text{fin}}$.*

Proof. This immediately follows from Theorems 9.8 and 9.7. ■

Remark. Theorem 9.8 and its proof are an adaptation to Hilbert spaces, the group U^{fin} , and the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of a theorem of Harris [H]. See [H], Theorem in Section 2. We will need also the theorem of Harris itself and will return to it at the end of Section 12.

10. Polarizations and splittings

Polarizations of Hilbert spaces. A *polarization* of a separable infinitely dimensional Hilbert space K is a presentation of K as an orthogonal direct sum $K = K_- \oplus K_+$ of an ordered pair of two infinitely dimensional subspaces. The set of all polarizations is equipped with topology defined by the norm topology on the spaces of orthogonal projections $K \rightarrow K_-$, $K \rightarrow K_+$. We will call this topology simply the *norm topology*. By a well known theorem of Atiyah and Singer [AS] the space of polarizations of K is contractible.

Polarized enhanced operator models. A *polarized enhanced operator model* is a 5-tuple

$$(V, F, \varepsilon, H_-, H_+)$$

such that (V, F, ε) is an enhanced operator model and H_+, H_- is a polarization of $H \ominus V$, i.e. $H = H_- \oplus V \oplus H_+$. Let $\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ be the space of polarized enhanced operator models with topology defined by the topology on the space of enhanced operator models (V, F, ε) and the norm topology on polarizations. The space $\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is ordered by the relation \leq , where

$$(V, F, \varepsilon, H_-, H_+) \leq (V', F', \varepsilon', H'_-, H'_+)$$

$$\text{if } (V, F, \varepsilon) \leq (V', F', \varepsilon') \text{ and}$$

$$H_- = H'_- \oplus \text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon', -\varepsilon]}(F'), \quad H_+ = H'_+ \oplus \text{Im } P_{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon']}(F').$$

The last condition can be reformulated in terms of vector space models. The inequality $(V, F, \varepsilon) \leq (V', F', \varepsilon')$ means that there is a morphism $(V, F, \varepsilon) \rightarrow (V', F', \varepsilon')$ of enhanced operator models. This morphism leads to a morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ of subspace models. Let $V' = U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+$ be the corresponding orthogonal decomposition. In terms of this decomposition the last condition means that

$$H_- = H'_- \oplus U_- \quad \text{and} \quad H_+ = H'_+ \oplus U_+.$$

In particular, if the morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ is given, then polarizations $H \ominus V = H_- \oplus H_+$ and $H \ominus V' = H'_- \oplus H'_+$ determine each other. The above order \leq defines a structure of a topological category on $\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. There is an obvious forgetting functor $\hat{\pi}: \mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. There is also a functor $\mathcal{P}\hat{\psi}: \hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ taking (A, ε) to

$$(V, F, \varepsilon, H_-, H_+), \quad \text{where}$$

$$V = \text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(A), \quad H_- = \text{Im } P_{(-\infty, -\varepsilon)}(A), \quad H_+ = \text{Im } P_{(\varepsilon, \infty)}(A),$$

and the operator $F: V \rightarrow V$ is induced by A . In particular, (V, F, ε) is an enhanced operator model of the enhanced operator (A, ε) .

10.1. Theorem. *The map $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\Psi}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The proof is also similar to the proof of Theorem 9.2. Recall that an n -simplex of the category $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ can be identified with an operator $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ together with a non-decreasing sequence $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ of positive numbers such that (A, a_i) is an enhanced operator for every i . Similarly, an n -simplex σ of $\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ can be identified with a finitely dimensional subspace $V_n \subset H$ together with a self-adjoint operator $F_n: V_n \longrightarrow V_n$, a non-decreasing sequence $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ of positive numbers as in the proof of Theorem 10.2, and a polarization $H \ominus V_n = H_- \oplus H_+$ of $H \ominus V_n$. The preimage of σ in the space of n -simplices of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ can be identified with the space of operators $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $V_n = \text{Im } P_{[-a_n, a_n]}(A)$, the operator $V_n \longrightarrow V_n$ induced by A is equal to F_n , and

$$H_- = \text{Im } P_{(-\infty, -a_n)}(A), \quad H_+ = \text{Im } P_{(a_n, \infty)}(A).$$

The space of such operators is homeomorphic to $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{>a_n})^2$. See Section 8. By Proposition 8.1 this space is contractible. As usual, this implies that $\mathcal{P}\hat{\Psi}$ induces a homotopy equivalence of the spaces of n -simplices for every n , and this, in turn, implies that the geometric realization $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\Psi}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. ■

10.2. Theorem. *The map $|\hat{\Pi}|: |\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. This proof is also similar to the proof of Theorem 9.2. As we pointed out in that proof, an n -simplex of the category $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ can be identified with a finitely dimensional subspace $V_n \subset H$ together with a self-adjoint operator $F_n: V_n \longrightarrow V_n$ and a non-decreasing sequence $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ of positive numbers such that $\sigma(F) \subset (-a_n, a_n)$ and the numbers $-a_i, a_i$ are not eigenvalues of F_n . Similarly, an n -simplex of the category $\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ can be identified with such data together with a polarization of $H \ominus V_n$. Applying $\hat{\Pi}$ simply forgets the polarization. Since the spaces of polarizations are contractible, $\hat{\Pi}$ induces a homotopy equivalence between the spaces of n -simplices for every n . Since both categories $\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ are, obviously, categories with free units, this implies that the geometric realization $|\hat{\Pi}|: |\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. ■

Polarized operator models. A *polarized operator model* is a quadruple (V, F, H_-, H_+) such that (V, F) is an operator model and $H \ominus V = H_- \oplus H_+$ is a polarization of $H \ominus V$. The space $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ of polarized subspace model is ordered by the relation \leq , where

$$(V, F, H_-, H_+) \leq (V', F', H'_-, H'_+)$$

$$\text{if } (V, F) \leq (V', F') \text{ and } H'_- \subset H_-, \quad H'_+ \subset H_+.$$

As before, we consider this order as a structure of a topological category on $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. There are obvious forgetting functors $\hat{\Pi}: \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{O}}: \mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

Polarized subspace models. A *polarized subspace model* is a triple (V, H_-, H_+) , where V is a finitely dimensional subspace of H and $H \ominus V = H_- \oplus H_+$ is a polarization of $H \ominus V$. The space \mathcal{PS} of polarized subspace models is ordered by the relation \leq , where

$$(V, H_-, H_+) \leq (V', H'_-, H'_+)$$

$$\text{if } V \subset V' \text{ and } H'_- \subset H_-, \quad H'_+ \subset H_+.$$

As before, we consider this order on the topological space \mathcal{PS} as a structure of a topological category on \mathcal{PS} . The morphisms of \mathcal{PS} have the form

$$(V, H_-, H_+) \longrightarrow (U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+, H_- \ominus U_-, H_+ \ominus U_+),$$

where U_-, U_+ are finitely dimensional subspaces of H_-, H_+ respectively. There is a forgetting functor $\mathcal{P}\hat{\omega}: \mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}$ defined in the same way as $\hat{\omega}: \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Also, there is a “forgetting” functor $\hat{\pi}: \mathcal{PS} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ taking a polarized subspace model (V, H_-, H_+) to the subspace model V , and a morphism

$$(V, H_-, H_+) \longrightarrow (V', H'_-, H'_+)$$

of \mathcal{PS} to the morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ defined by the orthogonal decomposition

$$V' = U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+,$$

where $U_- = H_- \ominus H'_-$ and $U_+ = H_+ \ominus H'_+$.

Forgetting functors. Let us consider the following diagram of forgetting functors.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \hat{\mathcal{E}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}\hat{\psi}} & \mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}\hat{\omega}} & \mathcal{PO} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}\hat{\omega}} & \mathcal{PS} \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \hat{\pi} & & \downarrow \hat{\pi} & & \downarrow \hat{\pi} \\ \hat{\mathcal{E}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\psi}} & \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\omega}} & \hat{\mathcal{O}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\omega}} & \hat{\mathcal{S}} \end{array}$$

10.3. Theorem. *All forgetting functors in the above diagram induce homotopy equivalences of geometric realizations.*

Proof. For the lower horizontal arrows this was proved in Section 9, for $\mathcal{P}\hat{\psi}$ in Theorem 10.1, and for $\hat{\pi}: \mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ in Theorem 10.2. The proof for the two other arrows $\hat{\pi}$ is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 10.2. Now the commutativity of the diagram implies that $\mathcal{P}\hat{\omega}$ and $\hat{\omega}$ also induce homotopy equivalences of geometric realizations. One can also prove this by adapting the proofs of Theorems 9.3 and 9.5. ■

Split polarized subspace models. Let \mathcal{P} be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ having as objects the objects of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of the form $(0, H_-, H_+)$. This subcategory has only identity morphisms, and its space of objects is nothing else but the space of polarizations of H and hence is contractible. It follows that $|\mathcal{P}|$ is contractible. A *split polarized subspace model*, or simply a *split model*, is a morphism $N \rightarrow M$ of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ such that N is an object of \mathcal{P} . A split model can be identified with an object $M = (V, H_-, H_+)$ of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ together with a decomposition $V = V_- \oplus V_+$. We will call such a decomposition a *splitting* of V . Under this identification N corresponds to $(0, V_- \oplus H_-, V_+ \oplus H_+)$ and $N \rightarrow M$ is the unique morphism from N to M . A morphism of split models is a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & M \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ N & & M' \\ & \searrow & \\ & & \end{array}$$

Therefore split models are the objects of a topological category, which we denote by $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. There is a canonical forgetting functor $\phi: s\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ taking $N \rightarrow M$ to M .

10.4. Theorem. *The classifying space $|s\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is contractible.*

Proof. Let $\rho: s\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be the functor taking $N \rightarrow M$ to N and every morphism of $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ to an identity morphism of \mathcal{P} . Let $i: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ be the functor taking an object N of \mathcal{P} to the split model $N \rightarrow N$. Clearly, $\rho \circ i$ is the identity functor. For every split model $N \rightarrow M$ there is a canonical morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & N \\ & \nearrow \scriptstyle = & \downarrow \\ N & & M \\ & \searrow & \end{array}$$

of $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. These morphisms define a natural transformation from $i \circ \rho$ to the identity functor of $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, and hence $|i \circ \rho| = |i \circ \rho|$ is homotopic to the identity. Since $|\rho \circ i| = |\rho \circ i|$ is equal to the identity, it follows that $|s\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is homotopy equivalent to $|\mathcal{P}|$. Since the latter space is contractible, this proves the theorem. ■

Polarizations and partial orders. The main technical advantage of working with polarized models is that the categories of models $\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, and $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ are all defined in terms of partial orders, in contrast with the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, which is not. All these partial orders obviously have free equalities in the sense of Section 5, and hence we can consider $\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, and $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ as topological simplicial complexes.

Finite-polarized operators. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^n$ be the space of self-adjoint operators A in H such that $\|A\| = 1$, the essential spectrum of A consists of two points $-1, 1$, and the rank of the spectral projection $P_{(-1,1)}(A)$ is $\leq n$. Clearly, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^0 \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}^1 \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}^2 \subset \dots$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}}$ be the union of spaces $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^n$ with the direct limit topology. While the topology of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}}$ is different from the one induced from $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, the inclusion $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is continuous.

The space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}}$ is closely related to $-U^{\text{fin}}$. In particular, the formula $A \mapsto \exp(\pi i A)$ defines a canonical map $\exp^{\text{fin}}: \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow -U^{\text{fin}}$.

10.5. Theorem. *There is a canonical homeomorphism $\mathcal{P}h: |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}}$.*

Proof. The proof follows the proof of Theorem 9.8, and we will use the notations from the latter. In particular, we will use the version of the standard simplices Δ^n from that proof. An n -simplex $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ can be identified with a pair consisting of an n -simplex σ of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ defined by a sequence of morphisms

$$V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_n$$

of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and a splitting $H \oplus V_n = H_- \oplus H_+$. Let P_-^i, P_+^i, P_i be the same orthogonal projections as in the proof of Theorem 9.8, and let P_-, P_+ be the orthogonal projections of H onto H_-, H_+ respectively. For $u \in \Delta^n$ let $S(\sigma, u)$ be the operator (14), and let

$$(15) \quad \bar{S}(\bar{\sigma}, u) = -P_- + S(\sigma, u) + P_+.$$

Then $\bar{S}(\bar{\sigma}, u) \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}}$. The resulting maps $\bar{S}: \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}_n \times \Delta^n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}}$ agree with the equivalence relation defining $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and hence induce a map

$$\mathcal{P}h: |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}}.$$

The spectral theorem implies that $\mathcal{P}h$ is a bijection, and since $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}}$ have the direct limit topology, $\mathcal{P}h$ is a homeomorphism. ■

10.6. Theorem. *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| & \xrightarrow{|\hat{\pi}|} & |\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \\ \mathcal{P}h \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{fin}} & \xrightarrow{\exp^{\text{fin}}} & -U^{\text{fin}} \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{P}h$ and h are homeomorphisms from Theorems 10.5 and 9.8.

Proof. We will use the notations from the proof of Theorem 10.5. Clearly, the operator

$$\exp(-\pi i P_- + \pi i P_+) = \exp(-\pi i P_-) \exp(\pi i P_+)$$

is equal to $-\text{id}_H$ on $H \ominus V_n$, and hence

$$\exp(\overline{S}(\overline{\sigma}, u)) = \exp(S(\sigma, u)).$$

Now the theorem follows from the definitions of the maps involved. ■

Comparing the spaces $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and \hat{F}^{fin} . By combining Theorems 9.7, 10.3, and 10.5 we see that there exists a canonical homotopy equivalence between $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and \hat{F}^{fin} . At the same time $\hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and the inclusion map $i: \hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is continuous. This leads to the unavoidable question if the inclusion map i is a homotopy equivalence. The answer is positive, but for the proof one needs to introduce a “finite” analogue of the category $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}$ be the topological category having as objects enhanced operators (A, ε) such that $A \in \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}$ and with morphisms defined exactly as for $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. The topology is defined by the direct limit topology of \hat{F}^{fin} and the discrete topology on the controlling parameters ε . As a category, $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}$ is a full subcategory of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, but the topology is not the one induced from $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. Still, the inclusion $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is a continuous functor. Let

$$\mathcal{P}\hat{\Psi}^{\text{fin}}: \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$$

be the composition of this inclusion with $\mathcal{P}\hat{\Psi}: \hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, and

$$\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}: \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}$$

be the obvious forgetting functor.

10.7. Theorem. *The maps*

$$|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \rightarrow |\hat{F}^{\text{fin}}| = \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}, \quad |\mathcal{P}\hat{\Psi}^{\text{fin}}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PE}\hat{\mathcal{O}}|,$$

and the inclusion $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{E}}|$ are homotopy equivalences.

Proof. The proof for $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$ is the same as the proof of Theorem 9.1. As of $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\Psi}^{\text{fin}}|$, the proofs of Theorems 9.2 and 10.3 will apply once we prove that the spaces

$$\hat{F}^{\text{fin inv}}[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] = \hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \cap \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$$

are contractible for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. The spectral deformation contracting $[-1, -\varepsilon] \cup [\varepsilon, 1]$ to $\{-1, 1\}$ deforms $\hat{F}^{\text{fin inv}}[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ to the subspace of self-adjoint operators A such that $\sigma(A) = \{-1, 1\}$ and both -1 and 1 are eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity. Such opera-

tors A are determined by decompositions of H into the sum of two eigenspaces, and hence the space of such operators is homeomorphic to the space of polarizations of H . Since the latter is contractible, the spaces $\hat{F}^{\text{fin inv}}[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ are also contractible. The claim about $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\Psi}^{\text{fin}}|$ follows. Now the claim about the inclusion follows from Theorem 10.3. ■

10.8. Theorem. *The composition*

$$\hat{\eta}: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \longrightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{fin}},$$

where the second map is the homeomorphism $\mathcal{P}h$, is homotopic to $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \longrightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}$.

Proof. Let (A, ε) be an object of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}$. Then $A \in \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}$ and $\varepsilon < 1$. In the notations of proof of Theorem 10.5 the operator A has the form

$$-P_- - u_n P_-^n - \dots - u_2 P_-^2 - u_1 P_-^1 + u_1 P_+^1 + u_1 P_+^2 + \dots + u_n P_+^n + P_+$$

with $u_i \neq \varepsilon$ for every i . Let a be equal to the maximal i such that $u_i < \varepsilon$ and to 0 if there is no such i . In the notations of proofs of Theorems 10.5 and 9.8 the image of (A, ε) in $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is equal to the triple (V, K_-, K_+) , where

$$V = V_a,$$

$$K_- = H_- \oplus U_-^n \oplus \dots \oplus U_-^{a+1}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$K_+ = U_+^{a+1} \oplus \dots \oplus U_-^n \oplus H_+.$$

This object of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ can be considered as a 0-simplex of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and hence as a point of $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$. The homeomorphism $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \longrightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}$ takes this point to the operator

$$\hat{\eta}(A, \varepsilon) = -P_- - P_-^n - \dots - P_-^{a+1} + P_+^{a+1} + \dots + P_+^n + P_+.$$

The map $(A, \varepsilon) \longmapsto \hat{\eta}(A, \varepsilon)$ replaces each eigenvalue of A by -1 , 0 , or 1 and preserves the signs of eigenvalues not replaced by 0 . Also, $\hat{\eta}(A, \varepsilon)$ is equal to A on the subspace $H_- \oplus H_+$ of finite codimension in H . This implies, in particular, that the linear path connecting A with $\hat{\eta}(A, \varepsilon)$ is contained in \hat{F}^{fin} .

These linear paths define a homotopy of the space of objects of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}$. In order to extend this homotopy to $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}|$, let us consider $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}$ as a topological simplicial complex. Clearly, it is defined by an ordered space with free equalities. Hence Corollary 5.2 implies that $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| = \llbracket \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}} \rrbracket$, and we can represent points of $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}|$ by weighted sums of the form

$$t_0(A, \varepsilon_0) + t_1(A, \varepsilon_1) + \dots + t_n(A, \varepsilon_n),$$

where $t_i \geq 0$ for every i , $t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$, and $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon_1 < \dots < \varepsilon_n$. The map $\hat{\eta}$

takes the point represented by this sum to the operator

$$t_0 \hat{\eta}(A, \varepsilon_0) + t_1 \hat{\eta}(A, \varepsilon_1) + \dots + t_n \hat{\eta}(A, \varepsilon_n),$$

which is equal to A on $H_- \oplus H_+$. Therefore the linear path connecting it with A is contained in \hat{F}^{fin} . These linear paths define a homotopy between $\hat{\eta}$ and $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$. ■

10.9. Theorem. *The composition*

$$i : \hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \longrightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{fin}},$$

where the first map is the inclusion, the second map is the canonical homotopy equivalence, and the last one is the homeomorphism $\mathcal{P}h$, is homotopic to the identity.

Proof. The map $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ results from the diagram $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longleftarrow |\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ by inverting the left arrow up to homotopy. The corresponding “finite” diagram is

$$\hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \longleftarrow |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|,$$

where the left arrow is $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$. Since $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence by Theorem 10.7, this arrow is invertible up to homotopy and this diagram leads to a map $\hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ homotopic to the composition $\hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ and such that its composition with $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}| : |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \longrightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}$ is homotopic to the canonical map $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$. It follows that $i \circ |\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$ is homotopic to the map $\hat{\eta}$ from Theorem 10.8 and hence to $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$. Since $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence, it follows that i is homotopic to the identity. ■

10.10. Corollary. *The inclusion $\hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence, and $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \longrightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}$ is even a homeomorphism, Theorem 10.9 shows that the inclusion $\hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is equivalent to the identity $\text{id} : \hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{fin}}$ in the homotopy category. ■

Compactly-polarized operators. Let \hat{F}^{comp} be the subspace of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ consisting of operators $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\|A\| = 1$ and the essential spectrum of A is equal to $\{-1, 1\}$. In particular, the topology of \hat{F}^{comp} is the norm topology. The spaces \hat{F}^{fin} and \hat{F}^{comp} are related in the same way as the space of operators of finite rank and the space of compact operators. In fact, it is easy to see that \hat{F}^{comp} is the norm closure of \hat{F}^{fin} . Replacing self-adjoint operators A by skew-adjoint operators iA , where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, turns the space \hat{F}^{comp} into the space \hat{F}_* playing a key role in the work of Atiyah and Singer [AS]. The topology of \hat{F}^{fin} is different from the topology induced from \hat{F}^{comp} , but the inclusion $\hat{F}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \hat{F}^{\text{comp}}$ is continuous. Moreover, this inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. This follows from Corollary 10.10 and the fact that \hat{F}^{comp} is a deformation retract of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, proved in [AS], Section 2.

11. Restricted Grassmannians

Restricted Grassmannians. Suppose that a polarization $H = K_- \oplus K_+$ of H is fixed. Restricted Grassmannians are spaces of closed subspaces of H which are “comparable” in size with K_- in a sense to be specified, which depends on the problem at hand. Several versions are discussed by Pressley and Segal [PS], Chapter 7.

We will need only two versions of this notion. The first version is the space Gr of subspaces K *commensurable* with K_- , i.e. such that the intersection $K \cap K_-$ is closed and has finite codimension in both K and K_- . The topology of Gr is induced by the norm topology of orthogonal projections $H \rightarrow K$. The Grassmannian Gr is mentioned under the name $\text{Gr}_1(H)$ by Pressley and Segal [PS], Section 7.2, but is not discussed in any details.

Having applications to differential operators in mind, we will define the second version in a more general context than the usual one. Suppose that a presentation of H as a direct sum

$$(16) \quad H = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n$$

of finitely dimensional subspaces H_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is fixed. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \leq b$ let

$$H_{\geq a} = \bigoplus_{n \geq a} H_n, \quad H_{\leq a} = \bigoplus_{n \leq a} H_n,$$

$$\text{and } H_{[a, b]} = \bigoplus_{a \leq n \leq b} H_n,$$

The subspaces $H_{>a}$ and $H_{<a}$ are defined similarly. We will assume that $K_- = H_{\leq 0}$. Let us say that a subspace $K \subset H$ is *admissible* with respect to the decomposition (16) if

$$(17) \quad H_{\leq a} \subset K \subset H_{\leq b}$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \leq b$. Clearly, every admissible subspace is closed. Let $\text{Gr}(a, b)$ be the space of subspaces K such that (17) holds, and let $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ be the set of all subspaces admissible with respect to (16). Clearly, $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is equal to the union of $\text{Gr}(a, b)$ over all a, b as above, and we equip $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ with the corresponding direct limit topology.

Up to homeomorphism $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is independent from the choice of the decomposition (16), and usually is defined in terms of a basis of H . The assumption $K_- = H_{\leq 0}$ implies that $\text{Gr}(\infty) \subset \text{Gr}$. The inclusion map $\text{Gr}(\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ is continuous, but the topology of the space $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is different from the topology induced from Gr .

The goal of this section is to prove that the inclusion $\text{Gr}(\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ is a homotopy equivalence. While the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is a well known space, the Grassmannian Gr is less so. Still, this result should be known, but the author failed to find it in the literature.

11.1. Lemma. *Let U_n , C_k and D_m be the sets of subspaces $K \in \text{Gr}$ such that*

$$K \cap H_{>n} = 0, \quad K \subset H_{\leq k}, \quad \text{and} \quad K \supset H_{\leq m}$$

respectively. Then Gr is equal to the union of sets U_n , the sets U_n are open in Gr , the sets C_k and D_m are closed in Gr , and C_n is a deformation retract of U_n by a deformation preserving subsets $U_n \cap C_k$ and $U_n \cap D_m$ for every k, m and the subset $U_n \cap \text{Gr}(\infty)$.

Proof. Let us begin with a simple observation. Let $E \subset H$ be a finitely dimensional subspace. We claim that $E \cap H_{>n} = 0$ for sufficiently large n . If this is not the case, then for every natural n there exists a unit vector v_n belonging to E and to $H_{>n}$. Since E is finitely dimensional, the sequence of these vectors contains a subsequence v_i converging to some unit vector v . For every natural number m the vectors v_i belong to $H_{>m}$ for sufficiently large i and hence v also belongs to $H_{>m}$. It follows that $v \in H_{>m}$ for every natural m . But v is a unit vector, and the intersection of the subspaces $H_{>m}$ over all natural m is the zero subspace. The contradiction proves our claim.

Let $K \in \text{Gr}$. Then K is commensurable with $H_{\leq 0}$ and hence $K \cap H_{>0}$ is a finitely dimensional subspace. If n is a sufficiently large, then by the previous paragraph

$$K \cap H_{>n} = (K \cap H_{>0}) \cap H_{>n} = 0,$$

i.e. $K \in U_n$. This proves that Gr is equal to the union of sets U_n .

If $K \in U_n$, then the orthogonal projection $K \rightarrow H_{\leq n}$ is injective. We claim that the orthogonal projection $K' \rightarrow H_{\leq n}$ is injective for every K' sufficiently close to K . Indeed, since K is commensurable with $H_{\leq 0}$, the image of K in $H_{\leq n}$ has finite codimension in $H_{\leq n}$. Let F be the orthogonal complement of this image in $H_{\leq n}$. Then the map $F \oplus K \rightarrow H_{\leq n}$ equal to the identity on F and to the projection on K is an isomorphism. The open mapping theorem implies that similar maps $F \oplus K' \rightarrow H_{\leq n}$ are isomorphisms for K' sufficiently close to K . In particular, the projection $K' \rightarrow H_{\leq n}$ is injective for such K' . This proves our claim. Clearly, if the projection $K' \rightarrow H_{\leq n}$ is injective, then $K' \cap H_{>n} = 0$ and hence $K' \in U_n$. This proves that U_n is open.

Obviously, the sets C_n and D_n are closed. For $K \in U_n$ let πK be the image of the orthogonal projection $K \rightarrow H_{\leq n}$. Then $\pi K \in C_n$ and K is the graph of a linear map $l_K: \pi K \rightarrow H_{>n}$. The graphs of the maps tl_K , $t \in [0, 1]$ form a continuous path connecting K with πK . If $K \in C_n$, then $l_K = 0$ and this path is a constant path. Clearly, these paths continuously depend on K and define a deformation of the identity map of U_n to the map $K \mapsto \pi K$. In particular, C_n is a deformation retract of U_n .

If $k \leq n$, then $C_k \subset C_n$ and hence the above deformation preserves $U_n \cap C_k$. If $k > n$ and $K \subset H_{\leq k}$, then the image of l_K is contained in $H_{[n+1, k]}$ and hence the property $K \subset H_{\leq k}$ is preserved during the deformation, and hence $U_n \cap C_k$ is preserved.

If $m > n$, then $U_n \cap D_m = \emptyset$. If $m \leq n$ and $K \supset H_{\leq m}$, then $\pi K \supset H_{\geq m}$ and l_K is equal to 0 on $H_{\geq m}$. It follows that the property $K \supset H_{\geq m}$ is preserved during the deformation, and hence $U_n \cap D_m$ is preserved.

Since $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is the union of intersections $D_m \cap C_k$ over all $m \leq k$, the results of the two previous paragraph imply that $U_n \cap \text{Gr}(\infty)$ is preserved during the deformation. ■

11.2. Lemma. *The space Gr has the homotopy type of a CW-complex.*

Proof. The map $K \mapsto H_{\leq n} \ominus K$ is a homeomorphism between C_n and the space of finitely dimensional subspaces of $H_{\leq n}$. The latter is known to have the homotopy type of a CW-complex. By Lemma 11.1 this implies that U_n has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. Since $U_m \subset U_n$ for $m < n$, every finite intersection of sets U_n is equal to one of them. Therefore U_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a covering of Gr such that every finite intersections of its elements has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. Since U_n are open by Lemma 11.1 and Gr , being a metric space, is paracompact, this covering is numerable. It follows that Gr has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. See tom Dieck [tD1], Theorem 4. ■

11.3. Theorem. *The inclusion $\text{Gr}(\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. It is well known that the space $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. By Lemma 11.2 the space Gr also has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the inclusion $\text{Gr}(\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Let X be a compact space, and let $K: x \mapsto K(x)$ be a continuous map $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}$. Since Gr is equal to the union of the increasing sequence of open subsets U_m from Lemma 11.1, the image of K is contained in U_k for some k , and Lemma 11.1 implies that K is homotopic to a map with the image contained in C_k . Therefore we can assume that K maps X to C_k , i.e. that $K(x) \subset H_{\leq k}$ for every $x \in X$.


Let $L(x) = H \ominus K(x)$. Then $L(x) \supset H_{>k} = H_{\geq k+1}$ for every $x \in X$. Let us argue as in the previous paragraph, but with the roles of positive and negative numbers interchanged. Then Lemma 11.1 implies that L is homotopic to a map $L': X \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ such that $L'(x) \subset H_{\geq n}$ for some n . Moreover, we can assume that $L'(x) \supset H_{\geq k+1}$ for every $x \in X$. Let $K'(x) = H \ominus L'(x)$. Then K' is homotopic to K and

$$H_{<n} \subset K'(x) \subset H_{\leq k}$$

for every $x \in X$. It follows that K is homotopic to a map with the image in $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. The homotopies provided by Lemma 11.1, as also the operation $K \mapsto H \ominus K$, preserve the subspace $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. It follows that every map of pairs $(X, Y) \rightarrow (\text{Gr}, \text{Gr}(\infty))$ with compact X is homotopic to a map with the image in $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. This is obviously a stronger property than $\text{Gr}(\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ being a weak homotopy equivalence. The theorem follows. ■

12. Categories related to restricted Grassmannians

Categories related to restricted Grassmannians. Let $P = (V, H_-, H_+)$ be a polarized subspace model. Recall that the category $P \downarrow \mathcal{PS}$ of *objects under* P has as objects morphisms of \mathcal{PS} of the form $P \rightarrow M$, and as morphism commutative diagrams of the form



An object of $P \downarrow \mathcal{PS}$, i.e. a morphism $P \rightarrow M$ has the form


$$(V, H_-, H_+) \rightarrow (W, K_-, K_+),$$

where W is a subspace admitting a decomposition $W = U_- \oplus V \oplus U_+$ for some subspaces $U_- \subset H_-$ and $U_+ \subset H_+$. We will call such subspaces W *adapted* to P , or simply *adapted*. The subspaces U_- , U_+ are uniquely determined by W , and the subspaces K_- , K_+ can be recovered as $K_- = H_- \ominus U_-$, $K_+ = H_+ \ominus U_+$. Hence objects of $P \downarrow \mathcal{PS}$ can be identified with adapted subspaces. In these terms, if W, W' are adapted subspaces, then a morphism $W \rightarrow W'$ exists if and only if $W \subset W'$, and in this case

$$(18) \quad W' = T_- \oplus W \oplus T_+$$

for some subspaces $T_- \subset H_-$ and $T_+ \subset H_+$ uniquely determined by W, W' . If a morphism $W \rightarrow W'$ exists, it is unique.

Let $\mathcal{G}(P)$ be the category having as objects diagrams in \mathcal{PS} of the form $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$, where N is an object of \mathcal{P} , and as morphisms commutative diagrams of the form



which can be identified with morphisms $M \rightarrow M'$. Together with \mathcal{PS} , it is a topological category. In terms of adapted subspaces the objects of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ can be identified with pairs consisting of an adapted subspace W together with a splitting $W = W_- \oplus W_+$. A morphism from W with the splitting $W = W_- \oplus W_+$ to another adapted subspace W' with some splitting exists if and only if $W \subset W'$, and in this case the splitting of W' should be

$$(19) \quad W' = (T_- \oplus W_-) \oplus (W_+ \oplus T_+),$$

where T_-, T_+ are the subspaces from (18). Hence morphisms of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ can be identified with inclusions $W \subset W'$ together with a splitting of the smaller one. One can consider objects of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ as objects of $P \downarrow \mathcal{PS}$ equipped with a splitting of the corresponding adapted subspace. Similarly, one can consider morphisms of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ as morphisms of $P \downarrow \mathcal{PS}$ equipped with a splitting. Clearly, $P \downarrow \mathcal{PS}$ is the category defined by the inclusion partial order on the adapted subspaces. Hence the category $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is also defined by a partial order, the explicit description of which we leave to the reader.

The category $\mathcal{G}(P)$ should be thought as a model of the Grassmannian Gr . In order to define the corresponding model of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(\infty)$, let us assume that

$$V = H_0, \quad H_- = H_{<0}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_+ = H_{>0}$$

for an orthogonal decomposition (16). Ignoring the topological structure for a moment, let $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ having as objects adapted subspaces W such that $W \subset H_{[-n,n]}$ for some n , together with a splitting. Let $\mathcal{G}_\mathbf{N}(P)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ having as objects subspaces $H_{[-n,n]}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, together with a splitting. By technical reasons we will need also for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the full subcategories $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N},n}(P)$ of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ having as objects adapted subspaces W such that $W \subset H_{[-n,n]}$ and $W = H_{[-n,n]}$ respectively, together with a splitting. Of course, the category $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N},n}(P)$ has only identity morphisms and its space of objects is the space of splittings of $H_{[-n,n]}$.

Being full subcategories of $\mathcal{G}(P)$, all these categories are defined by partial orders. In particular, to turn these categories into topological categories one needs only introduce topologies into their sets of objects. In the cases of the categories $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N},n}(P)$ there is only one natural way to do this. The sets of objects of $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}_\mathbf{N}(P)$ are the unions over $n \in \mathbf{N}$ of spaces of objects of $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N},n}(P)$ respectively, and we equip these sets with the direct limit topologies of these unions.

12.1. Lemma. *Let n be a non-negative integer and let (X, Y) be a pair of compact spaces $X \supset Y$ having the homotopy extension property. Every map of pairs*

$$f: (X, Y) \longrightarrow (\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}(P), \text{Ob } \mathcal{G}_n(P))$$

is homotopic to a map with the image in $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}_m(P)$ for some m by a homotopy fixed on Y .

Proof. Let us consider the case when $Y = \emptyset$ first. Let $x \mapsto W(x)$ be a map from X to the space of adapted subspaces. Then $W(x) = U_-(x) \oplus V \oplus U_+(x)$ for some maps $x \mapsto U_-(x)$, $x \mapsto U_+(x)$. These maps define vector bundles on X . Since X is compact, these bundles are direct summands of trivial bundles. Moreover, there exist maps $x \mapsto C_-(x)$, $x \mapsto C_+(x)$ such that the bundles corresponding to the maps

$$x \mapsto C_-(x) \oplus U_-(x) \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto U_+(x) \oplus C_+(x)$$

are trivial. It follows that the subspaces $C_-(x) \oplus U_-(x)$ can be equipped with bases continuously depending on x , i.e. are spanned by k -frames in $H_{<0}$ continuously depending on x where k is the dimension of $C_-(x) \oplus U_-(x)$. Since the space of k -frames in a Hilbert space is contractible, one can deform this family of k -frames to a constant frame contained in $H_{[-m, -1]}$ for some m . Such a deformation can be covered by a deformation of subspaces $U_-(x)$, and hence the map $x \mapsto U_-(x)$ is homotopic to a map $x \mapsto U'_-(x)$ such that $U'_-(x) \subset H_{[-m, -1]}$ for all x . The same arguments apply to $x \mapsto U_+(x)$. It follows that the map $x \mapsto W(x)$ is homotopic to a map $x \mapsto W'(x)$ such that

$$W'(x) \subset H_{[-m, -1]} \oplus V \oplus H_{[1, m]} = H_{[-m, m]}$$

for some m and all $x \in X$. If the spaces $W(x)$ are equipped with splittings continuously depending on x such a homotopy can be covered by a homotopy of splittings. It follows that f is homotopic to a map with the image in $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}_m(P)$.

Let us consider now the general case. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} U_-(y) \oplus V \oplus U_+(y) &= W(y) \subset H_{[-n, n]} \\ &= H_{[-n, -1]} \oplus V \oplus H_{[1, n]} \end{aligned}$$

for some n and every $y \in Y$, and let

$$D_-(y) = H_{[-n, -1]} \ominus U_-(y) \quad \text{and} \quad D_+(y) = H_{[1, n]} \ominus U_+(y)$$

for $y \in Y$. Then the direct sum of the bundle on Y defined by the map $y \mapsto D_-(y)$ with the bundle defined by $y \mapsto U_-(y)$ is a trivial bundle. At the same time the direct sum of the bundle on Y defined by the map $y \mapsto C_-(y)$ with the bundle defined by $y \mapsto U_-(y)$ is also a trivial bundle. It follows that the bundles defined by $y \mapsto C_-(y)$ and $y \mapsto D_-(y)$ are stably isomorphic, and hence are isomorphic after adding to them trivial bundles. Since one can add a trivial bundle to the second one simply by replacing n by some $m \geq n$, we can assume that these bundles on Y are actually isomorphic. Then the maps $y \mapsto C_-(y)$, $D_-(y)$, being the classifying maps of these bundles, are homotopic. Moreover, they are homotopic in the class of maps $y \mapsto E(y) \subset H_{<0}$ such that $E(y)$ is orthogonal to $U_-(y)$ for every $y \in Y$. In order to justify the last claim, it is sufficient to trivialize the bundle over Y with the fibers $H_{<0} \ominus U_-(y)$ using Kuiper's theorem. By using Kuiper's theorem once more, we can extend this homotopy to a homotopy of the map $x \mapsto C_-(x)$ in the class of maps $x \mapsto F(x) \subset H_{<0}$ such that $F(x)$ is orthogonal to $U_-(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Therefore we can assume that $C_-(y) = D_-(y)$ for every $y \in Y$.

Similarly, we can assume that $C_+(y) = D_+(y)$ for every $y \in Y$. Then the subspaces $C_-(x) \oplus U_-(x)$ and $C_+(x) \oplus U_+(x)$ can be equipped with bases continuously depending on x and equal to some fixed k -frames contained in $H_{[-m, -1]}$ and $H_{[1, -m]}$ respectively for every $x \in Y$. Using the contractibility of the spaces of k -frames we can deform these

families of frames to constant families by deformations fixed on Y . These deformations can be covered by a deformation of subspaces $U_-(x)$ and $U_+(x)$ fixed on Y , and therefore the maps $x \mapsto U_-(x)$, $U_+(x)$ are homotopic to maps $x \mapsto U'_-(x)$, $U'_+(x)$ such that $U'_-(x) \subset H_{[-m, -1]}$ and $U'_+(x) \subset H_{[1, m]}$ for every x . Moreover, these deformations can be assumed to be fixed on Y . The remaining part of the proof is concerned with splittings and is exactly the same as in the case $Y = \emptyset$. ■

12.2. Lemma. *The partially ordered spaces $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$, $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}(P)$ are free, i.e. have free equalities, and as simplicial spaces they have free degeneracies.*

Proof. The objects O of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ are adapted subspaces W together with a splitting of W , and the relations $O = O'$, $O < O'$, and $O > O'$ between objects of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ are equivalent to the relations $W = W'$, $W \subsetneq W'$, and $W \supsetneq W'$ respectively between the corresponding subspaces. The latter imply that $\dim W = \dim W'$, $\dim W < \dim W'$, and $\dim W > \dim W'$ respectively. These relations between dimension define closed subsets of the set of pairs W, W' comparable with respect to the inclusion. It follows that the partially ordered space $\mathcal{G}(P)$ has free equality by dimension reasons. The same argument applies to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(P)$. The second statement now follows from Lemma 4.1. ■

The simplices of $\mathcal{G}(P)$. By the definition, an n -simplex of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is a sequence

$$P \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow M_n$$

of morphisms in the category $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ together with a morphism $N \longrightarrow M_0$, where N is an object of \mathcal{P} . Equivalently, an n -simplex is determined by a non-decreasing sequence

$$W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_n$$

of adapted subspaces together with a splitting of W_0 .

12.3. Lemma. *The natural map $|\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(P)| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P)|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Let us apply Lemma 12.1 to the pairs $(S^n, *)$ and (D^{n+1}, S^n) , where S^n and D^{n+1} are the standard spheres and discs, and $*$ is a point in S^n . These special cases imply that the inclusion $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(P) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(P)$ induces weak homotopy equivalence on the spaces of objects, i.e. on the spaces of 0-simplices of these categories. Let us consider the spaces of n -simplices for an arbitrary n . Let X be a compact space. A map from X to the space of n -simplices can be considered as a continuous map assigning to points $x \in X$ chains

$$W_0(x) \subset W_1(x) \subset \dots \subset W_n(x)$$

of adapted subspaces together with a splitting of $W_0(x)$ continuously depending on x . A homotopy of the map $x \mapsto W_n(x)$ can be covered by a homotopy of the whole chain of

subspaces $W_i(x)$ together with splittings of subspaces $W_0(x)$. Cf. the proof of Lemma 12.1. Therefore Lemma 12.1 implies that the inclusion $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P)$ induces weak homotopy equivalences between the spaces of n -simplices of these categories. By Lemma 12.2 the simplicial spaces $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}(P)$ have free degeneracies. Therefore the lemma follows from Proposition 2.1. ■

12.4. Lemma. *The inclusion $|\mathcal{G}_N(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. We claim that $|\mathcal{G}_{N,n}(P)|$ is a deformation retract of $|\mathcal{G}_n(P)|$. Indeed, if W is an adapted subspace such that $W \subset H_{[-n,n]}$, then there is a unique morphism

$$W \rightarrow H_{[-n,n]}$$

of the category $P \downarrow \mathcal{PS}$. Moreover, if W is equipped with a splitting, then this morphism defines a splitting of $H_{[-n,n]}$. In other words, every object of $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ defines an object of $\mathcal{G}_{N,n}(P)$ together with a morphism from the first one to the second. If there is a morphism from one object of $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ to another, then the objects of $\mathcal{G}_{N,n}(P)$ assigned to them are equal. Therefore we can extend our construction to morphisms by assigning to every morphism of $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ some identity morphism of $\mathcal{G}_{N,n}(P)$ and get a functor

$$t: \mathcal{G}_n(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{N,n}(P)$$

together with a natural transformation from the identity functor of $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ to $i \circ t$, where $i: \mathcal{G}_{N,n}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n(P)$ is the inclusion. At the same time $t \circ i$ is the identity functor. It follows that $|\mathcal{G}_{N,n}(P)|$ is a deformation retract of $|\mathcal{G}_n(P)|$, with $|t|$ being the retraction. This proves our claim.

Next, we claim that for every compact space X and every continuous map

$$f: X \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)|$$

the image $\text{Im } f$ is contained in $|\mathcal{G}_n(P)|$ for some n . By Lemma 12.2 the partially ordered space $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)$ is free. This implies that the partially ordered space $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ is also free. Now Corollary 5.2 implies that we can consider $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}_n(P)$ as topological simplicial complexes without affecting the geometric realizations. Since X is compact, a standard argument about the direct limit topology implies that $\text{Im } f$ is contained in $\llbracket \text{Sk}_k \mathcal{G}_\infty(P) \rrbracket$ for some k . See Section 5 for the definitions of $\text{Sk}_k \bullet$ and $\llbracket \bullet \rrbracket$. These definitions, together with the fact that we are using the direct limit topology on objects of $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)$, imply that $\llbracket \text{Sk}_k \mathcal{G}_\infty(P) \rrbracket$ is the direct limit of the subspaces $\llbracket \text{Sk}_k \mathcal{G}_n(P) \rrbracket$. Therefore the same standard argument implies that the image $\text{Im } f$ is contained in $\llbracket \text{Sk}_k \mathcal{G}_n(P) \rrbracket$ for some n . Since $\llbracket \text{Sk}_k \mathcal{G}_n(P) \rrbracket \subset \llbracket \mathcal{G}_n(P) \rrbracket = |\mathcal{G}_n(P)|$, this proves our claim.

It follows that every element of the homotopy group $\pi_k(|\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)|, *)$ can be represented by a continuous map $S^k \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}_n(P)|$ for some n . The base point $*$ is assumed to be

in $|\mathcal{G}_0(P)|$. By the first claim above such a map is homotopic to a map with the image contained in $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N},n}(P)| \subset |\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)|$. Moreover, during this homotopy the base point $*$ moves along the 1-simplex defined by the morphism $H_0 \rightarrow H_{[-n,n]}$. It follows that the homomorphisms of the homotopy groups induced by the inclusion

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(P)|$$

are surjective. A similar argument shows that they are injective. Therefore this inclusion induces isomorphisms of the homotopy groups and is a weak homotopy equivalence. ■

12.5. Lemma. *The spaces $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)|$, $|\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(P)|$ and $|\mathcal{G}(P)|$ are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes.*

Proof. By Lemma 12.2 the partially ordered spaces $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$, $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}(P)$ have free equalities. Corollary 5.2 and Lemma 5.1 imply that we can consider them as topological simplicial complexes or Δ -spaces without affecting geometric realizations. Clearly, the spaces of n -simplices of these Δ -spaces are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. Now the construction of the geometric realizations by a sequence of push-outs (see Section 2) shows that their geometric realizations are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. ■

12.6. Theorem. *The canonical maps $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P)|$ are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. By Lemmas 12.3 and 12.4 imply that these canonical maps are weak homotopy equivalences. Therefore the theorem follows from Lemma 12.5. ■

The spaces $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)|$ and $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. Let us consider the set \mathbf{N} of natural numbers as the category defined by the usual order on \mathbf{N} . So, if $n \leq m$, then there is a unique morphism $n \rightarrow m$, if $n > m$, then there are no such morphisms. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let $\mathbb{G}(n)$ be the space of splittings of the subspace $H_{[-n,n]}$. or, what is the same, the space of objects of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N},n}(P)$. The space $\mathbb{G}(n)$ can be identified with the Grassmannian of subspaces of $H_{[-n,n]}$ of arbitrary dimension. If $n \leq m$, then there is a unique morphism

$$H_{[-n,n]} \rightarrow H_{[-m,m]}$$

of the category $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, and this morphism defines a map $\mathbb{G}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(m)$ of the spaces of splittings. In more details, this map takes a splitting $H_{[-n,n]} = W_- \oplus W_+$ to the splitting

$$H_{[-m,m]} = (H_{[-m,-n]} \oplus W_-) \oplus (W_+ \oplus H_{[n,n]}).$$

Compare (19). The maps $\mathbb{G}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(m)$ define a functor \mathbb{G} from the category \mathbf{N} to the category of topological spaces, carrying the same information as $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$. Indeed, objects

of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$ can be considered as pairs (n, x) such that $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{G}(n)$. A morphism $(n, x) \rightarrow (m, y)$ exists if and only if $n \leq m$ and y is the image of x under the map $\mathbb{G}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(m)$. Moreover, if such a morphism exists, then it is unique. Essentially by the definition, this means that $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)|$ is the *homotopy colimit* of the functor \mathbb{G} .

We can treat the maps $\mathbb{G}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(m)$ as inclusions and define an infinitely dimensional Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$ as the union of $\mathbb{G}(n)$ equipped with the direct limit topology. Let $g: \mathbb{G}(\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\infty)$ be the map taking a splitting $H_{[-n, n]} = W_- \oplus W_+$ to the subspace $H_{\leq -n} \oplus W_-$, which is clearly admissible. It is easy to see that g is a bijection. Moreover, since both $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$ and $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ have direct limit topologies, g is a homeomorphism. We will use the map g to identify the spaces $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$ and $\text{Gr}(\infty)$.

Let us consider $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$ as a topological category having $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$ as the space of objects and only the identity morphism. The classifying space of this category is the space $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$ itself. Let us represent the objects of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$ by pairs (n, x) as above. By assigning to an object (n, x) the image of x in $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$ and to every morphism of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$ an identity morphism of $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$ we get a functor $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(\infty)$ and a continuous map

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)| \rightarrow |\mathbb{G}(\infty)| = \mathbb{G}(\infty) = \text{Gr}(\infty).$$

12.7. Theorem. *The map $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)| \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(\infty) = \text{Gr}(\infty)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. For each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, \leq m}(P)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ having as objects adapted subspaces W such that $W = H_{[-n, n]}$ for some $n \leq m$, together with a splitting. The maps $\mathbb{G}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(m)$ define a functor

$$p_m: \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, \leq m}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, m}(P)$$

and a natural transformation from the identity functor of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, \leq m}(P)$ to p_m . It follows that p_m is an equivalence of categories and hence the geometric realization

$$|p_m|: |\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, \leq m}(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, m}(P)| = \mathbb{G}(m)$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Let $n \leq m$. Then the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, \leq n}(P)| & \xrightarrow{\quad} & |\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, \leq m}(P)| \\ \downarrow |p_n| & & \downarrow |p_m| \\ \mathbb{G}(n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{G}(m) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are inclusions, is commutative. Clearly, $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)|$ is the direct limit of the subspaces $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}, \leq n}(P)|$. Since $\mathbb{G}(\infty) = \text{Gr}(\infty)$ is the direct limit of the subspaces $\mathbb{G}(n)$ and the maps $|p_n|$ are homotopy equivalences, it follows that

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)| \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}(\infty)$$

is a homotopy equivalence. See [tD₁], Lemma 6. ■

Remark. The original proof of Theorem 12.7 was based on results of Dugger [Du], namely, on Theorem 22.2 from [Du]. The above proof is more elementary.

12.8. Theorem. *There is a canonical homotopy equivalence $|\mathcal{G}(P)| \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}(\infty) = \text{Gr}(\infty)$.*

Proof. Theorem 12.6 together with Theorem 12.7 imply that $|\mathcal{G}(P)|$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{G}(\infty)$. While the homotopy equivalence of Theorem 12.7 does not depend on any choices, the category $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$ and construction of the homotopy equivalence

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P)|$$

depend on the orthogonal decomposition (16). For our current purposes we can assume that all summand H_n , except H_0 , are one-dimensional subspaces generated by vectors in orthonormal bases of subspaces $H_- = H_{<0}$ and $H_+ = H_{>0}$. Then the category $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)$ does not depend on the decomposition (16) up to canonical isomorphisms, but different choices of bases lead to different functors $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(P)$. At the same time, if two choices of bases can be connected by a continuous family, then the corresponding maps $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P)|$ are homotopic. Since the space of bases of a Hilbert space can be identified with its general linear group and hence is path connected, it follows that up to homotopy the map $|\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N}}(P)| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P)|$ is independent on the choices of bases. ■

Remarks. The Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ appears in several proofs of Bott periodicity going back to McDuff [Mc] and Quillen's [Q]. In Giffen's proof [G] it is denoted by $G(\infty, \infty, \mathbb{C})$ and appears in a context very close to ours one. It is not hard to see that $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is canonically homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{Z} \times \text{BU}$, the classifying space of the K-theory.

The group $U(\infty)$ and category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\infty}$. The methods of this section work in also in other situation. As an example, in the rest of this section we will use these methods to prove that the group U^{fin} is homotopy equivalent to $U(\infty)$, a more classical infinite-dimensional unitary group. The group $U(\infty)$ is an analogue of $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ and is defined as follows. Suppose now that a decomposition of H in the form (16) is fixed. Let us say that a unitary operator $u: H \longrightarrow H$ is *admissible* if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator u is equal to the identity on

$$H_{\leq n} \oplus H_{\geq n}.$$

Let $U(\infty)$ be the group of all admissible unitary operators. Obviously, $U(\infty) \subset U^{\text{fin}}$. We equip $U(\infty)$ with the topology induced from U^{fin} , or, equivalently, with the direct limit topology defined by the subgroups of operators equal to the identity on $H_{\leq n} \oplus H_{\geq n}$ for each fixed n . Let $-U(\infty) = \{-u \mid u \in U(\infty)\}$. In order to prove that the inclusion $U(\infty) \rightarrow U^{\text{fin}}$ is a homotopy equivalence, we need a category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty$ similar to the categories $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)$. Let us define the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty$ as the full subcategory of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ having as objects finitely dimensional subspaces $V \subset H$ such that $V \subset H_{[-n, n]}$ for some n . Then, in particular, $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty| \subset |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$. One can easily check that the homeomorphism $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \rightarrow -U^{\text{fin}}$ of Theorem 9.8 induces a homeomorphism $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty| \rightarrow -U(\infty)$. This is essentially the theorem of Harris [H], mentioned at the end of Section 9. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty| & \xrightarrow{\quad} & -U(\infty) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ |\hat{\mathcal{S}}| & \xrightarrow{\quad} & -U^{\text{fin}} \end{array}$$

is commutative and shows that in order to prove that $U(\infty) \rightarrow U^{\text{fin}}$ is a homotopy equivalence, it is sufficient to prove the following theorem.

12.9. Theorem. *The inclusion $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 12.6 and is actually simpler. The proof starts with an analogue of Lemma 12.1. One needs to prove that a map $x \mapsto V(x)$ from a compact space to $\text{Ob } \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ can be deformed to a map with the image in $\text{Ob } \hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty$, as also to prove a relative version of this claim. The situation is simpler than in Lemma 12.1, and the same method works. The category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty$ has free units as a subcategory of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, and hence $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ have free degeneracies as simplicial spaces. This is an analogue of Lemma 12.2. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 12.3, we see that the inclusion $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Finally, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 12.6, we see that $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\infty|$ and $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. The theorem follows. ■

12.10. Corollary. *The inclusion $U(\infty) \rightarrow U^{\text{fin}}$ is a homotopy equivalence. There is a canonical homotopy equivalence $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow U(\infty)$.*

Proof. As was explained above, the first claim follows from Theorem 12.9. The second claim follows from the first one and Corollary 9.9. ■

13. Grassmannian bundle and Grassmannian quasi-fibration

The Grassmannian bundle. The Grassmannian Gr depends on the choice of a polarization $H = K_- \oplus K_+$, or, what is the same, on the choice of a closed infinitely dimensional subspace $K_- \subset H$ of infinite codimension. In this section we need to take this dependence into account. For a polarized subspace model $P = (V, H_-, H_+)$ let $\text{Gr}(P)$ be the Grassmannian Gr associated with the subspace H_- . Let us consider the space of pairs (P, K) such that P is a polarized subspace model and $K \in \text{Gr}(P)$. Clearly, the projection $(P, K) \mapsto P$ from this space of pairs to the space of polarized subspace models, i.e. to the space of objects of \mathcal{PS} , is a locally trivial bundle with the fiber Gr .

We would like to extend this bundle to a locally trivial bundle over $|\mathcal{PS}^\wedge|$. It is convenient to consider \mathcal{PS}^\wedge as a topological simplicial complex associated with the partial order defining the category \mathcal{PS}^\wedge . The same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 12.2 show that \mathcal{PS}^\wedge is free as a topological partially ordered space. Hence Corollary 5.2 implies that the geometric realizations $|\mathcal{PS}^\wedge|$ and $[\![\mathcal{PS}^\wedge]\!]$ of \mathcal{PS}^\wedge as a topological category and as a topological simplicial complex are the same. The points of $[\![\mathcal{PS}^\wedge]\!]$ are represented by weighted sums

$$(20) \quad t_0 P_0 + t_1 P_1 + \dots + t_n P_n,$$

where $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta^n$ and P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n are objects of \mathcal{PS}^\wedge such that

$$(21) \quad P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n.$$

We claim that the Grassmannians $\text{Gr}(P_i)$ are equal. Indeed, if $P \leq P'$, where

$$P = (V, H_-, H_+) \quad \text{and} \quad P' = (V', H'_-, H'_+)$$

are objects of \mathcal{PS}^\wedge , then $H'_- \subset H_-$ and $H_- \ominus H'_-$ is finitely dimensional, and hence $\text{Gr}(P) = \text{Gr}(P')$. This proves the claim.

Given $x \in [\![\mathcal{PS}^\wedge]\!]$, let us represent x by a weighted sum (20) and set $\text{Gr}(x) = \text{Gr}(P_i)$ for some i . The above claim implies that $\text{Gr}(x)$ is independent on the choice of i and, moreover, is independent on the choice of the weighted sum representing x because the choice of the weighted sum is limited to adding or removing objects P_i with the coefficient $t_i = 0$. Let \mathbf{G} be the space of pairs (x, K) such that $x \in [\![\mathcal{PS}^\wedge]\!]$ and $K \in \text{Gr}(x)$, and let

$$\pi: \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow [\![\mathcal{PS}^\wedge]\!] = |\mathcal{PS}^\wedge|$$

be the projection $(x, K) \mapsto x$. Lemma 5.1 allows to identify $[\![\mathcal{PS}^\wedge]\!]$ and $|\Delta \mathcal{PS}^\wedge|_\Delta$. The construction of $|\Delta \mathcal{PS}^\wedge|_\Delta$ by an infinite sequence of push-outs (see Section 2) shows that π is a locally trivial bundle with the fiber Gr . As we will see, the total space \mathbf{G} of this bundle is contractible and Gr is homotopy equivalent to the loop space of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$.

The Grassmannian quasi-fibration. Now we are going to replace the spaces $\text{Gr}(P)$ by the spaces $|\mathcal{G}(P)|$ in the above construction. One cannot get a locally trivial bundle in this way because $|\mathcal{G}(P')| \neq |\mathcal{G}(P)|$ if $P' < P$. Nevertheless, one can adapt this construction to get a quasi-fibration with similar homotopy properties. The following adaptation is based on the ideas of Quillen [Q].

Suppose that $P' \leq P$ and let $u: P' \rightarrow P$ be the morphism corresponding to this inequality. Recall that an object of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is a diagram in \mathcal{PS} of the form $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$, where N is an object of \mathcal{P} . By taking the composition of the morphism $P \rightarrow M$ with u we get the diagram $P' \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$, which is an object of $\mathcal{G}(P')$. This construction extends in an obvious way to morphisms and defines a continuous functor

$$u^*: \mathcal{G}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P').$$

In terms of adapted subspaces u^* can be described as follows. A subspace adapted to P is obviously adapted to P' . Given a subspace W adapted to P together with a splitting $W = W_- \oplus W_+$, the functor u^* takes them to the same subspace together with the same splitting, but now considered as a subspace adapted to P' with a splitting. From the point of view of adapted subspaces u^* is simply the inclusion of the subcategory $\mathcal{G}(P)$ of the category $\mathcal{G}(P')$ into $\mathcal{G}(P')$. By passing to geometric realizations we get a map

$$|u^*|: |\mathcal{G}(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P')|.$$

The categories $\mathcal{G}(P)$ and $\mathcal{G}(P')$ are associated with partial orders, and the functor u^* is strictly order-preserving as map of ordered sets, i.e. $u^*(a) < u^*(b)$ is equivalent to $a < b$. In particular, u^* takes non-degenerate simplices to non-degenerate simplices.

The construction of the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ implicitly used the fact that all Grassmannians are contained in a single space, the unrestricted Grassmannian \mathbf{Gr} . See Section 8 for the latter. Now we need a category containing all categories $\mathcal{G}(P)$ as subcategories. It is clear that the space of objects of this category should be the space of diagrams in \mathcal{PS} of the form $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$, where P is an arbitrary object of \mathcal{PS} and N is an object of \mathcal{P} . Similarly, morphisms of all categories $\mathcal{G}(P)$ should be morphisms of this category. Following Quillen [Q], we will also incorporate morphisms $P' \rightarrow P$ into its structure. There is a topological category having diagrams as above as objects, and commutative diagrams

$$(22) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & P & \xrightarrow{v} & M \\ & \nearrow u & & & \nwarrow \\ & P' & \xrightarrow{v'} & M' & \nwarrow \\ & & & & N \end{array}$$

as morphisms from $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$ to $P' \rightarrow M' \leftarrow N$. In particular, the objects N

are always the same for the source and the target of a morphism. The commutativity of the square, of course, means that $\nu' = w \circ \nu \circ u$. We will denote this category by $S(\phi)$ because it is a special case of Quillen's categories $S(f)$ applied to the forgetting functor $\phi: s\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ from Section 10 in the role of f . There is an obvious *contravariant* forgetting functor $p: S(\phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, which can be considered as a covariant functor from $S(\phi)$ to the category opposite to $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Since the geometric realizations do not change when a category is replaced by its opposite, p induces a continuous map

$$|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|.$$

This is our categorical analogue of the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket = |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$.

The partial order defining $S(\phi)$. Let us describe the category $S(\phi)$ in terms of the partial order defining $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and adapted subspaces. An object of $S(\phi)$ can be identified with an object P of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ together with a subspace W adapted to P and a splitting $W = W_- \oplus W_+$. Let us denote such an object by $(P, W = W_- \oplus W_+)$. A morphism

$$(P, W = W_- \oplus W_+) \rightarrow (P', W' = W'_- \oplus W'_+)$$

exists if and only if $P' \leq P$ and $W \leq W'$. Moreover, if such a morphism exists, it is unique. In fact, the category $S(\phi)$ is associated with the partial order \leq , where

$$(P, W = W_- \oplus W_+) \leq (P', W' = W'_- \oplus W'_+)$$

if $P' \leq P$, $W \leq W'$ and the splitting

$$W' = W'_- \oplus W'_+$$

is determined by W' and the splitting

$$W = W_- \oplus W_+$$

as in (19). Equivalently, $P' \leq P$, $W \leq W'$ and the splitting

$$W = W_- \oplus W_+$$

is determined by W and the splitting $W' = W'_- \oplus W'_+$ as in (19).

This description of $S(\phi)$ shows, in particular, that the category $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is the full subcategory of $S(\phi)$ defined by objects of the form $(P, W = W_- \oplus W_+)$.

The partially ordered space $S(\phi)$ is free by the same reasons as $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. See the proof of Lemma 12.2. Therefore Lemma 4.1 implies that $S(\phi)$ has free degeneracies, and Corollary 5.2 implies that the geometric realizations of $S(\phi)$ as a topological category and as a topological simplicial complex are the same.

13.1. Lemma. For every morphism $u: P' \rightarrow P$ of \mathcal{PS} the induced map

$$|u^*|: |\mathcal{G}(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P')|$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. The morphism u has the form

$$(W, K_-, K_+) \rightarrow (V, H_-, H_+),$$

where $V = U_- \oplus W \oplus U_+$ for some subspaces $U_- \subset K_-$ and $U_+ \subset K_+$. We may assume that the decomposition (16) from Section 11 is chosen in such a way that

$$H_{-1} = U_-, \quad H_0 = W, \quad \text{and} \quad H_1 = U_+.$$

Then the functor u^* induces a functor $u_N^*: \mathcal{G}_N(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_N(P')$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_N(P) & & \\ \downarrow u_N^* & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{G}_N(P') & \nearrow & \mathbb{G}(\infty) \end{array}$$

is commutative. By passing to the geometric realizations and using Theorem 12.7 we conclude that $|\mathcal{G}_N(P)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}_N(P')|$ is a homotopy equivalence. Clearly, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_N(P) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(P) \\ \downarrow u_N^* & & \downarrow u^* \\ \mathcal{G}_N(P') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(P'), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the inclusions, is commutative. It remains to pass to the geometric realizations and apply Theorem 12.6. ■

13.2. Theorem. The map $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ is a quasi-fibration.

Proof. The proof is fairly similar to the proof of Theorem 9.3. Let $\pi = |p|$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every object P of \mathcal{PS} let us consider the product of categories $[n] \times \mathcal{G}(P)$. The category $[n] \times \mathcal{G}(P)$ is also associated with a partial order, namely, with the order $(i, P) \leq (i', P')$ if $i \leq i'$ and $P \leq P'$. As a partially ordered space $[n] \times \mathcal{G}(P)$ is free together with $\mathcal{G}(P)$ and hence can be considered as a topological simplicial complex.

We will also consider the categories $S(\phi)$ and \mathcal{PS} as topological simplicial complexes associated with the partial orders defining them. Let us fix an n -simplex σ of \mathcal{PS} , and let $P_n < P_{n-1} < \dots < P_0$ be the corresponding sequence of objects of \mathcal{PS} . The numbering of the objects P_i reflects the reversal of their order in $S(\phi)$.

Let τ be an l -simplex of $S(\phi)$. It is determined by a sequence $Q_l \leq Q_{l-1} \leq \dots \leq Q_0$ of objects of \mathcal{PS} , a sequence $W_0 \leq W_1 \leq \dots \leq W_l$ of subspaces adapted to P_0 , and a splitting of W_0 , such that for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ either $Q_{i-1} > Q_i$ or $W_{i-1} < W_i$. The last condition ensures that the corresponding sequence of objects of $S(\phi)$ is strictly increasing. The functor p , considered as a simplicial map, takes the l -simplex τ to the simplex of \mathcal{PS} obtained from the non-decreasing sequence $Q_l \leq Q_{l-1} \leq \dots \leq Q_0$ by removing repetitions. Therefore $p(\tau) = \sigma$ if and only if removing the repetitions from the sequence $Q_l \leq Q_{l-1} \leq \dots \leq Q_0$ results in the sequence $P_n < P_{n-1} < \dots < P_0$.

If $i \in [n]$ and $(P_0, W = W_- \oplus W_+)$ is an object of $\mathcal{G}(P_0)$, then W is adapted to P_i and $(P_i, W = W_- \oplus W_+)$ is an object of $\mathcal{G}(P_i)$ and hence an object of $S(\phi)$. The rule

$$(i, (P_0, W = W_- \oplus W_+)) \mapsto (P_i, W = W_- \oplus W_+)$$

extends to morphisms of $[n] \times \mathcal{G}(P_0)$ and defines a simplicial map

$$t_\sigma : [n] \times \mathcal{G}(P_0) \longrightarrow S(\phi)$$

The reversed partial order of \mathcal{PS} leads to an isomorphism $[n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma$ such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [n] \times \mathcal{G}(P_0) & \xrightarrow{t_\sigma} & S(\phi) \\ \text{pr} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ [n] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \sigma. \end{array}$$

is commutative. Clearly, $p(\tau) = \sigma$ if and only if $\tau = t_\sigma(\alpha)$ for a simplex α of the product $[n] \times \mathcal{G}(P_0)$ such that $\text{pr}(\alpha) = [n]$, and if such α exists, it is unique. By passing to the geometric realizations we see that $|t_\sigma|$ induces a homeomorphism

$$h_\sigma : \pi^{-1}(\text{int } |\sigma|) \longrightarrow (\text{int } \Delta^n) \times |\mathcal{G}(P_0)|,$$

and that there is a neighborhood U_σ of $\partial|\sigma|$ in $|\sigma|$ such that $\pi^{-1}(\partial|\sigma|)$ is a deformation retract of $\pi^{-1}(U_\sigma)$. In particular, π is a trivial bundle over $\text{int } \Delta^n$.

Let us allow σ vary among n -simplices of the simplicial complex \mathcal{PS} . Clearly, the union of the interiors $\text{int } \sigma$ is equal to the difference $[\text{Sk}_n \mathcal{PS}] \setminus [\text{Sk}_{n-1} \mathcal{PS}]$. Also, the po-

larized subspace models P_0 continuously depends on σ , as are the maps $|t_\sigma|$ and homeomorphisms h_σ . It follows that the map

$$\pi^{-1}(\llbracket \text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket \smallsetminus \llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket) \longrightarrow \llbracket \text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket \smallsetminus \llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket$$

induced by π is a locally trivial bundle. Clearly, the neighborhoods U_σ can be chosen to continuously depend on σ , as also the deformations of U_σ into $\partial|\sigma|$. Then the union

$$\mathcal{U}_{n-1} = \bigcup_{\sigma} U_{\sigma}$$

is an open neighborhood of $\llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket$ in $\llbracket \text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket$ and the deformations of U_σ into $\partial|\sigma|$ define a deformation retraction of \mathcal{U}_{n-1} to $\llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket$. Moreover, this deformation is covered by a deformation of the preimage $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{n-1})$ into the preimage

$$\pi^{-1}(\llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket).$$

Suppose that a point $x \in U_\sigma$ is deformed to a point $y \in \partial|\sigma|$. Then $y \in \text{int}|\sigma'|$ for a face σ' of σ , i.e. for a simplex σ' corresponding to a subsequence

$$P_{i_m} < P_{i_{m-1}} < \dots < P_{i_0}$$

of the sequence $P_n < P_{n-1} < \dots < P_0$. It is easy to see that

$$\pi^{-1}(x) = |\mathcal{G}(P_0)| \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{-1}(y) = |\mathcal{G}(P_k)|,$$

where $k = i_0$, and the map $\pi^{-1}(x) \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}(y)$ induced by the deformation is the map

$$|\mathcal{G}(P_0)| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{G}(P_k)|$$

induced by the unique morphism $P_k \longrightarrow P_0$, which exists because $P_k < P_0$. Lemma 13.1 implies that this map is a homotopy equivalence.

Now we are ready to use the standard way of proving that a map is a quasi-fibration. Arguing by induction we can assume that π is a quasi-fibration over $\llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket$. The deformation constructed above shows that π is a quasi-fibration over \mathcal{U}_{n-1} . Over the difference

$$\llbracket \text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket \smallsetminus \llbracket \text{Sk}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket$$

the map π is a locally trivial bundle and hence is a quasi-fibration. Together with the fact that the maps $\pi^{-1}(x) \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}(y)$ are homotopy equivalences this implies that π is a quasi-fibration over $\llbracket \text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket$. It follows that π is a quasi-fibration over $\llbracket \text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}} \rrbracket$ for every n . In turn, this implies that π is a quasi-fibration. See Section 1 and the references in that section. ■

13.3. Corollary. *The homotopy fiber of the map $\pi = |p|: |S(\phi)| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ is homotopy equivalent to Gr and $\text{Gr}(\infty)$.*

Proof. The spaces Gr and $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ are homotopy equivalent by Theorem 11.3. Let us consider an object P of \mathcal{PS} as a point in $|\mathcal{PS}|$. Clearly, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is nothing else but the geometric realization $|\mathcal{G}(P)|$ of $\mathcal{G}(P)$. But by Theorem 12.8 $|\mathcal{G}(P)|$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. Since π is a quasi-fibration, it follows that the homotopy fiber is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\text{Gr}(\infty)$. Since the homotopy fiber and $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes, this implies the theorem. ■

13.4. Theorem. *The classifying space $|S(\phi)|$ is contractible.*

Proof. There is a forgetting functor $\varphi: S(\phi) \longrightarrow s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ taking an object $P \longrightarrow M \longleftarrow N$ of $S(\phi)$ to the object $N \longrightarrow M$ of $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, and taking a morphism (22) to the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & M \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow w \\ N & & M' \\ & \searrow & \end{array}$$

For an object $s: N \longrightarrow M$ of $s\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ let $\mathcal{F}(s)$ be the full subcategory of $S(\phi)$ having as objects diagrams of the form $P \longrightarrow M \longleftarrow N$, where the right arrow is s . The category $\mathcal{F}(s)$ has a terminal object, namely, the object $M \longrightarrow M \longleftarrow N$, where the left arrow is the identity and right arrow is s . In particular, $|\mathcal{F}(s)|$ is contractible. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 9.3 one can prove that $|\varphi|: |S(\phi)| \longrightarrow |s\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. Since $|s\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is contractible by Theorem 10.4, this proves the theorem. ■

Remark. In the above proof one can also argue as in the proof of Theorem 13.2 and prove that $|\varphi|$ is a quasi-fibration. Since the spaces $|\mathcal{F}(s)|$ are fibers of $|\varphi|$ and are contractible, it follows that $|\varphi|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Similarly to our other classifying spaces, the spaces $|S(\phi)|$ and $|s\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. This again implies that $|\varphi|$ is a homotopy equivalence and hence proves the theorem.

Comparing the bundle π and the quasi-fibration $|p|$. Let P be an object of \mathcal{PS} . There is a canonical map $|\mathcal{G}(P)| \longrightarrow \text{Gr}(P)$, which can be defined as follows. Consider $\text{Gr}(P)$ as a topological category having $\text{Gr}(P)$ as the space of objects and only identity morphisms. An object of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ defines a polarized subspace model (W, K_-, K_+) together with a splitting $W = W_- \oplus W_+$. Let us assign to such an object the subspace $K_- \oplus W_- \in \text{Gr}(P)$. A trivial verification shows that this rule assigns the same subspace to two objects of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ related by a morphism. Hence we can extend this rule to morphisms of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ by assigning to every morphism an identity morphism of $\text{Gr}(P)$ and get a functor $\mathcal{G}(P) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}(P)$. By passing to the geometric realizations we get a map $h(P): |\mathcal{G}(P)| \longrightarrow |\text{Gr}(P)| = \text{Gr}(P)$.

The proof of Theorem 13.2 shows that the fiber of $|p|$ over a point $x \in |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ contained in the interior of a simplex represented by a sequence $P_n < P_{n-1} < \dots < P_0$ is equal to $|\mathcal{G}(P_0)|$. At the same time the fiber of π over x is equal to $\text{Gr}(P_0)$ by the definition. Hence $h(P_0)$ is a map $\pi^{-1}(x) \rightarrow |p|^{-1}(x)$. Together these maps define a map $h: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ such that $\pi \circ h = |p|$, i.e. a map from the quasi-fibration $|p|$ to the bundle π . By Theorem 12.8 the map h induces homotopy equivalences of fibers. Comparing the homotopy sequences of $|p|$ and π shows that h is a weak homotopy equivalence.

13.5. Lemma. *The space \mathbf{G} is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex.*

Proof. The space $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex by the same reasons as the spaces from Theorem 12.6. It is easy to see that the skeletons $[\text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}]$ are metrizable spaces. Since $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| = [\text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}]$ is the direct limit of skeletons $[\text{Sk}_n \mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}]$, it is paracompact by a theorem of Morita [Mo]. This implies that π is a Hurewicz fibration (see [tD₃], Theorem 14.3.5) and, in particular, is an h -fibration. By Lemma 11.2 the fibers Gr of π are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. By a theorem of tom Dieck [tD₁] this implies that the total space \mathbf{G} has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. See also [tD₃], Section 13.4, Problem 2. ■

13.6. Theorem. *The space \mathbf{G} is contractible.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{G} is weakly homotopy equivalent to $|S(\phi)|$, Theorem 13.4 implies that \mathbf{G} is weakly contractible. In view of Lemma 13.5 this implies that \mathbf{G} is contractible. ■

13.7. Theorem (Bott). *The loop space of $U(\infty)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Gr}(\infty)$.*

Proof. Theorems 10.3 and 9.8 imply that $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is homotopy equivalent to U^{fin} . Together with Corollary 12.10 this implies that $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is homotopy equivalent to $U(\infty)$. Theorem 13.6 implies that the loop space of $|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is homotopy equivalent to the fiber Gr of π . Finally, by Theorem 11.3 the spaces Gr and $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ are homotopy equivalent. ■

Bott periodicity. Theorem 13.6 is one of the most classical forms of the Bott periodicity. The Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(\infty)$ is one of the standard forms of the classifying space $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BU}$. At the same time U in the last formula is nothing else but our $U(\infty)$. This gives us another classical form of Bott periodicity: the loop space of U is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BU}$. This proof of Bott periodicity is partially inspired by Atiyah–Singer proof [AS], but, in contrast with the latter, is independent of any results about spaces of Fredholm operators.

The key steps in this proof of Bott periodicity are Theorem 13.2 and Corollary 13.3. They are inspired by Theorem B of Quillen [Q], and their proofs follow Quillen's ideas. Similarly, the proof of Theorem 13.4 is inspired by the proof of Theorem A of Quillen [Q].

The Grassmannian bundle over $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Suppose that $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that (A, ε) is an enhanced operator. In particular, $\varepsilon \notin \sigma(A)$ and

$$H = \text{Im } P_{\leq \varepsilon}(A) \oplus \text{Im } P_{\geq \varepsilon}(A)$$

is a polarization. Clearly, the restricted Grassmannian corresponding to this polarizations does not depend on the choice of ε . We will denote it by $\text{Gr}(A)$. Let \mathbf{F} be the space of pairs (A, K) such that $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $K \in \text{Gr}(A)$, and let

$$\mathbf{p}: \mathbf{F} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$$

be the projection $(A, K) \longmapsto A$. The stability of half-line projections (see Section 8) implies that $\mathbf{p}: \mathbf{F} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a locally trivial bundle with the fiber Gr . As we will see now, this bundle is essentially the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ transferred to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$.

By Theorem 9.1 the canonical map $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Let us consider the composition $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{PS}$ of forgetting functors $\mathcal{P}\psi$, $\mathcal{P}m$, and $\mathcal{P}\varphi$ from Section 10. By Theorem 10.3 the induced map $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

13.8. Theorem. *The two bundles over $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}|$ induced from the bundles*

$$\mathbf{p}: \mathbf{F} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi: \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$$

by the maps $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ respectively, are the same.

Proof. Since we are using the discrete topology for the controlling parameters ε of enhanced operators, the partially ordered space $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ has free equalities. By Corollary 5.2 the geometric realizations $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}|$ and $[\![\hat{\mathcal{E}}]\!]$ are canonically homeomorphic.

Let $x \in [\![\hat{\mathcal{E}}]\!]$. Then x can be represented by a weighted sums

$$t_0 E_0 + t_1 E_1 + \dots + t_n E_n,$$

where $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta^n$ and E_0, E_1, \dots, E_n are objects of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ such that

$$E_0 < E_1 < \dots < E_n.$$

These inequalities imply that there exists $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and positive numbers $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon_1 < \dots < \varepsilon_n$ such that $E_i = (A, \varepsilon_i)$ for every i . A direct verification shows that the fibers over the point x of the bundles over $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}|$ induced from the bundles \mathbf{p} and π are both equal to $\text{Gr}(A)$. It follows that the induced bundles are the same. ■

13.9. Corollary. *The space \mathbf{F} is contractible.* ■

14. Classifying spaces for Fredholm operators

Fredholm and self-adjoint Fredholm operators. It is technically convenient to interpret Fredholm operators in H as *odd* self-adjoint Fredholm operators in $H \oplus H$ and then adapt the technique of Section 9 to such operators. Let us begin with the main definitions.

Let $\gamma: H \oplus H \rightarrow H \oplus H$ be the operator acting as id on $H \oplus 0$ and as $-\text{id}$ on $0 \oplus H$. Let V be a γ -invariant subspace of H . Then $V = V_- \oplus V_+$ for some $V_-, V_+ \subset H$. An *odd operator* $B: V \rightarrow V$ is defined as an operator anti-commuting with γ , i.e. is such that $B \circ \gamma = -\gamma \circ B$. An odd operator $B: H \oplus H \rightarrow H \oplus H$ can be represented by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A' & 0 \end{pmatrix}: H \oplus H \rightarrow H \oplus H$$

for some operators $A, A': H \rightarrow H$. Such operator is Fredholm if and only if both A, A' are Fredholm, and is self-adjoint if and only if $A' = A^*$, i.e. A' is the adjoint operator of A . Let us assign to an operator $A: H \rightarrow H$ the operator

$$A^{\text{sa}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}: H \oplus H \rightarrow H \oplus H.$$

Then the map $A \mapsto A^{\text{sa}}$ establishes a homeomorphism between the space \mathcal{F} of bounded Fredholm operators $H \rightarrow H$ and the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}$ of odd self-adjoint bounded Fredholm operators $H \oplus H \rightarrow H \oplus H$. We will use this map to identify these two spaces.

The eigenspaces of A^{sa} . Suppose that $x \in H \oplus H$ and $A^{\text{sa}}(x) = \lambda x$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Then

$$A^{\text{sa}}(\gamma(x)) = -\gamma(A^{\text{sa}}(x)) = -\gamma(\lambda x) = -\lambda \gamma(x).$$

It follows that if x is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ , then $\gamma(x)$ is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $-\lambda$. In particular, the kernel $\text{Ker } A^{\text{sa}}$ is γ -invariant. In fact, obviously, $\text{Ker } A^{\text{sa}} = \text{Ker } A \oplus \text{Ker } A^*$.

Suppose now that $x = (u, v) \in H \oplus H$, and $A^{\text{sa}}(x) = \lambda x$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then

$$A^{\text{sa}}(u, v) = (A(v), A^*(u))$$

and hence $A(v) = \lambda u$, $A^*(u) = \lambda v$. It follows that

$$AA^*(u) = \lambda^2 u \quad \text{and} \quad A^*A(v) = \lambda^2 v.$$

Therefore v is an eigenvector of the self-adjoint operator A^*A with the eigenvalue λ^2 and

$$(u, v) = (\lambda^{-1}A(v), v).$$

Conversely, if $A^*A(v) = \lambda^2 v$, then

$$(\lambda^{-1}A(v), v) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda^{-1}A(v), -v)$$

are eigenvectors of A^{sa} with the eigenvalues λ and $-\lambda$ respectively, and γ interchanges them. These observations take a nice form in terms of polar decompositions. Recall that the *polar decomposition* of A is the unique presentation of the form $A = U|A|$, where

$$|A| = \sqrt{A^*A}$$

and U is a partial isometry with $\text{Ker } U = \text{Ker } A$ and $\text{Im } U = \text{Im } A$ (since A is Fredholm, the image $\text{Im } A$ is closed). Then λ is an eigenvalue of A^{sa} if and only if $|\lambda|$ is an eigenvalue of $|A|$. If $\lambda \geq 0$ and $|A|(v) = \lambda v$, then

$$(U(v), v) \quad \text{and} \quad (U(v), -v)$$

are eigenvectors of A^{sa} with the eigenvalues λ and $-\lambda$ respectively. Moreover, every eigenvector of A^{sa} with non-zero eigenvalue has this form.

Categories related to odd self-adjoint Fredholm operators. The categories $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ have natural analogues in the context of odd self-adjoint Fredholm operators. Let us define *enhanced odd* (self-adjoint Fredholm) operator as a pair (A, ε) , where $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}$ is an odd self-adjoint bounded Fredholm operator, and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$ is such that $0 < \varepsilon$, the interval $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ is disjoint from the essential spectrum of A , and $-\varepsilon, \varepsilon \notin \sigma(A)$. The space $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}$ of enhanced odd operators is ordered by the relation $(A, \varepsilon) \leq (A', \varepsilon')$ if $A = A'$ and $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$. As usual, we consider this order as a structure of a topological category on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}$. There is an obvious forgetting functor $\hat{\varphi}^{\text{odd}}: \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}$ is considered as a topological category having only identity morphisms.

An *odd enhanced operator model* is a triple (V, F, ε) , where V is a γ -invariant finitely dimensional subspace of $H \oplus H$, $F: V \rightarrow V$ is an odd self-adjoint operator, and ε is a real number such that $0 < \varepsilon$ and $\sigma(F) \subset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. The space $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}$ of odd enhanced operator models is ordered by the relation \leq defined exactly as the order of enhanced operators models was defined in Section 9. As usual, we consider this order as a structure of a topological category on $\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}$. There is an obvious functor $\hat{\psi}^{\text{odd}}: \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}$ taking an enhanced odd operator (A, ε) to *its odd enhanced operator model* (V, F, ε) , where

$$V = \text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(A) = \text{Im } P_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(A)$$

and the operator $F: V \rightarrow V$ is induced by A .

An *odd operator model* is a pair (V, F) , where V is a γ -invariant finitely dimensional subspace of $H \oplus H$ and $F: V \rightarrow V$ is an odd self-adjoint operator. Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}$ be the set of odd operator models with the obvious topology and ordered by the relation \leq defined

exactly as the order of operators models was defined in Section 9. There is an obvious forgetting functor $\hat{o}^{\text{odd}}: \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}$ taking enhanced operator model (V, F, ε) to the operator model (V, F) .

A *odd subspace model* is defined as a γ -invariant finitely dimensional subspace of $H \oplus H$. A *morphism of odd subspace models* $V \rightarrow V'$ is defined as a subspace $U \subset H \oplus H$ such that

$$V' = \gamma(U) \oplus V \oplus U.$$

The composition of morphisms is defined by taking the sum of the corresponding subspaces U . The topology on the set of morphisms is defined in the obvious manner. This defines a topological category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$ having odd subspace models as objects. Let us assign to an odd operator model (V, F, ε) the odd subspace model V , and to a morphism

$$(V, F, \varepsilon) \rightarrow (V', F', \varepsilon')$$

of odd operator models the morphism of odd subspace models defined by the subspace

$$U = \text{Im } P_{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon']} (F').$$

The symmetry of eigenvectors implies that

$$\text{Im } P_{[-\varepsilon', -\varepsilon]} (F') = \gamma(\text{Im } P_{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon']} (F'))$$

and hence $V' = \gamma(U) \oplus V \oplus U$, i.e. the subspace U indeed defines a morphism. Clearly, these rules define a forgetting functor $\hat{\omega}^{\text{odd}}: \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$.

14.1. Lemma. *Suppose that $a > 0$. The space of bounded operators $A: H \rightarrow H$ such that $\|A(v)\| > a\|v\|$ for every $v \neq 0$ is contractible.*

Proof. Every such operator A is invertible, and the map $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism between our space and the space of invertible bounded operators $B: H \rightarrow H$ such that $\|B(v)\| < a^{-1}\|v\|$ for every $v \in H$. Let us choose some $b > 0$ such that $b < a^{-1}$. Using the polar decomposition one can deform the space of such operators B into the subspace of operators B such that $\|B(v)\| = b\|v\|$ for every $v \in H$. The latter is homeomorphic to the unitary group of H and is contractible by Kuiper's theorem. The lemma follows. ■

14.2. Lemma. *Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$. The space of odd bounded self-adjoint Fredholm operators $B: H \oplus H \rightarrow H \oplus H$ such that $\sigma(B) \cap [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] = \emptyset$ is contractible.*

Proof. Every such operator B has the form $B = A^{\text{sa}}$ for a unique bounded Fredholm operator $A: H \rightarrow H$. The square B^2 is a positive operator and is represented by the diagonal matrix of operators with the diagonal entries AA^* and A^*A . The condition imposed on the

spectrum $\sigma(B)$ implies that $\sigma(B^2) \cap [0, \epsilon^2] = \emptyset$ and hence

$$\langle B^2(x), x \rangle > \epsilon^2 \langle x, x \rangle$$

for every $x \in H \oplus H$, $x \neq 0$. It follows that

$$\langle A(v), A(v) \rangle = \langle A^*A(v), v \rangle = \langle B^2(v), v \rangle > \epsilon^2 \langle v, v \rangle$$

for every $v \in H$, $v \neq 0$, where we identify H with $H \oplus 0$. Hence

$$\|A(v)\| > \epsilon \|v\|$$

for every $v \neq 0$. In particular, A is an invertible operator. Conversely, if A is a bounded invertible operator such that $\|A(v)\| > \epsilon \|v\|$ for every $v \neq 0$, then $B = A^{\text{sa}}$ is an odd self-adjoint Fredholm operator such that $\langle B^2(x), x \rangle > \epsilon^2 \langle x, x \rangle$ for every $x \neq 0$. The last condition implies that $\sigma(B) \cap [-\epsilon, \epsilon] = \emptyset$.

It follows that the space of operators from the lemma is homeomorphic to the space of bounded operators A such that $\|A(v)\| > \epsilon \|v\|$ for every $v \neq 0$. But the latter space is contractible by Lemma 14.1. ■

14.3. Lemma. *Let (V, F, ϵ) be an odd operator model. The space of bounded enhanced odd operators (A, ϵ) such that $\hat{\psi}^{\text{odd}}(A, \epsilon) = (V, F, \epsilon)$ is contractible.*

Proof. This space can be identified with the space of odd self-adjoint Fredholm operators

$$B: (H \oplus H) \ominus V \longrightarrow (H \oplus H) \ominus V$$

such that $\sigma(B) \cap [-\epsilon, \epsilon] = \emptyset$. Therefore the lemma follows from Lemma 14.2 applied to $(H \oplus H) \ominus V$ in the role of $H \oplus H$. ■

14.4. Theorem. *For bounded operators the maps of classifying spaces*

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}| &\longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}| \quad \text{and} \\ |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}| &\longrightarrow |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}| \end{aligned}$$

induced by the functors defined above, are homotopy equivalences.

Proof. The proof that $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 9.1. The proof that $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 9.2. One needs only to use Lemma 14.3 instead of Proposition 8.2. The proof that $|\mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence

is similar to the proof of Theorem 9.3. Finally, the proof that $|\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence is similar to the proof of Theorem 9.5. One only needs to keep in mind that in the odd case the positive part of a morphism determines its negative part. ■

Fredholm subspace models. A *Fredholm subspace model* is a pair (E_1, E_2) of finitely dimensional subspaces of H . Let \mathcal{S} be the category having such pairs as objects, with morphisms $(E_1, E_2) \longrightarrow (E'_1, E'_2)$ being pairs of subspaces (F_1, F_2) such that

$$E_1 \oplus F_1 = E'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 \oplus F_2 = E'_2$$

together with an isometry $f: F_1 \longrightarrow F_2$. The composition is defined by taking the direct sums of the corresponding subspaces F_1, F_2 and of the isometries. The category \mathcal{S} is a topological category in an obvious way. The category \mathcal{S} appears to be a more intuitive analogue of the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ than the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$. Our next goal is to relate the category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$ with the category \mathcal{S} of Fredholm subspace models by constructing functors

$$p: \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \quad \text{and} \quad q: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}.$$

The functor $p: \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Let us begin with defining the action of p on objects. Let V be an object of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$, i.e. a γ -invariant finitely dimensional subspace of $H \oplus H$. The operator γ induces a self-adjoint unitary operator $V \longrightarrow V$ with eigenvalues equal to -1 or 1 and hence induces a decomposition $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_1$ of V into the corresponding eigenspaces. Clearly, $V_{-1} = V \cap (0 \oplus H)$ and $V_1 = V \cap (H \oplus 0)$. By identifying $0 \oplus H$ and $H \oplus 0$ with H we can consider V_{-1} and V_1 as subspaces of H . The functor p assigns to V the object (V_{-1}, V_1) of \mathcal{S} .

In order to define the action of p on morphisms, let us consider a morphism $V \longrightarrow V'$ of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$. It corresponds to an orthogonal decomposition $V' = \gamma(U) \oplus V \oplus U$. The orthogonal complement $W = V' \ominus V$ is γ -invariant and hence is a direct sum $W = W_{-1} \oplus W_1$ of eigenspaces of the operator $W \longrightarrow W$ induced by γ . Clearly,

$$V'_{-1} = V_{-1} \oplus W_{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad V'_1 = V_1 \oplus W_1.$$

At the same time $W = \gamma(U) \oplus U$. By the definition of morphisms, $\gamma(U)$ is orthogonal to U . Therefore $(u, v), (u', v') \in U$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, u' \rangle - \langle v, v' \rangle &= \langle (u, v), (u', -v') \rangle \\ &= \langle (u, v), \gamma(u', v') \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\langle u, u' \rangle = \langle v, v' \rangle$. In particular, if $(u, v) \in U$, then $\langle u, u \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$. It follows that U is the graph of an isometric isomorphism between two subspaces of H , namely, between the images of the projections of U onto the summands W_{-1} and W_1

of $W = W_{-1} \oplus W_1$. Clearly, the images of the projections of U and of $\gamma(U)$ are equal and hence are equal to the images of the projections of $W = W_{-1} \oplus W_1$. It follows that U is the graph of an isometric isomorphism $W_{-1} \rightarrow W_1$.

Now we are ready to define the action of p on morphisms. Namely, to the morphism $V \rightarrow V'$ as in the previous paragraph the functor p assigns the morphism

$$(V_{-1}, V_1) \rightarrow (V'_{-1}, V'_1)$$

defined by the pair (W_{-1}, W_1) and the isometric isomorphism $W_{-1} \rightarrow W_1$ constructed above. A direct verification shows that p is indeed a functor.

The functor $q: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$. The functor q assigns to an object (E_1, E_2) of \mathcal{S} the γ -invariant subspace $E_1 \oplus E_2 \subset H \oplus H$. In order to define the action of q on morphisms, let us consider an isometry $f: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ between two finitely dimensional subspaces of H . Let $U \subset F_1 \oplus F_2$ be the graph of f . Since f is injective, $\gamma(U) \cap U = 0$, and hence $F_1 \oplus F_2 = \gamma(U) + U$. Moreover, $\gamma(U)$ and U are orthogonal. Indeed, if $u, u' \in U$ and $v = f(u)$, $v' = f(u')$, then $\langle u, u' \rangle = \langle v, v' \rangle$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (u, v), \gamma(u', v') \rangle &= \langle (u, v), (u', -v') \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u' \rangle - \langle v, v' \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\gamma(U)$ is orthogonal to U and hence $F_1 \oplus F_2 = \gamma(U) \oplus U$.

Now we are ready to define the action of q on morphisms. Suppose that

$$\mu: (E_1, E_2) \rightarrow (E'_1, E'_2)$$

is a morphism of \mathcal{S} . By the definition, μ corresponds to a pair of subspaces (F_1, F_2) and an isometry $f: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ such that

$$E'_1 \oplus E'_2 = (E_1 \oplus F_1) \oplus (E_2 \oplus F_2) = (E_1 \oplus E_2) \oplus (F_1 \oplus F_2).$$

By the previous paragraph $F_1 \oplus F_2 = \gamma(U) \oplus U$, where U is the graph of f . Hence

$$E'_1 \oplus E'_2 = \gamma(U) \oplus (E_1 \oplus E_2) \oplus U$$

and this decomposition defines a morphism

$$E_1 \oplus E_2 \rightarrow E'_1 \oplus E'_2$$

of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$. We define $q(\mu)$ as this morphism. A routine verification shows that these rules indeed define a functor. We leave the routine proof of the following theorem to the reader.

14.5. Theorem. *The functor p and q are mutually inverse isomorphisms of categories.* ■

14.6. Theorem. *The classifying space $|\mathcal{S}|$ is canonically homotopy equivalent to the space \mathcal{F} of bounded Fredholm operators $H \rightarrow H$.*

Proof. Since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}$ is homeomorphic to \mathcal{F} , this follows from Theorems 14.4 and 14.5. ■

Fredholm operators and the category \mathcal{S} . Let us describe more directly the relation between \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{S} arising from the homeomorphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{odd}}$ and the isomorphism $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. To this end we need an analogue \mathcal{E} of the category $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ of enhanced self-adjoint operators. The definition of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$ and the above discussion of eigenspaces and eigenvalues of A^{sa} suggest the following definitions.

An *enhanced Fredholm operator* is a pair (A, ε) , where $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$ are such that $\varepsilon > 0$, the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$ is disjoint from the essential spectrum of $|A|$, and $\varepsilon \notin \sigma(|A|)$. Let \mathcal{E} be the space of enhanced Fredholm operators. The topology is defined by the topology of \mathcal{F} and the discrete topology on \mathbf{R} . The space \mathcal{E} is ordered by the relation

$$(A, \varepsilon) \leq (A', \varepsilon') \text{ if } A = A' \text{ and } \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'.$$

As in the case of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, this order allows to consider \mathcal{E} as a topological category. It is easy to see that (A, ε) is an enhanced Fredholm operator if and only if $(A^{\text{sa}}, \varepsilon)$ is an enhanced odd operator. Clearly, the rule $(A, \varepsilon) \mapsto (A^{\text{sa}}, \varepsilon)$ defines an isomorphism of categories $\text{sa}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}$. Let us assign to an enhanced Fredholm operator (A, ε) the object

$$\iota(A, \varepsilon) = (\text{Im } P_{[0, \varepsilon]}(|A|), \text{Im } P_{[0, \varepsilon]}(|A^*|))$$

of \mathcal{S} , and assign to a morphism $(A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow (A, \varepsilon')$, where $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$, the morphism

$$\iota(A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \iota(A, \varepsilon')$$

of \mathcal{S} defined by the pair $(\text{Im } P_{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon']}(|A|), \text{Im } P_{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon']}(|A^*|))$ together with the isometry

$$\text{Im } P_{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon']}(|A|) \rightarrow \text{Im } P_{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon']}(|A^*|)$$

induced by U , where $A = U|A|$ is the polar decomposition of A . A routine verification shows that these rules define a functor $\iota: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. It is easy to see that

$$P_{[0, \varepsilon]}(|A|) \subset \{v \in H \mid \|A(v)\| \leq \varepsilon \|v\|\}.$$

The set at the right hand side of this inclusion is almost never a vector space. It may be suggestive to think about $P_{[0, \varepsilon]}(|A|)$ as the canonical vector space replacement of this set.

Let $\hat{\iota}^{\text{odd}} : \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$ be the composition

$$\hat{\phi}^{\text{odd}} \circ \hat{o}^{\text{odd}} \circ \hat{\psi}^{\text{odd}} : \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{odd}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}.$$

The functors ι and $\hat{\iota}^{\text{odd}}$ appear in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{sa}} & \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}} \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \hat{\iota}^{\text{odd}} \\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{q} & \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}} \end{array}$$

The above description of eigenvectors of operators A^{sa} implies that this diagram is commutative. We leave the details to the reader.

Fredholm vector space models. A *Fredholm vector space model* is a pair (V_1, V_2) of finite-dimensional vector spaces with a scalar product. Let S be the category having such pairs as objects, with morphisms $(V_1, V_2) \longrightarrow (W_1, W_2)$ being triples (i_1, i_2, g) , where

$$i_1 : V_1 \longrightarrow W_1 \quad \text{and} \quad i_2 : V_2 \longrightarrow W_2$$

are isometric embeddings and

$$g : W_1 \oplus i_1(V_1) \longrightarrow W_2 \oplus i_2(V_2)$$

is an isometry. The composition is defined in an obvious way and amounts to taking the direct sum of the isometric isomorphisms g . This definition is slightly different from, but is trivially equivalent to Segal's [S₄] definition of his category \hat{C} .

Similarly to Section 9, there is a category S/H serving as an intermediary between S and \mathcal{S} . The objects of S/H are quadruples $(V_1, V_2; h_1, h_2)$ such that (V_1, V_2) is an object of S and $h_1 : V_1 \longrightarrow H$, $h_2 : V_2 \longrightarrow H$ are isometric embeddings. A morphism

$$(23) \quad (V_1, V_2; h_1, h_2) \longrightarrow (W_1, W_2; k_1, k_2)$$

is a triple (i_1, i_2, g) defining a morphism $(V_1, V_2) \longrightarrow (W_1, W_2)$ of S such that

$$k_1 \circ i_1 = h_1, \quad k_2 \circ i_2 = h_2.$$

Ignoring embeddings h_1, h_2 defines a forgetting functor $S/H \longrightarrow S$. Let $S/H \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the functor acting on the objects by assigning to a quadruple $(V_1, V_2; h_1, h_2)$ the pair

$(h_1(V_1), h_2(V_2))$, and acting on morphisms by assigning to a morphism (23) defined by the triple (i_1, i_2, g) the morphism

$$(h_1(V_1), h_2(V_2)) \longrightarrow (k_1(W_1), k_2(W_2))$$

defined by the pair (F_1, F_2) together with the isometry $f: F_1 \longrightarrow F_2$, where

$$F_1 = k_1(W_1 \oplus i_1(V_1)),$$

$$F_2 = k_2(W_2 \oplus i_2(V_2)),$$

and

$$f = k_2 \circ g \circ (k_1)^{-1}.$$

Clearly, these rules indeed define a functor $i: S/H \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

14.7. Theorem. *The maps $|S| \longleftarrow |S/H| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{S}|$ induced by these functors are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 9.6.

Let us consider $|S/H| \longrightarrow |S|$. The space of objects of S is discrete, and the space of objects of S/H is the disjoint union over $(V_1, V_2) \in \text{Ob } S$ of the spaces of pairs of isometric embeddings $V_1, V_2 \longrightarrow H$. Such spaces of pairs are products of two contractible spaces and hence are contractible. It follows that $S/H \longrightarrow S$ induces a homotopy equivalence of spaces of objects. The space of morphisms of S/H is a locally trivial bundle over the space of morphisms of S , with the fiber over a morphism $(V_1, V_2) \longrightarrow (W_1, W_2)$ of S being the space of pairs of isometric embeddings $W_1, W_2 \longrightarrow H$. Since such spaces of pairs are contractible, the functor $S/H \longrightarrow S$ induces a homotopy equivalence of spaces of morphisms. A similar argument applies to the spaces of n -simplices. Obviously, our categories have free units, and hence $|S/H| \longrightarrow |S|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

The proof for $|S/H| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{S}|$ also closely follows the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 9.6. The role of the categories $\text{Vect} \downarrow W$ is played by the categories $\text{Vect} \downarrow (E_1, E_2)$ defined as the products $\text{Vect} \downarrow E_1 \times \text{Vect} \downarrow E_2$. The key fact is that classifying space

$$|\text{Vect} \downarrow (E_1, E_2)| = |\text{Vect} \downarrow E_1| \times |\text{Vect} \downarrow E_2|$$

is contractible. This ensures that the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 9.6 work. We leave the details to the reader. ■

14.8. Theorem. *The classifying space $|S|$ is canonically homotopy equivalent to the space \mathcal{F} of bounded Fredholm operators $H \longrightarrow H$.*

Proof. This immediately follows from Theorems 14.6 and 14.7. ■

Remark. Theorem 14.8 is due to Segal [S₄]. The above proof does not follow Segal's outline. Segal works directly with Fredholm operators and uses as an approximation to \mathcal{F} the category defined by the ordered set of pairs (f, V) , where $f: H \rightarrow H$ is a Fredholm operator and $V \subset H$ is a finitely dimensional subspace such that $V + \text{Im } f = H$. By the definition, $(f, V) \leq (f', V')$ if and only if $f = f'$ and $V \subset V'$. This approach encounters some technical difficulties related to the fact that the map $(V, W) \rightarrow V + W$, where $V, W \subset H$ are finitely dimensional subspaces, is not continuous, and by this reason Proposition 2.7 from [S₂] does not apply, at least not directly.

A subcategory of \mathcal{S} . Let \mathcal{S}_{id} be the topological category with the same objects as \mathcal{S} and with morphisms defined as follows. For an object (E_1, E_2) of \mathcal{S}_{id} and a finitely dimensional subspace $F \subset H$ orthogonal to both E_1 and E_2 , there is a corresponding morphism

$$(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow (E_1 \oplus F, E_2 \oplus F).$$

There are no other morphisms. The composition is defined by taking the sums of the corresponding subspaces F . The category \mathcal{S}_{id} is, in a natural way, a subcategory of \mathcal{S} . Indeed, these categories have the same objects, and a morphism $(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow (E'_1, E'_2)$ of the category \mathcal{S} defined by a pair of subspaces (F_1, F_2) and an isometry $f: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ is a morphism of the category \mathcal{S}_{id} if and only if $F_1 = F_2$ and f is the identity. Let $i: \mathcal{S}_{\text{id}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the inclusion.

The category \mathcal{S}_{id} can be also defined as the category resulting from a partial order on its space of objects. Namely, the space of objects is ordered by the relation \leq , where

$$(E_1, E_2) \leq (E'_1, E'_2)$$

$$\text{if } E_1 \subset E'_1, E_2 \subset E'_2, \text{ and } E'_1 \ominus E_1 = E'_2 \ominus E_2.$$

Clearly, the category defined by this order is nothing else but \mathcal{S}_{id} .

The objects (E_1, E_2) of \mathcal{S}_{id} can be thought as formal differences $E_1 - E_2$ of finitely dimensional vector subspaces of H , with morphisms imposing, in a moral sense, the relations $(E_1 \oplus F) - (E_2 \oplus F) = E_1 - E_2$ when F is orthogonal to both E_1 and E_2 . Of course, \mathcal{S} admits a similar, but less straightforward, interpretation.

14.9. Theorem. *The map $|i|: |\mathcal{S}_{\text{id}}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{S}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. A morphism of \mathcal{S} is determined by a quadruple (E_1, E_2, F, f) such that $E_1, E_2, F \subset H$ are finitely dimensional subspaces, F is orthogonal to E_1 , and $f: F \rightarrow H \ominus E_2$

is an isometric embedding. A morphism corresponding to (E_1, E_2, F, f) is a morphism of \mathcal{S}_{id} if and only if f is the inclusion map and, in particular, $F \subset H \ominus E_2$. Since the inclusion of the space of subspaces $F \subset H \ominus (E_1 + E_2)$ into the space of subspaces $F \subset H \ominus E_1$ is a homotopy equivalence, and the space of isometric embeddings of $F \rightarrow H \ominus E_2$ is contractible, the inclusion $\text{Mor } \mathcal{S}_{\text{id}} \rightarrow \text{Mor } \mathcal{S}$ is a homotopy equivalence. More generally, an n -simplex of \mathcal{S} is determined by an object (E_1, E_2) , a sequence F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n of subspaces orthogonal to E_1 and pair-wise orthogonal, and isometric embeddings

$$f_i : F_i \rightarrow H \ominus (E_2 \oplus f_1(F_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f_{i-1}(F_{i-1})),$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The corresponding n -simplex of \mathcal{S} is an n -simplex of \mathcal{S}_{id} if and only if the embedding f_i is the inclusion map for every i . Since the space of isometric embeddings such as f_i is contractible for every i , the inclusion of the space of n -simplices of \mathcal{S}_{id} into the space of n -simplices of \mathcal{S} is a homotopy equivalence. It remains to observe that \mathcal{S}_{id} and \mathcal{S} are categories with free units. By Lemma 3.1 this implies that their nerves have free degeneracies, and hence the theorem follows from Proposition 2.1. ■

Remark. Given two objects (E_1, E_2) and (E'_1, E'_2) of \mathcal{S}_{id} , the spaces of morphisms $(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow (E'_1, E'_2)$ in \mathcal{S}_{id} and in \mathcal{S} do not need to be homotopy equivalent. Also, there is no functor $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{id}}$ inducing a homotopy inverse to $|i|$.

Small versions of the category S. As in the case of the category Q, in the definition of S one can use only the pairs of vector spaces with a scalar product from any set containing a representative from each isomorphism class. The resulting version of S is equivalent to the original one, and hence its classifying space is homotopy equivalent to $|S|$. The smallest version S^{st} has as objects the pairs $(\mathbf{C}^n, \mathbf{C}^m)$. This is exactly the category PC defined by Harris [H]. Cf. [H], Section 4. As Harris points out, the category $S^{\text{st}} = \text{PC}$ is a special case of a construction used by Quillen for general rings. See [Q], [Gr].

Harris's category PG. The category \mathcal{S}_{id} is a Hilbert space version of a category PG defined by Harris [H]. See [H], Section 4. Let \mathbf{C}^∞ be a vector space of countable infinite dimension over \mathbf{C} equipped with a Hermitian scalar product. We may assume that \mathbf{C}^∞ is a subspace of H . Then the category PG considered by Harris is equal to the full subcategory of \mathcal{S}_{id} having as objects pairs (E_1, E_2) of subspaces E_1, E_2 of \mathbf{C}^∞ .

Harris [H] indicated an approach to determining the homotopy type of the classifying space of PG and then wrote: *"Instead we will just remark that the classifying space of PG at least intuitively classifies pairs of vector bundles modulo addition of a common bundle: i.e., we claim $\text{BPG} = \mathbf{Z} \times \text{BU}$."* His space $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BU}$ is the classifying space for K-theory. It is not hard to see that the inclusion $|\text{PG}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{S}_{\text{id}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. Theorem 15.4 below implies that $|\mathcal{S}|$ is also a classifying space for K-theory. Together with Theorem 14.9 this provides a justification of Harris's claim, certainly, not the first one.

15. Finite-unitary bundle and finite-unitary quasi-fibration

Polarized odd subspace models. The goal of this section is to prove analogues of results of Section 13 for categories related to general Fredholm operators (as opposed to self-adjoint ones). To this end we need a category to play a role similar to that of \mathcal{PS} , i.e. a notion of polarization for objects of \mathcal{S} . From the viewpoint of odd operators there is a natural candidate. Namely, a *polarized object* of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$ should be defined as an object of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$, i.e. a finitely dimensional γ -invariant subspace $V \subset H \oplus H$ together with a polarization $(H \oplus H) \ominus V = K_- \oplus K_+$ which is γ -invariant in the sense that $\gamma(K_+) = K_-$ and hence $\gamma(K_-) = K_+$. A *morphism* of polarized objects

$$(V, K_-, K_+) \longrightarrow (V', K'_-, K'_+)$$

is defined as a morphism $V \longrightarrow V'$ such that if $V' = \gamma(U) \oplus V \oplus U$ is the corresponding decomposition, then $U \subset K_+$ and hence $\gamma(U) \subset K_-$. In this way we get a category $\mathcal{PS}^{\text{odd}}$ of polarized objects of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$. There is a forgetting functor $\pi: \mathcal{PS}^{\text{odd}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$.

Like \mathcal{PS} , the category $\mathcal{PS}^{\text{odd}}$ can be defined in terms of an order. The space of objects of $\mathcal{PS}^{\text{odd}}$ is partially ordered by the relation \leq , where

$$(V, K_-, K_+) \leq (V', K'_-, K'_+)$$

$$\text{if } V \subset V' \text{ and } K'_+ \subset K_+.$$

The γ -invariance implies that then also $K'_- \subset K_-$. By assigning to such an inequality the morphism defined by the subspace $U = K_+ \ominus K'_+$ we get an isomorphism between the category associated with this order and $\mathcal{PS}^{\text{odd}}$. The morphisms of $\mathcal{PS}^{\text{odd}}$ have the form

$$(V, K_-, K_+) \longrightarrow (\gamma(U) \oplus V \oplus U, K_- \ominus \gamma(U), K_+ \ominus U),$$

where U is a finitely dimensional subspace of K_+ .

Polarized Fredholm subspace models. Section 14 suggests the corresponding notion for Fredholm subspace models. A *polarized Fredholm subspace model* is a triple (E_1, E_2, i) such that E_1, E_2 are finitely dimensional subspaces of H and

$$i: H \ominus E_1 \longrightarrow H \ominus E_2$$

is an isometry. Let \mathcal{PS} be the category having such triples as objects, with morphisms

$$(E_1, E_2, i) \longrightarrow (E'_1, E'_2, i')$$

being triples (F_1, F_2, f) defining a morphism $(E_1, E_2) \longrightarrow (E'_1, E'_2)$ of \mathcal{S} and such that

$i(F_1) = F_2$ and the maps $f: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ and

$$i': H \ominus (E_1 \oplus F_1) \rightarrow H \ominus (E_2 \oplus F_2) = i(H \ominus (E_1 \oplus F_1))$$

are induced by i . Clearly, a morphism is determined by the subspace F_1 and has the form

$$(E_1, E_2, i) \rightarrow (E_1 \oplus F_1, E_2 \oplus i(F_1), i'),$$

where i' is induced by i . The composition is defined by taking the sums of the subspaces F_1 . Clearly, the category \mathcal{PS} is associated with the order \leq , where

$$(E_1, E_2, i) \leq (E'_1, E'_2, i')$$

$$\text{if } E_1 \subset E'_1, \quad E'_2 = E_2 \oplus i(E'_1 \ominus E_1),$$

and i' is induced by i . The topology on the space of objects is induced by the obvious topology on the space of pairs (E_1, E_2) and the norm topology on the space of isometries i . Clearly, the partial order \leq on the space of objects of \mathcal{PS} has free equalities. Hence \mathcal{PS} can be considered as a topological simplicial complex.

One can lift the functor $\iota: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ from Section 14 to a functor $\mathcal{P}\iota: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}$. Let (A, ε) be an enhanced Fredholm operator, and let $(E_1, E_2) = \iota(A, \varepsilon)$. Let $A = U|A|$ be the polar decomposition of A . Then U induces an isometry $i: H \ominus E_1 \rightarrow H \ominus E_2$. Let us set $\mathcal{P}\iota(A, \varepsilon) = (E_1, E_2, i)$. This defines the action of $\mathcal{P}\iota$ on objects. We leave to the reader to define the action on morphisms and to check that $\mathcal{P}\iota$ lifts ι .

There is a forgetting functor $\pi: \mathcal{PS} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. The isomorphism between \mathcal{S} and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$ from Section 14 can be lifted to an isomorphism between \mathcal{PS} and $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$. This isomorphism takes an object (E_1, E_2, i) of \mathcal{PS} to the object (V, K_-, K_+) of $\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{odd}}$, where

$$V = E_1 \oplus E_2 \subset H \oplus H$$

$K_+ \subset H \oplus H$ is the graph of the isometry i , and $K_- = \gamma(K_+)$.

15.1. Theorem. *The map $|\pi|: |\mathcal{PS}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{S}|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 10.2 and 10.3. ■

The unitary bundle. For an object $P = (E_1, E_2, i)$ of \mathcal{PS} let $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ be the space of isometries $H \rightarrow H$ equal to i on a subspace of finite codimension in $H \ominus E_1$. We consider $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ with the norm topology. Clearly, $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ is non-empty if and only if $\dim E_1 = \dim E_2$. If $P = (0, 0, \text{id}_H)$, then $U^{\text{fin}}(P) = U^{\text{fin}}$. In general, if $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ is nonempty, then U^{fin} acts simply transitively on $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ from the right.

Let \mathcal{S}_0 and \mathcal{PS}_0 be the full categories of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{PS} having as objects, respectively, pairs (E_1, E_2) and triples (E_1, E_2, i) such that $\dim E_1 = \dim E_2$. Let us consider the space of pairs (P, u) such that P is an object of \mathcal{PS}_0 and $u \in U^{\text{fin}}(P)$. Clearly, the projection $(P, u) \mapsto P$ from this space of pairs to the space of objects of \mathcal{PS}_0 is a locally trivial bundle with the fiber U^{fin} . Similarly to Section 13, this bundle can be extended to a bundle over $|\mathcal{PS}_0| = \llbracket \mathcal{PS}_0 \rrbracket$. Indeed, if there exists a morphism $P \rightarrow P'$, then $U^{\text{fin}}(P) = U^{\text{fin}}(P')$, and the construction of Section 13 is based only on the similar property of $\text{Gr}(P)$ and the interpretation of $|\mathcal{PS}|$ as $\llbracket \mathcal{PS} \rrbracket$. Let

$$\pi: \mathbf{U} \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{PS}_0 \rrbracket = |\mathcal{PS}_0|$$

be the resulting bundle. Our next goal is to construct a categorical analogue of this bundle.

Splittings. Let \mathcal{U} be the full subcategory of \mathcal{PS} having as objects the objects of \mathcal{PS} of the form $(0, 0, i)$. This subcategory has only identity morphisms, and its space of objects is nothing else but the space of isometries $H \rightarrow H$ with the norm topology and hence is contractible by Kuiper's theorem. It follows that $|\mathcal{U}|$ is contractible. A *split object* of \mathcal{PS} is defined as a morphism $N \rightarrow M$ of \mathcal{PS} such that N is an object of \mathcal{U} . A split object can be identified with an object $M = (E_1, E_2, i)$ of \mathcal{PS} together with an isometry $e: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$. Under this identification N corresponds to the isometry equal to e on E_1 and to i on $H \ominus E_1$, and $N \rightarrow M$ is the unique morphism from N to M . *Morphisms of split objects* are the same commutative triangles as used in Section 10 to define split models. Hence the split objects of \mathcal{PS} are objects of a topological category, which we denote by $s\mathcal{S}$. There is a canonical forgetting functor $\phi: s\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}$ taking $N \rightarrow M$ to M .

15.2. Theorem. *The classifying space $|s\mathcal{S}|$ is contractible.*

Proof. The proof is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 10.4. ■

Categories related to finite-unitary groups. Let $P = (E_1, E_2, i)$ be an object of \mathcal{PS}_0 . Let $\mathcal{U}(P)$ be the category having as objects diagrams in \mathcal{PS}_0 of the form $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$, where N is an object of \mathcal{U} , and with morphisms being the same commutative diagrams as in the definition of morphisms of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ in Section 12. The objects of $\mathcal{U}(P)$ can be identified with pairs (F, f) , where $F \subset H \ominus E_1$ is a finitely dimensional subspace and

$$f: E_1 \oplus F \longrightarrow E_2 \oplus i(F)$$

is an isometry. In these terms morphisms have the form

$$(F, f) \longrightarrow (F \oplus F', f \oplus (i|_{F'})) .$$

Like the categories \mathcal{PS} and $\mathcal{G}(P)$, the category $\mathcal{U}(P)$ is associated with a partial order.

As in Section 13, every morphism $u: P' \rightarrow P$ of \mathcal{PS}_0 defines a continuous functor

$$u^*: \mathcal{U}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(P'),$$

and u^* is strictly order-preserving as a map of partially ordered sets. More explicitly, one can describe u^* as follows. If $P' = (E'_1, E'_2, i')$, then $E_1 = E'_1 \oplus F'_1$ for some F'_1 , and

$$u^*(F, f) = (F'_1 \oplus F, f).$$

As an example, suppose that $E_1 = E_2 = E$ and i is the identity map $H \oplus E \rightarrow H \oplus E$. Such an object P is determined by the subspace E , and we will denote the category $\mathcal{U}(P)$ also by $\mathcal{U}(E)$. The objects of $\mathcal{U}(E)$ are the pairs (F, f) , where $F \subset H \oplus E$ and f is an isometry of $E \oplus F$, and morphisms have the form $(F, f) \rightarrow (F \oplus F', f \oplus \text{id}_{F'})$. In fact, this is a typical example: clearly, every category $\mathcal{U}(P)$ is isomorphic to some $\mathcal{U}(E)$. Let us fix a subspace E and consider the category $\mathcal{U}(E)$. We need also analogues of categories $\mathcal{G}_\infty(P)$, $\mathcal{G}_\mathbf{N}(P)$, and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{N},n}(P)$ from Section 12. It is more natural to define these analogues in terms of a fixed decomposition

$$H = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_n$$

rather than a decomposition of the form (16) into subspaces indexed by all integers. As in Section 11, the subspaces H_n are assumed to be finitely dimensional. In order to deal with $\mathcal{U}(E)$ we will assume that $H_0 = E$. Let $H_{\leq n} = H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$. Let $\mathcal{U}_\infty(E)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{U}(E)$ having as objects the pairs (F, f) such that $E \oplus F \subset H_{\leq n}$ for some n , and let $\mathcal{U}_\mathbf{N}(E)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{U}(E)$ having as objects the pairs (F, f) such that $E \oplus F = H_{\leq n}$ for some n . Also, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we will need the full subcategories $\mathcal{U}_n(E)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{N},n}(E)$ of $\mathcal{U}(E)$ having as objects the pairs (F, f) such that $E \oplus F \subset H_{\leq n}$ and $E \oplus F = H_{\leq n}$ respectively. Of course, the category $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{N},n}(E)$ has only identity morphisms and its space of objects is the usual unitary group of $H_{\leq n}$.

With these notions at hand one can prove analogues of all results of Section 12. In the statements one needs simply to replace $\mathcal{G}_*(P)$ by $\mathcal{U}_*(E)$, where $*$ stands for one of the possible subscripts (or the absence of a subscript). In the proof one needs to replace terms such as “splitting of W ” by terms like “isometry of $E \oplus F$ ”. Otherwise the proofs are either completely similar to the proofs in Section 12, or even simpler, as is the case for the analogue of the key Lemma 12.1. The main results are summarized in the following theorem.

15.3. Theorem. *The canonical maps $|\mathcal{U}_\mathbf{N}(E)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}_\infty(E)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}(E)|$ are homotopy equivalences. There are canonical homotopy equivalences $|\mathcal{U}_\mathbf{N}(E)| \rightarrow U(\infty)$ and $|\mathcal{U}_\infty(E)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}(E)| \rightarrow U(\infty)$. ■*

The finite-unitary quasi-fibration. Similarly to Section 13, let us consider the category having as objects diagrams in \mathcal{PS}_0 of the form $P \rightarrow M \leftarrow N$, where N is an object

of \mathcal{U} , and with morphisms being diagrams of the form (22). By the same reasons as in Section 13 we will denote this category by $S(\phi)$, where now ϕ is the forgetting functor $s\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}_0$. There is an obvious *contravariant* forgetting functor $p: S(\phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}_0$, which can be considered as a covariant functor from $S(\phi)$ to the category opposite to \mathcal{PS}_0 . Since the geometric realizations do not change when a category is replaced by its opposite, p induces a continuous map $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$. This is a categorical analogue of the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{PS}_0 \rrbracket = |\mathcal{PS}_0|$. The results of Section 13 concerned with $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$, with the obvious modifications, remain valid in the present context, with essentially the same proofs. We summarize them in the following theorem.

15.4. Theorem. *The map $|p|: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$ is a quasi-fibration. Its homotopy fiber is homotopy equivalent to U^{fin} and $U(\infty)$, and the total space $|S(\phi)|$ is contractible. ■*

Comparing the bundle π and the quasi-fibration $|p|$. Let $P = (E_1, E_2, i)$ be an object of \mathcal{PS}_0 . There is a canonical map $|\mathcal{U}(P)| \rightarrow U^{\text{fin}}(P)$, which can be defined as follows. Let us consider $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ as a topological category having $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ as the space of objects and only identity morphisms. An object (F, f) of $\mathcal{U}(P)$ defines a map $H \rightarrow H$ equal to f on $E_1 \oplus F$ and to i on $H \ominus (E_1 \oplus F)$. Clearly, this map is an isometry of H and is equal to i on a subspace of finite codimension in $H \ominus E_1$, i.e. is an element of $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$. Let us assign to (F, f) this isometry. A trivial verification shows that this rule assigns the same isometry to two objects of $\mathcal{U}(P)$ related by a morphism. Hence we can extend this rule to morphisms of $\mathcal{U}(P)$ by assigning to every morphism an identity morphism of $U^{\text{fin}}(P)$ and get a functor $\mathcal{U}(P) \rightarrow U^{\text{fin}}(P)$. By passing to geometric realizations we get a map

$$h(P): |\mathcal{U}(P)| \rightarrow |U^{\text{fin}}(P)| = U^{\text{fin}}(P).$$

As in Section 13, the maps $h(P)$ define a map $h: |S(\phi)| \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ such that $\pi \circ h = |p|$, i.e. a map from the quasi-fibration $|p|$ to the bundle π . Theorem 15.3 implies that h induces homotopy equivalences on the fibers. By comparing the homotopy sequences of $|p|$ and π we see that \mathbf{U} is weakly homotopy equivalent to $|S(\phi)|$. Now the arguments of the proof of Theorem 13.6 apply and lead to the following theorem.

15.5. Theorem. *The space \mathbf{U} is contractible. ■*

15.6. Theorem (Atiyah–Singer). *The loop space of \mathcal{F} is homotopy equivalent to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$.*

Proof. The loop spaces of $|\mathcal{PS}|$ and $|\mathcal{PS}_0|$ are the same, and Theorem 15.5 implies that the loop space of $|\mathcal{PS}_0|$ is homotopy equivalent to the fiber U^{fin} of π . By Theorem 14.6 the space \mathcal{F} is homotopy equivalent to $|\mathcal{S}|$, and by Theorem 15.1 the space $|\mathcal{S}|$ is homotopy equivalent to $|\mathcal{PS}|$. Hence the loop space of \mathcal{F} is homotopy equivalent to U^{fin} . On the other hand, by Theorem 9.7 the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is homotopy equivalent to $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$, and by Theorem 9.8 the space $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is homeomorphic to U^{fin} . The theorem follows. ■

16. Atiyah–Singer map

Atiyah–Singer map $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$. By Theorem 9.7 and Corollary 15.6 the loop space $\Omega |\mathcal{F}|$ of $|\mathcal{F}|$ is homotopy equivalent to $|\hat{\mathcal{F}}|$. In fact, the proofs provide a canonical homotopy equivalence. This result is due to Atiyah and Singer [AS], who also constructed an explicit homotopy equivalence $\alpha: \hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$. We are going to prove that these two homotopy equivalences are the same up to homotopy. To this end we need to discuss the Atiyah–Singer proof in some details and to make the proof of the present paper more explicit.

The Atiyah–Singer [AS] map $\alpha: \hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$ is defined as follows. First of all, Atiyah and Singer work with bounded operators. By this reason the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ will be understood as the space of bounded self-adjoint Fredholm operators with the norm topology, subject to the usual condition of not being essentially positive or negative. In [AS] this space is denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_*$. Second, Atiyah and Singer understand the loop space $\Omega \mathcal{F}$ as the space of paths connecting id with $-\text{id}$. To simplify the notations, we will assume that loops (or, rather, paths) are defined on $[0, \pi]$. Given an operator $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$, the loop $\alpha(A)$ is the map

$$t \longmapsto \text{id}_H \cos t + i A \sin t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi.$$

The proof that α is a homotopy equivalence is not direct, and in order to compare α with the homotopy equivalence implicit in Section 15, we need some details of this proof. Let us begin with a general construction behind both proofs.

Loops and bundles. Let $p: E \longrightarrow B$ be a locally trivial bundle. Suppose that *two base points* $s, t \in B$ are fixed, and let $\tilde{s} \in E$ be such that $p(\tilde{s}) = s$. Let $F = p^{-1}(t)$. We will denote by $\Omega(E, F)$ the space of all paths in E starting at \tilde{s} and ending in F .

Let ΠB be the space of paths $[0, \pi] \longrightarrow B$ starting at s , and let $\text{ev}: \Pi B \longrightarrow B$ be the evaluation map assigning to a path its endpoint. Let us interpret the loops space ΩB as the space of paths starting at s and ending at t . Then ΩB is the fiber of $\text{ev}: \Pi B \longrightarrow B$ over t . The maps p and ev are related by the commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi B & \xrightarrow{e} & E \\ \text{ev} \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & B. \end{array}$$

The map $e: \Pi B \longrightarrow E$ is constructed as follows. Let $\mathbf{s}: \Pi B \longrightarrow B$ be the map taking all paths to the point s and $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}: \Pi B \longrightarrow E$ be the map taking all paths to the point $\tilde{s} \in E$. Then $p \circ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{s}$. For $u \in [0, \pi]$ let $\text{ev}_u: \Pi B \longrightarrow B$ be the evaluation map $k \longmapsto k(u)$. The

maps ev_u form a homotopy between $ev_0 = s$ and $ev_\pi = ev: \Pi B \rightarrow B$. By the covering homotopy property of $p: E \rightarrow B$ there exists a homotopy $h_u: \Pi B \rightarrow E$, $u \in [0, \pi]$ covering the homotopy $ev_u: \Pi B \rightarrow B$, starting at $\tilde{s} = h_0$ and ending at some map $e = h_\pi: \Pi B \rightarrow E$. Then $p \circ e = p \circ h_\pi = ev_\pi = ev$ and hence the above diagram is commutative. The map e induces a map of fibers $f: \Omega B \rightarrow F$. For every $\lambda \in \Omega B$ the path $g(\lambda): u \mapsto h_u(\lambda)$ covers λ and ends in F . This defines a map

$$g: \Omega B \rightarrow \Omega(E, F).$$

Clearly, $ev \circ g = f$. If E is contractible, then f and g are weak homotopy equivalences by the elementary homotopy theory. If, in addition, B and F are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes, then f and g are homotopy equivalence. When we will need to stress the dependence on the bundle p , we will use the notations e^p , f^p , etc.

We will need also a generalization of this construction. Suppose that $\alpha: X \rightarrow \Pi B$ is a continuous map. We may consider α as a homotopy $ev_u \circ \alpha$, $u \in [0, \pi]$ between the constant map $ev_0 \circ \alpha = s \circ \alpha$ and $ev_\pi \circ \alpha = ev \circ \alpha$. By the covering homotopy property there exists a homotopy $h_u(\alpha): X \rightarrow E$, $u \in [0, \pi]$ covering the homotopy $ev_u \circ \alpha: X \rightarrow B$, starting at $\tilde{s} \circ \alpha$ and ending at some map $e(\alpha) = h_\pi(\alpha): X \rightarrow E$. If α is the identity map $\Pi B \rightarrow \Pi B$, then $e(\alpha) = e$. In our applications α will be a map from X to $\Omega B \subset \Pi B$. In this case the image of $e(\alpha)$ is contained in F and $e(\alpha)$ induces a map $f(\alpha): X \rightarrow F$. Also, in the case the homotopy $h_u(\alpha): X \rightarrow E$, $u \in [0, \pi]$ defines a map

$$g(\alpha): X \rightarrow \Omega(E, F).$$

Of course, one can always take $h_u(\alpha) = h_u \circ \alpha$. The point of this generalization is the following uniqueness property, which is stronger in this more general situation.

16.1. Lemma. *Suppose that α is a map $X \rightarrow \Omega B$. Then up to homotopy the maps $f(\alpha): X \rightarrow F$ and $g(\alpha): X \rightarrow \Omega(E, F)$ do not depend on the choice of a covering homotopy $h_u(\alpha): X \rightarrow E$, $u \in [0, \pi]$.*

Proof. Two such choices h_0, h_1 can be considered as a homotopy covering the composition of the projection $X \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow X$ with the homotopy $ev_u \circ \alpha$, $u \in [0, \pi]$. This covering homotopy can be extended to a homotopy covering the composition of the projection $X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $ev_u \circ \alpha$. By considering the factor $[0, 1]$ in $X \times [0, 1]$ as the parameter space of a homotopy we can consider the extended covering homotopy as a homotopy between h_0 and h_1 in the class of homotopies covering $ev_u \circ \alpha$. This homotopy induces homotopies between the maps $X \rightarrow F$ resulting from h_0 and h_1 , as also between the maps and $X \rightarrow \Omega(E, F)$. ■

Functorial properties. The above constructions have good functorial properties with respect to bundle maps. Let $p': E' \rightarrow B'$ be another locally trivial bundle, and let s', t' be the

base points of B' . Let $\tilde{s}' \in E'$ be a point such that $p'(\tilde{s}') = s'$. Suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \end{array}$$

is a bundle map and the map $B' \rightarrow B$ takes s', t' to s, t respectively. Suppose also that the map $E' \rightarrow E$ takes \tilde{s}' to \tilde{s} . Let $F' = (p')^{-1}(t')$.

We will need two special cases of this situation. In the first case $B' = B$ and $B = B' \rightarrow B$ is the identity map. Then we can choose as the covering homotopy for $p: E \rightarrow B$ the composition of the covering homotopy for $p': E' \rightarrow B'$ with the map $E' \rightarrow E$. In this case we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega B & \xrightarrow{g^{p'}} & \Omega(E', F') & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & F' \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega B & \xrightarrow{g^p} & \Omega(E, F) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & F \end{array}$$

In the second case the bundle $p': E' \rightarrow B'$ is assumed to be the bundle induced from $p: E \rightarrow B$ by the map $B' \rightarrow B$. In other words, the canonical map

$$E' \rightarrow B' \times_B E$$

is a homeomorphism. In this case we can identify the fibers F' and F . In this situation we can take as the covering homotopy for $p': E' \rightarrow B'$ the pull-back of a covering homotopy for $p: E \rightarrow B$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega B' & \xrightarrow{g^{p'}} & \Omega(E', F) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ \Omega B & \xrightarrow{g^p} & \Omega(E, F) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & F \end{array}$$

is commutative. If we choose another covering homotopy for p' , then this diagram is not necessarily commutative, but is still commutative up to homotopy.

The Atiyah–Singer proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the C^* -algebra of bounded operators in H , and let \mathcal{K} be the closed two-sided ideal of compact operators. The quotient \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} is the *Calkin algebra*. It is also a C^* -algebra. Let $p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K}$ be the quotient map, and let \mathcal{G} be the group of invertible elements of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} . Then $\mathcal{F} = p^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$. Let G be the subgroup of unitary elements of \mathcal{G} , i.e. elements $x \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $xx^* = 1$. By a slight abuse of notations we will denote the induced maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $p^{-1}(G) \rightarrow G$ by p . Both of them are homotopy equivalences. See [AS], the discussion preceding Lemma (2.3).

There is a deformation retraction $r: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$, which can be described as follows. If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A = U|A|$ is the polar decomposition, then $r(p(A)) = p(U)$. The map r is connected to the identity by the linear homotopy, which is fixed on G . See [AS], the formula (2.1). It follows that $\Omega r \circ \Omega p: \Omega \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega G$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Let us consider \mathcal{U} simply as the space of isometries $u: H \rightarrow H$ with the norm topology. Let $-U^{\text{comp}}$ be the subspace of isometries u differing from $-id$ by a compact operator, i.e. such that $u + id$ is compact. The image $p(\mathcal{U})$ is the component of the identity G_0 of G , and p induces a map $\mathbf{p}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow G_0$, which turns out to be a locally trivial bundle. See [AS], Proposition 3.2. Trivially, $\Omega G = \Omega G_0$. Let us choose $1 = p(id)$ and $-1 = p(-id)$ as the base points of G . Clearly, $\mathbf{p}^{-1}(-1) = -U^{\text{comp}}$. Since \mathcal{U} is contractible, the bundle \mathbf{p} leads to homotopy equivalences

$$g^{\mathbf{p}}: \Omega G \rightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{U}, -U^{\text{comp}}) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{\mathbf{p}}: \Omega G \rightarrow -U^{\text{comp}}.$$

In order to prove that α is a homotopy equivalence, it is sufficient to prove that

$$f^{\mathbf{p}} \circ \Omega r \circ \Omega p \circ \alpha$$

is a homotopy equivalence. The proof of this fact by Atiyah and Singer [AS] involves two preliminary steps.

First, the space \hat{F}^{comp} from Section 10 is a deformation retract of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the restriction of $f^{\mathbf{p}} \circ \Omega r \circ \Omega p \circ \alpha$ to \hat{F}^{comp} is a homotopy equivalence. It turns out that α maps \hat{F}^{comp} into $\Omega p^{-1}(G)$ and hence the restrictions of $\Omega r \circ \Omega p \circ \alpha$ and $\Omega p \circ \alpha$ to \hat{F}^{comp} are equal. See [AS], the discussion around Lemma (2.4). Therefore it is sufficient to prove that $f^{\mathbf{p}} \circ \mathbf{a}$ is a homotopy equivalence, where

$$\mathbf{a}: \hat{F}^{\text{comp}} \rightarrow \Omega G$$

is the map induced by $\Omega p \circ \alpha$. On the other hand, Lemma 16.1 and the functoriality of maps $f^{\mathbf{p}}$ imply that up to homotopy the maps

$$f^{\mathbf{p}} \circ \mathbf{a} \quad \text{and} \quad f^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{a}): \hat{F}^{\text{comp}} \rightarrow -U^{\text{comp}}$$

are equal. The second preliminary step is the observation that there is a natural choice of a

covering homotopy $h_u(\mathbf{a}): \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{comp}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$, $u \in [0, \pi]$. Namely, the homotopy

$$h_u(\mathbf{a}): \mathbf{A} \longmapsto \exp(ui\mathbf{A}), \quad u \in [0, \pi]$$

is a covering homotopy. See [AS], the discussion around Lemma (2.4). The map

$$f^{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{a}): \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{comp}} \longrightarrow -\mathbf{U}^{\text{comp}}$$

corresponding to this covering homotopy is the map

$$\exp \pi i: \mathbf{A} \longmapsto \exp(\pi i \mathbf{A}).$$

It follows that $f^{\mathbf{P}} \circ \mathbf{a}$ is homotopic to $\exp \pi i$. It remains to prove that $\exp \pi i$ is a homotopy equivalence. This proof is the heart of the paper [AS]. See [AS], Proposition (3.3). But we are more concerned with these preliminary steps.

A characterization of the homotopy class of α . The preliminary steps show that up to homotopy the map α can be characterized as a map $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$ such that the composition $f^{\mathbf{P}} \circ \Omega p \circ \alpha$ induces a map $\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{comp}} \longrightarrow -\mathbf{U}^{\text{comp}}$ homotopic to $\exp \pi i$. By Corollary 10.10 the inclusion map $\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Hence α can be also characterized as a map $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$ such that the restriction of $f^{\mathbf{P}} \circ \Omega p \circ \alpha$ to $\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{fin}}$ is a map $\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow -\mathbf{U}^{\text{comp}}$ homotopic to $\exp \pi i$.

Another map $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$. Now we will discuss the homotopy equivalence $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$ implicit in the proof of Theorem 15.6. The starting point is the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{U} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$, leading to the homotopy equivalence

$$f^{\pi}: \Omega |\mathcal{PS}| = \Omega |\mathcal{PS}_0| \longrightarrow -\mathbf{U}^{\text{fin}}.$$

On the other hand, the map $|\hat{\pi}|: |\mathcal{PS}| \longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence and the map $h: |\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \longrightarrow -\mathbf{U}^{\text{fin}}$ is a homeomorphism. Hence for some γ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\mathcal{PS}| & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Omega |\mathcal{PS}| \\ \downarrow |\hat{\pi}| & & \downarrow f^{\pi} \\ |\hat{\mathcal{S}}| & \xrightarrow{h} & -\mathbf{U}^{\text{fin}} \end{array}$$

is commutative up to homotopy. Clearly, such a map γ is unique up to homotopy and is a homotopy equivalence. Since there are canonical homotopy equivalences $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$ and $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}|$, the map γ defines a homotopy equivalence $\alpha: \hat{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$.

A canonical map $|\mathcal{PS}| \rightarrow G$. Let us consider G as a topological category having only identity morphisms. Somewhat surprisingly, there is a continuous functor

$$\kappa: \mathcal{PS} \rightarrow G.$$

For an object $P = (E_1, E_2, i)$ of \mathcal{PS} let us define $\kappa(P)$ as the image in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} of an arbitrary linear operator $k: H \rightarrow H$ equal to i on $H \ominus E_1$. Any two such operators differ by an operator of finite rank and hence their images in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} are equal. Therefore $\kappa(P)$ is well defined. If we take as k the operator equal to 0 on E_1 , then kk^* and k^*k are projections on subspaces of finite codimension and hence their images in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{K} are equal to 1. It follows that $\kappa(P) \in G$ for every object P of \mathcal{PS} .

Therefore the map $\kappa: P \mapsto \kappa(P)$ defines a map, still denoted by κ , from the space of objects of \mathcal{PS} to G . Clearly, κ is continuous, and if there is a morphism $P \rightarrow P'$, then $\kappa(P) = \kappa(P')$. Hence κ can be considered as a functor $\mathcal{PS} \rightarrow G$. The geometric realization of the functor κ is a canonical map

$$|\kappa|: |\mathcal{PS}| \rightarrow |G| = G.$$

Clearly, $|\kappa|$ induces a map $|\mathcal{PS}_0| \rightarrow G_0$, which we will also denote by $|\kappa|$.

16.2. Lemma. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{t}} & \mathcal{PS} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{r \circ p} & G, \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{F} and G are considered as categories with only identity morphisms, is commutative.

Proof. Let (A, ε) be an object of \mathcal{E} , and let $A = U|A|$ be the polar decomposition of A . Then $r \circ p \circ \varphi$ takes (A, ε) to $p(U)$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{t}$ takes (A, ε) to a triple (E_1, E_2, i) such that the isometry i is induced by U . Therefore $\kappa \circ \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{t}$ also takes (A, ε) to $p(U)$. This proves that the diagram is commutative on objects. Since G has only identity morphisms, it is commutative on morphisms also. ■

16.3. Corollary. *The map $|\kappa|: |\mathcal{PS}| \rightarrow G$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Since $|\varphi|$, $|\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{t}|$, and $r \circ p$ are homotopy equivalences, the corollary follows from Lemma 16.2. ■

The induced bundle. Let us consider the bundle $\mathbf{q}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$ induced from the bundle $\mathbf{p}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow G_0$ by the map $|\kappa|: |\mathcal{PS}_0| \rightarrow G_0$. Then we have a pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{U} \\ \mathbf{q} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{p} \\ |\mathcal{PS}_0| & \xrightarrow{|\kappa|} & G_0. \end{array}$$

16.4. Lemma. *The space \mathcal{V} is contractible.*

Proof. Corollary 16.3 implies that $|\kappa|: |\mathcal{PS}_0| \rightarrow G_0$ is a homotopy equivalence. Since \mathcal{U} is contractible, comparing the homotopy sequences of the bundles \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{p} and of the bundles \mathbf{p} and π , shows that \mathcal{V} is weakly contractible. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that \mathcal{V} is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex. Since the base $|\mathcal{PS}_0|$ of \mathbf{q} has the homotopy type of a CW-complex, a theorem of tom Dieck [tD₁] implies that it is sufficient to prove that the fibers of the bundle \mathbf{q} are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. These fibers are homeomorphic to U^{comp} . The space U^{comp} is a deformation retract of an open subset of a Banach space and hence is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex. See [AS], the beginning of Section 3. The open subset in question is the space of invertible operators T such that $\text{id} + T$ is compact, and the deformation retraction is defined by the standard homotopy (2.10) from [AS]. The lemma follows. ■

The induced bundle and loop spaces. By the functoriality of maps f^p the diagram

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega|\mathcal{PS}_0| & \xrightarrow{f^{\mathbf{q}}} & -U^{\text{comp}} \\ \Omega|\kappa| \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega G_0 & \xrightarrow{f^{\mathbf{p}}} & -U^{\text{comp}} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

On the other hand, the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$ is almost a subbundle of the bundle $\mathbf{q}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$. Namely, the fiber of π over $P = (E_1, E_2, i)$ is the space of isometries $H \rightarrow H$ equal to i on a subspace of finite codimension in E_1 . If u is a such isometry, then the fiber of \mathbf{q} over P is the space of isometries u' such that $u' - u$ is a compact operator. It follows that fibers of π are contained in fibers of \mathbf{q} . While the topology of \mathbf{U} is different from the topology induced from \mathcal{V} , the inclusion $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is contin-

uous, and hence is a map of bundles from the bundle $\pi: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$ to the bundle $q: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow |\mathcal{PS}_0|$. Arguing as in the case of actual subbundles, we see that the diagram

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega|\mathcal{PS}_0| & \xrightarrow{f^\pi} & -\mathbf{U}^{\text{fin}} \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\ \Omega|\mathcal{PS}_0| & \xrightarrow{f^q} & -\mathbf{U}^{\text{comp}}, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is the inclusion, is commutative.

A convention. By an abuse of notations, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map and $f(A) \subset B$ for some $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$, we will denote the map $A \rightarrow B$ induced by f simply by $f: A \rightarrow B$.

The map α and classifying spaces. Since the map $|\hat{\varphi}^{\text{fin}}|$ from Theorem 10.7 is a homotopy equivalence, we can “lift” the above characterization of the map α up to a homotopy to $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}|$. In more details, let us consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Omega|\mathcal{E}| & \xrightarrow{\Omega|\mathcal{P}_1|} & \Omega|\mathcal{PS}_0| & & \\ \downarrow |\hat{\varphi}^{\text{fin}}| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega|\kappa| & & \\ \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{fin}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Omega p^{-1}(G) & \xrightarrow{\Omega p} & \Omega G & \xrightarrow{f^p} & -\mathbf{U}^{\text{comp}} \end{array}$$

Here the middle vertical arrow is the map $\Omega r \circ \Omega p \circ \Omega|\varphi|$, and as the arrow β one can take any map such that the left square is commutative up to homotopy. Such a map β exists and is unique up to homotopy because $|\hat{\varphi}^{\text{fin}}|: |\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{fin}}$ and

$$\Omega r \circ \Omega p \circ \Omega|\varphi| = \Omega(r \circ p \circ |\varphi|)$$

are homotopy equivalences. Clearly, the map β is a homotopy equivalence. Lemma 16.2 implies that the right square is commutative. Up to homotopy the map α is determined by requiring that the map $\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow -\mathbf{U}^{\text{comp}}$, obtained by following the arrows of the diagram, is homotopic to $\exp \pi i$. Since the vertical arrows in the left square are homotopy equivalences, up to homotopy β is determined by requiring that the map

$$|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \rightarrow -\mathbf{U}^{\text{comp}}$$

obtained by following the arrows of the diagram, is homotopic to $\exp \pi i \circ |\hat{\varphi}^{\text{fin}}|$.

Let us consider now the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Omega|\mathcal{E}| & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega|\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{t}| & & \\
|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Omega|\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}_0| & \xrightarrow{\Omega|\kappa|} & \Omega G \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow f^{\mathbf{p}} \\
|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Omega|\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}_0| & \xrightarrow{f^{\mathbf{q}}} & -U^{\text{comp}}.
\end{array}$$

Here one can take as the arrow γ any map such that the upper left square is commutative up to homotopy. Such a map γ exists and is unique up to homotopy because the vertical arrows in this square are homotopy equivalences. Indeed, Theorems 14.4 and 14.5 together with Theorem 15.1 imply that $|\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{t}|: |\mathcal{E}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}|$ is a homotopy equivalence, and Theorems 9.7 and 10.7 imply that $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}|$ is a homotopy equivalence. Clearly, γ is a homotopy equivalence. The bottom right square is simply the diagram (24) drawn differently, The bottom left square is trivially commutative. The characterization of β implies that up to homotopy γ is determined by requiring that the map $|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \rightarrow -U^{\text{comp}}$, obtained by following the arrows of this diagram, is homotopic to $\exp \pi i \circ |\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$.

16.5. Theorem. *The maps γ and $\gamma: |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \rightarrow \Omega|\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}_0|$ are homotopic.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 10.6 the map γ can be also defined as a map such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
|\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Omega|\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}_0| \\
\mathcal{P}h \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{\pi} \\
\hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{fin}} & \xrightarrow{\exp^{\text{fin}}} & -U^{\text{fin}}
\end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram, where $\mathcal{P}h$ is the homeomorphism from Theorem 10.5. By Theorem 10.8 the composition

$$|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{P}\hat{\mathcal{S}}| \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{fin}},$$

where the second map is $\mathcal{P}h$, is homotopic to $|\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$.

Therefore Υ can be defined as the map such that the composition

$$|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}| \xrightarrow{\Upsilon} \Omega|\mathcal{PS}_0| \xrightarrow{f^\pi} -U^{\text{fin}}$$

is homotopic to $\exp^{\text{fin}} \circ |\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$. But \exp^{fin} differs from $\exp \pi i$ only by the domain of definition, which is smaller for \exp^{fin} . Hence

$$\exp^{\text{fin}} \circ |\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}| = \exp \pi i \circ |\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$$

and the map Υ can be defined as the map such that the above composition is homotopic to $\exp \pi i \circ |\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$. Now the commutativity of the square (25) implies that the composition

$$|\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fin}}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{PS}| \xrightarrow{\Upsilon} \Omega|\mathcal{PS}_0| \xrightarrow{f^q} -U^{\text{comp}}$$

is homotopic to $\exp \pi i \circ |\hat{\phi}^{\text{fin}}|$. As we saw above, this property characterizes the map γ up to homotopy. It follows that Υ is homotopic to γ . ■

16.6. Theorem. *The maps α and $\alpha: \hat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Omega \mathcal{F}$ are homotopic.*

Proof. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{F}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Omega \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ |\mathcal{PS}| & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Omega|\mathcal{PS}_0|, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the canonical homotopy equivalences, is commutative by the definition of γ . The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathcal{F}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Omega \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ |\mathcal{PS}| & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon} & \Omega|\mathcal{PS}_0|, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the same, is commutative by the definition of α . The theorem follows from the commutativity of these squares together with Theorem 16.5. ■

17. Hilbert bundles

Categories related to Hilbert bundles. The following modification of the constructions of Section 9 is intended for applications to families of self-adjoint Fredholm operators. Let X be a (compactly generated) space, and let \mathbb{H} be a locally trivial Hilbert bundle with separable fibers over X . It is convenient to think that \mathbb{H} is a family $H_x, x \in X$ of Hilbert spaces parametrized by X . We will replace finitely dimensional subspaces of the fixed Hilbert space H by finitely dimensional subspaces of all fibers $H_x, x \in X$. Let us define a topology on the set of such subspaces. A local trivialization of \mathbb{H} over an open subset $U \subset X$ allows to identify fibers H_x with $x \in U$ with H , and hence to identify subspaces contained in these fibers with pairs (x, V) , where $x \in U$ and V is a finitely dimensional subspace of H . This leads to a topology on the set of subspaces of fibers H_x with $x \in U$. Since we consider only finitely dimensional subspaces, this topology is independent on the choice of the trivialization. Therefore these locally defined topologies lead to a topology on the set of finitely dimensional subspaces of all fibers.

Let us define a topological category $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H})$ as follows. Its objects are finitely dimensional subspaces of the fibers $H_x, x \in X$. Morphisms $V \rightarrow V'$ exists only if V, V' are contained in the same fiber H_x , and in this case morphisms are defined exactly as morphisms of $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ with H replaced by H_x . If we consider X as topological category with X being the space of objects and only the identity morphisms, then there is a functor $\eta: \hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow X$ assigning to an object V the point $x \in X$ such that $V \subset H_x$.

Let us define a topological category $Q(\mathbb{H})$ as follows. Its objects are pairs (x, V) such that $x \in X$ and V is a finitely dimensional Hilbert space. The topology on the set of objects is the product of the topology of X and the discrete topology on the set of spaces. Morphisms $(x, V) \rightarrow (x', V')$ exist only if $x = x'$, and in this case they are the same as morphisms $V \rightarrow V'$ in the category Q . The topology on the set of morphisms is defined by the topology of X and the topology on the set of morphisms of Q . Clearly, the topological category $Q(\mathbb{H})$ depends only on X and not on the bundle \mathbb{H} . There is a functor $\pi: Q(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow X$ assigning to (x, V) the point x . Clearly, there is a canonical bijection $|Q(\mathbb{H})| \rightarrow X \times |Q|$. Since X is compactly generated, this bijection is actually a homeomorphism.

Finally, there is also an analogue Q/\mathbb{H} of the category Q/H . The objects of Q/\mathbb{H} are pairs (V, h) , where V is an object of Q and h is an isometric embedding $V \rightarrow H_x$ for some $x \in X$. Morphisms $(V, h) \rightarrow (V', h')$ exist only if the maps h and h' are maps to the same fiber H_x , and in this case morphisms are defined as morphisms of Q/H_x . Ignoring isometric embeddings defines a functor $Q/\mathbb{H} \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{H})$. Also, by assigning to an object (V, h) of Q/\mathbb{H} the image $h(V)$ we get a functor $i: Q/\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H})$.

17.1. Theorem. *If X is paracompact, then the maps $|Q(\mathbb{H})| \leftarrow |Q/\mathbb{H}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H})|$ induced by these functors are homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 9.6. Let us consider first the map $|Q/\mathbb{H}| \rightarrow |Q(\mathbb{H})|$. Clearly, the composition $\text{Ob } Q/\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{Ob } Q(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow X$, where the second map is π , is equal to η . For every $x \in X$ the preimage $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is the discrete space \mathbf{V} with points corresponding to finitely dimensional Hilbert spaces. In particular, π is a locally trivial bundle. The preimage $\eta^{-1}(x)$ is the disjoint union over $V \in \mathbf{V}$ of spaces of isometric embeddings $V \rightarrow H_x$. It follows that $\text{Ob } Q/\mathbb{H}$ is the disjoint union over $V \in \mathbf{V}$ of spaces of pairs (x, h) , where $x \in X$ and $h: V \rightarrow H_x$ is an isometric embedding. Every such space is a locally trivial bundle over X with contractible fibers. Since X is paracompact, its projection to X is a homotopy equivalence. It follows that the map $\text{Ob } Q/\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{Ob } Q(\mathbb{H})$ is a homotopy equivalence, i.e. the functor $Q/\mathbb{H} \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{H})$ induces a homotopy equivalence of the spaces of objects.

The space of morphisms of Q/\mathbb{H} is a locally trivial bundle over the space of morphisms of $Q(\mathbb{H})$, with the fiber over a morphism $(x, V) \rightarrow (x, V')$ of $Q(\mathbb{H})$ being the space of isometric embeddings $V' \rightarrow H_x$. Since the spaces of isometric embeddings $V' \rightarrow H_x$ are contractible, $Q/\mathbb{H} \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{H})$ induces a homotopy equivalence of the spaces of morphisms also. A similar argument applies to n -simplices. Since all involved categories have free units, it follows that $|Q/\mathbb{H}| \rightarrow |Q(\mathbb{H})|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Let us consider the map $\iota = |i|: |Q/\mathbb{H}| \rightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H})|$. Since every simplex involves only one fiber, the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 9.6 applies without any changes. The paracompactness assumption allows to apply the theorem of tom Dieck [tD1] as in the proof of Theorem 9.3 (this step was omitted in the proof of Theorem 9.6). ■

17.2. Theorem. *There is a canonical homeomorphism $|\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H})| \rightarrow -U^{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{H})$, where $-U^{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{H})$ is the total space of the bundle with the fiber $-U^{\text{fin}}$ associated with \mathbb{H} .*

Proof. The proof follows the proof of Theorem 9.8 with parameters $x \in X$ added. ■

Remarks. One can also define categories $\mathcal{EO}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{H})$ related to \mathcal{EO} and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ in the same way as $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{H})$ is related to $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Moreover, one can prove for these categories analogues of Theorems 9.3 and 9.5. We leave these tasks to the interested readers.

On the other hand there is no bundle analogue of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, neither as a space nor as a topological category, and no bundle analogue of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. The reason is that the topologies of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ are too strong to construct bundles associated with \mathbb{H} with fibers $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. One can construct associated bundles if $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is equipped with the compact-open topology on the space of operators $H \rightarrow H$, but for this topology the stability property from Section 8 fails.

In a more plain language, there are no good notions of continuous families of Fredholm and of self-adjoint Fredholm operators acting on fibers of a general Hilbert bundle. At the same time families of operators arising in the index theory are good enough to apply the theory of this section without defining analogues of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$.

References

- [AGP] M. Aguilar, S. Gitler, C. Prieto, *Algebraic topology from a homotopical viewpoint*, Univesitext, Springer, 2002, xxix, 478 pp.
- [AP] M. Aguilar, C. Prieto, Quasifibrations and Bott periodicity, *Topology and its applications*, V. 98 (1999), 3–17.
- [AM] M. Artin, B. Mazur, *Etale homotopy*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. 100, Springer, 1969, iii, 169 pp.
- [A] M.F. Atiyah, *K-theory*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., 1967, iv, 166 pp.
- [AS₅] M.F. Atiyah, I.M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators: V, *Annals of Mathematics*, V. 93, No. 1 (1971), 139–149.
- [AS] M.F. Atiyah, I.M. Singer, Index theory for skew-adjoint Fredholm operators, *Publications mathématiques IHES*, T. 37 (1969), 5–26.
- [APS] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi, I.M. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, V. 79, No. 1 (1976), 77–99.
- [B₁] M.J. Behrens, A new proof of the Bott periodicity theorem, *Topology and its applications*, V. 119 (2002), 167–183.
- [B₂] M.J. Behrens, Addendum to “A new proof of the Bott periodicity theorem”, *Topology and its applications*, V. 143 (2004), 281–290.
- [Br] R. Brown, *Topology and groupoids*, www.groupoids.org, 2006, xxvi, 512 pp.
- [tD₁] T. tom Dieck, Partitions of unity in homotopy theory, *Compositio Mathematica*, V. 23, F. 2 (1971), 156–167.
- [tD₂] T. tom Dieck, On the homotopy type of classifying spaces, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, V. 11 (1974), 41–49.
- [tD₃] T. tom Dieck, *Algebraic topology*, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2008, xi, 565 pp.
- [DD] J. Dixmier, A. Douady, Champs continus d’espaces hilbertiens et de C^* -algèbres, *Bulletin de la S.M.F.*, T. 91 (1963), 227–284.
- [Do] A. Dold, Partitions of unity in the theory of fibrations, *Annals of Mathematics*, V. 78, No. 2 (1963), 223–255.

- [DT] A. Dold, R. Thom, Quasufaserungen und unendliche symmetrische Produkte, *Annals of Mathematics*, V. 9, No. 2 (1958), 239–281.
- [Du] D. Dugger, A primer on homotopy colimits, Preprint, 2010, 108 pp.
- [DI] D. Dugger, D.C. Isaksen, Topological hypercovers and \mathbb{A}^1 -realizations, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, V. 246 (2004), 667–689.
- [ER] J. Ebert, O. Randal-Williams, Semisimplicial spaces, *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, V. 19 (2019), 2099–2150.
- [EZ] S. Eilenberg, J. Zilber, Semi-simplicial complexes and singular homology, *Annals of Mathematics*, V. 51, No. 3 (1950), 499–513.
- [G] C.H. Giffen, Bott periodicity and the Q-construction, *Contemporary Mathematics*, V. 199, pp. 107–124.
- [Gr] D. Grayson, Higher algebraic K-theory: II (after D. Quillen), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. 551, Springer, 1976, 217–240.
- [H] B. Harris, Bott periodicity via simplicial spaces, *Journal of Algebra*, V. 62 (1980), 450–454.
- [Ha] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002, xii, 544 pp.
- [I] N.V. Ivanov, Topological categories related to Fredholm operators: II. The analytical index, Preprint, 2021, 49 pp.
- [Ka] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Bd. 132, Springer, 1966, xxi, 619 pp.
- [Ke] J. Kelly, *General topology*, D. van Nostrand Co., New York, 1955.
- [Ku] N. Kuiper, The homotopy type of the unitary group of Hilbert space, *Topology*, V. 3, No. 1 (1964), 19–39.
- [L] J. Lillig, A union theorem for cofibrations, *Arch. math.*, V. 24 (1973), 410–415.
- [Mc] D. McDuff, Configuration spaces, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. 575, Springer, 1977, pp. 88–95.
- [MP] R. Melrose, P. Piazza, Families of Dirac operators, boundaries and the b -calculus, *Journal of differential geometry*, V. 45 (1997), 99–180.
- [Mo] K. Morita, On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings, *Proced. Japan Academy*, V. 27, No. 10 (1953), 537–543.
- [PS] A. Pressley, G. Segal, *Loop groups*, Oxford University Press, 1986, viii, 318 pp.

- [Q] D. Quillen, Higher algebraic K-theory: I, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. 341, Springer, 1973, 85–147.
- [ReS] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, V. I: Functional analysis*, Revised and enlarged edition, Academic Press, 1980, ix, 400 pp.
- [RS] C.P. Rourke, B.J. Sanderson, Δ -sets I: Homotopy theory, *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics. Oxford. Second Series*, V. 22, No. 3 (1971), pp. 321–338.
- [Sch] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded self-adjoint operators*, Springer, 2012, xx, 432 pp.
- [S₁] G. Segal, Classifying spaces and spectral sequences, *Publicationes Mathematicae IHES*, V. 34, (1968), pp. 105–112.
- [S₂] G. Segal, Configuration spaces and iterated loop spaces, *Inventiones mathematicae*, V. 21 (1973), 213–221.
- [S₃] G. Segal, Categories and cohomology theories, *Topology*, V. 13 (1974), 293–312.
- [S₄] G. Segal, K-homology and algebraic K-theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. 575, Springer, 1977, pp. 113–127.
- [Sr] V. Srinivas, *Algebraic K-theory*, Progress in mathematics, V. 90, Second edition, Springer, 1996, xvii, 341 pp.
- [St] N. Steenrod, A convenient category of topological spaces, *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, V. 14 (1967), 133–152.

November 28, 2021

<https://nikolaivivanov.com>

E-mail: nikolai.vivanov@icloud.com

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University