

Key Varieties for Prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano Threefolds Related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -Fibrations. Part II

Hiromichi Takagi

November 30, 2021

Abstract

We construct a 15-dimensional affine variety $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ with a GL_2 - and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -actions. We denote by $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ the affine variety obtained from $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ by setting one specified variable to 1 (we refer the precise definition to Definition 1.1 of the paper). Let $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ be several weighted projectivizations of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$, and $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ the weighted cone over $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ with a weight one coordinate added. We show that $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ produce, as weighted complete intersections, examples of prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of codimension four belonging to the eight classes No.308, 501, 512, 550, 577, 872, 878, and 1766 of the graded ring database [GRDB]. The construction of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is based on a certain type of unprojection and is inspired by R.Taylor's thesis [Tay] submitted to University of Warwick. We also show that a partial projectivization of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ has a $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration over the affine space \mathbb{A}^{10} . To show this, we introduce another 13-dimensional affine variety $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ whose product with an open subset of \mathbb{A}^2 is isomorphic to a sextic cover of an open subset of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Affine key varieties $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$	3
1.3	Main Theorem	5
1.4	Structure of the paper	8
2	Preliminary results	8
3	Gorensteinness of the affine coordinate ring of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$	9
3.1	Unprojection	9
3.2	Gorensteinness of R_{Π}	12
4	GL_2- and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$-actions on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$	13
5	Singular locus of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$	14

6	Factoriality of the affine coordinate ring of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$	17
7	Graded 9×16 free resolution	19
7.1	Weights for variables and equations	19
7.2	Graded 9×16 free resolution	19
8	Proof of Theorem 1.2	20
8.1	General strategy	20
8.2	Proof of Claims (A), (B), (C)	21
8.3	Projection of X to a hypersurface	28
8.3.1	No. 501, 512	29
8.3.2	No. 550, 872	29
8.3.3	No. 577, 878, 1766	30
9	Affine variety $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	31
9.1	Hypermatrix equation of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	31
9.2	$(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times \mathrm{S}_3$ -action on $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	32
9.3	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration associated to $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	33
9.4	Relation between $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ and $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$	35
10	More on geometry of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$	37
10.1	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration associated to $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$	37
10.2	More on singularities of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$	37

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

In this paper, we work over \mathbb{C} , the complex number field.

A complex projective variety is called a \mathbb{Q} -Fano variety if it is a normal variety with only terminal singularities, and its anti-canonical divisor is ample. A \mathbb{Q} -Fano variety is called *prime* if its anti-canonical divisor generates the group of numerical equivalence classes of divisors. This paper is the sequel to [Tak1], where we construct affine varieties $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$, and produce, as weighted complete intersections of their weighted projectivizations, several examples of prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of anti-canonical codimension four. Here, by the anti-canonical codimension of a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold X , we mean the codimension of X in the weighted projective space of the minimal dimension determined by the anti-canonical graded ring of X , and we denote it by ac_X .

In this paper, we construct a new affine variety $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ and produce, as weighted complete intersections of its weighted projectivizations $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or the weighted cones over $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$, several new examples of prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds of anti-canonical codimension four.

1.2 Affine key varieties $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$

To construct the affine variety $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$, we introduce an affine variety $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ which will contain $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ as a divisor. For this, we start from a non-normal affine variety whose normalization is \mathbb{A}^6 , whose construction is a modification of those given in [Tay, Ex. 5.2.2]. Let $\mathbb{A}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^8$ be the morphism defined by $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, t_1, w) \mapsto (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, u, v, t_1, t_2)$ with

$$u = wp_1 + w^2p_2, v = wp_3 + w^2p_4, t_2 = w^3 + t_1w \quad (1.1)$$

and p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, t_1 being unchanged. We can easily check that this is finite and birational onto its image, hence the image is non-normal. Moreover, we can show that the image is defined by all the 3×3 minors of the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} u & v & -t_2p_2 & -t_2p_4 & -t_2p_1 + t_1u & -t_2p_3 + t_1v \\ -p_1 & -p_3 & u + t_1p_2 & v + t_1p_4 & -t_2p_2 & -t_2p_4 \\ -p_2 & -p_4 & -p_1 & -p_3 & u & v \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.2)$$

(see Proposition 2.1). For i, j, k with $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$, we denote by M_{ijk} the 3×3 matrix whose 1, 2, 3 th columns coincide with i, j, k th columns of M , respectively and set $D_{ijk} := \det M_{ijk}$. It is easy to see that the ideal generated by all the 3×3 minors of M in $\mathbb{C}[p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, u, v, t_1, t_2]$ are generated by $D_{123}, D_{124}, D_{125}, D_{126}, D_{135}, D'_{136} := D_{136} + 2D_{145}, D'_{245} := D_{245} + 2D_{146}$, and D_{246} (slightly strange choices of D'_{136} and D'_{245} are for the group action on the hypersurface $\{G = 0\}$ defined just below. See Proposition 4.1). Then we consider the hypersurface in the affine space with coordinates $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, u, v, t_1, t_2$, and $L_{123}, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{126}, L_{135}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246}$ defined by the following polynomial:

$$G := L_{123}D_{123} + L_{124}D_{124} + L_{125}D_{125} + L_{126}D_{126} \\ + L_{135}D_{135} + 3L_{136}D'_{136} + 3L_{245}D'_{245} + L_{246}D_{246}. \quad (1.3)$$

Inspired by the theory of unprojection, we define the following affine variety with nine equations.

Definition 1.1. (1) We consider the affine space \mathbb{A}^{19} with coordinates $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, u, v, t_1, t_2, L_{123}, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{126}, L_{135}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246}$ and s_1, s_2, s_3 . In this affine space, we define an affine scheme $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ by the following nine polynomials F_1, \dots, F_9 :

$$F_1 = (us_1 - p_1s_2 - p_2s_3) - L_{126}(-p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) - L_{136}(p_1^2 + t_1p_2^2 + p_2u) \\ - L_{245}(2p_1p_3 + 2t_1p_2p_4 + p_4u + p_2v) - L_{246}(p_3^2 + t_1p_4^2 + p_4v),$$

$$F_2 = (vs_1 - p_3s_2 - p_4s_3) + L_{125}(-p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) + L_{135}(p_1^2 + t_1p_2^2 + p_2u) \\ + L_{136}(2p_1p_3 + 2t_1p_2p_4 + p_4u + p_2v) + L_{245}(p_3^2 + t_1p_4^2 + p_4v),$$

$$F_3 = (-t_2p_2s_1 + (u + t_1p_2)s_2 - p_1s_3) - L_{124}(-p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) - L_{136}(t_2p_2^2 + p_1u) \\ - L_{245}(2t_2p_2p_4 + p_3u + p_1v) - L_{246}(t_2p_4^2 + p_3v),$$

$$F_4 = (-t_2p_4s_1 + (v + t_1p_4)s_2 - p_3s_3) + L_{123}(-p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) + L_{135}(t_2p_2^2 + p_1u) \\ + L_{136}(2t_2p_2p_4 + p_3u + p_1v) + L_{245}(t_2p_4^2 + p_3v),$$

$$F_5 = (-t_2p_1s_1 + (t_1p_1 - t_2p_2)s_2 + (u + t_1p_2)s_3) \\ + L_{123}(p_1^2 + t_1p_2^2 + p_2u) + L_{124}(p_1p_3 + t_1p_2p_4 + p_2v) \\ - L_{125}(t_2p_2^2 + p_1u) - L_{126}(t_2p_2p_4 + p_1v) \\ - L_{136}(t_1p_2u - t_2p_1p_2 + u^2) - L_{245}(t_1(p_4u + p_2v) - t_2(p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) + 2uv) \\ - L_{246}(t_1p_4v - t_2p_3p_4 + v^2),$$

$$F_6 = (-t_2p_3s_1 + (t_1p_3 - t_2p_4)s_2 + (v + t_1p_4)s_3) \\ + L_{123}(t_1p_2p_4 + p_1p_3 + p_4u) + L_{124}(p_3^2 + t_1p_4^2 + p_4v) \\ - L_{125}(t_2p_2p_4 + p_3u) - L_{126}(t_2p_4^2 + p_3v) \\ + L_{135}(t_1p_2u - t_2p_1p_2 + u^2) + L_{136}(t_1(p_4u + p_2v) - t_2(p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) + 2uv) \\ + L_{245}(t_1p_4v - t_2p_3p_4 + v^2),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_7 = & (-s_2^2 + s_1s_3) + ((L_{123}p_2 + L_{124}p_4)s_1 - (L_{125}p_2 + L_{126}p_4)s_2) \\
& + L_{123}(L_{136}p_2^2 + 2L_{245}p_2p_4 + L_{246}p_4^2) - L_{124}(L_{135}p_2^2 + 2L_{136}p_2p_4 + L_{245}p_4^2) \\
& - L_{125}(L_{136}p_1p_2 + L_{245}(p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) + L_{246}p_3p_4) + L_{126}(L_{135}p_1p_2 + L_{136}(p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) + L_{245}p_3p_4) \\
& + (L_{135}L_{245} - L_{136}^2)(-p_1^2 + 2up_2 + t_1p_2^2) + (L_{135}L_{246} - L_{136}L_{245})(-p_1p_3 + up_4 + vp_2 + t_1p_2p_4) \\
& + (L_{136}L_{246} - L_{245}^2)(-p_3^2 + 2vp_4 + t_1p_4^2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_8 = & (s_2s_3 + t_1s_1s_2 - t_2s_1^2) + s_1(L_{123}p_1 + L_{124}p_3) - s_2(L_{125}p_1 + L_{126}p_3) \\
& + L_{123}(L_{136}p_1p_2 + L_{245}(p_1p_4 + p_3p_2) + L_{246}p_3p_4) - L_{124}(L_{135}p_1p_2 + L_{136}(p_1p_4 + p_3p_2) + L_{245}p_3p_4) \\
& - L_{125}(L_{136}p_1^2 + 2L_{245}p_1p_3 + L_{246}p_3^2) + L_{126}(L_{135}p_1^2 + 2L_{136}p_1p_3 + L_{245}p_3^2) \\
& + (-L_{136}^2 + L_{135}L_{245})(2up_1 + 2t_1p_1p_2 - t_2p_2^2) \\
& + (L_{135}L_{246} - L_{136}L_{245})(vp_1 + up_3) + t_1(p_1p_4 + p_3p_2) - t_2p_2p_4 \\
& + (L_{246}L_{136} - L_{245}^2)(2vp_3 + 2t_1p_3p_4 - t_2p_4^2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_9 = & (t_1s_2^2 + s_3^2 - s_1s_2t_2) + s_1(L_{123}u + L_{124}v) - s_2(L_{125}u + L_{126}v) \\
& + (L_{124}L_{125} - L_{123}L_{126})(-p_2p_3 + p_1p_4) \\
& - L_{123}(L_{246}(p_3^2 + t_1p_4^2) + L_{136}(p_1^2 + t_1p_2^2) + 2L_{245}(p_1p_3 + t_1p_2p_4)) \\
& + L_{124}(L_{135}(p_1^2 + t_1p_2^2) + 2L_{136}(p_1p_3 + t_1p_2p_4) + L_{245}(p_3^2 + t_1p_4^2)) \\
& + L_{125}t_2(L_{246}p_4^2 + L_{136}p_2^2 + 2L_{245}p_2p_4) - L_{126}t_2(L_{135}p_2^2 + 2L_{136}p_2p_4 + L_{245}p_4^2) \\
& + (-L_{136}^2 + L_{135}L_{245})(u^2 + 2t_2p_1p_2) + (L_{135}L_{246} - L_{136}L_{245})(uv + t_2(p_2p_3 + p_1p_4)) \\
& + (L_{246}L_{136} - L_{245}^2)(v^2 + 2t_2p_3p_4).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) We denote by $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ the affine scheme obtained from $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ by setting $L_{246} = 1$.

Following [P1] and [Tay], we show in the section 3 that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is a 15-dimensional normal Gorenstein varieties of codimension 4 in \mathbb{A}^{19} . Moreover, we show that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ has only terminal singularities (Proposition 10.2).

1.3 Main Theorem

The main result of this paper is the following theorem, for which we use for the classes of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds the assigned numbers in the database [GRDB]. We prepare one convention; by a *numerical data* for a prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold X of No. *, we mean the quadruplet consisting of the Fano index of X , the genus $g(X) := h^0(-K_X) - 2$, the basket of singularities of X , and the Hilbert numerator of X with respect to $-K_X$, which are given in the database [GRDB].

Theorem 1.2. *The following assertions hold:*

(1) (1-1) *There exists a quasi-smooth prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold X with $\text{ac}_X = 4$ for the eight numerical data No. 308, 501, 512, 550, 577, 872, 878, and 1766 of [GRDB] such that it is obtained as a weighted complete intersection from the*

weighted projectivization $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ whose weights of coordinates given below, or the cone $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ over $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ with a weight one coordinate added.

We denote by $w(*)$ the weight of the coordinate $*$.

Weights for No. 308, 501, 512, 550, 872:

$$\begin{pmatrix} w(u) & w(v) \\ w(p_1) & w(p_3) \\ w(p_2) & w(p_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d-1 & d+1 \\ d-2 & d \\ d-3 & d-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(w(s_1) \ w(s_2) \ w(s_3)) = (d+1 \ d+2 \ d+3), \ w(t_1) = 2, \ w(t_2) = 3,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} w(L_{123}) & w(L_{125}) \\ w(L_{124}) & w(L_{126}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \ w(L_{135}) = 6, \ w(L_{136}) = 4, \ w(L_{245}) = 2,$$

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^{17}(2^2, 3^2, 4^2, 5, 6^2, d-3, d-2, d-1^2, d, d+1^2, d+2, d+3),$$

where $d = 7, 7, 6, 5, 4$ for No. 308, 501, 512, 550, 872 respectively.

Weights for No. 577, 878, 1766:

$$\begin{pmatrix} w(u) & w(v) \\ w(p_1) & w(p_3) \\ w(p_2) & w(p_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d+1 & d+2 \\ d & d+1 \\ d-1 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(w(s_1) \ w(s_2) \ w(s_3)) = (d+1 \ d+2 \ d+3), \ w(t_1) = 2, \ w(t_2) = 3,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} w(L_{123}) & w(L_{125}) \\ w(L_{124}) & w(L_{126}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ w(L_{135}) = 3, \ w(L_{136}) = 2, \ w(L_{245}) = 1,$$

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^{17}(1, 2^3, 3^4, 4, d-1, d^2, d+1^3, d+2^2, d+3),$$

where $d = 4, 3, 2$ for No. 577, 878, 1766 respectively.

Moreover, descriptions of X in each classes are presented as in Table 1.1.

(1-2) For such an X of No. 501, 512, the locus $\mathbb{P}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \cap X$ set-theoretically consists of the s_1 -point, which is the unique highest index cyclic quotient singularity of X . A projection of X is induced by the projection of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ from the intersection with the locus $\mathbb{P}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ to the cone over the hypersurface $\{G = 0\}|_{L_{246}=1}$ with a weight one coordinate added. Moreover this projection of X is birational.

(1-3) For such an X of No. 550, 872, 577, 878 or 1766, there exists a projection of X to a hypersurface of weight 18, 15, 18, 15 or 12 respectively with the center being any one of the highest index cyclic quotient singularities of X . Moreover this projection is birational (for more detailed descriptions of the projection as in (1-2), see the subsections 8.3.2 and 8.3.3).

(2) A general member T of $|-K_X|$ for a general X as in (1) is a quasi-smooth K3 surface with only Du Val singularities of type A. Moreover, T has only singularities at points where X is singular and if X has a $1/\alpha(\beta, -\beta, 1)$ -singularity at a point for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, then has a $1/\alpha(\beta, -\beta)$ -singularity there.

Table 1.1: Descriptions of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds X

No.	\mathbb{P}_X	Baskets of singularities	$X \subset \Pi$
308	$\mathbb{P}(1, 5, 6^2, 7, 8, 9, 10)$	$1/2(1, 1, 1), 1/3(1, 1, 2), 1/5(1, 2, 3),$ $2 \times 1/6(1, 1, 5)$	$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \cap (2)^2 \cap (3)^2 \cap (4)^3 \cap (5) \cap (6)^2 \cap (8)$
501	$\mathbb{P}(1, 3, 6, 7, 8^2, 9, 10)$	$1/2(1, 1, 1), 4 \times 1/3(1, 1, 2), 1/8(1, 1, 7)$	$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \cap (2)^2 \cap (3) \cap (4)^3 \cap (5)^2 \cap (6)^3$
512	$\mathbb{P}(1, 3, 5, 6, 7^2, 8, 9)$	$3 \times 1/3(1, 1, 2), 1/5(1, 2, 3), 1/7(1, 1, 6)$	$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \cap (2)^2 \cap (3)^2 \cap (4)^3 \cap (5)^2 \cap (6)^2$
550	$\mathbb{P}(1, 3, 4, 5, 6^2, 7, 8)$	$1/2(1, 1, 1), 3 \times 1/3(1, 1, 2), 1/4(1, 1, 3),$ $1/6(1, 1, 5)$	$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \cap (2)^3 \cap (3)^2 \cap (4)^3 \cap (5) \cap (6)^2$
872	$\mathbb{P}(1, 3^2, 4, 5^2, 6, 7)$	$5 \times 1/3(1, 1, 2), 1/5(1, 1, 4)$	$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (2)^3 \cap (3)^2 \cap (4)^2 \cap (5) \cap (6)^2$
577	$\mathbb{P}(1, 3, 4, 5^2, 6^2, 7)$	$1/2(1, 1, 1), 3 \times 1/3(1, 1, 2), 2 \times 1/5(1, 1, 4)$	$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (2)^3 \cap (3)^4 \cap (4)^2 \cap (5)$
878	$\mathbb{P}(1, 3^2, 4^2, 5^2, 6)$	$4 \times 1/3(1, 1, 2), 2 \times 1/4(1, 1, 3)$	$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (2)^4 \cap (3)^4 \cap (4)^2$
1766	$\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3^3, 4^2, 5)$	$2 \times 1/2(1, 1, 1), 5 \times 1/3(1, 1, 2)$	$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (1) \cap (2)^4 \cap (3)^4 \cap (4)$

The existence of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds as in Theorem 1.2 (1) is suggested in [Tay, the subsec.5.2] except No.308. It is a bonus of our key variety construction to show the existence of a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold X of No.308. Note that such an X was shown to be birationally superrigid by [O].

Finally in this subsection, we mention our future plan related to the present and previous works. In this paper, we introduce another affine variety $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. We treat it as a supporting character to investigate a projective geometric property of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$. In the forthcoming paper [Tak2], we show that $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has a closed subvariety isomorphic to the cluster variety of type C_2 , where we refer to [CD] for the definition of the cluster variety of type C_2 . Then we show that several weighted projectivizations of closed subvarieties of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ produce more general prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds than those obtained from the cluster variety of type C_2 . Moreover, a weighted projectivization of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ itself produces a prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of No.20652, which was not obtained from the cluster variety of type C_2 .

We also plan to construct prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds X with $\text{ac}_X = 4$ using key varieties related to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -fibrations.

1.4 Structure of the paper

The aim of this paper is twofold; the construction of \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefolds as in Theorem 1.2, and the description of the key variety $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$.

After obtaining preliminary results in the section 2, we show in the section 3 that the affine coordinate ring of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is a domain (Proposition 3.1) and is Gorenstein (Proposition 3.3) using the theory of unprojection and following the papers [P1] and [Tay]. In the sections 4 and 5, we describe a group action on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ and determine the singular locus of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$, respectively. In the section 6, we show that the affine coordinate ring of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is factorial (Proposition 6.3), and as an application of this, we describe the divisor class groups of weighted projectivizations of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ and weighted complete intersections in them (Proposition 6.5). In the section 7, we calculate the graded free resolution of the affine coordinate ring of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ (Proposition 7.1) and observe that it is compatible with the data given in [GRDB] (Corollaries 7.2 and 7.3). In the section 8, we show Theorem 1.2. To investigate properties of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ more, we introduce and describe another affine variety $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ in the section 9. In the section 10, we describe a $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration structure of a partial projectivization of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ (Proposition 10.1) and detailed singularities of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ (Proposition 10.2).

Acknowledgment: The author owes many inspiring calculations in the paper to Professor Shinobu Hosono. The author wishes to thank him for his generous cooperations from the time of writing the previous paper. This work is supported in part by Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (C) 16K05090. The author would like to dedicate this paper to his father, who passed away while the author was preparing the paper.

2 Preliminary results

We set

$$S_G = \mathbb{C}[p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, u, v, t_1, t_2, L_{123}, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{126}, L_{135}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246}],$$

and

$$\tilde{S}_G = \mathbb{C}[p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, t_1, w, L_{123}, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{126}, L_{135}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246}].$$

Since these are related with the polynomial G as in (1.3), we put the subscripts G for them. Let $h: S_G \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G$ be the ring homomorphism defined with the equalities in (1.1) and the other coordinates being unchanged. Let $I_D := \ker h$ and D the subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^{16} = \operatorname{Spec} S_G$ defined by I_D . The subscheme D is irreducible and reduced since S_G/I_D is a subring of the polynomial ring \tilde{S}_G .

In this section, we study several properties of D and $\{G = 0\}$. All the results in this section follow from direct computations, hence their proofs are omitted.

Proposition 2.1. *The ideal I_D is generated by all the 3×3 minors of the 3×6 matrix M as in (1.2). Consequently, by the observation in the subsection 1.2, I_D is actually generated by $D_{123}, D_{124}, D_{125}, D_{126}, D_{135}, D'_{136}, D'_{245}, D_{246}$.*

We set $\tilde{D} = \text{Spec } \tilde{S}_G \simeq \mathbb{A}^{14}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\nu_D: \tilde{D} \rightarrow D$ be the induced morphism. Then ν_D is the normalization (and hence is surjective and D is irreducible). The singular locus of D is the common zero of the 2×2 minors of the matrix \mathbf{M} . In particular, codimension of $\text{Sing } D$ is two in D .*

We set $R_D := S_G/I_D$. By the definition of $h: S_G \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G$ (cf. (1.1)), we see that \tilde{S}_G is generated by $1, w, w^2$ as an R_D -module. Therefore an R_D -module surjective homomorphism $R_D^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G$ is induced. We may check that the composite of this $R_D^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G$ with the map $R_D^{\oplus 6} \rightarrow R_D^{\oplus 3}$ defined by multiplying the matrix M is a zero map. Therefore we obtain a complex $R_D^{\oplus 6} \xrightarrow{M} R_D^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 2.3. *The above obtained complex $R_D^{\oplus 6} \xrightarrow{M} R_D^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G \rightarrow 0$ is exact.*

Proposition 2.4. *The polynomial G is irreducible and the affine hypersurface $\{G = 0\}$ is normal.*

3 Gorensteinness of the affine coordinate ring of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$

Let $S_{\Pi} := S_G[s_1, s_2, s_3]$, which is a polynomial ring over \mathbb{C} with 19 variables. Let I_{Π} be the ideal of S_{Π} generated by the nine polynomials F_1, \dots, F_9 as in Definition 1.1 and set $R_{\Pi} := S_{\Pi}/I_{\Pi}$, which is the affine coordinate ring of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$.

Let $R_G = S_G/(G)$ and Q_G the quotient field of R_G (cf. Proposition 2.4). Note that a ring homomorphism $h': R_G \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G$ is induced from $h: S_G \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G$ since $G \in I_D$ by (1.3) and Proposition 2.1.

3.1 Unprojection

Following closely [Tay] (the origin of the arguments there is in [P1]), we show the following:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $J_D := I_D/(G)$. The affine coordinate ring R_{Π} is isomorphic to the unprojection ring $R_G[J_D^{-1}]$, where $J_D^{-1} := \{f \in Q_G \mid fJ_D \in R_G\}$ and $R_G[J_D^{-1}]$ is a subring of Q_G generated by J_D^{-1} over R_G . In particular, R_{Π} is a domain.*

Proof. We closely follow the arguments in [Tay, the subsec. 2.3]. We only give a sketch of the proof omitting the arguments there which work in our situation without change.

We prepare some notation. Note that the equations $F_1 = 0, F_2 = 0, F_5 = 0, F_6 = 0$ of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is equivalent to $F_1 = 0, F_2 = 0, F_5 + t_1 F_1 = 0, F_6 + t_1 F_2 = 0$. We may write $F_1 = 0, F_2 = 0, F_3 = 0, F_4 = 0, F_5 + t_1 F_1 = 0, F_6 + t_1 F_2 = 0$ in the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 & H_3 & H_4 & H_5 & H_6 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let M_{ijk}^\dagger be the adjoint matrix of M_{ijk} .

As for the arguments corresponding to those in the proof of [Tay, Lem. 2.3.4 and 2.3.6], we take a short cut referring to the explicit form of the equations $F_i = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq 9$) from the beginning. Taking account of F_1, F_2, F_3 , we define three rational functions $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in Q_G$ solving the matrix equations $\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \end{pmatrix} M_{123} = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 & H_3 \end{pmatrix}$, where we consider entries of M and H_1, H_2, H_3 are elements of R_G . In other words, we define $\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{D_{123}} \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 & H_3 \end{pmatrix} M_{123}^\dagger$, where we note that this is well-defined since $D_{123} \neq 0$ on $\{G=0\}$ by (1.3) and Proposition 2.4. We will show that s_1, s_2, s_3 are elements of J_D^{-1} . By straightforward calculations, we can verify the equality

$$D_{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} H_p & H_q & H_r \end{pmatrix} M_{pqr}^\dagger = D_{pqr} \begin{pmatrix} H_i & H_j & H_k \end{pmatrix} M_{ijk}^\dagger$$

holds in R_G for any $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$ and $1 \leq p < q < r \leq 6$. By the definition of s_1, s_2, s_3 , we have $D_{123} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 & H_3 \end{pmatrix} M_{123}^\dagger$. Therefore we have

$$D_{ijk} D_{123} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \end{pmatrix} = D_{123} \begin{pmatrix} H_i & H_j & H_k \end{pmatrix} M_{ijk}^\dagger$$

and then obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{D_{ijk}} \begin{pmatrix} H_i & H_j & H_k \end{pmatrix} M_{ijk}^\dagger \quad (3.2)$$

for any $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$. Hence s_1, s_2, s_3 are actually elements of J_D^{-1} by Proposition 2.1.

From the equality (3.2), we have $\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \end{pmatrix} M_{ijk} = \begin{pmatrix} H_i & H_j & H_k \end{pmatrix}$ for any $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$. Thus we have shown that the equalities $F_i = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$) hold in $R_G[J_D^{-1}]$ with the definition of s_1, s_2, s_3 as above. Moreover we may verify $F_7 = F_8 = F_9 = 0$ also hold in $R_G[J_D^{-1}]$ substituting s_1, s_2, s_3 in F_7, F_8, F_9 with the r.h.s. of (3.2) with $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$. Thus we have linear equations $F_1 = \dots = F_6 = 0$ and quadratic equations $F_7 = F_8 = F_9 = 0$ with respect to s_1, s_2, s_3 in $R_G[J_D^{-1}]$ corresponding to [Tay, Lem. 2.3.4 and 2.3.6].

We show that $R_G[J_D^{-1}]$ is generated by s_1, s_2, s_3 as R_G -algebra. Similarly to [Tay, Prop. 2.3.1 and Lem. 2.3.4], let $s'_1, s'_2, s'_3 \in J_D^{-1}$ be lifts of $1, w, w^2 \in \tilde{S}_G$ by the residue map $r: J_D^{-1} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G$ defined as in [Tay, Lem. 2.3.3]. Then $R_G[J_D^{-1}]$ is generated by s'_1, s'_2, s'_3 as R_G -algebra and there exists a relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} s'_1 & s'_2 & s'_3 \end{pmatrix} M = \begin{pmatrix} H'_1 & H'_2 & H'_3 & H'_4 & H'_5 & H'_6 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

for some $H'_i \in R_G$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$) as in [Tay, Lem. 2.3.4]. Note that, similarly to (3.2), we obtain from (3.3)

$$\begin{pmatrix} s'_1 & s'_2 & s'_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{D_{ijk}} \begin{pmatrix} H'_i & H'_j & H'_k \end{pmatrix} M_{ijk}^\dagger \quad (3.4)$$

for any $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$. Since $r(s'_1), r(s'_2), r(s'_3)$ generates \tilde{S}_G as an R_G -module, there exists a 3×3 matrix A whose entries are elements of R_G such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} r(s_1) & r(s_2) & r(s_3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r(s'_1) & r(s'_2) & r(s'_3) \end{pmatrix} h'(A), \quad (3.5)$$

where we denote by $h'(A)$ the matrix whose (i, j) entries are the h' -images of (i, j) entries of A ($1 \leq i, j \leq 3$). Therefore all the entries of $\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \\ s'_1 & s'_2 & s'_3 \end{pmatrix} A$ are elements of R_G . By (3.2) and (3.4) with $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$, this implies that D_{123} divides in R_G all the entries of the vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 & H_3 \end{pmatrix} M_{123}^\dagger - \begin{pmatrix} H'_1 & H'_2 & H'_3 \end{pmatrix} M_{123}^\dagger A.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} h'(H_1) & h'(H_2) & h'(H_3) \end{pmatrix} h'(M_{123}^\dagger) = \begin{pmatrix} h'(H'_1) & h'(H'_2) & h'(H'_3) \end{pmatrix} h'(M_{123}^\dagger) h'(A) \quad (3.6)$$

since $h'(D_{123}) = 0$, where $h'(M_{123}^\dagger)$ is defined for M_{123}^\dagger similarly to $h'(A)$. We set $h'(M_{123}^\dagger) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m}_1 & \mathbf{m}_2 & \mathbf{m}_3 \end{pmatrix}$. By an explicit calculation using (1.1), we see that $\mathbf{m}_2 = w\mathbf{m}_1$ and $\mathbf{m}_3 = w^2\mathbf{m}_1$. Therefore there are elements P, P' of R_G such that $\begin{pmatrix} h'(H_1) & h'(H_2) & h'(H_3) \end{pmatrix} h'(M_{123}^\dagger) = h'(P) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w & w^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} h'(H'_1) & h'(H'_2) & h'(H'_3) \end{pmatrix} h'(M_{123}^\dagger) = h'(P') \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w & w^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, by (3.6), we have

$$h'(P) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w & w^2 \end{pmatrix} = h'(P') \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w & w^2 \end{pmatrix} h'(A). \quad (3.7)$$

Computing the l.h.s. of (3.6) explicitly and noting it is quadratic with respect to t_1 , we can check that $h'(P)$, which is the $(1, 1)$ entry of the l.h.s. of (3.6), is an irreducible polynomial in \tilde{S}_G without any monomials divided by positive powers of w . Therefore, by (3.7), $h'(P')$ is a constant or the $(1, 1)$ entry n_{11} of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & w & w^2 \end{pmatrix} h'(A)$ is a constant. Assume that n_{11} is a constant. Then, since $\begin{pmatrix} r(s'_1) & r(s'_2) & r(s'_3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w & w^2 \end{pmatrix}$, we see that $r(s'_1)$ is a constants by (3.5). Then, by Lemma 3.2 below, we see that $r(s_1), r(s_2), r(s_3)$ generates \tilde{S}_G as an R_G -module, which implies that $R_G[J_D^{-1}]$ is generated by s_1, s_2, s_3 as R_G -algebra. It remains to derive a contradiction assuming $h'(P')$ is a constant. Note that the entries of $\begin{pmatrix} H'_1 & H'_2 & H'_3 \end{pmatrix} M_{123}^\dagger$ are elements of $J_{\text{Sing } D}$ by Proposition 2.2, where $J_{\text{Sing } D} \subset R_G$ is the ideal of $\text{Sing } D$. Since $\text{Ker } h' = J_D$ and $J_D \subset J_{\text{Sing } D}$, we see that $J_{\text{Sing } D}$ would contain a constant, a contradiction since $\text{Sing } D$ is nonempty.

Let $\varphi: R_G[S_1, S_2, S_3] \rightarrow R_G[J_D^{-1}]$ be the ring homomorphism defined by $S_i \mapsto s_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and \tilde{F}_j ($1 \leq j \leq 6$) elements of $R_G[S_1, S_2, S_3]$ obtained from F_j by substituting s_i with S_i . As we saw above, φ is surjective. It is clear that $\tilde{F}_j \in \ker \varphi$. Now we show that $\ker \varphi \subset (\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_9)$, which implies that φ is injective and completes the proof of the proposition. Let G be any element of $\ker \varphi$. Using $\tilde{F}_7, \tilde{F}_8, \tilde{F}_9$, we may assume that G is linear with respect to S_2 and S_3 . Thus we may write $G = \alpha_1 S_1^k + S_1^{k-1}(\alpha_2 S_2 + \alpha_3 S_3) + H$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in R_G$ and $H \in R_G[S_1, S_2, S_3]$ is of total degree at most $k - 1$. If $k = 1$, then, by virtue of Proposition 2.3, we can show $G \in (\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_9)$ almost in the same way as in the proof of [Tay, Prop. 2.3.2]. If $k \geq 2$, then, by the proof of [Tay, Prop. 2.3.2] again, we can show $G \in (\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_9)$ by induction on k . \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let f_1, f_2, f_3 be elements of \tilde{S}_G such that $\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{pmatrix} h'(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{0}$. It holds that $f_2 = wf_1$ and $f_3 = w^2f_1$.*

Proof. By the first column of $\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{pmatrix} h'(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{0}$, we have $(f_1w - f_2)p_1 + (f_1w^2 - f_3)p_2 = 0$. Thus there is an element $A \in \tilde{S}_G$ such that $f_1w - f_2 = p_2A$ and $f_1w^2 - f_3 = -p_1A$. Similarly, by the second column of $\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \end{pmatrix} h'(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{0}$, we see that there is an element $B \in \tilde{S}_G$ such that $f_1w - f_2 = p_4B$ and $f_1w^2 - f_3 = -p_3B$. Therefore we have $p_2A = p_4B$ and $p_1A = p_3B$. This finally implies that $A = B = 0$ and hence we have the assertion. \square

3.2 Gorensteinness of R_Π

Proposition 3.3. *The affine coordinate ring R_Π is Gorenstein.*

Proof. Since we can proceed almost in the same way as in [Tay, the subsec. 2.4], we only give a sketch of the proof (again we basically follow [Tay] but the origin of the arguments is in [P1]).

To show R_Π is Gorenstein, it is enough to prove $R_\Pi/(s_1)$ is Gorenstein. Since $s_1 \in J_D^{-1}$ by the proof of Proposition 3.1, it defines an R_G -homomorphism $J_D \rightarrow R_G$, which we also denote by s_1 . By [PR, Lem. 1.1], we may assume that s_1 is injective. By this possible replacement of s_1 , the polynomials F_1, \dots, F_9 can be changed but this is harmless since still F_1, \dots, F_6 are linear and F_7, F_8, F_9 are quadratic with respect to s_1, s_2, s_3 , and the linear parts of F_1, \dots, F_6 and the quadratic parts of F_7, F_8, F_9 are unchanged. Let J_N be the image of the injection $s_1: J_D \rightarrow R_G$, where N denotes the closed subscheme of $\{G = 0\}$ defined by J_N . Then, following the proofs of [Tay, Lem. 2.4.1 and Lem. 2.4.2], we can show the codimension of N in $\{G = 0\}$ is one and the following isomorphisms of R_G -modules hold:

$$R_\Pi/(s_1) \simeq \text{Hom}_{R_G}(J_D, R_G)/\langle s_1 \rangle \simeq \text{Hom}_{R_G}(J_N, R_G)/\langle i_N \rangle \simeq \omega_N, \quad (3.8)$$

where $i_N: J_N \rightarrow R_G$ is the standard injective R_G -homomorphism (we also note that $R_\Pi/(s_1)$ is a quotient ring by an ideal while $\text{Hom}_{R_G}(J_D, R_G)/\langle s_1 \rangle$ and $\text{Hom}(J_N, R_G)/\langle i_N \rangle$ are quotient R_G -modules by sub R_G -modules). We add one remark; to get the first isomorphism, we use the equations F_7, F_8, F_9 , with which we can show any element of $R_\Pi/(s_1)$ has at most linear terms with respect to s_2, s_3 (see [P1, Prop. 2.10] for details). By (3.8), we see that $R_\Pi/(s_1)$ is finite as an R_G -module by (3.8) since so is R_G/J_N and hence so is ω_N . Now, following the proof of [Tay, Lem. 2.4.3], we can show that $\text{depth}_{R_G}\omega_N = \dim\{G = 0\} - 1$ (we note that, since $\nu_D: \tilde{D} \rightarrow D$ is isomorphic in codimension one by Proposition 2.2, it holds that $\omega_D \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}$ as an \mathcal{O}_D -module. Hence ω_D is a Cohen-Macaulay \mathcal{O}_D -module). Thus we have $\dim \omega_N \geq \dim\{G = 0\} - 1$. On the other hand, since the codimension of N in $\{G = 0\}$ is one, we have $\dim \omega_N \leq \dim\{G = 0\} - 1$. Thus it holds that $\dim \omega_N = \dim\{G = 0\} - 1$, hence $\text{depth}_{R_G}\omega_N = \dim \omega_N$. This implies that $R_\Pi/(s_1)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay R_G -module by (3.8) and hence $R_\Pi/(s_1)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. To show $R_\Pi/(s_1)$ is Gorenstein, it remains to show that $\omega_{R_\Pi/(s_1)}$ exists and is isomorphic to $R_\Pi/(s_1)$. Since $R_\Pi/(s_1)$ is

finite as an R_G -module, $\omega_{R_\Pi/(s_1)}$ exists and $\omega_{R_\Pi/(s_1)} \simeq \text{Ext}^1_{R_G}(R_\Pi/(s_1), R_G)$ by [BH, Thm. 3.3.7 (b)]. Therefore, to show that $\omega_{R_\Pi/(s_1)} \simeq R_\Pi/(s_1)$, it suffices to check that $\omega_N \simeq \text{Ext}^1_{R_G}(\omega_N, R_G)$ by (3.8). The proof of this fact is the same as that of [Tay, Lem. 2.4.4] except checking $\dim \text{coker } h_3 = \dim R_G - 3$, where h_3 is the R_G -homomorphism $R_D \rightarrow \omega_D$ defined exactly in the same way as in [Tay, Lem. 2.4.4]. Noting $\omega_D \simeq \omega_{\tilde{D}} \simeq \tilde{S}_G$, we can check that h_3 can be identifies with the standard R_G -homomorphism $R_D \rightarrow \tilde{S}_G$, whose cokernel is supported in $\text{Sing } D$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, we obtain $\dim \text{coker } h_3 = \dim R_G - 3$ as desired. \square

4 GL_2 - and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -actions on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$

Proposition 4.1. *For any element $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of GL_2 , we set*

$$\hat{g} = (1/\det g) \begin{pmatrix} d^2 & -cd & c^2 \\ -2bd & bc+ad & -2ac \\ b^2 & -ab & a^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group GL_2 acts on the affine variety $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ by the following rules: for any $g \in \text{GL}_2$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_3 \\ p_2 & p_4 \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_3 \\ p_2 & p_4 \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} g, \quad \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \det g \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} L_{123} & L_{125} \\ L_{124} & L_{126} \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto g^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} L_{123} & L_{125} \\ L_{124} & L_{126} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} L_{136} & L_{245} & L_{246} \\ -L_{135} & -L_{136} & -L_{245} \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto {}^t g \begin{pmatrix} L_{136} & L_{245} & L_{246} \\ -L_{135} & -L_{136} & -L_{245} \end{pmatrix} \hat{g}, \\ t_1 &\mapsto t_1, \quad t_2 \mapsto t_2, \end{aligned}$$

where g^\dagger is the adjoint matrix of g .

Moreover, the conditions on the weights of coordinates in the subsection 7.1 determines a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -action on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$.

Proof. By direct computations, we may check that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_3 & F_5 \\ F_2 & F_4 & F_6 \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto (\det g) {}^t g \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_3 & F_5 \\ F_2 & F_4 & F_6 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} F_7 \\ F_8 \\ F_9 \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto (\det g)^2 \begin{pmatrix} F_7 \\ F_8 \\ F_9 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for $g \in \text{GL}_2$. Therefore the above rules defines a GL_2 -action on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$.

The assertion on the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -action follows since we may choose $w(p_3)$, $w(u)$, $w(v)$, $w(G)$ freely. \square

Remark 4.2. The GL_2 -action on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is more visible by the following presentations of F_1 – F_6 .

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L} &:= \begin{pmatrix} L_{136} & L_{245} & L_{246} \\ -L_{135} & -L_{136} & -L_{245} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{U} &:= \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \\ U_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1^2 + t_1 p_2^2 + p_2 u \\ 2(p_1 p_3 + t_1 p_2 p_4) + (p_4 u + p_2 v) \\ p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2 + p_4 v \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{I} &:= \begin{pmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t_2 p_2^2 + p_1 u \\ 2t_2 p_2 p_4 + (p_3 u + p_1 v) \\ t_2 p_4^2 + p_3 v \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{A} &:= \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 p_2 u - t_2 p_1 p_2 + u^2 \\ t_1(p_4 u + p_2 v) - t_2(p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4) + 2uv \\ t_1 p_4 v - t_2 p_3 p_4 + v^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{v}_1 &:= (-p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4) \begin{pmatrix} L_{126} \\ -L_{125} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}, \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &:= (-p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4) \begin{pmatrix} L_{124} \\ -L_{123} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{v}_3 &:= - \begin{pmatrix} U_2 & -U_1 \\ U_3 & -U_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_{124} \\ -L_{123} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & -I_1 \\ I_3 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_{126} \\ -L_{125} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{A} \\ &\quad + 1/2(-p_3 u + p_1 v) \begin{pmatrix} L_{126} \\ -L_{125} \end{pmatrix} + 1/2(p_4 u - p_2 v) \begin{pmatrix} L_{124} \\ -L_{123} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_3 & F_5 \\ F_2 & F_4 & F_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & -p_1 & -p_2 \\ v & -p_3 & -p_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \\ s_2 & s_3 & t_2 s_1 - t_1 s_2 \\ s_3 & t_2 s_1 - t_1 s_2 & t_2 s_2 - t_1 s_3 \end{pmatrix} - (\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{v}_3).$$

It is desirable that F_7 – F_9 are also interpreted in this context.

5 Singular locus of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$

Let \mathbb{A}_{Π}^{10} be the affine 10-space with the coordinates $t_1, t_2, L_{123}, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{126}, L_{135}, L_{136}, L_{245}$, and L_{246} . In this section, we consider \mathbb{A}_{Π}^{10} is contained in the ambient affine space of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$. Then we see that $\mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10} \subset \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Sing $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is the union of the affine space \mathbb{A}_{Π}^{10} and a locally closed subset S of codimension seven in $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$. Along S , $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ has $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities, where we call a singularity isomorphic to the vertex of the cone over $G(2, 5)$ a $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularity. Moreover, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
S \cap \{p_2 p_4 \neq 0\} = \\
\{p_2 p_4 \neq 0, \\
p_1 = (p_2 p_3)/p_4, \\
u = p_2/p_4 v, v = -(p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2)/p_4, t_2 = -p_3 v/p_4^2, \\
s_1 = -(L_{136} p_2^2 + 2L_{245} p_2 p_4 + L_{246} p_4^2)/p_2, s_2 = (p_3/p_4) s_1, s_3 = -((2p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2)/p_4^2) s_1, \\
L_{123} = -(L_{126} p_2 p_3 p_4^2 + L_{136} (3p_3^2 + 2t_1 p_4^2) p_2^2 + 3L_{245} (p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2) p_2 p_4 + L_{246} t_1 p_4^4)/(p_2^2 p_4^2), \\
L_{124} = (L_{126} p_2 p_3 p_4 - L_{245} (3p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2) p_2 - L_{246} (3p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2) p_4)/(p_2 p_4^2), \\
L_{125} = (-L_{126} p_2 p_4^2 + 3L_{136} p_2^2 p_3 + 6L_{245} p_2 p_3 p_4 + 3L_{246} p_3 p_4^2)/(p_2^2 p_4), \\
L_{135} = -(p_4 (3L_{136} p_2^2 + 3L_{245} p_2 p_4 + L_{246} p_4^2))/p_2^3\}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is normal.

Proof. Assume that $p_2 p_4 \neq 0$. Then, we can solve the equation $F_2 = 0$ with respect to s_3 , and hence we may erase s_3 . Now we consider the following coordinate change: The coordinates $p_2, p_3, p_4, t_1, L_{126}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246}$ are unchanged while the coordinates $L_{123}, L_{124}, s_2, t_2, L_{125}, L_{135}, v, s_1, u, p_1$ are changed to

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{12} &= 9/(p_2 p_4^3) (L_{123} p_2 p_4^2 + L_{126} p_3 p_4^2 + p_3 p_4 s_2 + L_{135} p_2 p_4 u \\
&\quad + L_{136} (p_2 p_3^2 + p_1 p_3 p_4 + p_2 p_4 v) + 2L_{245} p_3^2 p_4), \\
m_{13} &= -(3/(p_2^2 p_4^2)) (L_{135} (p_1^2 + t_1 p_2^2) p_2^2 - L_{125} (p_2 p_3 - p_1 p_4) p_2^2 - L_{126} p_2^2 p_3 p_4 \\
&\quad + L_{246} (p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4) p_3 p_4 + L_{124} p_2^2 p_4^2 + p_2 (-p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4) s_2 + L_{135} p_2^2 u \\
&\quad + p_2^2 s_1 v + L_{136} (2p_1 p_3 + 2t_1 p_2 p_4 + 2p_4 u + p_2 v) p_2^2 \\
&\quad + L_{245} (p_2 p_3^2 + 2p_1 p_3 p_4 + t_1 p_2 p_4^2 + 2p_2 p_4 v) p_2), \\
m_{14} &= 3/(p_2^2 p_4) (p_2 p_4 s_2 + L_{136} p_2^2 p_3 + 2L_{245} p_2 p_3 p_4 + L_{246} p_3 p_4^2), \\
m_{15} &= -3(p_3 u + p_2 p_4 t_2)/p_4, \\
m_{23} &= 3/(p_2 p_4^2) (-(p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4) s_1 - p_2 p_4 s_2 - L_{125} p_2^2 p_4 - L_{126} p_2 p_4^2 \\
&\quad + L_{136} (-p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4) p_2 - L_{245} (p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4) p_4), \\
m_{24} &= 3/(p_2 p_4) (-L_{135} p_2^2 + p_4 s_1 - 2L_{136} p_2 p_4 - L_{245} p_4^2), \\
m_{25} &= -(3p_2/p_4^2) (p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2 + p_4 v), \\
m_{34} &= (p_2 s_1 + L_{136} p_2^2 + 2L_{245} p_2 p_4 + L_{246} p_4^2)/p_2, \\
m_{35} &= -(p_1^2 + t_1 p_2^2 + p_2 u) \\
m_{45} &= -p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, these define a coordinate change since these equations can be solve regularly with respect to $L_{123}, L_{124}, s_2, t_2, L_{125}, L_{135}, v, s_1, u, p_1$. Then, by direct computations for this coordinate change, we see that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_2 p_4 \neq 0\}$ is isomorphic to the variety defined by the five 4×4 Pfaffians of the skew-symmetric

matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} \\ & 0 & m_{23} & m_{24} & m_{25} \\ & & 0 & m_{34} & m_{35} \\ & & & 0 & m_{45} \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the open subset $\{p_2 p_4 \neq 0\}$ of the affine space \mathbb{A}^{18} with the coordinates m_{ij} ($1 \leq i < j \leq 5$), and $p_2, p_3, p_4, t_1, L_{126}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246}$. Therefore $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_2 p_4 \neq 0\}$ is singular along the locus corresponding to $\{m_{ij} = 0 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 5\}$ and has $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities there. We may verify $\{m_{ij} = 0 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 5\} \cap \{p_2 p_4 \neq 0\} = S \cap \{p_2 p_4 \neq 0\}$ as in the statement of the proposition.

Since points with $p_2 \neq 0$ or $p_4 \neq 0$ can be transformed by the GL_2 -action on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ to points with $p_2 p_4 \neq 0$ (Proposition 4.1), we see that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ has also $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities on the locus $\{p_2 = 0, p_4 \neq 0\} \cup \{p_2 \neq 0, p_4 = 0\}$.

Finally, we check the singularities of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ on $\{p_2 = p_4 = 0\}$ and show that $\text{Sing } \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_2 = p_4 = 0\} = \mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10}$. Before studying singularities of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ on $\{p_2 = p_4 = 0\}$, we show the smoothness of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ on several open subsets. If $p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3 \neq 0$, then we may eliminate $L_{123}, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{126}$ from the equations of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$, thus $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is smooth on the open subset $\{p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3 \neq 0\}$. If $U_1 = p_1^2 + t_1 p_2^2 + p_2 u \neq 0$, then we may eliminate $L_{123}, L_{135}, L_{136}$ from the equations of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$, so $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is isomorphic to a hypersurface on the open subset $\{U_1 \neq 0\}$. We can verify that this hypersurface is smooth. Therefore $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is smooth on the open subset $\{U_1 \neq 0\}$. By the GL_2 -symmetry of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$, we see that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is smooth on the open subset $\{U_3 \neq 0\}$. By the GL_2 -action on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ again, we also see that if $U_1 = U_3 = 0$ and $U_2 \neq 0$, then $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is smooth. Therefore $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is smooth outside $\{U = 0\}$. Similarly, we can show $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is smooth outside $\{I = 0\}$.

Therefore it holds that $\text{Sing } \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \subset \{U = I = 0, p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3 = 0\}$ by the above considerations.

Now we assume that $p_2 = p_4 = 0$. Then we can verify that $\{U = I = 0, p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3 = 0, p_2 = p_4 = 0\} \cap \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} = (\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 0\}) \cap (\{u = v = 0\} \cup \{s_1 = s_2 = 0\})$. We can calculate the Zariski tangent space at any point of these loci outside \mathbb{A}_{Π}^{10} , and then can show that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is smooth there. For example, let \mathfrak{p} be a point of $(\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = u = v = 0\}) \setminus \mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10}$. Then we have one of $s_1, s_2, s_3 \neq 0$. Assume that $s_3 \neq 0$. Then, by the polynomial F_9 , we have also $s_2 \neq 0$. Hence, by the polynomials F_7, F_8, F_9 , we have $s_1 = s_2^2/s_3, t_2 = (t_1 s_2^2 s_3 + s_3^3)/s_2^3$. Assume that $s_3 = 0$. Then, by the polynomial F_7 , we have also $s_2 = 0$. Hence, we obtain $(\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = u = v = 0\}) \setminus \mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10} = \{p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = u = v = 0\} \cap (\{s_2 s_3 \neq 0, s_1 = s_2^2/s_3, t_2 = (t_1 s_2^2 s_3 + s_3^3)/s_2^3\} \cup \{s_1 \neq 0, s_2 = s_3 = 0\})$. Using this descriptions, we can easily calculate the Zariski tangent space of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ at \mathfrak{p} using the polynomials $F_1 - F_9$.

By the dimension of the singular locus, $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ satisfies the R_1 -condition and also satisfies the S_2 -condition by Proposition 3.3. Therefore $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is normal. \square

6 Factoriality of the affine coordinate ring of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$

In this section, we show that the affine coordinate ring R_{Π} of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is a UFD, and give applications of this result. We use the following polynomial b frequently:

$$b := p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2 + p_4 v, \quad (6.1)$$

which is one of the 2×2 minors of the matrix M . We denote by \bar{b} the image of b in R_{Π} . In the proof of Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.3 below, we closely follow the arguments in [Tak1, the proof of Prop. 2.4].

Lemma 6.1. *The element of $\bar{b} \in R_{\Pi}$ is a prime element, equivalently, $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$ is irreducible and reduced.*

Proof. First we show that the assertion follows from the normality of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$. Note that the polynomial ring S_{Π} is positively graded with some weights of variables such that I_{Π} is homogeneous and b is semi-invariant (see the subsection 7.1). Then the ring R_{Π} is also graded with these weights. In this situation, \bar{b} defines an ample divisor on the corresponding weighted projectivization $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$. Note that $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ is irreducible and normal since so is $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ by Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, and $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ is a geometric \mathbb{C}^* -quotient of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$. By a general property of an ample divisor on an irreducible projective normal variety, the Cartier divisor $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \cap \{b = 0\}$ is connected and hence so is $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$. Therefore the normality of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$ implies that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$ is irreducible and reduced.

Now we show that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$ is normal. Since it is Gorenstein by Proposition 3.3 (note that \bar{b} is not a zero divisor by Proposition 3.1), we have only to show that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$ satisfies the R_1 -condition. Assume that $p_1 p_4 \neq 0$. Then, by the proof of Proposition 5.1, $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$ is isomorphic to the divisor $\{m_{25} = 0\}$ in the cone defined by the five 4×4 Pfaffians of the skew-symmetric matrix (m_{ij}) with the vertex $\{p_2 p_4 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}(p_2, p_3, p_4, t_1, L_{126}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246})$. Therefore it is easy to verify that it satisfies the R_1 -condition. Then we have only to check that $\text{codim}_{\Pi}(\{p_i = 0\} \cap \text{Sing}(\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\})) \geq 7$ for $i = 2, 4$. For this, we note that, by the proof of Proposition 5.1, $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is isomorphic to hypersurfaces on the open sets $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{I_1 \neq 0\}$, $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{I_3 \neq 0\}$, and $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3 \neq 0\}$. Using these descriptions, we may verify that $(\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\})$ satisfies the R_1 -condition on these open sets. Therefore it suffices to show that $\text{codim}_{\Pi}(\{p_i = 0, I_1 = 0, I_3 = 0, p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3 = 0\} \cap \text{Sing}(\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\})) \geq 7$ for $i = 2, 4$, which can be also verified by a straightforward calculations. \square

In the proof of Lemma 6.1, we have proved the following:

Corollary 6.2. *$\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b = 0\}$ is normal.*

Proposition 6.3. *The affine coordinate ring R_{Π} of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is a UFD.*

Proof. As we saw in the proof of Lemma 6.1, R_{Π} can be positively graded. In this situation, by [S, Prop. 7.4], R_{Π} is UFD if and only if so is the localization $R_{\Pi, o}$ of R_{Π} with respect to the maximal irrelevant ideal. Thus we have only to

prove that $R_{\Pi,o}$ is UFD. Abusing notation, we denote $R_{\Pi,o}$ by R_{Π} . We already know that R_{Π} is a domain by Proposition 3.1. Then, by Nagata's theorem [Ma, Thm. 20.2], it suffices to show that \bar{b} is a prime element, and the localization $(R_{\Pi})_{\bar{b}}$ is a UFD. We already show the first assertion in Lemma 6.1. Thus we have only to show the second assertion. We see that we may solve regularly on the open subset $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b \neq 0\}$ the equation $F_1 = F_2 = F_6 = 0$ with respect to $L_{246}, L_{245}, L_{124}$, namely, $L_{246}, L_{245}, L_{124}$ can be expressed as rational functions with multiples of b as denominators and with polynomials of other variables as numerators. Replacing $L_{246}, L_{245}, L_{124}$ with these expressions, the equations of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{b \neq 0\}$ are reduced to one equation, of which we omit the explicit form since it is lengthy. By the Jacobian criterion, we see that this is smooth. Therefore $(R_{\Pi})_{\bar{b}}$ is a complete intersection (a hypersurface) and its localizations with respect to all the prime ideals are regular. This implies that $(R_{\Pi})_{\bar{b}}$ is a UFD by [SGA, Cor. 3.10 and Thm. 3.13]. \square

To obtain information on $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$, we use the following:

Proposition 6.4. *There exists an isomorphism from $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{L_{246} \neq 0\}$ to $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^*$. Moreover, this induces an isomorphism from $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{L_{246} \neq 0, b \neq 0\}$ to $(\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{b \neq 0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^1)^*$.*

Proof. We can define a morphism $\iota_1: \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{L_{246} \neq 0\} \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ by the following coordinate change:

$$\alpha \mapsto \begin{cases} L_{246}^{-2}\alpha & : \alpha = s_1, s_2, s_3 \\ \alpha & : \alpha = t_1, t_2 \\ L_{246}^{-1}\alpha & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\iota_2: \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{L_{246} \neq 0\} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1)^*$ be the projection to $(\mathbb{A}^1)^*$ with L_{246} as coordinate. We can show easily that the morphism $\iota_1 \times \iota_2$ is an isomorphism and the latter assertion holds. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ be the weighted projectivization of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14}$ with some positive weights of coordinates, and $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ the weighted cone over $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ with a weight one coordinate added. It holds that*

- (1) *any prime Weil divisors on $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ are the intersections between $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ respectively, and weighted hypersurfaces. In particular, $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ are \mathbb{Q} -factorial and have Picard number one, and*
- (2) *let X be a quasi-smooth threefold such that X is a codimension 10 or 11 weighted complete intersection in $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ respectively. Assume moreover that $X \cap \{b = 0\}$ is a prime divisor. Then any prime Weil divisor on X is the intersection between X and a weighted hypersurface. In particular, X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and has Picard number one.*

Proof. The proofs of [Tak1, Cor. 2.5 and 2.6, Prop. 3.3] work almost verbatim using Propositions 6.3 and 6.4, so we omit the proof here. \square

7 Graded 9×16 free resolution

7.1 Weights for variables and equations

We assign weights for variables of the polynomial ring S_Π such that all the equations F_1 – F_9 are homogeneous. Moreover, we assume that all the variables are not zero allowing some of them are constants. Then it is easy to derive the following relations between the weights of variables of S_Π :

Weights for variables

$$\begin{aligned} w(p_1) &= w(p_3) + w(u) - w(v), \quad w(p_2) = 2w(p_3) + w(u) - 2w(v), \quad w(p_4) = 2w(p_3) - w(v), \\ w(s_1) &= w(G) - (w(u) + 3w(v) - 2w(p_3)), \quad w(s_2) = w(G) - (w(u) + 2w(v) - w(p_3)), \\ w(s_3) &= w(G) - (w(u) + w(v)), \\ w(t_1) &= 2(w(v) - w(p_3)), \quad w(t_2) = 3(w(v) - w(p_3)), \\ w(L_{123}) &= w(G) - (2w(p_3) + 2w(u) - w(v)), \quad w(L_{124}) = w(G) - (2w(p_3) + w(u)), \\ w(L_{125}) &= w(G) - (w(p_3) + 2w(u)), \quad w(L_{126}) = w(G) - (w(p_3) + w(u) + w(v)), \\ w(L_{135}) &= w(G) - 3w(u), \quad w(L_{136}) = w(G) - (2w(u) + w(v)), \\ w(L_{245}) &= w(G) - (w(u) + 2w(v)), \quad w(L_{246}) = w(G) - 3w(v), \end{aligned}$$

Weights for equations

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &:= w(F_1) = w(G) - (3w(v) - 2w(p_3)), \quad d_2 := w(F_2) = w(G) - (w(u) + 2w(v) - 2w(p_3)), \\ d_3 &:= w(F_3) = w(G) - (2w(v) - w(p_3)), \quad d_4 := w(F_4) = w(G) - (w(u) + w(v) - w(p_3)), \\ d_5 &:= w(F_5) = w(G) - w(v), \quad d_6 := w(F_6) = w(G) - w(u), \\ d_7 &:= w(F_7) = 2(w(G) - w(u) - 2w(v) + w(p_3)), \\ d_8 &:= w(F_8) = 2w(G) - (2w(u) + 3w(v) - w(p_3)), \\ d_9 &:= w(F_9) = 2(w(G) - (w(u) + w(v))). \end{aligned}$$

7.2 Graded 9×16 free resolution

we set

$$\delta := 4w(G) - (3w(u) + 6w(v) - 3w(p_3)).$$

Then we observe that the summation of all the weights of the equations of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^9 d_i = 3\delta.$$

Using [Sing], we obtain the following:

Proposition 7.1. *The following assertions hold:*

(1) *The affine coordinate ring R_Π of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ has the following so-called 9×16 S_Π -free resolution which is graded with respect to the weights given as in the*

subsection 7.1:

$$0 \leftarrow R_\Pi \leftarrow P_0 \leftarrow P_1 \leftarrow P_2 \leftarrow P_3 \leftarrow P_4 \leftarrow 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= S_\Pi, P_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^9 S_\Pi(-d_i), \\ P_2 &= S_\Pi(-(d_6 + w(p_2))) \oplus S_\Pi(-(d_6 + w(p_1))) \oplus S_\Pi(-(d_8 + w(p_2))) \oplus S_\Pi(-(d_8 + w(p_4))) \\ &\quad \oplus S_\Pi(-(d_8 + w(p_1)))^{\oplus 2} \oplus S_\Pi(-(d_8 + w(p_3)))^{\oplus 2} \\ &\quad \oplus S_\Pi(-\delta + (d_8 + w(p_1)))^{\oplus 2} \oplus S_\Pi(-\delta + (d_8 + w(p_3)))^{\oplus 2} \\ &\quad \oplus S_\Pi(-\delta + (d_6 + w(p_2))) \oplus S_\Pi(-\delta + (d_6 + w(p_1))) \oplus S_\Pi(-\delta + (d_8 + w(p_2))) \oplus S_\Pi(-\delta + (d_8 + w(p_4))), \\ P_3 &= \oplus_{i=1}^9 S_\Pi(-\delta + d_i), P_4 = S_\Pi(-\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$K_{\Pi_\Sigma^{14}} = \mathcal{O}(-k) \text{ with } k := 7w(G) - 5w(p_3) - 9w(u) - 4w(v).$$

(2) The same statements hold as in (1) for $\Pi_\mathbb{P}^{13}$ and for the ideal of $\Pi_\mathbb{A}^{14}$ in the polynomial ring obtained from S_Π by setting $L_{246} = 1$.

By Proposition 7.1 and the proof of [Tak1, Cor. 4.2], we obtain the following:

Corollary 7.2. *For any class of a prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold as in Theorem 1.2, we choose the weights of coordinates as in there and a weighted complete intersection X of $\Pi_\mathbb{P}^{13}$ or $\Pi_\mathbb{P}^{14}$ as in Table 1.1. Then $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$, and the genus of X and the Hilbert numerator of X with respect to $-K_X$ coincide with those given in [GRDB].*

Moreover, by the proof of [Tak1, Cor. 4.3], we have

Corollary 7.3. *Let X be as in Corollary 7.2. Assume that X is quasi-smooth, has Picard number one, and has cyclic quotient singularities as assigned for the class of X . Then $\text{ac}_X = 4$.*

8 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we show Theorem 1.2. We follow [Tak1, the subsec. 5.1] for the strategy how to verify a weighted complete intersection of $\Pi_\mathbb{P}^{13}$ or $\Pi_\mathbb{P}^{14}$ in each case is a desired example of a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold or a $K3$ surface.

8.1 General strategy

List of notation:

We use the following notation for a weighted projective variety V considered below (for example, $V = X, T$).

- \mathbb{P}_V := the ambient weighted projective space for V .

- $V_{\mathbb{A}} :=$ the affine cone of V .
- $V_{\mathbb{A}}^o :=$ the complement of the origin in $V_{\mathbb{A}}$.
- $\mathbb{A}_V :=$ the ambient affine space for $V_{\mathbb{A}}$.
- $\mathbb{A}_V^* :=$ the affine space obtained from \mathbb{A}_V by setting the coordinate $*$ = 1.
- $V_{\mathbb{A}}^* :=$ the restriction of $V_{\mathbb{A}}$ to \mathbb{A}_V^* .
- $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_V}(i)|_{\mathbb{A}} :=$ the set of the affine cones of members of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_V}(i)|$. For this notation, we omit \mathbb{P}_V if no confusion likely occurs.
- $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_V}(i)|_{\mathbb{A}} :=$ the intersection of all the members of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_V}(i)|_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Check points (A), (B), (C):

Recall the we set $b := p_3^2 + t_1 p_4^2 + p_4 v$.

- (A) X and T are quasi-smooth, namely, $X_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ and $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ are smooth.
- (B) X and T have only cyclic quotient singularities assigned for No.*.
- (C) $X \cap \{b = 0\}$ is a prime divisor.

By these claims, we can finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. Indeed, by (A), (C) and Proposition 6.5, X has Picard number one. By this fact, (A), (B), and Corollaries 7.2 and 7.3, X is an example of a \mathbb{Q} -Fano threefold of No.*. Thus Theorem 1.2 (1-1) is proved. By (A) and (B), Theorem 1.2 (2) is proved.

For Claims (A) and (B), we have the following claim by [Tak1, Claim 5.1]:

Claim 8.1. As for Claims (A) and (B), it suffices to show them for T .

For Claim (C), we have only to show that $\dim(T \cap \text{Sing}(X \cap \{b = 0\})) \leq 0$ by [Tak1, the last part of the subsec. 5.1]. Since T is a Cartier divisor on X outside a finite set of points, and $T \cap \text{Sing}(X \cap \{b = 0\}) \subset \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\})$ where T is a Cartier divisor, we have the following claim:

Claim 8.2. As for Claim (C), it suffices to show that $\dim \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \leq 0$.

8.2 Proof of Claims (A), (B), (C)

Note that, in the case that the key variety of T is the weighted cone $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ over $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ (No. 308, 501, 512, 550), the weight one coordinate is unique. Therefore T is actually a weighted complete intersection of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$.

No.308 In this case, it holds that $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (2)^2 \cap (3)^2 \cap (4)^3 \cap (5) \cap (6)^2 \cap (8)$ and then we can write $T_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{t_1 = L_{245} = t_2 = L_{126} = p_2 = L_{124} = L_{136} = 0, L_{125} = a_{125}p_1, L_{123} = a_{123}p_4 + b_{123}u, L_{135} = a_{135}p_4 + b_{135}u, s_1 = a_1v\} \subset \mathbb{A}(p_1, p_4, u, p_3, v, s_2, s_3)$, where the parameters $a_{125}, \dots, a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ are chosen generally.

Claim (A). We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the v -chart. We set $T_{\mathbb{A}}(6) := \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{t_1 = L_{245} = t_2 = L_{126} = p_2 = L_{124} = L_{136} = 0, L_{125} = a_{125}p_1, L_{123} = a_{123}p_4 + b_{123}u, L_{135} = a_{135}p_4 + b_{135}u\}$, which is obtained from $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ by removing the weight 8 equation $s_1 = a_1v$. Since $w(v) = 8$, the v -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}(6)$ is disjoint from $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{T_{\mathbb{A}}(6)}}(8)|_{\mathbb{A}}$. Therefore it suffices to check $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o(6)$ is smooth on the v -chart by the Bertini theorem [K]. We denote by the same symbols the polynomials F_2, F_4, F_6 restricted to $\mathbb{A}(p_1, p_4, u, p_3, v, s_2, s_3)$ (we also use this convention below). We see that, on the v -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o(6)$, we may solve regularly the equation $F_2 = F_4 = F_6 = 0$ with respect to s_1, s_2, s_3 , namely, s_1, s_2, s_3 can be expressed as polynomials of other variables. Replacing s_1, s_2, s_3 with these expressions, the equations of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o(6)$ on the v -chart are reduced to one equation $-1 + a_{123}p_1^2p_4 - a_{125}p_1^2u + b_{123}p_1^2u - a_{123}p_1p_3p_4u + a_{125}p_1p_3u^2 - b_{123}p_1p_3u^2 - a_{123}p_4^2u^2 - a_{135}p_4u^3 - b_{123}p_4u^3 - b_{135}u^4 = 0$. By the Jacobian criterion, we see that this is smooth. Therefore, $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o(6)$ is smooth on the v -chart and so is $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ as we noted above.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the p_1 -chart. Since we have checked that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the v -chart, we have only to consider this problem on the locus $\{v = 0\}$. We see that, on the p_1 -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ near the locus $\{v = 0\}$, we may solve regularly $F_1 = 0, F_3 = 0, F_5 = 0$ with respect to s_2, s_3, p_4 . Replacing s_2, s_3, p_4 with these expressions, the equations of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ on the p_1 -chart near the locus $\{v = 0\}$ are reduced to one equation, of which we omit the explicit form since it is lengthy. By the Jacobian criterion, we see that this is smooth. Therefore, $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the p_1 -chart.

It remains to check that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth along the locus $\{v = p_1 = 0\}$. It is easy to derive that $T \cap \{v = p_1 = 0\}$ is the union of the loci $S_1 = \{a_{123}p_4^2 + a_{135}p_4u + b_{123}p_4u + b_{135}u^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}(p_4, u)$ and $S_2 = \{a_{123}p_4^3 - s_2^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}(p_4, s_2)$. We see that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth along S_1 and S_2 computing the Zariski tangent spaces of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ at points there.

Thus we have shown that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth.

Claim (B). We check the singularities of T on each chart. The way to investigate is similar in all the charts, we write the investigation in detail only for the s_3 -chart of T .

For the s_3 -chart of T , we consider $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{s_3} \subset \mathbb{A}_T^{s_3}$. Since $w(s_3) = 10$, the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{A}_T^{s_3}$. It is easy to see that the nonfree locus of the $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$ -action is contained in $\{p_3 = s_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_T^{s_3}$ and it holds that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{s_3} \cap \{p_3 = s_2 = 0\} = \{p_3 = s_2 = p_1 = 0, u = v^2, p_4 = a_1v^2, 1 + (a_1^2a_{123} + a_1a_{135} + a_1b_{123} + b_{135})v^5 = 0\}$, which consists of five points. By the $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$ -action, these five points are mapped to one point on T , which we denote by \mathfrak{p}_2 . The stabilizer groups at these five points are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since these five points are isolated as the nonfree locus of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -action, T has a $1/2(1, 1)$ -singularity at \mathfrak{p}_2 (cf. [Tak1, the subsec.5.1, the last part of the item Claims (A) and (B) for T]).

For the p_1 -chart of T , we consider $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{p_1} \subset \mathbb{A}_T^{p_1}$. Since $w(p_1) = 5$, the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{A}_T^{p_1}$. It is easy to see that the nonfree locus of the $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ -action consist of the p_1 -point. Using the equations of T , we can show that the

tangent space of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{p_1}$ in $\mathbb{A}_T^{p_1}$ at the p_1 -point is $\{s_2 = s_3 = p_4 = u = 0\}$. Therefore we may take p_3, v as a local coordinate of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{p_1}$ at the p_1 -point. Since $w(p_3) = 7$ and $w(v) = 8$, T has only a $1/5(2, 3)$ -singularity at the p_1 -point.

In a similar way, we see that, on the s_2 -chart, T have only a $1/3(2, 1)$ -singularity at the point which is the image of the locus S_2 defined in the proof of Claim (A) above. On the v -chart, we see that the singularities of T appear on the s_3 -chart, thus we have already checked them. We see that T is nonsingular on the p_3 -chart. On the union of the p_4 - and u -charts, we see that T has only two $1/6(1, 5)$ -singularities at the point which is the image of the locus S_1 defined in the proof of Claim (A) above.

Claim (C). Using the description of the v -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}(6)$ as in the proof of Claim (A) above, we can easily check that $\dim \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \leq 0$ on the v -chart of T . Moreover, we can check that $(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \cap \{v = 0\}$ consists of a finite number of points. Therefore, by Claim 8.2, Claim (C) follows for No.308.

In all the cases, the proofs of Claim (A)–(C) are similar, so we only give outlines of the proofs in the cases below.

No.501 In this case, it holds that $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (2)^2 \cap (3) \cap (4)^3 \cap (5)^2 \cap (6)^3$ and then we can write $T_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{t_1 = 0, L_{245} = 0, t_2 = aL_{126}, p_2 = 0, L_{124} = 0, L_{136} = 0, p_1 = 0, L_{125} = 0, p_4 = b_4u + c_4L_{126}^2, L_{123} = b_{123}u + c_{123}L_{126}^2, L_{135} = b_{135}u + c_{135}L_{126}^2\} \subset \mathbb{A}(L_{126}, u, p_3, v, s_1, s_2, s_3)$, where the parameters $a, \dots, c_{135} \in \mathbb{C}$ are chosen generally.

Claim (A). We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth on the u -chart. We set $T_{\mathbb{A}}(5) := \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{t_1 = 0, L_{245} = 0, t_2 = aL_{126}, p_2 = 0, L_{124} = 0, L_{136} = 0, p_1 = 0, L_{125} = 0\}$, which is obtained from $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ by removing the weight 6 equations $p_4 = b_4u + c_4L_{126}^2, L_{123} = b_{123}u + c_{123}L_{126}^2, L_{135} = b_{135}u + c_{135}L_{126}^2$. Since $w(u) = 6$, it suffices to check $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}(5)$ is smooth on the u -chart. Similarly to the case of the v -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}(6)$ of No.308, we can show that the u -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}(5)$ is isomorphic to a hypersurface and this is smooth.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth on the L_{126} -chart. Since we have already check the smoothness of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ on the u -chart, we may assume that $u = 0$. The condition that $L_{126} \neq 0$ and $u = 0$ on $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ induces the condition that $p_4 \neq 0$ on $T_{\mathbb{A}}(5)$. Since $w(p_4) = 6$, it suffices to check $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}(5)$ is smooth on the p_4 -chart. This can be done also similarly to the case of the v -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}(6)$ of No.308.

Now we check that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth along the locus $\{u = L_{126} = 0\}$. It is easy to derive that $T \cap \{u = L_{126} = 0\} = \mathbb{A}(s_1)$. We can show that the Zariski tangent space in \mathbb{A}_T at a point of $\mathbb{A}(s_1)$ is defined by $\{u = v = s_3 = L_{126} = 0\}$.

Thus $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth.

Claim (B). We check the singularities of T on each chart.

On the s_3 -chart, we can show similarly to the case of the s_3 -chart for No.308 that T has a $1/2(1, 1)$ -singularity at $\{s_3 = 1, p_3 = s_2 = L_{126} = 0, u = v^2, s_1 = b_4v, 1 + (b_{135} + b_{123}b_4)v^5 = 0\}$ which consists of one point. For the L_{126} -chart, we consider $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{L_{126}} \subset \mathbb{A}_T^{L_{126}}$. Since $w(L_{126}) = 3$, the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{A}_T^{L_{126}}$. It is easy to see that the nonfree locus of the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -action is the locus

$\{u \neq 0, s_2 = (ac_4^2 + 2ab_4c_4u + ab_4^2u^2)/u, a^2c_4^3 + (ac_4^2 + 3a^2b_4c_4^2)u + (2ab_4c_4 + 3a^2b_4^2c_4 - c_{123}c_4)u^2 + (ab_4^2 + a^2b_4^3 - b_4c_{123} - c_{135} - b_{123}c_4)u^3 - (b_{135} + b_{123}b_4)u^4 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}(u, s_2)$, whose image on T consists of 4 points. By generality of T , we may assume that $s_2 \neq 0$ for these four points. We show that T has $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities at these 4 points. To see this, we switch to the L_{126} -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}(5)$, which is introduced in the proof of Claim (A) above. Let us denote by the $T(5)$ the weighted projectivization of $T_{\mathbb{A}}(5)$. We may assume that $p_4s_2 \neq 0$ since T is obtained from $T(5)$ by cutting generally with three weight six hypersurfaces. It is easy to see that the nonfree locus of the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ -action on $\{p_4s_2 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{T(5)}^{L_{126}}$ is $\{u = (ap_4^2)/s_2, L_{123} = (-aL_{135}p_4^3 + p_4s_2^2 + s_2^3)/(p_4^2s_2)\} \subset \mathbb{A}(p_4, u, L_{123}, L_{135}, s_2)$. Then calculating the tangent spaces at points of this locus, we see that $T(5)$ has $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities along this locus. Therefore T has four $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities on the L_{126} -chart. For the s_1 -chart, we see that T has a $1/8(7, 1)$ -singularity at the s_1 -point. As for the s_2 -, v -, and u -charts, we see that T has no other singularities.

Claim (C). Using the description of the u - and p_4 -charts of $T_{\mathbb{A}}(5)$ obtained in the proof of Claim (A), we can easily check that $\dim \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \leq 0$ on the u - and L_{126} -charts of T . Moreover, we can check that $(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \cap \{u = 0, L_{126} = 0\}$ consists of a finite number of points. Therefore, by Claim 8.2, Claim (C) follows for No.501.

No.512 In this case, it holds that $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (2)^2 \cap (3)^2 \cap (4)^3 \cap (5)^2 \cap (6)^2$ and then we can write $T_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{t_1 = 0, L_{245} = 0, p_2 = a_2L_{126}, t_2 = aL_{126}, p_1 = 0, L_{124} = 0, L_{136} = 0, p_4 = a_4u, L_{125} = a_{125}u, L_{123} = a_{123}p_3 + b_{123}L_{126}^2, L_{135} = a_{135}p_3 + b_{135}L_{126}^2\} \subset \mathbb{A}(L_{126}, u, p_3, v, s_1, s_2, s_3)$, where the parameters $a_2, \dots, b_{135} \in \mathbb{C}$ are chosen generally.

Claim (A). We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth. We can show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth on the L_{126} - and u -charts as in the other cases. As for the locus $\{L_{126} = u = 0\}$, it is easy to derive that $T \cap \{L_{126} = u = 0\}$ is the locus $\mathbb{A}(s_1)$. We see that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth along $\mathbb{A}(s_1)$ computing the Zariski tangent spaces of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ at points there. Thus $T_{\mathbb{A}}^{\circ}$ is smooth.

Claim (B). We check the singularities of T on each chart. We see that T has a $1/7(1, 6)$ -singularity at the s_1 -point on the s_1 -chart, a $1/5(2, 3)$ -singularity at the u -point on the u -chart, and five $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities on the L_{126} -chart. We also see that there are no other singularities.

Claim (C). We can check that $\dim \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \leq 0$ on the L_{126} -chart of T as in the other cases. Moreover, we can check that $(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \cap \{L_{126} = 0\}$ consists of a finite number of points. Therefore, by Claim 8.2, Claim (C) follows for No.512.

No.550 In this case, it holds that $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (2)^3 \cap (3)^2 \cap (4)^3 \cap (5) \cap (6)^2$ and then we can write $T_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{p_2 = 0, t_1 = 0, L_{245} = 0, p_1 = a_1L_{126}, t_2 = aL_{126}, p_4 = a_4u, L_{124} = a_{124}u, L_{136} = a_{136}u, L_{125} = a_{125}p_3, L_{123} = a_{123}v + b_{123}s_1 + c_{123}L_{126}^2, L_{135} = a_{135}v + b_{135}s_1 + c_{135}L_{126}^2\} \subset \mathbb{A}(L_{126}, u, p_3, v, s_1, s_2, s_3)$, where the parameters $a_1, \dots, c_{135} \in \mathbb{C}$ are chosen generally.

Claim (A). We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth. We can show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the L_{126} - and p_3 -charts as in the other cases. As for the locus $\{L_{126} = p_3 = 0\}$, it is easy to derive that $T \cap \{L_{126} = p_3 = 0\}$ is the union of the three loci $\mathbb{A}(s_1)$, $S_1 := \{u \neq 0, L_{126} = p_3 = s_1 = s_2 = v = 0, s_3 = 1/3a_{136}u^2\}$, and $S_2 := \{u \neq 0, L_{126} = p_3 = s_2 = 0, s_1 = a_4v, s_3 = 1/3(-3a_{135} - 2a_{136} - 3a_{123}a_4 - 3a_{124}a_4 - 3a_4^2b_{123} - 3a_4b_{135})u^2, (a_{135} + a_{136} + a_{123}a_4 + a_{124}a_4 + a_4^2b_{123} + a_4b_{135})u^3 + v^2 = 0\}$. We see that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth along S_1 and S_2 computing the Zariski tangent spaces of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ at points in them. Thus $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth.

Claim (B). We check the singularities of T on each chart. On the L_{126} -chart, we can show that T has three $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities similarly to the case of the L_{126} -chart of No.501. On the u -chart, we see that T has a $1/4(1, 3)$ -singularity at the image of the locus S_1 , and has a $1/2(1, 1)$ -singularity at the image of the locus S_2 . On the s_1 -chart, T has a $1/6(1, 5)$ -singularity at the s_1 -point. We see that T has no other singularities.

Claim (C). We can easily check that $\dim \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \leq 0$ on the L_{126} -chart of T as in the other cases. Moreover, we can check that $(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \cap \{L_{126} = 0\}$ consists of a finite number of points. Therefore, by Claim 8.2, Claim (C) follows for No.550.

No.872 Unlike the other cases, we first take a coordinate change $t_2 = aT_2 + bl_{126}$, $L_{126} = cT_2 + dl_{126}$ for $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ are general constants and T_2, l_{126} are new coordinates replacing t_2, L_{126} . Then, since $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14} \cap (1) \cap (2)^3 \cap (3)^2 \cap (4)^2 \cap (5) \cap (6)^2$, we can write $T_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_1 = 0, t_1 = 0, L_{245} = 0, p_4 = T_2, u = l_{126}, L_{124} = a_{124}p_3, L_{136} = a_{136}p_3, L_{125} = a_{125}v + b_{125}s_1, L_{123} = a_{123}s_2 + q_1(T_2, l_{126}), L_{135} = a_{135}s_2 + q_2(T_2, l_{126})\} \subset \mathbb{A}(T_2, l_{126}, p_3, v, s_1, s_2, s_3)$, where the parameters $a_{124}, \dots, a_{135} \in \mathbb{C}$ and quadratic forms $q_1(T_2, l_{126}), q_2(T_2, l_{126})$ are chosen generally.

Claim (A). We can show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the T_2 - and the l_{126} -charts as in the other cases. It remains to check that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth along the locus $\{T_2 = l_{126} = 0\}$. It is easy to derive that $T \cap \{T_2 = l_{126} = 0\} = \mathbb{A}(s_1)$. We see that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth along $\mathbb{A}(s_1)$ computing the Zariski tangent spaces of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ at points in them. Thus $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth.

Claim (B). We check the singularities of T on each chart. We can show that T has a $1/5(1, 4)$ -singularity at the s_1 -point on the s_1 -chart. On the T_2 -chart, we can show that T has five $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities similarly to the case of the L_{126} -chart of No.501. We see that T has no other singularities.

Claim (C). Using the descriptions of the T_2 - and l_{126} -charts of $T_{\mathbb{A}}$, we can easily check that $\dim \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \leq 0$ on the T_2 - and l_{126} -charts of T . Moreover, we can check that $(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \cap \{T_2 = l_{126} = 0\}$ consists of a finite number of points. Therefore, by Claim 8.2, Claim (C) follows for No.872.

No.577 Since $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (1) \cap (2)^3 \cap (3)^4 \cap (4)^2 \cap (5)$, we can write $T_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0, p_2 = a_2L_{135}, t_2 = aL_{135}, L_{124} =$

$a_{124}L_{135}, L_{125} = a_{125}L_{135}, p_1 = a_1L_{123}, p_4 = a_4L_{123}, p_3 = a_3u + b_3s_1\} \subset \mathbb{A}(L_{135}, L_{123}, u, s_1, v, s_2, s_3).$

Claim (A). We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth. The arguments here are slightly involved.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the L_{123} -chart. By the equation of $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ as above, this is contained in $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_1p_4L_{123} \neq 0\}$. We set $T'_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0, p_2 = a_2L_{135}, t_2 = aL_{135}, L_{124} = a_{124}L_{135}, L_{125} = a_{125}L_{135}, p_3 = a_3u + b_3s_1\}$, which is obtained from $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ by removing the weight 4 equations $p_1 = a_1L_{123}, p_4 = a_4L_{123}$. As we noted above, the L_{123} -chart of $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ is contained in the p_1 -chart of $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$. Since $w(p_1) = 4$, the p_1 -chart of $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ is disjoint from $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(4)|_{\mathbb{A}}$. Therefore it suffices to check that $\text{Sing } T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ is of codimension ≥ 3 in $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ on the p_1 -chart assuming $p_4 \neq 0$ since then the singular locus will disappear after cutting by the weight 4 hypersurfaces. If $L_{135} = 0$ or $u = 0$, then we can show this by the Jacobian criterion since we see that $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ is isomorphic to a hypersurface on the p_1 -chart near $\{L_{135} = 0\}$ or $\{u = 0\}$. Thus we have only to consider the problem on the locus $p_1p_4L_{135}u \neq 0$. Note that this is outside $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(3)|_{\mathbb{A}} \cup \text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(4)|_{\mathbb{A}} \cup \text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(5)|_{\mathbb{A}}$ and is contained in $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_2p_4 \neq 0\}$. By the description of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_2p_4 \neq 0\}$ as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we see that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0\}$ has only $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities since $\text{Sing}(\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_2p_4 \neq 0\})$ is the open subset $\{p_2p_4 \neq 0\}$ of the affine space $\mathbb{A}(p_2, p_3, p_4, t_1, L_{126}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246})$. Since we consider outside $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(3)|_{\mathbb{A}} \cup \text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(4)|_{\mathbb{A}} \cup \text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(5)|_{\mathbb{A}}$, these singularities of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0\}$ will disappear by cutting weight 3, 4, 5 hypersurfaces. Thus $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the L_{123} -chart.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the u -chart. Set $T''_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0, p_2 = a_2L_{135}, t_2 = aL_{135}, L_{124} = a_{124}L_{135}, L_{125} = a_{125}L_{135}, p_1 = a_1L_{123}, p_4 = a_4L_{123}\}$, which is obtained from $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ by removing the weight 5 equation $p_3 = a_3u + b_3s_1$. Since we have already checked the smoothness of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ on the L_{123} -chart, we may assume $L_{123} = 0$. On the u -chart of $T''_{\mathbb{A}}$ near the locus $\{L_{123} = 0\}$, we may solve regularly $F_1 = 0, F_3 = 0$ with respect to s_1, s_2 . Then we see that the u -chart of $T''_{\mathbb{A}}$ is isomorphic to a complete intersection of codimension two near the locus $\{L_{123} = 0\}$ and has at most isolated singularities. Since $w(u) = 5$, the u -chart of $T''_{\mathbb{A}}$ is disjoint from $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(5)|_{\mathbb{A}}$. Thus $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the u -chart.

We see that $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ is smooth along $T_{\mathbb{A}} \cap \{L_{123} = u = 0\}$ computing the Zariski tangent spaces of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ at points in them.

Thus $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth.

Claim (B). We check the singularities of T on each chart; T has two $1/5(1, 4)$ -singularities on the u -chart, three $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities on the L_{135} -chart, and one $1/2(1, 1)$ -singularity on the L_{123} -chart. We see that T has no other singularities.

Claim (C). The arguments here are also slightly involved. We can easily check that $(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \cap \{L_{135}L_{123}u = 0\}$ consists of a finite number of points. Thus we have only to show that $T_{\mathbb{A}} \cap \{b = 0\}$ is smooth on $\{L_{135}L_{123}u \neq 0\}$. Note that $T \cap \{L_{135}L_{123}u \neq 0\}$ is contained in $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0\} \cap$

$\{p_2p_4u \neq 0\}$. Then we can use the description of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{p_2p_4 \neq 0\}$ as in the proof of Proposition 5.1 and we see that $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0\} \cap \{b = 0\}$ on $\{p_2p_4u \neq 0\}$ is isomorphic to the cone over the open subset $G(2, 5) \cap \{m_{25} = 0\}$ corresponding to $u \neq 0$. Therefore the codimension of the singular locus of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0\} \cap \{b = 0\}$ on $\{p_2p_4u \neq 0\}$ is 3 since so is the codimension of $\text{Sing}(G(2, 5) \cap \{m_{25} = 0\})$. Since $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0\} \cap \{p_2p_4u \neq 0\}$ is disjoint from $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(3)|_{\mathbb{A}} \cup \text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(4)|_{\mathbb{A}} \cup \text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}(5)|_{\mathbb{A}}$, the singular locus of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0\} \cap \{b = 0\}$ will disappear by cutting weight 3, 4, 5 hypersurfaces. Thus $T_{\mathbb{A}} \cap \{b = 0\}$ is smooth on $\{L_{135}L_{123}u \neq 0\}$. Therefore, by Claim 8.2, Claim (C) follows for No.577.

No.878 Since $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (1) \cap (2)^4 \cap (3)^4 \cap (4)^2$, we can write $T_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = p_2 = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0, p_1 = a_1L_{125} + b_1L_{135}, p_4 = a_4L_{125} + b_4L_{135}, t_2 = aL_{125} + bL_{135}, L_{124} = a_{124}L_{125} + b_{124}L_{135}, p_3 = a_3s_1 + b_3L_{123}, u = cs_1 + dL_{123}\} \subset \mathbb{A}(L_{125}, L_{135}, s_1, L_{123}, v, s_2, s_3)$, where the parameters $a_1, \dots, d \in \mathbb{C}$ are chosen generally.

Claim (A). We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth if $a_1L_{125} + b_1L_{135} \neq 0$ or $a_4L_{125} + b_4L_{135} \neq 0$. Set $T'_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{L_{245} = p_2 = t_1 = L_{126} = L_{136} = 0, p_3 = a_3s_1 + b_3L_{123}, u = cs_1 + dL_{123}\}$, which is obtained from $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ by removing the weight 3 equations $p_1 = a_1L_{125} + b_1L_{135}, p_4 = a_4L_{125} + b_4L_{135}, t_2 = aL_{125} + bL_{135}, L_{124} = a_{124}L_{125} + b_{124}L_{135}$. Since $w(p_1) = w(p_4) = 3$, the p_1 - and p_4 -charts of $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ is disjoint from $\text{Bs}|\mathcal{O}_{T'_{\mathbb{A}}}(3)|_{\mathbb{A}}$. Therefore it suffices to check that $\text{Sing } T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ is of codimension ≥ 3 in $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ on the p_1 - and p_4 -charts since then the singular locus will disappear after cutting by the four weight 3 hypersurfaces. We can show this by the Jacobian criterion since we see that $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ is isomorphic to hypersurfaces on the p_1 - and p_4 -charts. Therefore $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth if $a_1L_{125} + b_1L_{135} \neq 0$ or $a_4L_{125} + b_4L_{135} \neq 0$.

Note that $T_{\mathbb{A}} \cap \{a_1L_{125} + b_1L_{135} = a_4L_{125} + b_4L_{135} = 0\} = T_{\mathbb{A}} \cap \{L_{125} = L_{135} = 0\}$ since we cut $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ generally. Then we see that $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ is smooth along $T_{\mathbb{A}} \cap \{L_{125} = L_{135} = 0\}$ computing the Zariski tangent spaces of $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ at points there.

Claim (B). We check the singularities of T on each chart; T has two $1/4(1, 3)$ -singularities on the s_1 -chart and four $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities on the L_{125} -chart. We see that T has no other singularities.

Claim (C). Using the description of the p_4 -chart of $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$, we can easily check that $\dim \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \leq 0$ on T if $a_4L_{125} + b_4L_{135} \neq 0$. Moreover, we can check that $(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \cap \{a_4L_{125} + b_4L_{135} = 0\}$ consists of a finite number of points. Therefore, by Claim 8.2, Claim (C) follows for No.878.

No.1766 Since $T = \Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \cap (1)^2 \cap (2)^4 \cap (3)^4 \cap (4)$, it is possible to write $T_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{p_2 = L_{245} = 0, p_1 = a_1L_{136}, p_4 = a_4L_{136}, t_1 = a_0L_{136}, L_{126} = a_{126}L_{136}, p_3 = a_3u + b_3s_1 + c_3L_{135}, t_2 = au + bs_1 + cL_{135}, L_{124} = a_{124}u + b_{124}s_1 + c_{124}L_{135}, L_{125} = a_{125}u + b_{125}s_1 + c_{125}L_{135}, s_2 = a_2v + b_2L_{123} + c_2L_{136}^2\} \subset \mathbb{A}(L_{136}, u, s_1, L_{135}, v, L_{123}, s_3)$, where the parameters $a_1, \dots, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ are chosen generally.

Claim (A). We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth. Unlike the other cases, we show this

separately on the *three* charts and the complement of their union.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the L_{136} -chart. We set $T'_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{p_2 = L_{245} = 0, p_3 = a_3u + b_3s_1 + c_3L_{135}, t_2 = au + bs_1 + cL_{135}, L_{124} = a_{124}u + b_{124}s_1 + c_{124}L_{135}, L_{125} = a_{125}u + b_{125}s_1 + c_{125}L_{135}\}$, which is obtained from $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ by removing the weight 2 equations $p_1 = a_1L_{136}, p_4 = a_4L_{136}, t_1 = a_0L_{136}, L_{126} = a_{126}L_{136}$ and the weight 4 equation $s_2 = a_2v + b_2L_{123} + c_2L_{136}^2$. Similarly to the case of the p_1 - and the p_4 -charts of No.878, we can show that $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$ is smooth on the L_{136} -chart checking the singularities of the p_1 -chart of $T'_{\mathbb{A}}$.

We show that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth on the u - and s_1 -charts. For this, we set $T''_{\mathbb{A}} = \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{14} \cap \{p_2 = L_{245} = 0, p_1 = a_1L_{136}, p_4 = a_4L_{136}, t_1 = a_0L_{136}, L_{126} = a_{126}L_{136}, s_2 = a_2v + b_2L_{123} + c_2L_{136}^2\}$, which is obtained from $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ by removing the weight 3 equations $p_3 = a_3u + b_3s_1 + c_3L_{135}, t_2 = au + bs_1 + cL_{135}, L_{124} = a_{124}u + b_{124}s_1 + c_{124}L_{135}, L_{125} = a_{125}u + b_{125}s_1 + c_{125}L_{135}$. Similarly to the above cases, we can show that $T''_{\mathbb{A}}$ is isomorphic to a smooth hypersurface on the u -chart, and then $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ is also smooth on the u -chart. As for the s_1 -chart of $T''_{\mathbb{A}}$, we have only to show the smoothness assuming $L_{136} = 0$. we can show that $T''_{\mathbb{A}}$ is isomorphic to a smooth hypersurface on the s_1 -chart near $\{L_{136} = 0\}$, and then $T_{\mathbb{A}}$ is also smooth on the s_1 -chart.

Finally, we see that $T_{\mathbb{A}} \cap \{L_{136} = u = s_1 = 0\}$ is empty, thus we have shown that $T_{\mathbb{A}}^o$ is smooth.

Claim (B). We check the singularities of T on each chart; T has five $1/3(1, 2)$ -singularities on the u -chart and T has two $1/2(1, 1)$ -singularities on the L_{136} -chart. We see that T has no other singularities.

Claim (C). Using the description of the u -chart of $T''_{\mathbb{A}}$, we can easily check that $\dim \text{Sing}(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \leq 0$ on the u -chart of T . Moreover, we can check that $(T \cap \{b = 0\}) \cap \{u = 0\}$ consists of a finite number of points. Therefore, by Claim 8.2, Claim (C) follows for No.1766.

8.3 Projection of X to a hypersurface

In this subsection, we show Theorem 1.2 (1-2) and (1-3).

Proposition 8.3. *The intersection of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ with $\mathbb{P}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ is nonreduced and consists of only the s_1 -point. The projection of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ from the intersection with $\mathbb{P}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ is birational to the hypersurface $\{G = 0\}|_{L_{246}=1}$ or the cone over the hypersurface $\{G = 0\}|_{L_{246}=1}$ respectively. The exceptional locus of the projection is contained in the intersection of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ with $\{D_{ijk} = 0 (1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6)\}$, which is a proper closed subset of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ respectively.*

Proof. In the proof, we only consider the case of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ since the treatment of the case of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ is almost identical. The first assertion follows from a direct calculation.

Since we may check by [Sing] that the elimination ideal $I_{\Pi} \cap R_G$ of I_{Π} is generated by the polynomial G , we see that the projection $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13} \dashrightarrow \{G = 0\}|_{L_{246}=1}$ is induced as above and is dominant applying [CLO, Chap.3, the

sect.2, Thm.3] and taking the weighted projectivization. In particular, $G|_{L_{246}=1}$ is irreducible.

Now we use the construction as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Note that $D_{123} \not\equiv 0$ on $\{G = 0\}|_{L_{246}=1}$ since $G|_{L_{246}=1}$ is irreducible and the weight of D_{123} is smaller than that of $G|_{L_{246}=1}$. Therefore over a general point of $\{G = 0\}|_{L_{246}=1}$, s_1, s_2, s_3 are defined by (3.2) with $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$ and then we may verify all the F_l ($1 \leq l \leq 9$) vanish. Actually this is true if we choose other triplets (i, j, k) . This means that the complement of $\{D_{ijk} = 0 \mid 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6\}$ in $\{G = 0\}|_{L_{246}=1}$ isomorphically lifts to $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$. Thus the projection is birational. By this argument, we have shown also the last assertion. \square

8.3.1 No. 501, 512

In these cases, we can check by direct computations that $X \cap \mathbb{P}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ is nonreduced and consists of only the s_1 -point, which is the unique highest index cyclic quotient singularity. Then, in the almost same way as the proof of Proposition 8.3, we can show Theorem 1.2 (1-2). Actually, we also see that the restriction of the elimination of s_1, s_2, s_3 for $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ to X coincides with the elimination of s_1, s_2, s_3 for X .

8.3.2 No. 550, 872

In these cases, X has also the unique highest index cyclic quotient singularity and this is the s_1 -point. This, however, does not coincide with the s_1 -point of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$. To obtain the desired projection of X , we will perform a homogeneous coordinate change of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$. After the coordinate change, the proof of Proposition 8.3 works for X to show Theorem 1.2 (1-3) in these cases, so we only write down the coordinate change of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ or $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$. After the coordinate change below, the restriction of the elimination of s_1, s_2, s_3 for $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ to X coincides with the elimination of s_1, s_2, s_3 for X .

No.550: By the equation given in the subsection 8.2, the s_1 -point of X of No.550 is the point with $s_1 = 1, L_{125} = b_{125}, L_{135} = b_{135}$ and the other coordinates being zero. Thus, by taking the coordinate change $L'_{125} = L_{125} - b_{125}s_1, L'_{135} = L_{135} - b_{135}s_1$, where L'_{125}, L'_{135} are new coordinates, the s_1 -point of X coincides with that of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$.

No.872: By the equation given in the subsection 8.2, the s_1 -point of X of No.872 is the point with $s_1 = 1, L_{125} = b_{125}$ and the other coordinates being zero. Thus, by taking the coordinate change $L'_{125} = L_{125} - b_{125}s_1, L'_{123} = L_{123} - b_{123}s_2, L'_{135} = L_{135} - b_{135}s_2$, where $L'_{123}, L'_{125}, L'_{135}$ are new coordinates, the s_1 -point of X coincides with that of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ (we note that we introduce the new coordinates L'_{123} and L'_{135} to make the restriction of the elimination of s_1, s_2, s_3 for $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ to X coincides with the elimination of s_1, s_2, s_3 for X).

8.3.3 No. 577, 878, 1766

In these cases, $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ is the key variety for X . We can check by direct computations that $X \cap \mathbb{P}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ is empty, thus we need to find different projections of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ from those as above. To obtain the desired projection of X , we will perform a homogeneous coordinate change of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$. After the coordinate change, the proof of Proposition 8.3 works for X to show Theorem 1.2 (1-3) in these cases, so we only write down the coordinate change of $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$.

No.577: In this case, we seek a projection of X from one of two $1/5(1, 1, 4)$ -singularities. Note that the index 5 locus of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ is $\{p_3^2 = s_1 u\} \subset \mathbb{P}(p_3, u, s_1)$. By the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -action of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ (Proposition 4.1), any general point of this locus can be moved to the point $\mathbf{p} = \{p_3 = u = s_1\} \in \mathbb{P}(p_3, u, s_1)$. Thus we may assume that X contain the point \mathbf{p} . Then \mathbf{p} is one of two $1/5(1, 1, 4)$ -singularities. We will see that a projection with \mathbf{p} being the center is the desired one.

For calculations, we perform the following coordinate change: $p'_3 = p_3 - s_1, u' = -2p_3 + s_1 + u, v' = 1/3(L_{245}s_1) - s_2 + v, s'_3 = 1/3(-L_{136}s_1) + s_3, t'_2 = -L_{124} + L_{125} - L_{135} + t_2$ replacing p_3, u, v, s_3, t_2 , and the other coordinates are unchanged. Then the point \mathbf{p} becomes the s_1 -point of X and the tangent space of the local index 5 cover of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ at the inverse image of \mathbf{p} is defined by $\{u' = v' = s'_3 = t'_2 = 0\}$. The projection of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ induced by the elimination of s_1, s_2, s'_3 and its restriction to X are the desired projections.

No.878: In this case, we seek a projection of X from one of two $1/4(1, 1, 3)$ -singularities. Note that the index 4 locus of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ is $\{p_3^2 = s_1 u\} \subset \mathbb{P}(p_3, u, s_1, L_{123})$. By the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -action of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ (Proposition 4.1), any general point of this locus can be moved to the point $\mathbf{q} = \{p_3 = u = s_1 = L_{123}\} \subset \mathbb{P}(p_3, u, s_1, L_{123})$. Thus we may assume that X contain the point \mathbf{q} . Then \mathbf{q} is one of two $1/4(1, 1, 3)$ -singularities. For calculations, we perform the following coordinate change: $p'_3 = p_3 - s_1, u' = -2p_3 + s_1 + u, v' = 1/3(L_{245}s_1) - s_2 + v, s'_3 = 1/3(-L_{136}s_1) + p_2 s_1 + s_3, t'_2 = -L_{124} + L_{125} - L_{135} - p_1 - p_4 + t_2, L'_{123} = L_{123} - s_1$ replacing $p_3, u, v, s_3, t_2, L_{123}$ and the other coordinates are unchanged. Then the point \mathbf{q} becomes the s_1 -point of X and the tangent space of the local index 4 cover of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ at the inverse image of \mathbf{q} is defined by $\{u' = v' = s'_3 = t'_2 = 0\}$. The projection of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ induced by the elimination of s_1, s_2, s'_3 and its restriction to X are the desired projections.

No.1766: In this case, we seek a projection of X from one of five $1/3(1, 1, 2)$ -singularities. Note that the index 3 locus of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ is $\{p_3^2 = s_1 u, 6L_{124}p_3^2 - 6L_{125}p_3 u + 6L_{135}u^2 - 6p_3 s_1 t_2 = 0, -9L_{125}p_3^2 + 9L_{124}p_3 s_1 + 9L_{135}p_3 u - 9s_1^2 t_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(p_3, u, s_1, t_2, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{135})$. By the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -action of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ (Proposition 4.1), any general point of this locus can be moved to the point $\mathbf{r} = \{p_3 = u = s_1 = L_{135}, L_{124} = a s_1, L_{125} = b s_1, t_2 = (a - b + 1)s_1\} \subset \mathbb{P}(p_3, u, s_1, t_2, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{135})$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus we may assume that X contain the point \mathbf{r} . Then \mathbf{r} is one of five $1/3(1, 1, 2)$ -singularities. For calculations, we perform the following coordinate change:

$$\begin{aligned}
p'_3 &= p_3 - s_1, u' = -u + 2(p_3 - s_1) + s_1, v' = 1/3L_{245}s_1 + p_2s_1 - bp_2s_1 - s_2 + v, \\
s'_3 &= -(L_{136}s_1)/3 - p_1s_1 + (1 + a - b)p_4s_1 + s_3, \\
t'_2 &= -L_{124} + L_{125} - L_{135} - (1 + a - 2b)p_3 - u + (2 + a - 2b)s_1 + t_2, \\
L'_{123} &= L_{123} - ds_2, L'_{124} = L_{124} - as_1, L'_{125} = L_{125} - bs_1, L'_{135} = L_{135} - s_1,
\end{aligned}$$

replacing $p_3, u, v, s_3, t_2, L_{123}, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{135}$, and the other coordinates are unchanged. Then the point r becomes the s_1 -point of X and the tangent space of the local index 4 cover of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ at the inverse image of r is defined by $\{u' = v' = s'_3 = t'_2 = 0\}$. The projection of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ induced by the elimination of s_1, s_2, s'_3 and its restriction to X are the desired projections (we note that we introduce the new coordinates L'_{123} to make the restriction of the elimination of s_1, s_2, s'_3 for $\Pi_{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ to X coincides with the elimination of s_1, s_2, s'_3 for X).

Thus we have shown Theorem 1.2 (1-3).

9 Affine variety $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$

9.1 Hypermatrix equation of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$

Let V_1, V_2, V_3 are three 2-dimensional vector spaces. Let $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle, \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$, and $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ are their bases, respectively. We denote by

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \\ x_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{12} \\ x_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{13} \\ x_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

their coordinate vectors, respectively. We also denote by p_{ijk} the coordinate of $e_i \otimes f_j \otimes g_k$ ($1 \leq i, j, k \leq 2$) in $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$.

We consider alternating inner products for V_1, V_2, V_3 satisfying $e_i \cdot e_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 1$ and $e_2 \cdot e_1 = -1$ and similarly for the bases of V_2, V_3 .

Let

$$c_{12}: (V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3) \times V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow V_3$$

be the contraction map with respect to the above defined inner products, and c_{23}, c_{31} similarly defined. We write down the calculation of the contraction maps as follows. It is convenient to set

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{11}^{(1)} &:= \begin{vmatrix} p_{111} & x_{11} \\ p_{211} & x_{21} \end{vmatrix}, D_{12}^{(1)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{112} & x_{11} \\ p_{212} & x_{21} \end{vmatrix}, D_{21}^{(1)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{121} & x_{11} \\ p_{221} & x_{21} \end{vmatrix}, D_{22}^{(1)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{122} & x_{11} \\ p_{222} & x_{21} \end{vmatrix}, \\
D_{11}^{(2)} &:= \begin{vmatrix} p_{111} & x_{12} \\ p_{121} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix}, D_{12}^{(2)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{112} & x_{12} \\ p_{122} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix}, D_{21}^{(2)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{211} & x_{12} \\ p_{221} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix}, D_{22}^{(2)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{212} & x_{12} \\ p_{222} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix}, \\
D_{11}^{(3)} &:= \begin{vmatrix} p_{111} & x_{13} \\ p_{112} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix}, D_{12}^{(3)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{121} & x_{13} \\ p_{122} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix}, D_{21}^{(3)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{211} & x_{13} \\ p_{212} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix}, D_{22}^{(3)} := \begin{vmatrix} p_{221} & x_{13} \\ p_{222} & x_{23} \end{vmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 9.1. For $\mathbf{x}_1 = x_{11}e_1 + x_{21}e_2 \in V_1$, $\mathbf{x}_2 = x_{12}f_1 + x_{22}f_2 \in V_2$, $\mathbf{x}_3 = x_{13}g_1 + x_{23}g_2 \in V_3$, and $P = \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq 2} p_{ijk} e_i \otimes f_j \otimes g_k \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$, the

evaluations $c_{12}(P, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$, $c_{23}(P, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3)$, $c_{31}(P, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_1)$ of the contraction maps are written down as follows, where by abuse of notation, we denote by the same symbols the coordinate vectors for $c_{12}(P, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$, $c_{23}(P, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3)$, $c_{31}(P, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{12}(P, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} -D_{21}^{(1)} & D_{11}^{(1)} \\ -D_{22}^{(1)} & D_{12}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -D_{21}^{(2)} & D_{11}^{(2)} \\ -D_{22}^{(2)} & D_{12}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1, \\ c_{23}(P, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} -D_{12}^{(2)} & D_{11}^{(2)} \\ -D_{22}^{(2)} & D_{21}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -D_{12}^{(3)} & D_{11}^{(3)} \\ -D_{22}^{(3)} & D_{21}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_2, \\ c_{31}(P, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} -D_{21}^{(3)} & D_{11}^{(3)} \\ -D_{22}^{(3)} & D_{12}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -D_{12}^{(1)} & D_{11}^{(1)} \\ -D_{22}^{(1)} & D_{21}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The claim follows from straightforward calculations. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{12}(P, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) &= \\ & (p_{111}x_{21}x_{22} - p_{121}x_{21}x_{12} - p_{211}x_{11}x_{22} + p_{221}x_{11}x_{12})\mathbf{g}_1 \\ & + (p_{112}x_{21}x_{22} - p_{122}x_{21}x_{12} - p_{212}x_{11}x_{22} + p_{222}x_{11}x_{12})\mathbf{g}_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see this can be seen in the two ways stated as above. \square

Based on the above considerations, we introduce the following affine variety $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$:

Definition 9.2. We set

$$V_H := V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 3}.$$

In the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{17}(V_H)$ with coordinates $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, P, u_1, u_2, u_3$, we define the affine variety $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ by the following set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_1 &= u_1 \mathbf{x}_1 - \begin{pmatrix} -D_{12}^{(3)} & D_{11}^{(3)} \\ -D_{22}^{(3)} & D_{21}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{G}_2 &= u_2 \mathbf{x}_2 - \begin{pmatrix} -D_{12}^{(1)} & D_{11}^{(1)} \\ -D_{22}^{(1)} & D_{21}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_3 = \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{G}_3 &= u_3 \mathbf{x}_3 - \begin{pmatrix} -D_{21}^{(2)} & D_{11}^{(2)} \\ -D_{22}^{(2)} & D_{12}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{0}, \\ G_4 &= u_1 u_2 - (D_{12}^{(3)} D_{21}^{(3)} - D_{11}^{(3)} D_{22}^{(3)}) = 0, \\ G_5 &= u_2 u_3 - (D_{12}^{(1)} D_{21}^{(1)} - D_{11}^{(1)} D_{22}^{(1)}) = 0, \\ G_6 &= u_3 u_1 - (D_{12}^{(2)} D_{21}^{(2)} - D_{11}^{(2)} D_{22}^{(2)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

9.2 $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times S_3$ -action on $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$

In this subsection, we show the following:

Proposition 9.3. $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has a $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times S_3$ -action.

Proof. There exists three GL_2 -actions on $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ defined by the following rules (1)–(3):

(1) For an element $g_1 \in \mathrm{GL}_2$, we set $\mathbf{x}_1 \mapsto g_1 \mathbf{x}_1$, $u_2 \mapsto (\det g_1) u_2$, $u_3 \mapsto (\det g_1) u_3$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{112} & p_{121} & p_{122} \\ p_{211} & p_{212} & p_{221} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto g_1 \begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{112} & p_{121} & p_{122} \\ p_{211} & p_{212} & p_{221} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix},$$

and other coordinates being unchanged. We can check that $G_1 \mapsto g_1 G_1$, $G_2 \mapsto (\det g_1) G_2$, $G_3 \mapsto (\det g_1) G_3$, $G_4 \mapsto (\det g_1) G_4$, $G_5 \mapsto (\det g_1)^2 G_5$, $G_6 \mapsto (\det g_1) G_6$.

(2) For an element $g_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_2$, we set $\mathbf{x}_2 \mapsto g_2 \mathbf{x}_2$, $u_1 \mapsto (\det g_2) u_1$, $u_3 \mapsto (\det g_2) u_3$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{112} & p_{211} & p_{212} \\ p_{121} & p_{122} & p_{221} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto g_2 \begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{112} & p_{211} & p_{212} \\ p_{121} & p_{122} & p_{221} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix},$$

and other coordinates being unchanged. The changes of the equations of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ are similar to the case (1), so we omit them.

(3) For an element $g_3 \in \mathrm{GL}_2$, we set $\mathbf{x}_3 \mapsto g_3 \mathbf{x}_3$, $u_1 \mapsto (\det g_3) u_1$, $u_2 \mapsto (\det g_3) u_2$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{121} & p_{211} & p_{221} \\ p_{112} & p_{122} & p_{212} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto g_3 \begin{pmatrix} p_{111} & p_{121} & p_{211} & p_{221} \\ p_{112} & p_{122} & p_{212} & p_{222} \end{pmatrix},$$

and other coordinates being unchanged. The changes of the equations of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ are similar to the case (1), so we omit them.

We can also check that these three GL_2 -actions on $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ mutually commute. Thus these actions induce a $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3$ -action on $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

Moreover we may define an S_3 -action by associating the three numbers 1, 2, 3 to the three coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3 , the three vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3$, and the three subscripts i, j, k for p_{ijk} . For example, by $(12) \in S_3$, u_1 and u_2 , \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 , p_{ijk} and p_{jik} are interchanged respectively.

Hence $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ has a $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times S_3$ -action. \square

9.3 $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration associated to $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$

We denote by $\mathbb{A}(P)$ the affine space with coordinates p_{ijk} ($1 \leq i, j, k \leq 2$). Note that any equation of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ is of degree two if we regard the coordinates of $\mathbb{A}(P)$ as constants. Therefore, considering the coordinates in the equations of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ except those of $\mathbb{A}(P)$ as projective coordinates, we obtain a variety in $\mathbb{A}(P) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, u_1, u_2, u_3)$. We denote this variety by \hat{H} .

Definition 9.4. We set $\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{12} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{13} & s_{23} & s_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}$, and denote by \mathbf{S}^\dagger the adjoint matrix of \mathbf{S} . We define

$$\mathbb{P}^{2,2} := \{(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \mid \mathbf{S}^\dagger = O, \mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{0}\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}),$$

which is introduced in [Mu] as a degeneration of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and is shown to be a singular del Pezzo 4-fold of degree six (see also [F]).

We also denote by \mathcal{H} the Cayley hyperdeterminant. Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} = & p_{111}^2 p_{222}^2 + p_{112}^2 p_{221}^2 + p_{121}^2 p_{212}^2 + p_{122}^2 p_{211}^2 \\ & - 2p_{111} p_{122} p_{211} p_{222} - 2p_{111} p_{121} p_{212} p_{222} - 2p_{111} p_{112} p_{221} p_{222} \\ & - 2p_{121} p_{122} p_{211} p_{212} - 2p_{112} p_{122} p_{211} p_{221} - 2p_{112} p_{121} p_{212} p_{221} \\ & + 4p_{111} p_{122} p_{212} p_{221} + 4p_{112} p_{121} p_{211} p_{222}.\end{aligned}$$

It is classically known that $\{\mathcal{H} = 0\}$ is stable under the $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times S_3$ -action on the affine space $\mathbb{A}(P)$ defined as in Proposition 9.3.

Proposition 9.5. *Let $\rho_H: \hat{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(P)$ be the natural projection. The ρ_H -fibers over points of the complement of $\{\mathcal{H} = 0\}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, and the ρ_H -fibers over points of the nonempty $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times S_3$ -stable open subset of $\{\mathcal{H} = 0\}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$.*

Proof. We give descriptions of all the ρ_H -fibers. Note that the $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times S_3$ -action on $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ induces that on \hat{H} . The induced $(\mathrm{SL}_2)^3$ -action on $\mathbb{A}(P)$ is studied in [GKZ, Chap.14, Ex.4.5]; $\mathbb{A}(P)$ has the seven orbits. By this result and by considering the induced S_3 -action on $\mathbb{A}(P)$ together, we see that $\mathbb{A}(P)$ has five $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times S_3$ -orbits. To state the result, we set $\mathbf{p}_1 :=$ the p_{111} -point, $\mathbf{p}_2 :=$ the point with $p_{111} = p_{221} = 1$ and the other coordinates being zero, $\mathbf{p}_3 :=$ the point with $p_{111} = p_{122} = p_{212} = 1$ and the other coordinates being zero, $\mathbf{p}_4 :=$ the point with $p_{111} = p_{222} = 1$ and the other coordinates being zero. Then the five $(\mathrm{GL}_2)^3 \times S_3$ -orbits are the following:

- (1) The origin of $\mathbb{A}(P)$.
- (2) The 4-dimensional orbit of \mathbf{p}_1 , which is the complement of the origin in the affine cone over the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (3) The 5-dimensional orbit of \mathbf{p}_2 , which is the complement of the affine cone over the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in the union of three copies of the affine cone over the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$.
- (4) The 7-dimensional orbit of \mathbf{p}_3 , which is the complement of the union of three copies of the affine cone over the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ in $\{\mathcal{H} = 0\}$.
- (5) The open orbit of \mathbf{p}_4 , which is the complement of $\{\mathcal{H} = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{A}(P)$.

Then ρ_H -fibers are isomorphic to the fibers over the origin or the \mathbf{p}_i -points for some $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, which are easily described by the equations of $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ as follows:

- (a) The fiber over the origin is the union of three \mathbb{P}^4 's and a \mathbb{P}^5 ;

$$\{u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 0\}$$

$$\cup \{u_1 = u_2 = x_{13} = x_{23} = 0\} \cup \{u_1 = u_3 = x_{12} = x_{22} = 0\} \cup \{u_2 = u_3 = x_{11} = x_{21} = 0\}.$$

- (b) The fiber over the point \mathbf{p}_1 is the union of three quadric 4-folds of rank 4;

$$\{u_1 = u_2 = x_{23} = u_3 x_{13} - x_{21} x_{22} = 0\} \cup \{u_1 = u_3 = x_{22} = u_2 x_{12} - x_{23} x_{21} = 0\}$$

$$\cup \{u_2 = u_3 = x_{21} = u_1 x_{11} - x_{23} x_{22} = 0\}.$$

(c) The fiber over the point \mathbf{p}_2 is the union of a quadric 4-fold of rank 6 and the cone over a hyperplane section of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u_1 = u_2 = x_{23} = u_3x_{13} - x_{11}x_{12} - x_{21}x_{22} = 0\} \\ & \cup \left\{ u_3 = 0, \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} x_{23} & u_2 & x_{11} & x_{21} \\ u_1 & -x_{23} & x_{22} & -x_{12} \end{pmatrix} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) The fiber over the point \mathbf{p}_3 :

$$\left\{ \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & x_{13} & x_{22} \\ x_{13} & u_2 & -x_{21} \\ x_{22} & -x_{21} & -u_3 \end{pmatrix} \leq 1, \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & x_{13} & x_{22} \\ x_{13} & u_2 & -x_{21} \\ x_{22} & -x_{21} & -u_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_{11} \\ x_{12} \\ x_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{o} \right\},$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$.

(e) The fiber over the point \mathbf{p}_4 :

$$\left\{ \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & x_{13} & x_{22} \\ x_{23} & u_2 & x_{11} \\ x_{12} & x_{21} & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

Therefore we have shown the assertions. \square

9.4 Relation between $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ and $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$.

We establish the following relation between $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ and $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. We define some notation. Let \mathbb{A}_{Π}^{10} be the affine 10-space as in the section 5 (but we do not consider here that $\mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10} \subset \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$). Let $\mathbb{A}(L)$ be the affine space with the coordinates $L_{123}, L_{124}, L_{125}, L_{126}, L_{135}, L_{136}, L_{245}, L_{246}$ and $\mathbb{A}(A, B)$ the affine space with the coordinates A, B . We set $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\Pi}^{10} = \mathbb{A}(A, B) \times \mathbb{A}(L)$. Let $b: \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\Pi}^{10} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10}$ be the morphism defined with

$$t_1 = -A^2 - AB - B^2, t_2 = -AB(A + B)$$

and the remaining coordinates being unchanged. The morphism b is a finite morphism of degree six since b is identified with the morphism $\{A + B + C = 0\} \times \mathbb{A}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10}$ defined with $t_1 = AB + BC + CA, t_2 = ABC$ and the remaining coordinates being unchanged, where $\{A + B + C = 0\}$ is a closed subset of the affine 3-space with the coordinates A, B, C . Let $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} := \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \times_{\mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10}} \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\Pi}^{10}$, and $b_{\Pi}: \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ and $p_{\Pi}: \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\Pi}^{10}$ the naturally induced morphisms. Let $p_H: H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(P)$ be the natural projection. Let U_{AB} be the open subset $\{(A - B)(2A + B)(A + 2B) \neq 0\}$ of $\mathbb{A}(A, B)$. Note that the morphism b is unramified on $U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(L) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\Pi}^{10}$.

Proposition 9.6. *There exists an isomorphism from $U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(L)$ to $U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(P)$, and an isomorphism from $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{(A - B)(2A + B)(A + 2B) \neq 0\}$ to*

$U_{AB} \times H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ which fit into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{(A-B)(2A+B)(A+2B) \neq 0\} & \longrightarrow & U_{AB} \times H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \\
p_{\Pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_H \times \text{id} \\
U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(L) & \longrightarrow & U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(P)
\end{array}$$

Proof. We can directly check that a morphism from $U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(L)$ to $U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(P)$, and a morphism from $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{(A-B)(2A+B)(A+2B) \neq 0\}$ to $U_{AB} \times H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$ can be defined by the following equalities and they are actually isomorphisms with the desired properties.

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{111} &= -3L_{246}, \\
p_{112} &= 3L_{245} + 3(L_{124} + (A+B)L_{126})/((2A+B)(A+2B)), \\
p_{121} &= 3L_{245} + 3(-L_{124} + BL_{126})/((A-B)(A+2B)), \\
p_{122} &= -3L_{136} + 3(L_{123} - AL_{125})/((A-B)(2A+B)), \\
p_{211} &= 3L_{245} + 3(L_{124} - AL_{126})/((A-B)(2A+B)), \\
p_{212} &= -3L_{136} + 3(-L_{123} + BL_{125})/((A-B)(A+2B)), \\
p_{221} &= -3L_{136} + 3(L_{123} + (A+B)L_{125})/((2A+B)(A+2B)), \\
p_{222} &= 3L_{135},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{11} &= -Ap_1 - A^2p_2 + u, \quad x_{21} = -Ap_3 - A^2p_4 + v, \\
x_{12} &= Bp_1 + B^2p_2 - u, \quad x_{22} = Bp_3 + B^2p_4 - v, \\
x_{13} &= -(A+B)p_1 + (A+B)^2p_2 - u, \quad x_{23} = -(A+B)p_3 + (A+B)^2p_4 - v,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= 3((AB+B^2)s_1 - As_2 - s_3) + 3/((A-B)(2A+B))((2AL_{123} - (AB+B^2)L_{125})p_1 \\
&\quad - ((2A^2 + AB + B^2)L_{123} - 2(A^2B + AB^2)L_{125})p_2 + (2AL_{124} - (AB+B^2)L_{126})p_3 \\
&\quad - ((2A^2 + AB + B^2)L_{124} - 2(A^2B + AB^2)L_{126})p_4 + (L_{123} - AL_{125})u + (L_{124} - AL_{126})v),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2 &= 3((A^2 + AB)s_1 - Bs_2 - s_3) + 3/((A-B)(A+2B))((-2BL_{123} + (A^2 + AB)L_{125})p_1 \\
&\quad + ((A^2 + AB + 2B^2)L_{123} - 2(A^2B + AB^2)L_{125})p_2 + (-2BL_{124} + (A^2 + AB)L_{126})p_3 \\
&\quad + ((A^2 + AB + 2B^2)L_{124} - 2(A^2B + AB^2)L_{126})p_4 + (-L_{123} + BL_{125})u + (-L_{124} + BL_{126})v),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_3 &= 3(ABs_1 - (A+B)s_2 + s_3) + 3/((2A+B)(A+2B))((2(A+B)L_{123} - ABL_{125})p_1 \\
&\quad + ((2A^2 + 3AB + 2B^2)L_{123} - 2(A^2B + AB^2)L_{125})p_2 + (2(A+B)L_{124} - ABL_{126})p_3 \\
&\quad + ((2A^2 + 3AB + 2B^2)L_{124} - 2(A^2B + AB^2)L_{126})p_4 \\
&\quad + (-L_{123} - (A+B)L_{125})u + (-L_{124} - (A+B)L_{126})v).
\end{aligned}$$

□

10 More on geometry of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$

10.1 $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -fibration associated to $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$

Note that the equations of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ are of degree two when we consider the coordinates of \mathbb{A}_{Π}^{10} are constants. Thus they define a subvariety $\hat{\Pi}$ of $\mathbb{P}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, u, v, s_1, s_2, s_3) \times \mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10}$, which is similar to \hat{H} for $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13}$. We note that the image of $U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(L)$ by the morphism b is the open subset $\{4t_1^3 + 27t_2^2 \neq 0\} \times \mathbb{A}(L)$ of \mathbb{A}_{Π}^{10} . Let $\{\mathcal{H}' = 0\}$ be the image by b of the pull-back on $U_{AB} \times \mathbb{A}(L)$ of $U_{AB} \times \{\mathcal{H} = 0\}$.

Proposition 10.1. *Let $\rho_{\Pi}: \hat{\Pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10}$ be the natural projection. The ρ_{Π} -fibers over points of the complement of $\{\mathcal{H}' = 0\}$ in $\{4t_1^3 + 27t_2^2 \neq 0\} \times \mathbb{A}(L)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, and the ρ_{Π} -fibers over points of a nonempty subset of $\{\mathcal{H}' = 0\}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2,2}$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\Pi}'$ be the base change of $\hat{\Pi}$ over $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\Pi}^{10}$. We have only to describe the corresponding fibers of $\hat{\Pi}' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{\Pi}^{10}$. Since the isomorphism from $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbb{A}}^{15} \cap \{(A - B)(2A + B)(A + 2B) \neq 0\}$ to $H_{\mathbb{A}}^{13} \times U_{AB}$ as in Proposition 9.6 is linear with respect to the coordinates which are vertical with respect to the fibrations ρ_{Π} and ρ_H , it descends to an isomorphism from $\hat{\Pi}' \cap \{(A - B)(2A + B)(A + 2B) \neq 0\}$ to the open subset $U_{AB} \times \hat{H}$. Thus we have the assertion. \square

10.2 More on singularities of $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$

Using Proposition 5.1, we have the following by the proof of [Tak1, Prop. 8.4]:

Proposition 10.2. *The variety $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ has only terminal singularities with the following descriptions:*

- (1) $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ has $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities along a locally closed subset S of codimension seven in $\Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$.
- (2) *There exists a primitive K -negative divisorial extraction $f: \tilde{\Pi} \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbb{A}}^{15}$ such that*
 - (i) *singularities of $\tilde{\Pi}$ are only $c(G(2, 5))$ -singularities along the strict transform of the closure of S , and*
 - (ii) *for the f -exceptional divisor E_{Π} , the morphism $f|_{E_{\Pi}}$ can be identified with $\rho_{\Pi}: \hat{\Pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\Pi}^{10}$.*

References

- [GRDB] S. Altınok, G. Brown, A. Iano-Fletcher, M. Reid, and K. Suzuki, *The graded ring database*, Online database, available at <http://www.grdb.co.uk/forms/fano3>
- [BH] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39** (Rev. ed.), Cambridge University Press.
- [CD] S. Coughlan and T. Ducat, *Constructing Fano 3-folds from cluster varieties of rank 2*, Compos. Math. **156**, Issue 9, September 2020, 1873–1914.

- [CLO] David A. Cox, John Little, and Donal O’Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms—An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, Springer; 4th ed.
- [F] T. Fukuoka, *Relative linear extensions of sextic del Pezzo fibrations over curves*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. XXI (2020), 1371–1409.
- [GKZ] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Basel.
- [SGA] A. Grothendieck and M. Raynaud, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. **2** (in French), Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Company (1968), vii+287.
- [K] S. Kleiman, *Bertini and his two fundamental theorems*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. **55** (1998), 9–37.
- [Ma] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics (M. Reid, Trans.) **8** (1989), Cambridge University Press.
- [Mu] S. Mukai, *Degeneration of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$ with application to del Pezzo fibration*, the talk in the international conference Higher Dimensional Algebraic Varieties, at RIMS, Kyoto University during June 2–6 and 9–13, 1997.
- [O] T. Okada, *Birationally superrigid Fano 3-folds of codimension 4*, Algebra Number Theory **14**(1), 191–212 (2020).
- [PR] S. Papadakis and M. Reid, *Kustin-Miller unprojection without complexes*, J. Alg. Geom. **13** (2004), 563–577.
- [P1] S. Papadakis, *Type II unprojection*, J. Alg. Geom. **15** (2006) 399–414.
- [S] P. Samuel, *Lectures on unique factorization domains*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics **30** (1964), Bombay.
- [Sing] W. Decker, G. -M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, *Singular 4-2-1—A computer algebra system for polynomial computations*, <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2021).
- [Tak1] H. Takagi, *Key Varieties for Prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano Threefolds Related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -Fibrations*. Part I, arXiv:2103.11086v2, submitted.
- [Tak2] H. Takagi, *Key Varieties for Prime \mathbb{Q} -Fano Threefolds Related with $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ -Fibrations*. Part III, in preparation.
- [Tay] R. Taylor, *Type II unprojections, Fano threefolds and codimension four constructions*, PhD thesis, University of Warwick (2020).

Hiromichi Takagi
 Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University,
 Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588, Japan
 e-mail: hiromici@math.gakushuin.ac.jp