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#### Abstract

Sánchez, Viader, Paradís and Carrillo (2016) proved that there exists an increasing continuous singular function $f$ on $[0,1]$ such that the set $A_{f}$ of points where $f$ has a nonzero finite derivative has Hausdorff dimension 1 in each subinterval of $[0,1]$. We prove a stronger (and optimal) result showing that a set $A_{f}$ as above can contain any prescribed $F_{\sigma}$ null subset of $[0,1]$.


## 1. Introduction

By a singular function we mean (following [5]) a continuous nonconstant function with zero derivative almost everywhere. Motivated by the observation that well-known singular functions have at no point a nonzero finite derivative, the authors of [5] constructed an increasing singular function $f$ on $[0,1]$ such that the set $A_{f}$ of points where $f$ has a nonzero finite derivative is uncountable. Later it was shown in [6] that such $A_{f}$ can be dense in $[0,1]$ and in $[7]$ that $A_{f}$ can have Hausdorff dimension 1 in each subinterval of $[0,1]$.

We prove in the present note a stronger result showing that a set $A_{f}$ as above can contain any prescribed $F_{\sigma}$ null subset of $[0,1]$, and that this result on the size of sets $A_{f}$ is optimal. More presisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $A \subset[0,1]$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) There exits a strictly increasing continuous singular function $f$ on $[0,1]$ such that $f$ has a nonzero finite derivative at each point of $A$.
(ii) $A$ is a subset of an $F_{\sigma}$ Lebesgue null set.

## 2. Proof

Before the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will introduce some notation and prove two lemmas.
Notation 2.1. The symbol $\lambda$ stands for the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Recall that, by our definition, each singular function is continuous and nonconstant. For a function defined on an interval $I$, its limits and derivatives are computed with respect to $I$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $J=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$ be a closed interval. Let $H \subset J$ be an $F_{\sigma}$ set with $\lambda(H)=0$. Then there exists a nondecreasing singular function $\varphi$ on $J$ such that
(i) $\varphi\left(a_{i}\right)=a_{i}, i=1,2$;
(ii) $\varphi^{\prime}(x)=0, x \in H$.

Proof. Since $J \backslash H$ is $G_{\delta}$ and dense in [0, 1], its intersection with a null dense $G_{\delta}$ set is a null $G_{\delta}$ set $S \subset J \backslash H$ which is uncountable by Baire category theorem. Since $S$ is uncountable and $G_{\delta},[4$, Theorem 13.6] implies that $S$ contains a homeomorphic copy $C$

[^0]of the Cantor set. We can choose (e.g. by [2, Corollary 2.8.]) a nonatomic Borel finite measure $\nu$ on $C$ whose support is $C$. Now it is easy to see that the function $\varphi$ defined by
$$
\varphi(x)=\frac{\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)}{\nu(C)} \nu\left(C \cap\left[a_{1}, x\right]\right)+a_{1}, \quad x \in J,
$$
is nondecreasing singular and satisfies conditions (i) and (ii).
We will say that $P \subset(a, b)$ is an "infinite partition of $(a, b)$ " if $a$ and $b$ are the only accumulation points of $P$. Clearly, $P$ has this property if and only if there exists a sequence $\left(p_{z}\right)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $P=\left\{p_{z}: z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, p_{z}<p_{z+1}, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\inf \left\{p_{z}: z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=a$ and $\sup \left\{p_{z}: z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=b$. Any such sequence $\left(p_{z}\right)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ will be called an "ordering of $P$ ".

We will show that, for each $(a, b)$, there exists an infinite partition $P$ of $(a, b)$ such that, for each ordering $\left(p_{z}\right)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $P$ and each $z \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{z+1}-p_{z}<\min \left\{\left|p_{z}-a\right|^{2},\left|p_{z+1}-b\right|^{2}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, choose an arbitrary infinite partition $P^{*}$ of $(0,1)$, its ordering $\left(p_{z}^{*}\right)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and, for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, choose a finite partition $p_{z}^{*}=t_{z, 0}<t_{z, 1}<\cdots<t_{z, k_{z}}=p_{z+1}^{*}$ of $\left[p_{z}^{*}, p_{z+1}^{*}\right]$ such that $\max \left\{t_{z, i}-t_{z, i-1}: 1 \leq i \leq k_{z}\right\}<\min \left(\left(p_{z}^{*}-a\right)^{2},\left(b-p_{z+1}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)$. Now it is easy to see that $P:=\left\{t_{z, i}: z \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq k_{z}\right\}$ has the desired property.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\{0,1\} \subset F \subset[0,1]$ be a closed set with $\lambda(F)=0$. Let $M \subset(0,1) \backslash F$ be an $F_{\sigma}$ set with $\lambda(M)=0$. Then there exists a nondecreasing singular function $g$ on $[0,1]$ such that
(i) $g(z)=z, z \in F$;
(ii) $g^{\prime}(z)=0, z \in M$;
(iii) $|g(x)-x| \leq \operatorname{dist}^{2}(x, F), \quad x \in[0,1]$.

Proof. Set $G=(0,1) \backslash F$. Then $G=\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} I_{\alpha}$, where $\left(I_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a (nonempty countable) disjoint system of open intervals. For each $\alpha \in A$, choose an infinite partition $P_{\alpha}$ of $I_{\alpha}=:\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right)$ and its ordering $\left(p_{z}^{\alpha}\right)_{z \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that (1) holds for $[a, b]:=\left[a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right]$ and $p_{z}:=p_{z}^{\alpha}$. For any $\alpha \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we apply Lemma 2.2 to $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]:=\left[p_{z}^{\alpha}, p_{z+1}^{\alpha}\right]$ and $H:=$ $\left(M \cap\left[p_{z}^{\alpha}, p_{z+1}^{\alpha}\right]\right) \cup\left\{p_{z}^{\alpha}, p_{z+1}^{\alpha}\right\}$ and obtain a nondecreasing singular function $\varphi_{z}^{\alpha}$ on $\left[p_{z}^{\alpha}, p_{z+1}^{\alpha}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{z}^{\alpha}\left(p_{z}^{\alpha}\right)=p_{z}^{\alpha}, \varphi_{z}^{\alpha}\left(p_{z+1}^{\alpha}\right)=p_{z+1}^{\alpha} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $\alpha \in A$, put $g_{\alpha}(x):=\varphi_{z}^{\alpha}(x), x \in\left[p_{z}^{\alpha}, p_{z+1}^{\alpha}\right]$. By (2), the definition is correct and $g_{\alpha}$ is a continuous nondecreasing function on $I_{\alpha}$ which has a.e. zero derivative. Moreover, (3) implies that $g_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)=0$ for each $x \in M \cap I_{\alpha}$.

Finally put $g(x):=x$ if $x \in F$ and $g(x):=g_{\alpha}(x)$ if $x \in I_{\alpha}$. Then $g$ is clearly nondecreasing on all $[0,1]$, has a.e. zero derivative, is continuous on each $I_{\alpha}$ and properties (i) and (ii) hold.

The inequality of (iii) is trivial for $x \in F$. If $x \in[0,1] \backslash F$, then $x \in I_{\alpha}=\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right)$ for some $\alpha \in A$ and we can choose $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x \in\left[p_{z}^{\alpha}, p_{z+1}^{\alpha}\right]$. Then we have $g(x)=g_{\alpha}(x)=\varphi_{z}^{\alpha}(x)$ and, since $\varphi_{z}^{\alpha}(x) \in\left[p_{z}^{\alpha}, p_{z+1}^{\alpha}\right]$, we obtain

$$
|g(x)-x|=\left|\varphi_{z}^{\alpha}(x)-x\right| \leq p_{z+1}^{\alpha}-p_{z}^{\alpha} \leq \min \left\{\left|p_{z}^{\alpha}-a_{\alpha}\right|^{2},\left|p_{z+1}^{\alpha}-b_{\alpha}\right|^{2}\right\} \leq \operatorname{dist}^{2}(x, F)
$$

and so (iii) is proved.

It remains to prove that $g$ is continuous on all $[0,1]$. We know that $g$ is continuous on $G$ and (iii) implies that, if $a \in F$ is fixed, we have for each $x \in[0,1]$

$$
|g(x)-g(a)|=|g(x)-a| \leq|g(x)-x|+|x-a| \leq|x-a|^{2}+|x-a| \rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow a
$$

## Proof of Theorem.

To prove the implication " $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$ ", suppose that $f$ is a singular increasing function on $[0,1]$ and $0<f^{\prime}(x)<\infty$ for each $x \in A$. Let $f^{*}$ be a continuous extension of $f$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and denote $E_{f}:=\left\{x \in(0,1):-\infty<f^{\prime}(x)<\infty\right\}$. Then $f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(f^{*}(x+\right.$ $\left.1 / n)-f^{*}(x)\right), x \in E_{f}$, and so $\left.f^{\prime}\right|_{E_{f}}$ is a first Baire class function on $E_{f}$. Consequently $P:=\left\{x \in E_{f}: f^{\prime}(x)>0\right\}$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ subset in the space $E_{f}$ (see e.g. [1, Theorem 10.12]) and consequently there exists an $F_{\sigma}$ set $H \subset[0,1]$ such that $P=E_{f} \cap H$. Since $f$ is singular, $P$ is a null set, $[0,1] \backslash E_{f}$ is a null set and consequently also $H \subset P \cup\left([0,1] \backslash E_{f}\right)$ is null. Therefore $A \subset P \cup\{0,1\}$ is contained in an $F_{\sigma}$ null set $H \cup\{0,1\}$.

To prove the implication " $(i i) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$ ", let $A \subset M$, where $M \subset[0,1]$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ null set. Write $M=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}$, where $F_{n}$ are closed subsets of $[0,1]$. Without any loss of generality we can assume that $M$ is dense in $[0,1], F_{1} \subset F_{2} \subset F_{3} \subset \ldots$ and $\{0,1\} \subset F_{1}$.

Set $M_{n}:=M \backslash F_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M_{n}$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ set with $\lambda\left(M_{n}\right)=0$ and $M_{n} \subset(0,1) \backslash F_{n}$. Applying Lemma 2.3 to the sets $F_{n}$ and $M_{n}$ we obtain nondecreasing singular functions $g_{n}$ on $[0,1]$ such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
(4) the conditions (i) - (iii) of Lemma 2.3 hold for $g:=g_{n}, F:=F_{n}, \quad M:=M_{n}$.

Now set

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} g_{n}(x), \quad x \in[0,1] .
$$

Clearly $f$ is continuous and nondecreasing on $[0,1]$. According to the Fubini theorem on derivative of a sum of monotone functions [3, Theorem 17.18] the function $f$ has zero derivative almost everywhere.

Now we will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { at each point } a \in M \text {, there exists a nonzero finite } f^{\prime}(a) \text {. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So fix an arbitrary $a \in M$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the natural number such that $a \in F_{n} \backslash F_{n-1}$, (where $F_{0}:=\emptyset$ ). If $1 \leq k<n$, then $a \in M_{k}$ and so (4) implies

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}} g_{k}\right)^{\prime}(a)=0
$$

Consequently, to prove $0<f^{\prime}(a)<\infty$, it is sufficient to show that

$$
r_{n}^{\prime}(a)=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}, \quad \text { where } r_{n}=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} g_{k}
$$

And this equality is true since, using (4) and $a \in F_{k}$ for $k \geq n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|r_{n}(x)-r_{n}(a)-\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}(x-a)\right| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}\left|g_{k}(x)-g_{k}(a)-(x-a)\right| \\
&=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}\left|g_{k}(x)-x\right| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \operatorname{dist}^{2}\left(x, F_{k}\right) \leq|x-a|^{2}=o(|x-a|), \quad x \rightarrow a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $f$ is strictly increasing since it is nondecreasing, $M$ is dense and (5) holds. Using (5) and $A \subset M$, we conclude that (i) holds, since $f$ has all desired properties.
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