

Smooth Toric Quiver Varieties

Amir Nasr*

School of Mathematics, IPM, Tehran, Iran

April 20, 2022

Abstract

We study smoothness of toric quiver varieties. When a quiver Q is defined with the identity dimension vector, the corresponding quiver variety is also a toric variety. So it has both fan representation and quiver representation. We work only on quivers with canonical weight and we classify smooth such toric quiver varieties. We show that a variety corresponding to a quiver with the identity dimension vector and the canonical weight is smooth if and only if it is a product of projective spaces or their blowups.

1 Introduction

Toric varieties and quiver varieties are two types of varieties with combinatorial information. A toric variety can be constructed from a fan in a lattice and a quiver variety is the moduli space of representations of a quiver. In this project we work on varieties with both properties. Namely, if $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ is a quiver with the identity dimension vector, then the moduli space of representations of Q which we call a quiver variety, has the structure of a toric variety.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a quiver with the identity dimension vector. Then to define the moduli space we need to consider a stability condition, called weight of the quiver, $w : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The weight we work with is the most natural weight where we assign to each vertex the number of its in-coming arrows minus the number of its out-going arrows, which is what we call

*amirnasr@ipm.ir

the canonical weight. Considering the identity dimension vector and the canonical weight, we classify smooth toric quiver varieties.

In Section 2 we give an introduction about toric quiver varieties and we show how a quiver with the identity dimension vector has the structure of a toric variety. In Section 3 we define the correspondence between a fan and the quiver of a toric quiver variety. We will see that we can find a fan representation of the variety directly from the quiver and we do not need to find the variety first. In Section 4 we define simple quivers and we show how a quiver can be contracted to a simple one. Moreover, we will show that the toric quiver variety may not be changed or blown down after contracting a quiver to a simple quiver. In section 5 we classify smooth toric quiver varieties which is the main result of the paper. We first classify smooth toric quiver varieties coming from a simple quiver and then use the results of Section 4 to generalize the result and classify all smooth toric quiver varieties.

2 Definitions and notations

A quiver is a pair $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$, where $Q_0 = \{v_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is the set of vertices and $Q_1 = \{v_i \xrightarrow{x} v_j\}_{i,j}$ is the set of arrows. The start point (vertex) and the end point (vertex) of an arrow are called the source and the target respectively. A path is a set of arrows and vertices such that we start from a vertex, go through the arrows and end at another vertex. A cycle is a path where each vertex and arrow is gone through only once and the start and end points are the same. A directed cycle is a cycle in which every arrow is passed from its source toward its target. In this paper we only work on quivers with no directed cycles. Between two vertices there might be one or more arrows, so a cycle can contain only two vertices and a pair of arrows between them, then of course all arrows between two vertices should be in the same direction. We call a cycle proper if it contains no more than one arrow between each pair of vertices. Two cycles are called edge connected if they share at least one arrow. By induction, a set of cycles is called edge connected if we can find one of them edge connected to the rest and the rest are also edge connected.

We use a capital letter X for a bunch of arrows between two vertices and the number of arrows in a bunch is called the multiplicity, m_X . If $m_X > 1 (= 1)$, then X is called a multiple-arrow (single-arrow).

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a quiver. A dimension vector is a tuple $d = (d_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$. Then to each vertex v_i a vector space M_i of dimension d_i is assigned

and a representation of Q is

$$R_d = \bigoplus_{x:v_i \rightarrow v_j} \text{Hom}(M_i, M_j).$$

Also there is an algebraic group

$$G_d = \prod_{v_i} GL(M_i)$$

acting on R_d as $g.M := (g_i)_i.(M_\alpha)_\alpha = (g_i M_\alpha g_j^{-1})_{\alpha:v_i \rightarrow v_j}$. The G_d -orbits correspond bijectively to the isomorphism classes of representations of Q [6].

For the purpose of working on toric quiver varieties, in this paper we only work with the identity dimension vector, i.e. $d(v) = 1$ for any vertex v (see more details in Remark 2.3). Hille calls representations of such quivers “thin sincere representations”[4]. Then we write $\mathcal{R} := R_d = \bigoplus_{x \in Q_1} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{G} := G_d = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|Q_0|}$. So a representation is in the form of the tuple $(\nu_x)_{x \in Q_1} \in \mathbb{C}^{|Q_1|}$. Therefore we can assign to each arrow x a variable and if there is no ambiguity we show it by the same notation x . Similarly, X^α may refer to a monomial which is multiplication of (non-negative) powers of all the correspondent variables.

To define the moduli space of representations of a quiver we consider a linear function $\theta : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ called the weight such that $\theta.d = 0$. One perhaps say the most obvious weight is the canonical weight where $\theta(v)$ is the number of arrows with their target at v minus the number of arrows with their source at v , so $\theta.d = \sum_{v \in Q_0} \theta(v) = 0$. Considering the canonical weight, any thin sincere representation is θ -stable, see [4, Definition 1.3].

Read [7] to see how the moduli space is defined for θ -stable thin sincere representations for any weight θ . Since we restrict our self to the canonical weight, we rephrase the definition as follows.

Definition 2.1. *Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a quiver with the identity dimension vector and the canonical weight. Consider a function $w : Q_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{|Q_0|}$ such that $w(v_i \xrightarrow{x} v_j)$ gets zeros everywhere except in the i -th and j -th positions which gets -1 and 1 respectively. If x refers to a variable, we define $w(x)$ the same as its corresponding arrow and for any other variable y , $w(xy) := w(x) + w(y)$. Then by induction $w(X^\alpha)$ is defined for any monomial X^α . Now a θ -invariant monomial of Q is a monomial X^α where $w(X^\alpha) = \theta(Q_0)$. Then the moduli space is the variety*

$$X_Q = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[X^\alpha \mid w(X^\alpha) = \theta(Q_0)]$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let X_Q be the moduli space of θ -stable thin sincere representation as defined in Definition 2.1. Then X_Q is a projective variety and the points are isomorphism classes of θ -stable thin sincere representations.[5]*

Remark 2.3. $\mathcal{G} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|Q_0|}$ is a torus acting on the affine space $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{x \in Q_1} \mathbb{C}$. Since the scalar torus acts trivially on \mathcal{R} , we can consider $P\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}/\mathbb{C}^*$ and the orbits of this action corresponds bijectively to the isomorphism classes of θ - stable thin sincere representations. On the other hand, there exists the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{|Q_1|}$ acting on the affine space \mathcal{R} via multiplication on the left. Therefore, the torus $\mathcal{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|Q_1|}/P\mathcal{G} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|Q_1|-|Q_0|+1}$ acts on the space of isomorphism classes of θ - stable thin sincere representations and so, \mathcal{T} acts on the moduli space X_Q . This makes the X_Q be a toric variety.

Note that since the weight we always work with is the canonical weight we may drop θ and simply write stable and invariant which mean θ - stable and θ - invariant receptively.

3 Fan Representation of a Quiver

In this section we explain how to find the fan representation of a toric quiver variety. Let Q be a quiver with the identity dimension vector. Then X_Q is a toric quiver variety and so it has a fan representation. We show how to construct the fan directly from the quiver.

Definition 3.1. *Consider the free abelian group over the set of arrows. By abuse of notation we write the bases of the free group by $\{x \in Q_1\}$. Then if C is a path (cycle) with arrows x_1, \dots, x_i , we write it as $C : \pm x_1 \pm \dots \pm x_i$ where for each arrow the sign depends on its direction in the path (cycle). Two or more cycles are called independent if non of them can be written as an integer sum of the others. Once a single-arrow is replaced by a multiple-arrow with multiplicity m , then the multiple arrow adds $m - 1$ independent cycles. A set of independent cycles which generates all cycles is called a basis of cycles and shown as \mathcal{B}^Q . Then the dimension of the quiver variety is the same as the size of \mathcal{B}^Q .*

Read [7] to see how the fan representation of a toric quiver varieties is defined. Here we bring a short description of the procedure.

Definition 3.2. *Supporting quiver of a quiver Q , shown as Q^s , is a quiver with vertices $Q_0^s = Q_0$ and each multiple-arrow is replaced by an arrow in the same direction.*

Correspondent matrix of a quiver is an $m \times n$ -matrix where m is the number of arrows and n is the number of vertices. Each row represents an arrow and each column represents a vertex. In any row there is only two non-zero entries, a -1 for the source and a 1 for the target of the corresponding arrow, namely, for any arrow x , the row corresponding to x is $w(x)$. Then a Gale Matrix of M , M_G , is a $k \times n$ matrix defined as follows.

Definition 3.3. Let M be the correspondent matrix of a quiver Q . Columns of M generate a sub-space of \mathbb{Q}^n . Let $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{n-k}}\}$ be a basis for $\text{col}(M)$ and let $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ be an extension to a basis of \mathbb{Q}^n ; $\mathcal{B} = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{n-k}}, u_1, \dots, u_k\}$. Let A be the transition matrix of changing the basis \mathcal{B} to the standard basis, i.e. $[\mathcal{B}]A = I_n$. Now M_G is the last k rows of A .

Proposition 3.4. Then each arrow x corresponds to a column of M_G which represents a ray, shown by ρ_x , in the fan representation of the toric quiver variety. Note that $M_G.M = 0$ and since the fan representation is independent from the choose of \mathcal{B} , any $k \times n$ matrix Γ with the property $\Gamma.M = 0$ works. [7]

We define dot product of two rays $\rho_x \cdot \rho_y$ to be dot product of their corresponding columns in M_G . This is used later in Proposition 4.6 when we talk about the position of a ray compared to another ray.

Proposition 3.5. Let Q be a quiver with no directed cycle and let M_G be a Gale matrix. Consider a vertex q and its out-going and in-coming arrows x_1, \dots, x_i and y_1, \dots, y_j respectively. If we show the corresponding columns in M_G by $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_i$ and $\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_j$, then $\mathcal{X}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{X}_i = \mathcal{Y}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{Y}_j$.

Proof. Let q be a vertex of Q and let $x_1, \dots, x_i, y_1, \dots, y_j, \mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_i$ and $\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_j$ be as above. Consider the column of M corresponding to q and show it by v_q . Then by the definition, we have $M_G.M = 0$ and so $M_G.m_q = 0$ which is what we are looking for. \square


4 Simple Quivers

Definition 4.1. Let $v_i \xrightarrow{x} v_j$ be a single-arrow and let $d_i = d_j$. By contracting x we mean removing x and unifying v_i and v_j to a single vertex, call it v_{ij} . Then $d_{ij} := d_i = d_j$ and $\theta_{ij} := \theta_i + \theta_j$. Note that θ_{ij} satisfies the definition of a canonical weight at the vertex v_{ij} and therefore the new dimension and weight vectors are the identity dimension vector and the canonical weight. We show the new quiver with Q_x .

Definition 4.2. A single-arrow $v_i \xrightarrow{x} v_j$ is called *contractible* if contracting x causes no directed cycle. We call a quiver Q *simple* if it is connected and has no contractible arrow. Obviously any connected quiver can be contracted to a simple quiver.

Lemma 4.3. Let x be a contractible arrow. There is a basis of cycles, \mathcal{B}_x° , such that any arrow is either same-directed with x in all cycles of \mathcal{B}_x° or opposite-directed in all of them.

Proof. Let there exist two cycles C_1 and C_2 containing x and a single-arrow y such that y is same-directed with x in C_1 and opposite directed in C_2 . Where each X_i is a paths containing multiple-arrows and single-arrows. Then



$C_1 : x \pm X_3 + y \pm X_2$ and $C_2 : x \pm X_4 - y \pm X_1$. We also have the cycles $C_3 : x \pm X_3 \pm X_1$ and $C_4 : x \pm X_4 \pm X_2$. Now we can replace C_2 by $C_3 + C_4 - C_1$. If Y is a multiple-arrow of $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, we do everything the same for Y , then in the basis we replace Y by each arrow y_i separately. \square

Lemma 4.4. [3, Exercise II.5.11] Let S and T be two graded rings with $S_0 = T_0 = \mathbb{C}$. Their Cartesian product $S \times_{\mathbb{C}} T$ is the graded ring $\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T_d$. Then $\text{Proj}(S \times_{\mathbb{C}} T) \cong \text{Proj}(S) \times_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Proj}(T)$.

Proposition 4.5. Let Q be a quiver with no directed cycle and let P be a sub-quiver of Q with adjusted weights. Consider the quiver Q with all its vertices contained in P contracted to one single vertex p and call it by Q_P . If p is not contained in any proper cycle of Q_P , then X_Q is isomorphic to the product of X_P and X_{Q_P} .

Proof. Arrows of Q starting at a vertex of P and ending at a vertex not in P are transformed to arrows in Q_P starting at p . Similarly, arrows of Q ending at a vertex of P . Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ be the set of arrows starting/ending at p and let m_1, \dots, m_k be their multiplicities. If X^α is an invariant monomial of Q , we want to show that the degree of each X_i in X^α is exactly m_i . To do so, without loose of generality we show it for only $i = 1$. We also assume

that p is the source of X_1 . Let p be connected to a quiver Q_1 and let α_1 be the degree of X_1 in X^α . If Q_1 is one single vertex q , then the weight of q is m_1 and so $\alpha_1 = m_1$. Let Q_1 have more than one vertex and connected to p by the vertex q .

In X^α , we change the degrees of all variables equal to zero except the ones corresponding to arrows in Q_1 and call it X^α . On the other hand, consider X^β where the degree of each variable is one and in a similar way find $X^{\beta'}$. Since both X^α and $X^{\beta'}$ are representations of Q_1 , the weight adjustment should be the same in both of them. So, $\alpha_1 = m_1$.

Now let X^α be an invariant monomial of Q . If we change the degrees of all variables corresponding to arrows of P equal to zero and show it by X^β , then X^β is an invariant monomial of Q_P and if we change the degrees of all variables corresponding to arrows of $Q \setminus P$ equal to zero and show it by $X^{\beta'}$, then $X^{\beta'}$ is an invariant monomial of P and $X^\alpha = X^\beta \otimes X^{\beta'}$. On the other hand, let X^β and $X^{\beta'}$ be invariant monomials of Q_P and P respectively, then $X^\beta \otimes X^{\beta'}$ is an invariant monomial of Q . Thus by Lemma 4.4 $\mathbb{C}[R_Q]$ is the Cartesian product $\mathbb{C}[R_P] \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[R_{Q_P}]$ and

$$X_Q = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[R_Q]) \cong \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[R_P] \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[R_{Q_P}]) = X_{Q_P} \times X_P.$$

□

Proposition 4.6. *Let x be a contractible arrow in a quiver Q . If there is an arrow y in a cycle with the same direction as x , then the dot product $\rho_x \cdot \rho_y$ is non-negative.*

Proof. The number of independent cycles, say k , is the same as the dimension of the variety. Let also m be the number of arrows. First we consider the case where y is a single-arrows. We will define a $k \times m$ Gale matrix in which the dot product $\rho_x \cdot \rho_y$ is non-negative. Per cycle in \mathcal{B}_x^\square we write the row of the coefficients of all the arrows, considering that the coefficient of an arrow which is not in a cycle is zero. Assuming that an arrow y is in a cycle with x , then their coefficients have always the same sign if not zero. This guarantees that $\rho_x \cdot \rho_y \geq 0$. Now if there is a multiple-arrow, $y = \{y_1, \dots, y_l\}$, then \mathcal{B}_x^\square has $l - 1$ cycles more compared to the case that y is a single-arrow. Namely, y in each cycles shall be replaced by any y_j . So, based on the discussion above, $\rho_x \cdot \rho_{y_j} \geq 0$. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let Q be a quiver with no directed cycle and a contractible arrow x . Then either $X_Q = X_{Q_x}$ or X_{Q_x} is a blow down of X_Q .*

Proof. If x is not involved in any cycle, then $X_Q = X_{Q_x}$ by Proposition 4.5. So we assume that x is in a cycle. We first show that contracting x is

the same as removing a ray in the fan representation of the quiver variety. Let Q be a quiver and let M be the correspondent matrix. Let us contract a contractible arrow $v_i \xrightarrow{x} v_j$. Then in the matrix M , two columns of M , corresponding to v_i and v_j , are added together and written as one column. Also the row corresponding to x , say the l -th row and show by m_x , will be entirely zero and removed. In the new correspondent matrix, show it by M_x , we write the new column as v_{ij} .

$$M = \begin{matrix} & \dots & v_i & \dots & v_j & \dots \\ \begin{matrix} m_x \\ \hline 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{matrix} & & & & & \end{matrix} \implies M_x = \begin{matrix} & \dots & v_{ij} & \dots \\ \begin{matrix} \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix} & & & \end{matrix}$$

Now we show that in M_G all columns are the same as $(M_x)_G$ except the l -th column which is removed. First, note that in each row of a correspondent matrix, there is a $'-1'$ and a $'1'$ and adding all columns of M (or M_x) gives a zero column. So at least one of the columns (any of them) is in the column space of the others. For M we make sure that exactly one of v_i or v_j is in the basis \mathcal{B} , say v_i and we set it to be m_{i_1} . Also, c_1, \dots, c_k can be chosen such that they all have zeros in the l -th component. Therefore, $[E_k]_{\mathcal{B}}$ has a non-zero weight for v_i only if $k = l$. Namely, for $k \neq l$, E_k is represented as a linear combination of columns except v_i . So, disregarding v_{ij} for finding $(M_x)_G$ ensures that all columns of M_G remains the same except the l -th column which is removed.

Now we only need to show that the ray corresponding to the removed ray is the sum of one or more rays corresponding to some other rays. For the rays corresponding to arrows we use the same notation as used for the arrows. Since x is in a cycle we assume there are some arrows connected to x at the target of x , v_j . Since x is contractible, in any cycle that x is involved, there is one or more arrow(s) in the direction of x . Then by Proposition 3.5 the ray x is the sum of the rays corresponding to some of these same-directed arrows. Using Proposition 4.6 we can choose rays which are neighbours to x . Thus by [1, Definition 3.3.17] we get a blowdown. \square


5 Smooth Toric Quiver Varieties

Proposition 5.1. *Let Q be a simple quiver with no directed cycle, the identity dimension vector and the canonical weight. Then if Q has a (non-*

directed) cycle, X_Q is singular.

Before proving the lemma, let us work on the following example.

Example 5.2. Consider the following cycle.



Let $M = X^k Y^l Z^m W^n$ be an invariant of the quiver where any of the capital letters in M , like X , corresponds to each of x_1, x_2 , or x_3 . Here X and Z are in the same direction and Y and W in the same opposite direction and adding the power of each of the variables, results in increasing the power of the other variable in the same direction and decreasing the power of the variables in the opposite direction. Since the power of non of the variables can be negative, the power of X and Y are both at least 1. So, all invariants of the quiver are

$$X^4 Y Z^3, X^3 Y^2 Z^2 W, X^2 Y^3 Z W^2, X Y^4 W^3$$

Note that they are all in the kernel of the quiver matrix


$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since XY is the common factor of all invariants, we factor XY and we have $(XZ)^3, (XZ)^2 YW, XZ(YW)^2, (YW)^3$ which correspond to the quiver

Dividing all the weights with 3, we only get the invariants XZ, YW . Then the corresponding variety is

$$X = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1 z_1 : x_1 z_2 : x_2 z_1 : x_2 z_2 : x_3 z_1 : x_3 z_2 : y_1 w : y_2 w]}{\text{corresponding relations}} \right)$$

which is singular. Now, going back to the quiver in 5.2, we get the Veronese map $\nu_3 : \mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{119}$ and Veronese isomorphism $X \xrightarrow{\nu_3} \nu_3(X) = X'$, so X'



is singular. Now, putting the XY factor back in the invariants, we get the Segre map $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^{119} \times \mathbb{P}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{719}$ and Segre isomorphism $X' \xrightarrow{\sigma} \sigma(X') = X_Q$, where \mathbb{P}^5 comes from $\mathbb{C}[x_1y_1 : x_2y_1 : x_3y_1 : x_1y_2 : x_2y_2 : x_3y_2]$ for the XY factor. So X_Q is singular.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. By Proposition 4.5, we only need to work on a set of edge connected cycles. So, consider a cycle Q_C . Since it is not an oriented cycle, it has arrows in both directions, clockwise and anti-clockwise. We name all clockwise arrows with $\{X_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ and anti-clockwise ones with $\{Y_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}$. Let α_i and β_j show the number of arrows in the multi-arrows X_i and Y_j respectively. Also we show the arrows of X_i and Y_j by x_{i,t_i} and y_{j,s_j} . Without loss of generality, assume that α_1 and β_1 are the smallest numbers among α_i and β_j respectively. Now let $X = X_1^{k_1} \dots X_n^{k_n} Y_1^{l_1} \dots Y_m^{l_m}$ be an invariant of the cycle. Then for each $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq m$, $k_i \geq \alpha'_i := \alpha_i - \alpha_1$ and $l_j \geq \beta'_j := \beta_j - \beta_1$. So, from all invariants we can factor $X' := X_1^{\alpha'_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha'_n} Y_1^{\beta'_1} \dots Y_m^{\beta'_m}$ and we adjust the weights of the vertices. Therefore in the first step, we will get a quiver with the property that the weight of each vertex is $\omega := \alpha_1 + \beta_1$, $-\omega$, or 0 if it is a source, a sink, or neither respectively. In the second step, dividing all the weights by ω , we get the invariants $X_1 \dots X_n$ and $Y_1 \dots Y_m$. Expanding them we get the following variety

$$\text{Proj} \left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[x_{1,t_1} \dots x_{n,t_n} : y_{1,s_1} \dots y_{m,s_m}]}{\text{corresponding relations}} \right) \quad \begin{matrix} 1 \leq t_i \leq \alpha_i \\ 1 \leq s_j \leq \beta_j \end{matrix}.$$

which is singular. Now, going back to the quiver created in the first step, we get a Veronese map $\nu_\omega : \mathbb{P}^{\alpha+\beta-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{(\omega+\alpha+\beta-1)-1}$ and Veronese isomorphism $X \xrightarrow{\nu_\omega} \nu_\omega(X) = X'$, so X' is singular. Now, putting the X' factor back in the invariants, we get a Segre embedding which is singular.

Now let Q be a simple quiver with a set of edge connected cycles. Let C_Q represent a cycle which is by itself simple. Then C_Q is singular as a cycle by its own (with weights adjusted). Now we show that X_Q is singular using a singular sub-variety, i.e. X_{C_Q} . To do so, let A be the set of all

invariants of Q and let M be an invariant of Q . If the degree of each letter which corresponds to an arrow in $Q \setminus C$ is equal to the multiplicity of that arrow, we put M in a set B . Now $X_{C_Q} \cong \text{Proj} \left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[M \in B]}{f_1, \dots, f_k} \right)$ and is a singular sub-variety of $X_Q \cong \text{Proj} \left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[M \in A]}{f_1, \dots, f_k, g_1, \dots, g_l} \right)$ by Lemma 4.4. Let p be a point of singularity of X_{C_Q} . Then the Jacobian matrix of X_{C_Q} has a lower rank at p than almost all other points. Then the Jacobian matrix of X_Q has also a lower rank at p than almost all other points. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let Q be a quiver and with no proper cycle. Then X_Q is direct product of projective spaces.*

Proof. It is obvious using Proposition 4.5. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let Q be a quiver with a contractible arrow x . If X_Q is smooth, then its blow down X_{Q_x} is smooth too.*

Proof. Let X_Q be smooth and (N, Δ) be its fan representation. Then each cone is generated by a set of rays which are basis for N . Now let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ be the cones which contain ρ_x . Each of these cones is generated by a set of rays (including ρ_x) which are a basis for N . Since ρ_x is a sum of rays in $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$, in the fan (N, Δ_{ρ_x}) with the ray corresponding to x removed, the cone $\sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \sigma_i$ is a smooth cone. See [2, section 2.1] \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let X be a toric quiver variety. Then if X is smooth, it is a direct product of projective spaces or blowups of such varieties.*

References

- [1] Cox, D. A., Little, J. B., & Schenck, H. K. (2011). Toric varieties (Vol. 124). American Mathematical Soc.. university press, 2016.
- [2] Fulton, W. Introduction to Toric Varieties. (AM-131), Volume 131. Princeton university press, 2016.
- [3] Hartshorne, R. Algebraic geometry. Vol. 52. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [4] Hille, L. “Toric quiver varieties.” Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger, 1996) 24 (1998): 311-325.
- [5] King, A. “Moduli of Representations of Finite Dimensional Algebras.” Quarterly J. Math. Oxford 45 (1994), 515 - 530.
- [6] Reineke, M. “Moduli of representations of quivers.” arXiv preprint arXiv:0802.2147 (2008).
- [7] Sturmfels, B. “Toric quiver varieties.” Lecture notes given in Tamas Hausel’s seminar (November 15, 2000).