

The surface category and tropical curves

Jan Steinebrunner

November 30, 2021

Abstract

We compute the classifying space of the surface category Cob_2 whose objects are closed 1-manifolds and whose morphisms are diffeomorphism classes of surface bordisms, and show that it is rationally equivalent to a circle. It is hence much smaller than the classifying space of the topologically enriched surface category \mathcal{C}_2 studied by Galatius-Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss.

However, we also show that for the wide subcategory $\text{Cob}_2^{X \leq 0} \subset \text{Cob}_2$ that contains all morphisms without disks or spheres, the classifying space $B\text{Cob}_2^{X \leq 0}$ is surprisingly large. Its rational homotopy groups contain the homology of all moduli spaces of tropical curves Δ_g as a summand.

The technical key result shows that a version of positive boundary surgery applies to a large class of discrete symmetric monoidal categories, which we call *labelled cospan categories*. We also use this to show that the $(2, 1)$ -category of cospans of finite sets has a contractible classifying space.

1 Introduction

The main object of study of this paper is the surface category Cob_2 . Objects in Cob_2 are closed oriented 1-manifolds and morphisms are compact oriented surface cobordisms, up to boundary-preserving diffeomorphisms. This category is best known as the source of 2-dimensional topological field theories.

Motivated by Segal's work on conformal field theories [Seg04], Madsen and Tillmann [MT01] define a topological category \mathcal{C}_2 , where the space of morphisms $M \rightarrow N$ is the moduli space of surfaces with boundary $M^- \amalg N$. This category has proven to be a useful tool for studying the moduli spaces $B\text{Diff}(\Sigma_g)$ in the large genus limit $g \rightarrow \infty$. In their seminal paper [GMTW09] Galatius, Madsen, Tillmann, and Weiss compute the classifying space of \mathcal{C}_2 as $B(\mathcal{C}_2) \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1} MTSO_2$, and deduce an alternative proof of the Mumford conjecture, which was originally proven in [MW07].

In this paper we compute the classifying space $B(\text{Cob}_2)$ and some closely related spaces. We will refer to Cob_2 as the *truncated* surface category in order to distinguish it from the topological surface category \mathcal{C}_2 . Indeed, the functor $\mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cob}_2$ that sends a surface cobordism to its diffeomorphism class identifies Cob_2 as the homotopy-category $h\mathcal{C}_2$. However, this functor is very far from being an equivalence: it collapses each of the moduli spaces $B\text{Diff}(\Sigma_{g,k})$ to a point. The category Cob_2 does not “know” about diffeomorphisms, but only about the combinatorics of how surfaces can be glued.

The above might suggest that the classifying space of the truncated surface category Cob_2 is much simpler than its topological analogue \mathcal{C}_2 . Indeed, our Theorem B implies that $B(\text{Cob}_2)$ is rationally equivalent to a circle. However, we will see that this is only the case because the disk morphism $D^2 : \emptyset \rightarrow S^1$ yields a trivial nullhomotopy of a certain obstruction category \mathcal{F}_g described below. We hence restrict our attention to the wide subcategory $\text{Cob}_2^{X \leq 0} \subset \text{Cob}_2$ where cobordisms are not allowed to have connected components that are disks or spheres. For this subcategory we show:

Theorem A. *The rational homotopy groups of $B(\text{Cob}_2^{X \leq 0})$ are:*

$$\pi_*^{\mathbb{Q}} B(\text{Cob}_2^{X \leq 0}) \cong \mathbb{Q}\langle \alpha \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Q}\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{g \geq 2} H_*(\Sigma^2 \Delta_g; \mathbb{Q})$$

where $|\alpha| = 1$, $|\rho_i| = 4i + 2$, and Δ_g is the moduli space of tropical curves of genus g and volume 1.

The classifying space of the surface category

Since Cob_2 is a symmetric monoidal category its classifying space $B\text{Cob}_2$ admits the structure of an infinite loop space. It will be useful to keep track of this structure and all our computations respect it. The only previously known computation regarding $B(\text{Cob}_2)$ is a theorem of Tillmann [Til96], which shows that there is an equivalence of infinite loop spaces $B(\text{Cob}_2) \simeq S^1 \times X$, where X is some simply connected infinite loop space. It was conjectured [JT13, Conjecture 5.3] that X is contractible, more generally that the classifying spaces of Cob_2 and several related categories should be 1-types. One of our main theorems states that this is *almost* true:

Theorem B. *There is an equivalence of infinite loop spaces $B(\text{Cob}_2) \simeq S^1$.*

Here Cob_2 is a $(2, 1)$ -category that we will think of as a refinement of the truncated cobordism category in which closed components are counted “properly”. In general it is defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. For all $d \geq 0$ the 2-category Cob_d has as objects closed oriented $(d-1)$ -manifolds and morphisms $W : M \rightarrow N$ are compact oriented cobordisms from M to N . A 2-morphism $\alpha : W \Rightarrow W'$ is a bijection $\alpha : \pi_0(W) \cong \pi_0(W')$ such that there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : W \cong W'$ that is the identity on the boundary and satisfies $\pi_0(\varphi) = \alpha$.

It is important to note that the diffeomorphism φ is not part of the data of the 2-morphism $\alpha : W \Rightarrow W'$. There are always at most finitely many 2-morphisms $W \Rightarrow W'$ and if W has no closed components, then there is at most one. From this it follows that the quotient map $B(\text{Cob}_d) \rightarrow B(\text{Cob}_d)$ is a rational equivalence. For $d = 2$ we construct an equivalence of infinite loop spaces:

$$B(\text{Cob}_2) \simeq B(\text{Cob}_2) \times \tau_{\geq 3}Q\left(\bigvee_{g \geq 0} S^2\right).$$

Here $\tau_{\geq 3}Q(\bigvee_{g \geq 0} S^2)$ denotes the 2-connected cover of the free infinite loop space on $\bigvee_{g \geq 0} S^2$. As a consequence of Theorem B this is exactly the mysterious infinite loop space X in Tillmann’s theorem. It is rationally trivial and can be thought of as an “error-term” coming from the fact that in Cob_2 we are not counting the closed components “properly”.

The surface category without disks and the moduli space of tropical curves

The above result seems to suggest that – as long as one looks at the slightly refined Cob_2 – the classifying space of the surface category is not very interesting. However, in the proof of Theorem B we will see that this is only the case because several interesting subspaces of $B(\text{Cob}_2)$ happen to admit a null-homotopy. This uses the fact that for any surface cobordism $W : M \rightarrow N$ and point $p \in W$ one may decompose $W = W' \cup_{S^1} D^2$ where W' is obtained from W by removing a ball around p . If one restricts to the following subcategory, this is no longer possible and the homotopy type becomes more interesting.

Definition 1.2. The subcategory $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \subset \text{Cob}_2$ is defined to contain all objects, but only those morphisms $W : M \rightarrow N$ where no connected component of W is a disk or a sphere.

Equivalently, one can require that every component $V \subset W$ has non-positive Euler characteristic, i.e. $\chi(V) \leq 0$. Using this reformulation one checks that $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ is closed under both composition and disjoint union.¹ By passing to this subcategory we uncover the rich homotopical structure that was lurking behind the seemingly simple statement of Theorem B:

Theorem C. *There is an equivalence of infinite loop spaces:*

$$B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \simeq S^1 \times Q(\Sigma^2 BO_2) \times Q\left(\bigvee_{g \geq 2} \Sigma^2 BJ_g\right).$$

¹One could also consider another, even larger subcategory $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \subset \text{Cob}_2^{\text{no disks}} \subset \text{Cob}_2$ where spheres are allowed. This simply splits as a product $\text{Cob}_2^{\text{no disks}} \cong \text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \times \mathbb{N}$, where the second factor counts the number of spheres.

The spaces $B\mathcal{J}_g$ are the classifying spaces of certain finite categories of stable graphs, which have appeared in the study of tropical curves. In fact, we construct a rational homology isomorphism $B\mathcal{J}_g \rightarrow \Delta_g$, where Δ_g is the moduli space of tropical curves of genus g and volume 1. The rational homology of Δ_g was described by [CGP21] in terms of Kontsevich's commutative graph complex. Following [Wil15] we let $\mathrm{fGC}_{0,\mathrm{conn}}$ denote the graph complex of connected graphs without tadpoles, where the degree of a graph is given by its number of edges. Combining these results we obtain:

Corollary D. *The rational homotopy groups of $B(\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$, or equivalently of $B(\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$, are:*

$$\pi_*^{\mathbb{Q}} B(\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \cong \mathbb{Q}\langle \alpha \rangle \oplus H_{*-1}(\mathrm{fGC}_{0,\mathrm{conn}}).$$

Here α is a generator of $\pi_1 B(\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The identification of the rational homotopy of $\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ with the homology of the graph complex leads to exponential lower bounds on its dimension: as observed in [CGP21] it follows from Willwacher's computation $H^0(\mathrm{GC}_2) = \mathrm{grt}_1$ in [Wil15] that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{2g}(\mathrm{fGC}_{0,\mathrm{conn}}) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_0(\mathrm{GC}_2^{g-\mathrm{loop}}) > 1.3^g$ for $g \gg 0$. To better understand the connection between $\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ and the Δ_g , we will construct an explicit comparison map, illustrated in figure 1. Let $\mathrm{SP}^{\infty}(X)$ denote the infinite symmetric power on a based space X ; this is the free topological commutative monoid on X . By [DT58] we have $\pi_k(\mathrm{SP}^{\infty}(X)) \cong \tilde{H}_k(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem E. *There is an explicit continuous map of partially defined commutative topological monoids:*

$$\mu : B(\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^{\infty}(\Sigma^2 \Delta_2 \vee \Sigma^2 \Delta_3 \vee \Sigma^2 \Delta_4 \vee \dots)$$

such that up to rational homotopy equivalence it corresponds to the projection to the third factor in Theorem C. In particular, the map on homotopy groups $\pi_* B(\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{g \geq 2} \tilde{H}_{*-2}(\Delta_g)$ rationally agrees with the map from Theorem A.




Figure 1: An example of how the map μ (defined in 6.9) can be evaluated on a 4-simplex in $B(\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$. The 4-simplex is parametrised by $(t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \in [0, 1]^5$ with $\sum t_i = 1$. The double-suspension $\Sigma^2 \Delta_g$ is given by triples $[(G, w, d), a, b]$ where $a, b \in [0, 1]$ with $a + b \leq 1$ and (G, w, d) a stable metric graph of genus g and volume $1 - a - b$. This is identified with the base-point if $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. To evaluate μ we sum over closed components V of the diagram, discarding components with boundary. To each V we assign a stable metric graph with an edge of length t_i/k_i for every time V intersects the i th vertical line, where V intersects the i th line k_i times. To be precise, valence 2 genus 0 vertices should be deleted and the length of their adjacent edges added. The coordinates (a, b) in the suspension $\Sigma^2 \Delta_g$ are given by the sum of the t_i “before” and “after” V , respectively.

Labelled cospan categories

The techniques used to prove the main theorems stated so far apply in much greater generality than just to Cob_2 and its subcategories. In fact, the main property of Cob_2 that we are using is that we can talk about connected components of objects and morphisms. One way of formalising this is to say that π_0 defines a symmetric monoidal functor:

$$\pi_0 : \text{Cob}_2 \rightarrow \text{Csp}, \quad M \mapsto \pi_0(M), \quad ([W] : M \rightarrow N) \mapsto [\pi_0(M) \rightarrow \pi_0(W) \leftarrow \pi_0(N)]$$

from Cob_2 to the category Csp of cospans in finite sets. This category Csp has as objects finite sets A and as morphisms $A \rightarrow B$ it has isomorphism classes of cospans $[A \rightarrow X \leftarrow B]$. Two cospans are isomorphic if there is a bijection $\sigma : X \cong X'$ compatible with the maps from A and B .

Since connected surfaces are uniquely determined by their genus and number of boundary components, giving a morphism $M \rightarrow N$ in Cob_2 amounts to the same data as giving a cospan $[\pi_0(M) \rightarrow X \leftarrow \pi_0(N)]$ and a labeling $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ that encodes the genus of each component. It will be a very useful perspective to think of Cob_2 as a category of cospans labelled by natural numbers as indicated in figure 2. Formalising this leads to the definition of labelled cospan categories. The reader is referred




Figure 2: A morphism in Cob_2 can be thought of as a cospan of finite sets labelled in \mathbb{N} .

to section 2, which serves as an introduction to this paper from the perspective of labelled cospan categories.

Definition 1.3. A *labelled cospan category* is a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} together with a symmetric monoidal functor $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Csp}$ satisfying four axioms, which ensure that every object and morphism in \mathcal{C} uniquely decomposes as the disjoint union of its connected components. (See definition 2.4 for details.)

In the study of topologically enriched cobordism categories a key theorem is that the inclusion of the positive boundary subcategory $\mathcal{C}_d^{\partial+} \subset \mathcal{C}_d$ induces an equivalence on classifying spaces. (See [GMTW09, Theorem 6.1] and [GRW14, Theorem 3.1].) Here the positive boundary subcategory contains all objects and all those cobordisms $W : M \rightarrow N$ where each component $V \subset W$ intersects N non-trivially, i.e. has positive boundary. We can define a positive boundary subcategory $\mathcal{C}^{\partial+} \subset \mathcal{C}$ for any labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Csp})$ by allowing those morphisms $f : x \rightarrow y$ where the right-hand arrow in the cospan $[\pi(x) \rightarrow \pi(f) \leftarrow \pi(y)]$ is surjective.

For truncated cobordism categories the positive boundary category is often much easier to compute. For example we have $B(\text{Cob}_1^{\partial+}) \simeq QS^0$ by [Rap14, Corollary 4.3.2], $B(\text{Cob}_2^{\partial+}) \simeq S^1$ by [Til96], and $B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0, \partial+}) \simeq S^1$ and $B(\text{Csp}^{\partial+}) \simeq *$ by proposition 4.27. However, we cannot generally expect the inclusion of the positive boundary category to be an equivalence. After all $B(\text{Cob}_1)$ is more complicated than QS^0 by [Ste21] and $B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$ is more complicated than S^1 by Theorem C.

The key theorem about the classifying spaces of labelled cospan categories will describe the failure of $B(\mathcal{C}^{\partial+}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{C})$ to be an equivalence. For this theorem to be stated in its most natural form we replace \mathcal{C} by a $(2, 1)$ -category $\mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C})$, in analogy with how we replaced Cob_2 by \mathbb{Cob}_2 . This recovers

the previous construction as $\text{Cob}_2 \simeq \text{Csp}(\text{Cob}_2)$ and just like before it does not change the classifying space up to rational equivalence: $B(\text{Csp}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} B(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem F (Decomposition and Surgery Theorem). *Let $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Csp})$ be a labelled cospan category that admits surgery (definition 2.42) and assume that $B(\mathcal{C})$ is group-complete. Then there is a fiber sequence of infinite loop spaces:*

$$B(\mathcal{C}^{\partial_+}) \longrightarrow B(\text{Csp}(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow Q\left(\bigvee_g S^2(B\mathcal{F}_g(\mathcal{C}))\right).$$

Here the wedge runs over all connected morphisms $g : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\mathcal{F}_g(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the category of non-trivial factorisations $(g : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow x \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ from definition 2.34, and S^2 denotes the unreduced double-suspension.

The condition of admitting surgery is satisfied by all truncated cobordism categories Cob_d as well as by Csp and various labelled cospan categories that one can construct by labelling cospans in an abelian monoid. The proof of Theorem F takes up the majority of this paper (section 3 and 4). Once Theorem F is established most other computations amount to determining the homotopy types of the factorisation categories $\mathcal{F}_g(\mathcal{C})$. In the case of $\mathcal{C} = \text{Csp}$ we show that $B\mathcal{F}(\text{Csp})$ is contractible. This concludes the computation started in [Stb19]:

Theorem G. *The classifying space of the $(2, 1)$ -category of cospans Csp is contractible and the classifying space of its homotopy category is $B(\text{Csp}) \simeq \tau_{\geq 3}Q(S^2)$.*

We further show that $B\mathcal{F}_{[W]}(\text{Cob}_d)$ is contractible for any closed d -manifold W , $d \geq 2$. This makes use of the disk morphisms $D^d : \emptyset \rightarrow S^{d-1}$, which were not available in $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$. This implies:

Theorem H. *For any dimension $d \geq 2$ the classifying space of Cob_d is equivalent to the classifying space of its positive boundary subcategory: $B(\text{Cob}_d^{\partial_+}) \simeq B(\text{Cob}_d)$.*

The classifying spaces of factorisation categories are not always trivial, though. [Ste21, Section 8] implies that $\mathcal{F}_{S^1}(\text{Cob}_1)$ is equivalent to Connes' cyclic category Λ . Even though Cob_1 does not admit surgery in the sense of definition 2.42, the conclusion of Theorem F still seems to apply and the map $B\text{Csp}(\text{Cob}_1) \rightarrow Q(\Sigma^2(B\mathcal{F}_{S^1}(\text{Cob}_1)))$ corresponds to the continuation of the reduction fiber sequence that we constructed in [Ste21, Section 7].

The subcategory $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \subset \text{Cob}_2$ is another example where the arguments for the contractibility of \mathcal{F}_g fail as we cannot use the disk morphism $D^2 : \emptyset \rightarrow S^1$. In section 6 we prove Theorem C by showing that there is a zig-zag of functors inducing an equivalence of classifying spaces $B(\mathcal{F}_g(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})) \simeq B(J_g)$ for all $g \geq 2$.

Outline

This paper is split into two parts. In sections 2, 3, and 4 we study the general theory of labelled cospan categories, and in sections 5 and 6 we focus on the case of $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ and its relation to Δ_g .

We begin in section 2 by defining labelled cospan categories, giving several general constructions, and stating the decomposition theorem and the surgery theorem (which together form Theorem F). Section 3 proves the decomposition theorem. First we establish a general fiber sequence criterion and a base-change theorem that will be useful throughout, these are then applied to various spaces of cuts similar to [Ste21, Section 7]. In the end of the section we also show that several factorisation categories are contractible. Section 4 proves the surgery theorem by applying ideas of [Gal11] and [GRW14] to labelled cospan categories. We also compute the positive boundary category of any weighted cospan category by generalising [Til96]. At this point Theorems B, G, and H follow.

Section 5 relates the factorisation category $\mathcal{F}_g(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$ to the category of graphs J_g by constructing a category of filtered graphs $\int_J \text{Sub}'$ that admits functors to both. We then show that both functors induce equivalences by showing that the space of filtrations $B\text{Sub}'(X, w)$ on any given graph (X, w) is contractible. Together with the surgery and decomposition theorem this implies Theorem C. Section 6 recalls the moduli space Δ_g and constructs a rational homology equivalence $BJ_g \rightarrow \Delta_g$. Moreover, we prove Theorem E by constructing the rational splitting map $\mu : B\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \rightarrow \text{SP}^{\infty}(\Sigma^2 \Delta_2 \vee \dots)$.

Acknowledgements

This paper was written as a part of my PhD thesis under the supervision of Ulrike Tillmann, who I would like to thank for many useful conversations. I am grateful to Oscar Randal-Williams for giving me very detailed feedback on my thesis and I thank Dhruv Ranganathan for his comments.

My thesis was supported by St. John's College, Oxford through the *Ioan and Rosemary James Scholarship* and the EPSRC grant no. 1941474.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Labelled cospan categories	6
3	The decomposition theorem	20
4	The surgery theorem	40
5	Factorisation categories and filtered graphs	54
6	Comparison to Δ_g	65
	References	71

2 Labelled cospan categories

In this section we introduce the framework of labelled cospan categories, give several basic constructions that will be needed later, and state the two key theorems about classifying spaces of labelled cospan categories: the decomposition theorem 3.1 and the surgery theorem 4.1.

2.1 Labelled cospans

2.1.1 Definition

Definition 2.1. The cospan category \mathbf{Csp} has as objects finite sets A and as morphisms $A \rightarrow B$ isomorphism classes $[A \rightarrow X \leftarrow B]$ of cospans of finite sets. Here two cospans $f : A \rightarrow X \leftarrow B : g$ and $f' : A \rightarrow X' \leftarrow B : g'$ are called isomorphic if there is a bijection $\varphi : X \cong X'$ such that $f' = \varphi \circ f$ and $g' = \varphi \circ g$. The composite of two morphisms $[A \rightarrow X \leftarrow B]$ and $[B \rightarrow Y \leftarrow C]$ is defined by taking the pushout $[A \rightarrow X \cup_B Y \leftarrow C]$. This is a symmetric monoidal category under disjoint union.

Example 2.2. The key to the following definitions is that any cobordism $W : M \rightarrow N$ yields a cospan of finite sets $\pi_0(M) \rightarrow \pi_0(W) \leftarrow \pi_0(N)$ in such a way that composition of cobordisms corresponds to composition of cospans. In other words, taking connected components defines a symmetric monoidal functor $\pi_0 : \mathbf{Cob}_d \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp}$.

Definition 2.3. Consider a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ together with a symmetric monoidal functor $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp}$. We say that an object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ is *connected* with respect to π if $\pi(M)$ is a set with one element. Similarly, we say that a morphism $W : M \rightarrow N \in \mathcal{C}$ is *connected* with respect to π if the set $\pi(W)$ in the cospan $[\pi(M) \rightarrow \pi(W) \leftarrow \pi(N)]$ has a single element. We say that W is *reduced* if the cospan $[\pi(M) \rightarrow \pi(W) \leftarrow \pi(N)]$ has the property that $\pi(M) \amalg \pi(N) \rightarrow \pi(W)$ is surjective. Let $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{con}}(M, N) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M, N) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ denote the subset of connected and reduced morphisms, respectively.

The following definition encodes the idea that in \mathbf{Cob}_d any object and cobordism canonically decomposes into its set of connected components.

Definition 2.4. A *labelled cospan category* is a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ together with a symmetric monoidal functor $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp}$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) For any object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\pi(M)$ has n elements we can find connected objects $M_1, \dots, M_n \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $M \cong M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$. If $n = 0$, we require $M \cong 1_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- (ii) The abelian monoid $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ is freely generated by the subset of connected morphisms.
- (iii) For any two objects $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$ the following map is a bijection

$$\otimes : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M, N) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N).$$

- (iv) For any four objects $M_1, M_2, N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ the following diagram is a pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M_1, N_1) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M_2, N_2) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M_1 \otimes M_2, N_1 \otimes N_2) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Csp}}^{\mathrm{red}}(\pi(M_1), \pi(N_1)) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Csp}}^{\mathrm{red}}(\pi(M_2), \pi(N_2)) & \xrightarrow{\amalg} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Csp}}^{\mathrm{red}}(\pi(M_1) \amalg \pi(M_2), \pi(N_1) \amalg \pi(N_2)) \end{array}$$

A functor of labelled cospan categories $F : (\pi_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp}) \rightarrow (\pi_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp})$ is a symmetric monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ together with a symmetric monoidal natural isomorphism $\alpha : \pi_{\mathcal{D}} \circ F \cong \pi_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Remark 2.5. We will show in lemma 2.28 that any labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp})$ is equivalent to another category $h\mathbf{Csp}(\mathcal{C})$ where morphisms are indeed cospans of finite sets labelled by connected morphisms in \mathcal{C} . This justifies the name, but the list of axioms might still seem a little arbitrary. In definition 2.30 we provide a much simpler definition in the world of ∞ -categories, which conjecturally generalises the above and only imposes a single axiom. For now we shall motivate the definition by giving examples.

Example 2.6. For any dimension d and tangential structure θ the truncated θ -structured d -dimensional cobordism category $\mathrm{Cob}_{d,\theta} = h(\mathcal{C}_{d,\theta})$ is a labelled cospan category when equipped with the symmetric monoidal functor $\pi_0 : \mathrm{Cob}_{d,\theta} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp}$ that sends a closed $(d-1)$ -manifold M to the finite set $\pi_0(M)$ and a d -dimensional cobordism $W : M \rightarrow N$ to the cospan $[\pi_0(M) \rightarrow \pi_0(W) \leftarrow \pi_0(N)]$.

Example 2.7. Let $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp})$ be some labelled cospan category and let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal subcategory such that:

- (a) If $M \otimes N \in \mathcal{D}$ for some $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$, then $M, N \in \mathcal{D}$.
- (b) If $f \otimes g \in \mathcal{D}$ for some $(f : M \rightarrow N), (g : M' \rightarrow N') \in \mathcal{C}$, then $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$.

Then $(\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp})$ is a labelled cospan category. To see this we check the four properties:

- (i) This follows because we had such a decomposition in \mathcal{C} and the connected objects appearing in the decomposition of an object of \mathcal{D} also need to lie in \mathcal{D} by (a).
- (ii) This follows because if a submonoid $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ of a free monoid $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ satisfies the cancellation property (b), then it is necessarily freely generated on a subset of the generators of the original monoid.
- (iii) The map is injective because it is the restriction of an injection and it is surjective by (b).
- (iv) The map from the top-left corner to the pullback is injective because it is the restriction of an injection and it is surjective by (b).

Combining this with the previous example we see that $(\pi_0 : \mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp})$ is a labelled cospan category.

2.1.2 Decomposing labelled cospans

The following lemma explains how we can think of any morphism $W : M \rightarrow N$ as the cospan $\pi(M) \rightarrow \pi(W) \leftarrow \pi(N)$ together with a labelling of the components of $\pi(W)$ by connected morphisms in \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 2.8. *Consider two objects $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$ and decompose them into connected objects $M = M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_m$ and $N = N_1 \otimes \dots \otimes N_n$. The set of morphisms $M \rightarrow N$ can be described as:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \times \coprod_{[X]:\pi(M) \rightarrow \pi(N)} \prod_{x \in X} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{con}} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in f^{-1}(x)} M_i, \bigotimes_{j \in g^{-1}(x)} N_j \right)$$

where the coproduct runs over all isomorphism classes of reduced cospans $f : \pi(M) \rightarrow X \leftarrow \pi(N) : g$. This decomposition is natural with respect to functors of labelled cospan categories.

Proof. We have the decomposition $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M, N)$ by condition (iii). The set of reduced morphisms maps to the set of reduced cospans, so we get a disjoint decomposition

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M, N) \cong \coprod_{[X]:\pi(M) \rightarrow \pi(N)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{[X]}(M, N)$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{[X]}(M, N) = \{W : M \rightarrow N \mid \pi(W) = [X]\}$ is the set of morphisms with underlying cospan X . To understand this set of morphisms we decompose M and N according to the cospan X :

$$M \cong \bigotimes_{x \in X} M_x, \quad M_x := \bigotimes_{i \in f^{-1}(x)} M_i \quad \text{and} \quad N \cong \bigotimes_{x \in X} N_x, \quad N_x := \bigotimes_{j \in g^{-1}(x)} N_j$$

Here we identified $\pi(M)$ with $\{1, \dots, m\}$ according to the decomposition $M = M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_m$ and similarly for N . We can now apply condition (iv) multiple times and glue the pullback squares to obtain the following pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{x \in X} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M_x, N_x) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(\bigotimes_{x \in X} M_x, \bigotimes_{x \in X} N_x) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \prod_{x \in X} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Csp}}^{\mathrm{red}}(\pi(M_x), \pi(N_x)) & \xrightarrow{\amalg} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Csp}}^{\mathrm{red}}(\amalg_{x \in X} \pi(M_x), \amalg_{x \in X} \pi(N_x)). \end{array}$$

By definition of M_x and N_x the cospan $[\pi(M) \rightarrow X \leftarrow \pi(N)]$ corresponds to the element of the product $\prod_{x \in X} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Csp}}^{\mathrm{red}}(\pi(M_x), \pi(N_x))$ that is given by the unique connected cospan $[\pi(M_x) \rightarrow \{x\} \leftarrow \pi(N_x)]$ in each entry. We can now compare the fibers of the vertical maps at the points corresponding to $[X]$. Since the diagram is a pullback square the fibers are isomorphic:

$$\prod_{x \in X} \{W \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(M_x, N_x) \mid \pi(W) = \{x\}\} \cong \{W \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{red}}(\bigotimes_{x \in X} M_x, \bigotimes_{x \in X} N_x) \mid \pi(W) = [X]\}.$$

The left-hand side is the product over $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{con}}(M_x, N_x)$ and the right-hand side is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{[X]}(M, N)$. Together with the first part this concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.9. *A functor $F : (\pi_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Csp}) \rightarrow (\pi_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Csp})$ of labelled cospan categories is an equivalence of categories if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:*

- For any connected object $M_{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathcal{D}$ there is a connected object $M_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $F(M_{\mathcal{C}}) \cong M_{\mathcal{D}}$.
- For any two objects $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$ the functor F induces a bijection

$$F : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{con}}(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathrm{con}}(F(M), F(N)).$$

Proof. The first condition implies that $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is essentially surjective because by condition (i) every object in \mathcal{D} is the product of connected objects. The second condition applied to $M = 1_{\mathcal{C}} = N$ implies, together with condition (ii), that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(1_{\mathcal{D}}, 1_{\mathcal{D}})$ is a bijection. Then we can use the decomposition from lemma 2.8 to see that being a bijection on connected morphisms and endomorphisms of $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ implies that F is a bijection on all homs. \square

For any labelled cospan category we can define a reduced category in which all closed components are deleted.

Definition 2.10. The reduced category \mathcal{C}^{red} has the same objects as \mathcal{C} and the morphisms are equivalence classes of morphisms in \mathcal{C} under the action of the abelian monoid $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$. This indeed defines a category as for any $W : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ the action $(W \amalg _) : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ commutes with pre- and post-composition with any morphism.

Note that it follows from the axioms for labelled cospan categories that every morphism $[W] : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{C}^{red} has a unique representative $W \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M \rightarrow N)$ that is reduced. In other words, the composite

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{red}}}(M, N) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{red}}}(M, N)$$

is a bijection. We could therefore equivalently define the category \mathcal{C}^{red} to have the same objects as \mathcal{C} and to have as morphisms the reduced morphisms in \mathcal{C} . Composition would then be defined by $W \circ_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{red}}} V = U$ where U is the unique reduced morphism such that there is $Q : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ with $W \circ_{\mathcal{C}} V = U \amalg Q$.

Example 2.11. There is a canonical bijection between the set of equivalence relations on $A \amalg B$ and $\text{Hom}_{\text{Csp}}^{\text{red}}(A, B)$ defined by sending an equivalence relation $R \subset (A \amalg B)^2$ to the cospan $[A \rightarrow (A \amalg B)/R \leftarrow B]$. This can be used to give an alternative description of Csp^{red} where morphisms $A \rightarrow B$ are equivalence relations on $A \amalg B$. In this category morphisms $R \subset (A \amalg B)^2$ and $S \subset (B \amalg C)^2$ are composed by restricting the equivalence relation on $A \amalg B \amalg C$ that is generated by R and S to the subset $A \amalg C$.

2.1.3 Weighted cospans

Another class of examples can be constructed by labeling cospans with elements of an abelian monoid. This includes Csp , Cob_2 , and $\text{Cob}_2^{X \leq 0}$, and will provide a useful point of view in section 5. In principle it should be possible to label cospans with operations of any modular operad, or even a coloured properad (see conjecture 2.31). For the purpose of this thesis it will suffice to consider the case where the operations in the modular operad are elements of an abelian monoid A and pairing two inputs is given by addition with a fixed element α . We also allow to specify a subset $A_1 \subset A$, which can be thought of as a stability condition.

Definition 2.12. A *weighting monoid* is a triple (A, A_1, α) where A is an abelian monoid, which we will denote additively, $A_1 \subset A$ is a subset satisfying $A_1 + A \subset A_1$, and $\alpha \in A_1$ is some element.

The most important weighting monoid is $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, 1)$, and we will usually think about this case.

Definition 2.13. For a monoid $(A, +)$ with a preferred element $\alpha \in A$ we define the (A, α) -weighted cospan category $\text{Csp}(A, \alpha)$ as follows. Objects are finite sets. Morphisms are equivalence classes of labelled cospans $[i : M \rightarrow X \leftarrow N : j, l : X \rightarrow A]$. Two morphisms are equivalent if there is a bijection $\varphi : X \cong X'$ satisfying $l' \circ \varphi = l$, $\varphi \circ i = i'$, and $\varphi \circ j = j'$. The composition of two morphisms $(i : M \rightarrow X \leftarrow N : j, l)$ and $(i' : N \rightarrow Y \leftarrow L : j', l')$ is defined by composing the cospans as usual and equipping the pushout with the labeling:

$$(l * l')([u] \in X \amalg_N Y) = \sum_{x \in X, x \in [u]} l(x) + \sum_{y \in Y, y \in [u]} l'(y) + \alpha^{b_1([u]; X, Y)}.$$

Here $b_1([u]; X, Y) \in \mathbb{N}$ is what one could call the first Betti number of the equivalence class $[u] \subset X \amalg Y$. Concretely, we define it as:

$$b_1([u]; X, Y) := |(N \rightarrow X \amalg_N Y)^{-1}([u])| - |(X \amalg Y \rightarrow X \amalg_N Y)^{-1}([u])| + 1.$$

Lemma 2.14. *Definition 2.13 yields a well-defined category $\text{Csp}(A, \alpha)$ and disjoint union defines a symmetric monoidal structure on this category. Moreover, the forgetful functor $\text{Csp}(A, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Csp}$ is symmetric monoidal and makes $\text{Csp}(A, \alpha)$ into a labelled cospan category.*

Proof. To see that $\text{Csp}(A, \alpha)$ we need to check that composition is associative. Since we already know that the composition of cospans is associative up to canonical isomorphism, all that is left check is that this canonical isomorphism respects the labeling. Consider three composable morphisms $(i : M \rightarrow X \leftarrow N : j, l)$, $(i' : N \rightarrow Y \leftarrow L : j', l')$, and $(i'' : L \rightarrow Z \leftarrow O : j'', l'')$. To see that $(l * l') * l'' \equiv l * (l' * l'')$ it suffices to check the following identity on Betti numbers for every point $[u] \in X \amalg_N Y \amalg_L Z$:

$$\begin{aligned} b_1([u]; X \amalg_N Y, Z) + \sum_{[v] \in X \amalg_N Y, [v] \subset [u]} b_1([v]; X, Y) \\ \stackrel{?}{=} b_1([u]; X, Y \amalg_L Z) + \sum_{[w] \in Y \amalg_L Z, [w] \subset [u]} b_1([w]; Y, Z) \end{aligned}$$

(The point is represented by an equivalence class $[u] \subset X \amalg Y \amalg Z$.) Indeed one checks that both sides of the equation are equal to

$$|(N \amalg L \rightarrow (X \amalg_N Y \amalg_L Z))^{-1}([u])| - |(X \amalg Y \amalg Z \rightarrow (X \amalg_N Y \amalg_L Z))^{-1}([u])| + 1.$$

Hence the composition in $\text{Csp}(A, \alpha)$ is indeed associative.

The composition of (A, α) -labelled cospans is defined component-wise and hence disjoint union $\amalg : \text{Csp}(A, \alpha) \times \text{Csp}(A, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Csp}(A, \alpha)$ is a functor. This defines a symmetric monoidal structure with the same structure isomorphisms as for Csp (now labelled by 0). By construction the forgetful functor $\text{Csp}(A, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Csp}$ is symmetric monoidal. Moreover, one checks that this functor satisfies the conditions of definition 2.4 and hence defines a labelled cospan category. \square

Definition 2.15. For (A, α) as above and a subset $A_1 \subset A$ satisfying $\alpha \in A_1$ and $A_1 + A \subset A_1$ we define $\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha) \subset \text{Csp}(A, \alpha)$ as the subcategory that contains all objects and those morphisms $(i : M \rightarrow X \leftarrow N : j, l)$ where for each $x \in X$ with $|i^{-1}(x) \amalg j^{-1}(x)| \leq 1$ we require $l(x) \in A_1$.

One checks that $\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha) \subset \text{Csp}(A, \alpha)$ is indeed closed under composition by using $\alpha \in A_1$ and $A_1 + A \subset A_1$. It is also closed under disjoint union and further satisfies the condition of example 2.7. Hence $\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)$ is a labelled cospan category.

Lemma 2.16. *There are equivalences of labelled cospan categories:*

$$\text{Csp}(0, 0) \simeq \text{Csp}, \quad \text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, 1) \simeq \text{Cob}_2, \quad \text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, 1) \simeq \text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}.$$

Proof. The first equivalence is clear since a cospan labelled in the trivial monoid 0 is the same data as just a monoid, so the forgetful map $\text{Csp}(0, 0) \rightarrow \text{Csp}$ is an isomorphism of categories.

For the second equivalence we define a functor $F : \text{Cob}_2 \rightarrow \text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, 1)$ as the lift of the functor $\pi_0 : \text{Cob}_2 \rightarrow \text{Csp}$ by labelling the cospan $[\pi_0(M) \rightarrow \pi_0(W) \leftarrow \pi_0(N)]$ obtained from a morphism $W : M \rightarrow N$ with the function $g : \pi_0(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ that sends a component to its genus. We need to check that F is in fact functorial. This means that we have to verify for any two cobordisms $W : M \rightarrow N$ and $V : N \rightarrow L$ that the genus of some component $U \subset W \cup_N V$ is given by:

$$\sum_{U_0 \in \pi_0(U \cap W)} g(U_0) + \sum_{U_1 \in \pi_0(U \cap V)} g(U_1) + (|\pi_0(U \cap N)| + 1 - |\pi_0(U \cap W) \amalg \pi_0(U \cap V)|).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $W \cup_N V$ is connected and hence that $U = W \cup_N V$. The Euler characteristic is additive under the composition of surface-bordisms and so we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(W \cup_N V) &= \chi(W) + \chi(V) = \sum_{U_0 \subset W} (2 - 2g(U_0) - |\pi_0(\partial U_0)|) + \sum_{U_1 \subset V} (2 - 2g(U_1) - |\pi_0(\partial U_1)|) \\ &= -2 \left(\sum_{U_0 \subset W} g(U_0) + \sum_{U_1 \subset V} g(U_1) \right) + 2|\pi_0(W) \amalg \pi_0(V)| - 2|\pi_0(N)| - |\pi_0(M)| - |\pi_0(L)|\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with $\chi(W \cup_N V) = 2 - 2g(W \cup_N V) - |\pi_0(M)| - |\pi_0(L)|$ yields the desired formula.

The functor F is by construction symmetric monoidal and compatible with the projection to Csp . We may hence use corollary 2.9 to check that it is an equivalence of labelled cospan categories. Indeed, F is surjective on connected objects (it hits the one-point set) and F is fully faithful on connected morphisms since a connected surface with fixed boundary is uniquely determined by its genus.

The third equivalence is obtained by restricting the previous equivalence. The subcategory $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \subset \text{Cob}_2$ corresponds exactly to $\text{Csp}^{\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}}(\mathbb{N}, 1)$ since every connected cobordism $W : M \rightarrow N$ satisfies:

$$\chi(W) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow (|\pi_0(M) \amalg \pi_0(N)| \leq 1 \Rightarrow g(W) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}).$$

□

Example 2.17. For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ one can define a category $\text{Cob}_2^{g < \gamma}$ as the quotient of Cob_2 by the equivalence relation \sim_γ on morphisms that is generated as follows: whenever $W : M \rightarrow N$ is a cobordism and $V \subset W$ is a component of genus at least g , then we set $W \sim_\gamma W \# (S^1 \times S^1)$ where the connected sum is taken at V . Under this equivalence relation two morphisms $W, W' : M \rightarrow N$ are identified if they become diffeomorphic after arbitrarily increasing the genus of every component that has genus at least g .

This category is equivalent to the weighted cospan category $\text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}/\gamma, 1)$ where \mathbb{N}/γ is the abelian monoid defined as $\mathbb{N}/(\mathbb{N} + \gamma)$. For $\gamma = 0$ we have that $\text{Cob}_2^{g < 0} \simeq \text{Csp}$.

One can also define a subcategory $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0, g < \gamma} \subset \text{Cob}_2^{g < \gamma}$. (This will be the entire category if $\gamma = 0$.) This category is equivalent to $\text{Csp}^{\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}/\gamma}(\mathbb{N}/\gamma, 1)$.

Example 2.18. For any $d \geq 2$ consider the full subcategory $\text{Cob}_d^{S^{d-1}} \subset \text{Cob}_d$ of the truncated d -dimensional oriented cobordism category on all the objects of the form $\coprod_{i=1}^k S^{d-1}$.² Let \mathcal{M}_d be the monoid of diffeomorphism classes of connected closed oriented d -manifolds with addition given by connected sum. For $d = 2$ we have $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong \mathbb{N}$ and for $d = 3$ Milnor [Mil62] showed that the monoid \mathcal{M}_3 is freely generated by the set of diffeomorphism classes of prime 3-manifolds. We define a functor

$$F : \text{Cob}_d^{S^{d-1}} \rightarrow \text{Csp}(\mathcal{M}_d, [S^1 \times S^{d-1}])$$

equipping the cospan $(\pi_0(M) \rightarrow \pi_0(W) \leftarrow \pi_0(N))$ with the labeling $l : \pi_0(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ that sends a connected component $(W' : M' \rightarrow N') \subset (W : M \rightarrow N)$ to the closed manifold

$$\widehat{W'} := (\coprod_{i=1}^k D^d) \cup_{\amalg S^{d-1}} W' \cup_{\amalg S^{d-1}} (\coprod_{j=1}^l D^d).$$

Here we use standard identifications $M' \cong \coprod_{i=1}^k S^{d-1}$ and $N' \cong \coprod_{j=1}^l S^{d-1}$, which are canonical up to permutation of components.

We need to show that F is functorial. Let $W : M \rightarrow N$ and $V : N \rightarrow L$ two morphisms in $\text{Cob}_d^{S^{d-1}}$ such that $W \cup_N V$ is connected. Then we need to check:

$$\widehat{W \cup_N V} \cong (\#_i \widehat{W_i}) \# (\#_j \widehat{V_j}) \# (S^1 \times S^{d-1})^{\# b_1}$$

²Equivalently we could consider the full subcategory of Cob_d on all objects that are diffeomorphic to a disjoint union of spheres. However, without choosing identifications with S^{d-1} it is more difficult to define the functor F .

where $W_i \subset W$, $V_i \subset V$ denote the connected components and $b_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined as $|\pi_0(N)| - |\pi_0(W \amalg V)| + 1$. Indeed, $\widehat{W \cup_N V}$ can be obtained from $\coprod_i \widehat{W_i} \amalg \coprod_j \widehat{V_j}$ by attaching a 1-handle for every component of N . Let us attach these 1-handles one after another. Whenever the 1-handle is attached at two disks that are not yet path-connected, attaching this handle is equivalent to taking a connected sum at these disks. This will happen $|\pi_0(W \amalg V)| - 1$ times. For each of the remaining 1-handles we attach the both endpoints to the same component. This is equivalent to taking the connected sum with $(S^1 \times S^{d-1})$ and will happen $|\pi_0(N)| - (|\pi_0(W \amalg V)| - 1) = b_1$ times. Hence the above formula holds and F is indeed a functor. It follows from the construction that F is symmetric monoidal and defines a functor of labelled cospan categories.

We would like to apply corollary 2.9 to show that F is an equivalence of labelled cospan categories. Since F is certainly essentially surjective we only need to check that for all $k, l \geq 0$ the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cob}_d}^{\mathrm{con}}(\coprod_{i=1}^k S^{d-1}, \coprod_{i=1}^l S^{d-1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d, \quad [W] \mapsto [\widehat{W}]$$

is a bijection. To construct an inverse map choose for every connected closed oriented d -manifold V an orientation preserving embedding $\iota : (\coprod_{i=1}^k D^d) \amalg (\coprod_{j=1}^l D^d) \hookrightarrow V$. Then $V \cong \widehat{W_\iota}$ for $W_\iota = V \setminus \mathrm{Im}(\iota)^\circ$. For any other choice of orientation preserving embedding ι' there is a diffeomorphism $\psi : V \cong V$ with $\iota' \cong \psi \circ \iota$ (by [Hir94, Chapter 8, Theorem 3.2]). This restricts to a diffeomorphism $\psi|_{W_\iota} : W_\iota \cong W_{\iota'}$ that is compatible with the boundary identifications. So the construction $[V] \mapsto [W_\iota]$ is independent of ι and hence a well-defined map $\mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cob}_d}^{\mathrm{con}}(\coprod_{i=1}^k S^{d-1}, \coprod_{i=1}^l S^{d-1})$ that is inverse to $[W] \mapsto [\widehat{W}]$.

Note that the above example fails in the non-oriented case. The cylinder with flipped boundary identification defines a non-identity morphism $C : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ in the unoriented surface category $\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\mathrm{unor}}$ such that $\widehat{C} = D^2 \cup_{S^1} C \cup_{S^1} D^2 \cong S^2$. Therefore the functor $F : \mathrm{Cob}_2^{\mathrm{unor}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Csp}(\mathcal{A}_2^{\mathrm{unor}}, [S^1 \times S^1])$ is not faithful.

2.2 The enhanced cospan category

Given a labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Csp})$ we now want to build a refinement $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ that keeps track of permutations of closed components. We begin by defining the groupoid-enriched category $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}$: the 2-category of finite sets, cospans of finite sets, and isomorphisms of cospans. To ensure that everything is a set let Ω denote some infinite “background set”.

This subsection might be skipped at a first read. By theorem 3.4 the canonical functor $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a rational equivalence on classifying spaces.

2.2.1 The unlabelled case

Definition 2.19. A *presented set* is a triple (l, X, R) where $l \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $X \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$, and $R \subset X \times X$, such that R is an equivalence relation on X . We let $\underline{X} := X/R$ denote the set of equivalence classes of X under R , and we will often write \underline{X} when we mean the entire datum (l, X, R) .

Two presented sets (l, X, R) and (k, Y, S) are called *disjoint* if $l = k$ and $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. In this case we write $(l, X, R) \perp (k, Y, S)$ and we define their disjoint union as $(l, X, R) \amalg (k, Y, S) := (l, X \cup Y, R \cup S)$.

For any two presented sets (l, X, R) and (k, Y, S) and maps $i : A \rightarrow X/R$ and $j : A \rightarrow Y/S$ from some set A we define the *gluing* as

$$(l, X, R) \cup_A (k, Y, S) := (l + k, X \cup (Y + l), R \cup_A (S + l))$$

where $Y + l := \{y + l \mid y \in Y\}$ and $R \cup_A (S + l)$ is the equivalence relation generated by $R, (S + l)$, and $i(a) \sim j(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

Note that the disjoint union of disjoint presented sets is strictly associative and commutative whenever it is defined. Moreover, the gluing operation $(\underline{X} \leftarrow A \rightarrow \underline{Y}) \rightarrow \underline{X} \cup_A \underline{Y}$ is strictly associative, which allows us to make the following definition:

Definition 2.20. The $(2, 1)$ -category $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}$ is defined as follows. Objects are finite subsets $A \subset \Omega$. Non-identity morphisms $A \rightarrow B$ are cospans $(f_X : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B : g_X)$ where \underline{X} is a presented set. We also have identity morphisms, which we denote as $(A = A = A)$. The composition of morphisms is defined as:

$$(f_Y : B \rightarrow \underline{Y} \leftarrow C : g_Y) \circ (f_X : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B : g_X) := (f_X : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \cup_B \underline{Y} \leftarrow C : g_Y).$$

2-morphisms $\varphi : (f_X : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B : g_X) \Rightarrow (f'_X : A \rightarrow \underline{X}' \leftarrow B : g'_X)$ are bijections $\varphi : \underline{X} \cong \underline{X}'$ such that $\varphi \circ f_X = f'_X$ and $\varphi \circ g_X = g'_X$. (Note that φ is not an isomorphism of presented sets, but just a bijection between the resulting quotient sets.) These are composed in the obvious way.

Remark 2.21. The addition of identity morphisms in the above definition is a little ad-hoc. Let us briefly explain how they interact with the other morphisms. The horizontal composition is defined such that they act as identities: $(A = A = A) \cup_A (A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B) := (A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B)$, and similarly when composing on the other side. The 2-morphisms are defined by treating the middle A as if it were a presented set \underline{X} : a 2-morphism $\varphi : (A = A = A) \Rightarrow (f : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow A : g)$ is a bijection $\varphi : A \rightarrow \underline{X}$ such that $\varphi \circ \text{id}_A = f$ and $\varphi \circ \text{id}_A = g$. This means that such a 2-morphism exists if and only if $f = g$ is a bijection and the 2-morphism is unique if it exists.

To describe the operation of disjoint union on $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}$ we will use the notion of partial commutative monoidal structures that we introduce in definition 3.5 and 3.11. See section 3.1 for an introduction to how we deal with symmetric monoidal structures.

Definition 2.22. The partial commutative monoidal structure on the $(2, 1)$ -category $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}$ is defined by the relation \perp and the operation \amalg . On objects we set $A \perp B$ whenever $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and on morphisms we set $(\underline{X}, i, i') \perp (\underline{Y}, j, j')$ whenever $\underline{X} \perp \underline{Y}$ in the sense of 2.19. The operation \amalg is given by taking disjoint unions.

Lemma 2.23. The canonical functor $\mathbb{C}\text{sp} \rightarrow \text{Csp}$ that sends a cospan $(A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B)$ to its isomorphism class induces an equivalence of categories $h(\mathbb{C}\text{sp}) \simeq \text{Csp}$.

Proof. The 1-category $h(\mathbb{C}\text{sp})$ is defined by identifying all 1-morphisms in $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}$ that are connected by a 2-morphism. The functor $h(\mathbb{C}\text{sp}) \rightarrow \text{Csp}$ is hence faithful by definition: on both sides isomorphic cospans are identified. It is also full because every cospan $A \rightarrow Z \leftarrow B$ is isomorphic to a cospan $A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B$ seeing as any finite set Z is in bijection with some presented set \underline{X} . The functor is also essentially surjective as any finite set A can be embedded $\iota : A \hookrightarrow \Omega$ and the cospan $(A \cong \iota(A) = \iota(A))$ is an isomorphism in Csp . \square

2.2.2 The general case

We now define a version of $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}$ where the finite sets and cospans are labelled by connected objects and morphisms in \mathcal{C} . In lemma 2.27 we check that this is indeed well-defined and that the 2-functors described below are indeed functorial.

Definition 2.24. For any labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Csp})$ we define the *enhanced cospan category* $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} to be the following $(2, 1)$ -category.

- An object is a tuple (A, c_A) where $A \subset \Omega$ is a finite set and $c_A : A \rightarrow \text{Obj}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a labelling by connected objects of \mathcal{C} .
- A non-identity 1-morphism $(A, c_A) \rightarrow (B, c_B)$ is a tuple of a non-identity morphism $(f : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B : g)$ in $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}$ and a labelling $o : \underline{X} \rightarrow \text{Mor}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ such that for each $x \in \underline{X}$ the label $o(x)$ is a connected morphism

$$\bigotimes_{a \in f^{-1}(x)} c_A(a) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{b \in g^{-1}(x)} c_B(b).$$

We also allow for identity morphisms $\text{id}_{(A, c_A)}$, which we think of as a cospan $(A = A = A)$ where the labelling $o : A \rightarrow \text{Mor}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by $o(a) := \text{id}_{c_A(a)}$.

- A 2-morphism $(f : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B : g, o) \Rightarrow (f' : A \rightarrow \underline{Y} \leftarrow B : g', o')$ is a bijection $\varphi : \underline{X} \cong \underline{Y}$ such that $f' = \varphi \circ f$, $g' = \varphi \circ g$, and $o' \circ \varphi = o$.

The composition of two morphisms $(f_X : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B : g_X, o_X)$ and $(f_Y : B \rightarrow \underline{Y} \leftarrow C : g_Y, o_Y)$ is defined as the glued cospan $(f_Z : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \cup_B \underline{Y} \leftarrow C : g_Z, o_X * o_Y)$ where the induced labeling $o_X * o_Y$ is defined as follows. For each $z \in \underline{X} \cup_B \underline{Y}$ we let $\underline{X}_z \subset \underline{X}$ and $\underline{Y}_z \subset \underline{Y}$ denote the preimages of z under $\underline{X} \amalg \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{X} \cup_B \underline{Y}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigotimes_{a \in f_Z^{-1}(z)} c_A(a) & \xrightarrow{(o_X * o_Y)(z)} & \bigotimes_{c \in g_Z^{-1}(z)} c_C(c) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\bigotimes_{x \in X_z} \bigotimes_{a \in f_X^{-1}(x)} c_A(a) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_x o_X(x)} \bigotimes_{x \in X_z} \bigotimes_{b \in g_X^{-1}(x)} c_B(b) \cong \bigotimes_{y \in Y_z} \bigotimes_{b \in f_Y^{-1}(y)} c_B(b) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_y o_Y(y)} \bigotimes_{y \in Y_z} \bigotimes_{c \in g_Y^{-1}(y)} c_C(c)
\end{array}$$

Definition 2.25. There is a functor $\otimes_C : \mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by sending (A, c_A) to $\bigotimes_{a \in A} c_A(a)$ and sending morphisms $(f_X : A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B : g_X, o)$ to

$$\bigotimes_{a \in A} c_A(a) \cong \bigotimes_{x \in \underline{X}} \bigotimes_{a \in f_X^{-1}(x)} c_A(a) \xrightarrow{\otimes_{[x]} o([x])} \bigotimes_{x \in \underline{X}} \bigotimes_{b \in g_X^{-1}(x)} c_B(b) \cong \bigotimes_{b \in B} c_B(b).$$

Definition 2.26. We define a partial commutative monoidal structure (see definition 3.5) on $\mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C})$ by saying that two objects or morphisms are disjoint iff they are disjoint as objects or morphisms in \mathbb{Csp} after forgetting labelings. The union of disjoint objects or morphisms is defined by taking the union in \mathbb{Csp} and equipping it with the evident labeling.

Lemma 2.27. Horizontal composition of 1-morphisms in $\mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C})$ is associative and hence $\mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C})$ is a well-defined 2-category. Moreover, the following three constructions are 2-functors:

$$\text{forget} : \mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Csp}, \quad \amalg : \mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C})^{2\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \text{and} \quad \otimes_C : \mathbb{Csp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

Proof. We will show that associativity holds when composing three composable labelled cospans: $(A \rightarrow \underline{X} \leftarrow B, o_X)$, $(B \rightarrow \underline{Y} \leftarrow C, o_Y)$, $(C \rightarrow \underline{Z} \leftarrow D, o_Z)$. The functoriality of “forget”, \amalg , and \otimes_C will follow from the proof. The underlying cospan is $\underline{X} \cup_B \underline{Y} \cup_C \underline{Z}$ and it does not matter how we parenthesise this expression as composition in \mathbb{Csp} is strictly associative. We need to check that the two induced labellings on this composed cospan agree.

Before we show this, let us consider the case of a single composition $(\underline{X}, o_X) \cup_B (\underline{Y}, o_Y)$. For $p \in \underline{X} \cup_B \underline{Y}$ we let $\underline{X}_p \subset \underline{X}$, $\underline{Y}_p \subset \underline{Y}$, and $B_p \subset B$ denote the subsets that are sent to p under the quotient map. The label $(o_X * o_Y)(p)$ of p in the composite cospan is exactly the morphism $\otimes_C(\underline{X}_p \cup_{B_p} \underline{Y}_p, o_X * o_Y)$ in \mathcal{C} . (If (\underline{W}, o_W) is a labelled cospan where $\underline{W} = \{p\}$ is a single point, then $\otimes_C(\underline{W}, o_W) = o_W(p)$.) By inspecting the definition it follows that this composite label is exactly $\otimes_C(\underline{Y}_p, o_Y) \circ \otimes_C(\underline{X}_p, o_X)$ as illustrated in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bigotimes_{a \in A} c_A(a) & \xrightarrow{(o_X * o_Y)(p) = \otimes_C(\underline{X}_p \cup_{B_p} \underline{Y}_p, o_X * o_Y)} & \bigotimes_{c \in C} c_C(c) & & \\
\downarrow \cong & \searrow \otimes_C(\underline{X}_p, o_X) & \swarrow \otimes_C(\underline{Y}_p, o_Y) & & \\
\bigotimes_{x \in X_p} \bigotimes_{a \in f_X^{-1}(x)} c_A(a) & & \bigotimes_{b \in B_p} c_B(b) & & \bigotimes_{y \in Y_p} \bigotimes_{c \in g_Y^{-1}(y)} c_C(c) \\
& \searrow \otimes_x o_X(x) & \swarrow \otimes_y o_Y(y) & & \\
& \bigotimes_{x \in X_p} \bigotimes_{b \in g_X^{-1}(x)} c_B(b) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigotimes_{y \in Y_p} \bigotimes_{b \in f_Y^{-1}(y)} c_B(b) & \\
& & & & \cdot
\end{array}$$

This already implies that $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ will be a 2-functor once we show that $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ is a 2-category.

Returning to the case of a triple composition we can now see that a point $q \in \underline{X} \cup_B \underline{Y} \cup_C \underline{Z}$ is labelled by:

$$\begin{aligned} ((o_X * o_Y) * o_Z)(q) &= \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{X}_q \cup_{B_q} \underline{Y}_q, o_X * o_Y) \circ \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{Z}_q, o_Z) \\ &= \left(\bigotimes_{p \in \underline{X}_q \cup_{B_q} \underline{Y}_q} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{X}_p \cup_{B_p} \underline{Y}_p, o_X * o_Y) \right) \circ \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{Z}_q, o_Z) \\ &= \left(\bigotimes_{p \in \underline{X}_q \cup_{B_q} \underline{Y}_q} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{X}_p, o_X) \circ \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{Y}_p, o_Y) \right) \circ \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{Z}_q, o_Z) \\ &= (\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{X}_q, o_X) \circ \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{Y}_q, o_Y)) \circ \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{Z}_q, o_Z) \end{aligned}$$

Since composition in \mathcal{C} is associative it follows that this agrees with $(o_X * (o_Y * o_Z))(q)$ and hence horizontal composition in $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ is indeed associative. \square

Lemma 2.28. *The enhanced cospan category fits into a diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & h\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \pi_0 & & \downarrow \pi_0 & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{C}\text{sp} & \longrightarrow & h\mathbb{C}\text{sp} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathbb{C}\text{sp} \end{array}$$

Moreover, for any two objects (A, c_A) and (B, c_B) there is a canonical equivalence of groupoids

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})}((A, c_A), (B, c_B)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})}(\emptyset, \emptyset) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{red}}(\otimes(A, c_A), \otimes(B, c_B)).$$

and $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is the free symmetric monoidal groupoid on the set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{con}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$.

Proof. The existence of the commutative diagram is clear by construction. We need to check that $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} : h(\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence of categories. Note that $\pi_0 : h(\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow h(\mathbb{C}\text{sp}) \simeq \mathbb{C}\text{sp}$ is a labelled cospan category by construction, so we can use corollary 2.9 to check that $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an equivalence. Indeed, it is clear that $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}$ is surjective on connected objects, and one also easily sees that $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}$ is fully faithful on connected morphisms.

For the second claim we begin by defining a functor:

$$(c, r) : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})}((A, c_A), (B, c_B)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})}(\emptyset, \emptyset) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{red}}((A, c_A), (B, c_B)).$$

Here $c(\underline{X}, o) = (\underline{Y}, o|_{\underline{Y}})$ where $\underline{Y} \subset \underline{X}$ is the subset containing those equivalence classes that are not in the image of $f \amalg g : A \amalg B \rightarrow \underline{X}$. Similarly we let $r(\underline{X}, o) = (\underline{Z}, o|_{\underline{Z}})$ where $\underline{Z} \subset \underline{X}$ is the image of $f \amalg g$. One now checks that (c, r) is indeed a functor and that it is an isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}((A, c_A), (B, c_B))$ and the subspace of the product on those $((\underline{Y}, o), (\underline{Z}, o'))$ such that \underline{Y} and \underline{Z} are disjoint. Hence (c, r) is fully faithful, and it is essentially surjective because any tuple is isomorphic to one that satisfies the disjointness. It remains to check that the functor \otimes induces an equivalence of groupoids:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})}^{\text{red}}((A, c_A), (B, c_B)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{red}}(\otimes(A, c_A), \otimes(B, c_B)).$$

By lemma 2.8 this functor induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of objects on the left and the elements on the right. Moreover, any object in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})}^{\text{red}}((A, c_A), (B, c_B))$ only has the identity automorphism as $\varphi : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ is uniquely determined by the requirement that it has to be compatible with the surjection $A \amalg B \rightarrow \underline{X}$. The right-hand side has only identity morphisms by definition and hence the two groupoids are equivalent.

Note also that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is equivalent to the groupoid of finite sets labelled in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{con}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$, which is indeed the free symmetric monoidal groupoid on this set. \square

2.2.3 Higher labelled cospan categories

While the list of conditions used to characterise labelled cospan categories in definition 2.4 is rather long and might seem a bit arbitrary, the enhanced version $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ satisfies a much simpler condition:

Lemma 2.29. *For any labelled cospan category $(\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{sp})$ the following diagram is a pullback square of 2-categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})^{2\perp} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow (\pi_0)^2 & & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ \mathbb{C}\text{sp}^{2\perp} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & \mathbb{C}\text{sp}. \end{array}$$

Proof. On objects we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{A, B \subset \Omega, l : A, B \rightarrow \text{Obj}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C}) \mid A \cap B = \emptyset\} \\ & \cong \{A, B \subset \Omega \mid A \cap B = \emptyset\} \times_{\{C \subset \Omega\}} \{C \subset \Omega, l : C \rightarrow \text{Obj}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})\} \end{aligned}$$

and a similar statement is true for 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms. \square

This motivates the following definition, which we will give in the language of ∞ -categories.

Definition 2.30. A labelled cospan ∞ -category is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} together with a symmetric monoidal functor $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{sp}$. This functor is subject to the condition that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \pi \times \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{C}\text{sp} \times \mathbb{C}\text{sp} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & \mathbb{C}\text{sp}. \end{array}$$

is a pullback square of ∞ -categories.

We will not explore this definition in much more detail - it mainly serves as an aesthetically more pleasing analogue of definition 2.4. However, let us briefly note that one can show that for any labelled cospan ∞ -category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{sp})$ its homotopy category is a labelled cospan category ($h\mathcal{C} \rightarrow h\mathbb{C}\text{sp} \simeq \mathbb{C}\text{sp}$) in the sense of definition 2.4. Together with lemma 2.29 this almost proves that labelled cospan categories form a full reflective subcategory of the ∞ -category of labelled ∞ -categories of cospans.

Further, we suggest the following conjecture that relates the notions of labelled cospan category and labelled ∞ -category of cospans to previously established notions.

Conjecture 2.31. *There is a biequivalence between the 2-category of labelled cospan categories and the 2-category of coloured properads as described in [HRY15, Chapter 3].*

Let $\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\otimes}$ be the ∞ -category of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories and let $\mathcal{P} \subset (\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\otimes})_{/\mathbb{C}\text{sp}}$ be the full subcategory of the comma category on those $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{sp})$ that satisfy the condition of definition 2.30. Then \mathcal{P} is equivalent to the ∞ -category of ∞ -properads described in [HRY15, Chapter 7].

2.3 The decomposition theorem for cospan categories

For the purpose of this section we fix a cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{sp})$ and we assume that BC is group-like. In lemma 2.40 we explain this assumption is often satisfied, and we note that in this case BC is equivalent to an infinite loop space. Moreover, we let $G := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{con}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ denote the set of connected endomorphisms of the unit in \mathcal{C} . We prove the following comparison result for $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$, \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{C}^{red} , which is a generalisation of [Stb19, Theorem B].

Proposition 2.32 (See 3.4). *There are homotopy fiber sequences of infinite loop spaces:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q(\bigvee_G S^1) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ B(\bigoplus_G \mathbb{N}) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}). \end{array}$$

In particular, the map $B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{C})$ is a rational equivalence.

The next theorem will decompose the category \mathcal{C} into parts with no closed components and factorisations of closed components. We first make the necessary definitions:

Definition 2.33. The simplicial set $N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C}$ is the simplicial subset of the nerve $N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$ containing only those n -simplices $W : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where $W(0 \rightarrow n)$ is a reduced morphism.

Definition 2.34. For any connected endomorphism of the unit $s : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ we define the factorisation category $\mathcal{F}_s(\mathcal{C})$, or \mathcal{F}_s for short, as follows. An object is a triple (M, W, V) where $M \not\cong 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a non-trivial object and $W : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M$ and $V : M \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ are morphisms such that $W \cup_M V = s$. A morphism $(M, W, V) \rightarrow (M', W', V')$ is a morphism $X : M \rightarrow M'$ in \mathcal{C} such that $W \cup_M X = W'$ and $V = X \cup_{M'} V'$.

Theorem 2.35 (Decomposition Theorem, see 3.1). *For any labelled cospan category \mathcal{C} such that $B\mathcal{C}$ is group-like, there is a homotopy fiber sequence of infinite loop spaces:*

$$|N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C}| \longrightarrow B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow Q\left(\bigvee_{g \in G} S^2(B\mathcal{F}_g)\right).$$

Here the wedge runs over the set of connected morphisms $g : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Remark 2.36. Note that as long as each \mathcal{F}_g is non-empty the unreduced suspension $S(B\mathcal{F}_g)$ is equivalent to the reduced suspension $\Sigma(B\mathcal{F}_g)$ for any choice of base-point.

Remark 2.37. If $B\mathcal{C}$ is not group-like, then the above is still a homotopy cofiber sequence of E_{∞} -algebras. However, this case will not be as useful for computations. One of the particular advantages of the group-like case is that any homotopy cofiber sequence of infinite loop spaces is also a homotopy fiber sequence, and moreover induces a homotopy fiber sequence of underlying spaces. In the general case we still have a homotopy fiber sequence after passing to group-completions.

Example 2.38. In section 3.9 we will show that for $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Csp}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Cob}_d$ with $d \geq 2$ all the factorisation categories $\mathcal{F}_g(\mathcal{C})$ have a contractible classifying space. In these cases it follows that $|N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C}| \simeq B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C}))$.

Since $N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C}$ is not the nerve of a category it is not a priori clear that its homotopy type is invariant under equivalences in \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 2.39. *Let $(\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Csp})$ and $(\pi' : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Csp})$ be two labelled cospan categories and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an equivalence of symmetric monoidal categories together with a symmetric monoidal natural isomorphism $F \circ \pi \cong \pi'$. Then F induces an equivalence:*

$$N_{\bullet}F : N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. Pick a homotopy-inverse functor $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and natural isomorphisms $\alpha : F \circ G \cong \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\beta : G \circ F \cong \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Then we have maps $BF : B\mathcal{C} \leftarrow B\mathcal{D} : BG$ and α and β yield homotopies that witness that BF and BG are homotopy inverse. This homotopy equivalence restricts to a homotopy equivalence $|N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C}| \simeq |N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{D}|$. To see this, note that if $a : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ represents an n -simplex $a \in N_n\mathcal{C}$ that lies in $N_n^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C}$ and $a' : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is naturally isomorphic to a , then a' lies in the no-closed components part as well: $a' \in N_n^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C}$. \square

We briefly discuss some sufficient conditions for BC to be group-like.

Lemma 2.40. *Let $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp})$ be a labelled cospan category satisfying that for all connected $M \in \mathcal{C}$ there is some object $N \in \mathcal{C}$ for which there exists a morphism $W : M \otimes N \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ or a morphism $V : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M \otimes N$. Then $\pi_0 BC$ is group-like and hence BC is equivalent to an infinite loop space.*

Proof. The connected components of the classifying space $\pi_0 B(\mathcal{C})$ can be computed as the commutative monoid of isomorphism classes of objects of \mathcal{C} modulo the relation $A \sim B$ whenever there is a morphism from A to B . By axiom (i) of definition 2.4 every object of \mathcal{C} can be written as a \otimes -product of connected objects. So the connected objects generate $\pi_0 B(\mathcal{C})$. The conditions of this lemma imply that for each connected object M there is some object N with $[M] \otimes [N] = [1_{\mathcal{C}}]$ in $\pi_0 B(\mathcal{C})$. This implies that all the connected objects have inverses and as these generate the monoid we can conclude that $\pi_0 B(\mathcal{C})$ is indeed a group under \otimes . It now follows from the methods of [Seg74], which we recall in section 3.5, that BC is equivalent to an infinite loop space. \square

2.4 The surgery theorem for cospan categories

In this section we try to understand the realisation of the simplicial set $N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}} \mathcal{C}$, which is one of the two main components in the decomposition theorem. We will show that under favorable circumstances it is equivalent to the classifying space of the positive boundary subcategory $\mathcal{C}^{\partial+} \subset \mathcal{C}$, which will often be computable.

Definition 2.41. For a labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp})$ we define the *positive boundary category* $\mathcal{C}^{\partial+}$ as the subcategory of \mathcal{C} that contains all objects, but only those morphisms $W : M \rightarrow N$ for which $\pi(N) \rightarrow \pi(W)$ is surjective.

Definition 2.42. We say that a labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Csp})$ *admits surgery* if one can pick the following data:

- A connected object $O \in \mathcal{C}$ and a connected morphism $T : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow O$.
- For any connected object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ a connected morphism $P_A : A \rightarrow O \otimes A$.

subject to the condition that for any two connected objects $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ and any two arbitrary objects $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$ the following diagrams commute for all connected morphisms $U : A \otimes M \rightarrow B \otimes N$, $V : A \otimes B \otimes M \rightarrow N$, and $W : M \rightarrow A \otimes B \otimes N$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes M & \xrightarrow{P_A \otimes \text{id}_M} & O \otimes A \otimes M \\
 \downarrow U & & \downarrow \text{id}_O \otimes U \\
 B \otimes N & \xrightarrow{P_B \otimes \text{id}_N} & O \otimes B \otimes N
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes B \otimes M & \xrightarrow{P_A \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes M}} & O \otimes A \otimes B \otimes M \\
 \downarrow (\beta_{O,A} \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes M}) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes P_B \otimes \text{id}_M) & & \downarrow \text{id}_O \otimes V \\
 O \otimes A \otimes B \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_O \otimes V} & O \otimes N
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{W} & A \otimes B \otimes N \\
 \downarrow W & & \downarrow (\beta_{A,O} \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes N}) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes P_B \otimes \text{id}_N) \\
 A \otimes B \otimes N & \xrightarrow{P_A \otimes \text{id}_{B \otimes N}} & O \otimes A \otimes B \otimes N.
 \end{array}$$

See figure 3 for an illustration of these three equations.

Remark 2.43. Note that the morphism T is not required to satisfy any equation. (In fact, we do not even need it to be connected.) Nevertheless, it is crucial that there exists a morphism from $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ to O as we use it to introduce new connected components during the surgery. This would for example be impossible in the negative boundary category $\text{Cob}_d^{\partial-} = (\text{Cob}_d^{\partial+})^{\text{op}}$. This category cannot satisfy the conclusion of the surgery theorem as

$$\pi_0 B((\text{Cob}_d^{\partial-})^{\partial+}) \longrightarrow \pi_0 |N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}(\text{Cob}_d^{\partial+})| = \pi_0 B(\text{Cob}_d^{\partial+}) \cong \Omega_{d-1}^{\text{or}}$$

is not injective because in $(\text{Cob}_d^{\partial-})^{\partial+}$ there are no morphisms to or from the empty set.




Figure 3: The three conditions that the morphism $P_A : A \rightarrow O \otimes A$ has to satisfy for all connected objects B , arbitrary objects M, N , and connected morphisms U, V, W .

Theorem 2.44 (Surgery Theorem, see 4.1). *If the labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Csp})$ admits surgery, then the inclusion of simplicial sets $N_\bullet \mathcal{C}^{\partial+} \subset N_\bullet^{\text{nc}} \mathcal{C}$ induces an equivalence:*

$$B(\mathcal{C}^{\partial+}) \simeq |N_\bullet^{\text{nc}} \mathcal{C}|.$$

Combining this with the decomposition theorem we have the following immediate consequence:

Corollary 2.45 (Theorem F). *If the labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Csp})$ admits surgery and $B(\mathcal{C})$ is group-like, then there is a homotopy fiber sequence of infinite loop spaces.*

$$B(\mathcal{C}^{\partial+}) \longrightarrow B(\text{Csp}(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow Q\left(\bigvee_{g \in G} S^2(B\mathcal{F}_g)\right).$$

The condition of admitting surgery might seem unreasonably strong if one thinks about a general labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Csp})$. We are essentially requiring that $P_A : A \rightarrow O \otimes A$ commutes with all other operations. However, it turns out to be satisfied in the cases we are interested in.

Example 2.46. We will now show that many geometric examples admit surgery. Let, for example, $\mathcal{C} = \text{Cob}_d$ be the d -dimensional cobordism category for $d \geq 2$. Then we can let $O := S^{d-1}$ be the sphere and $T := D^d : \emptyset \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ the disk. For the morphism $P_M : M \rightarrow S^{d-1} \amalg M$ we can choose the connected sum of the cobordisms $D^d : \emptyset \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ and $M \times [0, 1] : M \rightarrow M$. Since both cobordisms are oriented and connected it does not matter at which point we take the connected sum. We need to make sure that this makes the relevant diagrams commute. For example, for all connected morphisms $W : A \amalg M \rightarrow B \amalg N$ one checks that both ways of going around the diagram can be described as the connected sum of W with the cobordism $D^{d-1} : \emptyset \rightarrow S^{d-1}$. Since W is connected any two such connected sums are diffeomorphic.

We can also replace the morphism $T : \emptyset \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ by any other connected manifold with boundary S^{d-1} . In particular for $d = 2$ we can choose a genus $g \geq 1$ surface with one boundary component, which implies that $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \subset \text{Cob}_2$ also admits surgery.

Example 2.47. As similar argument as above also applies to the weighted cospan categories $\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)$ from definition 2.13. One can choose $O := *$ to be the singleton, $T : \emptyset \rightarrow *$ the cospan $(\emptyset \rightarrow * = *)$

weighted by any element of A_1 (for example α), and $P : * \rightarrow * \amalg *$ the cospan $(* \rightarrow * \leftarrow * \amalg *)$ weighted by the unit $0 \in A$. This makes all the relevant diagrams commute as the weighting monoid A is commutative.

By lemma 2.14, example 2.17, and example 2.18 this means that all of the following labelled cospan categories admit surgery:

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}, \quad \mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}, \quad \mathrm{Cob}_d^{S^{d-1}}, \quad \mathrm{Cob}_2^{g < \gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0, g < \gamma}.$$

Example 2.48. If a labelled cospan category \mathcal{C} admits surgery, has a group-complete classifying space $B\mathcal{C}$, and satisfies that $\mathcal{F}_g(\mathcal{C})$ is contractible for all $g : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$, then the inclusion of the positive boundary subcategory induces an equivalence:

$$B(\mathcal{C}^{\partial+}) \simeq B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})).$$

We will show that this is the case for $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}$ and Cob_d with $d \geq 2$ in section 3.9. This implies Theorem H and together with the computations $B\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}^{\partial+} \simeq *$ and $B\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\partial+} \simeq S^1$ made in proposition 4.27 it implies Theorem G and Theorem B.

3 The decomposition theorem

In this section we decompose the classifying space of a labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp})$ into various smaller parts that are usually easier to compute. We will also show that the two variants $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}$ are closely related to \mathcal{C} . Concretely we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 (Decomposition theorem). *Let $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp})$ be a labelled cospan category such that $B\mathcal{C}$ is group-like and let $G = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathrm{con}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ be the set of connected endomorphisms of the unit. Then there is a homotopy fiber-sequence of infinite loop spaces:*

$$|N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}(\mathcal{C})| \longrightarrow B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow Q\left(\bigvee_{g \in G} S^2(B\mathcal{F}_g)\right)$$

Remark 3.2. Recall that we in 2.33 defined $N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}\mathcal{C} \subset N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$ as the simplicial subset on those n -simplices $W : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $W(0 \rightarrow n)$ has no closed components.

The notation S^2X denotes the unreduced double-suspension of an unpointed space. (See 3.40.) If we assume that each \mathcal{F}_g is non-empty, then we may choose any basepoint to form the reduced suspension and the result will be equivalent to the unreduced suspension: $S^2(B\mathcal{F}_g) \simeq \Sigma^2 B\mathcal{F}_g$. However, it can happen that a connected endomorphism $g : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ does not admit a factorisation. (For example if one adds spheres to $\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$.) In this case $B\mathcal{F}_g = \emptyset$ and by convention $S(\emptyset) = S^0$, so $S^2(B\mathcal{F}_g) = S^1$.

Remark 3.3. One might think of the term $Q(\bigvee_{g \in G} \Sigma S(B\mathcal{F}_g))$ as an “error-term” that obstructs $B\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ from being equivalent to the subspace with no closed components. In the analogy where \mathcal{C} is a topologically enriched cobordism category this term always vanishes: it is a theorem by [GRW10, Definition 4.3 and lemma 4.7] that in these cases $B\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the subspace with no closed components. Note however, that this is only an analogy as our setting of labelled cospan categories does not actually accommodate for topologically enriched cobordism categories, and moreover the definition of $D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ is different in the topological case.

We also prove the following comparison result for $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})$, \mathcal{C} , and $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}$:

Proposition 3.4. *There are homotopy fiber sequences of infinite loop spaces:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q(\bigvee_G S^1) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ B(\bigoplus_G \mathbb{N}) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}). \end{array}$$

In particular the map $B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{C})$ is a rational equivalence.

3.1 Partial commutative monoidal categories

Throughout this paper we will work with infinite loop spaces that arise as the classifying space of a symmetric monoidal categories. The symmetric monoidal categories that we consider will often be like embedded cobordism categories in the sense that we can make sense of a notion of disjointness $M \cap N = \emptyset$ for objects M, N and that for disjoint objects taking their union $(M, N) \mapsto M \cup N$ is a strictly associative and commutative operation. To extract a symmetric monoidal structure from this we need to fix a functorial replacement $(M, N) \mapsto (M', N')$ such that $M' \cap N' = \emptyset$. This choice is always somewhat arbitrary, which would make it difficult to construct the simplicial objects in symmetric monoidal categories that we need later. Instead of making a choice of a replacement we will work with the notion of a partial commutative monoidal category, which formalises the idea of having a notion of disjointness and disjoint union. These partial commutative monoidal categories directly yield Γ -categories (and hence special Γ -spaces) in the sense of Segal, as we shall see below.

Definition 3.5. A *partial commutative monoidal* structure on a category \mathcal{C} is a choice of a relation \perp on the set of objects of \mathcal{C} , an object $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$, and a functor $\amalg : \mathcal{C}^{2\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}^{2\perp} \subset \mathcal{C}^2$ is the full subcategory on those (x, y) where $x \perp y$. This data is subject to the following axioms:

- (i) For all $x \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\emptyset \perp x$ and the functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by $x \mapsto \emptyset \amalg x$ is the identity.
- (ii) Let $\mathcal{C}^{3\perp} \subset \mathcal{C}^3$ denote the full subcategory on triples (x, y, z) satisfying $x \perp y$, $x \perp z$, and $y \perp z$. Then for each such triple we have $x \perp (y \amalg z)$ and $(x \amalg y) \perp z$, and the two functors $\mathcal{C}^{3\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x \amalg y) \amalg z$ and $(x, y, z) \mapsto x \amalg (y \amalg z)$ are equal.
- (iii) The relation \perp is symmetric and the two functors $\mathcal{C}^{2\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto x \amalg y$ and $(x, y) \mapsto y \amalg x$ are equal.
- (iv) For any n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}^n$ one can find $(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \in \mathcal{C}^n$ such that $x_i \cong x'_i$ for all i and $x'_i \perp x'_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Given two such partial commutative monoidal categories - or PCM categories for short - $(\mathcal{C}, \perp_{\mathcal{C}}, \emptyset_{\mathcal{C}}, \amalg_{\mathcal{C}})$ and $(\mathcal{D}, \perp_{\mathcal{D}}, \emptyset_{\mathcal{D}}, \amalg_{\mathcal{D}})$, we say that a strictly symmetric monoidal functor between them is a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ satisfying the properties: $F(\emptyset_{\mathcal{C}}) = \emptyset_{\mathcal{D}}$, $x \perp y \Rightarrow F(x) \perp F(y)$, and $\amalg_{\mathcal{D}} \circ (F, F) = F \circ \amalg_{\mathcal{C}}$ as functors $\mathcal{C}^{2\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

Remark 3.6. One can think of a given PCM category as a category internal to the category of partial commutative monoids. Conversely, a category \mathcal{C} internal to partial commutative monoids represents a PCM category if it satisfies the following conditions:

- For any two morphisms $f : x \rightarrow y$ and $g : x' \rightarrow y'$ we have that $f \perp g$ if and only if $x \perp x'$ and $y \perp y'$.
- For any n -tuples of objects (x_1, \dots, x_n) one can find $(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \in \mathcal{C}^n$ such that $x_i \cong x'_i$ for all i and $x'_i \perp x'_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Remark 3.7. The key advantage of this definition is that it is a property for a functor F to be commutatively monoidal. This will make it much easier to construct simplicial objects in *PCMC* than it would be to construct them in the category of symmetric monoidal categories.

To obtain infinite loop spaces from PCM categories we will use Segal's Γ -spaces, which we now recall.

Definition 3.8. Let Γ^{op} denote the full subcategory of the category of finite pointed sets Fin_* on the objects $\langle n \rangle = \{*, 1, \dots, n\}$. Concretely, the morphisms $\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle m \rangle$ in Γ^{op} are maps $f : \{*, 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{*, 1, \dots, m\}$ satisfying $f(*) = *$. We let $\rho_n^i : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$ denote the unique morphism with $(\rho_n^i)^{-1}(1) = \{i\}$.

A Γ -space is a functor $X : \Gamma^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ and we denote the value on $\langle n \rangle$ by $X^{\langle n \rangle}$. We say that X is a *special* Γ -space if for each n the following map is a weak equivalence:

$$(\rho_n^1, \dots, \rho_n^n) : X^{\langle n \rangle} \rightarrow X^{\langle 1 \rangle} \times \dots \times X^{\langle 1 \rangle}.$$

Our main source of special Γ -spaces will be of the form $BC^{\langle \bullet \rangle}$ for $C^{\langle \bullet \rangle}$ is a special Γ -category.

Definition 3.9. A Γ -category is a functor $C^{\langle \bullet \rangle} : \Gamma^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$. We say that $C^{\langle \bullet \rangle}$ is a *special* if for each n the map $(\rho_n^1, \dots, \rho_n^n) : C^{\langle n \rangle} \rightarrow C^{\langle 1 \rangle} \times \dots \times C^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ is an equivalence of categories.

Lemma 3.10. For any partial commutative monoidal category $(C, \perp, \emptyset, \amalg)$ we can define a special Γ -category by letting $C^{\langle n \rangle} := C^{n\perp}$ be the full subcategory of C^n on those tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfying $x_i \perp x_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Proof. It follows from axioms (i-iii) that for any finite family of objects $(x_i \in C)_{i \in I}$ that are disjoint in the sense that $i \neq j \Rightarrow x_i \perp x_j$ we can define:

$$\coprod_{i \in I} x_i := (\dots ((x_{i_1} \amalg x_{i_2}) \amalg x_{i_3}) \dots \amalg x_{i_n})$$

and that this is independent of the enumeration (i_1, \dots, i_n) one chooses of I . Here we set $\coprod_{i \in \emptyset} x_i := \emptyset$. We may therefore define for each $\lambda : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle m \rangle$ a functor

$$\lambda_* : C^{n\perp} \rightarrow C^{m\perp}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_m), \text{ where } y_j = \coprod_{i \in \lambda^{-1}(j)} x_i.$$

This makes $\langle n \rangle \mapsto C^{n\perp}$ into a Γ -category. It remains to check that this is special, i.e. that the inclusion $C^{n\perp} \rightarrow (C^{1\perp})^n = C^n$ is an equivalence of categories. This inclusion is fully faithful by definition and it is essentially surjective by axiom (iv). \square

We also briefly describe how to generalise this to the setting of 2-categories.

Definition 3.11. For a 2-category C let $\text{Mor}(C)$ be the 1-category where objects are 1-morphisms $f : x \rightarrow y$ in C and morphisms $f \rightarrow g$ are 2-morphisms $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$. In particular there are no morphisms between f and g unless they have the same source and the same target.

A partial commutative monoidal structure on a 2-category C is a partial commutative monoid structure $(\text{id}_\emptyset, \perp, \amalg)$ on $\text{Mor}(C)$ – except that instead of condition (iv) we require:

- (iv') For any n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C^n$ one can find an n -tuple $(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \in C^n$ such that x_i is equivalent to x'_i for all i and $\text{id}_{x'_i} \perp \text{id}_{x'_j}$ for all $i \neq j$.
- (iv'') For any n -tuple $(f_1 : x_1 \rightarrow y_1, \dots, f_n : x_n \rightarrow y_n) \in \text{Mor}(C)^n$ satisfying $x_i \perp x_j$ and $y_i \perp y_j$ for all $i \neq j$ one can find an n -tuple $(f'_1 : x_1 \rightarrow y_1, \dots, f'_n : x_n \rightarrow y_n) \in \text{Mor}(C)^n$ such that $f_i \cong f'_i$ for all i and $f_i \perp f_j$ for all $i \neq j$.
- (v) Composition defines a PCM functor $\circ : \text{Mor}(C) \times_{\text{Obj}(C)} \text{Mor}(C) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(C)$.

We will also write $x \perp y$ for two objects $x, y \in C$ to mean $\text{id}_x \perp \text{id}_y$.

Corollary 3.12. For any PCM 2-category C the classifying space BC naturally has the structure of a special Γ -space.

Proof. We would like to mimic the proof of lemma 3.10. For all n let $C^{\perp n} \subset C^n$ be the following sub 2-category. It contains an object (x_1, \dots, x_n) if $\text{id}_{x_i} \perp \text{id}_{x_j}$ holds for all $i \neq j$, and it contains a 1-morphism (f_1, \dots, f_n) between two such objects if $f_i \perp f_j$ holds for all $i \neq j$. It contains all 2-morphism between any 1-morphism it contains. By condition (v) this is indeed a sub 2-category. In the

same way as in lemma 3.10 these 2-categories assemble into a Γ -object in 2-categories, and therefore $\langle n \rangle \mapsto BC^{\perp n}$ defines a Γ -space.

We need to show that the Segal map $BC^{\perp n} \rightarrow BC^n$ is a weak equivalence. The functor $C^{\perp n} \rightarrow C^n$ is surjective up to equivalence condition (iv') and by condition (iv'') it is an equivalence on Hom-categories. Now recall that the classifying space of a 2-category is defined by first taking the nerve of Hom-categories to obtain a simplicially enriched category and then taking the usual classifying space. By the above, the simplicially enriched functor obtained from the 2-functor $C^{\perp n} \rightarrow C^n$ is a Dwyer-Kan equivalence, and hence it induces an equivalence on classifying spaces. \square

3.2 A general fiber sequence

We now introduce the abstract technique that we will use to show that certain sequences of simplicial symmetric monoidal groupoids yield fiber sequences of infinite loop spaces.

Theorem 3.13. *Consider three simplicial special Γ -spaces $A_\bullet, B_\bullet, C_\bullet : \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Gamma^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ with two simplicial Γ -maps:*

$$A_\bullet \xrightarrow{f} B_\bullet \xrightarrow{g} C_\bullet$$

and a homotopy of simplicial Γ -maps $\alpha : g \circ f \simeq 1_C$ to the unit in C_\bullet . Assume further that for every n there is a Γ -map $s_n : B_n \rightarrow A_n$ such that the induced maps

$$(s_n, g_n) : B_n^{(1)} \rightarrow A_n^{(1)} \times C_n^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad s_n \circ f_n : A_n^{(1)} \rightarrow A_n^{(1)}$$

are weak equivalences of spaces. Then the following is a homotopy cofiber sequence of special Γ -spaces:

$$\|A_\bullet\| \xrightarrow{f} \|B_\bullet\| \xrightarrow{g} \|C_\bullet\|$$

If moreover each of these spaces is group-like, then this is a homotopy fiber sequence.

Proof. We will base this proof on the framework of [GGN15]. Let \mathcal{S} denote the ∞ -category of spaces, which receives a functor $\text{Top} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. The category $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \text{Fun}(\Gamma^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ is defined as the full subcategory on those functors that satisfy a Segal-condition. The homotopy category of special Γ -spaces is pre-additive, i.e. it has a 0-object $*$ and the canonical map $x \amalg y \rightarrow x \times y$ from the coproduct to the product is an isomorphism for all x and y . This allows us to apply lemma 3.14 and conclude from the existence of s_n that for each n the sequence:

$$A_n \longrightarrow B_n \longrightarrow C_n$$

is a homotopy cofiber sequence of special Γ -spaces. Since homotopy colimits commute, taking the homotopy colimit over Δ^{op} yields the following cofiber sequence in $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$:

$$\text{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} A_\bullet \longrightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} B_\bullet \longrightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} C_\bullet.$$

To compute this homotopy colimit note that the functor $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ that sends a special Γ -space A to the underlying space $A^{(1)}$ is conservative and commutes with sifted colimits. Therefore $(\text{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} A_\bullet)^{(1)} \simeq \text{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} A_\bullet^{(1)}$ where the latter is computed as a homotopy colimit in \mathcal{S} . Given a simplicial topological space $X_\bullet : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ the homotopy colimit of $X_\bullet : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is modelled by the fat geometric realisation $\|X_\bullet\|$. Similarly, for a simplicial special Γ -space $A_\bullet^{(\bullet)} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Gamma^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ we can construct a Γ -space $\langle n \rangle \mapsto \|A_\bullet^{(n)}\|$ by taking the level-wise realisation. Note that this Γ -space is still special since $\|_\bullet\|$ commutes with products up to weak equivalence (see [Seg74, Proposition A.1(iii)] or [ERW19, Theorem 7.2]). It follows by our previous comments that $\|A_\bullet\|$ is in fact a model for the homotopy colimit in special Γ -spaces. This shows that

$$\|A_\bullet\| \xrightarrow{f} \|B_\bullet\| \xrightarrow{g} \|C_\bullet\|$$

is a homotopy cofiber sequence in $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$.

Finally we would like to show that $\|A_\bullet\| \rightarrow \|B_\bullet\| \rightarrow \|C_\bullet\|$ is homotopy fiber sequence in \mathcal{S} if we assume that each of the spaces is group-like. Indeed, for any cofiber sequence $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ in $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$, the group completion $X^{gp} \rightarrow Y^{gp} \rightarrow Z^{gp}$ is a fiber sequence. If we assume that each of $\|A_\bullet\|$, $\|B_\bullet\|$, and $\|C_\bullet\|$ is already group-like, then it follows that $\|A_\bullet\| \rightarrow \|B_\bullet\| \rightarrow \|C_\bullet\|$ is a homotopy fiber sequence. \square

The following lemma holds in any pre-additive ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , i.e. in every ∞ -category \mathcal{C} such that the homotopy category $h\mathcal{C}$ has a 0-object and such that coproducts and products agree via the canonical map. The same argument applies in any model category whose homotopy category is pre-additive.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ be two morphisms in a pre-additive ∞ -category \mathcal{C} such that $g \circ f$ is null-homotopic. Assume further that there is a map $s : B \rightarrow A$ such that the maps $(s, g) : B \rightarrow A \times C$ and $s \circ f : A \rightarrow A$ are equivalences. Then any choice of a null-homotopy for $g \circ f$ makes $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ into a cofiber sequence in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. Pick a morphism $r : C \rightarrow B$ such that $s \circ r = 0 : C \rightarrow A$ and $g \circ r = \text{id}_C$. This can be done by lifting $(0, \text{id}_C) : C \rightarrow A \times C$ against the equivalence $(s, g) : B \rightarrow A \times C$. We claim that the maps f and r exhibit B as a coproduct of A and C . So we need to check that $f \amalg r : A \amalg C \rightarrow B$ is an equivalence. Since (s, g) is an equivalence it will suffice to check that $(s, g) \circ (f \amalg r)$ is an equivalence. Using that $h\mathcal{C}$ is pre-additive we can represent this morphism by a 2×2 matrix with entries $s \circ f$, $s \circ r$, $g \circ f$, and $g \circ r$. By construction $s \circ r$ and $g \circ f$ are 0-maps and $s \circ f$ and $g \circ r$ are equivalences. Hence $(s, g) \circ (f \amalg r)$ is the direct sum of two equivalences and therefore itself an equivalence.

Consider the following diagram in the homotopy category $h\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} * & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ C & \xrightarrow{r} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \end{array}$$

This diagram can be lifted to a diagram $\Delta^2 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in the ∞ -category by choosing any null-homotopy of $g \circ f$ in the right square and the trivial homotopy in the left square. The left-hand square is a pushout square because we checked that f and r exhibit B as the coproduct of A and C . The entire rectangle is a pushout square (independent of which homotopies we chose!) because the top horizontal arrow $* \rightarrow *$ and the bottom horizontal arrow $g \circ r : C \rightarrow C$ both are equivalences. It therefore follows from the pushout pasting lemma [Lur09, Lemma 4.4.2.1.] that the right-hand square is a pushout square, which is exactly what we claimed. \square

3.3 A base-change theorem for simplicial spaces

We prove a theorem that allows us to change the space of 0-simplices X_0 of a simplicial space X_\bullet without affecting the homotopy type of $\|X_\bullet\|$. This is motivated by the basic idea in category theory that one can change the number of objects of each isomorphism type without changing the category, up to equivalence. In this sense, the following definition can be thought of as a generalisation of the notion of a fully faithful functor:

Definition 3.15. For any semi-simplicial object X_\bullet let $\partial_n^X : X_n \rightarrow (X_0)^{n+1}$ denote the map that sends an n -simplex to its vertices. We call a map of semi-simplicial spaces $f : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ a *homotopy base-change* if the map

$$(\partial_n^X, f_n) : X_n \longrightarrow (X_0)^{n+1} \times_{(Y_0)^{n+1}}^h Y_n$$

is an equivalence for all $n \geq 1$.

The following theorem generalises [ERW19, Theorem 5.2] and [Ste21, Lemma 2.30] to arbitrary simplicial spaces that are not necessarily the nerve of a topological category. Note, however, that [ERW19, Theorem 5.2] has the advantage of applying to weakly unital categories \mathcal{C} , whereas we would need \mathcal{C} to have strict units in order to apply the theorem to $N\mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 3.16 (Base-change). *Let $f : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ be a map of simplicial spaces that is a homotopy base-change in the above sense and satisfies that $\pi_0(f_0) : \pi_0(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y_0)$ is surjective. Then $\|f\| : \|X_\bullet\| \rightarrow \|Y_\bullet\|$ is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. For any space A let $T(A)_\bullet$ be the simplicial space defined by $T(A)_n := A^{n+1}$ with face and degeneracy operators given by forgetting and repeating. A simplicial map $Z_\bullet \rightarrow T(B)_\bullet$ amounts to the same data as a map $Z_0 \rightarrow B$. We can factor the map $X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ in the theorem as:

$$X_\bullet \longrightarrow T(X_0)_\bullet \times_{T(Y_0)_\bullet}^h Y_\bullet \longrightarrow Y_\bullet$$

The first map is a level-wise equivalence because $X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ is a base-change, and in particular it induces an equivalence on geometric realisations. To prove the theorem we need to show that the second map also induces an equivalence on geometric realisations.

For the purpose of this proof let us interpret “space” to mean an object of the category of simplicial sets $\mathcal{S} := \mathbf{sSet}_Q$, which we equip with the Quillen model structure. A simplicial space is hence a bisimplicial set and we equip $\mathcal{S}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} := \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{sSet}_Q)$ with the projective model structure. This proof could also be translated into any other model, or it could be given in the ∞ -category of simplicial spaces.³ Since the statement of the theorem is invariant under level-wise weak equivalences we may assume that $X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is a fibration, and in this case we may replace the homotopy pullback by the strict pullback.

In fact, we will show: for any surjective fibration of spaces (i.e. Kan-fibration of simplicial sets) $f : A \rightarrow B$ and for any simplicial space Z_\bullet with map $p : Z_0 \rightarrow B$ the natural map

$$\alpha_{(Z_\bullet, p)} : \|T(A)_\bullet \times_{T(B)_\bullet} Z_\bullet\| \longrightarrow \|Z_\bullet\|$$

is an equivalence. This map defines a natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{S}_{/T(B)}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We will prove the theorem by showing:

- (i) The map $\alpha_{(Z_\bullet, p)}$ is an equivalence if Z_\bullet is the discrete simplicial space Δ^n for some n .
- (ii) The category $\mathcal{S}_{/T(B)}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ is generated under homotopy colimits and weak equivalences by objects of the form (Δ^n, p) .
- (iii) The source and target functor of α both commute with homotopy colimits.

Claim (i): For $Z_\bullet = \Delta^n$ the map $p : Z_\bullet \rightarrow T(B)_\bullet$ picks out $(n+1)$ points $p(0), \dots, p(n) \in B$. Since $f : A \rightarrow B$ is surjective we can find $a \in A$ with $f(a) = p(0)$, which we can use to define an extra degeneracy:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{-1} : A^{k+1} \times_{B^{k+1}} (\Delta^n)_k &\longrightarrow A^{k+2} \times_{B^{k+2}} (\Delta^n)_{k+1} \\ ((a_0, \dots, a_n), (l_0, \dots, l_n)) &\longmapsto ((a, a_0, \dots, a_n), (0, l_0, \dots, l_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|T(A)_\bullet \times_{T(B)_\bullet} \Delta^n\|$ is contractible and since $\|\Delta^n\|$ is also contractible it follows that $\alpha_{(\Delta^n, p)}$ is an equivalence.

Claim (ii): Let $(p : Z_\bullet \rightarrow T(B)_\bullet) \in \mathcal{S}_{/T(B)}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ be any object in the over category. The category $\mathcal{S}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ is generated by the representables Δ^n under homotopy colimits. Concretely, [Dug01, Proposition 2.9] shows that we can find a diagram $F : I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ such that each $F(i)$ is level-wise equivalent to some Δ^{n_i} , the colimit is $\text{colim}_{i \in I} F(i) \cong Z_\bullet$, and the map from the homotopy colimit $\text{hocolim}_{i \in I} F(i) \rightarrow Z_\bullet$ is a weak equivalence.

Using the map $p : Z_\bullet \rightarrow T(B)_\bullet$ we can lift F to a diagram $F : I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{/T(B)}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$. The homotopy colimit of this diagram is $\text{hocolim}_{i \in I} (F(i) \rightarrow T(B)_\bullet) \simeq (Z_\bullet \rightarrow T(B)_\bullet)$ since the forgetful functor $\mathcal{S}_{/T(B)}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ commutes with colimits and preserves weak equivalences.

³Let us briefly comment on how to this proof would go in ∞ -land. Claim (i) is proven in the same way. Claim (ii) uses the fact that the presheaf category (of Δ) is generated by representables under small colimits, see [Lur09, Corollary 5.1.5.8]. Claim (iii) follows because in \mathcal{S} colimits are stable under pullback: [Lur09, Lemma 6.1.3.14].

Claim (iii): The geometric realisation functor $\|_ \| : \mathcal{S}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ commutes with homotopy colimits and so does the forgetful functor $\mathcal{S}_{/T(B)}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$. We need to show that the functor

$$\mathcal{S}_{/T(B)}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}, \quad (Z_\bullet \rightarrow T(B)_\bullet) \mapsto (T(A)_\bullet \times_{T(B)_\bullet} Z_\bullet)$$

commutes with homotopy colimits. This functor preserves level-wise weak equivalences (because we assumed that $A \rightarrow B$ is a fibration) so it will suffice to show that it commutes with strict colimits. Strict colimits of bisimplicial sets (over $T(B)_\bullet$) can be computed point-wise, so the claim follows from the fact that in the category of sets the functor $(U \times_V _) : \text{Set}_{/V} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ commutes with colimits. (This functor has a right-adjoint given by $W \mapsto \coprod_{v \in V} \text{Map}(U \times_V \{v\}, W)$.) \square

Remark 3.17. Note it is crucial for theorem 3.16 and corollary 3.20 that X_\bullet and Y_\bullet are simplicial spaces. The analogous statements for semi-simplicial spaces are generally not true. Consider for example the semi-simplicial space Y_\bullet with $Y_0 = *$ and $Y_i = \emptyset$ for $i > 0$. The semi-simplicial map $Y_\bullet \amalg Y_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ is a base-change and it is surjective on Y_0 , but on geometric realisations it is $* \amalg * \rightarrow *$.

To see how this is used in the proof note that in the above counter-example $Y_\bullet = \Delta_s^0$ is the semisimplicial 0-simplex, i.e. the representable object on $[0] \in \Delta_{\text{inj}}^{\text{op}}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$. This means that already the analogue of claim (i), where we show the theorem for the case that Z_\bullet is representable, fails. Of course one could still prove claim (i) for Δ^n thought of as a semisimplicial space, but the Δ^n do not generate $\mathcal{S}_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ under homotopy-colimits.

Remark 3.18. The theorem also holds with the fat geometric realisations $\|X_\bullet\|$ replaced by the standard one $|X_\bullet|$, as long as both simplicial spaces X_\bullet and Y_\bullet have the property that the quotient maps $\|X_\bullet\| \rightarrow |X_\bullet|$ and $\|Y_\bullet\| \rightarrow |Y_\bullet|$ are weak equivalences. This is the case if X_\bullet and Y_\bullet are *good* [Seg74, Proposition A.1.(iv)], which is the case if they are the level-wise realisation of a bi-simplicial set. As we will basically only be encountering simplicial spaces defined as the level-wise classifying space of a simplicial groupoid, this will always be the case.

We now state a special case of the theorem where X_\bullet and Y_\bullet are both obtained as level-wise classifying spaces of simplicial groupoids. To do so we quickly recall the following definition:

Definition 3.19. A functor $P : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an *iso-fibration* if for any object $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and isomorphism $f : P(e) \cong b$ there is an isomorphism $g : e \rightarrow \bar{b}$ in \mathcal{E} such that $P(\bar{b}) = b$ and $P(g) = f$.

Corollary 3.20. Let $F_\bullet : \mathcal{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\bullet$ be a functor between two simplicial groupoids such that

- $F_0 : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0$ is essentially surjective,
- $(\partial_n^{\mathcal{X}}, F_n) : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_0)^{n+1} \times_{(\mathcal{Y}_0)^{n+1}} \mathcal{Y}_n$ is an equivalence of categories, and
- For all n at least one of the functors $\partial_n^{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{Y}_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_0)^{n+1}$ and $F_n : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_n$ is an iso-fibration.

Then $|BF| : |B\mathcal{X}_\bullet| \rightarrow |B\mathcal{Y}_\bullet|$ is an equivalence.

Proof. The first condition implies the surjectivity of $\pi_0(BF_0) : B\mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow B\mathcal{Y}_0$. The second condition implies that BF_\bullet is a homotopy base-change, if we can show that the map

$$B((\mathcal{X}_0)^{n+1} \times_{(\mathcal{Y}_0)^{n+1}} \mathcal{Y}_n) \longrightarrow (B\mathcal{X}_0)^{n+1} \times_{(B\mathcal{Y}_0)^{n+1}}^h B\mathcal{Y}_n$$

is an equivalence. By the third condition at least one of the functors involved in the pullback is an iso-fibration. Taking the pullback of classifying spaces along an iso-fibration of groupoids always yields the homotopy pullback - this can be shown by elementary means or by noting that iso-fibrations of groupoids are both Cartesian and coCartesian, so that [Stm17, Theorem 2.3] may be applied. \square

To illustrate the use of the base-change theorem we quickly prove that the realisation of Rezk's relative nerve is always equivalent to the classifying space of the category. This will be useful later. First recall:

Definition 3.21. For any category \mathcal{C} its *relative nerve*⁴ is the simplicial groupoid $N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ defined for each n as the groupoid of functors $[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with morphisms being natural isomorphisms. This contains the usual nerve as a simplicial subgroupoid $N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} \subset N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ with only identity morphisms.

Lemma 3.22. *The inclusion $N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C} \subset N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ always induces an equivalence:*

$$BC \simeq \|N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}\| \simeq \|B(N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C})\|.$$

Proof. We need to verify the conditions of the base-change theorem for simplicial groupoids 3.20. The functor $N_0\mathcal{C} \rightarrow N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ is a bijection on objects and in particular essentially surjective. For any n the functor

$$N_n\mathcal{C} \rightarrow (N_0\mathcal{C})^{n+1} \times_{(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C})^{n+1}} N_n^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$$

is an isomorphism of groupoids, as both sides can be identified with the subcategory of $N_n^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ containing only identity morphisms. Finally, we claim that $\partial_n : N_n^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow (N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C})^{n+1}$ is an iso-fibration for all n . Indeed, given a functor $X : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and isomorphisms $\alpha_i : X(i) \cong X'(i)$ there is a (unique) functor $X' : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ extending the $X'(i)$ such that $\alpha : X \cong X'$ is a natural isomorphism. (This functor X' is given by $X'(i \leq j) = \alpha_j \circ X(i \leq j) \circ \alpha_i^{-1}$.) \square

3.4 The simplicial groupoid $D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$

Now that all the tools are in place we define the simplicial groupoid $D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ that serves as a more convenient replacement for $N\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$.⁵ One can think of $D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ as Rezk's *relative nerve* construction applied to the $(2, 1)$ -category $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$. We will see that the realisation of $D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to the classifying space of $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$. The advantage of $D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ is that for each n the groupoid $D_n(\mathcal{C})$ inherits a PCM structure from \mathcal{C} (which would not be the case for $N_{\bullet}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$) and so we can think of $BD_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ as a simplicial Γ -space.

Definition 3.23. The simplicial groupoid $D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as follows. An object of D_n consists of n composable morphisms in $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e. a datum $((A_{\bullet}, c_{\bullet}), (\underline{X}_{\bullet}, o_{\bullet}))$ where $A_0, \dots, A_n \subset \Omega$ are finite sets with labellings by connected objects $c_i : A_i \rightarrow \text{Obj}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ and cospans $(f_i : A_{i-1} \rightarrow \underline{X}_i \leftarrow A_i : g_i)$ with labellings by connected morphisms $o_i : \underline{X}_i \rightarrow \text{Mor}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$. A morphism of n -simplices $((A_{\bullet}, c_{\bullet}), (\underline{X}_{\bullet}, o_{\bullet})) \rightarrow ((A'_{\bullet}, c'_{\bullet}), (\underline{X}'_{\bullet}, o'_{\bullet}))$ is a family of bijections $\alpha_i : A_i \cong A'_i$ and $\varphi_i : \underline{X}_i \cong \underline{X}'_i$ satisfying:

$$c_i \circ \alpha_i = c'_i, \quad o_i \circ \varphi_i = o'_i, \quad \varphi_i \circ f_i = f'_i \circ \alpha_{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_i \circ g_i = g'_i \circ \alpha_i.$$

The face operator d_i is defined by composing the i th and $(i+1)$ st morphism according to the composition in $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$. The degeneracy operator s_i is defined by inserting identity morphisms.

Remark 3.24. In what follows we will often simply write D_{\bullet} for $D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ when the labelled cospan category $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{sp})$ is clear from the context. In a similar vein we often denote objects of $D_n(\mathcal{C})$ as tuples $(A_{\bullet}, \underline{X}_{\bullet})$ where the labelings c_i and o_j are left implicit. For $0 \leq k < l \leq n$ we also use the notation

$$\underline{X}_{k \rightarrow l} := \underline{X}_{k+1} \cup_{A_k} \dots \cup_{A_{l-1}} \underline{X}_l$$

for the composite cospan and we write $o_{k \rightarrow l} : \underline{X}_{k \rightarrow l} \rightarrow \text{Mor}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ for the labeling induced by composition. Note that in this notation $\underline{X}_k = \underline{X}_{k-1 \rightarrow k}$.

Remark 3.25. Note that there is an inclusion of simplicial groupoids:

$$N_{\bullet}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow D_{\bullet}$$

which in level n identifies $N_n\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ with the subcategory of D_n that contains all objects and all those morphisms $(\alpha_{\bullet}, \varphi_{\bullet})$ where $\alpha_i = \text{id}_{A_i}$ holds for all i .

⁴This is what Rezk calls the classifying diagram of the category, see [Rez01, Section 3.5].

⁵The name D is based on the topological poset $D_{\theta}^{\mathcal{C}}$ from [GRW14, Definition 2.13] that is used as a convenient replacement of the topological cobordism category \mathcal{C}_d in their work.

We can also define a variant with no closed components:

Definition 3.26. Let $D_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}} \subset D_{\bullet}$ be the simplicial subgroupoid defined in each level n as the full subgroupoid $D_n^{\text{nc}} \subset D_n$ on those $(A_{\bullet}, \underline{X}_{\bullet})$ such that the composite cospan $(A_0 \rightarrow \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n} \leftarrow A_n)$ is reduced.

Lemma 3.27. *The inclusion of simplicial groupoids $N_{\bullet}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ induces equivalences:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |BN_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})| & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & |BD_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}(\mathcal{C})| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ |BN_{\bullet}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})| & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & |BD_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})| \end{array}$$

Proof. Both equivalences can be checked by applying the base-change theorem for simplicial groupoids 3.20. We will verify the conditions for the bottom equivalence, the top map is similar. Both are entirely analogous to lemma 3.22.

To begin, note that $N_n\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow D_n$ is an inclusion of groupoids that is a bijection on objects. So $N_0\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow D_0$ is certainly essentially surjective. Moreover, for all n both sides of

$$N_n\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow (N_0\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}))^{n+1} \times_{(D_0)^{n+1}} D_n$$

can be thought of the subcategory of D_n that contains all objects and all those morphisms $(\alpha_{\bullet}, \varphi_{\bullet})$ where $\alpha_i = \text{id}_{A_i}$ for all i . So this functor is an isomorphism. It remains to check that $\partial_D^n : D_n \rightarrow (D_0)^{n+1}$ is an isofibration. This means that given some n -simplex $(A_{\bullet}, \underline{X}_{\bullet}, c_{\bullet}, o_{\bullet})$, an $(n+1)$ tuple $(B_{\bullet}, c'_{\bullet})$, and $(n+1)$ bijections $\alpha_i : A_i \cong B_i$ such that $c'_i \circ \alpha_i = c_i$, we need to find an n -simplex $(B_{\bullet}, \underline{X}'_{\bullet}, c'_{\bullet}, o'_{\bullet})$ that is isomorphic to the original n -simplex via some isomorphism of the form $(\alpha_{\bullet}, \varphi_{\bullet})$. This can be achieved by simply setting $\underline{X}'_i := \underline{X}_i$ and then using the α_i to define the structure maps of the cospans as $B_{i-1} \cong A_{i-1} \rightarrow \underline{X}_i \leftarrow A_i \cong B_i$. The labeling o_{\bullet} stays the same. \square

In the case of the no closed component space we can also compare this to the much simpler simplicial set $N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C} \subset N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 3.28. *The canonical projection $N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence on realisations.*

Proof. The functor $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is essentially surjective, but not necessarily surjective on the nose. Let $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the full subcategory on those objects that are in the image, i.e. those that are \otimes -products of connected objects. The inclusion $N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}' \subset N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence on realisations by lemma 2.39.

We will show that $N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}'$ satisfies the condition of corollary 3.20. By construction $N_0^{\text{nc}}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N_0^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}'$ is surjective. The boundary map $\partial_{\mathcal{C}}' : N_n^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow (N_0^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}')^{n+1}$ is an isofibration because it is a map between groupoids that only have identity morphisms. It remains to check that for all n the map

$$N_n^{\text{nc}}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow (N_0^{\text{nc}}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}))^{n+1} \times_{N_0^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}'} N_n\mathcal{C}'$$

is an equivalence of groupoids. This follows from the equivalence

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}}^{\text{red}}((A, c_A), (B, c_B)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{red}}(\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(A, c_A), \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(B, c_B))$$

shown in lemma 2.28 since every morphism involved in $N_n^{\text{nc}}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ is necessarily reduced. \square

3.5 The groupoid of cuts

We now proceed to construct a simplicial groupoid Cut_{\bullet} that represents the complement of D_{\bullet}^{nc} in D_{\bullet} . This is a generalisation of the ideas of our previous work [Ste21, Part II], with the key difference being that the morphisms involved in Cut_{\bullet} are allowed to have closed components whereas the space of cuts in [Ste21, Section 7] only used reduced morphisms.

Definition 3.29. The simplicial groupoid Cut_\bullet is defined in each level as the full subgroupoid $\text{Cut}_n \subset D_n$ on those objects $(A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet, c_\bullet, o_\bullet)$ where A_0 and A_n are both empty.

There is a projection functor $R_n : D_n \rightarrow \text{Cut}_n$ that discards all elements of A_i and \underline{X}_i that correspond to an element of $\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$ that lies in the image of the map $A_0 \amalg A_n \rightarrow \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$.

We define the semi-simplicial structure on Cut_n by letting $\lambda : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ act as

$$\lambda_{\text{Cut}}^* : \text{Cut}_m \subset D_m \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} D_n \xrightarrow{R_n} \text{Cut}_n.$$

We equip each Cut_n with the PCM structure restricted from D_n .

Lemma 3.30. *The above definition yields a well-defined simplicial PCM groupoid Cut_\bullet such that the functors R_n induce a morphism of simplicial PCM groupoids: $R_\bullet : D_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Cut}_\bullet$.*

Proof. We need to check that the operations $\lambda_{\text{Cut}}^* : \text{Cut}_m \rightarrow \text{Cut}_n$ assemble into a simplicial object. Since we already know that D_\bullet is a simplicial object it will suffice to show that $R_n \circ \lambda^* \circ R_m = R_n \circ \lambda^*$, which will also imply that $R_\bullet : D_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Cut}_\bullet$ is a simplicial functor.

It will suffice to consider $\lambda = \delta^i : [n] \rightarrow [n+1]$, the unique injective morphism that does not hit i . (The degeneracy operators preserve the subgroupoid $\text{Cut}_n \subset D_n$.) Then $\lambda^* = d_i$ is the i -th face map, which composes the two adjacent morphisms if $0 < i < n$, forgets the first morphism if $i = 0$ and the last morphism if $i = n$. In the case $0 < i < n$ the total composite $\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$ stays the same before and after applying d_i . Therefore deleting anything that corresponds to an element of the image of $A_0 \amalg A_n \rightarrow \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$ does the same whether we do it before or after applying d_i . In formulas this means $\lambda^* \circ R_{n-1} = R_n \circ \lambda^*$. Since R_n is idempotent when thought of as an endofunctor of D_n , this in particular implies $R_{n-1} \circ \lambda^* \circ R_n = R_{n-1} \circ \lambda^*$, which is what we claimed.

We now check the case $i = 0$, the other remaining case $i = n$ is similar. When applying $R_{n-1} \circ d_0 \circ R_n$ to an n -simplex we first delete anything in the image of $A_0 \amalg A_n \rightarrow \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$, then we forget the first cospan, and then we delete anything in the image of $A_1 \amalg A_n \rightarrow \underline{X}_{1 \rightarrow n}$. It follows from inspection that anything we delete in the first step because it lies in the image of A_0 will either be in the first cospan or lie in the image of A_1 later, so it will be deleted anyway. This implies that the first R_n was redundant and so we have $R_{n-1} \circ d_0 \circ R_n = R_{n-1} \circ d_0$.

Since $\Delta_{\text{inj}}^{\text{op}}$ is generated by the δ^i it follows that Cut_\bullet is a well-defined simplicial object in the category of groupoids and that $R_\bullet : D_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Cut}_\bullet$ is simplicial. For the partial commutative monoidal structure we simply note that $\text{Cut}_n \subset D_n$ is closed under disjoint union and isomorphism, so the structure can be restricted. Since we defined R_n in terms of components it commutes with disjoint unions. (As pointed out in remark 3.7 it is a property, and not a structure, for a functor between two partial commutative monoidal categories to preserve the structure.) It follows that R_\bullet is a morphism of simplicial PCM groupoids. \square

We have constructed Cut in such a way that every object $W \in D_n$ canonically decomposes as a disjoint union $W = W^{\text{nc}} \amalg W^{\text{Cut}}$ where $W^{\text{nc}} \in D_n^{\text{nc}}$ and $W^{\text{Cut}} \in \text{Cut}_n$. This means that we can apply the fiber sequence criterion 3.13 to show:

Lemma 3.31. *Assume that BC is group-like. Then the inclusion $I : D_\bullet^{\text{nc}} \subset D_\bullet$ and the simplicial functor R_\bullet yield a fiber sequence of infinite loop spaces:*

$$|BD_\bullet^{\text{nc}}| \longrightarrow |BD_\bullet| \xrightarrow{R} |BCut_\bullet|.$$

Proof. To check the criteria of theorem 3.13 we need to find for each n a PCM-functor $S_n : D_n \rightarrow D_n^{\text{nc}}$ such that the functors $S_n \circ I : D_n^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow D_n^{\text{nc}}$ and $(S_n, R_n) : D_n \rightarrow D_n^{\text{nc}} \times \text{Cut}_n$ are equivalences of groupoids.

In analogy to the definition of R_n we let $S_n(W)$ be defined by deleting all components of A_i or \underline{X}_i that *do not* lie in the image of $A_0 \amalg A_n \rightarrow \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$. Note that this functor does not satisfy the conditions we checked in lemma 3.30 and so S_\bullet does not define a semi-simplicial map $D_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet^{\text{nc}}$. This is not a

problem since theorem 3.13 does not require the sections S_n to be related in any way. The definition of S_n plays well with disjoint unions and hence defines a PCM-functor.

The composition $S_n \circ I$ is in fact the identity functor $\text{Id}_{D_n^{\text{nc}}}$ by construction, so we only need to check that (S_n, R_n) is an equivalence. We begin with essential surjectivity. The image of (S_n, R_n) in $D_n^{\text{nc}} \times \text{Cut}_n$ consists precisely of those tuples $(\underline{X}_\bullet, \underline{Y}_\bullet)$ such that $\underline{X}_i \perp \underline{Y}_i$ for all i . By standard arguments this disjointness may always be achieved, up to isomorphism, and so (S_n, R_n) is essentially surjective. We also need to check fully faithfulness. Let $(A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet), (C_\bullet, \underline{Z}_\bullet) \in D_n$ be any two objects. (We suppress the data of the labelings c_{A_i} and $o_{\underline{X}_i}$.) Then we need to show that isomorphism $(\alpha_\bullet, \varphi_\bullet) : (A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet) \cong (C_\bullet, \underline{Z}_\bullet)$ is exactly given by a tuple of isomorphisms $S_n(A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet) \cong S_n(C_\bullet, \underline{Z}_\bullet)$ and $R_n(A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet) \cong R_n(C_\bullet, \underline{Z}_\bullet)$. But note that any such isomorphism induces compatible isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 \amalg A_n & \longrightarrow & \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n} = \underline{X}_1 \cup_{A_1} \cdots \cup_{A_{n-1}} \underline{X}_n \\ \alpha_0 \amalg \alpha_n \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ C_0 \amalg C_n & \longrightarrow & \underline{Z}_{0 \rightarrow n} = \underline{Z}_1 \cup_{C_1} \cdots \cup_{C_{n-1}} \underline{Z}_n. \end{array}$$

So the isomorphism $(\alpha_\bullet, \varphi_\bullet)$ preserves the subsets picked out by S_n and R_n , respectively, and it necessarily restricts to an isomorphism on both of those subsets. This shows that (S_n, R_n) is an equivalence of categories and so we may apply the fiber sequence criterion 3.13.

All that remains to complete the proof is to check that all three spaces are group-like. The groupoid Cut_0 is trivial by definition and hence $|BCut_\bullet|$ is connected and in particular group-like. For the middle space we observe that $D_0 \simeq N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ and $D_1 \rightarrow N_1^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ is essentially surjective. Hence $\pi_0|BD_\bullet| \cong \pi_0|BC|$, which we assumed to be group-like. In the case of D_\bullet^{nc} it is still true that $D_0^{\text{nc}} \simeq N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ and even though $D_1^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow N_1^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ is no longer essentially surjective, it is still true that for any morphism $W : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{C} the 1-cell $S(W) \in D_1^{\text{nc}}$ connects M and N . Hence $\pi_0|BD_\bullet^{\text{nc}}| \cong \pi_0|BC|$ is group-like. \square

3.6 Decomposing the space of cuts

So far we have seen that $|BD_\bullet|$ fits into a fiber sequence between $|BD_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ and $|BCut_\bullet|$. The purpose of this section is to show that $|BCut_\bullet|$ is a free infinite loop space. We will do so by first showing that each of the Cut_n is a free symmetric monoidal groupoid on the connected cuts.

Definition 3.32. Let $\text{Cut}_\bullet^{\text{con}} \subset \text{Cut}_\bullet$ denote the simplicial subgroupoid defined in each level as the full subgroupoid on those $(A_\bullet, W_\bullet, c_\bullet, o_\bullet) \in \text{Cut}_n$ where $\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$ is connected or empty.

We need to allow $\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$ to be empty in order for $\text{Cut}_\bullet^{\text{con}}$ to be closed under those face maps that delete closed components. We take $\emptyset \in \text{Cut}_0^{\text{con}}$ as the base-point.

Lemma 3.33. *The inclusion $\text{Cut}_\bullet^{\text{con}} \subset \text{Cut}_\bullet$ induces an equivalence of Γ -spaces $Q(|BCut_\bullet^{\text{con}}|) \simeq |BCut_\bullet|$.*

We recall an explicit construction of the free Γ -category based on Segal's work [Seg74].

Definition 3.34. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Then the category $\Sigma_{\mathcal{C}}^{\langle n \rangle}$ has as objects triples (T, α, x) where T is a finite set, $\alpha : T \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ a map, and $x : T \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ an assignment of objects that we denote by $t \mapsto x_t$. Morphisms $(T, \alpha, x) \rightarrow (S, \beta, y)$ are pairs of a bijection $\varphi : T \cong S$ satisfying $\beta \circ \varphi = \alpha$ together with a collection of morphisms $f_t : x_t \rightarrow y_{\varphi(t)}$ indexed by $t \in T$. The composition of morphisms is given by $(\psi, g) \circ (\varphi, f) = (\psi \circ \varphi, h)$ where $h_t = g_{\varphi(t)} \circ f_t$. For a map $\lambda : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle m \rangle$ in Γ^{op} we define a functor $\lambda_* : \Sigma_{\mathcal{C}}^{\langle n \rangle} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{C}}^{\langle m \rangle}$ by sending (T, α, x) to $(T', \lambda \circ \alpha, x|_{T'})$ where $T' = \{t \in T \mid \lambda(\alpha(t)) \neq *\}$.

Lemma 3.35. *Let \mathcal{A}_\bullet be a simplicial category such that $\mathcal{A}_0 = *$ is the trivial category and such that for all n the degeneracy operator $(s_0)^n : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ induces a disjoint decomposition $\mathcal{A}_n = (s_0^n \mathcal{A}_0) \amalg \mathcal{A}'_n$. Define*

a semi-simplicial Γ -category \mathcal{D} by setting $\mathcal{D}_n^{(m)} = \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'_n}^{(m)}$ with the aforementioned Γ -structure and face-maps defined by $d_i(T, \alpha, x) := (T', \alpha|_{T'}, y)$ where $T' = \{t \in T \mid d_i x_t \in \mathcal{A}'_n\}$ and $y_t := d_i x_t \in \mathcal{A}'_n$.

Then the inclusion $B(\mathcal{A}_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{D}_n^{(1)})$ induces an equivalence of Γ -spaces:

$$Q(|B\mathcal{A}_\bullet|) \simeq |B(\mathcal{D}_\bullet)|.$$

Proof. The proof of this is almost entirely formal. We begin by noting that for any category \mathcal{A} the Γ -space $\langle n \rangle \mapsto B(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{(n)})$ is isomorphic to the Γ -space that is denoted by Σ_X for $X = B\mathcal{A}$ in [Seg74, p. 299]. Let us denote by $(_)^{\text{gp}}$ denote the construction that sends a special Γ -space to its group completion, which we assume to come with the data of an infinite loop space. In particular, there is a canonical infinite loop space map from the free infinite loop space $Q(Y^{(1)}) = \text{colim } \Omega^N \Sigma^N Y^{(1)}$ to the group completion Y^{gp} for any special Γ -space $Y^{(\bullet)}$.

Segal shows that the spectrum associated to Σ_X is the suspension spectrum of $X_+ = X \amalg \{*\}$. It follows that the inclusion $X \subset \Sigma_X^{(1)}$ induces an equivalence:

$$Q(X_+) \rightarrow Q(\Sigma_X^{(1)}) \rightarrow (\Sigma_X)^{\text{gp}}$$

Letting $X := B\mathcal{A}'_n$ we conclude that $Q((B\mathcal{A}'_n)_+) \simeq (B\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}'_n})^{\text{gp}} = (B\mathcal{D}_n)^{\text{gp}}$ for all n . Note that we assumed that \mathcal{A}_n is obtained by taking the disjoint union of \mathcal{A}'_n with the trivial category $s_0^n \mathcal{A}_0$. Hence $(B\mathcal{A}'_n)_+ = B\mathcal{A}_n$ and since all face maps preserve the basepoint $s_0^n \mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ the aforementioned equivalence is in fact an equivalence of simplicial infinite loop spaces:

$$Q(B\mathcal{A}_\bullet) \simeq (B\mathcal{D}_\bullet)^{\text{gp}}.$$

To proceed, recall that both $Q(_)$ and $(_)^{\text{gp}}$ commute with fat geometric realisations up to weak equivalence. This follows from [May72, Proposition 12.1 and Theorem 12.3], see also [Bas+17] for a more detailed account for the case of the group-completion functor. So we have:

$$Q(|B\mathcal{A}_\bullet|) \simeq (|B\mathcal{D}_\bullet|)^{\text{gp}}.$$

The lemma now follows from the observation that $|B\mathcal{D}_\bullet| \rightarrow (|B\mathcal{D}_\bullet|)^{\text{gp}}$ is an equivalence: we assumed that $\mathcal{A}_0 = *$, which implies $\mathcal{D}_0 = *$ and so $|B\mathcal{D}_\bullet|$ is connected and in particular grouplike. \square

Now that we have this general setting we can prove lemma 3.33 by comparing Cut_n to the free Γ -category on $\text{Cut}_n^{\text{con}}$.

Proof of lemma 3.33. The category of connected cuts decomposes as $\text{Cut}_n^{\text{con}} = \{\emptyset\} \amalg \text{Cut}_n^{\text{con}, \neq \emptyset}$ and the face operators all preserve \emptyset . We can therefore construct a semi-simplicial Γ -category $\mathcal{D}_\bullet^{(\bullet)}$ as before by $\mathcal{D}_n^{(m)} = \Sigma_{\text{Cut}_n^{\text{con}, \neq \emptyset}}^{(m)}$. To prove the lemma it will suffice to construct a zig-zag of semi-simplicial Γ -functors $\mathcal{D}_\bullet \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Cut}_\bullet$ that induce equivalences

$$Q(|\text{Cut}_\bullet^{\text{con}}|) \stackrel{3.35}{\simeq} |B\mathcal{D}_\bullet| \simeq |B\mathcal{E}_\bullet| \simeq |B\text{Cut}_\bullet|.$$

For fixed n and m we let $\mathcal{E}_n^{(m)} \subset \mathcal{D}_n^{(m)}$ be the full subcategory on those $(T, \alpha, (A_\bullet^t, \underline{X}_\bullet^t)_{t \in T})$ where the $\underline{X}_i^t \in \text{Cut}_n^{\text{con}, \neq \emptyset}$ are pairwise disjoint as $t \in T$ varies. One checks that this is closed under face operators, and also under functoriality in $\langle m \rangle \in \Gamma$. As usual this hits all isomorphism classes and hence the inclusion $\mathcal{E}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\bullet$ is a level-wise equivalence of simplicial Γ -categories. In particular it realises to an equivalence $|B\mathcal{E}_\bullet| \simeq |B\mathcal{D}_\bullet|$.

The advantage of this subcategory is that the disjointness condition allows us to define a functor $\Pi : \mathcal{E}_n^{(m)} \rightarrow \text{Cut}_n^{(m)}$ by $(T, \alpha, (A_\bullet^t, \underline{X}_\bullet^t)_{t \in T}) \mapsto ((\coprod_{t \in T} A_\bullet^t, \coprod_{t \in T} \underline{X}_\bullet^t), \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n} \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{\alpha} \langle m \rangle)$. This is well-defined because Cut_n is a partial commutative monoidal category, and moreover it is natural in n and $\langle m \rangle$. To complete the proof we need to check that $\Pi : \mathcal{E}_n^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{Cut}_n^{(1)}$ is an equivalence of

categories. To show essential surjectivity let $(A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet) \in \text{Cut}_n$ be some object. Set $T := \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$ and let $B_i^t \subset A_i$ and $\underline{Y}_i^t \subset \underline{X}_i$ be the subsets that map to $t \in \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$. Then

$$\Pi(T, \alpha, (B_\bullet^t, \underline{Y}_\bullet^t)_{t \in T}) = \coprod_{t \in \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}} (B_\bullet^t, \underline{Y}_\bullet^t) = (A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet),$$

so Π is surjective. Next, we check fully faithfulness. For two objects $(T, \alpha, (A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet))$ and $(S, \beta, (B_\bullet, \underline{Y}_\bullet))$ a morphism between them is a bijection $\varphi : T \cong S$ together with isomorphisms $(\beta_\bullet^t, \varphi_\bullet^t) : (A_\bullet^t, \underline{X}_\bullet^t) \cong (B_\bullet^{\varphi(t)}, \underline{Y}_\bullet^{\varphi(t)})$ for all $t \in T$. For any t the set $\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}^t$ has a single element, so any isomorphism $(\beta_\bullet, \psi_\bullet) : \Pi_{t \in T}(A_\bullet^t, \underline{X}_\bullet^t) \cong \Pi_{s \in S}(B_\bullet^s, \underline{Y}_\bullet^s)$ can uniquely be written as $(\varphi, (\gamma_\bullet^t, \chi_\bullet^t)_{t \in T})$ where

$$\varphi := \psi_{1 \rightarrow n} : T = \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n} \rightarrow \underline{Y}_{0 \rightarrow n} = S$$

and $(\gamma_\bullet^t, \chi_\bullet^t)$ is defined as the restriction $(\beta_\bullet, \psi_\bullet)|_{(A_\bullet^t, \underline{X}_\bullet^t)}$. \square

Corollary 3.36. *The rational homology of $|BCut_\bullet^{\text{con}}|$ injects into the rational homology of $|BCut_\bullet^{\text{con}}|$ and its image freely generates $H_*(|BCut_\bullet^{\text{con}}|; \mathbb{Q})$ as a commutative algebra.*

Proof. For any connected space X the rational homology of $Q(X)$ is a free symmetric algebra on the rational homology X . The zig-zag of equivalences $|BCut_\bullet| \simeq \cdots \simeq Q(|BCut_\bullet^{\text{con}}|)$ constructed in lemma 3.33 is compatible with the Γ -space structure and hence induces an algebra isomorphism on homology. Moreover, this zig-zag is compatible with the natural map from $|BCut_\bullet^{\text{con}}|$. \square

3.7 From cuts to factorisations

In this section we compute the realisation of the space of connected cuts in terms of the category of factorisations. We will denote the set of connected endomorphism of $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ by:

$$G := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{con}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}).$$

Definition 3.37. Define a simplicial groupoid Cut_\bullet^F as follows. For each n let Cut_n^F be the full subgroupoid of $\text{Cut}_{n+2}^{\text{con}}$ on those $(A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet) \in D_{n+2}$ such that A_1 and A_{n+1} are non-empty. (Recall that A_0 and A_{n+2} are already required to be empty and $\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n+1}$ is required to be connected or empty.) The i th face map on Cut_n^F is defined as the restriction of the $(i+1)$ st face map on Cut_n . There is an augmentation $\text{Cut}_\bullet^F \rightarrow G$ defined by sending any non-empty $(A_\bullet, \underline{X}_\bullet, c_\bullet, o_\bullet)$ to the label $o_{1 \rightarrow n+1}(x) \in \text{Mor}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ of $\{x\} = \underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n+1}$.

Definition 3.38. For a map of spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ we will use the following coordinates on the cone of its suspension:

$$\Sigma \text{cone}(f) = (* \amalg \{(x, a, b) \in X \times [0, 1]^2 \mid a + b \leq 1\} \amalg \{(y, a, b) \in Y \times [0, 1]^2 \mid a + b = 1\}) / \sim$$

where \sim is generated by $(x, a, b) \sim *$ whenever $a \cdot b = 0$ and by $(x, a, b) \sim (f(x), a, b)$ whenever $a + b = 1$.

Lemma 3.39. *There is a homeomorphism $\alpha : |BCut_\bullet^{\text{con}}| \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma \text{cone}(|BCut_\bullet^F| \rightarrow G)$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X_1} A_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{X_n} \emptyset), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \\ & \longmapsto [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X_a} A_a \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_b \xrightarrow{X_{b+1}} \emptyset), (\frac{t_a}{T_{ab}}, \dots, \frac{t_b}{T_{ab}})], t_0 + \cdots + t_{a-1}, t_{b+1} + \cdots + t_n] \end{aligned}$$

Here $0 \leq a \leq b \leq n$ are the smallest and largest numbers such that A_a and A_b are non-empty, and $T_{ab} := t_a + \cdots + t_b$ is a normalisation factor. If all A_i are empty we find the unique c such that X_c is non-empty and define α by

$$[(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X_1} A_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{X_n} \emptyset), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \longmapsto [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X_c} \emptyset) \in G, t_0 + \cdots + t_{c-1}, t_c + \cdots + t_n]$$

Proof. The statement of the lemma we only define the map on n -simplices corresponding to objects of the groupoid $\text{Cut}_n^{\text{con}}$, but not on the morphisms. To be precise, a point in $|BCut_{\bullet}^{\text{con}}|$ is represented by a triple $((A_{\bullet, \bullet}, X_{\bullet, \bullet}), (s_0, \dots, s_m), (t_0, \dots, t_n))$ where for each $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ the tuple $((A_{i, \bullet}, X_{i, \bullet}), (t_0, \dots, t_m))$ is as in the statement of the lemma. We then define the map by simply applying it for each i and carrying along the s_i -coordinates. Since this simplicial direction will be entirely orthogonal to the argument in this proof, we shall continue ignoring it.

The inverse map $\beta : \Sigma \text{cone}(|BCut_{\bullet}^F| \rightarrow G) \rightarrow |BCut_{\bullet}^{\text{con}}|$ can be described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X_1} A_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{X_n} \emptyset), (t_0, \dots, t_n)], x, y] \\ & \mapsto [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X_1} A_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{X_n} \emptyset), (x, (1-x-y) \cdot t_0, \dots, (1-x-y) \cdot t_n, y)] \end{aligned}$$

If $x + y = 1$ we simply send $[(X : \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset) \in G, x, y]$ to $[(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X} \emptyset, (x, y)], x, y]$, which continuously extends the above. By construction $\alpha \circ \beta = \text{id}_{S^2|BCut_{\bullet}^F|}$, but the other composite $\beta \circ \alpha$ might not look like $\text{id}_{|BCut_{\bullet}^{\text{con}}|}$ at first sight. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X_1} A_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{X_n} \emptyset), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \\ & \mapsto [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{X_a} A_a \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_b \xrightarrow{X_{b+1}} \emptyset), (t_0 + \dots + t_{a-1}, t_a, \dots, t_b, t_{b+1} + \dots + t_n)] \end{aligned}$$

Since we assume that A_1, \dots, A_{a-1} and A_{b+1}, \dots, A_{n-1} are empty, it follows that X_1, \dots, X_{a-1} and X_{b+2}, \dots, X_n are the identity morphisms on \emptyset . (If they were non-empty, they would contribute another connected component to $X_{0 \rightarrow n}$, which is required to be connected.) By the definition of the geometric realisation we are allowed to remove the identity morphism X_1 and add the adjacent weights t_0 and t_1 . Iterating this shows that $\beta \circ \alpha$ simply sends every point to a different representative of the same point, i.e. it is the identity. \square

Remark 3.40. The augmentation yields a disjoint decomposition:

$$\text{Cut}_n^F \cong \coprod_{g \in G} \text{Cut}_n^{F, (g)}$$

where we let $\text{Cut}_n^{F, (g)}$ be the fiber of $\text{Cut}_n^F \rightarrow G$ at g . The disjoint decomposition described above is compatible with face maps and hence induces a decomposition: $|BCut_{\bullet}^F| \cong \coprod_{g \in G} |BCut_{\bullet}^{F, (g)}|$. Hence lemma 3.39 equivalently gives a homeomorphism

$$|BCut_{\bullet}^F| \cong \Sigma \text{cone} \left(\coprod_{g \in G} |BCut_{\bullet}^{F, (g)}| \rightarrow G \right) \cong \bigvee_{g \in G} S^2 |BCut_{\bullet}^{F, (g)}|,$$

where $S^2(-)$ denotes the unreduced suspension defined as $S^2(X) := (S^1 \amalg D^2 \times X) / \sim$ with $(a, x) \sim a$ for all $a \in S^1 \subset D^2$ and $x \in X$.

Now we show that $|BCut_{\bullet}^F|$ is in fact the classifying space of the category of factorisations.

Definition 3.41. An object in the category \mathcal{F} is a triple (M, W, W') where $M \in \mathcal{C}$ is a non-empty object and $W : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M$ and $W' : M \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ are two morphisms such that $W \cup_M W'$ is a connected morphism. A morphism $(M, W, W') \rightarrow (N, V, V')$ is a morphism $X : M \rightarrow N$ such that $W \cup_M X = W'$ and $V = X \cup_{M'} V'$.

Remark 3.42. In definition 2.34 we defined factorisation categories \mathcal{F}_g for specific endomorphisms $g : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$. Composing the two morphisms of a factorisation yields a functor $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow G$ where the latter is thought of as a category with only identity morphisms. We therefore have a disjoint decomposition:

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \coprod_{g \in G} \mathcal{F}_g$$

compatible with the decomposition of Cut_{\bullet}^F into $\text{Cut}_{\bullet}^{F, (g)}$.

Lemma 3.43. *Let $N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F}$ be Rezk's relative nerve as in definition 3.21. Then the canonical maps:*

$$\text{Cut}_{\bullet}^F \longrightarrow N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F} \longleftarrow N_{\bullet}\mathcal{F}$$

induce equivalences $\|B\text{Cut}_{\bullet}^F\| \simeq \|BN_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\| \simeq B\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let us begin by considering the double-comma category $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}^{1_{\mathcal{C}}/1_{\mathcal{C}}}$ where objects are tuples of morphisms $(W : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M, W' : M \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ and a morphism $(M, W, W') \rightarrow (N, V, V')$ is a morphism $X : M \rightarrow N$ such that $W \cup_M X = W'$ and $V = X \cup_{M'} V'$. By definition \mathcal{F} is a full subcategory of \mathcal{K} on those objects (M, W, W') where M is non-empty and $W \cup_M W'$ is connected. The nerve of \mathcal{K} can be described as follows:

$$N_n\mathcal{K} \cong \{W : [n+2] \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \mid W(0) = 1_{\mathcal{C}} = W(n+2)\} \subset N_{n+2}\mathcal{C}$$

where the face and degeneracy operators are given by $d_i^{\mathcal{K}} := d_{i+1}^{\mathcal{C}}$ and $s_i^{\mathcal{K}} := s_{i+1}^{\mathcal{C}}$. Note that because the isomorphisms in \mathcal{K} are isomorphisms \mathcal{C} that make the relevant diagrams commute, we also have an equivalence

$$N_n^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{K} \simeq \{(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})\} \times_{(N_0^{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{C}))^2} N_{n+2}^{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{C})$$

where the functor $N_{n+2}^{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow (N_0^{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{C}))^2$ is the one that picks out the first and last object.

Just like $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a simplicial map $N_{\bullet}\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$ it also induces a simplicial map $D_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$. In defining this on morphisms we use that any bijection $\alpha : A \cong A'$ with $c_{A'} \circ \alpha = c_A$ induces an isomorphism $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(A, c_A) \cong \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(A', c'_A)$. Restricting this map we obtain a map of simplicial groupoids $\text{Cut}_{\bullet}^F \rightarrow N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{K}$, which lands in the relative nerve of the full subcategory $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{K}$. We hence have the following zig-zag of simplicial groupoids:

$$\text{Cut}_{\bullet}^F \longrightarrow N_{\bullet}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F} \longleftarrow N_{\bullet}\mathcal{F}.$$

By lemma 3.22 the second map induces an equivalence on geometric realisations. So to finish the proof we will check that the first map satisfies the condition of the base-change corollary 3.20. We have already checked in lemma 3.22 that in the relative nerve of any category the boundary map $\partial_n : N_n^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow (N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F})^{n+1}$ is an iso-fibration, which verifies the third condition.

The first condition states that $\text{Cut}_0^F \rightarrow N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{\text{iso}}$ is essentially surjective. Indeed, any factorisation $(W : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M, W' : M \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ is isomorphic to one where M is the product of connected objects. In that case we can write $M = \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}(A, c_A)$ for $(A, c_A) \in \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} : h\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence of categories by lemma 2.28, we can find $(\underline{X}, o) : \emptyset \rightarrow (A, c_A)$ and $(\underline{X}', o') : (A, c_A) \rightarrow \emptyset$ that are mapped to W and W' , respectively. The tuple $((\underline{X}, o), (\underline{X}', o'))$ defines a 2-simplex in D_{\bullet} that is an object in Cut_0^F and it is mapped to $(M, W, W') \in \mathcal{F}$.

The second condition is the base-change condition. We would like to show that

$$(\partial_n, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}) : \text{Cut}_n^F \longrightarrow (\text{Cut}_0^F)^{n+1} \times_{(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F})^{n+1}} N_n^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F}$$

is an equivalence of groupoids. By lemma 2.28 the n the following functor is essentially surjective and fully faithful when restricted to reduced morphisms:

$$(\partial_n, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}) : D_{n+2} \longrightarrow (D_0)^{n+3} \times_{(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C})^{n+3}} N_{n+2}^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$$

By fixing the first and last object to be $\emptyset \in D_0$ and $1_{\mathcal{C}} \in N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}$, respectively, we obtain:

$$\{\emptyset, \emptyset\} \times_{(D_0)^2} D_{n+2} \longrightarrow (D_0)^{n+1} \times_{(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C})^{n+1}} N_n^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{K}$$

Restricting to full subcategories we obtain the following equivalence:

$$\text{Cut}_n^F \longrightarrow (D_0)^{n+1} \times_{(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C})^{n+1}} N_n^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F}$$

Using the simplicial object $T(X)_n := X^{n+1}$ from the proof of theorem 3.16 we can write this as a level-wise equivalence of simplicial groupoids: $\text{Cut}_\bullet^F \simeq T(D_0) \times_{T(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C})} N_\bullet^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F}$. We may rewrite this further as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cut}_\bullet^F &\simeq T(D_0) \times_{T(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C})} N_\bullet^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F} \cong T(D_0 \times_{N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{C}} N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F}) \times_{T(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F})} N_\bullet^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F} \\ &\simeq T(\text{Cut}_0^F) \times_{T(N_0^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F})} N_\bullet^{\text{rel}}\mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired equivalence that verifies the remaining condition in corollary 3.20. \square

To summarise the previous sections we record:

Corollary 3.44. *There are equivalences of Γ -spaces:*

$$|B\text{Cut}_\bullet| \simeq Q(|B\text{Cut}_\bullet^{\text{con}}|) \simeq Q\left(\bigvee_{g \in G} S^2 |B\text{Cut}_\bullet^{F, (g)}|\right) \simeq Q\left(\bigvee_{g \in G} S^2 (B\mathcal{F}_g)\right).$$

Proof. Combine lemma 3.33, lemma 3.39, and lemma 3.43. \square

3.8 The reduced category

We now prove the comparison result proposition 3.4 between $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^{red} .

Definition 3.45. Let $\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{cl}} \subset \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the full subcategory on the object \emptyset and let $\mathcal{C}^{\text{cl}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ denote the full subcategory on the object $1_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Lemma 3.46. *There are canonical equivalences of infinite loop spaces:*

$$B(\mathcal{C}^{\text{cl}}) \simeq B\left(\bigoplus_G \mathbb{N}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad B(\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{cl}}) \simeq Q\left(\bigvee_G S^1\right).$$

Proof. By definition \mathcal{C}^{cl} is a category with a single object $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ and the endomorphisms of this object are $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \bigoplus_G \mathbb{N}$ by axiom (ii) of definition 2.4. This implies the first equivalence.

The second equivalence can easily be proven from the perspective of [Seg74], but for the readers convenience we will prove it as an application of lemma 3.35. (Note, however, that this lemma is also based on [Seg74].) Indeed, the groupoid $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{cl}}}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is freely generated by its connected objects in the sense of the lemma. Let K_\bullet be the level-wise full simplicial subgroupoid of the nerve $N_\bullet \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ containing all those objects $\underline{X} : [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ where $\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n} : \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset$ is connected or empty. Then lemma 3.35 applies to the tuple $(K_\bullet \subset N_\bullet \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C}))$ and hence we have:

$$B(\mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{cl}}) \simeq Q(\|BK_\bullet\|).$$

We will show that BK_\bullet is level-wise equivalent to $Y_\bullet := (\Delta^1 \times G)/(\partial\Delta^1 \times G)$, the geometric realisation of which is a wedge of circles, one for each element of G . The n -simplices of $\Delta^1 \times G$ can be thought of as tuples $(i, g) \in \{0, \dots, n+1\} \times G$ where i represents the unique map $[n] \rightarrow [1]$ with $i \mapsto 0$ and $(i+1) \mapsto 1$. The simplicial set Y_\bullet is obtained by identifying all tuples (i, g) with $i \in \{0, n+1\}$. Indeed, there is a level-wise equivalence of simplicial groupoids $K_\bullet \simeq Y_\bullet$ defined by sending $(\underline{X} : [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \in K_n$ to the basepoint if $\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}$ is empty and otherwise to $(i, [\underline{X}_{0 \rightarrow n}])$ where $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is the unique i such that $\underline{X}_{i-1 \rightarrow i}$ is non-empty. From this it follows that

$$\|BK_\bullet\| \simeq \|(\Delta^1 \times G)/(\partial\Delta^1 \times G)\| \simeq \bigvee_{g \in G} S^1.$$

\square

Proposition 3.47. *There are homotopy fiber sequences of infinite loop spaces:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q(\bigvee_G S^1) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ B(\bigoplus_G \mathbb{N}) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}). \end{array}$$

In particular, the map $B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{C})$ is a rational equivalence.

Proof. For the purpose of the proof we will assume that \mathcal{C} has a PCM structure, rather than a symmetric monoidal structure, and that the functor $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a PCM functor. Since $h\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence and $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow h\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})$ is a PCM functor, this can always be achieved by replacing \mathcal{C} with $h\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})$. Consider the following diagram of simplicial PCM groupoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{cl}} & \longrightarrow & D_{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{red}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cl}} & \longrightarrow & N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{rel}}\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & N_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{rel}}\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}. \end{array}$$

Here $D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{cl}} \subset D_{\bullet}$ is the level-wise full subgroupoid on those $(\underline{X}_{\bullet}, A_{\bullet})$ with $A_i = \emptyset$ for all i . $D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{red}}$ is defined level-wise as the full subgroupoid $D_n^{\mathrm{red}} \subset D_n$ on those n -simplices that represent n -tuples of composable reduced cospans. Similar to the definition of Cut_{\bullet} we define the face maps in $D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{red}}$ by taking the face map in D_{\bullet} and then forgetting closed components. In the bottom row $N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ is simply the simplicial commutative monoid where each $N_n\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{N}\langle G \rangle)^n$.

It follows from lemma 3.46 and our previous results that the realisation of this diagram is a commutative diagram of Γ -spaces that is equivalent to the diagram stated in the claim of this proposition. Moreover, each of the terms is group-like, and so we have a diagram of infinite loop spaces.

To prove the proposition we apply the general fiber sequence criterion theorem 3.13 to the two sequences of simplicial PCM groupoids. For the first one, consider the PCM functor:

$$S_n : D_n \rightarrow D_n^{\mathrm{cl}}, \quad (A_{\bullet}, \underline{X}_{\bullet}, c_{\bullet}, o_{\bullet}) \mapsto (\emptyset, \underline{Y}_{\bullet}, \emptyset, (o_{\bullet})|_{\underline{Y}_{\bullet}})$$

where each $\underline{Y}_i \subset \underline{X}_i$ is defined as the complement of the image of $A_{i-1} \amalg A_i \rightarrow \underline{X}_i$. This map is a section to the inclusion $D_n^{\mathrm{cl}} \rightarrow D_n$, so to apply the fiber sequence theorem we need to check that $(S_n, R_n) : D_n \rightarrow D_n^{\mathrm{cl}} \times D_n^{\mathrm{red}}$ is an equivalence. This functor is given by

$$(A_{\bullet}, \underline{X}_{\bullet}, c_{\bullet}, o_{\bullet}) \mapsto ((\emptyset, \underline{Y}_{\bullet}, \emptyset, (o_{\bullet})|_{\underline{Y}_{\bullet}}), (A_{\bullet}, \underline{Z}_{\bullet}, c_{\bullet}, (o_{\bullet})|_{\underline{Z}_{\bullet}}))$$

where \underline{Y}_i is as above and $\underline{Z}_i \subset \underline{X}_i$ is the image of $A_{i-1} \amalg A_i$, i.e. the complement of \underline{Y}_i . Just as in the proof of 3.31 we see that this functor is an isomorphism onto the subcategory of disjoint tuples and that hence it is an equivalence.

To conclude that the first row is a homotopy fiber sequence we note that $\pi_0|BD_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{cl}}| \cong *$, $\pi_0|BD_{\bullet}| \cong \pi_0 B\mathcal{C}$, and $\pi_0|BD_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{red}}| \cong \pi_0 B\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}$ are all group-like.

For the second row the proof is similar. The section is given by

$$S_n : N_n^{\mathrm{rel}}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow N_n\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cl}}, \quad (W : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}) \mapsto (V_1, \dots, V_n) \in (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}))^n = N_n\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cl}}$$

where $V_i \subset W(i-1 \rightarrow i)$ is the union of the closed components. This is a PCM functor where the commutative monoid $N_n\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ is thought of as a PCM category with only identity morphisms and where all objects are disjoint. Since this is indeed a section to the inclusion $N_n\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cl}} \rightarrow N_n^{\mathrm{rel}}\mathcal{C}$ we again need to check that the functor $(S_n, R_n) : N_n^{\mathrm{rel}}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow N_n\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cl}} \times N_n\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{red}}$ is an equivalence. This follows from axiom (iii) of definition 2.4. This completes the proof that both rows are homotopy fiber sequences.

Finally, we want to check that $B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{C})$ is a rational equivalence. By comparing the homotopy fibers of the first two vertical maps between the two homotopy fiber sequence we obtain the following homotopy fiber sequence:

$$\tau_{\geq 2}Q(\bigvee_{g \in G} S^1) \longrightarrow B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{C}).$$

The homotopy groups of the left-hand space are $\pi_k \tau_{\geq 2}Q(\bigvee_{g \in G} S^1) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \pi_{k-1}^{\mathrm{st}}(S^0)$ for $k \geq 2$. This is rationally trivial because the stable homotopy groups of spheres $\pi_*^{\mathrm{st}}(S^0)$ are finite for $* \geq 1$. Hence the map $B(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{C})$ is an isomorphism on rational homotopy groups, as claimed. \square

3.9 Contracting the factorisation category

In this section we show that the factorisation categories for Cob_d have contractible classifying spaces for all $d \leq 2$. The argument is based on an argument showing $B\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Csp}) \simeq *$ and crucially uses the existence of the disk morphism $D^d : \emptyset \rightarrow S^{d-1}$. In particular, it will not apply to $\mathrm{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$.

Definition 3.48. For a non-empty set X let $\mathrm{Fin}_{/X}^{\neq \emptyset, \mathrm{inj}}$ denote the category where objects are tuples (A, a) of a non-empty finite set A together with a map $a : A \rightarrow X$, and morphisms $(A, a) \rightarrow (B, b)$ are injections $i : A \rightarrow B$ such that $a = b \circ i$.

Lemma 3.49. For every non-empty set X the classifying space $B\mathrm{Fin}_{/X}^{\neq \emptyset, \mathrm{inj}}$ is contractible.

Proof. Pick any $x_0 \in X$ and consider the functor

$$F : \mathrm{Fin}_{/X}^{\neq \emptyset, \mathrm{inj}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fin}_{/X}^{\neq \emptyset, \mathrm{inj}} \quad (A, a) \mapsto (A \amalg \{x_0\}, a \amalg \mathrm{id}_{\{x_0\}})$$

that adds a new point to A and labels it by x_0 . There is a natural transformation $\alpha : \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Fin}_{/X}^{\neq \emptyset, \mathrm{inj}}} \Rightarrow F$ coming from the canonical inclusion $\alpha_A : A \hookrightarrow A \amalg \{x_0\}$. There also is a natural transformation $\beta : G \Rightarrow F$ from the constant functor $G(A, a) := (\{x_0\}, \mathrm{id}_{\{x_0\}})$ coming from the other inclusion $\beta_A : \{x_0\} \hookrightarrow A \amalg \{x_0\}$. Both α and β induce homotopies after taking classifying spaces and together they imply that the identity on $B\mathrm{Fin}_{/X}^{\neq \emptyset, \mathrm{inj}}$ is homotopic to BG , which is constant. \square

Lemma 3.50. The factorisation category $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Csp})$ has a contractible classifying space.

Proof. Consider the functor $\partial_0 : \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Csp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fin}$ that sends the factorisation $(W : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M, W' : M \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ to the underlying set $\pi(W)$ of the first morphism. To a morphism $X : M \rightarrow N$ from (M, W, W') to (N, V, V') this assigns the composite $\pi(W) \rightarrow \pi(W) \cup_{\pi(M)} \pi(X) \cong \pi(V)$. A short explanation is due to argue why we can talk about $\pi(W)$ as a well-defined set. A priori the morphism W only yields an isomorphism class of cospans $[\emptyset \rightarrow \pi(W) \leftarrow \pi(M)]$, but not a well-defined set $\pi(W)$. But because this is part of a factorisation we know that $\pi(M) \rightarrow \pi(W)$ is surjective. In particular we can define $\partial_0(M, W, W')$ as the quotient of $\pi(M)$ by the relation induced by $\pi(M) \rightarrow \pi(W)$.

We define a new category \mathcal{F}' as the Grothendieck construction of the functor $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}$ that sends (M, W, W') to $\mathrm{Fin}_{/\partial_0(M, W, W')}^{\neq \emptyset, \mathrm{inj}, \mathrm{op}}$. Spelling out the construction we see that an object in \mathcal{F}' is a tuple $((M, W, W'), (A, a))$ where $(M, W, W') \in \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Csp})$, A is a non-empty finite set and $a : A \rightarrow \partial_0(M, W, W')$. A morphism $((M, W, W'), (A, a)) \rightarrow ((N, V, V'), (B, b))$ is a tuple of a morphism $X : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{F} and an injection $i : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\partial_0(X) \circ a = b \circ i$. Consider the forgetful functor $F : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Csp})$ that sends $((M, W, W'), (A, a))$ to (M, W, W') .

It is a consequence of Quillen's theorem A that, given any functor $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}$ where each $B(\Phi(c))$ is contractible, the forgetful functor $\int_{\mathcal{C}} \Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence on classifying spaces. (One can also think of this as an instance of Thomason's homotopy colimit theorem [Tho79].) In lemma 3.49 we showed that each $\mathrm{Fin}_{/\partial_0(M, W, W')}^{\neq \emptyset, \mathrm{inj}, \mathrm{op}}$ has a contractible classifying space, hence $F : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Csp})$

is a homotopy equivalence. We will prove the lemma by showing that the homotopy equivalence $B\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow B\mathcal{F}(\text{Csp})$ is null-homotopic.

Define a functor:

$$G : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}, \quad ((M, [\emptyset \rightarrow W \leftarrow M], [M \rightarrow V \leftarrow \emptyset]), (a : A \rightarrow W)) \mapsto (A, [\emptyset \rightarrow A \xleftarrow{=} A], [A \rightarrow * \leftarrow \emptyset])$$

to a morphism $(X, i) : ((M, W, W'), (A, a)) \rightarrow ((N, V, V'), (B, b))$ this assigns the morphism

$$[A \xrightarrow{i} B \xleftarrow{=} B].$$

This functor by definition factors through $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \text{Fin}^{\neq \emptyset, \text{inj}}$. Since the classifying space $B\text{Fin}^{\neq \emptyset, \text{inj}}$ is contractible (set $X = *$ in lemma 3.49), we can conclude that $BG : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is null-homotopic.

We construct a natural transformation $\alpha : G \Rightarrow F$ by assigning to each $((M, W, W'), (A, a))$ the morphism

$$\alpha_{((M, W, W'), (A, a))} := [A \xrightarrow{a} W \leftarrow M] : (A, [\emptyset \rightarrow A \xleftarrow{=} A], [A \rightarrow * \leftarrow \emptyset]) \rightarrow (M, W, V).$$

To see that this is a well-defined morphism in the factorisation category we check

$$\begin{aligned} [A \rightarrow W \leftarrow M] \cup_M [M \rightarrow W' \leftarrow \emptyset] &= [A \rightarrow * \leftarrow \emptyset] \\ [\emptyset \rightarrow A \leftarrow A] \cup_A [A \rightarrow W \leftarrow M] &= [\emptyset \rightarrow W \leftarrow M]. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equation used that $W \cup_M W' = *$ holds for all objects $(M, W, W') \in \mathcal{F}(\text{Csp})$. We also need to check that α is natural. To see this consider a morphism $(X, i) : ((M, W, W'), (A, a)) \rightarrow ((N, V, V'), (B, b))$ where $X = [M \rightarrow X \leftarrow N] : (M, W, W') \rightarrow (N, V, V')$ and $i : A \hookrightarrow B$. For any such morphism we have that:

$$[A \xrightarrow{i} B \xleftarrow{=} B] \cup_B [B \xrightarrow{b} V \leftarrow N] = [A \xrightarrow{a} W \leftarrow M] \cup_M [M \rightarrow X \leftarrow N].$$

since $V = W \cup_M X$ and $b \circ i = \partial_0(X) \circ a$.

In summary, we have shown that the forgetful functor induces a homotopy equivalence $B\mathcal{F}' : B\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow B\mathcal{F}(\text{Csp})$, that the map $BG : B\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow B\mathcal{F}(\text{Csp})$ factors through the contractible space $B\text{Fin}^{\neq \emptyset, \text{inj}}$, and that α induces a homotopy between $B\mathcal{F}'$ and BG . This implies that $B\mathcal{F}(\text{Csp})$ is contractible as claimed. \square

The same argument applies to $B\mathcal{F}_a(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)) \simeq *$ for all $a \in A$ as long as $0 \in A_1$, i.e. as long as $A_1 = A$.




Figure 4: Left: an object $((M, W, W'), (A, a)) \in \mathcal{F}'_{g=3}(\text{Cob}_2)$. Middle: the value of the natural transformation $\alpha : G \Rightarrow \text{Id}$ at this object. Right: the value the functor G on this object.

Lemma 3.51. *For all $d \geq 2$ and all diffeomorphism types $[Q]$ of closed d -manifolds the factorisation category $\mathcal{F}_{[Q]}(\text{Cob}_d)$ has a contractible classifying space.*

Proof. An object of $\mathcal{F}_{[Q]}(\text{Cob}_d)$ is a triple $(M, [W], [W'])$ where M is a closed oriented $(d-1)$ -manifold and $[W]$ and $[W']$ are diffeomorphism classes of d -dimensional cobordisms $W : \emptyset \rightarrow M$ and $W' : M \rightarrow \emptyset$ such that their composite $W \cup_M W'$ is diffeomorphic to Q . A morphism $(M, [W], [W']) \rightarrow (N, [V], [V'])$ is a diffeomorphism class of cobordisms $[X] : M \rightarrow N$ satisfying $W \cup_M X \cong V$ and $W' \cong X \cup_N V'$.

We will choose explicit representatives for $[W]$ and $[W']$ throughout. This does not change the category \mathcal{F} , as long as we still define morphisms as above.

As in the proof of lemma 3.50 we construct a category \mathcal{F}' as follows. Objects are tuples $((M, W, W'), (a : A \rightarrow \pi_0(W)))$ where (M, W, W') is an object as above, A is a finite non-empty set, and $a : A \rightarrow \pi_0(W)$ a map. By the same argument as in lemma 3.50 the forgetful functor $F : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{[Q]}(\text{Cob}_d)$ is an equivalence.

The following constructions are illustrated in figure 4. Consider the functor $G : \text{Fin}^{\neq \emptyset, \text{inj}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{[Q]}(\text{Cob}_d)$ that sends a finite set A to the factorisation

$$G(A) = (A \times S^{d-1}, A \times D^d : \emptyset \rightarrow A \times S^{d-1}, Q_A : A \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \emptyset)$$

where the cobordism $Q_A : A \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \emptyset$ is obtained by picking an injection $A \rightarrow Q$, removing a neighbourhood of its image, and identifying its boundary with $A \times S^{d-1}$ in the canonical orientation preserving way. Since Q is connected and of dimension $d \geq 2$ any choice of injection leads to the same diffeomorphism class of cobordism. To injections $i : A \rightarrow B$ the functor G assigns the cobordism:

$$G(i : A \rightarrow B) := [(A \times S^{d-1} \times [0, 1]) \amalg ((B \setminus i(A)) \times D^d)] : A \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow B \times S^{d-1}.$$

This cobordism connects the sphere $\{a\} \times S^{d-1}$ with $\{i(a)\} \times S^{d-1}$ via a cylinder and caps off each of the spheres $\{b\} \times S^{d-1}$ with $b \notin i(A)$ with a disk. This defines a morphism in the factorisation category because closing off the $(B \setminus i(A))$ -spheres of Q_A yields a manifold diffeomorphic to Q_B :

$$G(i : A \rightarrow B) \cup_{B \times S^{d-1}} Q_B \cong Q_A \quad \text{and} \quad (A \times D^d) \cup_{A \times S^{d-1}} G(i : A \rightarrow B) \cong (B \times D^d).$$

Let us denote the composite of G with the forgetful functor $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \text{Fin}^{\neq \emptyset, \text{inj}}$ also by G .

To conclude the proof in the same manner as for lemma 3.50 we would like to construct a natural transformation $\alpha : G \Rightarrow F$ of functors $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. For an object $((M, W, W'), (a : A \rightarrow \pi_0(W))) \in \mathcal{F}'$ we let $W_A : A \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow M$ be the cobordism obtained by lifting the map $a : A \rightarrow \pi_0(W)$ to an embedding $a : A \hookrightarrow W$ and then removing a small neighbourhood of its image. We define the components of α as:

$$\alpha_{((M, W, W'), (a : A \rightarrow \pi_0(W)))} := W_A : (A \times S^{d-1}, A \times D^d, Q_A) \rightarrow (M, W, W').$$

To check that this is a well-defined morphism in the factorisation category we note:

$$(A \times D^d) \cup_{A \times S^{d-1}} W_A \cong W \quad \text{and} \quad W_A \cup_M V \cong Q_A.$$

To check naturality let $([X], i) : ((M, W, W'), (A, a)) \rightarrow ((N, V, V'), (B, b))$ be a morphism in \mathcal{F}' , i.e. $X : M \rightarrow N$ a cobordism and $i : A \rightarrow B$ and injection, both satisfying certain conditions. Then we have:

$$G(i : A \rightarrow B) \cup_{B \times S^{d-1}} V_B \cong V_A \cong W_A \cup_M X.$$

Here the first diffeomorphism comes from the fact that both sides are obtained from $V : \emptyset \rightarrow N$ by removing disks according to $(\partial_0(X) \circ a : A \rightarrow \pi_0(W) \rightarrow \pi_0(V)) = (b \circ i : A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \pi_0(V))$, and the second diffeomorphism comes from the fact that we can arrange for the diffeomorphism $V \cong W \cup_M X$ to fix the disks corresponding to A .

This shows that $BG, BF : \mathcal{BF}' \rightarrow \mathcal{BF}_{[Q]}(\text{Cob}_d)$ are homotopic. Since BF is a homotopy equivalence and BG is null-homotopic, this implies that $\mathcal{BF}_{[Q]}(\text{Cob}_d)$ is contractible, as claimed. \square

Corollary 3.52. *For $d \geq 2$ there are equivalences:*

$$BC\text{Sp} \simeq |N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\text{Csp}| \quad \text{and} \quad BC\text{ob}_d \simeq |N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\text{Cob}_d|.$$

Proof. Combine the decomposition theorem 3.1 with lemma 3.51 and lemma 3.50. \square

4 The surgery theorem

The purpose of this section is to prove the surgery theorem that relates space of “no compact components” with the positive boundary subcategory.

Theorem 4.1 (Surgery theorem). *For any labelled cospan category \mathcal{C} that admits surgery the inclusion $N\mathcal{C}^{\partial+} \rightarrow N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}$ of simplicial sets induces an equivalence*

$$B(\mathcal{C}^{\partial+}) \simeq |N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}|.$$

The proof of this theorem will be given by implementing a surgery similar to the one described in [Gal11, section 4.2, figure 3] using language similar to that of [GRW14, section 3 and 6]. For each $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ and point $p \in W(i \leq i+1)$ there is either a path connecting it to $W(0)$ or to $W(n)$. We will use this path to do a surgery that connects p to $W(0)$ or $W(n)$. One of the key problems will be that there is not always a unique or canonical surgery, but rather a contractible space of choices. We will have make sure that any combination of surgeries commutes.

Definition 4.2. For the rest of this section, we fix a labelled cospan category $(\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Csp})$ together with the following data:

- a connected object $O \in \mathcal{C}$ and a connected morphism $T : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow O$ and
- for any connected object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ a connected morphism $P_A : A \rightarrow O \otimes A$,

subject to the conditions in 2.42. (See also figure 3.)

To construct the homotopies that are crucial to the proof of theorem 4.1 it will be extremely useful to work with a variant of \mathcal{C} where objects are simply subsets of some big background set Ω .

Definition 4.3. Fix a set Ω and a map $F : \Omega \rightarrow \text{Obj}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ such that every connected object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ has infinitely many preimages in Ω . For any finite subset $A \subset \Omega$ we let⁶ $F(A) := \bigotimes_{a \in A} F(a)$.

The category \mathcal{C}^{Ω} has as objects finite subset of Ω and a morphism $W : A \rightarrow B$ is defined as a morphism $W : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ in \mathcal{C} .

In what follows we will often use n -simplices in the nerve of \mathcal{C}^{Ω} so it will be useful to fix some notation. An element of $N_n\mathcal{C}^{\Omega}$ is a functor $W : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\Omega}$ sending any $0 \leq i \leq n$ to an object $W(i) \in \mathcal{C}^{\Omega}$, i.e. a finite subset $W(i) \subset \Omega$, and any $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ to a morphism $W(i \leq j) : W(i) \rightarrow W(j)$. We will denote the underlying cospan of $W(i \leq j)$ by $W(i) \rightarrow W_{\pi}(i \leq j) \leftarrow W(j)$.

Definition 4.4. We let $C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}} \subset N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^{\Omega}$ be the simplicial subset containing those n -simplices $W : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\Omega}$ where $W(0 \leq n)$ has no closed components, i.e. those W for which $W(0) \amalg W(n) \rightarrow W_{\pi}(0 \leq n)$ is surjective.

Moreover, we let $C_{\bullet}^{\partial+} \subset N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^{\Omega}$ be the simplicial subset containing those $W : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\Omega}$ where $W(k \leq k+1)$ is positive boundary for all k , i.e. where $W(k+1) \rightarrow W_{\pi}(k \leq k+1)$ is surjective for all k .

Lemma 4.5. *With the usual notion of disjointnes and disjoint union \mathcal{C}^{Ω} is a partial commutative monoidal category and the functor $F : \mathcal{C}^{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence of categories. Moreover, F induces equivalences:*

$$|C_{\bullet}^{\partial+}| \simeq B\mathcal{C}^{\partial+} \quad \text{and} \quad |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}| \simeq |N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}|.$$

⁶To be precise we pick a total ordering on Ω and define $F(A) = (\dots (a_1 \otimes a_2) \otimes a_2) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ for $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_n\}$. By the coherence theorem for symmetric monoidal categories there is a preferred isomorphism $F(A) \cong F(A_1) \otimes F(A_2)$ built from associators and braidings whenever $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. We will be suppressing these coherence isomorphisms unless they become relevant.

Proof. Since the objects of \mathcal{C}^Ω are simply finite subsets of Ω it is clear that the notion of disjointness and disjoint union behave as expected. For \mathcal{C}^Ω to satisfy definition 3.5 we need to check that for any finite sequence of objects $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \Omega$ one can find isomorphic replacements $A'_1, \dots, A'_n \subset \Omega$ that are pairwise disjoint. We required $F : \Omega \rightarrow \text{Obj}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ to have infinite preimages for this exact purpose. Starting with A_2 we can find for each $a \in A_i$ an element $a' \in \Omega$ with $F(a) = F(a')$ and a' disjoint to all previous elements. Then a and a' are isomorphic via the morphism $\text{id}_{F(a)} : a \rightarrow a'$. Moreover, they are all disjoint by construction.

The functor F is fully faithful by construction and it is essentially surjective because every object in the labelled cospan category \mathcal{C} can be written as a product of connected objects. Therefore F is an equivalence of categories and it also restricts to an equivalence between $(\mathcal{C}^\Omega)^{\partial+}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\partial+}$. Since $C_{\bullet}^{\partial+} \cong (\mathcal{C}^\Omega)^{\partial+}$ we see that $|C_{\bullet}^{\partial+}| \simeq BC^{\partial+}$. The equivalence $|C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}| \simeq |N_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}\mathcal{C}|$ follows from lemma 2.39. \square

Remark 4.6. We have so far treated $W_\pi(k \leq l)$ as if it were a well-defined set, but one has to be careful with this. In general, for a morphism $W(k \leq l)$ we only have an isomorphism class of cospans $[W(k) \rightarrow W_\pi(k \leq l) \leftarrow W(l)]$. It does therefore not really make sense to talk about elements of $W_\pi(k \leq l)$. However, if the n -simplex $W : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\Omega$ lies in $C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}} \subset N_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}^\Omega$, then for each $k \leq l$ the map $W(k) \amalg W(l) \rightarrow W_\pi(k \leq l)$ is surjective and we may define the set $W_\pi(k \leq l)$ as the quotient of $W(k) \amalg W(l)$ by the relation induced by the cospan. As this is the only case that features in this section we will confidently speak about elements of $W_\pi(k \leq l)$.

4.1 Surgery data

Given some n -simplex $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ that is not yet in $C_n^{\partial+}$ there must be parts of W that are not positive boundary. Concretely, we can find an element $u \in W_\pi(i \leq i+1)$ that is not in the image of $W(i+1) \rightarrow W_\pi(i \leq i+1)$. We would like to find a homotopy that homotops this to a simplex in $C_n^{\partial+}$. To do so we first choose a “path” from u to $W(0)$ or $W(n)$ along which we can introduce a morphism that connects U to a positive boundary. This is the datum of a surgery path. To make sense of the idea of a path we introduce the following notion of representing space:

Definition 4.7. For an n -simplex $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ we define the representing space $|W|$ as

$$|W| = (\{(t, k, \omega) \in [0, 1] \times \{0, \dots, n\} \times \Omega \mid \omega \in W(k)\} \amalg \{\perp, \top\}) / \sim$$

The equivalence relation \sim is defined as follows: for $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ it identifies two elements of $\{(t, k, \omega) \in |W| \mid t + k = m\} \cong W(k-1) \amalg W(k)$ whenever they are mapped to the same element under $W(k-1) \amalg W(k) \rightarrow W_\pi(k-1 \leq k)$. Moreover, we set $(0, 0, \omega_0) \sim \perp$ and $(1, n, \omega_n) \sim \top$ for all $\omega_0 \in W_\pi(0)$ and $\omega_n \in W_\pi(n)$.

This space naturally comes with a continuous projection $\text{pr} : |W| \rightarrow [0, n+1]$ sending $[t, k, \omega]$ to $t + k$, \perp to 0, and \top to $n+1$. We let $|W|_0 \subset |W|$ be the finite subset $\text{pr}^{-1}(\{1, \dots, n\})$.

Remark 4.8. We can also think of $|W|$ as a long sequence of pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & W(0) \times [0, 1] & & W(1) \times [1, 2] & & W(n) \times [0, n+1] \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow & \nwarrow & \nearrow & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ * & & & & & & * \\ \nwarrow & & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & & \nearrow \\ W(0) \times \{0\} & & W(0) \times \{1\} & & W(1) \times \{1\} & & \dots & & W(n) \times \{n+1\} \end{array}$$

The finite subset $|W|_0 \subset |W|$ is exactly the image of the sets $W_\pi(i-1 \leq i) \times \{i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We can therefore define a map $g : |W|_0 \rightarrow \text{Mor}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C})$ that sends each (i, k, ω) with $k+i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ to the connected morphism in \mathcal{C} that represents the relevant component of $W_\pi(k+i-1 \leq k+i)$. This is exactly the labeling of the cospan in the enhanced $\text{Csp}(\mathcal{C})$ -model. The datum of W together with g

in fact uniquely encodes the n -simplex $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$. Motivated by this we will often be drawing pictures of $(|W|, g : |W|_0 \rightarrow \text{Mor}^{\text{con}}(\mathcal{C}))$ as in figure 5.




Figure 5: On top: a 3-simplex $W \in C_3^{\text{nc}}$ for the labelled cospan category $\mathcal{C} = \text{Cob}_2$. Below: The representing space $|W|$ with labels in \mathbb{N} recording the genus and a possible surgery path.

Definition 4.9. For $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ a *surgery path* is a continuous path $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow |W|$ such that the composite $p_{\mathbb{R}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow |W| \rightarrow [0, n + 1]$ is piece-wise linear, $p_{\mathbb{R}}(0) \in \{0, n + 1\}$, and $p_{\mathbb{R}}(1) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n + 1\}$.

If the integer $i := p_{\mathbb{R}}(1)$ is in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ then the end-point of the path corresponds to some element $p_{\text{end}} \in W_{\pi}(i - 1 \leq i)$. We also denote by $p_{\Omega}(1) \in \Omega$ the last well-defined value of $(\text{pr}_{\Omega} \circ p)(r)$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, this is an element of either $W(i - 1)$ or $W(i)$ that is sent to p_{end} in the cospan $W(i - 1) \rightarrow W_{\pi}(i - 1 \leq i) \leftarrow W(i)$.

Of course one surgery path by itself is not sufficient to make some W be positive boundary. We need several surgery paths at once, which we collect in a surgery datum:

Definition 4.10. A surgery datum for $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ is a finite subset $A \subset \Omega_O := F^{-1}(O)$. disjoint to $\cup_{i=0}^n W(k) \subset \Omega$ together with the choice of a surgery path $p^{\alpha} : [0, 1] \rightarrow |W|$ for all $\alpha \in A$ such that they satisfy the following connectivity condition:

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $u \in W_{\pi}(i - 1 \leq i)$ either u is in the image of $W(i) \rightarrow W_{\pi}(i - 1 \leq i)$, or there is an $\alpha \in A$ such that the path p^{α} ends at $u = p_{\text{end}}^{\alpha}$.

For a surgery datum $(p^{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ we let $A^{\text{in}} := \{\alpha \in A \mid p_{\mathbb{R}}^{\alpha}(0) = 0\} \subset A$ denote the subset of those α where the surgery path starts at the incoming boundary.

Note that the empty collection is a surgery datum for W if and only if W already lies in $C_n^{\partial+}$. In that case we do not need to do any surgery. We now describe how the surgery data behaves with respect to the simplicial structure:

Definition 4.11. For any injective morphism $\lambda : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ in Δ we define a map

$$\lambda^* : \{0, \dots, m+1\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n+1\} \quad k \mapsto \min\{j \mid \lambda(j) \geq k\}$$

where we set $\lambda^*(0) = 0$ and $\lambda^*(m+1) = n+1$ by convention. Extending affine linearly we obtain the piece-wise linear map $\lambda^\bullet : [0, m+1] \rightarrow [0, n+1]$. This defines a functor $\Delta_{\text{inj}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$.

For an n -simplex $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ we let $\lambda_W : |W| \rightarrow |\lambda^*W|$ denote the unique continuous map that satisfies $\lambda_W([t, \lambda(k), \omega]) = [t, k, \omega]$ for all $[t, k, \omega] \in |\lambda^*W|$, $\lambda_W(\perp) = \perp$, $\lambda_W(\top) = \top$, and makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |W| & \xrightarrow{\lambda_W} & |\lambda^*W| \\ \downarrow \text{pr} & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ [0, n+1] & \xrightarrow{\lambda^\bullet} & [0, m+1] \end{array}$$

Definition 4.12. The semisimplicial set C_\bullet^σ has as n -simplices pairs of an n -simplex $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ with a surgery datum $(p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ on W . The semisimplicial structure is defined by

$$\lambda^*(W, (p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}) := (\lambda^*W, (\lambda_W \circ p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}).$$

Lemma 4.13. If $(p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a surgery datum for W then $(\lambda_W \circ p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a surgery datum for λ^*W . Therefore C_\bullet^σ is a well-defined semi-simplicial set.

Proof. Since $\lambda_W : |W| \rightarrow |\lambda^*W|$ is continuous the new path $\lambda_W \circ p : [0, 1] \rightarrow |W| \rightarrow |\lambda^*W|$ is also continuous. Moreover, $\lambda^\bullet : [0, n+1] \rightarrow [0, m+1]$ is a piece-wise linear map and hence $(\lambda_W \circ p)_\mathbb{R} = \lambda^\bullet \circ p_\mathbb{R}$ is piece-wise linear, too. The condition $p_\mathbb{R}(0) \subset \{0, n+1\}$ is preserved because $\lambda^\bullet : [0, n+1] \rightarrow [0, m+1]$ preserves the minimal and the maximal element. The condition $p_\mathbb{R}(1) \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ implies $(\lambda^\bullet \circ p_\mathbb{R})(1) \in \{0, \dots, m+1\}$ since λ^\bullet sends integers to integers. Therefore $\lambda_W \circ p$ is indeed still a surgery path.

Next we need to check that $(\lambda_W \circ p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a surgery datum for λ^*W . It is clear that A is disjoint to λ^*W as we can only forget elements of Ω when passing from W to λ^*W . To check the connectivity condition let us assume that λ is the unique morphism $\delta^i : [n] \rightarrow [n+1]$ whose image does not contain i . Write $d_iW := (\delta^i)^*W$. The general case follows as the category Δ_{inj} is generated by the δ^i . Consider some $u \in (d_iW)_\pi(j-1 \leq j)$ that is not in the image of $(d_iW)_\pi(j)$. If $j \neq i$ it is clear from the connectivity condition for W that there is an $\alpha \in A$ such that p^α ends in $p_{\text{end}}^\alpha = u$. We may therefore assume that $j = i$, in which case $(d_iW)(i-1 \leq i)$ is the composite of $W(i-1 \leq i)$ and $W(i \leq i+1)$ and the set $(d_iW)_\pi(i-1 \leq i)$ is the pushout $W_\pi(i-1 \leq i) \amalg_{W(i)} W_\pi(i \leq i+1)$.

There are two cases: either $u \in (d_iW)_\pi(i-1 \leq i)$ is not hit by an element of $W_\pi(i \leq i+1)$ or it is. In the former case u is represented by an element $v \in W_\pi(i-1 \leq i)$ that is not in the image of $W(i)$. The connectivity condition for $(p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ implies that there is $\alpha \in A$ such that the surgery path p^α ends at $p_{\text{end}}^\alpha = v$. Consequently $\delta_W^i \circ p^\alpha$ ends at $(\delta_W^i \circ p^\alpha)_{\text{end}} = \delta_W^i(p_{\text{end}}^\alpha) = u$ and we are done. In the other case we can represent u by an element $v' \in W_\pi(i \leq i+1)$. This v' cannot lie in the image of $W(i+1) \rightarrow W_\pi(i \leq i+1)$, as otherwise u would be in the image of $(d_iW)(i) \rightarrow (d_iW)_\pi(i-1 \leq i)$, which we assumed not to be the case. This means that v does not have positive boundary as part of W and so we can find $\alpha \in A$ such that p^α ends in $p_{\text{end}}^\alpha = v$, and then $(\delta_W^i \circ p^\alpha)_{\text{end}} = \delta_W^i(p_{\text{end}}^\alpha) = u$.

This shows that $(\lambda_W \circ p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a surgery datum for λ^*W and hence the face operators λ^* yield well-defined elements of C_m^σ . Since the $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^\bullet$ construction is functorial it follows that this assembles into a well-defined functor $C_\bullet^\sigma : \Delta_{\text{inj}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$. \square

4.2 The basic surgery

We now want to describe how to do the surgery given a surgery datum. The problem of choosing this surgery datum will be dealt with in the next subsection. In formulas this means that we want to construct a homotopy from the forgetful map $|C_{\bullet}^{\sigma}| \rightarrow |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$ to another map that lands in the subspace $|C_{\bullet}^{\partial+}| \subset |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$. Moreover, we would like this homotopy to be constant in the case of an empty surgery datum. This is summarized in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |C_{\bullet}^{\partial+}| & \xrightarrow{\quad} & |C_{\bullet}^{\sigma}| \\ \parallel & \searrow \mathcal{S}_1 & \downarrow \mathcal{S}_0 \\ |C_{\bullet}^{\partial+}| & \xrightarrow{\quad} & |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}| \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{S} : |C_{\bullet}^{\sigma}| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$ is a homotopy, which we think of as a continuous family of maps \mathcal{S}_r indexed by $r \in [0, 1]$ such that \mathcal{S}_0 is the forgetful map and \mathcal{S}_1 is a retraction onto the subspace $|C_{\bullet}^{\partial+}|$.

The homotopy \mathcal{S} will be obtained by concatenating two homotopies ρ and K . With ρ we introduce a disjoint copy of id_{α} for all $\alpha \in A^{\text{in}}$ as pictured in figure 6. This is based on the idea of how the morphism $T : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow O$ yields a natural transformation $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow O \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, which in turn induces a homotopy of maps $BC \rightarrow BC$. The second homotopy K will be more complicated as we have to follow a surgery path to move a copy of the morphism $P_M : M \rightarrow O \otimes M$ from $W(0)$ or $W(n)$ to morphism at the end-point of the surgery path, in order to make that morphism positive boundary; see figure 8.

Definition 4.14. We let $\Omega_O := F^{-1}(O) \subset \Omega$. For any $\alpha \in \Omega_O$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ with $\omega \neq \alpha$ we define morphisms $T_{\alpha} : \emptyset \rightarrow \{\alpha\}$ and $P_{\omega, \alpha} : \{\omega\} \rightarrow \{\omega, \alpha\}$ in \mathcal{C}^{Ω} by:

$$T_{\alpha} := (F(\emptyset) = 1_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{T} O = F(\alpha)) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\omega, \alpha} := (F(\omega) \xrightarrow{P_{F(\omega)}} O \otimes F(\omega) \cong F(\{\alpha, \omega\}))$$

The basic surgery will not be a semi-simplicial map, rather it is only defined on geometric realisations. Recall that the space $|C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$ is a quotient of the disjoint union

$$\coprod_{n \geq 0} C_n^{\text{nc}} \times |\Delta^n| \longrightarrow |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|.$$

We will parametrise the space $|\Delta^n|$ as $\{\mathbf{t} = (t_0, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in [0, 1]^{n+2} \mid 0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t_{n+1} = 1\}$. A point in $|C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$ can be represented by (W, \mathbf{t}) where $W : [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\Omega}$ and \mathbf{t} is as above.

We begin by defining a homotopy from the forgetful map $|C_{\bullet}^{\sigma}| \rightarrow |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$ to a map that sends (W, \mathbf{t}) to $(W \amalg \text{id}_A, \mathbf{t})$ for A some finite subset of Ω_O disjoint to W .

Definition 4.15. Given a point $(W, \mathbf{t}) \in |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$, $\alpha \in \Omega_O$ disjoint to W and $s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ we define

$$\rho_{\alpha}^s(W, \mathbf{t}) := (W', \mathbf{t}')$$

where \mathbf{t}' is $(t_0, \dots, t_i, s, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$ and the $(n+1)$ -simplex W' is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} W'(j) &= \begin{cases} W(j) & \text{for } j \leq i \\ W(j-1) \amalg \{\alpha\} & \text{for } j \geq i+1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\ W'(j \leq j+1) &= \begin{cases} W(j \leq j+1) & \text{for } j \leq i \\ \text{id}_{W(j+1)} \amalg T_{\alpha} & \text{for } j = i+1 \\ W(j-1 \leq j) \amalg \text{id}_{\alpha} & \text{for } j \geq i+2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Given a finite set $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\} \subset \Omega$ that is disjoint to W we define:

$$\rho_A^r(W, \mathbf{t}) = (\rho_{\alpha_1}^r \circ \dots \circ \rho_{\alpha_N}^r)(W, \mathbf{t}).$$




Figure 6: A slide show of the homotopy $\rho_\alpha^s(W, \mathbf{t})$ for a 2-simplex W as s moves from 1 to 0.

Lemma 4.16. *The construction in definition 4.15 yields a continuous map*

$$\rho : |C_\bullet^\sigma| \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|, \quad ((W, (p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}, \mathbf{t}), r) \mapsto \rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^r(W, \mathbf{t})$$

and restricted to $r = 1$ this map is the standard projection $|C_\bullet^\sigma| \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$. Here as before $A^{\text{in}} \subset A$ denotes the subset corresponding to those paths that start at 0.

Proof. The map clearly only depends on the finite subset $A^{\text{in}} \subset \Omega$ and not on the rest of the surgery path $(p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$, which we will only use later for the second part of the homotopy. For simplicity we will consider the case of a single element $A^{\text{in}} = \{\alpha\}$, the general case follows as the operations ρ_α^r and $\rho_{\alpha'}^r$ commute when $\alpha \neq \alpha'$.

Definition 4.15 is ambiguous when $r = t_i$ as we can think of it as both $r \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $r \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$. In either case the tuple (W', \mathbf{t}') that is specified in the definition represents the same point

as (W'', \mathbf{t}) in the geometric realisation $|C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$ where:

$$W''(j) = \begin{cases} W(j) & \text{for } j \leq i \\ W(j) \amalg \{\alpha\} & \text{for } j > i \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$$W''(j \leq j+1) = \begin{cases} W(j \leq j+1) & \text{for } j \leq i-1 \\ W(i \leq i+1) \amalg T_{\alpha} & \text{for } j = i \\ W(j \leq j+1) \amalg \text{id}_{\alpha} & \text{for } j \geq i+1. \end{cases}$$

This shows that the definition of ρ on the closed subsets $U_i = \{(\mathbf{t}, r) \in |\Delta^n| \times [0, 1] \mid r \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]\}$ fits together on the overlaps. Since ρ is continuous on each of the U_i we get for every n -simplex $W \in C_n^{\sigma}$ a well-defined continuous map:

$$\rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^{\bullet}(W, _) : |\Delta^n| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|, \quad (\mathbf{t}, r) \mapsto \rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^r(W, \mathbf{t}).$$

To obtain a well-defined map $|C_{\bullet}^{\sigma}| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$ it remains to check that these maps are compatible with taking face maps in the sense that $\rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^r(d_i W, \mathbf{t}) = \rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^r(W, \delta^i \mathbf{t})$. Here $\delta^i : |\Delta^{n-1}| \rightarrow |\Delta^n|$ is defined by repeating the i th entry. The equality $\rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^r(d_i W, \mathbf{t}) = \rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^r(W, \delta^i \mathbf{t})$ is clear when $r \neq t_i$ and in the case that $r = t_i$ it holds because of

$$W(i \leq i+2) \amalg T_{\alpha} = (W(i \leq i+1) \amalg T_{\alpha}) \cup (W(i+1 \leq i+2) \amalg \text{id}_{\alpha}).$$

Therefore ρ descends to a well-defined and continuous map $|C_{\bullet}^{\sigma}| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$ as claimed. \square

Now that we have the homotopy that introduces the cylinder id_{α} we proceed to describe a similar, but more complicated homotopy that homotops this cylinder along a surgery path to make a certain part of W positive boundary.

Definition 4.17. Given a point $(W, \mathbf{t}) \in |C_{\bullet}^{\text{nc}}|$, $\omega \in W_i$ for some i , $\alpha \in \Omega_O$ disjoint to W and $s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ we define

$$\sigma_{\omega, \alpha}^s(W, \mathbf{t}) := (W', \mathbf{t}')$$

where \mathbf{t}' is $(t_0, \dots, t_i, s, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$ and the $(n+1)$ -simplex W' is defined as

$$W'(j) = \begin{cases} W(j) & \text{for } j \leq i \\ W(j-1) \amalg \{\alpha\} & \text{for } j \geq i+1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$$W'(j \leq j+1) = \begin{cases} W(j \leq j+1) & \text{for } j \leq i+1 \\ \text{id}_{W(i+1) \setminus \{\omega\}} \amalg P_{\omega, \alpha} & \text{for } j = i+1 \\ W(j-1 \leq j) \amalg \text{id}_{\alpha} & \text{for } j \geq i+2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.18. If $\sigma_{\omega, \alpha}^s$ and $\sigma_{\omega', \alpha'}^r$ are both defined on (W, t) and $\alpha \neq \alpha'$, then the operations commute:

$$\sigma_{\omega, \alpha}^s(\sigma_{\omega', \alpha'}^r(W, t)) = \sigma_{\omega', \alpha'}^r(\sigma_{\omega, \alpha}^s(W, t)).$$

Proof. After applying both operations we obtain a point (W'', \mathbf{t}'') where $\mathbf{t}'' = (t_0, \dots, t_i, s, r, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$, if $s \leq r$. If $s \neq r$, then it is a straight-forward check that the order does not matter. In the case $s = r$ there is a repetition in \mathbf{t}'' and we may use the simplicial relation to delete one of them by composing the relevant morphisms. To see that the operations commute we hence need to check that:

$$(\text{id}_{W(i) \cup \{\alpha\} \setminus \{\omega'\}} \amalg P_{\omega', \alpha'}) \circ (\text{id}_{W(i) \setminus \{\omega\}} \amalg P_{\omega, \alpha}) = (\text{id}_{W(i) \cup \{\alpha'\} \setminus \{\omega\}} \amalg P_{\omega, \alpha}) \circ (\text{id}_{W(i) \setminus \{\omega'\}} \amalg P_{\omega', \alpha'}).$$

For $\omega \neq \omega'$ this follows from the general fact that morphisms with disjoint support commute. In the more interesting case $\omega = \omega'$ we need to show that

$$(\text{id}_{\alpha} \amalg P_{\omega, \alpha'}) \circ P_{\omega, \alpha} = (\text{id}_{\alpha'} \amalg P_{\omega, \alpha}) \circ P_{\omega, \alpha'} : \{\omega\} \rightarrow \{\omega, \alpha, \alpha'\}.$$

Letting $A := F(\omega)$ both of these morphisms correspond to $(\text{id}_O \otimes P_A) \circ P_A : A \rightarrow O \otimes O \otimes A$ with the only difference being in how we identify $F(\{\alpha, \alpha'\})$ with $O \otimes O$. To prove the lemma we need to show that the morphism is symmetric in the two O s in the sense that:

$$(\text{id}_O \otimes P_A) \circ P_A = (\beta_{O,O} \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ (\text{id}_O \otimes P_A) \circ P_A.$$

As illustrated in figure 7 this follows from the first and third naturality condition we imposed on the morphisms $P_A : A \rightarrow O \otimes A$ in \mathcal{C} . \square




Figure 7: When applying P_A twice the order does not matter.

Definition 4.19. Consider a point $(W, \mathbf{t}) \in |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$, a surgery path p for W , an element $\alpha \in \Omega_O$ disjoint to W , and a parameter $r \in [0, 1]$. Then we define the basic surgery of (W, \mathbf{t}) along the path p to be

$$K_{(p,\alpha)}^r(W, \mathbf{t}) = \sigma_{p_\Omega(r), \alpha}^{p_\mathbb{R}(r)}(W, \mathbf{t}).$$

Whenever $p_\Omega(r)$ is not defined because $p_\mathbb{R}(r) \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ we choose either the most recent well-defined value $p_\Omega^-(r)$ or the next possible well-defined value $p_\Omega^+(r)$. In lemma 4.21 we show that this choice does not matter. For a surgery datum $(p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ on W we define

$$K_A^r(W, \mathbf{t}) = (K_{(p^{\alpha_1}, \alpha_1)}^r \circ \dots \circ K_{(p^{\alpha_a}, \alpha_a)}^r)(W, \mathbf{t})$$

where we choose any enumeration of $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_a\}$.

Remark 4.20. Figure 8 illustrates the homotopy $K_{l,p,\alpha}^s(W, \mathbf{t})$ in the case $\alpha \in A^{\text{in}}$. The morphisms in the simplex W are $f : \omega_2 \otimes \omega_3 \rightarrow \omega_3$, $g : 1_C \rightarrow \omega_1$, and $h : \omega_1 \otimes \omega_3 \rightarrow \omega_2$. Throughout the homotopy we see other morphisms $f' = P_{\omega_3, \alpha} \circ f = (\text{id}_\alpha \otimes f) \circ (P_{\alpha, \omega_2} \otimes \text{id}_{\omega_3})$, $g' = P_{\omega_1, \alpha} \circ g$, and $h' = (\text{id}_\alpha \otimes h) \circ (\text{id}_{\omega_1} \otimes P_{\alpha, \omega_3}) = (\text{id}_\alpha \otimes h) \circ (P_{\alpha, \omega_1} \otimes \text{id}_{\omega_3})$. The key step of lemma 4.21 is to show that the two different expressions we have for f' and for h' yield the same morphisms.

See also figure 9, for an illustration of the case $\alpha \notin A^{\text{in}}$, where the surgery path starts at $p_\mathbb{R}(0) = 1$. Note that in this case we do not need to start out with a disjoint copy of id_α on top of the diagram. This difference will play a role when gluing the homotopies in proposition 4.23.

Lemma 4.21. *Definition 4.19 defines a continuous map*

$$K : |C_\bullet^\sigma| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|, \quad ((W, (p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}, \mathbf{t}), r) \mapsto K_A^r(W, \mathbf{t}).$$

Proof. We will proceed similarly to lemma 4.16. If we fix an n -simplex $W \in C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}$ and a single surgery path (p, α) for W , then definition 4.17 gives us continuous maps:

$$U_i := \{(\mathbf{t}, r) \in |\Delta^n| \times [0, 1] \mid p_\mathbb{R}(r) \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]\} \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|, \quad (\mathbf{t}, r) \mapsto \sigma_{p_\Omega(r), \alpha}^{p_\mathbb{R}(r)}(W, \mathbf{t}).$$

The sets U_0, \dots, U_n are finite polyhedra that cover $|\Delta^n| \times [0, 1]$ and the key step of the proof will be to show that the map is consistent on overlaps. Let us consider those points where $p_\mathbb{R}(r) = t_i$, i.e. $V_i = U_{i-1} \cap U_i$. For such an $(\mathbf{t}, r) \in V_i$ the value of $K_{(l,p,\alpha)}^r(W, \mathbf{t})$ is ambiguous as we can either use

$$\sigma_{p_\Omega^-(r), \alpha}^{p_\mathbb{R}(r)} \text{ or } \sigma_{p_\Omega^+(r), \alpha}^{p_\mathbb{R}(r)}.$$




Figure 8: A slide-show depiction of the homotopy $K_{p,\alpha}^s(W, \mathbf{t})$ along the surgery path p indicated in the first picture, as s goes from 0 to 1. See remark 4.20.




Figure 9: A slide-show depiction of the homotopy $K_{p,\alpha}^s(W, \mathfrak{t})$ along a surgery path p that starts at 1.

For either choice the resulting tuple (W', \mathbf{t}') has a repeated value in \mathbf{t}' and we may use the relation $(W', \delta^i \mathbf{t}) \sim (d_i W, \mathbf{t})$ to rewrite this point of the geometric realisation $|C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$. The result is:

$$W''(j) = \begin{cases} W(j) & \text{for } j \leq i \\ W(j) \amalg \{\alpha\} & \text{for } j \geq i \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad W''(j \leq j+1) = \begin{cases} W(j \leq j+1) & \text{for } j \leq i-1 \\ X_\pm & \text{for } j = i \\ W(j \leq j+1) \amalg \text{id}_\alpha & \text{for } j \geq i+1. \end{cases}$$

Here the cobordism X_\pm depends on whether we use $p_\Omega^+(r)$ or $p_\Omega^-(r)$ and we need to show that $X_+ = X_-$. There are four possible cases one needs to check, depending on whether the values $p_\Omega^\pm(r)$ correspond to incoming or outgoing boundary components of the cospan $[W(i-1) \rightarrow W_\pi(i-1 \leq i) \leftarrow W(i)]$.

Each of the cases follows from the conditions on the morphisms $P_A : A \rightarrow O \otimes A$ as stated in definition 2.42. Let us for example consider the case where $p_\Omega^+(r) \in W(i+1)$ and $p_\Omega^-(r) \in W(i)$. Then

$$X_+ = W(i \leq i+1) \cup_{W(i+1)} (\text{id}_{W(i+1)} \amalg P_{p_\Omega^+(r), \alpha}) \quad X_- = (\text{id}_{W(i)} \amalg P_{p_\Omega^-(r), \alpha}) \cup_{W(i)} (W(i \leq i+1) \amalg \text{id}_\alpha).$$

To check that $X_- = X_+$ we only need to consider the connected component of the morphism $W(i \leq i+1) : W(i) \rightarrow W(i+1)$ corresponding to $p(1)$. Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that $W(i \leq i+1)$ is connected. Write $M := F(W(i) \setminus \{p_\Omega^-(r)\})$, $N := F(W(i+1) \setminus \{p_\Omega^+(r)\})$, $A^\pm := F(p_\Omega^\pm(r))$, and $U := W(i \leq i+1) : A^- \otimes M \rightarrow A^+ \otimes N$. By definition 2.42 we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^- \otimes M & \xrightarrow{P_{A^- \otimes M}} & O \otimes A^- \otimes M \\ \downarrow U & & \downarrow \text{id}_O \otimes U \\ A^+ \otimes N & \xrightarrow{P_{A^+ \otimes N}} & O \otimes A^+ \otimes N \end{array}$$

In this diagram the two ways of going from the top left to the bottom right are exactly the morphisms $F(W(i)) = A^- \otimes M \rightarrow O \otimes A^+ \otimes N = F(W(i+1) \amalg \{\alpha\})$ that represent X_- and X_+ . Hence it indeed follows from definition 2.42 that $X_- = X_+$. Similar arguments apply in the other three cases. (Two of the cases agree after swapping $p_\Omega^+(r)$ and $p_\Omega^-(r)$.)

We have now shown that the continuous maps on the U_i fit together on the overlaps and yield a continuous map:

$$|\Delta^n| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|, \quad (\mathbf{t}, r) \mapsto \sigma_{p_\Omega(r), \alpha}^{p_\Omega(r)}(W, t).$$

Similar to lemma 4.16 we see that this is compatible with face maps. Hence it yields a well-defined map $|C_\bullet^s| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ for C_\bullet^s the semisimplicial set where each W comes equipped with a single surgery path.

Given a collection of surgery paths $(p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ it follows from lemma 4.18 that the operations $\sigma_{p_\Omega(r), \alpha}^{p_\Omega(r)}(_)$ commute for varying α . Therefore we can pick any order on A and compute $K : |C_\bullet^s| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ by applying the map $|C_\bullet^s| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ multiple times. It follows that K is well-defined and continuous. \square

We can now concatenate the two homotopies to obtain the basic surgery.

Definition 4.22. The homotopy \mathcal{S} is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{S} : |C_\bullet^s| \times [0, 1] \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|, \quad ((W, (p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}, \mathbf{t}), r) \mapsto \mathcal{S}_A^r(W, \mathbf{t}) := \begin{cases} \rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^{1-2r}(W, \mathbf{t}) & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ K_A^{2r-1}(W, \mathbf{t}) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

To conclude this section we record the fact that the homotopy \mathcal{S} we constructed has all the desired properties.

Proposition 4.23. The above defines a continuous family of maps $\mathcal{S}^r : |C_\bullet^s| \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ satisfying:

- (i) $S^0 : |C_\bullet^\sigma| \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ is the realisation of the map $C_\bullet^\sigma \rightarrow C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}$ that forgets the surgery data.
- (ii) $S^1 : |C_\bullet^\sigma| \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ factors through the subspace $|C_\bullet^{\partial+}| \subset |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$.
- (iii) Precomposed with the inclusion $|C_\bullet^{\partial+}| \rightarrow |C_\bullet^\sigma|$ that equips the empty surgery data, the family S^r is the standard inclusion $|C_\bullet^{\partial+}| \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ for all r .

Proof. We have seen in lemma 4.16 and 4.21 that the two homotopies ρ_A^s and $K_A^{s'}$ are well-defined and continuous. So to check that \mathcal{S} is well-defined and continuous we only need to verify that $\rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^0(W, \mathbf{t}) = K_A^0(W, \mathbf{t})$ holds for all A and (W, \mathbf{t}) . Indeed both sides can be described as $(W \amalg \text{id}_{A^{\text{in}}}, \mathbf{t})$. To see this note that property (ii) for surgery paths says that $p_\mathbb{R}^\alpha(0) = 0$ or $n+1$. For those α where $p_\mathbb{R}^\alpha(1) = n+1$ the operation $\sigma_{p_\Omega^\alpha(1), \alpha}^{p_\mathbb{R}^\alpha(1)}$ does not do anything and in the case $p_\Omega^\alpha(1) = 0$ we have $\sigma_{p_\Omega^\alpha(1), \alpha}^{p_\Omega^\alpha(1)}(W, \mathbf{t}) = (W \amalg \text{id}_\alpha, \mathbf{t})$.

In claim (i) the map S^0 is by definition $\rho_{A^{\text{in}}}^1$, which can easily be seen to map (W, \mathbf{t}) to (W, \mathbf{t}) . So all it does is forgetting the surgery data. Claim (iii) is similarly straightforward: if the surgery data is empty then neither ρ_\emptyset nor K_\emptyset change anything about (W, \mathbf{t}) .

Claim (ii) is the most interesting one. Before reading the proof it is useful to look at figure 8 and think about why the final picture is a simplex in the nerve of the positive boundary category. The following proof is just a formalisation of that visual verification. We need to show that $(W', \mathbf{t}') = K_A^1(W, \mathbf{t})$ is positive boundary for any n simplex W and surgery datum A . Since $p_\mathbb{R}^\alpha(1)$ is some integer i_α for any surgery path p^α we have that $\mathbf{t}' = \mathbf{t}$ and the simplex W' after the surgery can be described as:

$$W'(j) = W(j) \amalg \{\alpha \in A \mid i_\alpha \leq j\} \quad \text{and} \quad W'(j-1 \leq j) = P_A^j \cup W(j-1 \leq j) \amalg \{\text{id}_\alpha \mid i_\alpha < j\}$$

Here P_A^j is the morphism $W(j-1) \amalg \{\alpha \mid i_\alpha \leq j-1\} \rightarrow W(j-1) \amalg \{\alpha \mid i_\alpha \leq j\}$ obtained by suitably gluing all those $P_{p_{\text{end}}, \alpha}^\alpha : \{p_{\text{end}}^\alpha\} \rightarrow \{p_{\text{end}}^\alpha, \alpha\}$ where $i_\alpha = j-1$. (Note that the order of glueing does not matter by lemma 4.18.)

For W' to be positive boundary we need to check that for any j and $u \in W'_\pi(j-1 \rightarrow j)$ there is some $x \in W'(j)$ that maps to u . Any such component u is either an identity id_α that we added, or a component of $P_A^j \cup W(j-1 \rightarrow j)$. In the first case it is clearly positive boundary. In the second case consider the corresponding $u' \in W_\pi(j-1 \leq j)$. If this was already in the image of $W(j)$, then so is u and we are done. If u' is not positive boundary, then the connectivity condition that we imposed on surgery data implies that there is an $\beta \in A$ for which $p_{\text{end}}^{\beta-} = u'$ ends at u' . In the glued morphism $P_A^j \cup W(j-1 \leq j)$ the element u' is equivalent to β coming from $P_{p_{\text{end}}, \beta}^\beta$, since the morphism $P_{p_{\text{end}}, \beta}^\beta$ is connected. So $u' \in W'_\pi(j-1 \leq j)$ is the image of $\beta \in W'(j) = W(j) \amalg \{\alpha \in A \mid i_\alpha \leq j\}$. So $W'(j-1 \leq j)$ is indeed positive boundary. This proves claim (ii). \square

4.3 Contractible surgery data

One problem we have not addressed yet is how to choose the surgery data $(p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ for a given point (W, t) in $|C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$. Concretely, the problem is that the forgetful map $C_\bullet^\sigma \rightarrow C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}$ will not induce an equivalence on realisations. To resolve this we need to interpolate between different choices of surgery data. This is not really a problem as the operators K_A^λ and $K_{A'}^{\lambda'}$ commute.

The following definition allows for multiple pieces of surgery data.

Definition 4.24. The bi-semi-simplicial set $C_{\bullet, \bullet}^\sigma$ has as (n, m) -simplices tuples $(W, ((p_0^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A_0}, \dots, (p_m^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A_m}))$ where $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ and each $(p_i^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A_i}$ is a surgery datum for W , such that A_i and A_j are disjoint for $i \neq j$. The i -th face operators in the second direction is defined by forgetting $(p_i^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A_i}$.

We can always find surgery data that is disjoint to all previous surgery, which makes it very easy to show contractibility.

Lemma 4.25. For any n the augmentation of $C_{n, \bullet}^\sigma$ induces an equivalence $|C_{n, \bullet}^\sigma| \simeq C_n^{\text{nc}}$.

Proof. We will derive this from [GRW14, Theorem 6.2] though it should be remarked that the statement we are proving here is much simpler than the full generality to which their theorem applies. The augmented semi-simplicial set $C_{n,\bullet}^\sigma \rightarrow C_n^{\text{nc}}$ is essentially by definition an augmented topological flag complex in the sense of [GRW14, Definition 6.1]. Since it is discrete, the first condition of the theorem is trivially satisfied. The the third condition is also easy to see: if $(p_0^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A_0}, \dots, (p_m^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A_m}$ is a sequence of surgery data we can define a new surgery datum that is disjoint to all these by simply copying the first one. Concretely, we choose a subset $A' \subset \Omega$ that is disjoint to W and all of the A_i and pick a bijection $\varphi : A' \cong A_0$. Then $p_{n+1}^\alpha := p_0^{\varphi(\alpha)}$ defines a surgery datum indexed by $\alpha \in A'$ and is disjoint to all the others by construction.

Finally, the second condition simply states that every n -simplex $W \in C_n^{\text{nc}}$ admits at least one surgery datum. We will show this by constructing one. Let $A \subset \Omega_O$ be a subset disjoint to W and big enough so that we may choose a surjection $f : A \twoheadrightarrow \coprod_{i=1}^n W_\pi(i-1 \leq i) \subset |W|$. Since $W \in C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}$ every connected component of $|W|$ is connected to either $\perp \sim [W(0) \times \{0\}]$ or $\top \sim [W(n) \times \{n+1\}]$. We can therefore find piece-wise linear paths $p^\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow |W|$ such that $p^\alpha(0) \in \{\perp, \top\}$ and $p^\alpha(1) = f(\alpha)$. This trivially satisfies the connectivity condition because it hits all components of morphisms, in particular those that are not positive boundary. Therefore $(p^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is the desired surgery datum for W . \square

We now need to construct the surgery homotopy \mathcal{S}_λ dependent on a weighted collection of surgery data. To do so let $\|C_{\bullet,\bullet}^\sigma\|$ denote the geometric realisation of the semisimplicial space $[n] \mapsto |C_{n,\bullet}^\sigma|$.

Definition 4.26. We define a continuous map

$$\mathcal{S} : \|C_{\bullet,\bullet}^\sigma\| \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|.$$

A point on the left is represented by a tuple $((W, \mathbf{t}), ((A_0, \dots, A_m), (s_0, \dots, s_m)), r)$ where the $s_i \in [0, 1]$ are such that $\sum_i s_i = 1$. We normalise them as $\bar{s}_i := s_i / \max(s_0, \dots, s_m)$ and define:

$$\mathcal{S}^r((W, \mathbf{t}), (A_0, \dots, A_m), (s_0, \dots, s_m)) := (\mathcal{S}_{A_0}^{r \cdot \bar{s}_0} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{S}_{A_m}^{r \cdot \bar{s}_m})(W, \mathbf{t}).$$

Proof of theorem 4.1. We begin by noting that the map \mathcal{S} defined above is indeed well-defined. This was mostly covered in the previous section (see proposition 4.23, lemma 4.16, and lemma 4.21), but we also need to check that it is well-defined with respect to face maps in the new semi-simplicial direction. Concretely this means that for $s_i = 0$ we need to be able to forget A_i without changing the value of \mathcal{S} . Indeed this is the case as \mathcal{S}^0 acts as the identity by part (i) of proposition 4.23.

Now that we know that \mathcal{S}^r is a continuous family of continuous maps $\|C_{\bullet,\bullet}^\sigma\| \rightarrow |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$ we can fit it into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\partial+}| & \xrightarrow{I} & \|C_{\bullet,\bullet}^\sigma\| \\ \parallel & \swarrow \mathcal{S}^1 & \downarrow \mathcal{S}^0 \\ |C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\partial+}| & \longrightarrow & |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}| \end{array}$$

The map I is defined by equipping (W, \mathbf{t}) with the empty surgery $A_0 = \{\emptyset\}$. This is possible because (W, \mathbf{t}) is already positive boundary in this case. By part (ii) of 4.23 \mathcal{S}^1 indeed lands in the subspace $|C_{\bullet,\bullet}^{\partial+}| \subset |C_\bullet^{\text{nc}}|$, so the dashed map exists. For the empty surgery the map \mathcal{S}^1 does not do anything by part (iii) of 4.23 and so the top-left triangle commutes. By construction \mathcal{S}^λ is a homotopy for the bottom-right triangle in the diagram. Moreover, part (i) of 4.23 tells us that the map \mathcal{S}^0 simply forgets the surgery data and we saw in lemma 4.25 that this map is an equivalence.

In summary the above diagram is homotopy commutative and the right-hand vertical map is a homotopy equivalence. From this it follows formally from the 2-out-of-6 property that all other maps in the diagram are weak equivalences. We can check this by hand: Pick any base-points and apply π_k to the diagram. Considering the top-left triangle we see that the diagonal map $\pi_k(\mathcal{S}^1)$ has to be

surjective because the identity on $\pi_k|C_\bullet^{\partial+}|$ factors through it. Similarly, the homotopy commutativity of the bottom-right triangle and the fact that $\pi_k(S^0)$ is an isomorphism implies that $\pi_k(S^1)$ is injective. Hence we have shown that $\pi_k(S^1)$ is an isomorphism and it follows quickly that all the other maps are, too. \square

4.4 The positive boundary subcategory

In this section we compute the classifying space of the positive boundary subcategory $\mathcal{C}^{\partial+} \subset \mathcal{C}$ for all weighted cospan categories. This includes the three cases Csp , Cob_2 , and $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ that appear in our main theorems.

Proposition 4.27. *For any weighting monoid (A, A_1, α) the classifying space of the positive boundary subcategory $\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)^{\partial+} \subset \text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)$ is*

$$B(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)^{\partial+}) \simeq BA$$

and the inclusion $BA \simeq B(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)^{\partial+}) \rightarrow B(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha))$ admits a splitting as an infinite loop space map.

Proof. In the case of Cob_2 the equivalence $B\text{Cob}_2^{\partial+} \simeq S^1$ was shown in [Til96, Proposition 6] and the splitting constructed in [Til96, Theorem 10]. We begin by recalling the constructions made there so we can modify them for our purposes.

There is a functor $\Phi : \text{Cob}_2^{\partial+} \rightarrow \text{Cob}_2^{\partial+}$ that sends any object to S^1 and that sends a cobordism $W : M \rightarrow N$ to the unique cobordism $\Phi(W) : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ that is connected and has genus:

$$g(\Phi(W)) = \frac{1}{2}(|\pi_0(N)| - |\pi_0(M)| + \chi(W)) = g(W) + |\pi_0(N)| - |\pi_0(W)|.$$

This is always a non-negative integer as $\pi_0(N) \rightarrow \pi_0(W)$ is always a surjection for W in the positive boundary subcategory. Using that the Euler characteristic is additive under gluing of even-dimensional manifolds one checks that this is functorial. There is a natural transformation $\rho : \text{Id}_{\text{Cob}_2^{\partial+}} \Rightarrow \Phi$ defined by letting $\rho_M : M \rightarrow S^1$ be the unique connected genus 0 cobordism.

Now we can consider the full subcategory $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \text{Cob}_2^{\partial+}$ on the object S^1 . By recording the genus as a natural number \mathcal{C}_1 is isomorphic to \mathbb{N} as a category with one object and hence $B\mathcal{C}_1 \simeq S^1$. The functor Φ lands in \mathcal{C}_1 and defines a retraction $B\Phi : B\text{Cob}_2^{\partial+} \rightarrow B\mathcal{C}_1$ to the inclusion. The natural transformation ρ gives a homotopy between the identity and $B\Phi : B\text{Cob}_2^{\partial+} \rightarrow B\mathcal{C}_1 \subset B\text{Cob}_2^{\partial+}$ and hence the inclusion and $B\Phi$ form a homotopy equivalence.

We will now generalise this argument to the weighted cospan category $\mathcal{C} := \text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}^{\partial+}$ denote the full subcategory on the object $*$. This category has a single object and morphisms A , so $B\mathcal{C}_1 = BA$. The functor $\Phi : \mathcal{C}^{\partial+} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$ again sends all objects to the single object $*$, and on morphisms it is defined by sending $(M \rightarrow W \leftarrow N, a : W \rightarrow A)$ to the cospan $(* \rightarrow * \leftarrow *)$ weighted by:

$$\Phi(W) = \sum_{x \in W} a(W) + \alpha \cdot (|N| - |W|).$$

The number $|N| - |W|$ is again non-negative as $N \rightarrow W$ is surjective in the positive boundary category. One checks that this is functorial by using the definition of the composite weighting in definition 2.13.

To define the natural transformation $\rho : \text{Id} \Rightarrow \Phi$ we let $\rho_M : (M \rightarrow * \leftarrow *, a : * \rightarrow A)$ where the labeling is $a(*) = \alpha$. (We cannot set the labelling to be 0 like we did for the surface category case, as this might not be an allowed cospan for $M = \emptyset$.) Naturality is checked by inserting the definitions. By the same argument as above it now follows that $BA = B\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow B\mathcal{C}^{\partial+}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

To construct the splitting of $B\mathcal{C}^{\partial+} \rightarrow BA$ let A^{gp} be the group completion of A . Define a functor $\Phi' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A^{\text{gp}}$ by sending a morphism $W : M \rightarrow N$ to

$$\Phi'(W) = \sum_{x \in W} a(W) + \alpha \cdot (|N| - |W|).$$

The number $|N| - |W|$ can now be negative, but this is fine because we have a formal inverse $-\alpha$ for α in A^{gp} . Φ' clearly extends Φ and it is functorial for the same reason that Φ was. This yields the desired splitting: the composite $BA = BC_1 \rightarrow BC^{\partial+} \rightarrow BC \xrightarrow{\Phi'} B(A^{\text{gp}})$ is the standard map $BA \rightarrow B(A^{\text{gp}})$, which is an equivalence. \square

Corollary 4.28. *The classifying space of a weighted cospan category is:*

$$B(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)) \simeq BA \times Q\left(\bigvee_{a \in A} S^2 B(\mathcal{F}_a(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)))\right).$$

Proof. The surgery theorem applies and by proposition 4.27 the left-hand map in theorem F (corollary 2.45) admits a splitting as infinite loop space map. Therefore the middle term decomposes as a product of $B(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)^{\partial+})$ and the free infinite loop spaces on the $\Sigma S(B\mathcal{F}_a)$. \square

5 Factorisation categories and filtered graphs

Our work in the previous sections shows that computing the classifying space $BCob_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ of the $(\chi \leq 0)$ -surface category essentially reduces to understanding its factorisation categories, which we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0} := \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma_g}(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$. In this section we show that on classifying spaces $\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0}$ is equivalent to a certain finite category J_g of weighted graphs of genus g , which was introduced in [CGP16]⁷ and plays an important role in studying the moduli spaces Δ_g of tropical curves of genus g and volume 1. Concretely we show:

Theorem 5.1. *For $g \geq 2$ there is an equivalence $B\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0} \simeq BJ_g$ and for $g = 1$ we have $B\mathcal{F}_1^{\chi \leq 0} \simeq BO(2)$.*

In section 6 we will also see that for $g \geq 2$, BJ_g is rationally equivalent to Δ_g . In the case $g = 1$ we expect that $\mathcal{F}_1^{\chi \leq 0}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_{[S^1]}(\text{Cob}_1^{\text{unor}})$. Just like we showed in [Ste21, Section 8] that $\mathcal{F}_{[S^1]}(\text{Cob}_1)$ is equivalent to Connes' cyclic category Λ , it should be possible to show that $\mathcal{F}_{[S^1]}(\text{Cob}_1^{\text{unor}})$ is the dihedral version of Connes' cyclic category. This dihedral category is known to have classifying space $BO(2)$, see [Lod87, Proposition 3.11].

However, the approach we take to prove the theorem will be to treat the $g = 1$ and $g \geq 2$ computation of $B\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0}$ in the same framework by considering a category of topological graphs \mathcal{J}_g such that $\mathcal{J}_g \simeq J_g$ for $g \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{J}_1 \simeq O(2)$. In fact we prove the following more general theorem, which describes the factorisation category of the weighted cospan category $\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)$ in the sense of 2.13. This recovers theorem 5.1 because $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \simeq \text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, 1)$.

Theorem 5.2. *For any weighting monoid (A, A_1, α) and $a \in A$ there is an equivalence:*

$$B\mathcal{F}_a(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)) \simeq B\mathcal{J}_a^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}.$$

Note that this will only be interesting for $0 \notin A_1$ as otherwise an argument similar to lemma 3.50 shows that $B\mathcal{F}_a(\text{Csp}(A, A, \alpha)) \simeq *$.

5.1 Categories of graphs

5.1.1 Topological graphs

We begin by defining a category of weighted topological graphs. Throughout this section we fix a weighting monoid (A, A_1, α) . Recall that this means that A is an abelian monoid, $A_1 \subset A$ is a subset closed under $A_1 + A \subset A_1$, and $\alpha \in A$ is an element. The most relevant case is that of $(A, A_1, \alpha) = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, 1)$ and it will suffice to think of this case.

⁷Note that as discussed in remark 5.11 our version J_g differs from their version in that we remove the terminal object \bullet_g .

Definition 5.3. An (A, A_1, α) -weighted *topological graph* is a tuple (X, w) of a topological space X and a (non-continuous) map $w : X \rightarrow A$ such that

- X is homeomorphic to a connected 1-dimensional CW complex with finitely many cells and is not a point.
- The set $X_w := \{x \in X \mid w(x) \neq 0\}$ is finite.
- For any $x \in X$ with $\nu(x) = 1$ we have $w(x) \in A_1$.

Here we define the *valence* $\nu(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ to be $\nu(x) := |\pi_0(U \setminus x)|$ where $U \subset X$ is a small contractible neighbourhood of x .

The *singular set* $X_{\text{sing}} \subset X$ is defined as the set of points of valence $\nu(x) \neq 2$.

The *genus* of (X, w) is defined as:

$$g(X, w) := \sum_{x \in X_w} w(x) + b_1(X) \cdot \alpha \in A.$$

A *subgraph* of (X, w) is a tuple (Y, w') such that $Y \subset X$ is a closed subset with $\partial Y \subset X_w \cup X_{\text{sing}}$ and $w' = w|_Y$.

Definition 5.4. The topologically enriched category $\mathcal{J}^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ has as objects (A, A_1, α) -weighted topological graphs and as morphisms $(X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ continuous maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for all $y \in Y$ the preimage $f^{-1}(y)$ is either a single point of the same weight as y or a connected subgraph of (X, w) with genus $g(f^{-1}(y), w|_{f^{-1}(y)}) = w'(y)$. We topologise the space of morphisms with the compact-open topology.

Example 5.5. The only (A, A_1, α) -weighted topological graph (X, w) with $X_w = \emptyset = X_{\text{sing}}$ is the circle $(S^1, 0)$. Since this cannot have any subgraphs the fibers of morphisms $f : (S^1, 0) \rightarrow (S^1, 0)$ have to be single points. It follows that f is in fact a homeomorphism. So $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{J}}((S^1, 0), (S^1, 0)) = \text{Homeo}(S^1) \simeq O(2)$. We will see that this case is the exception and that in general the spaces $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{J}}((X, w), (Y, w'))$ have contractible components.

Lemma 5.6. *For any two topological graphs (X, w) and (Y, w') with $(Y, w') \not\cong (S^1, 0)$ the space of morphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{J}}((X, w), (Y, w'))$ has contractible components. If $(Y, w') \cong (S^1, 0)$, then the components are equivalent to S^1 .*

Proof. Since each map $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ is a surjective map between compact Hausdorff spaces, it is also a quotient map. We can therefore factor it as $X \rightarrow (X/\sim_f) \cong Y$ where \sim_f is the equivalence relation defined by $(x \sim_f x') \Leftrightarrow (f(x) = f(x'))$ and the second map is a homeomorphism. Let us call an equivalence relation $\sim \subset X^2$ admissible if each of its equivalence classes is either a single point or a subgraph of (X, w) , and let $\text{Eq}(X, w)$ denote the set of admissible equivalence relations. For such an equivalence relation, let $w_{\sim} : X/\sim \rightarrow A$ be the function that sends an equivalence class $[x]$ to the genus $g([x])$ of the subgraph.

We will show that sending a map f to the equivalence relation \sim_f and the isomorphism $[f] : (X/\sim, w_{\sim}) \cong (Y, w')$ induces a homeomorphism:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{J}}((X, w), (Y, w')) \cong \coprod_{\sim \in \text{Eq}(X, w)} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\cong}((X/\sim, w_{\sim}), (Y, w')).$$

We begin by noting that the set of admissible equivalence relations is finite because the set of subgraphs of (X, w) is finite. One can check, using the definition of the compact-open topology, that the assignment $f \mapsto \sim_f$ is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on $\text{Eq}(X, w)$. Similarly, one checks that for each $\sim \in \text{Eq}(X, w)$ the assignment $f \mapsto [f]$ is continuous. Since there is a continuous inverse given by $(\sim, [f]) \mapsto (X \rightarrow X/\sim \rightarrow Y)$ it follows that the map is indeed a homeomorphism.

To determine the homotopy type of the connected components it therefore suffices to study the group of automorphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\cong}((Y, w'), (Y, w'))$. This is the subgroup of the homeomorphism group $\text{Homeo}(Y)$ containing precisely those homeomorphism $f : Y \cong Y$ with $w' \circ f = w'$. If $(Y, w') = (S^1, 0)$, then this is exactly the group of self-homeomorphism of the circle, which is homotopy equivalent to $O(2)$. In the case where $(Y, w') \not\cong (S^1, 0)$, we can find some $y \in Y$ with either $w(y) \neq 0$ or $\nu(y) \neq 2$. Consider the group $\text{Homeo}(Y, Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}})$ of homeomorphisms of Y that send the subset $Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}}$ to itself, possibly permuting it. This contains the automorphism group we are interested in as a union of connected components. Since $Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}}$ is non-empty, the components of $\text{Homeo}(Y, Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}})$ are all homeomorphic to $\prod_i \text{Homeo}([0, 1] \text{ rel } \{0, 1\})$, which is contractible. \square

5.1.2 Combinatorial graphs

We now give a combinatorial version of the notion of an (A, A_1, α) -weighted graph. This is based on the notation of [CGP16, section 2.2] and recovers their definitions in the case of $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_1, 1)$.

Definition 5.7. A graph G is a finite set X together with maps $s : X \rightarrow X$ and $r : X \rightarrow X$ such that $s \circ s = \text{id}_X$, $r \circ r = r$, and satisfying that for all $x \in X$ we have $s(x) = x$ if and only if $r(x) = x$. The set X decomposes as the disjoint union of the set of vertices $V_G := \{x \in X \mid r(x) = x\}$ and the set of half-edges $H_G = \{x \in X \mid r(x) \neq x\}$. The map r sends a half-edge to its root and the map s sends a half-edge to its partner half-edge; both send vertices to themselves. We define the set of edges as $E_G = \{\{h, s(h)\} \mid h \in H_G\}$.

A graph G is *connected* if the equivalence relation on X generated by $x \sim s(x)$ and $x \sim r(x)$ has a single equivalence class. We define the *valence* of a vertex v in a graph to be the number of incident half-edges: $\text{val}(v) := |r^{-1}(v) \setminus \{v\}|$.

Example 5.8. The graph with one vertex and one loop \odot can be defined in the above context as $X = \{v, h, h'\}$ with $s(h) = h'$, $s(h') = h$, $r(h) = r(h') = v$, $s(v) = r(v) = v$. This has one vertex v , two half-edges h and h' , and a single edge $\{h, h'\}$.

We can also consider combinatorial graphs weighted by some (A, A_1, α) . The most important weighting monoid is $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, 1)$, and we will usually think about this case.

Definition 5.9. An (A, A_1, α) -weighted graph is a graph G together with a function $w : V_G \rightarrow A$ such that for any vertex $v \in V_G$ of valence 1 we have $w(v) \in A_1$ and for any vertex $v' \in V_G$ of valence 2 we have $w(v') \neq 0$. We define the genus of a connected weighted graph (G, w) to be

$$g(G, w) = (|E_G| - |V_G| + 1) \cdot \alpha + \sum_{v \in V_G} w(v) \in A.$$

We define the relevant notion of morphisms between weighted graphs:

Definition 5.10. Let $(G, w) = ((X, s, r), w)$ and $(G', w') = ((X', s', r'), w')$ be two (A, A_1, α) -weighted graphs. A *morphism* of (A, A_1, α) -weighted graphs $q : (G, w) \rightarrow (G', w')$ is a map $q : X \rightarrow X'$ satisfying $q \circ s = s' \circ q$ and $q \circ r = r' \circ q$, such that for each half-edge $h \in H_{G'}$ the preimage $q^{-1}(h)$ consists of a single half-edge of G and for each vertex $v \in V_{G'}$ the preimage $q^{-1}(v)$ is a connected subgraph of G with genus $g(q^{-1}(v), w|_{q^{-1}(v)}) = w'(v)$.

We let $J^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ denote the category of those (A, A_1, α) -weighted graphs that are connected and have at least one edge, with morphisms defined as above. For any $g \in A$ and we let $J_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)} \subset J^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ denote the full subcategory on the graphs of genus g . We will often suppress the weighting monoid from the notation.

Remark 5.11. In [CGP16, section 2.2] the authors define a category called J_g , on which our definition of the category $J_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ is based. Let us denote their category by J_g^{CGP} for the purpose of comparing it to ours. One key difference is that J_g^{CGP} contains the graph \bullet_g with one vertex and no edges. This

is a terminal object, which makes the classifying space $BJ_g^{\text{CGP}} \simeq *$ contractible. If we remove this terminal object then $J_g^{\text{CGP}} \setminus \{\bullet_g\}$ is equivalent to our $J_g^{(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{>0}, 1)}$. We will argue in remark 6.5 that our version of J_g still leads to the same tropical moduli space Δ_g as theirs.

Remark 5.12. The isomorphisms in this category $\mathcal{J}^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ are exactly those $q : (G, w) \rightarrow (G', w')$ where the map $q : X \rightarrow X'$ is a bijection. Moreover, if two graphs have different genus, then there are no morphisms between them and so we can split $J^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ as a disjoint union of categories

$$J^{(A, A_1, \alpha)} = \coprod_{g \in A} J_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}.$$

Remark 5.13. Note that for general weighting monoids (A, A_1, α) the category $J^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ is not as well-behaved as we would like. For example in the case of $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}, 1)$ -weighted graphs we cannot collapse an edge between two valence two vertices $v, v' \in V_G$ if they are labelled by $w(v) = k$ and $w(v') = -k$ as the resulting vertex would be a valence 2 vertex with label 0. Similarly, we cannot collapse an edge between two vertices $u, u' \in V_G$ where u has valence 1, u' has valence 3 and $w(u) = 0 = w(u')$. The latter example also works in the case of $(0, 0, 0)$ -weighted graphs, that is relevant for $\text{Csp} = \text{Csp}(0, 0, 0)$. This is why we have to impose the conditions in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.14. *Let (A, A_1, α) be a weighting monoid where the only invertible element of A is 0 and $0 \notin A_1$. Let $g \in A$ be some element that is neither 0 nor α . Then there is a functor of topologically enriched categories: $\mathcal{J}_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)} \rightarrow J_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ which is essentially surjective and an equivalence on Hom-spaces.*

Proof. For a topological graph (X, w) we define its set of vertices as $V_{(X, w)} := X_w \cup X_{\text{sing}}$ and, by abuse of notation, let $w : V_{(X, w)} \rightarrow A$ denote the restriction of w . Unless the topological graph is $(X, w) \cong (S^1, 0)$ this set of vertices is non-empty. Note that the case $(S^1, 0)$ will not come up in what follows as it has total genus $g(S^1, 0) = \alpha$, which we excluded. The set of half-edges $H_{(X, w)}$ is defined as the set of maps $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $h|_{(0, 1)}$ is an embedding and $h^{-1}(V_{(X, w)}) = \{0, 1\}$, up to isotopies respecting these conditions. The involution $s : H_{(X, w)} \rightarrow H_{(X, w)}$ is given by $[h] \mapsto [h']$ where $h'(t) = h(1 - t)$ and the root map $r : H_{(X, w)} \rightarrow V_{(X, w)}$ is $[h] \mapsto h(0)$.

We define the functor $F : \mathcal{J}_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)} \rightarrow J_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ on objects by sending (X, w) to the combinatorial graph $(H_{(X, w)} \amalg V_{(X, w)}, s, r, w)$. To a morphism $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ we assign the map $F(f) : H_{(X, w)} \amalg V_{(X, w)} \rightarrow H_{(Y, w')} \amalg V_{(Y, w')}$

$$F(f)(v \in V_{(X, w)}) = f(v) \in V_{(Y, w')}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$F(f)([h : [0, 1] \rightarrow X]) = \begin{cases} [f \circ h] \in H_{(Y, w')} & \text{if } (f \circ h)|_{(0, 1)} \text{ injective} \\ f(h(0)) \in V_{(Y, w')} & \text{if } f \circ h \text{ constant.} \end{cases}$$

For this to be well-defined we need to check for each $x \in V_{(X, w)} = X_w \cup X_{\text{sing}}$ the image $f(x)$ lies in $V_{(Y, w')} = Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}}$.

Write $y := f(x)$ and consider the preimage $f^{-1}(y) \subset X$. If it consists of single point then f is a homeomorphism near x and so x and y agree in valence and weight, which already implies $y \in Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}}$. We may therefore assume that f^{-1} is a subgraph of (X, w) that contains at least one edge. If there is any $x' \in f^{-1}(y)$ with $w(x') \neq 0$, then $w'(y) = w(x') + \dots$ is non-zero because we assumed that A does not have any invertible elements but 0. Similarly, if $f^{-1}(y)$ is not contractible, then $b_1(f^{-1}(y)) > 0$ and $w'(y) = b_1(f^{-1}(y)) \cdot \alpha + \dots$ is non-zero because α is not invertible. So it suffices to consider the case where the subgraph $f^{-1}(y) \subset (X, w)$ has trivial weight and is contractible. This means that the subgraph is a finite tree with at least one edge, so it contains at least two leaves $l, l' \in \partial f^{-1}(y)$ whose valence within $f^{-1}(y)$ is 1. But we have already established that $w(l) = 0 = w(l')$, so l and l' cannot be valence 1 or 2 in (X, w) as we assumed $0 \notin A_1$. Hence there are at least four edges of (X, w) that do not lie in $f^{-1}(y)$, but end in l and l' . This implies that $y \in (Y, w')$ has valence at least 4 and hence lies in Y_{sing} .

To see that the functor F is essentially surjective we build, given a combinatorial graph $(G = (X, s, r), w)$, its geometric realisation as:

$$|G| := (X \times [0, 1]) / \sim, \quad \text{where} \quad (x, 1 - t) \sim (s(x), t) \quad \text{and} \quad (x, 0) \sim (r(x), t).$$

The set of vertices includes into this as $v \mapsto [v, 0] = [v, t]$ for any t , and we can define $w : |G| \rightarrow A$ by extending it to 0 on edges. The space $|G|$ is a one-dimensional CW complex by construction and it is finite and connected because G is. Moreover, since vertices retain their valence and weight, all valence 1 vertices are still labelled in A_1 and hence $(|G|, w)$ is an (A, A_1, α) -weighted topological graph. In fact, this construction defines a functor:

$$|_ : J_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$$

and it follows by inspection that $F \circ |_$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity functor.

While the composite functor $|_ \circ F$ is not on-the-nose isomorphic to the identity functor, it is still isotopic to it in some sense. Concretely, we can pick isomorphisms $\alpha_{(X, w)} : (X, w) \cong (|F(X, w)|, w)$. If we require them to be the identity on vertices and to preserve edges, then the only choice we have is how to identify the edges relative to their boundary. There is no canonical choice because the edges of (X, w) are not identified with $[0, 1]$, whereas the edges of $|F(X, w)|$ are (modulo reflection). However, any two choices are isotopic because $\text{Homeo}([0, 1] \text{ rel } \{0, 1\})$ is contractible. From this one can show that, no matter which choice we make, α defines a natural transformation from the identity to $|_ \circ F$ as functors to the homotopy category $h\mathcal{J}_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$. Therefore, $J_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ is equivalent to the homotopy category $h\mathcal{J}_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$.

To conclude the proof we show that $\mathcal{J}_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)} \rightarrow h\mathcal{J}_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ is an equivalence on Hom-spaces. As noted before, our assumption that $g \neq \alpha$ implies that none of the graphs involved are isomorphic to $(S^1, 0)$. Hence it follows from lemma 5.6 that the components of the Hom-spaces in $\mathcal{J}_g^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$ are contractible, which is what we claimed. \square

5.2 From factorisations to graphs

The purpose of this section is to prove theorem 5.2, i.e. to show that there is an equivalence on classifying spaces between the category of factorisation $\mathcal{F}(\text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha))$ and the category of graphs $\mathcal{J}^{(A, A_1, \alpha)}$. We fix the weighting monoid (A, A_1, α) throughout and suppress it in notation. The equivalence we will construct does not come from a functor in either direction. Rather, we define a third category $\int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}'$ where objects are topological graphs (X, w) together with an “admissible” subset $U \subset X$ and an ordering on ∂U . There are two functors:

$$\mathcal{J} \longleftarrow \int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}' \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{F}$$

The one to the left simply forgets the subset U , and the one to the right takes (X, w, U) and thinks of it as a factorisation $X = U \cup_{\partial U} (X \setminus U^\circ)$. We will show that both functors induce equivalences on classifying spaces.

Definition 5.15. A subset $U \subset X$ of a weighted topological graph (X, w) is called *admissible* if

- U is closed and has finitely many components,
- U is neither empty nor all of X ,
- if $x \in U \cap (X_w \cup X_{\text{sing}})$ then U is a neighbourhood of x .

A boundary ordering for U is an injection $\alpha : \{1, \dots, u\} \rightarrow X$ for some $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha(\{1, \dots, u\}) = \partial U$.

We let $\text{Sub}(X, w)$ be the poset where objects are admissible subset $U \subset X$ and morphisms are $U \leq V$ if $U \subset V$. Similarly, we let $\text{Sub}'(X, w)$ be the poset where objects are tuples (U, α) of an admissible subset with boundary ordering α and morphisms are $(U, \alpha) \leq (V, \beta)$ if $U \subset V$.

We topologise $\text{Sub}'(X, w)$ with the subspace topology under the inclusion

$$\text{Sub}'(X, w) \hookrightarrow \coprod_{u \geq 2} \text{Map}^{\text{inj}}(\{1, \dots, u\}, X \setminus (X_w \cup X_{\text{sing}})) \times \text{Map}(X_w \cup X_{\text{sing}}, \{\perp, \top\})$$

that sends (U, α) to (α, χ) where $(\chi(x) = \top) \Leftrightarrow (x \in U)$. This inclusion in fact identifies $\text{Sub}'(X, w)$ with a union of certain connected components of the space on the right. We topologise $\text{Sub}(X, w)$ with the quotient topology under the map $\text{Sub}'(X, w) \rightarrow \text{Sub}(X, w)$ that forgets the boundary ordering.

Both Sub and Sub' define functors $\text{Sub}, \text{Sub}' : \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{TopCat}$

$$\text{Sub}(f)(U) := f^{-1}(U) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sub}'(f)(U, \alpha) := (f^{-1}(U), f^{-1} \circ \alpha)$$

to the category of topological categories.

Remark 5.16. Let us briefly recall the Grothendieck construction, in the example of Sub' . An object of $\int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}'$ is a tuple of an object $(X, w) \in \mathcal{J}$ and an object $(U, \alpha) \in \text{Sub}'(X, w)$. It is important to keep in mind that the space of objects of $\int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}'$ is no longer discrete, even though it was for \mathcal{J} . A morphism $((X, w), (U, \alpha)) \rightarrow ((Y, w'), (V, \beta))$ is a morphism $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ together with a morphism $(U, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Sub}'(f)(V, \beta)$. Since Sub' is a poset we can simply say that a morphism $((X, w), (U, \alpha)) \rightarrow ((Y, w'), (V, \beta))$ is a morphism $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ such that $U \subset f^{-1}(V)$, or equivalently such that $f(U) \subset V$. See the left-hand side of figure 10 for an example of such a morphism.




Figure 10: The functor S evaluated on a morphism $f : (X, U) \rightarrow (Y, V)$. The identifications $\partial U \cong \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $\partial V \cong \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are left implicit.

Definition 5.17. We define a functor $S : \int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by sending (X, w, U, α) to the factorisation

$$S((X, w), (U, \alpha)) := \left(\emptyset \rightarrow [\pi_0(U), w_U] \xleftarrow{\alpha} \{1, \dots, u\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\pi_0(X \setminus U^\circ), w_{X \setminus U}] \leftarrow \emptyset \right)$$

Here the weights $w_U : \pi_0(U) \rightarrow A$ and $w_{X \setminus U} : \pi_0(X \setminus U^\circ) \rightarrow A$ are defined by sending a component to its total genus.

To a morphism $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ with $f(U) \subset V$ we assign the cospan

$$S(f) = \left(\{1, \dots, u\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\pi_0(f^{-1}(V) \setminus U^\circ), w_{V, U}] \xleftarrow{f^{-1} \circ \beta} \{1, \dots, v\} \right)$$

where $w_{V, U}$ sends each component of $V \setminus f(U)^\circ$ to its total genus. See figure 10 for an illustration of how this functor is applied to two objects and a morphism.

Lemma 5.18. *The construction described above indeed defines a continuous functor $S : \int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. We begin by noting that S is continuous on objects and morphisms. Indeed, the object $S((X, w), (U, \alpha)) \in \mathcal{F}$ does not change as we continuously vary the admissible subset $U \subset X$. (This is the reason why we need the boundary ordering α and cannot take ∂U instead of $\{1, \dots, u\}$: it would not be continuous.) Similarly, if we continuously vary a morphism $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ and an admissible subset $(U, \alpha) \in \text{Sub}'(Y, w)$, the space $V \setminus f^{-1}(U^\circ)$ does not change up to label-preserving homotopy equivalence and hence the resulting cospan $S(f)$ remains the same. (If we moreover required that V is a neighbourhood of $f(U)$, then $V \setminus f(U)^\circ$ would even remain the same up to homeomorphism. We do not do this as it would remove the identity morphisms from $\text{Sub}'(X, w)$.)

It remains to check that S is functorial. Consider two morphisms $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$, $h : (Y, w') \rightarrow (Z, w'')$ and admissible opens $(U, \alpha) \in \text{Sub}'(X, w)$, $(V, \beta) \in \text{Sub}'(Y, w')$, and $(W, \gamma) \in \text{Sub}'(Z, w'')$ such that $U \subset f^{-1}(V)$ and $V \subset h^{-1}(W)$. We would like to show that the weighted cospan $S(h \circ f)$ agrees with the composite $S(f) \cup_{\{1, \dots, v\}} S(h)$. On underlying sets one can describe this pushout as:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(f^{-1}(V) \setminus U^\circ) \cup_{\{1, \dots, v\}} \pi_0(h^{-1}(W) \setminus V^\circ) &\cong \pi_0(f^{-1}(V) \setminus U^\circ) \cup_{\partial V} \pi_0((h \circ f)^{-1}(W) \setminus h^{-1}(V^\circ)) \\ &\cong \pi_0((h \circ f)^{-1}(W) \setminus U^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

To conclude $S(f) \cup_{\{1, \dots, v\}} S(h) = S(h \circ f)$ we need to check that both sides are weighted in A in the same way. To show this let $C \subset (h \circ f)^{-1}(W) \setminus U^\circ$ some component. As a point in $S(h \circ f)$ this is labelled by its total genus. We have a decomposition of C into $C_0 = C \cap (f^{-1}(V) \setminus U^\circ)$ and $C_1 = C \cap ((h \circ f)^{-1}(W) \setminus f^{-1}(V)^\circ)$. The total genus of each component of C_1 agrees with that of the relevant component in $f(C_1)$, which is a union of components of $h^{-1}(W) \setminus V^\circ$. One can express the total genus of C in terms of the genus of the components of C_0 and C_1 as follows:

$$g(C) = \sum_{x \in C} w(x) + \alpha \cdot b_1(C) = \sum_{x \in C_0} w(x) + \sum_{y \in C_1} w(y) + \alpha \cdot b_1(C)$$

The first Betti number of C can be written as:

$$b_1(C) = \sum_{[x] \in \pi_0(C_0)} b_1([x]) + \sum_{[y] \in \pi_0(C_1)} b_1([y]) + (|C_0 \cap C_1| + 1 - |\pi_0(C_0) \amalg \pi_0(C_1)|).$$

(This follows from $\chi(C) = \chi(C_0) + \chi(C_1) - \chi(C_0 \cap C_1)$.) From this it follows that:

$$g(C) = \sum_{[x] \in \pi_0(C_0)} g([x]) + \sum_{[y] \in \pi_0(C_1)} g([y]) + (|\partial V \cap C| + 1 - |\pi_0(C_0) \amalg \pi_0(C_1)|).$$

This is the same formula as in definition 2.13 where we defined the weighting for composite cospans. Hence $S(g \circ f)$ indeed agrees with $S(f) \cup_{\{1, \dots, v\}} S(g)$ as a weighted cospan. \square

Proposition 5.19. *Let $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$ be the full subcategory on all those $(M, [\emptyset \rightarrow W \leftarrow M], [M \rightarrow W' \leftarrow \emptyset])$ where $M = \{1, \dots, u\}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{N}$. For all n the map*

$$B \int_{\mathcal{J}} N_n \text{Sub}' \xrightarrow{S_n} N_n \mathcal{F}' \subset N_n \mathcal{F}$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_n := \int_{\mathcal{J}} N_n \text{Sub}'$ be the topological category defined as the Grothendieck construction. An object in this category is a topological graph (X, w) together with a filtration $(U_0 \subset \dots \subset U_n \subset X)$ by admissible subsets and bijections $\alpha_i : \partial U_i \cong \{1, \dots, u_i\}$. A morphism $(X, w, U_{\bullet}, \alpha_{\bullet}) \rightarrow (Y, w', V_{\bullet}, \beta_{\bullet})$ is a morphism $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ in \mathcal{J} with $f^{-1}(V_i) = U_i$ for all i and such that $\alpha_i \circ f|_{\partial U_i} = \beta_i$.

Let us call a filtration $(U_{\bullet}, \alpha_{\bullet})$ on (X, w) *maximal* if every edge of (X, w) intersects $\coprod_{i=0}^n \partial U_i$. Let $\mathcal{M}_n \subset \mathcal{C}_n$ be the full subcategory on these objects. Note the objects of \mathcal{M}_n are a union of connected components in the space of objects of \mathcal{C}_n .

Claim 1: The inclusion $B\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow BC_n$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Consider the topological functor $Q : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n$ that sends $(X, w, U_{\bullet}, \alpha_{\bullet})$ to the quotient $(X/\sim, w', (U_{\bullet})/\sim, \alpha_{\bullet})$ where we collapse all edges that do not intersect $\coprod_{i=0}^n \partial U_i$. Since each of the collapsed edges was either contained entirely in U_i or its complement, the quotient $(U_i)_{/\sim} \subset X/\sim$ is still an admissible subset. By construction the functor Q lands in the subcategory $\mathcal{M}_n \subset \mathcal{C}_n$. Since we constructed Q by collapsing edges there is a natural transformation $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}_n} \Rightarrow Q$ defined by the projections $(X, w) \rightarrow (X/\sim, w')$. This natural transformation is continuous, in fact the morphism does not change as we continuously vary the filtration. On classifying spaces Q is a map $BQ : BC_n \rightarrow B\mathcal{M}_n$ and η is a homotopy between id_{BC_n} and BQ postcomposed with the inclusion BI . Moreover, η restricts to an isomorphism between $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ and $Q|_{\mathcal{M}_n}$, which yields a homotopy between $BI \circ BQ$ and $\text{id}_{B\mathcal{M}_n}$. This shows that BC_n and $B\mathcal{M}_n$ are homotopy equivalent.

Claim 2: \mathcal{M}_n is a topological groupoid.

By lemma 5.6 any morphism $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ in \mathcal{J} can be factored as $(X, w) \rightarrow (X/\sim_f, w_f) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ where the first map collapses some edges and the second map is an isomorphism. If f collapses some edge $e : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ and $(V_{\bullet}, \beta_{\bullet})$ some filtration on (Y, w') , then $e([0, 1])$ never intersects $\partial f^{-1}(V_i)$, and hence $f^{-1}(V_{\bullet})$ is not maximal. Therefore any morphism between objects in \mathcal{M}_n is necessarily invertible.

Claim 3: The components of $B\mathcal{M}_n$ are contractible.

We begin by showing that \mathcal{M}_n is a fibrant topological category in the sense that the source and target map $(s, t) : \text{Mor}(\mathcal{M}_n) \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{M}_n)^2$ is a Serre fibration. The space of morphisms is by definition the space of morphisms $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (Y, w')$ together with a filtration $(V_{\bullet}, \beta_{\bullet})$ on Y . The target map projects this to $(Y, w', V_{\bullet}, \beta_{\bullet})$ and the source map to $(X, w, f^{-1}(U_{\bullet}), (f^{-1} \circ \beta_{\bullet}))$. We can fix (X, w) and (Y, w') as \mathcal{J} has a discrete space of objects. So we need to show that sending $(f, (V_{\bullet}, \beta_{\bullet}))$ to $((f^{-1}(V_{\bullet}), f^{-1} \circ \beta_{\bullet}), (V_{\bullet}, \beta_{\bullet}))$ is a Serre fibration. Moreover, since V_i is, up to passing to complements, uniquely determined by $\beta_i : \{1, \dots, v_i\} \hookrightarrow Y \setminus (Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}})$, it will suffice to show that $(f, \beta_{\bullet}) \mapsto (f^{-1} \circ \beta_{\bullet}, \beta_{\bullet})$ is a Serre fibration. This follows from the fact that inverting and evaluating a homeomorphism f on a finite subset $A \subset Y \setminus (Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}})$ induces a Serre fibration $\text{Homeo}(Y \text{ rel } (Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}})) \rightarrow \text{Emb}(A, Y \setminus (Y_w \cup Y_{\text{sing}}))$.

Since \mathcal{M}_n is fibrant the base-change theorem [ERW19, Theorem 5.1] (or equivalently theorem 3.16) implies that the inclusion of a skeleton $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{sk}} \subset \mathcal{M}_n$ induces an equivalence on classifying spaces. Therefore, the components of $B\mathcal{M}_n$ are equivalent to $B \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{M}_n}(X, w, U_{\bullet}, \alpha_{\bullet})$. Such an automorphism is a homeomorphism $f : X \cong X$ that respects the weight, the U_i and satisfies $f \circ \alpha_i = \alpha_i$. The latter means that it has to fix all elements in the image of the α_i . Since the filtration is maximal each edge contains such an element and hence f has to preserve each edge as a set. In fact, it also has to preserve

the orientation of the edge as either it contains two points of some ∂U_i or one end lies in U_i and the other does not. This implies that f has to fix all points in $X_w \cup X_{\text{sing}}$. In summary, this group is exactly the group of homeomorphism that fix all vertices and all elements of ∂U_i . Therefore, the group is equivalent to a product $\prod_j \text{Homeo}^\partial([0, 1])$, which is contractible.

Claim 4: S_n induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of \mathcal{M}_n and the n -simplices of $N_\bullet \mathcal{F}'$.

Given an n -simplex in $N_\bullet \mathcal{F}'$ we will construct a preimage under S_n . Such an n -simplex can be thought of as an $(n+2)$ -simplex in $N_\bullet \text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)$. Using the notation from section 4 we write this as $W : [n+2] \rightarrow \text{Csp}(A, A_1, \alpha)$ where:

$$(\emptyset = W(0) \rightarrow W_\pi(0 \leq 1) \leftarrow W(1) \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow W(n+1) \rightarrow W_\pi(n+1 \leq n+2) \leftarrow W(n+2) = \emptyset).$$

Moreover, we have that $W(i) = \{1, \dots, u_{i-1}\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. Each of the $W(i \leq i+1) : W(i) \rightarrow W(i+1)$ is a cospan of finite sets $W(i) \rightarrow W_\pi(i \leq i+1) \leftarrow W(i+1)$ together with a labeling $o_i : W_\pi(i \leq i+1) \rightarrow A$.

As discussed in definition 4.7 we can construct a representing space $|W|$ with a map $\text{pr} : |W| \rightarrow [0, n+3]$ and a labeling $o : |W|_0 = \text{pr}^{-1}(\{1, \dots, n+1\}) \rightarrow A$ coming from the o_i . Since for the simplex at hand $W(0) = \emptyset = W(n+2)$ are both empty the space $|W|$ will come with two discrete points $\perp, \top \in |W|$. Let us remove these and denote the result by $|W|' := |W| \setminus \{\perp, \top\}$. By construction $|W|'$ is a 1-dimensional CW complex and since $W(0 \leq n+2)$ is a connected morphism $|W|'$ is a connected space. Hence $(|W|', o)$ is a well-defined object of \mathcal{J} . Moreover, we have a filtration on $|W|'$ defined by $U_i := \text{pr}^{-1}((0, i+1))$ and a bijection $\alpha_i : \{1, \dots, u_i\} \cong W(i+1) \cong \partial U_i$. The tuple $((|W|', o), (U_\bullet, \alpha_\bullet))$ hence defines an object in \mathcal{M}_n .

One can now verify that for $W = S_n((X, w), (V_\bullet, \beta_\bullet))$ the resulting $((|W|', o), (U_\bullet, \alpha_\bullet))$ is isomorphic to $((X, w), (V_\bullet, \beta_\bullet))$, and conversely, $S_n((|W|', o), (U_\bullet, \alpha_\bullet))$ always recovers W . This shows that S_n indeed induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of \mathcal{M}_n and the elements of $N_n \mathcal{F}'$.

Conclusion:

By claim 2 \mathcal{M}_n is a groupoid and since isotopic objects are isomorphic in this topological groupoid, $\pi_0 B\mathcal{M}_n$ is the set of isomorphism classes of objects in \mathcal{M}_n . By claim 4 the functor S_n hence induces a bijection $\pi_0 B\mathcal{M}_n \cong N_n \mathcal{F}'$. By claim 1 and 3 the maps $\pi_0 B\mathcal{M}_n \leftarrow B\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow BC_n$ are homotopy equivalences, and hence $BS_n : BC_n \rightarrow N_n \mathcal{F}'$ is indeed an equivalence. \square

Assuming the contractibility of $B\text{Sub}'(X, w)$, which we prove in the next section, we can now show that both functors $\mathcal{J} \leftarrow \int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ are equivalence on classifying spaces.

Proof of theorem 5.2. Thomason showed that the classifying space of a Grothendieck construction $\int_{\mathcal{I}} F$ is equivalent to the homotopy colimit of the classifying space $BF(i)$, see [Tho79]. While Thomason only considered discrete categories, the analogous statement is true for topologically enriched categories, see [Rap14, Proposition 2.1.1]. By corollary 5.23 the classifying space $B\text{Sub}'(G, w)$ is contractible for all $(G, w) \in \mathcal{J}$. Therefore if we replace $\text{Sub}'(G, w)$ by the trivial category $*$, then this induces an equivalence on the homotopy colimits:

$$B \int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}' \simeq \text{hocolim}_{(G, w) \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}} B\text{Sub}'(G, w) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{hocolim}_{(G, w) \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}} * \simeq B \int_{\mathcal{J}} * \cong B\mathcal{J}.$$

This shows that the left-wards functor $\mathcal{J} \leftarrow \int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}'$ is an equivalence on classifying spaces.

For the other functor we note that, because geometric realisation commutes with homotopy colimits, we may also compute the classifying space of the Grothendieck construction as:

$$\left| B \int_{\mathcal{J}} N_\bullet \text{Sub}' \right| \simeq \left| \text{hocolim}_{(X, w) \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}} N_\bullet \text{Sub}'(X, w) \right| \simeq \text{hocolim}_{(X, w) \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}} B\text{Sub}'(X, w) \simeq B \left(\int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}' \right)$$

We have shown in proposition 5.19 that for all n the map

$$S_n : B \left(\int_{\mathcal{J}} N_n \text{Sub}' \right) \longrightarrow N_n \mathcal{F}'$$

is an equivalence. Hence, after passing to geometric realisations, we see that $BS : B \int_{\mathcal{J}} \text{Sub}' \rightarrow B\mathcal{F}'$ is an equivalence. Moreover $B\mathcal{F}' \simeq B\mathcal{F}$ since \mathcal{F}' is a full subcategory that contains at least one object per isomorphism class. \square

5.3 Height functions for graphs

In this section show that, in some sense, the choice of a filtration on a graph is a contractible choice. Concretely, we will show in proposition 5.22 that $B(\text{Sub}(X, w))$ is contractible for any topological graph (X, w) . To prove this we first introduce height functions $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and then we obtain filtrations as preimages of $(\infty, t]$ for regular values t .

For the purpose of this section we will assume that all topological graphs (X, w) come with a metric d . In particular this induces the structure of a Riemannian 1-manifold on $X \setminus X_{\text{sing}}$.

Definition 5.20. A *height function* for a graph (X, w, d) is continuous map $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h|_{X \setminus X_{\text{sing}}}$ is smooth. Let $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ denote the vector space of height functions on a graph G . We topologise this as a subvector space of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X \setminus X_{\text{sing}}, \mathbb{R})$ equipped with the Whitney \mathcal{C}^∞ -topology.

Given a graph (X, w, d) with height-function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we say that $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a *regular value* for h if for any vertex $v \in (X_w \cup X_{\text{sing}})$ we have that $h(v) \neq t$ and if t is a regular value of $h|_{X \setminus X_{\text{sing}}}$.

In order to use the height-function to cut G non-trivially we need to require the height-function to not be constant. Homotopically, this is not a problem because of the following fact:

Fact 5.21 ([BP75, Theorem VI.5.2, Corollary V.6.2, and Theorem V.6.3]). *If V is an infinite-dimensional Frechét space and $U \subset V$ is a finite-dimensional subspace, then the complement $V \setminus U$ is contractible.*




Figure 11: A filtration induced by a height function $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and regular values $t_0 \leq t_1$.

Proposition 5.22. *For any $(X, w) \in \mathcal{J}$ the classifying space of the topological poset $\text{Sub}(X, w)$ is contractible.*

Proof. Fix (X, w) and pick some metric d . Consider the topological poset \mathcal{P} where an object is a tuple $(h, t) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that t is a regular value for h and $t \in h(X)$. We define the relation of \mathcal{P} to be $(h, t) \leq (h', t')$ whenever $h = h'$ and $t \leq t'$. The nerve $N_\bullet \mathcal{P}$ admits an augmentation where we let $N_{-1} \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ be the subspace of functions h such that there exists a regular value $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \in h(X)$.

The augmented (semi-)simplicial space $N_\bullet \mathcal{P}$ is a topological flag complex in the sense of [GRW14, Definition 6.1]. Moreover, $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \times \mathbb{R}$ is open, and therefore [GRW14, Theorem 6.2] tells us that the augmentation map $B\mathcal{P} \rightarrow N_{-1}\mathcal{P}$ is a weak equivalence. (See lemma [Ste21, Lemma 7.9] for a formulation of the special case we are using.)

By definition $N_{-1}\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ is the space of those height functions h that admit a regular value t that lies in the image of h . By Sard's theorem the set of regular values of a given $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is open and dense in \mathbb{R} . Therefore we can always find such a regular value, unless the function h is constant. This means that $N_{-1}\mathcal{P}$ is the complement $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \setminus \mathbb{R}$ of the one-dimensional subspace of constant functions. By fact 5.21 this space is contractible and so we conclude that $B\mathcal{P} \simeq N_{-1}\mathcal{P} \simeq *$ is contractible.

To complete the proof we will construct a level-wise equivalence between $N_\bullet \mathcal{P}$ and $N_\bullet \text{Sub}(X, w)$. Consider the map $P : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Sub}(X, w)$ defined by sending (h, t) to the preimage $U := h^{-1}(-\infty, t]$ as illustrated in figure 11. This subset is always admissible because t is regular. It follows from inspection that this map is continuous and it preserves the relation as $h^{-1}(-\infty, t] \subset h^{-1}(-\infty, t']$ when $t \leq t'$. We therefore get a well-defined map of simplicial spaces $P_\bullet : N_\bullet \mathcal{P} \rightarrow N_\bullet \text{Sub}(X, w)$.

Fix some n . We would like to show that P_n is an equivalence. Consider the subspace $N_n \text{Sub}(X, w)^{<} \subset N_n \text{Sub}(X, w)$ that only contains those filtrations $U_0 \subset \dots \subset U_n$ where ∂U_i is disjoint from U_{i-1} for all i . Similarly let $N_n \mathcal{P}^{<} \subset N_n \mathcal{P}$ be the subspace of those tuples (h, t_\bullet) where $t_{i-1} < t_i$ for all i . In both cases the inclusion of this subspace is a homotopy equivalence. We will construct a map $q : N_n \text{Sub}(X, w)^{<} \rightarrow N_n \mathcal{P}^{<}$ that is homotopy inverse to the restriction of P_n to $N_n \mathcal{P}^{<}$.

Fix some smooth function $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\sigma(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$, $\sigma(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$, and $\sigma'(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$. Given some length $(n+1)$ -filtration $U_0 \subset \dots \subset U_n \subset X$ we define a height function $h_{U_\bullet} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$h_{U_\bullet}(x) = \begin{cases} -\sigma(d(x, \partial U_0)) & \text{if } x \in U_0 \\ i + \sigma\left(\frac{d(x, \partial U_i)}{d(x, \partial U_{i+1}) + d(x, \partial U_i)}\right) & \text{if } x \in U_{i+1} \setminus U_i^\circ \\ n + \sigma(d(x, \partial U_n)) & \text{if } x \in |G| \setminus U_n^\circ \end{cases}$$

One checks that h is indeed a smooth function with image in $[-1, n+1]$ and that h depends smoothly on the filtration $U_\bullet \in N_n \text{Sub}(X, w)^{<}$. Any $i = 0, \dots, n$ is a regular value of h and we have that $h_{U_\bullet}^{-1}(-\infty, i] = U_i$ by construction. We define $q : N_n \text{Sub}(X, w)^{<} \rightarrow N_n \mathcal{P}^{<}$ by sending U_\bullet to $(h_{U_\bullet}, t_\bullet)$ with $t_i := i$. By construction $P_n \circ q$ is the identity on $N_n \text{Sub}(X, w)^{<}$, so q is a section of $(P_n)_{|N_n \mathcal{P}^{<}}$. To construct a homotopy between $q \circ (P_n)_{|N_n \mathcal{P}^{<}}$ and the identity note that given (h, t_\bullet) and $(h', t'_\bullet) \in N_n \mathcal{P}$ that induce the same filtration the function $(1-\lambda)h + \lambda h'$ is a height function with regular values $(1-\lambda)t_\bullet + \lambda t'_\bullet$ for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. We can therefore simply write down an affine linear homotopy between $q \circ P_n$ and $\text{id}_{N_n \mathcal{P}}$.

This completes the proof that $P : N_\bullet \mathcal{P} \rightarrow N_\bullet \text{Sub}(X, w)$ is a level-wise weak equivalence. After taking geometric realisations have weak equivalences

$$B\text{Sub}(X, w) \simeq B\mathcal{P} \simeq N_{-1}\mathcal{P} \simeq *.$$

□

Corollary 5.23. *For any topological graph (X, w) the classifying space $B\text{Sub}'(X, w)$ is contractible.*

Proof. This will follow from the above together with the base-change theorem 3.16 if we can show that $N_\bullet \text{Sub}'(X, w) \rightarrow N_\bullet \text{Sub}(X, w)$ is a base-change. This map is clearly surjective on 0-simplices so we need to check that the following diagram is a homotopy pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_n \text{Sub}'(X, w) & \longrightarrow & (N_0 \text{Sub}'(X, w))^{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_n \text{Sub}(X, w) & \longrightarrow & (N_0 \text{Sub}(X, w))^{n+1}. \end{array}$$

The map $\text{Sub}'(X, w) \rightarrow \text{Sub}(X, w)$ is a covering and the fiber at some $U \in \text{Sub}(X, w)$ is the discrete set of bijections $\{1, \dots, u\} \cong \partial U$. (This covering is actually trivial unless $(X, w) = (S^1, 0)$.) Hence $(\text{Sub}'(X, w))^{n+1} \rightarrow (\text{Sub}(X, w))^{n+1}$ is a covering and the fiber is the set of $(n+1)$ -tuples of bijections $\alpha_i : \{1, \dots, u_i\} \cong \partial U_i$. Similar to the above $N_n \text{Sub}'(X, w) \rightarrow N_n \text{Sub}(X, w)$ is a covering with fiber the set of $(n+1)$ -tuples of bijection α_i . Since both vertical maps are coverings and their fibers agree it follows that the square is indeed a homotopy pullback square. \square

6 Comparison to Δ_g

In the previous sections we computed the classifying space $B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$ up to weak equivalence of infinite loop spaces and showed that it splits off free infinite loop spaces on the double-suspension of BJ_g . Below we recall the moduli space Δ_g from tropical geometry and show that there is a rational homology equivalence $BJ_g \rightarrow \Delta_g$. In fact, one can argue that BJ_g is the homotopy type of a moduli *stack* whose coarse space is Δ_g , though we defer this to future work.

One shortcoming of our results so far is that the proof does not yield an explicit splitting map $B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \rightarrow Q(\Sigma^2 BJ_g)$. This makes it difficult to understand how the much-studied rational cohomology of Δ_g embeds into the cohomology of $B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$. The purpose of this section is to show that this can be fixed by “ignoring finite automorphism groups”. Concretely, we will work up to rational homotopy equivalence, which leads to several simplifications: we can work with the 1-category $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ instead of the $(2, 1)$ -category $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$, we can map to the coarse tropical moduli space Δ_g instead of BJ_g , and we can work with the free commutative topological monoid $\text{SP}^\infty(X) = (\coprod_{n \geq 0} X^n / \Sigma_n) / \sim$ on a based space X instead of the free infinite loop space $Q(X)$.

Note that the space $B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$ has a partially defined commutative multiplication defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [(M_0 \xrightarrow{[W_1]} \dots \xrightarrow{[W_n]} M_n), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] + [(N_0 \xrightarrow{[V_1]} \dots \xrightarrow{[V_n]} N_n), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \\ = [(M_0 \cup N_0 \xrightarrow{[W_1 \amalg V_1]} \dots \xrightarrow{[W_n \amalg V_n]} M_n \cup N_n), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\forall i : (t_i > 0 \Rightarrow M_i \cap N_i = \emptyset)$, and undefined otherwise. (We can always arrange for the (t_0, \dots, t_n) component to be identical by inserting identity morphisms.) We will construct a continuous map of partially defined commutative topological monoids as described in figure 1 in the introduction:

$$\mu : B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \longrightarrow \text{SP}^\infty(S^2(\Delta_2) \vee S^2(\Delta_3) \vee \dots).$$

Here $S^2(-)$ denotes the unreduced double-suspension. The goal of this section is to show that μ corresponds, up to rational equivalence, to the projection onto the third factor in theorem C; in the following sense:

Theorem 6.1. *The map μ fits into a commutative diagram of partially defined commutative topological monoids:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) & \xrightarrow[\text{Theorem C}]{\simeq} B\mathbb{Z} \times |BCut_\bullet^{(g=1)}| \times |BCut_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}| & \xrightarrow{\text{project}} |BCut_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}| \\ \downarrow \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} & & \downarrow \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{SP}^\infty(S^2(\Delta_2) \vee S^2(\Delta_3) \vee \dots) & \end{array}$$

where the maps labelled by \simeq and $\simeq_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are (rational) homotopy equivalences.

Remark 6.2. To compare this to the formulation of theorem C given in the introduction, note that by combining corollary 3.44 with theorem 5.1 we get:

$$|BCut_\bullet^{(g=1)}| \simeq Q(\Sigma^2 BSO_2) \quad \text{and} \quad |BCut_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}| \simeq Q(\bigvee_{g \geq 2} \Sigma^2 BJ_g).$$

To obtain the specific map $B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z} \times |BCut_\bullet|$ in theorem 6.1 we use the canonical quotient map on the second and third factor, and on the first factor we use the functor $F : \text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ that sends a cobordism $W : M \rightarrow N$ to the integer $\frac{1}{2}(|\pi_0(N)| - |\pi_0(M)| + \chi(W))$. This is the homotopy splitting of $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0, \partial_+} \subset \text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ constructed in proposition 4.27. Note that $B\mathbb{Z}$ is a topological abelian group and $BF : B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}$ sends disjoint unions to sums.

The Dold-Thom theorem [DT58] states that there is a natural isomorphism $\pi_* \text{SP}^\infty(X) \cong \tilde{H}_*(X)$ between the homotopy groups of the infinite symmetric power of X and the by the reduced homology of X . Hence μ induces a map from $\pi_n B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0})$ to $\bigoplus_{g \geq 2} H_{n-2}(\Delta_g; \mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 6.3. *On rational homotopy groups μ induces a surjection:*

$$\pi_*^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mu) : \pi_*^{\mathbb{Q}} B(\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}) \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{g \geq 2} H_{*-2}(\Delta_g; \mathbb{Q})$$

the kernel of which is spanned by a single class α in degree one and a class ρ_i in each degree $4i + 2$.

6.1 Constructing the maps

We now recall the definitions used above, starting with the moduli space Δ_g , which we define as a colimit indexed by J_g following [CGP16]

Definition 6.4. Consider the functor $\Delta^E : J^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ that sends (G, w) to the space

$$\Delta^{E_G} = \{d : E_G \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \sum_{e \in E_G} d(e) = 1\}.$$

For $g \geq 2$ we define the *moduli space of tropical curves of genus g and volume 1* Δ_g as the colimit $\text{colim}_{(G, w) \in J_g^{\text{op}}} \Delta^E(G, w)$.

A point in Δ_g is represented by a stable graph $G \in J$ of genus g together with a function $d : E_G \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which we think of as recording the lengths of the edges. Every point in Δ_g has a representative where the edge lengths are strictly positive, and in this case there is a unique metric on the topological space representing G such that any edge $e \subset G$ is isometric to $[0, d(e)] \subset \mathbb{R}$. The underlying set of Δ_g can hence be identified with the set of of stable metric graphs up to weight-preserving isometry.

Remark 6.5. In [CGP16] the authors first define a moduli space M_g^{trop} as the colimit over the functor $\sigma : J_g^{\text{CGP}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ that sends G to $\sigma(G) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{E_G} = \{l : E_G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$. This colimit has a natural map to $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ defined by taking the sum of all edge-lengths: this is the volume of the tropical curve. The moduli space Δ_g is then defined as the subspace of M_g^{trop} containing the tropical curves of volume 1. This is canonically homeomorphic to our definition via:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g &\stackrel{[\text{CGP16}]}{=} \{1\} \times_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \left(\text{colim}_{G \in J_g^{\text{CGP}}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{E_G} \right) \cong \text{colim}_{G \in J_g^{\text{CGP}}} \left(\{1\} \times_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{E_G} \right) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{G \in J_g^{\text{CGP}}} \Delta^{E_G} \cong \text{colim}_{G \in J_g} \Delta^{E_G}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last homeomorphism uses that $J_g \simeq J_g^{\text{CGP}} \setminus \{\bullet_g\}$ (see remark 5.11) and $\Delta^E(\bullet_g) = \emptyset$.

Definition 6.6. The map $\Phi : B\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0} \rightarrow \Delta_g$ is defined as follows; compare figure 12. A point in $B\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0}$ is represented by a tuple

$$[(\emptyset \xrightarrow{[W_0]} M_0 \xrightarrow{[W_1]} \dots M_n \xrightarrow{[W_{n+1}]} \emptyset), (t_0, \dots, t_n)]$$

whose first entry is an element of $N_n \mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0} \subset N_{n+2} \text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0}$ and whose second entry is a point in the topological n -simplex Δ^n . Define a graph G with vertices $\coprod_{i=0}^{n+1} \pi_0(W_i)$ and edges $\coprod_{i=0}^n \pi_0(M_i)$. Each

edge $a \in \pi_0(M_i)$ is connected to the two vertices corresponding to the images of a under $\pi_0(W_i) \leftarrow \pi_0(M_i) \rightarrow \pi_0(W_{i+1})$. This graph is weighted by $w : V_G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, which sends each $[U] \in \pi_0(W_i)$ to the genus of the subsurface $U \subset W_i$. Moreover, we define an edge length function $d : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by $d(a) = t_i \cdot |\pi_0(M_i)|^{-1}$ for $a \in \pi_0(M_i)$. Now we set $\Phi((M_\bullet, [W_\bullet]), t_\bullet) := [G, d]$ in Δ_g .




Figure 12: An example of how the map $\Phi : B(\mathcal{F}_5^{\chi \leq 0}) \rightarrow \Delta_5$ from definition 6.6 can be evaluated on a 2-simplex. The 2-simplex is parametrised by $(t_0, t_1, t_2) \in [0, 1]^3$ with $t_0 + t_1 + t_2 = 1$. Φ sends this to the weighted metric graph with one vertex per component in each morphism W_i , weighted by the genus of this component, and one edge of length $\frac{1}{|\pi_0(M_i)|} t_i$ per circle in each object M_i . Afterwards, all valence 2 and genus 0 vertices are deleted and the length of their adjacent edges is added.

Definition 6.7. For a space X let $\Sigma_+^2(X)$ denote the double-suspension of X_+ :

$$\Sigma_+^2(X) = \{(x, a, b) \in X \times [0, 1]^2 \mid a + b \leq 1\} / \{(x, a, b) \mid a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0 \text{ or } a + b = 1\}.$$

Definition 6.8. For a based space (Y, y_0) let $\text{SP}^\infty(Y, y_0)$ denote the infinite symmetric power of (Y, y_0) . The underlying set of $\text{SP}^\infty(Y, y_0)$ is the free abelian monoid on the set Y modulo the relation $[y_0] = 0$. This is topologised with the quotient topology with respect to the map

$$\coprod_{n \geq 0} Y^n \rightarrow \text{SP}^\infty(Y, y_0), \quad (y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i].$$

Definition 6.9. We construct a continuous map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : BCob_2^{\chi \leq 0} &\longrightarrow \text{SP}^\infty(\Sigma_+^2(\Delta_2 \amalg \Delta_3 \amalg \dots)) \\ [(M_0 \xrightarrow{[W_1]} \dots \xrightarrow{[W_n]} M_n), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] &\longmapsto \sum_{\substack{U \subset W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_n \\ \text{closed of genus } \geq 2}} [[G_U, w_U, d_U], t_0 + \dots + t_{a_U-1}, t_{b_U+1} + \dots + t_n] \end{aligned}$$

The sum runs over all connected components $U \subset W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_n$ that are closed surfaces of genus at least 2. Here $1 \leq a_U \leq b_U \leq n$ are the smallest and largest number, respectively, such that $U \cap M_{a_U} \neq \emptyset \neq U \cap M_{b_U}$ and $[G_U, w_U, d_U] \in \Delta_{g(U)}$ is defined using the map Φ from definition 6.6:

$$[G_U, w_U, d_U] := \Phi \left((\emptyset \xrightarrow{[W_{a_U} \cap U]} M_{a_U} \cap U \xrightarrow{[W_{a_U+1} \cap U]} \dots \rightarrow M_{b_U} \cap U \xrightarrow{[W_{b_U+1} \cap U]} \emptyset), (t_{a_U}, \dots, t_{b_U}) \right) \in \Delta_{g(U)}$$

6.2 The map $B\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0} \rightarrow \Delta_g$ is an isomorphism on rational homology

In this subsection we will define maps Φ_1 , Φ_2 and Φ_3 making the following diagram commute up to homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B\left(\int_{J_g} \text{Sub}'\right) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & BJ_g & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & B\left(\int_{J_g} \Delta^E\right) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \Phi_2 & \swarrow \Phi_3 & \\ B\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & B\mathcal{F}_g(\text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{>0}, 1)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & \Delta_g \\ & \searrow \Phi & & & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

The maps labelled by \simeq are equivalences. For the bottom left map this follows from the equivalence $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \simeq \text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{>1}, 1)$ constructed in lemma 2.16. For the two maps in the middle this was shown in our proof of theorem 5.2, which stated that $B\mathcal{F}_g(\text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{>0}, 1)) \simeq BJ_g$ via this zig-zag. For the horizontal map on the right it follows from the observation that each Δ^{EG} is contractible and that hence $\int_{J_g} \Delta^E \rightarrow J_g$ is a level-wise equivalence on nerves. Once the diagram is established we show that Φ_3 is an isomorphism on rational homology by applying a version of the Vietoris-Begle theorem and then it follows that the same is true for Φ_1 and Φ_2 .

Definition 6.10. The maps Φ_i in diagram 1 are defined as follows.

- The map $\Phi_3 : B\left(\int_{J_g} \Delta^E\right) \rightarrow \Delta_g$ is defined by

$$[(G_0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_n), d \in \Delta^{EG_n}, (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \mapsto [G_n, d].$$

This is the canonical comparison map from the homotopy colimit to the strict colimit.

- The map $\Phi_2 : BJ_g \rightarrow \Delta_g$ is defined by

$$[(G_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} G_1 \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} G_n), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \mapsto [G_0, d^f]$$

where the edge length function $d^f \in \Delta^{EG_0}$ is given as

$$d^f(e) = \sum_{i=0}^{m_e} \frac{t_i}{|E_{G_i}|} \quad \text{where } m_e = \max\{i \leq n \mid G_0 \rightarrow G_i \text{ does not collapse } e\}.$$

- The map $\Phi_1 : B\mathcal{F}_g(\text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{>0}, 1)) \rightarrow \Delta_g$ is defined in analogy with definition 6.6 as

$$[(X_0 \leftarrow A_0 \rightarrow X_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \mapsto [G, d]$$

Where the graph G has vertices $\coprod_{i=0}^{n+1} X_i$ and edges $\coprod_{i=0}^n A_i$. Each edge $a \in A_i$ is connected to the two vertices corresponding to the images of a under $X_i \leftarrow A_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$. The edge length function $d : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is $d(a) = t_i \cdot |A_i|^{-1}$ for $a \in A_i$. (To be precise, we should also remove all bivalent vertices and add the lengths of their incident edges.)

Remark 6.11. To see that the above maps are indeed continuous one check that they are continuous on each simplex and well-defined on faces. We will not spell out the details here.

Lemma 6.12. The maps Φ_1 , Φ_2 , and Φ_3 make the diagram 1 commute up to homotopy.

Proof. First, consider the bigon involving Φ and Φ_1 . This commutes on-the-nose, basically because Φ was defined by combining Φ_1 with the functor $\text{Cob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \rightarrow \text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{>0}, 1)$ from lemma 2.16.

Next, consider the triangle on the right. The two maps $B\left(\int_{J_g} \Delta^E\right) \rightarrow \Delta_g$ are given by

$$[(G_0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_n), d \in \Delta^{EG_n}, (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \mapsto [G_n, d] \text{ or } [G_0, d^f], \text{ respectively.}$$

We can rewrite $[G_n, d] = [G_0, f^*d]$ where $f^*d \in \Delta^{EG_0}$ assigns 0 to each edge that is collapsed by $f : G_0 \rightarrow G_n$ and $d(f(e))$ to any other edge. The homotopy can then be defined as

$$H_\lambda : [(G_0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_n), d \in \Delta^{EG_n}, (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \mapsto [G_0, \lambda f^*d + (1 - \lambda)d^f],$$

which yields a family of maps $H_\lambda : B\left(\int_{J_g} \Delta^E\right) \rightarrow \Delta_g$ depending continuously on $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Finally, we consider the square on the left. Inspecting the definitions, one sees the composite $B\left(\int_{J_g} \Delta^E\right) \rightarrow B\mathcal{F}_g(\text{Csp}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{>0}, 1)) \rightarrow \Delta_g$ is given by

$$[(G_0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_n), (U_i \in \text{Sub}'(G_i)), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \mapsto [G_0, d^U]$$

where the edge length function d^U is defined as

$$d^U(e) = \sum_{i=0}^n t_i \frac{|e \cap (f_i \circ \dots \circ f_1)^{-1}(\partial U_i)|}{|\partial U_i|}.$$

The other composite in the square simply sends $[(G_\bullet), (U_\bullet), (t_\bullet)]$ to $[G_0, d^f]$ with d^f as in the definition of Φ_2 . Now that we have written the value of both maps as G_0 with a certain metric, we can again use affine linear interpolation of metrics to construct the desired homotopy: $[G_0, \lambda d^U + (1 - \lambda)d^f]$. \square

We will show that Φ_3 is an isomorphism on rational homology, by checking that its fibers are $K(\pi, 1)$ s for π the automorphism group of a finite metric graph, which is finite. Then we use the following variant of the Vietoris-Begle theorem:

Lemma 6.13. *Let $(r : X_\bullet \rightarrow X_{-1})$ be an augmented simplicial space such that each X_n is a finite CW complex. Assume further that for each $x \in X_{-1}$ the fiber $Y_\bullet := r^{-1}(x)$ has trivial rational homology $H_*(|Y_\bullet|; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. Then the augmentation $|X_\bullet| \rightarrow X_{-1}$ is an isomorphism in rational homology.*

Proof. For some $N \gg 0$ consider the N -skeleton $X_\bullet^{(N)} \subset X_\bullet$. Then $|X^{(N)}|$ is a compact Hausdorff space and $|X^{(N)}| \rightarrow |X|$ is N -connected. The fiber of the augmentation $|X^{(N)}| \rightarrow X_{-1}$ at some x is the N -skeleton $|Y^{(N)}|$, which has trivial rational homology $H_*(|Y^{(N)}|; \mathbb{Q})$ for $* < N$. We may therefore apply the Vietoris-Begle theorem to the restricted map $r : |X^{(N)}| \rightarrow X_{-1}$ and conclude that it is an isomorphism on rational homology in degrees $* < N$. This implies $r : |X_\bullet| \rightarrow X_{-1}$ is a rational homology isomorphism in this range. Since N was arbitrary the claim follows. \square

Lemma 6.14. *The map $\Phi_3 : B \left(\int_{J_g} \text{Sub}' \right) \rightarrow \Delta_g$ is an isomorphism on rational homology.*

Proof. Write X_\bullet for the augmented simplicial space given by $X_n := N_n \int_{J_g} \text{Sub}'$, $X_{-1} := \Delta_g$ and augmentation map $r : (G_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_n, d) \mapsto [G_n, d]$. We will complete the proof by showing that this satisfies the conditions of lemma 6.13.) For the purpose of this proof let us assume that we have replaced the category J_g with a skeleton. Then each $N_n J_g$ is a finite set and each X_n is a finite CW-complex.

It remains to show that every fiber of the augmentation map $\Phi_3 : |X_\bullet| \rightarrow X_{-1}$ has trivial rational homology. An element in the colimit Δ_g is represented by a triple (G, w, d) where $(G, w) \in J_g$ and $d \in \Delta^{E_G}$ is a metric on (G, w) . By collapsing those edges with $d_e = 0$ we can always find a representative where every edge has non-zero length. The fiber $\Phi_3^{-1}([G, w, d])$ can be written as the classifying space of the full subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset \int_{J_g} \Delta^E$ on those objects (G', w', d') such that (G', w', d') is isomorphic to (G, w, d) as a metric weighted graph. Let $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ denote the full subcategory on those (G', w', d') where we also require that all edges $e \in E_{G'}$ has non-zero length $d'_e > 0$. The inclusion of this subcategory has a left-adjoint $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ given by sending a graph to the quotient where we collapse all the edges of length 0. On classifying spaces this yields a homotopy equivalence $B\mathcal{C}_0 \simeq B\mathcal{C}$. Now note that \mathcal{C}_0 is in fact a connected groupoid, and so $B\mathcal{C}_0$ is equivalent to $B \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(G', w', d')$ for any object (G', w', d') . The automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(G', w', d')$ is the isometry group of the finite metric graph (G', w', d') , which is finite. Since finite groups have trivial rational homology, we can conclude:

$$H_*(r^{-1}([G, w, d]); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(B\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(B \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(G', w', d'); \mathbb{Q}) \cong 0.$$

The claim now follows from lemma 6.13. \square

6.3 The map into the symmetric power

In this section we prove theorem 6.1 by constructing the following commutative diagram of partially defined commutative topological monoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathrm{BCob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} & \longrightarrow & |\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}| & \xrightarrow{\simeq_Q} & \mathrm{SP}^\infty(|\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, (g \geq 2)}|) & \xrightarrow{\simeq_Q} & \\
 \downarrow \simeq_Q & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 \mathrm{BCob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} & \longrightarrow & |\pi_0 \mathrm{Cut}_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}| & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SP}^\infty(|\pi_0 \mathrm{Cut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, (g \geq 2)}|) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SP}^\infty(\bigvee_{g \geq 2} S^2(\Delta_g))
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

To clarify the notation used in the diagram, recall that Cut_\bullet is a simplicial object in partial commutative monoidal groupoids. This means that each BCut_n is a partially defined commutative topological monoid and the set of isomorphism classes $\pi_0 \mathrm{Cut}_n := \pi_0(\mathrm{BCut}_n)$ is a commutative monoid. We therefore have a canonical map $|\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet| \rightarrow |\pi_0 \mathrm{Cut}_\bullet|$, which is compatible with the partially defined multiplication. This describes the three vertical maps in the diagram.

In the left-hand square the top vertical map is the composite

$$\mathrm{BCob}_2^{\chi \leq 0} \hookrightarrow |\mathrm{BD}_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\text{project}} |\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}|$$

and the bottom map is defined by passing to the quotient where isomorphic n -simplices are identified. One checks that this is well-defined, and the square commutes automatically.

For the square in the middle the top vertical map is defined by summing over connected components, as described in the following lemma, where we also show that it is a rational equivalence. The bottom vertical map is again defined by passing to the quotient.

Lemma 6.15. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}| \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^\infty(|\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, (g \geq 2)}|) \\
 & [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{[W_0]} M_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_n \xrightarrow{[W_{n+1}]} \emptyset), (t_0, \dots, t_n)] \\
 & \longmapsto \sum_{U \subset W_0 \cup \cdots \cup W_{n+1}} [(\emptyset \xrightarrow{[W_0 \cap U]} M_0 \cap U \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_n \cap U \xrightarrow{[W_{n+1} \cap U]} \emptyset), (t_0, \dots, t_n)]
 \end{aligned}$$

is a rational homotopy equivalence. Here the sum runs over all connected components U of the closed surface $W_0 \cup \cdots \cup W_{n+1}$.

Proof. The map described is compatible with the partially defined commutative monoid structure and hence induces a map of Γ -spaces. It suffices to check that the map is an isomorphism on rational homology (because both sides are equivalent to infinite loop spaces, or alternatively because both sides are simply connected). By corollary 3.36 the rational homology of $|\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}|$ is freely generated by the rational homology of $|\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, (g \geq 2)}|$. The same is true for $\mathrm{SP}^\infty(|\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, (g \geq 2)}|)$, so all we need to check is that the following composite

$$|\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, (g \geq 2)}| \longrightarrow |\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{(g \geq 2)}| \longrightarrow \mathrm{SP}^\infty(|\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, (g \geq 2)}|)$$

is the canonical inclusion. This follows from inspection: the sum $\sum_{U \subset W_0 \cup \cdots}$ only has a single summand as $W_0 \cup \cdots \cup W_{n+1}$ is connected. \square

To complete diagram 2 we only have to construct the commutative triangle on the right in such a way that the diagonal map is a rational equivalence. This will be obtained by applying SP^∞ to the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 |\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, g \geq 2}| & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigvee_{g \geq 2} S^2 |\mathrm{BCut}_\bullet^{F, (g)}| & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigvee_{g \geq 2} S^2 |\mathrm{BN}_\bullet^{\mathrm{rel}} \mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0}| & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \bigvee_{g \geq 2} S^2 \mathrm{B}\mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0} \\
 \downarrow & & & & & \searrow \simeq_Q & \downarrow \simeq_Q S^2(\Phi) \\
 |\pi_0 \mathrm{Cut}_\bullet^{\mathrm{con}, (g \geq 2)}| & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & \quad \quad \quad & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & \quad \quad \quad & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & \bigvee_{g \geq 2} S^2(\Delta_g)
 \end{array}$$

The horizontal maps in the top row are the equivalences constructed in lemma 3.39 and 3.43: The vertical map Φ is taken from definition 6.6. The diagonal dashed map exists because $\Phi : |N_{\bullet} \mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0}| \rightarrow \Delta_g$ already maps any two isomorphic n -simplices in $N_{\bullet} \mathcal{F}_g^{\chi \leq 0}$ to Δ_g in the same way. The horizontal dashed map exists for the same reason.

To conclude the proof of theorem 6.1 we combine the formula of lemma 6.15 and lemma 3.39 observe that the composite

$$BCob_2^{\chi \leq 0} \longrightarrow |\pi_0 \text{Cut}_{\bullet}^{(g \geq 2)}| \longrightarrow \text{SP}^{\infty}(|\pi_0 \text{Cut}_{\bullet}^{\text{con}, (g \geq 2)}|) \longrightarrow \text{SP}^{\infty}(\bigvee_{g \geq 2} S^2(\Delta_g))$$

is exactly the μ described in definition 6.9.

References

- [Bas+17] Maria Basterra, Irina Bobkova, Kate Ponto, Ulrike Tillmann, and Sarah Yeakel. “Infinite loop spaces from operads with homological stability”. In: *Adv. Math.* 321 (2017), pp. 391–430.
- [BP75] Czesław Bessaga and Aleksander Pełczyński. *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*. Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 58. [Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 58]. PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1975, p. 353.
- [CGP16] Melody Chan, Søren Galatius, and Sam Payne. *The tropicalization of the moduli space of curves II: Topology and applications*. Preprint: arXiv:1604.03176. 2016.
- [CGP21] Melody Chan, Søren Galatius, and Sam Payne. “Tropical curves, graph complexes, and top weight cohomology of \mathcal{M}_g ”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 34.2 (2021), pp. 565–594.
- [DT58] Albrecht Dold and René Thom. “Quasifaserungen und unendliche symmetrische Produkte”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 67 (1958), pp. 239–281.
- [Dug01] Daniel Dugger. “Universal homotopy theories”. In: *Adv. Math.* 164.1 (2001), pp. 144–176.
- [ERW19] Johannes Ebert and Oscar Randal-Williams. “Semi-simplicial spaces”. In: *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* 19 (4 2019), pp. 2099–2150.
- [Gal11] Søren Galatius. “Stable homology of automorphism groups of free groups”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 173.2 (2011), pp. 705–768.
- [GGN15] David Gepner, Moritz Groth, and Thomas Nikolaus. “Universality of multiplicative infinite loop space machines”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 15.6 (2015), pp. 3107–3153.
- [GMTW09] Søren Galatius, Ib Madsen, Ulrike Tillmann, and Michael Weiss. “The homotopy type of the cobordism category”. In: *Acta Mathematica* 202 (2009), pp. 195–239.
- [GRW10] Søren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams. “Monoids of moduli spaces of manifolds”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 14.3 (2010), pp. 1243–1302.
- [GRW14] Søren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams. “Stable moduli spaces of high-dimensional manifolds”. In: *Acta Math.* 212.2 (2014), pp. 257–377.
- [Hir94] Morris W. Hirsch. *Differential topology*. Vol. 33. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994, pp. x+222.
- [HRY15] Philip Hackney, Marcy Robertson, and Donald Yau. *Infinity properads and infinity wheeled properads*. Vol. 2147. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. xv+358.
- [JT13] R. Juer and U. Tillmann. “Localisations of cobordism categories and invertible TFTs in dimension two”. In: *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 15.2 (2013), pp. 195–225.
- [Lod87] Jean-Louis Loday. “Homologies diédrale et quaternionique”. In: *Adv. in Math.* 66.2 (1987), pp. 119–148.

- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*. Ann. of Math. Stud. 170. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [May72] J. P. May. *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*. Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [Mil62] J. Milnor. “A unique decomposition theorem for 3-manifolds”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 84 (1962), pp. 1–7.
- [MT01] Ib Madsen and Ulrike Tillmann. “The stable mapping class group and $Q(\mathbb{CP}^\infty)$ ”. In: *Invent. Math.* 145.3 (2001), pp. 509–544.
- [MW07] Ib Madsen and Michael Weiss. “The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford’s conjecture”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 165.3 (2007), pp. 843–941.
- [Rap14] George Raptis. “On the homotopy type of certain cobordism categories of surfaces”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 8 (2014), pp. 2037–2089.
- [Rez01] Charles Rezk. “A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353.3 (2001), pp. 973–1007.
- [Seg04] Graeme Segal. “The definition of conformal field theory”. In: *Topology, geometry and quantum field theory*. Vol. 308. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 421–577.
- [Seg74] Graeme Segal. “Categories and cohomology theories”. In: *Topology* 13 (1974), pp. 293–312.
- [Stb19] Jan Steinebrunner. *Locally (co)Cartesian fibrations as realisation fibrations and the classifying space of cospans*. Preprint: 1909.07133. 2019.
- [Ste21] Jan Steinebrunner. “The classifying space of the one-dimensional bordism category and a cobordism model for TC of spaces”. In: *J. Topol.* 14.1 (2021), pp. 62–111.
- [Stm17] Wolfgang Steimle. “Degeneracies in quasi-categories”. In: *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* (2018), pp. 703–714.
- [Tho79] R. W. Thomason. “Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories”. In: *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 85.1 (1979), pp. 91–109.
- [Til96] Ulrike Tillmann. “The classifying space of the $1 + 1$ dimensional cobordism category.” In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* (1996), pp. 67–76.
- [Wil15] Thomas Willwacher. “M. Kontsevich’s graph complex and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra”. In: *Invent. Math.* 200.3 (2015), pp. 671–760.