

"Predicting Neutrino Emission for the Sources in the H.E.S.S. Galactic Plane Survey"

Rowan Batzofin^{a,*} and Nukri Komin^a

^aUniversity of the Witwatersrand,

1 Jan Smuts ave, Johannesburg, South Africa

E-mail: 1065203@students.wits.ac.za, nukri.komin@wits.ac.za

The H.E.S.S. Galactic Plane Survey has detected very-high-energy (VHE) gamma-ray emission from 78 sources in the Milky Way. These sources belong to different object classes (pulsar wind nebulae, supernova remnants or binary systems) and some of these sources remain unidentified. The gamma-ray emission of these objects may be of leptonic or hadronic origin and gamma-ray observations alone cannot distinguish between these two scenarios. The detection of neutrino emission would provide evidence for a hadronic scenario in these objects.

Based on the observed gamma-ray spectra we predict the neutrino emission of these sources under the hypothesis that the emission is solely of hadronic origin. This prediction relies entirely on observation and is independent of the source class, the distance or the ambient target material. We use these predictions to create an empirical model for the neutrino emission of the Milky Way. This model can be used to search for neutrino emission from individual gamma-ray sources as well as testing for neutrino emission from potential source populations in the Milky Way.

37th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2021)
July 12th – 23rd, 2021
Online – Berlin, Germany

*Presenter

1. Introduction

The H.E.S.S. Galactic plane survey is a very-high-energy gamma-ray survey in the Galactic plane from 250° to 64° galactic longitude and galactic latitude ≤ 3 [1]. There are 78 sources in this survey but 48 of them are unidentified. This opens the question as to what is producing these gamma rays. Neutrino emission being detected coming from these sources would be evidence of the gamma-ray emission being of hadronic origin. In this work it is assumed that all the emission (unless already known to be leptonic) is of hadronic origin in order to predict possible neutrino emission.

Parametrizations for the energy spectra of secondary particles (π mesons, gamma rays, electrons and neutrinos) produced in proton-proton collisions are presented in [2]. These parametrizations can be used for energy distributions of secondary particles in the energy range > 100 GeV and have an accuracy better than 10%. These parametrizations can be used to model the gamma-ray and neutrino emission from proton-proton collisions. This was used to create our model from which the gamma-ray data is fitted and then the prediction of neutrino emission is made.

Naima is a python package that computes the non-thermal radiation from relativistic particle populations, it also has tools for performing MCMC (Markov Chain Monte Carlo) fitting of radiative models to observed spectra [3]. The models available are: Synchrotron, Inverse Compton, Bremsstrahlung and neutral pion decay processes.

Naima provides models for gamma-ray emission from proton-proton interactions based on the parametrizations of [4] and [2]. In this work we make use of the parametrisation of [2] corresponding to our neutrino emission model.

2. Method

2.1 Neutrino flux

The first step was to make a model for each of the sources in the H.E.S.S. Galactic Plane Survey. For sources that had already been identified as PWN (Pulsar Wind Nebula) no neutrino prediction was made as the emission is supposed to be leptonic. For all other sources the emission was assumed to be hadronic. In total 66 of the 78 H.E.S.S. sources were used. A parametrisation of the proton spectrum for each source is made using naima [3] and the model of Kelner et al [2] based on the gamma-ray radiation. This proton spectrum is then used to get a prediction of the neutrino flux. If there is a Fermi [5] source nearby to the H.E.S.S. source, the combined H.E.S.S. and Fermi gamma-ray emission is used to make the fit unless the fit was significantly better by excluding the Fermi source and therefore highly unlikely that the Fermi source is a counterpart to the H.E.S.S. source. The fitting done with naima uses an affine-invariant ensemble sampler for Markov Chain Monte Carlo. Three parameters are used to define the proton spectrum: normalisation, spectral index and exponential cutoff energy.

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 shows the gamma-ray emission of HESS J1640-465 and HESS J1713-397 respectively and gives examples of what happens in the fitting. The thick black line shows the line with the maximum log likelihood while the gray lines are the other samples. In this fit all the samples are quite close together and fit the data reasonably well.




Figure 1: A plot of the samplers fit for HESS J1640-465. The blue points are for the Fermi source 4FGL J1640.6-4632 and the green points for HESS J1640-465. The dark black line is for the parameters with the maximum log likelihood value of the samplers. The χ^2 p-value is 0.34

2.2 Creating the map

To create the neutrino map gammapy,¹ a community-developed core Python package for TeV gamma-ray astronomy [6, 7] is used. A blank map is made with the same dimensions as the H.E.S.S. Galactic Plane map with an added energy dimension, the energy axis ranges from 1 TeV to 100 TeV with 4 bins: 1 TeV to 3.2 TeV, 3.2 TeV to 10 TeV, 10 TeV to 31.6 TeV and 31.6 TeV to 100 TeV. A Sky Model is then created for each source, this has two parts a spatial model and a spectral model. The spectral model is the muon neutrino part of our model based on [2]. The spatial model is created using the information from the H.E.S.S. Galactic Plane Survey results, each source is spatially modelled as either a point source, a shell model, a Gaussian or x-Gaussian (where the source is made up of multiple gaussian components). The map is then populated by taking the integral of the muon neutrino flux over each energy bin.

¹<https://www.gammapy.org>




Figure 2: A plot of the samplers fit for HESS J1713-397. The blue points are for the Fermi source 4FGL J1713.5-3945e and the green points for HESS J1713-397. The dark black line is for the parameters with the maximum log likelihood value of the samplers. The χ^2 p-value is 4.2×10^{-8}

3. Discussion

Table 1 lists the best-fit parameters for the proton spectra, the predicted muon neutrino flux and the χ^2 p-value of the fit for each source. The maximum neutrino flux over the energy range 1 TeV to 100 TeV is 2.98×10^{-11} TeV s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ for HESS J0852-463. The χ^2 p-values are calculated using the loglikelihood values determined during the fitting with naima, the loglikelihood is related to χ^2 by $\chi^2 = -2 \ln \mathcal{L}$. The worst χ^2 p-value is 4.2×10^{-8} for HESS J1713-397, the mean is 0.342 and the maximum is 0.962 for source HESS J1841-055. Fig. 2 shows the plot of the samplers for HESS J1713-397. It has a low χ^2 p-value because very few of the data points lie on the best fit line but instead lie just above or just below. Fitting only HESS J1713-397 does improve the fit but it would still be the worst fit of our sources with a χ^2 p-value of 1.3×10^{-5} .

The predicted neutrino emission map of the Milky Way can be seen in Fig. 3. The map is split into the four energy bins: 1 TeV to 3.2 TeV, 3.2 TeV to 10 TeV, 10 TeV to 31.6 TeV and 31.6 TeV to 100 TeV. In total 66 sources are plotted but 9 cannot be seen because their integrated neutrino fluxes are too small to fit into the scale of the map shown here. The map is produced using only observations and does not make use of the source class, distance to the source or the ambient target material.




Figure 3: Predicted integrated neutrino flux of the Milky Way per energy bin.

4. Conclusion

We have parametrised the proton population and created a prediction of the neutrino flux for 66 sources in the H.E.S.S. Galactic Plane survey. Of the 66 sources we fitted 19 included data from a 4FGL[5] source. The neutrino emission at higher energies strongly depends on the cut-off of the proton spectrum. The cut-off is constrained by the gamma-ray spectrum for some but not all of the sources. The neutrino emission of the sources without constrained cut-off is certainly overestimated. This model can be used as a template to be fit to neutrino observational data and to test for neutrino emission from known gamma-ray sources. Neutrino emission from the Milky Way can also arise from interactions of the sea of Cosmic Rays with the interstellar material. Diffuse gamma-ray emission detected by H.E.S.S. [8] and the Tibet Air Shower Array [9] can be used to predict diffuse neutrino emission but is not considered in this work.

Table 1: Table of fitted parameters for the proton spectra. The Neutrino flux is the Neutrino flux in the energy range 1 TeV to 100 TeV.

Source Name	normalisation TeV ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	Spectral Index	Cutoff TeV	Neutrino flux TeV s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	χ^2 p-value
HESS J0852-463	(2.40±0.7)×10 ⁴⁸	0.897±0.12	21.11±2.6	(2.98 ±1.7)×10 ⁻¹¹	0.887
HESS J1018-589 A	(5.67±5.5)×10 ⁴⁶	1.623±0.23	118.27±29.2	(4.22±6.3)×10 ⁻¹³	0.276
HESS J1018-589 B	(8.31±8.3)×10 ⁴⁶	1.298±0.32	68.89±20.3	(1.37±2.7)×10 ⁻¹²	0.922
HESS J1023-575	(2.65±0.3)×10 ⁴⁸	2.083±0.03	156.41±20.4	(3.64±0.6)×10 ⁻¹²	0.023
HESS J1026-582	(6.64±8.8)×10 ⁴⁴	0.207±0.28	85.92±47.4	(2.24±5.6)×10 ⁻¹²	0.078
HESS J1119-614	(2.84±1.1)×10 ⁴⁸	2.376±0.17	93.46±34.5	(1.02±0.8)×10 ⁻¹²	0.142
HESS J1302-638	(2.34±1.3)×10 ⁴⁸	2.740±0.19	473.77±130.1	(3.81±3.6)×10 ⁻¹³	0.003
HESS J1427-608	(2.64±55.7)×10 ⁴⁸	2.617±0.97	151.29±60.3	(5.09±0.02)×10 ⁻¹³	0.720
HESS J1442-624	(1.53±0.2)×10 ⁴⁸	1.554±0.06	22.66±2.4	(2.40±0.7)×10 ⁻¹²	0.068
HESS J1457-593	(1.55±1.0)×10 ⁴⁹	2.519±0.25	305.38±62.8	(5.15±1.1)×10 ⁻¹²	0.232
HESS J1458-608	(2.47±55.7)×10 ⁴⁷	1.521±1.01	159.98±90.0	(3.75±306.1)×10 ⁻¹²	0.034
HESS J1503-582	(1.75±26.2)×10 ⁴⁹	2.680±0.37	274.15±74.5	(3.19±59.2)×10 ⁻¹²	0.072
HESS J1507-622	(1.37±0.1)×10 ⁴⁸	1.876±0.03	218.01±43.0	(5.40±1.1)×10 ⁻¹²	0.905
HESS J1534-571	(8.94±4.0)×10 ⁴⁷	1.633±0.22	53.57±21.8	(2.99±3.4)×10 ⁻¹²	0.146
HESS J1614-518	(5.43±0.4)×10 ⁴⁸	1.843±0.02	48.75±11.8	(7.78±1.8)×10 ⁻¹²	0.158
HESS J1616-508	(7.84±1.9)×10 ⁴⁸	2.030±0.08	126.53±5.2	(1.17±0.5)×10 ⁻¹¹	0.037
HESS J1626-490	(4.60±36.1)×10 ⁴⁸	2.330±0.46	358.46±122.8	(3.34±0.5)×10 ⁻¹²	0.495
HESS J1632-478	(6.80±0.3)×10 ⁴⁸	2.416±0.01	230.45±19.2	(3.06±0.2)×10 ⁻¹²	0.166
HESS J1634-472	(4.77±1.0)×10 ⁴⁸	2.277±0.08	477.42±113.3	(4.69±1.8)×10 ⁻¹²	0.043
HESS J1640-465	(3.89±0.3)×10 ⁴⁸	1.696±0.04	15.69±1.1	(2.37±0.4)×10 ⁻¹²	0.430
HESS J1641-463	(7.49±0.6)×10 ⁴⁷	2.569±0.02	321.17±34.3	(2.1±0.2)×10 ⁻¹³	0.036
HESS J1646-458	(2.74±38.2)×10 ⁴⁹	2.643±0.40	239.32±43.3	(5.50±1.0)×10 ⁻¹²	0.368
HESS J1702-420	(2.35±10.1)×10 ⁴⁸	1.916±0.5	336.01±89.0	(9.82±0.7)×10 ⁻¹²	0.074
HESS J1708-410	(4.43±2.2)×10 ⁴⁷	1.131±0.27	9.85±2.7	(6.12±6.9)×10 ⁻¹³	0.641
HESS J1708-443	(3.67±2.0)×10 ⁴⁶	0.301±0.13	27.28±7.2	(6.93±6.6)×10 ⁻¹²	0.129
HESS J1713-381	(1.61±0.2)×10 ⁴⁸	1.956±0.05	14.51±1.8	(4.22±1.0)×10 ⁻¹³	0.407
HESS J1713-397	(9.49±0.3)×10 ⁴⁸	1.768±0.02	63.74±3.2	(2.28±0.2)×10 ⁻¹¹	4.2×10 ⁻⁸
HESS J1714-385	(6.37±2.1)×10 ⁴⁷	2.452±0.11	352.44±91.4	(2.84±1.6)×10 ⁻¹³	0.340
HESS J1718-374	(1.17±0.2)×10 ⁴⁸	2.440±0.03	10.92±41.0	(6.26±11.4)×10 ⁻¹⁴	0.053
HESS J1718-385	(1.07±3.4)×10 ³⁷	-4.596±0.75	20.53±3.7	(2.15±27.7)×10 ⁻¹²	0.281
HESS J1729-345	(1.88±34.1)×10 ⁴⁸	2.314±1.71	142.51±53.7	(1.02±337.7)×10 ⁻¹²	0.312

Continued on next page

Table 1 – continued from previous page

Source Name	normalisation $\text{TeV}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$	Spectral Index	Cutoff TeV	Neutrino flux $\text{TeV s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$	χ^2 p-value
HESS J1731-347	$(2.14 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{48}$	1.963 ± 0.10	44.24 ± 10.2	$(1.86 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-12}$	0.894
HESS J1741-302	$(2.50 \pm 3.8) \times 10^{47}$	2.250 ± 0.52	440.43 ± 88.6	$(2.68 \pm 13.6) \times 10^{-13}$	0.581
HESS J1745-290	$(2.54 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{48}$	2.159 ± 0.03	109.91 ± 5.7	$(2.14 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-12}$	0.376
HESS J1745-303	$(3.87 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{48}$	2.371 ± 0.01	56.57 ± 7.6	$(1.06 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-12}$	0.025
HESS J1746-285	$(4.12 \pm 12.2) \times 10^{46}$	1.702 ± 0.76	287.30 ± 68.0	$(4.26 \pm 60.6) \times 10^{-13}$	0.312
HESS J1746-308	$(7.89 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{48}$	3.373 ± 0.33	84.35 ± 24.6	$(1.42 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-13}$	0.413
HESS J1747-248	$(4.15 \pm 83.8) \times 10^{47}$	2.232 ± 0.61	339.88 ± 82.5	$(4.42 \pm 142.0) \times 10^{-13}$	0.164
HESS J1800-240	$(8.63 \pm 70.2) \times 10^{48}$	2.455 ± 0.21	365.66 ± 130.1	$(3.84 \pm 30.9) \times 10^{-12}$	0.639
HESS J1801-233	$(3.04 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{48}$	2.643 ± 0.02	30.20 ± 18.2	$(2.48 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-13}$	0.428
HESS J1804-216	$(2.37 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{49}$	2.342 ± 0.16	25.92 ± 60.7	$(3.97 \pm 4.7) \times 10^{-12}$	0.014
HESS J1808-204	$(1.73 \pm 4.8) \times 10^{47}$	2.091 ± 0.57	410.02 ± 141.2	$(3.61 \pm 24.7) \times 10^{-13}$	0.028
HESS J1809-193	$(7.40 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{48}$	2.107 ± 0.10	128.01 ± 35.2	$(8.27 \pm 3.9) \times 10^{-12}$	0.186
HESS J1813-126	$(2.91 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{47}$	1.737 ± 0.49	318.53 ± 79.9	$(2.72 \pm 12.9) \times 10^{-12}$	0.941
HESS J1813-178	$(2.23 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{47}$	1.178 ± 0.11	45.58 ± 18.3	$(3.44 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-12}$	0.141
HESS J1826-130	$(2.49 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{47}$	1.579 ± 0.22	155.57 ± 63.1	$(2.85 \pm 3.9) \times 10^{-12}$	0.198
HESS J1826-148	$(9.55 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{47}$	2.066 ± 0.15	146.50 ± 49.5	$(1.35 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-12}$	0.398
HESS J1828-099	$(3.20 \pm 55.0) \times 10^{47}$	2.018 ± 0.70	201.38 ± 65.8	$(6.58 \pm 268.1) \times 10^{-13}$	0.304
HESS J1832-085	$(2.33 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{47}$	2.017 ± 0.23	163.30 ± 35.1	$(4.27 \pm 5.6) \times 10^{-13}$	0.522
HESS J1832-093	$(2.88 \pm 21.7) \times 10^{47}$	2.028 ± 0.67	36.97 ± 124.4	$(1.71 \pm 40.2) \times 10^{-13}$	0.311
HESS J1833-105	$(4.55 \pm 80.6) \times 10^{47}$	2.175 ± 1.20	132.97 ± 93.4	$(4.01 \pm 376.3) \times 10^{-13}$	0.246
HESS J1834-087	$(5.59 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{48}$	2.145 ± 0.02	46.25 ± 2.7	$(2.76 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-12}$	0.089
HESS J1841-055	$(1.86 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{49}$	2.104 ± 0.14	60.05 ± 46.8	$(1.28 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-11}$	0.962
HESS J1843-033	$(7.33 \pm 6.5) \times 10^{47}$	1.520 ± 0.27	82.52 ± 79.8	$(5.94 \pm 11.5) \times 10^{-12}$	0.279
HESS J1844-030	$(4.42 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{47}$	2.079 ± 0.19	77.48 ± 29.8	$(4.00 \pm 3.6) \times 10^{-13}$	0.886
HESS J1846-029	$(9.84 \pm 172.8) \times 10^{47}$	2.271 ± 0.56	167.89 ± 58.8	$(6.76 \pm 197.3) \times 10^{-13}$	0.340
HESS J1848-018	$(3.07 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{48}$	2.384 ± 0.34	442.62 ± 155.8	$(1.89 \pm 4.1) \times 10^{-12}$	0.751
HESS J1852-000	$(6.55 \pm 133.7) \times 10^{47}$	1.925 ± 0.92	316.65 ± 62.8	$(2.55 \pm 159.7) \times 10^{-12}$	0.243
HESS J1857+026	$(1.13 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{49}$	2.215 ± 0.11	37.12 ± 7.3	$(3.74 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-12}$	0.719
HESS J1858+020	$(6.63 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{47}$	2.152 ± 0.06	177.90 ± 67.9	$(7.41 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-13}$	0.937
HESS J1908+063	$(6.36 \pm 73.3) \times 10^{48}$	2.012 ± 0.39	303.11 ± 24.7	$(1.65 \pm 26.6) \times 10^{-11}$	0.343
HESS J1911+090	$(2.19 \pm 22.9) \times 10^{48}$	2.925 ± 0.64	34.07 ± 123.2	$(9.03 \pm 198.0) \times 10^{-14}$	0.467
HESS J1912+101	$(1.49 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{48}$	1.704 ± 0.06	43.73 ± 9.1	$(3.11 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-12}$	0.015
HESS J1923+141	$(1.94 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{48}$	2.426 ± 0.34	281.84 ± 53.9	$(8.95 \pm 18.2) \times 10^{-13}$	0.428
HESS J1930+188	$(1.00 \pm 7.1) \times 10^{48}$	2.457 ± 1.13	253.33 ± 153.6	$(4.00 \pm 136.5) \times 10^{-13}$	0.050
HESS J1943+213	$(1.03 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{48}$	1.853 ± 0.07	13.41 ± 3.5	$(3.24 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-13}$	0.459

References

- [1] H.E.S.S. Collaboration. The h.e.s.s. galactic plane survey. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 612:A1, Apr 2018. ISSN 1432-0746. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201732098. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201732098>.
- [2] S. R. Kelner, F. A. Aharonian, and V. V. Bugayov. Energy spectra of gamma rays, electrons, and neutrinos produced at proton-proton interactions in the very high energy regime. *Phys. Rev. D*, 74:034018, Aug 2006. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.74.034018. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.034018>.

- [3] V. Zabalza. naima: a python package for inference of relativistic particle energy distributions from observed nonthermal spectra. *Proc. of International Cosmic Ray Conference 2015*, page 922, 2015.
- [4] Ervin Kafexhiu, Felix Aharonian, Andrew M. Taylor, and Gabriela S. Vila. Parametrization of gamma-ray production cross sections for pp interactions in a broad proton energy range from the kinematic threshold to pev energies. *Phys. Rev. D*, 90:123014, Dec 2014. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.123014. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.123014>.
- [5] Fermi/LAT Collaboration. The Fourth Catalog of Active Galactic Nuclei Detected by the Fermi Large Area Telescope. *ApJ*, 892(2):105, April 2020. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab791e.
- [6] Deil, C. et al. Gammapy - A prototype for the CTA science tools. In *35th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2017)*, volume 301 of *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, page 766, January 2017.
- [7] Nigro, C. et al. Towards open and reproducible multi-instrument analysis in gamma-ray astronomy. *A&A*, 625:A10, May 2019. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201834938.
- [8] H.E.S.S. Collaboration. Diffuse galactic gamma-ray emission with h.e.s.s. *Phys. Rev. D*, 90: 122007, Dec 2014. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.122007. URL <https://0-link-aps-org.innopac.wits.ac.za/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.122007>.
- [9] Amenomori, M. et al. First detection of sub-pev diffuse gamma rays from the galactic disk: Evidence for ubiquitous galactic cosmic rays beyond pev energies. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126:141101, Apr 2021. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141101. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141101>.