arXiv:2111.14918v1 [math.OA] 29 Nov 2021

FROM NORM DERIVATIVES TO ORTHOGONALITIES IN HILBERT C^*-MODULES

PAWEŁ WÓJCIK[1] and ALI ZAMANI[2,*]

ABSTRACT. Let $\big(\mathscr{X}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\big)$ be a Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ and let $\mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A})$ be the set of states on $\mathscr{A}$. In this paper, we first compute the norm derivative for elements x and y of $\mathscr{X}$ as follows

$$\rho_+(x,y) = \max\Big\{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x,y\rangle) : \varphi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A}), \varphi(\langle x,x\rangle) = \|x\|^2\Big\}.$$

We then apply it to characterize different concepts of orthogonality in $\mathscr{X}$. In particular, we present a simpler proof of the classical characterization of Birkhoff–James orthogonality in Hilbert C^*-modules. Moreover, some generalized Daugavet equation in the C^*-algebra $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is solved.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\big(X, \|\cdot\|\big)$ be a normed space and X^* its dual topologic space. We define two mappings $\rho_+, \rho_- : X\times X\to\mathbb{R}$ by the formulas

$$\rho_\pm(x,y) := \lim_{t\to 0^\pm}\frac{\|x+ty\|^2-\|x\|^2}{2t} = \|x\|\cdot\lim_{t\to 0^\pm}\frac{\|x+ty\|-\|x\|}{t}.$$

The convexity of the norm yields that the above definitions are meaningful. These mappings are called the *norm derivatives* and their following useful properties can be found, e.g. in [1, 12]. For every x and y in X and for every $\alpha = |\alpha|e^{i\theta}$, $\beta = |\beta|e^{i\omega}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, we have

(P1) $\rho_-(x,y)\le\rho_+(x,y)$, $|\rho_\pm(x,y)|\le\|x\|\cdot\|y\|$ and $\rho_\pm(x,x) = \|x\|^2$,
(P2) $\rho_\pm(-x,y) = \rho_\pm(x,-y) = -\rho_\mp(x,y)$,
(P3) $\rho_\pm(x,\alpha x+y) = \operatorname{Re}\alpha\,\|x\|^2+\rho_\pm(x,y)$,
(P4) $\rho_\pm(\alpha x,\beta y) = |\alpha\beta|\rho_\pm(x,e^{i(\omega-\theta)}y)$,
(P5) $\rho_+(x,y) = \lim_{t\to 0^+}\rho_+(x+ty,y)$.

If the norm on X comes from an inner product $[\cdot,\cdot]$, then we obtain $\rho_+(x,y) = \rho_-(x,y) = \operatorname{Re}[x,y]$ for all $x,y\in X$, i.e. both ρ_+ and ρ_- are perfect generalizations of inner products.

In more general cases mappings ρ_+ and ρ_- are useful for applications in approximation theory and, in particular, they played a significant role in the paper [28]. For more information about the norm derivatives and their properties the reader is referred to [1, 12] (see also [8, 9, 19, 32]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L08; 46C50; 46B20; 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert C^*-module; C^*-algebra; state; norm derivative; Birkhoff–James orthogonality.

From [12], for two elements x and y of a normed linear space X, we have

$$\rho_+(x,y) = \|x\| \max\big\{\mathrm{Re}\, x^*(y) : x^* \in J(x)\big\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $J(x) := \big\{x^* \in X^* : \|x^*\| = 1, x^*(x) = \|x\|\big\}$. If we have additional structures on a normed linear space X, then we obtain other expressions for the norm derivative ρ_+. Therefore we survey on the well known results involving norm derivatives. So the present section has an expository character in part; however, many of the surveyed results will be essentially extended in the next section.

In the classical Banach space $\mathcal{C}(K)$ of all continuous functions on a compact Hausdroff space K, the result for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ were given by Keckić [16]:

$$\rho_+(f,g) = \|f\| \max\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\big(e^{-i\arg(f(x))}g(x)\big) : x \in M_f\Big\}$$

where $M_f := \{x \in K : |f(x)| = \|f\|\}$.

In $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the C^*-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\big(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot,\cdot]\big)$, and for $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, Keckić [15] obtained the following formula:

$$\rho_+(T,S) = \inf_{\varepsilon>0} \sup\Big\{\mathrm{Re}[Sx, Tx] : x \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon, \|x\| = 1\Big\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon := E_{T^*T}\big((\|T\| - \varepsilon)^2, \|T\|^2\big)$, and E_{T^*T} stands for the spectral measure of the operator T^*T.

Norm derivatives of the space $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ (compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$) has been studied in [14]. More precisely, for $T, S \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ where $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of T, we have

$$\rho_+(T,S) = \|T\| \max\Big\{\mathrm{Re}[U^*Sx, x];\ x \in \Phi,\ \|x\| = 1\Big\},$$

where Φ is the characteristic subspace of T with respect to its eigenvalue s_1.

Wójcik [24], by using a different method, extended this result for compact operators between real normed spaces, i.e. $\mathbb{K}(X,Y)$. Moreover, similar investigations have been carried out by Wójcik [26] in M-ideals in bounded operator space $\mathbb{B}(X,Y)$. The main result of [26] says that if $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{dist}\big(T, \mathbb{K}(X,Y)\big) < \|T\|$, then

$$\rho_+(T,S) = \|T\| \max\Big\{\rho_+(Tx,Sx) :\ x \in \mathrm{Ext}B_X,\ \|Tx\| = \|T\|\Big\},$$

where $\mathrm{Ext}B_X$ denotes the set of all extremal points of the closed unit ball B_X.

Motivated by the above properties, we compute the norm derivatives in Hilbert C^*-modules. Namely, for two elements x and y of a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module $\big(\mathscr{X}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\big)$ we will prove that

$$\rho_+(x,y) = \max\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi(\langle x,y\rangle) : \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A}),\ \varphi(\langle x,x\rangle) = \|x\|^2\Big\},$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A})$ is the set of states on $\mathscr{A}$. This formula enables us to characterize different concepts of Birkhoff-James orthogonality for elements of a Hilbert C^*-module. Some other related results are also discussed.

Before stating the results, we establish the notation and recall some definitions from the literature. An element a in a C^*-*algebra* $\mathscr{A}$ is called *positive* (we write

$a \geq 0$) if $a = b^*b$ for some $b \in \mathscr{A}$. A linear functional φ of $\mathscr{A}$ is *positive* if $\varphi(a) \geq 0$ for every positive element $a \in \mathscr{A}$. A *state* is a positive linear functional whose norm is equal to one. The symbol $\mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A})$ denotes the set of states on $\mathscr{A}$.

An *inner product module* over $\mathscr{A}$ is a (left) $\mathscr{A}$-module $\mathscr{X}$ equipped with an *$\mathscr{A}$-valued inner product* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, which is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and $\mathscr{A}$-linear in the first variable and has the properties $\langle x, y\rangle^* = \langle y, x\rangle$ as well as $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $x = 0$. An inner product $\mathscr{A}$-module $\mathscr{X}$ is called a *Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module* if it is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $x \in \mathscr{X}$, by [20, Theorem 3.3.6], there always exists a $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A})$ such that $\varphi\big(\langle x, x\rangle\big) = \|x\|^2$. So, let Ω_x denote the (nonempty) subset of the set of supporting functionals:

$$\Omega_x := \big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A}) : \varphi\big(\langle x, x\rangle\big) = \|x\|^2\big\} \subseteq J(x).$$

Given a positive functional φ on $\mathscr{A}$, we have the following useful version of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality:

$$|\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle)|^2 \leq \varphi(\langle x, x\rangle)\varphi(\langle y, y\rangle) \quad (x, y \in \mathscr{X}). \tag{1.2}$$

Every C^*-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ can be regarded as a Hilbert C^*-module over itself where the inner product is defined by $\langle a, b\rangle := a^*b$. By $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ we denote the C^*-algebra of all complex $n \times n$ matrices. We shall identify $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ in the usual way. We refer the reader to [11, 17] for more information on Hilbert C^*-modules.

A concept of orthogonality in a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module $\mathscr{X}$ can be defined with respect to the $\mathscr{A}$-valued inner product in a natural way, that is, two elements x and y of $\mathscr{X}$ are orthogonal, in short $x \perp y$, if $\langle x, y\rangle = 0$. There are many different ways how one can extend this notion, see [3] and the references therein. One of them is the Birkhoff-James orthogonality: we say that x and y are Birkhoff-James orthogonal, and we write $x \perp_B y$, if $\|x\| \leq \|x + \lambda y\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. A well known characterization of the Birkhoff–James orthogonality is due to Arambašić and Rajić (see [2]):

$$x \perp_B y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \big(\exists \varphi \in \Omega_x : \varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = 0\big). \tag{1.3}$$

It has been proved also by Bhattacharyya and Grover (cf. [5]). The statement of this nice characterization is so simple, and its existing proofs so extremely long, that one is easily seduced into an effort to find a simpler, shorter proof. The present work is the result of our attempt. It is worth mentioning that those four mathematicians applied a faithful representation $\pi\colon \mathscr{A} \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (see [11, Theorem 2.6.1]) and linking algebra of $\mathscr{X}$ (see [17]). But we do not use this strong tool. We apply norm derivatives. In this paper we would like to present a simpler proof of this nice result and we demonstrate the power of the norm derivatives. We hope that it sheds new light on this intricate geometric structure of Hilbert C^*-modules and will provide the great applications of the mappings $\rho_\pm$ in the future.

2. Main results: Norm derivatives in Hilbert C^*-modules

In this section, we first compute the norm derivatives in Hilbert C^*-modules. Then, as an application of our results, we get an explicit formula for the norm derivatives $\rho_\pm$ of certain elements in Hilbert C^*-modules. Moreover, we apply

our results to give some solutions of the generalized Daugavet equation in the operator space $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

We start our work with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [22] *Suppose that X is a real normed space. Let $D \subseteq X$ be a dense and star-shaped subset (i.e. $\alpha D \subseteq D$ for all $\alpha > 0$). Let M be a closed affine hyperplane (i.e.* $\operatorname{codim} M{=}1$*) such that $0 \notin M$. Then $\operatorname{cl}(M \cap D) = M$.*

It is worth mentioning that Lemma 2.1 played a significant role in the papers [22] and [23]. Its proof can be found in [22]. Here, this lemma will be a helpful tool, again.

We say that X is *smooth at point* x_o if there is a unique functional $f \in J(x_o)$. Now, we consider a set $\mathcal{N}_{sm}(X) := \{x \in X : X \text{ is smooth at } x\} \cup \{0\}$. In particular, we have

$$x_o \in \mathcal{N}_{sm}(X) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_+(x_o, \cdot) = \rho_-(x_o, \cdot) = \|x\| \operatorname{Re} f(\cdot), \quad f \in J(x_o). \tag{2.1}$$

It is known that if $\dim X < \infty$, then $\mathcal{N}_{sm}(X)$ is a dense, star-shaped subset of X – cf. [22] or [1]. Thus we can rewrite Lemma 2.1 as

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that Z is a two-dimensional real normed space. Let $\{x, y\} \subseteq Z$ be a linearly independent subset. If we consider a line M spanned by the vectors x, y (i.e. $M := \{x + ty \in Z : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$), then $\operatorname{cl}(M \cap \mathcal{N}_{sm}(Z)) = M$.*

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module, and $x, y \in \mathscr{X}$. Then*

$$\rho_+(x, y) = \max\Big\{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) : \varphi \in \Omega_x\Big\}.$$

Proof. We may and shall assume that $x \neq 0$ otherwise the statement trivially holds. Let $\varphi \in \Omega_x$, that is, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A})$ and $\varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) = \|x\|^2$. It is easy to check that $\frac{1}{\|x\|}\varphi(\langle x, \cdot\rangle) \in J(x)$. Thus the property (1.1) yields $\|x\| \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{\|x\|}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) \leq \rho'_+(x, y)$. Hence $\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) \leq \rho_+(x, y)$. Passing to the supremum over $\varphi \in \Omega_x$ we get

$$\sup\Big\{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) : \varphi \in \Omega_x\Big\} \leq \rho_+(x, y).$$

To complete the proof, we must find $\varphi \in \Omega_x$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = \rho_+(x, y)$. Then we will able to write "max" instead of "sup". We consider two cases. First assume that x and y are linearly dependent, i.e. $y = \alpha x$ with some number α. Fix $\varphi \in \Omega_x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_+(x, y) = \rho_+(x, \alpha x) &\overset{\text{(P3)}}{=} \operatorname{Re}\big(\alpha\|x\|^2\big) = \operatorname{Re}\big(\alpha\varphi(\langle x, x\rangle)\big) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, \alpha x\rangle) = \operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle).\end{aligned}$$

So, the first case is complete. Now, suppose that $\{x, y\}$ is a linearly independent subset. Let us define a real subspace $Z \subseteq \mathscr{X}$ by the formula

$$Z := \big\{\alpha x + \beta y \in \mathscr{X} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\big\}.$$

Put $M := \{x + ty \in Z : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\mathrm{cl}(M \cap \mathcal{N}_{sm}(Z)) = M$. This equality yields, in particular, that there exists a sequence $\{t_n : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ in $(0, +\infty)$ such that $t_n \to 0^+$ and $x + t_n y \in \mathcal{N}_{sm}(Z)$.

Let us define for the moment a closed subalgebra $\mathscr{A}_o \subseteq \mathscr{A}$ spanned by the vectors $\langle x, x\rangle$, $\langle y, y\rangle$, $\langle x, y\rangle$ and $\langle y, x\rangle$. In particular, we have

$$\langle x + t_n y, x + t_n y\rangle,\ \langle x + t_n y, y\rangle \in \mathscr{A}_o.$$

Moreover, it is easily seen that $\mathscr{A}_o$ is separable. Also, there exist states $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \ldots \in \mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A}_o)$ such that

$$\varphi_n(\langle x + t_n y, x + t_n y\rangle) = \|x + t_n y\|^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Now our attention must focus on the real two-dimensional space Z. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is not difficult to see that $\frac{1}{\|x+t_n y\|}\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi_n\big(\langle x + t_n y, \cdot\rangle\big)\big|_Z : Z \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear functional and

$$\frac{1}{\|x + t_n y\|}\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi_n\big(\langle x + t_n y, \cdot\rangle\big)\big|_Z \in J(x + t_n y)\big|_Z. \tag{2.3}$$

The space Z is smooth at $x + t_n y$. Thus, from (2.1) and (2.3) it is known that

$$\rho_+(x + t_n y, y) = \mathrm{Re}\,\varphi_n\big(\langle x + t_n y, y\rangle\big). \tag{2.4}$$

By Alaoglu's Theorem, we known that the closed unit ball $B_{\mathscr{A}_o^*}$ is weakly* compact. It is easy to check that subset $\mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A}_o) \subseteq \mathscr{A}_o^*$ is a norm-closed convex subset of the weakly* compact ball of $B_{\mathscr{A}_o^*}$. Therefore $\mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A}_o)$ is weakly* compact. Since $\mathscr{A}_o$ is separable, $\mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A}_o)$ is weakly* sequentially compact. Thus, there are an element $\varphi_o \in B_{\mathscr{A}_o^*}$ and a subsequence $\big(\varphi_{n_k}\big)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B_{\mathscr{A}_o^*}$ such that $\varphi_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w*} \varphi_o$. Since $\langle x + t_{n_k} y, y\rangle \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} \langle x, y\rangle$, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi_{n_k}\big(\langle x + t_{n_k} y, y\rangle\big) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Re}\varphi_o(\langle x, y\rangle). \tag{2.5}$$

Similarly, since $\langle x + t_{n_k} y, x + t_{n_k} y\rangle \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} \langle x, x\rangle$, it follows that

$$\varphi_{n_k}\big(\langle x + t_{n_k} y, x + t_{n_k} y\rangle\big) \longrightarrow \varphi_o(\langle x, x\rangle). \tag{2.6}$$

Combining the three conditions (P5)-(2.4)-(2.5) we deduce that

$$\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi_o\big(\langle x, y\rangle\big) = \rho_+(x, y).$$

Furthermore, combining (2.2) with (2.6) yields $\varphi_o(\langle x, x\rangle) = \|x\|^2$.

Now we back to the C^*-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ and we go to the dual normed space $\mathscr{A}^*$. Namely, since $\varphi_o \colon \mathscr{A}_o \to \mathbb{C}$ is a state on $\mathscr{A}_o$, it follows that there exists a state φ on $\mathscr{A}$ such that $\varphi|_{\mathscr{A}_o} = \varphi_o$ (see e.g. [10, p.259]). From this we get $\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = \rho_+(x, y)$ and $\varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) = \|x\|^2$. The proof is completed. □

Now we are able to calculate a formula for the norm derivative ρ_- in Hilbert C^*-modules.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module, and $x, y \in \mathscr{X}$. Then*

$$\rho_-(x, y) = \min\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) :\ \varphi \in \Omega_x\Big\}.$$

Proof. Applying (P2) and Theorem 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_-(x,y) &= -\rho_+(x,-y) = -\max\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi(\langle x,-y\rangle) : \varphi\in\Omega_x\Big\}\\ &= \min\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi(\langle x,y\rangle) : \varphi\in\Omega_x\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. □

Recall that every C^*-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ can be regarded as a Hilbert C^*-module over itself with the inner product $\langle a,b\rangle := a^*b$. Thus, as a consequence of Theorem 2.3 (and Theorem 2.4) we have the following result.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a C^*-algebra, and $a,b\in\mathscr{A}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_+(a,b) &= \max\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi(a^*b) : \varphi\in\Omega_a\Big\},\\ \rho_-(a,b) &= \min\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi(a^*b) : \varphi\in\Omega_a\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{H})$ be trace-class operators on a Hilbert space $\big(\mathcal{H},[\cdot,\cdot]\big)$. It is well known that (see e.g. [20, Theorem 4.2.1]) every state φ of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is of the form $a\to\mathrm{tr}(pa)$ for some positive trace one operator $p\in\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore, as an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$-module, and $x,y\in\mathscr{X}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_+(x,y) &= \max\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{tr}\big(p\langle x,y\rangle\big) : p\in\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}),\mathrm{tr}\big(p\langle x,x\rangle\big)=\|x\|^2\Big\},\\ \rho_-(x,y) &= \min\Big\{\mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{tr}\big(p\langle x,y\rangle\big) : p\in\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}),\mathrm{tr}\big(p\langle x,x\rangle\big)=\|x\|^2\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \big\{p\in\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{H}) : p \text{ is positive trace one}\big\}$.

We continue this section by applying our results to get an explicit formula for the norm derivatives $\rho_\pm$ of certain elements in Hilbert C^*-modules.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module, and $x\in\mathscr{X}$. Then*

$$\rho_+\big(x,x\langle x,x\rangle\big) = \|x\|^4 = \rho_-\big(x,x\langle x,x\rangle\big). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Fix $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A})$ such that $\varphi(\langle x,x\rangle)=\|x\|^2$. We may suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ is a Banach algebra with identity (by going to extensions $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}=\varphi\big|_{\mathscr{A}}$ if necessary; see [10, pp.194, 259]). So, let e be the identity of $\mathscr{A}$. Then

$$\|x\|^4 = |\varphi\big(\langle x,x\rangle e\big)|^2 \overset{(1.2)}{\leq} \varphi\big(\langle x,x\rangle^2\big)\varphi(e^2) \leq \varphi\big(\langle x,x\rangle^2\big) \leq \big\|\langle x,x\rangle^2\big\| \leq \|x\|^4.$$

This implies

$$\varphi\big(\langle x,x\rangle^2\big) = \|x\|^4. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore we obtain the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi\Big(\big\langle x,\|x\|^2x - x\langle x,x\rangle\big\rangle\Big) &= \|x\|^2\mathrm{Re}\varphi(\langle x,x\rangle) - \mathrm{Re}\varphi\Big(\big\langle x,x\langle x,x\rangle\big\rangle\Big)\\ &= \|x\|^2\mathrm{Re}\|x\|^2 - \mathrm{Re}\varphi\big(\langle x,x\rangle\langle x,x\rangle\big)\\ &\overset{(2.8)}{=} \|x\|^2\|x\|^2 - \|x\|^4 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Theorem 2.3 (resp. Theorem 2.4). Namely, since φ was arbitrarily chosen from Ω_x, passing to the maximum (resp. minimum) over $\varphi \in \Omega_x$, we get

$$\rho_+\big(x, \|x\|^2 x - x\langle x, x\rangle\big) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_-\big(x, \|x\|^2 x - x\langle x, x\rangle\big) = 0.$$

So, from (P3) and (P2) we obtain, respectively, $\|x\|^2 \cdot \|x\|^2 - \rho_-\big(x, \langle x, x\rangle\big) = 0$ and $\|x\|^2 \cdot \|x\|^2 - \rho_+\big(x, x\langle x, x\rangle\big) = 0$, and we may consider (2.7) as shown. □

An element x in a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called *norm-parallel* to another element $y \in X$ (see [30] and the references therein), denoted by $x \parallel y$, if $\|x+\xi y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ for some complex unit ξ. In the framework of inner product spaces, the norm-parallel relation is exactly the usual vectorial parallel relation, that is, $x \parallel y$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent. In the setting of normed linear spaces, two linearly dependent vectors are norm-parallel, but the converse is false in general. Many characterizations of the norm-parallelism for operators spaces $\mathbb{B}(X, Y)$ and elements of an arbitrary Hilbert C^*-module were given in [7], [25], [30] and [31].

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose that $\mathscr{X}$ is a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module, and let $x \in \mathscr{X}$, $\alpha, \beta \in (0, +\infty)$. Then*

$$\big\|\alpha x + \beta x\langle x, x\rangle\big\| = \alpha\|x\| + \beta\|x\|^3. \tag{2.9}$$

In particular, x is norm-parallel to $x\langle x, x\rangle$.

Proof. It is clear that we may assume $x \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\|x\|^2 + \beta\|x\|^4 &\overset{(2.7)}{=} \alpha\|x\|^2 + \beta\rho_+\big(x, x\langle x, x\rangle\big) \overset{(P3,P4)}{=} \rho_+\big(x, \alpha x + \beta x\langle x, x\rangle\big)\\ &\overset{(P1)}{\leq} \|x\| \cdot \big\|\alpha x + \beta x\langle x, x\rangle\big\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \Big(\alpha\|x\| + \beta\big\|x\langle x, x\rangle\big\|\Big)\\ &\leq \|x\| \cdot \Big(\alpha\|x\| + \beta\|x\| \cdot \big\|\langle x, x\rangle\big\|\Big) = \alpha\|x\|^2 + \beta\|x\|^4.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the string of inequalities becomes a string of equalities and we obtain $\alpha\|x\|^2 + \beta\|x\|^4 = \|x\| \cdot \big\|\alpha x + \beta x\langle x, x\rangle\big\|$. Dividing by $\|x\|$, we have (2.9). □

In the context of bounded linear operators on normed spaces, the well-known *Daugavet equation* $\|I + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ is a particular case of parallelism. We refer to the book [21] and more recent the paper [27] for motivations, history, various aspects and problems connected with the Daugavet equation. It is worth mentioning that a generalized Daugavet equation $\|T + S\| = \|T\| + \|S\|$ is one useful property in solving a variety of problems in approximation theory (cf. [27]).

A consequence of Theorem 2.8 is established in the next result.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\|T + TT^*T\| = \|T\| + \|T\|^3.$$

Moreover, if $\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})) < \|T\|$ or $\operatorname{dist}(TT^*T, \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})) < \|TT^*T\|$, then there exists a unit vector $x_o \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\frac{T}{\|T\|}x_o = \frac{TT^*T}{\|TT^*T\|}x_o$, $\|Tx_o\| = \|T\|$ and $\|TT^*Tx_o\| = \|TT^*T\|$.

Proof. It follows from (2.9) that $\|T+TT^*T\| = \|T\| + \|T\|^3$. In addition, it is not difficult to check that $\|TT^*T\| = \|T\|^3$. Therefore both T and TT^*T satisfy the generalized Daugavet equation

$$\|T+TT^*T\| = \|T\| + \|TT^*T\|. \tag{2.10}$$

Now, suppose that $\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})) < \|T\|$ or $\operatorname{dist}(TT^*T, \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})) < \|TT^*T\|$. Recall that $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is an M-ideal in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (see [25]). Moreover, $\mathcal{H}$ is strictly convex. Thus these information are legitimates to apply [27, Theorem 4.4]. So, it follows from [27, Theorem 4.4] and (2.10) that there exists a unit vector $x_o \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\frac{T}{\|T\|}x_o = \frac{TT^*T}{\|TT^*T\|}x_o$, $\|Tx_o\| = \|T\|$ and $\|TT^*Tx_o\| = \|TT^*T\|$. □

3. An application: Orthogonalities in Hilbert C^*-modules

In a normed linear space $\big(X, \|\cdot\|\big)$, for two vectors $x, y \in X$, one can consider the *Birkhoff–James orthogonality* (see [6, 13]) defined by

$$x \perp_B y \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}}\ \|x\| \leq \|x+\lambda y\|.$$

We will consider also the *r-Birkhoff–James orthogonality*, defined by

$$x \perp_B^r y \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}}\ \|x\| \leq \|x+\alpha y\|.$$

Notice that $\perp_B \subseteq \perp_B^r$, but the converse is false in general. For example, let us take $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ and let $x = (1,0)$, $y = (i,0)$. Then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\|x+\alpha y\| = \|(1+\alpha i, 0)\| = \sqrt{1+\alpha^2} \geq 1 = \|x\|.$$

Hence $x \perp_B^r y$. But $x \not\perp_B y$ since for $\lambda := i$ we have $\|x+\lambda y\| = 0 < 1 = \|x\|$.

We will use the following characterizations of both orthogonality relations. Namely, for arbitrary $x, y \in X$, we have (see [1, 14]):

$$x \perp_B y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \inf_{0\leq\theta<2\pi} \rho_+(x, e^{i\theta}y) \geq 0 \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$x \perp_B^r y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_-(x,y) \leq 0 \leq \rho_+(x,y). \tag{3.2}$$

When $X = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $T, S \in X$, a very tractable condition of the Birkhoff-James orthogonality was found by Bhatia and Šemrl in [4]. They showed that $T \perp_B S$ if and only if there exists a unit vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\|Tx\| = \|T\| \quad \text{and} \quad [Tx, Sx] = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Later Bhattacharyya and Grover [5] showed that $T \perp_B^r S$ if and only if there exists a unit vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\|Tx\| = \|T\| \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}[Tx, Sx] = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

To summarize, the papers [4], [5] and conditions (3.3), (3.4) motivate the next theorem. In other words, we now obtain a characterization of real version of the Birkhoff–James orthogonality in Hilbert C^*-modules in terms of states of the underlying C^*-algebra.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module, and $x, y \in \mathscr{X}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $x \perp_B^r y$,
(ii) *there exists* $\varphi \in \Omega_x$ *such that* $\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = 0$.

Proof. We assume that $x \neq 0$ (otherwise the result is trivial). First, suppose that $x \perp_B^r y$. By (3.2) we have $\rho_-(x,y) \leq 0 \leq \rho_+(x,y)$. So, by Theorem 2.3, there exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Omega_x$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\varphi_1(\langle x, y\rangle) \leq 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}\varphi_2(\langle x, y\rangle).$$

It follows from the above inequality that for some $\lambda_o \in [0,1]$ we have

$$\lambda_o \operatorname{Re}\varphi_1\big(\langle x, y\rangle\big) + (1-\lambda_o)\operatorname{Re}\varphi_2\big(\langle x, y\rangle\big) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $S(\mathscr{A})$ is convex, $\lambda_o\varphi_1 + (1-\lambda_o)\varphi_2 \in S(\mathscr{A})$. Put $\varphi := \lambda_o\varphi_1 + (1-\lambda_o)\varphi_2$. We get then $\varphi \in S(\mathscr{A})$ and $\varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) = \|x\|^2$. Hence $\varphi \in \Omega_x$. Also, by (3.5), $\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = 0$.

Now we prove the implication (ii)⇒(i). Assume that there exists $\varphi \in \Omega_x$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = 0$. Fix arbitrarily $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\|^2 &= \varphi\big(\langle x, x\rangle\big) = \operatorname{Re}\varphi\big(\langle x, x\rangle\big) + \alpha\operatorname{Re}\varphi\big(\langle x, y\rangle\big)\\ &= \operatorname{Re}\varphi\big(\langle x, x+\alpha y\rangle\big) \leq \big|\varphi\big(\langle x, x+\alpha y\rangle\big)\big|\\ &\leq \big\|\langle x, x+\alpha y\rangle\big\| \leq \|x\|\cdot\|x+\alpha y\|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|x\|^2 \leq \|x\|\cdot\|x+\alpha y\|$ and so $\|x\| \leq \|x+\alpha y\|$. Hence $x \perp_B^r y$. □

Finally, we are able to give a simple proof of (1.3) using the map ρ_+, i.e. Theorem 2.3. Let us recall again: unlike [2, 5], we did not apply a faithful representation $\pi\colon \mathscr{A} \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and linking algebra of $\mathscr{X}$. Therefore the proofs of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.2 are simpler and shorter than the proofs in [2, 5]. So, we now show that there is another (and easier) way to get the celebrated result (1.3).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module, and $x, y \in \mathscr{X}$. The following statements are mutually equivalent:*

(i) $x \perp_B y$,
(ii) *there exists* $\varphi \in \Omega_x$ *such that* $\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = 0$,
(iii) $\|x+\lambda y\|^2 \geq \|x\|^2 + |\lambda|^2 m(y)$ *for all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

where $m(y) := \inf\big\{\varphi(\langle y, y\rangle) : \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathscr{A})\big\}$.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii) Let $x \perp_B y$. Then, by Theorem 2.3 and (3.1), we get

$$\inf_{0\leq\theta<2\pi} \max\Big\{\operatorname{Re} e^{i\theta}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) : \varphi \in \Omega_x\Big\} \geq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to see that the set $E := \big\{\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) : \varphi \in \Omega_x\big\}$ is convex and hence its closure is a closed convex set. Therefore, by (3.6), the set E has such a position in the complex plane that it must contain at least one value with positive real part, under all rotations around the origin. Thus E must contain zero, and so there is a $\varphi \in \Omega_x$ such that $\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = 0$.

(ii)⇒(iii) Suppose (ii) holds. Then, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|x+\lambda y\|^2 &\geq \varphi\big(\langle x+\lambda y, x+\lambda y\rangle\big)\\ &= \varphi(\langle x,x\rangle) + 2\mathrm{Re}\left(\lambda\varphi(\langle x,y\rangle)\right) + |\lambda|^2\varphi(\langle y,y\rangle)\\ &= \|x\|^2 + |\lambda|^2\varphi(\langle y,y\rangle).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|x+\lambda y\|^2 \geq \|x\|^2 + |\lambda|^2\varphi(\langle y,y\rangle),$$

which yields $\|x+\lambda y\|^2 \geq \|x\|^2 + |\lambda|^2 m(y)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

(iii)⇒(i) The implication is trivial. □

As a natural generalization of the notion of Birkhoff–James orthogonality, the concept of strong Birkhoff–James orthogonality, which involves modular structure of a Hilbert C^*-module was introduced in [3]. When x and y are elements of a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module $\mathscr{X}$, we consider the *strong Birkhoff–James orthogonality*:

$$x \perp_B^s y \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall_{a\in\mathscr{A}}\ \|x\| \leq \|x+ya\|.$$

One can easily observe that $x \perp y \implies x \perp_B^s y \implies x \perp_B^r y$, while the converses do not hold in general (see [3]).

In the next result we establish characterizations of the strong Birkhoff–James orthogonality for elements of a Hilbert C^*-module based on norm derivatives. We will apply our new tools - Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module, and $x, y \in \mathscr{X}$. The following statements are mutually equivalent:*

(i) $x \perp_B^s y$,
(ii) $\rho_-(x, ya) \leq 0$ *for all* $a \in \mathscr{A}$,
(iii) $\rho_+(x, ya) \geq 0$ *for all* $a \in \mathscr{A}$.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii) Let $x \perp_B^s y$. Then $x \perp_B^r y\langle y,x\rangle$. So, by Theorem 3.1, there exists $\varphi_o \in \Omega_x$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\varphi_o\big(\langle x, y\langle y,x\rangle\rangle\big) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Re}\varphi_o\big(\langle x,y\rangle\langle y,x\rangle\big) = 0$. Thus $\varphi_o\big(\langle x,y\rangle\langle y,x\rangle\big) = 0$, since $\varphi_o\big(\langle x,y\rangle\langle y,x\rangle\big) \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore,

$$\big|\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi_o\big(\langle x,ya\rangle\big)\big|^2 \leq \big|\varphi_o\big(\langle x,y\rangle a\big)\big|^2 \overset{(1.2)}{\leq} \varphi_o\big(\langle x,y\rangle\langle y,x\rangle\big)\varphi_o(a^*a) = 0$$

for each $a \in \mathscr{A}$. This implies $\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi_o\big(\langle x,ya\rangle\big) = 0$. Hence

$$\min\left\{\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi\big(\langle x,ya\rangle\big) : \varphi \in \Omega_x\right\} \leq 0$$

and by Theorem 2.4 it follows that $\rho_-(x,ya) \leq 0$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$.

(ii)⇒(iii) By the condition (ii), $\rho_-\big(x, y(-a)\big) \leq 0$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$. Hence, by (P2), $\rho_+(x,ya) = -\rho_-\big(x,y(-a)\big) \geq 0$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$.

(iii)⇒(i) Suppose (iii) holds. We may assume that $x \neq 0$ otherwise (i) trivially holds. So, fix $a \in \mathscr{A}$. By Theorem 2.3, there exists a state φ_a in Ω_x such that

$\operatorname{Re}\varphi_a\big(\langle x, ya\rangle\big) \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}\varphi_a\big(\langle x, ya\rangle\big) &= \operatorname{Re}\varphi_a\big(\langle x, x+ya\rangle\big) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi_a\big(\langle x, x\rangle\big) \\ &\leq \big|\varphi_a\big(\langle x, x+ya\rangle\big)\big| - \|x\|^2 \leq \big\|\langle x, x+ya\rangle\big\| - \|x\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|x+ya\| - \|x\|^2 = \|x\| \cdot (\|x+ya\| - \|x\|). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|x\| \leq \|x+ya\|$. Hence $x \perp_B^s y$. □

We recall that (see [12, 18]) two elements x and y of a normed linear space X are ρ-orthogonal if $\rho(x,y) := \frac{\rho_+(x,y)+\rho_-(x,y)}{2} = 0$, and in this case we write $x \perp_\rho y$. It is worth mentioning that the notion of ρ-orthogonality may be a strong tool. Indeed, the open problem posed in [1] was solved in in the paper [29] and the concept of ρ-orthogonality played a significant role. For facts about the ρ-orthogonality in normed linear spaces, we refer the reader to [8, 9, 19, 32].

If x and y are elements of a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module $\mathscr{X}$, then we have

$$x \perp y \implies x \perp_\rho y \implies x \perp_B^r y. \tag{3.7}$$

Indeed, if $x \perp y$, then $\langle x, y\rangle = 0$. Thus, for every $\varphi \in \Omega_x$, we have $\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = 0$ and so by Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 we obtain $\rho_-(x,y) = \rho_+(x,y) = 0$. Hence $\rho(x,y) = 0$, and thus $x \perp_\rho y$.

Further, if $x \perp_\rho y$, then $\rho_-(x,y) + \rho_+(x,y) = 0$ and therefore $\rho_-(x,y) \leq 0 \leq \rho_+(x,y)$ by (P1). It follows (3.2) from that $x \perp_B^r y$.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 we obtain a characterization of the ρ-orthogonality in Hilbert C^*-modules as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module, and $x, y \in \mathscr{X}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $x \perp_\rho y$,
(ii) $\max\big\{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) : \varphi \in \Omega_x\big\} = \max\big\{-\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) : \varphi \in \Omega_x\big\}$.

Remark 3.5. Notice that the converses in (3.7) do not hold in general. For example, consider $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ as a Hilbert $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$-module and let $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $S = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then simple computations show that

$$\rho_+(T,S) = -\rho_-(T,S) = -\rho_-(T,R) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_+(T,R) = 0.$$

Hence $T \perp_\rho S$. But $T \not\perp S$, since $\langle T, S\rangle = S \neq 0$. Also, $T \not\perp_\rho R$ but

$$\|T + \alpha R\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1-\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \max\{|1-\alpha|, 1\} \geq 1 = \|T\|$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $T \perp_B^r R$.

To end the work we show that the orthogonalities $\perp_\rho$ and $\perp_B^s$ are incomparable. Indeed, since for $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ we have $\|T + SC\| = 0 < 1 = \|T\|$, we get

$T \not\perp_B^s S$. Furthermore, for every $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have $\|T + RA\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1-a & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \geq 1 = \|T\|$, whence $T \perp_B^s R$. Therefore $\perp_\rho \not\subseteq \perp_B^s$ and $\perp_\rho \not\supseteq \perp_B^s$.

References

1. C. Alsina, J. Sikorska and M. S. Tomás, *Norm Derivatives and Characterizations of Inner Product Spaces*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2010.
2. Lj. Arambašić and R. Rajić, *The Birkhoff–James orthogonality in Hilbert C^*-modules*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437** (2012), 1913–1929.
3. Lj. Arambašić and R. Rajić, *A strong version of the Birkhoff–James orthogonality in Hilbert C^*-modules*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **5** (2014), no. 1, 109–120.
4. R. Bhatia and P. Šemrl, *Orthogonality of matrices and some distance problems*, Linear Algebra Appl. **287**(1-3) (1999), 77–85.
5. T. Bhattacharyya and P. Grover, *Characterization of Birkhoff–James orthogonality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **407** (2013), no. 2, 350-358.
6. G. Birkhoff, *Orthogonality in linear metric spaces*, Duke Math. J. **1** (1935), 169–172.
7. T. Bottazzi, C. Conde, M. S. Moslehian, P. Wójcik and A. Zamani, *Orthogonality and parallelism of operators on various Banach spaces*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **106** (2019), 160–183.
8. J. Chmieliński and P. Wójcik, *On a ρ-orthogonality*, Aequationes Math. **80** (2010), 45–55.
9. J. Chmieliński and P. Wójcik, *ρ-orthogonality and its preservation-revisited*, Recent Developments in Functional Equation and Inequalities, Banach Center Publ., Volume 99, Institute of Mathematic, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa 2013, 17–30.
10. J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Springer–Verlag New York Inc., 1985.
11. J. Dixmier, *C^*-Algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
12. S. S. Dragomir, *Semi-Inner Products and Applications*, Nova Science Publishers Inc, Hauppauge (2004).
13. R. C. James, *Orthogonality in normed linear spaces*, Duke Math. J. **12** (1945), 291–302.
14. D. J. Keckić, *Orthogonality in $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ spaces and normal derivations*, J. Operator Theory **51** (2004), 89–104.
15. D. J. Keckić, *Gateaux derivative of $B(H)$ norm*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 2061-2067.
16. D. J. Keckić, *Orthogonality and smooth points in $C(K)$ and $C_b(\Omega)$*, Eurasian Math. J. **3** (2012), no. 4, 44–52.
17. V. M. Manuilov and E. V. Troitsky, *Hilbert C^*-modules*, In: Translations of Mathematical Monographs. **226**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
18. P. M. Miličić, *Sur la G-orthogonalité dans les espéaceésnormés*, Math. Vesnik. **39** (1987), 325–334.
19. M. S. Moslehian, A. Zamani and M. Dehghani, *Characterizations of smooth spaces by ρ_*-orthogonality*, Houston J. Math. **43** (2017), no. 4, 1187–1208.
20. G. J. Murphy, *C^*-Algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1990.
21. D. Werner, *An elementary approach to the Daugavet equation*, in: *Interaction Between Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, and Probability (Columbia, MO, 1994)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 175 (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996), 449–454.
22. P. Wójcik, *Linear mappings preserving ρ-orthogonality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **386** (2012), 171–176.
23. P. Wójcik, *Linear mappings approximately preserving orthogonality in real normed spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **9**, no. 2, (2015), 134–141.
24. P. Wójcik, *Gateaux derivative of the norm in $\mathcal{K}(X;Y)$*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **7** (2016), no. 4, 678–685.

25. P. Wójcik, *Norm-parallelism in classical M-ideals*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **28**(2) (2017), 287–293.
26. P. Wójcik, *Birkhoff orthogonality in classical M-ideals*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **103** (2017), 279–288.
27. P. Wójcik, *Generalized Daugavet equations, affine operators and unique best approximation*, Stud. Math. **238**(3) (2017), 235–247.
28. P. Wójcik, *Extensions of linear operators from hyperplanes and strong uniqueness of best approximation in $\mathcal{L}(X, W)$*, J. Approx. Theory **246** (2019), 28–42.
29. P. Wójcik, *Characterization of linear similarities through functional equation and mappings preserving orthogonalities*, Linear Algebra Appl. **579** (2019), 206–216.
30. A. Zamani and M. S. Moslehian, *Exact and approximate operator parallelism*, Canad. Math. Bull. **58**(1) (2015), 207–224.
31. A. Zamani and M. S. Moslehian, *Norm-parallelism in the geometry of Hilbert C^*-modules*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **27**(1) (2016), 266–281.
32. A. Zamani and M. S. Moslehian, *An extension of orthogonality relations based on norm derivatives*, Q. J. Math. **70** (2019), no. 2, 379-393.

[1]Institute of Mathematics, Pedagogical University of Cracow, Podchorążych 2, 30-084 Kraków, Poland

Email address: pawel.wojcik@up.krakow.pl

* Corresponding author, [2]School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Damghan University, Damghan, P. O. BOX 36715-364, Iran

Email address: zamani.ali85@yahoo.com