

# Dynamics of quarks and gauge fields in the lowest-energy states in QED and QCD interactions

Cheuk-Yin Wong<sup>1,a,b</sup>, Andrew V. Koshelkin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

<sup>2</sup>National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow 115409, Russia

**Abstract** We examine the dynamics of quarks and gauge fields in the lowest energy states in the QED and QCD interactions by combining Schwinger's longitudinal confinement in (1+1)D with Polyakov's transverse confinement in (2+1)D in a "stretch (2+1)D" flux tube model in (3+1)D. For such QED and QED systems in the flux tube configuration with cylindrical symmetry, we separate out the transverse and longitudinal degrees of freedom, approximate the non-Abelian QCD in the quasi-Abelian approximation, and solve the derived equations to study the collective excitations. We find stable collective QED and QCD excitations showing up as confined QED and QCD mesons, in support of previous theoretical studies and recent observations of the anomalous hypothetical X17 and E38 particles. Future theoretical lattice gauge calculations of QED in (3+1)D with the inclusion of the Schwinger longitudinal confinement mechanism and experimental confirmation of the hypothetical X17 and E38 particles will shed definitive light on quark confinement in the QED interaction in (3+1)D.

**Keywords** Quarks and gauge fields in QCD and QED interactions · Schwinger QED confinement in (1+1)D · Polyakov QED confinement in (2+1)D · Open string · Hypothetical X17 · Hypothetical E38 particles

<sup>a</sup>Cheuk-Yin Wong's research has been supported in part by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>), Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

<sup>b</sup>e-mail: wongc@ornl.gov (corresponding author)

## 1 Introduction

Recent observations of possible neutral bosons with masses in the region of many tens of MeV have generated a great deal of interests. Specifically, (i) the observation of the anomalous soft photons with  $p_T \lesssim 60$  MeV/c in high-energy hadron-hadron collisions [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and high-energy  $e^+e^-$  collisions [7, 8, 9, 10], (ii) the observation of the hypothetical X17 particle at  $\sim 17$  MeV in the  $e^+e^-$  decay of low-energy proton-fusion of light  $\alpha^n$  nuclei [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19], and (iii) the observation of resonance-like structures of diphoton invariant masses at  $\sim 17$  and  $\sim 38$  MeV [20, 21, 22] in  $pA$  and  $dA$  collisions at  $p_{\text{lab}}$  of a few GeV/c per nucleon, point to the possible existence of neutral particles with masses of many tens of MeV. As they lie outside the known families of the Standard Model, they may be anomalous particles with unknown physical origins. If these anomalous particles are further confirmed by future experimental investigations, they may have important implications on the physics beyond the Standard Model and on Cosmology.

The observations of the anomalous soft photons refer to the occurrence of excess  $e^+e^-$  yields associated with hadron production in high-energy collisions. The yield of  $e^+e^-$  pairs with  $p_T \lesssim 60$  MeV/c, in excess of Standard Model QED bremsstrahlung predictions by a factor of about 4, was first observed in 1984 by the WA27 Collaboration at CERN, using the BEBC bubble chamber in  $K^+p$  collisions at  $p_{\text{lab}}(K^+) = 70$  GeV/c [1]. Since then, it has been confirmed by many exclusive measurements in high-energy  $K^+p$  [2],  $\pi^+p$  [2],  $\pi^-p$  [3, 4, 5],  $pp$  collisions [6], and  $e^+e^-$  collisions [7, 8, 9, 10]. The series of DELPHI measurements in  $e^+e^-$  annihilations at the  $Z^0$  resonance energy showed quantitatively that whenever hadrons are produced, anomalous soft photons in the form of excess  $e^+e^-$  pairs with  $p_T \lesssim 60$

MeV/c are proportionally produced, and when hadrons are not produced, these anomalous soft photons are also not produced [9,7,10]. The transverse momenta of these excess  $e^+e^-$  pairs lie in the range of a few MeV/c to many tens of MeV/c, which indicate that significant components of anomalous soft photons are likely massive neutral bosons with rest masses in the region of many tens of MeV. They have been interpreted as arising from a cold quark gluon plasma [23,24,25], pion condensate [26], pion reflection [27], corrections to bremsstrahlung [28], color flux tube particle production [29], stochastic QCD vacuum [30,31], ADS/CFT supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory [32], Unruh radiation [33], closed quark-antiquark loop [34], QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$  composite particles [35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43], and induced currents in the Dirac sea [44].

In the QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$  composite particle description of the anomalous soft photons in [35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43], it was proposed that a quark and an antiquark can form massive QED-confined neutral bosons through their mutual QED interactions by the Schwinger confinement mechanism in (1+1)D QED [45,46]. These QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$  composite bosons can be called “QED mesons” in analogy with the QCD-confined QCD mesons. The QED mesons may be simultaneously produced in conjunction with QCD mesons, and the  $e^+e^-$  decays of the produced QED mesons may be the source of the observed anomalous soft photons associated with QCD meson production. The masses of isoscalar and isovector QED mesons were predicted to be 12.8 MeV and 38.6 MeV respectively in the limit of massless quarks (Table I of [35]).

In another series of experiments in search of possible candidate particles for the axion [47], de Boer, Krasnarhokay, and collaborators studied the  $e^+e^-$  spectrum in low-energy proton-fusion production of light  $\alpha^n$  nuclei with  $n=1, 2$  and  $3$ . They reported the observation of a neutral particle at  $12 \pm 2.5$  MeV in the decay of the excited  $1^+$  isovector  $17.64$   $^8\text{Be}$  state [48]. Subsequently, Krasnarhokay and collaborators at ATOMKI continued the search with an improved  $e^+e^-$  spectrometer. They reported the observation of a hypothetical neutral “X17” boson with a mass of  $16.70 \pm 0.35(\text{stat}) \pm 0.5(\text{syst})$  MeV (i) in the decay of the  $18.15$  MeV  $I(J^\pi)=0(1^+)$  excited  $^8\text{Be}$  state to the  $^8\text{Be}$  ground state [11], (ii) in the decay of the  $18.05$  MeV  $I(J^\pi)=0(0^-)$  excited  $^4\text{He}$  state to the  $^4\text{He}$  ground state [12,14], (iii) in the decay of the off-resonance excited  $^8\text{Be}$  states to the  $^8\text{Be}$  ground state [15], and (iv) in the decay of the  $17.23$  MeV  $I(J^\pi)=1(1^-)$  excited  $^{12}\text{C}$  state to the  $^{12}\text{C}$  ground state [16]. In a recent preliminary measurement with a new  $e^+e^-$  spectrometer to study the decay of the excited  $^8\text{Be}$   $18.15$  MeV state in the proton-fusion of  $^7\text{Li}$ ,

the HUS Collaboration reported the observation of a significant anomaly ( $> 4\sigma$ ) indicating the decay of a hypothetical boson with a mass of  $16.7 \pm 0.4$  MeV into  $e^+e^-$ , in agreement with the earlier ATOMKI results published in 2016 for the hypothetical X17 particle [19]. An additional support for the hypothetical X17 particle comes from the  $p_T$  spectrum of the anomalous soft photons in  $pp$  collisions at  $p_{\text{lab}}(p) = 450$  GeV/c [6], in the thermal model of the transverse momentum distribution [38,49,50]. There is a resonance-like structure in the mass region of 10-20 MeV, with a peak to valley ratio of about 3, in the diphoton invariant mass spectrum obtained by the COMPASS Collaboration in the  $pp \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+(\gamma\gamma)p$  reaction at  $p_{\text{lab}}(p)=190$  GeV/c [51,52,53,54,55]. The resonance-like structure suggests it useful to re-examine whether it may be a signal for the hypothetical X17 particle. Other observations of resonance structures in  $e^+e^-$  invariant masses between 3 to 20 MeV have been reported in the collisions of high-energy nuclei with emulsion detectors [56,57,58,59].

The ATOMKI observation of such a hypothetical X17 particle with a mass of about 17 MeV has generated a great deal of interest [35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,60,61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68,69,70,71,72,73,74,75]. Although the mass of the hypothetical X17 particle was close to the isoscalar QED meson predicted earlier in [35], the hypothetical X17 boson led to many speculations inside and outside of the Standard Model, as discussed in the Proceedings of the Workshop on “Shedding lights on the X17” [60]. Among the proposed models were the QED meson [35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43], the axion [62], the fifth force of Nature [63], a dark photon [64], new physics particles [65], the Framed Standard Model [66,67,68], Higgs doublet [69], a 12-quark-state [70], and a light pseudoscalar [71]. Because the hypothetical X17 may be a new fundamental particle beyond the Standard Model with usual properties, the confirmation of the hypothetical X17 particle is being actively pursued by many laboratories [60], including ATOMKI [17,18], Dubna [76], HUS [19], STAR [77], MEGII [78], TU Prague [79,80], NTOF [81], NA64 [82], INFN-Rome [83], NA48 [84], Mu3e [85], MAGIX/DarkMESA [86], JLAB PAC50 [87,88], PADME [89,90], DarkLight [91,92,93], LUXE [94], FASER [95], and ANU/UM [96].

In another set of independent experiments, Abraamyan and collaborators at Dubna have been using the two-photon decay of a neutral boson to study the resonance structure of the lightest hadrons near their energy thresholds. Upon the suggestion of van Beveran and Rupp [97,98,99,100], the Dubna Collaboration undertook a search for a hypothetical E38 particle using the two-photon decay channel. The search was carried out in  $d(2.0 \text{ GeV}/n)+\text{C}$ ,  $d(3.0 \text{ GeV}/n)+\text{Cu}$

and  $p(4.6 \text{ GeV})+C$  reactions with internal targets at the JINR Nuclotron. They observed that the invariant masses of the two-photon distribution in these reactions exhibit a resonance structure at around 38 MeV [20,21,22]. In a recent analysis in the diphoton spectrum extended down to the lower invariant mass region, the Dubna Collaboration reported the observation of resonance-like structures both at  $\sim 17$  and  $\sim 38$  MeV in the same experimental set-up, in support of earlier ATOMKI observation of the hypothetical X17 particle and the earlier Dubna observation of the hypothetical E38 particle [22]. A supporting indirect signal for the hypothetical E38 particle may come from the  $p_T$  spectrum of anomalous soft photons in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at  $Z^0$  resonance energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 91.18 \text{ GeV}$ , which is consistent with the production of a neutral boson with a mass of about 38 MeV in the thermal model of the transverse momentum distribution [38,49,50]. Another possible signal may be the prominent resonance structure at 38 MeV in the diphoton invariant mass spectrum in PbPb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$  (Fig. 5.6 in Ref. [101]) obtained by the CMS Collaboration [102]. It will be of great interest to examine whether the peak structure at 38 MeV may be a signal for the E38 particle, as the corrections from the conversion of the two  $\pi^0$ -decay photons do not appear to exhibit a peak structure in the invariant mass spectrum at the mass region of 38 MeV (as indicated in Fig. 6.6 of [101]). Another possible E38 signal may be the resonance structure of the diphoton invariant mass at 38 MeV in the  $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-(\gamma\gamma)$  reaction at  $p_{\text{lab}}(p) = 190 \text{ GeV}/c$  [51, 52, 53, 54] and the  $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p_{\text{slow}}\pi^+\pi^-(\gamma\gamma)$  reaction at  $p_{\text{lab}}(\pi^-) = 190 \text{ GeV}/c$ , obtained by the COMPASS Collaboration, as pointed out by [97, 98, 99, 100]. Theoretically, the masses of the hypothetical X17 and E38 particles are close to the mass of the QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$  isoscalar and isovector composite particle predicted earlier in Table I of [35] and discussed in [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. The hypothetical E38 particle was considered in the theory of  $SO(4,2)$  conformal symmetric model with anti-De-Sitter background geometry [97, 98, 99, 100].

While many different theoretical interpretations have been presented for the anomalies, the only theoretical interpretation that may link these three anomalies together in a single framework is the open string  $q\bar{q}$  meson model presented in [35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. Such a meson model for the lowest-energy QCD and QED  $q\bar{q}$  systems is based on the Schwinger confinement mechanism [45, 46] in which a quark and an antiquark are confined and bound by QCD and QED interactions as  $q\bar{q}$  open strings in (1+1)D. QCD and QED mesons in (1+1)D may represent physical QCD

and QED mesons in (3+1)D when the radius of the flux tube is properly taken into account [35, 38]. Such a phenomenological open string meson model was found to describe adequately  $\pi^0, \eta$ , and  $\eta'$  in the QCD sector. By extrapolating into the  $q\bar{q}$  QED sector in which a quark and an antiquark interact with the QED interaction alone, an open string isoscalar  $I(J^\pi)=0(0^-)$  QED meson state was found to be located at about 17 MeV and an isovector ( $I(J^\pi)=1(0^-), I_3=0$ ) QED meson state at about 38 MeV [38]. The predicted masses of the isoscalar and isovector QED mesons are close to the masses of the anomalous soft photons and the hypothetical X17 and E38 particles observed experimentally, making them good candidates to describe these particles.

Although the open-string model of QCD and QED  $q\bar{q}$  mesons appears to be phenomenologically successful in describing the spectrum of the lowest-energy neutral QCD and QED mesons [38], the theoretical foundations for the QCD string on the one hand and the QED string on the other hand rest on different grounds with different strengths of theoretical supports. The QCD open-string description in (3+1)D has a long history since the early development of the Veneziano amplitude [103], Nambu-Goto string model [104, 105, 106], the non-Abelian gauge theory [107, 108], the lattice gauge theory [109], and the compactification of QCD from (3+1)D to (1+1)D in the large  $N_c$  limit [161]. It stands on firm theoretical grounds and needs not be belabored again. However, the QED string in (3+1)D receives supports from the Schwinger confinement mechanism in (1+1)D QED [45, 46] and its phenomenological success to describe the observed spectrum of the anomalous particles [35, 38]. A strong theoretical foundation for the QED  $q\bar{q}$  string in (3+1)D is, however, sorely lacking. On the contrary from the viewpoint of lattice gauge calculations, the proposed confinement of  $q\bar{q}$  in QED in (3+1)D appears to contradict the well-known result that quarks interacting in QED belong to the weak-coupling deconfinement regime in compact QED in (3+1)D in lattice gauge calculations [109, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126]. According to lattice gauge calculations, a quark and an antiquark are deconfined in (3+1)D if they interact in the QED interaction alone.

In the presence of the above contradicting lattice gauge predictions on quark deconfinement in QED in (3+1)D on the one hand, and the phenomenological description of the observed anomalous particles as QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$  states in (3+1)D [35, 38] on the other hand, we wish to inquire first of all whether a quark and an antiquark could ever be produced and to interact in QED alone to allow possible production of QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$

states. As it turns out that a quark and an antiquark pair could in principle be produced and could interact in QED alone, we question next whether the lattice gauge results of a quark and an antiquark deconfined in compact QED in (3+1)D be consistent with the experimental absence of isolated fractional charges. We would like to consider further whether there may be important elements missing in the present-day lattice gauge calculations for which the conclusion of quark deconfinement in QED in (3+1)D has been reached. We would like to explore subsequently a “stretch (2+1)D” model of the creation and the interaction of a quark and antiquark in (3+1)D to study whether the inclusion of the missing element of the Schwinger confinement mechanism may lead to  $q\bar{q}$  confinement in QED in (3+1)D. The present study with the constructed stretch (2+1)D model may not solve the problem completely, but it may bring us one step closer to answer, at least partially, the central question whether a quark and an antiquark are confined in QED in (3+1)D.

Although our search is focused predominantly on the theoretical foundation of quark confinement in the QED interaction in (3+1)D, such a study will benefit from the analogous study in the QCD interaction and vice versa. We shall therefore include the QCD interaction also in our investigation when it is appropriate to do so. For brevity of phraseology, we shall use the shorter phrase “in QCD” for “in the QCD interaction” and “in QED” for “in the QED interaction”, respectively.

Recently, there has been renewed interest in generalizing the Schwinger and the Sommerfeld models in (1+1)D to multiflavor massive fermions and vector fields [127, 128, 129, 130, 131]. The Schwinger model with two flavors and small and opposite fermion masses in (1+1)D has been found to be a non-trivial example of unparticle physics that may provide an interesting (1+1)D laboratory to study the physics of the interacting unparticle stuff [130]. An important part of the unparticle physics is its connection to the Standard Model whose particles reside in (3+1)D. The connection between the (1+1)D dynamics and the (3+1)D dynamics examined here may help facilitate a similar connection of Georgi’s generalized Schwinger toy model of unparticle physics in (1+1)D and the (3+1)D in the Standard Model.

The detail mechanism how the dynamics of a quark and an antiquark in the (3+1)D may be connected to the dynamics in (1+1)D may also be of interest when we compare and contrast similar connections in quantum vortex strings where the spectrum in (3+1)D resembles the spectrum in (1+1)D [132, 133, 134]. The connection may also be related to the study of the effective string theory with long strings in (3+1)D where the quark confinement is assumed from the outset [135, 136, 137,

138, 139, 140, 141]. If the quark confinement occurs not only in the QCD interaction but also in the QED interaction in (3+1)D as indicated by the experimental observation of the hypothetical X17 and the E38 particles, the effective string theory may be further extended to include effective strings from the QED interaction.

As discussed in detail in [39], quark confinement is a rather peculiar property. The peculiarity of the quark confinement property requires that in order for a quark and an antiquark to be observable and subject to examination for low energy  $q\bar{q}$  states, the quark and the antiquark must be in one of the confined and bound eigenstates at the eigenenergies of the  $q\bar{q}$  system. At all other energies, the system of a quark and an antiquark does not exist, neither as a quark-antiquark bound state nor a continuum state of an isolated quark and antiquark. We are therefore required to limit our attention only to those  $q\bar{q}$  systems that are already known to be confined and bound or could potentially be confined and bound at appropriate eigenenergies. Such a logical circularity arises because of the peculiar property of quark confinement. As bound states are involved, we shall consider the QCD and QED interactions between the quark and the antiquark to be implicitly non-perturbative in nature.

A brief discussion of the present work was presented at the International Conference on High Energy Physics, Bologna, Italy, July 2022 [43]. The contents of the present manuscript are organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the circumstances in which a quark and an antiquark may be produced and may interact nonperturbatively in QED alone. It is therefore reasonable to examine the question of quark confinement in QED from the viewpoint of lattice gauge calculations. Past lattice gauge calculations reveal that quarks in QED in (3+1)D are not confined. The deconfinement of quarks in QED appears to contradict the experimental absence of fractional charges. It is therefore suggested that the Schwinger longitudinal confinement mechanism may need to be included in future lattice gauge calculations for light quarks in QED in (3+1)D. In order to investigate the effect of the Schwinger longitudinal confinement for light quarks in QED in (3+1)D, we study the Schwinger longitudinal confinement for QED in (1+1)D in conjunction with Polyakov’s transverse QED confinement in (2+1)D. We therefore propose in Section 3 a “stretch (2+1)D” flux tube model in (3+1)D, for the production and the interaction of a light quark  $q\bar{q}$  pair in compact QED. For our investigation, we introduce the dynamical variables and the action integral in (3+1)D in a flux tube configuration in Section 4 and treat the QCD and QED gauge interactions in a broken U(3) framework. We apply Polyakov’s result

of transverse confinement in QED in (2+1)D [115,117] and study in Section 5 the quark field part of the action integral. We separate the action integral into the transverse (2+1)D<sub>{x<sup>1</sup>,x<sup>2</sup>,x<sup>0</sup>}</sub> Lagrangian and the longitudinal (1+1)D<sub>{x<sup>3</sup>,x<sup>0</sup>}</sub> Lagrangian, for the system with cylindrical symmetry in the stretch (2+1)D flux tube model. We follow methods similar to those used by Wang, Pavel, Brink, Wong and others [142,143,144,145,146] to separate the longitudinal and transverse degrees of freedom of the Dirac equation, with details given in Appendix A. The relations between various quantities in 2D and 4D are given in Appendix B. In Sections 6, we study the gauge field part and the total sum of the action integral. In Section 7, we write down the action integral in the idealized (1+1)D<sub>{x<sup>0</sup>,x<sup>3</sup>}</sub> space-time which can be the starting point for the description of the longitudinal dynamics in the flux tube environment. In Section 8, we examine the proposed “stretch (2+1)D” flux tube model for the production of a  $q\bar{q}$  pair and study the Landau level dynamics. The solutions are then used to calculate the quark currents to generate the self-consistent gauge fields. We use the Maxwell equation and obtain the masses of bound mesons as a function of the coupling constant. In Section 9, we compare our results of many QED and QCD excitations in quark-QCD-QED systems with low-energy experimental data. In Section 10, we present our summary, discussions, and conclusions.

## 2 Questions on quark confinement in lattice gauge calculations in compact QED in (3+1)D

It is well-known that a  $q\bar{q}$  pair is confined in a QCD meson in (3+1)D, and we do not need to re-examine the question of quark confinement in QCD in (3+1)D again. We can focus our attention on the question of quark confinement in the QED interaction in (3+1)D. However, the suggestion in [35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43] that a quark and an antiquark interacting in QED may be confined as a QED meson in (3+1)D is subject to serious questions. Is it ever possible for a quark and an antiquark be produced and interact in QED alone? Our common perception is that a quark and an antiquark interact simultaneously in QCD and QED, with the QCD interaction as the dominant interaction and the QED interaction as a perturbation. The interaction of a quark and an antiquark in QED alone, without the QCD interaction, may appear impossible at first sight. However, as discussed in detail in Section 2 of Ref. [42] and explained briefly here, there are physical circumstances in which a quark and an antiquark pair can be produced and can interact in QED alone.

Quarks and antiquarks carry color and electric charges and they interact in the QCD interaction and the QED interaction with the exchange of a gluon or a photon. The QCD interaction and the QED interaction are independent interactions. There is no theorem nor basic physical principle that forbids a quark and an antiquark to interact non-perturbatively in QED alone. What is not forbidden is allowed, in accordance with Gell-Mann’s Totalitarian Principle [147]. So, it is allowed that a quark and an antiquark can interact in QED alone. Experimentally, there are circumstances in which a quark and an antiquark pair can be produced with a center-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s}$  below the pion mass gap,  $m_q + m_{\bar{q}} < \sqrt{s} < m_\pi$ , where the sum of the rest masses of the light quark and light antiquark is of order a few MeV and  $m_\pi \sim 135$  MeV [148]. In this range of energy below the pion mass gap, the quark and the antiquark cannot interact in the QCD interaction to form a QCD-bound state, nor can they interact in the QCD interaction to form an isolated quark and an isolated antiquark continuum state because quarks are confined in QCD. We are left with the only possibility of the quark and the antiquark interacting in the QED interaction alone in this energy range. The quark and antiquark, if produced in this energy range below the pion mass gap in hadron-hadron,  $AA$ ,  $e^+e^-$ , and  $e^-A$  collisions, will probe the property of the quark and the antiquark interacting in the QED interaction alone. A  $q\bar{q}$  pair will be produced at the eigenenergy of a QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$  eigenstate as a QED meson, if there exists such an eigenstate in this energy range.

In the production of a quark and an antiquark by a process such as  $e^+ + e^- \xrightarrow{\gamma^* \text{ or } \gamma^* \gamma^*} q + \bar{q}$  with  $m_q + m_{\bar{q}} < \sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} < m_\pi$ , the reaction process will proceed only if the produced  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$  will interact dynamically between themselves and with the vacuum to generate a confined and bound  $q\bar{q}$  system with a mass matching the eigenenergy of a QED meson eigenstate,  $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} = m_{\text{QED meson}}$ , if such a confined and bound state exists. At all other energies for which  $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} \neq m_{\text{QED meson}}$ , the production process cannot occur because the produced quark and antiquark cannot form a confined and bound state, nor can they exist as a pair of isolated quark and antiquark propagating to asymptotically infinite separations. The reaction cross section for the process  $e^+ + e^- \xrightarrow{\gamma^* \text{ or } \gamma^* \gamma^*} q + \bar{q}$  contains the density of state delta-function factor,  $\delta(\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} - m_{\text{QED meson}})$ , which limits the process to occur only for  $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} = m_{\text{QED meson}}$ . In our formulation, we envisage that at the QED meson eigenenergy, the produced valence quark and valence antiquark interact between themselves, and they also interact with the vacuum, consisting of quarks under the Dirac sea. The

interaction between the quark and the antiquark can be well represented by the linear interaction of the QED gauge field and such an interaction gives the contribution of the first term of the mass formula in Eq. (115). For the interaction of the quarks with the vacuum, we envisage that prior to the production of the valence  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$  pair, the vacuum consist of quarks under the negative energy Dirac sea possessing chiral symmetry and the quarks possess their bare masses. The presence of a valence quark and an valence antiquark with their non-perturbative QED interaction turning on will lead to spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking and a QED quark condensate with non-vanishing value of  $\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\text{QED}}$ . As a consequence, there is dynamical modification of the quark-antiquark mass arising the change of the vacuum. Their contribution to the  $q\bar{q}$  mass square as proportional to  $(m_q + m_{\bar{q}})\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\text{QED}}$  is represented by the second term in Eq. (115). Together with both the first and the second contributions, they lead to the masses of confined and bound QED meson  $q\bar{q}$  states as those listed in Table 1 in Section 9 below.

Having settled on the question of the possibility of quarks interacting in QED alone, we proceed to examine the question of quark confinement in QED in (3+1)D in lattice gauge calculations. It has been known for a long time since the advent of Wilson's lattice gauge theory that a fermion and an antifermion in (3+1)D in compact Abelian U(1) QED interaction (which corresponds to a quark and an antiquark with a single color and a single flavor in (3+1)D in compact QED interaction) have a strong coupling confinement phase and a weak coupling deconfined phase [109]. The same conclusion was reached subsequently by Kogut, Susskind, Mandelstam, Polyakov, Banks, Jaffe, Drell, Peskin, Guth, Kondo and many others [109, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126]. Lattice gauge calculations in compact QED in (3+1)D show that the critical coupling constant  $\beta_c$ , below which opposite static charges are not confined in (3+1)D, has been determined to be  $\beta_c = 1/e_c^2 = 1.0111331(21)$ , or  $e_c^2 = 0.988989481$  [124, 125]. As  $e_{\text{QED}}^2 = 1/137$  and  $e_{\text{QED}}^2 \ll e_c^2$ , the QED interaction between a quark and an antiquark belongs to the weak-coupling deconfined regime. This means that according to lattice gauge calculations, a quark and an antiquark are deconfined in compact QED in (3+1)D.

The deconfined solution for a quark and antiquark in lattice gauge calculations in (3+1)D poses a serious contradiction to experimental observations. If a pair of quark and antiquark are deconfined when they interact in the QED interaction alone in the physical world of (3+1)D, then they will appear as isolated quark and antiquark with fractional charges at energies below the

pion mass gap. However, in contradiction to such a prediction of deconfined quark and antiquark, no such fractional charges have ever been observed.

It is important to point out however that the deconfined solution for a static quark and a static antiquark pair in compact QED in (3+1)D in lattice gauge calculations comes mainly from calculations in which the quark and the antiquark are considered only as static external quark probes represented by a Wilson loop, given in terms of the product of link variables at fixed static spatial locations along time-like world lines [109, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126]. In such a formulation of quark confinement in terms of the Wilson loop, the quarks are in essence static and infinitely heavy objects in fixed spatial locations. They have not been treated as dynamical quark fields. These calculations have not included the Schwinger confinement mechanism when light quarks are treated as quanta in a dynamical quark field.

On the other hand, as applied to light quarks approximated as massless, the Schwinger confinement mechanism shows that a light quark and a light antiquark are confined in QED in (1+1)D as an open string [45, 46]. It has been further shown by Coleman, Jackiw, and Susskind that the Schwinger confinement mechanism persists even for massive quarks in (1+1)D [149, 150]. Furthermore, from the viewpoint of phenomenology, a stable QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$  system in (3+1)D would be a likely occurrence because quarks cannot be isolated and they reside predominantly in (1+1)D as no fractional charged particles have ever been observed. The phenomenological open-string QCD and QED meson model with the hypothesis of a confined  $q\bar{q}$  pair in (3+1)D leads to QCD and QED meson spectra in agreement with experimental data [35, 38].

In view of the above, the present-day static lattice gauge calculations for compact QED in (3+1)D may not be as complete and definitive as it may appear to be because the important Schwinger confinement mechanism has not been included. Future lattice gauge calculations with the inclusion of the Schwinger confinement mechanism in compact QED interactions in (3+1)D will be of great value in clarifying the question of quark confinement in QED. In this regard, there have been many recent advances in efficient methods of lattice gauge calculations in compact QED with dynamical fermions (which can be taken to be quarks) in (3+1)D using the tensor network [126], dual presentation [151], magnetic-field digitization [152], regulating magnetic fluctuations [153], or other efficient methods. There are also other recent advances in the studies of  $q\bar{q}$  flux tubes in lattice gauge theories in compact U(1) QED and SU(3) QCD [154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159]. They

may be utilized to study the question of confinement of dynamical quarks in compact U(1) QED in a flux tube.

### 3 The stretch (2+1)D model for $q\bar{q}$ production and confinement in compact QED in (3+1)D

Whatever the theoretical predictions on quark confinement in QED in (3+1)D may be, in the final analysis, the question whether a  $q\bar{q}$  pair is confined in QED in (3+1)D can only be settled by experiments.

In the meantime, there is the perplexing agreement of the experimental anomalous particle spectrum with the theoretical predictions of the phenomenological open string QED meson model in [35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. In the presence of the two opposing conclusions on quark confinement in QED in (3+1)D, it is possible that both conclusions can still be consistent with each other, if the confinement conclusion arises from the inclusion of the Schwinger confinement mechanism [45, 46] in the QED meson model in [35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43], while the deconfinement conclusion arises from the absence of the Schwinger confinement mechanism in static lattice gauge calculations of [109, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126]. Therefore, we wish to construct a plausible flux-tube model to demonstrate such a possibility by showing that a  $q\bar{q}$  system in a “stretch (2+1)D” configuration can attain confinement in QED in (3+1)D at a confined  $q\bar{q}$  eigenstate.

We would like to introduce the essential concepts of the “stretch (2+1)” flux tube model. We note first of all that there are two different types of QED U(1) gauge interactions possessing different confinement properties [115, 117, 121]. There is the compact QED U(1) gauge theory in which the gauge fields  $A^\mu$  are angular variables with a periodic gauge field action to allow transverse photons to self-interact among themselves. The gauge field action in the compact QED U(1) gauge theory, in the lattice gauge units and notations of Ref. [115, 117, 121], is

$$S = \frac{1}{2g^2} \sum_{x,\alpha\beta} (1 - \cos F_{x,\alpha\beta}), \quad (1)$$

where  $g$  is the coupling constant and the gauge fields  $F_{x,\alpha\beta}$  are

$$F_{x,\alpha\beta} = A_{x,\alpha} + A_{x+\alpha,\beta} - A_{x+\beta,\alpha} - A_{x,\beta}, \text{ with } -\pi \leq A_{x,\alpha} \leq \pi \quad (2)$$

There is also the non-compact QED U(1) gauge theory with the gauge field action [115, 117, 121]

$$S = \frac{1}{4g^2} \sum_{x,\alpha\beta} F_{x,\alpha\beta}^2, \quad \text{with } -\infty \leq A_{x,\alpha} \leq +\infty. \quad (3)$$

In non-compact QED gauge theories, the transverse photons do not interact with other transverse photons.

Even though the compact and the non-compact QED gauge theories in Eqs. (1) and (3) have the same continuum limit, they may have different confinement properties. The QED confinement property depends on the number of spatial dimensions of the quark and gauge fields.

In (1+1)D, Schwinger showed that massless dynamical fermions are confined in QED for all strengths of the coupling constant [45, 46], for which there is no distinction between the compact and the non-compact QED.

In (2+1)D, Polyakov showed that static opposite charges are confined in compact QED for all strengths of the coupling constant, but are deconfined in non-compact QED [115, 117].

In (3+1)D, static opposite charges are confined in compact QED only for strong coupling, but they are deconfined for weak coupling and in non-compact QED [115, 117, 121].

We need to ascertain the type of the QED U(1) gauge interaction between a quark and an antiquark in a QED meson. As pointed out by Yang [160], the quantization and the commensurate properties of the interacting electric charges imply the compact property of the underlying QED gauge theory. Because (i) quark and antiquark electric charges are quantized and commensurate, (ii) quarks and antiquarks cannot be isolated, and (iii) there are pieces of experimental evidence for possible occurrence of confined  $q\bar{q}$  QED meson states as we mentioned in the Introduction, it is therefore reasonable to propose that quarks and antiquarks interact in the compact QED U(1) interaction.

In compact QED in (2+1)D $_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$  in lattice gauge theories, Polyakov [115, 117] showed previously that a pair of opposite electric charges and their gauge fields are confined and that the confinement persists for all non-vanishing coupling constants, no matter how weak. As explained in detail by Drell and collaborators [121], such a confinement in (2+1)D $_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$  arises from the angular-variable property of  $A_\phi$  and the periodicity of the gauge field action as indicated in Eqs. (1) and (2). The gauge action periodicity in the neighborhood of the produced opposite electric charges leads to self-interacting transverse gauge fields. The transverse gauge fields interact among themselves, they do not radiate away, and they join the two opposite electric charges and their associated gauge fields by a confining interaction. The  $q\bar{q}$  confinement in (2+1)D can be described as the quark and the antiquark being effectively magnetic monopole and magnetic anti-monopole [162, 161, 114], linked together by a magnetic flux tube. In the presence of quantum fluctuations, the magnetic monopole confinement forces tend to counterbalance the disruptive quantum fluctuation forces.


In lattice gauge calculations in compact QED in (2+1)D, the magnetic monopole confinement forces overcomes the quantum fluctuation forces, and the quark confinement persists for the QED interaction of all strengths in (2+1)D [115,117].

In lattice gauge calculations in compact QED in (3+1)D, however, a pair of opposite electric charges (such as a  $q$  and an  $\bar{q}$  pair) and their gauge fields have two different phases, depending on the strengths of the coupling. With a strong coupling, the magnetic monopole confining forces between the charged pair overcome the quantum fluctuation forces to lead to the confining phase in (3+1)D. As the electric charged pair is confined already in (2+1)D by way of Polyakov transverse confinement in (2+1)D, the strong strength of the gauge field between the charged pairs in the case of strong coupling facilitates the longitudinal confinement, leading to the complete transverse and longitudinal confinements in (3+1)D. However, for a charged pair with a weak coupling (such as a quark and an antiquark interacting in QED) and a random disposition of the intermediary gauge fields, the weak magnetic monopole confining forces in (3+1)D cannot overcome the quantum fluctuation forces for longitudinal confinement. As a consequence, the strength of the QED interaction between a quark and an antiquark places them in the weak-coupling deconfinement regime in (3+1)D, and the quark and the antiquark are not confined in QED in (3+1)D.


As we discussed earlier, the lattice gauge result of deconfinement of a quark and an antiquark in QED in (3+1)D contradicts the observational absence of fractional charges and the successful phenomenological description of the pair as a QED meson [35,38]. In lattice gauge calculations up to now, the Schwinger confinement mechanism is however missing. It is possible that when the Schwinger confinement mechanism is properly taken into account, there can be the longitudinal confinement between the quark and the antiquark in the stretch (2+1)D configuration in QED. Together with the additional transverse confinement made possible by the Polyakov's transverse confinement in QED in (2+1)D $_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$ , there can be a complete confinement of the quark and the antiquark in QED in (3+1)D $_{\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^0\}}$ .

To include the Schwinger confinement mechanism into the dynamics in (3+1)D, we consider light quarks which can be approximated as massless, in contradistinction to quarks on time-like world lines as static and infinitely-heavy external probes in conventional lattice gauge calculations. We also judiciously position and prepare the quark fields and gauge fields such that the Schwinger confinement mechanism can be operational.


The Schwinger confinement mechanism will be operational if the quark and the antiquark are linked by a flux tube. The flux tube can be prepared and maintained by stretching the Polyakov's transverse confinement of QED in (2+1)D along the longitudinal direction. We therefore construct a "stretch (2+1)D" flux tube model [41] by combining the Schwinger (longitudinal) confinement with Polyakov transverse confinement to examine the creation and the interaction of a quark  $q$  and an antiquark  $\bar{q}$  in a QED-confined  $q\bar{q}$  eigenstate. We wish to study how the quark and the antiquark can be produced and be confined at the  $q\bar{q}$  eigenstate in QED in (3+1)D.



(a) Initial production of a  $q\bar{q}$  pair in a QED meson



(b) Electric and magnetic lines of force of the QED meson



(c) Lattice description of the QED meson

**Fig. 1** Fig. (a) depicts the initial production of the  $q\bar{q}$  pair of a QED meson, with an infinitesimal longitudinal separation  $\Delta x^3$ . Fig. (b) gives a snapshot of the configuration of the quark charge, the antiquark charge, gauge fields  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$ , and  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$  during the dynamical longitudinal yo-yo motion of a  $q\bar{q}$  pair interacting in compact QED in a QED meson, starting from a (2+1)D transversely confined  $q\bar{q}$  system. Fig. (b) is the "stretch (2+1)D" configuration. Fig. (c) is the corresponding lattice transcription of Fig. (b), following the Hamiltonian formulation of Drell *et al.* [121].

In the stretch (2+1)D flux tube model, we envisage the production of the nascent  $q\bar{q}$  pair at the origin,  $(x^1, x^2, x^3) = 0$ , in the center-of-mass system in Fig. 1(a), at the eigenstate with eigenenergy  $\sqrt{s}(q\bar{q})$  of a QED meson<sup>1</sup>. We take for simplicity the quark (electric) charge to be positive, which can be easily generalized to other cases of negatively-charged quark and flavor mixing. At birth, the quark  $q$  and the antiquark  $\bar{q}$  possess equal and opposite momenta in the center-of-mass system because the  $q$  and the  $\bar{q}$  reside in a bound eigenstate with non-zero kinetic energies. The quark momentum defines the longitudinal direction from which we can define the transverse coordinates  $(x^1, x^2)$  and the transverse planes at  $x^3 = (\text{constant})$ .

As depicted in Fig. 1(a), the quark and the antiquark produced at birth are separated by an infinitesimal longitudinal and transverse separations,  $(\Delta x^3, \Delta \mathbf{r}_\perp)$ . At the birth of the  $q\text{-}\bar{q}$  pair, there exists Polyakov's transverse confinement of the quark, the antiquark and the gauge fields in compact QED in (2+1)D on the transverse  $(x^1, x^2)$ -plane at  $x^3 \sim 0$ . The QED-confinement of the created  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$  pair in (2+1)D necessitates the associated creation of their gauge fields  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$ , and  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ , which by causality can only be in the neighborhood of the created charges initially, with the created  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  fields lying along the longitudinal  $x^3$  direction. Because the created  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$  pair are constituents of a confined eigenstate, the produced quark and the antiquark will execute stretching and contracting "yo-yo" motion along the longitudinal  $x^3$  direction, appropriate for the QED meson bound state in question. The light masses also means that they moves with speed close to the speed of light. As the quark and antiquark stretch outward in the longitudinal  $x^3$  directions, we can construct a longitudinal tube structure of gauge fields in the stretch (2+1)D configuration by duplicating longitudinally the transversely-confined gauge fields that exist on the transverse  $(x^1, x^2)$ -plane at  $x^3 \sim 0$  initially at their birth, for the longitudinal region between the stretching quark and antiquark. By duplicating the initial transversely-confined gauge fields along the longitudinal direction, we obtain a longitudinal tube with a cylindrical symmetry in (3+1)D. The property of the transverse confinement at one longitudinal coordinate at birth can thereby be extended to the whole tube,

<sup>1</sup>Because quarks and antiquarks cannot be isolated, the production of a  $q\bar{q}$  pair can occur only at certain CM eigenstate energies,  $\sqrt{s}(q\bar{q})$ , of confined and bound  $q\bar{q}$  systems, such as in a QED meson or a QCD meson. At other energies different from confined and bound eigenstate energies, the  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$  do not exist. Hence, a quark and an antiquark cannot be produced at energies that are not eigenenergies of bound QCD or QED mesons.

and the longitudinal tube will likely be a transversely-confining flux tube.

A snap shot of the stretch (2+1)D flux tube configurations at an early moment in the longitudinal stretching motion is shown in Fig. 1(b). We show the transcription of Fig. 1(b) in terms of the lattice link and plaquette variables in Fig. 1(c), by following the Hamiltonian formulation and the notations of Drell *et al.* [121]. Specifically, in the  $A^0=0$  gauge we specify the canonical conjugate gauge fields  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  at the links in Fig. 1(c), where we display only the  $A^1, A^2$  and  $E^3$  values of the conjugate gauge fields. The  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  fields are aligned along the longitudinal  $x^3$  axis and directed from the positively-charged  $q$  at  $x^3/2$  to the negatively-charged  $\bar{q}$  at  $-x^3/2$  (Fig. 1(c)). These  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  fields are present to lead to Polyakov's transverse confinement of the quarks and the gauge fields that reside on the transverse planes of  $q$  at  $-x^3/2$  and  $\bar{q}$  at  $x^3/2$ . Specifically, the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  sends the quark and antiquark charges into the appropriate Landau level orbitals to execute confined transverse harmonic oscillator zero-point motions on their respective  $\{x^1, x^2\}$  transverse planes. At the transverse zero mode, the anticipated Schwinger longitudinal confining motion along with the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  and the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  along the longitudinal  $x^3$  direction send the quark and the antiquark in a longitudinal stretching and contracting "yo-yo" motion. The electric charge densities obey the Gauss law associated with the divergence of the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$ . Consequently, the positive electric quark charge fractions (solid circles in Fig. 1(c)) reside at the  $-x^3/2$  plaquette vertices and the negative electric antiquark charge fractions (open circles in Fig. 1(c)) at the antiquark plaquette vertices at their  $+x^3/2$  planes, at the two ends of the flux tube. The transverse gauge fields  $\mathbf{A}$  on the transverse links are copies of those on the quark and the antiquark plaquettes at  $x^3(q) = -x^3/2$  and at  $x^3(\bar{q}) = x^3/2$  respectively, and they are unchanged in  $x^3$  along the stretching motion, while the longitudinal links are all  $E^3 = |\mathbf{E}|/4$ .

We shall show in Section 8.1 in the stretch (2+1)D model that the longitudinal  $\mathbf{B}$  field that is present initially to confine quarks and antiquarks on the transverse plane at their birth continues to confine quarks and antiquarks transversely in the stretched configuration, because of the Landau level dynamics. The cloud of transverse gauge fields continue to interact with each other to maintain the transverse confinement on their transverse planes. As a consequence, quarks, antiquarks, and gauge fields will continue to be transversely confined, as if the quark and the antiquark are effectively magnetic monopoles [161, 162, 114] linked together by a

flux tube in the transverse degree of freedom as shown in Fig. 1(b).

With the attainment of transverse confinement and  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  aligned along the longitudinal direction in the flux tube, it remains necessary to examine the question of longitudinal confinement. If we can show that the system possesses both transverse and longitudinal confinements as in an open-string, the quark and the antiquark will be confined and bound in a QED meson in (3+1)D.

Although the above model has been constructed specifically with quarks interacting in QED in our mind, Polyakov's transverse-confinement effect and Schwinger's longitudinal confinement effect apply also to quarks interacting in QCD in the quasi-Abelian approximation of the QCD interaction. For this reason, we shall include both QED and QCD in the same footing in our subsequent investigations.

#### 4 The action integral in a flux tube model in (3+1)D

We proceed now to study in detail the dynamics of quarks, antiquarks, and gauge fields in QCD and QED interactions in the above cylindrically-symmetric stretch (2+1)D model of [39]. Here, the dynamical field variables are the quark fields  $\Psi_f^i$ , the QCD gauge field  $A_{\text{QCD}}^\mu$ , and the QED gauge field  $A_{\text{QED}}^\mu$ . The quark fields are  $\Psi_f^i$  where  $i=1, 2, 3$  are the color indices and  $f$  is the flavor index. The QCD gauge fields are  $A_{\text{QCD}}^\mu = \sum_{a=1}^8 A_a^\mu t^a$ , where  $a=1, \dots, 8$  are the SU(3) generator index,  $\{t^1, t^2, t^3, \dots, t^8\}$  are the generators of the SU(3) color-octet subgroup, and  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$  are the indices of the space time coordinates  $x^\mu$  with the signature  $g^{\mu\mu}=(1, -1, -1, -1)$ . The QED gauge field is  $A_{\text{QED}}^\mu = A_0^\mu t^0$  where  $t^0$  is the generator of the color-singlet U(1) subgroup,

$$t^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

We shall use the summation convention over repeated indices, except when the summation symbols are needed to resolve ambiguities.

In our problem of quarks interacting with the QCD and the QED interactions in the stretch (2+1)D model, it is useful to treat the QED and QCD gauge interactions in a single framework by considering an enlarged U(3) group, consisting of the union of the QCD SU(3) color-octet subgroup and the QED U(1) color-singlet subgroup of generators [35]. This can be achieved by adding  $t^0$  onto the eight standard generators of the SU(3) subgroup to form the nine generators  $\{t^0, t^1, \dots, t^8\}$  of the U(3) group, satisfying  $2\text{Tr}\{t^a t^b\} = \delta^{ab}$  with

$a, b = 0, 1, \dots, 8$  and  $A^\mu = \sum_{a=0}^8 A_a^\mu t^a$ . The SU(3) and the U(1) subgroups of the U(3) group differ in their coupling constants and communicative properties. We consider quarks with masses  $m_f$  with the number of flavors  $N_f^\lambda$  where  $f=u, d, s=1, 2, 3$  are the flavor labels, and the superscript  $\lambda$  is the interaction label with  $\lambda=0$  for the QED color-singlet U(1) subgroup, and  $\lambda=1$  for QCD color-octet SU(3) subgroup. Because of the different mass scales associated with different gauge fields, we can choose  $N_f^{\text{QED}} = N_f^0 = 2$  and  $N_f^{\text{QCD}} = N_f^1 = 3$ . The coupling constants<sup>2</sup>  $g_{4\text{D}f}^a$  are given explicitly by

$$g_{4\text{D}u}^0 = -Q_u^{\text{QED}} g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QED}}, \quad g_{4\text{D}d}^0 = -Q_d^{\text{QED}} g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QED}} \quad \text{for the QED color-singlet subgroup,} \quad (5a)$$

$$g_{4\text{D}\{u,d,s\}}^{\{1,\dots,8\}} = Q_{\{u,d,s\}}^{\text{QCD}} g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QCD}} \quad \text{for the QCD color-octet subgroup,} \quad (5b)$$

where we have introduced the charge numbers  $Q_u^{\text{QED}}=2/3$ ,  $Q_d^{\text{QED}}=-1/3$ ,  $Q_u^{\text{QCD}} = Q_d^{\text{QCD}} = Q_s^{\text{QCD}} = 1$ . For brevity of notations, the color and flavor indices  $\{a, f\}$  and the interaction labels  $\{\lambda, \text{QCD}, \text{QED}\}$  in various quantities are often implicitly understood except when they are needed to resolve ambiguities.

We start with the 3+1 dimensional space-time  $x^\mu$ , with the dynamical variables of the quark fields  $\Psi_f^i$  and the U(3) gauge fields  $A_\mu^a$ , the invariant action integral  $\mathcal{A}$  [163], as modified for compact gauge interactions<sup>3</sup> [115, 117, 121], is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{4\text{D}} = \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left\{ \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \Pi_\mu \Psi(x) - \bar{\Psi}(x) m \Psi(x) + \mathcal{L}_A \right\} \quad (6)$$

<sup>2</sup>We adopt here the notations that unless stated otherwise,  $g^\lambda$  is actually  $g_{2\text{D}}^\lambda$ , the coupling constant in 1+1 dimensions, and  $g_{4\text{D}}^\lambda$  is the coupling constants in 3+1 dimensions. The superscript  $\lambda$  specifies the interaction, with  $\lambda = 0$  for QED and  $\lambda = 1$  for QCD. The strong coupling constant is  $\alpha_{\text{QCD}} = (g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QCD}})^2 / 4\pi$  and the fine structure constant is  $\alpha_c = \alpha_{\text{QED}} = (g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QED}})^2 / 4\pi = (-e_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QED}})^2 / (\hbar c) = 1/137$ . As pointed out in [164, 35, 38, 165] and Eq. (67),  $g_{2\text{D}}^\lambda$  and  $g_{4\text{D}}^\lambda$  are approximately related by the flux tube radius  $R_T$  as  $(g_{2\text{D}}^\lambda)^2 = (g_{4\text{D}}^\lambda)^2 / (\pi R_T^2)$ , when the confining flux tube of radius  $R_T$  is idealized as an open string without a structure.

<sup>3</sup>There is a factor 2 on the left-hand side of the trace relation,  $2\text{tr}\{t^a t^b\} = \delta^{ab}$ , for the generator  $t^a$  and  $t^b$ . For the convenience of notation, we shall define the operation "Tr trace" over the color space as  $\text{Tr}_{\text{color}}(t^a t^b) \equiv 2\text{tr}\{t^a t^b\} = \delta^{ab}$ , when we calculate the trace of the product of generators  $t^a t^b$  in the color space.

where

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^\mu \Pi_\mu &= i\mathcal{D} = \gamma^\mu iD_\mu \\ &= \gamma^\mu (i\partial_\mu + g_{4D} A_\mu) = \gamma^\mu (p_\mu + g_{4D} A_\mu),\end{aligned}\quad (7a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 R_T^4 g_{4D}^2} [1 - \cos(\pi R_T^2 g_{4D} F_{\mu\nu}(x))],\quad (7b)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig_{4D} [A_\mu, A_\nu],\quad (7c)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}^a t_a,\quad (7d)$$

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^a t_a.\quad (7e)$$

Here the subscript label of ‘4D’ in  $g_{4D}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{4D}$  is to indicate that  $g_{4D}$  is the coupling constant in 4D space-time,  $\mathcal{A}_{4D}$  is the action integral over the 4D space-time coordinates of  $x^0, x^1, x^2$ , and  $x^3$ , and  $m$  is the quark mass. The quantity  $\sqrt{\pi}R_T$  in Eq. (7b) is a transverse length scale which has been chosen to be the square root of the flux tube area.

The degrees of freedom for a quark system with cylindrical symmetry in (3+1)D can be separated into the transverse and longitudinal degrees of freedom. The cylindrical symmetry allows the description of the (3+1)D dynamics as the coupling of the (2+1)D  $\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}$  transverse dynamics and the (1+1)D  $\{x^3, x^0\}$  longitudinal dynamics linked together by the parametric time  $x^0$  or other input parametric quantities. The simplification of the dynamics from the higher (3+1)D to the lower dimensional (1+1)D space-time requires the appropriate transverse confinement and the idealization of the cylindrical flux tube as a one-dimensional string. Accordingly, we begin with Polyakov’s result that electric charges of opposite signs are confined in compact QED in (2+1)D [115, 117] and we construct the stretch (2+1)D model of [39] as described in Fig. 1 of the last section. Our present task is to show that quarks and antiquarks will be transversely and longitudinally confined in such a model. We consider the compact gauge field interaction in which the length scales  $\sqrt{\pi}R_T$  in the transverse direction is small compared to those scales along the longitudinal  $x^3$  and temporal  $x^0$  directions. Because of the large length scales in the longitudinal and temporal directions, it is reasonable to expand out the cosine function in the continuum limit for all components except the  $F_{12}$  gauge field so that the compact gauge field Lagrangian in Eq. (7b) can be approximated as

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{[1 - \cos(\pi R_T^2 g_{4D} F_{12})]}{\pi^2 R_T^4 g_{4D}^2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\{\mu\nu\} \neq \{12, 21\}} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}.\quad (8)$$

## 5 Quark part of the action integral

We first examine the quark part of the action integral,  $\mathcal{A}_Q$ , in (6) as given by

$$\mathcal{A}_Q = Tr \int d^4x \left\{ \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma^\mu iD_\mu \Psi(x) - \bar{\Psi}(x) m \Psi(x) \right\},\quad (9)$$

where we choose to work with the 4D-Dirac matrices  $\gamma^\mu$  in the Weyl representation [166]

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^j \\ \sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\text{and } \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.\quad (10)$$

In the dynamics of the quark-QCD-QED medium, the quark fields  $\Psi(x)$  depend on the gauge fields  $A_\mu(x)$ , which in turn depend on the quark fields  $\Psi(x)$ , leading to a coupling problem of great complexity. Our problem is greatly simplified because we assume cylindrical symmetry in the stretch (2+1)D model of [39] in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), with the alignment of the  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  fields along the longitudinal direction. The separation of the longitudinal and transverse degrees of freedom in the Dirac equation can be carried out by following methods similar to those used by Wang, Pavel, Brink, Wong, and many others [142, 143, 144, 145, 146]. In the Weyl representation [166], we write the quark bispinor quark field  $\Psi(x)$  in terms of transverse functions  $G_{1,2}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  and longitudinal-temporal functions  $f_\pm(X)$  as

$$\Psi_{4D} = \Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) f_+(X) \\ G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) f_-(X) \\ G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) f_-(X) \\ -G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) f_+(X) \end{pmatrix},\quad (11)$$

where  $x = \{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^0\}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_\perp = \{x^1, x^2\}$ , and  $X = \{x^3, x^0\}$ . The transverse functions  $G_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  are normalized as

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \{ (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) ) \} = 1,\quad (12)$$

and the longitudinal functions  $f_\pm(x^3, x^0)$  are normalized as

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx^3 dx^0 \{ f_+^*(x^3, x^0) f_+(x^3, x^0') + f_-^*(x^3, x^0') f_-(x^3, x^0) \} \\ \times \delta(x^0 - x^0') = 1. \end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

The quark Lagrangian density in the Dirac representation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Q &= \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^0 \Pi^0 \psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^3 \Pi^3 \Psi_{4D} \\ &\quad - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^1 \Pi^1 \Psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^2 \Pi^2 \Psi_{4D} - m \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \Psi_{4D} \\ &= (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2) (f_+^* \Pi^0 f_+ + f_-^* \Pi^0 f_-) \\ &\quad - (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2) (f_+^* \Pi^3 f_+ - f_-^* \Pi^3 f_-) \\ &\quad - (G_1^* \Pi^1 G_2 + G_2^* \Pi^1 G_1) (f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+) \\ &\quad - (-G_1^* i \Pi^2 G_2 + G_2^* i \Pi^2 G_1) (f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+) \\ &\quad - m (G_1^* G_1 - G_2^* G_2) (f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+). \end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

As shown in Appendix A, the minimization of the action integral with respect to  $G_{\{1,2\}}^* f_{\pm}^*$  leads to the equations of motion

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{L} / \delta f_+^* \delta G_1^* = (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3) G_1 f_+ - m G_1 f_- - (\Pi^1 - i\Pi^2) G_2 f_- = 0, \quad (15a)$$

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{L} / \delta f_+^* \delta G_2^* = (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3) G_2 f_+ + m G_2 f_- - (\Pi^1 + i\Pi^2) G_1 f_- = 0, \quad (15b)$$

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{L} / \delta f_-^* \delta G_1^* = (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3) G_1 f_- - m G_1 f_+ - (\Pi^1 - i\Pi^2) G_2 f_+ = 0, \quad (15c)$$

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{L} / \delta f_-^* \delta G_2^* = (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3) G_2 f_- + m G_2 f_+ - (\Pi^1 + i\Pi^2) G_1 f_+ = 0, \quad (15d)$$

which agree with Eqs. (13a)-(13d) of [164] using the Dirac representation. For gauge fields  $A^1$  and  $A^2$  (and consequently,  $\Pi^1$  and  $\Pi^2$ ) independent of the longitudinal coordinates as we assumed in the model of [39] and Fig. 1, Appendix A shows that the above set of four equations can be separated into a set of two transverse equations for  $G_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  and another set of two longitudinal-temporal equations for  $f_{\pm}(X)$ . The set of equations for the transverse degrees of freedom are

$$-m_T G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + m G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + (\Pi^1 - i\Pi^2) G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = 0, \quad (16a)$$

$$-m_T G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) - m G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + (\Pi^1 + i\Pi^2) G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = 0, \quad (16b)$$

where we have introduced the transverse mass  $m_T$  as the constant of the separation of variables and the eigenvalue of the set of transverse equations. They lead to the transverse equations,

$$\{\Pi_T^2 + i[\Pi^2, \Pi^1] + m^2 - m_T^2\} G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = 0, \quad (17a)$$

$$\{\Pi_T^2 + i[\Pi^1, \Pi^2] + m^2 - m_T^2\} G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = 0, \quad (17b)$$

where  $\Pi_T^2 = (\Pi^1)^2 + (\Pi^2)^2$ . The longitudinal equations are

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3) f_+(X) - m_T f_-(X) = 0, \quad (18a)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3) f_-(X) - m_T f_+(X) = 0. \quad (18b)$$

The operators  $\Pi^\mu$  with  $\mu = 0, 3$  in Eqs. (18a) and (18b) are actually  $\Pi_{4D}^\mu = p^\mu + g_{4D} A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$ , where we have added the label 4D to indicate that the gauge fields  $A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  are functions of the (3+1)D coordinates  $(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  and the constant  $g_{4D}$  is the dimensionless coupling constant of the gauge fields in (3+1)D space-time. In contrast, in the idealized (1+1)D  $\{x^0, x^3\}$  space-time with a structureless string, the corresponding gauge fields  $A_{2D}^\mu(X)$  are functions only of the coordinates  $X$ , and they satisfy the (1+1)D Maxwell equation with a coupling constant  $g_{2D}$ .

We would like to cast Eqs. (18a) and (18b) into the form of a Dirac equation for the quark fields in the idealized (1+1)D  $\{x^0, x^3\}$  space-time, by separating the transverse degrees of freedom under a coupled treatment. This can be achieved by integrating over the transverse coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_\perp$  in Eqs. (18a) and (18b) and identifying the term as  $A_{2D}^\mu(X)$  in the (1+1)D space-time with the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$ ,

$$g_{4D} \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) \equiv g_{2D} A_{2D}^\mu(X), \quad (19)$$

for  $\mu = 0, 3$ . As shown in Eq. (B10) in Appendix B, consistent gauge field solutions of the Maxwell equations in (3+1)D and (1+1)D in the flux tube environment are related by

$$A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} \left[ G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \right] A_{2D}^\mu(X) \quad (20)$$

for  $\mu=0,3$ . As a consequence, the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  and  $g_{4D}$  are related by

$$g_{2D}^2 = g_{4D}^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \left[ G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)^* G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)^* G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \right]^2, \quad (21)$$

which shows that the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  now acquires the dimension of a mass. By such an introduction of  $A_{2D}^\mu(X)$  and  $g_{2D}$ , Eqs. (18a) - (18b) become

$$(\Pi_{2D}^0 - \Pi_{2D}^3) f_+(X) - m_T f_-(X) = 0, \quad (22a)$$

$$(\Pi_{2D}^0 + \Pi_{2D}^3) f_-(X) - m_T f_+(X) = 0, \quad (22b)$$

where

$$\Pi_{2D}^\mu = p^\mu + g_{2D} A_{2D}^\mu(X), \quad \mu = 0, 3. \quad (23)$$

These equations (22a) and (22b) can be cast further into the following matrix equation

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Pi_{2D}^0 - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Pi_{2D}^3 - m_T \right\} \begin{pmatrix} f_+(X) \\ f_-(X) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (24)$$

It is then useful to introduce 2D gamma matrices  $\gamma_{2D}^\mu$  as in [167]

$$\gamma_{2D}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{2D}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma_{2D}^5 = \gamma_{2D}^0 \gamma_{2D}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

and the longitudinal 2D wave function  $\psi_{2D}(X)$ ,

$$\psi_{2D}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} f_+(X) \\ f_-(X) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

to rewrite Eq. (24) as a Dirac equation for the longitudinal (1+1)D motion, interacting with a gauge field with a coupling constant  $g_{2D}$

$$\{\gamma_{2D}^0 \Pi_{2D}^0 - \gamma_{2D}^3 \Pi_{2D}^3 - m_T\} \psi_{2D}(X) = 0, \quad \mu = 0, 3, \quad (27)$$

which can be re-written as

$$\{\gamma_{2D}^\mu(p_\mu + g_{2D}A_\mu^{2D}(X)) - m_T\}\psi_{2D}(X) = 0, \quad \mu = 0, 3. \quad (28)$$

It is important to note that in idealizing the dynamics from (3+1)D to (1+1)D in the above manipulations, relevant information regarding the transverse degrees of freedom is now subsumed and stored in the quark transverse mass eigenvalue  $m_T$  of the set of eigenvalue equations, Eq. (17), and  $g_{2D}$  which is related to  $g_{4D}$  and the transverse eigenfunctions  $G_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  by Eq. (21). By such supplementary relations, the information on the transverse degrees of freedom is packaged and passed on from the (3+1)D to the idealized (1+1)D dynamics.

The Dirac equation of motion in (1+1)D space-time corresponds to the 2D action integral for quarks in the form

$$\mathcal{A}_{2DQ} = Tr \int dX \left\{ \bar{\psi}_{2D}(X) \gamma_{2D}^\mu (p_\mu + g_{2D}A_\mu(X)) \psi_{2D}(X) - m_T \bar{\psi}_{2D}(X) \psi_{2D}(X) \right\}, \quad (29)$$

with the normalization condition (12) which can be re-written in terms of  $\bar{\psi}_{2D}, \psi_{2D}$  functions as

$$\int dx^3 dx^0 \bar{\psi}_{2D}(x^3, x^0) \gamma_{2D}^0 \psi_{2D}(x^3, x^0) \delta(x^0 - x^{0'}) = 1. \quad (30)$$

For brevity of notation, the descriptors 2D and 4D are often omitted unless otherwise needed to resolve ambiguities, as the 2D and 4D nature of each function or gamma matrix can be inferred from the arguments of the function or from the context.

## 6 Gauge field part of the action integral

The confinement properties of the quark-QCD-QED system consists of the transverse confinement on the transverse plane and the longitudinal confinement. The confinement pertains not only to the quarks and antiquarks, but also to the gauge fields which link the quarks and the antiquarks. That is, in compact QED and non-Abelian QCD, the gauge fields also self-interact among themselves so that they do not readily radiate away. They will also retain the confinement properties when the quark-QCD-QED system settles into one of the meson states.

At the moment of the creation of the quark and the antiquark pair for a QCD or a QED meson state, the gauge fields are also created. We can apply Polyakov's result on the confinement in (2+1)D to infer the presence of transverse confinement of the quarks, antiquarks, and the gauge fields at the moment immediately after the creation of the  $q\bar{q}$  pair.

The occurrence of transverse confinement makes it convenient to partition the gauge field actions into three pertinent parts,

$$\mathcal{A}_A = \mathcal{A}_A^I + \mathcal{A}_A^{II} + \mathcal{A}_A^{III}, \quad (31)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_A^I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_A^{II}$  pertains to transverse gauge fields and transverse confinement, while  $\mathcal{A}_A^{III}$  is concerned mainly with longitudinal gauge field dynamics and longitudinal confinement:

$$\mathcal{A}_A^I = - \int d^4x \frac{1}{\pi^2 R_T^4 g_{4D}^2} Tr [1 - \cos(\pi R_T^2 g_{4D} F_{12})], \quad (32a)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_A^{II} = - \int d^4x Tr \frac{1}{2} [F_{23} F^{23} + F_{13} F^{13} + F_{01} F^{01} + F_{02} F^{02}] \quad (32b)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_A^{III} = - \int d^4x Tr \frac{1}{2} F_{03} F^{03}. \quad (32c)$$

We can alternatively express the gauge field tensors  $F^{\mu\nu}$  in terms of the gauge field vectors as  $\mathbf{E} = \sum_{i=1}^3 E^i \mathbf{e}^i$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \sum_{i=1}^3 B^i \mathbf{e}^i$ . The action partition  $\mathcal{A}_A^I$  contains the magnetic field  $F^{12} = -B^3$ , which lies along the longitudinal direction perpendicular to the transverse plane. Such a magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  confines the quarks and antiquarks into their Landau level orbitals on the transverse plane, as we shall demonstrate in Section 8.1. The zero mode of the Landau levels allows the quark and the antiquark to retain an effective mass  $m_T$  that is the same as the quark rest mass  $m$ . Furthermore, As discussed in detail in Drell *et al.* [121], such a magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  also sets up the self-interaction between transverse gauge  $\mathbf{A}$  fields. The periodicity of the action cosine function in  $\mathcal{A}_A^I$  allows the transverse photons to interact among themselves to build up a confining interaction between the quark, the antiquark, and the other transverse gauge fields themselves in the transverse confinement in (2+1)D.

The second action partition  $\mathcal{A}_A^{II}$  pertains to  $F^{23} = -B^1$ ,  $F^{31} = -B^2$ ,  $F^{01} = -E^1$ ,  $F^{02} = -E^2$  which are the magnetic and electric field components lying on the transverse  $\{x^1, x^2\}$  plane, and they participate directly in the transverse confinement of the quarks and the transverse gauge fields on the transverse plane.

In the proposed model in the stretch (2+1)D configuration with the gauge fields that are copies of the gauge field configuration of the initial quark and antiquark transverse plaquettes, the transverse confinement of the gauge field will likely be retained so that the system may be idealized as a one dimensional string for which the Schwinger longitudinal confinement may be effective to bring about the longitudinal confinement of the quark and the antiquark.

There is the third partition  $\mathcal{A}_A^{III}$  pertaining to the action integral involving the gauge fields  $F^{03}$ . Such a gauge field participates in longitudinal dynamics with

a linear interaction between the quark and the antiquark in the form of a string. It is the essential ingredient in Schwinger's longitudinal confinement of opposite charges in QED in (1+1)D. As Schwinger's confinement of a pair of opposite massless charges occur irrespective of the strength of coupling constant, we expect that it will be the dominant driving force for longitudinal confinement. In the consideration of the question of longitudinal confinement, the  $\mathcal{A}_A^I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_A^{II}$  pertain to the quark-gluon part of the condensate.

As mentioned earlier in Section 3 and discussed in detail in Drell *et al.* [121], the periodicity of the angular function  $\cos(\pi R_T^2 g_{4D} F_{12})$  in the gauge field action leads to the self-interaction of the transverse gauge fields. For strong coupling such as QCD, the strong self-interaction among the transverse gauge fields leads to a linear confining interaction joining the quark and the antiquark by a flux tube. For weak coupling such as the compact QED interaction, quantum fluctuations occur which randomize the coherent self-interaction. In the case of compact QED in (3+1)D, such random quantum fluctuations disrupt the linear confinement so greatly that without dynamical-quark effects of the Schwinger longitudinal confinement, a static quark and a static antiquark with a weak coupling would not be longitudinally confined in compact QED [115, 117, 121]. In contrast, in the case of compact QED in (2+1)D, however, such quantum random quantum fluctuations can never be sufficient to destroy the linear QED gauge confinement effects in two-dimensional spatial space, no matter how weak the compact gauge interaction coupling may be.

For our problem with the creation of a  $q\bar{q}$  pair in compact QED in (3+1)D, we can take advantage of such a transverse confinement of opposite charges in QED (2+1)D to prepare a system transverse confinement after the moment of the creation of the quark and the antiquark. Thereafter, we stretch the incipient transversely confined quark and antiquark longitudinally to execute the longitudinal yo-yo motion appropriate for the  $q\bar{q}$  at the QED meson eigenenergy, by copying the configurations of the transverse links and the transverse fields longitudinally from the incipient quark and antiquark position  $x^3(q), x^3(\bar{q}) \sim 0$  position to the region between the quark at  $x^3(q) = -x^3/2$  and the antiquark at  $x^3(\bar{q}) = x^3/2$ , in a coherent manner as in Fig. 1(b). Because the transverse links are copies of those of the initial configuration, the transverse confinement will be likely retained. The remaining problem will be to investigate whether there will be the possible longitudinal confinement in compact QED in the stretch (2+1)D configuration as an idealized open string, as anticipated in the Schwinger confinement mechanism.

For the scratch (2+1)D single-plaquette configuration in Fig. 1(b), the integral in Eq. (32b) will be proportional to the longitudinal separation between the quark and the antiquark,  $|x^3(\bar{q}) - x^3(q)|$ . With  $n = n_1 n_2 n_3$  and  $n_3 \sqrt{\pi} R_T = |x^3(\bar{q}) - x^3(q)|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_A^I &= -Tr \int dt \sum_{n_1 n_2} \frac{[1 - \cos(\pi R_T^2 g_{4D} F_{12}(n(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)))]}{\pi R_T^2 g_{4D}^2} \\ &= -Tr \int dt n_3 \sqrt{\pi} R_T \sum_{n_1 n_2} \frac{[1 - \cos(\pi R_T^2 g_{4D} F_{12}(n(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)))]}{\pi R_T^2 g_{4D}^2} \\ &= - \int dt \kappa_1 |x^3(\bar{q}) - x^3(q)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa_1$  is a function of  $F_{12}$ ,

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{n_3(\sqrt{\pi} R_T)}{\pi R_T^2 g_{4D}^2} \sum_{n_1 n_2} Tr [1 - \cos(\pi R_T^2 g_{4D} F_{12}(n(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)))] ,$$

which is evaluated at  $X = \{x^0, x^3\} = 0$ . The above action gives a longitudinal linear interaction between the quark and the antiquark arising from the gauge field  $F^{12} = -B^3$  that is favorable for the longitudinal confinement of the quark and the antiquark.

With the stretch (2+1)D configuration in our model, the transverse gauge fields between the quark plaquette and the antiquark plaquette are copies of those on the quark plaquette as in Fig. 1(b), the action can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_A^{II} &= \int dt dx^3 dx^1 dx^2 Tr \frac{1}{2} [F_{23} F^{23} + F_{13} F^{13} + F_{01} F^{01} + F_{02} F^{02}] , \\ &= \int dt |x^3(\bar{q}) - x^3(q)| \\ &\quad \times \int dx^1 dx^2 Tr \frac{1}{2} [(B^1)^2 + (B^2)^2 + (E^1)^2 + (E^2)^2] \Big|_{X=\{x^0, x^3\}=0} \\ &= - \int dt \kappa_2 |x^3(\bar{q}) - x^3(q)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa_2$  is

$$\kappa_2 = \int dx^1 dx^2 Tr \frac{1}{2} [(B^1)^2 + (B^2)^2 + (E^1)^2 + (E^2)^2] \Big|_{X=\{x^0, x^3\}=0} .$$

The action  $\mathcal{A}_A^{II}$  also contribute a linear interaction that will facilitate longitudinal confinement.

Having simplified the gauge field actions  $\mathcal{A}_A^I$  and  $\mathcal{A}_A^{II}$ , we come to examine  $\mathcal{A}_A^{III}$  of Eq. (32) pertaining to  $F^{03}$  of the action integral. We would like to find out what will be the form of the gauge action  $\mathcal{A}_A^{III}$  when the gauge fields  $A_\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  in (3+1)D and the gauge fields  $A_\mu(X)$  in (1+1)D, with  $\mu = 0, 3$ , are related to each other by Eq. (20). Equations (7c) and (20) give

$F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  in four-dimensional space-time as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] [ \partial_0 A_3(X) - \partial_3 A_0(X) ] \\ &\quad - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] [ A_0(X), A_3(X) ]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

On the other hand, the gauge field  $F_{03}$  in  $(1+1)\text{D}_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time is given, by definition, as

$$F_{03}(X) = \partial_0 A_3(X) - \partial_3 A_0(X) - i g_{2D} [ A_0(X), A_3(X) ].$$

As a consequence,  $F_{03}(X)$  in two-dimensional space-time and  $F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  in four-dimensional space-time are related by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \\ &\quad \times \{ F_{03}(X) + i g_{2D} [ A_0(X), A_3(X) ] \} \\ &\quad - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] [ A_0(X), A_3(X) ]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The above equation can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ F_{03}(X) + \left[ i g_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times [ A_0(X), A_3(X) ] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The term involving the product  $F_{03}(x)F^{03}(x)$  in Eq. (32c) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^4 x F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{03}(x^0, x^3, \mathbf{r}_\perp) \\ &= \int d^4 x [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \left\{ F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ i g_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] \right]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left( [ A_0(X), A_3(X) ] [ A^0(X), A^3(X) ] \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The action integral  $\mathcal{A}_A^{\text{III}}$  in Eq. (32c) involves the integration of the above quantity over  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Upon integration over  $x^1$  and  $x^2$ , the second term inside the curly bracket of the above equation, is zero,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int dx^1 dx^2 [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] \\ &\quad \times \left[ i g_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ]^{1/2} \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where we have used the relation between  $g_{2D}$  and  $g_{4D}$  as given by Eq.(21) and the normalization condition of

(12). As a consequence, the integral of  $F_{03}(x)F^{03}(x)$  in Eq. (32c) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^4 x F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{03}(x^0, x^3, \mathbf{r}_\perp) \\ &= \int d^4 x [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \left\{ F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ i g_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] \right]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left( [ A_0(X), A_3(X) ] [ A^0(X), A^3(X) ] \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

For the second term in the curly bracket, the integral over  $dx^1$  and  $dx^2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int dx^1 dx^2 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \\ &\quad \times \left[ i g_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ]^{1/2} \right]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which can be considered as an integral over  $g_{2D}$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2}{g_{2D}} \int d(g_{2D}^2) \int dx^1 dx^2 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \\ &\quad \times [ i g_{2D}^2 - i g_{4D}^2 [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] ] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Because of Eq. (37), the above integral gives an irrelevant constant which we can set to zero. After these manipulations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^4 x F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) \\ &= \int dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 dx^0 [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] \\ &\quad \times F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X) \\ &= \int dx^3 dx^0 F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

We therefore obtain the longitudinal action  $\mathcal{A}_A^{\text{III}}$  as

$$\mathcal{A}_A^{\text{III}} = \text{Tr} \int dX \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X) \right\}. \quad (42)$$

## 7 Total action integral

Summing up all terms above in Eqs. (33), (33), and (42), we have reduced the action integral  $\mathcal{A}_{4D}$  in  $(3+1)\text{D}$ , Eq. (6), to the action integral  $\mathcal{A}_{2D}$  in  $(1+1)\text{D}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{2D} &= \text{Tr} \int dX \left\{ \bar{\psi}(X) \gamma_{2D}^\mu (p_\mu + g_{2D} A_\mu(X)) \psi(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - m_T \bar{\psi}(X) \psi(X), -\frac{1}{2} F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X) \right\} \\ &\quad + A_A^I + A_A^{II}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where  $A_A^I + A_A^{II}$  are given by Eqs. (33) and (33) explicitly as

$$A_A^I + A_A^{II} = - \int dt (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) |x^3(\bar{q}) - x^3(q)|, \quad (44)$$

with

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{n_3(\sqrt{\pi}R_T)}{\pi R_T^2 g_{4D}^2} \sum_{n_1 n_2} Tr[1 - \cos(\pi R_T^2 g_{4D} B^3(n(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)))]$$

$$\kappa_2 = \int dx^1 dx^2 Tr \frac{1}{2} [(B^1)^2 + (B^2)^2 + (E^1)^2 + (E^2)^2],$$

where  $X$  is evaluated at  $X = \{x^0, x^3\} = 0$ . The subscript label of ‘2D’ is to indicate that this is the action integral involving the integration over the 2D space-time coordinates,  $X = (x^3, x^0)$ . This action integral can be the starting point for the study of problems in the longitudinal (1+1)D $_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time in which the properties of the gauge-field coupling constant  $g_{2D}$ , and the transverse mass  $m_T$  are input properties of the open-string parameters fixed by the initial choice of the class of transverse states in question, representing the ingredients that depend on the transverse degrees of freedom. The longitudinal-temporal space-time dynamics can be treated in the simpler (1+1)D $_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time, to facilitate the examination of the complex problem of the quark-QCD-QED system.

Previously, the Schwinger model of QED in (1+1)D has been considered as an unrealistic ‘toy’ model incapable of quantitative connection to the realistic fermion or quark systems in (3+1)D space-time. A major difficulty arises because the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  in Schwinger’s (1+1)D space-time has the dimension of a mass. In the physical (3+1)D space-time, the coupling constant  $g_{4D}$  is dimensionless, but the flux tube has a structure with a radius  $R_T$ . In contrast in (1+1)D, the open-string does not have a structure, but the coupling constant has the dimension of a mass. It was recently realized that in reducing from the dynamics in (3+1)D space to the dynamics in (1+1)D, the information in the structure of the flux tube is in fact stored in the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  and the transverse mass  $m_T$  in (1+1)D [35]. The coupling constants in different dimensional space-time systems are connected by Eq. (21) or by the radius of the flux tube as given by Eq. (67) below [164, 35, 165], and the transverse mass  $m_T$  is obtained by solving the eigenvalue equation in the transverse plane Eqs. (16). The (1+1)D action integral in Eq. (43) shows that the Schwinger model in (1+1)D can be viewed as a realistic idealization of the system in (3+1)D space-time, capable of semi-quantitative confrontation with experiment [38] and consistent with the string picture of hadron physics as developed in [103, 104, 105, 106, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 168, 169, 170, 171], when the flux tube radius and the transverse mass are properly taken into account.

## 8 Dynamics of the quark fields and the gauge fields

### 8.1 Transverse confinement of quarks and antiquarks in a longitudinal magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$

We shall discuss the transverse confinement of quarks in this subsection and the longitudinal confinement of quarks and gauge fields in the next subsection.

For quarks interacting with the non-Abelian QCD gauge field leading to bound hadrons with energies above the pion mass, the transverse confinement arises from the strong non-perturbative self-interaction among the gluons linking color charges. The Feynman diagram loops involving self-interacting gluons give rise to the dominance of planar diagrams over non-planar diagrams, leading to the string description of the interaction between color charges with both transverse and longitudinal confinement, as described in [161]. We do not need to examine the question of the transverse confinement in QCD again.

Focusing on the question of the transverse confinement of quarks and antiquarks in QED, we mentioned earlier in the proposed ‘stretch (2+1)D’ model [39] in Section 3 that by the application of Polyakov QED-generated transverse confinement in (2+1)D, we invoke the QED-generated transverse confinement to create the QED-transversely-confined quark, antiquark, and the gauge fields at the creation of the  $q\bar{q}$  pair. The creation of the transversely-confined  $q\bar{q}$  pair and the  $A^\mu$  fields of the QED meson necessitates the creation of the longitudinal magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} (= \nabla \times \mathbf{A})$  perpendicular to the transverse  $\{x^1, x^2\}$  plane, along the longitudinal  $x^3$  directions. It acts as if the quark and the antiquark behave as effectively as magnetic monopoles bound by a magnetic flux  $\mathbf{B}$  between the quark magnetic monopole and the antiquark magnetic monopole [162, 161, 114].

Immediately after their creation, the light quark and the light antiquark of opposite charges emerge from the creation point and stretch outward longitudinally to execute a longitudinal yo-yo motion, in accordance with the equation of motion appropriate for such a confined QED meson, with the transverse gauge fields to be longitudinal copies of the transverse gauge field at  $x^3 = 0$ . We would like to show explicitly here that the longitudinal  $\mathbf{B}$  field that exists at the moment of creation to confine the quark and the antiquark also will continue to confine the quarks and the antiquarks on the transverse planes because of Landau level dynamics as described below.

We examine the case with an assumed cylindrical symmetry in which a momentary snapshot of the quark,

the antiquark, and the gauge fields at a time shortly after creation is shown in Fig. 1(b). We ascribe the (Cartesian) transverse gauges fields  $(A^1, A^2)$  or (cylindrical)  $(A^{r_\perp}, A^\phi)$  as arising from the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} = B e^3$  along the longitudinal direction, confined within the flux tube of radius  $R_T$ ,

$$\mathbf{B} = e^3 B_z(r_\perp) = e^3 \frac{B_0}{1 + e^{(r_\perp - R_T)/a_B}}, \quad B^1 = B^2 = 0, \quad (45)$$

where  $R_T$  is the radius of the flux tube and  $a_B$  is the diffuseness parameter. We shall assume that  $R_T \gg a_B$  so that the flux tube is well defined with a sharp surface. For the cylindrical coordinate system with unit vectors  $\mathbf{e}_{r_\perp}$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_\phi$  and  $\mathbf{e}_z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= e^{r_\perp} A^{r_\perp} + e^\phi A^\phi + e^z A^z, \quad \text{and } A_{r_\perp} = 0, \quad A_z = 0, \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{A} &= e^{r_\perp} \left( \frac{1}{r_\perp} \frac{\partial A^z}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial A^\phi}{\partial z} \right) + e^\phi \left( \frac{\partial A^{r_\perp}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A^z}{\partial r_\perp} \right) \\ &\quad + e^z \frac{1}{r_\perp} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\perp} (r_\perp A^\phi) - \frac{\partial A^r}{\partial \phi} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

From the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  of (45), we can solve for  $A^\phi$  and we get

$$\begin{aligned} A^\phi(r_\perp) &= B_0 \left\{ \frac{r_\perp}{2} + a_B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (-1)^n e^{-nR_T/a_B} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[ e^{nr_\perp/a_B} + \frac{1 - e^{nr_\perp/a_B}}{nr_\perp/a_B} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

We can carry out a Taylor expansion about  $r_\perp = 0$ . We expand the second term in powers of  $r_\perp$  at  $r_\perp \sim 0$  and get

$$\begin{aligned} A^\phi(r_\perp) &= B_0 \left\{ \frac{r_\perp}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{i+1} \frac{1}{i!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (-1)^n e^{-nR_T/a_B} (nr_\perp/a_B)^i \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

which is approximately

$$A^\phi(r_\perp, \phi) = \frac{B_0 r_\perp}{2} \Theta(R_T - r_\perp), \quad (49)$$

where  $\Theta(R_T - r_\perp)$  is the step function. Because Eq. (16) is in the Cartesian coordinate system, we represented  $A^\phi$  in terms of the Cartesian components  $A^1$  and  $A^2$  within the flux tube of radius  $R_T$ ,

$$(A^1, A^2) = \left( -\frac{B_0 x^2}{2}, \frac{B_0 x^1}{2} \right) \Theta(R_T - r_\perp). \quad (50)$$

Such a gauge field are defined in (3+1)D and we shall assume that they are approximately independent of the longitudinal coordinate  $x^3$ . Such a transverse gauge field  $A^1$  and  $A^2$  will lead to the confinement of the quarks and the antiquarks on the transverse plane. For the

equations of transverse motion for quarks in Eq. (16), the momentum operator  $\Pi^{\{1,2\}}$  is

$$\Pi^{\{1,2\}} = p^{\{1,2\}} - eQA^{\{1,2\}}, \quad (51)$$

where  $e = g^{\text{QED}} = g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QED}}$  is the QED coupling constant, and  $Q$  is the quark electric charge,

$$Q = \text{sign}(Q) |Q|. \quad (52)$$

The magnitude of the quark electric charge  $|Q|$  and the sign of the charge,  $\text{sign}(Q)$ , are flavor-dependent. In the magnetic field of Eq. (50), Eq. (16) becomes

$$[(p^1 - eQA^1) - i(p^2 - eQA^2)]G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = [m_T - m]G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp), \quad (53a)$$

$$[(p^1 - eQA^1) + i(p^2 - eQA^2)]G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = [m_T + m]G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp). \quad (53b)$$

Manipulating these two equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [(p^1 - eQA^1) + i(p^2 - eQA^2)][(p^1 - eQA^1) - i(p^2 - eQA^2)]G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \\ = [m_T^2 - m^2]G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp), \end{aligned} \quad (54a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [(p^1 - eQA^1) - i(p^2 - eQA^2)][(p^1 - eQA^1) + i(p^2 - eQA^2)]G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \\ = [m_T^2 - m^2]G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp), \end{aligned} \quad (54b)$$

which are separate equations for  $G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  and  $G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$ . These two equations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ p_T^2 + \left[ \left( \frac{eQB_0}{2} \right)^2 r_\perp^2 + (-eQ)B_0L^3 + eQB_0 \right] G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \right. \\ \left. = [m_T^2 - m^2]G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp), \right. \end{aligned} \quad (55a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ p_T^2 + \left( \frac{eQB_0}{2} \right)^2 r_\perp^2 + (-eQ)B_0L^3 - eQB_0 \right] G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \\ = [m_T^2 - m^2]G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp), \end{aligned} \quad (55b)$$

where  $p_T^2 = (p^1)^2 + (p^2)^2$ ,  $L^3$  is the angular momentum along the longitudinal 3-axis. The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for these two equations of  $G_2$  and  $G_1$  can be obtained separately. For  $G_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_T^2 &= m_T^2 \\ &= m^2 + 2(\hbar\omega)^2(2n_2 + |A_2| + 1 - A_2 \text{sign}(Q) + \text{sign}(Q)), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

For  $G_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_T^2 &= m_T^2 \\ &= m^2 + 2(\hbar\omega)^2(2n_1 + |A_1| + 1 - A_1 \text{sign}(Q) - \text{sign}(Q)). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

The eigenfunctions are

$$G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = C_1 e^{iA_1\phi} e^{-\omega^2 r_\perp^2/2} (\omega r_\perp)^{|A_1|} L_n^{(|A_1|)}(\omega^2 r_\perp^2), \quad (58a)$$

$$G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = C_2 e^{iA_2\phi} e^{-\omega^2 r_\perp^2/2} (\omega r_\perp)^{|A_2|} L_n^{(|A_2|)}(\omega^2 r_\perp^2), \quad (58b)$$

where  $(\hbar\omega)^2 = |eQB_0|/2$ .

Among the solutions of the transverse equations of motion, we are interested in the Landau level zero mode which represents the state with the lowest transverse energy  $m_T^2 = m^2$  or  $|m_T| = m$  of the quark-antiquark system. For the quark with  $Q = 1$  and  $\text{sign}(Q) = 1$ , the transverse eigenvalue equation (57) gives the zero mode

as the state with  $n_1 = 0$ ,  $A_1=0$ ,  $m_T^2=m$ , and  $m_T = m$ . The transverse eigenfunction is given by Eq. (58a) as

$$G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = Ce^{-\frac{\omega^2 r_\perp^2}{2}}. \quad (59)$$

The other component of the transverse wave function  $G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  can be obtained from  $G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  and Eq. (53b). Equation (53b) gives for the quark at the zero mode the transverse wave function component

$$G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = 0. \quad (60)$$

For the antiquark with  $Q = -1$  and  $\text{sign}(Q) = -1$ , the transverse eigenvalue equation (56) gives the zero mode as the state with  $n_2 = 0$ ,  $A_2=0$ ,  $m_T^2=m^2$ , and  $m_T=-m$  for a (valence) antiquark as a hole in the Dirac sea. The transverse eigenfunction for the antiquark as given by Eq. (58b) is

$$G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = Ce^{-\frac{\omega^2 r_\perp^2}{2}}. \quad (61)$$

The other component of the transverse wave function  $G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  can be obtained from  $G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  and Eq. (53a). Equation (53a) gives for antiquark at the zero mode the transverse wave function component

$$G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = 0. \quad (62)$$

We can represent the transverse wave function on the  $\{x^1, x^2\}$  plane as a two-component spinor

$$G(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = \begin{pmatrix} G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \\ G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (63)$$

and introduce the spinors with quantum numbers  $\sigma^3 = \pm 1$ , chosen to be quantized along the  $x^3$  direction,

$$\chi_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_{-1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (64)$$

then ,


$$\sigma^3 \chi_{\pm 1/2} = \pm \chi_{\pm 1/2}. \quad (65)$$

At the zero mode, the transverse wave function is the Gaussian wave function given by

$$G(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = Ce^{-\frac{\omega^2 r_\perp^2}{2}} \begin{cases} \chi_{1/2} & \text{for quark, sign}(Q)=1, \\ \chi_{-1/2} & \text{for antiquark, sign}(Q)=-1. \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

Therefore, a quark and an antiquark can populate their transverse zero-mode states in a longitudinal magnetic field with their spins aligned in opposite directions as shown in Fig. 2. Their transverse mass  $|m_T|$  for longitudinal motion are the same as their rest mass  $m$ . The external magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  has no effect on their effective mass  $|m_T|$  for longitudinal motion at the zero mode.

The eigenvalue equations (56) and (57) indicate that the effective transverse mass  $|m_T|$  for longitudinal motion increases with increasing  $n$  and  $|A|$  quantum numbers. For non-zero values of  $n$  and  $|A|$  quantum numbers, the magnetic effect depends on the quark rest



**Fig. 2** The lowest-energy Landau level zero-mode solution with  $|m_T|=m$  for the quark and the antiquark with their spins and magnetic moments aligned with the magnetic field, with a total zero spin in a flux tube.

mass  $m$  and decreases as the quark mass increases. In all these solutions, the harmonic oscillator wave functions of Eq. (58) confine the quark and the antiquark on the transverse plane. The magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  along the longitudinal direction facilitates the transverse confinement of quarks and antiquarks on the transverse plane. As the transverse quark current  $j^1$  and  $j^2$  are proportional to the product  $G_1^*G_2$  as well as  $G_2^*G_1$  (see Eq. (B.1)), the transverse currents  $j^1$  and  $j^2$  are zero for quarks and antiquarks in the zero mode, but are non-zero for the case when the products of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not zero.

Our central interest is on the lowest-energy states. For this purpose, it suffices to limit our attention to the transverse zero mode. For such type of motion, the transverse wave functions are Gaussian functions, with the quark and the antiquark executing simple harmonic oscillator zero-point motion. The quark the antiquark are transversely confined. We obtain the result that the longitudinal  $\mathbf{B}$  field that exists at the moment of creation to confined the quark and the antiquark will continue to confine the quarks and the antiquarks on the transverse planes because of Landau level dynamics.

In such a zero mode, because of the alignment of the the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  and spins in the longitudinal direction, the quark transverse mass  $|m_T|$  is the same as the quark rest mass  $m$ . Upon further approximating light quarks to be massless, we have  $|m_T|=m=0$  at the zero mode. The transversely confined massless quarks and antiquarks provide favorable ingredients for the virtual pair production and vacuum polarization, linking the valence quark, the valence antiquark, and gauge fields with a confining interaction.

With transverse confinement well at hand and with  $|m_T|=m$  approximated as massless, we can therefore idealizing the flux tube in (3+1)D as a one-dimensional string and apply Schwinger confinement mechanism of massless fermions in QED in (1+1)D to our problem of quarks. Consequently, we can infer that the transversely confined massless quarks are also longitudinally confined. Possessing both transverse and longitudinal

confinement, such a quark-QED system will be confined in (3+1)D space-time and may show up as a QED meson [35, 38].

From Eqs. (66), the solution  $G(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  of the transverse equations for the zero mode is in the form of a Gaussian function which allows us to obtain the relation between the 2D coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  and the 4D coupling mass  $g_{4D}$ , according to Eq. (21), we obtain

$$g_{2D}^2 = g_{4D}^2 \frac{\omega^2}{2\pi} = \frac{g_{4D}^2}{\pi R_T^2}, \quad (67)$$

where we have introduced

$$R_T = \sqrt{2}/\omega = \sqrt{4/(e|QB_0|)}. \quad (68)$$

The above relation (67) coincides with previous results relating  $g_{2D}$  and  $g_{4D}$  in [164, 35, 165, 38]. It is clear from Eqs. (56) and (57) that in the zero mode, the effective quark mass  $|m_T|$  does not depend on the magnitude of the magnetic field, but the effective flux tube radius  $R_T$  is related to the magnitude of magnetic field  $B_0$  as given in Eq. (68). Upon assuming that the radius of the flux tube is an intrinsic property of the quark, it is reasonable to consider then that by association, the magnitude of  $B_0$  is also an intrinsic property of the quark and the antiquark.

Figure 2 indicates that along with the longitudinal magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$ , there exists a longitudinal electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  between the quark  $q$  and the antiquark  $\bar{q}$ . Thus, along the flux tube because both the  $\mathbf{B}$  field and the  $\mathbf{E}$  fields are parallel to each other, their divergence of the chiral current  $j^{\mu 5}$  does not vanish, as given by Eq. (19.45) of [163]

$$\partial_\mu j^{\mu 5} = -\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}. \quad (69)$$

The presence of transverse confinement leads to the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry and perhaps also the chiral condensate. It has been conjectured that chiral symmetry breaking is concomitant with the presence of a chiral condensate and perhaps also of confinement in QCD [172, 173, 174].

For our case with the bosonization of QED, there is a contribution of the quark mass term in Eq. (115) associated with non-zero quark rest masses and the chiral condensate. While the Schwinger longitudinal confinement mechanism is associated with dynamical quarks, the longitudinal magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  and longitudinal electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  created at the birth of the  $q\bar{q}$  pair also facilitates longitudinal confinement, and may be connected with the confinement effects associated with the chiral condensate.

## 8.2 Quark current in the longitudinal (1+1)D space-time

From the last subsection, we find that the quark-QED-QCD system under consideration possesses transverse confinement in the form of a flux tube which can be approximately idealized as a one-dimensional string in (1+1)D space-time arena. We wish to examine now the longitudinal dynamics of such an idealized system.

For quarks in QCD, although QCD is a non-Abelian gauge theory many features of the QCD mesons (such as quark confinement, meson states, and meson production) mimic those of the Schwinger model for the Abelian gauge theory in (1+1)D, as noted early on by Bjorken, Casher, Kogut, and Susskind [175, 112]. Such generic string feature in hadrons was first recognized even earlier by Nambu [104, 105] and Goto [106] before the advent of the non-Abelian QCD gauge theory. They indicate that in matters of confinement, lowest energy quark-antiquark bound states and hadron production, an Abelian approximation of the non-Abelian QCD theory is a reasonable concept. Various nonlocal maximally Abelian projection methods to approximate the non-Abelian QCD by an approximate Abelian gauge theory have been suggested by t'Hooft [168], Belvedere *et al.* [176], Sekeido *et al.* [177], and Suzuki *et al.* [178]. Suganuma and Ohata [179] investigate Abelian projected QCD in the maximally Abelian gauge, and find a strong correlation between the local chiral condensate and magnetic fields in both idealized Abelian gauge systems and Abelian projected QCD.

To search for the lowest energy confined  $q\bar{q}$  states in QCD in (3+1)D with three colors, we shall first compactify QCD in (3+1)D to QCD in (1+1)D, and we then adopt here a quasi-Abelian approximation as carried out in [35, 38]. In QCD in (3+1)D with an  $SU(N_{\text{color}})$  gauge group approximated as a  $U(N_{\text{color}})$  in the large  $N_{\text{color}}$  limit, t'Hooft showed that planar Feynman diagrams with quarks at the edges dominate, and the QCD dynamics in (3+1)D can be well approximated as QCD in (1+1)D [110, 111]. Alternatively, we can justify the compactification of QCD dynamics from (3+1)D to (1+1)D for the lowest energy  $q\bar{q}$  states by the occurrence of flux tubes in QCD lattice gauge calculations in (3+1)D, and flux tubes can be idealized as one-dimensional strings.

For the dynamics of a  $q\bar{q}$  pair in (1+1)D QCD, we need to introduce current component  $j_a^\mu$  and gauge field components  $A_a^\mu$  to describe  $j^\mu$  as  $\sum_{a=1}^8 j_a^\mu t^a$  and  $A^\mu$  as  $\sum_{a=1}^8 A_a^\mu t^a$  in  $SU(3)$ . However, dynamical variations  $\Delta j^\mu$  and  $\Delta A^\mu$  in general do not commute respectively with  $j^\mu$  and  $A^\mu$  in color space, resulting in currents and gauge fields that are complicated non-linear color ad-

mixtures. In general, it is a difficult task to look for the lowest stable boson states with these currents and gauge fields in the 8 dimensional color generator  $t^a$  space, as shown in [180, 181, 182, 183, 184].

We can guide ourselves to an easier task of finding the lowest-energy stable and confined quark-antiquark QCD boson states by noting that the color excitation occurs at much higher energies as revealed by the large estimated mass of the glueball. A color excitation corresponds to a higher-order non-planar Feynman diagram in which a gluon comes from one part of the plane, propagates out of the plane, and attaches itself to another part of the plane, in the quark-antiquark space-time picture of t'Hooft [110, 111]. We can therefore make the quasi-Abelian approximation of freezing the color excitations in our search for the lowest-energy QCD states. Consequently, as far as the lowest-energy confined QCD  $q\bar{q}$  states in (1+1)D are concerned, it suffices to consider the confinement dynamics in a single randomly-oriented degree of freedom in the generator  $t^a$  space, while we freeze out the other seven angular degrees of freedom. Upon such a consideration of QCD dynamics, the color generator space comprises of only a single normalized unit generator  $\tau^1 = \sum_{a=1}^8 n_a t^a$  with  $n_a = 2\text{tr}(\tau^1 t^a)$  oriented in any direction of the eight-dimensional generator space,

$$\tau^1 = \sum_{i=1}^8 n_i t^i, \quad \text{with} \quad \sqrt{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_8^2} = 1, \quad (70)$$

where  $n_a$  are the components of generator vectors  $\tau^1$  in the eight-dimensional  $t^a$  space, with  $n_a = \text{tr}\{\tau^1 t^a\}/2$  oriented randomly.

For the quark-QCD system, we can therefore represent the dynamics of the QCD quark current  $j^\mu$  and the QCD gauge fields  $A^\mu$  by restricting our consideration of the 8-dimensional  $t^a$  generator space only in the subspace of  $\tau^1$  without varying the  $\tau^1$  orientation. Restricting the color dynamics variations to the subspace of a single generator  $\tau^1$  and fixing the orientation of the unit vector  $\tau^1$  is a quasi-Abelian approximation of the non-Abelian QCD dynamics [35, 38]. It is Abelian because the generator  $\tau^1$  commutes with itself and also with  $\tau^0$ , and it is quasi-Abelian because it retains the  $3 \times 3$  matrix structure and it is distinctly different from the Abelian QED interaction associated with the  $t^0$  generator. As Abelian gauge theory in (1+1)D is confining [45, 46], the dynamics of the quark-QCD systems in the quasi-Abelian approximation in (1+1)D will also be confining. It will lead to stable and confining collective excitations.

With the inclusion of the QED dynamics in the quark-QED-QCD system, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu(X) &= A_0^\mu(X)\tau^0 + A_1^\mu(X)\tau^1, \\ j^\mu(X) &= j_0^\mu(X)\tau^0 + j_1^\mu(X)\tau^1, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

where  $\tau^0 = t^0$  and the generators  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$  satisfy  $2\text{Tr}(\tau^\lambda \tau^{\lambda'}) = \delta^{\lambda\lambda'}$ , with  $\lambda, \lambda' = 0, 1$ . Furthermore, because  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$  commute, the dynamics of the gauge fields in the  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$  generator subspace is Abelian. In such a description in (1+1)D, the dynamics of QCD and QED are analogous. They are represented by different generators,  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$ , each of which has  $C_F = C_V = 1$ .

The longitudinal dynamics obeys the equation of motion Eq. (28), which can also be obtained by minimizing the action integral  $A_{2D}$  of Eq. (43) with respect to the variation of  $\psi(X)$ . The solution of the 2D Dirac equation (28) is in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X) &= \begin{pmatrix} f_+(X) \\ f_-(X) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \{T_{l(M_0;M)} \exp\} \left\{ ig_{2D} \int dX^\mu A_\mu(X) \right\} \exp(-i\omega x^0 + ip^3 x^3) u, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where  $u = \begin{pmatrix} u_+ \\ u_- \end{pmatrix}$ , and the symbol  $\{T_{l(M_0;M)} \exp\}$  means that the integration is to be carried out along the line on the light-cone from the point  $M_0$  to the point  $M$  such that the factors in the exponent expansion are chronologically ordered from  $M_0$  to  $M$ . Substituting Eq.(72) into the 2D Dirac equation (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i(\partial_0 - \partial_3) \\ i(\partial_0 + \partial_3) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp(-i\omega x^0 + ip^3 x^3) \begin{pmatrix} u_+ \\ u_- \end{pmatrix} \\ &= m_T \exp(-i\omega x^0 + ip^3 x^3) \begin{pmatrix} u_+ \\ u_- \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

where  $m_T = m$  is the quark transverse mass given by Eqs. (56) and (57) when the quark and the antiquark are in their zero-mode, and  $u$  is normalized as  $\bar{u}_{\pm p^3} u_{\pm p^3} = \pm 2m_T = \pm 2m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega + p^3)u_- &= m_T u_+, \\ (\omega - p^3)u_+ &= m_T u_-, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

$$m_T^2 - (\omega^2 - (p^3)^2) = 0, \quad (75)$$

$$\omega = \pm \varepsilon = \pm \sqrt{m_T^2 + (p^3)^2}, \quad (76)$$

$$\omega = \varepsilon; \quad u_- = \frac{m_T}{\varepsilon + p^3} u_+, \quad (77)$$

$$\omega = -\varepsilon; \quad u_- = -\frac{m_T}{\varepsilon - p^3} u_+. \quad (78)$$

Taking the normalization condition in the form

$$\text{Tr} \int dx_3 : (\psi_{2D}^\dagger \psi_{2D}) := 1, \quad (79)$$

we find the general solution of the 2D Dirac equation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X) = & \sum_{p^3} \{T_{l(M_0;M)} \exp\} \left\{ i g_{2D} \int dX^\mu A_\mu(X) \right\} \\ & \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \left[ \hat{q}_{p^3} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \end{pmatrix} \exp(-iPX) \right. \\ & \left. + \hat{q}_{p^3}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ -\sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \end{pmatrix} \exp(+iPX) \right], \quad (80) \end{aligned}$$

where  $P = (\varepsilon, p^3)$ ,  $X = (x^3, x^0)$ , and the hat symbols  $\hat{q}_{p^3}$  and  $\hat{q}_{p^3}^\dagger$  denote the operators of the creation and annihilation of quarks and antiquarks of longitudinal momentum  $p^3$ , which satisfy the standard commutative relations [163].

### 8.3 Self-consistent longitudinal quark currents and gauge fields

The quark-QCD-QED vacuum is the lowest energy state of the quark-QCD-QED system with quarks filling up the (hidden) Dirac sea and interacting in QCD and QED interactions. It is defined as the state with no valence quark above the Dirac sea and no valence antiquark below the Dirac sea. A local disturbance in the form of the gauge field  $A^\mu(X) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^1 A_\lambda^\mu \tau^\lambda(X)$  will generate a collective excitation of the quark-QCD-QED medium. Our task is to find out whether there can be stable and confined collective QCD and QED excitations showing up as stable particles.

For such a purpose, we introduce the initial perturbing gauge field  $A^\mu(X) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^1 A_\lambda^\mu \tau^\lambda(X)$  that acts on the quark fields. The subsequent motion of the quark fields will generate a current which, through the Maxwell equation, will in turn generate a gauge field. The requirement of the self-consistency of the initial and induced gauge fields and currents leads to the stable collective excitations of the quark-QCD-QED system. The collective dynamics can sustain themselves indefinitely, if the decay channels are assumed to be turned off for such an examination. How do the quark currents and gauge fields relate to each other when such self-consistent collective excitations are attained?

The knowledge of the solution of the longitudinal quark field  $\psi(X)$  provides us the tool to obtain the quark current  $j_{\lambda f}^\mu(X)$  as a function of the introduced gauge field  $A^\mu(X)$ . For simplicity, we shall consider first the simple case with a single flavor  $f$ , whose label is sometimes implicitly omitted for brevity of notation. The case of many flavors and the case with flavor mixture can be easily generalized and will be considered in Section 9, as was carried out in [35] and [38]. The quark

current is given by

$$j_{\lambda f}^\mu(X) = Tr \{ \bar{\psi}_f(X) \gamma^\mu \tau^\lambda \psi_f(X') \}, \quad (81)$$

where  $X' \rightarrow X + 0$ ,  $\lambda = 0, 1$ , and the trace means summing with respect to colors (but not flavors). Substituting the  $\psi$ -function solution given by Eq.(80) into the above formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\lambda f}^\mu(X) = & Tr \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)} \sum_{\sigma} \left\{ \frac{P^\mu \tau^\lambda}{\varepsilon N_f} \left[ n_q^\lambda(\varepsilon, f\sigma) \exp(-iP(X' - X)) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + n_{\bar{q}}^\lambda(\varepsilon, f\sigma) \exp(iP(X' - X)) \right] \right\} \\ & \times (T \exp) \left\{ i \sum_{\lambda'} g_{2D f}^{\lambda'} \int_X^{X'} A_\mu^{\lambda'} \tau^{\lambda'} dX^\mu \right\}, \quad (82) \end{aligned}$$

where  $X' \rightarrow X + 0$ ,  $\lambda, \lambda' = 0, 1$ , no sum in  $\lambda$ . Here,  $n_q^\lambda(\varepsilon, f\sigma) = \langle \hat{q}_{f\sigma, \lambda}^\dagger(p, \omega) \hat{q}_{f\sigma, \lambda}(p, \omega) \rangle$  and  $n_{\bar{q}}^\lambda(\varepsilon, f\sigma) = \langle \hat{q}_{f\sigma, \lambda}^\dagger(p, \omega) \hat{q}_{f\sigma, \lambda}^\dagger(p, \omega) \rangle$  are the quark and antiquark occupancy numbers, respectively,  $\sigma$  is the spin along the longitudinal direction for massive quarks and is the helicity quantum number for massless quarks, and  $N_f$  is the flavor number. The above current (81) contains the Schwinger gauge-invariance factor

$$(T \exp) \left\{ i \sum_{\lambda'} \int_X^{X'} g_{2D f}^{\lambda'} A_\mu^{\lambda'} \tau^{\lambda'} dX^\mu \right\}, \quad (83)$$

where  $g_f^0 = g_{2D f}^0 = Q_f^{\text{QED}} g_{2D f}^{\text{QED}}$  and  $g_f^1 = g_{2D f}^1 = Q_f^{\text{QCD}} g_{2D f}^{\text{QCD}}$  are the QED and QCD coupling constants. The quantities  $Q_f^{\text{QED}}$  and  $Q_f^{\text{QCD}}$  are the electric and color charge numbers as given by Eq. (5). We expand the operator exponent in the last equation as a series with respect to  $(X' - X) \rightarrow +0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (T \exp) \left\{ i g_{2D f}^{\lambda'} \tau^{\lambda'} \int_X^{X'} A_\mu^{\lambda'} dX^\mu \right\} & \quad (84) \\ = & 1 + i g_{2D f}^{\lambda'} \tau^{\lambda'} (X' - X)^\mu A_\mu^{\lambda'}(\xi) \\ & + \frac{i}{2} g_{2D f}^{\lambda'} \tau^{\lambda'} (X' - X)^\mu (X' - X)^\nu \partial_\nu A_\mu^{\lambda'}(\xi) \\ & - \sum_{\lambda''} g_{2D f}^{\lambda'} g_{2D f}^{\lambda''} (\tau^{\lambda'} \tau^{\lambda''}) (\tilde{X}' - \tilde{X})^\mu (X' - X)^\nu \\ & \quad \times A_\mu^{\lambda'}(\tilde{\xi}) A_\nu^{\lambda''}(\xi) \Theta(\tilde{\xi} - \xi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\xi} \in [\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}']$ , and  $\xi \in [X, X']$  and the step function  $\Theta(\tilde{\xi} - \xi)$  comes from the  $T$ -exponent. Taking the limits  $(\tilde{X}' - \tilde{X}) \rightarrow +0$  and  $(X' - X) \rightarrow +0$ , we have to take into account the limit

$$\frac{(\tilde{X}' - \tilde{X})}{(X' - X)} \rightarrow +0, \quad (85)$$

due to the step function. As a consequence, the last term in the expansion in Eq. (84) is equal to zero.

Upon placing the quark and the antiquark in a longitudinal magnetic field along the transverse direction, we find earlier in the last subsection that a quark and an antiquark in their lowest transverse energy state lie at the zero-mode orbitals with a transverse mass  $|m_T|=m$ .

We consider the approximation to treat the quarks as massless, with  $m = 0$ . The idealized longitudinal dynamics corresponds then to the dynamics of massless charges in QED as in Schwinger QED in (1+1)D [45, 46]. Taking into account that  $P^\mu = (\varepsilon = |p|, p)$ , and substituting  $(T \exp)\{i \sum_{\lambda'} g_{2Df}^{\lambda'} \tau^{\lambda'} \int_X^{X'} A_{\lambda',f}^\mu dx_\mu\}$  given by Eq. (84) into Eq.(81), we obtain for  $(X' - X) \rightarrow +0$

$$j_{\lambda f}^\mu(X) = \frac{2i g_{2Df}^\lambda}{N_f} Tr \sum_{\sigma=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int \frac{P^\mu dp}{(2\pi)\varepsilon} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial \exp(-iP(X'-X))}{\partial P^\nu} \right) \times \left( n_q(\varepsilon, f\sigma) + n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, f\sigma) \right) \times \sum_{\lambda'} \left( \tau^\lambda \tau^{\lambda'} A_{\lambda',f}^\nu(\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \tau^\lambda \tau^{\lambda'} (X' - X)_\eta \partial^\nu A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(\xi) \right) \right\}.$$

To evaluate the term  $(X' - X)_\eta \partial_{(X)}^\mu A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(\xi)$ , we note that when we apply the partial derivative  $\partial^2 \equiv \partial_{(X)}^2$  onto  $(X' - X)_\eta \partial_{(X)}^\mu A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(\xi)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{(X)}^2 \lim_{X' \rightarrow X} \left\{ (X' - X)_\eta \partial_{(X)}^\mu A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(\xi) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{X' \rightarrow X} \partial_{(X)}^2 \left\{ (X' - X)_\eta \partial_{(X)}^\mu A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(X) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{X' \rightarrow X} \left\{ -2\partial_{\eta(X)} \partial_{(X)}^\mu A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(X) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (X' - X)_\eta \partial_{\kappa(X)} \partial_{(X)}^\kappa \{ \partial_{(X)}^\mu A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(X) \} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Upon taking the limit  $X' \rightarrow X + 0$ , the second term vanishes. Therefore, we have in the limit of  $X' \rightarrow X + 0$ ,

$$\lim_{X' \rightarrow X} \left( (X' - X)_\eta \partial_{(X)}^\mu A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(\xi) \right) = -2\partial^\mu \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\eta A_{\lambda',f}^\eta(X). \quad (87)$$

As a consequence, after integration by parts and calculating the trace with respect to the color indices in Eq. (86) we obtain

$$j_{\lambda f}^\mu(X) = \left( A_{\lambda f}^\nu(X) - \partial^\nu \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\eta A_{\lambda f}^\eta(X) \right) S_\nu^\mu, \quad (88)$$

$$S_\nu^\mu = g_\nu^\mu Q_{2Df}^\lambda \sum_{\sigma=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)\varepsilon(p) N_f} [n_q(\varepsilon, f\sigma) + n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, f\sigma)], \quad (89)$$

where  $g_\nu^\mu$  is the space-time metric tensor and a bar over the letters denotes antiparticles. To find the occupancy number we have to specify additionally the state in which the averaging in the last formula is carried out.

We consider first a single particle. Since it moves either along the longitudinal axis or in the opposite direction, we have, taking into account the normalization of the flavor states,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dp}{\varepsilon(p)} n_q(\varepsilon, f\sigma) &= \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dp}{\varepsilon(p)} n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, f\sigma) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Substituting Eq.(90) into Eq.(89) and in Eq.(88), we get

$$j_{\lambda f}^\mu(X) = \frac{g_{2Df}^\lambda}{\pi} \left( A_{\lambda f}^\mu(X) - \partial^\mu \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\nu A_{\lambda f}^\nu(X) \right), \quad (91)$$

where  $\lambda=0$  for QED,  $\lambda=1$  for QCD. We note specially that in the above Eq. (91), the induced current  $j_{\lambda f}^\mu(X)$  of type  $\lambda$  and flavor  $f$  depends only on the initial gauge field  $A_{\lambda f}^\mu(X)$  of same type  $\lambda$  and flavor  $f$ , and it does not depend on the gauge field of the other type, even though both types of gauge fields are present in the sum over  $\lambda'$  in the Schwinger gauge-invariant exponential factor. Such a dependence (and independence) arises because in obtaining the above result in Eq. (91), we expand the Schwinger gauge-invariant exponential factor in Eq. (84), and take the trace over the color space involving the  $\tau^{\lambda'}$  generator from the Schwinger factor, and the  $\tau^\lambda$  generator from  $T\langle \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \tau^\lambda \psi \rangle$ . Because of the orthogonality of the color generators,  $2\text{tr}\{\tau^{\lambda'} \tau^\lambda\} = \delta^{\lambda'\lambda}$ , the contribution from the interaction of the other type is zero.

#### 8.4 Maxwell equation and the longitudinal dynamics of quark and gauge fields

The equation of motion for the gauge fields  $A_{\lambda f}^{\{0,3\}}(X)$  can be obtained by minimizing the action integral  $\mathcal{A}_{2D}$  in Eq. (43) with respect to the gauge fields  $A_{\lambda f}^{\{0,3\}}(X)$  in question. Such a minimization of the 2D action integral  $\mathcal{A}_{2D}$  in (43) gives the Maxwell equation for  $\lambda = 0, 1$ ,

$$\partial_\nu \partial^\nu A_{\lambda f}^\mu(X) - \partial_\nu \partial^\mu A_{\lambda f}^\nu(X) = -g_{2Df}^\lambda j_{\lambda f}^\mu(X). \quad (92)$$

The coupled equations (91) and (92) provide us the tool to determine the stable and sustainable collective current oscillations of the quark-QCD-QED system. To begin with, we consider the introduction of a disturbance such as a density oscillation  $A_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (initial) in space at an initial time  $t_{\text{initial}}$  as the input quantity on the right hand side of Eq. (91). Such a disturbance will lead to an induced current  $j_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (induced), as given by the left hand side of Eq. (91). After we obtain the induced current,  $j_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (induced), we use it as the right hand side of Eq. (92) as the current source to find out what the new gauge fields  $\tilde{A}_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (generated) that it generates.

Stable and sustainable cycles of (current  $\rightarrow$  gauge field  $\rightarrow$  current  $\rightarrow$  gauge field) will be possible, if the generated gauge field  $\tilde{A}_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (generated) behaves and oscillates in the same way as the initial disturbance  $A_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (initial). That is, stable self-consistent collective dynamics of the quark field and the gauge fields are attained when the newly generated gauge fields  $\tilde{A}_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (generated) are the same as the initial applied gauge fields  $A_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (initial) to start with. Such a self-consistency can be achieved by setting  $\tilde{A}_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (generated) =  $A_{\lambda f}^\mu$ (initial) and substituting Eq. (92) into Eq. (91). We get the Klein-Gordon equation for the currents  $j_{\lambda f}^\mu$

$$-\partial^\nu \partial_\nu j_{\lambda f}^\mu = m_{\lambda f}^2 j_{\lambda f}^\mu, \quad (93)$$

and similarly the Klein-Gordon equation for the gauge field  $A_{\lambda f}^\mu$

$$-\partial^\nu \partial_\nu A_{\lambda f}^\mu = m_{\lambda f}^2 A_{\lambda f}^\mu, \quad (94)$$

which correspond to the occurrence of a boson of a stable and independent collective excitation of the quark-QCD-QED medium, with a mass  $m_{\lambda f}$  given by

$$m_{\lambda f}^2 = \frac{(g_{2Df}^\lambda)^2}{\pi} \begin{cases} \lambda = 0 & \text{for QED,} \\ \lambda = 1 & \text{for QCD.} \end{cases} \quad (95)$$

From another perspective, the separation and the independence of the color-singlet and the color-octet excitations are possible because the gauge-invariant relations between the charge currents  $j^\mu$  and the gauge fields  $A^\mu$  in (1+1)D in Eqs. (91) and (92)) are each a linear function of  $j^\mu$  and  $A^\mu$ . As a consequence, there is a principle of the superposition of the currents and the gauge fields of different color components in color-space. Thus, the quark-QCD-QED medium possesses stable and independent collective QCD and QED excitations with different bound states masses  $m_\lambda$ , depending on the coupling constants  $g_f^\lambda$ . From Eq. (95), the ratio of the QED meson mass to the QCD meson mass is of order

$$\frac{m_{\text{QED meson}}}{m_{\text{QCD meson}}} \sim \frac{g_f^{\text{QED}}}{g_f^{\text{QCD}}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{\text{QED}}}{\alpha_{\text{QCD}}}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{1/137}{0.6}} \sim \frac{1}{9}. \quad (96)$$

## 9 Low energy QED and QCD excitations in quark-QCD-QED systems

Up to now, we have been dealing with a single flavor. We proceed now to examine the case with two flavors. How the flavor degrees of freedom manifest themselves in QCD and QED mesons will depend on their underlying symmetry of the system. For the quark-QCD system, the isospin symmetry is known to be a good symmetry. We shall first consider the system if there is isospin symmetry.

If isospin is a good symmetry, then the QCD and the QED meson states  $\Phi_I^\lambda$ , with quantum numbers  $I=0$  and 1, are given by

$$\Phi_I^\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|u\bar{u}\rangle^\lambda + (-1)^I |d\bar{d}\rangle^\lambda) \equiv \sum_{f=u,d} D_{If}^\lambda |f\bar{f}\rangle. \quad (97)$$

More explicitly, they are related by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_0^\lambda \\ \Phi_1^\lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |u\bar{u}\rangle \\ |d\bar{d}\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad D^\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (98)$$

As  $|u\bar{u}\rangle$  arise from the quark currents given by  $j_{\lambda u}^\mu = \langle T(\psi_u \gamma^\mu \tau^\lambda \psi_u) \rangle$  and  $|d\bar{d}\rangle$  by  $j_{\lambda d}^\mu = \langle T(\psi_d \gamma^\mu \tau^\lambda \psi_d) \rangle$  of the up and down flavor quarks, the above relation (97) express also the relation between the isospin currents and the flavor currents,

$$j_{\lambda I}^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (j_{\lambda u}^\mu + (-1)^I j_{\lambda d}^\mu). \quad (99)$$

The coupling constant for the state with isospin  $I$  is the weighted sum of the coupling constants of the quark flavors given from the above by

$$g_I^\lambda = \frac{g_u^\lambda + (-1)^I g_d^\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (100)$$

Because  $g_f^\lambda = g^\lambda Q_f^\lambda$  where  $Q_f^\lambda$  is the charge number of the quark with the flavor  $f$ , we have

$$g_I^\lambda = \frac{g^\lambda (Q_u^\lambda + (-1)^I Q_d^\lambda)}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (101)$$

The presence of the isospin symmetry makes it possible to project out the currents and the gauge fields for states with a good isospin symmetry. Limiting ourselves to neutral systems, we introduce generators  $\sigma^{\{0,1\}}$  operating in the flavor space

$$\sigma^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (102)$$

with  $\text{tr}(\sigma^I \sigma^{I'}) = \delta^{II'}$ . We can expand the total currents and the gauge fields as a sum of the isospin components as

$$j_{\lambda I}^\mu(x) = \sum_{I=0}^1 j_{\lambda I}^\mu(x) \sigma^I, \quad (103)$$

$$A_{\lambda I}^\mu(x) = \sum_{I=0}^1 A_{\lambda I}^\mu(x) \sigma^I. \quad (104)$$

We need to evaluate the isospin current  $j_{\lambda I}^\mu(x)$  as a function of the applied gauge fields  $A^\mu(x)$  which has all the components in  $\lambda$  and  $f$ ,  $A^\mu(x) = \sum_{\lambda' f'} A_{\lambda' I'}^\mu(x) \tau^{\lambda'} \sigma^{I'}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & j_{\lambda I}^\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \lim_{\substack{x^0=x^0' \\ x^1=x^1'-\epsilon}} + \lim_{\substack{x^0=x^0' \\ x^1=x^1'+\epsilon}} \right\} Tr \left[ e^{i \int_{x'}^x \sum_{\lambda' I'}^1 (-g_{I'}^{\lambda'}) A_{\lambda' I'}^\mu(\xi) \tau^{\lambda'} \sigma^{I'} d\xi_\mu} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \langle T(\bar{\psi}(x') \gamma^\mu \tau^\lambda \sigma^I \psi(x)) \rangle \right]. \quad (105) \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the above current, we carry out calculations similar to the evaluation of  $j_\lambda^\mu$  in Eq. (91). We expand out the exponential Schwinger gauge-invariance factor. We take the generators  $\tau^{\lambda'}$  and  $\sigma^{I'}$  from the Schwinger gauge-invariance factor, and  $\tau^\lambda$  and  $\sigma^I$  from  $T(\bar{\psi}(x')\gamma^\mu\tau^\lambda\sigma^I\psi(x))$ . We then take the trace in color and flavor. Because of the orthogonality condition in taking the trace, we get  $\delta^{\lambda'\lambda}\delta^{I'I}$  when we take the trace over the colors and the flavors. Consequently, upon evaluating the isospin-dependent quark current  $j_{\lambda I}^\mu$ , we obtain for the color type  $\lambda$  and isospin  $I$ ,

$$j_{\lambda I}^\mu(x) = \frac{g_I^\lambda}{\pi} \left( A_{\lambda I}^\mu(x) - \partial^\mu \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial_\nu A_{\lambda I}^\nu(x) \right). \quad (106)$$

On the other hand, the Maxwell equation for the gauge field  $A_{\lambda I}^\mu$  for the quark current course  $j_{\lambda I}^\mu(x)$  is

$$\partial_\nu \partial^\nu A_{\lambda I}^\mu(x) - \partial_\nu \partial^\mu A_{\lambda I}^\nu(x) = -g_I^\lambda j_{\lambda I}^\mu(x). \quad (107)$$

Stable self-consistent collective dynamics of the quark field and the gauge fields of different isospin can be obtained by substituting Eq. (107) into Eq. (106). We get the Klein-Gordon equation for the isospin currents  $j_{\lambda I}^\mu$

$$-\partial^\nu \partial_\nu j_{\lambda I}^\mu = m_{\lambda I}^2 j_{\lambda I}^\mu, \quad (108)$$

and similarly for the isospin gauge fields  $A_{\lambda I}$

$$-\partial^\nu \partial_\nu A_{\lambda I}^\mu = m_{\lambda I}^2 A_{\lambda I}^\mu, \quad (109)$$

which corresponds to the occurrence of a boson of collective isospin excitation of the quark-QCD-QED medium, with a mass  $m_{\lambda I}$  given by [35,38]

$$\begin{aligned} (m_{\lambda I})^2 &= \frac{(g_I^\lambda)^2}{\pi} = \frac{(g^\lambda)^2}{\pi} \left[ \frac{Q_u^\lambda + (-1)^I Q_d^\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^2, \\ &= \left[ \frac{Q_u^\lambda + (-1)^I Q_d^\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^2 \frac{4\alpha_{\{\text{QCD,QED}\}}}{\pi R_T^2}, \\ &= \left[ \sum_f D_{If}^\lambda Q_f^\lambda \right]^2 \frac{4\alpha_{\{\text{QCD,QED}\}}}{\pi R_T^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

We note that in the massless limit, the mass of QCD and QED mesons with  $(I, I_3)=(1,0)$  is given by

$$(m_{\lambda I})^2 = \frac{(g^\lambda)^2}{\pi} \left[ \frac{Q_u^{\{\text{QCD,QED}\}} + (-1)^I Q_d^{\{\text{QCD,QED}\}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^2. \quad (111)$$

For QCD, we have  $Q_u^{\text{QCD}}=Q_d^{\text{QCD}}=1$ , and thus, in the massless limit for  $\pi^0$  with  $(I, I_3)=(1,0)$ , the mass of  $\pi^0$  is zero. Such a vanishing of the  $\pi^0$  mass is consistent with the common concept that  $\pi^0$  is a Goldstone boson in QCD. In this description, the mass of  $\pi^0$  comes only from the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, which contribute a term to the square  $\pi^0$  mass [38]

$$\begin{aligned} m_\pi^2 &= \Delta m_\pi^2 = \bar{m}_f \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\text{QCD}} \\ &= \sum_f m_f (D_{If}^{\text{QCD}})^2 \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\text{QCD}} \\ &= \frac{m_u + m_d}{2} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\text{QCD}}, \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

where  $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\text{QCD}}$  is the chiral condensate. We can use the pion mass to calibrate the chiral condensate  $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\text{QCD}}$ . Therefore the masses of neutral QCD mesons is given by

$$m_{\lambda I}^2 = \left[ \sum_f D_{If}^\lambda Q_f^\lambda \right]^2 \frac{4\alpha_\lambda}{\pi R_T^2} + m_\pi^2 \frac{\sum_f m_f (D_{If}^\lambda)^2}{m_{ud}}, \quad (113)$$

where  $m_{ud}=(m_u + m_d)/2$ . The chiral condensate depends on the interaction type  $\lambda$ , specifically on the coupling constant. We note that the chiral current anomaly in the chiral current depends on the coupling constant square,  $e^2 = g^2$ , as gives in Eq. (19.108) of [163]

$$\partial_\mu j^{\mu 53} = -\frac{e^2}{32\pi} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta}, \quad (114)$$

which shows that the degree non-conservation of the chiral current is proportional to  $e^2$ . It is therefore reasonable to infer that the chiral condensate term scales as the coupling constant square as  $g^2$  or  $\alpha$ , just as the first term. Hence, we have [38]

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\lambda I}^2 &= \left[ \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} D_{If}^\lambda Q_f^\lambda \right]^2 \frac{4\alpha_{\{\text{QCD,QED}\}}}{\pi R_T^2} \\ &+ m_\pi^2 \frac{\alpha_{\{\text{QCD,QED}\}}}{\alpha_{\text{QCD}}} \frac{\sum_f m_f (D_{If}^\lambda)^2}{m_{ud}}. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

Although the above mass formula is given for two flavors, we have written the above result in a general form that it is also applicable to QCD mesons with three flavors [38]. For the  $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\eta'$  the degree of admixture is known as stated in the Particle Data Book. Explicitly, it is given for  $\Phi_I^{\text{QCD}} = \sum_f^{N_f} D_{If} |ff\rangle$ , and  $\Phi_1 = \pi^0$ ,  $\Phi_2 = \eta$ , and  $\Phi_3 = \eta'$ , by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \\ \Phi_3 \end{pmatrix} = D \begin{pmatrix} |u\bar{u}\rangle \\ |d\bar{d}\rangle \\ |s\bar{s}\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (116)$$

where the  $D$  matrix is

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{-2\cos\theta_P - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_P}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{\sin\theta_P + \sqrt{2}\cos\theta_P}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{\sin\theta_P + \sqrt{2}\cos\theta_P}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{-2\sin\theta_P + \sqrt{2}\cos\theta_P}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the tabulation in PDG [148], we find  $\theta_P = -24.5^\circ$  and  $m_s/m_{ud} = 27.3_{-1.3}^{+0.7}$ . Using the  $\pi^0$  mass as a calibration of the chiral condensate, we search for the flux tube radius  $R_T$  and the QCD coupling constant  $\alpha_{\text{QCD}}$  that can describe well the masses of  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$ . We find  $\alpha_{\text{QCD}}=0.68\pm 0.08$ , and  $R_T=0.40\pm 0.04$  fm. By extrapolating to the QED mesons with  $\alpha_{\text{QED}}=1/137$ , we find an open string isoscalar  $I(J^\pi)=0(0^-)$  QED meson state at  $17.9\pm 1.6$  MeV and an isovector  $(I(J^\pi)=1(0^-), I_3=0)$  QED meson state at  $36.4\pm 4.8$  MeV. The predicted masses of the isoscalar and isovector QED mesons are

close to the mass of the hypothetical X17 and E38 particles, making them good candidates for the hypothetical X17 [11] and E38 [21] particles observed recently.

**Table 1** The experimental and theoretical masses of neutral,  $I_3=0$ , QCD and QED mesons, obtained with the semi-empirical mass formula (115) for QCD and QED mesons.

		$[I(J^\pi)]$	Experimental mass (MeV)	Mass formula Eq. (115) (MeV)
QCD meson	$\pi^0$	$[1(0^-)]$	$134.9768 \pm 0.0005$	$134.9^\ddagger$
	$\eta$	$[0(0^-)]$	$547.862 \pm 0.017$	$498.4 \pm 39.8$
	$\eta'$	$[0(0^-)]$	$957.78 \pm 0.06$	$948.2 \pm 99.6$
QED meson	X17	$[0(0^-)]$	$16.94 \pm 0.24^\#$	$17.9 \pm 1.5$
	E38	$[1(0^-)]$	$37.38 \pm 0.71^\oplus$	$36.4 \pm 3.8$

<sup>‡</sup> Calibration mass

<sup>#</sup> A. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, arXiv:2104.10075

<sup>⊕</sup> K. Abraamyan *et al.*, EPJ Web Conf 204,08004(2019)

For the quark-QED system, we will need future experimental information to ascertain whether there is an isospin symmetry. If the quark-QED system does not have an isospin symmetry and allows flavor to be a good quantum number, then there will be a  $|u\bar{u}\rangle$  QED meson and a  $|d\bar{d}\rangle$  QED meson with masses given in the massless quark limits by Eq. (95), where  $g_u^{\text{QED}} = g^{\text{QED}} |Q_u^{\text{QED}}| = g^{\text{QED}} 2/3$  and  $g_d^{\text{QED}} = g^{\text{QED}} |Q_d^{\text{QED}}| = g^{\text{QED}} /3$ . For a flux tube radius of 0.4 fm, the masses of the  $|u\bar{u}\rangle$  and  $|d\bar{d}\rangle$  QED meson masses can be estimated to be 31.7 MeV and 15.85 MeV, respectively, in the massless quark limit. When the quark rest mass corrections with the chiral condensate term are taken into account as given in Eq. (115), the masses of the  $|u\bar{u}\rangle$  and  $|d\bar{d}\rangle$  pure flavor QED meson states are modified to be 34.7 MeV and 21.2 MeV, respectively. In the low-mass region, there can be four possible QED meson states: the  $I = 0$  and  $I = 1$  states possessing good isospin quantum number  $I$ , a  $|u\bar{u}\rangle$  state, and a  $|d\bar{d}\rangle$  state.

## 10 Summary, conclusions and discussions

Quarks carry color and electric charges and they interact in QCD and QED. In quark-QCD-QED systems, there are stable collective excitations which arise from a quark and an antiquark interacting predominantly in QCD, with the QED interaction as a perturbation. They show up as QCD mesons such as  $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$ . In addition to QCD mesons, we inquire here whether there may also be stable low-energy collective excitations arising from a quark and an antiquark interacting in QED alone. If there are such stable collective excitations,

would they show up experimentally as neutral, bound and confined QED mesons? What would be their masses?

We have been motivated to study such a problem on account of the observations of the anomalous soft photons [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 7, 10], the hypothetical X17 particle [11, 12, 14, 58], and the hypothetical E38 particle [20, 21, 97], with masses in the region of many tens of MeV. They are anomalous particles because they lie outside the domain of known Standard Model particle families. Many speculations have been proposed to describe these anomalous particles. In particular, it was proposed that quarks and antiquarks interacting in QED alone, without the QCD interaction, may lead to neutral, confined  $q\bar{q}$  QED mesons with masses in the region of many tens of MeV, when we apply the well-known Schwinger's mass-(coupling constant) relation,  $m = g_{2D}/\sqrt{\pi}$ , to quarks interacting in QED in (1+1)D. A phenomenological open-string model using the bosonization method reveals that the anomalous particles can be appropriately described as open string composite  $q\bar{q}$  states interacting in QED in (1+1)D [35, 38].

The proposed open-string  $q\bar{q}$  states reside in the (1+1)D $_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time, whereas the physical world is the (3+1)D $_{\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time. We need to find out the physical basis how the dynamics in (3+1)D $_{\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^0\}}$  may be idealized as the dynamics in (1+1)D $_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$ . We also wish to study the dynamics of quarks and gauge fields in the lowest-energy states and the collective excitations of the quark-QCD-QED systems in (3+1)D.

The QED mesons proposed in Refs. [35, 38] involve the hypothesis that a quark and an antiquark can interact in QED alone without the QCD interaction. There are experimental circumstances in which a quark and an antiquark pair can be produced with  $m_q + m_{\bar{q}} < \sqrt{s} < m_\pi$  and they can interact in QED alone [42]. Furthermore, there is no theorem nor basic physical principle that forbids a quark and an antiquark to interact in QED alone. What is not forbidden is allowed, in accordance with Gell-Mann's Totalitarian Principle [147]. We introduce such a hypothesis because it has the prospect of linking the perplexing anomalous soft photon, the hypothetical X17 particle, and the hypothetical E38 particle together within a consistent Standard Model framework [38].

The idealization of the flux tube in (3+1)D as a string in (1+1)D is a central ingredient of the phenomenological open string model of [35, 38]. For the QCD interaction, the flux tube configuration in (3+1)D is well established and its idealization as a string in (1+1)D is generally accepted to be a reasonable concept [103, 104, 105, 106, 110, 111, 112, 115, 116, 117, 169, 170, 171]. Such is however not the case for a  $q$  and a  $\bar{q}$  interacting in QED in (3+1)D alone, without the QCD interac-

tion. Although the proposed phenomenological (1+1)D open-string model in [35,38] suggests the confinement of a quark and an antiquark in QED in (3+1)D as supported by the experimental observations of anomalous particles, present-day lattice gauge calculations indicate on the contrary that a static quark and a static antiquark are not confined in compact QED in (3+1)D because they belong to the weak-coupling de-confinement regime [109,112,113,114,115,116,117,118,119,120,121,122,123,124,125,126].

The conclusion on the deconfinement of a quark and an antiquark in QED in (3+1)D comes from lattice gauge calculations for a static fermion charge and a static antifermion charge as applied to quarks. The important Schwinger's longitudinal confinement effect for dynamical light quarks in QED [45,46] has not been included. The deconfinement conclusion from lattice gauge calculations may not be definitive for light quarks in compact QED in (3+1)D because it contradicts the experimental absence of fractional charges and is in variance with phenomenological QED-confined description of the observed anomalous particles [35,38]. A definitive conclusion must await future lattice gauge calculations with the inclusion of the Schwinger confinement mechanism. In this regard, there have been many recent advances in the development of efficient methods of lattice gauge calculations in compact QED with dynamical fermions in (3+1)D using the tensor network [126], dual presentation [151], magnetic-field digitization [152], and regulating magnetic fluctuations [153]. There are also other recent advances in the studies of  $q\bar{q}$  flux tubes in lattice gauge theories in compact U(1) QED and SU(3) QCD [155,157,156,158,159]. It will be of great interest if they will be utilized to study the question of confinement of dynamical quarks in compact U(1) QED in (3+1)D.

In the presence of two opposing conclusions regarding quark confinement in QED in (3+1)D, we construct here a "stretch (2+1)D" flux tube model to study the important Schwinger's longitudinal confinement mechanism for light quarks in QED in (3+1)D. In our study, we note that quark confinement in (3+1)D consists of transverse confinement and longitudinal confinement. The transverse confinement of light quarks is a necessary condition for the full confinement of light quarks in QED. The transverse confinement property of quarks in QED depends on the compact or non-compact nature of the QED interaction, which is related to the quantization and the commensurable properties of the quark electric charges [160]. Compact QED interactions are confining for opposite charges under appropriate conditions [115,117], whereas non-compact QED interactions are always unconfined. Because the quark electric

charges are quantized with rational number units, the underlying QED gauge interaction between a quark and an antiquark should be the compact type, for which the gauge field  $A^\mu$  is an angular variable with periodic properties.

Polyakov [115,117] showed previously that a static fermion charge and a static antifermion charge in compact QED are transversely confined in (2+1)D where the transverse confinement arises from the periodic property of the action and the associated self-interacting property of the gauge field  $A^\mu$  as a transverse angular variable. As confirmed by Drell *et al.* [121], Polyakov's transverse confinement of the fermion-antifermion pair in compact QED in (2+1)D occurs for all non-vanishing coupling strengths, no matter how weak [115,117]. Applying Polyakov's transverse confinement in compact QED in (2+1)D for fermions to quarks, we reach the conclusion that quarks are transversely confined in compact QED in (2+1)D.

We can utilize the Polyakov's transverse confinement for quarks in (2+1)D to construct a "stretch (2+1)D" flux tube model in (3+1)D by duplicating the initial transversely-confined gauge fields along the longitudinal direction. We can then study the transverse and longitudinal confinement properties of quarks and antiquark in the constructed flux tube structure. By the duplication of the initial transversely-confined gauge fields along the longitudinal direction, we obtain a longitudinal tube with a cylindrical symmetry and transverse confinement in (3+1)D. We show in the stretch (2+1)D model that the longitudinal  $\mathbf{B}$  field, which is present initially to confine the quarks and the antiquarks on the transverse plane at their birth, continues to confine the quarks and the antiquarks transversely, because of the Landau level dynamics. As a consequence, quarks and antiquarks will be transversely confined in the stretch (2+1)D flux tube model.

Having thus prepared a flux tube in the stretch (2+1)D model, we idealize the flux tube in the (3+1)D space-time as a one-dimensional string in the (1+1)D space-time. Schwinger's longitudinal confining solution for massless charges in QED in (1+1)D can be applied to our problem of the quark-QED system. We thus find that quarks are confined when they interact in QCD and compact QED. The transverse confinement arises from the duplication of the transversely-confined configuration of Polyakov's transverse confinement along the flux tube. Longitudinal confinement arises from the Schwinger's longitudinally confinement effect of QED in (1+1)D for an idealized flux tube. Therefore, in the stretch (2+1)D flux tube model, there can be stable collective excitations of the quark-QCD-QED systems involving quarks in the QCD or the QED interaction

in (3+1)D, leading to stable QCD mesons and QED mesons whose masses depend on their coupling constants. They correspond to collective dynamics of the quark-QCD-QED medium executing motion in the color-singlet current and the color-octet current respectively in (3+1)D. The validity of the stretch (2+1)D flux tube model in compact QED can be tested by confronting its consequences with experiments. A phenomenological analysis of the lowest-energy states in the flux tube model yields agreement with the observed QCD and QED spectra [38], lending support to the proposed hypotheses of quark-antiquark confinement in the QED interaction. Our present study with the constructed stretch (2+1)D model may not solve the problem completely, but it may bring us one step closer to answer, at least partially, the central question whether a quark and an antiquark are confined in QED in (3+1)D. Future theoretical lattice gauge calculations of QED in (3+1)D with the inclusion of the Schwinger confinement mechanism and further experimental confirmation of the hypothetical X17 and E38 particles will shed definitive light on the dynamics of quarks in the QED gauge fields in (3+1)D.

## Appendices

### Appendix A: Separation of quark transverse and longitudinal equations of motion in (3+1)D

To separate out transverse and the longitudinal degrees of freedom, we follow methods used previously by Wang, Pavel, Brink, Wong, and many others [142, 143, 144, 145, 146]. We employ the Weyl representation of the gamma matrices in Eq. (11) and write the quark field in terms of the transverse functions  $G_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  and the longitudinal functions  $f_\pm(X)$  as

$$\Psi_{4D} = \Psi_{4D}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)f_+(X) \\ G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)f_-(X) \\ G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)f_-(X) \\ -G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)f_+(X) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_\perp = \{x^1, x^2\}$ ,  $X = \{x^3, x^0\}$ . Using the Weyl representation of the gamma matrices as given in Eq. (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^0 \Pi^0 \Psi_{4D} &= (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* \Pi^0 f_+ + f_-^* \Pi^0 f_-), \\ \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^3 \Pi^3 \Psi_{4D} &= (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* \Pi^3 f_+ - f_-^* \Pi^3 f_-), \\ \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \Psi_{4D} &= (G_1^* G_1 - G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+), \\ \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^1 \Pi^1 \Psi_{4D} &= (G_1^* \Pi^1 G_2 + G_2^* \Pi^1 G_1)(f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+), \\ \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^2 \Pi^2 \Psi_{4D} &= (-G_1^* i \Pi^2 G_2 + G_2^* i \Pi^2 G_1)(f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+). \end{aligned}$$

The quark Lagrangian density becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^0 \Pi^0 \psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^3 \Pi^3 \Psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^1 \Pi^1 \Psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^2 \Pi^2 \Psi_{4D} \\ &\quad - m \bar{\psi}_{4D} \Psi_{4D} \\ &= (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* \Pi^0 f_+ + f_-^* \Pi^0 f_-) \\ &\quad - (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* \Pi^3 f_+ - f_-^* \Pi^3 f_-) \\ &\quad - (G_1^* \Pi^1 G_2 + G_2^* \Pi^1 G_1)(f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+) \\ &\quad - (-G_1^* i \Pi^2 G_2 + G_2^* i \Pi^2 G_1)(f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+) \\ &\quad - m(G_1^* G_1 - G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where  $\Pi^\mu = p^\mu + gA^\mu(x)$ . The minimization of the action integral by variations with respect to  $f_\pm^*$  and  $G_{\{1,2\}}^*$  leads to

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{L} / \delta f_+^* \delta G_1^* = (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)G_1 f_+ - m G_1 f_- - (p^1 - i p^2)G_2 f_- = 0, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{L} / \delta f_+^* \delta G_2^* = (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)G_2 f_+ + m G_2 f_- - (\Pi^1 + i \Pi^2)G_1 f_- = 0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{L} / \delta f_-^* \delta G_1^* = (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)G_1 f_- - m G_1 f_+ - (\Pi^1 - i \Pi^2)G_2 f_+ = 0, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{L} / \delta f_-^* \delta G_2^* = (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)G_2 f_- + m G_2 f_+ - (\Pi^1 + i \Pi^2)G_1 f_+ = 0 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

We sum over  $G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \times (\text{A.3}) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \times (\text{A.4})$  and perform an integration over the transverse coordinates. Similarly, we sum over  $G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \times (\text{A.5}) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \times (\text{A.6})$  and perform an integration over the transverse coordinates. We get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \{G_1^*(\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)G_1 + G_2^*(\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)G_2\} f_+ \\ &\quad - \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \left\{ G_1^* m G_1 - G_2^* m G_2 + G_1^*(\Pi^1 - i \Pi^2)G_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G_2^*(\Pi^1 + i \Pi^2)G_1 \right\} f_- = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7a})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \{G_1^*(\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)G_1 + G_2^*(\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)G_2\} f_- \\ &\quad - \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \left\{ G_1^* m G_1 - G_2^* m G_2 + G_1^*(\Pi^1 - i \Pi^2)G_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G_2^*(\Pi^1 + i \Pi^2)G_1 \right\} f_+ = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7b})$$

From the second terms in each of the above two equations, we note that we can separate out the longitudinal and transverse equations by introducing the separation constant  $m_T$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} m_T &= \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \left\{ m(|G_1|^2 - |G_2|^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G_1^*(\Pi^1 - i \Pi^2)G_2 + G_2^*(\Pi^1 + i \Pi^2)G_1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Because of the normalization condition Eq. (12) for  $G_{\{1,2\}}$ , the above equation can be rewritten as

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \left\{ G_1^*[-m_T G_1 + m G_1 + (\Pi^1 - i\Pi^2)G_2] + G_2^*[-m_T G_2 - m G_2 + (\Pi^1 + i\Pi^2)G_1] \right\} = 0$$

The above is zero if the two terms in the integrand are zero, and we obtain the eigenvalue equations for the transverse functions  $G_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -m_T G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + m G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + (\Pi^1 - i\Pi^2)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) &= 0, \\ -m_T G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) - m G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + (\Pi^1 + i\Pi^2)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We apply  $(\Pi^1 + i\Pi^2)$  on the first equation and  $(p^1 + ip^2)$  on the second equation and we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^1 + i\Pi^2)(\Pi^1 - i\Pi^2)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) &= (m_T - m)(m_T + m)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \\ (\Pi^1 - i\Pi^2)(\Pi^1 + i\Pi^2)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) &= (m_T - m)(m_T + m)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp). \end{aligned}$$

We have then

$$\left\{ \Pi_T^2 + i[\Pi^2, \Pi^1] + m^2 - m_T^2 \right\} G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = 0 \quad (\text{A.10a})$$

$$\left\{ \Pi_T^2 + i[\Pi^1, \Pi^2] + m^2 - m_T^2 \right\} G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = 0 \quad (\text{A.10b})$$

where  $\Pi_T^2 = (\Pi^1)^2 + (\Pi^2)^2$  is the square of the transverse momentum. Upon the introduction of the constant of separation  $m_T$ , Eqs. (A.7a) and (A.7b) become

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp))(\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)f_+(X) \\ - m_T f_-(X) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp))(\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)f_-(X) \\ - m_T f_+(X) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11b})$$

In the above two equations, the operators  $\Pi^\mu$  with  $\mu = 0, 3$  are actually  $\Pi_{4D}^\mu = p^\mu + g_{4D} A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  where the gauge field  $A_{4D}^\mu$  is a function of the (3+1)D coordinates  $(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  and  $g_{4D}$  is the dimensionless coupling constant in (3+1)D space-time. Upon carrying out the integration over the transverse coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_\perp$ , the above two equations can be cast into the Dirac equation for a particle with a mass  $m_T$  in (1+1)D space time of  $X$  coordinates by identifying the terms integrated over the transverse coordinates as the corresponding gauge fields  $A_{2D}^\mu$  in the (1+1)D space-time with the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{4D} \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)) A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) \\ \equiv g_{2D} A_{2D}^\mu(X), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where  $A_{2D}(X)$  is the solution of the Maxwell equation for the gauge fields in (1+1)D space-time. By comparing the Maxwell equations in (3+1)D and (1+1)D space

time in Appendix B, it can be shown in Appendix B that the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  is then determined by

$$g_{2D}^2 = g_{4D}^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp))^2. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

We note that the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  acquires the dimension of a mass. By such an introduction of  $A_{2D}^\mu$  and  $g_{2D}$ , Eqs. (A13a) and (A13b) become

$$(\Pi_{2D}^0 - \Pi_{2D}^3)f_+(X) - m_T f_-(X) = 0, \quad (\text{A.14a})$$

$$(\Pi_{2D}^0 + \Pi_{2D}^3)f_-(X) - m_T f_+(X) = 0, \quad (\text{A.14b})$$

where  $\Pi_{2D}^\mu = p^\mu + g_{2D} A_{2D}^\mu(X)$ , with  $\mu = 0, 3$ . Equations (A.10), and (A.14) (which correspond to Eqs. (17) and (22) in Section III) are the set of transverse and longitudinal equations of motion for the quark field  $\Psi$  in (3+1)D space for our problem.

## Appendix B: Relation between quantities in (1+1)D and (3+1)D

We shall examine the relation between various quantities in (1+1)D and (3+1)D. The time-like component of the quark current is the quark density, and the density in (3+1)D involves the transverse spatial distribution while the density in (1+1)D does not involve the transverse spatial distribution.

We consider the quasi-Abelian approximation of the non-Abelian QCD gauge field in so that the QED and QCD gauge fields can be represented in terms of only the commuting  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$  components, as discussed in Section (8.2). To make the problem simple, we consider lowest-energy state systems with cylindrical symmetry so that we can write our wave function of the quark fields in the form of Eq. (11), and the transverse currents  $j^1$  and  $j^2$  are given in terms of the basic functions  $G_{\{1,2\}}$  and  $f_\pm$  by

$$j_{4D}^1 = \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^1 \Psi_{4D} = (G_1^* G_2 + G_2^* G_1)(f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+), \quad (\text{B.1a})$$

$$j_{4D}^2 = \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^2 \Psi_{4D} = (-G_1^* G_2 + G_2^* G_1)(f_+^* f_- - f_-^* f_+). \quad (\text{B.1b})$$

For our problem, we choose to examine the quark-QED system in which the quarks and antiquarks reside in the zero mode with  $m_T = m$ , and with the quark wave functions given by Eq. (66). For these zero mode states,  $G_{\{1,2\}}$  are spinors aligned in the longitudinal direction. As a consequence, when  $G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  is non-zero,  $G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  is zero, and vice-versa. The product  $G_1^* G_2$  and  $G_2^* G_1$  are always zero for quark and antiquark in the lowest energy zero mode states. Therefore for these lowest energy states the currents in the direction of  $x^1$  and  $x^2$  are zero. We assume that the transverse gauge fields  $A^{\{1,2\}}$  are determined by the transverse dynamics of their own to yield the solutions of the transverse stationary states  $G_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$ . Within the cylindrical flux tube, the gauge

fields are only a weak function of the transverse coordinates so that it is necessary to consider the Maxwell equation only for  $\nu, \mu=0,3$  in (3+1)D with

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu F_{4D}^{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu \partial^\mu A_{4D}^\nu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) \\ &= -g_{4D} j_{4D}^\nu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.2})$$

With the quark field  $\Psi_{4D}$  as given by Eq. (11), the quark currents in (3+1)D are given by

$$j_{4D}^0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^0 \Psi_{4D} = (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* f_+ + f_-^* f_-), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$j_{4D}^3(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^3 \Psi_{4D} = (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* f_+ - f_-^* f_-). \quad (\text{B.4})$$

From the 2D action  $\mathcal{A}_{2D}$  in Eq. (43), we can derive the Maxwell equation in (1+1)D as given by

$$\partial_\mu F_{2D}^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu A_{2D}^\nu(X) - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A_{2D}^\mu(X) = -g_{2D} j_{2D}^\nu(X), \quad (\text{B.5})$$

for  $\nu, \mu = 0, 1$  in (1+1)D. With the quark field  $\psi_{2D}$  as given by Eq. (26), the quark currents  $j_{2D}^\nu(X)$  in (1+1)D are given by

$$j_{2D}^0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \bar{\psi}_{2D} \gamma^0 \psi_{2D} = (f_+^* f_+ + f_-^* f_-), \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$j_{2D}^3(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \bar{\psi}_{2D} \gamma^3 \psi_{2D} = (f_+^* f_+ - f_-^* f_-). \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Comparison of the quark currents in (3+1)D and (1+1)D in Eqs. (B3), (B4) (B6), and (B7) gives

$$j_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp))j_{2D}^\mu(X). \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Then, substituting the above equation into Eq.(B2), we obtain for  $\nu, \mu = 0, 3$  in (3+1)D

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\nu \partial^\nu A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) - \partial^\mu \partial_\nu A_{4D}^\nu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) \\ = -g_{4D} (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp))j_{2D}^\mu(X).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.9})$$

The above equation in (3+1)D would be consistent with the (1+1)D Maxwell equation (B5) if for  $\mu = 0, 3$ ,

$$A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp))A_{2D}^\mu(X). \quad (\text{B.10})$$

On the other hand, the equation of motion in Eqs. (A14) would require that  $g_{4D}$  and  $g_{2D}$  are related by

$$\begin{aligned}g_{4D} \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) \\ = g_{2D} A_{2D}^\mu(X).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.11})$$

The consistency of both equations (B10) and (B11) requires  $g_{4D}$  and  $g_{2D}$  to satisfy the relation

$$g_{2D}^2 = g_{4D}^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp [G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)]^2, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

which is Eq. (21).

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. Y. Jack Ng for helpful communications. CYW's research was supported in part by the Division of Nuclear Physics, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC

## References

1. P.V. Chliapnikov *et al.*, *Observation of direct soft photon production in  $\pi^-p$  interactions at 280 GeV/c*, Phys. Lett. B 141, 276 (1984).
2. F. Botterweck *et al.* (EHS-NA22 Collaboration), *Direct soft photon production in  $K^+p$  and  $\pi^+p$  interactions at 250 GeV/c*, Z. Phys. C 51, 541 (1991).
3. S. Banerjee *et al.* (SOPHIE/WA83 Collaboration), *Observation of direct soft photon production in  $\pi^-p$  interactions at 280 GeV/c*, Phys. Lett. B 305, 182 (1993).
4. A. Belogianni *et al.* (WA91 Collaboration), *Confirmation of a soft photon signal in excess of QED expectations in  $\pi^-p$  interactions at 280 GeV/c*, Phys. Lett. B 408, 487 (1997).
5. A. Belogianni *et al.* (WA102 Collaboration), *Further analysis of a direct soft photon excess in  $\pi^-p$  interactions at 280-GeV/c*, Phys. Lett. B 548, 122 (2002).
6. A. Belogianni *et al.* (WA102 Collaboration), *Observation of a soft photon signal in excess of QED expectations in  $pp$  interactions*, Phys. Lett. B 548, 129 (2002).
7. V. Perepelitsa, for the DELPHI Collaboration, *Anomalous soft photons in hadronic decays of  $Z^0$* , Proceedings of the XXXIX International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, Gomel, Belarus, September 4-9, 2009, Nonlin. Phenom. Complex Syst. 12, 343 (2009).
8. J. Abdallah *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), *Evidence for an excess of soft photons in hadronic decays of  $Z^0$*  Eur. Phys. J. C 47, 273 (2006), [arXiv:hep-ex/0604038].
9. J. Abdallah *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), *Observation of the muon inner bremsstrahlung at LEP1*, Eur. Phys. J. C 57, 499 (2008), [arXiv:0901.4488].
10. J. Abdallah *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), *Study of the dependence of direct soft photon production on the jet characteristics in hadronic  $Z^0$  decays*, Eur. Phys. J. C 67, 343 (2010), [arXiv:1004.1587].
11. A. J. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, *Observation of anomalous internal pair creation in  $8\text{Be}$ : a possible indication of a light, neutral boson*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 042501 (2016), [arXiv:1504.01527].
12. A. J. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, *New evidence supporting the existence of the hypothetical  $X17$  particle*, arXiv:1910.10459 (2019).
13. D. S. Firas, A. J. Krasznahorkay, M. Csatlós, L. Csige, J. Gulyás, *Confirmation of the existence of the  $X17$  particle*, EPJ Web Conf. 232 (2020) 04005.
14. A. J. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, *New anomaly observed in  $\text{He4}$  supports the existence of the hypothetical  $X17$  particle*, Phys. Rev. C 104, 044003 (2021), [arXiv:2104.10075].
15. N.J. Sas, A.J. Krasznahorkay, M. Csatlós, J. Gulyás, B. Kertész, A. Krasznahorkay, J. Molnár, I. Rajta, J. Timár, I. Vajda, M.N. Harakeh, *Observation of the  $X17$*

- anomaly in the  $7\text{Li}(p, e^+e^-)8\text{Be}$  direct proton-capture reaction*, [arXiv:2205.07744].
16. A. J. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, *New anomaly observed in  $^{12}\text{C}$  supports the existence and the vector character of the hypothetical X17 boson*, [arXiv:2209.10795].
  17. A.J. Krasznahorkay, *X17: status of the experiments on  $8\text{Be}$  and  $4\text{He}$* , Talk presented at the Workshop on “Shedding Light on X17”, September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
  18. A.J. Krasznahorkay, *An update on the hypothetical X17 particle*, Talk presented at the International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, at Gyöngyös, Hungary, August 20-26, 2023.
  19. The-Anh Tran, *Confirmation the  $^8\text{Be}$  anomaly with a different spectrometer*, Talk presented at International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, at Gyöngyös, Hungary, August 20-26, 2023.
  20. Abraamyan, A. B. Anisimov, M. I. Baznat, K.K. Gudima, M. A. Nazarenko, S. G. Reznikov, and A.S. Sorin, *Observation of the  $E(38)$ -boson*, arXiv:1208.3829v1 (2012).
  21. K. Abraamyan, C. Austin, M. Baznat, K. Gudima, M. Kozhin, S. Reznikov, and A. Sorin, *Check of the structure in photon pairs spectra at the invariant mass of about  $38\text{ MeV}/c^2$* , E P J Web of Conferences 204, 08004 (2019).
  22. K. Abraamyan, C. Austin, M. Baznat, K. Gudima, M. Kozhin, S. Reznikov, and A. Sorin, *Observation of structures at  $\sim 17$  and  $\sim 38\text{ MeV}/c^2$  in the diphoton invariant mass spectra in  $p\text{C}$ ,  $d\text{C}$ , and  $d\text{Cu}$  collisions at  $p_{\text{lab}}$  of a few  $\text{GeV}/c$  per nucleon*, Talk presented at the International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, at Gyöngyös, Hungary, August 20-26, 2023.
  23. L. Van Hove, *Cold quark-gluon plasma and multiparticle production*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **192**, 66 (1989); P. Lichard and L. Van Hove, *The cold quark-gluon plasma as a source of very soft photons in high energy collisions*, Phys. Lett. **B 245**, 605 (1990).
  24. P. Lichard, *Consistency of data on soft photon production in hadronic interactions*, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 6824 (1994).
  25. E. Kokouline, A. Kutov, V. Nikitin, Braz. J. Phys., **37**, 785 (2007); M. Volkov, E. Kokouline, E. Kuraev, *Gluon dominance model and cluster production*, Ukr. J. Phys., **49**, 1252 (2003).
  26. S. Barshay, *Anomalous soft photons from a coherent hadronic phase in high-energy collisions*, Phys. Lett. **B227**, 279 (1989).
  27. E. Shuryak, *The soft photon puzzle and pion modification in hadronic matter*, Phys. Lett. **B231**, 175 (1989).
  28. V. Balek, N. Pisutova, and J. Pisut, *The puzzle of very soft photon production in hadronic Interactions*, Acta. Phys. Pol. **B21**, 149 (1990).
  29. W. Czyz and W. Florkowski, *Soft photon production in the boost invariant color flux tube model*, Z. Phys. **C61**, 171 (1994).
  30. O. Nachtmann, *Nonperturbative QCD effects in high-energy collisions*, hep-ph/9411345; G.W. Botz, P. Haberl, O. Nachtmann, *Soft photons in hadron hadron collisions: Synchrotron radiation from the QCD vacuum?*, Z. Phys. **C 67**, 143 (1995).
  31. Piotr Lebedowicz, Otto Nachtmann, Antoni Szczurek, *Soft-photon radiation in high-energy proton-proton collisions within the tensor-Pomeron approach: Bremsstrahlung* Phys. Rev. **D106**, 034023 (2022) [arXiv:2206.03411].
  32. Yoshitaka Hatta and Takahiro Ueda, *Soft photon anomaly and gauge/string duality*, Nucl. Phys. **B837**, 22 (2010), arXiv:1002.3452.
  33. S.M. Darbinian, K.A. Ispirian, A.T. Margarian, *Unruh radiation of quarks and the soft photon puzzle in hadronic interactions*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **54**, 364 (1991).
  34. Yu.A. Simonov, *Di-pion decays of heavy quarkonium in the field correlator method*, Phys. Atom. Nucl., **71**, 1049 (2008), hep-ph/07113626.
  35. C. Y. Wong, *Anomalous soft photons in hadron production*, Phys. Rev. **C81**, 064903 (2010), [arXiv:1001.1691].
  36. C. Y. Wong, *Anomalous soft photons associated with hadron production in string fragmentation*, Talk presented at the IX International Conference on Quark Confinement and Hadron Spectrum, Madrid, Spain, Aug 30-Sept 3, 2010, AIP Conf.Proc.1343:447 (2011), [arXiv:1011.6265].
  37. C. Y. Wong, *An overview of the anomalous soft photons in hadron production*, Talk presented at International Conference on the Structure and the Interactions of the Photon, 20-24 May 2013, Paris, France, PoS Photon 2013, 002 (2014), [arXiv:1404.0040].
  38. C. Y. Wong, *Open string QED meson description of the X17 particle and dark matter*, JHEP (2020) 165, [arXiv:2001.04864].
  39. C. Y. Wong, *On the stability of the open-string QED neutron and dark matter*, Euro. Phys. Jour. **A 58**, 100 (2022), [arXiv:2010.13948].
  40. C. Y. Wong, *QED mesons, the QED neutron, and the dark matter*, in Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Strangeness in Quark Matter, EPJ Web of Conferences 259, 13016 (2022), [arXiv:2108.00959].
  41. C. Y. Wong, *QED meson description of the X17 and other anomalous particles*, presented at the Workshop of "Shedding Light on X17", September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, [arXiv:2201.09764].
  42. C. Y. Wong, *On the question of quark confinement in the QED interaction*, in press in Frontiers of Physics, (2022), [arXiv:2208.09920].
  43. A. Koshelkin and C. Y. Wong, *Dynamics of quarks and gauge fields in the lowest-energy states in QCD and QED*, in Proceedings of the 41st International Conference in High Energy Physics, 6-13 July, 2022 Bologna, Italy, PoS 414, 302 (2022), [arXiv:2212.11749].
  44. D. E. Kharzeev, F. Loshaj, *Anomalous soft photon production from the induced currents in Dirac sea*, Phys. Rev. **D89**, 074053 (2014).
  45. J. Schwinger, *Gauge invariance and mass II*, Phys. Rev. **128**, 2425 (1962).
  46. J. Schwinger, *Gauge theory of vector particles*, in Theoretical Physics, Trieste Lectures, 1962 (IAEA, Vienna, 1963), p. 89.
  47. W. T. Donnelly, S. J. Freedman, R. S. Lytel, R. D. Peccei, and M. Schwartz, *Do axions exist?* Phys. Rev. **D18**, 1607 (1978).
  48. A. Vitéz, A. Krasznahorkay, J. Gulyás, M. Csatlós, L. Csige Z. Gácsi, A. Krasznahorkay Jr., B.M. Nyakó, F. W. N. de Boer, T.J. Ketel, J. van Klinken, *Anomalous internal pair creation in  $^8\text{Be}$  as a signature of the decay of a new particle*, Acta Phys. Polon. **B39**, 483 (2008).
  49. A. T. D'yachenko, and E. S. Gromova, *Detection of particles of dark matter from the spectrum of secondary particles in high-energy proton-proton collisions in a thermodynamic model*, Jour. of Physics, Conf. Series **2131**, 022 (2021).

50. A.T. D'yachenko, A.A. Verisokina, M.A. Verisokina, *High-energy collisions of protons and nuclei and the possibility of detecting dark matter particles in the spectra of soft photons*, Acta Phys. Pol. B Proc. Suppl. 14, 761 (2021).
51. J. Bernhard and K. Schönning for the COMPASS Collaboration, *Test of OZI violation in vector meson production with COMPASS*, arXiv:1109.0272v2 (2011).
52. J. Bernhard, *Exclusive vector meson production in pp collisions at the COMPASS experiment*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Mainz, 2014.
53. T. Schlüter for the COMPASS Collaboration, *The exotic  $\eta\pi^-$  wave in 190 GeV  $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-\eta'p$  at COMPASS*, arXiv:1108.6191v2 (2011).
54. T. Schlüter, *The  $\pi^-\eta$  and  $\pi^-\eta'$  systems in exclusive 190 GeV/c  $\pi^-p$  Reactions at COMPASS* Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. München, 2012.
55. J. Bernhard, J. M. Friedrich, T. Schlüter, and K. Schönning, *Comment on "Material evidence of a 38 MeV boson"*, arXiv:1204.2349 (2012).
56. M. El-Nadi and O. E. Badawy, *Production of a new light neutral boson in high-energy collisions*, Phys. Rev. Lett 61, 1271 (1988).
57. M. E. El-Nadi et al., *External electron pair production in high-energy collisions*, Nuo. Cim. A109A, 1517 (1996).
58. P L Jain and G Singh, *Search for new particles decaying into electron pairs of mass below 100 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>*. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 34, 129 (2007).
59. F. W. N. de Boer and C. A. Fields, *A re-evaluation of evidence for light neutral bosons in nuclear emulsions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 20, 1787 (2011), [arXiv:1001.3897].
60. See Proceedings of Workshop on "Shedding Light on X17", September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy; Editors: M. Raggi, P. Valente, M. Nardecchia, A. Frankenthal, G. Cavoto, and references cited therein.
61. Xilin Zhang, G. A Miller, *Can nuclear physics explain the anomaly observed in the internal pair production in the Beryllium-8 nucleus?*, Phys. Lett. B773, 159 (2017), arXiv:1703.04588.
62. D.S.M. Alves, and N. J. Weiner, *A viable QCD axion in the MeV mass range*, JHEP 07 92, (2018).
63. J. Feng et al., *Protophobic fifth force interpretation of the observed anomaly in  $^8\text{Be}$  nuclear transitions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2016 117, 071803 (2016); J. Feng et al., *Particle physics models for the 17 MeV anomaly in beryllium nuclear decays*, Phys. Rev. D 95, 035017 (2017).
64. J. Batley et al. (NA48/2 Collaboration), *Search for the dark photon in  $\pi^0$  decays*, Phys. Lett. B746, 178 (2015), arXiv:1504.00607.
65. B. Fornal, *Is there a sign of new physics in beryllium transitions?*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32, 1730020 (2017).
66. J. Bordes, H. M. Chan, Tsou Sheung Tsun, *Accommodating three low-scale anomalies ( $g-2$ , Lamb shift, and Atomki) in the framed standard model*, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 34 (2019) 25, 1830034 (2019) and references cited therein.
67. J. Bordes, H. M. Chan, S. T. Tsou, *Accommodating three low-scale anomalies ( $g-2$ , Lamb shift, and Atomki) in the framed standard model*, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 34 (2019) 25, 1830034 (2019) and references cited therein.
68. H. M. Chan, S. T. Tsou, *Two variations on the theme of Yang and Mills – the SM and the FSM* Invited contribution to the "Festschrift for the Yang Centenary". edited FC Chen et al., [arXiv:2201.12256] (2022).
69. Luigi Delle Rose et al., *Explanation of the 17 MeV Atomki anomaly in a  $U(1)$ -extended two Higgs doublet model*, Phys. Rev. D 96, 115024 (2017); Luigi Delle Rose et al., *Atomki anomaly in family-dependent  $U(1)$  extension of the standard model*, Phys. Rev. D 99 055022 (2019); Luigi Delle Rose et al., *New physics suggested by Atomki anomaly*, Frontiers in Physics 7 73 (2019).
70. V. Kubarovskiy, J. Rittenhouse West, S. J. Brodsky *Quantum Chromodynamics Resolution of the ATOMKI Anomaly in  $^4\text{He}$  Nuclear Transitions*, [arXiv:2206.14441]
71. U. Ellwanger and S. Moretti, *Possible explanation of the electron positron anomaly at 17 MeV in  $^8\text{Be}$  transitions through a light pseudoscalar*, JHEP 11 39 (2016).
72. D. Banerjee et al., (NA64 Collaboration), *Search for a hypothetical 16.7 MeV gauge boson and dark photons in the NA64 Experiment at CERN*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 231802 (2018), arXiv:1803.07748; D. Banerjee et al. (NA64 Collaboration), *Search for vector mediator of dark matter production in invisible decay mode* Phys. Rev. D 97, 072002 (2018), arXiv:1710.00971; D. Banerjee et al., (NA64 Collaboration), *Improved limits on a hypothetical  $X(16.7)$  boson and a dark photon decaying into  $e^+e^-$  pairs*, arXiv:1912.11389.
73. C. Taruggi, A. Ghoshal, and M. Raggi (for the PADME Collaboration), *Searching for dark photons with the PADME experiment*, (Conference: C18-05-07.4, p.17-21, p.28-34, and 337-344), Frascati Phys. Ser. 67, 17, 28, and 334 (2018).
74. M. Viviani , L. Girlanda, A. Kievsky, and L. E. Marcucci,  *$n + 3 H$ ,  $p + 3 He$ ,  $p + 3 H$ , and  $n + 3 He$  scattering with the hyperspherical harmonic method*, Phys. Rev. C 102, 034007 (2020).
75. M. Viviani , E. Filandri , L. Girlanda, C. Gustavino, A. Kievsky , L. E. Marcucci, and R. Schiavilla  *$X 17$  boson and the  $3 H (p, e+ e?)$   $4 He$  and  $3 He(n, e+ e?)$   $4 He$  processes: A theoretical analysis*, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014001 (2022).
76. Kh.U. Abraamyan, Ch. Austin, M.I. Baznat, K.K. Gudima, M.A. Kozhin, S.G. Reznikov, and A.S. Sorin, (Dubna Collaboration), private communications.
77. Y.S. Cheng, H.Z. Huang and G. Wang (STAR Collaboration), private communications.
78. A. Papa, *X17 search with the MEGII apparatus*, Talk presented at the Workshop on "Shedding Light on X17", September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
79. H. N. da Luz, *Measurements of Internal Pair Creation with a Time Projection Chamber-based setup*, Talk presented at the Workshop on "Shedding Light on X17", September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
80. H. N. da Luz, *The construction of the X17 spectrometer at CTU in Prague*, Talk presented at International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, at Gyöngyös, Hungary, August 20-26, 2023.
81. C. Gustavino, *The search for  $^4 He$  anomaly at  $n$  TOF experiment*, Talk presented at the Workshop on "Shedding Light on X17", September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
82. E. Depero, *X17 in the NA64 experiment*, Talk presented at the Workshop on "Shedding Light on X17", September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
83. L. Darmé, M. Raggi, E. Nardi, *X17 production mechanism at accelerators*, Talk presented at the Workshop on "Shedding Light on X17", September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
84. E. Goudzovski, *Search for dark photon in  $\pi^0$  decays by NA48/2 at CERN*, Talk presented at the Workshop on "Shedding Light on X17", September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].

85. A-K Perrevoort, *Prospects for Dark Photon Searches in the MuSe Experiment*, Talk presented at the Workshop on “Shedding Light on X17”, September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
86. L. Doria, *Dark Matter and X17 Searches at MESA 4.4.2 Light Dark Matter*, Talk presented at the Workshop on “Shedding Light on X17”, September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
87. A. Gasparian, *A Direct Detection Search for Hidden Sector New Particles in the 3 - 60 MeV Mass Range*, Talk presented at the Workshop on “Shedding Light on X17”, September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
88. A. Ahmidouch et al. (for the JLAB-PAC50 proposal), *A Direct Detection Search for Hidden Sector New Particles in the 3-60 MeV Mass Range*, [arXiv:2108.13276], (2021)
89. V. Kozhuharov *Searching X17 with positrons at PADME*, Talk presented at the Workshop on “Shedding Light on X17”, September 6, 2021, Rome, Italy, in Ref. [60].
90. M. Raggi, *Search for the resonant X17 boson production in PADME Run III*, Talk presented at International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, at Gyöngyös, Hungary, August 20-26, 2023.
91. E Cline et al., (for the DarkLight Collaboration), *Searching for New Physics with DarkLight at the ARIEL Electron-Linac*, arxiv: 2208.04120, (2022).
92. P. Navrátil, *ARIEL experiments and theory*, [arXiv:2210.08438]
93. V. Vivian et al., (for the DarkLight Collaboration), *Searching for New Physics with DarkLight at the ARIEL Electron-Linac*, arxiv: 2208.04120, (2022).
94. Shan Huang (for the LUXE Collaboration), *Probing new physics at the LUXE experiment*, Proceedings of 41st International Conference on High Energy physics - ICHEP2022 6-13 July, 2022, [arXiv:2211.11045].
95. J. Feng, *Collider searches for X17 and other light gauge bosons*, Talk presented at International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, at Gyöngyös, Hungary, August 20-26, 2023.
96. Tibor Kibédi, *Searching for the x17 using magnetic separation*, Talk presented at International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, at Gyöngyös, Hungary, August 20-26, 2023.
97. E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *First indications of the existence of a 38 MeV light scalar boson* arXiv:1102.1863 (2011).
98. E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *Material evidence of a 38 MeV boson*, arXiv:1202.1739 (2012).
99. E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *Reply to Comment on “Material evidence of a 38 MeV boson”*, arXiv:1204.3287 (2012).
100. E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *Z0(57) and E(38): possible surprises in the Standard Model*, accepted for publication in Acta Physica Polonica B Proc. Suppl. arXiv:2005.08559 (2020).
101. B. A Snook, *Measurement of the  $v_2$  of  $\pi^0$  mesons Produced in  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=2.76$  TeV PbPb Collisions at the Large Hadron Collider*, Ph. D. Thesis, Vanderbilt University, (2014), specifically, Fig. 5.8, CMS PbPb events at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=2.76$  TeV, in the 40 -50% centrality class, with  $p_T$  in the range of  $1.6 < p_T < 2.0$  GeV/c.
102. S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), *Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy of neutral pions in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=2.76$  TeV*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 042301 (2013).
103. G. Veneziano, *Construction of a crossing-symmetric, Regge-behaved amplitude for linearly rising trajectories*, Nuovo Cim 57A, 190 (1968).
104. Y. Nambu, *Quark model of the factorization of the Veneziano Amplitude*, in *Lectures at the Copenhagen Symposium: Symmetry and Quark Models*, edited by R. Chand, Gordon and Breach, 1970, p. 269.
105. Y. Nambu, *Strings, monopoles, and gauge fields*, Phys. Rev. D 10, 4262 (1974).
106. T. Goto, *Relativistic quantum mechanics of one-dimensional mechanical continuum and subsidiary condition of dual resonance model* arXiv:hep-th/9302104 Prog. Theo. Phys, 46, 1560 (1971).
107. D. J. Gross, F. Wilczek, *Ultraviolet Behavior of Non-Abelian Gauge Theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1343 (1973).
108. H. D. Politzer, *Reliable Perturbative Results for Strong Interactions?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1346 (1973).
109. K. G. Wilson, *Confinement of quarks*, Phys. Rev. D19, 2445 (1974).
110. G. 't Hooft, *A planar diagram theory for strong interactions*, Nucl. Phys. B72, 461 (1974).
111. G. 't Hooft, *A two-dimensional model for mesons*, Nucl. Phys. B75, 461 (1974).
112. A. Casher, J. Kogut, and L. Susskind, *Vacuum polarization and the absence of free quarks*, Phys. Rev. D10, 732 (1974).
113. J. Kogut and L. Susskind, *Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories*, Phys. Rev D11, 395 (1975).
114. S. Mandelstam, *Vortices and quark confinement in non-abelian gauge theories*, Phys. Lett. 53B, 476 (1975).
115. A. M. Polyakov, *Quark confinement and topology of gauge theories* Nucl. Phys. B120, 429 (1977).
116. A. M. Polyakov, *Quantum geometry of bosonic strings* Phys. Lett. B103, 207 (1981).
117. A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, Hardwood Academic Publishers, Switzerland, 1987.
118. T. Banks, B. Myerson, and J. Kogut, *Phase transitions in Abelian lattice gauge theories*, Nucl. Phys. B129, 493 (1977).
119. J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, *Instantons in a U(1) lattice gauge theory: A Coulomb dipole gas*, Comm. Math. Phys. 56, 195 (1977).
120. M. E. Peskin, *Mandelstam-'t Hooft duality in Abelian lattice models*, Ann. Phys. (N. Y. ) 113, 122 (1978).
121. S. D. Drell, H. R. Quinn, B. Svetitsky, and M. Weinstein, *Quantum electrodynamics on a lattice: A Hamiltonian variational approach to the physics of the weak-coupling region*, Phys. Rev. D19, 619 (1979).
122. A. Guth, *Existence proof of a nonconfining phase in four-dimensional U(1) lattice gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. D 21, 2291 (1980).
123. K-I Kondo, *Existence of confinement phase in quantum electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. D58, 085013 (1998).
124. G. Arnold, B. Bunk, T. Lippert and K. Schilling, *Compact QED under scrutiny: it's first order*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 119 (2003) 864 [arXiv:hep-lat/0210010]
125. L. C. Loveridge, O. Oliveira, and P. J. Silva, *Lattice pure gauge compact QED in the Landau gauge: photon propagator, phase structure, Dirac string*, Phys. Rev. D 104, 114511 (2021), and references cited therein.
126. G. Magnifico, T. Felser, P. Silvi, S. Montangero, *Lattice quantum electrodynamics in (3+1)-dimensions at finite density with tensor networks*, Nature Com. 12, 3600 (2021), [arXiv:2011.10658].

127. H. Georgi, *The Schwinger point*, JHEP11 (2019) 057, arXiv:1905.09632.
128. H. Georgi and B. Noether, *Non-perturbative Effects and Unparticle Physics in Generalized Schwinger Models*, arXiv:1908.03279v3.
129. H. Georgi and B. Warner, *Generalizations of the Sommerfield and Schwinger models*, JHEP 01 (2020) 047, arXiv:1907.12705v2.
130. H. Georgi, *Automatic Fine-Tuning in the Two-Flavor Schwinger Model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 181601 (2020), arXiv:2007.15965.
131. H. Georgi, *Mass Perturbation Theory in the 2-Flavor Schwinger Model with Opposite Masses*, JHEP (2022) 119, arXiv:2206.14691.
132. N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood and D. Tong, *The BPS spectra of gauge theories in two and four dimensions*, JHEP 9905, 006 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9902134].
133. A. Hanany and D. Tong, *Vortex strings and four-dimensional gauge dynamics*, JHEP 0404, 066 (2004). [hep-th/0403158].
134. D. Tong, *Quantum Vortex Strings: A Review*, Ann. Phys. 324, 30 (2009), [arXiv:0809.5060].
135. M. Lüscher, *Symmetry Breaking Aspects Of The roughening Transition In Gauge Theories*, Nucl. Phys. B 180, 317 (1981).
136. J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *Effective string theory*, Phys.Rev.Lett. 67 (1991) 1681.
137. J. Polchinski, *Strings and QCD?*, Contribution to: International Symposium on Black holes, Membranes, Wormholes and Superstrings, 220, (1992), [arxiv:hep-th/9210045]
138. O. Aharony and E. Karzbrun, *On the effective action of confining strings*, JHEP 0906, 012 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1927 [hep-th]].
139. O. Aharony and M. Field, *On the effective theory of long open strings*, JHEP 1101, 065 (2011), [arXiv:1008.2636 [hep-th]].
140. O. Aharony and Z. Komargodski, *The Effective Theory of Long Strings*, JHEP 05 (2013) 118, [arXiv:1302.6157].
141. S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger and V. Gorbenko, *Effective String Theory Revisited*, JHEP 1209 (2012) 044, [arXiv:1203.1054]
142. R. C. Wang and C. Y. Wong, *Finite-size effect in the Schwinger particle-production mechanism*, Phys. Rev. D 38, 348 (1988), and references cited therein.
143. K. Sailer, Th. Schonfeld, A. Schafer, B. Miiller, and W. Greiner, *Transversesize effects in the fragmentation of hadronic strings*, Phys. Lett. B 240, 381 (1990).
144. Th. Schonfeld, A. Schafer, B. Miiller, K. Sailer, Reinhardt, and W. Greiner, *Pair creation in a flux tube*, Phys. Lett. B 247, 5 (1990).
145. H. P. Pavel and D. M. Brink,  *$q\bar{q}$  pair creation with flux tube confinement*, Z. Phys. C 51, 119 (1991).
146. C. Y. Wong, R. C. Wang, and J. S. Wu, *Schwinger particle-production with transverse confinement for a finite-length flux tube*, Phys. Rev. D51, 3940 (1995).
147. Gell-Mann, M. (1956). *The interpretation of the new particles as displaced charge multiplets*, Nuo. Cim. 4, 848 (1956).
148. R.L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group 2022), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 083C01 (2022), and M. Tanabashi *et al.* (Particle Data Group 2019), Phys. Rev. D98, 030001 (2019).
149. S. Coleman, R. Jackiw, and L. Suskind, *Charge shielding and quark confinement in the massive Schwinger model*, Ann. Phys. 93, 267 (1975).
150. S. Coleman, *More about the massive Schwinger model*, Ann. Phys. 101, 239 (1976).
151. J. Bender and E. Zohar, *A gauge redundancy-free formulation of compact QED with dynamical matter for quantum and classical computations*, Phys. Rev. D 102, 114517 (2020), [arXiv:2008.01349].
152. C. W. Bauer, D. M. Grabowska, *Efficient representation for simulating  $U(1)$  gauge theories on digital quantum computers at all values of the coupling*, [arXiv:2111.08015].
153. D. B. Kaplan and J. R. Stryker *Gauss's law, duality, and the Hamiltonian formulation of  $U(1)$  lattice gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. D102, 094515 (2020).
154. G.S. Bali, H. Neff, T. Duessel, T. Lippert, K. Schilling (SESAM), *Observing long colour flux tubes in  $SU(2)$  lattice gauge theory*, Phys.Rev.D71,114513(2005), hep-lat/0505012.
155. A. Amado, N. Cardoso, and P. Bicudo *Flux tube widening in compact  $U(1)$  lattice gauge theory computed at  $T < T_c$  with the multilevel method and GPUs*, [arXiv:1309.3859]
156. N. Cardoso, M. Cardoso, and P. Bicudo, Phys.Rev.D 88 (2013) 054504, *Inside the  $SU(3)$  quark-antiquark QCD flux tube: screening versus quantum widening*, [arXiv:1302.3633]
157. L. Cosmai, P. Cea, F. Cuteri, A. Papa, *Flux tubes in QCD with  $(2+1)$  HISQ fermions*, Pos, 4th annual International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory 24-30 July 2016 University of Southampton, UK, arXiv:1701.03371 (2017).
158. P. Bicudo, N. Cardoso, and M. Cardoso, *Pure gauge QCD Flux Tubes and their widths at Finite Temperature*, Nucl.Phys. B 940 (2019) 88, [arXiv:1702.03454]
159. P. Bicudo and N. Cardoso, *Colour field densities of the quark-antiquark excited flux tubes in  $SU(3)$  lattice QCD*, Phys.Rev.D 98 (2018) 11, 114507, [arXiv:1808.08815].
160. C. N. Yang, *Charge quantization, compactness of the gauge group, and flux quantization*, Phys. Rev. D 8, 2360 (1970).
161. G. 't Hooft, *Magnetic monopoles in unified gauge theories*, Nucl. Phys. B79, 276 (1974).
162. A. M. Polyakov, *Particle spectrum in quantum field theory*, JETP Lett. 20, 194 (1974).
163. M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1995.
164. C. Y. Wong, *The Wigner function of produced particles in string fragmentation*, Phys. Rev. C80, 054917 (2009), arXiv:0903.3879.
165. A. V. Koshelkin, C. Y. Wong, *The compactification of  $QCD_4$  to  $QCD_2$  in a flux tube*, Phys. Rev. D86, 125026 (2012), [arXiv:1212.3301].
166. V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, Pergamon Press, 1979.
167. E. Witten, *Non-Abelian bosonization in two dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 92, 455 (1984).
168. G. 't Hooft, *Topology of the gauge condition and new confinement phases in non-abelian gauge theories*, Nucl. Phys. B190, 455 (1981).
169. X. Artru, G. Mennessier, *String model and multiproduction* Nucl. Phys. B70, 93 (1974).
170. B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, and T. Sjöstrand, *Parton fragmentation and string dynamics*, Phys. Rep. 97, 31 (1983).
171. C. Y. Wong, *Introduction to High-Energy Heavy-Ion Collisions*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).

- 
172. A. Casher, *Chiral Symmetry Breaking in Confining Theories*, Phys.Lett. B83, 395 (1980).
  173. T. Banks and A. Casher, *Chiral symmetry breaking in confining theories*, Nucl. Phys. B 169, 103 (1980).
  174. H. Ohata and H. Sugamauma, *Chiral correlation between monopoles and the chiral condensate*, Phys. Rev. D103, 054505 (2021).
  175. J. D. Bjorken, Lectures presented in the 1973 Proceedings of the Summer Institute on Particle Physics, edited by Zipt, SLAC-167 (1973).
  176. L. V. Belvedere, J. A. Swieca, K. D. Rothe, and B. Schroer, *Generalized two-dimensional Abelian gauge theories and confinement*, Nucl. Phys. B153, 112 (1979).
  177. T. Sekido, K. Ishiguro, Y. Koma, Y. Mori, and T. Suzuki, *Abelian dominance and the dual Meissner effect in local unitary gauges in SU(2) gluodynamics*, Phys.Rev.C75:064906,2007, [arXiv:hep-ph/0703002]
  178. T. Suzuki, K. Ishiguro, Y. Koma, and T. Sekido, *Gauge-independent Abelian mechanism of color confinement in gluodynamics*, Phys. Rev. D77, 034502 (2008), Phys. Rev. D77, 034502(2008) [arXiv:0706.4366]
  179. H. Suganuma and H. Ohata *Local correlation among the chiral condensate, monopoles, and color magnetic fields in Abelian projected QCD*, [arXiv:2108.08499.]
  180. D. Kutasov and A. Schwimmer, *Universality in two-dimensional gauge theory*, Nucl. Phys. B442, 447 (1995).
  181. A. Armoni, Y. Frishman, J. Sonnenschein, U. Trittman, *The spectrum of multi-flavor QCD2 and the non-abelian Schwinger equation*, Nucl. Phys. B537 (1998) 503-515.
  182. Uwe Trittman, *On the Spectrum of QCD(1+1) with SU(N<sub>c</sub>) Currents*, Phys.Rev. D66 (2002) 025001 arXiv:hep-th/0110058
  183. Z. Komargodski, K. Ohmori, K. Roumpedakis, S. Seifnashri, *Symmetries and Strings of Adjoint QCD2* JHEP 03 (2021) 103, arXiv:2008.07567.
  184. Uwe Trittman, *Solving two-dimensional adjoint QCD with a basis-function approach*, arXiv:2307.15212.