

# Dynamics of quarks and gauge fields in the lowest-energy states in QCD and QED

Andrew V. Koshelev

*Moscow Institute for Physics and Engineering, Kashirskoye shosse, 31, 115409 Moscow, Russia*

Cheuk-Yin Wong

*Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory\*, Oak Ridge, TN 37831*

We examine the dynamics of quarks and gauge fields in QCD and QED interactions in the lowest energy states with approximate cylindrical symmetry, as in a flux tube. Using the action integral, we separating out the (3+1)D space-time in terms of the transverse and the longitudinal degrees of freedom and solve the resultant equations of motion. We find that there are localized and stable states of QCD and QED collective excitations, showing up as particles whose masses depend on the QCD and QED coupling constants and the flux-tube radius. Along with stable collective QCD excitations of the quark-QCD-QED system, there may be stable QED collective excitations at the lower energies of many tens of MeV.

PACS numbers: 12.38.-t 12.38.Aw 11.10Kk

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the dynamics of quarks and antiquarks interacting with the QCD (quantum chromodynamical) and the QED (quantum electrodynamical) interactions in (3+1)D space-time, it is of great interest to know what the lowest-energy states are. In particular, we would like to know whether there may be independent collective excitations of the quark-QCD-QED system involving the quarks interacting in QED interaction alone, in addition to the well-known excitations involving the QCD interaction. These collective excitations involving quarks interacting in QED alone have not been unequivocally demonstrated experimentally, although there have been intriguing pieces of evidence hinting at their possible existence, as we shall discuss below. The purpose of the present study is to explore whether there may be plausible theoretical basis for the existence of these collective excitations from the viewpoints of the dynamics of the quark-QCD-QED medium in (3+1)D space-time.

Previous works on the simplification of the dynamics from (3+1)D to (1+1)D for quarks interacting in gauge interactions focused on the high-energy limit with the assumption of the longitudinal dominance, which allows the longitudinal rescaling of the coordinates appropriate for perturbative QCD studies [1], or the alternative description in terms of a non-perturbative longitudinal flux tube [2–5]. The flux tube description can also be applied to low-energy phenomena. We consider here the other extreme limit of the lowest energy states in  $(3+1)D_{\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time, where we wish to study states with an approximate cylindrical symmetry that allows the separation of the spatial coordinates into the transverse  $\{x^1, x^2\}$  (or  $\{r_\perp, \phi\}$ ) and the longitudinal  $x^3$  coordinates. The full dynamics in  $(3+1)D_{\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time can then be described as the coupling of the transverse dynamics in the  $(2+1)D_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$  space-time and the dynamics in the  $(1+1)D_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time, linked together by the common parametric time  $x^0$ . We can solve the transverse equation of motion to obtain the stationary states in the transverse degrees of freedom. The longitudinal states and the transverse states can then be coupled to describe a general state of the system, as in a Schmidt-type

---

\* This manuscript has been authored in part by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>), Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

decomposition. For the lowest-energy states of the quark-QCD-QED system, it become reasonable to consider the relevant physical quantities in the  $(2+1)\text{D}_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$  transverse space-time to possess a stationary transverse flux tube structure with an assumed stationary transverse state, then the dynamics of quarks in QCD and QED in  $(3+1)\text{D}$  can be examined in the simpler longitudinal  $(1+1)\text{D}_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time, as in an open string. In addition to a greater mathematical simplification, the separation of the  $3+1$  dimensional space-time into coupled  $(2+1)\text{D}_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$  and  $(1+1)\text{D}_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time in such a manner brings with it a greater intuitive understanding of the underlying physics. It facilitates the investigation of the dynamics of many relevant problems. For brevity, we shall use the label of  $4\text{D}$  and  $2\text{D}$  to refer to the dynamics of the  $(3+1)\text{D}_{\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time and the idealized open-string  $(1+1)\text{D}_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time respectively, if such labels lead to no ambiguities. We shall often omit the subscript labels of  $\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}$  and  $\{x^3, x^0\}$  for different space-time arena.

For the QCD interaction, the open-string idealization of the lowest energy states of a quark-antiquark system in  $(1+1)\text{D}$  was suggested early on by the dual-resonance model [6], the Nambu and Goto meson string model [7, 8], the 'tHooft's two-dimensional meson model [9, 10], the classical yo-yo string model [11], Polyakov's quantum geometrical description of the bosonic string [12], and the Lund model [13]. The open-string description was subsequently supported theoretically by lattice gauge calculations in  $(3+1)\text{D}$  in which the structure of a flux tube shows up explicitly [14–16]. The open-string description for a quark-antiquark system in high-energy hadron-hadron reactions and  $e^+e^-$  annihilations provided the foundation for the  $(1+1)\text{D}$  inside-outside cascade model of Bjorken, Casher, Kogut, and Susskind [17, 18], the yo-yo string model [11], and the Lund model of hadronization in high-energy collisions [13]. The flux tube description in  $(3+1)\text{D}$  is experimental supported by the limiting average transverse momentum and the rapidity plateau of produced hadrons [17–22], in high-energy  $e^+e^-$  annihilations [23–27] and  $pp$  collisions [28].

While the idealization of a stable quark-antiquark system in the QCD interaction as a confined open string in  $1+1$  dimensions is well known [6–18], not so well-known is the analogous quark-antiquark system in the QED interaction as an idealized confined open-string in  $(1+1)\text{D}$ . Previously Polyakov [29, 30] showed that a pair of opposite electric charges interacting in the compact QED interaction in  $(2+1)\text{D}$  are confined and that the linear transverse confinement persists for all non-vanishing coupling constants, no matter how weak. Such a result was subsequently confirmed by Drell and collaborators [31]. For our problem with the separation of the  $(3+1)\text{D}$  dynamics into the  $(2+1)\text{D}_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$  space-time dynamics and the  $(1+1)\text{D}_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time dynamics, we can apply Polyakov's result to infer transverse confinement of quarks interacting in compact QED in the transverse space of  $\{x^1, x^2\}$ . Specifically, because quarks carry electric charges with antiquarks carrying opposite electric charges, and they interact in the compact QED gauge interaction on account of the quantization of commensurate quark charges [32], it then follows from Polyakov's results that quarks are transversely confined in  $(2+1)\text{D}_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$ . Consequently, the dynamics of quarks in the  $(3+1)\text{D}$  space-time occur within a transversely confined cylindrical region, which can be idealized as a structureless one-dimensional region. Thus, the dynamics in the  $(3+1)\text{D}$  space-time can be approximated as the dynamics in an idealized  $(1+1)\text{D}$  space-time. It is necessary to examine in this idealized  $(1+1)\text{D}$  space-time arena whether quarks and gauge fields are longitudinally confined. If we next consider further that the light quarks can be approximated to be massless, then according to Schwinger's exact solution for massless fermions in such a  $(1+1)\text{D}_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  QED [33, 34], a light quark and its antiparticle interacting in the QED interaction will be longitudinally confined just as well and will form a stable QED quark-antiquark system. Possessing both transverse and longitudinal confinements, could such a stable QED state be experimentally observed and be labeled as a QED meson, in analogy to QCD mesons?

The possible existence of QED mesons raises many serious questions. Is it ever possible for quarks (and antiquarks) to interact in the QED interaction alone, without the QCD interaction? If they

can interact with the QED interaction alone, will the QED interaction confine a quark and an antiquark in (3+1)D? If they turn out to be confined and bound, what is the order of magnitude of their masses? Are there any pieces of experimental evidence pointing to their possible existence in the predicted mass region?

Such questions have not been brought up until very recently for obvious reasons. It is generally perceived that a quark and an antiquark interact with the QCD and the QED interactions simultaneously, and the occurrence of a stable and confined state of the quarks and the antiquark interacting in the QED interaction alone, without the QCD interaction, may appear impossible. Furthermore, the common perception is that only the QCD interactions with its non-Abelian properties can confine a quark and an antiquark, whereas the QED interaction is Abelian. It has been argued that even if a quark and an antiquark can interact in the QED Abelian interaction alone, the QED interaction by itself cannot confine the quark and the antiquark, as in the case of an electron and a positron, so the quark and the antiquark cannot be confined even if they can interact in the QED interaction alone.

With regard to the first important question whether it is ever possible for quarks (and antiquarks) to interact with the QED interaction alone without the QCD interaction, we can consider as an example the production of a quark and an antiquark in the reactions  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow q + \bar{q}$  and  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \gamma^* \rightarrow q + \bar{q}$  with a center-of mass energy  $\sqrt{s}$  in the range  $(m_q + m_{\bar{q}}) < \sqrt{s} < m_\pi$ , where the sum of the rest masses of the quark and the antiquark is of order a few MeV and  $m_\pi \sim 135$  MeV [69]. The incident  $e^+ + e^-$  pair is in a colorless color-singlet state, and thus the produced  $q\text{-}\bar{q}$  pair is also a color-singlet pair. In this range of  $\sqrt{s}$ , the produced  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$  in the final colorless state, if they can ever be produced, can only interact with the QED interaction but not with the QCD interaction. For, if they interact with the QCD interaction, the  $q\text{-}\bar{q}$  pair will result in a hadron state with a center-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s}$  beyond this energy range. It is therefore possible for a quark and an antiquark produced by  $e^+ + e^-$  annihilations to interact with QED interaction alone, in this range of  $\sqrt{s}$  below the pion mass.

We can proceed further to examine the cross section in such a reaction as a function of  $\sqrt{s}$ . The cross section for  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow q + \bar{q}$  is the product of three factors. The first is the kinematic factor involving the collision energy exceeding the quark rest mass threshold, and the statistical weights of spins and angular momentum. The second is the square of the matrix element for the transition  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow q + \bar{q}$  leading to the production of the pair, containing the final-state QED interaction  $K$ -factor (or Gamow factor) between the produced quark and the antiquark [54]. Resonances will show up at those energies at which the final-state QED interaction leads to bound states. When we include all possible spin and angular momentum states in the measurement, these two factors are non-zero. The crucial third factor is the density of final states which depends on the energies of the quark-antiquark bound states (such as the proposed X17 and the E38 states), and the confinement nature of the continuum states at energies away from the bound-state energies. If the quark and the antiquark are not confined in QED, then, at energies away from the bound state energies, these quark-antiquark states will appear as continuum states with a separated quark and a separated antiquark. The continuum  $q\text{-}\bar{q}$  states can then be detected as fractional charges. Thus, the probability of producing fractional charges is non-zero in  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow q + \bar{q}$  reaction in this range of  $\sqrt{s}$ , if quarks are not confined in QED interactions in (3+1)D. On the other hand, if the quark and an antiquark are confined in QED, a continuum state of a separated quark and a separated antiquark is impossible. There will be no continuum states at energies away from the confined bound state energies. The density of continuum states is zero, and the probability of producing fractional charges is zero. Therefore away from the bound state energies and in the range of  $(m_q + m_{\bar{q}}) < \sqrt{s} < m_\pi$ , the non-observation of fractional charges for the  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow q + \bar{q}$  reaction will be consistent with the confinement of quarks in QED interactions in (3+1)D. In this case, only at those energies of the QED-bound and QED-

confined states in this energy range, such as those of the proposed X17 and E38 states, will show up as resonances.

As an illustrative case for a  $q\bar{q}$  pair to interact in the QED interaction alone, we have given the above reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q+\bar{q}$  below the pion mass energy — as a good example. There are actually other circumstances in which a  $q\bar{q}$  pair can be created with a total pair energy lower than the pion mass in many other reactions, and the produced  $q\bar{q}$  pair can interact in the QED interaction alone without the QCD interaction. This may be the mechanism for the production of the reported anomalous neutral bosons with masses in the range of many tens of MeV, as we shall describe shortly below. In other circumstances in the deconfinement-to-confinement phase transition of the quark-gluon plasma, a deconfined quarks and a deconfined antiquark in close spatial proximity can coalesce to become a  $q\bar{q}$  pair with a pair energy below the pion mass, and they can interact in QED interactions alone.

Experimentally, there are intriguing pieces of evidence hinting at the possible existence of neutral bosons at energies of many tens of MeV. For over several decades there have been many exclusive measurements in high-energy multi-particle production processes, in which the momenta of the initial and final particles are properly measured in high-energy hadron-hadron collisions and in  $e^+e^-$  annihilations so that the bremsstrahlung contributions to the soft photons can be quantitatively determined. It has been consistently observed that whenever hadrons are produced, anomalous soft photons in the form of excess  $e^+e^-$  pairs above the bremsstrahlung contributions are proportionally produced, and when hadrons are not produced, these anomalous soft photons are also not produced [35–43]. The transverse momenta of the excess  $e^+e^-$  pairs lie in the range of a few MeV/c to many tens of MeV/c, corresponding to a mass scale from a few MeV to many tens of MeV. Owing to the simultaneous and correlated production alongside with hadrons, the parent particle of an anomalous soft photon is likely to contain some elements of the hadron sector, such as a light quark and a light antiquark. The quark and the antiquark carry color and electric charges and they interact mutually with the QCD and the QED interaction. The parent particle of the anomalous soft photon cannot arise from the quark and the antiquark interacting with the QCD interaction, because such an interaction will endow the pair with a mass much greater than the mass scale of the anomalous soft photon. We are left with the possibility of the quark and the antiquark interacting with the QED interaction. Such a possibility is further reinforced by the special nature of a confining gauge interaction, for which the lower the strength of the attractive confining interaction, the lower will be the mass of the composite particle it generates, in contrast to a non-confining interaction. According to the Schwinger’s mass-(coupling constant) relation, the QED interaction, upon a comparison with the QCD interaction, will bring the quantized mass of a  $q\bar{q}$  pair to the lower mass range of the anomalous soft photons. It was therefore proposed in [2, 3, 5, 44–46] that a quark and an antiquark in a  $q\bar{q}$  system interacting with the QED interaction may lead to new open string bound states (QED-meson states) with a mass of many tens of MeV. These QED mesons may be produced simultaneously with the QCD mesons in high-energy collisions [35–43], and the excess  $e^+e^-$  pairs may arise from the decays of these QED mesons. The predicted mass of the isoscalar  $I(J^\pi) = 0(0^-)$  QED meson is close to the X17 mass of about 17 MeV subsequently observed [47–50]. Later observation of the E38 particles [51–53] lends additional tentative support to the possible occurrence of these  $q\bar{q}$  QED meson composite particles [2, 3, 5, 44–46]. While the anomalous soft photon measurements as  $e^+e^-$  excesses have been well established experimentally, the X17 particle and the E38 particle needs further experimental confirmations. Ongoing experiments to confirm the X17 and the E38 particles are continuing.

On the theoretical front, the common perception is that stable QED excitations cannot occur without the simultaneous QCD excitations because the quark density is a single-element quantity. So a quark and an antiquark interact with both the QCD and QED gauge interactions simultaneously.



However, because of the three-color nature of the quarks, the quark currents  $j^\mu$  are  $3 \times 3$  color matrices with 9 matrix elements. The 9 matrix elements in the color space can be separated naturally into color-singlet and color-octet subgroups. Specifically, quarks reside in the  $\mathbf{3}$  representation and antiquarks reside in the  $\mathbf{3}^*$  representation, and they form a direct product of  $\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3}^* = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{8}$ , with a color-singlet  $\mathbf{1}$  subgroup and a color-octet  $\mathbf{8}$  subgroups. The QED gauge fields  $A_{\text{QED}}^\mu$  and the QCD gauge fields  $A_{\text{QCD}}^\mu$  generated by the currents are likewise  $3 \times 3$  color matrices. The QCD color-octet quark currents  $j_{\text{QCD}}^\mu$  generate the color-octet QCD gauge fields  $A_{\text{QCD}}^\mu$ , which in turn act on the quarks to give rise to the QCD color-octet quark currents  $j_{\text{QCD}}^\mu$  that generate the QCD color-octet gauge fields  $A_{\text{QCD}}^\mu$ . On the other hand, the QED color-singlet quark current  $j_{\text{QED}}^\mu$  generates the QED color-singlet gauge field  $A_{\text{QED}}^\mu$ , which acts on the quarks to give rise to the QED color-singlet current  $j_{\text{QED}}^\mu$  to generate the QED color-singlet gauge field  $A_{\text{QED}}^\mu$ . Because the self-consistent gauge field and the current of each subgroup depend on each other within the subgroup, but do not depend on the gauge field and current of the other subgroup, the two different currents and the gauge fields in their respective subgroups possess independent and self-consistent stable collective QED and QCD excitations at different energies. It is therefore reasonable to study the (self-consistent) collective excitations of the quark current in the QED interaction in the color-singlet subgroup, and the QCD interactions in the color-octet subgroup independently. The collective QCD and QED excitations can lead to the known QCD mesons and new, unknown QED mesons. In the case when the excitation energy of the quark-QCD-QED medium is within the range of  $(m_q + m_{\bar{q}}) < \sqrt{s} < m_\pi$ , then only the color-singlet excitation of the medium is possible, and the quarks and antiquarks can interact with QED interactions alone.

In other considerations, it has also been known for a long time since the advent of Wilson's lattice gauge theory that a fermion-antifermion system in (3+1)D in compact QED interaction (which corresponds to a quark-antiquark system with one color and one flavor in (3+1)D in compact QED interaction) has a confining phase for strong coupling and a non-confining phase for weak coupling [55]. The same conclusion was reached subsequently by Kogut, Susskind, Mandelstam, Polyakov, Banks, Jaffe, Drell, Peskin, Guth, Kondo and many others [29–31, 56–63]. Recent numerical lattice gauge calculations in 3+1 dimensions in compact QED interactions using tensor networks with dynamical quarks confirm such a confining and non-confining phases of fermion-antifermion systems, depending on the mass of the fermion and the strength of the coupling [63]. Gribov showed previously that massless fermions interacting in QED in 3+1 dimensions are confined [64, 65]. Polyakov showed the confinement of quarks in compact U(1) gauge theories in 2+1 for all strength of coupling constant and in 3+1 dimensions for strong coupling [29, 30] and t'Hooft and Nambu showed the confinement of quarks as Dirac monopoles at the two ends of a string in (3+1)D [67, 68]. Thus, quarks in QED interactions in (3+1)D may be confined under appropriate conditions. The experimental observation and the proposed interpretation of the anomalous particles as confined composite  $q\bar{q}$  states [2, 3, 5, 35–49] provide a special impetus to find out in future lattice gauge calculations whether quarks with three colors and many flavors in the color-singlet subgroup of the  $3 \times 3$  color space interacting in compact QED U(1) gauge fields are confined or not.

Clearly, whatever the theoretical predictions there may be, the confining property of quarks interacting in QED interactions in 3+1 dimensions can only be definitively determined experimentally. To facilitate such experimental confrontations, it is therefore useful to study here the consequences for quarks and antiquarks interacting in both the QCD and QED interactions in a flux tube environment. Specifically, we wish to investigate a quark-QCD-QED system, with approximate cylindrical symmetry confined transversely in a flux tube, whether there can be longitudinal confined collective QED excitations similar to the collective QCD excitations. The knowledge of the collective QED excitations will facilitate the experimental tests of the proposed QED meson possibilities.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we introduce our notations and equations in

(3+1)D. We treat the QCD and QED gauge interactions in a single U(3) framework which includes both the subgroups of QCD SU(3) gauge interaction and the QED U(1) gauge interaction with different coupling constants, for our problem with cylindrical symmetry. We apply Polyakov's result of transverse confinement in QCD and compact QED gauge interactions in (2+1)D [29, 30] and study in section III the quark field part of the action integral. We separate the action integral into the transverse (2+1)D<sub>{x<sup>1</sup>,x<sup>2</sup>,x<sup>0</sup>}</sub> Lagrangian and the longitudinal (1+1)D<sub>{x<sup>3</sup>,x<sup>0</sup>}</sub> Lagrangian for a system with cylindrical symmetry and transverse confinement as in a flux tube, with details given in Appendix A. The relations between various quantities in 2D and 4D are given in Appendix B. In section IV, we study the gauge field part of the action integral and see how the transverse degrees of freedom can be integrated to yield only the gauge fields in the (1+1)D Lagrangian in the flux tube. In section V, we write down the simplified action in the (1+1)D<sub>{x<sup>0</sup>,x<sup>3</sup>}</sub> space-time which can be the starting point for the description of the dynamics in the flux tube environment. In section VI, we examine the dynamics of quarks and gauge fields in the longitudinal (1+1)D space-time with an assumed transverse lowest energy mode, and obtain the solution of the 4D Dirac equation and its general solution. The solution is then used to calculate the quark current to generate the gauge fields. We solve the Maxwell equation and obtain the masses of bound mesons as a function of the coupling constants. In section VII we present our conclusions.

## II. ACTION INTEGRAL IN 3+1 DIMENSIONS

We would like to consider a system of quarks (and antiquarks) interacting in QCD and QED interactions. The dynamical field variables are the quark fields, and the QCD and QED gauge fields. The quark fields are  $\Psi_f^i$  where  $i=1, 2, 3$  are the color indices and  $f$  is the flavor index. The QCD gauges fields are  $A_{\text{QCD}}^\nu = \sum_{a=1}^8 A_a^\nu t^a$ , where  $a=1, \dots, 8$  are the SU(3) generator index,  $\{t^1, t^2, t^3, \dots, t^8\}$  are the generators of the SU(3) color-octet subgroup, and  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$  are the indices of the space time coordinates  $x^\nu$  with the signature  $g^{\mu\nu}=(1, -1, -1, -1)$ . The QED gauge field is  $A_{\text{QED}}^\nu = A_0^\nu t^0$  where  $t^0$  is the generator of the color-singlet U(1) subgroup,

$$t^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

We have used the summation convention over repeated indices except when the summation symbols are needed to resolve ambiguities.

In our problem of quarks interacting with the QCD and the QED interaction, it is useful to treat the QED and QCD gauge interactions in a single framework by considering an enlarged U(3) group, consisting of the union of the QCD SU(3) color-octet subgroup and the QED U(1) color-singlet subgroup [2]. This can be achieved by adding  $t^0$  onto the eight standard generators of the SU(3) subgroup to form the nine generators  $\{t^0, t^1, \dots, t^8\}$  of the U(3) group, satisfying  $2 \text{Tr}\{t^a t^b\} = \delta^{ab}$  with  $a, b = 0, 1, \dots, 8$  and  $A^\nu = \sum_{a=0}^8 A_a^\nu t^a$ . The SU(3) and the U(1) subgroups of the U(3) group differ in their coupling constants and communicative properties. We consider quarks with masses  $m_f$  with flavor numbers  $N_f^\lambda$  where  $f=u, d, s=1, 2, 3$  are the flavor labels, and the superscript  $\lambda$  is the interaction label with  $\lambda=0$  for the QED color-singlet U(1) subgroup, and  $\lambda=1$  for QCD color-octet SU(3) subgroup. Because of the mass scales associated with different gauge fields [2, 3, 5, 44], we have QCD bound state  $m^{\text{QCD}} \gg \{m_u, m_d, m_s\}$  and possible quark QED bound state mass [2, 3, 5, 44]  $m^{\text{QED}} \gg \{m_u, m_d\}$ , and we can choose  $N_f^{\text{QED}} = N_f^0 = 2$  and  $N_f^{\text{QCD}} = N_f^1 = 3$ . The coupling constants  $g_f^a$  are

given explicitly by

$$g_u^0 = -Q_u^{\text{QED}} g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QED}}, \quad g_d^0 = -Q_d^{\text{QED}} g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QED}} \quad \text{for the QED color-singlet subgroup,} \quad (2a)$$

$$g_{\{1,\dots,8\}}^{\{u,d,s\}} = Q_{\{u,d,s\}}^{\text{QCD}} g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QCD}} \quad \text{for the QCD color-octet subgroup,} \quad (2b)$$

where we have introduced the charge numbers  $Q_u^{\text{QED}}=2/3$ ,  $Q_d^{\text{QED}}=-1/3$ ,  $Q_u^{\text{QCD}}=Q_d^{\text{QCD}}=Q_s^{\text{QCD}}=1$ . For brevity of notations, the color and flavor indices  $\{a,f\}$  and the interaction labels  $\{\lambda, \text{QCD}, \text{QED}\}$  in various quantities are often implicitly understood except when they are needed to resolve ambiguities.

We start with the 3+1 dimensional space-time  $x^\nu$ , with  $\nu=0,1,2,3$ , with the dynamical variables of the quark fields  $\Psi_f^i$  and the U(3) gauge fields  $A_\mu^a$ , the invariant action integral  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by [70]

$$\mathcal{A}_{4\text{D}} = \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left\{ \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \Pi_\mu \Psi(x) - \bar{\Psi}(x) m \Psi(x) - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\gamma^\mu \Pi_\mu = i\not{D} = \gamma^\mu iD_\mu = \gamma^\mu (i\partial_\mu + g_{4\text{D}} A_\mu) = \gamma^\mu (p_\mu + g_{4\text{D}} A_\mu), \quad (4a)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig_{4\text{D}} [A_\mu, A_\nu], \quad (4b)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha t_\alpha, \quad (4c)$$

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^\alpha t_\alpha. \quad (4d)$$

Here the subscript label of '4D' in  $g_{4\text{D}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{4\text{D}}$  is to indicate that  $g_{4\text{D}}$  is the coupling constant in 4D space-time, and  $\mathcal{A}_{4\text{D}}$  is the action integral over the 4D space-time coordinates of  $x^0, x^1, x^2$ , and  $x^3$ .

### III. QUARK PART OF THE ACTION INTEGRAL

We first examine the quark part of the action integral,  $\mathcal{A}_Q$ , in (3) as given by

$$\mathcal{A}_Q = \text{Tr} \int d^4x \left\{ \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma^\mu iD_\mu \Psi(x) - \bar{\Psi}(x) m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \Psi(x) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where we choose to work with the 4D-Dirac matrices  $\gamma^\mu$  in the Weyl representation as given by [71]

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^j \\ \sigma^j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Not all quark systems with QCD and QED interactions can be simply separated into transverse and longitudinal degrees of freedom. The simplification from the higher (3+1)D to a lower dimensional (1+1)D requires the appropriate transverse cylindrical symmetry and the idealization of the system, even if it is only approximately. The cylindrical symmetry allows the description of the (3+1)D dynamics as the coupling of the (2+1)D $_{\{x^1, x^2, x^0\}}$  transverse dynamics and the (1+1)D $_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  longitudinal dynamics linked together by the parametric time coordinate  $x^0$ . Furthermore, as it was demonstrated by Polyakov [29, 30] and confirmed by Drell *et al.* [31] that electric charges of opposite signs are confined in compact QED in (2+1)D, the application of these results suggests the transverse confinement of quarks in (2+1)D. It is therefore reasonable to assume transverse confinement for our present work and to study whether the longitudinal confinement will also follow for quarks interacting in QED and QCD interactions in the idealized (1+1)D space-time.

Accordingly, we shall be interested in quark systems with cylindrical symmetry and transverse confinement. For such a case, we choose to describe transverse confinement with an effective

scalar interaction  $m(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  and the longitudinal axis of symmetry to be the 3-axis along the  $x^3$  direction. It is appropriate to use the cylindrical coordinate system for  $\Psi(x)$  and  $A_\mu(x)$  with  $x = (\{x^1, x^2\}, \{x^3, x^0\}) \equiv (\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  where  $\mathbf{r}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$  are the transverse coordinates, and  $X = (x^3, x^0)$  are the (1+1)D longitudinal and time coordinates. In contrast to [22] where we use the Dirac representation, we employ here the Weyl representation of the  $\gamma$  matrices [71] to write the quark bispinor quark field  $\Psi(x)$  in terms of transverse functions  $G_{1,2}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  and longitudinal-temporal functions  $f_\pm(X)$  as

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) f_+(X) \\ G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) f_-(X) \\ G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) f_-(X) \\ -G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) f_+(X) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where the transverse functions  $G_{1,2}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  are normalized as

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \{ (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) ) \} = 1, \quad (8)$$

and the longitudinal functions  $f_\pm(x^3, x^0)$  are normalized as

$$\int dx^3 dx^0 \{ f_+^*(x^3, x^0) f_+(x^3, x^0) + f_-^*(x^3, x^0) f_-(x^3, x^0) \} \delta(x^0 - x^0) = 1. \quad (9)$$

In the dynamics of the quark-QCD-QED medium, the quark fields  $\Psi(x)$  depend on the gauge fields  $A_\mu(x)$ , which in turn depend on the quark fields  $\Psi(x)$ , leading to a coupling problem of great complexity. For the transverse gauge fields  $(A_1, A_2)$ , or alternatively  $(A_r, A_\phi)$ , there can be four different possible configurations: (I)  $(A_r = 0, A_\phi = 0)$  or  $(A_1 = 0, A_2 = 0)$ , (II)  $(A_r \neq 0, A_\phi = 0)$ , (III)  $(A_r = 0, A_\phi \neq 0)$ , and (IV)  $(A_r \neq 0, A_\phi \neq 0)$ . Each of these possibilities will lead to different excitations. To study our simple lowest energy systems with cylindrical symmetry, we shall examine the simplest and presumably the lowest-energy state of these with  $(A_r = 0, A_\phi = 0)$  or  $(A_1 = 0, A_2 = 0)$  that will allow simple compactification of the 3+1 dimensions to 1+1 dimensions.

With the quark field  $\Psi$  as given by Eq. (7), the quark Lagrangian density in the Dirac representation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^0 \Pi^0 \psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^3 \Pi^3 \Psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^1 p^1 \Psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^2 p^2 \Psi_{4D} - m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \bar{\psi}_{4D} \Psi_{4D} \\ &= (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2) (f_+^* \Pi^0 f_+ + f_-^* \Pi^0 f_-) - (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2) (f_+^* \Pi^3 f_+ - f_-^* \Pi^3 f_-) \\ &\quad - (G_1^* p^1 G_2 + G_2^* p^1 G_1) (f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+) - (-G_1^* i p^2 G_2 + G_2^* i p^2 G_1) (f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+) \\ &\quad - m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) (G_1^* G_1 - G_2^* G_2) (f_+^* f_- + f_-^* f_+) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

As shown in Appendix A, the minimization of the action integral with respect to  $G_{\{1,2\}}^* f_\pm^*$  leads to the equations of motion

$$(\Pi^0 - \Pi^3) G_1 f_+ - m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1 f_- - (p^1 - i p^2) G_2 f_- = 0, \quad (11a)$$

$$(\Pi^0 - \Pi^3) G_2 f_+ + m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2 f_- - (p^1 + i p^2) G_1 f_- = 0, \quad (11b)$$

$$(\Pi^0 + \Pi^3) G_1 f_- - m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1 f_+ - (p^1 - i p^2) G_2 f_+ = 0, \quad (11c)$$

$$(\Pi^0 + \Pi^3) G_2 f_- + m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2 f_+ - (p^1 + i p^2) G_1 f_+ = 0, \quad (11d)$$

which agree with Eqs. (13a)-(13d) of [22] using the Dirac representation. Appendix A shows that the above set of four equations can be separated into another set of four equations in terms of transverse



equations for  $G_{\{1,2\}}$  and longitudinal-temporal equations for  $f_{\pm}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_T^2 + m^2(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}) & [(p^1 - ip^2), m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})] \\ -[(p^1 + ip^2), m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})] & p_T^2 + m^2(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{pmatrix} = m_T^2 \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} (|G_1|^2 + |G_2|^2) (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3) f_+ - m_T f_- = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} (|G_1|^2 + |G_2|^2) (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3) f_- - m_T f_+ = 0, \quad (14)$$

where  $p_T^2 = (p^1)^2 + (p^2)^2$  is the square of the transverse momentum,

$$[p^1 \mp ip^2, m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})] = -e^{\mp i\phi} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\perp}} \pm \frac{1}{r_{\perp}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}), \quad (15)$$

and the transverse mass  $m_T$  is the constant of the separation of variables defined in Eq. (A9) by

$$m_T = \int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} \left\{ m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}) (|G_1|^2 - |G_2|^2) + G_1^* (p^1 - ip^2) G_2 + G_2^* (p^1 + ip^2) G_1 \right\}. \quad (16)$$

The operators  $\Pi^{\mu}$  with  $\mu = 0, 3$  in Eqs. (13) and (14) are actually  $\Pi_{4D}^{\mu} = p^{\mu} + g_{4D} A_{4D}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}, X)$ , where we have added the label 4D to indicate that the gauge fields  $A_{4D}^{\mu}$  are functions of the (3+1)D coordinates  $(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}, X)$  and the constant  $g_{4D}$  is the dimensionless coupling constant of the gauge fields in (3+1)D space-time. In the idealized (1+1)D $_{\{x^0, x^3\}}$  space-time with a structureless string, there are correspondingly gauge fields  $A_{2D}^{\mu}(X)$  satisfying the Maxwell equation with a coupling constant  $g_{2D}$ .

We would like to cast Eqs. (13) and (14) into the form of a Dirac equation for the quark fields in the idealized (1+1)D $_{\{x^0, x^3\}}$  space-time. This can be achieved by carrying out the integrating over the transverse coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_{\perp}$  in Eqs. (13) and (14) and identifying the term as the corresponding gauge fields as  $A_{2D}^{\mu}(X)$  in the (1+1)D space-time with the the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$ ,

$$g_{4D} \int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} (|G_1|^2 + |G_2|^2) A_{4D}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}, X) \equiv g_{2D} A_{2D}^{\mu}(X). \quad \mu = 0, 3 \quad (17)$$

As shown in Eq. (B10) in Appendix B, consistent gauge field solutions of the Maxwell equations in (3+1)D and (1+1)D in the flux tube environment are related by

$$A_{4D}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}, X) = \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}) G_1(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_{\perp}) G_2(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})) A_{2D}^{\mu}(X). \quad (18)$$

As a consequence, the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  and  $g_{4D}$  are related by

$$g_{2D}^2 = g_{4D}^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)^2, \quad (19)$$

which shows that the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  now acquires the dimension of a mass. By such an introduction of  $A_{2D}^{\mu}(X)$  and  $g_{2D}$ , Eqs. (13) - (14) become

$$(\Pi_{2D}^0 - \Pi_{2D}^3) f_+ - m_T f_- = 0, \quad (20a)$$

$$(\Pi_{2D}^0 + \Pi_{2D}^3) f_- - m_T f_+ = 0, \quad (20b)$$

where

$$\Pi_{2D}^{\mu} = p^{\mu} + g_{2D} A_{2D}^{\mu}(X), \quad \mu = 0, 3. \quad (21)$$

These equations (20a) and (20b) can be cast further into the following matrix equation

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Pi_{2D}^0 - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Pi_{2D}^3 - m_T \right\} \begin{pmatrix} f_+ \\ f_- \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (22)$$

It is then useful to introduce 2D gamma matrices  $\gamma_{2D}^\nu$  as in [72]

$$\gamma_{2D}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{2D}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } \gamma_{2D}^5 = \gamma_{2D}^0 \gamma_{2D}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

and the longitudinal 2D wave function  $\psi_{2D}(X)$ ,

$$\psi_{2D}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} f_+(X) \\ f_-(X) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

to rewrite Eq. (22) as a Dirac equation for the longitudinal (1+1)D motion, interacting with a gauge field with a coupling constant  $g_{2D}$

$$\{\gamma_{2D}^0 \Pi_{2D}^0 - \gamma_{2D}^3 \Pi_{2D}^3 - m_T\} \psi_{2D}(X) = 0, \quad (25)$$

or

$$\{\gamma_{2D}^\mu (p_\mu + g_{2D} A_\mu^{2D}(X)) - m_T\} \psi_{2D}(X) = 0, \quad (26)$$

where  $\mu = 0, 3$ . Such a Dirac equation of motion in (1+1)D space-time corresponds to the 2D action integral for quarks in the form

$$\mathcal{A}_Q^{2D} = Tr \int dX \left\{ \bar{\psi}_{2D}(X) \gamma_{2D}^\mu (p_\mu + g_{2D} A_\mu(X)) \psi_{2D}(X) - m_T \bar{\psi}_{2D}(X) \psi_{2D}(X) \right\} \quad (27)$$

with the normalization condition (8) which can be re-written in terms of  $\bar{\psi}, \psi$  functions as

$$\int dx^3 dx^0 \bar{\psi}(x^3, x^0) \gamma_{2D}^0 \psi(x^3, x^0) \delta(x^0 - x^{0'}) = 1. \quad (28)$$

For brevity of notation, the descriptors 2D and 4D are often omitted unless otherwise needed to resolve ambiguities, as the 2D and 4D nature of each function or gamma matrix can be inferred the arguments of the function or from the context.

#### IV. GAUGE FIELD PART OF THE ACTION INTEGRAL

Having reduced the quark part of the action integral  $\mathcal{A}_Q$ , we come to examine the gauge field part of the action integral  $\mathcal{A}_A$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_A = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X). \quad (29)$$

Our task is to find out what will be the form of  $\mathcal{A}_A$  when the gauge field  $A_\mu(x)$  in (3+1)D and the gauge fields  $A_\mu(X)$  in (1+1)D, with  $\mu = 0, 3$  related to each other by Eqs. (18). In Eq. (29) the summation over  $\mu, \nu$  includes terms with  $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Because  $A_1(x)$  and  $A_2(x)$  have been assumed to be zero, we have  $F_{12}(x) = 0$ .

### A. First, the term with $F^{03}$

We consider the contribution from one of the terms,  $F_{03}F^{03}$ , in Eq. (29). Equations (4b) and (18) give  $F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  in four-dimensional space-time as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}}[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2][\partial_0 A_3(X) - \partial_3 A_0(X)] \\ &\quad - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}}[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2][A_0(X), A_3(X)]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

On the other hand, the gauge field  $F_{03}$  in  $(1+1)\text{D}_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time is given, by definition, as

$$F_{03}(X) = \partial_0 A_3(X) - \partial_3 A_0(X) - ig_{2D}[A_0(X), A_3(X)]. \quad (31)$$

As a consequence,  $F_{03}(X)$  in two-dimensional space-time and  $F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  in four-dimensional space-time are related by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}}[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]\{F_{03}(X) + ig_{2D}[A_0(X), A_3(X)]\} \\ &\quad - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}}[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2][A_0(X), A_3(X)]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The above equation can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}}[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2] \left\{ F_{03}(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ ig_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}}[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2] \right] [A_0(X), A_3(X)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The product  $F_{03}(x)F^{03}(x)$  in Eq. (29) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)F^{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2}[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]^2 \left\{ F_{03}(X)F^{03}(X) \right. \\ &\quad + \left[ ig_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}}\{(|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2)\} \right] \left( F_{03}(X)[A^0(X), A^3(X)] + [A_0(X), A_3(X)]F^{03}(X) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ ig_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}}[ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] \right]^2 \left( [A_0(X), A_3(X)][A^0(X), A^3(X)] \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The action integral  $\mathcal{A}_A$  in Eq. (29) involves the integration of the above quantity over  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Upon integration over  $x^1$  and  $x^2$ , the second term inside the curly bracket of the above equation, is zero,

$$\int dx^1 dx^2 [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] \left[ ig_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ]^{1/2} \right] = 0, \quad (35)$$

where we have used the relation between  $g_{2D}$  and  $g_{4D}$  as given by Eq.(19) and the normalization condition of (8). As a consequence, the integral of  $F_{03}(x)F^{03}(x)$  in Eq. (29) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)F^{03}(x^0, x^3, \mathbf{r}_\perp) &= \int dx [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \left\{ F_{03}(X)F^{03}(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ ig_{2D} - i \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] \right]^2 \left( [A_0(X), A_3(X)][A^0(X), A^3(X)] \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

For the second term in the curly bracket, the integral over  $dx^1$  and  $dx^2$  is

$$\int dx^1 dx^2 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \left[ ig_{2D} - i\frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}} [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ]^{1/2} \right]^2, \quad (37)$$

which can be considered as an integral over  $g_{2D}$  in the form

$$\frac{2}{g_{2D}} \int d(g_{2D}^2) \int dx^1 dx^2 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] [ ig_{2D}^2 - ig_{4D}^2 [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] ] = 0. \quad (38)$$

Because of Eq. (35), the above integral gives an irrelevant constant which we can set to zero. After these manipulations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx F_{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{03}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \int dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 dx^0 [ (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) ] F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X) \\ &= \int dx^3 dx^0 F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

### B. Second, Terms involving $F_{01}$ , $F_{02}$ , $F_{31}$ , and $F_{32}$

To compactify the gauge field parts of the (3+1) dimensional space-time to (1+1) dimensional space-time, we need to evaluate  $F_{01}$ ,  $F_{02}$ ,  $F_{31}$ , and  $F_{32}$ . Direct calculations give

$$F_{01}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = -\frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} \partial_1 A_0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = -\frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} \partial_1 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] A_0(X), \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{01}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{01}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2} \{ -\partial_1 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \} \{ \partial^1 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \} A_0(X) A^0(X) \\ &= -\frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2} \{ \partial_1 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \}^2 A_0(X) A^0(X), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

which contribute a gauge field mass in the  $A_0(X)$  gauge field. Similarly, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} F_{02}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{02}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= -\frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2} \{ \partial_2 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \}^2 A_0(X) A^0(X), \\ F_{31}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{31}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= -\frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2} \{ \partial_1 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \}^2 A_3(X) A^3(X), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

which contribute a gauge field mass in the  $A_3(X)$  gauge field. We have also

$$F_{32}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) F^{32}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = -\frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2} \{ \partial_2 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \}^2 A_3(X) A^3(X). \quad (43)$$

Combining all similar terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [F_{01} F^{01} + F_{02} F^{02} + F_{31} F^{31} + F_{32} F^{32}](\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= -\frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2} \left( \{ \partial_1 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \}^2 + \{ \partial_2 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \}^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times [A_0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) A^0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) + A_3(X) A^3(X)] \\ &= -\frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2} \left( \{ \partial_1 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \}^2 + \{ \partial_2 [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] \}^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times [A_0(X) A^0(X) + A_3(X) A^3(X)]. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Then, due to the normalization relation (8) we have the following for the gauge field part:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_A &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int dx^0 dx^3 \int dx^1 dx^2 (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X) \\
&\quad + \frac{g_{4D}^2}{2g_{2D}^2} \int dx^0 dx^3 \int dx^1 dx^2 \left( \{\partial_1[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]\}^2 + \{\partial_2[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]\}^2 \right) \\
&\quad \times [A_0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) A^0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) + A_3(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) A^3(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int dx^0 dx^3 F_{03}(X) F^{03}(X) + \int dx^0 dx^3 m_{gT}^2 [A_0(X) A^0(X) + A_3(X) A^3(X)], \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $m_{gT}^2$  is the mass term that arises from the confinement of the gluons in the transverse direction

$$m_{gT}^2 = \frac{g_{4D}^2}{2g_{2D}^2} \int dx^1 dx^2 \left[ \{\partial_1[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]\}^2 + \{\partial_2[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]\}^2 \right]. \tag{46}$$

Note that using integration by parts, we get

$$-\int dx^1 dx^2 \{\partial_1[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]\}^2 = \int dx^1 dx^2 [|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2] \partial_1^2 [|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]. \tag{47}$$

Therefore, adding the terms together, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{gT}^2 &= \frac{g_{4D}^2}{2g_{2D}^2} \int dx^1 dx^2 \left[ \{\partial_1[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]\}^2 + \{\partial_2[|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]\}^2 \right] \\
&= -\frac{g_{4D}^2}{2g_{2D}^2} \int dx^1 dx^2 [|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2] (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) [|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2] \\
&= \frac{g_{4D}^2}{g_{2D}^2} \int dx^1 dx^2 [|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2] \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_T^2 \right) [|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2]. \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

## V. TOTAL ACTION INTEGRAL

Summing up all terms above in Eqs. (25) and (45), we have reduced the action integral  $\mathcal{A}_{4D}$  of Eq. (3) in (3+1)D space-time to the action integral  $\mathcal{A}_{2D}$  in (1+1)D space-time given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{2D} &= Tr \int dX \left\{ \bar{\psi}(X) \gamma_{2D}^\mu (p_\mu + g_{2D} A_\mu(X)) \psi(X) - m_T \bar{\psi}(X) \psi(X) \right\} \\
&\quad + \int dX \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}(X) F^{\mu\nu}(X) + m_{gT}^2 A_\nu(X) A^\nu(X) \right\}. \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

The subscript label of ‘2D’ is to indicate that this is the action integral involving the integration over the 2D space-time coordinates of  $x^3$  and  $x^0$ . This action integral can be the starting point for the study of problems in the longitudinal  $(1+1)D_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time in which the properties of the gauge-field coupling constant  $g_{2D}$ , the transverse energy  $m_T$ , and the gauge field masses  $m_{gT}$  are input properties of the open-string parameters fixed by the initial choice of the class of transverse states in question, representing the ingredients that depend on the transverse degrees of freedom. The longitudinal-temporal space-time dynamics can be treated in the simpler  $(1+1)D_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time, to facilitate the examination of the complex problem of the quark-QCD-QED system.



Previously, solution of problems in (1+1)D space-time such as the Schwinger model of QED in (1+1)D space-time has been considered as an unrealistic “toy” model incapable of quantitative connection to realistic fermion or quark systems in (3+1)D space-time. A major difficulty arises because the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  in (1+1)D space-time has the dimension of a mass whereas in the physical (3+1)D space-time, the the coupling constant  $g_{4D}$  is dimensionless. We note perceptively that in (1+1)D, the open-string does not have a structure, but in contrast in (3+1)D, the flux tube has a structure with a radius  $R_T$ . It was recently realized that in reducing from the dynamics in (3+1)D space to the dynamics in (1+1)D, the information in the structure of the flux tube is in fact stored in the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  [2]. The coupling constants in different dimensional space-time systems are therefore connected by Eq. (19) or by the radius of the flux tube as given by Eq. (53) below [2, 4, 22]. The (3+1)D action integral in Eq. (49) shows that the Schwinger model can be viewed as a realistic idealization of the system in (3+1)D space-time, capable of semi-quantitative confrontation with experiment [44] and consistent with the string picture of hadron physics as developed in [6–13].

## VI. DYNAMICS OF QUARK AND GAUGE FIELDS

### A. Quark dynamics in the transverse $(1+1)_{\{x^1, x^2\}}$ space

It is clear that the longitudinal motion will depend on the assumed transverse dynamics. In our consideration, while the longitudinal confinement of quarks may be understood with the Schwinger mechanism of massless gauge theories in (1+1)D [2, 33, 34, 44], it is illuminating to examine, at the least in a simplified picture, how the transverse confinement of quarks arises for quarks interacting in QCD gauge fields (with or without the QED interactions), or with the QED interactions alone.

For quarks interacting with the non-Abelian QCD gauge field leading to bound hadrons with energies above the pion mass thresholds, the transverse confinement arises from the strong non-perturbative self-interaction among the gluons linking color charges. The Feynman diagram loops involving self-interacting gluons give rise to the dominance of planar diagrams over non-planar diagrams, leading to the string description of the interaction between color charges as described in [67].

For quarks interacting with the Abelian QED gauge field alone at energies below the pion mass threshold, it is necessary to distinguish between the compact Abelian QED gauge interactions and the non-compact Abelian QED gauge interactions. Even though both compact and non-compact interactions lead to the same continuum limit, Abelian QED admits both in-equivalent Hamiltonian formulations and different confinement properties [29–31]. Because the electric charges of quarks are quantized and their ratios are commensurate, the QED gauge field interaction between quarks is consequently a compact gauge interaction [32]. The transverse confinement of quarks in compact QED gauge theories in (2+1)D can be understood as arising from the angular property of the gauge field in compact QED [31]. The periodicity of the gauge field as a function of the angular variable leads to transverse photons that are self-interacting through a periodic and bounded potential for the photon field in the neighborhood of the electric charge, and because these transverse photons interact strongly among themselves, they do not radiate away. They join the two opposite charges in the form of a flux tube which can be idealized as a one-dimensional string [31]. In contrast, in non-compact QED gauge interactions, the gauge field does not have the angular periodicity, the transverse photons do not interact among themselves, they radiate away, and there is consequently no confinement of electric charges. Polyakov [29, 30] and Drell and collaborators [31] showed that electric charges in compact QED in (2+1)D are confined, opposite electric charges interact with a linear interaction, and such confinement persists even for weak coupling. The application of the results of Polyakov, Drell and collaborators to our quark-QCD-QED system interacting in (compact) QED interactions

suggests it reasonable to assume transverse confinement both when the quarks interact in QED alone, or when they also interact with the QCD interaction. The assumption of transverse confinement is consistent with the non-observation of isolated fractional charges as discussed in the Introduction.

For our problem, we choose to describe transverse confinement by a flux tube of radius  $R_T$  with by an effective transverse confining interaction  $m(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$ , and a transverse mass  $m_T$ . In such transverse dynamics with an effective interaction  $m(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  that is independent of the azimuthal angles and real amplitudes  $G_{\{1,2\}}$ , the transverse mass  $m_T$  is given from Eqs. (16) and (12) by

$$m_T^2 = \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp [ |G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 ] [ p_T^2 + m^2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) ]. \quad (50)$$

Thus,  $m_T$  corresponds to the lowest transverse mass of the system for longitudinal motion. The axially-symmetric solution  $G_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  of the transverse equations (12) for the lowest energy transverse state are likely a Gaussian function, which can be approximated by a uniform distribution within a transverse flux tube of radius  $R_T$

$$|G_1| = |G_2| = \frac{1}{R_T \sqrt{2\pi}} \Theta(R_T - r_\perp). \quad (51)$$

The axial symmetric transverse density is then

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) = \frac{1}{\pi R_T^2} \Theta(R_T - r_\perp). \quad (52)$$

The transverse wave functions  $G_{1,2}$  satisfy the normalization condition (8), and are  $U(1)$  invariant with respect to rotations in the transverse plane.

The functions  $G_{1,2}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$  in (51) allows us to obtain both the 2D coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  and the mass  $m_{gT}$ . The direct calculations according to Eq. (29) gives the 2D coupling mass

$$g_{2D} = \frac{g_{4D}}{R_T \sqrt{\pi}}, \quad (53)$$

that coincides with previous results [2, 4, 22, 44]. As for the effective gauge field mass  $m_{gT}$ , calculations using Eqs. (48) and (51) lead to

$$m_{gT} = 0, \quad (54)$$

which means the pure gauge field Lagrangian governs a massless gauge fields for the lowest transverse state.

### B. Quark dynamics in longitudinal $(1+1)_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$ space

In the general case, the QCD gauge fields  $A^\nu(X) = \sum_{a=1}^8 A_a^\nu(X) t^a$  has eight non-Abelian degrees of freedom with  $A_a^\nu(X)$ ,  $a = 1, 2, \dots, 8$  in the color  $SU(3)$  generator space. The solution of the quark dynamics will correspondingly couple these eight degrees of freedom in the eight  $t^a$  directions. Such couplings in the non-Abelian degrees of freedom will lead to color excitations, the majority of which will not lead to stable collective excitations.

There is a known way to get stable collective excitations of the QED and QCD gauge fields and the color-singlet and color-octet quark currents as carried out in [2, 44] by introducing a unit vector  $\tau^1$  randomly in the eight-dimensional  $SU(3)$  generator space,

$$\tau^1 = \sum_{i=1}^8 n_i t^i, \quad \text{with} \quad \sqrt{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_8^2} = 1, \quad (55)$$

where  $n_a$  are the components of generator vectors  $\tau^1$  in the eight-dimensional  $t^a$  space, with  $n_a = \text{tr}\{\tau^1 t^a\}/2$  oriented randomly. Restricting our considerations in the color-octet subspace with a fixed orientation of  $\tau^1$  but allowing the amplitude of the gauge fields to vary, we can represent the dynamics of the gauge fields  $A^\nu$  of the quark-QCD-QED system in terms of the amplitudes  $A'_0$  and  $A'_1$  as [2, 44–46]

$$A^\nu(X) = A'_0(X)\tau^0 + A'_1(X)\tau^1, \quad (56)$$

The generators  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$ , along which the amplitudes are varied, satisfy  $2\text{Tr}(\tau^a\tau^b) = \delta^{ab}$ , with  $\tau^0 = t^0$  and  $a, b = 0, 1$ . We vary the amplitudes of the QCD gauge fields  $A'_1(X)$  without varying the orientation of the QCD gauge field in the 8-dimensional color-octet subspace. The randomness of the orientation of such a unit vector  $\tau^1$  in the generator space ensures that it can cover a significant part of the available color space. Furthermore, because  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$  commute, the dynamics of the gauge fields in this  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$  generator subspace is Abelian. The dynamics of the quark-QCD-QED systems in such a subspace can lead to stable collective excitations.

Assuming Eq.(56) everywhere below in this section, we look for the solution of the 2D Dirac equation (25) in the form

$$\psi(X) = \{T_{l(M_0;M)} \exp\} \left\{ ig_{2D} \int dX^\mu A_\mu(X) \right\} \exp(-i\omega x^0 + ip^3 x^3) u; \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} u_+ \\ u_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (57)$$

where the symbol  $\{T_{l(M_0;M)} \exp\}$  means that the integration is to be carried out along the line on the light-cone from the point  $M_0$  to the point  $M$  such that the factors in the exponent expansion are chronologically ordered from  $M_0$  to  $M$ . Substituting Eq.(57) into the 2D Dirac equation (25), we derive successively

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i(\partial_0 - \partial_3) \\ i(\partial_0 + \partial_3) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp(-i\omega x^0 + ip^3 x^3) \begin{pmatrix} u_+ \\ u_- \end{pmatrix} = m_q \exp(-i\omega x^0 + ip^3 x^3) \begin{pmatrix} u_+ \\ u_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (58)$$

where  $m_q = m_T$  is the quark transverse mass given by Eq.(50) and  $u$  is normalize as  $\bar{u}_{\pm p^3} u_{\pm p^3} = \pm 2m_q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega + p^3)u_- &= m_q u_+, \\ (\omega - p^3)u_+ &= m_q u_-, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

$$m_q^2 - (\omega^2 - (p^3)^2) = 0; \quad \Rightarrow \omega = \pm\varepsilon = \pm\sqrt{m_q^2 + (p^3)^2}, \quad (60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = \varepsilon; \quad u_- &= \frac{m_q}{\varepsilon + p^3} u_+, \\ \omega = -\varepsilon; \quad u_- &= -\frac{m_q}{\varepsilon - p^3} u_+. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Taking the normalization condition in a form

$$\text{Tr} \int dx_3 : (\psi_{2D}^\dagger \psi_{2D}) := 1, \quad (62)$$

we find the general solution of the 2D Dirac equation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X) &= \sum_{p^3} \{T_{l(M_0;M)} \exp\} \left\{ ig_{2D} \int dX^\mu A_\mu(X) \right\} \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \left( \hat{q}_{p^3} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \end{pmatrix} \exp(-iPX) + \hat{q}_{p^3}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ -\sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \end{pmatrix} \exp(+iPX) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where  $P = (\varepsilon, p^3)$ ,  $X = (x^3, x^0)$ , and the hat symbols  $\hat{q}_{p^3}$  and  $\hat{q}_{p^3}^\dagger$  denote the operators of the creation and annihilation of quarks and antiquarks of longitudinal momentum  $p^3$ , which satisfy the standard commutative relations [70].

We note in passing that such a solution leads to the transverse fermion current proportional to the transverse energy (see Appendix A)

$$j_{4D}^{1,2}(\text{total}) \propto (f_{\bar{q}+}^* f_{\bar{q}-} + f_{\bar{q}-}^* f_{\bar{q}+}) + (f_{q+}^* f_{q-} + f_{q-}^* f_{q+}) = 0. \quad (64)$$

### C. Self-consistent longitudinal 2D currents and gauge fields

We study the quark-QCD-QED vacuum to be the lowest energy state system with quarks filling up the (hidden) Dirac sea and interacting in QCD and QED interactions. It is defined as the state with no (valence) quark above the Dirac sea and no (valence) antiquark below the Dirac sea. A local disturbance with the introduction of a perturbative gauge field  $A^\nu(X)$  will generate a collective excitation of the quark-QCD-QED medium. Our task is to find out whether there can be stable and confined collective QCD and QED excitations showing up as stable particles that can be depicted as bound states of (valence) quark and (valence) antiquarks.

For such a purpose, we introduce the perturbative gauge field  $A^\nu(X)$  that will instructs the quark fields how to move, the subsequent motion of the quark fields will generate a current which, through the Maxwell equation, will in turn produce a gauge field. The requirement of the self-consistency of the initial and induced gauge fields and currents leads to the stable collective excitations of the quark-QCD-QED systems. The collective dynamics can sustain themselves indefinitely, when the decay channels are assumed to be turned off for such an examination. How do the quark currents and gauge fields relate to each other when such self-consistent collective excitations are attained?

The knowledge of the solution of the longitudinal quark field solution  $\psi(X)$  allows us to obtain the quark current  $j_a^\mu(X)$  as a function of the introduced gauge field  $A^\mu(X)$ . The gauge-invariant quark current is given by

$$j_a^\mu(X) = 2Tr \{ \bar{\psi}(X) \gamma^\mu \tau_a \psi(X') \}, \quad X' \rightarrow X + 0, \quad a = 0, 1, \quad (65)$$

where the trace means summing with respect to colors and flavors. Substituting the  $\psi$ -function solution given by Eq.(63) into the above formula, we obtain

$$j_a^\mu(X) = Tr \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)} \sum_\sigma \left\{ \frac{P^\mu \tau_a}{\varepsilon N_f} (n_q(\varepsilon, \sigma) \exp(-iP(X' - X)) + n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, \sigma) \exp(iP(X' - X))) \right. \\ \left. \times (T \exp) \left\{ ig_{2D}(a, f) \sum_b \tau_b \int_X^{X'} A_\mu^b dX^\mu \right\} \right\}, \quad X' \rightarrow X + 0, \quad a = 0, 1, \quad (66)$$

where  $n_q(\varepsilon, \sigma) = \langle \hat{q}_{\sigma,f}^\dagger(p, \omega) \hat{q}_{\sigma,f}(p, \omega) \rangle$  and  $n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, \sigma) = \langle \hat{q}_{f,\sigma}^\dagger(p, \omega) \hat{q}_{f,\sigma}(p, \omega) \rangle$  are the quark and antiquark occupancy numbers, respectively, which are normalized per total flavor state, and  $N_f$  is the flavor number. The above current (65) contains the factor

$$(T \exp) \left\{ ig_{2D}(a, f) \sum_b \tau_b \int_X^{X'} A_\mu^b dX^\mu \right\}, \quad (67)$$

where  $g_{2D}(a = 0, f) = Q_f^{\text{QED}} g_{2D}^{\text{QED}}$  and  $g_{2D}(a = 1, f) = Q_f^{\text{QCD}} g_{2D}^{\text{QCD}}$ . They are the QED and QCD coupling constants. The quantity  $Q_f^{\text{QED}}$  and  $Q_f^{\text{QCD}}$  are the color and electric charge numbers as given

by Eq. (2). For simplicity, we shall consider the simple illustrative case with a single flavor, as the case of many flavors and the case with flavor mixture can be easily generalized, as was carried out in [2] and [44]. We expand the operator exponent in the last equation as a series with respect to  $(X' - X) \rightarrow +0$ , keeping a single flavor term,

$$(T \exp) \left\{ i g_{2D}(a, f) \tau_a \int_X^{X'} A_\mu^a dX^\mu \right\} = 1 + i g_{2D}(a, f) \tau_a (X' - X)^\mu A_\mu^a(\xi) \\ + \frac{i}{2} g_{2D}(a, f) \tau^a (X' - X)^\mu (X' - X)^\nu \partial_\nu A_\mu^a(\xi) \\ - \sum_b g_{2D}^2(a, f) (\tau_a \tau_b) (\tilde{X}' - \tilde{X})^\mu (X' - X)^\nu A_\mu^a(\tilde{\xi}) A_\nu^b(\xi) \theta(\tilde{\xi} - \xi), \quad (68)$$

where  $\tilde{\xi} \in [\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}']$ , and  $\xi \in [X, X']$  and the step function  $\theta(\tilde{\xi} - \xi)$  comes from the  $T$ -exponent. Taking the limits  $(\tilde{X}' - \tilde{X}) \rightarrow +0$  and  $(X' - X) \rightarrow +0$ , we have to take into account that

$$\frac{(\tilde{X}' - \tilde{X})}{(X' - X)} \rightarrow +0, \quad (69)$$

due to the step function. As a consequence, the last term in the expansion in Eq. (68) is equal to zero. Upon substituting the wave function (63) into the factor (68), the factor  $(X' - X)/2\varepsilon$  can be represented as an integral in the following useful way,

$$\frac{(X' - X)}{2\varepsilon} = \frac{(X' - X)}{2\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}} \\ = \exp(iP(X' - X)) \int \frac{d\omega}{(2\pi)} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - p^2 - m^2 + i\delta} \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial P_\nu} \exp(-iP(X' - X)) \right). \quad (70)$$

Substituting  $(T \exp) \{ i g_{2D} \tau_a \int_X^{X'} A_\mu^a dx^\mu \}$  given by Eq. (68) and (70) into Eq.(65), we obtain for  $(X' - X) \rightarrow +0$

$$j_a^\mu(X) = \frac{2i g_{2D}(a, f)}{N_f} \int \frac{d^2 P}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_\sigma \left\{ \left( \frac{n_q(\varepsilon, \sigma)}{\omega^2 - p^2 - m^2 + i\delta} + \frac{n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, \sigma)}{\omega^2 - p^2 - m^2 - i\delta} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times Tr(P^\mu \tau_a) \left( -\frac{\partial \exp(-iP(X' - X))}{\partial P_\nu} \right) \left( \tau_b A_\nu^b(\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \tau_b (X' - X)^\lambda \partial_\nu A_\lambda^b(\xi) \right) \right\}, \quad (71)$$

where  $f$  enumerates the quark flavors states,  $N_f$  is the numbers of flavors,  $d^2 P = d\omega dp$ , and  $P^\mu = (\omega, p)$ . To evaluate the term  $(X' - X)^\lambda \partial_{\nu(X)} A_\lambda^b(\xi)$ , we note that when we apply the partial derivative  $\partial^2 \equiv \partial_{(X)}^2$  onto  $(X' - X)^\lambda \partial_{\nu(X)} A_\lambda^b(\xi)$ , we get

$$\partial_{(X)}^2 \lim_{X' \rightarrow X} \{ (X' - X)^\lambda \partial_{\nu(X)} A_\lambda^b(\xi) \} = \lim_{X' \rightarrow X} \partial_{(X)}^2 \{ (X' - X)^\lambda \partial_{\nu(X)} A_\lambda^b(X) \} \\ = \lim_{X' \rightarrow X} \{ -2 \partial_{(X)}^\lambda \partial_{\nu(X)} A_\lambda^b(X) + (X' - X)^\lambda \partial_{\kappa(X)} \partial_{(X)}^\kappa \{ \partial_{\nu(X)} A_\lambda^b(X) \} \} \quad (72)$$

Upon taking the limit  $X' \rightarrow X + 0$ , the second term vanishes. Therefore, we have in the limit of  $X' \rightarrow X + 0$ ,

$$\lim_{X' \rightarrow X} ((X' - X)^\lambda \partial_{\nu(X)} A_\lambda^b(\xi)) = -2 \frac{\partial^\lambda \partial_\nu}{\partial^2} A_\lambda^b(X). \quad (73)$$



As a consequence, after integration by parts and calculation of a trace with respect to the color indexes in Eq.(71) we obtain

$$j_a^\mu(X) = \left( A_a^\nu(X) - \frac{\partial_\lambda \partial^\nu}{\partial^2} A_a^\lambda(X) \right) \mathcal{S}_\nu^\mu, \quad (74)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_\nu^\mu = \frac{i}{N_f} \sum_\sigma g_{2D}(a, f) \int \frac{d^2 P}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial P^\nu} \left\{ P^\mu \left( \frac{n_q(\varepsilon, \sigma)}{\omega^2 - p^2 - m^2 + i\delta} + \frac{n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, \sigma)}{\omega^2 - p^2 - m^2 - i\delta} \right) \right\}. \quad (75)$$

Since the differentiation of the denominators leads to the second order poles with respect to  $\omega$  whose contribution into the integral is equal to zero, we get from the above equation

$$\mathcal{S}_\nu^\mu = g_\nu^\mu g_{2D}(a, f) \sum_\sigma \int \frac{dp}{2(2\pi)^2 \varepsilon(p) N_f} [n_q(\varepsilon, \sigma) + n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, \sigma)], \quad (76)$$

where  $g_\nu^\mu$  is the space-time metric tensor and a bar over the letters identifies antiparticles. To find the occupancy number we have to specify additionally the state in which averaging in the last formula is carried out.

We firstly consider a single particle. Since it moves either along the string axis or in the opposite direction, we have, taking into account the normalization per total flavor states,

$$\frac{1}{N_f} \sum_\sigma \int \frac{dp}{2\varepsilon(p)} n_q(\varepsilon, \sigma) = \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_\sigma \int \frac{dp}{2\varepsilon(p)} n_{\bar{q}}(\varepsilon, \sigma) = 1. \quad (77)$$

Substituting successively Eq.(77) into Eq.(76) and in Eq.(74) we get

$$j_a^\mu(X) = \frac{g_{2D}(a, f)}{\pi} \left( A_a^\mu(X) - \frac{\partial_\lambda \partial^\mu}{\partial^2} A_a^\lambda(X) \right). \quad (78)$$

#### D. General 4D solution for the quark motion

The fundamental solution of 2D Dirac equation given by Eqs.(57), (61), (63) allows us to write down two basis bispinors of the 4D fermion field governed by Eq.(7) which turn out to be in the case of the lowest energy state with  $m_T = m_q$ ,

$$\Psi_{\omega>0}(x, p^3) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \\ G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \\ -G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \end{pmatrix} \exp \left( i g_{2D} \int A_\mu(X) dX^\mu - ipX \right), \quad (79)$$

$$\Psi_{\omega<0}(x, -p^3) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ -G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \\ -G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \\ -G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \end{pmatrix} \exp \left( i g_{2D} \int A_\mu(X) dX^\mu + ipX \right). \quad (80)$$

With  $|G_1| = |G_2| = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}R_T$  as given by Eq. (79), we find the bispinor fundamental solution of 4D Dirac equation as governed by Eq.(7)

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\omega>0}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{1}{R\sqrt{2\pi}}\Theta(R - r_\perp) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \\ \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \\ -\sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(ig_{2D} \int A_\mu(X)dX^\mu - ipX\right), \\ \Psi_{\omega<0}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) &= \frac{1}{R\sqrt{2\pi}}\Theta(R - r_\perp) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \\ -\sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ -\sqrt{\varepsilon + p^3} \\ -\sqrt{\varepsilon - p^3} \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(ig_{2D} \int A_\mu(X)dX^\mu + ipX\right).\end{aligned}\quad (81)$$

Constructing another two basis bispinor from the bispinors (81), we obtained the general solution of the 4D Dirac equation

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(x) &= \frac{\Theta(R - r_\perp)}{R\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{p_3, \sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon(p^3)}} \{T_{l(M_0; M)} \exp\} \left\{ ig_{2D} \int dX^\mu A_\mu(X) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left( \hat{q}_{p^3} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p\bar{\sigma}}\xi_\sigma \\ \sqrt{p\sigma}\eta_\sigma \end{pmatrix} \exp(-ipx) + \hat{q}_{p^3}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p\sigma}\eta_\sigma \\ -\sqrt{p\bar{\sigma}}\xi_\sigma \end{pmatrix} \exp(+ipx) \right),\end{aligned}\quad (82)$$

where  $p = (\varepsilon, p^1, p^2, p^3) = (\varepsilon, \mathbf{p}) = (\varepsilon, \mathbf{p}_\perp, p^3)$  and the hat symbols represent the annihilation and creation operators satisfying the standard commutation relations. We have also introduced [70]

$$\sigma = (1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}), \quad \bar{\sigma} = (1, -\boldsymbol{\sigma}); \quad \xi_+ = \eta_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \xi_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \eta_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.\quad (83)$$

### E. Maxwell equation and the collective longitudinal dynamics

Minimizing the 2D action integral  $\mathcal{A}_{2D}$  in (49) with respect to the  $A_a^\nu(X)$  gauge field, we obtain the following Maxwell equation of motion

$$-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A_a^\nu(X) + \partial_\mu \partial^\nu A_a^\mu(X) = m_{gT}^2 A_a^\nu(X) + g(a, f) j_a^\mu(X), \quad a = 0, 1, \quad (84)$$

where  $A_a^\nu$  is defined by Eq. (56), while  $j_a^\mu(X)$  is the quark current given by Eq.(78). For the lowest transverse state, the mass  $m_{gT}$  is equal to zero according Eq. (54). As for  $j^\nu$  being in the right-hand side of Eq.(84), it is given by Eq. (78). By substituting Eq. (78) into Eq. (84), we get the Klein-Gordon equation for the currents and the gauge fields with a mass  $M_a$ ,

$$-\partial^\mu \partial_\mu A_a^\nu = M_a^2 A_a^\nu, \quad (85)$$

and

$$-\partial^\mu \partial_\mu j_a^\nu = M_a^2 j_a^\nu, \quad (86)$$

where

$$M_0^2 = M_{QED}^2 = \frac{g_{2D, QED}^2 \sum_f (Q_f^2)}{\pi}, \quad M_1^2 = M_{QCD}^2 = \frac{g_{2D, QCD}^2}{\pi}, \quad (87)$$

and  $Q_f$  is the electric charge of the corresponding flavor. The quark current collective motion gives rise to gauge field collective motion and gauge field collective motion, in turn, feeds back to give rise to quark currents collective motion self-consistently. Stable self-consistent collective dynamics of the quark field and the gauge fields will be attained when the energies of the quark-QCD-QED medium falls at the boson masses energy  $M_a$ .

The two mass formulas can rewritten in a more compact form as follows,

$$M_a^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( g_{2D}(a) \sum_f Q_f^a \right)^2 \quad (88)$$

where  $a = 0$  corresponds to QED, while  $a = 1$  to QCD. They have the meaning as the masses of the collective dynamics of the QED and QCD gauge fields. Thus, we obtain the important result that for a quark-QCD-QED medium in which the quark interact with both the QED and the QCD interactions, there can be separate collective excitations of the medium with different bound states masses  $M_a$  which depend on their respective coupling constant  $g_{2D}(a, f)$ . In this way, the dynamics of both the gauge fields and current is regulated by the same equation.

To see that  $M_a$  is indeed the mass of the collective excitation, we look for a solution of this equation in a form

$$A_a^\nu = b_a(k, \nu) e_a^\nu(k) \exp(-ik_\mu X^\mu),$$

$$k^\mu = (k^0; \mathbf{k}), \quad e_a^0 = \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{M_a} (1, 0), \quad e_a^3 = \frac{|k^0|}{M_a} (0, 1), \quad (89)$$

where  $e_a^\nu$  denotes a pair orthogonal vectors;  $b_a(k, \nu)$  are some coefficients being independent on  $X$ . Substituting  $A_a^\nu$  given by Eq. (89) into Eq. (86a), we obtain:

$$(k^0)^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 + M_a^2. \quad (90)$$

Because of both the positivity of  $M_a^2$  and Eq. (90),  $M_a$  can be interpreted as a mass of the particle whose energy is

$$E(k) \equiv k^0 = +\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + M_a^2}. \quad (91)$$

Eqs. (89) and (91) allow us to write down the general solution of Eq. (84). Following the standard way [70], and separating the negative and positive frequency terms, we obtain

$$A_a^\nu(X) = \sum_k \frac{e_a^\nu M_a}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{k}^2 + M_a^2)^3}} \left\{ \exp(-ikX) b_a(k, \nu) + \exp(+ikX) \bar{b}_a^\dagger(k, \nu) \right\}, \quad (92)$$

where the symbols  $b_a(k, \nu)$  and  $\bar{b}_a^\dagger(k, \nu)$  are the operators of annihilation and creation of a boson with the mass  $M_a$ . In this way,  $M_a$  corresponds to the mass of the boson responding to the space-time variation of the gauge field variation. We note that the derived solution is stable even in the case pure QED.

This equation clarifies the physical sense of the introduced mass  $M$  which turns out to be mass of the boson of collective motion involving the interacting quark fields and the gauge fields. We have therefore found that there are independent modes of excitation of the vacuum. There is the QCD mode with the exciton of QCD mesons possessing masses of  $g_{\text{QCD}}^2 N_f / \sqrt{\pi}$  and the lower QED mesons

masses of order  $g_{\text{QED}}^2 \sum_f (Q_f^2)/\sqrt{\pi}$ . When we take into account the flavor degrees of freedom if the flux tube radius  $R_T$  can be taken to be a property of the quarks, we have from Eq.(88) for  $a = 0$ ,

$$M_{\text{QED}}^2 = \frac{(g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QED}})^2 \sum_f (Q_f^{\text{QED}})^2}{\pi^2 R_T^2} \quad (93)$$

and for  $a = 1$ ,

$$M_{\text{QCD}}^2 = \frac{(g_{4\text{D}}^{\text{QCD}})^2 \sum_f (Q_f^{\text{QCD}})^2}{\pi^2 R_T^2}, \quad (94)$$

for the isoscalar excitation of  $i = 0$  for QED and  $i = 1$  for QCD excitations.

The above discussions give the general dependence of the mass as a function of the gauge interaction coupling constant. Consequently, there are independent collective QED and QCD excitations of the quark-QCD-QED system which can be called the QCD mesons and the QED mesons. If the flux tube radius  $R_T$  is an intrinsic property of the quark, then

$$\frac{(\text{QCD meson mass})}{(\text{QED meson mass})} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s}{\alpha_c}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{0.7}{1/137}} \sim 10 = \frac{(\text{hundreds of MeV})}{(\text{tens of MeV})} \quad (95)$$

which places the masses of the QED mesons in the region of many tens of MeV. To get a better determination of the QCD and QED meson masses for a system with many flavors, it is necessary to take into account the flavor mixtures  $D_{ij}$  and the quark rest masses  $m_f$ , as is carried out in [44].

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

Quarks carry both color and electric charges, and they interact in both the QCD and the QED interactions. Stable collective excitations of the quark-QCD-QED medium arising from quarks interacting in the QCD interaction give rise to bound states appearing as QCD mesons, such as  $\pi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$ , etc. We inquire here whether there can also be stable collective excitations arising from quarks interacting in the QED interaction alone, with possible bound states appearing as observable QED mesons. If there are such QED mesons, what is the order of magnitude of their masses?

We have been motivated to study such a problem on account of many puzzling anomalies in the anomalous soft photons [35–43], the X17 anomaly [47–50], and the E38 anomaly [51–53]. These anomalies occur at the mass region of many tens of MeV. If we use Schwinger's mass-(coupling constant) relation [33, 34] from his investigation on gauge theories as a rough guide in comparison with QCD mesons, we would reach the conclusion that these anomalous particle may likely be related to a quark and an antiquark interacting in the QED interaction. It was such a suggestive hint that started the investigation on possible QED mesons as confined quark-antiquark bound states interacting in the QED interactions many years ago in [2]. While the confrontation of the observed anomalies with the proposed QED meson model has provided tentative agreement, the experimental data on some of the anomalies need to be confirmed and investigated. The theoretical consequences of such a hypothetical proposal have also not been exhaustively studied, but needs to be pursued further. A comprehensive understanding is not yet at hand.

While the previous studies of the lowest energy states of quark-QCD-QED dynamics was studied from the viewpoint of bonsonization centered on the idealized  $(1+1)\text{D}_{\{x^3, x^0\}}$  space time [2, 44], we examine here the physical basis how the  $(3+1)\text{D}_{\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^0\}}$  space-time can be idealized as the  $(1+1)\text{D}$  space-time from the viewpoint of the action integral.

In the case of the quark-QCD medium with the non-Abelian QCD SU(3) gauge interaction in (3+1)D space-time, the transverse confinement arises from strong gluon self-interactions that lead to the dominance of planar Feynman diagrams and the string-type QCD interaction between a quark and an antiquark. For the quark-QED medium with the compact Abelian U(1) gauge interaction in (3+1)D, the application of Polyakov's result on the compact QED U(1) interaction in (2+1)D $_{x^1,x^2,x^0}$  space-time [29, 30] lead likewise to transverse confinement of quarks. The transverse confinement in this case arises from the self-interaction among transverse photons through a potential that is a bounded and periodic function of the photon field [29, 31].

Within the formalism of action integrals, we follow Polyakov [29, 30] and assume transverse confinement for quarks interacting in both QCD and QED interactions in the quark-QCD-QED medium. Transverse confinement leads to cylindrical symmetry and allows the Schmidt-type decomposition of the longitudinal and the transverse degrees of freedom. The (3+1)D space-time can be separated into the transverse (2+1)D $_{x^1,x^2,x^0}$  space-time dynamics and the longitudinal (1+1)D $_{x^3,x^0}$  space-time dynamics linked together by the parametric time with the longitudinal dynamics yet to be solved.

To make the problem simple, we represent transverse confinement with an effective scalar transverse confining interaction  $m(\mathbf{r})$  and a confining transverse radius  $R_T$  as one of the phenomenological parameters to be determined by confrontation with experiment. With the introduction of the transverse radius, we can compactify the (3+1)D dynamics to the idealized (1+1)D dynamics and we obtain the relation between the coupling constants in (1+1)D and the physical coupling constants in (3+1)D. The simplification permits the analytical solution to obtain the longitudinal dynamics in the (1+1)D $_{\{x^3,x^0\}}$  space-time for the lowest energy states. We find that there can be longitudinal confinement for quarks interacting either with the QCD interaction or with the compact QED interaction. Thus, there can be stable collective excitations of the quark-QCD-QED systems involving either the QCD or the QED interaction independently, leading to stable bosons (QCD and QED mesons) whose masses depend on the QCD and QED coupling constants. They correspond to collective dynamics of the quark-QCD-QED medium executing motion in the color-singlet subgroup and the color-octet subgroup respectively. Such a result is not surprising because the QCD interaction and the QED interactions are different interactions and they possess different color properties. They involve color matrix generators which are independent of each other. They are therefore capable of making independent excitations at different energies. The masses of the QED mesons are of the order of many tens of MeV, falling within the region of the observed anomalies.

The differences of the strengths of the QCD and the QED coupling constants, the fractional nature of the electric charges, as well as the occurrence of linear combinations of isotropic spin wave functions, lead to the fine splitting of the masses of QCD and QED mesons, as described in [2, 44]. Experimental search for such QED meson states will be of great interest, in view of the tentative experimental evidence indicating the possible existence of the QED mesons.

In the present survey, great simplification has been made possible when the longitudinal space-time dynamics and the transverse space-time dynamics can be appropriately separated for the lowest-lying states. It represents the simplest kind of Schmidt-type decomposition of the full 3+1 dimensional space-time into the longitudinal and the transverse degrees of freedom. For higher excited states, the coupling of the longitudinal and transverse dynamics involving a Schmidt decomposition of greater complexity will need to be included. A much large Hilbert space may be needed for such studies. Furthermore, we have taken the simplest case of  $A_1=A_2=0$  (or  $A_r=A_\phi=0$ ) to describe the transverse gauge fields. Other possible transverse gauge field assumptions will lead to different dynamics. It will be of interest to explore the effects of other non-zero transverse gauge fields.



### Appendix A: Separation of quark transverse and longitudinal equations of motion in (3+1)D

To separate out transverse and the longitudinal degrees of freedom, we employ the Weyl representation of the gamma matrices in Eq. (6) and write the quark field in terms of the transverse functions  $G_{\{1,2\}}$  and the longitudinal functions  $f_{\pm}$  as

$$\Psi_{4D} = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})f_+(X) \\ G_2(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})f_-(X) \\ G_1(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})f_-(X) \\ -G_2(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})f_+(X) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Using the Weyl representation of the gamma matrices as given in Eq. (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\gamma^0\Pi^0\Psi_{4D} &= (G_1^*G_1 + G_2^*G_2)(f_+\Pi^0f_+ + f_-\Pi^0f_-), \\ \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\gamma^3\Pi^3\Psi_{4D} &= (G_1^*G_1 + G_2^*G_2)(f_+\Pi^3f_+ - f_-\Pi^3f_-), \\ \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\Psi_{4D} &= (G_1^*G_1 - G_2^*G_2)(f_+f_- + f_-f_+), \\ \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\gamma^1p^1\Psi_{4D} &= (G_1^*p^1G_2 + G_2^*p^1G_1)(f_+f_- + f_-f_+), \\ \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\gamma^2p^2\Psi_{4D} &= (-G_1^*ip^2G_2 + G_2^*ip^2G_1)(f_+f_- + f_-f_+). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The Lagrangian density becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\gamma^0\Pi^0\Psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\gamma^3\Pi^3\Psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\gamma^1p^1\Psi_{4D} - \bar{\Psi}_{4D}\gamma^2p^2\Psi_{4D} - m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})\bar{\Psi}_{4D}\Psi_{4D} \\ &= (G_1^*G_1 + G_2^*G_2)(f_+\Pi^0f_+ + f_-\Pi^0f_-) - (G_1^*G_1 + G_2^*G_2)(f_+\Pi^3f_+ - f_-\Pi^3f_-) \\ &\quad - (G_1^*p^1G_2 + G_2^*p^1G_1)(f_+f_- + f_-f_+) - (-G_1^*ip^2G_2 + G_2^*ip^2G_1)(f_+f_- + f_-f_+) \\ &\quad - m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})(G_1^*G_1 - G_2^*G_2)(f_+f_- + f_-f_+). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

where  $\Pi^{\mu} = p^{\mu} + gA^{\mu}(x)$ . The minimization of the action integral by variations with respect to  $f_{\pm}^*$  and  $G_{\{1,2\}}^*$  leads to

$$\delta^2\mathcal{L}/\delta f_+^*\delta G_1^* = (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)G_1f_+ - mG_1f_- - (p^1 - ip^2)G_2f_- = 0, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\delta^2\mathcal{L}/\delta f_+^*\delta G_2^* = (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)G_2f_+ + mG_2f_- - (p^1 + ip^2)G_1f_- = 0, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\delta^2\mathcal{L}/\delta f_-^*\delta G_1^* = (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)G_1f_- - mG_1f_+ - (p^1 - ip^2)G_2f_+ = 0, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\delta^2\mathcal{L}/\delta f_-^*\delta G_2^* = (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)G_2f_- + mG_2f_+ - (p^1 + ip^2)G_1f_+ = 0. \quad (\text{A7})$$

We sum over  $G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})\times(\text{A4})+G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})\times(\text{A5})$  and perform an integration over the transverse coordinates. Similarly, we sum over  $G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})\times(\text{A6})+G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})\times(\text{A7})$  and perform an integration over the transverse coordinates. We get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} \{G_1^*(\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)G_1 + G_2^*(\Pi^0 - \Pi^3)G_2\} f_+ \\ &- \int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} \left\{ G_1^*m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})G_1 - G_2^*m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})G_2 + G_1^*(p^1 - ip^2)G_2 + G_2^*(p^1 + ip^2)G_1 \right\} f_- = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} \{G_1^*(\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)G_1 + G_2^*(\Pi^0 + \Pi^3)G_2\} f_- \\ &- \int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} \left\{ G_1^*m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})G_1 - G_2^*m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})G_2 + G_1^*(p^1 - ip^2)G_2 + G_2^*(p^1 + ip^2)G_1 \right\} f_+ = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8b})$$

From the second terms in each of the above two equation, we note that we can separate out the longitudinal and transverse equations by introducing the separation constant  $m_T$  defined by

$$m_T = \int d\mathbf{r}_{\perp} \left\{ m(\mathbf{r}_{\perp})(|G_1|^2 - |G_2|^2) + G_1^*(p^1 - ip^2)G_2 + G_2^*(p^1 + ip^2)G_1 \right\}. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Because of the normalization condition Eq. (8) for  $G_{\{1,2\}}$ , the above equation can be rewritten as

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp \left\{ G_1^* [-m_T G_1 + m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1 + (p^1 - ip^2) G_2] + G_2^* [-m_T G_2 - m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2 + (p^1 + ip^2) G_1] \right\} = 0$$

The above is zero if the two terms in the integrand are zero, and we obtain the eigenvalue equations for the transverse functions  $G_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -m_T G_1 + m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1 + (p^1 - ip^2) G_2 &= 0, \\ -m_T G_2 - m(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2 + (p^1 + ip^2) G_1 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

We apply  $(p^1 + ip^2)$  on the first equation and  $(p^1 - ip^2)$  on the second equation and we get

$$[p_T^2 + m^2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) - m_T^2] G_2 = -[(p^1 + ip^2), m(\mathbf{r}_\perp)] G_1 \quad (\text{A11a})$$

$$[p_T^2 + m^2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) - m_T^2] G_1 = +[(p^1 - ip^2), m(\mathbf{r}_\perp)] G_2 \quad (\text{A11b})$$

where  $p_T^2 = (p^1)^2 + (p^2)^2$  is the square of the transverse momentum and

$$[p^1 \mp ip^2, m(\mathbf{r}_\perp)] = -e^{\mp i\phi} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\perp} \pm \frac{1}{r_\perp} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) m(\mathbf{r}_\perp). \quad (\text{A11c})$$

Equations (A11a) and (A11b) can be written in a two component form with transverse mass  $m_T$  as the eigenvalue,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_T^2 + m^2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) & [(p^1 - ip^2), m(\mathbf{r}_\perp)] \\ -[(p^1 + ip^2), m(\mathbf{r}_\perp)] & p_T^2 + m^2(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{pmatrix} = m_T^2 \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A12})$$

which is Eq. (12) in Section III. Upon the introduction of the constant of separation  $m_T$ , Eqs. (A8a) and (A8b) becomes

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2) (\Pi^0 - \Pi^3) f_+ - m_T f_- = 0 \quad (\text{A13a})$$

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2) (\Pi^0 + \Pi^3) f_- - m_T f_+ = 0 \quad (\text{A13b})$$

In the above two equations, the operators  $\Pi^\mu$  with  $\mu = 0, 3$  are actually  $\Pi_{4D}^\mu = p^\mu + g_{4D} A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  where the gauge field  $A_{4D}^\mu$  is a function of the (3+1)D coordinates  $(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X)$  and  $g_{4D}$  is the dimensionless coupling constant in (3+1)D space-time. Upon carrying out the integration over the transverse coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_\perp$ , the above two equations can be cast into the Dirac equation for a particle with a mass  $m_T$  in (1+1)D space time of  $X$  coordinates by identifying the terms integrated over the transverse coordinates as the corresponding gauge fields  $A_{2D}^\mu$  in the (1+1)D space-time with the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$

$$g_{4D} \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2) A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) \equiv g_{2D} A_{2D}^\mu(X), \quad (\text{A14})$$

where  $A_{2D}(X)$  is the solution of the Maxwell equation for the gauge fields in (1+1)D space-time. By comparing the Maxwell equations in (3+1)D and (1+1)D space time in Appendix B, it can be shown in Appendix B that the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  is then determined by

$$g_{2D}^2 = g_{4D}^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)^2. \quad (\text{A15})$$

We note that the coupling constant  $g_{2D}$  acquires the dimension of a mass. By such an introduction of  $A_{2D}^\mu$  and  $g_{2D}$ , Eqs. (A13a) and (A13b) become

$$(\Pi_{2D}^0 - \Pi_{2D}^3)f_+ - m_T f_- = 0, \quad (\text{A16a})$$

$$(\Pi_{2D}^0 + \Pi_{2D}^3)f_- - m_T f_+ = 0, \quad (\text{A16b})$$

where  $\Pi_{2D}^\mu = p^\mu + g_{2D} A_{2D}^\mu(X)$ , with  $\mu = 0, 3$ . Equations (A12), (A16a) and (A16b) (which corresponds to Eqs. (12) (20a) and (20b) in Section III) are the set of equations of motion for the quark field  $\Psi$  in (3+1)D space for our problem.

### Appendix B: Relation between quantities in (1+1)D and (3+1)D

We shall examine the relation between various quantities in (1+1)D and (3+1)D. We can consider the Abelian approximation in the case when the gauge fields can be represented in terms of only the commuting  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau^1$  components, as discussed in Section (VIB). The gauge invariant Maxwell equation is

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu \partial^\mu A_\mu = -g j^\nu, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $\nu, \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and  $g = g_{4D}$  for (3+1)D, and  $\nu, \mu = 0, 1$  and  $g = g_{2D}$  for (1+1)D. To make the problem simple, we consider lowest-energy state systems with cylindrical symmetry for which  $A^1, A^2$  can be assumed to vanish. Within the cylindrical flux tube, the gauge fields are only a weak function of the transverse coordinates so that it is necessary to consider the Maxwell equation only for  $\nu, \mu = 0, 3$  in (3+1)D with

$$\partial_\mu F_{4D}^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu A_{4D}^\nu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = -g_{4D} j_{4D}^\nu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X), \quad \nu, \mu = 0, 3 \quad \text{for (3+1)D.} \quad (\text{B2})$$

With the quark field  $\Psi_{4D}$  as given by Eq. (7), the quark currents in (3+1)D are given by

$$j_{4D}^0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^0 \Psi_{4D} = (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* f_+ + f_-^* f_-), \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$j_{4D}^3(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \bar{\Psi}_{4D} \gamma^3 \Psi_{4D} = (G_1^* G_1 + G_2^* G_2)(f_+^* f_+ - f_-^* f_-), \quad (\text{B4})$$

From the 2D action  $\mathcal{A}_{2D}$  in Eq. (49) with  $m_{gT}=0$  as given by (54), we can derive the Maxwell equation in (1+1)D as given by

$$\partial_\mu F_{2D}^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu A_{2D}^\nu(X) - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A_{2D}^\mu(X) = -g_{2D} j_{2D}^\nu(X), \quad \nu, \mu = 0, 1 \quad \text{for (1+1)D.} \quad (\text{B5})$$

With the quark field  $\psi_{2D}$  as given by Eq. (24), the quark currents  $j_{2D}^\nu(X)$  in (1+1)D are given by

$$j_{2D}^0(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \bar{\psi}_{2D} \gamma^0 \psi_{2D} = (f_+^* f_+ + f_-^* f_-), \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$j_{2D}^3(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \bar{\psi}_{2D} \gamma^3 \psi_{2D} = (f_+^* f_+ - f_-^* f_-). \quad (\text{B7})$$

Comparison of the quark currents in (3+1)D and (1+1)D in Eqs. (B3), (B4) (B6), and (B7) gives

$$j_{4D}^\nu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)) j_{2D}^\nu(X). \quad (\text{B8})$$

Then, substituting the above equation into Eq.(B2), we obtain for  $\nu, \mu = 0, 3$  in (3+1)D

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A_{4D}^\nu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) - \partial^\nu \partial_\mu A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = -g_{4D} (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)) j_{2D}^\nu(X). \quad (\text{B9})$$

The above equation in (3+1)D would be consistent with the (1+1)D Maxwell equation (B5) if

$$A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = \frac{g_{4D}}{g_{2D}} (G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp) G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)) A_{2D}^\mu(X). \quad (\text{B10})$$

On the other hand, the equation of motion in Eqs. (A14) would require that  $g_{4D}$  and  $g_{2D}$  are related by

$$g_{4D} \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp (|G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2 + |G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)|^2) A_{4D}^\mu(\mathbf{r}_\perp, X) = g_{2D} A_{2D}(X). \quad (\text{B11})$$

The consistency of both equations (B10) and (B11) requires  $g_{4D}$  and  $g_{2D}$  satisfies the relation

$$g_{2D}^2 = g_{4D}^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp [G_1^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_1(\mathbf{r}_\perp) + G_2^*(\mathbf{r}_\perp)G_2(\mathbf{r}_\perp)]^2, \quad (\text{B12})$$

which is Eq. (19).

### Acknowledgments

CYW's research was supported in part by the Division of Nuclear Physics, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725.

- 
- [1] H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, *QCD at high energies and two-dimensional field theory*, [arXiv:hep-th/9302104].
  - [2] C. Y. Wong, *Anomalous soft photons in hadron production*, Phys. Rev. C81, 064903 (2010), [arXiv:1001.1691].
  - [3] C. Y. Wong, *Anomalous soft photons associated with hadron production in string fragmentation*, Talk presented at the IX International Conference on Quark Confinement and Hadron Spectrum, Madrid, Spain, Aug 30-Sept 3, 2010, AIP Conf.Proc.1343:447 (2011), arXiv:1011.6265.
  - [4] A. V. Koshelkin, C. Y. Wong, *The compactification of QCD4 to QCD2 in a flux tube*, Phys. Rev. D86, 125026 (2012), [arXiv:1212.3301].
  - [5] C. Y. Wong, *An overview of the anomalous soft photons in hadron production*, Talk presented at International Conference on the Structure and the Interactions of the Photon, 20-24 May 2013, Paris, France, arXiv:1404.0040 (2014).
  - [6] G. Veneziano, *Construction of a crossing-symmetric, Regge-behaved amplitude for linearly rising trajectories*, Nuovo Cim 57A, 190 (1968).
  - [7] Y. Nambu, *Quark model of the factorization of the Veneziano Amplitude*, in *Lectures at the Copenhagen Symposium: Symmetry and Quark Models*, edited by R. Chand, Gordon and Breach, 1970, p. 269.
  - [8] T. Goto, *Relativistic quantum mechanics of one-dimensional mechanical continuum and subsidiary condition of dual resonance model* arXiv:hep-th/9302104 Prog. Theo. Phys, 46, 1560 (1971).
  - [9] G. 't Hooft, *A planar diagram theory for strong interactions*, Nucl. Phys. B72, 461 (1974).
  - [10] G. 't Hooft, *A two-dimensional model for mesons*, Nucl. Phys. B75, 461 (1974).
  - [11] X. Artru, G. Mennessier, *String model and multiproduction* Nucl. Phys. B70, 93 (1974).
  - [12] A. M. Polyakov, *Quantum geometry of bosonic strings* Phys. Lett. B103, 207 (1981).
  - [13] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, and T. Sjöstrand, *A general model for jet fragmentation*, Zeit. für Phys. C20, 317 (1983); B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, and T. Sjöstrand, *Parton fragmentation and string dynamics*, Phys. Rep. 97, 31 (1983); T. Sjöstrand and M. Bengtsson, *The Lund Monte Carlo for jet fragmentation and e+ e- physics - jetset version 6.3 - an update*, Computer Physics Comm. 43, 367 (1987); B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, and B. Nilsson-Alqvist, *A model for low-pT hadronic reactions with generalizations to hadron-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions*, Nucl. Phys. B281, 289 (1987).
  - [14] S. Huang, J. W. Negele, J. Polonyi, *Meson structure in QCD2*. Nucl. Phys. B 307, 669 (1988).
  - [15] G.S. Bali, H. Neff, T. Duessel, T. Lippert, K. Schilling (SESAM), *Observing long colour flux tubes in SU(2) lattice gauge theory*, Phys.Rev.D71,114513(2005),hep-lat/0505012.
  - [16] L. Cosmai, P. Cea, F. Cuteri, A. Papa, *Flux tubes in QCD with (2+1) HISQ fermions*, Pos, 4th annual International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory 24-30 July 2016 University of Southampton, UK, arXiv:1701.03371 (2017).
  - [17] J. D. Bjorken, Lectures presented in the 1973 Proceedings of the Summer Institute on Particle Physics, edited by Zipt, SLAC-167 (1973).
  - [18] A. Casher, j. Kogut, and L. Susskind, *Vacuum polarization and the absence of free quarks*, Phys. Rev. D10, 732 (1974).
  - [19] G. Gatoff and C. Y. Wong, *Origin of the soft pT spectra*, Phys. Rev. D46, 997 (1992); and C. Y. Wong and G. Gatoff, *The transverse profile of a color flux tube*, Phys. Rep. 242, 489 (1994).
  - [20] C. Y. Wong, *Introduction to High-Energy Heavy-Ion Collisions*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
  - [21] C. Y. Wong, R. C. Wang, and C. C. Shih, *Study of particle production using two-dimensional bosonized QED*, Phys. Rev. D 44, 257 (1991).
  - [22] C. Y. Wong, *The Wigner function of produced particles in string fragmentation*, Phys. Rev. C80, 054917 (2009), arXiv:0903.3879.
  - [23] H. Aihara *et al.* (TPC/Two\_Gamma Collaboration), *Charged hadron production in e+ -e- annihilation at s^{1/2} = 29 GeV*, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report LBL-23737 (1988).

- [24] W. Hofmann, *Particle composition in hadronic jets in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation*, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 38, 279 (1988).
- [25] A. Petersen *et al.*, (Mark II Collaboration), *Multihadronic events at  $E_{\text{CM}} = 29\text{GeV}$  and predictions of QCD models from  $E_{\text{CM}} = 29\text{ GeV}$  to  $E_{\text{CM}} = 93\text{GeV}$* , Phys. Rev. D 37, 1 (1988).
- [26] K. Abe *et al.* (SLD Collaboration), *Production of  $\pi^+$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $K^0$ ,  $K^{*0}$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $p$ , and  $\Lambda^0$  in hadronic  $Z^0$  decays*, Phys. Rev. D 59, 052001 (1999).
- [27] K. Abreu *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), *Energy dependence of inclusive spectra in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation*, Phys. Lett. B 459, 397 (1999).
- [28] Hongyan Yang (BRAHMS Collaboration), *Rapidity densities of  $\pi^\pm$ ,  $K^\pm$ ,  $p$  and  $\bar{p}$  in  $p+p$  and  $d+Au$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200\text{ GeV}$* , J. Phys. G 35, 104129 (2008); K. Hagel (BRAHMS Collaboration), APS DNP 2008, Oakland, California, USA Oct 23-27, 2008.
- [29] A. M. Polyakov, *Quark confinement and topology of gauge theories* Nucl. Phys. B120, 429 (1977).
- [30] A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, Hardwood Academic Publishers, Switzerland, 1987.
- [31] S. D. Drell, H. R. Quinn, B. Svetitsky, and M. Weinstein, *Quantum electrodynamics on a lattice: A Hamiltonian variational approach to the physics of the weak-coupling region*, Phys. Rev. D19, 619 (1979).
- [32] C. N. Yang, *Charge quantization, compactness of the gauge group, and flux quantization*, Phys. Rev. D 8, 2360 (1970).
- [33] J. Schwinger, *Gauge invariance and mass II*, Phys. Rev. 128, 2425 (1962).
- [34] J. Schwinger, *Gauge theory of vector particles*, in Theoretical Physics, Trieste Lectures, 1962 (IAEA, Vienna, 1963), p. 89.
- [35] P.V. Chliapnikov *et al.*, *Observation of direct soft photon production in  $\pi^-p$  interactions at  $280\text{ GeV}/c$* , Phys. Lett. B 141, 276 (1984).
- [36] F. Botterweck *et al.* (EHS-NA22 Collaboration), *Direct soft photon production in  $K^+p$  and  $\pi^+p$  interactions at  $250\text{ GeV}/c$* , Z. Phys. C 51, 541 (1991).
- [37] S. Banerjee *et al.* (SOPHIE/WA83 Collaboration), *Observation of direct soft photon production in  $\pi^-p$  interactions at  $280\text{ GeV}/c$* , Phys. Lett. B 305, 182 (1993).
- [38] A. Belogianni *et al.* (WA91 Collaboration), *Confirmation of a soft photon signal in excess of QED expectations in  $\pi^-p$  interactions at  $280\text{ GeV}/c$* , Phys. Lett. B 408, 487 (1997).
- [39] A. Belogianni *et al.* (WA102 Collaboration), *Observation of a soft photon signal in excess of QED expectations in  $pp$  interactions*, Phys. Lett. B548, 129 (2002).
- [40] J. Abdallah *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), *Evidence for an excess of soft photons in hadronic decays of  $Z^0$*  Eur. Phys. J. C47, 273 (2006), arXiv:hep-ex/0604038.
- [41] J. Abdallah *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), *Observation of the muon inner bremsstrahlung at LEP1*, Eur. Phys. J. C57, 499 (2008), arXiv:0901.4488.
- [42] V. Perepelitsa, for the DELPHI Collaboration, *Anomalous soft photons in hadronic decays of  $Z^0$* , Proceedings of the XXXIX International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, Gomel, Belarus, September 4-9, 2009, Nonlin. Phenom. Complex Syst. 12, 343 (2009).
- [43] J. Abdallah *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), *Study of the dependence of direct soft photon production on the jet characteristics in hadronic  $Z^0$  decays*, Eur. Phys. J. C67, 343 (2010), arXiv:1004.1587.
- [44] C. Y. Wong, *Open string QED meson description of the  $X17$  particle and dark matter*, JHEP 08 (2020) 165, [arXiv:2001.04864].
- [45] C. Y. Wong, *On the stability of the open-string QED neutron and dark matter*, [arXiv:2010.13948].
- [46] C. Y. Wong, *QED mesons, the QED neutron, and the dark matter*, in Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Strangeness in Quark Matter, [arXiv:2108.00959].
- [47] A. J. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, *Observation of anomalous internal pair creation in  $8\text{Be}$ : a possible indication of a light, neutral boson*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 042501 (2016), [arXiv:1504.01527].
- [48] A. J. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, *New evidence supporting the existence of the hypothetical  $X17$  particle*, arXiv:1910.10459 (2019).
- [49] A. J. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, *New anomaly observed in  $\text{He4}$  supports the existence of the hypothetical  $X17$  particle*, Phys. Rev. C 104, 044003 (2021), [arXiv:2104.10075].
- [50] P L Jain and G Singh, *Search for new particles decaying into electron pairs of mass below  $100\text{ MeV}/c^2$*  Jour. Phys. Phys. G 34, 129 (2007).
- [51] K. Abraamyan, A. B. Anisimov, M. I. Baznat, K. K. Gudima, M. A. Nazarenko, S. G. Reznikov, and A.S. Sorin, *Observation of the  $E(38)$ -boson*, arXiv:1208.3829v1 (2012).
- [52] K. Abraamyan, C. Austin, M. Baznat, K. Gudima, M. Kozhin, S. Reznikov, and A. Sorin, *Check of the structure in photon pairs spectra at the invariant mass of about  $38\text{ MeV}/c^2$* , E P J Web of Conferences 204, 08004 (2019).
- [53] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *First indications of the existence of a  $38\text{ MeV}$  light scalar boson* arXiv:1102.1863 (2011); E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *Material evidence of a  $38\text{ MeV}$  boson*, arXiv:1202.1739 (2012).
- [54] L. Chatterjee and C. Y. Wong, *Correction factors for reactions involving  $q\bar{q}$  annihilation or production*, Phys. Rev. C 51, 2125 (1995), C. Y. Wong and L. Chatterjee, *Effects of final-state interaction and screening on strange- and heavy-quark production*, Acta Phys. Hung. A 4, 201 (1996), [arxiv:hep-ph/9607316], J. H. Yoon and C. Y. Wong, *Relativistic generalization of the Gamow factor for fermion pair production or annihilation*, J.Phys.G 31, 149 (2005), [arxiv:nucl-th/0412019].
- [55] K. G. Wilson, *Confinement of quarks*, Phys. Rev. D19, 2445 (1974).
- [56] J. Kogut and L. Susskind, *Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories*, Phys. Rev D11, 395 (1975).
- [57] S. Mandelstam, *Vortices and quark confinement in non-abelian gauge theories*, Phys. Lett. 53B, 476 (1975).
- [58] T. Banks, B. Myerson, and J. Kogut, *Phase transitions in Abelian lattice gauge theories*, Nucl. Phys. B129, 493 (1977).
- [59] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, *Instantons in a  $U(1)$  lattice gauge theory: A Coulomb dipole gas*, Comm. Math. Phys. 56, 195 (1977).



- [60] M. E. Peskin, *Mandelstam-'t Hooft duality in Abelian lattice models*, Ann. Phys. (N. Y. ) 113, 122 (1978).
- [61] A. Guth, *Existence proof of a nonconfining phase in four-dimensional  $U(1)$  lattice gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. D 21, 2291 (1980).
- [62] K-I Kondo, *Existence of confinement phase in quantum electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. D58, 085013 (1998).
- [63] G. Magnifico, T. Felser, P. Silvi, S. Montangelo, *Lattice quantum electrodynamics in (3+1)-dimensions at finite density with tensor networks*, Nature Com. 12, 3600 (2021), [arXiv:2011.10658].
- [64] V. N. Gribov, *Local confinement of charge in massless QED*, Nucl. Phys. **B206**, 103 (1982).
- [65] V. N. Gribov, *The theory of quark confinement*, European Physical Journal **C10** 91 (1991).
- [66] PDG2019, M. Tanabashi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D98, 030001 (2019). 99).
- [67] G. 'tHooft, *Magnetic monopoles in unified gauge theories*, Nucl. Phys. B79, 276 (1974).
- [68] Y. Nambu, *Strings, monopoles, and gauge fields*, Phys. Rev. D 10, 4262 (1974).
- [69] M. Tanabashi *et al.* (Particle Data Group 2019), Phys. Rev. D98, 030001 (2019).
- [70] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1995.
- [71] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitsevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, Pergamon Press.
- [72] E. Witten, *Non-Abelian bosonization in two dimensions*, Comm. Math, Phys. 92, 455 (1984).