

An inverse problem for the fractional porous medium equation

Li Li

*Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of California,
Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA*

ABSTRACT. We consider a time-independent variable coefficients fractional porous medium equation and formulate an associated inverse problem. We determine both the conductivity and the absorption coefficient from exterior partial measurements of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. Our approach relies on a time-integral transform technique as well as the unique continuation property of the fractional operator.

1 Introduction

The classical porous medium equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0, \quad m > 1 \quad (1)$$

appears in models for gas flow through porous media, high-energy physics, population dynamics and many other contexts. As a paradigm for nonlinear degenerate diffusion equations, the classical porous medium equation has been extensively studied so far. See [26] for a survey in this field.

Results for Inverse problems related with the classical porous medium equation have been obtained in [6, 7]. There the authors studied time-independent variable coefficients porous medium equations and defined the associated Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps. It has been shown in [6, 7] that the coefficients in the equations can be uniquely determined from the knowledge of Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps. See [5, 16, 17, 25] for other recent works on inverse problems for nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations.

In recent years, nonlocal operators including the fractional Laplacian have attracted much attention. Replacing the classical Laplacian $-\Delta$ by the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ is motivated by the need to describe processes involving anomalous diffusion. Such processes have been widely observed, making fractional Laplacian broadly applicable in fluid mechanics, biology, finance and many other disciplines.

In this paper, we will study an inverse problem related with the following basic fractional porous medium equation

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0, \quad m > 1, \quad 0 < s < 1, \quad (2)$$

which is a natural combination of fractional diffusion and porous medium nonlinearities. Roughly speaking, this model describes anomalous diffusion through porous media. See [27] and the references there for more background information.

To formulate our inverse problem, we first need to study an initial exterior problem for a time-independent variable coefficients fractional porous medium equation involving an absorption term. More precisely, we consider the initial exterior problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + L_\gamma^s(|u|^{m-1}u) + \lambda(x)u = 0, & \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = g, & \Omega_e \times (0, T), \\ u = 0, & \Omega \times \{0\} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\Omega_e := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, g satisfies $\text{supp } g \subset \Omega_e \times [0, T]$ and the elliptic operator L_γ is defined by

$$L_\gamma := -\text{div}(\gamma(x)\nabla). \quad (4)$$

Here we assume $\lambda \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$, $0 < \gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Ω_e for convenience. Note that the fractional operator L_γ^s coincides with $(-\Delta)^s$ when $\gamma = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Formally we define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{\gamma,\lambda}$ associated with (3) by

$$\Lambda_{\gamma,\lambda} : g \rightarrow L_\gamma^s(|u|^{m-1}u)|_{\Omega_e \times (0,T)}. \quad (5)$$

We will show that (5) is well-defined at least for time-independent exterior data $g := g_0(x) \in S_W$. Here the set S_W is defined by

$$S_W := \{g_0 : |g_0|^{m-1}g_0 \in C_c^\infty(W)\} \quad (6)$$

where $W \subset \Omega_e$ is nonempty and open.

Our goal is to determine the absorption coefficient λ and the conductivity γ in Ω from partial measurements of $\Lambda_{\gamma,\lambda}$. The following theorem is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $W_1, W_2 \subset \Omega_e$ be nonempty and open. Suppose $\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)} \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$, $0 < \gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\gamma^{(1)} = \gamma^{(2)} = 1$ in Ω_e . Suppose*

$$\Lambda_{\gamma^{(1)}, \lambda^{(1)}} g|_{W_2 \times (0,T)} = \Lambda_{\gamma^{(2)}, \lambda^{(2)}} g|_{W_2 \times (0,T)} \quad (7)$$

for all $g \in S_{W_1}$. Then $\gamma^{(1)} = \gamma^{(2)}$ and $\lambda^{(1)} = \lambda^{(2)}$ in Ω .

We remark that our problem can be viewed as a fractional analogue of the inverse problems studied in [6, 7]. Our problem can also be regarded as a nonlinear parabolic variant of the inverse problem studied in [15] where the authors considered the exterior Dirichlet problem

$$L_\gamma^s u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = g \text{ in } \Omega_e. \quad (8)$$

It has been proved in [15] that γ in Ω can be determined from exterior partial measurements of the associated Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

$$\Lambda_\gamma^{lin} : g \rightarrow L_\gamma^s u|_{\Omega_e}. \quad (9)$$

Later we will see how to relate our nonlinear fractional parabolic problem to this linear fractional elliptic problem via a time-integral transform.

We also mention that the study of inverse problems for space-fractional operators was initiated in [14] and further results in this direction can be found in many recent works. Stability and

single measurement results for the fractional Calderón problem have been obtained in [13, 24]. See [2, 9, 11] for inverse problems for fractional Schrödinger operators with local, quasilocal and nonlocal perturbations. See [8, 19, 20] for inverse problems for fractional operators in the magnetic setting. See [23] for inverse problems for fractional operators in the elastic setting. See [10] for inverse problems for directionally antilocal operators. See [18, 21] for inverse problems for linear fractional parabolic operators. See [22] for an inverse problem for a different nonlinear fractional parabolic operator.

All the uniqueness results obtained in the works mentioned above rely on exploiting unique continuation properties of associated fractional operators. This typical nonlocal phenomenon makes inverse problems for fractional operators often more manageable than their classical counterparts. Later we will see how to determine λ in an immediate way by using the unique continuation property of L_γ^s after we determine γ .

The rest of this paper is organized in the following way. We summarize the background knowledge in Section 2. We study the initial exterior problem (3) in Section 3 via the theory of monotone operators in Hilbert spaces. In Section 4, we first use a time-integral transform technique to determine the conductivity γ ; Then we use the unique continuation property to determine the absorption coefficient λ .

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Gunther Uhlmann for suggesting the problem and for helpful discussions.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we use the following notations.

- Fix the space dimension $n \geq 2$
- Fix the fractional powers $0 < s < 1$ and $m > 1$.
- For convenience, we write $u^m := |u|^{m-1}u$; $u := v^{\frac{1}{m}}$ if $v = u^m$.
- Fix the constant $T > 0$ and t denotes the time variable.
- Ω denotes a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $\Omega_e := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$.
- Suppose u is an $(n+1)$ -variable function. Then $u(t)$ denotes the n -variable function $u(\cdot, t)$.
- c, C, C', C_1, \dots denote positive constants. We write C_I to emphasize the dependence on the parameter I .
- X^* denotes the dual space of X . $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the dual pairing.

2.1 Function spaces

Throughout this paper we refer all function spaces to real-valued function spaces.

We use H^r to denote $W^{r,2}$ -type Sobolev spaces.

Let U be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n . Let F be a closed set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$H^r(U) := \{u|_U : u \in H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad H_F^r(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u \in H^r(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp } u \subset F\},$$

$\tilde{H}^r(U) :=$ the closure of $C_c^\infty(U)$ in $H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the natural identifications

$$H^{-r}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)^*, \quad \tilde{H}^r(\Omega) = H_{\Omega}^r(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad H^{-r}(\Omega) = \tilde{H}^r(\Omega)^*.$$

Let X be a Banach space. We use $C([0, T]; X)$ to denote the space consisting of the corresponding Banach space-valued continuous functions on $[0, T]$. $L^2(0, T; X)$ (resp., $H^1(0, T; X)$) denotes the space consisting of the corresponding Banach space-valued L^2 -functions (resp., H^1 -functions).

2.2 Fractional operators

We briefly present the precise definition of L_γ^s via the semigroup approach. We also list some of its most important properties for later use.

It is well-known that there exists a unique symmetric heat kernel p_t s.t. $p_t(x, y)$ is smooth jointly in $t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$(e^{-tL_\gamma} f)(x) = \int p_t(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, we have the following Gaussian bounds

$$c_1 e^{-c'_1 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \leq p_t(x, y) \leq c_2 e^{-c'_2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} t^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

We define

$$K(x, y) := C \int_0^\infty p_t(x, y) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}.$$

Then we get the estimate

$$\frac{C_1}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \leq K(x, y) = K(y, x) \leq \frac{C_2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The fractional operator L_γ^s is defined by

$$L_\gamma^s := \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty (e^{-tL_\gamma} - \text{Id}) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}$$

where Γ is the Gamma function. It has been shown that

$$\langle L_\gamma^s u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \iint (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) K(x, y) dx dy, \quad u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It follows from Poincaré's inequality for the fractional Laplacian and the Lax-Milgram Theorem that the map

$$u \rightarrow L_\gamma^s u|_\Omega$$

gives a homeomorphism from $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ to $H^{-s}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we have the following well-posedness result.

Proposition 2.1. For $f \in H^{-s}(\Omega)$ and $g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the exterior Dirichlet problem

$$L_\gamma^s u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = g \quad \text{in } \Omega_e. \quad (10)$$

has a unique solution $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\|f\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

The following proposition is the unique continuation property of L_γ . Its proof is based on the Caffarelli-Silvestre definition of the fractional Laplacian introduced in [4].

Proposition 2.2. Let $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let W be open. Suppose

$$L_\gamma u = u = 0 \quad \text{in } W.$$

Then $u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

In fact, all the results in this subsection hold true for the general variable coefficients operator

$$L_A := -\operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla)$$

where the smooth real symmetric matrix-valued function $A(x) := (a_{i,j}(x))$ satisfies the uniformly elliptic condition, i.e.

$$C_A^{-1}|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{i,j}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq C_A|\xi|^2, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We refer readers to [15] or [12] for more details.

3 Forward problem

To study (3), we make the substitution $w := u - g$ so $w = 0$ in Ω_e . Note that $u^m = w^m + g^m$ since the supports of w, g are disjoint so (3) can be converted into the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + L_\gamma^s(w^m) + \lambda(x)w = f, & \Omega \times (0, T), \\ w = 0, & \Omega \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Let us briefly present some basic concepts in the theory of monotone operators in Hilbert spaces.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a Banach space. Let Ψ be a convex, lower semicontinuous functional on X , we say that a multivalued map A from X to X^* is the subdifferential of Ψ if for each $z \in X$,

$$Az = \{z^* \in X^* : \Psi(z) - \Psi(z') \leq \langle z^*, z - z' \rangle, \forall z' \in X\}.$$

Here we are only interested in single-valued maps defined in Hilbert spaces.

It is well-known that the subdifferential of a lower semicontinuous convex function is maximal monotone. Recall that for an operator A defined in a Hilbert space H , A is maximal monotone if and only if A is monotone and the range of $\operatorname{Id} + A$ is H . See [1] for more details.

In our case, we consider the functional Ψ defined on $H^{-s}(\Omega)$ given by

$$wi(u) := \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{m+1}$$

for $u \in H^{-s}(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $u^{m+1} \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\Psi(u) := +\infty$ otherwise.

We define the operator A in $H^{-s}(\Omega)$ by

$$Az := L_{\gamma}^s(z^m)|_{\Omega}$$

with the domain

$$D(A) := \{z \in H^{-s}(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega) : z^m \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)\}.$$

The following result is Proposition 3.1 in [3].

Proposition 3.2. *Ψ is a convex, lower semicontinuous functional on $H^{-s}(\Omega)$; A is the subdifferential of Ψ and thus a maximal monotone operator in $H^{-s}(\Omega)$.*

Now by Theorem 4.11 and Remark 4.5 in [1], we get the following existence, uniqueness and regularity results for (11).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$. Then there exists a unique solution*

$$w \in C([0, T]; H^{-s}(\Omega)) \cap H^1(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$$

of (11). Moreover, $w(t) \in D(A)$ for $t \in (0, T)$.

Hence, at least for g satisfying $\text{supp } g \subset \Omega_e \times [0, T]$ and

$$f := -L_{\gamma}^s(g^m)|_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega)),$$

$u := w_f + g$ gives the unique solution of (3) and the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{\gamma, \lambda}$ given by (5) is well-defined for such g .

4 Inverse problem

To study the inverse problem, we will focus on the time-independent exterior data $g \in S_W$ where the set S_W is defined by (6).

First we make the substitutions $v := u^m$ and $\tilde{g} := g^m$ to write (3) as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(v^{\frac{1}{m}}) + L_{\gamma}^s v + \lambda(x)v^{\frac{1}{m}} = 0, & \Omega \times (0, T), \\ v = \tilde{g}, & \Omega_e \times (0, T), \\ v = 0, & \Omega \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

We define the associated Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{\gamma, \lambda} : \tilde{g} \rightarrow L_{\gamma}^s v|_{\Omega_e \times (0, T)}. \quad (13)$$

Clearly, the knowledge of

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{\gamma, \lambda} \tilde{g}|_{W_2 \times (0, T)}, \quad \tilde{g} \in C_c^{\infty}(W_1)$$

is equivalent to the knowledge of

$$\Lambda_{\gamma, \lambda} g|_{W_2 \times (0, T)}, \quad g \in S_{W_1}.$$

In the rest of this paper, we use $v^{(h)}$ to denote the solution of (12) corresponding to $\tilde{g} := hg_0(x)$, $g_0 \in C_c^\infty(W_1)$ where $h > 0$ is a parameter. Let m' be the constant s.t. $\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{m'} = 1$. Choose a constant α s.t. $\alpha > m' - 1$. The constants C, C', C_1, \dots in this section may depend on some parameters but will never depend on T, h and g_0 .

4.1 Time-integral transform

We consider the time-integral transform

$$V(x) := \int_0^T (T-t)^\alpha v(x, t) dt. \quad (14)$$

This transform was used in [7] to relate the nonlinear local parabolic problem to the linear local elliptic problem. We will see that it still works in our fractional setting.

We also define

$$M(x) := \alpha \int_0^T (T-t)^{\alpha-1} v^{\frac{1}{m}}(x, t) dt, \quad N(x) := \lambda(x) \int_0^T (T-t)^\alpha v^{\frac{1}{m}}(x, t) dt.$$

By Hölder's inequality we get the pointwise estimate

$$|M(x)| = \left| \alpha \int_0^T (T-t)^{\frac{\alpha-m'}{m'}} ((T-t)^\alpha v)^{\frac{1}{m}}(x, t) dt \right| \leq C_{\alpha, m} T^{\frac{\alpha}{m'} - \frac{1}{m}} |V(x)|^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (15)$$

Similarly we can show that

$$|N(x)| \leq C'_{\alpha, m} T^{\alpha+m'} |\lambda(x)| |V(x)|^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (16)$$

Note that by Proposition 3.3 for each $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\tilde{w}^{(h)}(t) := v^{(h)}(t) - hg_0 \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega), \quad (\tilde{w}^{(h)})^{\frac{1}{m}}, \partial_t((\tilde{w}^{(h)})^{\frac{1}{m}}) \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$$

so by the equation in (12) we get

$$L_\gamma^s \tilde{w}^{(h)}|_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$$

and thus we get

$$\tilde{w}^{(h)} \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)), \quad V^{(h)} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

so $L_\gamma^s V^{(h)} \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, by applying (14) to (12) and integration by parts with respect to t we get

$$\begin{cases} L_\gamma^s V^{(h)} = M^{(h)} + N^{(h)}, & x \in \Omega, \\ V^{(h)} = C_\alpha T^{1+\alpha} hg_0, & x \in \Omega_e. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

By (15) and (16) we get the L^2 -estimates

$$\|M^{(h)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C''_{\alpha,m} T^{\frac{\alpha}{m'} - \frac{1}{m}} \|V^{(h)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad \|N^{(h)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C''_{\alpha,m,\lambda} T^{\alpha+m'} \|V^{(h)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (18)$$

By (17) and Proposition 2.1 we get the estimate

$$\|V^{(h)}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\|M^{(h)} + N^{(h)}\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} + C_\alpha T^{1+\alpha} h \|g_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (19)$$

Combining (19) with (18), we get

$$\|V^{(h)}\|_{H^s} \leq C_1((T^{\frac{\alpha}{m'} - \frac{1}{m}} + T^{\alpha+m'}) \|V^{(h)}\|_{H^s}^{\frac{1}{m}} + T^{1+\alpha} h \|g_0\|_{H^s}).$$

We can assume

$$1 - C_1(T^{\frac{\alpha}{m'} - \frac{1}{m}} + T^{\alpha+m'}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

(Otherwise we just replace T by a smaller T' in (12).) Then we get

$$\|V^{(h)}\|_{H^s} \leq \max\{1, 2C_1 T^{1+\alpha} h \|g_0\|_{H^s}\}$$

so for $g_0 \neq 0$ and sufficiently large h (depending on the norm of g_0) we get

$$\|V^{(h)}\|_{H^s} \leq 2C_1 T^{1+\alpha} h \|g_0\|_{H^s}. \quad (20)$$

Now we consider the equality

$$V^{(h)} = C_\alpha T^{1+\alpha} h V_0 + R^{(h)} \quad (21)$$

where V_0 is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} L_\gamma^s V_0 = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ V_0 = g_0, & x \in \Omega_e \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

and $R^{(h)}$ is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} L_\gamma^s R^{(h)} = M^{(h)} + N^{(h)}, & x \in \Omega, \\ R^{(h)} = 0, & x \in \Omega_e. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

We apply L_γ^s to (21) to obtain

$$h^{-1} L_\gamma^s V^{(h)} = C_\alpha T^{1+\alpha} L_\gamma^s V_0 + h^{-1} L_\gamma^s R^{(h)}. \quad (24)$$

Note that (23) and (18) imply

$$\|R^{(h)}\|_{H^s} \leq C \|M^{(h)} + N^{(h)}\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} \leq C_1(T^{\frac{\alpha}{m'} - \frac{1}{m}} + T^{\alpha+m'}) \|V^{(h)}\|_{H^s}^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Hence for each $g_0 \neq 0$, by (20) we get

$$h^{-1} L_\gamma^s R^{(h)} = O(h^{\frac{1}{m}-1}) \quad (25)$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$ in H^{-s} -norm.

Now the asymptotic behavior of $h^{-1} L_\gamma^s V^{(h)}$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$ is clear from (24).

4.2 Proof of the main theorem

Before we prove the main theorem, we first need to present the uniqueness result for the linear fractional elliptic problem. Recall that the associated exterior Dirichlet problem is given by (8) and the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is defined by (9).

The following proposition is a combination of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 in [15], which is a fractional analogue of the uniqueness result for the classical Calderón problem.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $W_1, W_2 \subset \Omega_e$ be nonempty and open. Suppose $0 < \gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\gamma^{(1)} = \gamma^{(2)} = 1$ in Ω_e . Suppose*

$$\Lambda_{\gamma^{(1)}}^{lin} g|_{W_2} = \Lambda_{\gamma^{(2)}}^{lin} g|_{W_2} \quad (26)$$

for all $g \in C_c^\infty(W_1)$. Then $\gamma^{(1)} = \gamma^{(2)}$ in Ω .

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. We will first determine γ based on the asymptotic analysis in the previous subsection.

Proof. (Uniqueness of γ) By the assumption (7) we get

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{\gamma^{(1)}, \lambda^{(1)}} \tilde{g}|_{W_2 \times (0, T)} = \tilde{\Lambda}_{\gamma^{(2)}, \lambda^{(2)}} \tilde{g}|_{W_2 \times (0, T)}, \quad \tilde{g} \in C_c^\infty(W_1),$$

which implies

$$L_{\gamma^{(1)}}^s v_1^{(h)}|_{W_2 \times (0, T)} = L_{\gamma^{(2)}}^s v_2^{(h)}|_{W_2 \times (0, T)}.$$

Now we apply the time-integral transform (14) to the equality above to obtain

$$L_{\gamma^{(1)}}^s V_1^{(h)}|_{W_2} = L_{\gamma^{(2)}}^s V_2^{(h)}|_{W_2}.$$

Let $h \rightarrow \infty$. By (24) and (25) we get

$$L_{\gamma^{(1)}}^s (V_0)_1|_{W_2} = L_{\gamma^{(2)}}^s (V_0)_2|_{W_2},$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \Lambda_{\gamma^{(1)}}^{lin} g_0|_{W_2} = \Lambda_{\gamma^{(2)}}^{lin} g_0|_{W_2}, \quad g_0 \in C_c^\infty(W_1).$$

Now we conclude that $\gamma^{(1)} = \gamma^{(2)} =: \gamma$ in Ω by Proposition 4.1. \square

In [7], the authors used a more careful asymptotic analysis to determine λ after they determined γ . In our case, the determination of λ becomes much simpler due to the unique continuation property of the fractional operator.

Proof. (Uniqueness of λ) We pick a nonzero g in (3). Now for each $t \in (0, T)$, by (7) we get

$$L_\gamma^s u_1^m(t) = L_\gamma^s u_2^m(t), \quad x \in W_2.$$

Also note that

$$u_1(t) = u_2(t) = g$$

in Ω_e so we get

$$L_\gamma^s (u_1^m(t) - u_2^m(t)) = u_1^m(t) - u_2^m(t) = 0$$

in W_2 , which implies $u_1 = u_2 := u$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ by Proposition 2.2.

We fix a point $x_0 \in \Omega$. We claim that there does not exist an open $U \subset \Omega$ containing x_0 s.t. $u = 0$ in $U \times (0, T)$. In fact, suppose such U exists. Then $\partial_t u = 0$ in $U \times (0, T)$ and thus

$$L_\gamma^s(u^m) = u^m = 0$$

in $U \times (0, T)$. However, Proposition 2.2 implies that $u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$, which contradicts $g \neq 0$.

Hence we can choose a sequence $\{x_n, t_n\}$ s.t.

$$x_n \rightarrow x_0, \quad t_n \in (0, T)$$

and $u(x_n, t_n) \neq 0$ so

$$\lambda^{(j)}(x_0) = \lim_n \lambda^{(j)}(x_n) = -\lim_n \frac{\partial_t u(x_n, t_n) + L_\gamma^s(u^m)|_{(x_n, t_n)}}{u(x_n, t_n)}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

We conclude that $\lambda^{(1)} = \lambda^{(2)}$ in Ω since x_0 is arbitrary. □

We remark that this method was first used to determine the potential in the fractional Calderón problem via a single measurement. See [13] for more details.

References

- [1] Viorel Barbu. *Nonlinear differential equations of monotone types in Banach spaces*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [2] Sombuddha Bhattacharyya, Tuhin Ghosh, and Gunther Uhlmann. Inverse problems for the fractional-laplacian with lower order non-local perturbations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 374(5):3053–3075, 2021.
- [3] Matteo Bonforte, Yannick Sire, and Juan Luis Vázquez. Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 35(12):5725–5767, 2015.
- [4] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional laplacian. *Communications in partial differential equations*, 32(8):1245–1260, 2007.
- [5] Cătălin Cârstea, Ali Feizmohammadi, Yavar Kian, Katya Krupchyk, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón inverse problem for isotropic quasilinear conductivities. *Advances in Mathematics*, 391:107956, 2021.
- [6] Cătălin Cârstea, Tuhin Ghosh, and Gen Nakamura. An inverse boundary value problem for the inhomogeneous porous medium equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.01368*, 2021.
- [7] Cătălin Cârstea, Tuhin Ghosh, and Gunther Uhlmann. An inverse problem for the porous medium equation with a possibly singular absorption term. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.12970*, 2021.
- [8] Giovanni Covi. An inverse problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field. *Inverse Problems*, 36(4):045004, 2020.
- [9] Giovanni Covi. Uniqueness for the fractional Calderón problem with quasilocal perturbations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.11063*, 2021.
- [10] Giovanni Covi, María Ángeles García-Ferrero, and Angkana Rüland. On the Calderón problem for nonlocal Schrödinger equations with homogeneous, directionally antilocal principal symbols. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.14976*, 2021.

- [11] Giovanni Covi, Keijo Mönkkönen, Jesse Railo, and Gunther Uhlmann. The higher order fractional Calderón problem for linear local operators: uniqueness. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.10227*, 2020.
- [12] Tuhin Ghosh, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Jingni Xiao. The Calderón problem for variable coefficients nonlocal elliptic operators. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 42(12):1923–1961, 2017.
- [13] Tuhin Ghosh, Angkana Rüland, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Uniqueness and reconstruction for the fractional Calderón problem with a single measurement. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, page 108505, 2020.
- [14] Tuhin Ghosh, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation. *Analysis & PDE*, 13(2):455–475, 2020.
- [15] Tuhin Ghosh and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for nonlocal operators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.09265*, 2021.
- [16] Yavar Kian and Gunther Uhlmann. Recovery of nonlinear terms for reaction diffusion equations from boundary measurements. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.06039*, 2020.
- [17] Katya Krupchyk and Gunther Uhlmann. Partial data inverse problems for semilinear elliptic equations with gradient nonlinearities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08122*, 2019.
- [18] Ru-Yu Lai, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Angkana Rüland. The Calderón problem for a space-time fractional parabolic equation. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 52(3):2655–2688, 2020.
- [19] Ru-Yu Lai and Ting Zhou. An inverse problem for non-linear fractional magnetic schrodinger equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.08180*, 2021.
- [20] Li Li. Determining the magnetic potential in the fractional magnetic Calderón problem. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 46(6):1017–1026, 2021.
- [21] Li Li. A fractional parabolic inverse problem involving a time-dependent magnetic potential. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 53(1):435–452, 2021.
- [22] Li Li. An inverse problem for a fractional diffusion equation with fractional power type nonlinearities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.00132*, 2021.
- [23] Li Li. On inverse problems arising in fractional elasticity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.03387*, 2021.
- [24] Angkana Rüland and Mikko Salo. The fractional Calderón problem: low regularity and stability. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 193:111529, 2020.
- [25] Ravi Shankar. Recovering a quasilinear conductivity from boundary measurements. *Inverse problems*, 37(1):015014, 2020.
- [26] Juan Luis Vázquez. *The porous medium equation: mathematical theory*. Oxford University Press on Demand, 2007.
- [27] Juan Luis Vázquez. Recent progress in the theory of nonlinear diffusion with fractional laplacian operators. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series S*, 7(4):857–885, 2014.