

Affine subspaces of matrices with constant rank

Elena Rubei

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica “U. Dini”, viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italia

E-mail address: elena.rubei@unifi.it

Abstract

For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every field K , let $M(m \times n, K)$ be the vector space of the $(m \times n)$ -matrices over K and let $S(n, K)$ be the vector space of the symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices over K . We say that an affine subspace S of $M(m \times n, K)$ or of $S(n, K)$ has constant rank r if every matrix of S has rank r . Define

$$\mathcal{A}^K(m \times n; r) = \{S \mid S \text{ affine subspace of } M(m \times n, K) \text{ of constant rank } r\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{sym}^K(n; r) = \{S \mid S \text{ affine subspace of } S(n, K) \text{ of constant rank } r\}$$

$$a^K(m \times n; r) = \max\{\dim S \mid S \in \mathcal{A}^K(m \times n; r)\}.$$

$$a_{sym}^K(n; r) = \max\{\dim S \mid S \in \mathcal{A}_{sym}^K(n; r)\}.$$

In this paper we prove the following two formulas for $r \leq m \leq n$:

$$a_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n, r) = r(n - r) + \left\lfloor \frac{r^2}{4} \right\rfloor,$$

$$a^{\mathbb{R}}(m \times n, r) = r(n - r) + \frac{r(r - 1)}{2}.$$

1 Introduction

For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every field K , let $M(m \times n, K)$ be the vector space of the $(m \times n)$ -matrices over K and let $S(n, K)$ be the vector space of the symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices over K .

We say that an affine subspace S of $M(m \times n, K)$ or of $S(n, K)$ has constant rank r if every matrix of S has rank r and we say that a linear subspace S of $M(m \times n, K)$ or of $S(n, K)$ has constant rank r if every nonzero matrix of S has rank r .

Define

$$\mathcal{A}^K(m \times n; r) = \{S \mid S \text{ affine subspace of } M(m \times n, K) \text{ of constant rank } r\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{sym}^K(n; r) = \{S \mid S \text{ affine subspace of } S(n, K) \text{ of constant rank } r\}$$

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: 15A30

Key words: affine subspaces, matrices, constant rank

$$\mathcal{A}_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n; p, \nu) = \{S \mid S \text{ affine subspace of } S(n, \mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. each } A \in S \text{ has signature } (p, \nu)\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^K(m \times n; r) = \{S \mid S \text{ linear subspace of } M(m \times n, K) \text{ of constant rank } r\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{sym}^K(n; r) = \{S \mid S \text{ linear subspace of } S(n, K) \text{ of constant rank } r\}$$

Let

$$a^K(m \times n; r) = \max\{\dim S \mid S \in \mathcal{A}^K(m \times n; r)\}$$

$$a_{sym}^K(n; r) = \max\{\dim S \mid S \in \mathcal{A}_{sym}^K(n; r)\}$$

$$a_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n; p, \nu) = \max\{\dim S \mid S \in \mathcal{A}_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n; p, \nu)\}$$

$$l^K(m \times n; r) = \max\{\dim S \mid S \in \mathcal{L}^K(m \times n; r)\}$$

$$l_{sym}^K(n; r) = \max\{\dim S \mid S \in \mathcal{L}_{sym}^K(n; r)\}.$$

There is a wide literature on linear subspaces of constant rank. In particular we quote the following theorems:

Theorem 1. (Westwick, [6]) For $2 \leq r \leq m \leq n$, we have:

$$n - r + 1 \leq l^{\mathbb{C}}(m \times n; r) \leq m + n - 2r + 1$$

Theorem 2. (Ilic-Landsberg, [5]) If r is even and greater than or equal to 2, then

$$l_{sym}^{\mathbb{C}}(n; r) = n - r + 1$$

In case r odd, the following result holds, see [5], [2], [3]:

Theorem 3. If r is odd, then

$$l_{sym}^{\mathbb{C}}(n; r) = 1$$

We mention also that, in [1], Flanders proved that, if $r \leq m \leq n$, a linear subspace of $M(m \times n, \mathbb{C})$ such that every of its elements has rank less than or equal to r has dimension less than or equal to rn .

In this paper we investigate on the maximal dimension of affine subspaces of constant rank. We prove the following theorems.

Theorem 4. Let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \leq n$. Then

$$a_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n, r) = r(n - r) + \left\lceil \frac{r^2}{4} \right\rceil.$$

Theorem 5. Let $m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \leq m \leq n$. Then

$$a^{\mathbb{R}}(m \times n, r) = rn - \frac{r(r + 1)}{2}.$$

2 Proof of Theorems 4 and 5

Notation 6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and K be a field. We denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix over K by I_n^K (or by I_n when the field is clear from the context). For any $A \in M(m \times n, K)$ we denote the submatrix of A given by the rows i_1, \dots, i_k and the columns j_1, \dots, j_s by $A_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}^{(j_1, \dots, j_s)}$.

Lemma 7. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. Let $T \in M(m \times n, \mathbb{R})$. Then the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_m & T \\ {}^tT & -I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible.

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_m & T \\ {}^tT & -I_n \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_m + T {}^tT & 0 \\ 0 & I_n + {}^tTT \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe also that tTT is positive semidefinite, so its eigenvalues are nonnegative, thus $I_n + {}^tTT$ is invertible. Analogously $I_m + T {}^tT$ is invertible and we can conclude. \square

Lemma 8. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and let $A \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\det(I_n + sA) = 0$ if and only if $A \neq 0$.

Proof. \Rightarrow This implication is obvious.

\Leftarrow Suppose $A \neq 0$. Then A has a nonzero eigenvalue λ . Let $s = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$. Then

$$\det(I_n + sA) = s^n \det\left(\frac{1}{s}I_n + A\right) = s^n \det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0.$$

\square

Lemma 9. Let $r \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. Let $A \in S(r, \mathbb{R})$ be invertible and $x \in \mathbb{R}^r - \{0\}$. Then the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & x \\ {}^tx & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible.

Proof. Up to elementary row operations and the same elementary column operations on the first r rows and the first r columns, we can suppose that $A = I_r$. If $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ were linear combination of the first r columns of $\begin{pmatrix} I & x \\ {}^tx & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we would have that $0 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2$, which is absurd. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. In order to prove that $a_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n, r) \geq r(n - r) + \left\lceil \frac{r^2}{4} \right\rceil$, consider the following subspace of $S(n, \mathbb{R})$:

$$S := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_{\frac{r}{2}} & {}^tT & {}^tA \\ T & -I_{\frac{r}{2}} & \\ \hline A & & 0 \end{array} \right) \mid T \in M\left(\frac{r}{2} \times \frac{r}{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), A \in M((n - r) \times r, \mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

if r is even,

$$S := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_{\frac{r+1}{2}} & {}^tT & {}^tA \\ T & -I_{\frac{r-1}{2}} & \\ \hline A & & 0 \end{array} \right) \mid T \in M\left(\frac{r-1}{2} \times \frac{r+1}{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), A \in M((n - r) \times r, \mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

if r is odd. The dimension of S is obviously $r(n - r) + \left\lceil \frac{r^2}{4} \right\rceil$. Moreover, suppose r even; observe that the signature of $\begin{pmatrix} I_{\frac{r}{2}} & {}^tT \\ T & -I_{\frac{r}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ is $(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2})$, in fact the positivity of a symmetric bilinear form b is the maximal dimension of subspace such that b restricted to such subspace is positive definite and analogously the negativity; so, by elementary row and column operations, we can change every matrix $\begin{pmatrix} I_{\frac{r}{2}} & {}^tT & {}^tA \\ T & -I_{\frac{r}{2}} & \\ \hline A & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ into a matrix of the kind

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_{\frac{r}{2}} & 0 & {}^tA' \\ 0 & -I_{\frac{r}{2}} & \\ \hline A' & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

for some $A' \in M((n - r) \times r, \mathbb{R})$ and by further elementary operations we can get the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_{\frac{r}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{\frac{r}{2}} & \\ \hline 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

so $\text{rk} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_{\frac{r}{2}} & {}^tT & {}^tA \\ T & -I_{\frac{r}{2}} & \\ \hline A & & 0 \end{array} \right) = r$. Analogously if r is odd. Thus $S \in \mathcal{A}_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n; r)$ and hence

$$a_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n, r) \geq r(n - r) + \left\lceil \frac{r^2}{4} \right\rceil.$$

Now let us prove that $a_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n, r) \leq r(n - r) + \left\lceil \frac{r^2}{4} \right\rceil$.

Let $S \in \mathcal{A}_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n; r)$. We can suppose $S = D + V$ where V is a linear subspace of $S(n, \mathbb{R})$ and D is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal is $(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$, where 1 is repeated p times for some p and -1 is repeated $r - p$ times.

Let Z be the vector subspace of $M(n \times n, \mathbb{R})$ generated by the matrices $E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}$ for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ with $i \leq j$.

Let U be the vector subspace of $M(n \times n, \mathbb{R})$ generated by the matrices $E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}$ for $i, j \in \{p+1, \dots, r\}$ with $i \leq j$.

Let W be the vector subspace of $M(n \times n, \mathbb{R})$ generated by the matrices $E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}$ for $i, j \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \leq j$.

We want to prove that

$$V \cap (Z + U + W) = \{0\}$$

Let $A \in Z, B \in U, C \in W$ such that $A + B + C \in V$.

- If C were nonzero, take $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\det(I_p + sA) \neq 0$ and $\det(-I_{r-p} + sB) \neq 0$; then $D + s(A + B + C)$ would have rank greater than r , so S would not be of constant rank r , which is contrary to our assumption. So C must be zero.
- If at least one of A and B were nonzero, take $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\det(I_p + sA) = 0$$

or

$$\det(-I_{r-p} + sB) = 0$$

(there exists by Lemma 8); then $D + s(A + B + C)$, that is $D + s(A + B)$, has rank less than r , so S would not be of constant rank r , which is contrary to our assumption. So also A and B must be zero.

So we have proved that $V \cap (Z + U + W) = \{0\}$. Hence

$$\dim(S) = \dim(V) \leq \dim(S(n, \mathbb{R})) - \dim(Z + U + W) = p(r - p) + r(n - r).$$

Finally, observe that $p(r - p)$ is less than or equal to $\frac{r^2}{4}$ if r is even and less than or equal to $\frac{r^2-1}{4}$ if r is odd. So

$$\dim(S) \leq \left\lceil \frac{r^2}{4} \right\rceil + r(n - r).$$

□

Observe that in a completely analogous way we can prove the following statement:

Theorem 10. *Let $p, \nu, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p + \nu \leq n$; then*

$$a_{sym}^{\mathbb{R}}(n; p, \nu) = p\nu + (p + \nu)(n - p - \nu).$$

Proof of Theorem 5. In order to prove that $a^{\mathbb{R}}(m \times n, r)$ is greater than or equal to $r(n - r) + \frac{r(r-1)}{2}$, i.e. greater than or equal to $rn - \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$, consider the following affine subspace of $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R})$:

$$S = \{A \in M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) \mid A_{i,i} = 1 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, r, \ A_{i,j} = 0 \ \forall (i, j) \text{ with } i > j \text{ or } i > r\}.$$

The dimension of S is clearly $r(n - r) + \frac{r(r-1)}{2}$ and $S \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}}(m \times n; r)$, so we get our inequality.

Now let us prove the other inequality. Let $S \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}}(m \times n; r)$. We can easily suppose that

$$S = J + V$$

where V is a vector subspace of $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R})$ and J is the matrix such that $J_{i,i} = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and the other entries are equal to zero.

Consider now the following subspaces of $M(m \times n, \mathbb{R})$:

$$Z = \{A \in M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) \mid A_{i,j} = 0 \forall (i, j) \text{ such that } i \neq j \text{ and } (i \leq r \text{ or } j \leq r)\}$$

$$T = \left\{ A \in M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \begin{array}{l} A_{i,j} = 0 \forall (i, j) \text{ such that } i = j \text{ or } (i > r \text{ and } j > r) \text{ or } j > m; \\ A_{i,j} = A_{j,i} \forall (i, j) \text{ such that } j \leq m \end{array} \right\}.$$

We want to prove that

$$V \cap (Z + T) = \{0\}.$$

Let $\zeta \in Z$ and $\tau \in T$ such that $\zeta + \tau \in V$; we want to show that $\zeta = \tau = 0$.

We denote $\zeta_{(r+1, \dots, m)}^{(r+1, \dots, n)}$ by ζ' and $\tau_{(r+1, \dots, m)}^{(1, \dots, r)}$ by τ' .

We consider four cases:

Case 1: $\tau' = 0, \zeta' = 0$.

Since $\tau + \zeta \in V$ we have that $J + s(\tau + \zeta)$ must have rank r for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$; observe that $(\tau + \zeta)_{i,j} = 0 \forall (i, j)$ with $i > r$ or $j > r$ (by the definition of Z and T and the fact that $\tau' = 0$ and $\zeta' = 0$) and that $(\tau + \zeta)_{(1, \dots, r)}^{(1, \dots, r)}$ is symmetric; hence, by Lemma 8, we can conclude that $(\tau + \zeta)_{(1, \dots, r)}^{(1, \dots, r)} = 0$ and then that $\tau + \zeta = 0$.

Case 2: $\tau' = 0, \zeta' \neq 0$.

Take $s \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ such that $\det(I_r + s(\tau + \zeta)_{(1, \dots, r)}^{(1, \dots, r)})$ is nonzero and $h \in \{r+1, \dots, m\}$ and $l \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\zeta_{h,l} \neq 0$. Then, obviously, the matrix $(J + s(\tau + \zeta))_{(1, \dots, r, h)}^{(1, \dots, r, l)}$ is invertible, which is impossible since $J + s(\tau + \zeta) \in S \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}}(m \times n; r)$.

Case 3: there exists $h \in \{r+1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\tau^{(h)} \neq 0$ and $\zeta_{(h,h)} = 0$.

Take $s \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ such that $\det(I_r + s(\tau + \zeta)_{(1, \dots, r)}^{(1, \dots, r)})$ is nonzero. Then, by Lemma 9, the matrix $(J + s(\tau + \zeta))_{(1, \dots, r, h)}^{(1, \dots, r, h)}$ is invertible, which is impossible since $J + s(\tau + \zeta) \in S \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}}(m \times n; r)$.

Case 4: there exists $h \in \{r+1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\tau^{(h)} \neq 0$ and $\zeta_{(h,h)} \neq 0$.

For s nonzero and sufficiently small, we have that $\det((J + s(\tau + \zeta))_{(1, \dots, r, h)}^{(1, \dots, r, h)})$ is nonzero (in fact $\zeta_{(1, \dots, r, h)}^{(1, \dots, r, h)}$ is diagonal and $\zeta_{(h,h)}$ is nonzero, so $\det((J + s(\tau + \zeta))_{(1, \dots, r, h)}^{(1, \dots, r, h)})$ is a polynomial in s with the term of degree 0 equal to 0 and the coefficient of the term of degree 1 equal to $\zeta_{(h,h)}$); but this is impossible since $J + s(\tau + \zeta) \in S \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}}(m \times n; r)$. Observe that the four cases we have considered are the only possible ones because when τ' is nonzero we have one among Case 3 and Case 4. Thus we have proved that $V \cap (Z + T) = \{0\}$. Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(S) &= \dim(V) \leq \dim M(m \times n, \mathbb{R}) - \dim(Z + T) = \\ &= mn - \dim(Z) - \dim(T) = r(n - r) + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and we can conclude. \square

Remark 11. Let $F[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ denote the set of the polynomials in the indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_k with coefficients on a field F . A matrix over $F[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ is said an Affine Column Independent matrix, or ACI-matrix, if its entries are polynomials of degree at most one and no indeterminate appears in two different columns. A completion of an ACI-matrix is an assignment of values in F to the indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_k . In [4] Huang and Zhan proved that all the completions of a $m \times n$ ACI-matrix over a field F with $|F| \geq \max\{m, n+1\}$ have rank r if and only if there exists a nonsingular constant $m \times m$ matrix T and a permutation $n \times n$ matrix Q such that TAQ is equal to a matrix of the kind

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

for some ACI-matrices B and C which are square upper triangular with nonzero constant diagonal entries and whose orders sum to r . Observe that the affine subspace

given by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} B & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$ with B and C square upper triangular ACI-matrices

with nonzero constant diagonal entries and whose orders are respectively k and $r - k$ is equal to the following number:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{(r-k)(r-k-1)}{2} + k(n-k) + (r-k)(m-k-r+k) = \\ = -\frac{r^2}{2} - \frac{r}{2} + k(n-m) + rm, \end{aligned}$$

which obviously attains the maximum, i.e. $rn - \frac{r^2+r}{2}$, when $k = r$. Let M be a matrix over $F[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ with the degree of every entry at most one. Let \tilde{M} be the ACI-matrix obtained from M in the following way: if an indeterminate x_i appears in more than one column, say in the columns j_1, \dots, j_s , replace it with new indeterminates $x_i^{j_1}, \dots, x_i^{j_s}$.

Observe that it is not possible to deduce Theorem 5 from this result, because if we have an affine subspace S of constant rank and we consider the affine subspace \tilde{S} given by the “ACImade” matrices of S , that is, given by the matrices \tilde{M} for $M \in S$, we have that it is not true in general that \tilde{S} is of constant rank as the following example shows:

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ s & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mid s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures, and their Applications (GNSAGA-INdAM).

References

- [1] H. Flanders, *On spaces of linear transformations with bounded rank*, J. London Math. Soc., 37 (1962), pp. 10-16.

- [2] F.R. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices*, vol. 2 Chelsea, Publishing Company New York 1959.
- [3] W.V.D. Hodge, D. Pedoe, *Methods of Algebraic Geometry*, vol. 2 Cambridge University Press Cambridge 1994 .
- [4] Z. Huang, X. Zhan, *ACI-matrices all of whose completions have the same rank*, Linear Algebra Appl., 434 (2011), pp. 2259-2271
- [5] B. Ilic, J.M. Landsberg *On symmetric degeneracy loci, spaces of symmetric matrices of constant rank and dual varieties*, Mathematischen Annalen, 314 (1999), pp. 159–174.
- [6] R. Westwick *Spaces of matrices of fixed rank*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 20 (1987), pp. 171-174.
- [7] R. Westwick *Spaces of matrices of fixed rank II*, Linear Algebra and its applications, 235 (1996), pp. 163-169.