

Periodicity of Grover walks on bipartite regular graphs with at most five distinct eigenvalues

Sho Kubota*

Abstract

We determine connected bipartite regular graphs with four distinct adjacency eigenvalues that induce periodic Grover walks, and show that it is only C_6 . We also show that there are only three kinds of the second largest eigenvalues of bipartite regular periodic graphs with five distinct eigenvalues. Using walk-regularity, we enumerate feasible spectra for such graphs.

Keywords: Grover walk, quantum walk, periodicity, walk-regular

MSC 2020 subject classifications: 05C50; 81Q99

1 Introduction

Quantum walks are quantum analogues of classical random walks [1, 2, 12]. A great deal of research on quantum walks has been conducted in the last 20 years. There is also a wide range of related fields. In quantum information, quantum walk models can be seen as generalizations of Grover's search algorithm [11, 30]. More recently, quantum cryptography protocols based on quantum walks have been proposed [29, 35].

The subject of this paper is periodicity of quantum walks. Periodicity has been studied as one of main problems of quantum walks, and there are several previous studies. Table 1 summarizes previous studies on periodicity of Grover walks on undirected graphs. Other models have been studied in [26, 31, 32] for example. Periodicity is a special case of state transfer problems. The authors in [23] have applied periodicity to the study of perfect state transfer. In context of quantum cryptography, periodicity of quantum walks can be a focus of attention [29].

In this paper, we consider bipartite regular graphs with at most five distinct adjacency eigenvalues that induce periodic Grover walks. As we will see in the beginning of Section 3, the graphs with at most three distinct adjacency eigenvalues have been substantially studied before. We will therefore study periodicity of the graphs with four or five distinct adjacency eigenvalues. There are two main theorems. See later

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Hodogaya, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan. kubota-sho-bp@ynu.ac.jp

Graphs	Ref.
Paths and Cycles	Trivial (or [27])
Complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, SRGs	[14]
Generalized Bethe trees	[25]
Hamming graphs, Johnson graphs	[36]
Cycles (3-state)	[18]
Complete graphs with self loops	[16]

Table 1: Previous works on periodicity of Grover walks on undirected graphs

sections for terminologies and definitions. The first main result states that if a bipartite regular graph with four or five distinct adjacency eigenvalues is periodic, then the second largest eigenvalue can only take three different values. The second main result is that the only bipartite regular graph with four distinct adjacency eigenvalues to induce periodic Grover walk is C_6 :

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a bipartite k -regular graph with the A -spectrum $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^a, [0]^b\}$, where $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 0$. Then Γ is periodic if and only if k is even and $\theta \in \{\frac{k}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}k, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}k\}$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a bipartite k -regular graph with the A -spectrum $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\}$, where n is the number of vertices of Γ . Then Γ is periodic if and only if Γ is isomorphic to the cycle graph C_6 .*

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is mainly preparation. Terms and facts related to spectral graph theory and Grover walks are introduced. In Section 3, we derive the first main theorem. Using rings of integers of quadratic fields, we derive conditions on eigenvalues that periodic graphs have. In Section 4, we derive the second main theorem. In Section 5, we study bipartite regular graphs with five distinct adjacency eigenvalues. Focusing on walk-regularity, we enumerate feasible spectra that periodic graphs have. In Section 6, we summarize the results and discuss open problems.

2 Preliminaries

See [10] for basic terminologies related to graphs. Throughout this paper, we assume that all graphs are finite, simple, and connected whether we mention or not. Let M be a square matrix. We call the multiset of eigenvalues of M the *spectrum* or the M -*spectrum*, and denote it by $\text{Spec}(M)$. For example, when the M -spectrum is $\{4, 0, 0, 0, -2, -2\}$, we describe the multiplicities by superscript, as in $\{[4]^1, [0]^3, [-2]^2\}$. The matrices I_n and J_n denote the identity matrix and the all-ones matrix of size n , respectively. The subscripts can be omitted if the sizes of these matrices are clear in context.

2.1 Graphs and their spectra

The *adjacency matrix* $A = A(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^{V \times V}$ of a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is defined by

$$A_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{x, y\} \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call eigenvalues of $A(\Gamma)$ *adjacency eigenvalues*.

Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 3.3.1 in [4]). *Let Γ be a k -regular graph with the adjacency eigenvalues $k = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, where n is the number of vertices. Then we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = nk$.*

A graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is said to be *bipartite* if its vertex set can be partitioned into two parts V_1 and V_2 such that for any edge one end is in V_1 and the other end is in V_2 . The two parts V_1, V_2 are called *partite sets* of V . It is well-known that the A -spectrum of a bipartite graph is symmetric about the origin and vice versa. See Theorem 8.8.2 in [10] for details. In this paper, we are mainly concerned with (connected) bipartite regular graphs with four or five distinct adjacency eigenvalues. The A -spectrum of such a graph is usually denoted by $\{[k]^1, [\theta]^a, [0]^b, [-\theta]^a, [-k]^1\}$, but we will simply write it as $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^a, [0]^b\}$. The following is a theorem due to Hoffman, often used in studies of graphs with few distinct adjacency eigenvalues.

Proposition 2.2 (Theorem 1 and its proof in [15]). *Let Γ be a connected k -regular graph with n vertices, and let the distinct adjacency eigenvalues be $k > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_s$. Then we have*

$$q(A(\Gamma)) = \frac{q(k)}{n} J_n,$$

where $q(x) = \prod_{i=2}^s (x - \lambda_i)$.

2.2 Grover walks

Let Γ be a graph. Define $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = \{(x, y), (y, x) \mid \{x, y\} \in E(\Gamma)\}$. The origin x and terminus y of $a = (x, y) \in \mathcal{A}$ are denoted by $o(a), t(a)$, respectively. We write the inverse arc of a as a^{-1} .

We introduce several matrices on Grover walks for a graph Γ . The *boundary matrix* $d = d(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^{V \times \mathcal{A}}$ is defined by $d_{x,a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\deg x}} \delta_{x,t(a)}$, where $\delta_{a,b}$ is the Kronecker delta. The *shift matrix* $S = S(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}}$ is defined by $S_{a,b} = \delta_{a,b^{-1}}$. Define the *time evolution matrix* $U = U(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}}$ by $U = S(2d^*d - I)$. Quantum walks defined by U is called *Grover walks*. The *discriminant* $T = T(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^{V \times V}$ is defined by $T = dSd^*$. Henceforth we will consider not only the spectrum of the adjacency matrix, but also the ones of the discriminant and the time evolution matrix. Define $\text{Spec}_A(\Gamma) = \text{Spec}(A(\Gamma))$ for a graph Γ . $\text{Spec}_T(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Spec}_U(\Gamma)$ are defined in the same way.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Γ be a k -regular graph, and let A and T be the adjacency matrix and the discriminant of Γ , respectively. Then we have $T = \frac{1}{k}A$. Therefore, the absolute values of eigenvalues of T does not exceed 1.*

We omit a proof. See Section 3 in [24] for more general claim and its proof. Relationship between U -spectra and T -spectra has been studied not only in the Grover walks but also in more general models [13, 20, 22]. We cite the result in [13], but the statement is slightly modified to fit our setting.

Theorem 2.4 ([13]). *Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a connected graph. Then we have*

$$\text{Spec}_U(\Gamma) = \{e^{\pm i \arccos \lambda} \mid \lambda \in \text{Spec}_T(\Gamma)\} \cup \{1\}^{M_1} \cup \{-1\}^{M_{-1}},$$

where $M_1 = |E| - |V| + 1$ and $M_{-1} = |E| - |V| + \dim \text{Ker}(T + I)$.

By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, the U -spectrum is obtained from the A -spectrum via the discriminant when a graph is regular.

Let U be the time evolution matrix of a graph Γ . We say that Γ is *periodic* if there exists a positive integer τ such that $U^\tau = I$. When a graph Γ is periodic, the positive integer $\min\{\tau \in \mathbb{N} \mid U^\tau = I\}$ is called the *period*. As we see immediately, periodicity is determined by U -spectrum.

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 5.3 in [27]). *Let U be the time evolution matrix of a graph Γ . Then, we have*

$$\{\tau \in \mathbb{N} \mid U^\tau = I\} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{N} \mid \lambda^\tau = 1 \text{ for any } \lambda \in \text{Spec}_U(\Gamma)\}.$$

In particular, Γ is periodic if and only if there exists a positive integer τ such that $\lambda^\tau = 1$ for any eigenvalue λ of U .

3 Bipartite regular graphs with at most five distinct adjacency eigenvalues

In this section, we derive a general fact on bipartite regular periodic graphs with four or five distinct adjacency eigenvalues. Note that the bipartite regular graph with two distinct adjacency eigenvalues is the complete graph K_2 , which is known to be periodic [14]. Bipartite regular graphs with three distinct adjacency eigenvalues have the A -spectra of the form $\{[k]^1, [0]^{n-2}, [-k]^1\}$. It is well-known that connected regular graphs with three distinct adjacency eigenvalues are strongly regular. Recovering the parameters from the eigenvalues, we see that their complements are disconnected. Disconnected strongly regular graphs are disjoint unions of the complete graphs with the same size (See Lemma 10.1.1 in [10]). This implies that the graphs are the complete bipartite graphs $K_{k,k}$, which are periodic shown in [14]. In the end, we are concerned with bipartite regular graphs with four or five distinct adjacency eigenvalues.

Let Γ be a bipartite k -regular graph with n vertices and the A -spectrum $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^a, [0]^b\}$, where $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 0$. Proposition 2.1 derives $2k^2 + 2a\theta^2 = nk$. We may assume that $\theta > 0$ without loss of generality, and we have

$$\theta = \sqrt{\frac{nk - 2k^2}{2a}}. \quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand, let the partite set of the vertex set be V_1 and V_2 , then we obtain

$$|V_1| = |V_2|. \quad (3.2)$$

Indeed, since Γ is bipartite, we can display as

$$A(\Gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} O & N \\ N^\top & O \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $p \times q$ matrix N . The graph Γ is k -regular, so $N\mathbf{1}_q = k\mathbf{1}_p$ and $N^\top\mathbf{1}_p = k\mathbf{1}_q$, where $\mathbf{1}_r$ denotes the all-ones vector of size r . We have $kp = \mathbf{1}_p^\top(N\mathbf{1}_q) = (\mathbf{1}_p^\top N)\mathbf{1}_q = kq$, i.e., $p = q$. This implies $|V_1| = |V_2|$.

A complex number α is said to be an *algebraic integer* if there exists a monic polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $p(\alpha) = 0$. Let Ω denote the set of algebraic integers. Note that since the characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients, the adjacency eigenvalues of a graph Γ are algebraic integers, i.e.,

$$\text{Spec}_A(\Gamma) \subset \Omega. \quad (3.3)$$

It is well-known that Ω is a subring of \mathbb{C} , and $\Omega \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$. See [17, 28] for algebraic integers. In addition, we will use integral bases of quadratic fields. A positive integer $m > 1$ is said to be *square-free* if it is not divisible by p^2 for any prime number p .

Proposition 3.1 (Proposition 2.34 in [17]). *Let $m > 1$ be a square-free integer. Then*

$$\Omega \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}) = \begin{cases} \{p + q\sqrt{m} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}\} & \text{if } m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \{p + \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}q \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}\} & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Note that m is not square-free when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Γ be a periodic graph. If $\lambda \in \text{Spec}_T(\Gamma)$, then $2\lambda \in \Omega$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a positive integer τ such that $\Lambda^\tau = 1$ for any $\Lambda \in \text{Spec}_U(\Gamma)$. The eigenvalue Λ is a root of the monic polynomial $x^\tau - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, so it is an algebraic integer. Let $\lambda \in \text{Spec}_T(\Gamma)$. Theorem 2.4 derives $e^{\pm i \arccos \lambda} \in \text{Spec}_U(\Gamma)$. Since Ω is a ring, we have $2\lambda = e^{i \arccos \lambda} + e^{-i \arccos \lambda} \in \Omega$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let Γ be a bipartite k -regular graph with the A -spectrum $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^a, [0]^b\}$, where $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 0$. Then Γ is periodic if and only if k is even and $\theta \in \{\frac{k}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}k, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}k\}$.*

Proof. First, we show the sufficient condition for $\theta = \frac{k}{2}$. The other cases are shown in the same way. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\text{Spec}_T(\Gamma) = \{[\pm 1]^1, [\pm \frac{1}{2}]^a, [0]^b\}$. Theorem 2.4 implies $\text{Spec}_U(\Gamma) \subset \{\pm 1, e^{\pm \frac{\pi}{3}i}, e^{\pm \frac{\pi}{2}i}\}$, where the multiplicities are ignored. Thus, there exists a positive integer τ such that $\lambda^\tau = 1$ for any $\lambda \in \text{Spec}_U(\Gamma)$, and hence Γ is periodic by Lemma 2.5.

We show the necessary condition. We may assume that $\theta > 0$ without loss of generality. We have $\frac{\theta}{k} \in \text{Spec}_T(\Gamma)$ since Γ is k -regular. Lemma 3.2 derives $\frac{2\theta}{k} \in \Omega$.

Consider the case $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$. We have $\frac{2\theta}{k} \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$. Lemma 2.3 implies $0 < \frac{\theta}{k} < 1$. Since $\frac{2\theta}{k}$ is an integer, we have $\frac{2\theta}{k} = 1$, i.e., $\theta = \frac{k}{2}$. From (3.3), we have $\theta \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$, and hence k is even.

Next, we consider the case $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$. By (3.1), there exist a square-free integer $m > 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\theta = r\sqrt{m}$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{2\theta}{k} = \frac{2r}{k}\sqrt{m} \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}). \quad (3.4)$$

We claim that $m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Suppose $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. By Proposition 3.1, there exists $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\frac{2r}{k}\sqrt{m} = p + \frac{1 + \sqrt{m}}{2}q.$$

Since $1, \sqrt{m}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , we have

$$p + \frac{q}{2} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\frac{2r}{k} = \frac{q}{2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Since $0 < \frac{2\theta}{k} < 2$, Equalities (3.4) and (3.6) imply $0 < q\sqrt{m} < 4$. Since $m \geq 5$, we have $0 < q < \frac{4}{\sqrt{m}} < 2$, and hence $q = 1$. Equality (3.5) shows that $p = -\frac{1}{2}$, which contradicts to $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, we have $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$. By Proposition 3.1, there exists $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\frac{2r}{k}\sqrt{m} = p + q\sqrt{m}$. Since $1, \sqrt{m}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , we have $p = 0$ and

$$q = \frac{2r}{k}. \quad (3.7)$$

Since $0 < \frac{2\theta}{k} < 2$, Equalities (3.4) and (3.7) imply $0 < q\sqrt{m} < 2$. Since $m \geq 2$, we have $0 < q < \frac{2}{\sqrt{m}} < 2$. This implies $q = 1$, and hence $(q, m) = (1, 2), (1, 3)$. Therefore, we have $\frac{2\theta}{k} = \frac{2r}{k}\sqrt{m} = q\sqrt{m} \in \{\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}\}$, i.e., $\theta \in \{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}k, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}k\}$. The eigenvalue θ is also in $\Omega \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, so k must be even from Proposition 3.1. \square

4 Four distinct adjacency eigenvalues

In this section, we consider a bipartite regular graph Γ with four distinct adjacency eigenvalues. As mentioned in Proposition 15.1.3 in [4], it is known that such a graph is

the incidence graph of a symmetric 2-design. Therefore there are a very large number of connected bipartite regular graphs with four distinct adjacency eigenvalues, of which we will show that only C_6 is periodic. Let the A -spectrum of Γ be $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^a\}$, where $a \geq 1$. Let n be the number of vertices of Γ . Then we have $a = \frac{n}{2} - 1$. From the previous section, if Γ is periodic, then $\theta \in \{\frac{k}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}k, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}k\}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Γ be a bipartite k -regular graph with the A -spectrum $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\}$, where n is the number of vertices of Γ . Then Γ is periodic if and only if Γ is isomorphic to the cycle graph C_6 .*

Proof. It is well-known that the cycle graph C_6 has the A -spectrum $\{[\pm 2]^1, [\pm 1]^2\}$, which is periodic. We show that the assumed periodic graph is determined to C_6 . Since Γ is bipartite, its adjacency matrix is displayed as

$$A = A(\Gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} O & N \\ N^\top & O \end{bmatrix}$$

for some matrix N . This matrix N is square matrix of size $\frac{n}{2}$ from (3.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \{[k^2]^2, [\theta^2]^{n-2}\} &= \text{Spec}(A^2) \\ &= \text{Spec}(NN^\top) \cup \text{Spec}(N^\top N) \\ &= \text{Spec}(NN^\top) \cup \text{Spec}(NN^\top). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\text{Spec}(NN^\top) = \{[k^2]^1, [\theta^2]^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\}$, that is,

$$\text{Spec}(NN^\top - \theta^2 I) = \{[k^2 - \theta^2]^1, [0]^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\}. \quad (4.1)$$

We take an eigenvector $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\mathbf{1}_{\frac{n}{2}}$ of norm 1 associated to the eigenvalue $k^2 - \theta^2$, and eigenvectors $x_1, \dots, x_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ associated to the eigenvalue 0 such that $x_i^\top x_j = \delta_{i,j}$. The matrix $P = [\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\mathbf{1}_{\frac{n}{2}}, x_1, \dots, x_{\frac{n}{2}-1}]$ is an orthogonal matrix and diagonalizes $NN^\top - \theta^2 I$. Thus we have

$$NN^\top - \theta^2 I = P \text{diag}(k^2 - \theta^2, 0, \dots, 0)P^\top = \frac{2(k^2 - \theta^2)}{n} J_{\frac{n}{2}},$$

that is,

$$NN^\top = \theta^2 I + \frac{2(k^2 - \theta^2)}{n} J_{\frac{n}{2}} \quad (4.2)$$

Since Γ is k -regular and N is $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix, we have

$$(NN^\top)_{x,x} = \sum_{z \in V(\Gamma)} N_{x,z} N_{x,z} = \sum_{z \in V(\Gamma)} N_{x,z} = k$$

for any vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$. The (x, x) entries of both sides of Equality (4.2) are $k = \theta^2 + \frac{2(k^2 - \theta^2)}{n}$. In particular, we have

$$k > \theta^2. \quad (4.3)$$

Suppose $\theta \in \{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}k, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}k\}$. Since $\theta \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}k$, Inequality (4.3) derives $k < 2$. However, k is even by Theorem 3.3. This is impossible. Thus $\theta = \frac{k}{2}$. By (4.3) again, we have $k < 4$, and hence $k = 2$ because k is even. The A -spectrum of Γ is determined to be $\{[\pm 2]^1, [\pm 1]^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\}$. Equality (3.1) implies $n = 6$. Since Γ is connected, the graph is determined to be the cycle graph C_6 . \square

5 Five distinct adjacency eigenvalues

In contrast to bipartite regular graphs with four distinct adjacency eigenvalues, we can construct a large number of periodic graphs with five distinct adjacency eigenvalues. On the other hand, of the feasible spectra, there are many graphs whose existence is unknown. Using tools of spectral graph theory, we discuss feasible periodic graphs with five distinct adjacency eigenvalues.

5.1 Construction

Let Γ be a graph. We write $\Gamma \otimes J_m$ as the graph defined by $A(\Gamma \otimes J_m) = A(\Gamma) \otimes J_m$. If $m = 1$, we simply regard $\Gamma \otimes J_1$ as Γ . If a graph Γ is k -regular and has the A -spectrum $\{[k]^1, [\lambda_1]^{f_1}, \dots, [\lambda_s]^{f_s}\}$, then the graph $\Gamma \otimes J_m$ is km -regular, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec}_A(\Gamma \otimes J_m) &= \{[km]^1, [\lambda_1 m]^{f_1}, \dots, [\lambda_s m]^{f_s}, [0]^{nm-n}\}, \\ \text{Spec}_T(\Gamma \otimes J_m) &= \left\{ [1]^1, \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{k} \right]^{f_1}, \dots, \left[\frac{\lambda_s}{k} \right]^{f_s}, [0]^{nm-n} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where n is the number of vertices of Γ . In particular, if $A(\Gamma)$ has the eigenvalue 0, then $T(\Gamma)$ and $T(\Gamma \otimes J_m)$ have the same spectrum except for the multiplicities. From this observation, it follows that $C_6 \otimes J_m$ and $C_8 \otimes J_m$ are also periodic graphs. Note that the U -spectrum of $C_6 \otimes J_m$ ignoring its multiplicities is $\{\pm 1, \pm i, e^{\pm \frac{\pi}{3}i}, e^{\pm \frac{2\pi}{3}i}\}$ from Theorem 2.4. Thus, the period is 12. Similarly, the period of $C_8 \otimes J_m$ is 8.

Another construction method is to use graph products. Let Γ and Δ be graphs with n and m vertices, respectively. The *Cartesian product* $\Gamma \square \Delta$ is the graph defined by $A(\Gamma \square \Delta) = A(\Gamma) \otimes I_m + I_n \otimes A(\Delta)$. The *Kronecker product* $\Gamma \otimes \Delta$ is the graph defined by $A(\Gamma \otimes \Delta) = A(\Gamma) \otimes A(\Delta)$. In particular, the graph $\Gamma \otimes K_2$ is called the *bipartite double* of Γ . The A -spectrum of $\Gamma \otimes K_2$ is $\text{Spec}_A(\Gamma) \cup -\text{Spec}_A(\Gamma)$. These graph products can also be used to construct periodic graphs. For example, the line graph of the 3-dimensional hypercube Q_3 , i.e., $L(Q_3)$ has the A -spectrum $\{[4]^1, [2]^3, [0]^3, [-2]^5\}$. Thus, its bipartite double $L(Q_3) \otimes K_2$ has the A -spectrum $\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^8, [0]^6\}$, which is a connected bipartite regular periodic graph with five distinct adjacency eigenvalues. For more information on graph products, see [4, 21].

5.2 Feasible spectra

From Theorem 3.3, if a bipartite k -regular graph Γ with five distinct adjacency eigenvalues is periodic, the second largest eigenvalue can only take one of the three values, and k must be even. However, there are still infinite possibilities for the number of vertices and multiplicities of eigenvalues. We need to narrow down candidates for A -spectra of graphs.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Γ be a bipartite k -regular graph with the A -spectrum $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^a, [0]^b\}$, where $a, b \geq 1$. If we display the adjacency matrix A of Γ as*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} O & N \\ N^\top & O \end{bmatrix},$$

then we have $NN^\top N = \theta^2 N + \frac{2k}{n}(k^2 - \theta^2)J_{\frac{n}{2}}$, where n is the number of vertices.

Proof. The two vectors

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ \mathbf{1}_{\frac{n}{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{\frac{n}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

are eigenvectors of A associated to $k, -k$ with norm 1, respectively. Let x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} be eigenvectors of A associated to $\lambda \notin \{k, -k\}$ such that $x_i^\top x_j = \delta_{i,j}$. Define $M = (A^2 - \theta^2 I_n)A$. Then x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} are eigenvectors of M associated to 0. Consider $Q = [\alpha, \beta, x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}]$. The matrix Q is an orthogonal matrix and diagonalizes M , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &= Q \operatorname{diag}((k^2 - \theta^2)k, -(k^2 - \theta^2)k, 0, \dots, 0) Q^\top \\ &= (k^2 - \theta^2)k(\alpha\alpha^\top - \beta\beta^\top) \\ &= \frac{2k(k^2 - \theta^2)}{n} \begin{bmatrix} O & J_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ J_{\frac{n}{2}} & O \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= (A^2 - \theta^2 I_n)A \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} O & N \\ N^\top & O \end{bmatrix}^2 - \theta^2 I_n \right) \begin{bmatrix} O & N \\ N^\top & O \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} O & NN^\top N - \theta^2 N \\ N^\top NN^\top - \theta^2 N^\top & O \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (1,2)-block, we obtain the statement. □

Lemma 5.2. *With the above notation, we have $n \leq 2k(k^2 - \theta^2)$.*

Proof. Pick $x \in V(\Gamma)$. Let $\Gamma_j(x)$ be the set of vertices at distance j from x . Display as $\Gamma_2(x) = \{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$. Suppose that $\Gamma_1(x) = \Gamma_1(y_i)$ for any $y_i \in \Gamma_2(x)$. Since Γ is connected, Γ is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $K_{k,k}$. However, this contradicts the assumption of the A -spectrum of Γ . Thus, there exists $y_i \in \Gamma_2(x)$ such that $\Gamma_1(y_i) \neq \Gamma_1(x)$. Since Γ is k -regular, neither $\Gamma_1(y_i)$ nor $\Gamma_1(x)$ is included in the other. Thus, we have $\Gamma_1(y_i) \setminus \Gamma_1(x) \neq \emptyset$. Pick $z \in \Gamma_1(y_i) \setminus \Gamma_1(x)$. The pair of vertices x, z satisfies $N_{x,z} = 0$ and $(NN^\top N)_{x,z} \geq 1$. By Lemma 5.1,

$$1 \leq (NN^\top N)_{x,z} = \left(\theta^2 N + \frac{2k}{n}(k^2 - \theta^2)J_{\frac{n}{2}} \right)_{x,z} = \frac{2k}{n}(k^2 - \theta^2).$$

We have the statement. \square

A graph Γ with the adjacency matrix A is said to be *walk-regular* if $(A^r)_{x,x}$ is independent of the choice of x for each positive integer r . Walk-regular graphs are regular since $\deg x = (A^2)_{x,x}$ is a constant. See [9] for more information on walk-regular graphs. Walk-regularity provides conditions for the existence of graphs. Let the constant $(A^r)_{x,x}$ be c_r for a positive integer r , and let the adjacency eigenvalues be $k = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^r = \text{tr}(A^r) = \sum_{x \in V(\Gamma)} (A^r)_{x,x} = nc_r,$$

where n is the number of vertices. The constant c_r is the number of closed walks, so

$$c_r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^r$$

is a non-negative integer. This condition restricts feasible spectra of walk-regular graphs. Van Dam pointed out that regular graphs with four adjacency eigenvalues are walk-regular [5]. Koledin and Stanić showed that regular bipartite graphs with three distinct non-negative adjacency eigenvalues are walk-regular [19]. Although a proof is similar, the graphs we consider are also walk-regular.

Lemma 5.3. *Let Γ be a bipartite k -regular graph with the A -spectrum $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^a, [0]^b\}$, where $a, b \geq 1$. Then Γ is walk-regular.*

Proof. Let n be the number of vertices of Γ , and let A be the adjacency matrix. By Proposition 2.2, we have $q(A) = \frac{q(k)}{n}J_n$, where $q(x) = x(x+k)(x^2 - \theta^2)$. In particular, A^4 can be expressed as a linear combination of A^3, A^2, A, I_n , and J_n with rational coefficients. Thus for any positive integer r , there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $A^r = \alpha_1 A^3 + \alpha_2 A^2 + \alpha_3 A + \alpha_4 I_n + \alpha_5 J_n$. On the other hand, $(A^3)_{x,x} = A_{x,x} = 0$ for any $x \in V(\Gamma)$ since Γ is bipartite. We have $(A^r)_{x,x} = k\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, and hence Γ is walk-regular. \square

Proposition 5.4. *Let Γ be a bipartite k -regular graph with n vertices. Suppose that the A -spectrum is $\text{Spec}_A(\Gamma) = \{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \theta]^a, [0]^b\}$, where $a, b \geq 1$. Then we have the following.*

- (i) $a = \frac{nk-2k^2}{2\theta^2}$ and $b = n - 2 - \frac{nk-2k^2}{\theta^2}$. In particular, $\frac{nk-2k^2}{2\theta^2}$ and $n - 2 - \frac{nk-2k^2}{\theta^2}$ are positive integers;
- (ii) $\frac{2(k^2+\theta^2)}{k} \leq n \leq 2k(k^2 - \theta^2)$; and
- (iii) For any positive integer r , we have $\frac{1}{n}(2 \cdot k^{2r} + (nk - 2k^2)\theta^{2r-2}) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (i) Since the sum of the multiplicities is the number of vertices, we have

$$2a + b + 2 = n. \quad (5.1)$$

Proposition 2.1 implies

$$2k^2 + 2a\theta^2 = nk, \quad (5.2)$$

which leads to $a = \frac{nk-2k^2}{2\theta^2}$. Equalities (5.1) and (5.2) imply $b = n - 2 - \frac{nk-2k^2}{\theta^2}$. Since the multiplicities of eigenvalues are positive integers, $\frac{nk-2k^2}{2\theta^2}$ and $n - 2 - \frac{nk-2k^2}{\theta^2}$ are also positive integers.

(ii) Since $a \geq 1$, we have $\frac{nk-2k^2}{2\theta^2} \geq 1$, i.e., $n \geq \frac{2(k^2+\theta^2)}{k}$. On the other hand, Lemma 5.2 derives $n \leq 2k(k^2 - \theta^2)$. Thus, we have $\frac{2(k^2+\theta^2)}{k} \leq n \leq 2k(k^2 - \theta^2)$.

(iii) By Lemma 5.3, the graph Γ is walk-regular. Thus, the constant c_{2r} is a non-negative integer for any positive integer r . We have $\frac{1}{n}(2 \cdot k^{2r} + (nk - 2k^2)\theta^{2r-2}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

The above proposition narrows down the candidates of graphs. Indeed, the condition (ii) makes possibility of n finite when k is fixed. The conditions (i) and (iii) further restrict possibilities for n and k . For convenience, we call graphs to pass Proposition 5.4 *feasible periodic graphs*. Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4, which are shown after references for reasons of space, list feasible periodic graphs. In these tables, there are many graphs whose existence is unknown. The column “Existence” gives one example that realizes the spectrum if such graphs exist. See [3] for the symbols of the graphs. In addition, the symbol “—” in the tables denotes that the spectrum passes Proposition 5.4 but the non-existence of a graph is shown by other reasons. The reasons are briefly described in the rightmost column of the tables. For example, a graph with A -spectrum of the form $\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^a, [0]^b\}$ is a 4-regular integral graph. Thus, the classification by Stevanović [33] apply, and hence there is no such graph with more than 32 vertices.

5.3 Quadrangles

We next focus on the sum of the fourth power of the eigenvalues. This contains the information of quadrangles in graphs. Such geometric information gives us a slightly stronger condition for the existence of graphs. The following is substantially pointed out also in [5, 34], but we give a proof for wider readers.

Lemma 5.5. *Let Γ be a walk-regular graph with the adjacency eigenvalues $k = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Denote by q the number of quadrangles in Γ and by q_x the number of quadrangles containing a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$. Then we have*

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 = n(2k^2 - k) + 8q$; and
- (ii) *The number q_x is a constant independent of the choice of a vertex, and we have $q_x = \frac{4q}{n}$.*

Proof. Let the adjacency matrix of Γ be A . First, we observe the (x, x) entry of A^4 for a vertex x . It represents the number of closed walks of length 4 from x to x . See Figure 1. There are four possibilities for a closed walk of length 4. Case (a) shows a closed walk (x, y_1, x, y_1, x) where only one vertex y_1 appears besides x . There are k ways to get y_1 , so there are k walks of this type. Case (b) shows a closed walk (x, y_1, x, y_2, x) where two vertices y_1, y_2 are adjacent to x . The total number getting y_1, y_2 is $k(k-1)$. Thus, there are $k(k-1)$ walks of this type. Case (c) shows a closed walk (x, y_1, y_2, y_1, x) where y_1 is adjacent to x but y_2 is not adjacent to x . There are k ways to take a vertex y_1 , and for each of them there are $k-1$ ways to take y_2 . Thus, there are $k(k-1)$ walks of this type. Case (d) shows a closed walk that forms a quadrangle. For each quadrangle, there are two closed walks (x, y_1, y_2, y_3, x) and (x, y_3, y_2, y_1, x) . Thus, we get $2q_x$ walks of this type. By the four cases, we have

$$(A^4)_{x,x} = 2k^2 - k + 2q_x. \quad (5.3)$$

Let the set of the quadrangles in Γ be \mathcal{Q} . Count $|\{(x, Q) \in V(\Gamma) \times \mathcal{Q} \mid x \in Q\}|$ in two ways, and we obtain

$$\sum_{x \in V(\Gamma)} q_x = 4q. \quad (5.4)$$

Indeed,

$$|\{(x, Q) \in V(\Gamma) \times \mathcal{Q} \mid x \in Q\}| = \sum_{x \in V(\Gamma)} |\{Q \in \mathcal{Q} \mid x \in Q\}| = \sum_{x \in V(\Gamma)} q_x,$$

and we have

$$|\{(x, Q) \in V(\Gamma) \times \mathcal{Q} \mid x \in Q\}| = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} |\{x \in V(\Gamma) \mid x \in Q\}| = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} 4 = 4q.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 = \sum_{x \in V(\Gamma)} (A^4)_{x,x} = \sum_{x \in V(\Gamma)} (2k^2 - k + 2q_x) = n(2k^2 - k) + 8q.$$

Equality (5.3) and walk-regularity derive $c_4 = (A^4)_{x,x} = 2k^2 - k + 2q_x$, so q_x is also a constant. Thus, Equality (5.4) implies $4q = \sum_{x \in V(\Gamma)} q_x = nq_x$, that is, $q_x = \frac{4q}{n}$. \square

From the above lemma, $q = \frac{1}{8}(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 - n(2k^2 - k))$ and $q_x = \frac{1}{2n}(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 - n(2k^2 - k))$ must be non-negative integers. This is another necessary condition different from Proposition 5.4 for the existence of graphs. Indeed, several feasible periodic graphs in the tables are eliminated by this observation.




Figure 1: Closed walks of length 4

6 Discussion and questions

In this paper, we completely determined bipartite regular graphs with four distinct adjacency eigenvalues that induce periodic Grover walks, and show that it is only C_6 . In addition, we showed that there are only three kinds of the second largest eigenvalues of bipartite regular periodic graphs with five distinct eigenvalues. Focusing on certain conditions obtained from walk-regularity and the number of quadrangles, we have narrowed down periodic graphs. However, there are still many in the tables whose existence is unknown. Table 2 is directly related to the existence and classification of integral graphs, which is a problem in spectral graph theory. Indeed, the case $k = 4$ in Table 2 was completed by the results of Stevanović [33]. Similar problems for the case $k \geq 6$ remain to be solved:

Question 6.1. *Fix an even number $k \geq 6$. Classify the integral graphs whose A -spectra are the form $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \frac{k}{2}]^a, [0]^b\}$.*

None of the graphs in Table 4 have been found so far. The existence of such graphs should also be investigated:

Question 6.2. *Is there a graph whose A -spectrum is the form $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}k]^a, [0]^b\}$?*

Acknowledgements

S.K. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant No. 20J01175).

References

- [1] D. Aharonov, A. Ambainis, J. Kempe, U. Vazirani, *Quantum walks on graphs*, Proc. 33rd STOC, ACM (2001), pp. 50–59.

- [2] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich, N. Zagury, *Quantum random walks*, Physical Review A, 48, (1993), 1687–1690.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [4] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer Science & Business Media (2011).
- [5] E.R. van Dam, *Regular graphs with four eigenvalues*, Linear algebra and its applications 226 (1995): 139–162.
- [6] E.R. van Dam, W.H. Haemers, *Spectral characterizations of some distance-regular graphs*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 15.2 (2002): 189–202.
- [7] E.R. van Dam, W.H. Haemers, J. H. Koolen, E. Spence, *Characterizing distance-regularity of graphs by the spectrum*, Journal of combinatorial theory, Series A 113.8 (2006): 1805–1820.
- [8] E.R. van Dam, E. Spence, *Combinatorial designs with two singular values II. Partial geometric designs*, Linear algebra and its applications 396 (2005): 303–316.
- [9] C.D. Godsil, B.D. McKay, *Feasibility conditions for the existence of walk-regular graphs*, Linear algebra and its applications 30 (1980): 51–61.
- [10] C. Godsil, G.F. Royle, *Algebraic graph theory*, Vol. 207. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.
- [11] L. Grover, *A fast quantum search mechanical algorithm for database search*, Proceedings of the 28th annual ACM symposium on theory of computing, (1996), 212–219.
- [12] S.P. Gudder, *Quantum probability*, Academic Press Inc., (1988).
- [13] Y. Higuchi, N. Konno, I. Sato, E. Segawa, *Spectral and asymptotic properties of Grover walks on crystal lattices*, J. Funct. Anal. 267, 4197–4235 (2014).
- [14] Y. Higuchi, N. Konno, I. Sato, E. Segawa, *Periodicity of the discrete-time quantum walk on a finite graph*, Interdiscip. Inf. Sci., 23, 75–86 (2017).
- [15] A.J. Hoffman, *On the polynomial of a graph*, The American Mathematical Monthly 70.1 (1963): 30–36.
- [16] N. Ito, T. Matsuyama, T. Tsurii, *Periodicity of Grover walks on complete graphs with self-loops*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, Volume 599, (2020), Pages 121–132.
- [17] F. Jarvis, *Algebraic number theory*, New York: Springer, 2014.

- [18] T. Kajiwara, N. Konno, S. Koyama, K. Saito, *Periodicity for the 3-state quantum walk on cycles*, Quantum Inf. Comp. 19, 1081–1088 (2019).
- [19] T. Koledin, Z. Stanić, *Regular bipartite graphs with three distinct non-negative eigenvalues*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 438.8 (2013): 3336–3349.
- [20] N. Konno, I. Sato, E. Segawa, Y. Shikano, *Quantum walks driven by quantum coins with two multiple eigenvalues*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.00716 (2021).
- [21] S. Kubota, *Unification of graph products and compatibility with switching*, Graphs and Combinatorics 33.5 (2017): 1347–1355.
- [22] S. Kubota, K. Saito, Y. Yoshie, *A new type of spectral mapping theorem for quantum walks with a moving shift on graphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.05235 (2021).
- [23] S. Kubota, E. Segawa, *Perfect state transfer in Grover walks between states associated to vertices of a graph*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.06418 (2021).
- [24] S. Kubota, E. Segawa, T. Taniguchi, *Quantum walks defined by digraphs and generalized Hermitian adjacency matrices*, Quantum Information Processing volume 20, Article number: 95 (2021).
- [25] S. Kubota, E. Segawa, T. Taniguchi, Y. Yoshie, *Periodicity of Grover walks on generalized Bethe trees*, Linear Algebra Its Appl., 554, 371–391 (2018).
- [26] S. Kubota, E. Segawa, T. Taniguchi, Y. Yoshie, *A quantum walk induced by Hoffman graphs and its periodicity*, Linear Algebra and its Application, 579, (2019), 217–236.
- [27] S. Kubota, H. Sekido, H. Yata, *Periodicity of quantum walks defined by mixed paths and mixed cycles*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 630, 15–38 (2021).
- [28] S. Lang, *Algebraic number theory*, Vol. 110. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [29] A. Panda, C. Benjamin, *Order from chaos in quantum walks on cyclic graphs*, Physical Review A 104.1 (2021): 012204.
- [30] R. Portugal, *Quantum Walks and Search Algorithm*, Springer (2013).
- [31] K. Saito, *Periodicity for the Fourier quantum walk on regular graphs*, Quantum Inf. Comput., 19 (2019), pp. 23–34.
- [32] R. S. Sarkar, A. Mandal, B. Adhikari, *Periodicity of lively quantum walks on cycles with generalized Grover coin*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 604, 399–424 (2020).

- [33] D. Stevanović, *4-Regular integral graphs avoiding ± 3 in the spectrum*, Publikacije Elektrotehničkog fakulteta. Serija Matematika (2003): 99–110.
- [34] D. Stevanović, *Two spectral characterizations of regular, bipartite graphs with five eigenvalues*, Linear algebra and its applications 435.10 (2011): 2612–2625.
- [35] C. Vlachou, J. Rodrigues, P. Mateus, N. Paunković, A. Souto, *Quantum walk public-key cryptographic system*, International Journal of Quantum Information, 13.07 (2015), 1550050.
- [36] Y. Yoshie, *Periodicity of Grover walks on distance-regular graphs*, Graphs Comb., 35 (2019), pp.1305–1321.

k	n	Spectrum	Existence	Comment
4	12	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^2, [0]^6\}$	$C_6 \otimes J_2$	
4	16	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^4, [0]^6\}$	$H(4, 2)$	
4	24	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^8, [0]^6\}$	$L(Q_3) \otimes K_2$	
4	32	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^{12}, [0]^6\}$	$IG(AG(2, 4) \setminus \text{pc})$	$q = 0, [3, 7]$
4	48	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^{20}, [0]^6\}$	—	[33]
4	64	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^{28}, [0]^6\}$	—	[33]
4	96	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2]^{44}, [0]^6\}$	—	[33]
6	18	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^2, [0]^{12}\}$	$C_6 \otimes J_3$	
6	24	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^4, [0]^{14}\}$	—	$q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$
6	36	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^8, [0]^{18}\}$?	
6	54	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^{14}, [0]^{24}\}$	$H(3, 3) \otimes K_2$	
6	72	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^{20}, [0]^{30}\}$	—	$q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$
6	108	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^{32}, [0]^{42}\}$?	
6	162	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^{50}, [0]^{60}\}$	$IG(pg(5, 5, 2))$	$q = 0, [3, 6]$
6	216	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^{68}, [0]^{78}\}$	—	$q < 0$
6	324	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3]^{104}, [0]^{114}\}$	—	$q < 0$
8	24	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^2, [0]^{18}\}$	$C_6 \otimes J_4$	
8	32	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^4, [0]^{22}\}$	$H(4, 2) \otimes J_2$	
8	48	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^8, [0]^{30}\}$	$L(Q_3) \otimes K_2 \otimes J_2$	
8	64	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^{12}, [0]^{38}\}$	$K_{4,4} \square K_{4,4}$	
8	96	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^{20}, [0]^{54}\}$?	
8	128	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^{28}, [0]^{70}\}$?	
8	192	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^{44}, [0]^{102}\}$?	
8	256	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^{60}, [0]^{134}\}$?	
8	384	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^{92}, [0]^{198}\}$?	
8	512	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^{124}, [0]^{262}\}$?	
8	768	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4]^{188}, [0]^{390}\}$?	
10	30	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^2, [0]^{24}\}$	$C_6 \otimes J_5$	
10	40	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^4, [0]^{30}\}$	—	$q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$
10	50	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^6, [0]^{36}\}$?	
10	60	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^8, [0]^{42}\}$?	
10	100	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{16}, [0]^{66}\}$?	
10	120	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{20}, [0]^{78}\}$	—	$q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$
10	150	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{26}, [0]^{96}\}$?	
10	200	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{36}, [0]^{126}\}$	—	$q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$
10	250	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{46}, [0]^{156}\}$?	
10	300	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{56}, [0]^{186}\}$?	
10	500	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{96}, [0]^{306}\}$?	
10	600	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{116}, [0]^{366}\}$	—	$q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$
10	750	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{146}, [0]^{456}\}$?	
10	1000	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{196}, [0]^{606}\}$	—	$q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$
10	1250	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{246}, [0]^{756}\}$?	
10	1500	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5]^{296}, [0]^{906}\}$?	
\vdots	\vdots			

Table 2: Feasible periodic graphs whose A -spectra are the form $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \frac{k}{2}]^a, [0]^b\}$.

k	n	Spectrum	Existence	Comment
2	8	$\{[\pm 2]^1, [\pm \sqrt{2}]^2, [0]^2\}$	C_8	
4	16	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2\sqrt{2}]^2, [0]^{10}\}$	$C_8 \otimes J_2$	[8]
4	32	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2\sqrt{2}]^6, [0]^{18}\}$	$\text{TD}_1(2, 4) \otimes J_{2,1}$	
4	64	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2\sqrt{2}]^{14}, [0]^{34}\}$?	
6	18	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^1, [0]^{14}\}$	—	$q \notin \mathbb{Z}$
6	24	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^2, [0]^{18}\}$	$C_8 \otimes J_3$	$q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$ $q \notin \mathbb{Z}$
6	36	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^4, [0]^{26}\}$?	
6	48	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^6, [0]^{34}\}$	—	
6	54	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^7, [0]^{38}\}$	—	
6	72	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^{10}, [0]^{50}\}$?	
6	108	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^{16}, [0]^{74}\}$?	
6	144	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^{22}, [0]^{98}\}$	—	
6	162	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^{25}, [0]^{110}\}$	—	
6	216	$\{[\pm 6]^1, [\pm 3\sqrt{2}]^{34}, [0]^{146}\}$?	
8	32	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4\sqrt{2}]^2, [0]^{26}\}$	$C_8 \otimes J_4$	[8]
8	64	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4\sqrt{2}]^6, [0]^{50}\}$	$\text{TD}_1(2, 4) \otimes J_{2,1} \otimes J_2$	
8	128	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4\sqrt{2}]^{14}, [0]^{98}\}$?	
8	256	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4\sqrt{2}]^{30}, [0]^{194}\}$?	
8	512	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4\sqrt{2}]^{62}, [0]^{386}\}$?	
10	40	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^2, [0]^{34}\}$	$C_8 \otimes J_5$	$q \notin \mathbb{Z}$ $q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$ $q \notin \mathbb{Z}$ $q_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$
10	50	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^3, [0]^{42}\}$	—	
10	80	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^6, [0]^{66}\}$	—	
10	100	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^8, [0]^{82}\}$?	
10	200	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^{18}, [0]^{162}\}$?	
10	250	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^{23}, [0]^{202}\}$	—	
10	400	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^{38}, [0]^{322}\}$	—	
10	500	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^{48}, [0]^{402}\}$?	
10	1000	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{2}]^{98}, [0]^{802}\}$?	
\vdots	\vdots			

Table 3: Feasible periodic graphs whose A -spectra are the form $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}k]^a, [0]^b\}$.

k	n	Spectrum	Existence	Comment
4	32	$\{[\pm 4]^1, [\pm 2\sqrt{3}]^4, [0]^{22}\}$	—	[8]
8	64	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4\sqrt{3}]^4, [0]^{54}\}$?	
8	256	$\{[\pm 8]^1, [\pm 4\sqrt{3}]^{20}, [0]^{214}\}$?	
10	50	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{3}]^2, [0]^{44}\}$	—	$q \notin \mathbb{Z}$
10	200	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{3}]^{12}, [0]^{174}\}$	—	$q \notin \mathbb{Z}$
10	500	$\{[\pm 10]^1, [\pm 5\sqrt{3}]^{32}, [0]^{434}\}$?	
12	96	$\{[\pm 12]^1, [\pm 6\sqrt{3}]^4, [0]^{86}\}$?	
12	384	$\{[\pm 12]^1, [\pm 6\sqrt{3}]^{20}, [0]^{342}\}$?	
14	196	$\{[\pm 14]^1, [\pm 7\sqrt{3}]^8, [0]^{178}\}$?	
14	1372	$\{[\pm 14]^1, [\pm 7\sqrt{3}]^{64}, [0]^{1242}\}$?	
16	128	$\{[\pm 16]^1, [\pm 8\sqrt{3}]^4, [0]^{118}\}$?	
16	512	$\{[\pm 16]^1, [\pm 8\sqrt{3}]^{20}, [0]^{470}\}$?	
16	2048	$\{[\pm 16]^1, [\pm 8\sqrt{3}]^{84}, [0]^{1878}\}$?	
20	100	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^2, [0]^{94}\}$?	
20	160	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^4, [0]^{150}\}$?	
20	250	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^7, [0]^{234}\}$?	
20	400	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^{12}, [0]^{374}\}$?	
20	640	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^{20}, [0]^{598}\}$?	
20	1000	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^{32}, [0]^{934}\}$?	
20	1600	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^{52}, [0]^{1494}\}$?	
20	2500	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^{82}, [0]^{2334}\}$?	
20	4000	$\{[\pm 20]^1, [\pm 10\sqrt{3}]^{132}, [0]^{3734}\}$?	
\vdots	\vdots			

Table 4: Feasible periodic graphs whose A -spectra are the form $\{[\pm k]^1, [\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}k]^a, [0]^b\}$.