

# An extension of Thomassen's result on choosability

Lingxi Li      Tao Wang\*

Center for Applied Mathematics

Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China

## Abstract

Thomassen proved that all planar graphs are 5-choosable. Škrekovski strengthened the result by showing that all  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs are 5-choosable. Dvořák and Postle pointed out that all planar graphs are DP-5-colorable. In this note, we first improve these results by showing that every  $K_5$ -minor-free or  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graph is DP-5-colorable. In the final section, we further improve these results under the term strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal.

## 1 Introduction

Thomassen [6] proved that all planar graphs are 5-choosable. Škrekovski [9] (see also [3, 11]) extended the result to the class of  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs. Dvořák and Postle [2] gave a generalization of list coloring, under the name correspondence coloring, which was called DP-coloring by Bernshteyn, Kostochka, and Pron [1].

Let  $G$  be a graph and  $L$  be a list assignment for  $G$ . For each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we associate it with a set  $L_v = \{v\} \times L(v)$ ; for each edge  $uv \in E(G)$ , we associate it with a matching  $\mathcal{M}_{uv}$  between  $L_u$  and  $L_v$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{uv \in E(G)} \mathcal{M}_{uv}$ , and we call  $\mathcal{M}$  the **matching assignment** over  $L$ . The matching assignment  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a  **$k$ -matching assignment** if  $L(v) = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ . A **cover** of  $G$  is a graph  $H_{L, \mathcal{M}}$  (simply write  $H$ ) meeting two conditions:

- the vertex set of  $H$  is the disjoint union of  $L_v$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ ; and
- the edge set of  $H$  is the matching assignment  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph and  $H$  be a cover of  $G$  over a list assignment  $L$ . An  $(L, \mathcal{M})$ -**coloring** of  $G$  is an independent set  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $H$  such that  $|\mathcal{I} \cap L_v| = 1$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ . A graph  $G$  is **DP- $k$ -colorable** if for any list assignment  $L(v) \supseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and any matching assignment  $\mathcal{M}$ , it admits an  $(L, \mathcal{M})$ -coloring. Note that every DP- $k$ -colorable graph is  $k$ -choosable.

Dvořák and Postle [2] have pointed out that all planar graphs are DP-5-colorable. We improve the result to the following Theorem 1.1, and we also extend the result for planar graphs to the class of  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graphs.

**Theorem 1.1.** All  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs are DP-5-colorable.

**Theorem 1.2.** All  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graphs are DP-5-colorable.

Let  $H$  be a cover of  $G$ , and let  $f$  be a function from  $V(H)$  to  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . A subset  $T \subseteq V(H)$  is called a **transversal** if  $|T \cap L_v| = 1$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ . A transversal  $T$  of a cover  $H$  is **strictly  $f$ -degenerate** if every nonempty subgraph  $\Gamma$  in  $H[T]$  contains a vertex  $x$  with  $\deg_\Gamma(x) < f(x)$ . In other words, all the vertices of  $H[T]$  can be ordered as  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  such that each vertex  $x_i$  has less than  $f(x_i)$  neighbors on the right

\*wangtao@henu.edu.cn; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9732-1617>

hand side. Such an order is an  **$f$ -removing order**, and the reverse order  $x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1$  is an  **$f$ -coloring order**.

By definition, a vertex  $x$  can never be chosen in a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal if  $f(x) = 0$ . Hence, we can add some vertices into  $L_v$  and define the value of  $f$  to be zero on these new vertices, so that all the  $L_v$  have the same cardinality. On the other hand, it doesn't matter what the labels of the vertices are, so we may assume that  $L_v = \{v\} \times [s]$ , where  $s$  is an integer. A cover  $H$  together with a function  $f$  is called a valued-cover.

In Section 3, we strengthen Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to Theorem 1.3. In order to demonstrate how Thomassen's technique in [6] is extended, we first give a proof for Theorem 1.1 in Section 2, and then give one for Theorem 1.3, even though Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are special cases of Theorem 1.3. For a function  $f$ , we use  $R_f$  to denote the range of  $f$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume that  $G$  is a  $K_5$ -minor-free or  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graph, and  $(H, f)$  is a valued-cover with  $R_f \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Then  $H$  contains a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal.

Assume that  $G$  is a plane graph and  $C$  is a cycle in it. We will use  $\text{Int}(C)$  (resp.  $\text{Ext}(C)$ ) to denote the subgraph induced by  $V(C)$  and the vertices inside (resp. outside) of  $C$ . The cycle  $C$  is a **separating** cycle of  $G$  if both the interior and the exterior of  $C$  have at least one vertex.

## 2 DP-5-coloring

A **plane triangulation** is an embedded plane graph such that each of its faces is bounded by a cycle of length three. A **near-triangulation** is an embedded plane graph such that each bounded face is bounded by a triangle and the unbounded face (outer face) is bounded by a cycle. An  **$\ell$ -sum** of two graphs  $G'$  and  $G''$  is the graph  $G$  such that  $G = G' \cup G''$  and  $G' \cap G'' = K_\ell$ .

The **Wagner graph** is a 3-regular graph with 8 vertices and 12 edges, see Fig. 1. Note that the Wagner graph is non-planar, thus the Wagner graph cannot be a subgraph of a planar graph.




Fig. 1: Wagner graph.

Wagner [10] gave the following characterization of planar graphs in terms of graph minors.

**Theorem 2.1** (Wagner [10]). A graph is planar if and only if it does not contain  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$  as a minor.

By Wagner's Theorem, the class of  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs and the class of  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graphs are two superclasses of planar graphs.

A graph  $G$  is **maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free** if it does not contain  $K_5$  as a minor, but  $G + xy$  contains a  $K_5$ -minor for every pair nonadjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ . Wagner [10] also gave the following characterization of maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs.

**Theorem 2.2** (Wagner [10]). Every maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graph can be obtained from the Wagner graph and plane triangulations by recursively 2-sums or 3-sums.

The following theorem and its proof are very similar to that in [6], but for completeness we give a complete proof here.

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume that  $G$  is a near-triangulation such that the outer face is bounded by a cycle  $\mathcal{O} = v_1 v_2 \dots v_p v_1$ . Let  $L$  be a list assignment of  $G$  such that  $|L(v)| \geq 3$  for each  $v \in V(\mathcal{O})$  and  $|L(v)| \geq 5$  for each  $v \notin V(\mathcal{O})$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a matching assignment for  $G$  and  $R_0$  is an  $(L, \mathcal{M})$ -coloring of  $G[\{v_1, v_2\}]$ , then  $G$  admits an  $(L, \mathcal{M})$ -coloring such that its restriction on  $G[\{v_1, v_2\}]$  is  $R_0$ .

**Proof.** The assertion is proved by induction on  $|V(G)|$ . When  $G$  has only three vertices,  $G = \mathcal{O} = K_3$  and the assertion is obvious. So we can assume that  $|V(G)| \geq 4$  and the assertion is true for smaller graphs. Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}$  has a chord  $v_i v_j$ . It follows that  $v_i v_j$  lies in two cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of  $\mathcal{O} + v_i v_j$ . Let  $v_1 v_2$  lie in  $C_1$ . Applying the induction hypothesis to  $\text{Int}(C_1)$ ,  $R_0$  can be extended to an  $(L, \mathcal{M})$ -coloring of  $\text{Int}(C_1)$ . After  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are colored, it can be further extended to an  $(L, \mathcal{M})$ -coloring of  $\text{Int}(C_2)$ . This yields a desired  $(L, \mathcal{M})$ -coloring of  $G$ .

So we can assume that  $\mathcal{O}$  has no chord. Let  $v_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, v_{p-1}$  be the neighbors of  $v_p$  in a natural cyclic order around  $v_p$ . Since all the bounded faces of  $G$  are bounded by triangles and  $\mathcal{O}$  has no chord,  $P = v_1 u_1 u_2 \dots u_m v_{p-1}$  is a path and  $\mathcal{O}' = P \cup (\mathcal{O} - v_p)$  is a cycle. Let  $j$  and  $\ell$  be two distinct elements in  $L(v_p)$  which do not conflict with the color of  $v_1$  under the matching  $\mathcal{M}_{v_1 v_p}$ . Now define  $L'(v) = L(v)$  for every  $v \notin \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, v_p\}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , define  $L'(u_i)$  from  $L(u_i)$  by deleting the neighbors of  $j, \ell \in L(v_p)$  under the matching  $\mathcal{M}_{v_p u_i}$ . It is easy to check that  $|L'(v)| \geq 3$  for all  $v \in V(\mathcal{O}')$  and  $|L'(v)| \geq 5$  for all  $v \in V(G) - \{v_p\} - V(\mathcal{O}')$ . Applying the induction hypothesis to  $\mathcal{O}'$  and its interior and the new list  $L'$ , we have an  $(L', \mathcal{M})$ -coloring for  $G - v_p$ . There is at least one color in  $\{j, \ell\} \subset L(v_p)$  which do not conflict with the color of  $v_{p-1}$  under  $\mathcal{M}_{v_{p-1} v_p}$ , so we can assign it to the vertex  $v_p$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** Assume that  $G$  is a maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graph. If  $K$  is a subgraph of  $G$  isomorphic to  $K_2$  or  $K_3$ , then every DP-5-coloring  $\varphi$  of  $K$  can be extended to a DP-5-coloring of  $G$ .

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that  $G$  is a counterexample with  $|V(G)|$  as small as possible.

Assume that  $G$  is a plane triangulation and  $K$  is a separating 3-cycle of  $G$ . Note that  $\text{Int}(K)$  and  $\text{Ext}(K)$  are both plane triangulations and maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs. By minimality, every DP-5-coloring  $\varphi$  of  $K$  can be extended to a DP-5-coloring  $\varphi_1$  of  $\text{Int}(K)$  and a DP-5-coloring  $\varphi_2$  of  $\text{Ext}(K)$ . Combining  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  yields a DP-5-coloring of  $G$ , a contradiction.

Assume that  $G$  is a plane triangulation and  $K = [x_1 x_2 x_3]$  bounds a 3-face. Note that  $G$  has at least four vertices. We can redraw the plane triangulation such that  $K$  is the boundary of the outer face. Note that  $G - x_3$  is a near-triangulation. Since  $x_3$  on  $K$  is precolored, every uncolored vertex incident with the outer face of  $G - x_3$  has at least four admissible colors other than  $\varphi(x_3)$ . Applying Theorem 2.3 to  $G - x_3$ , we obtain a DP-5-coloring of  $G$  whose restriction on  $K$  is the precoloring  $\varphi$ .

Assume that  $G$  is a plane triangulation and  $K = y_1 y_2$ . We can further assume that  $y_1 y_2$  is incident with a 3-face  $[y_1 y_2 y_3]$ . Clearly, the precoloring of  $K$  can be extended to a DP-5-coloring of  $G[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ , and we can reduce the problem to the previous case.

If  $G$  is the Wagner graph, then we can greedily extend the precoloring of  $K$  to a DP-5-coloring of  $G$  since  $G$  is 3-regular.

By Theorem 2.2, we can assume that  $G$  is a 2-sum or 3-sum of two maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $K \subset G_1$ . By minimality, the precoloring  $\varphi$  of  $K$  can be extended to a DP-5-coloring  $\varphi_1$  of  $G_1$ . By minimality once again, we can extend the restriction of  $\varphi_1$  on  $G_1 \cap G_2$  to  $G_2$ . This yields a DP-5-coloring of  $G$  whose restriction on  $K$  is the precoloring  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

Now, we can easily prove Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.1.** All  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs are DP-5-colorable.

**Proof.** Since every  $K_5$ -minor-free graph is a spanning subgraph of a maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graph, it suffices to prove the result for maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs. We can first color two adjacent vertices in  $G$ , and extend the coloring to the whole graph according to Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

Wagner [10] also gave a characterization of maximal  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graphs by 2-sums.

**Theorem 2.5** (Wagner [10]). Every maximal  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graph can be obtained from the complete graph  $K_5$  and plane triangulations by recursively 2-sums.

Since the proof of the following result is similar to that in Theorem 2.4, we leave it as an exercise to the readers.

**Theorem 2.6.** Assume that  $G$  is a maximal  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graph. If  $K$  is a subgraph of  $G$  isomorphic to  $K_2$ , then every DP-5-coloring of  $K$  can be extended to a DP-5-coloring of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** All  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graphs are DP-5-colorable.

**Proof.** Since each  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graph is a spanning subgraph of a maximal  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graph, it suffices to show the result for maximal  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graphs. We can first color two adjacent vertices in  $G$ , and further extend the precoloring to the whole graph according to Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

### 3 Strictly $f$ -degenerate transversal

In this section, we extend the results on DP-5-coloring to particular strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal. The following two lemmas were presented by Nakprasit and Nakprasit [5, Lemma 2.3] with a different term.

For a vertex subset  $K$  of  $V(G)$ , or a subgraph  $K$  of  $G$ , we use  $H_K$  to denote the cover restricted on  $K$ , i.e.,  $H_K := H[\bigcup_{v \in K} L_v]$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that  $G$  is a graph and  $K$  is a subgraph of  $G$ . Let  $(H, f)$  be a valued cover, and  $T$  be a transversal of  $H_K$  such that  $H[T]$  has no edges and  $f(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in T$ . If  $T$  can be extended to a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal  $T'$  of  $H$ , then there exists an  $f$ -removing order of  $T'$  such that the vertices in  $T$  are on the rightest of the order.

**Proof.** Let  $S'$  be an  $f$ -removing order of  $T'$ . Since  $f(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in T$ , every vertex in  $T$  has no neighbor on the right of the order  $S'$ , so we can move all the vertices in  $T$  to the rightest of the order. In other words, we can delete all the vertices in  $T$  from the order  $S'$  and put the vertices in  $T$  on the right side of all the other vertices of  $S'$ . Observe that the resulting order satisfies the desired condition.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ ,  $V(G_1 \cap G_2) = K$  and  $G_1$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . Let  $(H, f)$  be a valued cover of  $G$ , and  $H_i$  be the restriction of  $H$  on  $G_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . If  $R$  is a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H_1$ , and  $R \cap H_K$  can be extended to a strictly  $f^*$ -degenerate transversal  $R^*$  of  $H^*$ , where  $H^*$  is obtained from  $H_2$  by deleting all the edges in  $H_K$ , and  $f^*$  is obtained from  $f$  by defining  $f^*(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in R \cap H_K$ , then  $R \cup R^*$  must be a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to give an  $f$ -removing order of  $H[R \cup R^*]$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exists an  $f^*$ -removing order of  $R^*$  such that the vertices in  $R \cap H_K$  are on the rightest of the order. Then we list all the vertices of  $R^* \setminus (R \cap H_K)$  according to the  $f^*$ -removing order and then list the vertices of  $R$  according to an  $f$ -removing order. It is easy to check that the resulting order is an  $f$ -removing order for  $H[R \cup R^*]$ .  $\square$

We first extend Theorem 2.3 to the following result. Note that Theorem 3.1 was first proved in [5, Theorem 1.6], but the following proof is a little bit different from that one.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that  $G$  is a near-triangulation such that the outer face is bounded by a cycle  $\mathcal{O} = v_1 v_2 \dots v_p v_1$ . Let  $(H, f)$  be a valued cover of  $G$  with  $R_f \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$  such that

$$f(v, 1) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 3 \text{ for every } v \in V(\mathcal{O}) \quad (1)$$

and

$$f(v, 1) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 5 \text{ for every } v \notin V(\mathcal{O}). \quad (2)$$

If  $R_0$  is a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H[L_{v_1} \cup L_{v_2}]$ , then  $R_0$  can be extended to a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .

**Proof.** We prove the assertion by induction on  $|V(G)|$ . When  $G$  has exactly three vertices,  $G = \mathcal{O} = K_3$  and the assertion is obvious. Then  $|V(G)| \geq 4$  and the assertion is true for smaller graphs. Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}$  has a chord  $uw$ . It follows that  $uw$  lies in two cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of  $\mathcal{O} + uw$  with  $v_1v_2$  in  $C_1$ . Let  $G_1 := \text{Int}(C_1)$  and  $G_2 := \text{Int}(C_2)$ . Applying the induction hypothesis to  $G_1$ ,  $R_0$  can be extended to a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal  $R$  of  $H_1$ , and then  $R \cap H[L_u \cup L_w]$  can be extended to a strictly  $f^*$ -degenerate transversal  $R^*$  of  $H^*$  as in Lemma 3.2. Therefore,  $R^* \cup R$  is a desired strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .

The other case is that  $\mathcal{O}$  has no chord. Let  $v_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, v_{p-1}$  be the neighbors of  $v_p$  in a natural cyclic order around  $v_p$ , and let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ . Since all the bounded faces of  $G$  are bounded by triangles and  $\mathcal{O}$  has no chord, we have  $P = v_1u_1u_2 \dots u_mv_{p-1}$  is a path and  $\mathcal{O}' = P \cup (\mathcal{O} - v_p)$  is a cycle. For each  $x \in \{v_p\} \times [s]$ , let

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} \max\{0, f(x) - 1\}, & \text{if } x \text{ is adjacent to } R_0 \cap L_{v_1} \text{ under } \mathcal{M}_{v_1v_p}; \\ f(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $R_0 \cap L_{v_1}$  has at most one neighbor in  $L_{v_p}$ , we have  $f'(v_p, 1) + \dots + f'(v_p, s) \geq 2$ . Let

$$X' = \{x \in \{v_p\} \times [s] : f'(x) > 0\}.$$

**Case 1.**  $|X'| \geq 2$ .

Let  $X^*$  be a subset of  $X'$  with  $|X^*| = 2$ . A new function  $f^\dagger$  on  $H - L_{v_p}$  is defined as

$$f^\dagger(x) = \begin{cases} \max\{0, f(x) - 1\}, & \text{if } x \in U \times [s] \text{ and } x \text{ is connected to a vertex in } X^*; \\ f(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that, for each  $u \in \mathcal{O}'$ , we have

$$\sum_{z \in L_u} f^\dagger(z) \geq 3.$$

By induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.1,  $(H - L_{v_p}, f^\dagger)$  contains a strictly  $f^\dagger$ -degenerate transversal  $R^\dagger$  with an  $f^\dagger$ -removing order  $S^\dagger$  such that the vertices in  $R_0$  are on the rightest of the order. Let  $(v_p, c_p)$  be a vertex in  $X^*$  which is not adjacent to  $R^\dagger \cap L_{v_{p-1}}$ . Therefore, we insert  $(v_p, c_p)$  into  $S^\dagger$  such that it is the reciprocal third element to obtain an  $f$ -removing order of a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .

**Case 2.**  $|X'| = 1$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $X' = \{(v_p, 1)\}$ . Since  $f'(v_p, 1) + \dots + f'(v_p, s) \geq 2$  and  $R_f \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$ , we have  $f'(v_p, 1) = 2$ . Define a function  $f^\dagger$  on  $H - L_{v_p}$  by

$$f^\dagger(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in U \times [s] \text{ and } x \text{ is adjacent to } (v_p, 1) \text{ in } H; \\ f(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the range of  $f$  is a subset of  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , for each  $u \in \mathcal{O}'$ ,

$$\sum_{z \in L_u} f^\dagger(z) \geq 3.$$

By induction hypothesis,  $(H - L_{v_p}, f^\dagger)$  admits a strictly  $f^\dagger$ -degenerate transversal  $R^\dagger$  with an  $f^\dagger$ -removing order  $S^\dagger$  such that the vertices in  $R_0$  are on the rightest of the order. Let  $S$  be a sequence obtained from  $S^\dagger$  by inserting  $(v_p, 1)$  into  $S^\dagger$  such that  $(v_p, 1)$  is the immediate predecessor of  $(v_{p-1}, c_{p-1})$ , where  $(v_{p-1}, c_{p-1}) \in L_{v_{p-1}} \cap R^\dagger$ . Recall that  $f^\dagger(v_p, 1) = 2$ , it is not hard to check that  $S$  is an  $f$ -removing order of a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .  $\square$

Instead of proving Theorem 1.3, we prove the following stronger theorem for  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs, and leave the corresponding result for  $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free graphs to the readers.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that  $G$  is a  $K_5$ -minor-free graph, and  $(H, f)$  is a valued-cover with  $R_f \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$ . If  $K$  is a subgraph isomorphic to  $K_2$  or  $K_3$ , and  $f(v, 1) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 5$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ , then every strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H_K$  can be extended to a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that  $(G, H, f, R_0)$  is a counterexample with  $|V(G)|$  as small as possible, where  $R_0$  is a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H_K$ . Similar to the previous results, we only need to consider the case that  $G$  is a maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graph.

Assume that  $G$  is a plane triangulation and  $K$  is a separating triangle of  $G$ . Note that  $\text{Ext}(K)$  and  $\text{Int}(K)$  are both plane triangulations and maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs. By minimality and Lemma 3.2,  $R_0$  can be extended to a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .

Assume that  $G$  is a plane triangulation and  $K = [x_1x_2x_3]$  bounds a 3-face. We can redraw the plane triangulation such that  $K$  bounds the outer face. Let  $(x_3, c_3)$  be in  $R_0$ , define a function  $f'$  on  $H - L_{x_3}$  by

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \{u\} \times [s] \text{ with } u \notin \{x_1, x_2\} \text{ and } x \text{ is connected to } (x_3, c_3) \text{ in } H; \\ f(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the graph  $G - x_3$  is a near-triangulation. Since the range of  $f$  is a subset of  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , we have that, for each  $w$  on the outer face of  $G - x_3$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \{w\} \times [s]} f'(x) \geq 3.$$

By Theorem 3.1,  $R_0 \setminus \{(x_3, c_3)\}$  can be extended to a strictly  $f'$ -degenerate transversal of  $H \setminus L_{x_3}$  with an  $f'$ -removing order  $S'$  such that the two vertices in  $R_0 \setminus \{(x_3, c_3)\}$  are on the rightest of the order. According to an  $f$ -removing order of  $R_0$ , we can insert  $(x_3, c_3)$  into  $S'$  such that the three vertices in  $R_0$  are the three rightest elements in the order to obtain an  $f$ -removing order of a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .

Assume that  $G$  is a plane triangulation and  $K = x_1x_2$ . We may assume that  $x_1x_2$  is incident with a 3-face  $[x_1x_2x_3]$ . Clearly,  $R_0$  can be extended to a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H_{[x_1, x_2, x_3]}$ , and we can reduce the problem to the previous case.

If  $G$  is the Wagner graph, then we can greedily extend  $R_0$  to a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$  since  $G$  is 3-regular.

By Theorem 2.2, assume that  $G$  is a 2-sum or 3-sum of two maximal  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $K \subset G_1$ . By minimality and Lemma 3.2,  $R_0$  can be extended to a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal of  $H$ .  $\square$

In Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, there is a restriction on  $f$ , i.e., the range of  $f$  is a subset of  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ . If the restriction can be dropped, the results can imply two theorems due to Thomassen. Thomassen proved that every planar graph can be partitioned into a 3-degenerate graph and an independent set [8], and every planar graph can be partitioned into a 2-degenerate graph and a forest [7]. So the second author and some others made the following conjecture in [4].

**Conjecture.** Assume that  $G$  is a planar graph and  $(H, f)$  is a positive-valued cover. If  $s \geq 2$  and  $f(v, 1) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 5$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ , then  $H$  admits a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal.

## References

- [1] A. Bernshteyn, A. V. Kostochka and S. P. Pron, On DP-coloring of graphs and multigraphs, *Sib. Math. J.* 58 (1) (2017) 28–36.

- [2] Z. Dvořák and L. Postle, Correspondence coloring and its application to list-coloring planar graphs without cycles of lengths 4 to 8, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 129 (2018) 38–54.
- [3] W. He, W. Miao and Y. Shen, Another proof of the 5-choosability of  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs, *Discrete Math.* 308 (17) (2008) 4024–4026.
- [4] F. Lu, Q. Wang and T. Wang, Cover and variable degeneracy, *Discrete Math.* 345 (4) (2022) 112765.
- [5] K. M. Nakprasit and K. Nakprasit, A generalization of some results on list coloring and DP-coloring, *Graphs Combin.* 36 (4) (2020) 1189–1201.
- [6] C. Thomassen, Every planar graph is 5-choosable, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 62 (1) (1994) 180–181.
- [7] C. Thomassen, Decomposing a planar graph into degenerate graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 65 (2) (1995) 305–314.
- [8] C. Thomassen, Decomposing a planar graph into an independent set and a 3-degenerate graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 83 (2) (2001) 262–271.
- [9] R. Škrekovski, Choosability of  $K_5$ -minor-free graphs, *Discrete Math.* 190 (1-3) (1998) 223–226.
- [10] K. Wagner, Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe, *Math. Ann.* 114 (1) (1937) 570–590.
- [11] D. R. Wood and S. Linusson, Thomassen’s choosability argument revisited, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 24 (4) (2010) 1632–1637.