

Comparing the order and the minimal number of generators of a finite irreducible linear group

Derek Holt and Gareth Tracey

February 3, 2022

Abstract

We prove that $d(G) \log |G| = O(n^2 \log q)$ for irreducible subgroups G of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, and estimate the associated constants. The result is motivated by attempts to bound the complexity of computing the automorphism groups of various classes of finite groups.

1 Introduction

All groups in this paper are finite. For a group G , we denote the smallest size of a generating set of G by $d(G)$. All logarithms are to the base 2.

The complexity of a straightforward algorithm to compute the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ of a finite group G is $O(|G|^{d(G)})$ and, frustratingly, no tighter bound than this has been proved in general. This motivates attempts to bound this quantity or, equivalently, its logarithm $d(G) \log |G|$ in the various classes of groups that arise in Computational Group Theory.

It is proved by the second author in [21, Theorem 1.7] that transitive subgroups $G \leq \mathrm{Sym}(n)$ satisfy $d(G) \log |G| = O(n^2 / \sqrt{\log n})$. Here we prove an analogous result for finite irreducible linear groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ be irreducible. Then*

1. $d(G) \log |G| = O(n^2 \log q)$.
2. *More precisely, we have $d(G) \log |G| \leq Dn^2 \log q$, where $D := C + \frac{10 \log 3}{9} + \frac{1}{2} \simeq 4.39$, and $C = \frac{3 \log 96}{4 \log 5}$.*
3. *If, in addition, G is (weakly quasi)-primitive, then Part 2 holds with $D = 2$, and this result is optimal.*

The proof is split into two parts in which the primitive and imprimitive cases are handled. In particular, we prove a stronger version of Part 3 in Proposition 2.5.

For the benefit of readers who are only interested in the bounds as orders of magnitudes, we shall prove them initially in this form, in Sections 2 and 3, and then proceed to estimate the constants, which we do in Section 5. We suspect that the optimal bound for D is 2. We could probably improve our current estimate by a more detailed study of critical situations, but we see no immediate prospect of getting close to the best possible result, so this does not seem worthwhile.

As a first step in bounding the constants, Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1, which establishes a bound on the composition length of a (weakly quasi)-primitive linear group over a finite field, and may be of independent interest.

Note that the optimal asymptotic upper bounds for $d(G)$ and $\log |G|$ are respectively $O(n \log q / \sqrt{\log n})$ [14, Theorem A] and $O(n^2 \log q)$ (the bound for $\text{GL}(n, q)$). Our main result relies on the fact that, in irreducible linear groups, when one of these two terms is large, the other is relatively small.

We thank Colva Roney-Dougal for initially bringing this problem to our attention. Indeed, part of our original motivation for Theorem 1.1 was to improve the bounds in [18] for the complexity of computing normalizers in the symmetric group of affine-type primitive permutation groups from $2^{O(\log^3 n)}$ to $2^{O(\log^2 n)}$ (though the authors of [18] ultimately found a more direct and straightforward way of achieving this). We are grateful also to David Craven for assistance with the proof of Lemma 2.2.

2 The primitive case

We start with some preliminary lemmas, and then deal with the weakly quasiprimitive case of Theorem 1.1 in Proposition 2.5. The first lemma is attributed to Peter Neumann.

Lemma 2.1. *[4] Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(t)$. Then $d(G) \leq t/2$, except for $d(\text{Sym}(3)) = 2$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $G = H \circ K$ be a central product of subgroups H and K , and let ρ be an absolutely irreducible representation of G over a finite field F . Then ρ is equivalent to $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$, where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are absolutely irreducible representations of H and K , respectively.*

Proof. (This is also proved in [1, 3.16].) This result would follow from [9, Chapter 3, Theorem 7.2] if the field F were a splitting field for each of the central factors H and K of G .

If not, then let E be a finite extension field of F which does have this property. Then the natural extension ρ^E of ρ to a representation over E is absolutely irreducible (since ρ is absolutely irreducible), and so it is equivalent to $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ for absolutely irreducible representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 of H and K over E . We need to prove that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are equivalent to representations over F , since the result will then follow from [6, Theorem 29.7], according to which two equivalent representations of a group over a field E that can both be written over a subfield F of E are equivalent as representations over F .

By [5, Theorem 74.9], an absolutely irreducible representation of a finite group over a field E of characteristic $p > 0$ can be written over a subfield F if and only if the traces of the images of all group elements under the representation lie in F . Now the restriction ρ_H of ρ to the subgroup H is equivalent to $k\rho_1$, where k is the degree of ρ_2 , so $k\rho_1$ is equivalent to a representation over F . So the condition on traces is satisfied by $k\rho_1$ and hence also by ρ_1 , and similarly for ρ_2 . This completes the proof. \square

Aschbacher [1] showed that maximal subgroups of classical groups over finite fields lie in one of nine classes, which he called $\mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_8$ and \mathcal{S} . We extend (or abuse) this notation by applying it to arbitrary subgroups of maximal subgroups in classes $\mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_7$. For example, we view every semilinear subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ a member of class \mathcal{C}_3 . Viewed in this way, the main result of [1] is that every subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ either lies in at least one of $\mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_7$, or it lies in \mathcal{C}_8 or \mathcal{S} , in which case G has a normal absolutely irreducible subgroup that is simple modulo scalars, and G does not lie in class \mathcal{C}_5 (that is, it is not defined over a proper subfield of \mathbb{F}_q modulo scalars).

For divisors e of n , there are natural embeddings of the group $\Gamma L(n/e, q^e)$ into $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$, and we shall denote their images by $\Gamma L(n/e, q^e)$. (These images are all conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$.) The semilinear subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ are exactly the conjugates of subgroups of $\Gamma L(n/e, q^e)$ for some $e > 1$.

The following lemma describes a useful condition for a group G to be semilinear. This is proved in a much more general setting in [1, 11.5]. There is also an informal proof in [10, Section 2], which describes an algorithm for computing the associated embedding of $\Gamma L(n/e, q^e)$ into $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$. We shall give a sketch proof here, which is essentially the same as the one in [1].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$, let $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ be a representation, and suppose that the associated $\mathbb{F}_q N$ -module V is homogeneous, and that the cen-*

tralizing field of its irreducible constituents is \mathbb{F}_{q^e} . Then $\phi(N) \leq \text{GL}(n/e, q^e)$ with $\phi(G) \leq \Gamma\text{L}(n/e, q^e)$ (so G is semilinear).

Furthermore, the action of $\phi(N)$ on its natural module is homogeneous with absolutely irreducible constituents.

Proof. Let $C := C_{\text{GL}(n,q)}(\phi(N))$, $H := \langle C, \phi(N) \rangle$, and $Z := Z(C) = Z(H)$. By [9, Chapter 3, Theorem 5.4 (iii)] (the assumption that $\text{Hom}_G(V_1, V_1) = F$ is not needed in the proof) or [1, 3.10 (2)], we have $C \cong \text{GL}(t, q^e)$, where t is the number of irreducible constituents of V . Furthermore, by [9, Chapter 3, Theorem 5.5] (which also does not make use of the assumption $\text{Hom}_G(V_1, V_1) = F$), C acts transitively on the set of irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q N$ -submodules of V , so H acts irreducibly, and its centre Z , which is cyclic of order $q^e - 1$, must act homogeneously.

Since \mathbb{F}_{q^e} is also the centralizing field of the constituents of the associated $\mathbb{F}_q Z$ -module, we have $C_{\text{GL}(n,q)}(Z) \cong \text{GL}(n/e, q^e)$ and, since $C_{\text{GL}(n,q)}(Z)$ acts irreducibly, it can be identified with the image of the natural embedding of $\text{GL}(n/e, q^e)$ into $\text{GL}(n, q)$. So, in particular, we have $\phi(N) \leq \text{GL}(n/e, q^e)$. Since $\phi(G)$ normalizes $\phi(N)$, it must also normalize C , Z , and $C_{\text{GL}(n,q)}(Z)$, so $\phi(G) \leq \Gamma\text{L}(n/e, q^e)$ as claimed.

The fact that $\phi(N)$ acts homogeneously with absolutely irreducible constituents as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n/e, q^e)$ follows easily from the fact that its centralizer in $\text{GL}(n/e, q^e)$ is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(t, q^e)$. \square

We recall from [11] that, for a field K , a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, K)$ is said to be *weakly quasiprimitive* if all of its characteristic subgroups act homogeneously. A *subdirect subgroup* of a direct product of groups is defined to be a subgroup that projects onto each of the direct factors.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $G \leq \text{GL}(n, q)$ be weakly quasiprimitive. Then there exists a divisor $e \geq 1$ of n such that $G \leq \Gamma\text{L}(n/e, p^e)$, and $K := G \cap \text{GL}(n/e, q^e)$ has the properties listed below. We regard K as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n/e, p^e)$, and denote its associated module of dimension n/e over \mathbb{F}_{q^e} by V .*

1. $|G : K|$ divides e , and K is either normal or 2-step subnormal in G , with all associated quotient groups cyclic.
2. $Z(K) = K \cap Z(\text{GL}(n/e, q^e))$, and $K/Z(K)$ is isomorphic to a subdirect subgroup of $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_u$, where each K_i satisfies one of the following:
 - (a) K_i has shape $N.S$, where N is elementary abelian of order $r_i^{2m_i}$, with r_i a prime dividing $q^e - 1$, and S is a completely reducible subgroup of $\text{Sp}(2m_i, r_i)$ acting naturally on N ; or

- (b) *there exists $s_i \geq 2$ and a finite simple group S with an absolutely irreducible projective representation ρ of dimension s_i over \mathbb{F}_{q^e} , and a subgroup A of the stabilizer of ρ in $\text{Aut} S$, such that K_i is a subgroup of $A \wr \text{Sym}(t_i)$ containing S^{t_i} .*

Moreover, setting $f(K_i) := r_i^{m_i}$ if K_i is as in (a), and $f(K_i) := s_i^{t_i}$ if K_i is as in (b), we have that $\prod_{i=1}^u f(K_i)$ divides n/e . Finally, if r is a fixed prime, then there is at most one value of i in the range $1 \leq i \leq u$ with the property that K_i is as in (a) with $r_i = r$.

Proof. The proof is an adaptation of that of [11, Theorem 1.2, Part 2]. Essentially the same results are established in [23, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, and the proof of Corollary 5.3]

By [15, proof of Lemma 3.1], if G has an abelian non-scalar characteristic subgroup, then there is a divisor $d > 1$ of n such that G has a characteristic subgroup M that can be identified with a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n/d, q^d)$, where G/M is cyclic of order dividing d . (So G is semilinear.) Furthermore, M is weakly quasiprimitive and has no abelian nonscalar characteristic subgroups. In particular, the centre $Z := Z(M)$ of M is equal to the scalar subgroup of M .

Let L be the generalized Fitting subgroup $F^*(M)$ of M . Then L is a central product of the non-central subgroups $O_{r_i}(M)$ for a (possibly empty) list of distinct primes r_1, r_2, \dots, r_v , and a (possibly empty) list of normal subgroups $T_{v+1}, T_{v+2}, \dots, T_u$ of M , where each T_i is a central product of t_i copies of a quasisimple group S_i , and M (acting by conjugation) permutes these copies transitively. By the standard properties of $F^*(M)$, we have $C_M(F^*(L)) = Z(F^*(L))$ and, since this is a characteristic abelian subgroup of M , it must be equal to Z .

Since the groups $O_r(M)$ with $r = r_i$ are non-central and have no non-central abelian characteristic subgroups, it follows from [15, Lemma 1.7] that $O_r(M)$ is the central product of a (cyclic) Sylow r -subgroup of Z and an extraspecial group with centre contained in Z . Furthermore, we have

$$C_M(O_r(M/Z))/C_M(O_r(M)) = O_r(M)Z/Z,$$

and $M/(O_r(M)C_M(O_r(M)))$ is isomorphic to a completely reducible subgroup of $\text{Sp}(2m, r)$, where $|O_r(M)Z/Z| = r^{2m}$.

Since M is weakly quasiprimitive, L is homogeneous. If its restriction to its irreducible constituents is not absolutely irreducible then, by Lemma 2.2 there is a divisor e/d of n/d , and a normal subgroup $K \leq$

$\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e)$ of M with $L \leq K$ and M/K cyclic of order dividing d/e . So we have proved that K satisfies Condition 1 in the statement of the lemma.

Note that, since $L \leq K \trianglelefteq M$, for each $i \leq v$ we have $O_{r_i}(K) = O_{r_i}(M)$ and $K/(O_r(K)C_K(O_r(K)))$ is isomorphic to a completely reducible subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, r)$. It is possible that K fails to act transitively on the t_i copies of S_i for some $i > v$, and in that case we increase u and redefine the subgroups T_i to reimpose this condition. Note also that $Z(K) \leq C_M(L)$, which is contained in the scalar subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n/d, q^d)$, so $Z(K) = K \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e))$.

By Lemma 2.2, L remains homogeneous as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e)$ and acts absolutely irreducibly on its irreducible constituents. Let V be one such constituent. So $\dim V$ divides n/e . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that V is isomorphic to a tensor product of a one-dimensional $Z(K)$ -module, absolutely irreducible modules V_i for each $O_{r_i}(K)$, and absolutely irreducible modules V_{T_i} for each T_i .

Note that, if the lists of central factors $O_{r_i}(K)$ and T_i of L are both empty, then $n = e$ and there is nothing to prove. So we may assume that at least one of them is non-empty.

We define $K_i = K/C_K(O_{r_i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq v$ and $K_i = K/C_K(T_i)$ for $v+1 \leq i \leq u$. Since $C_K(L) = Z(L) = K \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e))$, the natural map $G \rightarrow K_1 \times \cdots \times K_t$ has kernel $Z(K)$ and its image is a subdirect subgroup of $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_u$.

We have already proved that K_i has the shape described in Condition 2(a) in the statement of the lemma for $1 \leq i \leq u$. By [9, Chapter 5, Theorem 5.5], the faithful absolutely irreducible representations of $O_{r_i}(K)$ have dimension $r_i^{m_i}$, and we define $f(K_i) = r_i^{m_i}$. So $\dim V_i = f(K_i)$. Since $Z(O_{r_i}(K))$ has order r_i and is a subgroup of the scalar subgroup $Z(K)$, we have $r_i | q^e - 1$.

Lemma 2.2 tells us that, for $i > u$, the module V_{T_i} decomposes as a tensor product of absolutely irreducible representations of its quasisimple central factors. Since these central factors are permuted transitively under the conjugation action of L , they must all have the same dimension s_i with $s_i \geq 2$, and then $\dim V_{T_i} = s_i^{t_i}$, and we define $f(K_i) = s_i^{t_i}$.

So now we have $\dim V = \prod_{i=1}^f (K_i)$, and this divides n/e , which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let H be a subnormal subgroup of a weakly quasiprimitive group $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$. Then $d(H) \log |G| \leq Cn^2 \log q$ for some constant C .*

In fact this result is true with $C = \frac{3 \log(96)}{4 \log(5)} \simeq 2.127$, and $d(G) \log |G| \leq 2n^2 \log q$. Both of these results are optimal.

Proof. The bound with $C = \frac{3\log(96)}{4\log(5)}$ is attained with G equal to the normalizer of a 2-group H of symplectic type (with $d(H) = 3$) in $\text{GL}(2, 5)$. The optimality of $d(G)\log|G| \leq 2n^2\log q$ arises from the groups $G = \text{GL}(n, q)$ for large n .

As we said earlier, we shall initially prove only that the orders of magnitude are as claimed, and postpone the estimation of the constants until Section 5.

Suppose that H is a subnormal subgroup of the weakly quasiprimitive group $G \leq \text{GL}(n, q)$. Since G acts homogeneously, we may assume that it acts irreducibly. The result is clear if $n = 1$, so assume that $n > 1$.

We apply Lemma 2.4 to the group G . If the result holds for the subnormal subgroup $H \cap K$ of K , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(H)\log|G| &\leq (d(H \cap K) + 2)(\log|K| + \log e) \\ &\leq C \frac{n^2}{e} \log q + (d(H \cap K) + 2)\log e + 2\log|K|. \end{aligned}$$

Now $d(H \cap K) \leq 2\log(n/e) + 1$ by [11, Theorem 1.2, Part 2], and $\log|K| \leq \frac{n^2}{e} \log q$, so

$$d(H)\log|G| \leq \frac{n^2(C+2)}{e} \log q + \left(2\log \frac{n}{e} + 3\right) \log e = O(n^2 \log q). \quad (1)$$

So we can assume that $K = G$ and $e = 1$ in the conclusion of Lemma 2.4, and we shall rename the direct factors K_i of $G/Z(G)$ as G_i . We shall refer to the two possibilities for G_i that are described in Cases 2 (a) and 2 (b) of the conclusion of Lemma 2.4 as the solvable and non-solvable cases, respectively.

Since $G/Z(G)$ is a subdirect subgroup of $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_u$ and H is a subnormal subgroup of G , there are subnormal subgroups H_i of G_i such that $d(H) \leq \sum_{i=1}^u d(H_i) + 1$. We also have $\log|G| \leq \sum_{i=1}^u \log|G_i| + \log(q-1)$. In the proof of [11, Theorem 1.2, Part 2], it is proved that $d(H_i) \leq 2\log f(G_i)$ in both the solvable and non-solvable cases.

We shall prove first that

$$(d(H_i) + 1)(\log(q-1) + \log|G_i|) \leq C_1 f(G_i)^2 \log q \quad \text{or} \quad C_2 f(G_i)^2 \log q \quad (2)$$

for suitable constants C_1 and C_2 in the solvable and non-solvable cases.

Consider first the solvable case and, with the notation of 2 (a) of Lemma 2.4, put $r = r_i$ and $m = m_i$. Then $G_i = N.S$ with N an elementary abelian r -group of order r^{2m} and $S \leq \text{Sp}(2m, r)$, where $r|q-1$, and that $f(G_i) = r^m$. Since $|\text{Sp}(2m, r)| \leq r^{2m^2+m}$, we have $|G_i| \leq r^{2m} r^{2m^2+m}$, so $\log|G_i| \leq$

$(2m^2 + 3m) \log r \leq 2(\log f(G_i))^2 + 3 \log f(G_i)$. Then, since (as observed above) $d(H_i) \leq 2 \log f(G_i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d(H_i) + 1)(\log(q-1) + \log |G_i|) &\leq \\ (2 \log f(G_i) + 1)(\log(q-1) + 2(\log f(G_i))^2 + 3 \log f(G_i)) &\leq \\ C_1 f(G_i)^2 \log q &\end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for some constant C_1 .

Consider now the non-solvable case and, with the notation of 2(b) of Lemma 2.4, put $s = s_i$ and $t = t_i$. Then $S^t \leq G_i \leq A \wr \text{Sym}(t)$ where $A = \text{Aut} S$ with S nonabelian simple, and $f(G_i) = s^t$, where S_i has an absolutely irreducible projective representation of degree s over \mathbb{F}_q . So $|G_i| \leq q^{ts^2} t!$ and $\log |G_i| \leq ts^2 \log q + t \log t$.

Now, since $d(H_i) \leq 2 \log f(G_i)$, when $t > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d(H_i) + 1)(\log(q-1) + \log |G_i|) &\leq \\ (2t \log s + 1)((1 + ts^2) \log q + t \log t) &\leq C_2 s^{2t} \log q = C_2 f(G_i)^2 \log q \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for some constant C_2 .

When $t = 1$, the group G_i is almost simple and so H_i is either trivial or almost simple, and we have $d(H_i) \leq 3$. So

$$(d(H_i) + 1)(\log(q-1) + \log |G_i|) \leq 4 f(G_i)^2 \log q. \quad (5)$$

This completes the proof of our claims in (2) for the individual factors G_i and of the theorem statement in the case $u = 1$, and we now proceed to the proof of the theorem in the case $u > 1$.

So we have proved already that $(d(H_i) + 1)(\log(q-1) + \log |G_i|) \leq \max(C_1, C_2) f(G_i)^2 \log q$ for $1 \leq i \leq u$.

Now, using $d(H_i) \leq \lfloor 2 \log f(G_i) \rfloor \leq f(G_i)$ and $\log |G_i| \leq f(G_i)^2 \log q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(H) \log |G| &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^u d(H_i)\right) \left(\log(q-1) + \sum_{i=1}^u \log |G_i|\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^u (1 + d(H_i)) (\log(q-1) + \log |G_i|) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^u d(H_i) \log |G_j| \\ &\leq \left(\max(C_1, C_2) \sum_{i=1}^u f(G_i)^2 + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^u f(G_i) f(G_j)^2 \right) \log q. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\prod_{i=1}^u f(G_i)$ divides n and $f(G_i) \geq 2$ for all i , we have $f(G_i) \leq n/2^{u-1}$ for all i , and $f(G_i)f(G_j)^2 \leq n^2/2^{2u-3}$ for all $i \neq j$, and so

$$d(H) \log |G| \leq \left(\frac{\max(C_1, C_2)u}{2^{2u-2}} + \frac{u(u-1)}{2^{2u-3}} \right) n^2 \log q, \quad (6)$$

which is at most $Cn^2 \log q$ for sufficiently large C . □

3 The general case

In this section we complete the proof of Part 1 of Theorem 1.1. A subgroup of a wreath product $H \wr T$, with T a permutation group, is said to be *large* if it projects onto T and if the stabiliser of a block in the natural imprimitive action projects onto H . For a group G , we denote the length of a composition series of G by $a(G)$.

Let $G \leq \text{GL}(n, q)$ be irreducible. It is proved in [19] that G can be identified with a large subgroup of $H \wr T$ with $H \leq \text{GL}(s, q)$ primitive and $T \leq \text{Sym}(t)$ transitive, where $n = st$ and either $H = G$ is primitive and $t = 1$; or G is imprimitive with minimal blocks of dimension s , H is the action of a block stabiliser on that block, and T is the induced action of G on the set of blocks.

When $t = 1$, the group G is primitive, and the result follows from Proposition 2.5. So assume now that $t > 1$.

Let $K \leq H^t$ be the kernel of the action of G on the blocks of the wreath product decomposition. Let H_1 be the projection of K onto the first of the direct factors of H^t and, for $2 \leq i \leq t$, let H_i be the projection onto the i th direct factor of the subgroup of K that induces the identity on each of the first $i-1$ factors. So each H_i is isomorphic to a subnormal subgroup of the primitive group $H \leq \text{GL}(s, q)$.

We have $G/K \cong T$, and so $\log |G| = \log |K| + \log |T|$, and hence $d(G) \log |G| \leq d(G) \log |K| + d(G) \log |T|$. We shall prove the required bound for the two terms $d(G) \log |K|$ and $d(G) \log |T|$ separately.

We start by proving that $d(G) \log |T| = O(n^2) \log q$, for which the proof is similar to that of [21, Theorem 1.7].

Now T can be identified with a subgroup of $T_1 \wr \cdots \wr T_m$, where each T_i is a primitive permutation group of degree $t_i > 1$, and $\prod_{i=1}^m t_i = t$. If none of the groups T_i with $t_i \geq 3$ contains $\text{Alt}(t_i)$ then, by [16, Corollary 1.4], we have $|T| \leq c^t$ with $c = 2.8349$. Furthermore, since by [11, Theorem 1.2], we have $d(H_i) \leq 2 \log s + 1$ for each of the subnormal subgroups H_i of H and

$d(T) < t$ by Lemma 2.1, we have $d(G) \leq t(2 \log s + 1) + d(T) < 2t(\log s + 1)$, and so $d(G) \log |T| = O(t^2 \log s) = O(n^2)$, as claimed.

Otherwise, choose k with $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that t_k is maximal with $t_k \geq 3$ and $\text{Alt}(t_k) \leq T_k$. Then, by [21, Proposition 6.9(i)], we have $d(G) < a(R)v$, where $R = H \wr T_1 \wr \cdots \wr T_{k-1}$, $S = T_k \wr \cdots \wr T_m$, and $v = \prod_{i=k+1}^m t_i$.

Also, by [15, Theorem C], we have

$$a(R) = O(\deg R \log q) = O\left(s \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i \log q\right) = O\left(\frac{n \log q}{t_k v}\right),$$

and so $d(G) = O\left(\frac{n \log q}{t_k}\right)$. Now, by [20, Proposition 1.9], we have $|T| \leq t_k^t$, and then $d(G) \log |T| = O\left(\frac{nt}{t_k} \log t_k \log q\right) = O(n^2 \log q)$ as claimed.

Finally we prove that $d(G) \log |K| = O(n^2 \log q)$. Now $d(G) \leq d(K) + d(T) \leq \sum_{i=1}^s d(H_i) + (t+1)/2$ by Lemma 2.1, and $\log |K| \leq t \log |H|$ so by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(G) \log |K| &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^t d(H_i) + \frac{t+1}{2} \right) t \log |H| \\ &\leq C s^2 t^2 \log q + s^2 t \frac{t+1}{2} \log q = O(n^2 \log q), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which completes the proof.

4 Composition length in weakly quasiprimitive linear groups

Recall that for a finite group G , we write $a(G)$ for the composition length of G . As mentioned in the introduction, the purpose of this section is to find upper bounds on $a(G)$ when G is a weakly quasiprimitive subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, q)$. To state our main result, we note that the group $\text{GL}(4, 2)$ has a weakly quasiprimitive subgroup X of shape $\text{Sym}(3) \wr \text{Sym}(2)$ with $a(X) = 5$, and that X has three subgroups X_1, X_2 and X_3 of index 2 which are also weakly quasiprimitive. Our main result can now be stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let q be a power of a prime, and let $G \leq \text{GL}(n, q)$ be weakly quasiprimitive. Then $a(G) \leq \log n + n \log q$, except when either:*

- (i) $(n, q) = (2, 3)$ and $G = \text{GL}(2, 3)$, in which case $a(G) = 5$;
 - (ii) $(n, q) = (2, 5)$ and G has shape $4 \circ 2^{1+2} \cdot \text{Sym}(3)$, in which case $a(G) = 6$;
- or

- (iii) $(n, q) = (4, 3)$ and G has shape $G = 2^{1+4}.L$, where either $L \cong X$ (as defined above), in which case $a(G) = 10$; or $L \in \{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$, in which case $a(G) = 9$.

Note that the bound in Theorem 4.1 is almost best possible. Indeed, if n is a power of 2, then the semilinear group $G := \Gamma\mathrm{L}(1, 2^n) \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, 2)$ is weakly quasiprimitive of shape $(2^n - 1) : n$, and composition length $\omega(2^n - 1) + \log n$ where $\omega(m)$ is the number of prime divisors of m , counted with multiplicities.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we shall start by proving the following two propositions, and then use these together with Lemma 2.4 to complete the proof.

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be a group of shape $N.L$, where N is an elementary abelian group of order r^{2m} , with r prime, and L is a completely reducible subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, r)$ acting naturally on N . Suppose that Theorem 4.1 holds in dimensions $n \leq 2m$, and let q be a prime power with r dividing $q - 1$. Then we have:*

- (i) *If $(m, r, q) \neq (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 5), (1, 2, 7), (1, 3, 4), (2, 2, 3)$, or $(2, 2, 5)$, then $a(G) \leq m \log r + (r^m - 1) \log q$. In the exceptional cases, we have $a(G) = 4, 4, 4, 6, 9$, or 9 , respectively.*
- (ii) *If $(m, r, q) \notin \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 2, 3)\}$, then $a(G) \leq m \log r + r^m \log q$.*

Proposition 4.3. *Let S be a nonabelian finite simple group, let q be a prime power, and let s be the dimension of a non-trivial projective irreducible representation ρ of S over \mathbb{F}_q . Also, let A be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut} S$ which stabilizes ρ , and let G be a subgroup of $A \wr \mathrm{Sym}(t) \cong A^t \rtimes \mathrm{Sym}(t)$ containing S^t . Then $a(G) \leq t \log s + (s^t - 1) \log q$.*

We begin our preparations toward the proofs of Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 with an easy lemma concerning certain subgroups of direct products of groups. Indeed, let G_1, \dots, G_t be groups, and write $\pi_i : G_1 \times \dots \times G_t \rightarrow G_i$ for the natural projection maps. Recall that a subgroup G of the direct product $G_1 \times \dots \times G_t$ is called a *subdirect subgroup* if $G\pi_i = G_i$ for all i .

Lemma 4.4. *Let G_1, \dots, G_t be finite groups, and let G be a subdirect subgroup of the direct product $G_1 \times \dots \times G_t$. Then $a(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t a(G_i)$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on t . If $t = 1$ then the result is trivial, so assume that $t \geq 2$. Let K be the kernel of the projection map π_t . Then K is isomorphic to a subdirect subgroup of the direct product $(K\pi_1) \times \dots \times (K\pi_{t-1})$. The inductive hypothesis then implies that $a(K) \leq$

$\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} a(K\pi_i)$. Also, $K\pi_i \trianglelefteq G\pi_i = G_i$. Thus, since $a(N) \leq a(N) + a(X/N) = a(X)$ for finite groups N and X with $N \trianglelefteq X$, we have $a(K) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} a(G_i)$. Since $G/K \cong G_t$, the result follows. \square

Next, we need an upper bound on composition lengths of subgroups of $\text{Sym}(n)$, proved in [8, Theorem 1.2].

Proposition 4.5. *[8, Theorem 1.2] Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(n)$ be a permutation group of degree n . Then $a(G) \leq 4(n-1)/3$.*

We remark that the bound in Proposition 4.5 is best possible, even if one restricts to transitive groups. Indeed, as shown in [8], if $n = 4^b$, then the iterated wreath product of b copies of $\text{Sym}(4)$ embeds as a (transitive) subgroup of $\text{Sym}(n)$, and has composition length $4(n-1)/3$.

The following corollary of Proposition 4.5 will be needed for our proof of Proposition 4.2.

Corollary 4.6. *Let q be a power of a prime p , and let $G \leq \text{GL}(k, q)$ be completely reducible. Suppose that Theorem 4.1 holds in dimensions less than or equal to k . Then*

- (i) $a(G) \leq 4(k-1)/3 + k \log q$ if $q \notin \{3, 5\}$;
- (ii) $a(G) \leq 19k/6 - 4/3$ if $q = 3$; and
- (iii) $a(G) \leq 22k/6 - 4/3$ if $q = 5$.

In particular, $a(G) \leq (7/3)k \log q$.

Proof. Write V for the natural $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ -module. Since G is completely reducible, V may be written in the form $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$, where each V_i is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ -module. It follows that G embeds as a subdirect subgroup of $G^{V_1} \times \cdots \times G^{V_r}$, where G^{V_i} is the irreducible linear group induced by G on V_i . We deduce from Lemma 4.4 that $a(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r a(G^{V_i})$. Since $k = \sum_{i=1}^r \dim V_i$, we may therefore assume that G is irreducible.

So assume that G is irreducible. The result follows quickly from the hypothesis of the corollary and Theorem 4.1 if G is primitive, so assume that G is imprimitive, and let $W \leq V$ be a minimal non-trivial block for G . Set $S := \text{Stab}_G(W)^W$ be the linear group induced by the (setwise) stabilizer $\text{Stab}_G(W)$ of W on W , and let Σ be the set of G -translates of W . Write $m = \dim W$ and $t = |\Sigma|$, so that $k = mt$. Then $S \leq \text{GL}(m, q)$ is primitive, since W is a minimal block for G , and $G^\Sigma \leq \text{Sym}(t)$ is transitive, since G is irreducible. Moreover, G embeds as a subgroup in the wreath product

$S \wr G^\Sigma$ in such a way that the intersection $K := G \cap S^t$ of G with the base group of the wreath product is a subdirect subgroup in a direct product of t copies of a normal subgroup A of S . It follows from Lemma 4.4 that $a(K) \leq a(A)t$, and so $a(G) \leq a(A)t + a(G/K)$. Now Proposition 4.5 applied to $a(G/K)$ give $a(G) \leq a(A)t + 4(t-1)/3$, and we can apply the hypothesis of the corollary and Theorem 4.1 to bound $a(A)$.

When $q \notin \{3, 5\}$, we find using some straightforward calculus that, for fixed q and k , and subject to the condition $mt = k$, the function $t(\log m + m \log q) + 4(t-1)/3$ is decreasing in m and is therefore maximized at $m = 1$. So $a(G) \leq k \log q + 4(k-1)/3$, which completes the proof in this case.

When $q = 3$ we find that $a(G) \leq t(\log m + m \log q) + 4(t-1)/3$ when $m \notin \{2, 4\}$; that $a(G) \leq 5t + 4(t-1)/3 = 19k/6 - 4/3$ when $m = 2$; and that $a(G) \leq 10t + 4(t-1)/3 = 34k/12 - 4/3$ when $m = 4$. As in the previous paragraph above, it is easy to show that the largest of these three quantities is always $19k/6 - 4/3$. The argument for $q = 5$ is almost identical, and this completes the proof. \square

We can see from the last paragraph of the proof of Corollary 4.6, and the remark after the statement of Proposition 4.5, that the bound in the corollary is best possible for $q = 3$. Note also that the bound in Corollary 4.6 is almost best possible for $q \neq 3$. Indeed, if $q = 2^a + 1$ is a Fermat prime, $k = 4^b$ is a power of 4, Y is the iterated wreath product of b copies of $\text{Sym}(4)$, and $X := \text{GL}(1, q) \cong \mathbb{C}_{q-1}$, then $G := X \wr Y$ is an irreducible subgroup of $\text{GL}(k, q)$ of composition length $ka + 4(k-1)/3 = k \log(q-1) + 4(k-1)/3$.

We can now prove Propositions 4.2 and 4.3.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let G, N, L, r, m , and q be as in the statement of the proposition. Then $a(G) = a(N) + a(L) = 2m + a(L)$. If $(m, r) = (1, 2)$, $(1, 3)$, or $(2, 2)$, then we can quickly see that, respectively, $a(L) \leq 2, 4$ or 5 , so $a(G) \leq 4, 6$ or 9 . Parts (i) and (ii) follow in these cases, and it remains only to prove (i) in the non-exceptional cases.

Assume now that $(m, r) \notin \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 2)\}$. Suppose also that $r \neq 3$. Then $a(G) = 2m + a(L) \leq 2m + 8m/3 + 2m \log r$, by Corollary 4.6. Since $q \geq r + 1$, this gives $a(G) \leq \log r^m + (r^m - 1) \log q$ for $(m, r, q) \notin \{(3, 2, 3), (3, 2, 5)\}$. We can check by direct computation in the completely reducible subgroups of $\text{Sp}(6, 2)$ that $a(L) \leq 8$ for $(m, r) = (3, 2)$. This gives $a(G) \leq \log r^m + (r^m - 1) \log q$ for $(m, r) \in \{(3, 2, 3), (3, 2, 5)\}$, and completes the proof of (i) for $r \neq 3$.

Continuing to assume that $(m, r) \neq (1, 3)$, suppose now that $r = 3$. Then $a(G) \leq 2m + 38m/6 - 4/3$, by Corollary 4.6. Since $r = 3$ divides $q - 1$, it is easy to see that this is less than $m \log 3 + (3^m - 1) \log q$ whenever $m \geq 2$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Let S , A , s , and t be as in the statement of the proposition. Then since S embeds as a subgroup of $\text{PGL}_s(q)$, S has a non-trivial permutation representation of degree $(q^s - 1)/(q - 1) < q^s$. It then follows from [12, Lemma 2.6] that $|A/S| \leq |\text{Out} S| \leq 3s \log q$.

Now, G has a normal series $1 < N < K < G$ where $N \cong S^t$, K/N is a subgroup of A^t , and $G/K \leq \text{Sym}(t)$. It follows that

$$a(G) = t + a(K/N) + a(G/K) \leq t + t \log(3s \log q) + 4(t - 1)/3,$$

where the last inequality follows from Proposition 4.5 and the paragraph above. Since $s, q \geq 2$, we quickly see that $t + t \log(3s \log q) + 4(t - 1)/3$ is less than $t \log s + (s^t - 1) \log q$ when $t \geq 4$.

We may therefore assume that $t = 1, 2$ or 3 . Hence, $a(G/K) \leq 0, 1$, or 2 , respectively. Replacing the bound $a(G/K) \leq 4(t - 1)/3$ with these improved bounds in the inequalities above then gives us what we need, unless $(s, t, q) \in \{(2, 2, 4), (2, 2, 5), (2, 2, 7), (2, 1, q) (4 \leq q \leq 29), (3, 1, 2), (3, 1, 3)\}$ (note here that $(s, q) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3)\}$, since $\text{GL}(2, 2)$ and $\text{GL}(2, 3)$ are soluble).

Since $s \in \{2, 3\}$ and the relevant values of q are small, we can deal with these cases by hand by examining the nonabelian simple subgroups of $\text{PGL}_s(q)$ for each of the listed values of q and s . In particular, we see that A/S must be cyclic of order 1, 2 or 3 in each case. It follows that $a(G) \leq t + t + a(G/K) = 2t + a(G/K)$. Inserting the bound $a(G/K) \leq 0, 1$, or 2 when $t = 1, 2$, or 3 , respectively, we get what we need in each case. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We remark first that if $(n, q) \in \{(2, 3), (2, 5), (4, 3)\}$, then we can use MAGMA to check that the only weakly quasiprimitive subgroups G of $\text{GL}(n, q)$ failing to satisfy $a(G) \leq \log n + n \log q$ are those subgroups listed in the statement of the theorem. So we will assume throughout the proof that $(n, q) \notin \{(2, 3), (2, 5), (4, 3)\}$.

Now, let G be a counterexample to the theorem with n minimal, and write V for the natural $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ -module. By Lemma 2.4, there exists a divisor e of n and a subnormal subgroup K of G such that each of the following holds:

$$(1) \quad a(G) \leq a(K) + \log e;$$

- (2) K is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e)$; and
- (3) $K/K \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e))$ is isomorphic to a subdirect subgroup of a direct product $H_1 \times \cdots \times H_u$, where H_i satisfies one of the following:
- (a) H_i has shape $N.L$, where N is elementary abelian of order $r_i^{2m_i}$, with r_i a prime dividing $q^e - 1$, and L is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2m_i, r_i)$ acting naturally on N ; or
 - (b) There exists a finite simple group S with an absolutely irreducible projective representation ρ of dimension $s_i \geq 2$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^e} , and a subgroup A of the stabilizer of ρ in $\mathrm{Aut} S$, such that H_i is a subgroup of $A \wr \mathrm{Sym}(t_i)$ containing S^{t_i} .

Moreover, setting $f(H_i) := r_i^{m_i}$ if H_i is as in (a), and $f(H_i) := s_i^{t_i}$ if H_i is as in (b), we have that $\prod_{i=1}^u f(H_i)$ divides n/e . Finally, if r is a fixed prime, then there is at most one value of i in the range $1 \leq i \leq t$ with the property that H_i is as in (a) with $r_i = r$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} a(K) &= a(K/K \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e))) + a(K \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e))) \\ &\leq a(K/K \cap Z(\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e))) + e \log q, \end{aligned}$$

since $|Z(\mathrm{GL}(n/e, q^e))| = q^e - 1$. Hence, by (3) above, we have

$$a(K) \leq e \log q + \sum_{i=1}^u a(H_i). \quad (8)$$

Suppose first that $u = 1$. Then the result follows from Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, unless H_1 is as in (3)(a) above, and $(m_1, r_1, q^e) = (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 5), (1, 2, 7), (1, 3, 4), (2, 2, 3)$, or $(2, 2, 5)$. So assume that we are in one of these cases. Then $e = 1$, $G = K$, and we can use MAGMA to check that $a(\mathbb{C}_{q-1}) + a(H_1) \leq 5, 6, 6, 7, 10$, or 11 respectively. Since $a(G) = a(K) \leq a(\mathbb{C}_{q-1}) + a(H_1)$ and $r_1^{m_1}$ divides n , this gives $a(G) \leq \log n + n \log q$, unless $r_1^{m_1} = n$ and $(m_1, r_1, q) \in \{(1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 5), (2, 2, 3)\}$. Since we are assuming that $(n, q) \notin \{(2, 3), (2, 5), (4, 3)\}$, this completes the proof of the theorem when $u = 1$.

Suppose now that $u > 1$, and let \mathcal{X} be the set of those (m_i, r_i, q^e) such that there exists $1 \leq i \leq u$ with H_i as in (3)(a) above and $f(H_i) = r_i^{m_i}$. Let $\mathcal{Y} := \{(1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 5), (1, 2, 7), (1, 3, 4), (2, 2, 3), (2, 2, 5)\}$. Since each fixed prime r can be equal to r_i for at most one value of i , the set $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ has cardinality at most 1.

Assume first that $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ is not $\{(1, 2, 3)\}$ or $\{(2, 2, 3)\}$. Then by Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, together with (8), we have

$$a(K) \leq e \log q + \sum_{i=1}^u (\log f(H_i) + g(H_i) e \log q),$$

where $g(H_i) \in \{f(H_i), f(H_i) - 1\}$, and $g(H_i) = f(H_i)$ if and only if H_i is as in (3)(a) above and $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{(m_i, r_i, q^e)\}$. In particular, $g(H_i) = f(H_i) - 1$ for all but at most one value of i . Since $u > 1$, we deduce that

$$a(K) \leq \sum_{i=1}^u (\log f(H_i) + f(H_i) e \log q).$$

Moreover, since $f(H_i) > 1$ for all i , we have $\sum_{i=1}^u f(H_i) \leq \prod_{i=1}^t f(H_i) \leq n/e$. Since $a(G) \leq \log e + a(K)$, the theorem follows.

Finally, suppose that $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ is either $\{(1, 2, 3)\}$ or $\{(2, 2, 3)\}$. Note then that $q^e = 3$, so we must have $e = 1$ and $G = K$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{(m_1, r_1, q)\}$ (that is, H_1 is as in (3)(a) above with $f(H_1) = 2^1$ or 2^2). Also, set $m := \prod_{i=2}^u f(H_i)$. Then by arguing as in the previous paragraph, we quickly see that $\log q + \sum_{i=2}^u a(H_i) \leq \log m + m \log q$. Hence, $a(K) \leq \log q + \sum_{i=1}^u a(H_i) \leq a(H_1) + \log m + m \log q$ by (8). Note that, since $\text{GL}(2, 3)$ is solvable and $|\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}| = 1$, we cannot have $m = 2$.

Suppose first that $(r_1, m_1, q) = (1, 2, 3)$. Then $f(H_1) = 2$ (so $2m$ divides n), $a(H_1) \leq 5$, and $a(G) = a(K) \leq 5 + \log m + m \log 3$. Since $5 + \log m + m \log 3 \leq \log 2m + 2m \log 3$ for all $m \geq 3$, we deduce that $a(G) \leq \log 2m + 2m \log 3 \leq \log n + n \log q$, as required.

Otherwise $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{(2, 2, 3)\}$, in which case $f(H_1) = 4$, $a(H_1) \leq 10$, and $a(G) = a(K) \leq 10 + \log m + m \log 3$. Again we find that $10 + \log m + m \log 3 \leq \log 4m + 4m \log 3 \leq \log n + n \log q$ for $m \geq 3$, as required. \square

5 Estimating the constants

In this final section, we attempt to estimate the constants involved in Theorem 1.1. Many of these arguments require machine computations to check small cases. These were done in MAGMA [2], but they are not difficult, and could equally easily be done in GAP [7].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $G \leq \text{GL}(n, q)$ be irreducible and almost simple mod scalars, and suppose that G does not lie in Aschbacher class \mathcal{C}_8 (that is, it is not contained in the normalizer of a quasisimple classical group in its natural representation). Then $\log |G| \leq \frac{5}{8}n^2 \log q$, except for the cases $G =$*

$A_7 \leq \text{GL}(4, 2)$, $2.A_5Z \leq \text{GL}(2, 9)$, and $2.A_5Z \leq \text{GL}(2, 11)$, with $Z := Z(\text{GL}(n, q))$, and in those three cases we have $\log |G| \leq 0.71n^2 \log q$.

Proof. The assumptions imply that G lies in one of the Aschbacher classes \mathcal{C}_3 , \mathcal{C}_5 and \mathcal{S} . The structure of the groups in classes \mathcal{C}_3 (subgroups of $\Gamma L(n/e, q^e)Z$ for divisors $e > 1$ of n) and \mathcal{C}_5 (subgroups of $\text{GL}(n, q^{1/e})Z$ for $e > 1$) is well understood, and it is routine to verify that the largest values of $\log |G|/(n^2 \log q)$ arise from the \mathcal{C}_5 -subgroups $\text{GL}(2, q^{1/2})Z$ with $n = 2$ and q square, and these values tend to the supremum $5/8$ for large q .

By [13], Aschbacher class \mathcal{S} -groups have order at most q^{3n} except for certain representations of A_m and S_m with $m \in \{n+1, n+2\}$. In the A_m and S_m cases we have $\log |G| \leq 0.6 \log q$, with the largest value arising with $S_6 \leq \text{GL}(4, 2)$.

We can use the tables in [3] to check the result for class \mathcal{S} -groups when $n \leq 4$, and for $n \geq 5$ Liebeck's result gives us $\log |G| \leq 0.5n^2 \log q$. \square

5.1 The constants in the proof of Proposition 2.5 and the proof of Part 3 of Theorem 1.1

In this subsection we refer back to the numbered inequalities in the proof of Proposition 2.5, and estimate the constants involved.

(1) Note first that when e is prime and, in particular, when $e < 4$, we have $d(H) \leq d(H \cap K) + 1$, and so we can replace the terms $C + 2$ and $2 \log \frac{n}{e} + 3$ in inequality (1) by $C + 1$ and $2 \log \frac{n}{e} + 2$, respectively.

With that modification, we claim that the right hand side of inequality (1) is at most $Cn^2 \log q$ for all prime powers q and all $n, e \geq 2$ with $e|n$, provided that $C \geq 2$, which proves the result in this case.

To see this, note first that it is not difficult to show that the expression, both in its original and modified form, is decreasing in e , so we can take $e = 4$ in general and $e = 2$ with the modified expression. In both cases it is again easy to see that we need only consider the case $q = 2$.

When $e = 2$ the expression becomes $n^2(C+1)/2 + 2(\log(n/2) + 1)$, so we need to check $n^2/2 \geq 2(\log(n/2) + 1)$, which is true for $n \geq 2$. When $e = 4$, it becomes $n^2(C+2)/4 + 4 \log(n/4) + 6$, so we have to check $n^2 \geq 4 \log(n/4) + 6$, which is true for $n \geq 4$.

(2) We shall prove inequality (2) with $C_2 = 3$, and $C_1 = \frac{3 \log(24)}{4 \log(3)} \simeq 2.167$, where this bound is attained with $n = 2$, $q = 3$, $G = \text{GL}(2, 3)$ and $H = Q_8$.

Furthermore, in the solvable case with $u = 1$ (when $f(G_1)$ divides n), we shall prove that $d(G) \log |G| \leq 2n^2 \log q$ and $d(H) \log |G| \leq Cn^2 \log q$ with $C = \frac{3 \log(96)}{4 \log(5)} \simeq 2.127$ (as in the statements of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.5), where this bound is attained when $n = 2$, $q = 5$ and $|G| = 96$, and H is a symplectic type group of order 16.

In the non-solvable case with $u = 1$, we shall prove that $d(H) \log |G| \leq 2n^2 \log q$.

(3) We find that inequality (3) holds with $C_1 = 2$, whenever $q \geq 8$ or $n \geq 13$, so our claimed results hold in all but finitely many cases. For the exceptional cases, we use the more accurate bound on $|G_i|$ resulting from $|G_i| \leq r^{2m} \cdot \text{Sp}(2m, r)$ when r is odd or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $|G_i| \leq r^{2m} \cdot \text{GO}^\pm(2m, r)$ when $r = 1$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then we find that the bound holds with $C_1 = 2$ except when $(f(G_i), q) = (2, 3), (2, 5), (3, 4), (4, 3)$ or $(4, 5)$. In these cases we can compute all subgroups and verify the claims directly.

(4) We find that inequality (4) holds with $C_2 = 2$ except when $s = t = 2$. In that case we have $S = \text{PSL}(2, r)$ for some prime power r (equal to either a root of q or to 5), and $\text{PSL}(2, r)^2 \leq G_i \leq \text{PTL}(2, r) \wr C_2$. Its subnormal subgroup H_i must either be trivial or contain $\text{PSL}(2, r)^2$, and we find that $d(H_i) \leq 2$ for all possible H_i . Now, by using the fact that $q \geq 4$ when $s = 2$, we find that the result holds with $C_2 = 2$.

(5) For inequality (5), note that, when S is an Aschbacher \mathcal{C}_8 -group (simple classical group in its natural representation), we have $d(H_i) \leq 2$ and $d(G) \leq 2$ if $u = 1$, so all claims hold. So suppose not.

In the case $u = 1$, G is a nearly simple group, and Lemma 5.1 gives $\log |G| \leq \frac{2}{3}n^2 \log q$, giving $d(G) \log |G| \leq 2n^2 \log q$, except in three specific examples. Since $d(H) \leq 3$ in general, and $d(H) = 2$ in each of the exceptional cases, we have $d(H) \log |G| \leq 2n^2 \log q$ in all cases, which proves the claims.

Otherwise (when $u > 1$), Lemma 5.1 gives $\log |S| \leq \frac{5}{8}f(G_i)^2 \log q$ except in three examples, and since G_i/S is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Out} S$ and $|\text{Out} S| \leq 6 \log |S|/7$ by [17, Lemma 3.2], this gives $(d(H_i) + 1)(\log(q - 1) + \log |G_i|) \leq 4(\frac{5}{8}f(G_i)^2 \log q + \log(6/7))$ (or $(d(H_i) + 1)(\log(q - 1) + \log |G_i|) \leq 3(0.71f(G_i)^2 \log q + \log(6/7))$ in the exceptional case), and it can be checked that this is less than $3f(G_i)^2 \log q$ in all cases.

(6) For inequality (6), we find that $d(H) \log |G| \leq 2n^2 \log q$ in all cases. Using $\max(C_1, C_2) = 3$, the final inequality gives this when $u > 2$, and the penultimate inequality gives it when $u = 2$, except when $f(G_1) = f(G_2) = 2$. When $u = 2$ and $f(G_1) = f(G_2) = 2$, we derive the result by considering the solvable and non-solvable cases for G_1 and G_2 individually, noting that $|G_i| \leq 24$ in the solvable case.

5.2 The constants in Section 3 and the proof of Part 2 of Theorem 1.1

It remains to prove Part 2 of Theorem 1.1. The following lemma, which essentially follows from [21], bounds the minimal number of elements required to generate a large subgroup in a wreath product $H \wr T$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let H be a finite group, let $T \leq \text{Sym}(t)$ be a permutation group of degree $t \geq 2$, and let G be a large subgroup of the wreath product $H \wr T$. Set $b := \sqrt{2/\pi}$. Then*

(i) *We have*

$$d(G) \leq \frac{a(H)bt\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\log t}} + d(T).$$

(ii) *Suppose that T contains $\text{Alt}(t)$. Then*

$$d(G) \leq 2a(H) + 1.$$

(iii) *Suppose that H is a large subgroup of a wreath product $R \wr D$, where $D \in \{\text{Alt}(d), \text{Sym}(d)\}$ with $d \geq 3$. Then*

$$d(G) \leq 2a(R)t + \frac{bt\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\log t}} + d(T).$$

Proof. Write $p_1^{a_1}, \dots, p_k^{a_k}$ for the orders of the abelian chief factors of H , and let $E(t, p_i)$ and $E_{\text{sol}}(t, p_i)$ be as defined in [21, Definition 4.21], and note that $E_{\text{sol}}(t, p_i) \leq E(t, p_i)$. Write $c_{\text{nonab}}(H)$ for the number of non-abelian chief factors of H . Then by [21, Corollary 5.10(i)], we have $d(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k a_i E(t, p_i) + c_{\text{nonab}}(H) + d(T)$. Moreover, [21, Corollary 4.27] implies that $E(t, p_i)$ is bounded above by $bt\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\log t}$ for $t \geq 1261$. One can check using the definition of $E(t, p)$ that this is also true for $2 \leq t \leq 1260$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i + c_{\text{nonab}}(H) \leq a(H)$, part (i) follows.

We now prove (ii). So assume that $\text{Alt}(t) \leq T$. Then $d(T) \leq 2$. If $t \leq 4$, then T is soluble, so $d(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k a_i E_{\text{sol}}(t, p_i) + c_{\text{nonab}}(H) + 2$ by

[21, Corollary 5.10(i)]. We can also compute from the definition of E_{sol} that $E_{\text{sol}}(t, p_i) \leq 2$ for $t \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Since $c_{\text{nonab}}(H) + 1 \leq 2c_{\text{nonab}}(H)$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i + c_{\text{nonab}}(H) \leq a(H)$, the result follows. So assume that $t \geq 5$. Then [21, Proposition 4.30(i) and Corollary 5.9] imply that $d(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i + c_{\text{nonab}}(H) + 2$. The result then follows as above.

Finally, we prove (iii). So assume that H is a large subgroup of a wreath product $R \wr D$, where $D \in \{\text{Alt}(d), \text{Sym}(d)\}$ with $d \geq 3$. By [21, Proposition 4.30(i) and Proposition 6.9 and its proof], we have $d(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i t + c_{\text{nonab}}(R) + 1 + iE(t, 2) + d(T)$, where $i := 0$ if $D = \text{Alt}(d)$, and $i := 1$ otherwise. Since $\sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i t + c_{\text{nonab}}(H) + 1 \leq 2a(H)t$, and $E(t, 2) \leq bt\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\log t}$ by the first paragraph of the proof, the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1, Part 2. Since Theorem 1.1 Part 2 follows from Part 3 in the case G primitive, we may assume that G is imprimitive. Then as mentioned in Section 3, we may view G as a large subgroup of a wreath product $H \wr T$, where $H \leq \text{GL}(s, t)$ is primitive, and $T \leq \text{Sym}(t)$ is transitive. Write $K := G \cap H^s$ for the intersection of G with the base group of $H \wr T$. Then $d(G) \log |G| = d(G) \log |K| + d(G) \log |T|$. As in Section 3, we will bound each of the quantities $d(G) \log |K|$ and $d(G) \log |T|$ separately, beginning with the latter.

Now, when bounding $d(G) \log |T|$ in Section 3, we identified T with a subgroup of $T_1 \wr \cdots \wr T_m$, where each T_i is a primitive permutation group of degree $t_i > 1$, and we considered first the case when none of the the groups T_i with $t_i \geq 3$ contains $\text{Alt}(t_i)$. In that case, we have $|T| \leq c^t$ with $c := 2.8349$, by [16, Corollary 1.4], and so $\log |T| \leq t \log c$. Assume first that $(s, q) \notin \{(2, 3), (2, 5), (4, 3)\}$. Then $a(H) \leq \log s + s \log q$ by Theorem 4.1. Also, setting $c_1 := \sqrt{3}/2$, we have $d(T) \leq c_1 t / \sqrt{\log t}$, by [22]. It follows from Lemma 5.2 and the above that $d(G) \log |T| \leq \log c(t^2 / \sqrt{\log t})(b\sqrt{2} \log s + bs\sqrt{2} \log q + c_1)$. Set $\beta_0 := 0.6164$. When $s \geq 3$, the bound noted yields $d(G) \log |T| \leq \beta_0 s^2 t^2 \log q$ for $t \geq 7$, and all values of q . When $2 \leq t \leq 6$, then we can use MAGMA to check that $d(T) \leq i$, where $i = 2$ if $t > 2$, and $i = 1$ if $t = 2$. So we have $d(G) \log |T| \leq \log c(t^2 / \sqrt{\log t})(b\sqrt{2} \log s + bs\sqrt{2} \log q) + it \log c$. This again yields $d(G) \log |T| \leq \beta_0 s^2 t^2 \log q$ apart from some small values of s and q (and it can be easily checked by hand that the bound $d(G) \log |T| \leq \beta_0 s^2 t^2 \log q$ still holds in these cases). A similar argument deals with the cases $s \leq 2$, and $(s, q) \in \{(2, 3), (2, 5), (4, 3)\}$.

Otherwise, we chose k with $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that t_k is maximal with the property that $t_k \geq 3$ and $\text{Alt}(t_k) \leq T_k$. Suppose first that $k = m$. An easy argument then shows that G has a block W_{m-1} of dimension $r := st_1 \dots t_{m-1}$. Write $H_{m-1} := \text{Stab}_G(W_{m-1})^{W_{m-1}} \leq \text{GL}(W_{m-1})$ for the image

of the induced action of $\text{Stab}_G(W_{m-1})$ on W_{m-1} . Then $d(G) \leq 2a(H_{m-1}) + 1$, by Lemma 5.2(ii).

Set

$$\beta := 10 \log(3)/9 = 1.76107\dots \text{ and } \gamma := \beta - 1/6.$$

We claim that $d(G) \log |T| \leq \gamma n^2 \log q$. To see this, suppose first that $q \notin \{3, 5\}$. Then $a(H_{m-1}) \leq r \log q + 4(r-1)/3$ by Corollary 4.6. Arguing as above, this yields $d(G) \log |T| \leq (2r \log q + 8r/3 - 1/3)t \log t_m = (2r \log q + 8r/3 - 1/3)(n/s) \log t_m$. Since $n = rt_m$, $q \geq 2$, and $t_m \geq 3$, one can then quickly see that if either $s \geq 2$, $t_m \geq 10$, or $q \geq 7$, the claim follows. So assume that $s = 1$, $q \leq 5$, and $t_m \leq 9$. Then $q > 2$, since G is irreducible, so $q = 4$, since we are assuming that $q \notin \{3, 5\}$. It follows that R is a large subgroup of $C_3 \wr T_0$ for some transitive $T_0 \leq \text{Sym}(r)$. Hence, $a(R) \leq r + 4(r-1)/3$ by Proposition 4.5. We then have $d(G) \log |T| \leq (7r/3 - 1/3)n \log t_m$, and this quickly yields $d(G) \log |T| \leq \gamma n^2 \log 4$, as needed.

Suppose next that $q = 3$. Then $a(R) \leq 19r/6 - 4/3$ by Corollary 4.6. Moreover, if $s = 1$, then R is a large subgroup of $C_2 \wr T_0$, where $T_0 \leq \text{Sym}(r)$ is transitive. It follows from Proposition 4.5 that $a(R) \leq r + 4(r-1)/3$ in this case. Replacing the bounds for $a(R)$ in the paragraph above with these new bounds for $a(R)$, and arguing in the same way, we get $d(G) \log |T| \leq \gamma n^2 \log q$. If $q = 5$, then $a(R) \leq 22r/6 - 4/3$ by Corollary 4.6, and the argument is entirely similar.

We have proved that $d(G) \log |T| \leq \gamma n^2 \log q$ when $k = m$. Suppose next that $k < m$. We will prove that $d(G) \log |T| \leq \beta n^2 \log q$. To this end, note first that G has a block W_k of dimension rt_k , where $r := st_1 \dots t_{k-1}$. Write $H_k := \text{Stab}_G(W_k)^{W_k} \leq \text{GL}(W_k)$ for the image of the induced action of $\text{Stab}_G(W_k)$ on W_k . It is then routine to check that H_k is a large subgroup of a wreath product $R \wr T_k$, where R is an irreducible subgroup of $\text{GL}(r, q)$. Further, G is a large subgroup of $H_k \wr \tilde{T}$, where \tilde{T} is transitive of degree $\tilde{t} := t_{k+1} \dots t_m$. Since $T_k \in \{\text{Alt}_{t_k}, \text{Sym}(t_k)\}$, we then have $d(G) \leq 2a(R)\tilde{t} + b\tilde{t}\sqrt{2}/\sqrt{\log \tilde{t}} + d(\tilde{T})$, by Lemma 5.2(iii). Also, setting $c_1 := \sqrt{3}/2$ as above, we have $d(\tilde{T}) \leq c_1 \tilde{t}/\sqrt{\log \tilde{t}}$, by [22]. Since $|T| \leq t_k^t$ by [20, Proposition 1.9], we deduce that

$$d(G) \log |T| \leq t \log t_k \left(2a(R)\tilde{t} + \frac{(b\sqrt{2} + c_1)\tilde{t}}{\sqrt{\log \tilde{t}}} \right). \quad (9)$$

Suppose first that $q \notin \{3, 5\}$. Then by Corollary 4.6, we have $a(R) \leq 4(r-1)/3 + r \log q$. If $t \leq n/2$, then since $n = rt_k \tilde{t}$, the bound $d(G) \log |T| \leq \beta n^2 \log q$ follows quickly from (9). Assume, then, that $t = n$. Then we can

again use (9) to deduce that $d(G) \log |T| \leq \beta n^2 \log q$ if either $q \geq 13$ or $t_k \geq 15$. So assume further that $q \leq 11$ and $t_k \leq 14$. Now, since $t = n$, we have that G is a large subgroup of $C \wr T$ for some $C \leq C_{q-1}$. It follows that $d(G) \leq n + 2n/3 = 5n/3$, whence $d(G) \log |T| \leq (5/3)n^2 \log t_k$. If $t_k \leq q$, then the bound $d(G) \log |T| \leq \beta n^2 \log q$ follows. So assume that $t_k > q$. Note also that $q \neq 2$, since G is irreducible. (Thus, $q \geq 4$ since we are assuming that $q \neq 3$). Note that R is isomorphic to a large subgroup of $C_0 \wr T_0$, for some $C_0 \leq C_{q-1}$, and some transitive $T_0 \leq \text{Sym}(r)$. Thus, $a(R) \leq r\omega(q-1) + (4/3)(r-1)$ by Proposition 4.5, where $\omega(q-1)$ denotes the number of prime divisors of $q-1$, counted with multiplicities. For each choice of $t_k \leq 14$ and $q \leq 11$ with $q \notin \{2, 3, 5\}$ and $q < t_k$, the bound $d(G) \log |T| \leq \beta n^2 \log q$ then follows from (9).

The cases $q \in \{3, 5\}$ are dealt with in an entirely similar way, using (9) and the bounds on $a(R)$ from Corollary 4.6.

We complete the proof by bounding $d(G) \log |K|$. Set $C := \frac{3 \log(96)}{4 \log(5)}$, and suppose first that $(T, t) \neq (\text{Sym}(3), 3)$ (so that the bound $d(T) \leq t/2$ holds). Then from (7) in Section 3, we see that $d(G) \log |K| \leq (C + 1/2)n^2 \log q$. It follows from our work above that $d(G) \log |G| \leq Dn^2 \log q$ with $D \leq C + \beta + 1/2 = 4.38806 \dots$

Suppose finally that $(T, t) = (\text{Sym}(3), 3)$. Then we see from (7) in Section 3 and our analysis in the case $k = m$ above (and from the fact that $d(T) = 2t/3$ in this case) that $d(G) \log |G| \leq D_1 n^2 \log q$ with $D_1 \leq C + \gamma + 2/3 = C + \beta + 1/2 = 4.38806 \dots$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher. On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups. *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), 469–514.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon & C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (3–4) (1997), 235–265.
- [3] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt & C. M. Roney-Dougal. The Maximal Subgroups of the Low-Dimensional Finite Classical Groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 407. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 2013.
- [4] P. J. Cameron, R. G. Solomon & A. Turull. Chains of subgroups in symmetric groups. *J. Algebra* **127** (1989) 340–352.

- [5] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. Methods of Representation Theory. Vol. II. With applications to finite groups and orders. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York*, 1987.
- [6] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras. Reprint of the 1962 original. Wiley Classics Library. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York*, 1988.
- [7] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*, 2021. <http://www.gap-system.org>.
- [8] S. P. Glasby, C. E. Praeger & K. Rosa, G. Verret. Bounding the composition length of primitive permutation groups and completely reducible linear groups. *J. London Math. Soc.* **98** (2) (2018), 557–572.
- [9] D. Gorenstein. *Finite Groups*. Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
- [10] D. F. Holt, C. R. Leedham-Green, E. A. O’Brien, and S. Rees. Computing matrix group decompositions with respect to a normal subgroup. *J. Algebra* **184** (1996), 818–838.
- [11] D. F. Holt and C. M. Roney-Dougall. Minimal and random generation of permutation and matrix groups, *J. Algebra* **387** (2013), 195–214.
- [12] A. Jaikin-Zapirain & L. Pyber. Random generation of finite and profinite groups and group enumeration, *Ann. of Math.* **173** (2011), 769–814.
- [13] M. W. Liebeck. On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **50** (3) (1985), 426–446.
- [14] A. Lucchini, F. Menegazzo & M. Morigi. Asymptotic results for primitive permutation groups and irreducible linear groups. *J. Algebra* **223** (1) (2000), 154–170.
- [15] A. Lucchini, F. Menegazzo & M. Morigi. On the number of generators and composition length of finite linear groups. *J. Algebra* **243** (2) (2001), 427–447.
- [16] A. Maróti. On the orders of primitive groups. *J. Algebra* **258** (2) (2002), 631–640.
- [17] N. E. Menezes, Random generation and chief length of finite groups. PhD Thesis, University of St Andrews, 2013. Available from <https://core.ac.uk/display/13120262>.

- [18] C.M. Roney-Dougal and S. Siccha. Normalisers of primitive permutation groups in quasipolynomial time. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **52** (2020), 358–366.
- [19] D. A. Suprunenko. *Matrix Groups*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 45. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1976.
- [20] G. Tracey. Comparing the order and the minimal number of generators of a transitive permutation group, *arXiv:1601.02561*. Preprint, 2016.
- [21] G. Tracey. Minimal generation of transitive permutation groups. *J. Algebra* **509** (2018), 40–100.
- [22] G. Tracey. Sharp upper bounds on the minimal number of elements required to generate a transitive permutation group, *arXiv:2102.10070*. Preprint, 2021.
- [23] G. Tracey. On the proportion of p -elements in a finite group, and a modular Jordan type theorem, *arXiv:2105.10998*. Preprint, 2021.

DEREK HOLT, MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK,
COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UK
E-mail address: D.F.Holt@warwick.ac.uk

GARETH TRACEY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM,
EDGBASTON, BIRMINGHAM, B15 2TT, UK
E-mail address: garethtracey1@gmail.com