

NUMERICAL FLATNESS AND PRINCIPAL BUNDLES ON FUJIKI MANIFOLDS

INDRANIL BISWAS

ABSTRACT. Let M be a compact connected Fujiki manifold, G a semisimple affine algebraic group over \mathbb{C} with one simple factor and P a fixed proper parabolic subgroup of G . For a holomorphic principal G -bundle E_G over M , let \mathcal{E}_P be the holomorphic principal P -bundle $E_G \rightarrow E_G/P$ given by the quotient map. We prove that the following three statements are equivalent: (1) $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat, (2) the holomorphic line bundle $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is nef, and (3) for every reduced irreducible compact complex analytic space Z with a Kähler form ω , holomorphic map $\gamma : Z \rightarrow M$, and holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_P \subset \gamma^* E_G$ to P , the inequality $\mathrm{degree}(\mathrm{ad}(E_P)) \leq 0$ holds.

1. INTRODUCTION

A basic theorem of Miyaoka says that a vector bundle E on a smooth complex projective curve C is semistable if and only if the relative anticanonical line bundle for the natural projection $\mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow C$ is nef [Mi]. A holomorphic vector bundle E on C is semistable if and only if the vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E) \rightarrow C$ of trace zero endomorphisms is numerically flat. The very useful notion of numerically flat vector bundles was introduced by Demailly, Peternell and Schneider in [DPS]; we recall that a holomorphic vector bundle V is numerically flat if both V and V^* are nef. Therefore, a reformulation of Miyaoka's theorem says that $\mathrm{ad}(E)$ is numerically flat if and only if the relative anticanonical line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is nef.

For E as above, fix any $1 \leq r < \mathrm{rank}(E)$. Let $\mathrm{Gr}(r, E) \rightarrow C$ be the Grassmann bundle that parametrizes the r -dimensional quotients of the fibers of E . Bruzzo and Hernández Ruipérez proved the following big generalization of the above theorem of Miyaoka: The relative anticanonical line bundle on $\mathrm{Gr}(r, E)$ is nef if and only if $\mathrm{ad}(E)$ is numerically flat [BH].

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety and E_G a holomorphic principal G -bundle on X , where G is a simple affine algebraic group without center over \mathbb{C} . Fix a parabolic subgroup $P \subsetneq G$. In [BB], the following generalization of the above result of Bruzzo and Hernández Ruipérez was proved: The adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat if and only if the relative anticanonical line bundle for the natural projection $E_G/P \rightarrow X$ is nef.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J60, 32L05, 32J25.

Key words and phrases. Numerically flat bundle, Fujiki manifold, principal bundle, nefness.

Our aim here is to investigate the principal bundles on a compact Fujiki manifold from the above point of view. We recall that a Fujiki manifold is a compact complex manifold which is the image of a bimeromorphic surjective map from a compact Kähler manifold [Fu1], [Fu2], or equivalently, the image of a holomorphic surjective map from a compact Kähler manifold [Va].

Let G be a semisimple affine algebraic group, over \mathbb{C} , with one simple factor and $P \subsetneq G$ a fixed parabolic subgroup. Let M be a compact connected Fujiki manifold and E_G a holomorphic principal G -bundle on M . The quotient map $E_G \rightarrow E_G/P$ defines a holomorphic principal P -bundle on E_G/P . The top exterior product of the adjoint bundle for this principal P -bundle is the relative canonical bundle for the natural projection $E_G/P \rightarrow M$.

We prove the following (see Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1):

Theorem 1.1. *Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle on a compact connected Fujiki manifold M . Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The holomorphic vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat.*
- (2) *The relative anticanonical bundle for the natural projection $E_G/P \rightarrow M$ is nef.*
- (3) *For every quadruple of the form (Z, ω, γ, E_P) , where Z is a reduced irreducible compact complex analytic space equipped with a Kähler form ω ,*

$$\gamma : Z \rightarrow M$$

*is a holomorphic map, and $E_P \subset \gamma^*E_G$ is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of the principal G -bundle γ^*E_G to the subgroup P , the inequality*

$$\text{degree}(\text{ad}(E_P)) \leq 0$$

holds.

Note that the first statement of Theorem 1.1 does not involve the parabolic subgroup P . Therefore, Theorem 1.1 has the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle on a compact connected Fujiki manifold M . If the second and third statements in Theorem 1.1 hold for one parabolic subgroup $P \subsetneq G$, then they hold for every proper parabolic subgroup of G .*

2. NUMERICALLY FLAT BUNDLES

Let M be a compact connected complex manifold. Fix a Hermitian structure H_0 on M . Let ω_{H_0} be the corresponding positive $(1, 1)$ -form on M . A holomorphic line bundle L on M is called *numerically effective* (nef for short) if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a Hermitian structure h_ϵ on L such that

$$\Theta_{h_\epsilon}(L) \geq -\epsilon \cdot \omega_{H_0},$$

where $\Theta_{h_\epsilon}(L)$ is the curvature of the Hermitian complex connection on L corresponding to h_ϵ [DPS, p. 299, Definition 1.2]. We note that while the definition of nefness uses H_0 , the nefness of any given line bundle is actually independent of the choice of H_0 .

A holomorphic vector bundle V on M is called nef if the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is nef [DPS, p. 305, Definition 1.9]. A holomorphic vector bundle V on M is called *numerically flat* if both V and its dual V^* are nef [DPS, p. 311, Definition 1.17].

A compact connected complex manifold M is called a Fujiki manifold if there exists a surjective bimeromorphic map

$$f : Y \longrightarrow M$$

where Y is a compact Kähler manifold [Fu1], [Fu2]. A basic theorem of Varouchas says that M is a Fujiki manifold if it is the image of a compact Kähler manifold by a surjective morphism (it need not be a bimeromorphism) [Va, p. 51, Theorem 5].

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a compact connected Fujiki manifold, and let*

$$f : Y \longrightarrow M$$

*be a surjective bimeromorphic map, where Y is a compact connected Kähler manifold. Then a holomorphic vector bundle E on M is numerically flat if and only if the pulled back vector bundle f^*E is numerically flat.*

Proof. Given any nef vector bundle F on M , the vector bundle f^*F is nef [DPS, p. 305, Proposition 1.10]. Applying this to both E and E^* we conclude that f^*E is numerically flat if E is numerically flat.

To prove the converse, assume that f^*E is numerically flat. A structure theorem of [DPS] says that f^*E admits a filtration of holomorphic subbundles

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{\ell-1} \subset V_\ell = f^*E \quad (2.1)$$

such that the holomorphic vector bundle V_i/V_{i-1} admits a unitary flat connection for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ (see [DPS, p. 311, Theorem 1.18]). This immediately implies the following:

- (1) the Chern class $c_i(f^*E) \in H^{2i}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ vanishes for every $i \geq 1$ (see [DPS, p. 311, Corollary 1.19]), and
- (2) the vector bundle f^*E is pseudostable (see [BG, p. 23, Definition 2.1] for pseudostable bundles; set the Higgs field θ in [BG, Definition 2.1] to be the zero section).

Consequently, f^*E admits a flat holomorphic connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ such that

- (1) the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ preserves the subbundle V_i in (2.1) for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and
- (2) the holomorphic connection on V_i/V_{i-1} induced by $\tilde{\nabla}$ is unitary flat for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

(See [BG, p. 20, Theorem 1.1]; set the Higgs field in [BG, Theorem 1.1] to be the zero section.)

For any $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, let $\tilde{\nabla}^i$ be the connection on V_i induced by $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Since the map f is a bimeromorphism, the induced homomorphism of fundamental groups

$$f_* : \pi_1(Y) \longrightarrow \pi_1(M)$$

is actually an isomorphism. Therefore, we conclude the following:

- (1) the flat connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on f^*E is the pull-back of a flat connection ∇ on E , and
- (2) the flat subbundle $(V_i, \tilde{\nabla}^i)$ of $(f^*E, \tilde{\nabla})$ descends to a flat subbundle (E_i, ∇^i) of (E, ∇) for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. In other words, $(f^*E, \tilde{\nabla})$ is the pullback of the flat subbundle (E_i, ∇^i) of (E, ∇) .

Furthermore, the connection on E_i/E_{i-1} induced by ∇^i is unitary flat, because the connection on V_i/V_{i-1} induced by $\tilde{\nabla}$ is unitary flat. The vector bundle E_i/E_{i-1} is numerically flat because it admits a unitary flat connection. Since the extension of a nef vector bundle by a nef vector bundle is again nef [DPS, p. 308, Proposition 1.15(ii)], it follows immediately that the extension of a numerically flat vector bundle by a numerically flat vector bundle is again numerically flat. Consequently, the vector bundle E is numerically flat. \square

The following is a consequence of the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on a compact connected Fujiki manifold M . Then E is numerically flat if and only if there is a filtration of holomorphic subbundles of E*

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{\ell-1} \subset E_\ell = E$$

and a flat holomorphic ∇ on E such that

- (1) ∇ preserves E_i for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and
- (2) the connection on E_i/E_{i-1} induced by ∇ is unitary for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Proof. If there is a filtration as above and a flat holomorphic ∇ on E satisfying the above two conditions, then E_i/E_{i-1} is numerically flat for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Therefore, using [DPS, p. 308, Proposition 1.15(ii)] it is deduced that E is numerically flat.

To prove the converse assume that E is numerically flat. Take any surjective bimeromorphic map

$$f : Y \longrightarrow X$$

as in Proposition 2.1 with Y Kähler. Then f^*E is numerically flat by Proposition 2.1. As we saw in the proof of Proposition 2.1, this implies that there is a filtration as in the statement of the corollary and a flat holomorphic ∇ on E satisfying the two conditions in the statement of the corollary. \square

3. PRINCIPAL BUNDLES ON FUJIKI MANIFOLDS

Let G be a connected complex semisimple affine algebraic group with one simple factor. Fix a parabolic subgroup

$$P \subsetneq G.$$

The Lie algebras of G and P will be denoted by \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{p} respectively.

As before, M is a compact connected Fujiki manifold. Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle on M . Let

$$\mathrm{ad}(E_G) := E_G \times^G \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow M \quad (3.1)$$

be the adjoint bundle for E_G ; its fibers are Lie algebras identified with \mathfrak{g} uniquely up to conjugations.

Let $p : E_G \longrightarrow E_G/P$ and

$$\varphi : E_G/P \longrightarrow M \quad (3.2)$$

be the natural projections. Consider the projection

$$\varphi^* E_G := (E_G/P) \times_M E_G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id} \times p} (E_G/P) \times_M (E_G/P).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_P \subset \varphi^* E_G \quad (3.3)$$

be the inverse image of the diagonal $E_G/P \subset (E_G/P) \times_M (E_G/P)$ under this projection. It is straight-forward to check that \mathcal{E}_P is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of the principal G -bundle $\varphi^* E_G$ to the subgroup $P \subset G$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle on a compact connected Fujiki manifold M . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The holomorphic vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ in (3.1) is numerically flat.*
- (2) *The holomorphic line bundle $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* \longrightarrow E_G/P$ is nef, where \mathcal{E}_P is the holomorphic principal P -bundle constructed in (3.3).*

Proof. First assume that $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat. We will show that $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is nef. For that the following lemma will be used.

Lemma 3.2. *The direct image $\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* \longrightarrow M$, where φ is the projection in (3.2), is a vector bundle of positive rank. If this vector bundle $\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is nef, then $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is also nef.*

Proof of Lemma 3.2. The line bundle

$$\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* \longrightarrow E_G/P$$

is the relative anti-canonical line bundle for the projection φ . So $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is relatively ample (the anti-canonical line bundle of G/P is ample). Therefore, $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is relatively very ample (an ample line bundle on G/P is very ample; see [Sn, Theorem 6.5(2)], [Se]). Also the higher direct images of $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ vanish by the Kodaira vanishing theorem. These imply that $\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* \longrightarrow M$ is a holomorphic vector bundle of positive rank.

Since $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is relatively very ample, we get an embedding

$$\eta : E_G/P \longrightarrow \mathbb{P} \left(\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* \right).$$

The pulled back line bundle $\eta^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)}(1)$ is identified with $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$. Consequently, $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is nef if $\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is nef, because $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)}(1)$ is nef in that case. \square

Let

$$Z_G \subset G \tag{3.4}$$

be the center of G . We note that the left-translation action of G on G/P produces an action of G on $H^0(G/P, K_{G/P}^{-1})$, where $K_{G/P}^{-1}$ is the anti-canonical line bundle. The action of Z_G on G/P is trivial because $Z_G \subset P$. Also, the action of Z_G on $H^0(G/P, K_{G/P}^{-1})$ is trivial, because the adjoint action of Z_G is trivial. So we get an action of G/Z_G on $H^0(G/P, K_{G/P}^{-1})$.

Since G is semisimple, the adjoint action of G/Z_G on \mathfrak{g} is faithful. Also, the G/Z_G -module \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{g}^* using the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . Therefore, there are nonnegative integers t_1, \dots, t_n such that the G/Z_G -module $H^0(G/P, K_{G/P}^{-1})$ is a direct summand of the G/Z_G -module

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes t_j}$$

(see [DM, p. 40, Proposition 3.1(a)]). This implies that the holomorphic vector bundle $\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is a direct summand of the holomorphic vector bundle

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \text{ad}(E_G)^{\otimes t_j}.$$

Indeed, if $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes t_j} = H^0(G/P, K_{G/P}^{-1}) \oplus A$, where A is a G/Z_G -module, then

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \text{ad}(E_G)^{\otimes t_j} = \left(\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* \right) \oplus \tilde{A},$$

where $\tilde{A} \rightarrow M$ is the holomorphic vector bundle associated to the principal G -bundle E_G for the G -module A (any G/Z_G -module is also a G -module).

Since $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is nef, the vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_G)^{\otimes t_j}$ is nef [DPS, p. 307, Proposition 1.14(i)], hence $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \text{ad}(E_G)^{\otimes t_j}$ is nef [DPS, p. 308, Proposition 1.15(ii)], and therefore, its direct summand $\varphi_* \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is nef [DPS, p. 308, Proposition 1.15(i)]. Now Lemma 3.2 implies that $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is nef.

To prove the converse, assume that $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*$ is nef. We will prove that $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat.

Since the holomorphic line bundle

$$\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* = \left(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* \right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P) = \left(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^* \right)^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_\varphi$$

is nef, where K_φ is the relative canonical bundle for the projection φ in (3.2), we conclude that the direct image $\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2}$ is a nef vector bundle [Mo, p. 895, Théorème 2].

On the other hand, $\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2}$ coincides with the holomorphic vector bundle on M associated to the principal G -bundle E_G for the G -module $H^0(G/P, (K_{G/P}^{-1})^{\otimes 2})$. Since the group G is semisimple, it does not have any nontrivial character, in particular, $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} H^0(G/P, (K_{G/P}^{-1})^{\otimes 2})$ is the trivial G -module. This implies that the associated holomorphic line bundle $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} (\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2})$ is trivial. Since $\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2}$ is nef, this implies that $\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2}$ is numerically flat (see [DPS, p. 311, Definition 1.17]). Since the tensor product of two nef bundles is nef [DPS, p. 307, Proposition 1.14(i)], and both $\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2}$ and $\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2}$ are nef, we conclude that the vector bundle

$$\text{End}(\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2}) = (\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2}) \otimes (\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2})^* \quad (3.5)$$

is nef. As $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{End}(\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2})$ is the trivial line bundle, it now follows that $\text{End}(\varphi_*(\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{E}_P)^*)^{\otimes 2})$ is numerically flat (see [DPS, p. 311, Definition 1.17]).

Consider the G/Z_G -module $H^0(G/P, (K_{G/P}^{-1})^{\otimes 2})$ (the center Z_G acts trivially on it). Let

$$\rho : G/Z_G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(H^0(G/P, (K_{G/P}^{-1})^{\otimes 2}))$$

be the corresponding homomorphism. We note that G/Z_G is simple without center because G has only one simple factor. Hence the above homomorphism ρ is injective. Therefore, the G -module $\text{Lie}(G/Z_G) = \mathfrak{g}$ is a direct summand of the G -module

$$\text{Lie}(\text{GL}(H^0(G/P, (K_{G/P}^{-1})^{\otimes 2}))) = \text{End}(H^0(G/P, (K_{G/P}^{-1})^{\otimes 2})).$$

On the other hand, the holomorphic vector bundle on M associated to the principal G -bundle E_G for the G -module $\text{End}(H^0(G/P, (K_{G/P}^{-1})^{\otimes 2}))$ coincides with the vector bundle in (3.5). Since the G -module \mathfrak{g} is a direct summand of $\text{End}(H^0(G/P, (K_{G/P}^{-1})^{\otimes 2}))$, we conclude that $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is a direct summand of the vector bundle in (3.5). We saw that the vector bundle in (3.5) is numerically flat. So its direct summand $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is also numerically flat [DPS, p. 308, Proposition 1.15(i)]. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. PULLBACK TO KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

Take M and E_G as before. Let

$$(Z, \omega) \quad (4.1)$$

be a reduced irreducible compact complex analytic space Z with a Kähler form ω , and let

$$\gamma : Z \longrightarrow M \quad (4.2)$$

be a holomorphic map. Consider the holomorphic principal G -bundle γ^*E_G on Z . Giving a holomorphic reduction of structure group

$$E_P \subset \gamma^*E_G$$

of the principal G -bundle γ^*E_G to $P \subset G$ is equivalent to giving a holomorphic section

$$\sigma : Z \longrightarrow (\gamma^*E_G)/P = \gamma^*(E_G/P)$$

of the natural projection $(\gamma^*E_G)/P \longrightarrow Z$. Indeed, the inverse image of $\sigma(Z) \subset (\gamma^*E_G)/P$ for the quotient map $\gamma^*E_G \longrightarrow (\gamma^*E_G)/P$ is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of γ^*E_G to P .

Take any holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_P \subset \gamma^*E_G$ of γ^*E_G to P . Let

$$\mathrm{ad}(E_P) := E_P \times^P \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow Z \quad (4.3)$$

be the adjoint bundle of E_P ; the inclusion map of \mathfrak{p} in \mathfrak{g} produces a map

$$\mathrm{ad}(E_P) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}(\gamma^*E_G) = \gamma^*\mathrm{ad}(E_G),$$

so $\mathrm{ad}(E_P)$ is a subbundle of $\gamma^*\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$.

For a holomorphic vector bundle W on Z , define

$$\mathrm{degree}(W) := \int_Z c_1(W) \wedge \omega^{d-1} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z$; see [Ko, p. 168, (7.1)].

Theorem 4.1. *Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle on a compact connected Fujiki manifold M . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The holomorphic vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ in (3.1) is numerically flat.*
- (2) *For every triple (Z, ω, γ) as in (4.1) and (4.2), and every holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_P \subset \gamma^*E_G$ of γ^*E_G to P , the inequality*

$$\mathrm{degree}(\mathrm{ad}(E_P)) \leq 0$$

holds, where $\mathrm{ad}(E_P)$ is the adjoint bundle in (4.3).

Proof. First assume that $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat. Take any (Z, ω, γ) as in (4.1) and (4.2). Since $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat, it follows that $\gamma^*\mathrm{ad}(E_G) = \mathrm{ad}(\gamma^*E_G)$ is also numerically flat [DPS, p. 305, Proposition 1.10].

Let

$$\phi : (\gamma^*E_G)/P \longrightarrow Z \quad (4.4)$$

be the natural projection. The holomorphic principal P -bundle

$$\gamma^*E_G \longrightarrow (\gamma^*E_G)/P = \gamma^*(E_G/P)$$

over $(\gamma^*E_G)/P$ will be denoted by \mathcal{F}_P ; it is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of the principal G -bundle $\phi^*\gamma^*E_G$ to $P \subset G$. Since $\gamma^*\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat, from Theorem 3.1 we know that the line bundle $\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{F}_P)^*$ is nef.

Let $E_P \subset \gamma^*E_G$ be a holomorphic reduction of structure group of the principal G -bundle γ^*E_G to the subgroup P . It corresponds to a section

$$\beta : Z \longrightarrow (\gamma^*E_G)/P$$

of the projection ϕ in (4.4); the holomorphic principal P -bundle E_P is the pullback $\beta^*\mathcal{F}_P$, where \mathcal{F}_P is the principal P -bundle defined above. Therefore, we have

$$\bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(E_P) = \beta^* \bigwedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{F}_P).$$

This, and the above observation that $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\mathcal{F}_P)^*$ is nef, together imply that $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(E_P)^*$ is nef. This immediately implies that

$$\text{degree}(\text{ad}(E_P)) \leq 0.$$

To prove that converse, assume that

$$\text{degree}(\text{ad}(E_P)) \leq 0$$

for every triple (Z, ω, γ) as in (4.1) and (4.2), and every holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_P \subset \gamma^* E_G$ of $\gamma^* E_G$ to P . We will prove that $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat.

Take a surjective bimeromorphic map

$$f : Y \longrightarrow M, \tag{4.5}$$

where Y is a compact connected Kähler manifold. From Proposition 2.1 we know that $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat if $f^* \text{ad}(E_G) = \text{ad}(f^* E_G)$ is numerically flat.

Let

$$\psi : (f^* E_G)/P = f^*(E_G/P) \longrightarrow Y \tag{4.6}$$

be the natural projection. The holomorphic principal P -bundle

$$f^* E_G \longrightarrow (f^* E_G)/P = f^*(E_G/P) \tag{4.7}$$

will be denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P$. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P$ is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of the principal G -bundle $(f \circ \psi)^* E_G = \psi^* f^* E_G$ to $P \subset G$. To show that $f^* \text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat, first note that Theorem 3.1 says that it suffices to prove that $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P)^*$ is nef. Now, to prove that $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P)^*$ is nef, we will use the following criterion of Demailly and Paun, [DP], for nefness.

A holomorphic line bundle L on a compact Kähler manifold N is nef if and only if for every Kähler form ω_N on N , and every irreducible closed connected analytic subspace $\mathcal{S} \subset N$, the inequality

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} c_1(L) \wedge \omega_N^{s-1} \geq 0 \tag{4.8}$$

holds, where $s = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S}$ [DP, p. 1248, Corollary 0.4].

Set

$$(N, L) = ((f^* E_G)/P, \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P)^*).$$

Take any (ω_N, \mathcal{S}) as above, so

$$\mathcal{S} \subset (f^* E_G)/P.$$

Set Z in the statement of the theorem to be \mathcal{S} , and set γ in the statement of the theorem to be the composition of maps

$$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow (f^* E_G)/P \xrightarrow{\psi} Y \xrightarrow{f} M,$$

where ψ and f are the maps in (4.6) and (4.5) respectively. Set the reduction $E_P \subset \gamma^* E_G$ to be the restriction of the reduction

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P \subset (f \circ \psi)^* E_G$$

(see (4.7)) to $\mathcal{S} \subset (f^*E_G)/P$. So we have

$$E_P = (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P)|_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

This implies that

$$\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(E_P) = \bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P).$$

Therefore, the given condition that

$$\text{degree}(\text{ad}(E_P)) \leq 0$$

implies that the inequality in (4.8) holds. Now the above mentioned criterion of [DP] for nefness implies that $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P)^*$ is nef. \square

REFERENCES

- [BG] I. Biswas and T. L. Gómez, Connections and Higgs fields on a principal bundle, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **33** (2008), 19–46.
- [BB] I. Biswas and U. Bruzzo, On semistable principal bundles over a complex projective manifold, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2008), no. 12, Art. ID rnn035.
- [BH] U. Bruzzo and D. Hernández Ruipérez, Semistability vs. nefness for (Higgs) vector bundles, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **24** (2006), 403–416.
- [DM] P. Deligne and J. S. Milne, Tannakian Categories, *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties*, by P. Deligne, J. S. Milne, A. Ogus and K.-Y. Shih, pp. 101–228, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1982.
- [DP] J.-P. Demailly and M. Paun, Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold, *Ann. of Math.* **159** (2004), 1247–1274.
- [DPS] J.-P. Demailly, T. Peternell and M. Schneider, Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles, *Jour. Algebraic Geom.* **3** (1994), 295–346.
- [Fu1] A. Fujiki, Closedness of the Douady spaces of compact Kähler spaces, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **14** (1978), 1–52.
- [Fu2] A. Fujiki, On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **44** (1978), 225–258.
- [Ko] S. Kobayashi, *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 15. Kanô Memorial Lectures, 5, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [Mi] Y. Miyaoka, The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety. *Algebraic geometry*, Sendai, 1985, 449–476, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [Mo] C. Mourougane, Images directes de fibrés en droites adjoints, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **33** (1997), 893–916.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, Représentations linéaires et espaces homogènes kählériens des groupes de Lie compacts (d’après Armand Borel et André Weil), Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2, Exp. No. 100, 447–454, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.
- [Sn] D. M. Snow, Homogeneous vector bundles, <https://www3.nd.edu/~snow/Papers/HomogVB.pdf>.
- [Va] J. Varouchas, Kähler spaces and proper open morphisms, *Math. Ann.* **283** (1989), 13–52.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in