

Implementation of the algorithm for constructing homogeneous approximations of nonlinear control systems

Grigory SKLYAR^{a,b}, Pavel BARKHAYEV^{c,d}, Svetlana IGNATOVICH^e, and Viktor RUSAKOV^e

^a*Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology,
West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin, Żołnierska 49, 71-210 Szczecin, Poland,
e-mail: gsklyar@zut.edu.pl*

^b*Institute of Mathematics,
University of Szczecin, Wielkopolska 15, 70-451 Szczecin, Poland,
e-mail: grigorij.sklyar@usz.edu.pl*

^c*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Høgskoleringen 1, 7491 Trondheim, Norway,
e-mail: pavlo.barkhayev@gmail.com, pavloba@ntnu.no*

^d*B.Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering,
Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Nauki Ave. 47, 61103 Kharkiv, Ukraine*

^e*Department of Applied Mathematics,
V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Svobody Sq. 4, 61022 Kharkiv, Ukraine,
e-mail: ignatovich@ukr.net, s.ignatovich@karazin.ua*

Abstract

We present a “calculator” for constructing a homogeneous approximation of nonlinear control systems, which is based on the algebraic approach developed by the authors in their previous papers. This approach mainly uses linear algebraic and combinatorial tools, so, it is perfectly adapted to computer realization. We describe the algorithm and discuss its capabilities and limitations. We present its implementation as a web application and show by example how this app works.

Keywords: nonlinear control systems, homogeneous approximation, nonlinear power moments, free algebra, algorithm for constructing an approximating system.

1 Introduction

When studying properties of dynamical systems or problems of control design, the first step commonly used in mechanics and engineering is to linearize the systems under consideration. Namely, the nonlinear system is substituted by a linear one, which is nevertheless close

enough to the original one, so that the results obtained for the linear system are applicable to the original problem. A brilliant example of such a method is the Lyapunov stability theory.

However, in many cases it is impossible to choose an appropriate linear system. As a simple example, let us consider a two-input driftless control system

$$\dot{x} = X_1(x)u_1 + X_2(x)u_2, \quad (1)$$

where $X_1(x)$ and $X_2(x)$ are smooth vector fields defined in a domain of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 3$. Suppose that this system is locally controllable at some point $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., any point from a neighborhood $U(x^0)$ can be reached from $x(0) = x^0$ by a piecewise continuous control. However, its linearization, which should be of the form

$$\dot{x} = b_1u_1 + b_2u_2,$$

where $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are constant vectors, necessarily is uncontrollable. Thus, any linear system loses the most important property of the original nonlinear system, therefore, hardly can be considered as its approximation.

In such a case, one can try to use an appropriate *nonlinear* system as an approximation. It is natural to expect that an approximating system, being nonlinear, has a simpler structure than the original one. Deep and long-term studies in this direction were carried out by several researches, who analyzed different problems. But despite the diversity of approaches, in the end all these authors came to the same concept of a so-called *homogeneous approximation*. We mention several important original publications [6, 4, 35, 10, 1, 3, 36]; this list is undoubtedly far from being complete. The results obtained were summarized in [11] and [2]. In the above works, the differential geometry language and tools were used.

Another way to introduce a nonlinear approximation was related to the interpretation of nonlinear control systems as series of iterated integrals. This idea was originated by M. Fliess [7, 9], who proposed to apply Chen series [5] to control problems. The approach uses the tools of free algebras [24] to study control systems [8, 18, 16, 14].

In the mid 90s of the last century, two of the authors of the present paper, Grigory Sklyar and Svetlana Ignatovich, considered another approximation problem, namely, approximation in the sense of time optimality [25, 26]. They proposed a way for analysis of the time optimal problem for nonlinear systems based on algebraic methods [28]. The main goal was to generalize the moment approach, which proved to be an effective tool in the linear setting [19, 20]. As a result, they gave an explicit construction of approximating systems in the sense of time optimality using the free algebras language. It turned out that in essence, from the algebraic point of view, the approximation in the sense of time optimality and the homogeneous approximation are similar concepts though related to different free algebras [27, 30, 31]. In general, algebraic approach proved to be very natural and allowed a deeper understanding of nonlinear systems [34, 29, 12, 13, 31, 33].

It turns out that the proposed construction, in addition to its theoretical significance, has the following advantage, which is essential for computer implementation: it mainly consists of purely linear algebraic and combinatorial steps and, therefore, promises to become a universal tool adapted to arbitrary control-affine systems. However, in all their previous papers, Grigory Sklyar and Svetlana Ignatovich did not bring the approach to practical calculations, although they perfectly understood the possibilities provided by the algorithmic nature of the method. Another author of the present paper, Pavel Barkhayev, being deeply involved in the matter, initialized this work and created the first version of the algorithm realization. When the last author, Viktor Rusakov, joined, the computer program was improved and, finally, a “calculator” of homogeneous approximations was obtained.




Figure 1: Norms of the trajectories $x(t)$ of the system (2).

The main goal of the paper is to present and discuss the algorithm for constructing a homogeneous approximation based on the mentioned algebraic approach, its implementation, capabilities and limitations.

Concluding the introduction section, we illustrate possible advantages of a homogeneous approximation by a very simple example. Let us consider a stabilization problem for a rotating rigid body

$$\dot{x}_1 = \alpha_1 x_2 x_3 + u_1, \quad \dot{x}_2 = \alpha_2 x_1 x_3 + u_2, \quad \dot{x}_3 = \alpha_3 x_1 x_2, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha_3 \neq 0$. In the paper [37], time-varying feedback controls were proposed, which stabilize the equilibrium $x = 0$ and can be easily found explicitly. Using a homogeneous approximation, one can simplify the proposed controls.

The system (2) is not homogeneous, however, its homogeneous approximation can be easily guessed; it has the form

$$\dot{x}_1 = u_1, \quad \dot{x}_2 = u_2, \quad \dot{x}_3 = \alpha_3 x_1 x_2. \quad (3)$$

Now, following [37], we find time-varying feedback controls stabilizing the homogeneous system (3)

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t, x) &= -\gamma x_1 + \frac{4\pi\sqrt{|a_{120}|}}{\varepsilon} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{\varepsilon}\right), \\ u_2(t, x) &= -\gamma x_2 + \frac{4\pi\sqrt{|a_{120}|}}{\varepsilon} \text{sign}(a_{120}) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{\varepsilon}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is rather small, $\gamma > 0$, and

$$a_{120} = -\frac{\gamma}{2\alpha_3} x_3 - \frac{1}{2} x_1 x_2.$$

It turns out that these controls also stabilize the original system (2). We note that they are slightly simpler than those proposed in the mentioned paper for the system (2), since they are designed for a simpler system (3). In Fig. 1 we compare two trajectories of the system (2): the first trajectory (dotted line) corresponds to the controls proposed in [37] and the second one (solid line) corresponds to the controls (4); in both cases $\alpha_1 = 3$, $\alpha_2 = 2$, $\alpha_3 = 1$, $\gamma = 5$, $\varepsilon = 1$, and $x(0) = (3, 2, 1)^\top$.

We note that the controls (4) do not depend on α_1, α_2 and, therefore, can be applied to systems with different α_1, α_2 or with α_1, α_2 that vary within some bounds, which leads to robust control design. Moreover, the controls (4) stabilize, along with (2), other systems for which (3) is a homogeneous approximation.

2 Algebraic approach to the homogeneous approximation problem

By definition, a homogeneous approximation is of a local character, so, we consider control systems in a neighborhood of a given point; without loss of generality we assume this point to be the origin. The algorithm we present in this paper is applicable in two situations: (i) the system is autonomous, control-linear and the starting point is fixed,

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i(x)u_i, \quad x(0) = 0; \quad (5)$$

(ii) the system is non-autonomous, control-affine and the end point, which is an equilibrium of the system, is fixed,

$$\dot{x} = a(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i(t, x)u_i, \quad a(t, 0) \equiv 0, \quad x(\theta) = 0. \quad (6)$$

In this paper we concentrate on the second case, where we assume $m = 1$ for simplicity, though, the main ideas for both cases are similar. A description of the algorithm for systems (5) can be found in [32].

In the following subsections, we briefly outline our approach, which was first described in [28].

2.1 Series representation of control systems

We consider a non-autonomous single-input system of the form

$$\dot{x} = a(t, x) + b(t, x)u, \quad a(t, 0) \equiv 0, \quad (7)$$

where $a(t, x)$, $b(t, x)$ are analytic in a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and we are interested in trajectories ending at the origin, i.e., $x(\theta) = 0$, for some time moment $\theta > 0$. The condition $a(t, 0) \equiv 0$ means that the origin is an equilibrium of the system. The set of admissible controls is defined as

$$u \in B^\theta = \{u(t) \in L_\infty[0, \theta] : |u(t)| \leq 1 \text{ a.e.}\}. \quad (8)$$

Then there exists $T > 0$ such that for any $\theta \in (0, T)$ and any $u \in B^\theta$ the solution of the differential equation $\dot{x} = a(t, x) + b(t, x)u(t)$ satisfying the end condition $x(\theta) = 0$ is well defined for $t \in [0, \theta]$. Therefore, the system (7) defines the operator $S_{a,b}$, which maps a pair (θ, u) to the starting point,

$$S_{a,b}(\theta, u) = x^0, \quad \theta \in (0, T), \quad u \in B^\theta.$$

The operator $S_{a,b}$ admits the following representation in the form of a series

$$S_{a,b}(\theta, u) = \sum_{k \geq 1, m_i \geq 0} v_{m_1 \dots m_k} \xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}(\theta, u), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}(\theta, u) &= \\ &= \int_0^\theta \int_0^{\tau_1} \dots \int_0^{\tau_{k-1}} \prod_{i=1}^k (\tau_i^{m_i} u(\tau_i)) d\tau_k \dots d\tau_1 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

are *nonlinear power moments* and $v_{m_1 \dots m_k} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are constant vector coefficients. A precise formula for $v_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ is given below, see (20).

Since we are interested in local analysis, we assume that θ is small. Admissible controls are from the set (8), hence, the following natural asymptotic equivalence can be adopted for nonlinear power moments (10):

$$\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}(\theta, u) \sim \theta^{m_1 + \dots + m_k + k}, \quad (11)$$

i.e., the number $m = m_1 + \dots + m_k + k$ can be considered as an *order* of the integral $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}(\theta, u)$.

This order naturally defines the homogeneity property, which allows us to introduce a homogeneous approximation of the system (7) in terms of its series.

Definition 2.1 *Suppose that, after some coordinate transformation in the system (7), the series $S_{a,b}(\theta, u)$ takes the form*

$$(S_{a,b}(\theta, u))_k = z_k(\theta, u) + \rho_k(\theta, u), \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (12)$$

where

- (i) $z_k(\theta, u)$ is a sum of integrals (10) of order w_k ,
- (ii) $\rho_k(\theta, u)$ contains integrals (10) of order greater than w_k ,
- (iii) the system

$$\dot{x} = \widehat{a}(t, x) + \widehat{b}(t, x)u, \quad (13)$$

which corresponds to the series

$$(S_{\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}}(\theta, u))_k = z_k(\theta, u), \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

is controllable.

Then (13) is called a homogeneous approximation of the system (7).

It might seem that the problem is to find a coordinate transformation taking the series to the form (12). However, an approximation itself is defined by $z_k(\theta, u)$, which are linear combinations of integrals (10). The elements $z_k(\theta, u)$ can be found directly, without finding an appropriate transformation. Moreover, only basic linear algebraic and simple combinatorial tools can be used, as is shown in the next subsections.

2.2 Algebra of nonlinear power moments

It turns out that the linear span of nonlinear power moments (10) can be considered as a free associative algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Lin}\{\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} : m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0, \quad k \geq 1\},$$

equipped with the (formal) multiplication defined on basis elements as

$$\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} \xi_{n_1 \dots n_r} = \xi_{m_1 \dots m_k n_1 \dots n_r}.$$

The series $S_{a,b}$ defines the linear map $v : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$v(\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}) = v_{m_1 \dots m_k}.$$

The asymptotic property (11) leads to a *canonical grading structure* in the algebra \mathcal{A} defined as

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}^m, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}^m = \text{Lin}\{\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} : m_1 + \dots + m_k + k = m\}$$

are the subspaces of homogeneous elements of order m . Below we write $\text{ord}(z) = m$ if $z \in \mathcal{A}^m$. We emphasize that subspaces \mathcal{A}^m are finite-dimensional.

The free associative algebra \mathcal{A} is closely related to the free Lie algebra generated by the elements ξ_m , $m \geq 0$, with the Lie bracket operation $[\ell_1, \ell_2] = \ell_1 \ell_2 - \ell_2 \ell_1$; we denote this free Lie algebra by \mathcal{L} . The Lie algebra \mathcal{L} is graded as well,

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^m, \quad \mathcal{L}^m = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{A}^m.$$

Omitting details, which can be found in [31], we mention two properties of the map v .

1) Below we assume that the system (7) is locally accessible, i.e., the Rashevsky-Chow condition is satisfied. This can be expressed as

$$v(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (15)$$

2) The series (9) is “realizable”, i.e., it corresponds to a control system. In our case this requirement reduces to the following property

$$\text{if } \ell \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } v(\ell) = 0, \text{ then } v(\ell z) = 0 \text{ for any } z \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (16)$$

An analytic change of coordinates in the system (7) can be expressed as a transformation over the series $S_{a,b}$. In order to find a result of such a transformation, one needs to find products of integrals (10). The product of two integrals corresponds to a *shuffle* operation in the algebra \mathcal{A} , which can be defined recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{m_1} \sqcup \xi_{n_1} &= \xi_{m_1 n_1} + \xi_{n_1 m_1}, \\ \xi_{m_1} \sqcup \xi_{n_1 \dots n_r} &= \xi_{m_1 n_1 \dots n_r} + \xi_{n_1} (\xi_{m_1} \sqcup \xi_{n_2 \dots n_r}), \\ \xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} \sqcup \xi_{n_1 \dots n_r} &= \\ &= \xi_{m_1} (\xi_{m_2 \dots m_k} \sqcup \xi_{n_1 \dots n_r}) + \xi_{n_1} (\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} \sqcup \xi_{n_2 \dots n_r}). \end{aligned}$$

For example, the product

$$\xi_{m_1}(u, \theta) \cdot \xi_{n_1}(u, \theta) = \int_0^\theta \tau_1^{m_1} u(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \cdot \int_0^\theta \tau_2^{n_1} u(\tau_2) d\tau_2$$

equals the integral of the function $\tau_1^{m_1} \tau_2^{n_1} u(\tau_1) u(\tau_2)$ over the square $\{(\tau_1, \tau_2) : 0 \leq \tau_1, \tau_2 \leq \theta\}$, which can be written out as a sum of two integrals of the same function over the triangles $\{(\tau_1, \tau_2) : 0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \theta\}$ and $\{(\tau_1, \tau_2) : 0 \leq \tau_2 \leq \tau_1 \leq \theta\}$. Therefore,

$$\xi_{m_1}(\theta, u) \cdot \xi_{n_1}(\theta, u) = \xi_{m_1 n_1}(\theta, u) + \xi_{n_1 m_1}(\theta, u),$$

which corresponds to the shuffle product in \mathcal{A} .

2.3 Finding a series representation for a homogeneous approximation

Now let us return to Definition 2.1. Justifying the terms “homogeneous” and “approximation”, it also gives a clue to finding a homogeneous approximation. Actually, one can start with the series $S_{a,b}$ and transform it, aiming to separate the “main part” with respect to the order in \mathcal{A} . The goal is to find a set of homogeneous elements z_1, \dots, z_n such that the “series” $S = (z_1, \dots, z_n)^\top$ is realizable and accessible, i.e., satisfied conditions 1) and 2) mentioned above (see (15) and (16)). Desired elements can be obtained step by step; many examples can be found in [34].

However, one can obtain elements z_1, \dots, z_n explicitly, without such a brutal force way. For a given series $S_{a,b}$ of the form (9) or, what is the same, for the linear map v satisfying conditions 1) and 2), we introduce the linear subspaces

$$\mathcal{P}^m = \{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^m : v(\ell) \in v(\mathcal{L}^1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}^{m-1})\}, \quad m \geq 1,$$

and denote

$$\mathcal{L}_{a,b} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}^m.$$

It can be shown that $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{L} ; by definition, it is graded and has codimension n in \mathcal{L} . We call it a *core Lie subalgebra* of the system (7). Also we introduce the following graded *right ideal* generated by $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$,

$$\mathcal{J}_{a,b} = \text{Lin}\{\ell z : \ell \in \mathcal{L}_{a,b}, z \in \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Finally, we introduce the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in the algebra \mathcal{A} declaring elements $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ to form an orthonormal basis. Below we denote by \tilde{z} the orthogonal projection of an element z onto the subspace $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$, i.e., onto the orthogonal complement to the subspace $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$.

Theorem 2.2 *For a given system (7), let us choose n homogeneous elements $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathcal{L}$ such that*

$$\text{Lin}\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n\} + \mathcal{L}_{a,b} = \mathcal{L}.$$

Then the elements z_1, \dots, z_n from Definition 2.1 can be chosen as $z_k = \tilde{\ell}_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, all possible sets of such elements can be obtained from the mentioned elements by polynomial transformations that preserve the order.

For the proof, examples and comments, see [28], [34].

Thus, the homogeneous approximation is, in essence, unique and is defined by the core Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$. Moreover, finding a series $S_{\hat{a},\hat{b}}$ for the homogeneous approximation can be carried out in a purely algebraic way.

2.4 Constructing an approximating system: general case

First, we notice that the system (13) is not unique. However, if $\hat{a}(t, x) \equiv 0$, then $\hat{b}(t, x)$ is defined uniquely. We can construct components $\hat{b}_i(t, x)$ of the vector function $\hat{b}(t, x) = (\hat{b}_1(t, x), \dots, \hat{b}_n(t, x))^\top$ one by one in the following way.

According to Definition 2.1, the element $z_k = \tilde{\ell}_k$ is of order w_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$. To start with, one can show that $\tilde{\ell}_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_{w_1-1}$, where $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is nonzero. Then we set $\hat{b}_1(t, x) =$

$-\alpha_1 t^{w_1-1}$. Further we act by induction. For any $i = 2, \dots, n$, we write the element $z_i = \tilde{\ell}_i$ in the form

$$\tilde{\ell}_i = \sum_{j=0}^{w_i-2} y_j \xi_j + \alpha_i \xi_{w_i-1}, \quad y_j \in \mathcal{A}^{w_i-j-1}, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

One can show that y_j are shuffle polynomials of the elements $\tilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \tilde{\ell}_{i-1}$. This follows from the fact that $y_j \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$ and elements $\tilde{\ell}_1^{\sqcup q_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \tilde{\ell}_n^{\sqcup q_n}$ form a basis of the subspace $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$. Here and below we use the notation for the “shuffle power”: $\ell^{\sqcup q} = \ell \sqcup \dots \sqcup \ell$ (q times). Therefore,

$$y_j = p_j(\tilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \tilde{\ell}_{i-1}), \quad 0 \leq j \leq w_i - 2,$$

where p_j denotes a shuffle polynomial,

$$p_j(\tilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \tilde{\ell}_{i-1}) = \sum \beta_{q_1 \dots q_{i-1}}^j \tilde{\ell}_1^{\sqcup q_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \tilde{\ell}_{i-1}^{\sqcup q_{i-1}}$$

and the sum is taken over all integers $q_1, \dots, q_{i-1} \geq 0$ such that $w_1 q_1 + \dots + w_{i-1} q_{i-1} = w_i - j - 1$. Then we set

$$\widehat{b}_i(t, x) = - \sum_{j=0}^{w_i-2} P_j(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) t^j - \alpha_i t^{w_i-1},$$

where

$$P_j(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) = \sum \beta_{q_1 \dots q_{i-1}}^j x_1^{q_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{q_{i-1}}.$$

So, the polynomial P_j in real variables x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} is constructed as follows: for any monomial $x_1^{q_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{q_{i-1}}$, its coefficient is the same as the coefficient of the monomial $\tilde{\ell}_1^{\sqcup q_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \tilde{\ell}_{i-1}^{\sqcup q_{i-1}}$ in the shuffle polynomial p_j .

2.5 Constructing an approximating system: autonomous case

If the initial system is autonomous, i.e., has the form

$$\dot{x} = a(x) + b(x)u, \quad a(0) = 0, \tag{17}$$

then a homogeneous approximation can also be chosen as an autonomous system, which is uniquely defined. It can be constructed as follows.

Let us introduce a *derivation* φ in the algebra \mathcal{A} defined by

$$\varphi(\xi_0) = 0, \quad \varphi(\xi_m) = m \xi_{m-1}, \quad m \geq 1.$$

Recall that a derivation in the algebra is a linear map satisfying the Leibniz product rule. Hence,

$$\varphi(\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}) = \sum_{i: m_i \geq 1} m_i \xi_{m_1 \dots (m_i-1) \dots m_k}.$$

One can show that for the autonomous system (17), if $z \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$, then $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$.

Denote by ψ a linear map in \mathcal{A} defined as

$$\psi(\xi_0) = 1, \quad \psi(\xi_{m_1 \dots m_{k-1} 0}) = \xi_{m_1 \dots m_{k-1}}$$

and $\psi(\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}) = 0$ if $m_k \geq 1$. Again, if $z \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$ and $\text{ord}(z) \geq 2$, then $\psi(z) \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$.

We notice that if $z \in \mathcal{A}^m$, then $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{A}^{m-1}$ and $\psi(z) \in \mathcal{A}^{m-1}$ if $m \geq 2$.

Now we are ready to construct the autonomous homogeneous approximation

$$\dot{x} = \widehat{a}(x) + \widehat{b}(x)u, \quad \widehat{a}(0) = 0,$$

for the system (17). First, one can show that $\widetilde{\ell}_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_0$, where $\alpha_1 \neq 0$; we set $\widehat{a}_1(x) = 0$, $\widehat{b}_1(x) = -\alpha_1$. Then we act by induction. For any $i = 2, \dots, n$, we consider the elements $\varphi(\widetilde{\ell}_i)$ and $\psi(\widetilde{\ell}_i)$; since they belong to $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$, they can be written in the form

$$\varphi(\widetilde{\ell}_i) = p_{1,i}(\widetilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\ell}_{i-1}), \quad \psi(\widetilde{\ell}_i) = p_{2,i}(\widetilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\ell}_{i-1}),$$

where $p_{1,i}$ and $p_{2,i}$ are shuffle polynomials,

$$p_{j,i}(\widetilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\ell}_{i-1}) = \sum \beta_{q_1 \dots q_{i-1}}^j \widetilde{\ell}_1^{\sqcup q_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \widetilde{\ell}_{i-1}^{\sqcup q_{i-1}},$$

$j = 1, 2$, and the sums are taken over all integers $q_1, \dots, q_{i-1} \geq 0$ such that $w_1 q_1 + \dots + w_{i-1} q_{i-1} = w_i - 1$. Then we set

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{a}_i(x) &= -P_{1,i}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}), \\ \widehat{b}_i(x) &= -P_{2,i}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_{j,i}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) = \sum \beta_{q_1 \dots q_{i-1}}^j x_1^{q_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{q_{i-1}}.$$

Thus, the polynomial $P_{j,i}$ in real variables x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} is constructed so that for any monomial $x_1^{q_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{q_{i-1}}$, its coefficient is the same as the coefficient of the monomial $\widetilde{\ell}_1^{\sqcup q_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \widetilde{\ell}_{i-1}^{\sqcup q_{i-1}}$ in the shuffle polynomial $p_{j,i}$.

3 The algorithm

The pipeline of the algorithm for constructing a homogeneous approximation for a given affine control system may be described naturally in a step-by-step manner. In what follows we give a detailed description of the algorithm splitted into nine steps.

We illustrate all the steps by the following example:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -u \cos x_1, \\ \dot{x}_2 = -\sin^2 x_1 + t^2 u, \\ \dot{x}_3 = 2x_1^2 \sin t - x_2 u, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

i.e., for $n = 3$, $a(t, x) = (0, -\sin^2 x_1, 2x_1^2 \sin t)^T$, $b(t, x) = (-\cos x_1, t^2, -x_2)^T$.

Step 1. Construction of the algebra \mathcal{A} .

We fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $m = 1, \dots, N$ we construct the graded subspace \mathcal{A}^m , i.e., we find all the sequences $\{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ of length $k \leq m$ satisfying the condition $m_1 + \dots + m_k = m - k$ (admissible sequences). The corresponding nonlinear power moments $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ belong to \mathcal{A}^m .

To find these sequences, one may consider all $(m - k + 1)$ -ary numbers with no more than k digits and check the sum of the digits. We note that $\dim \mathcal{A}^m = 2^{m-1}$.

Having in mind the system (18), in Table 1 we give the admissible sequences for $N = 4$.

Step 2. Construction of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} .

Lie elements of the form

$$[\xi_{m_1}, [\dots [\xi_{m_{k-1}}, \xi_{m_k}] \dots]], \quad k \geq 1, m_i \geq 0 \quad (19)$$

Table 1: Basis of the algebra \mathcal{A} .

m	Admissible sequences
1	$\{0\}$
2	$\{1\}; \{0, 0\}$
3	$\{2\}; \{0, 1\}; \{1, 0\}; \{0, 0, 0\}$
4	$\{3\}; \{0, 2\}; \{2, 0\}; \{1, 1\}; \{0, 0, 1\}; \{0, 1, 0\};$ $\{1, 0, 0\}; \{0, 0, 0, 0\}$

are called right normed elements [24, 17]. It is known that the set of all right normed elements is complete in the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} and does not form a basis. However, discarding linearly dependent elements from the set of right normed elements, one can construct a basis of \mathcal{L} .

Along with the canonical grading (14) in \mathcal{A} , we consider the *length grading*

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}^k,$$

where $\mathcal{B}^k = \text{Lin}\{\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} : m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0\}$. We note that every right normed element is homogeneous in the sense that it belongs to some subspace \mathcal{A}^m as well as to some subspace \mathcal{B}^k . This means that a basis of \mathcal{L} may be constructed independently in every single subspace $\mathcal{A}^m \cap \mathcal{B}^k$, $m, k \geq 1$.

For any $m = 1, \dots, N$ (the number N has been chosen at Step 1) and $k \leq m$ we consider admissible sequences $\{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ such that $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} \in \mathcal{A}^m \cap \mathcal{B}^k$ and construct the corresponding right normed elements (19).

To find linearly independent elements, we consider a realization of the subspaces $\mathcal{A}^m \cap \mathcal{B}^k$ in \mathbb{R}^q , $q = \dim(\mathcal{A}^m \cap \mathcal{B}^k)$. Namely, let $F_{m,k}$ be a bijection from the set of all elements $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} \in \mathcal{A}^m \cap \mathcal{B}^k$ to the set of unit vectors $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^q \in \mathbb{R}^q$, and we extend it by linearity to the mapping from $\mathcal{A}^m \cap \mathcal{B}^k$ to \mathbb{R}^q . Further, we represent right normed elements from $\mathcal{A}^m \cap \mathcal{B}^k$ as sums of $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ and apply $F_{m,k}$. The obtained vectors in \mathbb{R}^q are being checked for linear independence (adding one by one), and we discard linearly dependent vectors.

We store the basis of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} as the set of sequences $\{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ and as the corresponding vectors in \mathbb{R}^q .

Further we refer to the obtained set of linearly independent right normed elements as $\{g_i\}_{i \geq 1}$, assuming that $\text{ord}(g_i) \leq \text{ord}(g_j)$ as $i < j$.

Table 2 gives elements g_i for $N = 4$.

Table 2: Basis of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} .

m	g_i
1	$g_1 = \xi_0$
2	$g_2 = \xi_1$
3	$g_3 = \xi_2, g_4 = [\xi_0, \xi_1]$
4	$g_5 = \xi_3, g_6 = [\xi_0, \xi_2], g_7 = [\xi_0, [\xi_1, \xi_0]]$

Remark 3.1 We note that there exist purely algebraic methods of constructing a basis of the Lie algebra: Hall, Lyndon, Shirshov bases. All these algorithms are based on the remarkable Lazard elimination theorem, see, e.g., [24].

Remark 3.2 Dimensions of the subspaces \mathcal{L}^m are given explicitly by Witt's formula

$$\dim \mathcal{L}^m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) 2^{m/d} \quad \text{for } m > 1,$$

where $\mu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is a Möbius function,

$$\mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & d \text{ is a square-free positive integer with} \\ & \text{an even number of prime factors;} \\ -1, & d \text{ is a square-free positive integer with} \\ & \text{an odd number of prime factors;} \\ 0, & d \text{ has a squared prime factor.} \end{cases}$$

In Table 3 we give comparison between $\dim \mathcal{A}^m$ and $\dim \mathcal{L}^m$ for several first values of m .

Table 3: Dimensions of \mathcal{A}^m and \mathcal{L}^m .

m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\dim \mathcal{A}^m$	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512
$\dim \mathcal{L}^m$	1	1	2	3	6	9	18	30	56	99

Remark 3.3 Steps 1 and 2 do not depend on a specific control system; here we construct and store basis elements of the free associative algebra \mathcal{A} and the corresponding Lie algebra \mathcal{L} , which can be used later for any specific system.

We also note that both steps allow parallelizing in computing.

Step 3. Computation of vector coefficients $v_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ of the series (9).

Let us introduce differential operators R_a, R_b acting on a function $\varphi = \varphi(t, x)$ as

$$R_a \varphi = \varphi_t + \varphi_x a, \quad R_b \varphi = \varphi_x b,$$

where $\varphi_t = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$ and $\varphi_x = (\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_n})$. Below we apply R_a, R_b to vector functions assuming that they act componentwise. Also, let us introduce the iterative operators $\text{ad}_{R_a}^j$ acting on R_b as

$$\text{ad}_{R_a}^0 R_b = R_b, \quad \text{ad}_{R_a}^j R_b = [R_a, \text{ad}_{R_a}^{j-1} R_b], \quad j \geq 1,$$

where the bracket means the operator commutator. Then coefficients $v_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ of the series (9) equal

$$v_{m_1 \dots m_k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{m_1! \dots m_k!} \text{ad}_{R_a}^{m_1} R_b \dots \text{ad}_{R_a}^{m_k} R_b E(x) \Big|_{\substack{t=0 \\ x=0}}, \quad (20)$$

where $E(x) \equiv x$ (see, e.g., [28]).

We implement the differential operators R_a, R_b by using symbolic differentiation by x and t , and the operators $\text{ad}_{R_a}^j$ are implemented recursively. We note that the usage of recursion may be avoided, however in this case we would have to store a set of functions.

For any $m = 1, \dots, N$ and any $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} \in \mathcal{A}^m$ we apply the operators $\text{ad}_{R_a}^{m_i} R_b$ iteratively to obtain $v_{m_1 \dots m_k}$.

For example, for the system (18), finding coefficients $v_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ for all admissible sequences $\{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ (see Table 1), we obtain the first terms of the (infinite) series (9):

$$S_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xi_0 - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xi_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xi_{01} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xi_{000}$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \xi_{20} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \xi_{02} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \xi_{010} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \xi_{001} + \dots$$

Step 4. Computation of coefficients of basis Lie elements $v(g_i)$.

Here we use the representation of the basis Lie elements in \mathbb{R}^q as linear combinations of basis elements $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ and then use the values of $v_{m_1 \dots m_k}$ computed at the previous step taking into account the linearity of the map v .

For our example (18), using Table 2 and taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} g_4 &= [\xi_0, \xi_1] = \xi_{01} - \xi_{10}, & g_6 &= [\xi_0, \xi_2] = \xi_{02} - \xi_{20}, \\ g_7 &= [\xi_0, [\xi_1, \xi_0]] = 2\xi_{010} - \xi_{001} - \xi_{100}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(g_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & v(g_2) &= v(g_5) = 0, & v(g_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ v(g_4) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & v(g_6) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, & v(g_7) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 5. Finding the first (by order) n Lie elements $\{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^n$ with linearly independent vector coefficients and the generating set of the right ideal $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$.

Successively for $m = 1, \dots, N$ we divide the basis Lie elements $\{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{L}^m$ into two groups as follows.

At the beginning, both groups are empty and we put g_1 to the first group if $v(g_1) \neq 0$ and to the second one otherwise. In the latter case we proceed until we find g_i such that $v(g_i) \neq 0$ and set it to the first group. The first group is non-empty now.

General step: suppose there are k ($k < n$) elements in the first group denoted by ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_k , and we examine the basis Lie element g_i with $\text{ord}(g_i) = m$.

If $v(g_i) \notin \text{Lin}\{v(\ell_1), \dots, v(\ell_k)\}$, then we add g_i to the first group and denote $\ell_{k+1} = g_i$.

If not, then, if $v(g_i) \in v(\mathcal{L}^1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}^{m-1})$, we add g_i to the second group.

If not again, we find a linear combination of elements $\ell_j, \dots, \ell_k \in \mathcal{L}^m$ such that $v(g_i + c_j \ell_j + \dots + c_k \ell_k) \in v(\mathcal{L}^1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}^{m-1})$ and add this linear combination to the second group.

We perform this splitting until the first group consists of n elements and then until all g_i such that $\text{ord}(g_i) = \text{ord}(\ell_n)$ are being checked.

We denote the elements of the second group by $\{d_j\}$; below we use them to construct the right ideal $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$.

For our example (18) we get

$$\ell_1 = g_1, \quad \ell_2 = g_3, \quad \ell_3 = g_6$$

and

$$d_1 = g_2, \quad d_2 = g_4 + 2g_3, \quad d_3 = g_5, \quad d_4 = g_7 + 6g_6.$$

Let us explain the last step, i.e., the choice of d_4 . For $g_7 \in \mathcal{L}^4$ we see that $v(g_7) \in \text{Lin}\{v(\ell_1), v(\ell_2), v(\ell_3)\}$, however, $v(g_7) \notin v(\mathcal{L}^1 + \mathcal{L}^2 + \mathcal{L}^3) = \text{Lin}\{v(\ell_1), v(\ell_2)\}$. Then we find that $v(g_7 + 6\ell_3) \in \text{Lin}\{v(\ell_1), v(\ell_2)\}$ (more specifically, $v(g_7 + 6\ell_3) = 0$), therefore, we set $d_4 = g_7 + 6\ell_3 = g_7 + 6g_6$.

Step 6. Construction of the right ideal $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$.

For every $m \in \{\text{ord}(\ell_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ we construct the intersection $\mathcal{J}_{a,b} \cap \mathcal{A}^m$ of the right ideal with the subspace of elements of order m . To this end, we take every d_j such that $\text{ord}(d_j) \leq m$ and construct the set

$$D_j^m = \{d_j \xi_{s_1 \dots s_k} : \xi_{s_1 \dots s_k} \in \mathcal{A}^r, r = m - \text{ord}(d_j)\}$$

if $\text{ord}(d_j) < m$ and $D_j^m = \{d_j\}$ if $\text{ord}(d_j) = m$. Due to construction one has

$$\mathcal{J}_{a,b} \cap \mathcal{A}^m = \text{Lin}\{D_j^m : \text{ord}(d_j) \leq m\}.$$

Further we find the representation of the obtained elements $\{D_j^m : \text{ord}(d_j) \leq m\}$ in \mathbb{R}^q , $q = \dim \mathcal{A}^m$, considering them as linear combinations of basis elements $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k}$. Similarly to Step 1, we fix a bijection F_m from the set of all elements $\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} \in \mathcal{A}^m$ to the set of unit vectors $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^q \in \mathbb{R}^q$, $q = \dim \mathcal{A}^m$. Then, for any element $d_j \xi_{s_1 \dots s_k} \in D_j^m$, we express $F_m(d_j \xi_{s_1 \dots s_k}) = c_1 e_1 + \dots + c_q e_q$ and compose a matrix J_m with rows (c_1, \dots, c_q) .

It may happen that the rank of the matrix J_m is not full. E.g., suppose that $\xi_0, \xi_1 \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b}$, then necessarily $[\xi_0, \xi_1] \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b}$. If at the previous step we denoted $d_1 = \xi_0$, $d_2 = \xi_1$, $d_3 = [\xi_0, \xi_1]$, then $d_3 \in D_3^3$ is a linear combination of elements from D_1^3 and D_2^3 (namely, of $d_1 \xi_1$ and $d_2 \xi_0$). In this case the row of J_3 corresponding to d_3 linearly depends on the rows corresponding to $d_1 \xi_1$ and $d_2 \xi_0$.

It is crucial for the next step that J_m is of full rank, so we discard linearly dependent rows from it.

For the system (18), $\{\text{ord}(\ell_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{1, 3, 4\}$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{a,b} \cap \mathcal{A}^1 &= \{0\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{a,b} \cap \mathcal{A}^3 &= \text{Lin}\{D_1^3, D_2^3\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{a,b} \cap \mathcal{A}^4 &= \text{Lin}\{D_1^4, D_2^4, D_3^4, D_4^4\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^3 &= \{d_1 \xi_0\} = \{\xi_{10}\}, \\ D_2^3 &= \{d_2\} = \{\xi_{01} - \xi_{10} + 2\xi_2\}, \\ D_1^4 &= \{d_1 \xi_{00}, d_1 \xi_1\} = \{\xi_{100}, \xi_{11}\}, \\ D_2^4 &= \{d_2 \xi_0\} = \{\xi_{010} - \xi_{100} + 2\xi_{20}\}, \\ D_3^4 &= \{d_3\} = \{\xi_3\}, \\ D_4^4 &= \{d_4\} = \{2\xi_{010} - \xi_{001} - \xi_{100} + 6\xi_{02} - 6\xi_{20}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 3$, considering the bijection $F_3 : \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ that maps $\{\xi_2, \xi_{01}, \xi_{10}, \xi_{000}\}$ to $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$, we get

$$J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $m = 4$, considering the bijection $F_4 : \mathcal{A}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8$ that maps $\{\xi_3, \xi_{02}, \xi_{20}, \xi_{11}, \xi_{001}, \xi_{010}, \xi_{100}, \xi_{0000}\}$ to $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^8$, we get

$$J_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -6 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 7. Construction of the projections of the elements $\{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^n$ onto the orthogonal complement to the right ideal $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$ (the main part of the series).

For every $i = 1, \dots, n$ we construct the projection of ℓ_i onto $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$, which we denote by $\tilde{\ell}_i$.

Let $\text{ord}(\ell_i) = m$. Then, actually, we construct the projection of $\ell_i \in \mathcal{A}^m$ onto $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp \cap \mathcal{A}^m$. Let us consider the bijection $F_m : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ used at Step 6. Since $\tilde{\ell}_i \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b}^\perp$, we get $J_m F_m(\tilde{\ell}_i) = 0$.

Let us denote $y_i = \ell_i - \tilde{\ell}_i \in \mathcal{J}_{a,b} \cap \mathcal{A}^m$. Then $F_m(y_i)$ equals a linear combination of the (transposed) rows of the matrix J_m , i.e., $F_m(y_i) = J_m^T x_i$ for some column vector x_i . On the other hand, $J_m F_m(y_i) = J_m F_m(\ell_i - \tilde{\ell}_i) = J_m F_m(\ell_i)$.

Therefore, we get the following system of linear equations for x_i

$$J_m J_m^T x_i = J_m F_m(\ell_i). \quad (21)$$

The matrix J_m is of full rank, hence, the system (21) has a unique solution. Having found it, we then calculate $F_m(y_i) = J_m^T x_i$, i.e., express y_i as a linear combination of basis elements of $\mathcal{J}_{a,b} \cap \mathcal{A}^m$ (collected in D_j^m). Then we find $\tilde{\ell}_i = \ell_i - y_i$.

For the system (18) we have $\tilde{\ell}_1 = \ell_1 = \xi_0$ since $\mathcal{J}_{a,b} \cap \mathcal{A}^1 = \{0\}$. Further, for ℓ_2 , using the bijection F_3 and the matrix J_3 from the previous step, we find x_2 as a solution of the equation (21), which takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 6 \end{pmatrix} x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $x_2 = \frac{2}{5}(1, 1)^T$ and therefore y_2 equals $\frac{2}{5}$ times the sum of the elements from D_1^3 and D_2^3 , i.e., $y_2 = \frac{2}{5}(\xi_{10} + 2\xi_2 + \xi_{01} - \xi_{10}) = \frac{2}{5}(\xi_{01} + 2\xi_2)$. Hence,

$$\tilde{\ell}_2 = \ell_2 - y_2 = \xi_2 - \frac{2}{5}(\xi_{01} + 2\xi_2) = \frac{1}{5}\xi_2 - \frac{2}{5}\xi_{01}.$$

Applying the same procedure to ℓ_3 we get

$$\tilde{\ell}_3 = \frac{3}{19}\xi_{02} + \frac{23}{285}\xi_{20} + \frac{8}{57}\xi_{001} - \frac{46}{285}\xi_{010}.$$

Step 8. Construction of the non-autonomous approximating system.

We follow the procedure described in Subsection 2.4. First we implement recursively the shuffle product for basis elements:

$$\xi_{m_1 \dots m_k} \sqcup \xi_{n_1 \dots n_r}.$$

The result is a homogeneous sum of basis elements and we represent it as a vector in the corresponding space \mathbb{R}^q , $q = 2^{\sum m_i + \sum n_j + k + r - 1}$. Then we extend by linearity the shuffle operation on arbitrary homogeneous elements of the algebra \mathcal{A} .

Further for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ we construct the function $\tilde{b}_i(t, x)$ based on the representation of $\tilde{\ell}_i \in \mathcal{A}_m$:

$$\tilde{\ell}_i = \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} y_j \xi_j + \alpha_i \xi_{m-1}.$$

We represent each y_j as a shuffle polynomial with respect to $\tilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \tilde{\ell}_{i-1}$. To find all shuffle products of $\{\tilde{\ell}_j\}$ of the same order $m - j - 1$, we use the method similar to one described at the Step 1.

Let us apply this procedure to the system (18). Since $\tilde{\ell}_1 = \xi_0$, we have $\tilde{b}_1(t, x) = -1$. Further,

$$\tilde{\ell}_2 = \frac{1}{5}\xi_2 - \frac{2}{5}\xi_0\xi_1 = \frac{1}{5}\xi_2 - \frac{2}{5}\tilde{\ell}_1\xi_1,$$

which gives $\widehat{b}_2(t, x) = -\frac{1}{5}t^2 + \frac{2}{5}tx_1$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\ell}_3 &= \frac{3}{19}\xi_0\xi_2 + \frac{8}{57}\underbrace{\xi_{00}}_{=\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\ell}_1^2}\xi_1 + \frac{23}{285}\underbrace{(\xi_2 - 2\xi_{01})}_{=5\widetilde{\ell}_2}\xi_0 \\ &= \frac{3}{19}\widetilde{\ell}_1\xi_2 + \frac{4}{57}\widetilde{\ell}_1^2\xi_1 + \frac{23}{57}\widetilde{\ell}_2\xi_0,\end{aligned}$$

which gives $\widehat{b}_3(t, x) = -\frac{3}{19}t^2x_1 - \frac{4}{57}tx_1^2 - \frac{23}{57}x_2$, and we obtain the following non-stationary approximation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -u, \\ \dot{x}_2 = (-\frac{1}{5}t^2 + \frac{2}{5}tx_1)u, \\ \dot{x}_3 = (-\frac{3}{19}t^2x_1 - \frac{4}{57}tx_1^2 - \frac{23}{57}x_2)u. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Step 9. Construction of the autonomous approximating system.

We follow the procedure described in Subsection 2.5. To this end, we implement the linear maps φ and ψ in the algebra \mathcal{A} and use the shuffle product implemented at the previous step.

For every $i = 1, \dots, n$ we find $\varphi(\widetilde{\ell}_i)$, $\psi(\widetilde{\ell}_i)$ and represent them as shuffle polynomials with respect to $\widetilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\ell}_{i-1}$ if possible. It may happen that the corresponding system for $\varphi(\widetilde{\ell}_i)$ is unsolvable, which means that there is no autonomous approximating system. Again, to find all shuffle products of $\{\widetilde{\ell}_j\}$ of a given order, we use the method similar to one described at Step 1.

Applying this procedure to the system (18), we see that the autonomous approximating system does not exist since $\varphi(\widetilde{\ell}_2) = \frac{2}{5}(\xi_1 - \xi_{00})$ cannot be represented as a shuffle polynomial with respect to $\widetilde{\ell}_1 = \xi_0$.

Let us change the system (18) a little bit by adding the term $-2tx_1$ to the second equation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -u \cos x_1, \\ \dot{x}_2 = -\sin^2 x_1 - 2tx_1 + t^2u, \\ \dot{x}_3 = 2x_1^2 \sin t - x_2u. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

For this system, $v(\xi_2) = 0$ and

$$\ell_1 = \xi_0, \quad \ell_2 = [\xi_0, \xi_1], \quad \ell_3 = [\xi_0, \xi_2].$$

Applying the described steps to the system (23), we construct the following autonomous approximation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -u, \\ \dot{x}_2 = -\frac{1}{2}x_1^2, \\ \dot{x}_3 = \frac{1}{27}x_1^3 - \frac{10}{9}x_2 + \frac{4}{9}x_2u. \end{cases}$$

4 Implementation of the algorithm and perspectives

The described above functionality and the pipeline of the algorithm were implemented as a package and a web application by using Python programming language. Here are the links:

the web application: <https://happycontrol.pythonanywhere.com/>,

the package: <https://github.com/ViktorRusakov/happy-control>.

The web application was built with the Django framework and has the following functionality. First, one has to choose system's dimension and type of the problem to be solved. Then one enters coefficients of the system by using LaTeX text formatting (and possibly the

point in which neighborhood the system is considered). The output is a pdf file with detailed calculations similar to the ones from the example given in the previous section. In Fig. 2 we give a screenshot of the application running. The functionality on which the application

Figure 2: Screenshot of the web application: setting a system for approximation

is built, was implemented as an open source package, so it is possible to use it both as an end-to-end solution or as particular functions.

The core packages used for calculations are SymPy and NumPy:

- SymPy is used for symbolic calculations when constructing series representation of control system (Step 3 requires differentiation operation) and when printing out nice formatted output pdf files;
- NumPy is used for numerical calculations: finding linearly independent Lie elements, their projections, etc. Basically all matrix operations were done with NumPy.

The most computationally demanding are Steps 7, 8 and 9 since they require solving high dimensional systems of linear equations. Since values of $\dim \mathcal{A}^m$ grow as 2^{m-1} , one can conclude that the “depth” of a system, i.e., the order of the last linearly independent Lie element $\text{ord}(\ell_n)$, determines the complexity and time of computations rather than dimension n of a system.

The present-day implementation of the algorithm possesses the following restrictions on the depth of systems: the graded subspaces and the basis of the Lie algebra were constructed for orders up to 15; the web application can handle in real time systems with $\text{ord}(\ell_n) \leq 9$; by using the functionality of the package one can construct approximations for systems with $\text{ord}(\ell_n) \leq 13$ on an “average” local computer in a “reasonable” time.

As possible developments of the proposed algorithm and its implementation we consider the following:

- 1) optimization of the present implementation to be able to construct approximations for “deeper” systems;

- 2) implementation of the algorithm for the case of multi-dimensional control (for that, optimization is even more crucial since dimensions of graded subspaces for a system with k controls grows as $(k + 1)^{m-1}$);
- 3) applying the algorithm as an effective tool for analysis of certain specific classes of systems, in particular, Goursat systems, see, e.g., [23].

5 Physical example: Goursat systems and their homogeneous approximations

Let us consider a driftless control system (1) defined by two vector fields and introduce the following notation for the “derived flag”

$$\begin{aligned} D^1 &= \text{Lin}\{X_1, X_2\}, \\ D^{k+1} &= D^k + [D^k, D^k], \quad k \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $[D^k, D^k] = \text{Lin}\{[Y, Z] : Y, Z \in D^k\}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ stands for the Lie bracket of vector fields. By definition, (1) is called a Goursat system if $\dim(D^k) = k + 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. A convenient coordinate description was found in [21]: it turned out that, in appropriate local coordinates, vector fields $X_1(x)$ and $X_2(x)$ are polynomial and can include constant parameters.

These systems have an important application discovered by F. Jean [15]: it relates to a kinematic model of a car pulling n trailers. Roughly speaking, variables here are orientation angles of the car and the trailers. If the car is not perpendicular to the first trailer and none of the consecutive trailers are perpendicular to each other, then the position is non-singular. In this case, constant parameters vanish, and all non-singular positions are described by a unique system (of a chained form). Otherwise, different singularities arise; a thorough research was made in [15].

The study of possible homogeneous approximations of Goursat systems, which describe a possible local behavior of the mentioned kinematic model, is very difficult in general; the main question here is if constant parameters mentioned above are included in a homogeneous approximation. A deep investigation was carried out by P. Mormul [22, 23], who performed a lot of sophisticated calculations applying very special properties of Goursat systems. Actually, Goursat systems were our main motivation in developing an implementation of the algorithm presented above.

The described above restrictions on the depth of systems for the current implementation of the algorithm applies to Goursat systems as well. One of the “deepest” system for which we were able to calculate a homogeneous approximation is GGSGSG (written in a standard coding for Goursat systems, we refer to [15], [23] for more details): this is a system of dimension 8 and Lie brackets up to length 12 are involved. However, we believe that based on the special structure of Goursat systems, the implementation of the algorithm can be significantly optimized, and we will be able to find homogeneous approximations for Goursat systems of higher dimension.

Acknowledgments

The work was financially supported by Polish National Science Centre grant no. 2017/25/B/ST1/01892. Pavel Barkhayev was supported by the Norwegian Research Council project “COMAN” No. 275113.

References

- [1] A. A. Agrachev, R. V. Gamkrelidze, and A. V. Sarychev. Local invariants of smooth control systems. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 14:191–237, 1989. DOI: 10.1007/BF01307214.
- [2] A. Bellaïche. The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry. In A. Bellaïche and J. J. Risler, editors, *Sub-Riemannian Geometry*, volume 144 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 1–78. Birkhäuser Basel, 1996. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-9210-0_1.
- [3] R. M. Bianchini and G. Stefani. Graded approximation and controllability along a trajectory. *SIAM J. Control Optimiz.*, 28:903–924, 1990. DOI: 10.1137/0328050.
- [4] A. Bressan. Local asymptotic approximation of nonlinear control systems. *Internat. J. Control*, 41:1331–1336, 1985.
- [5] K. T. Chen. Integration of paths – a faithful representation of parths by noncommutative formal power series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 89:395–407, 1958.
- [6] P. E. Crouch. Solvable approximations to control systems. *SIAM J. Control Optimiz.*, 22:40–54, 1984. DOI: 10.1137/0322004.
- [7] M. Fliess. Développements fonctionnels en indéterminées non commutatives des solutions d’équations différentielles non linéaires forcées. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B*, 287:1133–1135, 1978.
- [8] M. Fliess. Realizations of nonlinear systems and abstract transitive Lie algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2:444–446, 1980. DOI: 10.1090/S0273-0979-1980-14760-6.
- [9] M. Fliess. Fonctionnelles causales non linéaires et indéterminées non commutatives. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 109:3–40, 1981.
- [10] H. Hermes. Nilpotent approximations of control systems and distributions. *SIAM J. Control Optimiz.*, 24:731–736, 1986. DOI: 10.1137/0324045.
- [11] H. Hermes. Nilpotent and high-order approximations of vector field systems. *SIAM Rev.*, 33:238–264, 1991. DOI: 10.1137/1033050.
- [12] S. Yu. Ignatovich. Realizable growth vectors of affine control systems. *J. Dyn. Control Syst.*, 15:557–585, 2009. 10.1007/s10883-009-9075-y.
- [13] S. Yu. Ignatovich. Normalization of homogeneous approximations of symmetric affine control systems with two controls. *J. Dyn. Control Syst.*, 17:1–48, 2011. 10.1007/s10883-011-9109-0.
- [14] A. Isidori. *Nonlinear Control Systems*. Springer-Verlag London, 3rd edition, 1995.
- [15] F. Jean. The car with n trailers: Characterization of the singular configurations. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 1:241–266, 1996. 10.1051/cocv:1996108.
- [16] M. Kawski. Nonlinear control and combinatorics of words. In *Geometry of Feedback and Optimal Control*, pages 305–346. Dekker, 1997.
- [17] M. Kawski. The combinatorics of nonlinear controllability and noncommuting flows. volume 8 of *Abdus Salam ICTP Lecture Notes Series*, pages 223–312. 2002.

- [18] M. Kowski and H. J. Sussmann. Noncommutative power series and formal Lie-algebraic techniques in nonlinear control theory. In *Operators, Systems and Linear Algebra. European Consortium for Mathematics in Industry*, pages 111–128. Teubner, 1997. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-663-09823-2_10.
- [19] V. I. Korobov and G. M. Sklyar. Time-optimality and the power moment problem (Russian). *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 134(176)(2):186–206, 1987. Translation: Math. USSR-Sb., vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 185–206, 1989, DOI: 10.1070/SM1989v062n01ABEH003235.
- [20] V. I. Korobov and G. M. Sklyar. The Markov moment min-problem and time optimality (Russian). *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 32(1):60–71, 1991. Translation: Siberian Math. J., vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 46–55, 1991, DOI: 10.1007/BF00970159.
- [21] A. Kumpera and C. Ruiz. Sur l’équilance locale des systèmes de Pfaff en drapeau. In *Monge-Ampère Equations and Related Topics, Florence 1980*, Inst. Alta Math. F. Severi, Roma, pages 201–248. 1982.
- [22] P. Mormul. Real moduli in local classification of Goursat flags. *Hokkaido Math J.*, 34:1–35, 2005. DOI: 10.14492/hokmj/1285766199.
- [23] P. Mormul. Do moduli of Goursat distributions appear on the level of nilpotent approximations? In J.P. Brasselet and M.A.S. Ruas, editors, *Real and Complex Singularities*, volume 39 of *Trends in Mathematics*, pages 229–246. Birkhäuser Basel, 2006. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-7643-7776-2_17.
- [24] C. Reutenauer. *Free Lie Algebras*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [25] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Local equivalence of time-optimal control problems and Markov moment min-problem. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.*, 76(S.3):561–562, 1996.
- [26] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Moment approach to nonlinear time optimality. *SIAM J. Control Optimiz.*, 38(6):1707–1728, 2000. DOI: 10.1137/S0363012997329767.
- [27] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Representations of control systems in the Fliess algebra and in the algebra of nonlinear power moments. *Systems Control Lett.*, 47(3):227–235, 2002. DOI: 10.1016/S0167-6911(02)00201-3.
- [28] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Approximation of time-optimal control problems via nonlinear power moment min-problems. *SIAM J. Control Optimiz.*, 42:1325–1346, 2003. DOI: 10.1137/S0363012901398253.
- [29] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Description of all privileged coordinates in the homogeneous approximation problem for nonlinear control systems. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 344:109–114, 2007. DOI: 10.1016/j.crma.2006.11.016.
- [30] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Fliess series, a generalization of the Ree’s theorem, and an algebraic approach to a homogeneous approximation problem. *Int. J. Control*, 81:369–378, 2008. DOI: 10.1080/00207170701561427.
- [31] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Free algebras and noncommutative power series in the analysis of nonlinear control systems: an application to approximation problems. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)*, 504:1–88, 2014. DOI: 10.4064/dm504-0-1.

- [32] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Constructing of a homogeneous approximation. In A. Bartoszewicz, J. Kabziński, and J. Kacprzyk, editors, *Advanced, Contemporary Control*, volume 1196 of *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, pages 611–624. Springer, Cham., 2020. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-50936-1_52.
- [33] G. M. Sklyar and S. Yu. Ignatovich. Subspaces of maximal singularity for homogeneous control systems. *J. Dyn. Control Syst.*, 27:585–616, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s10883-020-09518-x.
- [34] G. M. Sklyar, S. Yu. Ignatovich, and P. Yu. Barkhayev. Algebraic classification of nonlinear steering problems with constraints on control. In *Advances in mathematics research. Vol. 6*, pages 37–96. Nova Sci. Publ., New York, 2005.
- [35] G. Stefani. Polynomial approximations to control systems and local controllability. In *1985 24th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pages 33–38, 1985. DOI: 10.1109/CDC.1985.268467.
- [36] H. J. Sussmann. New differential geometric methods in nonholonomic path finding. In *Systems, Models and Feedback: Theory and Applications*, volume 12 of *Progress in Systems and Control Theory*, pages 365–384. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1992. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-2204-8_24.
- [37] A. Zuyev and V. Grushkovskaya. On stabilization of nonlinear systems with drift by time-varying feedback laws. In *2019 12th International Workshop on Robot Motion and Control (RoMoCo), Poznan, Poland*, pages 9–14, 2019. doi: 10.1109/RoMoCo.2019.8787353.