

THE RELATIVE TRACE FORMULA IN ELECTROMAGNETIC SCATTERING AND BOUNDARY LAYER OPERATORS

ALEXANDER STROHMAIER AND ALDEN WATERS

ABSTRACT. This paper establishes trace-formulae for a class of operators defined in terms of the functional calculus for the Laplace operator on divergence-free vector fields with relative and absolute boundary conditions on Lipschitz domains in \mathbb{R}^3 . Spectral and scattering theory of the absolute and relative Laplacian is equivalent to the spectral analysis and scattering theory for Maxwell equations. The trace-formulae allow for unbounded functions in the functional calculus that are not admissible in the Birman-Krein formula. In special cases the trace-formula reduces to a determinant formula for the Casimir energy that is being used in the physics literature for the computation of the Casimir energy for objects with metallic boundary conditions. Our theorems justify these formulae in the case of electromagnetic scattering on Lipschitz domains, give a rigorous meaning to them as the trace of certain trace-class operators, and clarifies the function spaces on which the determinants need to be taken.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Function spaces on Lipschitz domains	9
3. Laplace operators on the interior domain	10
4. Laplace operators on the exterior domain	13
5. The combined relative operators and the Birman-Krein formula	15
6. Maxwell boundary layer operators	17
7. Estimates and low energy expansions for the Layer potential operators	22
8. Resolvent formulae and estimates	30
9. The function Ξ	33
10. Relative Trace Formula	36
11. Proof of the main theorems	38
12. Appendix	40
References	43

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we establish several trace-formulae for operators governing the time-harmonic Maxwell equations on an open set $X = \Omega \cup M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of the form $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial\Omega$, where Ω is a bounded (strongly) Lipschitz domain. Here we will refer to Ω as the interior and to M as the exterior domain. We denote by E, H the electric and magnetic fields, respectively. The time-harmonic

Supported by Leverhulme grant RPG-2017-329.

Maxwell-system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{curl} E - i\lambda H &= 0 \\
\operatorname{div} E &= 0 \\
\operatorname{curl} H + i\lambda E &= 0 \\
\operatorname{div} H &= 0 \\
\nu \times E &= A \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\
\langle \nu, H \rangle &= f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the first four equations are considered in either Ω or M separately, or simultaneously by considering this as an equation on X . Here ν is the almost everywhere defined outward pointing unit normal vector field on $\partial\Omega$. This system is well posed on suitable function spaces.

We will be concerned with the associated self-adjoint operators and their spectral theory. Two natural operators describe the solutions of Maxwell's equations. The relative Laplacian Δ_{rel} and the absolute Laplacian Δ_{abs} are self-adjoint operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3) = L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \oplus L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^3)$. These are sometimes called electric and magnetic Laplacians, as their boundary conditions reflect these of the electric and magnetic fields. Functional calculus for the relative Laplacian determines the solutions E of the time-harmonic Maxwell-system, whereas functional calculus for the absolute Laplacian determines the solutions H of the system. Here we use the more mathematical notation that is inspired by Hodge theory. The harmonic forms satisfying relative boundary conditions give rise to relative deRham cohomology classes and the ones satisfying absolute boundary conditions give rise to absolute deRham cohomology classes.

Before we describe the general case we would like to explain and motivate this in an important special case and when the bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ consists of two connected components Ω_1 and Ω_2 . We then construct the self-adjoint operator Δ_{rel} out of the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial\Omega$ by imposing relative boundary conditions in each side of $\partial\Omega$. The operators $\Delta_{j,\text{rel}}$ are obtained in the same way from the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial\Omega_j$ with boundary conditions only imposed on each side of $\partial\Omega_j$. The operators Δ_{abs} and $\Delta_{j,\text{abs}}$ are defined analogously with absolute boundary conditions. It is a special case of our result that the two operators

$$\begin{aligned}
C_E &= (-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta d - (-\Delta_{1,\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta d - (-\Delta_{2,\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta d + (-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta d, \\
C_H &= (-\Delta_{\text{abs}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta d - (-\Delta_{1,\text{abs}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta d - (-\Delta_{2,\text{abs}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta d + (-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta d,
\end{aligned}$$

defined on smooth compactly supported vector fields on $X = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial\Omega$ extend to trace-class operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and their trace can be expressed in terms of the determinant of a combination of Maxwell boundary layer operators (see Theorems 1.1 and 1.3). In fact we will see that their traces coincide, i.e. $\operatorname{tr}(C_E) = \operatorname{tr}(C_H)$. We have used here differential form notation, with d being the exterior derivative and δ being the co-derivative. The trace-class property is due to several cancellations. Any linear combination of operators appearing in the expressions above that is not proportional to this expression is not trace-class. This statement remains true even if one introduces an artificial boundary thereby compactifying the problem.

In terms of vector-calculus the above two operators can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} C_E &= (-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl curl} - (-\Delta_{1,\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl curl} - (-\Delta_{2,\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl curl} + (-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl curl}, \\ C_H &= \text{curl}(-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl} - \text{curl}(-\Delta_{1,\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl} - \text{curl}(-\Delta_{2,\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl} + \text{curl}(-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl}. \end{aligned}$$

Apart from being interesting from the point of view of spectral analysis these operators also have a direct physical significance. Namely $\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(C_E + C_H) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(C_E)$ represents the Casimir energy of the two Lipschitz obstacles Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Indeed, as shown in [44] in a general rigorous framework of quantum field theory, the local trace, i.e. the trace of the integral kernel restricted to the diagonal, of the operator

$$\frac{1}{4} \left((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl curl} - (-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl curl} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left((-\Delta_{\text{abs}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl curl} - (-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{curl curl} \right)$$

is the renormalised energy density obtained from the electromagnetic quantum field theory. The relative resolvent differences C_E and C_H then describe differences of energies. It was shown in [20], again in a rigorous QFT framework, that in case of the scalar field that such “energy differences” lead to a Casimir force as determined from the quantum stress energy tensor as in [11, 28]. The same statement is expected to hold for the electromagnetic field, but this will be discussed elsewhere.

The mathematical statements above can therefore also be interpreted as a rigorous proof that the Casimir energy as derived from spectral quantities is well defined in this framework and can be computed from determinants of boundary layer operators. It also clarifies the function spaces needed to compute these quantities for non-smooth boundaries.

We focus in this paper on Maxwell’s equations in dimension three and we will mostly use vector-calculus notations rather than differential forms. This has the advantage of keeping the notations and exposition more accessible and we can then also rely on a wealth of previous results on boundary layer operators ([8, 9, 14–16, 25, 32–35, 38]). Focusing on dimension three also avoids complications with the free Green’s function having more complicated expressions or a logarithmic singularity at zero.

Although this is a mathematical paper we also try to give of physics background for the interested reader. To our knowledge a determinant formula for the Casimir energy first appeared in the physics literature [41] where this was derived microscopically and without reference to spectral theory. Physics derivations have also appeared in various contexts based on path integrals and fluctuations of configurations on the surface on the obstacles ([18, 29, 30]) and have led to numerical schemes [27] and asymptotic formulae. The spectral side, often favouring a Zeta function regularisation approach as in Casimir’s original work [13] was developed somewhat independently. We refer to [6] and [31] for a comprehensive overview over the subject. The relation between the various approaches remained unclear even in the physics world (for a very recent report on this see [5] and references). We also mention the approach of [1], which is also based on a reduction to the boundary.

1.1. Statement of main results. We now describe the general setting of our results. We assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open and bounded (strongly) Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^3 in the sense that the boundary of Ω is locally congruent to the graph of a Lipschitz function. The finitely many connected components will be denoted by Ω_j with some index j , which ranges from 1 to N . We will think of the closure $\bar{\Omega}$ as a collection of disjoint compact obstacles $\bar{\Omega}_j$ placed in \mathbb{R}^3 (see Fig. 1). Removing these obstacles from \mathbb{R}^3 results in a non-compact open domain

$M = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$. We will assume throughout that M is connected. It will also be convenient to introduce $X = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial\Omega = M \cup \Omega$.




FIGURE 1. A Lipschitz domain Ω consisting of four connected components $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3, \Omega_4$.

On the boundary one has well-defined anisotropic Sobolev spaces $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ (see Section 2) and the Maxwell electric field operator \mathcal{L}_λ is a bounded operator $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ (see Section 6). This can be done for each object separately and one can assemble the individual parts $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \partial\Omega_j} : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega_j) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega_j)$ into an operator $\mathcal{L}_{D, \lambda} = \oplus_{j=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \partial\Omega_j}$ acting on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$.

Theorem 1.1. *The operator $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D, \lambda}^{-1}$ is well-defined and a trace-class perturbation of the identity for any complex λ with $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$. It therefore has a well-defined Fredholm determinant $\det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D, \lambda}^{-1})$ on the space $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$. Let δ be the minimal distance between separate objects. Then for any $0 < \delta' < \delta$ the function*

$$\Xi(\lambda) = \log \det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D, \lambda}^{-1}),$$

where the branch of the logarithm has been fixed by continuity, extends to a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of the closed upper half space and it satisfies the bound

$$|\Xi(\lambda)| \leq C e^{-\text{Im}(\lambda)\delta}$$

for λ in any sector about the positive imaginary axis of angle strictly less than π .

We note that the operators \mathcal{L}_λ^{-1} and $\mathcal{L}_{D, \lambda}^{-1}$ have singularities at zero and it is due to a variety of cancellations that the quotient is regular at zero, in particular when the objects have non-trivial topology. Our proof is based on a careful analysis of these singularities.

Before we formulate the trace-formula we need to define a large class of functions to which it applies. These will be analytic functions in certain sectors and we start by describing these sectors. Assume $0 < \epsilon \leq \pi$ and let \mathfrak{S}_ϵ be the open sector

$$\mathfrak{S}_\epsilon = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z \neq 0, |\arg(z)| < \epsilon\}$$

containing the real axis (see Fig. 2). Associated to these we define the following spaces of functions. The space \mathcal{E}_ϵ will be defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_\epsilon = \{f : \mathfrak{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathfrak{S}_\epsilon, \exists \alpha > 0, \forall \epsilon_0 > 0, |f(z)| = O(|z|^\alpha e^{\epsilon_0|z|})\}.$$

We define the space \mathcal{P}_ϵ as the set of functions in \mathcal{E}_ϵ whose restriction to $[0, \infty)$ is polynomially bounded and that extend continuously to the boundary of \mathfrak{S}_ϵ in the logarithmic cover of the




FIGURE 2. The sectors \mathfrak{S}_ϵ , $\mathfrak{D}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ and the corresponding contours.

complex plane. Reference to the logarithmic cover of the complex plane is only needed in case $\epsilon = \pi$. In this case functions in \mathcal{P}_π are required to have continuous limits from above and below on the negative real axis. We do not however require that these limits coincide. The space \mathcal{P}_ϵ contains in particular $f(z) = z^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$ for any $0 < \epsilon \leq \pi$.

When working with the Laplace operator it is often convenient to change variables and use λ^2 as a spectral parameter, and in the context of Maxwell theory it turns out to be beneficial to introduce an extra λ^{-2} -factor. For notational brevity we therefore introduce another class of functions as follows.

Definition 1.2. *The space $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\epsilon$ is defined to be the space of functions f such that $f(\lambda) = \lambda^{-2}g(\lambda^2)$ for some $g \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon$. In particular $f(\lambda) = O(\lambda^a)$ for some $a > -2$ near $\lambda = 0$.*

Very generally the operator Δ_{rel} decomposes into a direct sum of unbounded operators $\Delta_{\text{rel}} = 0 \oplus d\delta \oplus \delta d$ under the weak Hodge-Helmholtz decomposition (see Section 4, (9)) we have

$$f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} = f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\delta d = f((\delta d)^{\frac{1}{2}})\delta d$$

for any Borel function f . This implies that for a function $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\epsilon$ the unbounded operator $f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl}$ contains $C_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^3)$ in its domain. Indeed, for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough we have the factorisation $f((\delta d)^{\frac{1}{2}})\delta d = h((\delta d)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\delta d + 1)^k \psi$, where $(\delta d + 1)^k \psi \in C_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and the function $h(\lambda) = (1 + \lambda^2)^{-k} \lambda^2 f(\lambda)$ is bounded on the real line.

For $0 < \epsilon \leq \pi$ we also define the contours Γ_ϵ in the complex plane as the boundary curves of the sectors \mathfrak{S}_ϵ . In case $\epsilon = \pi$ the contour is defined as a contour in the logarithmic cover of the complex plane. We also let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ be the corresponding contour after the change of variables, i.e. the pre-image in the upper half space under the map $z \rightarrow z^2$ of Γ_ϵ (see Fig. 2).

For $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\epsilon$ we define the *relative operator*

$$D_{\text{rel},f} = f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} \\ - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(f((-\Delta_{j,\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} \right),$$

where $f(\lambda) = g(\lambda^2)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{abs},f} &= f((-\Delta_{\text{abs}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(f((-\Delta_{j,\text{abs}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} \right) \\ &= \text{curl}f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl} - \text{curl}f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\text{curl}f((-\Delta_{j,\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl} - \text{curl}f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since these operators contain $C_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^3)$ in their domain they are densely defined.

We refer to taking these differences as the *relative setting*, indicating that this compares interacting quantities to non-interacting ones. It is unfortunate that the word relative is also used to denote the relative boundary conditions. We alert the reader that these two uses of the word relative are unrelated, but to avoid confusion we have used the symbol D for “difference” to denote relative objects.

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *If $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\epsilon$, then operators $D_{\text{rel},f}$ and $D_{\text{abs},f}$ extend to trace-class operators $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and*

$$\text{tr}(D_{\text{rel},f}) = \text{tr}(D_{\text{abs},f}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \Xi(\lambda) \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda^2 f(\lambda)) d\lambda,$$

where the contour $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ is the clockwise-oriented boundary of a sector that includes the imaginary axis.

An interesting application of the relative trace is that it allows one to define a relative Zeta function, namely

$$\zeta_D(s) = \text{tr}(D_{f_s}), \quad f_s(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2s+2}}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) < 0$. As a consequence of Theorem 1.3 this relative Zeta function then satisfies

$$\zeta_D(s) = \frac{2s}{\pi} \sin(\pi s) \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-2s-1} \Xi(i\lambda) d\lambda.$$

This formula allows for a meromorphic continuation of ζ_D with poles of order at most one and residues related to the Taylor coefficients of $\Xi(i\lambda)$ at zero. These coefficients are interesting in their own right and will be investigated elsewhere. In the special case when $f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ this gives the expression

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(C_E + C_H) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \Xi(i\lambda) d\lambda$$

for the Casimir energy.

Under our more general assumptions on f the operators

$$B_{\text{rel},f} = f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl}, \quad (2)$$

$$B_{\text{abs},f} = f((-\Delta_{\text{abs}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl} - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})\text{curl curl}, \quad (3)$$

are not trace-class. One has however the following theorem about the smoothness and integrability properties of their integral kernels.

Theorem 1.4. *The operator B_f defined be either $B_{\text{rel},f}$, defined by (2), or $B_{\text{rel},f}$, defined by (3). Then B_f has an integral kernel $\kappa \in C^\infty(X \times X, \text{Mat}(3, \mathbb{C}))$, which is smooth away from the boundary. If $\Omega_0 \subset X$ has positive distance to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ and p_{Ω_0} the orthogonal projection $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_0, \mathbb{C}^3)$, then $p_{\Omega_0} B_f p_{\Omega_0}$ extends to a trace-class operator with trace equal to the convergent integral*

$$\int_{\Omega_0} \text{tr}(\kappa(x, x)) \, dx.$$

For large $|x|$ we have the estimate

$$\|\kappa(x, x)\| \leq C \frac{1}{|x|^{6+a}},$$

if $f(z) = O(|z|^a)$ for $|z| < 1$.

1.2. Discussion. The theorems presented here are the Maxwell-analogue of the paper [36] where a similar statement was proved for the scalar Laplacian in the case of smooth boundary. The Maxwell-system on a Lipschitz domain is different in several regards and introduces challenges that are absent in the scalar case:

- Maxwell's equations arise from an abelian gauge theory and the gauge freedom results in the loss of ellipticity of the equations for the electromagnetic field. On the analysis side this manifests itself as the equations taking place on the space of divergence-free vector fields rather than the space of sections of the vector bundle. This can however be fixed by considering the spectral decomposition of the Laplace operator and then employing the Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition to project onto the subspace of divergence-free vector fields. Projecting works well in cases with and without boundary as long as the geometric configuration is fixed. The projector constructed from the Helmholtz decomposition is roughly of the form $-\Delta^{-1}\delta\delta = -\Delta^{-1}\text{curl}\text{curl}$ and it involves the non-local functional calculus of the Laplace operator. It therefore depends on the geometric configuration and also the boundary conditions imposed on the Laplace operator. This makes it much harder to directly apply scattering theory which requires an identification of the involved Hilbert spaces. The same problem appears in the context of the Birman-Krein formula in electromagnetic scattering. We have proved a variant of the Birman-Krein formula in [45] and we will follow the same formulation here.
- Unlike the Dirichlet-Laplacian the Laplace operator on the space of vector fields with relative boundary conditions has a non-trivial kernel in the exterior domain. This leads to singularities of the resolvent near zero and manifests itself in the presence of singularities of the boundary layer operators. Additional singularities of the boundary layer operators appear if the obstacles have non-trivial topology, which we do not exclude. To overcome this we carefully analyse the singularities of various Maxwell boundary layer operators at zero and we show that there are various cancellations that render a final result without singularities.
- An additional complication arises in this paper since we are considering Lipschitz domains instead of smooth ones. This requires more sophisticated harmonic analysis techniques. We rely here on a lot of progress in this subject that has been made during the past several decades, in particular with the identification of the appropriate function spaces.

As explained the spectral theory of Δ_{rel} and Δ_{abs} determines the Maxwell-system. Suitably interpreted the curl-operator intertwines these two operators in the sense that $\text{curl}\Delta_{\text{abs}} = \Delta_{\text{rel}}\text{curl}$. In the interior the relative Laplacian on a suitable closed subspace consisting of divergence-free vector fields has the Maxwell eigenvalues as its spectrum and the eigenfunctions describe modes of photons that are confined to Ω . The exterior relative Laplacian on a suitable closed subspace of divergence-free vector fields describes the scattering of electromagnetic waves, or photons respectively, by the obstacles Ω . The functional calculus on Δ_{rel} on this subspace can be understood in terms of the operators $f(\Delta_{\text{rel}})\text{curl}\text{curl}$. The following Birman-Krein formula has been proved.

1.3. Relation to the Birman-Krein formula. In case f is an even Schwartz function we have that

$$\left(\text{curl}\text{curl}(f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})) \right)$$

is trace-class and its trace can be computed by the Birman-Krein-type formula

$$\text{tr}\left(\text{curl}\text{curl}(f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})) \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \text{tr}(S_\lambda^{-1}(S_\lambda)') f(\lambda) d\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^\infty f(\mu_j) \mu_j^2,$$

where S_λ is the scattering matrix for the Maxwell equation and μ_j are the Maxwell eigenvalues of the interior. As a consequence of this formula

$$\text{tr}D_{\text{rel},f} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \log \frac{\det S_\lambda}{\det(S_{1,\lambda}) \cdots \det(S_{N,\lambda})} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda^2 f(\lambda)) d\lambda,$$

which is valid only under very restrictive assumptions on f . The same formula and statements hold for absolute instead of relative boundary conditions.

In the motivating example one cannot use this formula. It would require $f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, which does not satisfy the assumptions of the Birman-Krein formula. In fact it can be shown that the integrand on the right hand side is not integrable in that case. One has however the following relation between the function Ξ and the scattering matrices.

Theorem 1.5. *We have*

$$\log \frac{\det S_\lambda}{\det(S_{1,\lambda}) \cdots \det(S_{N,\lambda})} = -(\Xi(\lambda) - \Xi(-\lambda))$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

This theorem reflects the relation between the spectral shift function and zeta regularised determinants as discovered by Carron ([12], Theorem 1.3) generalising a formula by Gesztesy and Simon ([24], Theorem 1.1).

1.4. Organisation of the paper. The paper is organised as follows. Sections 2- 6 provide the required theoretical background for the paper and consist of essentially known material. Section 2 sets up the basic function spaces needed for boundary layer theory on Lipschitz domains. Section 3 summarises the spectral properties of the interior relative and absolute Laplace operators, and Section 4 reviews the scattering theory for the relative and absolute Laplacians on the exterior. Both are combined into one operator in Section 5. In this section we also discuss the Birman-Krein formula in the context of our setting. Section 6 introduces the basic Maxwell boundary layer operators and their properties.

The basic estimates and expansions for the layer potential operators needed for the proofs are covered in Section 7. This section is presented independently of the main results as

its content is interesting in its own right, as it also covers various aspects of low energy expansions for the electric and magnetic boundary layer operators and inverses. Section 8 gives formulae of the resolvent differences in terms of layer potential operators and thereby provides estimates for these differences. Sections 9 and 10 take on the main subject of this paper, namely function Ξ , the relative resolvent, and its trace. Section 11 finally contains the proofs of the main theorems.

2. FUNCTION SPACES ON LIPSCHITZ DOMAINS

Since Ω is a Lipschitz domain we have, by Rademacher's theorem, an almost everywhere defined exterior unit vector field $\nu \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. We will use the following spaces that now are standard in Maxwell theory:

- $H(\text{curl}, M) = \{f \in L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \text{curl} f \in L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^3)\}$.
- $H(\text{div}, M) = \{f \in L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \text{div} f \in L^2(M)\}$.
- $L^2_{\text{tan}}(\partial\Omega) = \{f \in L^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \nu \cdot f = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \partial\Omega\}$.
- $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega), H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Curl}, \partial\Omega)$.

These spaces were introduced in [8] and provide a convenient framework for dealing with Maxwell's equations on Lipschitz domains. We refer to the Appendix of [38] for an extensive discussion and we only summarise the basic properties.

In case $\partial\Omega$ is smooth we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) &= \{f \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega; T\partial\Omega) \mid \text{Div} f \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)\}, \\ H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Curl}, \partial\Omega) &= \{f \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega; T\partial\Omega) \mid \text{Curl} f \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where Div is the surface divergence on $\partial\Omega$, and Curl is the surface curl. On a general Lipschitz domain this can be defined via Lipschitz coordinate charts, thus locally reducing it to the smooth case. Note that the spaces $H^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are invariant under bi-Lipschitz maps if $|s| \leq 1$. We refer to [38] for a detailed discussion of the definition via coordinate charts. We also have the corresponding spaces for the interior domains. Namely we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H(\text{curl}, \Omega) &= \{f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \text{curl} f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)\}, \\ H(\text{div}, \Omega) &= \{f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \text{div} f \in L^2(\Omega)\}. \end{aligned}$$

On $H(\text{curl}, M)$ there are two distinguished and well-defined continuous trace maps

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{T,-} &: H(\text{curl}, M) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Curl}, \partial\Omega), \\ \gamma_{t,-} &: H(\text{curl}, M) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

which continuously extend the maps $f \mapsto (\nu \times f|_{\partial\Omega}) \times \nu$ and $f \mapsto (\nu \times f|_{\partial\Omega})$ respectively, defined on $C_0(\overline{M}, \mathbb{C}^3)$. Note that for $x \in \partial\Omega$ such that ν_x is defined the map $v \mapsto (\nu_x \times v) \times \nu_x$ is the orthogonal projection onto the tangent space of $\partial\Omega$ at x . Similarly we have the map

$$\gamma_{\nu,-} : H(\text{div}, M) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega),$$

continuously extending the normal restriction map $f \mapsto \nu \cdot f|_{\partial\Omega}$. On the interior domain Ω we have the analogous maps

$$\gamma_{T,+} : H(\text{curl}, \Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Curl}, \partial\Omega),$$

$$\gamma_{t,+} : H(\text{curl}, \Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega),$$

$$\gamma_{\nu,+} : H(\text{div}, \Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega),$$

There is a well defined dual pairing between $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Curl}, \partial\Omega)$ and $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ that extends the L^2 -inner product on $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \cap L^2_{\text{tan}}(\partial\Omega)$. We will denote this pairing by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}$, irrespective of the order and mildly abusing notation. The map $\phi \mapsto \nu \times \phi$ extends to a continuous isomorphism from $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ to $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Curl}, \partial\Omega)$ and vice versa. Since $\nu \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ this is a priori not defined between Sobolev spaces. It is however possible to make sense of this by using Lipschitz coordinate charts.

We recall Stokes theorem for $\phi, E \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$:

$$\langle \gamma_{t,+} E, \gamma_{T,+} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} = \langle \text{curl } E, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} - \langle E, \text{curl } \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\langle \text{curl curl } E, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} - \langle E, \text{curl curl } \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (5)$$

$$= \langle \gamma_{t,+} \text{curl } E, \gamma_{T,+} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \langle \gamma_{t,+} E, \gamma_{T,+} \text{curl } \phi \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}.$$

We also define $H_0(\text{curl}, M)$ as the kernel of $\gamma_{t,-}$ and $H_0(\text{div}, M)$ as the kernel of $\gamma_{\nu,-}$. The spaces $H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ and $H_0(\text{div}, \Omega)$ are defined analogously.

If there is no danger of confusion we will omit the \pm and simply write γ_t and γ_ν respectively.

We also have surface divergence Div and surface curl Curl . They satisfy

$$\text{Div} \circ \gamma_{t,+} = -\gamma_{\nu,+} \circ \text{curl}. \quad (6)$$

3. LAPLACE OPERATORS ON THE INTERIOR DOMAIN

3.1. The relative Laplacian. The operator

$$\text{curl}_0 = \text{curl}|_{H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega)} : H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$$

is a closed densely defined operator. It has an adjoint which is the closed operator

$$\text{curl} : H(\text{curl}, \Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3).$$

For any closed densely defined operator A the operator A^*A is automatically self-adjoint. If in addition $\text{rg}(A) \subset \ker(A)$, then $A^*A + AA^*$ is self-adjoint if it is densely defined (see for example [45, Section 2]). It follows that curl curl with domain

$$\{f \in H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega) \mid \text{curl } f \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)\}$$

is a non-negative self-adjoint operator. Similarly, $\text{div} : H(\text{div}, \Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is a closed operator with adjoint $-\text{grad}_0 : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, the operator $-\text{grad}_0 \text{div}$ is a non-negative self-adjoint operator with domain

$$\{f \in H(\text{div}, \Omega) \mid \text{div } f \in H_0(\Omega)\}.$$

Their sum $\Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}}$ is again self-adjoint and then has domain

$$\{f \in H(\text{div}, \Omega) \cap H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega) \mid \text{div } f \in H_0(\Omega), \text{curl } f \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)\},$$

and on this domain $-\Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}}$ is given by

$$-\Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}} = \text{curl curl} - \text{grad div}.$$

The implied boundary conditions of this operator are the so-called relative boundary conditions

$$\gamma_{t,+}(f) = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

In the case of smooth boundary the form-domain of the interior relative Laplace operator equals $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$. In the more general Lipschitz case this is no longer true, but it is known that the form domain is contained in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ (see [16, Theorem 2] and also [33]). This is compactly embedded in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and therefore the interior relative Laplace operator has purely discrete spectrum. We have the classical Hodge-Helmholtz decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega) \oplus \overline{\operatorname{rg}(\operatorname{grad})} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{rg}(\operatorname{curl})}$$

into an orthogonal direct sum. Here $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega) = \ker(\Delta_{\Omega, \operatorname{rel}})$ is the finite dimensional space of harmonic vector fields satisfying the relative boundary conditions. We will see in Section 3.3 that in fact the assumption that M is connected implies that $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega) = \{0\}$.

We now describe the spectrum of the relative Laplace operator. On Ω we can choose an orthonormal basis (v_j) of Dirichlet eigenfunctions v_j in the domain of the Dirichlet Laplacian with eigenvalues λ_j^2 , i.e.

$$-\Delta v_j = \lambda_{D,j}^2 v_j, \quad \lambda_{D,j} > 0, \quad v_j \in \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \nabla v \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)\},$$

We have $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$ and we arrange the eigenfunctions such that $\lambda_j \nearrow \infty$. Then $\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \nabla v_j$ form an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions in $\overline{\operatorname{rg}(\operatorname{grad})}$ of $-\Delta_{\Omega}$ with eigenvalues λ_j^2 . One has the usual Weyl-law for Lipschitz domains which can easily be inferred from the Weyl law for smooth domains using domain monotonicity and an approximation by smooth domains

$$\lambda_{D,k} \sim \left(\frac{6\pi^2}{\operatorname{Vol}(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} k^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The space $\overline{\operatorname{rg}(\operatorname{curl})}$ on the other hand is the closure of the subspace spanned by ϕ_j , where (ϕ_j) is an orthonormal basis in $\ker(\operatorname{div}) \subset L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ satisfying the eigenvalue equation

$$-\Delta_{\Omega, \operatorname{rel}} \phi_j = \mu_j^2 \phi_j, \quad \operatorname{div} \phi_j = 0,$$

with boundary condition $\gamma_t(\phi_j) = 0$. Therefore zero is not an eigenvalue. The numbers $\mu_j > 0$ are the Maxwell eigenvalues and we again assume these are arranged such that $\mu_j \nearrow \infty$. The Maxwell eigenvalues are known to satisfy a Weyl law (see [4] for Lipschitz domains, but also [21] and references for a general statement in arbitrary dimension)

$$\mu_k \sim \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{\operatorname{Vol}(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} k^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The family $(\phi_j)_{\mu_j > 0}$ then forms an orthonormal basis in $\overline{\operatorname{rg}(\operatorname{curl})}$ consisting of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{\Omega, \operatorname{rel}}$ with non-zero eigenvalues μ_j^2 . Summarising there is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $\Delta_{\Omega, \operatorname{rel}}$ of the form

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \operatorname{grad} v_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \left\{ \phi_j \mid \mu_j > 0 \right\},$$

where v_j are the Dirichlet eigenfunctions and ϕ_j the Maxwell eigenfunctions with Maxwell eigenvalues μ_j .

3.2. The absolute Laplacian. It will also be convenient to consider another operator $\Delta_{\Omega, \text{abs}}$, which is defined by

$$-\Delta_{\Omega, \text{abs}} = \text{curl curl} - \text{grad div},$$

with domain

$$\{f \in H_0(\text{div}, \Omega) \cap H(\text{curl}, \Omega) \mid \text{div} f \in H^1(\Omega), \text{curl} f \in H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega)\}.$$

Again, it is known that the form-domain is contained in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ ([16, Theorem 2]) and the domain is therefore compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$. In the same way as for the relative Laplacian there is an explicit description of the spectrum which we now give. Let (u_j) be an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenfunctions of the Neumann Laplacian with eigenvalues $\lambda_{N,j}$. Hence,

$$-\Delta u_j = \lambda_{N,j}^2 u_j, \quad \partial_\nu u_j|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad u_j \in \{u \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \nabla u \in H_0^1(\text{div}, \Omega)\}.$$

Then the functions $\frac{1}{\lambda_{N,j}} \nabla u_j$ form an orthonormal set consisting of eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\Omega, \text{abs}}$.

We can construct another orthogonal set (ψ_j) from the Maxwell eigenfunctions ϕ_j of the relative Laplace operator by defining

$$\psi_j = \frac{1}{\mu_j} \text{curl} \phi_j.$$

Since the spectrum is discrete standard Hodge theory applies for the absolute Laplacian and we obtain an orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega) \oplus \text{span}\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{N,j}} \text{grad} u_j\right\} \oplus \text{span}\{\psi_j\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega) = \ker \Delta_{\Omega, \text{abs}}$. Unlike in the case of the relative Laplace operator this space is in general nontrivial. We will in the following choose an orthonormal basis $(\psi_{0,k})_k$, where $1 \leq k \leq \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega))$. Therefore an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of the absolute Laplacian is

$$\{\psi_{0,k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \dim(H^1(\Omega))\} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{N,j}} \nabla u_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup \{\psi_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

3.3. Relation to singular and de-Rham cohomology groups. Since Ω is an oriented smooth manifold we have, by de-Rham's theorem, a natural isomorphism identifying $H_{\text{dR}}^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ with $H_{\text{sing}}^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) = H_{\text{sing}}^p(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. Hodge theory is also applicable for Lipschitz domains in the sense that the natural map from $\ker \Delta_{\Omega, \text{abs}}$ to the first de Rham cohomology group $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism. This can for example be inferred from the statement of [40, Th. 11.1 and Th. 11.2] together with the universal coefficient theorem and de-Rham's theorem. This theorem also applies to the absolute Laplacian on 2-forms as defined in [40]. Since this operator is obtained by conjugation of the relative Laplacian on one forms with the Hodge star-operator $*$, we therefore have that $* \ker \Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}}$ is isomorphic to $H_{\text{dR}}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Because the inner product is non-degenerate on these spaces, we have the following non-degenerate dual pairing

$$\ker \Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}} \times (* \ker \Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (f_1, f_2) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f_1 \wedge f_2.$$

We also have, as a consequence of Poincaré duality, the non-degenerate dual pairing

$$H_{\text{c,dR}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \times H_{\text{dR}}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (f_1, f_2) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f_1 \wedge f_2.$$

This establishes an isomorphism $\ker \Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}} \rightarrow H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, which relates the harmonic forms to the de-Rham cohomology groups with compact support. Since elements in $\ker \Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}}$ are not compactly supported this map is defined indirectly by duality.

Our assumptions imply that in fact $H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is trivial and therefore $\ker \Delta_{\Omega, \text{rel}} = \{0\}$. This reflects the observation that a domain with connected exterior cannot have homologically non-trivial 2-cycles (inclusions). This is as “obvious” as the Jordan-curve theorem and in fact the proof uses the same techniques. We show this in the Lemma below, which is easier to prove in a more general setting.

Lemma 3.1. *Let U be an open C^0 -domain with compact closure in \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 2$ such that $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{U}$ is connected. Then $H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(U) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Using a regularised distance function one can approximate U by smooth domains U_ϵ such that U_ϵ is diffeomorphic to U and $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U_\epsilon$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U$ ([2, Theorem 5.1]). This implies that we can assume for the proof without loss of generality that U is a smooth domain. Since $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{U}$ is connected a short and standard argument using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence shows that ∂U is connected. Indeed, slightly enlarging U and $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{U}$ by a tubular neighborhood T of ∂U we have a cover of \mathbb{R}^d by U_1 and U_2 such that U_1 retracts to $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{U}$, U_2 retracts to U and $U_1 \cap U_2 = T$ retracts to ∂U . The Mayer-Vietoris sequence then leads to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^0(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^0(U) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^0(\partial U) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = 0,$$

which implies $\dim H_{\text{dR}}^0(\partial U) = 1$. Now we apply the long exact sequence in de-Rham cohomology with compact support

$$0 = H_{c, \text{dR}}^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^0(\partial U) \rightarrow H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(U) \oplus H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U) \rightarrow H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = 0.$$

This shows that $H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(U) \oplus H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U)$ is one dimensional and therefore $H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(U) = 0$ if $H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U)$ has dimension at least one. A non-trivial element in $H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U)$ is easily constructed by taking a compactly supported smooth function χ which equals one near ∂U . Then $d\chi$ is a class in $H_{c, \text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U)$. This class is non-trivial because the integral of this form along any path to infinity originating at ∂U is non-zero. \square

4. LAPLACE OPERATORS ON THE EXTERIOR DOMAIN

As in the interior case the operator

$$\text{curl}_0 = \text{curl}|_{H_0(\text{curl}, M)} : H_0(\text{curl}, M) \rightarrow L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^3)$$

is a closed densely defined operator with adjoint

$$\text{curl} : H(\text{curl}, M) \rightarrow L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^3).$$

It follows that curl curl with domain

$$\{f \in H_0(\text{curl}, M) \mid \text{curl} f \in H(\text{curl}, M)\}$$

is a non-negative self-adjoint operator. Similarly, $\text{div} : H(\text{div}, M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ is a closed operator with adjoint $-\text{grad}_0 : H_0^1(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$. Therefore, the operator $-\text{grad}_0 \text{div}$ is a non-negative self-adjoint operator with domain

$$\{f \in H(\text{div}, M) \mid \text{div} f \in H_0(M)\}.$$

Their sum $-\Delta_{M,\text{rel}}$ then has domain

$$\{f \in H(\text{div}, M) \cap H_0(\text{curl}, M) \mid \text{div} f \in H_0(M), \text{curl} f \in H(\text{curl}, M)\},$$

and on this domain $-\Delta_{M,\text{rel}}$ is given by

$$-\Delta_{M,\text{rel}} = \text{curl curl}_0 - \text{grad}_0 \text{div}.$$

The implied boundary conditions are the exterior relative boundary conditions

$$\gamma_{t,-}(f) = 0, \quad \text{div} f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

The spectrum of the operator $\Delta_{M,\text{rel}}$ consists of a finite multiplicity eigenvalue at zero and a purely absolutely continuous part. This is the consequence of the finite-type meromorphic continuation of the resolvent and Rellich's theorem. We have described this in detail in [46] for smooth domains, but this part of the paper carries over to Lipschitz domains without change (see [45] for a discussion of this point). The absolutely continuous part of the spectrum can be described well by stationary scattering theory. For each $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and $\lambda > 0$ there exists a unique generalised eigenfunction $E_\lambda(\Phi) \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^3)$ satisfying the boundary conditions of $\Delta_{M,\text{rel}}$ near $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$(-\Delta - \lambda^2)E_\lambda(\Phi) = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$E_\lambda(\Phi) = \frac{e^{-i\lambda r}}{r} \Phi - \frac{e^{i\lambda r}}{r} \Psi_\lambda(\Phi) + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad \text{for } r \rightarrow \infty, \tag{8}$$

uniformly in the angular variables on the sphere for some $\Psi_\lambda(\Phi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3)$. The expansion (8) may be differentiated (c.f. Prop. 2.6 and Appendix E in [46] for a justification). Here, satisfying the boundary conditions near $\partial\Omega$ means that $\chi E_\lambda(\Phi) \in \text{dom}(\Delta_M)$ for any compactly supported smooth χ on M such that $\chi = 1$ near $\partial\Omega$.

The above implicitly defines the *scattering matrix* as a map $\tilde{S}_\lambda : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ by $\Psi_\lambda(\Phi) = \tau \tilde{S}_\lambda \Phi$ where $\tau : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2; \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2; \mathbb{C}^3)$ is the pull-back of the antipodal map. It extends continuously as $\tilde{S}_\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3)$. The map $\tilde{A}_\lambda = \tilde{S}_\lambda - \text{id}$ is called the scattering amplitude. We have the equations

$$\text{curl curl } E_\lambda(\Phi) = \lambda^2 E_\lambda(\mathbf{r} \times \Phi \times \mathbf{r}), \quad \text{div } E_\lambda(\Phi) = -i\lambda E_\lambda^0(\mathbf{r} \cdot \Phi),$$

where \mathbf{r} is the radius vector, i.e. the outward pointing unit vector on the sphere. Here $E_\lambda^0(\mathbf{r} \cdot \Phi)$ is the generalised eigenfunction for the exterior Dirichlet problem on scalar-valued functions defined in an analogous way, c.f. Proposition 4.7 in [45]. In particular this means that in case Φ is purely tangential, $\mathbf{r} \cdot \Phi = 0$, the generalised eigenfunction is a solution of the stationary Maxwell equation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl curl } E_\lambda(\Phi) &= \lambda^2 E_\lambda(\Phi), \\ \text{div } E_\lambda(\Phi) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

that satisfies the boundary conditions near $\partial\Omega$. These equations also imply that the scattering matrix is of the form

$$\tilde{S}_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} S_\lambda^D & 0 \\ 0 & S_\lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

if $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ is decomposed into $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)\mathbf{r} \oplus L_{\text{tan}}^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3)$. Here $L_{\text{tan}}^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3)$ is the space of tangential square integrable vector fields on the sphere. The operator S_λ^D is the scattering operator for scalar valued functions with Dirichlet conditions imposed on $\partial\Omega$, and S_λ is the

Maxwell scattering operator, describing the scattering of electromagnetic waves. Note that we have the weak Hodge-Helmholz decomposition

$$L^2(M) = \mathcal{H}^1(M) \oplus \overline{\text{rg}(\text{grad})} \oplus \overline{\text{rg}(\text{curl})}, \quad (9)$$

which holds very generally in the abstract context of Hilbert complexes ([7]). The first summand is the discrete spectral subspace, and the splitting of its orthogonal complement into the last two subspaces corresponds to the above decomposition of the scattering matrix.

4.1. The exterior absolute Laplacian. In the same way as for the interior problem there is also an exterior absolute Laplacian $\Delta_{M,\text{abs}}$ defined by

$$-\Delta_{M,\text{abs}} = \text{curl}_0 \text{curl} - \text{grad} \text{div}_0.$$

The spectrum of $\Delta_{M,\text{abs}}$ consists of a finite multiplicity eigenvalue at zero and an absolutely continuous part. The absolutely continuous part is described by generalised eigenfunctions $E_{\text{abs},\lambda}(\Phi)$ which are related to the generalised eigenfunctions $E_\lambda(\Phi)$ of the relative Laplacian by

$$E_{\text{abs},\lambda}(\mathbf{r} \times \Phi) = -\frac{i}{\lambda} \text{curl} E_\lambda(\Phi). \quad (10)$$

One checks easily that

$$(-\Delta_{M,\text{rel}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curl} = \text{curl}(-\Delta_{M,\text{abs}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}.$$

This will allow us to reduce to statements about the absolute Laplace operator to statements about the relative Laplace operator. For the purposes of this paper it will therefore not be necessary to introduce separate notations for the spectral decomposition. For example the scattering matrix

$$\tilde{S}_{\text{abs},\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} S_\lambda^N & 0 \\ 0 & S_{\text{abs},\lambda} \end{pmatrix},$$

for the absolute Laplacian is defined by the expansion of $E_{\text{abs},\lambda}(\Phi)$. Here S_λ^N is the scattering matrix for the Neumann Laplace operator on M acting on functions. We then have the equation

$$S_{\text{abs},\lambda}(g) = \mathbf{r} \times S_\lambda(g \times \mathbf{r}) \quad (11)$$

for $g \in L^2_{\text{tan}}(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{C}^3)$. This follows by applying curl to the expansion (8), the uniqueness of the generalised eigenfunctions, and Equ. (10).

5. THE COMBINED RELATIVE OPERATORS AND THE BIRMAN-KREIN FORMULA

In the following it will be convenient to combine the operators $\Delta_{M,\text{rel}}$ and $\Delta_{\Omega,\text{rel}}$ into a single operator acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$. We have $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3) = L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^3) \oplus L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and we define the operator $\Delta_{\text{rel}} := \Delta_{M,\text{rel}} \oplus \Delta_{\Omega,\text{rel}}$. In contrast to this we also have the free Laplace operator Δ_{free} with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$. Following the paper [36] on the relative trace we also define the operator $\Delta_{j,\text{rel}}$ for each boundary component Ω_j . This will correspond to the operator Δ_{rel} when all the other boundary components are absent, i.e. when $\Omega = \Omega_j$. As in [36] we would like to consider an analogue of the relative trace for the Laplace operator acting on divergence free vector fields. In this section we assume that $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is an even Schwartz function, but later on we will focus on another function class. We would like to compute the relative trace

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr} \left(\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \left(f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^N f((-\Delta_{j,\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{tr} \left(\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \left(f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \sum_{j=1}^N f((-\Delta_{j,\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (N-1)f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the trace of the operator

$$D_{\text{rel},f} = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \left(f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^N f((-\Delta_{j,\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \right).$$

We have the following Birman-Krein-type formula, proved recently in [45] and its simple consequence for the relative trace.

Theorem 5.1 (Theorem 1.5 in [45]). *Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function. Then the operator*

$$\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \left(f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right)$$

extends to a trace-class operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and its trace equals

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} (f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})) \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \operatorname{tr}(S_\lambda^{-1}(S_\lambda)') f(\lambda) d\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^\infty f(\mu_j) \mu_j^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{tr}(D_f) = - \int_0^\infty \xi_{\text{rel}}(\lambda) (f(\lambda) \lambda^2)' d\lambda,$$

where

$$\xi_{\text{rel}}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \frac{\det S_\lambda}{\det(S_{1,\lambda}) \cdots \det(S_{N,\lambda})}.$$

A similar statement holds for the absolute Laplacian. Using Equ. (11) and

$$\operatorname{curl} f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) = f((-\Delta_{\text{abs}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \operatorname{curl}$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} \left(\operatorname{curl} (f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})) \operatorname{curl} \right) &= \operatorname{tr} \left(\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} (f((-\Delta_{\text{abs}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{tr} \left(\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} (f((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f((-\Delta_{\text{free}})^{\frac{1}{2}})) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \operatorname{tr}(S_\lambda^{-1}(S_\lambda)') f(\lambda) d\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^\infty f(\mu_j) \mu_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

The Birman-Krein formula can be proved for a slightly larger function class than the space of even Schwartz functions, but non-decaying functions are not admissible. The rest of the paper is devoted to dealing with exactly the trace-class properties of D_f when f is in a different function class that contains possibly growing functions.

6. MAXWELL BOUNDARY LAYER OPERATORS

Maxwell boundary layer theory for Lipschitz domains is a well developed subject in mathematics and in this section we summarise the material that we are going to need. The distributional kernel of the resolvent of the operator $(-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ is called the Green's function and in dimension three given explicitly by

$$G_{\lambda, \text{free}}(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{|x-y|}. \quad (12)$$

Note that this kernel is holomorphic at zero. As usual we define the single layer potential operator $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda = (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \gamma^*.$$

This is defined for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic family of operators. The single layer operator is defined by taking the trace $\mathcal{S}_\lambda = \gamma_+ \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda = \gamma_+ (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \gamma^*$. The interior trace γ_+ and the exterior trace γ_- coincide on the range of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$ and therefore we could also have used γ_- to define this operator. The operator \mathcal{S}_λ is a holomorphic family of maps $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$. Both operators $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$ and \mathcal{S}_λ act component-wise on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and define maps to $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$ and $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ respectively. We will distinguish notationally from the map on functions.

We will also need the double layer operator \mathcal{K}_λ and its transpose (complex conjugate-adjoint) \mathcal{K}_λ^t . The latter is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_\lambda^t u = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_+ \nabla_\nu \mathcal{S}_\lambda u + \gamma_- \nabla_\nu \mathcal{S}_\lambda u),$$

and defines a continuous map $\mathcal{K}_\lambda^t : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$. Its transpose \mathcal{K}_λ therefore defines a continuous map $\mathcal{K}_\lambda : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$. The following jump-relations are characteristic

$$\gamma_+ \mathcal{S}_\lambda u = \gamma_- \mathcal{S}_\lambda u, \quad \gamma_\pm \nabla_\nu \mathcal{S}_\lambda u = (\mp \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{K}_\lambda^t) u.$$

We have the following representation formulae for divergence-free solutions $\phi \in H(\text{curl}, M) \oplus H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ of the vector-valued Helmholtz equation

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta - \lambda^2)\phi &= 0, \\ \text{div } \phi &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

by single layer potential operators

$$\phi|_M = -\text{curl } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(\gamma_{t,-}\phi) + \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(\gamma_{\nu,-}\phi) - \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(\gamma_{t,-}\text{curl}\phi) \quad (13)$$

and likewise

$$\phi|_\Omega = -\text{curl } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(\gamma_{t,+}\phi) + \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(\gamma_{\nu,+}\phi) - \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(\gamma_{t,+}\text{curl}\phi), \quad (14)$$

c.f Corollary 3.3 in [34]

In Maxwell theory one defines additional layer potential operators as follows. Let L be the distribution defined by

$$L_\lambda(x, y) = \text{curl}_x \text{curl}_x G_{\lambda, \text{free}}(x, y).$$

This is the kernel of the operator $(-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curl} \text{curl} = \text{curl} \text{curl} (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}$. It is again holomorphic at $\lambda = 0$ as a kernel. The corresponding operator L_λ is not the dyadic Green's operator

$$(\lambda^2 + \text{grad div})(-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1},$$

whose distributional integral kernel equals

$$K_\lambda(x, y) = (\lambda^2 + \text{grad}_x \text{div}_x) \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{|x-y|}.$$

However, we also have the following inequality

$$L_\lambda(x, y) - K_\lambda(x, y) = \delta(x - y),$$

hence the kernels agree outside the diagonal. We define now the *Maxwell single layer potential operator* for $u \in C(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ as

$$u \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda u, \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda u)(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} L_\lambda(x, y)u(y)dy = \int_{\partial\Omega} K_\lambda(x, y)u(y)dy.$$

Therefore this operator can also be written as $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda = \text{curl curl } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$. Similarly one defines the Maxwell magnetic layer potential operator $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda = \text{curl } \mathcal{S}_\lambda$. For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ these maps extends continuously to maps as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda &: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, M) \oplus H_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda &: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, M) \oplus H_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

It will be convenient to distinguish notationally between the exterior part $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{-, \lambda}$ and the interior part $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{+, \lambda}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda$. The boundedness of these maps is established in [38] for $\text{Im } \lambda \geq 0, \lambda \neq 0$ but these maps extend to holomorphic families on the entire complex plane as we will see later.

The *Maxwell single layer operator* \mathcal{L}_λ is then defined for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ as a map

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega), \quad u \mapsto \gamma_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$$

and is a holomorphic family of bounded operators on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ in λ . With respect to the above splitting we then have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{-, \lambda} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{+, \lambda}.$$

One defines the *magnetic dipole operator* \mathcal{M}_λ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega), \quad \mathcal{M}_\lambda = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_t \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{-, \lambda} + \gamma_t \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{+, \lambda}).$$

By [38, Theorem 5.52] this is a family of bounded operators on the space $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ when $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$. If $u = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda a = \text{curl } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda a$ then we have the jump conditions

$$\gamma_{t, \pm} u = \mp \frac{1}{2} a + \mathcal{M}_\lambda a \quad \gamma_{t, \pm} \text{curl } u = \mathcal{L}_\lambda a. \quad (15)$$

Moreover, the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda a$ can be written as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda a = \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \text{Div } a + \lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda a \quad a \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega). \quad (16)$$

We refer to [38, Theorem 5.4] for both statements.

If $\text{Im}(\lambda) \geq 0$ is non-zero then there exists a unique solution of the exterior boundary value problem for every $f \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$, which satisfies the Silver-Müller radiation condition [38, Theorem 5.64]. For the interior problem there exists a similar statement. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is not a Maxwell eigenvalue then there exists a unique solution of the interior boundary value

problem for every $f \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$. In both cases, if $\lambda \neq 0$ the solution can be written as boundary layer potential of the form

$$E(x) = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda a)(x) = \text{curl}^2 \langle a, G_\lambda(x, \cdot) \rangle_{\partial\Omega}, \quad H(x) = \frac{i \text{curl} E}{-\lambda} \quad x \notin \Omega \quad (17)$$

with the density $a \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$, which satisfies $\mathcal{L}_\lambda a = f$, c.f again Theorem 5.60 in [38].

We now define the voltage-to-current mappings Λ_λ^\pm be defining

$$\Lambda_\lambda^\pm : \gamma_t(E) \rightarrow \gamma_t(H) \quad (18)$$

where (E, H) are solutions to the interior and exterior boundary value problem for the Maxwell system (1), respectively, whenever these solutions are unique. The mapping Λ_λ^+ is therefore well defined for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ which is not a Maxwell eigenvalue or zero. The mapping Λ_λ^- is well defined for all non-zero λ in the closed upper half space. We will see later that these operators extend meromorphically to the complex plane. In anticipation of this we will not explicitly state the domains when dealing with algebraic identities. In this case these are bounded operators on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$. We then have the following identities

$$(\Lambda_\lambda^\pm)^2 = -\text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\lambda = -i\lambda \Lambda_\lambda^\pm \left(\mp \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda \right) = -i\lambda \left(\pm \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda \right) \Lambda_\lambda^\pm, \quad (19)$$

and as a consequence

$$i\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\lambda (\Lambda_\lambda^+ - \Lambda_\lambda^-) = \text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\lambda^2 = \lambda^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda \right). \quad (20)$$

We refer to [34, Lemma 5.10] for the proof of these statements in the L^2 -setting but note that they extend by continuity to the function spaces we use. Notice that we are using the opposite sign convention for \mathcal{S}_λ than in [34].

For later reference and completeness we also state the following identities, which were proved in [34] (Lemmata 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, and 5.11) in slightly different function spaces and, as before, extend by continuity.

Lemma 6.1. *For $A \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ and $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ we have*

$$\text{div } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda A = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \text{Div} A, \quad (21)$$

$$\text{curl } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \nu f = -\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda (\nu \times \nabla f), \quad (22)$$

$$\text{Div } \mathcal{M}_\lambda A = -\lambda^2 \nu \cdot \mathcal{S}_\lambda A - \mathcal{K}_\lambda^\dagger(\text{Div} A), \quad (23)$$

$$(\nu \times \nabla) \mathcal{K}_\lambda f = \lambda^2 \nu \times \mathcal{S}_\lambda(\nu f) + \mathcal{M}_\lambda(\nu \times \nabla f), \quad (24)$$

$$(\nu \times \nabla) \mathcal{K}_0 f = \mathcal{M}_0(\nu \times \nabla f). \quad (25)$$

We note here that the gradient ∇ defines a continuous map $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Curl}, \partial\Omega)$ and the map $\nu \times \nabla$ is continuous from $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$.

Lemma 6.2. *The map \mathcal{S}_λ satisfies $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^* = \mathcal{S}_\lambda$, where the adjoint is taken with respect to the L^2 -induced dual pairing between $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ and $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$. In other words it is its own transpose, $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^\dagger = \mathcal{S}_\lambda$. We also have $(\mathcal{L}_\lambda(\nu \times))^\dagger = \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\nu \times)$, i.e. \mathcal{L}_λ is symmetric with respect to the bilinear form induced by $\langle \cdot, \nu \times \cdot \rangle$.*

Proof. The symmetry of the operator \mathcal{S}_λ with respect to the real inner product are classical and follow from the symmetry properties of the integral kernel. See for example Theorem 5.44 in [38]. The statement about $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\dagger$ is Lemma 5.6.1 in [38]. \square

The following Lemma is implicit in [38].

Lemma 6.3. *The operator $\pm\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is for any $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$ an isomorphism from $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ to $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$. It was shown in [38, Theorem 5.52,(d)] that \mathcal{L}_λ is invertible modulo compact operators and therefore is a Fredholm operator of index zero. Moreover, by [38, Theorem 5.59] we know that \mathcal{L}_λ is injective and hence invertible. Since Λ_λ^\pm are invertible it follows from (19) that $\pm\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is. As usual the inverse is continuous by the open mapping theorem. \square

Invertibility of operators $\pm\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ on several other L^p -spaces has been shown in the works of M. Mitrea and D. Mitrea. (for example Theorem 4.1 in [32]).

Proposition 6.4. *The family $\pm\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is a holomorphic family of Fredholm operators of index zero from $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ to $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$. The derivative $\mathcal{M}'_\lambda = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is a continuous family of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$.*

Proof. We will show that \mathcal{M}_λ is complex differentiable as a family of bounded operators $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ and its derivative is compact. The first part of the theorem then follows from

$$\left(\pm\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) - \left(\pm\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_i\right) = \int_i^\lambda \mathcal{M}'_\mu d\mu$$

and the proposition above. We have used here that Fredholm operators are stable under compact perturbations (see for example Lemma 8.6 in [43]). It is therefore sufficient to show that \mathcal{M}'_λ exists and is Hilbert-Schmidt. First choose a compactly supported smooth cut-off function χ supported in $(-2R, 2R)$ which equal to one on $[-R, R]$, for sufficiently large $R > 0$. The integral kernel of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\pm, \lambda}$ is given by $\text{curl}_x \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}$. For x not far from $\partial\Omega$ we can replace this by $\chi(|x-y|)\text{curl}_x \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}$. Consider the following Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(|x-y|)\text{curl}_x \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|} &= \\ \chi(|x-y|)\text{curl}_x \frac{e^{i\mu|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|} &+ \chi(|x-y|)\text{curl}_x \frac{e^{i\mu|x-y|}}{4\pi} (\lambda - \mu) + \chi(|x-y|)T_\lambda(x-y)(\lambda - \mu)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with remainder term T_λ . This gives rise to an operator expansion

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pm, \lambda} = \mathcal{M}_{\pm, \mu} + A_\lambda(\lambda - \mu) + B_\lambda(\lambda - \mu)^2.$$

Here the operators A_λ and B_λ arise as compositions as

$$H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \xrightarrow{\gamma_T^*} H^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{K_A, K_B} H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \longrightarrow H(\text{curl}, M) \xrightarrow{\gamma_t} H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega),$$

where K_A or K_B is the integral operator with kernel $\chi(|x-y|)\text{curl}_x \frac{e^{i\mu|x-y|}}{4\pi}$ or $\chi(|x-y|)T_\lambda(x-y)$ respectively. Here U is a bounded open neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$. It is now sufficient to show that the operator K_A, K_B are bounded as Hilbert-Schmidt operators. In view of Lemma 12.3 we would like to bound the $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ -norm of the kernels. Taking two derivatives gives in both cases an integrable convolution kernel in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ -norm is then, by Young's inequality, bounded by the L^1 -norm of this kernel. \square

Definition 6.5. *The spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^{\pm} \subset H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ of interior/exterior boundary data of absolute harmonic forms is defined as*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^+ &= \{\gamma_{t,+}(\phi) \mid \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^- &= \{\gamma_{t,-}(\phi) \mid \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(M)\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is then obvious that $\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^+ = \mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega_1}^+ \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega_N}^+$ with respect to the decomposition

$$H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) = H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega_1) \oplus \dots \oplus H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega_N).$$

This is not true for the space $\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^-$. The spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^+$ are also known to be subspaces of $L^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$, see [40, Th. 11.2], but this will not be needed.

The following was announced in [35] by D. Mitrea in the context of L^p -spaces, with p sufficiently close to 2. It is a reflection of general Hodge theory for Lipschitz domains and we restate and prove this here for our choice of function spaces.

Proposition 6.6. *We have*

$$\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^{\pm} = \ker\left(\pm\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0\right) \subset H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}0, \partial\Omega). \quad (26)$$

Proof. We will prove this only in case $\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^+$ since the proof for $\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}^-$ is exactly the same. Suppose that $u \in \ker(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0)$ and define $\phi = -\mathcal{M}_0 u$. Then ϕ is divergence-free and harmonic on M and on Ω . The jump relations (15) hold by analytic continuation for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and they show that $\gamma_{t,-}\phi = 0$ and $\gamma_{t,+}\phi = u$ and $\gamma_{\nu,+}\phi = \gamma_{\nu,-}\phi$. We first show that $q = \gamma_{\nu,+}\phi$ vanishes, thus establishing the inclusion $\phi|_{\Omega} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega)$, $\gamma_{t,+}\phi = u$. The proof uses similar arguments as in [48] and reflects the mapping properties of the adjoint double layer operator.

On the exterior ϕ is a harmonic vector-field satisfying relative boundary conditions. The decay of $\text{curl}\frac{1}{|x-y|}$ implies that ϕ is square integrable. This shows that $\text{curl}\phi$ must vanish in the exterior. From the representation (13) we obtain, using the jump relations and $\gamma_{t,-}\phi = 0$,

$$\phi|_M = \nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0 q.$$

Taking the normal trace one gets $q = \gamma_{\nu,-}\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0 q$. Taking the tangential trace one obtains from the jump relations

$$\gamma_{t,-}\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0(\gamma_{\nu,-}\phi) = \nabla_{\partial\Omega}\mathcal{S}_0 q = 0.$$

This shows that $w = \mathcal{S}_0 q$ is locally constant (and in particular in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$). Using the divergence theorem on the interior of each of the components Ω_j one finds that $\int_{\partial\Omega_j} q = 0$. This gives $\langle \mathcal{S}_0 q, q \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} = 0$ and therefore

$$\langle \mathcal{S}_0 q, \nabla_{\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0 q \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} = 0.$$

Since this is the boundary term in the integration by parts formula for $\langle \nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0 q, \nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0 q \rangle = 0$ which then implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0 q$ is constant. Since it decays we must have $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0 q = 0$ and therefore $\mathcal{S}_0 q = 0$. By invertibility of the single layer operator one obtains $q = 0$ as claimed.

We now show the inclusion in the other direction. Suppose that $u = \gamma_{t,+}(h)$, where $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega)$. This means in particular that h is divergence-free, curl-free, and $\gamma_{\nu,+}h = 0$. Taking the tangential trace in representation (14) we obtain

$$u = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mathcal{M}_0\right)u$$

and therefore $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0)u = 0$ as claimed.

It finally remains to show that $\{\gamma_{t,+}(\phi) \mid \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega)\} \subset H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}0, \partial\Omega)$. This follows immediately from the fact that $\text{curl}\phi = 0$ and $\text{Div} \circ \gamma_{t,+} = -\gamma_{\nu,+} \circ \text{curl}$. \square

A similar but easier argument applies to other elements of the real line and gives the following.

Proposition 6.7. *If $\lambda = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ then $\ker(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda) = \{0\}$ in case $|\lambda| \neq \mu_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $|\lambda|$ is not a Maxwell eigenvalue. Moreover,*

$$\ker\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{\mu_k}\right) = \{\gamma_{t,+}(u) \mid u \in V_{\mu_k}\}, \quad (27)$$

where V_{μ_k} is the eigenspace of $\Delta_{\Omega, \text{abs}}$ for the eigenvalue μ_k^2 on the subspace of divergence-free vector-fields.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of the previous Proposition and we therefore only give a brief sketch. As before let $u \in \ker(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{\mu_k})$ and $\phi = -\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda u$. Then $\phi|_M$ is a purely incoming or outgoing solution of the Helmholtz equation (see e.g. [46, Appendix C] for details) satisfying relative boundary conditions. It therefore vanishes. By the jump relations (15) the function $\phi|_\Omega$ satisfies absolute boundary conditions, is divergence-free, and is a Maxwell eigenfunction with Maxwell eigenvalue μ_k . Moreover, again by the jump-relation, $\gamma_{t,+}\phi = u$. This proves the inclusion in one direction. Conversely, assume that $u = \gamma_{t,+}\phi$, where ϕ is divergence-free, satisfies absolute boundary conditions, and $-\Delta\phi = \mu_k^2\phi$. Taking the tangential trace in representation (14) we obtain

$$u = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mathcal{M}_{\mu_k}\right)u$$

and therefore $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{\mu_k})u = 0$ as claimed. \square

7. ESTIMATES AND LOW ENERGY EXPANSIONS FOR THE LAYER POTENTIAL OPERATORS

For $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$, define the sector \mathfrak{D}_ϵ in the upper half plane by

$$\mathfrak{D}_\epsilon := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \epsilon < \arg(z) < \pi - \epsilon\}.$$

The next proposition establishes properties of the single layer operator $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$ and the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$.

Proposition 7.1. *For $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ we have the following bounds:*

- (1) *Let $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset and assume $\delta = \text{dist}(\Omega_0, \partial\Omega) > 0$. Let $0 < \delta' < \delta$. Assume that $\varphi \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is bounded with bounded derivative and supported in Ω_0 . For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ the operators*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda &: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H(\text{curl}, \mathbb{R}^3), \\ \varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda &: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \varphi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda &: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda &: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H(\text{div}, \mathbb{R}^3) \end{aligned}$$

are Hilbert-Schmidt operators. There exists $C_{\delta',\epsilon} > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ we have the following bounds on the Hilbert-Schmidt norms between these spaces

$$\|\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda\|_{\text{HS}} \leq C_{\delta',\epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}, \quad (28)$$

$$\|\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\|_{\text{HS}} \leq |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_{\delta',\epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}, \quad (29)$$

$$\|\varphi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\|_{\text{HS}} \leq C_{\delta',\epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}, \quad (30)$$

$$\|\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda\|_{\text{HS}} \leq C_{\delta',\epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}, \quad (31)$$

$$\|\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \text{Div}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq C_{\delta',\epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}. \quad (32)$$

(2) For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ we have the operator-norm bound

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div},\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H(\text{curl},\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_\epsilon (1 + |\lambda|^2). \quad (33)$$

(3) For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ we have the operator-norm bound

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div},\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3,\mathbb{C}^3)} \leq C_\epsilon. \quad (34)$$

(4) For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ we have the operator-norm bounds

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_\epsilon |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (35)$$

$$\|\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_\epsilon, \quad (36)$$

(5) On the space of functions of mean zero $H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) = \{u \in H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \mid \langle u, 1 \rangle = 0\}$ we have for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ the improved estimate

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda|_{H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq C_\epsilon. \quad (37)$$

Proof. The operator $\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ can be written as $\varphi \text{curl curl } G_{\lambda,0} \gamma_T^*$. Similarly, we have $\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda = \varphi \text{curl } G_{\lambda,0} \gamma_T^*$ and $\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda = \varphi G_{\lambda,0} \gamma_T^*$. We choose a bounded open neighborhood U of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\text{dist}(\Omega_0, U) > \delta'$. Since γ_T^* continuously maps $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ to $H^{-1}(U)$ we only need to show that the map $\text{curl curl } G_\lambda$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator from $H^{-1}(U)$ to $H^1(\Omega_0)$ and establish the corresponding bound on its Hilbert-Schmidt norm. By Lemma 12.3 the Hilbert-Schmidt norm can be bounded by the $H^2(\Omega_0 \times U)$ -norm of the kernel of $\text{curl curl } G_{\lambda,0}$ on $\Omega_0 \times U$. The corresponding bound has been established in Lemma 12.1. The same argument works for $\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda$ and $\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$. This concludes the proof of the estimates (28), (29), (31), (30), (32).

Since the operator norm is bounded in terms of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm and by the estimates (29),(28) it is sufficient to prove the estimates (33), (34), and (35) for the operators $\chi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$, $\chi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda$, $\chi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$ where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a compactly supported function that equals one near $\partial\Omega$. We write

$$\chi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda = \chi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \text{Div} + \lambda^2 \chi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda.$$

The map γ_T^* is from $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ to $H_c^{-1}(U)$ where U is an open neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$. To prove both bounds (33) and (35) it is therefore sufficient to show that the cut-off resolvent $\chi(-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}\chi$ is a uniformly bounded map from $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$. To see this let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function that is one near $[-R_1, R_1]$, where R_1 is the diameter

of the support of χ . Let R be large enough so that $\text{supp } \eta \in (-R, R)$. This implies that $\chi(-\Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1}\chi = \chi R_{\eta, \lambda} \chi$, where $R_{\eta, \lambda}$ is the operator with integral kernel

$$\eta(|x - y|) \frac{1}{4\pi|x - y|} e^{i\lambda|x - y|} =: k_\lambda(x - y).$$

It is therefore sufficient to show that $R_{\eta, \lambda}$ is uniformly bounded for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ as a map $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since this is a convolution operator it commutes with the Laplace operator and therefore it is sufficient to show that $R_{\eta, \lambda}$ is uniformly bounded as a map $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We will show that $(-\Delta + 1)R_{\eta, \lambda}$ is uniformly bounded as a map from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Using

$$(-\Delta + 1)(-\Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1} = \text{id} + (1 + \lambda^2)(-\Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1}$$

one obtains that the integral kernel of $(-\Delta + 1)R_{\eta, \lambda} - \text{id}$ equals

$$\left(-(\Delta_x \eta(|x - y|)) + (1 + \lambda^2)\eta(|x - y|)\right) \frac{1}{4\pi|x - y|} e^{i\lambda|x - y|} - 2\nabla_x \eta(|x - y|) \nabla_x \frac{1}{4\pi|x - y|} e^{i\lambda|x - y|}.$$

This is a convolution operator and we can use Young's inequality to estimate its operator norm. In particular, using spherical coordinates, the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R \frac{1}{4\pi r} |e^{i\lambda r}| r^2 dr &\leq C_R \frac{1}{1 + |\text{Im } \lambda|^2}, \\ \int_0^R \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} |e^{i\lambda r}| r^2 dr &\leq C_R \frac{1}{1 + |\text{Im } \lambda|}, \end{aligned}$$

show that the convolution kernel is uniformly bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$. Thus $R_{\eta, \lambda}$ is uniformly bounded as a map from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$.

It remains to show the improved estimate (37). We again choose cut-offs χ, ψ as above and we arrange them so that $\psi + \phi = 1$. Since the cut-off resolvent $\chi(-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}\chi$ is regular near zero as a map $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we know that $\chi \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H(\text{curl}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is regular near zero. It is therefore sufficient to establish the bound for $\phi \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$ as a map from $H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We argue similarly as above choosing an open neighborhood U such that the support of ϕ has positive distance from U . For convenience we will also assume that the support of ϕ is sufficiently separated from Ω , more precisely we assume that the support of ϕ has positive distance to the convex hull of Ω . With $u \in H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ the distribution $\gamma^* u$ is in the space distributions $H_0^{-1}(U) = \{v \in H_c^1(U) \mid \langle v, 1 \rangle = 0\}$ of mean zero. We therefore only need to bound $\phi(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ as a map from $H_0^{-1}(U)$ to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This map is the restriction of the integral operator with smooth kernel

$$g(x, y) = \phi(x) \left(\frac{e^{i\lambda|x - y|}}{4\pi|x - y|} - \frac{e^{i\lambda|x - z|}}{4\pi|x - z|} \right)$$

to $H_0^{-1}(U)$, where z is any fixed point on $\partial\Omega$. One shows that this kernel is in the Sobolev space $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times U)$ and is uniformly bounded in $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$. This kernel and its derivatives are easily bounded using the mean-value inequality

$$|\partial_x^\alpha g(x, y)| \leq |y - z| \sup_{\tilde{y} \in K} \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x g(x, \tilde{y})\| \leq C \sup_{\tilde{y} \in K} \|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x g(x, \tilde{y})\|,$$

where K is the closure of the convex hull of $\partial\Omega$. The L^2 -norm of this expression is uniformly bounded for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ by the same estimate as in (88). This works essentially because with repeated application of the product rule the terms either have improved decay or have an extra λ -factor. \square

The proof above can also be applied directly to $\chi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ in the entire complex plane to bound the operator norm, the norm of the the derivative and the norm of the remainder term. This gives the following result. We will not repeat the proof but simply state the result.

Lemma 7.2. *The families $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, M) \oplus H_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\lambda : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ are holomorphic families of bounded operators in the complex plane.*

Lemma 7.3. *The families $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}, \Lambda_\lambda^\pm$ are meromorphic in λ as families of bounded operators on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$. The family Λ_λ^- has no poles in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and in the upper half-plane.*

Proof. By Prop. 6.4 and Lemma 6.3 the operator $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ is an analytic family of Fredholm operators which is invertible for $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$. By the analytic Fredholm theorem the inverse $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda)^{-1}$ is a meromorphic family of finite type, i.e. the negative Laurent coefficients are finite rank operators. We have

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-2} = \lambda^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mathcal{M}_\lambda \right)^{-1}$$

which shows that \mathcal{L}_λ^{-2} is meromorphic. Since \mathcal{L}_λ is holomorphic this shows that \mathcal{L}_λ^{-1} is meromorphic. Finally Λ^\pm is meromorphic by (19). Poles of Λ_λ^- are absent in the closed upper half space because of the uniqueness of the exterior boundary value problem. Indeed, the most negative Laurent coefficient would give rise to an outgoing solution of the Helmholtz equation satisfying relative boundary conditions. But such an outgoing solution vanishes. \square

Remark 7.4. *The above cannot be easily concluded from analytic Fredholm theory since the operators \mathcal{L}_λ and Λ^\pm are not Fredholm operators. Indeed, the singular Laurent coefficients are not finite rank operators.*

We now aim to show a new formula for the voltage-to-current map in order to find bounds on \mathcal{L}_λ^{-1} where it is well defined.

Theorem 7.5. *The interior voltage-to-current mapping Λ_λ^+ satisfies*

$$i\Lambda_\lambda^+ = \frac{1}{\lambda}T + \lambda U_\lambda,$$

where T is a bounded operator on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ and U_λ is a meromorphic family of bounded operators on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ which is regular at $\lambda = 0$. We have explicitly

$$TA = \sum_{k=1}^{\beta_1} \langle A, \psi_{0,k} \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \gamma_t(\psi_{0,k}) + \sum_{\lambda_{N,k} > 0} \frac{1}{\lambda_{N,k}^2} \langle A, \nabla v_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \gamma_t(\nabla v_k),$$

$$U_\lambda A = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - \mu_k^2} \langle A, \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \gamma_t(\psi_k)$$

for $A \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$. Both sums converge in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$. Here $\beta_1 = \dim \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega)$ is the first Betti number of the domain. We have $T^2 = 0$ and $TU_\lambda + U_\lambda T = \text{id} - \lambda^2 U_\lambda$.

Proof. We start with an interior solution $E \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ of the Maxwell system. First note that E satisfies $\text{div} E = 0$ but it is not in general in $\ker(\text{div}_0)$ because it may not satisfy the correct boundary conditions. We have that

$$L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{abs}}^1(\Omega) \oplus \{\psi_j \mid \mu_j > 0\} \oplus \{\nabla v_k \mid \lambda_{N,k}\}, \quad (38)$$

where v_k is an orthonormal basis of Neumann eigenfunctions on Ω . Define

$$\tilde{\psi}_k = \frac{1}{\lambda_{k,N}} \text{grad} v_k. \quad (39)$$

Now we can write

$$E = \sum \langle E, \psi_k \rangle \psi_k + \sum \langle E, \tilde{\psi}_k \rangle \tilde{\psi}_k \quad (40)$$

which we need to show converges in $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E, \psi_k \rangle &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_{N,k}^2} (\langle \Delta E, \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} - \langle E, \Delta \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_{N,k}^2} (\langle \gamma_t \text{curl} E, \gamma_T \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \langle \gamma_t E, \gamma_T \text{curl} \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_{N,k}^2} \langle \gamma_t \text{curl} E, \gamma_T \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} = \frac{i\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_{N,k}^2} \langle \gamma_t H, \gamma_T \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} = \\ &= \frac{i\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_{N,k}^2} \langle \Lambda_\lambda^+ A, \gamma_T \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where we have used Stokes theorem 4 as well as Maxwell system properties in 1 repeatedly. This allows us to conclude that $\sum \langle E, \psi_k \rangle \psi_k$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$. Let ϕ_k denote an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of Δ_{rel} . We now note that

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \neq 0} \langle E, \psi_k \rangle \text{curl} \psi_k = \sum_{\mu_k \neq 0} \langle E, \psi_k \rangle \text{curl} \frac{1}{\mu_k} \text{curl} \phi_k = \sum_{\lambda_k \neq 0} \langle E, \psi_k \rangle \mu_k \phi_k$$

converges in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ whenever $\langle E, \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \mu_k \in \ell^2$. This statement is true because

$$\mu_k \langle E, \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle E, \text{curl} \phi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle \text{curl} E, \phi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \in \ell^2 \quad (42)$$

where we have used the fact $\text{curl} E \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle E, \psi_k \rangle \psi_k \quad (43)$$

converges in $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$. For the second term, now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E, \tilde{\psi}_k \rangle &= \frac{i}{\lambda} \langle \text{curl} H, \frac{1}{\lambda_{k,N}} \text{grad} v_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \frac{i}{\lambda_{k,N} \lambda} \langle \text{curl} H, \text{grad} v_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \frac{i}{\lambda_{k,N} \lambda} \langle \gamma_t H, \gamma_T \text{grad} v_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

this also gives

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \neq 0} \langle E, \tilde{\psi}_k \rangle \tilde{\psi}_k \quad (45)$$

converges in $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ as $\lambda_{k,N}^{-2}$ is summable. Therefore we have that

$$E = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \mu_k^2} \langle \gamma_t H, \gamma_T \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_k + \sum_{\lambda_{k,N} \neq 0} \frac{i}{\lambda_k^2 \lambda} \langle \gamma_t H, \gamma_T \text{grad} v_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \text{grad} v_k \quad (46)$$

and this representation converges in $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$. Because of this, we have convergence in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ of

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \times E|_{\partial\Omega} &= \sum_{\mu_k \geq 0} \frac{i\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \mu_k^2} \langle \gamma_t H, \gamma_T \psi_k \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \gamma_t(\psi_k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\lambda_{k,N} \neq 0} \frac{i}{\lambda_k^2 \lambda} \langle \gamma_t H, \gamma_T \text{grad} v_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \gamma_t(\text{grad} v_k). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Then using the fact that $(\gamma_t(H)) = \Lambda_\lambda^+(\gamma_t(E))$ and remarking that $(\Lambda^+)^2 = -\text{id}$, we obtain the desired result. Expanding the formula $(i\Lambda^+)^2 = \text{id}$ also gives the claimed identities. \square

We now aim to show operator bounds on the electric dipole map in order to find bounds on the large $|\lambda|$ behavior of \mathcal{L}_λ^{-1} . Note that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$, we have the estimate

$$\text{Im}(\lambda) = |\text{Im}(\lambda)| \leq |\lambda| \leq C_\epsilon \text{Im}(\lambda),$$

where $C_\epsilon := \sin(\epsilon)^{-1}$ is independent of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$.

Theorem 7.6. *There exists a constant C such that for all $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$ we have the estimate*

$$\|\Lambda_\lambda^\pm\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \leq C \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left(1 + \frac{|\lambda|(1 + |\lambda|^2)}{\text{Im} \lambda} \right). \quad (48)$$

Proof. We first consider the case $\text{Re}(\lambda^2) < 0$, i.e. $|\text{Im}(\lambda)| > |\text{Re}(\lambda)|$. We have the following integral identity

$$\langle v, \text{curl} u \rangle_{L^2(M)} - \langle \text{curl} v, u \rangle_{L^2(M)} = \langle \gamma_t v, \gamma_T u \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \quad (49)$$

for $u, v \in H(\text{curl}, M)$. Applying this integral identity with E and H gives

$$i\lambda \langle \gamma_t H, \gamma_T E \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} = i\lambda (\langle H, \text{curl} E \rangle_{L^2(M)} - \langle \text{curl} H, E \rangle_{L^2(M)}) = \langle \text{curl} E, \text{curl} E \rangle - \lambda^2 \langle E, E \rangle$$

Taking the real part we obtain

$$|\text{Re}(\lambda^2)| \langle E, E \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq |\lambda| \cdot |\langle \gamma_t H, \gamma_T E \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}|.$$

The antisymmetric bilinear form $\langle \nu \times u, v \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}$ extends continuously to $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ (see for example Lemma 5.61 in [38]) we therefore have

$$\|E\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \leq C_1 |\lambda| (-\text{Re}(\lambda^2))^{-1} \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \|\gamma_t(H)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \quad (50)$$

Now we use the continuity of the tangential trace map and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t(\text{curl} E)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}^2 &\leq C_2 \|(\text{curl} E)\|_{H(\text{curl}, M)}^2 = C_2 \left(\|\text{curl} E\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + |\lambda|^4 \|E\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \right) \\ &= C_2 \left(|\lambda|^2 \|E\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + |\lambda|^4 \|E\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \langle \gamma_t E, \gamma_T \text{curl} E \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq C_3 \left(|\lambda|^2 (1 + |\lambda|^2) \|E\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \|\gamma_t \text{curl} E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $a = C_3 \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}$ and $b = \|\gamma_t \text{curl} E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}$ and using the inequality $|ab| \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)$ one obtains

$$\|\gamma_t(\text{curl} E)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \left(2C_3 |\lambda|^2 (1 + |\lambda|^2) \|E\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + C_3^2 \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}^2 \right)$$

Using (50) this gives further

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\gamma_t(\text{curl} E)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq C_4 \left(\frac{|\lambda|^2 (1 + |\lambda|^2)}{-\text{Re}(\lambda^2)} \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \|\gamma_t \text{curl} E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} + \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The same trick as before with $a = C_4 \frac{|\lambda|^2 (1 + |\lambda|^2)}{-\text{Re}(\lambda^2)} \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}$ and $b = \|\gamma_t \text{curl} E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}$ yields

$$\|\gamma_t(\text{curl} E)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \left(C_4^2 \frac{|\lambda|^4 (1 + |\lambda|^2)^2}{(-\text{Re}(\lambda^2))^2} + 2C_4 \right) \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}^2,$$

which finally gives

$$\|\gamma_t(\text{curl} E)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{|\lambda|^2 (1 + |\lambda|^2)}{-\text{Re}(\lambda^2)} \right) \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}. \quad (51)$$

Next consider the case $\text{Im}(\lambda^2) < 0$. The same proof with imaginary parts taken instead of real parts gives the estimate

$$\|\gamma_t(\text{curl} E)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{|\lambda|^2 (1 + |\lambda|^2)}{-\text{Im}(\lambda^2)} \right) \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}. \quad (52)$$

These two estimates cover the upper half space and are combined into

$$\|\gamma_t(\text{curl} E)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{|\lambda| (1 + |\lambda|^2)}{\text{Im}(\lambda)} \right) \|\gamma_t E\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)}, \quad (53)$$

which holds in the upper half space except when $\text{Im}(\lambda) < \text{Re}(\lambda)$. The estimate holds in this region too as can be seen by replacing λ by $-\bar{\lambda}$, which is a symmetry operation of the Maxwell system that preserves the radiation condition. Hence, the estimate holds in the upper half space. Since $i\lambda H = \text{curl} E$ this proves the claimed estimate. The same proof works for the interior with M replaced by Ω . \square

Lemma 7.7. *The operator $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda)^{-1}$ is meromorphic of finite type and we have near zero the expansion*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda \right)^{-1} = \frac{P}{\lambda^2} + \frac{B}{\lambda} + Q_\lambda \quad (54)$$

where P and B are finite rank operators and Q_λ is analytic near $\lambda = 0$ taking values in the bounded operators on $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$. We also have

$$\text{image}(P) \cup \text{image}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}, \quad P(\nu \times \nabla u) = B(\nu \times \nabla u) = 0$$

for all $u \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 7.3 we conclude from analytic Fredholm theory, Prop. 6.4, and Lemma 6.3 that $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda)^{-1}$ is a meromorphic family of finite type. The order of the singularity at zero is at most two since for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon, \lambda \neq 0$ we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1} = \Lambda_\lambda^+ (\Lambda_\lambda^+ - \Lambda_\lambda^-) \quad (55)$$

and the bound in Theorem 7.6 holds. Hence, $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda)^{-1}$ has the claimed form

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1} = \frac{P}{\lambda^2} + \frac{B}{\lambda} + Q_\lambda,$$

with P, B of finite rank.

We must naturally have for these λ

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1} = \text{id}. \quad (56)$$

Expanding $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ around $\lambda = 0$ we see that it has operator kernel:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \gamma_{t,x} \gamma_{T,y}^* \text{curl} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) + O(\lambda^2) \quad (57)$$

since the constant term in the expansion is curl-free. Hence,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0 + O(\lambda^2)$$

near $\lambda = 0$. Inserting this into (56) and comparing coefficients one obtains

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0\right)P = 0, \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0\right)B = 0, \quad P\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0\right) = 0, \quad B\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0\right) = 0.$$

By Prop. 6.6 we therefore obtain $\text{image}(P), \text{image}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}$ as claimed. It remains to show that

$$P(\nu \times \nabla u) = B(\nu \times \nabla u) = 0.$$

To see this it is sufficient to show that $\nu \times \nabla u$ is in the range of $\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0$. To see this we use a classical result in potential layer theory, namely the invertibility of $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{K}_0)$ (see [48]). We then have by Equ. (25)

$$\nu \times \nabla u = \nu \times \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{K}_0\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{K}_0\right)^{-1} u = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_0\right) \left(\nu \times \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{K}_0\right)^{-1} u\right).$$

□

Lemma 7.8. *The non-zero poles of $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda)^{-1}$ in the closed upper half-space are precisely the Maxwell eigenvalues of Ω . Near a Maxwell eigenvalue $\mu = \mu_k$ we have the expansion*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1} = \frac{P_\mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} + \frac{B_\mu}{\lambda - \mu} + Q_{\mu,\lambda}, \quad (58)$$

where P_μ and B_μ are finite rank operators with range in $\ker(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\mu)^{-1}$ and $Q_{\mu,\lambda}$ is holomorphic in λ near μ .

Proof. The poles are precisely where $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ is not injective. On the closed upper half space this means that the only poles are at zero and at the Maxwell eigenvalues, by Prop. 6.6 and Prop. 6.7. The statement now follows immediately from the formula

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1} = \Lambda_\lambda^+ (\Lambda_\lambda^+ - \Lambda_\lambda^-) \quad (59)$$

the expansion of Theorem 7.5 and the fact that Λ^- is holomorphic near $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ by Lemma 7.3. \square

Theorem 7.9. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ we have there exists a constant $C = C(\epsilon)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)} &\leq \frac{1 + |\lambda|^2}{|\lambda|^2} C (1 + |\lambda|^2), \\ \|\text{Div} \circ (\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}) \circ (\nu \times \nabla)\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} &\leq C (1 + |\lambda|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all λ in the sector \mathfrak{D}_ϵ .

Proof. We use the identity, derived from (20),

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} = \frac{i(\Lambda_\lambda^+ - \Lambda_\lambda^-)}{\lambda} \quad (60)$$

to reduce the analysis to that of Λ_λ^\pm . The bounds on the operator norm on the space $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ then follow immediately from Theorem 7.6. By (19) we have the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{i\lambda} \Lambda_\lambda^+ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1}.$$

Using Theorem 7.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} &= -\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} T + U_\lambda\right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} P + \frac{1}{\lambda} B + Q_\lambda\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} (TQ_\lambda + U_\lambda P) - \frac{1}{\lambda} U_\lambda B + U_\lambda Q_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

We have used that $TP = TB = 0$ which follows from Lemma 7.7 and Theorem 7.5. Since $\text{Div} \circ T = 0$, $P \circ (\nu \times \nabla) = 0$, and $B \circ (\nu \times \nabla) = 0$ we then obtain

$$\text{Div} \circ (\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}) \circ (\nu \times \nabla) = \text{Div} \circ U_\lambda Q_\lambda \circ (\nu \times \nabla),$$

which is regular at zero. \square

8. RESOLVENT FORMULAE AND ESTIMATES

Proposition 8.1. *Assume that $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$. For $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$ we have the following formulae for the difference of resolvents:*

$$\left((- \Delta_{\text{rel}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} - (- \Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}\right) \text{curlcurl} f = -\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda (\mathcal{L}_\lambda)^{-1} (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger f, \quad (62)$$

$$\left((- \Delta_{\text{rel}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} - (- \Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}\right) \text{curl} f = -\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda (\mathcal{L}_\lambda)^{-1} (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger f, \quad (63)$$

$$\text{curl} \left(\left(- \Delta_{\text{rel}} - \lambda^2\right)^{-1} - \left(- \Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2\right)^{-1}\right) \text{curl} f = -\lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda (\mathcal{L}_\lambda)^{-1} (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger f. \quad (64)$$

Here $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger$ is the transpose operator to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ obtained from the real L^2 -inner product, i.e. $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger f = \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^* f}$. Similarly, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger$ is the transpose of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda$.

Proof. We begin with the first formula. We know that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ maps to functions satisfying the Helmholtz equation $(-\Delta - \lambda^2)v = 0$. Therefore we only need to show that, given $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$, the function

$$u = (\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} f - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger f$$

satisfied relative boundary conditions. Since clearly $\text{div} u = 0$ we only need to check that $\gamma_t u = 0$. One computes

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t u &= \gamma_t \text{curlcurl}(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} f - \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger f \\ &= \gamma_t \text{curlcurl}(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} f - (\nu \times) \gamma_T(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} f = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result.

Next consider the second formula. We again only need to check that $\gamma_{t,\pm}(u) = 0$ where

$$u = (\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curl} f - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger f.$$

The third formula follows from the second by applying the curl-operator from the left and using $\text{curlcurlcurl} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda = \lambda^2 \text{curl} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$. \square

This can be used to show the following.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and also suppose that Ω_0 is a smooth open set in \mathbb{R}^3 whose complement contains $\bar{\Omega}$. Let $\delta = \text{dist}(\partial\Omega, \Omega_0)$. If p is the projection onto $L^2(\Omega_0; \mathbb{C}^3)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$ then the operators*

$$\begin{aligned} &p(\Delta_{\text{rel}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} p - p(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} p, \\ &p(\Delta_{\text{abs}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} p - p(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} p, \end{aligned}$$

are trace class for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ as operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$. Moreover for any $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$, their trace norms satisfy the bounds

$$\|p(\Delta_{\text{rel}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} p - p(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} p\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im}(\lambda)}, \quad (65)$$

$$\|p(\Delta_{\text{abs}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} p - p(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curlcurl} p\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im}(\lambda)}, \quad (66)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$. Moreover, both operators have integral kernels $\kappa_{\text{rel}, \lambda}, \kappa_{\text{abs}, \lambda}$ that are smooth on $\Omega_0 \times \Omega_0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$. There exists $C_{\Omega_0, \epsilon} > 0$, depending on Ω_0 and ϵ such that

$$\|k_{\text{rel}, \lambda}(x, x)\| + \|k_{\text{abs}, \lambda}(x, x)\| \leq \left(C_{\Omega_0, \epsilon} \frac{e^{-\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \text{Im} \lambda}}{(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))^4} \right). \quad (67)$$

Proof. Given $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$ we choose a compactly supported smooth cut-off function χ which vanishes in Ω_0 such that the support of $\varphi = 1 - \chi$ has distance at least δ' from Ω . Then, since $\varphi p = p$ it is sufficient to show the estimates with p replaced by φ . From (62), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\Delta_{\text{rel}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} (\text{curlcurl}) \varphi - \varphi(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} (\text{curlcurl}) \varphi = \\ &- \varphi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger \varphi = -(\varphi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda) \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} (\nu \times) (\varphi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda)^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The operator $\varphi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ is Hilbert Schmidt by Proposition 7.1. Since \mathcal{L}_λ^{-1} is bounded by Corollary (7.9) on the correct domains, this factorises the right hand side of (68) into a product of the two Hilbert Schmidt operators $(\varphi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda), (\varphi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda)^\dagger$ and a bounded operator $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu \times)$. This shows it is trace-class (see for example [43], (A.3.4) and (A.3.2)). We need to show the bound for

the trace-norm. We now employ the more explicit description of $\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda = \varphi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\text{Div} + \lambda^2\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda)\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu\times)(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda)^\dagger &= (\varphi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\text{Div} + \lambda^2\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}((\nu\times)\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger\text{div}\varphi + \lambda^2(\nu\times)(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger) \\ &= (\varphi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\text{Div} + \lambda^2\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}((\nu\times)\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger\text{div}\varphi + \lambda^2(\nu\times)(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger) \\ &= \varphi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\text{Div}\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu\times)\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger\text{div}\varphi + \lambda^4\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu\times)(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger + \lambda^2\varphi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\text{Div}\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu\times)(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger \\ &\quad + \lambda^2\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu\times)\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger\text{div}\varphi = \text{(I)} + \text{(II)} + \text{(III)} + \text{(IV)}. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that the estimate holds for the individual terms. The trace-norm of (I) is bounded by $\|\varphi\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \cdot \|\text{Div}\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu\times)\nabla\|$, using the fact that the Hilbert-Schmidt norm is invariant under transposition. This is bounded by $Ce^{\text{Im}(\lambda)\delta'}$ in the sector by Prop. 7.9 and the estimate (30) of Prop. 7.1.

The trace-norm of term (II) is bounded by $|\lambda|^4\|\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\|_{\text{HS}}^2\|\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}\|$. This is again bounded by $Ce^{\text{Im}(\lambda)\delta'}$ by Prop. 7.9 and (29) of Prop. 7.1. Expression (III) is the transpose of (IV) as one computes easily from Lemma 6.2. It is therefore sufficient to bound the trace-norm of (IV).

$$\text{(IV)} = \lambda^2\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda^2}(TQ_\lambda + U_\lambda P) - \frac{1}{\lambda}U_\lambda B + U_\lambda Q_\lambda\right)(\nu\times)\nabla(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger \quad (69)$$

$$= \lambda^2(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}TQ_\lambda + U_\lambda Q_\lambda\right)(\nu\times\nabla)(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger, \quad (70)$$

where we have used Lemma 7.7, the expansion (61) and the fact that $P(\nu\times\nabla) = 0$ and $B(\nu\times\nabla) = 0$. The range of T consists of functions in $H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$ that average to zero. This is easily seen by applying the integration by parts formula (4) with $\phi \in \text{rg}(T)$ and E a constant unit vector field, noting that $\text{curl}\phi = \text{curl}E = 0$. This then shows that the trace-norm of (III) and (IV) are bounded by $Ce^{-\text{Im}(\lambda)\delta'}$ by Prop. 7.9, and by the estimates (30), (29).

Next we use (64) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\Delta_{\text{abs}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}(\text{curlcurl})\varphi - \varphi(\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}(\text{curlcurl})\varphi &= \\ -\lambda^2\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu\times)\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger\varphi &= -\lambda^2(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda)\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu\times)(\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda)^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The operators $\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda, (\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda)^\dagger$ are Hilbert-Schmidt and their Hilbert-Schmidt norms are bounded by $e^{-\delta'\text{Im}(\lambda)}$ by Prop. 7.1, Equ. (31). This gives the claimed estimate for the trace-norm since the operator $\lambda^2\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}$ is polynomially bounded in any sector by Theorem 7.9.

It remains to show the estimate on the diagonal of the integral kernel. This is done the same way using pointwise estimate

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(x, \cdot)\|_{H^{-1}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \frac{1}{(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))^{1+|\alpha|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\lambda)\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)}$$

which is easily obtained directly from the integral kernel, noting that differentiation in the x or y -variable gives a linear combination of terms that are bounded by

$$\frac{\lambda^k(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))^k}{(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))^{1+|\alpha|}} e^{-\text{Im}(\lambda)\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} \leq C_{k, \epsilon} \frac{1}{(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))^{1+|\alpha|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\lambda)\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)}$$

with $0 \leq k \leq \alpha$. One now applies this estimate to each of the four terms (I), (II), (III), (IV) and observes that every factor of λ can be absorbed using the bound

$$|\lambda|^k e^{-\text{Im}(\lambda)\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)} = C_{k, \epsilon} \frac{1}{\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Im}(\lambda)\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)}.$$

This gives the first claimed estimate. The second estimate follows the same way, since the above implies

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda(x, \cdot)\|_{H^{-1}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \frac{1}{(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Im}(\lambda) \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)}.$$

□

9. THE FUNCTION Ξ

Recall that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ consists of N connected components $\partial\Omega_j$. To keep the discussion meaningful we will assume throughout this section that $N \geq 2$. This gives a natural decomposition

$$H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega_j).$$

Let q_j be the orthogonal projection $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega_j)$, and $\mathcal{L}_{j,\lambda} = q_j \mathcal{L}_\lambda q_j$. We then can write

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{j,\lambda} + \sum_{j \neq k} q_j \mathcal{L}_\lambda q_k = \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda} + \mathcal{T}_\lambda. \quad (72)$$

We remark that $\mathcal{L}_{j,\lambda}$ which is regarded as a map from $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ is independent of the other components. The sum $\mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}$ describes the diagonal part of the operator \mathcal{L} with respect to the decomposition above.

We have a similar decomposition for the operator

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda} + \mathcal{J}_\lambda.$$

We set

$$\delta = \min_{j \neq k} \text{dist}(\partial\Omega_j, \partial\Omega_k) > 0. \quad (73)$$

Then we have the following proposition:

Proposition 9.1. *The families $\mathcal{T}_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_\lambda : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ are holomorphic families of trace-class operators in the complex plane. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$ the following estimates for their trace-norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ hold:*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\lambda\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}, \quad \|\mathcal{J}_\lambda\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda} \quad (74)$$

$$\left\| \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{T}_\lambda \right\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{J}_\lambda \right\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda} \quad (75)$$

for all λ the sector \mathfrak{D}_ϵ . We also have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_\lambda|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}_0, \partial\Omega)}\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} |\lambda|^2 e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda} \quad (76)$$

$$\left\| \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{T}_\lambda|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}_0, \partial\Omega)} \right\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} |\lambda| e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}. \quad (77)$$

Proof. We will prove this estimate only for \mathcal{T}_λ as the estimate for \mathcal{J}_λ is proved in the same way. It is sufficient to show this for the individual terms $q_j \mathcal{L}_\lambda q_k$ with $j \neq k$. We choose an open bounded neighborhood U of $\partial\Omega_j$ and an open bounded neighborhood V of $\partial\Omega_k$ such

that $\text{dist}(U, V) > \delta'$. The first two estimates are implied by Lemma 12.4 by observing that the operator is the composition

$$H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(V) \rightarrow H^1(U) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega),$$

and the map $H^{-1}(V) \rightarrow H^1(U)$ has smooth integral kernel

$$\chi(x, y) \text{curl curl}_x \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}$$

for a suitable cut-off function that is compactly supported in $U \times V$. The same argument applies to the λ -derivative.

To show the bounds on the restriction to $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}0, \partial\Omega)$ one uses that we have $\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \gamma_t \nabla \mathcal{S}_\lambda \text{Div} + \lambda^2 \mathcal{S}_\lambda$. To bound the trace-norm of $\lambda^2 q_j \mathcal{S}_\lambda q_k$ one uses exactly the same argument as above applied to the kernel

$$\lambda^2 \chi(x, y) \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}$$

and its λ -derivative. \square

Proposition 9.2. *Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then $(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1} - \text{id}) : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ is a meromorphic family of trace-class operators with no poles in the closed upper half-plane. In the sector we have for any $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$ the estimate*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_\lambda - \text{id}\|_1 = \|\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1} - \text{id}\|_1 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im } \lambda}. \quad (78)$$

Proof. We use (19) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1} - \text{id} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda}\right)^{-1} - \text{id}.$$

With

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} P_D + \frac{1}{\lambda} B_D + Q_\lambda$$

we remark that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda}\right) P_D = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) B_D = 0$$

but then also

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) P_D = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) B_D = 0$$

because the explicit description of the kernel of $\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)$. With $\mathcal{J}_\lambda = \mathcal{M}_\lambda - \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda}$ this implies $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}_\lambda} P_D = \mathcal{J}_\lambda B_D = 0$. Moreover, \mathcal{J}_λ is trace-class. This shows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda}\right)^{-1} - \text{id}$$

is a meromorphic family of trace-class operators and zero is not a pole. Interior Maxwell eigenvalues are not poles by the same argument, since the kernel of $\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\mu\right)$ coincides with the kernel of $\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\mu}\right)$ and by the expansion of Lemma 7.8.

Moreover, $\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda}\right)$ is invertible for all the other points in the closed upper half-space, and hence there are no poles there. To show the estimate in the sector we note that

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1} - \text{id} = \mathcal{T}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}. \quad (79)$$

Then the bound for large $|\lambda|$ is a result of Corollary 7.9 and Proposition 9.1. \square

Proposition 9.3. *The Fredholm determinant $\det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})$ in the space $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ is well-defined and holomorphic in a neighborhood of the closed upper half space. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$ we have the bound*

$$|\det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}) - 1| \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im}(\lambda)} \quad (80)$$

for all λ in the sector \mathfrak{D}_ϵ . Moreover, $\det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})$ is non-zero in the closed upper half space.

Proof. The trace of $(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1} - \text{id})$ is bounded by Proposition 9.2. Using the bound

$$|\det(1 + A) - 1| \leq \|A\|_1 e^{1 + \|A\|_1}$$

for the Fredholm determinant (see for example [42, Equ. (3.7)]) one obtains

$$|\Xi(\lambda)| \leq |\log \det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})| \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}. \quad (81)$$

By analyticity of $(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1} - \text{id}) = \mathcal{J}_\lambda(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda})^{-1}$ as a family of trace-class operators in the upper half space and near zero the determinant also depends analytically on λ (e.g. [42, Theorem 3.3]). By invertibility of the operator in the closed upper half space the determinant never vanishes ([42, Theorem 3.9]) and therefore $\log \det$ is analytic in union of the upper half space and a neighborhood of zero. \square

Since the determinant does not vanish near the closed upper half space we can choose a simply connected open neighborhood \mathcal{U} of the closed upper half space and it then defines a holomorphic function $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ which we can lift to a holomorphic function on the logarithmic cover of the complex plane, where we choose the branch cut to be the negative real line $(-\infty, 0)$. Composition with \log is then well-defined and we write $\log \det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})$ to mean this composition. This means that this function and the branch of the logarithm is fixed by requiring this to be a holomorphic function that decays exponentially fast along the positive imaginary axis.

Definition 9.4. *The function Ξ is defined in a sufficiently small simply connected open neighborhood of the closed upper half space by*

$$\Xi(\lambda) = \log \det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}),$$

where the branch of the logarithm is chosen as explained above.

Theorem 9.5. *The function $\Xi(\lambda)$ is holomorphic near the closed upper half space and for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$ we have the bounds*

$$|\Xi(\lambda)| \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im}(\lambda)} \quad |\Xi'(\lambda)| \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda} \quad (82)$$

for λ in the sector \mathfrak{D}_ϵ .

Proof. The first bound is a direct consequence of the proposition above. The second bound is a direct consequence of the maximum modulus principle. \square

10. RELATIVE TRACE FORMULA

We consider the two Maxwell resolvent differences

$$R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda} = \left(((\Delta_{\text{rel}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} - (\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^N ((\Delta_{\text{rel},j} - \lambda^2)^{-1} - (\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}) \right) \text{curlcurl},$$

$$R_{D,\text{abs},\lambda} = \left(((\Delta_{\text{abs}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} - (\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^N ((\Delta_{\text{abs},j} - \lambda^2)^{-1} - (\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}) \right) \text{curlcurl}.$$

Using (62) and (64), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} ((\Delta_{\text{rel},j} - \lambda^2)^{-1} - (\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}) \text{curlcurl} &= -\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{j,\lambda}^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^{\text{t}}, \\ ((\Delta_{\text{abs},j} - \lambda^2)^{-1} - (\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1}) \text{curlcurl} &= -\lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{j,\lambda}^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^{\text{t}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda} &= -\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^{\text{t}} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^{\text{t}}, \\ R_{D,\text{abs},\lambda} &= -\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^{\text{t}} + \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^{\text{t}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following improvement of Theorem 8.2 in the relative setting:

Proposition 10.1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\delta' > 0$ be smaller than $\delta = \text{dist}(\partial\Omega_j, \partial\Omega_k)$. Then the operators $R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda}, R_{D,\text{abs},\lambda} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$ are trace-class for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$ and their trace norm can be estimated by*

$$\|R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda}\|_1 + \|R_{D,\text{abs},\lambda}\|_1 \leq C_{\delta',\epsilon} e^{-\delta' \text{Im}(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon.$$

Proof. First note that

$$(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} - \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,D}^{-1}) = (\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{T}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,D}^{-1})$$

is a meromorphic family of trace-class operators $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ in the complex plane. For $|\lambda| > 1$ the bound then follows from Prop. 9.1 and the bounds Theorem 7.9. In particular the expansion

$$(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} - \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,D}^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} L_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} L_1 + L_{0,\lambda}$$

resulting from (61) is in terms of trace-class operators L_2, L_1 and the holomorphic family of trace-class operators $L_{0,\lambda}$. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= -T(Q^{(0)} - Q_D^{(0)}) - (U^{(0)}P - U_D^{(0)}P_D) = TW_2 + V_2, \\ L_1 &= -T(Q^{(1)} - Q_D^{(1)}) - (U^{(0)}B - U_D^{(0)}B_D) - (U^{(1)}P - U_D^{(1)}P_D) = TW_1 + V_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q^{(0)}, Q^{(1)}$ are the expansion coefficients of

$$Q_\lambda = Q^{(0)} + Q^{(1)}\lambda + O(|\lambda|^2)$$

near $\lambda = 0$. The same notation is used for the expansion coefficients of $Q_{D,\lambda}, U_\lambda, U_{D,\lambda}$. Since the operator

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda\right)^{-1} \mathcal{J}_\lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{M}_{D,\lambda}\right)^{-1}$$

is a meromorphic family of trace-class operators we know that the expansion coefficients $W_2 = Q^{(0)} - Q_D^{(0)}$ and $W_1 = Q^{(1)} - Q_D^{(1)}$ are trace-class. We also record that $V_2(\nu \times \nabla) = 0$

and $V_1(\nu \times \nabla) = 0$ and recall that $\text{Div } T = 0$. Now we are ready to estimate the resolvent differences. We first focus on $R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda} &= -\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} - \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger \\ &= -\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}(TW_2 + V_2) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(TW_1 + V_1) + L_{0,\lambda} \right) (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

We expand this further using $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda = \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \text{Div} + \lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$ to obtain that modulo terms that have bounded trace-norm near $\lambda = 0$ the operator $R_{D,\lambda}$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \text{Div} + \lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}(TW_2 + V_2) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(TW_1 + V_1) \right) ((\nu \times) \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger \text{div} + \lambda^2 (\nu \times) (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger) \\ &= \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \text{Div} ((TW_2 + V_2) + \lambda(TW_1 + V_1)) (\nu \times) (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger \\ &+ \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda ((TW_2 + V_2) + \lambda(TW_1 + V_1)) (\nu \times) \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger \text{div} \\ &+ \lambda^4 \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}(TW_2 + V_2) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(TW_1 + V_1) \right) (\nu \times) (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda)^\dagger = \text{(I)} + \text{(II)} + \text{(III)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}$ and \mathcal{L}_λ are self-adjoint with respect to the antisymmetric bilinear form one computes

$$\left(\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}(TW_2 + V_2) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(TW_1 + V_1) \right) (\nu \times) \right)^\dagger = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}(TW_2 + V_2) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(TW_1 + V_1) \right) (\nu \times)$$

and therefore (II) is the transpose of (I). (III) has bounded trace-norm near $\lambda = 0$. Finally

$$\text{(II)} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda ((TW_2 + V_2) + \lambda(TW_1 + V_1)) (\nu \times) \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger \text{div} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda ((TW_2) + \lambda(TW_1)) (\nu \times) \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger \text{div},$$

has bounded trace-norm near $\lambda = 0$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda T$, $\nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda^\dagger \text{div}$ have bounded operator norm and W_2 and W_1 are trace-class. Finally we consider $R_{D,\text{abs},\lambda}$. We compute as above

$$\begin{aligned} R_{D,\text{abs},\lambda} &= -\lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} - \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger \\ &= -\lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}(TW_2 + V_2) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(TW_1 + V_1) + L_{0,\lambda} \right) (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger \\ &= -\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda ((TW_2 + V_2) + \lambda(TW_1 + V_1) + \lambda^2 L_{0,\lambda}) (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

whose trace-norm is bounded near zero since $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda$ is uniformly bounded. \square

Lemma 10.2. *We have*

$$\text{tr}(R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda}) = \text{tr}(R_{D,\text{abs},\lambda}) = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \Xi'(\lambda).$$

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda &= \gamma_t \text{curl curl curl curl} (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-2} \gamma_T^\dagger = \gamma_t \text{curl curl} (-\Delta_{\text{free}}) (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-2} \gamma_T^\dagger \\ &= \gamma_t \text{curl curl} (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \gamma_T^\dagger + \gamma_t \text{curl curl} \lambda^2 (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-2} \gamma_T^\dagger = \mathcal{L}_\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\lambda^2 (\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda = \lambda^2 \gamma_t \text{curl curl} (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-2} \gamma_T^\dagger = \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda.$$

Using invariance of the trace in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega)$ under cyclic permutations we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda}) &= -\text{tr}\left(-\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} - \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda^\dagger\right) = -\text{tr}\left(\left(\mathcal{L}_\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda\right)(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} - \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})\right) \\ &= -\text{tr}\left(\text{id} - \mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}\right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}) = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $\text{tr}(\mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda} \frac{d}{d\lambda}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda)) = 0$, $\text{tr}(\mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda} \mathcal{T}_\lambda) = 0$. Indeed this follows as

$$\text{Tr}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,D} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{T}_\lambda\right)\right) = \sum_{j \neq k} \text{Tr}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,D} (q_j \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda\right) q_k)\right) = \sum_{j \neq k} \text{Tr}\left((q_j \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,D} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda) q_k\right) = 0.$$

We also used the fact that for a holomorphic family of trace-class operators $T(\lambda)$ we have that $\log \det(\text{id} + A(\lambda))$ is holomorphic and we have the identity

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \det(\text{id} + A(\lambda)) = \text{tr}\left((\text{id} + A(\lambda))^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} A(\lambda)\right),$$

so that

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}) = \text{tr}\left(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) - \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda}(\mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda})\right).$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(R_{D,\text{abs},\lambda}) &= -\text{tr}\left(\lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} - \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})(\nu \times) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda^\dagger\right) = -\text{tr}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda\right)(\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1} - \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1})\right) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \det(\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mathcal{L}_{D,\lambda}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

□

11. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This theorem is the combination of Prop. 9.3 and Theorem 9.5 in Section 9. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We set $f(z) = z^{-2}g(z^2)$ where $g \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon$. By the decay properties of Ξ it is sufficient to show equality for small ϵ , so we assume $\epsilon \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$. Then the function $e^{-\frac{1}{n}z^2}$ is holomorphic in the sector \mathfrak{S}_ϵ and decays faster than exponentially. The function $g_n(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{n}z^2}g(z)$ is therefore an admissible function for the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus and we therefore have

$$f_n((-\Delta_{\text{rel}})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \text{curl curl} = f_n((\delta d)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \delta d = g_n(\delta d) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} (\delta d - z)^{-1} g_n(z) dz$$

and similarly for the other terms appearing in $R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda}$. Here $f_n(z) = z^{-2}g_n(z^2)$. If $h \in C_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^3)$ then we have convergence of $g_n(\delta d)$ to $g(\delta d)$ in L^2 . Indeed since h is in the domain of the operator $g(\delta d)$ the function g is square integrable with respect to the measure $\langle dE_\lambda h, h \rangle$, where dE_λ is the spectral measure of δd . Then we have

$$\|(g(\delta d) - g_n(\delta d))h\|_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{n}x^2})^2 |g|^2(x) \langle dE_\lambda h, h \rangle,$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by the dominated convergence theorem.

We note now that

$$(-\Delta_{\text{rel}} - z)^{-1} \delta d = (\delta d - z)^{-1} \delta d = \text{id} + z(\delta d - z)^{-1},$$

and again this formula applies to the other terms in $R_{D,\text{rel},\lambda}$. This gives

$$D_{\text{rel},f_n} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} R_{D,\text{rel}}(\sqrt{z}) \frac{1}{z} g_n(z) dz = -\frac{1}{i\pi} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\epsilon/2}} R_{D,\text{rel}}(\lambda) \lambda f_n(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Moreover, $D_{\text{rel},f_n} h$ converges in L^2 to $D_{\text{rel},f} h$ for any $h \in C_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^3)$. By the decay properties of $R_{D,\text{rel}}(\lambda)$, Proposition 10.1, the integral converges in the Banach space of trace-class operators, and the sequence D_{f_n} is Cauchy in the Banach space of trace-class operators. We conclude that $D_{\text{rel},f}$ is trace-class.

To compute the trace we can again use the convergence of the integral in the space of trace-class operators and therefore, using Lemma 10.2, we obtain

$$D_{\text{rel},f} = -\frac{1}{i\pi} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} R_{\text{rel},D}(\lambda) \lambda f(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} (\Xi'(\lambda)) \lambda^2 f(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Integration by parts and the decay of Ξ , Theorem 9.5, then completes the proof for $D_{\text{rel},f}$. The proof for $D_{\text{abs},f}$ is exactly the same. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We first establish the smoothness away from the objects. To see this we again use the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus. Let $\kappa_\lambda(x, y)$ be the integral kernel of the difference

$$(-\Delta_{\text{rel}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curl curl} - (-\Delta_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2)^{-1} \text{curl curl}.$$

Let U be an open neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\text{dist}(U, \Omega_0) > \delta' \in (0, \delta)$. Then, on $\Omega_0 \times \Omega_0$ the integral kernel of $\kappa_\lambda(x, y)$ satisfies the estimate

$$\|\kappa_\lambda(x, y)\|_{C^k(K)} \leq C_{k,K} e^{-\delta' \text{Im} \lambda}$$

for any compact subset $K \subset \Omega_0 \times \Omega_0$. This can be seen directly from (62) as Lemma 12.1 implies that the integral kernel of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ is smooth, and C^∞ -seminorms satisfy an exponential decay estimate on $\Omega_0 \times U$, whereas the norm of \mathcal{L}_λ^{-1} is polynomially bounded by Theorem 7.9. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 above the integral

$$2 \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\epsilon/2}} \kappa_\lambda(x, y) \lambda f(\lambda) d\lambda$$

then converges in $C^\infty(\Omega_0 \times \Omega_0)$ to the integral kernel of B_f restricted to $\Omega_0 \times \Omega_0$. Hence this kernel is smooth on $\Omega_0 \times \Omega_0$. It remains to show the decay estimate. For large $|x|$ we have by (67) the estimate

$$\|\kappa_\lambda(x, x)\| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^4} e^{-\delta'|x| \text{Im} \lambda}.$$

Then, using functional calculus as before, we have the representation

$$\kappa(x, x) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \kappa_\lambda(x, x) \lambda f(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

which gives the estimate

$$\|\kappa_\lambda(x, x)\| \leq \int_1^\infty \frac{C}{|x|^4} \lambda e^{-\delta_1 \lambda |x|} d\lambda + \int_0^1 \frac{C}{|x|^4} \lambda e^{-\delta_1 \lambda |x|} \lambda^a d\lambda \leq \frac{C_1}{|x|^{6+a}}.$$

This shows that $\kappa(x, x)$ is integrable and by Mercer's theorem the integral of $\text{tr}(\kappa(x, x))$ is equal to the trace, as claimed. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Define the relative spectral shift function

$$\xi_D(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \frac{\det S_\lambda}{\det(S_{1,\lambda}) \cdots \det(S_{N,\lambda})}.$$

By the Birman-Krein formula we have

$$\mathrm{tr} D_{\mathrm{rel},f} = - \int_0^\infty \xi_{\mathrm{rel}}(\lambda) \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda^2 f(\lambda)) d\lambda$$

for any even Schwartz function f .

Recall that Ξ' has a meromorphic extension to the complex plane and it is holomorphic on the real line. Now assume that f is a compactly supported even test function and let \tilde{f} be a compactly supported almost analytic extension (see for example [17] p.169/170). Let $dm(z) = dx dy$ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} . By the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula ([26], [17]), combined with the substitution $z \mapsto z^2$, we have

$$\mathrm{curl} \mathrm{curl} f(\Delta_{\mathrm{rel}}^{1/2}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{curl} \mathrm{curl} \int_{\mathrm{Im}(z)>0} z \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \bar{z}} (\Delta_{\mathrm{rel}} - z^2)^{-1} dm(z),$$

Therefore

$$D_{\mathrm{rel},f} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathrm{Im}(z)>0} z \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \bar{z}} R_{D,\mathrm{rel}}(z) dm(z),$$

and hence, by Lemma 10.2, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(D_{\mathrm{rel},f}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathrm{Im}(z)>0} z^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \bar{z}} \Xi'(z) dm(z).$$

Using Stokes' theorem in the form of [37, p.62/63], we therefore obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}(D_f) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Xi'(x) + \Xi'(-x)) x^2 f(x) dx.$$

Comparing this with the Birman-Krein formula in Theorem 5.1 gives $\frac{i}{2\pi} (\Xi'(x) + \Xi'(-x)) = \xi'_{\mathrm{rel}}(x)$. Since both functions are meromorphic this shows that this identity holds everywhere. We conclude that $\frac{i}{2\pi} (\Xi(\lambda) - \Xi(-\lambda)) - \xi_{\mathrm{rel}}(\lambda)$ is constant. Clearly, $(\Xi(\lambda) - \Xi(-\lambda))$ vanishes at zero, so the statement follows if we can show that $\xi_{\mathrm{rel}}(0) = 0$. The estimate [46, Theorem 1.10] shows that $S_0 = S_{1,0} = \dots = S_{N,0} = \mathrm{id}$, which then indeed implies $\xi_{\mathrm{rel}}(0) = 0$. The paper [46] assumes the boundary of Ω to be smooth, but the section on the expansions in this paper carry over unmodified to the Lipschitz case (see also the remarks in [45] where this is made explicit). \square

12. APPENDIX

12.1. Norm Estimates. In the following we assume that Ω and M are as in the main body of the text. Recall that the integral kernel of the free resolvent is given by (12). We will subsequently prove norm and pointwise estimates for $G_{\lambda,0}$ and its derivatives, which are used in the main body of the text.

Lemma 12.1. *Let $\Omega_0 \subset M$ be an open set with $\mathrm{dist}(\Omega_0, \partial\Omega) = \delta > 0$ and choose $\epsilon \in (0, \pi]$. Let U be a bounded open neighborhood of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $\mathrm{dist}(\Omega_0, U) > 0$ and fix*

$\delta' > 0$ such that $\delta' < \text{dist}(\Omega_0, U) \leq \delta$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists $C_{k, \delta', \epsilon} > 0 > 0$ such that we have

$$\|G_{\lambda, 0}\|_{H^k(\Omega_0 \times U)}^2 \leq C_{k, \delta', \epsilon} \frac{(1 + \text{Im } \lambda)e^{-2\delta' \text{Im } \lambda}}{\text{Im } \lambda}, \quad (83)$$

$$\|\nabla_x G_{\lambda, 0}\|_{H^k(\Omega_0 \times U)}^2 \leq C_{k, \delta', \epsilon} e^{-2\delta' \text{Im } \lambda}, \quad (84)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$. Here ∇_x denotes differentiation in the first variable, i.e. $(\nabla_x G_\lambda)(x, y) = \nabla_x G_\lambda(x, y)$.

Proof. Let us set $\lambda = \theta|\lambda|$ and note that $\text{Im}(\theta) \geq \sin(\epsilon) > 0$. Since the kernel $G_{\lambda, 0}$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation in both variables away from the diagonal we have $((-\Delta_x)^k + (-\Delta_y)^k)G_{\lambda, 0}(x, y) = 2\lambda^{2k}G_{\lambda, 0}(x, y)$. We then change variables so that $r := |x - y| \geq \delta_0$. By homogeneity, all of the integration will be carried out in this variable, with the angular variables only contributing a constant. Substituting $s := \text{Im } \lambda r$, into the formula for the Green's function implies for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\|\Delta^k G_{\lambda, 0}\|_{L^2(\Omega'_0 \times U')}^2 \leq C_k (\text{Im } \lambda)^{4k} \int_{\delta_0}^{\infty} |G_{\lambda, 0}(r)|^2 r^2 dr \leq C_k (\text{Im } \lambda)^{4k} \int_{\delta_0}^{\infty} e^{-2 \text{Im } \lambda r} dr \quad (85)$$

Here we have enlarged the domains slightly so that $\Omega'_0 \times U'$ has positive distance from $\Omega_0 \times U$ and $\text{dist}(\Omega'_0, U') > \delta'$. This allows us to estimate the Sobolev norms using Lemma 12.5. We then have

$$\int_{\delta_0}^{\infty} e^{-2 \text{Im } \lambda r} dr = \frac{e^{-2\delta_0 \text{Im } \lambda}}{-2 \text{Im } \lambda}. \quad (86)$$

Let $C_{\delta', \epsilon, k}$ denote a generic constant depending on δ', ϵ, k . Using (85) and interpolation, as a result we can conclude for all $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\|G_{\lambda, 0}\|_{H^k(\Omega_0 \times U)}^2 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon, k} \frac{(1 + \text{Im } \lambda)e^{-2\delta' \text{Im } \lambda}}{\text{Im } \lambda}. \quad (87)$$

The second inequality follows by replacing $G_{\lambda, 0}$ by $\nabla_x G_{\lambda, 0}$ in (85). We then have

$$\|\Delta_x^k \nabla_x G_{\lambda, 0}\|_{L^2(\Omega'_0 \times U')}^2 \leq \quad (88)$$

$$C_k (\text{Im } \lambda)^{4k} \int_{\delta_0}^{\infty} |\nabla_x G_{\lambda, 0}(r)|^2 r^2 dr \leq C_k (\text{Im } \lambda)^{4k} \int_{\delta_0}^{\infty} \left(|\text{Im } \lambda|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) e^{-2 \text{Im } \lambda r} dr \leq C_k e^{-2\delta' \text{Im } \lambda}.$$

□

We now combine these estimates to get an estimate on the Maxwell layer potential operator.

Lemma 12.2. *Let $\Omega_0 \subset M$ be an open set with $\text{dist}(\Omega_0, \Omega) = \delta > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon$. Then, for any $0 < \delta' < \delta$ there exists $C_{\delta', \epsilon} > 0$ such that we have*

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H(\text{curl}, \Omega_0)}^2 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} e^{-2\delta' \text{Im } \lambda} \quad (89)$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}_0, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow H(\text{curl}, \Omega_0)}^2 \leq C_{\delta', \epsilon} |\text{Im } \lambda|^3 e^{-2\delta' \text{Im } \lambda}. \quad (90)$$

Proof. We choose as in Lemma 12.1 a bounded open neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$. For $a \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ the distribution $\gamma_t^*(a)$ is, by duality, in $H_c^{-1}(U)$. The first inequality then follows by using Lemma 12.1 bearing in mind that integration defines a continuous map

$$H^k(\Omega_0 \times U) \times H_c^{-s}(U) \rightarrow H^{k-s}(\Omega_0)$$

for k large enough. The second inequality follows from the identity (16), namely that we can write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda a = \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda \text{Div} a + \lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda a \quad a \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Div}, \partial\Omega) \quad (91)$$

and again using Lemma 12.1 in the same way as above. \square

Lemma 12.3. *Let $k \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then k is the integral kernel of a Hilbert-Schmidt operator*

$$K : H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

with Hilbert-Schmidt norm bounded by $\|k\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$.

Proof. Let K be the integral operator with kernel k . Since $(-\Delta + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ it suffices to show that $(-\Delta + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} K (-\Delta + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and bound its Hilbert-Schmidt norm. This is equivalent to the distributional integral kernel of $(-\Delta + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} K (-\Delta + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to be in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ (see for example [43]). The Hilbert-Schmidt norm is equal to the L^2 -norm of the kernel. The Fourier transform is $(\xi^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\eta^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{k}(\xi, \eta)$ and this is in L^2 with the L^2 -norm bounded by $\|k\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$ thanks to the inequality

$$\frac{(\xi^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\eta^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + 1} \leq 1.$$

\square

Lemma 12.4. *Let $k \in H_c^4(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ be supported in a compact set $Q \times Q \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Then k is the integral kernel of a nuclear operator*

$$K : H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

with trace norm bounded by $C_Q \|k\|_{H^4(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$.

Proof. Since k is compactly supported in Q we can assume without loss of generality that Q is a subset of a torus \mathbb{T}^n by imposing periodic boundary conditions on a sufficiently large rectangle and remarking that the Sobolev norms on the torus restricted to a neighborhood of Q are then equivalent to those of \mathbb{R}^d restricted to that neighborhood. We can therefore assume without loss of generality that we are on a compact manifold Y . We can then write K as $K = (-\Delta_Y + 1)^{-1} (-\Delta_Y + 1) K$. The operator $(-\Delta_Y + 1)^{-1}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt from $H^1(Y)$ to $H^1(Y)$, as for example can be seen from Weyl's law. The operator $(-\Delta_Y + 1) K$ is Hilbert-Schmidt by Lemma 12.3. Since we have written the operator as a product of two Hilbert-Schmidt operators it is nuclear and the corresponding estimate for the nuclear norm follows by estimating in terms of the Hilbert-Schmidt norms. \square

Lemma 12.5. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open subset and assume that $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a larger subset such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega'$ and $\text{dist}(\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega') > 0$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $f \in L^2(\Omega')$ with $(-\Delta)^k f \in L^2(\Omega')$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$ we have $f|_\Omega \in H^{2N}(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant $C_{N, \Omega, \Omega'} > 0$, independent of f , such that $\|f|_\Omega\|_{H^{2N}(\Omega)} \leq C_{N, \Omega, \Omega'} \sum_{k \leq N} \|(-\Delta)^k f\|_{L^2(\Omega')}$.*

Proof. This is the usual proof of interior regularity applied to the possibly non-compact domain Ω' . We will show that $f \in H^s(\Omega')$, $\Delta f \in H^s(\Omega')$ implies $f \in H^{s+2}(\Omega)$ with the corresponding norm-estimates. The result then follows from this statement by iterating using a sequence of intermediate domains $\Omega \subset \Omega_1 \subset \dots \subset \Omega_{N-1} \subset \Omega'$. We will choose U so that $\Omega \subset U \subset \Omega'$ while is still such that $\text{dist}(\partial U, \partial \Omega') > 0$, $\text{dist}(\partial U, \partial \Omega) > 0$. We can choose a regularised distance function and construct a function $\chi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is compactly supported in Ω' which equals one in a neighborhood of U . Then, if $f \in H^s(\Omega')$, $\Delta f \in H^s(\Omega')$ we have

$$(1 - \Delta)(\chi f) = (\chi - \Delta(\chi))f - \chi \Delta f - 2(\nabla \chi) \nabla f.$$

From this we see that $(-\Delta + 1)(\chi f) \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and therefore $\chi f \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, the restriction of f to U is in $H^{s+1}(\Omega_1)$. Now we choose another cut-off function η in $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ supported in U that equals one near Ω . Then $(\nabla \eta) \nabla f$ is in $H^s(U)$ and we now conclude in the same way that $f|_\Omega \in H^{s+2}(\Omega)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Balian and B. Duplantier, *Electromagnetic waves near perfect conductors II, Casimir effect*, Annals of Physics **112** (1978), 165–208.
- [2] J. M. Ball and A. Zarnescu, *Partial regularity and smooth topology-preserving approximations of rough domains*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **56** (2017), no. 1, Paper No. 13, 32.
- [3] F. Bernasconi, G. M. Graf, and D. Hasler, *The heat kernel expansion for the electromagnetic field in a cavity*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **4** (2003), no. 5, 1001–1013.
- [4] M. Sh. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, *Weyl asymptotics of the spectrum of the Maxwell operator for domains with a Lipschitz boundary*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astronom. **vyp. 3** (1987), 23–28, 127 (Russian, with English summary).
- [5] G. Bimonte and T. Emig, *Unifying Theory for Casimir Forces: Bulk and Surface Formulations*, Universe **7** (2021), no. 7.
- [6] M. Bordag, G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, *Advances in the Casimir effect*, Oxford University Press, 2009.
- [7] J. Brüning and M. Lesch, *Hilbert complexes*, J. Funct. Anal. **108** (1992), no. 1, 88–132.
- [8] A. Buffa, M. Costabel, and D. Sheen, *On traces for $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ in Lipschitz domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002), no. 2, 845–867.
- [9] A. Buffa and R. Hiptmair, *Galerkin boundary element methods for electromagnetic scattering*, Topics in computational wave propagation, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Eng., vol. 31, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 83–124.
- [10] G. Carron, *L^2 -cohomology of manifolds with flat ends*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 2, 366–395 (English, with English and French summaries).
- [11] P. Candelas, *Vacuum energy in the presence of dielectric and conducting surfaces*, Annals of Physics **143** (1982), no. 2, 241–295.
- [12] G. Carron, *Déterminant relatif et la fonction ξ* , American Journal of Mathematics **124** (2002), no. 2, 307–352.
- [13] H. B. G. Casimir, *On the Attraction Between Two Perfectly Conducting Plates*, Indag. Math. **10** (1948), 261–263.
- [14] X. Claeys and R. Hiptmair, *First-kind boundary integral equations for the Hodge-Helmholtz operator*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **51** (2019), no. 1, 197–227.
- [15] M. Costabel, *Boundary integral operators on Lipschitz domains: elementary results*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **19** (1988), no. 3, 613–626.
- [16] ———, *A remark on the regularity of solutions of Maxwell's equations on Lipschitz domains*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **12** (1990), no. 4, 365–368.
- [17] E. B. Davies, *The functional calculus*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **52** (1995), no. 1, 166–176.
- [18] T. Emig, N. Graham, R. L. Jaffe, and M. Kardar, *Casimir Forces between Arbitrary Compact Objects*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** (2007), no. 17, 170403.

- [19] T. Emig and R.L. Jaffe, *Casimir forces between arbitrary compact objects*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41** (2008), no. 16, 164001.
- [20] Y.L. Fang and A. Strohmaier, *A Mathematical Analysis of Casimir Interactions I: The Scalar Field*, Annales Henri Poincaré, posted on 2021, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-021-01119-z>.
- [21] N. Filonov, *Weyl asymptotics of the spectrum of the Maxwell operator in Lipschitz domains of arbitrary dimension*, Algebra i Analiz **25** (2013), no. 1, 170–215 (Russian); English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **25** (2014), no. 1, 117–149.
- [22] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1972. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 75.
- [23] M. P. Gaffney, *Hilbert space methods in the theory of harmonic integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 426–444.
- [24] F. Gesztesy and B. Simon, *The xi function*, Acta Math. **176** (1996), no. 1, 49–71.
- [25] V. Gol'dshstein, I. Mitrea, and M. Mitrea, *Hodge decompositions with mixed boundary conditions and applications to partial differential equations on Lipschitz manifolds*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **172** (2011), no. 3, 347–400. Problems in mathematical analysis. No. 52.
- [26] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *Équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper*, Schrödinger operators (Sønderborg, 1988), Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 345, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 118–197 (French).
- [27] S. G. Johnson, *Numerical methods for computing Casimir interactions*, In *Casimir physics* (2011), 175–218.
- [28] B. S. Kay, *Casimir effect in quantum field theory*, Phys. Rev. D **20** (1979), no. 12, 3052.
- [29] O. Kenneth and I. Klich, *Opposites Attract: A Theorem about the Casimir Force*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006), 060401.
- [30] ———, *Casimir forces in a T operator approach*, Phys. Rev. B **78** (2008), 014103.
- [31] K. Kirsten, *Spectral functions in mathematics and physics*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [32] M. Mitrea, *The method of layer potentials in electromagnetic scattering theory on nonsmooth domains*, Duke Math. J. **77** (1995), no. 1, 111–133.
- [33] D. Mitrea and M. Mitrea, *Finite energy solutions of Maxwell's equations and constructive Hodge decompositions on nonsmooth Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **190** (2002), no. 2, 339–417.
- [34] D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea, and J. Pipher, *Vector potential theory on nonsmooth domains in \mathbf{R}^3 and applications to electromagnetic scattering*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **3** (1997), no. 2, 131–192.
- [35] D. Mitrea, *Boundary value problems for harmonic vector fields on nonsmooth domains*, Integral methods in science and engineering (Houghton, MI, 1998), Chapman & Hall/CRC Res. Notes Math., vol. 418, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000, pp. 234–239.
- [36] F. Hanisch, A. Strohmaier, and A. Waters, *A relative trace formula for obstacle scattering*, Duke mathematical journal.
- [37] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis; Reprint of the second (1990) edition [Springer, Berlin; MR1065993 (91m:35001a)].
- [38] A. Kirsch and F. Hettlich, *The mathematical theory of time-harmonic Maxwell's equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 190, Springer, Cham, 2015. Expansion-, integral-, and variational methods.
- [39] D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea, and M. Shaw, *Traces of differential forms on Lipschitz domains, the boundary de Rham complex, and Hodge decompositions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 5, 2061–2095.
- [40] D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea, and M. Taylor, *Layer potentials, the Hodge Laplacian, and global boundary problems in nonsmooth Riemannian manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (2001), no. 713, x+120.
- [41] M. J. Renne, *Microscopic theory of retarded van der Waals forces between macroscopic dielectric bodies*, Physica **56**, 125–137.
- [42] B. Simon, *Notes on infinite determinants of Hilbert space operators*, Advances in Math. **24** (1977), no. 3, 244–273.
- [43] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Translated from the 1978 Russian original by Stig I. Andersson.
- [44] A. Strohmaier, *The Classical and Quantum Photon Field for Non-compact Manifolds with Boundary and in Possibly Inhomogeneous Media*, Comm. Math. Phys. **387** (2021), no. 3, 1441–1489.

- [45] A. Strohmaier and A. Waters, *The Birman-Krein formula for differential forms and electromagnetic scattering*, arXiv:2104.13589v2.
- [46] ———, *Geometric and obstacle scattering at low energy*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **45** (2020), no. 11, 1451–1511.
- [47] M. Taylor, M. Mitrea, and A. Vasy, *Lipschitz domains, domains with corners, and the Hodge Laplacian*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **30** (2005), no. 10-12, 1445–1462.
- [48] G. Verchota, *Layer potentials and regularity for the Dirichlet problem for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **59** (1984), no. 3, 572–611.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS , YORKSHIRE, LS2 9JT, UK
Email address: `a.strohmaier@leeds.ac.uk`

UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN, BERNOULLI INSTITUTE, NIJENBORGH 9, 9747 AG GRONINGEN, THE NETHERLANDS
Email address: `a.m.s.waters@rug.nl`