

# LAGRANGIAN LJUSTERNIK–SCHNIRELMAN THEORY AND LAGRANGIAN INTERSECTIONS

WENMIN GONG

**ABSTRACT.** We prove the degenerate Arnold conjecture on Lagrangian intersections beyond the case studied by Floer and Hofer by developing a Lagrangian version of Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory. As a byproduct, we obtain some estimates of the number of intersection points of a monotone Lagrangian submanifold with its image of a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism in degenerate (non-transversal) sense. In particular, we apply Lagrangian Ljusternik–Schnirelman inequality I to establish a sharpened Chekanov-type result regarding the degenerate Arnold conjecture. We introduce the notion of (Lagrangian) fundamental quantum factorizations and use them to give some uniform lower bounds of the number of monotone Lagrangian intersections in certain cases including Clifford torus in complex projective space. Additionally, we use Lagrangian Ljusternik–Schnirelman inequalities I-II to study the size of the intersection of a monotone Lagrangian with its image of a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we assume that  $(M, \omega)$  are connected and tame symplectic manifolds (see [4]). Such manifolds include closed symplectic manifolds, open manifolds that are symplectically convex at infinity, as well as products of such. We denote by  $\mathcal{J}$  the space of  $\omega$ -compatible almost complex structures such that  $(M, g_J)$  is geometrically bounded, where for  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$  is the associated Riemannian metric. For  $H \in \mathcal{H}_c := C_c^\infty([0, 1] \times M, \mathbb{R})$  (clearly, if  $M$  is compact this function space coincides with  $\mathcal{H} := C^\infty([0, 1] \times M, \mathbb{R})$ ), we denote by  $\{\varphi_H^t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  the Hamiltonian isotopy of  $H$ , which is obtained by integrating the time-dependent vector field  $X_{H_t}$ , where  $H_t = H(t, \cdot)$  and  $X_{H_t}$  is determined uniquely by  $-dH_t = \omega(X_{H_t}, \cdot)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}am(M, \omega)$ ) the group of all Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms generated by elements of  $\mathcal{H}_c$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}$ ).

In the present paper we are interested in Lagrangian intersections in symplectic manifolds. Specifically, given a compact Lagrangian submanifold  $L$  of a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  and a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\varphi := \varphi_H^1$ , one would like to bound below the number of intersection points of  $L$  and  $\varphi(L)$ . In its strongest form, the Lagrangian version of the Arnold conjecture [2] asserts that the number of intersection points of  $L$  and  $\varphi(L)$  is bounded below by the minimal possible number of critical points that a smooth function on  $L$  may have. Here we emphasize that this number is independent of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\varphi$ . This version of the conjecture is still open, but Floer theory [24] provides a solution to a weaker version of the conjecture, i.e., the homological Arnold conjecture which states that in preferable situations, whenever  $L$  and  $\varphi(L)$  intersect transversely, the number should be at least the sum of the Betti numbers of the homology group  $H_*(L, \mathbb{F})$  with coefficients in any field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

A somewhat stronger version of the Arnold conjecture (though still weaker than the one mentioned above) is that without the hypothesis that  $L$  and  $\varphi(L)$  intersect transversely the number of

intersection points must be at least the cup-length of  $L$ , which is defined as

$$cl(L) := \max \left\{ k + 1 : \begin{array}{l} \exists a_i \in H_{d_i}(L, \mathbb{F}), \quad d_i < n, \quad i = 1, \dots, k \\ \text{such that } a_1 \cap \dots \cap a_k \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Even this version of the conjecture is generally open, though it has been settled in certain special cases, see for instance, by Hofer [37] for the zero section of a cotangent bundle  $T^*L$ , see also Laudench and Sikorav [45], by Floer [25] and Hofer [38] under the condition that  $\pi_2(M, L) = 0$  or  $\omega(\pi_2(M, L)) = 0$ , by Liu [49] under the condition that the Hofer norm of a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\|\varphi\|_{Hofer}$  is strictly less than the minimal area of a non-constant  $J$ -holomorphic disk on  $L$  or a non-constant  $J$ -holomorphic sphere in  $M$  (this condition was first used in the work of Chekanov [14, 15]), etc.

**Conjecture 1.** Assume that  $L$  is a compact nondisplaceable monotone Lagrangian submanifold of a tame symplectic manifold  $M$ . For any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$ , the number of intersection points of  $\varphi(L)$  with  $L$  is greater than or equal to the  $\mathbb{F}$ -cuplength of  $L$ .

An affirmative answer to the above conjecture would imply the following:

**Conjecture 2.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed monotone symplectic manifold. Then for any Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $M$ , the number of the fixed points of  $\varphi$  is greater than or equal to the  $\mathbb{F}$ -cuplength of  $M$ .

On page 577 of [26], A. Floer stated that ‘‘Our methods do not yield cup-length estimates for  $\sharp \text{Fix}(\varphi)$  for general monotone manifolds. (We tend to believe that there are more than technical reasons for this).’’ This statement still seems to hold true today. All known approaches to Conjecture 2 encounter conceptual difficulties in distinguishing contributions from the same fixed point with different cappings. This difficulty is naturally present in Conjecture 1, but it is even more involved now.

Lagrangian Floer homology (see [30] for the general definition) is a very powerful tool for solving the Arnold conjecture in the non-degenerate sense since the seminar work of Floer [24, 26]. However, it seems to the author that there are relatively few results in literature about Conjecture 1 so far. There is still room for further development in this direction. A possible candidate to do this is to apply Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory as we have already seen its usefulness in previous works [38, 25, 26, 46, 62, 21, 22, 31, 32]. This is the very reason to develop a Lagrangian Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory in the present paper. As two main applications of this theory, we show that

**Theorem 1.** *The Arnold conjecture is true for  $(M, L) = (\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{R}P^n)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}P^n \times (\mathbb{C}P^n)^-, \Delta_{\mathbb{C}P^n})$ ,  $(Gr(2, 2n + 2), \mathbb{H}P^n)$ , i.e., for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am(M, \omega)$  we have*

$$\sharp(L \cap \varphi(L)) \geq cl(L) = n + 1.$$

This recovers a well-known result by Givental [33] that  $\sharp(\mathbb{R}P^n \cap \varphi(\mathbb{R}P^n)) \geq n + 1$  for all Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , see also Chang and Jiang [13] for a different proof. As far as the author knows,  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{R}P^n)$  is the first monotone case (beyond the weakly exact case) which was shown to be true for the degenerate Arnold conjecture. We also mention that this result was generalized by Lu [50] to the weighted projective spaces  $(\mathbb{C}P^n(\mathbf{q}), \mathbb{R}P^n(\mathbf{q}))$  with odd weights  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n$  be the Clifford torus in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . For all Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ,  $\sharp(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n \cap \varphi(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n)) \geq 2$ .*

Theorem 2 strengthens the result by Biran *et al* [8, Theorem 1.3] and independently by Cho [17] that  $\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  is not displaceable by a Hamiltonian isotopy.

In this paper, we are also interested in the size of the intersection  $L \cap \varphi(L)$  for monotone Lagrangians  $L$  under certain conditions. More specifically, for certain classes of Lagrangians which include  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  in complex projective spaces  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , we give a condition in terms of Lagrangian spectral invariants to ensure that  $L \cap \varphi(L)$  is homologically non-trivial and thus infinite. The proofs of these results involve hard tools from symplectic topology of both the Lagrangian and the ambient manifold, such as Floer homology and Lagrangian quantum homology. Interestingly enough, the conditions given here are closely related to the classical Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory.

**1.1. Notations and conventions.** Throughout this paper all Lagrangian submanifolds  $L \subset (M, \omega)$  will be assumed to be connected and closed. Recall that  $L$  is called *monotone* if the two homomorphisms

$$\omega : \pi_2(M, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu : \pi_2(M, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

which are given by integration and the Maslov index respectively, satisfy

$$\omega = \kappa_L \mu \quad \text{for some positive constant } \kappa_L.$$

We define the *minimal Maslov number* of  $L$  to be the integer

$$N_L = \min \{ \mu(A) \mid A \in \pi_2(M, L), \mu(A) > 0 \}.$$

Throughout this paper we assume that all  $L$  are monotone with minimal Maslov number at least two, i.e.,  $N_L \geq 2$ . In this case it is known that  $(M, \omega)$  is (spherically) *monotone*, which means that

$$\omega(A) = 2\kappa_L c_1(A), \quad \forall A \in \pi_2(M),$$

where  $c_1 = c_1(TM, \omega)$  is the first Chern class of  $M$ . We denote by  $C_M$  the minimal positive Chern number of  $M$

$$C_M = \min \{ c_1(A) \mid A \in \pi_2(M), c_1(A) > 0 \}.$$

If the homomorphisms  $\omega$  and  $\mu$  vanish on  $\pi_2(M, \omega)$ , i.e.,

$$\omega(A) = \mu(A) = 0, \quad A \in \pi_2(M, L),$$

we call  $L$  *weakly exact*, and in this case we have  $N_L = \infty$ . Similarly, if

$$\omega(A) = c_1(A) = 0, \quad \forall A \in \pi_2(M),$$

we call  $(M, \omega)$  *symplectically aspherical*. For any monotone Lagrangian  $L$  of  $(M, \omega)$  we have that  $N_L$  divides  $2C_M$ . In what follows we denote by  $A_L = \kappa_L N_L$  the minimal positive generator of  $\omega(\pi_2(M, L))$ , and let  $A_L = 0$  in the weakly exact case. Since the Maslov numbers are multiples of  $N_L$ , for simplicity we also use the notation

$$\bar{\mu} = \frac{1}{N_L} \mu : \pi_2(M, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

In this paper we work with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -coefficient unless otherwise specified. For a monotone Lagrangian submanifold  $L \subset (M, \omega)$  we denote by  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_2[t^{-1}, t]$  the ring of semi-infinite Laurent series in  $t$ , and grade it by  $\deg t = -N_L$ . Each element of  $\Lambda$  is a semi-infinite sum  $\sum_k a_k t^k$ ,  $a_k \in \{0, 1\}$ . This means that for any  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is only a finite number of terms with  $a_k \neq 0$ ,  $k \leq k_0$ .

We define a valuation map  $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$  as

$$\nu \left( \sum_k a_k t^k \right) = \max \{ -k | a_k \neq 0 \}. \quad (1.1)$$

Similarly, let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2[s^{-1}, s]$  be the ring of semi-infinite Laurent polynomials in  $s$ , where the degree of  $s$  is  $-2C_M$ . It is easy to see that there exists a natural embedding of rings  $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Lambda$  given by  $s \rightarrow t^{2C_M/N_L}$  which preserves the degree.

**1.2. Main results.** Recall that by the work of Oh [54] if  $L \subset M$  is a monotone Lagrangian, then the Floer homology  $HF(L) := HF(L, L)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -coefficients is well-defined. Recall also that [5, 6] if there exists an isomorphism  $HF(L) \cong H(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda$  then  $L$  is said to be *wide*; if  $HF(L) = 0$  then  $L$  is said to be *narrow*. Examples among wide Lagrangians are  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ , Clifford torus in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , and weakly exact Lagrangians. Biran and Cornea [5] conjectured that any monotone Lagrangian submanifold is either narrow or wide. By the PSS isomorphism (see Section 2.4), we always have  $HF(L) \cong QH(L)$ , where  $QH(L)$  is the Lagrangian quantum homology of  $L$  which will be briefly recalled in Section 2.3. Clearly, if  $L$  is non-narrow then  $QH(L) = \widehat{QH}_*(L) \oplus [L] \otimes \Lambda$  (since  $[L]$  is the unity of  $QH(L)$  as a ring), and if  $L$  is wide we have  $\widehat{QH}_*(L) \cong H_{* < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda$ . However, we emphasize that for a monotone wide Lagrangian  $L$  in general there is no canonical isomorphism  $QH_*(L) \cong (H(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda)_*$ , see [5, Section 4.5]. We notice that for every  $p \geq n + 1 - N_L$ , there exists a canonical embedding  $H_p(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda_* \hookrightarrow QH_{p+*}(L)$  for wide Lagrangians  $L$ , see [5, Proposition 4.5.1], implying that each class in  $H_p(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is also one in  $QH_{p+*}(L)$ . In particular, if  $N_L \geq n + 1$ , then this embedding gives an isomorphism between  $H(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda$  and  $QH(L)$ . In what follows, this fact will be used frequently.

In the following theorems we denote by  $\circ : QH(L) \otimes QH(L) \rightarrow QH(L)$  the Lagrangian quantum product,  $\bullet : QH(M, \Lambda) \otimes QH(L) \rightarrow QH(L)$  the module structure,  $\nu$  and  $I_\omega$  the valuation maps on  $QH_*(L)$  and  $QH(M, \Lambda)$  induced by (1.1) (see the definitions (2.2) and (2.1)), and  $\ell : QH(L) \times C^\infty([0, 1] \times M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the Lagrangian spectral invariant, see Sections 2.2, 2.3 and 3.3 for the details.

**Theorem 3** (Lagrangian Ljusternik–Schnirelman inequality I). *Let  $L^n \subset (M^{2n}, \omega)$  be a monotone Lagrangian with minimal Maslov number  $N_L \geq 2$ . Let  $H \in C_c^\infty([0, 1] \times M)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in QH_*(L)$ . Then we have*

$$\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) \leq \ell(\beta, H) + A_L \nu(\alpha).$$

*Assume that  $L$  is wide,  $\alpha \in \widehat{QH}_*(L)$  is nonzero and the intersections of  $L$  and  $\varphi_H(L)$  are isolated. Then*

$$\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) < \ell(\beta, H) + A_L \nu(\alpha).$$

*Moreover, if  $N_L \geq n + 1$ , and there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in QH_*(L)$  satisfying  $\beta \neq 0$  and  $\alpha = \sum_i x_i \otimes \lambda_i$  with each homogeneous class  $x_i \in H_{* < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that*

$$\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) = \ell(\beta, H) + A_L \nu(\alpha),$$

*then  $L \cap \varphi_H(L)$  is homologically non-trivial in  $L$ .*

In the above statement a subset  $S$  of a topological space  $X$  is called *homologically non-trivial* in  $X$  if for every open neighborhood  $V$  of  $S$  the map  $i_* : H_k(V) \rightarrow H_k(X)$  induced by the inclusion  $i : V \hookrightarrow X$  is non-trivial.

*Remark 3.* The third assertion in Theorem 3 is motivated by a result of Howard in [36]. Recently, Buhovsky, Humilière and Seyfadini [10] adapted Howard’s method and gave a generalisation of

the Arnold conjecture to non-smooth settings on a closed symplectically aspherical manifold. Besides, their results on the  $C^0$ -Arnold conjecture are generalized to the cotangent bundle of a closed manifold and many other cases, see [11, 41].

**Theorem 4** (Lagrangian Ljusternik–Schnirelman inequality II). *Suppose that  $L^n$  is a monotone Lagrangian of a closed symplectic manifold  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$  with minimal Maslov number  $N_L \geq 2$ . Let  $H \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times M)$ ,  $a \in QH(M, \Lambda)$  and  $\alpha \in QH(L)$ . Then we have*

$$\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H) \leq \ell(\alpha, H) + I_\omega(a).$$

If  $a \in \widehat{QH}(M) := H_{* < 2n}(M) \otimes_\Gamma \Lambda$  is nonzero and the intersections of  $L$  and  $\varphi_H(L)$  are isolated, then

$$\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H) < \ell(\alpha, H) + I_\omega(a).$$

Furthermore, if there exists a nonzero class  $\alpha \in QH_*(L)$  and a quantum homology class  $a = \sum_i x_i \otimes_\Gamma \lambda_i \in QH(M, \Lambda)$  with each homogeneous class  $x_i \in H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that

$$\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H) = \ell(\alpha, H) + I_\omega(a),$$

then  $L \cap \varphi_H(L)$  is homologically non-trivial in  $M$ .

Since there is no holomorphic disk with boundary on a weakly exact Lagrangian, the Lagrangian quantum product coincides with the intersection product. In this case by convention  $N_L = \infty$ ,  $A_L = 0$ , and  $L$  is obviously wide since  $HF(L) \cong H(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  by Floer [24]. Thus Theorem 3 implies the following.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $L$  be a closed smooth Lagrangian submanifold of a tame symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  so that  $\pi_2(M, L) = 0$  or  $L$  is weakly exact. Let  $\varphi_H \in \mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$ . If the total number of spectral invariants of  $(L, H)$  (up to a shift) is smaller than  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cuplength of  $L$ , then  $L \cap \varphi_H(L)$  is homologically non-trivial in  $L$ . In particular, for  $M = T^*L$  this recovers the corresponding result implicitly contained in the work of Buhovsky, Humilière and Seyfardini [11].*

Beyond the weakly exact case, the Lagrangian Ljusternik–Schnirelman inequalities I and II result in the following two corollaries immediately.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $L^n \subset (M^{2n}, \omega)$  be a monotone non-narrow Lagrangian with minimal Maslov number  $N_L \geq 2$ . If there exist  $k$  nonzero Lagrangian quantum homology classes  $\alpha_i \in \widehat{QH}(L)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$  with  $\nu(\alpha_i) < 0$  and  $0 \neq \beta \in QH(L)$ , then for any  $H \in C_c^\infty([0, 1] \times M)$  the total number of Lagrangian spectral invariants (up to a shift) of the pair  $(L, H)$  is at least  $k + 1$ .*

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $L^n$  be a monotone non-narrow Lagrangian of a closed symplectic manifold  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$  with minimal Maslov number  $N_L \geq 2$ . If there exist  $k$  nonzero quantum homology classes  $a_i \in \widehat{QH}(M, \Lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$  with  $I_\omega(a_i) < 0$  and  $0 \neq \beta \in QH(L)$ , then for any  $H \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times M)$  the total number of Lagrangian spectral invariants (up to a shift) of the pair  $(L, H)$  is at least  $k + 1$ .*

1.2.1. *The Chekanov-type result.* To obtain some estimates of the number of the intersections  $\varphi_H(L) \cap L$ , we need further information about the Hofer distance [39] between two Lagrangians.

Let

$$\mathcal{L}(L) = \{\varphi(L) \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)\}$$

denote the orbit of  $L$  under the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism group  $\mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$ . For  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}(L)$  we define  $\mathcal{L}(L) \times \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by setting

$$d_H(L_1, L_2) = \inf_{H \in \mathcal{H}_c} \left\{ \int_0^1 \text{osc}_M H_t dt \mid \varphi_H^1(L_1) = L_2 \right\},$$

where  $\text{osc}_M H = \max_M H - \min_M H$ . It turns out that this function  $d_H$  is a genuine metric on  $\mathcal{L}(L)$  which is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$  in our setting that  $(M, \omega)$  is geometrically bounded and  $L$  is a closed Lagrangian submanifold, see [55, 16]. We call  $d_H$  the *Lagrangian Hofer metric* of  $\mathcal{L}(L)$ .

Recall that [47, 43] for  $QH(L) \neq 0$  the *Lagrangian spectral pseudo-norm* of the pair  $(L, H)$  with  $H \in \mathcal{H}_c$  is defined by

$$\gamma(L, H) = \ell(L, H) + \ell(L, \bar{H}),$$

where  $\bar{H}(t, x) = -H(-t, x)$ . This quantity is non-negative, see (LS13) in Section 3.3.

Similarly to the Lagrangian Hofer metric, for any  $L' \in \mathcal{L}(L)$  we define

$$\gamma(L, L') := \inf_{H \in \mathcal{H}_c} \left\{ \gamma(L, H) \mid \varphi_H^1(L) = L' \right\}.$$

This pseudo-metric, whenever is defined, is non-degenerate and invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$ , see Kislev and Shelukhin [43].

Note that by the Lagrangian control property (LS) (see Section 3.3) we have for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$ ,

$$\gamma(L, \varphi(L)) \leq d_H(L, \varphi(L)).$$

The following Chekanov-type result about Lagrangian intersections partially verifies a more general format in the work of Kislev-Shelukhin [43, Section 8]. In view of the above inequality, our result strengthens Liu's [49] in the monotone case.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $L^n \subset M^{2n}$  be a monotone wide Lagrangian with  $N_L \geq 2$ . Suppose that the singular homology  $H(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is generated as a ring (with the intersection product) by  $H_{\geq n+1-N_L}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . If  $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$  with  $\gamma(L, \varphi(L)) < A_L$ , then*

$$\#(L \cap \varphi(L)) \geq cl(L).$$

We remark here that under the assumption that  $L$  and  $\varphi(L)$  intersect transversely a sharpened Chekanov-type result has been already established in [43, Theorem E].

It is a standard fact that the Clifford torus  $\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n = \{[z_0 : \cdots : z_n] \in \mathbb{C}P^n \mid |z_0| = \cdots = |z_n|\}$  is monotone with  $N_{\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n} = 2$ , see [17, 5]. Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be a basis of  $H_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  dual to the basis  $[c_1], \dots, [c_n] \in H_1(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  with respect to the classical intersection product. Clearly,  $H_*(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is generated by  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  and the fundamental class  $[\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n]$ . Therefore, by Theorem 5, any Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  with  $\gamma(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n, \varphi(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n)) < A_{\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n}$  satisfies

$$\#(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n \cap \varphi(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n)) \geq n + 1.$$

We guess that it always holds that  $\gamma(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n, \varphi(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n)) < A_{\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n}$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{FS})$ . In other words, the degenerate Arnold conjecture holds for  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{T}_{clif}^n)$  in the degenerate sense, but now we are not able to prove this.

Note that  $n + 1$  is the maximal possible value of  $N_L$  for a monotone Lagrangian  $L$  in projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , see [63]. The following examples of wide Lagrangians  $L$  with  $N_L > \dim L$  are studied by Biran and Cornea, see [5, Section 6].

*Example 7.* If  $L$  is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  with  $2H_1(L, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then  $L$  is monotone and wide with  $N_L = n+1$ , see [5, Corollary 1.2.11]. If  $L$  a Lagrangian submanifold of the quadric  $Q := \{z_0^2 + \cdots + z_n^2 = z_{n+1}^2\} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  with  $H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then  $L$  is monotone and wide with  $N_L = 2n$ , see [5, Lemma 6.3.2]. Therefore, by Theorem 5, for these two kinds of Lagrangians  $L$ , if  $\gamma(L, \varphi(L)) < A_L$  then the number of intersections of  $\varphi(L)$  with  $L$  is greater than or equal to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cuplength of  $L$ .

Other interesting explicit examples which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5 include:

$$(M, L) = (\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{R}P^n), \quad (\mathbb{C}P^n \times (\mathbb{C}P^n)^-, \Delta_{\mathbb{C}P^n}), \quad (Q^n, S^n), \quad (Gr(2, 2n+2), \mathbb{H}P^n),$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$  is the diagonal in the product symplectic manifold  $(\mathbb{C}P^n \times (\mathbb{C}P^n)^-, \omega_{FS} \oplus (-\omega_{FS}))$ ,  $Q^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$  ( $n > 1$ ) is the complex quadric as before,  $S^n = Q^n \cap \mathbb{R}P^{n+1}$  is the natural monotone Lagrangian sphere in  $Q^n$ , and  $\mathbb{H}P^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is the quaternionic projective space in the complex Grassmannian  $Gr(2, 2n+2)$ . Moreover, these four examples satisfy  $\gamma(L, \varphi(L)) < A_L$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am(M, \omega)$ . This is a nontrivial fact which was firstly discovered by Kislev and Shelukhin by using an averaging method, see [43, Theorem G] for a more precise estimates of various Lagrangian spectral norms. Now we see that this phenomenon is closely related to Conjecture 1. Indeed, by Theorem 5 we always have  $\sharp(L \cap \varphi(L)) \geq cl(L)$  in these four cases. Since for  $L = \mathbb{R}P^n, \Delta_{\mathbb{C}P^n}, \mathbb{H}P^n$  we have  $cl(L) = n+1$ . So we obtain Theorem 1.

1.2.2. *Uniform lower bounds for Lagrangian intersections.* We introduce the definition of (Lagrangian) fundamental quantum factorization to give some uniform lower bounds of the number of Lagrangian intersections for some classical examples. Putting forward this notion is inspired by the quantum cup product proposed by Schwarz [62].

**Definition 8.** Let  $L^n$  be a monotone Lagrangian of a closed symplectic manifold  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$ . We say that  $M$  has a *fundamental quantum factorization* (denoted by FQF for short) of length  $k$  if there exist  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$t^\tau[M] = u_1 * u_2 * \cdots * u_k \quad \text{in } QH(M, \Lambda),$$

where the integer  $\tau$  is called the *order* of FQF. Clearly,  $\tau > 0$  by degree reasons.

The following symplectic manifolds which contain monotone Lagrangians satisfy the FQF property.

*Example 9.* (1) The complex projective spaces  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and complex Grassmannians; (2) The quadric  $Q = \{z_0^2 + \cdots + z_n^2 = z_{n+1}^2\} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ ; (3)  $W \times P$  if  $M$  satisfies this condition and  $P$  is symplectically aspherical; (4)  $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}P^{m_r}$  with  $m_1 + 1, \dots, m_r + 1$  divisible by  $n+1$  and equally normalized symplectic structures, see, e.g., [31, 5]. For relevant calculations of the quantum homology we refer to McDuff and Salamon [52].

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $L^n$  be a monotone non-narrow Lagrangian of a closed symplectic manifold  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$  with  $N_L \geq 2$ , and let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am(M, \omega)$ . Suppose that  $M$  has a FQF of length  $k$  with order  $\tau$ . If the intersections of  $L$  and  $\varphi(L)$  are isolated, then the number of  $L \cap \varphi(L)$  is at least  $\lceil k/\tau \rceil$ . Here  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  denotes the integer part of a real number, i.e., the smallest integer that is greater or equal to the given number.*

*Example 10.* Let  $L \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  be a closed Lagrangian submanifold with minimal Maslov index  $N_L$ . We denote by  $h = [\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}] \in H_{2n-2}(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  the class of a hyperplane in the quantum

homology  $QH(\mathbb{C}P^n, \Lambda)$ . Then we have

$$h^{*k} = \begin{cases} h^{\cap k}, & 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ [\mathbb{C}P^n]_t^{(2n+2)/N_L}, & k = n + 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $2H_1(L, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then for such Lagrangian  $L$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  has a FQF of length  $n + 1$  with order 2. By Theorem 6, for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{FS})$  the number of  $L \cap \varphi(L)$  is at least  $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ .

*Example 11.* Let  $Q \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$  be the quadric as before. Let  $L \subset Q$  be a Lagrangian submanifold with  $H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . For  $n = 2k$ , let  $a, b \in H_{2k}(Q; \mathbb{Z})$  be two classes of complex  $k$ -dimensional planes lying in  $Q$  that generate  $H_n(Q, \mathbb{Z})$ , see [35]. Let  $u \in H_{2n}(Q; \mathbb{Z})$  the fundamental class and  $p \in H_0(Q, \mathbb{Z})$  the class of a point. The quantum product on  $QH(Q, \Lambda)$  satisfies: (i) if  $k = \text{odd}$  then  $a * b = p$ ,  $a * a = b * b = ut$ ; (ii) if  $k = \text{even}$  then  $a * a = b * b = p$ ,  $a * b = ut$ , see [5, Section 6.3.1]. These computations show that for such  $L$ , the quadric  $Q$  has a FQF of length 2 with order 1. Therefore, for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am(Q, \omega)$  the number of  $L \cap \varphi(L)$  is at least 2.

Here we notice that a Lagrangian  $L \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  with  $2H_1(L; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  has minimal Maslov number  $n + 1$  and is shown to be homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ , see [44]. It was conjectured by Biran and Cornea [5] that such a Lagrangian  $L$  must be diffeomorphic to or Hamiltonian isotopic to  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ . If the second case is true, then for  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{R}P^n)$  the uniform lower bound given by Theorem 1 is obviously better than the one by Theorem 6. We also note that for the natural monotone Lagrangian sphere  $L = S^{2k} \subset Q^{2k}$ , the uniform lower bound given by Theorem 6 is better than the one by Theorem 1 since  $cl(S^{2k}) = 1 < 2 = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_*(S^{2k}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

Similarly to Definition 8, using the Lagrangian quantum product on  $QH(L)$  one can propose the following concept.

**Definition 12.** Let  $L^n \subset (M^{2n}, \omega)$  be a monotone wide Lagrangian with  $N_L \geq 2$ . We call that  $L$  has a *Lagrangian fundamental quantum factorization* (denoted by LFQF for short) of length  $l$  if there exist  $v_1, \dots, v_l \in H_{n-N_L < * < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$t^\nu[L] = v_1 \circ v_2 \circ \dots \circ v_l \quad \text{in } QH(L),$$

where  $\nu$  is called the *order* of LFQF. By degree reasons again we have  $\nu > 0$ .

Correspondingly, one can prove the following.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $L^n \subset (M^{2n}, \omega)$  be a monotone wide Lagrangian with  $N_L \geq 2$ , and let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am_c(M, \omega)$ . If  $M$  has a LFQF of length  $l$  with order  $\nu$ , then the number of  $L \cap \varphi(L)$  is at least  $\lceil l/\nu \rceil$ .

It is easy to see that the only Lagrangian submanifold on the sphere  $S^2$  which is monotone is the ‘‘equator’’, by which we mean the embedding circle separating the sphere into two disks of equal areas. It is a standard fact that such an equator  $L$  is *non-displaceable* in the sense that for every Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $S^2$  we have  $L \cap \varphi(L) \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, we have  $\sharp(L \cap \varphi(L)) \geq 2$ . This obvious fact can be confirmed in many ways, for instance, by using Theorem 1. Here we provide another proof from the Lagrangian Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory.

In fact, for  $L = \text{equator} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1 = S^2$ , an easy calculation shows that  $[pt] \circ [pt] = [L]t$ , where  $[pt]$  is the point class for  $L$ , and  $\deg t = -2$ . So  $L$  has a LFQF of length 2 with order 1, and thus Theorem 7 implies that  $L \cap \varphi(L)$  has at least two elements whatever  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am(S^2)$  is.

In general, let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be a basis of  $H_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  dual to the basis  $[c_1], \dots, [c_n] \in H_1(\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  as before. It can be shown that for  $i \neq j$ ,  $t_i \circ t_j + t_j \circ t_i = [\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n]t$ , and for

every  $i$ ,  $t_i \circ t_i = [\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n]t$ , see [5, 18]. So  $\mathbb{T}_{clif}^n$  has LFQF of length 2 with order 1, and thus Theorem 2 holds.

**1.3. Organization of the paper.** In Section 2 we sum up preliminaries from Floer theory including Lagrangian Floer homology and Hamiltonian Floer homology, and from quantum homology including Lagrangian quantum homology of a Lagrangian submanifold and quantum homology of the ambient manifold. In addition, the algebraic structures of Lagrangian quantum homology and the relations given by Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz isomorphisms between Floer homology and quantum homology are reviewed. In Section 3 we list the basic properties of two spectral invariants including Hamiltonian spectral invariant and Lagrangian spectral invariant. In particular, their relations with the classical Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory are given. In Section 4 we prove the main results presented in the introduction including Theorems 3 and 4. In Section 5 we prove Theorems 5 and 6. In Section 6 we summarize some directions of further study of Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply indebted to Weiwei Wu and Jun Zhang for helpful discussions when preparing this paper. Many ideas involved in this work stem from the excellent work of Hofer and Zehnder [40], Schwarz [61, 62] and Ginzburg and Gürel [31]. I benefit a lot from the fascinating papers by Biran and Cornea [5, 6, 7], Leclercq and Zapolsky [48] and Kislev and Shelukhin [43]. Without their pioneering works, the present paper would be impossible to finish. I thank all of them. I am very grateful to Professor Octav Cornea for valuable remarks and helpful suggestions. I thank Professor Lev Buhovsky for explaining to me the main result in [10]. I would like to thank my colleague Hong Huang for many useful discussions. I wish to thank Professor Guangcun Lu for helpful remarks and for pointing out to me the work of Givental [33]. Additionally, I would like to express my gratitude to the anonymous referee for very carefully reading the manuscript and valuable suggestions which in practice largely improve the presentation of this article. The author is supported by NSFC 11701313 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities 2018NTST18 at Beijing Normal University.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Floer homology.** In this section we recall the construction of two Floer theories, that is, Lagrangian Floer homology and Hamiltonian Floer homology.

**2.1.1. Lagrangian Floer homology.** Given a Hamiltonian  $H \in \mathcal{H}_c$  and a Lagrangian  $L \subset M$  we consider the space of contractible chords relative to  $L$

$$\mathcal{P}_L = \{x : [0, 1] \rightarrow M | x(0), x(1) \in L \text{ and } [x] = 0 \in \pi_1(M, L)\}.$$

For every  $x \in \mathcal{P}_L$ , there is a capping  $\bar{x} : \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H} \rightarrow M$  such that  $\bar{x}|_{\partial\mathbb{D} \cap \{\text{Im}(z) \geq 0\}} = x$  and  $\bar{x}|_{\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{R}} \subset L$ , where  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$  and  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$ . Two cappings  $\bar{x}, \bar{x}'$  is said to be equivalent if the glued map  $v = \bar{x} \# \bar{x}' : (\mathbb{D}, \partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (M, L)$  along the common boundary chord, defined by  $\bar{x}(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$  and  $\bar{x}'(\bar{z})$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D} \cap \bar{\mathbb{H}}$ , satisfies  $\omega(v) = \mu_L(v) = 0$ . Here  $\bar{\mathbb{H}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) \leq 0\}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_L$  the cover of  $\mathcal{P}_L$  consisting of all equivalent classes  $[x, \bar{x}]$  of the pair  $(x, \bar{x})$  with  $x \in \mathcal{P}_L$  up to the equivalence relation described above. The action of

$[x, \bar{x}]$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{H,L}([x, \bar{x}]) = \int_0^1 H(t, x(t)) - \int_{\bar{x}} \omega.$$

We denote by  $\text{Spec}(H, L)$  the set of critical values of  $\mathcal{A}_{H,L}$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_L$ . It is well known that the set  $\text{Spec}(H, L)$  is a closed nowhere dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , see for instance [48, Lemma 30].

Suppose now that  $(H, L)$  is non-degenerate, which means that  $\varphi_H^1(L)$  intersects  $L$  transversely. Fix a family of time-dependent almost complex structure  $\{J_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  so that  $J_t \in \mathcal{J}$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Take a base point  $\eta_0 = [x_0, \bar{x}_0] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_L$  and define the index of each element  $\tilde{x} = [x, \bar{x}]$  by  $\mu(\tilde{x}) = \mu_V(\tilde{x}, \eta_0)$  for which  $\mu_V$  is the Viterbo-Maslov index. For a detailed construction of this index we refer to [66]. Here we remark that  $\mu_V$  is a relative Maslov index which depends on the choice of a smooth map  $u : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $u(0, t) = x_0(t)$ ,  $u(1, t) = x(t)$  and  $u(s, 0), u(s, 1) \in L$ . A different choice of the base point in  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_L$  gives rise to a shift of degrees. To normalizing the index we require that if  $H$  is a lift of a  $C^2$ -small Morse function  $f$  on  $L$  to a Weinstein neighborhood of  $L$ , then for a constant path and the constant capping  $\bar{q}$  at a critical point  $q$  of  $f$ , we have  $\mu([q, \bar{q}]) = \text{ind}_f(q)$ .

The Floer complex is a  $\Lambda$ -module

$$CF_*(L; H, J) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \langle \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_L \rangle \otimes \Lambda$$

which is graded by the formula  $|\tilde{x} \otimes t^r| = \mu(\tilde{x}) - rN_L$ . For every  $\tilde{x} = [x, \bar{x}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_L$  we define the differential

$$d_F \tilde{x} = \sum \#_2 \mathcal{M}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \tilde{y},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  is the moduli space of solutions  $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  of Floer's equation

$$\partial_s u + J \partial_t u + \nabla H_t(u) = 0$$

which satisfy  $u(\mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}) \subset L$ . Here the sum is taken over all  $\tilde{y} = [y, \bar{y}]$  satisfying  $\bar{y} = \bar{x} \# u$  and  $\mu(\tilde{x}) - \mu(\tilde{y}) = 1$ . Extending  $d$  by linearity over  $\Lambda$  provides a differential on the complex  $CF_*(L; H, J)$ , i.e.,  $d_F \circ d_F = 0$ . The *Lagrangian Floer homology* is defined to be the homology of the complex  $(CF_*(L; H, J), d_F)$ , denoted by  $HF_*(L; H, J)$ . It can be shown that this homology is independent of the choice of a family of almost complex structures and invariant under Hamiltonian perturbations. In particular, there exists a canonical isomorphism  $HF_*(L; H, J) \cong HF_*(L; K, J')$  for any two non-degenerate Hamiltonian functions  $H, K$  such that the corresponding Lagrangian Floer homologies are well-defined.

The ring  $\Lambda$  acts on  $CF_*(L; H, J)$  which is given by

$$t^{\bar{\mu}(A)} \cdot [x, \bar{x}] = [x, \bar{x} \# A].$$

The Floer complex  $CF_*(L; H, J)$  is filtered by the action  $\mathcal{A}_{H,L}$  as following:

$$\mathcal{A}_{H,L} \left( \sum \lambda_k [x_k, \bar{x}_k] \right) = \max_k \{ \mathcal{A}_{H,L}([x_k, \bar{x}_k]) + A_L \nu(\lambda_k) \}.$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \text{Spec}(H, L)$ , we define

$$CF_*^a(L; H, J) := \{ \beta \in CF_*(L; H, J) \mid \mathcal{A}_{H,L}(\beta) < a \}.$$

It is easy to show that  $CF_*^a(L; H, J)$  is a subcomplex of  $CF_*(L; H, J)$ . The homology of this subcomplex is denoted by  $HF_*^a(L; H, J)$ .

**2.1.2. Hamiltonian Floer homology.** Consider a 1-periodic Hamiltonian  $H : S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}(H) = \{ \tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \hat{\gamma}) \} / \sim$ , where  $\gamma$  is a contractible 1-periodic orbit of the Hamiltonian

flow of  $H$ ,  $\widehat{\gamma} : D \rightarrow M$  is a disk-capping of  $\gamma$  (i.e.,  $\widehat{\gamma}|_{\partial D} = \gamma$ ) and the equivalence relation  $\sim$  satisfies:  $\overline{\gamma} \sim \overline{\gamma}'$  if  $\gamma = \gamma'$  and  $\omega(\widehat{\gamma}) = \omega(\widehat{\gamma}')$ . For a generic pair  $(H, J)$  consisting of a 1-periodic Hamiltonian  $H$  and an almost complex structure  $J$ , the Floer complex  $CF_*(H, J) = \mathbb{Z}_2\langle \mathcal{O}(H) \rangle$  is well defined. The complex  $CF_*(H, J)$  is filtered by the values of the action functional

$$\mathcal{A}_H(\overline{\gamma}) = \int_{S^1} H(t, \gamma(t)) dt - \int_D \widehat{\gamma}^* \omega.$$

This action is compatible with the action of  $\Gamma$  (recall that  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2[s^{-1}, s]$ , see Section 1.1) which is given by  $s \cdot (\gamma, \widehat{\gamma}) = (\gamma, \widehat{\gamma}')$ , where  $\omega(\widehat{\gamma}') = \omega(\widehat{\gamma}) + 2\kappa_L C_M$ . So one can extend it to the complex  $CF(H, J; \Lambda) = CF_*(H, J) \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$  by  $\mathcal{A}_H(\overline{\gamma} \otimes t^k) = \mathcal{A}_H(\overline{\gamma}) - kA_L$  (recall also that  $A_L = \kappa_L N_L$ ). In this paper we mainly interested in the Floer complex  $CF_*(H, J; \Lambda)$ . The resulting homology is independent of the choice of  $J$  by the standard continuation map, and hence we denote it by  $HF_*(H, \Lambda)$ . Given  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $CF_*^{\nu}(H, J; \Lambda)$  the subcomplex of the Floer complex which is generated by all the elements  $\overline{\gamma} \otimes \lambda$  of actions at most  $\nu$ , and  $HF_*^{\nu}(H, \Lambda)$  the corresponding homology.

**2.2. Quantum homology.** The quantum homology  $QH(M) = H(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Gamma$  of a closed monotone manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is a module over the ring  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2[s^{-1}, s]$ . Using the degree preserving embedding of rings  $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Lambda$  given by  $s \rightarrow t^{2C_M/N_L}$ , we define the obvious extension of the quantum homology:

$$QH(M, \Lambda) = H(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda = QH(M) \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda.$$

Now we extend the valuation map  $\nu$  (see (1.1)) from  $\Lambda$  to  $QH(M, \Lambda)$  as

$$I_{\omega}(a) = A_L \max \{ \nu(\lambda_k) | a_k \neq 0 \} \quad (2.1)$$

for  $a = \sum_k a_k \otimes \lambda_k \in QH(M, \Lambda)$  with  $a_k \in H(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$ .

We endow  $QH(M, \Lambda)$  with the quantum intersection product

$$* : QH_i(M, \Lambda) \otimes QH_j(M, \Lambda) \longrightarrow QH_{i+j-2n}(M, \Lambda),$$

see McDuff and Salamon [52] for the definition. This homology is an associative ring with unit  $[M] \in QH_{2n}(M, \Lambda)$ . Clearly, the quantum product has degree  $-2n$ . Furthermore, using a Morse-theoretical approach to quantum homology one can define a ring isomorphism

$$\text{PSS} : QH(M, \Lambda) \longrightarrow HF(H, \Lambda)$$

which is induced by the Hamiltonian version of the Piunikin-Salamon-Schwarz homomorphism [60]  $\widetilde{\text{PSS}} : C(f, g; \Lambda) := \mathbb{Z}_2\langle \text{Crit}(f) \rangle \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow CF(H, J; \Lambda)$ , where the pair  $(f, g)$  is Morse-Smale with respect to the Morse function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$ , and the pair  $(H, J)$  is generic so that the Floer complex  $CF_*(H, J; \Lambda)$  is well defined.

**2.3. Lagrangian quantum homology.** Recall that by the work of Biran and Cornea [5, 6, 7] if  $L$  is a closed monotone Lagrangian then the Lagrangian quantum homology  $QH(L)$  is well defined. We briefly recall the construction as follows. Let  $L$  be a Morse function on  $L$  and let  $\rho$  be a Riemannian metric on  $L$  so that the pair  $(f, \rho)$  is Morse-Smale. For an almost complex structure  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  we define

$$C(L; f, \rho, J) := \mathbb{Z}_2\langle \text{Crit}(f) \rangle \otimes \Lambda$$

as the complex generated by critical points of  $f$ , which is graded by the Morse indexes of these critical points and the grading of  $\Lambda$ . For two points  $x, y \in \text{Crit}(f)$  and a class  $A \in \pi_2(M, L)$ , we consider the space of sequences  $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$  of possible length  $l \geq 1$  satisfying

- $u_i : (D, \partial D) \rightarrow (M, L)$  is a non-constant  $J$ -holomorphic disk, where  $D$  denotes the closed unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- $u_1(-1) \in W^u(x)$ . Hereafter  $W^u(x)$  denotes the unstable submanifold at  $x$  of the negative gradient flow of  $f$  in  $L$ .
- For every  $i \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}$ ,  $u_{i+1}(-1) \in W^u(u_i(1))$ .
- $y \in W^u(u_l(1))$ .
- $[u_1] + \dots + [u_l] = A$ .

Two sequences  $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$  and  $(u'_1, \dots, u'_{l'})$  are said to be equivalent if  $l = l'$  and for every  $1 \leq i \leq l$  there exists  $\tau_i \in \text{Aut}(D)$  such that  $\tau_i(-1) = -1, \tau_i(1) = 1$  and  $u_i = u'_i \circ \tau_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; A; f, \rho, J)$  be the quotient space with respect to this equivalence relation. Elements of this space are called *pearly trajectories connecting  $x$  to  $y$* . A typical pearly trajectory is illustrated in Figure 2.3.




Figure 1: Pearly trajectories connecting  $x$  to  $y$ .

We extend the definition of the space of pearly trajectories to the case that  $A = 0$  by defining  $\mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; 0; f, \rho, J)$  to be the space of unparametrized trajectories of the negative gradient flow of  $\nabla f$  connecting  $x$  to  $y$ . The virtual dimension of  $\mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; A; f, \rho, J)$  is given by

$$\text{vir-dim } \mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; A; f, \rho, J) = \text{ind}_f(x) - \text{ind}_f(y) + \mu(A) - 1.$$

Here  $\text{ind}_f(x)$  denotes the Morse index of the critical point  $x$  of  $f$ .

Suppose that  $\text{vir-dim } \mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; A; f, \rho, J) = 0$ . For a generic  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  the space  $\mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; A; f, \rho, J)$  is a smooth compact 0-dimensional manifold. Put

$$d(x) = \sum_{y, A} \sharp_2 \mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; A; f, \rho, J) y t^{\bar{\mu}(A)}$$

and extend  $d$  to  $C(L; f, \rho, J)$  by linearity over  $\Lambda$ . Here  $\sharp_2 \mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; A; f, \rho, J)$  denotes the number of  $\mathcal{M}_{prl}(x, y; A; f, \rho, J)$  modulo 2. The compactness and gluing properties of the moduli spaces of virtual dimension equal to 1 lead to  $d^2 = 0$ . We call the homology of  $(C(L; f, \rho, J), d)$  the *Lagrangian Quantum homology*. Different choices of the datum  $\mathcal{D} = (f, \rho, J)$  give rise to the isomorphic resulting homologies by continuation isomorphisms. We denote by  $QH(L)$  the abstract Lagrangian quantum homology of  $L$  (i.e., the limit of the corresponding direct system of graded modules), and  $QH(L; \mathcal{D})$  the homology of  $(C(L; f, \rho, J), d)$  for the specific choice of the datum  $\mathcal{D} = (f, \rho, J)$ . The homology  $QH(L)$  is invariant with respect to the action of symplectomorphism group. This means that for any symplectomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $M$  with  $L' = \varphi(L)$  there exists a chain map from  $C(L; f, \rho, J)$  to  $C(L'; f^\varphi, \rho^\varphi, J^\varphi)$  which induces an isomorphism

$$\varphi_* : QH(L; f, \rho, J) \longrightarrow QH(L'; f^\varphi, \rho^\varphi, J^\varphi),$$

where  $f^\varphi = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , and  $\rho^\varphi, J^\varphi$  are obtained by the pushforward of  $\rho, J$  via  $\varphi|_L$  and  $\varphi$ .

We define on the chain complex  $(C(L; f, \rho, J), d)$  a map

$$\epsilon_L : C(L; f, \rho, J) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

which is given by  $\epsilon_L(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \text{Crit}_0(f)$  and  $\epsilon_L(x) = 0$  for all critical points of  $f$  with strictly positive index. This is a chain map, and the induced map on  $QH(L; \mathcal{D})$  is called the *augmentation*.

Now we extend the valuation map  $\nu$  (see (1.1)) from  $\Lambda$  to  $C(L; \mathcal{D})$  as

$$\nu(x) = \max \{ \nu(\lambda_k) \mid x_k \neq 0 \}$$

for  $x = \sum_k x_k \otimes \lambda_k \in C(L; \mathcal{D})$ , where  $x_k$  are the critical points of  $f$ . Then by abusing of notation we define the valuation on  $QH(L; \mathcal{D})$  by setting

$$\nu(\alpha) = \inf \{ \nu(x) \mid [x] = \alpha \} \quad (2.2)$$

and  $\nu(0) = -\infty$ . A similar valuation was first introduced in the work of Entov and Polterovich [23].

**2.3.1. Lagrangian quantum structures.** We give a rapid review of three algebraic structures (see [5, 6, 7]) of Lagrangian quantum homology which will be useful in this paper.

It is shown that the homology  $QH(L)$  carries a supercommutative associative product

$$\circ : QH_i(L) \otimes QH_j(L) \longrightarrow QH_{i+j-n}(L), \quad \alpha \otimes \beta \longmapsto \alpha \circ \beta.$$

for every  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a unity which is given by the fundamental class of  $L$ ,  $[L] \in QH_n(L)$ .

Also,  $QH(L)$  has the structure of a module over the quantum homology  $QH(M, \Lambda)$ . Specifically, for every  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists a  $\Lambda$ -bilinear map

$$\bullet : QH_i(M, \Lambda) \otimes QH_j(L) \longrightarrow QH_{i+j-2n}(L), \quad a \otimes \alpha \longmapsto a \bullet \alpha.$$

These two structures give rise to the ring  $QH(L)$  a two-sided algebra over the ring  $QH(M, \Lambda)$ . This means that for any  $a \in QH(M, \Lambda)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in QH(L)$ , we have

$$a \bullet (\alpha \circ \beta) = (a \bullet \alpha) \circ \beta = \alpha \circ (a \bullet \beta).$$

The dual cochain complex of the chain complex  $C(L; \mathcal{D})$  is given by

$$C^*(L; \mathcal{D}) = (\text{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{Z}_2\langle \text{Crit}(f) \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda, d^*),$$

where for each  $x \in \text{Crit}_k(f)$  the degree of its dual  $x^* \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{Z}_2\langle \text{Crit}(f) \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is  $k$ , the differential  $d^*$  is defined to be the dual of  $d$ . The cohomology of this complex is called the *Lagrangian quantum cohomology* of  $L$  which we denote it by  $QH^*(L, \mathcal{D})$ , and correspondingly,  $QH^*(L)$  denotes the abstract Lagrangian quantum cohomology of  $L$ . Clearly, we have an evaluation  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : QH^*(L) \otimes QH_*(L) \rightarrow \Lambda$  which is the  $\Lambda$ -linear extension of the Kronecker pair. Besides, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T} : QH_k(L) \longrightarrow QH^{n-k}(L)$$

called the *Poncaré duality map*, which is determined by the bilinear map

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}} : QH_k(L) \otimes QH_l(L) \xrightarrow{\circ} QH_{k+l-n}(L) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_L} \Lambda$$

via the relation  $\mathcal{T}(x)(y) = \overline{\mathcal{T}}(x \otimes y)$ .

**2.4. Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz isomorphisms.** For generic  $(f, \rho, H, J)$  there are chain morphisms  $\psi : C_*(L; f, \rho, J) \rightarrow CF_*(L; H, J)$  which induce canonical isomorphisms

$$\Psi_{PSS} : QH_*(L; f, \rho, J) \longrightarrow HF_*(L; H, J). \quad (2.3)$$

These isomorphisms are analogs of the Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz isomorphisms [60, 51], which are constructed between Hamiltonian Floer homology and singular homology. In the Lagrangian setting such isomorphisms have been studied in [55, 56, 42, 1, 5, 6]. Here we mainly follow the construction of Biran and Cornea [5, 6]. Given  $q \in \text{Crit}(f)$ ,  $\gamma = [x, \bar{x}] \in \text{Crit } \mathcal{A}_{H,L}$  and  $A \in \pi_2(M, L)$ , consider the sequence  $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$  of maps

- every  $u_i : (D, \partial D) \rightarrow (M, L)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l-1$  is a  $J$ -holomorphic disk (which is allowed to be constant).
- $u_1(-1) \in W^u(q)$ .
- For every  $i \in \{1, \dots, l-2\}$ ,  $u_{i+1}(-1) \in W^u(u_i(1))$ .
- $u_l : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  is a solution of the equation

$$\partial_s u + J \partial_t u + \chi(s) \nabla H_t(u) = 0,$$

and is subject to the conditions:  $u_l(\mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}) \subset L$ ,  $u_l(+\infty, t) = x(t)$ ,  $g^t(u_{l-1}(1)) = u_l(-\infty, t)$ , where  $g^t$  is the negative gradient flow of  $f$  and  $\chi(s)$  is a smooth cutoff function satisfying  $\chi(s) = 0$  for  $s \leq 0$  and  $\chi(s) = 1$  for  $s \geq 1$ .

- $[u_1] + \dots + [u_l \# \bar{x}] = A$ .

We denote the moduli space of such sequences by  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma) := \mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma; J, H, \chi, f, \rho)$ . The virtual dimension of this moduli space is

$$\text{vir-dim } \mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma) = \text{ind}_f(q) - |\gamma| + \mu(A). \quad (2.4)$$

If  $\text{vir-dim } \mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma) = 0$  then for generic  $(f, \rho, H, J)$  one can obtain the appropriate transversality of the standard evaluation map. So we can define on generators

$$\psi_{PSS}(q) = \sum_{\gamma, A} \#_2 \mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma) \gamma t^{\bar{\mu}(A)}$$

and extend this map by linearity over  $\Lambda$ . This extended map is a chain map and induces the PSS-type isomorphism  $\Psi_{PSS}$  in (2.3).

### 3. SPECTRIAL INVARIANTS

**3.1. The classical Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory and minmax critical values.** Fix a ground field  $\mathbb{F}$ , saying  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will denote by  $H_*(X)$  the singular homology of a topological space  $X$  with coefficient field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Let  $f \in C^\infty(X)$  be a smooth function on a closed  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $X$ . For any  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$  we put

$$X^\nu := \{x \in X \mid f(x) < \nu\}.$$

To a non-zero singular homology class  $a \in H_*(X) \setminus \{0\}$ , we associate a numerical invariant by

$$c_{LS}(a, f) = \inf\{\nu \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \in \text{Im}(i_*^\nu)\},$$

where  $i_*^\nu : H_*(X^\nu) \rightarrow H_*(X)$  is the map induced by the natural inclusion  $i^\nu : X^\nu \rightarrow X$ . This number is critical value of  $f$ . The function  $c_{LS} : H_*(X) \setminus \{0\} \times C^\infty(X)$  is often called a *minmax critical value selector*. The following proposition summarizing the properties of the resulting function, which are well-known facts from the classical Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory, see, e.g., Hofer and Zehnder [40], see also [12, 65, 19, 31].

**Proposition 13.** *The minmax critical value selector  $c_{LS}$  satisfies the following properties.*

1. Normalization:  $c_{LS}(a, f) = c$  for any constant function  $f \equiv c$ .

2. Minmax principle:  $c_{LS}(a, f)$  is a critical value of  $f$ , and  $c_{LS}(ka, f) = c_{LS}(a, f)$  for any nonzero  $k \in \mathbb{F}$ .
3. Continuity:  $c_{LS}(a, f)$  is Lipschitz in  $f$  with respect to the  $C^0$ -topology.
4. Triangle inequality:  $c_{LS}(a, f + g) \leq c_{LS}(a, f) + c_{LS}(a, g)$ .
5. Let  $[pt]$  and  $[X]$  denote the class of a point and the fundamental class respectively. Then
 
$$c_{LS}([pt], f) = \min f \leq c_{LS}(a, f) \leq \max f = c_{LS}([X], f).$$
6.  $c_{LS}(a \cap b, f) \leq c_{LS}(a, f)$  for any  $b \in H_*(X)$  with  $a \cap b \neq 0$ .
7. If  $b \neq k[X]$  and  $c_{LS}(a \cap b, f) = c_{LS}(a, f)$ , then the set  $\Sigma = \{x \in \text{Crit}(f) \mid f(x) = c_{LS}(a, f)\}$  is homologically non-trivial.
8.  $c_{LS}(a + b, f) \leq \max\{c_{LS}(a, f), c_{LS}(b, f)\}$  for any nonzero  $a, b \in H_*(X)$ .

**3.2. Hamiltonian spectral invariants.** In this subsection we recall the definition of Hamiltonian spectral invariants following Oh [57], where these spectral invariants are studied for Hamiltonians on closed weakly monotone manifolds. For a further review we refer to Viterbo [64], Schwarz [61], Oh [57, 58, 59] and Fukaya *et al* [29] for the foundations of the theory of Hamiltonian spectral invariants. Fix  $a \in QH_*(M, \Lambda) = (H(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda)_*$  and define the spectral invariant  $\sigma(a, H)$  of  $a$  as

$$\sigma(a, H) = \inf \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R} \mid \text{PSS}(a) \in \text{Im}(i^\nu) \},$$

where  $i^\nu : HF^\nu(H, \Lambda) \hookrightarrow HF(H, \Lambda)$  is the natural inclusion,  $\text{PSS} : QM(M, \Lambda) \rightarrow HF(H, \Lambda)$  is the Hamiltonian Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz isomorphism. By convention we have  $\sigma(0, H) = -\infty$ .

The properties of Hamiltonian spectral invariants are summarized as following:

**Proposition 14.** *The function  $\sigma : QH(M, \Lambda) \setminus \{0\} \times C^\infty(S^1 \times M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the properties:*

- (HS1) Normalization: For any  $a \in H(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and any  $C^2$ -small  $H \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have that  $\sigma(a, H) = c_{LS}(a, H)$ . In particular,  $\sigma(a, 0) = 0$ .
- (HS2) Spectrality:  $\sigma(a, H) \in \text{Spec}(H)$ .
- (HS3) Continuity:  $\sigma(a, H)$  is Lipschitz in  $H$  in the  $C^0$ -topology.
- (HS4) Hamiltonian shift: If  $a$  is a function of time then  $\sigma(a, H + a) = \sigma(a, H) + \int_0^1 a(t) dt$ .
- (HS5) Monotonicity:  $\sigma(a, H) \leq \sigma(a, K)$  if  $H \leq K$  pointwise.
- (HS6) Symplectic invariance:  $\sigma(\varphi_*(a), H) = \sigma(a, \varphi^*H)$  for any symplectomorphism  $\varphi$ .
- (HS7) Triangle inequality:  $\sigma(a * b, H \sharp K) \leq \sigma(a, H) + \sigma(b, K)$ .
- (HS8) Quantum shift: For  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\sigma(\lambda a, H) = \sigma(a, H) + I_\omega(\lambda)$ .
- (HS9) Valuation inequality:  $\sigma(a + b, H) \leq \max\{\sigma(a, H), \sigma(b, H)\}$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma(a, H) \neq \sigma(b, H)$  then this inequality is strict.
- (HS10) Homotopy invariance:  $\sigma(a, H) = \sigma(a, K)$ , when  $\varphi_H = \varphi_K$  in the universal covering of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, where  $H$  and  $K$  are normalized.

**3.3. Lagrangian spectral invariants.** In this subsection we recall the construction of Lagrangian spectral invariants mainly following Leclercq and Zapolsky [48] in the monotone case, see also [67]. These spectral invariants are the Lagrangian counterparts of spectral invariants constructed by Oh [57, 58, 59]. In other cases they were constructed for Lagrangians in the cotangent bundle of a closed manifold [55, 56, 47, 53]. Assume that  $(H, J)$  is regular and  $H$  is normalized. Fix a nonzero homogeneous  $\alpha \in QH_*(L)$  and define the spectral invariant  $\ell(\alpha, H)$  of  $\alpha$  as

$$\ell(\alpha, H) = \inf \{ \nu \mid \Psi_{PSS}(\alpha) \in \text{Im}(i^\nu) \}$$

where  $i^\nu : HF^\nu(L; H, J) \rightarrow HF(L; H, J)$  is the natural inclusion map. This invariant is Lipschitz with respect to the Hamiltonian function  $H$ , i.e., for any two nondegenerate  $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 \min_M (K_t - H_t) dt \leq \ell(\alpha, K) - \ell(\alpha, H) \leq \int_0^1 \max_M (K_t - H_t) dt.$$

So one can extend this spectral invariant to a map

$$\ell : QH(L) \times C^\infty([0, 1] \times M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Here by convention we set  $\ell(0, H) = -\infty$ .

The Lagrangian spectral invariant has the following properties:

(LS1) Normalization: If  $c$  is function of time then

$$\ell(\alpha, H + c) = \ell(\alpha, H) + \int_0^1 c(t) dt,$$

and  $\ell(\alpha, 0) = A_L \nu(\alpha)$ .

(LS2) Spectrality:  $\ell(\alpha, H) \in \text{Spec}(H, L)$ .

(LS3) Quantum shift:  $\ell(\alpha \otimes \lambda, H) = \ell(\alpha, H) + A_L \nu(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

(LS4) Symplectic invariance:  $\ell(\alpha, H) = \ell'(\varphi_*(\alpha), H \circ \varphi^{-1})$  for any symplectomorphism  $\varphi$  satisfying  $L' = \varphi(L)$ , where  $\ell' : QH(L') \times C^\infty(M \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the corresponding spectral invariant.

(LS5) Continuity:  $\ell$  is Lipschitz in  $H$  in the  $C^0$ -topology.

(LS6) Monotonicity:  $\ell(\alpha, H) \geq \ell(\alpha, K)$  for any  $\alpha \in QH_*(L)$  provided that  $H \geq K$ .

(LS7) Homotopy invariance:  $\ell(\alpha, H) = \ell(\alpha, K)$ , when  $\varphi_H = \varphi_K$  in the universal covering of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, and  $H, K$  are normalized.

(LS8) Triangle inequality:  $\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H \sharp K) \leq \ell(\alpha, H) + \ell(\beta, K)$ .

(LS9) Module structure: Let  $K \in C^\infty(S^1 \times M)$ . For all  $a \in QH(M)$  and  $\alpha \in QH(L)$ , we have  $\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H \sharp K) \leq \ell(\alpha, H) + \sigma(a, K)$ .

(LS10) Valuation inequality:  $\ell(\alpha + \beta, H) \leq \max\{\ell(\alpha, H), \ell(\beta, H)\}$ . Moreover, if  $\ell(\alpha, H) \neq \ell(\beta, H)$  then this inequality is strict.

(LS11) Duality: Let  $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) \in QH^{n-k}(L)$  be the dual element of  $\alpha \in QH_k(L)$ , and set  $\overline{H}(t, H) = -H(-t, H)$ . Then

$$\ell(\alpha, \overline{H}) = -\inf \{ \ell(\beta, H) \mid \beta \in QH_{n-k}(L), \langle \mathcal{T}(\alpha), \beta \rangle \neq 0 \}.$$

(LS12) Lagrangian control: For all  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$\int_0^1 \min_L H_t dt \leq \ell(\alpha, H) - A_L \nu(\alpha) \leq \int_0^1 \max_L H_t dt.$$

(LS13) Non-negativity:  $\ell([L], H) + \ell([L], \overline{H}) \geq 0$ .

In addition to the above properties, another property of Lagrangian spectral invariants which is mainly concerned in this paper is the following.

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$ -small function and let  $H_f$  be a  $C^2$ -small compactly supported autonomous Hamiltonian which coincides with the lift of  $f$  to a Weinstein neighborhood of  $L$ . More specifically,  $H_f = f \circ \pi$  on a ball bundle  $T_R^*L$  of  $T^*L$  (after identifying  $T^*L$  with some Weinstein neighborhood of  $L$ ) containing  $L^f := \{(q, \partial_q f(a)) \in T^*L \mid q \in L\}$ , and  $H_f = 0$  outside  $T_{R+1}^*L$  in  $M$ , where  $\pi : T^*L \rightarrow L$  is the natural projection map. If  $L$  is wide then we*

have

$$\ell(a, H_f) = c_{LS}(a, f) \quad \text{for any } a \in H_{*\geq n-N_L+1}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Here we have used the canonical embedding  $H_p(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda_* \hookrightarrow QH_{p+*}(L)$  for a wide Lagrangian  $L$  with  $p \geq n - N_L + 1$ , meaning that for every  $p \geq n - N_L + 1$ ,  $x \in C_p(L; f, \rho, J)$  is a  $d$ -cycle if and only if it is a  $\partial$ -cycle in the Morse complex  $CM_p(L; f, \rho)$  and  $x$  is a  $d$ -boundary if and only if it is a  $\partial$ -boundary.

*Proof.* Since both  $\ell(\alpha, H)$  and  $c_{LS}(a, f)$  are continuous in  $H$  and  $f$  with respect to  $C^0$ -topology respectively, it suffices to prove the proposition for a  $C^2$ -small Morse function  $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the corresponding lift  $H_f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In this case, it is easy to see that the Lagrangian  $\varphi_{H_f}(L)$  is the graph of  $df$  in  $T^*L$  and intersects  $L$  transversely, and that a critical point  $q$  of  $f$  is exactly an intersection point between  $L$  and  $\varphi_{H_f}(L)$ . So we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{H_f, L}([q, \bar{q}]) = f(q), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\bar{q}$  is the constant capping of the constant path  $q$ . Moreover, from our definition of the grading of Lagrangian Floer homology we have  $\mu(q, \bar{q}) = \text{ind}_f(q)$ .

Given a smooth cutoff function  $\chi$  used in the definition of PSS map  $\Psi_{PSS}$ , for a generic pair  $(\rho, J)$  we consider the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma) = \mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma; J, H_f, \chi, f, \rho)$ , where  $q, \gamma$  are the critical points of  $f$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{H_f, L}$  respectively and  $\text{ind}_f(q) \geq n - N_L + 1$ . If the virtual dimension of  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma)$  is zero, then we deduce from the dimension formula (2.4) that  $\gamma = (q, \bar{q})$ . Furthermore, following the idea of Floer [26] one can show that if  $|f|_{C^2}$  is sufficiently small then the last component  $u_l$  of any element  $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$  in  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma)$  has to be independent of variable  $t$  (in fact  $u_l$  is a flow line of  $-\chi(s)\nabla^\rho f$ ). Due to  $\text{ind}_f(q) \geq n - N_L + 1$  there are no nontrivial holomorphic disks  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l-1$ . So  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma)$  consists of negative gradient flow lines of  $f$  (up to a scaling) from  $q$  to  $\gamma = (q, \bar{q})$ , and hence the only element of  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma)$  is the constant flow line by dimension reasons. As a consequence, we have the map

$$\Psi_{PSS} \circ i : H_*(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \longrightarrow HF_*(H_f, J), \quad \left[ \sum_k q_k \right] \longmapsto \left[ \sum_k (q_k, \bar{q}_k) \right], \quad (3.2)$$

where  $i$  is the inclusion map from  $H_*(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  to  $QH_*(L, f, \rho) \cong (H(L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda)_*$ . We remark here that the singular homology  $H_*(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has been identified with the Morse homology  $HM(f, \rho)$  of the Morse-Smale pair  $(f, \rho)$ . Clearly, it follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that  $\ell(a, H_f) = c_{LS}(a, f)$  for all  $a \in H_*(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . □

#### 4. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS: LAGRANGIAN LJUSTERNIK–SCHNIRELMAN THEORY

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.** First of all, by (LS8) and (LS1) we have

$$\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) = \ell(\alpha \circ \beta, 0 \# H) \leq \ell(\alpha, 0) + \ell(\beta, H) = \ell(\beta, H) + A_L \nu(\alpha).$$

The proof of the second statement. To prove the strict inequality we proceed in three steps.

**Step 1.** Take a  $C^2$ -small Morse function  $f \in C^\infty(L)$  so that  $f$  has a **unique maximum** which we denote by  $q_{max}$ . Let its corresponding Hamiltonian  $H_f \in C^\infty(M)$  be as in Proposition 15. Since  $L$  is wide, we have  $\widehat{QH}_*(L) \cong H_{* < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda$  (recall that  $QH(L) = \widehat{QH}_*(L) \oplus [L] \otimes \Lambda$ ). We shall prove the following.

**Lemma 16.** *For any  $\alpha \in \widehat{QH}_*(L)$ , we have*

$$\ell(\alpha, H_f) \leq A_L \nu(\alpha) + \max \{ f(x) \mid x \in \text{Crit}(f) \setminus \{q_{max}\} \}. \quad (4.1)$$

**Proof of Lemma 16.** Since the Lagrangian  $\varphi_{H_f}(L)$  is the graph of  $df$  in  $T^*L$  and intersects  $L$  transversely, a critical point  $q$  of  $f$  is exactly an intersection point between  $L$  and  $\varphi_{H_f}(L)$ . So we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{H_f, L}([q, \bar{q}]) = f(q), \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\bar{q}$  is the constant capping of the constant path  $q$ . Furthermore, by our definition of the grading of Lagrangian Floer homology we have  $\mu(q, \bar{q}) = \text{ind}_f(q)$ .

As before, for a smooth cutoff function  $\chi$ , which is used in the construction of PSS map  $\Psi_{PSS}$ , and a generic pair  $(\rho, J)$ , we consider the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma) = \mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma; J, H_f, \chi, f, \rho)$ , where  $q, \gamma$  are the critical points of  $f$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{H_f, L}$  respectively. If  $|f|_{C^2}$  is sufficiently small, then following [26] one can show that the last component  $u_l$  of any element  $(u_1, \dots, u_l)$  in  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma)$  has to be independent of variable  $t$  (here  $u_l$  is a flow line of  $-\chi(s)\nabla^\rho f$ ). So  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma)$ , in fact, consists of pearly trajectories connecting  $q$  to  $q' \in \text{Crit}(f)$  (up to a scaling, the negative gradient flow lines of  $f$ ). If the virtual dimension of  $\mathcal{M}^\psi(q, \gamma)$  is zero, then by the dimension formula (2.4) we have  $|\gamma| = \text{ind}_f(q) + \mu(A)$ . Now we discuss in two cases: (1) if  $\gamma = [q, \bar{q}]$  then  $A = 0$ , in this case the only pearly trajectory from  $q$  to  $\gamma$  is the constant flow line; (2) if  $\gamma \neq [q, \bar{q}]$  then  $A \neq 0$  by dimension reasons. Notice that each nonzero  $\alpha \in \widehat{QH}(L; f, \rho, J)$  has representation  $\sum_k q_k \otimes \lambda_k$  with the property that  $\text{deg}(q_k) < n$  for each  $k$ . Consequently, we have the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{PSS} : QH(L; f, \rho, J) &\longrightarrow HF_*(H_f, J), \\ \left[ \sum_k q_k \otimes \lambda_k \right] &\longmapsto \left[ \sum_k [q_k, \bar{q}_k] \otimes \lambda_k + \sum_l [q'_l, \bar{q}'_l \# A_l] \otimes \lambda_l \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Now we look at the actions of  $\mathcal{A}_{H, L}$  on those generators appearing in the right hand side of (4.3). By (4.2) we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{H_f, L}([q_k, \bar{q}_k] \otimes \lambda_k) = f(q_k) + A_L \nu(\lambda_k) \quad \forall k. \quad (4.4)$$

By (4.2) again, we have that

$$\mathcal{A}_{H_f, L}([q'_l, \bar{q}'_l \# A_l] \otimes \lambda_l) = \mathcal{A}_{H_f, L}([q'_l, \bar{q}'_l] \otimes t^{\bar{\mu}(A_l)} \lambda_l) = f(q'_l) - \bar{\mu}(A_l) A_L + A_L \nu(\lambda_l) \quad \forall l.$$

So if  $|f|_{C^0} < A_L/2$ , for all  $l$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{H_f, L}([q'_l, \bar{q}'_l \# A_l] \otimes \lambda_l) &= f(q_l) + A_L \nu(\lambda_l) + f(q'_l) - f(q_l) - \bar{\mu}(A_l) A_L \\ &< f(q_l) + A_L \nu(\lambda_l) + (1 - \bar{\mu}(A_l)) A_L \\ &\leq f(q_l) + A_L \nu(\lambda_l), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where each  $q_l \in \text{Crit}(f)$  coming from the representation  $\sum_k q_k \otimes \lambda_k$  is the starting point of some pearly trajectory with end point  $q'_l$ , and we have used  $\bar{\mu}(A_l) \geq 2$  (due to  $N_L \geq 2$ ) for each  $l$  in the third inequality.

As  $q_{max}$  represents the fundamental class  $[L]$ , each  $q_k$  appearing in  $\sum_k q_k \otimes \lambda_k$  can not be  $q_{max}$ . So we have

$$\max_k f(q_k) \leq \max \{f(x) | x \in \text{Crit}(f) \setminus \{q_{max}\}\}.$$

This, combining with (4.3)–(4.5), implies that for any representation  $\sum_k q_k \otimes \lambda_k$  of  $\alpha$  we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{H, L} \left( \psi_{PSS} \left( \sum_k q_k \otimes \lambda_k \right) \right) \leq \max \{f(x) | x \in \text{Crit}(f) \setminus \{q_{max}\}\} + A_L \max_k \nu(\lambda_k)$$

whenever  $|f|_{C^2}$  is sufficiently small. Therefore, by the definition of the valuation  $\nu$  on  $QH(L; f, \rho, J)$ , we conclude the desired inequality. This completes the proof of Lemma 16.

**Step 2.** Since the intersections of  $L$  and  $\varphi_H(L)$  are isolated, we pick a small open neighborhood  $U \subset L$  of  $L \cap \varphi_H(L)$  in  $L$ . Let  $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function such that  $f = 0$  on  $\overline{U}$ ,  $f < 0$  on  $L \setminus \overline{U}$ , and all critical points in  $\text{Crit}(f) \setminus \overline{U}$  are nondegenerate. Let  $H_f$  be the lift of  $f$  to a Weinstein neighborhood of  $L$  as in Proposition 15. Clearly,  $f$  is not a Morse function on  $L$ . Now we modify  $f$  into a Morse function which satisfies the conditions of Step 1. For any  $\eta > 0$ , pick a smooth Morse function  $f_\eta : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f_\eta - f|_{C^0} < \eta$ ,  $f_\eta = f$  outside of a small neighborhood of  $\overline{U}$ , and  $f_\eta$  has the same non-degenerate critical points with  $f$  except a unique maximum  $q_{max}^\eta$ . Denote by  $H_{f_\eta}$  the corresponding Hamiltonian of  $f_\eta$  on  $M$ .

Given  $0 \neq \alpha \in \widehat{QH}_*(L)$ , by Step 1, for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\alpha, \varepsilon H_{f_\eta}) &\leq A_L \nu(\alpha) + \varepsilon \max \{f_\eta(x) | x \in \text{Crit}(f_\eta) \setminus \{q_{max}^\eta\}\} \\ &= A_L \nu(\alpha) + \varepsilon \max \{f(x) | x \in \text{Crit}(f) \setminus \overline{U}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Since  $\ell(\alpha, H)$  is continuous with respect to  $H$  in  $C^0$ -topology, by letting  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  we deduce from (4.6) that

$$\ell(\alpha, \varepsilon H_f) \leq A_L \nu(\alpha) + \varepsilon \max \{f(x) | x \in \text{Crit}(f) \setminus \overline{U}\} < A_L \nu(\alpha). \quad (4.7)$$

**Step 3.** Let  $f$ ,  $U$  and  $H_f$  be as in Step 2. We will show

**Lemma 17.** *For sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) = \ell(\alpha \circ \beta, \varepsilon H_f \sharp H)$ .*

**Proof of Lemma 17.** Recall that the construction of  $H_f \in C^\infty(M)$ : identify  $T^*L$  with a Weinstein neighborhood  $W \subset M$  of  $L$ , and pick a smooth function  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\sigma(s) = 1$  for any  $s \leq R$  and  $\sigma(s) = 0$  for any  $s \geq R + 1$ . We put  $H_f(q, p) = \sigma(\|p\|)f(q)$  for all  $(q, p) \in T^*L$  and extend it to  $M \setminus W$  by setting  $H_f = 0$ , where the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $T^*L$  is induced by a Riemannian metric  $\rho$  of  $L$ . It is more convenient to work on the cotangent bundle  $T^*L$  instead of  $W$  whenever the intersections of some Lagrangian and  $L$  are considered.

Note that  $H_f = \pi^*f$  on the closed ball bundle  $T_R^*L$  of  $T^*L$  containing  $L^f := \{(q, \partial_q f(a)) \in T^*L | q \in L\}$  and  $H_f = 0$  outside  $T_{R+1}^*L$ . It is easy to show that  $\varphi_{H_f}^t(q, p) = (q, p + t\partial_q f(a)) \in T^*L$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $(q, p) \in T_R^*L$ . Set  $L_R^H = \varphi_H(O_L) \cap T_R^*L$ . Then we have

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f}(L_R^H) = \{(q, p + \varepsilon df(q)) | (q, p) \in L_R^H\}.$$

Since  $L_R^H \cap \pi^{-1}(O_L \setminus U)$  is compact and has no intersections with  $O_L$ , we deduce that for small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f}(L_R^H) \cap \pi^{-1}(O_L \setminus U)$  has no intersections with  $O_L$  as well. For  $(q, p) \in T^*L$  with  $R \leq \|(q, p)\| \leq R + 1$  we have

$$d_\rho(\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f}(q, p), (q, p)) \leq \left\| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{\varepsilon H_f}^t(q, p) dt \right\| \leq \varepsilon \sup_{R \leq \|(q, p)\| \leq R+1} \|X_{H_f}\|,$$

where  $d_\rho$  is the distance function induced by the metric on  $T^*L$ . Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small,  $\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f}(T_{R+1}^*L \setminus T_R^*L)$  does not intersect  $O_L$ . Note also that the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism  $\varphi_{H_f}^\varepsilon$  is supported in  $T_{R+1}^*L$ , we conclude that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f} \varphi_H(O_L) \cap \pi^{-1}(O_L \setminus U)$  does not intersect  $O_L$  provided that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. On the other hand, we have that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f} \varphi_H(O_L) \cap \pi^{-1}(U) = \varphi_H(O_L) \cap \pi^{-1}(U)$  because  $f = 0$  on  $U$ . So if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small then the Lagrangians  $\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f} \varphi_H(O_L)$  and  $\varphi_H(O_L)$  have the same intersections with  $O_L$ . For each  $q \in \varphi_H(O_L) \cap O_L$  we have  $\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f}^t \varphi_H^t((\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f} \varphi_H)^{-1}(q)) = \varphi_{\varepsilon H_f}^t \varphi_H^t(\varphi_H^{-1}(q))$ . It is well known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set  $\varphi_H(L) \cap L$  and the set  $\mathcal{P}_L(H) := \{x \in \mathcal{P}_L | \dot{x} = X_H(x(t))\}$  of Hamiltonian chords by sending  $q \in \varphi_H(L) \cap L$  to  $x = \varphi_H^{-1}(q)$ .

So we obtain a bijection between  $\mathcal{P}_L(H)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_L(\varepsilon H_f \sharp H)$  by mapping  $x(t)$  to  $\varphi_{\varepsilon H_f}^t(x(t))$ . For simplicity, we write  $K = \varepsilon H_f$  and put  $u(s, t) = \varphi_K^{st}(x(t))$  for  $x(t) \in \mathcal{P}_L(H)$ , where  $(s, t) \in S := [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Then  $u(0, t) = x(t)$  and  $u(1, t) = \varphi_K^t(x(t)) \in \mathcal{P}_L(K \sharp H)$ . To show that  $\text{Spec}(K \sharp H, L) = \text{Spec}(H, L)$ , we consider the map

$$\Theta : \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{H,L}) \longrightarrow \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{K \sharp H,L}), \quad [x(t), \bar{x}] \mapsto [\varphi_K^t(x(t)), \bar{x} \sharp u]$$

as illustrated in Figure 4.1.




Figure 2: The map  $\Theta$

Clearly, this map is a bijection. In the following we will show that  $\Theta^* \mathcal{A}_{K \sharp H,L} = \mathcal{A}_{H,L}$ . To this end, for every  $[x, \bar{x}] \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{H,L})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{K \sharp H,L}(\Theta[x, \bar{x}]) &= \int_0^1 K(\varphi_K^t(x(t))) dt + \int_0^1 H_t \circ (\varphi_K^t)^{-1}(\varphi_K^t(x(t))) dt - \int_S u^* \omega - \int_{\bar{x}} \omega \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{H,L}([x, \bar{x}]) + \int_0^1 K(x(t)) dt - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \omega(\partial_s u, s X_K(\varphi_K^{st}(x(t)))) ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \omega(\partial_s u, d\varphi_K^{st}(\dot{x}(t))) ds dt \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{H,L}([x, \bar{x}]) + \int_0^1 K(x(t)) dt - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 sdK(\varphi_K^{st}(x(t)))[\partial_s u] ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \omega(t X_K(\varphi_K^{st}(x(t))), d\varphi_K^{st}(\dot{x}(t))) ds dt \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{H,L}([x, \bar{x}]) + \int_0^1 K(x(t)) dt - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} [sK(\varphi_K^{st}(x(t)))] ds dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} [tK(\varphi_K^{st}(x(t)))] ds dt \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{H,L}([x, \bar{x}]) + \int_0^1 K(x(t)) dt - \int_0^1 K(x(t)) dt + K(x(1)) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{H,L}([x, \bar{x}]), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second and fifth equalities we have used the fact that the value of an autonomous Hamiltonian  $H_f$  is invariant along its Hamiltonian flow, and the last equality is implied by  $H_f = 0$  on the intersections of  $\varphi_H(L)$  and  $L$ . Therefore, the action spectra  $\text{Spec}(\varepsilon H_f \sharp H, L)$  and  $\text{Spec}(H, L)$  are the same. Now fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider the family of Lagrangians  $\varphi_{s\varepsilon H_f} \varphi_H(O_L)$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$ . As above, the action spectra  $\text{Spec}(s\varepsilon H_f \sharp H, L)$  are all the same. Since the action spectrum is a closed nowhere dense subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , it follows from Continuity (LS5) that  $\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, s\varepsilon H_f \sharp H)$  do not depend on  $s$ . So we have  $\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) = \ell(\alpha \circ \beta, \varepsilon H_f \sharp H)$ .

Finally, take  $f, U$  and  $H_f$  being as in Step 2. For small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Lemma 17, Triangle inequality (LS8) and (4.7), we obtain

$$\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) = \ell(\alpha \circ \beta, \varepsilon H_f \sharp H) \leq \ell(\alpha, \varepsilon H_f) + \ell(\beta, H) < \ell(\beta, H) + A_L \nu(\alpha).$$

This completes the proof of the second statement.

The proof of the third statement. We first notice that since  $L$  is wide, for  $N_L \geq n + 1$  there exists a canonical isomorphism  $(H(\overline{L}, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda)_* \cong QH_*(L)$ , see [5, Proposition 4.5.1(iii)], which means that  $x \in C(L; f, \rho, J)$  is a  $d$ -cycle if and only if it is a  $\partial$ -cycle in the Morse complex  $CM(L; f, \rho)$  and  $x$  is a  $d$ -boundary if and only if it is a  $\partial$ -boundary. Let  $U \subset L$  be any neighborhood of  $L \cap \varphi_H(L)$  in  $L$ . Let  $f \in C^\infty(L)$  be a smooth function such that  $f = 0$  on  $\overline{U}$  and  $f < 0$  on  $L \setminus \overline{U}$ . Take  $H_f \in C^\infty(M)$  as a lift of  $f$  in Proposition 15. Given  $\alpha = \sum_i x_i \otimes \lambda_i \in QH(L)$  with  $0 \neq x_i \in H_{* < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\alpha, \varepsilon H_f) &\leq \sup_i \{\ell(x_i \otimes \lambda_i, \varepsilon H_f)\} \\ &\leq \sup_i \{\ell(x_i, \varepsilon H_f) + A_L \nu(\lambda_i)\} \\ &\leq \sup_i \{\ell(x_i, \varepsilon H_f)\} + A_L \sup_i \{\nu(\lambda_i)\} \\ &= \sup_i \{c_{LS}(x_i, \varepsilon f)\} + A_L \nu(\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where the first inequality is obtained by (LS10), the second one by (LS3) and the last equality by Proposition 15. It follows from Lemma 17 and Triangle inequality (LS7) that

$$\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) = \ell(\alpha \circ \beta, \varepsilon H_f \sharp H) \leq \ell(\alpha, \varepsilon H_f) + \ell(\beta, H) \tag{4.9}$$

provided that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. So if  $\ell(\alpha \circ \beta, H) = \ell(\beta, H) + A_L \nu(\alpha)$  then from (4.8) and (4.9) we deduce that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small

$$A_L \nu(\alpha) \leq \sup_i \{c_{LS}(x_i, \varepsilon f)\} + A_L \nu(\alpha).$$

Consequently, we have  $0 \leq c_{LS}(x_k, \varepsilon f) = \sup_i \{c_{LS}(x_i, \varepsilon f)\}$  for some  $k$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 13.5 we have  $c_{LS}(x_k, \varepsilon f) \leq \varepsilon \max_L f = c_{LS}([L], \varepsilon f) = 0$ . So  $c_{LS}(x_k, \varepsilon f) = c_{LS}(x_k \cap [L], \varepsilon f) = c_{LS}([L], \varepsilon f) = 0$  with  $x_k \in H_{* < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , and hence by Proposition 13.7 the zero level set  $\overline{U}$  of  $f$  is homologically non-trivial.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 4 bears a similarity to the arguments from the proof of Theorem 3, but is not completely parallel to the former. For the sake of completeness we shall give a sketch of the proof.

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.** The non-strict inequality is deduced from the module structure property (LS8) of  $\ell$ , the quantum shift property (HS8) and normalization property (HS1) of  $\sigma$  immediately, that is,

$$\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H) = \ell(a \bullet \alpha, H \sharp 0) \leq \sigma(a, 0) + \ell(\alpha, H) = \ell(\alpha, H) + I_\omega(a).$$

The proof of the second statement. To prove the strict inequality, we first take any class  $a = \sum_k z_k \otimes_\Gamma \lambda_k \in QH(M, \Lambda)$  with each  $x_k \in H(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $\lambda_k \in \Lambda$ . For any smooth  $C^2$ -small function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we claim that

$$\sigma(a, f) \leq \sup_k \{\sigma(z_k, f)\} + I_\omega(a). \quad (4.10)$$

This follows from (HS1), (HS8) and (HS9) immediately.

Secondly, we take a  $C^2$ -small function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = 0$  on  $\bar{V}$  and  $f < 0$  on  $M \setminus \bar{V}$ , where  $V$  is any small neighborhood of  $L \cap \varphi_H^{-1}(L)$  in  $M$ . Furthermore, we require that  $H_k(\bar{V}, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$  for all  $k > 0$ . This is possible since we have assumed that the elements of  $L \cap \varphi_H(L)$  are isolated. We claim that if  $a \in \widehat{QH}_*(M) = H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_\Gamma \Lambda$ , then

$$\sigma(a, f) < I_\omega(a).$$

Observe that  $c_{LS}(a, f) < -\epsilon_f < 0$  for all  $a \in H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and some  $\epsilon_f > 0$  depending on  $f$ . In fact, if there exists a sequence of homology classes  $a_k \in H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $c_{LS}(a_k, f) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  then we have  $c_{LS}(a_l, f) = 0$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  because  $H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $c_{LS}$  satisfies Proposition 13.8. Then we have  $c_{LS}(a_l, f) = c_{LS}(a_l \cap [M], f) = 0$ . It follows from Proposition 13.1 that  $c_{LS}([M], f) = \max_L f = 0$ . So we have  $c_{LS}(a_l \cap [M], f) = c_{LS}([M], f)$ . Then by Proposition 13.7 the zero level set  $\bar{V}$  of  $f$  is homologically non-trivial, which is a contradiction. Therefore, for any  $a = \sum_k z_k \otimes_\Gamma \lambda_k \in QH(M, \Lambda)$  with each  $z_k \neq 0$ , we have  $c_{LS}(z_k, f) < -\epsilon_f$ . Consequently, by (4.10) we obtain  $\ell(\alpha, f) \leq -\epsilon_f + I_\omega(a) < I_\omega(a)$ .

Thirdly, we will show the following lemma for which our proof is influenced by the methods in [31, Section 6.2.2] and [10].

**Lemma 18.**  $\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H \sharp_\varepsilon f) = \ell(a \bullet \alpha, H)$  whenever  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough.

**Proof of Lemma 18.** We consider a family of spectral invariants  $\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H \sharp_{s\varepsilon} f)$  for  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Note that the function  $\ell(a \bullet \alpha, \cdot) : C^\infty([0, 1] \times M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous with respect to the  $C^0$ -topology and that the action spectrum  $\text{Spec}(H \sharp_{s\varepsilon} f)$  for each  $s \in [0, 1]$  is a closed nowhere dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . It suffices to prove that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small the action spectra  $\text{Spec}(H \sharp_{s\varepsilon} f, L)$  are all the same. To this end, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small we will show

$$\varphi_H \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon f}(L) \cap L = \varphi_H(L) \cap L. \quad (4.11)$$

On the one hand, we have  $\varphi_H \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon f}(L \setminus V) \cap L = \emptyset$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Otherwise, there exists a sequence of numbers  $\varepsilon_i > 0$  with  $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ , and two sequences of points  $x_i \in L, y_i \in L \setminus V$  such that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon_i f}(y_i) = \varphi_H^{-1}(x_i)$  for all  $i$ . Since  $L$  is compact and  $V$  is open in  $M$ , without loss of generality we may assume that  $x_i \rightarrow x \in L$  and  $y_i \rightarrow y \in L \setminus V$  as  $i$  goes to infinity. Then we have  $y = \varphi_H^{-1}(x) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(L) \cap L \subseteq V$ , which is impossible. On the other hand, since  $\varphi_{\varepsilon f} = id$  on  $V$ , we obtain  $\varphi_H \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon f}(L \cap V) \cap L = \varphi_H(L \cap V) \cap L = \varphi_H(L) \cap L$ . So we have (4.11). Let  $\chi : [0, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth cut-off function so that  $\chi = 0$  near  $t = 0$  and  $\chi = 1$  near  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . It is easy to show that the time one flow  $\varphi_K$  of the Hamiltonian

$$K(t, x) = \begin{cases} \chi'(t)\varepsilon f(x), & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \chi'(t - \frac{1}{2})H(\chi(t - \frac{1}{2}), x), & t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

coincides with the time one flow  $\varphi_H \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon f}$  of  $H\sharp\varepsilon f$  in the universal covering of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Moreover, the mean values of  $K$  and  $H\sharp\varepsilon f$  are the same, i.e.,  $\int_0^1 \int K_t \omega^n dt = \int_0^1 \int (H\sharp\varepsilon f)_t \omega^n dt$ . It is well known that  $\text{Spec}(H, L) = \text{Spec}(K, L)$  if  $\varphi_H = \varphi_K$  in the universal covering of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms with the same mean value, see e.g., [48]. Therefore, to prove  $\text{Spec}(H, L) = \text{Spec}(H\sharp\varepsilon f, L)$  we only need to prove that the action spectra  $\text{Spec}(H, L)$  and  $\text{Spec}(K, L)$  are the same. For each  $q \in \varphi_H(L) \cap L$ , due to (4.11) the corresponding Hamiltonian chords of  $H$  and  $K$  are  $\varphi_H^t(\varphi_H^{-1}(q))$  and  $\varphi_K^t(\varphi_K^{-1}(q))$  respectively, while, by  $\varphi_{\varepsilon f}^t = id$  on  $V$ , we have

$$\varphi_K^t(\varphi_K^{-1}(q)) = \begin{cases} \varphi_H^{-1}(q), & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \varphi_H^{\chi(t - \frac{1}{2})} \circ \varphi_H^{-1}(q), & t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, we obtain a bijection

$$\Pi : \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{H,L}) \longrightarrow \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{K,L}), \quad [x(t), \bar{x}(s, t)] \mapsto [x'(t), \bar{x}'(s, t)],$$

where  $\bar{x}(0, t) = q_0$  for some point  $q_0 \in L$ ,  $\bar{x}(1, t) = x(t)$ ,  $\bar{x}'(s, t) = \bar{x}(s, 0)$  for  $(s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $\bar{x}'(s, t) = \bar{x}(s, \chi(t - \frac{1}{2}))$  for  $(s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . A direct calculation shows that for any  $(x, \bar{x}) \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{H,L})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{K,L}(\Pi[x, \bar{x}]) = \mathcal{A}_{H,L}([x, \bar{x}])$ . So we have  $\text{Spec}(H, L) = \text{Spec}(H\sharp\varepsilon f, L)$ . As above, one can show  $\text{Spec}(H, L) = \text{Spec}(H\sharp s\varepsilon f, L)$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ . This finishes the proof the lemma.

Now we are in a position to finish the proof of the strict inequality. Let  $f$  be as before, and take  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. It follows from Lemma 18 and the moduli structure property (LS9), we obtain

$$\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H) = \ell(a \bullet \alpha, H\sharp\varepsilon f) \leq \sigma(a, \varepsilon f) + \ell(\alpha, H) < \ell(\alpha, H) + I_\omega(a)$$

which concludes the desired strict inequality.

The proof of the third statement. For an arbitrary open neighborhood  $V$  of  $L \cap \varphi_H^{-1}(L)$  in  $M$  we pick a smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f|_{\overline{V}} = 0$  and  $f < 0$  on  $M \setminus \overline{V}$ . If  $a = \sum_k z_k \otimes_{\Gamma} \lambda_k \in \widehat{QH}(M, \Lambda)$  with each nonzero  $z_k \in H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (of pure degree), then by (4.10) for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, we have  $\sigma(a, \varepsilon f) \leq \sup_k \{\sigma(z_k, \varepsilon f)\} + I_\omega(a)$ . The moduli structure property (LS9) and Lemma 18 imply that  $\ell(a \bullet \alpha, H) = \ell(a \bullet \alpha, H\sharp\varepsilon f) \leq \sigma(a, \varepsilon f) + \ell(\alpha, H)$ . Then we get  $\sigma(z_{k_0}, \varepsilon f) \geq \ell(a \bullet \alpha, H) - \ell(\alpha, H) - I_\omega(a) = 0$  for some  $k_0$ . It follows from the normalization property (HS1) that  $c_{LS}(z_{k_0}, \varepsilon f) = \sigma(z_{k_0}, \varepsilon f) \geq 0$  whenever  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. Now from Proposition 13.5 we deduce that

$$0 \leq c_{LS}(z_{k_0}, \varepsilon f) = c_{LS}(z_{k_0} \cap [M], \varepsilon f) \leq c_{LS}([M], \varepsilon f) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain  $c_{LS}(z_{k_0} \cap [M], \varepsilon f) = c_{LS}([M], \varepsilon f)$  for some  $z_{k_0} \in H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Then Proposition 13.7 implies that the zero level set  $\overline{V}$  of  $f$  is homologically non-trivial. since  $\varphi_H$  is a diffeomorphism on  $M$ , as a neighborhood  $\overline{\varphi_H(V)}$  of the intersection  $L \cap \varphi_H(L)$  is also homologically non-trivial. The proof is completed.  $\square$

## 5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 5 AND THEOREM 6

**5.1. Proof of Theorem 5.** By definition there exists a Hamiltonian  $H \in \mathcal{H}_c$  such that  $\gamma(L, H) < A_L$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that the intersections of  $L$  and  $\varphi_H(L)$  are isolated, otherwise, nothing needs to prove.

By the definition of cuplength, there exist  $u_i \in H_{* < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,  $i = 1 \dots, k$  such that  $u_1 \cap \dots \cap u_k = [pt]$  and  $cl(L) = k + 1$ . Since  $H(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is generated as a ring by elements in  $H_{\geq n+1-N_L}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , we may further assume that each  $u_i \in H_{n-N_L < * < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Otherwise, there exists at least some  $u_i \in H_{* \leq n-N_L}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  which has the form

$$u_i = \sum \beta_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \beta_{i_l} \triangleq \sum \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_l}$$

with each  $\beta_{i_j} \in H_{n-N_L < * < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , where  $\beta_{i_1, \dots, i_l} = \beta_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \beta_{i_l}$ . Due to  $u_1 \cap \dots \cap u_k = [pt] \neq 0$ , there exists some  $\beta_{i_1, \dots, i_l} \neq 0$  such that

$$u_1 \cap \dots \cap \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_l} \cap \dots \cap u_k \neq 0$$

which contradicts to the definition of cuplength whenever  $l \geq 2$ . Therefore,  $u_i \in H_{n-N_L < * < n}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,  $i = 1 \dots, k$ . As mentioned before, for a wide Lagrangian  $L$  we have a canonical embedding  $H_p(L, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda_* \hookrightarrow QH_{p+*}(L)$  for any  $p > n - N_L$ . Then we get

$$[L] = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in QH(L), \quad \text{where } \alpha_i = u_{k-i+1} \circ \alpha_{i-1}.$$

Since the Lagrangian quantum product  $\circ$  is a quantum deformation of the homological intersection product in  $H(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (see [5, 6]), each  $\alpha_i$  is nonzero. In particular, we have

$$\alpha_k = [pt] + \sum_{r \geq 1} a_{rN_L} t^r, \quad a_{rN_L} \in H_{rN_L}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

This implies that  $\langle \mathcal{T}([L]), \alpha_k \rangle \neq 0$ , where  $\mathcal{T} : QH_*(L) \rightarrow QH^{n-*}(L)$  is the Poncaré duality map (see Section 2.3.1). From the Poncaré duality property (LS11) of Lagrangian spectral invariants we infer that  $-\ell([L], \overline{H}) \leq \ell(\alpha_k, H)$ . So we have

$$\gamma(L, H) = \ell([L], H) + \ell([L], \overline{H}) \geq \ell([L], H) - \ell(\alpha_k, H)$$

It follows from Theorem 3 and the spectral property (LS2) that there exist  $k + 1$  elements  $\tilde{x}_i \in [x_i, \bar{x}_i] \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{H,L})$  such that

$$\ell(\alpha_k, H) = \mathcal{A}_{H,L}(\tilde{x}_k) < \mathcal{A}_{H,L}(\tilde{x}_{k-1}) < \dots < \mathcal{A}_{H,L}(\tilde{x}_0) = \ell(\alpha_0, H).$$

Clearly, from  $\gamma(L, H) < A_L$  we exclude the possibility that one of  $\{\tilde{x}_i\}_{i=0}^k$  is the recapping of another. Therefore, all  $x_i$  are different, equivalently, all elements of  $L \cap \varphi(L)$  are distinct. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**5.2. Proof of Theorem 6.** Assume that  $\varphi \in \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$  is generated by a Hamiltonian  $H \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times M)$ , i.e.,  $\varphi = \varphi_H^1$ . Since  $L$  is non-narrow,  $[L] \in QH(L)$  is non-trivial. Note that

$[M] \bullet [L] = [L]$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell([L], H) &= \ell([(t^{-\tau} u_1) * \cdots * u_k] \bullet [L], H) \\
&= \ell((t^{-\tau} u_1) \bullet u_2 \bullet \cdots \bullet (u_k \bullet [L]), H) \\
&= \ell(u_1 \bullet u_2 \bullet \cdots \bullet (u_k \bullet [L]), H) + \tau A_L \\
&< \ell(u_2 \bullet \cdots \bullet (u_k \bullet [L]), H) + \tau A_L \\
&< \cdots \\
&< \ell(u_k \bullet [L], H) + \tau A_L,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where we have used the module structure of Lagrangian quantum homology in the second equality, the quantum shift property (LS3) in the third equality and Theorem 4 in the remained inequalities. Using Theorem 4 again we obtain  $\ell(u_k \bullet [L], H) < \ell([L], H) + I_\omega(u_k) = \ell([L], H)$ . This together with (5.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell([L], H) - \tau A_L &= \ell(u_1 \bullet \cdots \bullet (u_k \bullet [L]), H) < \ell(u_2 \bullet \cdots \bullet (u_k \bullet [L]), H) < \cdots \\
&< \ell(u_k \bullet [L], H) < \ell([L], H).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the spectral property (LS2) of  $\ell$  implies that there exist  $k + 1$  elements  $\tilde{x}_i = [x_i, \bar{x}_i] \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{H,L})$  such that

$$\ell([L], H) - \tau A_L = \mathcal{A}_{H,L}(\tilde{x}_1) < \cdots < \mathcal{A}_{H,L}(\tilde{x}_{k+1}) = \ell([L], H). \tag{5.2}$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set consisting of  $a_i := \mathcal{A}_{H,L}(\tilde{x}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k + 1$ . We define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  by  $a_i \sim a_j$  if  $(a_i - a_j)/A_L \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$  the set of these equivalence classes. Clearly, the number of different Hamiltonian paths  $x_i$  (equal to the number of elements in  $L \cap \varphi(L)$ ) is exactly  $\#\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ . So to finish the proof we only need to estimate the latter. Due to  $[a_1] = [a_{k+1}]$ , by (5.2) this class contains at most  $\tau + 1$  different representative elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and each other class contains at most  $\tau$  different ones. Therefore, we have

$$\#\widehat{\mathcal{B}} \geq 1 + \left\lceil \frac{k + 1 - \tau - 1}{\tau} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{k}{\tau} \right\rceil$$

which concludes the desired result.

## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In Floer theory the Lagrangian (resp. Hamiltonian) spectral invariants serve as the minmax critical value selectors, the Lagrangian (resp. Hamiltonian) Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory seems to be a very useful tool towards the Arnold conjecture for degenerate Lagrangian intersections (resp. degenerate symplectic fixed points), and of independent interests. In the following concluding remarks we summarize some directions of further study of this class of objects.

- Just as we have seen in Theorem 6 and Theorem 7, the lower bounds given by the algebraic structures of Lagrangian quantum homology (more specifically, by FQF and LFQF) does not depend on the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms on an ambient symplectic manifold. So making a lower bound estimate of Lagrangian intersections could be translated into a pure algebraic calculation as long as the product and module structures of Lagrangian quantum homology (identically, Lagrangian Floer homology) are known.

- Since Lagrangian spectral invariants generalize Hamiltonian spectral invariants (see [48]), the Lagrangian Ljusternik–Schnirelman inequality I in Theorem 3 can be viewed naturally as a generalisation of the Hamiltonian Ljusternik–Schnirelman inequality established by Ginzburg and Gürel [31]. Indeed, let  $\Delta$  be the Lagrangian diagonal in the product symplectic manifold  $(M \times M, \omega \oplus (-\omega))$ , then  $N_\Delta = 2C_M \geq 2$ . Furthermore, there is canonical algebra isomorphism  $QH(\Delta) = QH(M)$  so that for every class  $\alpha \in QH(\Delta)$  and every Hamiltonian  $H \in C_c^\infty(S^1 \times M)$  we have

$$\sigma(\alpha, H) = \ell(\alpha, H \oplus 0),$$

see [48, Theorem 5] for a proof. Correspondingly, if  $M^{2n}$  be a monotone tame symplectic manifold, then for  $\alpha \in \widehat{QH}(M) = H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Gamma$  and  $\beta \in QH(M)$  we have the strict inequality

$$\sigma(\alpha * \beta, H) < \sigma(\beta, H) + I_\omega(\alpha).$$

Indeed, the monotone condition in the above inequality could be substituted with the weaker condition that  $M$  is weakly monotone (see McDuff and Salamon [52] for the definition) with a minor change of the definition of the Novikov ring  $\Gamma$ , see [31]. In view of this relation between Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Ljusternik–Schnirelman theories, one may propose the following definition:

**Definition 19.** Let  $M^{2n}$  be a monotone tamed symplectic manifold  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$ . We say that  $M$  has a *fundamental quantum factorization* (denoted by FQF for short) of length  $l$  with order  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  if there exist  $u_1, \dots, u_l \in H_{* < 2n}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that

$$s^\kappa[M] = u_1 * u_2 * \cdots * u_l \quad \text{in } QH(M).$$

Similarly to Theorem 7, one can obtain

**Theorem 8.** Let  $M^{2n}$  be a monotone tame symplectic manifold  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$ . Suppose that  $M$  has a FQF of length  $l$  with order  $\kappa$ . Let  $\varphi$  be any compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphism on  $M$  with isolated fixed points. Then  $\varphi$  has at least  $\lceil l/\kappa \rceil$  fixed points.

With this theorem at hand, one could easily deduce from the quantum product of quantum homology/cohomology of an explicit symplectic manifold that the least number of fixed points which a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism must have. For instance, for  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  we have

$$h^{*k} = \begin{cases} h^{\cap k}, & 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ [\mathbb{C}P^n]_s, & k = n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Here  $h = [\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}] \in H_{2n-2}(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  the class of a hyperplane in the quantum homology  $QH(\mathbb{C}P^n)$ . So  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  has a FQF of length  $n + 1$  with order 1, and hence every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}am(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  must have at least  $n + 1$  fixed points. This recovers the classical result by Fortune [27], see also [28, 26]. Many other related results could also be obtained similarly. A completed list of such examples is not possible to present here, for more examples we refer to [31].

- In principle, one could extend Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory to a more general background of spectral invariants, for instance, *spectral length* introduced by Atallah and Shelukhin [3], *spectral invariants with bulk* introduced by Fukaya et al [29], *PHF spectral invariants* introduced by Edtmair and Hutchings [20] and so on. After that one may use various versions of Ljusternik–Schnirelman theory to study properties of Hamiltonian

dynamics or symplectic geometry,<sup>1</sup> e.g., making more refined estimates of the numbers of Lagrangian intersections, periodic orbits, Reeb chords, etc, it shall appear elsewhere.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Albers, A Lagrangian Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz morphism and two comparison homomorphisms in Floer homology. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2008, Art. ID rnm134, 56 pp.
- [2] V. I. Arnold, First steps in symplectic topology. (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **41** (1986), 3–18.
- [3] M.-S. Atallah and E. Shelukhin, Hamiltonian no-torsion. arXiv:2008.11758, Aug. (2020)
- [4] M. Audin, F. Lalonde and L. Polterovich, *Symplectic rigidity: Lagrangian submanifolds*, from: “Holomorphic curves in symplectic geometry”, (M. Audin and J. Lafontaine, editors), Progr. Math., **117**, Birkhäuser, Basel (1994) 271–321.
- [5] P. Biran and O. Cornea, Rigidity and uniruling for Lagrangian submanifolds. *Geom. Topol.* **13** (2009), 2881–2989.
- [6] P. Biran and O. Cornea, Quantum Structures for Lagrangian Submanifolds. arXiv:0708.4221, July (2007).
- [7] P. Biran and O. Cornea, Lagrangian Quantum Homology. arXiv:0808.3989, Aug. (2008).
- [8] P. Biran, M. Entov, and L. Polterovich. Calabi quasimorphisms for the symplectic ball. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **6** (2004), 793–802.
- [9] M.-R. Bisgaard, Invariants of Lagrangian cobordisms via spectral numbers. *J. Topol. Anal.* **11** (2019), 205–231.
- [10] L. Buhovsky V. Humilière and S. Seyfaddini, The action spectrum and  $C^0$  symplectic topology. *Math. Ann.* **380** (2021), 293–316.
- [11] L. Buhovsky V. Humilière and S. Seyfaddini, An Arnold-type principle for non-smooth objects. arXiv:1909.07081, Sep. (2019).
- [12] K.-C. Chang, *Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problem*, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [13] K.-C. Chang and M.-Y. Jiang, The Lagrange intersections for  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{R}P^n)$ . *Manuscripta Math.* **68** (1990), 89–100.
- [14] Yu. V. Chekanov, *Hofer’s symplectic energy and Lagrangian intersections*, from: “Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994)”, 296–306, Publ. Newton Inst., **8**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [15] Yu. V. Chekanov, Lagrangian intersections, symplectic energy, and areas of holomorphic curves. *Duke Math. J.* **95** (1998), 213–226.
- [16] Yu. V. Chekanov, Invariant Finsler metrics on the space of Lagrangian embeddings. *Math. Z.* **234** (2000), 605–619.
- [17] C.-H. Cho, Holomorphic discs, spin structures, and Floer cohomology of the Clifford torus, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2004), 1803–1843
- [18] C.-H. Cho, Products of Floer cohomology of torus fibers in toric Fano manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **260** (2005), 613–640.
- [19] O. Cornea, G. Lupton, J. Oprea and D. Tanré *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, volume **103** of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [20] O. Edtmair and M. Hutchings, PFH spectral invariants and  $C^\infty$  closing lemmas. arXiv:2110.02463, Oct. (2021).
- [21] Y. Eliashberg and M. Gromov, *Lagrangian intersection theory: finite-dimensional approach*, from: “Geometry of differential equations”, 27–118, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 186, Adv. Math. Sci., **39**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [22] Y. Eliashberg and M. Gromov, Lagrangian intersections and the stable Morse theory. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7)* **11** (1997), suppl., 289–326.
- [23] M. Entov and L. Polterovich, Rigid subsets of symplectic manifolds. *Compos. Math.* **145** (2009), 773–826.
- [24] A. Floer, Morse theory for Lagrangian intersections. *J. Differential Geom.* **28** (1988), 513–547.
- [25] A. Floer, Cuplength estimates on Lagrangian intersections. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1989), 335–356.
- [26] A. Floer, Symplectic fixed points and holomorphic spheres. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **120** (1989), 575–611.
- [27] B. Fortune, A symplectic fixed point theorem for  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , *Invent. Math.* **81** (1985), 29–46.
- [28] B. Fortune and A. Weinstein, A symplectic fixed point theorem for complex projective spaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **12** (1985), 128–130.
- [29] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta and K. Ono, Spectral invariants with bulk, quasi-morphisms and Lagrangian Floer theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **260** (2019), no. 1254, x+266 pp.

<sup>1</sup>which we will investigate in our future work [34]

- [30] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta and K. Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. Part I–II*, volume **46** of *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
- [31] V. L. Ginzburg, and B. Z. Gürel, Action and index spectra and periodic orbits in Hamiltonian dynamics. *Geom. Topol.* **13** (2009), 2745–2805.
- [32] V. L. Ginzburg, and B. Z. Gürel, Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory and closed Reeb orbits. *Math. Z.* **295** (2020), 515–582.
- [33] A. B. Givental, Nonlinear generalization of the Maslov index. *Theory of singularities and its applications*, 71–103, Adv. Soviet Math. **1**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- [34] W. Gong, In preparation.
- [35] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [36] W. Howard, Action selectors and the fixed point set of a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism. arXiv: 1211.0580, Nov. (2012).
- [37] Hofer, H., Lagrangian Embeddings and Critical Point Theory. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **2** (1985), 407–462.
- [38] H. Hofer, Lusternik-Schnirelman-theory for Lagrangian intersections. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **5** (1988), 465–499.
- [39] H. Hofer, On the topological properties of symplectic maps. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **115** (1990), 25–38.
- [40] H. Hofer, and E. Zehnder *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994. xiv+341 pp.
- [41] Y. Kawamoto, On  $C^0$ -continuity of the spectral norm on non-symplectically aspherical manifolds. arXiv:1905.07809, May (2019).
- [42] J. Katić and D. Milinković, Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz isomorphisms for Lagrangian intersections. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **22** (2005), 215–227.
- [43] A. Kislev and E. Shelukhin, Bounds on spectral norms and barcodes. *Geom. Topol.* **25** (2021) 3257–3350.
- [44] M. Konstantinov and J. Smith, Monotone Lagrangians in  $CP^n$  of minimal Maslov number  $n + 1$ . *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **171** (2021), 1–21.
- [45] F. Laudenchbach and J.-C. Sikorav, Persistence d’intersection avec la section nulle au cours d’une isotopie hamiltonienne dans un fibré cotangent. *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985), 349–357.
- [46] H.-V. Lê and K. Ono, *Cup-length estimates for symplectic fixed points*, from: “Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994)”, 268–295, Publ. Newton Inst., **8**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [47] R. Leclercq, Spectral invariants in Lagrangian Floer theory. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **2** (2008), 249–286.
- [48] R. Leclercq and F. Zapolsky, Spectral invariants for monotone Lagrangians. *J. Topol. Anal.* **10** (2018), 627–700.
- [49] C.-G. Liu, Cup-length estimate for Lagrangian intersections. *J. Differential Equations* **209** (2005), 57–76.
- [50] G. Lu, Symplectic fixed points and Lagrangian intersections on weighted projective spaces. *Houston J. Math.* **34** (2008), 301–316.
- [51] G. Lu, An explicit isomorphism between Floer homology and quantum homology. *Pacific J. Math.* **213** (2004), 319–363.
- [52] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, **52**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. xii+669 pp.
- [53] A. Monzner, N. Vichery and F. Zapolsky, Partial quasi-morphisms and quasi-states on cotangent bundles, and symplectic homogenization. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **6** (2012), 205–249.
- [54] Y.-G. Oh, Floer cohomology of Lagrangian intersections and pseudo-holomorphic disks. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **46** (1993), 949–993.
- [55] Y.-G. Oh, Symplectic topology as the geometry of action functional. I. Relative Floer theory on the cotangent bundle. *J. Differential Geom.* **46** (1997), 499–577.
- [56] Y.-G. Oh, Symplectic topology as the geometry of action functional. II. Pants product and cohomological invariants. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **7** (1999), 1–54.
- [57] Y.-G. Oh, Construction of spectral invariants of Hamiltonian paths on closed symplectic manifolds, from “The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry”, 525–570, Progr. Math., **232**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.

- [58] Y.-G. Oh, Lectures on Floer theory and spectral invariants of Hamiltonian flows, from “Morse theoretic methods in nonlinear analysis and in symplectic topology”, 321–416, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., **217**, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [59] Y.-G. Oh, Spectral invariants, analysis of the Floer moduli space, and geometry of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism group. *Duke Math. J.* **130** (2005), 199–295.
- [60] S. Piunikhin, D. Salamon and M. Schwarz, Symplectic Floer-Donaldson theory and quantum cohomology, from “Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994)”, 171–200, Publ. Newton Inst., **8**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [61] M. Schwarz, On the action spectrum for closed symplectically aspherical manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.* **193** (2000), 419–461.
- [62] M. Schwarz, A quantum cup-length estimate for symplectic fixed points. *Invent. Math.* **133** (1998), 353–397.
- [63] P. Seidel, Graded Lagrangian submanifolds. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **128** (2000), 103–149.
- [64] C. Viterbo, Symplectic topology as the geometry of generating functions. *Math. Ann.* **292** (1992), 685–710.
- [65] C. Viterbo, Some remarks on Massey products, tied cohomology classes, and the Lusternik-Shnirelman category. *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 547–564.
- [66] C. Viterbo, Intersection of Lagrangian submanifolds, action functionals and index of Hamiltonian systems. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **115** (1987), 361–390.
- [67] F. Zapolsky, The Lagrangian Floer-quantum-PSS package and canonical orientations in Floer theory. arXiv:1507.02253. July (2015).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100875, CHINA

*Email address:* wmgong@bnu.edu.cn