
SIMPLETRON: ELIMINATING SOFTMAX FROM ATTENTION COMPUTATION

A PREPRINT

✉ **Uladzislau Yorsh**

Faculty of Information Technology
Czech Technical University in Prague
Prague, Czech Republic
yorshula@fit.cvut.cz

✉ **Pavel Kordik**

Faculty of Information Technology
Czech Technical University in Prague
Prague, Czech Republic
kordik@fit.cvut.cz

✉ **Alexander Kovalenko**

Faculty of Information Technology
Czech Technical University in Prague
Prague, Czech Republic
kovalale@fit.cvut.cz

December 3, 2021

ABSTRACT

In this paper we propose that the dot product pairwise matching attention layer, which is widely used in Transformer-based models, is redundant for the model performance. Attention in its original formulation has to be seen rather as a human-level tool to explore and/or visualize relevancy scores in the sequences. Instead, we present a simple and fast alternative without any approximation that, to the best of our knowledge, outperforms existing attention approximations on several tasks from the Long-Range Arena benchmark.

1 Introduction

Initially designed for natural language processing, the Transformer [1] architecture emerged in a wide range of other domains and quickly became a state-of-the-art in language modeling[2] and generative[3][4] tasks, image processing[5][6], speech recognition[7], reinforcement learning[8] as well as others. According to the original paper name "Attention is All You Need"[1], it seemed to be widely considered that the query-key-value framework, which implies a global pairwise comparison between query and key tokens, is a necessary condition for the model performance. Even-though such a mechanism allowed a human-comprehensible visualization of interactions between the tokens, unveiling the interpretability up to some extent, an element-wise token comparison induced a quadratic complexity both in terms of time and space. Therefore, even though unlike most recurrent neural networks [9] original Transformer architecture virtually can handle arbitrarily long range dependencies given the infinite compute, the complexity of regular full-rank attention limits Transformer applications where extremely long sequences are required.

In this paper we present an extremely simple yet efficient solution to replace the original quadratic complexity softmax attention. The proposed mechanism not only possesses linear time and memory complexity, but outperforms the current state-of-the-art Transformers on the text classification, matching and ListOps tasks from the LRA[10] benchmark, which became a widely applied test for sequence processing models.

2 Related Work

As a restrictive limitation, the computational complexity of the original model motivated the community to quest for the solution in order to approximate the architecture with asymptotically faster models[11]. Thus, recently, a dizzying

number of so-called "Efficient Transformers" appeared. Each of these implementations applied some notion of sparsity to the otherwise dense attention mechanism and reached a sub-quadratic complexity with comparable performance.

Among the solutions to rationalize the Transformer complexity, there were engineering approaches such as sparse attention [12, 13], graph attention [14] or compressive attention [15] that maps past hidden activations to a smaller set of compressed representations, allowed to use longer sequences at comparable compute. Further engineering methods include Longformer [16], where attention mechanism is a combination of a windowed local-context self-attention and a global attention that encodes inductive bias, Coformer [17] and Attention Augmented CNN [18] which are hybrid architectures of CNN augmented Transformers, Imputer [19] – the model that generates output sequences iteratively via imputations and dynamic programming, Reformer [20] using dot-product attention and reversible residual layers, N-gram Masked Self-Attention [21] etc.

Another branch of research to reduce Transformer complexity is dedicated to matrix and kernel approximations based on strong mathematical basis. That includes Performer [22], which uses kernel approximation, factorized attention [23], random feature attention [24] or, for example, Nyströmformer [25] using Nyström matrix approximation. Finally, learnable kernel approximation was presented by Chowdhury et al. [26], where the authors reported trainable kernel by learning the spectral distribution and approximation of the Transformer kernel as a dot product between spectral feature maps.

Additionally there are several studies that changed the whole concept of attention and replaced it with Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) which does not require any training [27], or used dense layers to mix the tokens along both axes [28]. Another work [29] reported vision transformer-inspired[5] model that independently mixes the spatial and channel locations of image patches using depth-wise convolutions, outperforming existing transformer-based solutions for image recognition.

3 The Model

The original multi-head attention layer utilizes the softmax-normalization of a product of query and transposed key matrices:

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d}}\right)V$$

where Q - query, K - key, V - value, d is the query dimensionality. This operation is typically being applied head-wise, by splitting the Q , K and V matrices across the hidden dimension axis.

Q , K , and V are rectangular matrices with the first dimension typically dominating the second one. Thus, the quadratic complexity appears upon the QK^T operation with respect to the sequence length. Swapping matrix multiplication order (first $K^T V$, then multiply with Q) would reduce complexity to linear, however softmax non-linearity forbids such shuffling. Here, we applied several major tweaks to the model in order to reach linear complexity and to improve performance:

- Rejected the softmax nonlinearity.
- Changed the order of matrix multiplication to avoid quadratic complexity.
- Removed the feed-forward linear layer following attention.

Therefore we obtain a no-Softmax attention with the direct q-k-v product, which could be described by the following simple equation:

$$\text{SimpleAttention}(Q, K, V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}QK^T V$$

where $\text{SimpleAttention}(\cdot)$ denotes an attention operation on a single head, L denotes a sequence length, and Q , K , $V \in R^{L \times d/h}$ stand for a head of the query-key-value input projections. We again emphasize that our attention module doesn't contain the succeeding linear layer as in [1], and the q-k-v product goes directly to the residual sum with input.

Unlike the linear mixing models such as [27], this transformation is not linear:

$$\text{SimpleSelfAttention}_h(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}(XQ_h)(XK_h)^T(XV_h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}X(Q_hK_h^T)X^T XV_h \stackrel{\text{sub.}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}XP_hX^T XV_h$$

where $\text{SimpleSelfAttention}_h(X)$ is the self-attention operation on the h^{th} head done by our framework; Q_h , K_h and V_h are columns of projection matrices corresponding to the h^{th} head; P_h is the corresponding $d \times d$ matrix and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times d}$ is the Transformer block input. This equation resembles the quadratic form multiplied again by the input, and finally by the last projection matrix. While P_h may be learned directly, we recommend to keep the original Q , K and V projections for the case we'll describe later.

4 Experiments

4.1 LRA benchmark

Even though numerous approximations of the vanilla Transformer claimed comparable or even superior performance to the original model, it is fair to express that each model can be task-dependent and possess strikingly different results upon modality. Moreover, some benchmark test can be parameter-dependent, thus bigger models can perform better. Therefore up to some point effective evaluation of the Transformer-like models was uncertain, due to the absence of a unified and systematic benchmark. In this regard, Tay et al. [10] published the benchmark for efficient Transformer models called "Long Range Arena" (LRA), that consists of task of various data types and modalities, where data is presented in sequences ranging from 1K to 16K tokens.

We use LRA[10] as the standardised benchmark for efficient Transformer evaluation:

- Following the recommendations from [10], we replicate the learning schedule and all the hyperparameters that relate to our model architecture, while keeping additional parametrization below 10%
- To reproduce the experimental setup from [10], we used the gradient accumulation in order to simulate larger batch sizes
- Given the stochastic weight initialization and sampling, each model was trained for 5 times to observe model behavior, accuracy variance and to avoid so-called *black swans* – random seeds that give radically different results [30]. Best results are reported in Table 1
- We test our model on the three LRA tasks – BPE text classification, information retrieval and ListOps. **Results on CIFAR10 task and other datasets will be added to this preprint upon completion.**
- We could not reproduce the Pathfinder experiment from LRA, so we eliminate it from evaluation
- Since our models tend to converge slower in terms of number of iterations, we prolonged the training on matching and ListOps tasks to 15K steps.

The model was implemented in PyTorch library.

4.2 SimpleAttention transfer

In the beginning of the paper, we raised a question, whether we need a pairwise matching attention layer or any of its approximations. To find the answer we performed a simple experiment.

First, the *SimpleAttention* model was trained regularly, reaching its top accuracy. As q-k-v matrices are of the same dimensions in both *SimpleAttention* and original *SoftmaxAttention*, thus the weights are interchangeable. Therefore, we transferred the trained weights from *SimpleAttention* to *SoftmaxAttention*, froze q-k-v layers and retrained the rest of the model. The logic behind such experiment was quite simple: if we need a pairwise comparison in q-k product, then the model won't be able to reach the efficiency of a vanilla Transformer as q-k-v layers are frozen and not trained optimally.

5 Results and Discussion

Number of parameters By removing feed-forward linear layer following the q-k-v product, our model in fact had less parameters than its counterparts given the restrictions reported in [10]. Which is only a small margin, however worth mentioning as the authors placed parametrization restrictions in their paper.

Training speed By swapping the q-k-v product matrices and avoiding any kind of approximation we've reached a truly linear complexity with a respect to the input length. It has to be emphasized, that the most of linear attention approximations reporting linear complexity, in fact omitting high architecture-dependent multiplier, which should be taken into account in practice.

Model	Complexity	Classification	Matching	ListOps
Random Transformer	$\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(L^2)$	50.00 64.27	50.00 57.46	10.00 36.37
Synthesizer	$\mathcal{O}(L^2)$	61.68	54.67	36.99
Sinkhorn Trans.	$\mathcal{O}(B^2 + (N/B)^2)$	61.20	53.83	33.67
Sparse Trans.	$\mathcal{O}(L\sqrt{L})$	63.58	59.59	17.07
Reformer	$\mathcal{O}(L \log L)$	56.10	53.40	37.27
Local Attention	$\mathcal{O}(LK)$	52.98	53.39	15.82
Longformer	$\mathcal{O}(LK)$	62.85	56.89	35.63
Linformer	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	53.94	52.27	35.70
BigBird	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	64.02	59.29	36.05
Linear ELU	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	65.90	53.09	16.13
Performer	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	65.40	53.82	18.01
GMM-RKS	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	66.20	58.74	18.15
FastFood-RKS	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	65.91	57.47	18.20
Generative-RKS	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	66.37	59.02	17.80
GMM-PRF	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	62.70	59.64	36.95
FastFood-PRF	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	64.69	67.90	37.25
Generative-PRF	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	62.39	67.18	37.10
Simple (ours)	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	66.75	67.92	37.45

Table 1: Baseline and proposed models on the BPE text classification task from LRA. We denote sequence length as L , attention span as K and Sinkhorn model block size as B .

Memory efficiency The current model was found to be an order of magnitude more memory efficient on the BPE text classification task in comparison with the vanilla transformer.

Classification accuracy To observe the model behaviour and accuracy variance, we trained the model for 5 times, given the stochastic weight initialization, and avoid so-called *black swans* - random seeds that give radically different results [30]. As a result, our model had shown 66.75/67.92/37.45 % top accuracy on the test classification/matching/ListOps splits and 66.61/67.04/37.15 % mean₅ test accuracy respectively, outperforming other known linear approximation of attention mechanism.

Normalization The original Transformer model uses the $1/\sqrt{d}$ normalization term of the QK^T product to counteract the vector magnitude explosion and the following decreased gradient flow through the Softmax. Since we don't use any saturating function in the attention module, our model works without any normalization terms. However, we have found the $1/\sqrt{L}$ term to be useful for a more stable convergence.

Convergence We have found our model to be converging notably slower than competitors in terms of number of iterations, but this is being counteracted by faster computation. Furthermore, we argue that according to the validation loss and accuracy behavior on some tasks even better results could be obtained by further training of our model, see Figure 1.

Attention transfer Transferred weights from, *SimpleAttention* model to the original *SoftmaxAttention* model has shown an interesting behaviour: having about 30% less trainable parameters and in fact no ability to learn pairwise relation between the tokens in the sequences, the model trained up to the original accuracy (Figure 2) of the vanilla transformer, however in much less of training epochs. We assume, that given the frozen gradients in q-k-v layers, such




Figure 1: Accuracy plots of example runs on several tasks. A positive trend in validation accuracy may be observed before the training stops.




Figure 2: Training (dashed lines) and validation (solid lines) curves of the original vanilla transformer (red lines) architecture and transformer with frozen q-k-v layers (blue lines) transferred from *SimpleAttention* model.

SimpleAttention pre-training is an evidence of the pairwise token comparison redundancy. This also opens a way of fast (re)training of already deployed models.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

To conclude, we raised the question, whether the attention or any of its approximation is needed for the model performance. We presented a simple alternative of a truly linear model with a respect to the input length, that outperformed existing models on the several LRA tasks, at the same time possessing an extremely fast and memory efficient training. The key point is to reject the QK^T product with the following Softmax normalization and the linear layer after. Moreover, we showed that trained q-k-v in the *SimpleAttention* model can be effectively transferred to the classical *SoftmaxAttention*. Nevertheless, there are several tasks yet to be done:

Different tasks Transformer architecture is known to be pervasive, however we are fully aware that performance of any model can be task-dependent, thus our goal in the near future is to finish the LRA tasks for this model and to provide experiments on other datasets.

Interpretability Initially the attention mechanism allowed a human-comprehensible visualization of relevancy scores in the sequences, nevertheless many approximation models lost this feature. Our goal is to gain it back using our model.

q-k-v framework elimination In the present work we followed the original q-k-v framework in order to show the step further toward attentionless transformer architecture. However, we believe that there is a more efficient framework as long as q-k-v initially assumed global pairwise comparison.

References

- [1] Ashish Vaswani et al. *Attention Is All You Need*. 2017. arXiv: 1706.03762 [cs.CL].
- [2] Jacob Devlin et al. *BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding*. 2019. arXiv: 1810.04805 [cs.CL].
- [3] Alec Radford et al. “Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners”. In: (2018). URL: <https://d4mucfpksywv.cloudfront.net/better-language-models/language-models.pdf>.
- [4] Tom B. Brown et al. *Language Models are Few-Shot Learners*. 2020. arXiv: 2005.14165 [cs.CL].
- [5] Alexey Dosovitskiy et al. *An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale*. 2021. arXiv: 2010.11929 [cs.CV].
- [6] Hugo Touvron et al. *Training data-efficient image transformers and distillation through attention*. 2021. arXiv: 2012.12877 [cs.CV].
- [7] Yangyang Shi et al. *Emformer: Efficient Memory Transformer Based Acoustic Model For Low Latency Streaming Speech Recognition*. 2020. arXiv: 2010.10759 [cs.SD].
- [8] Lili Chen et al. *Decision Transformer: Reinforcement Learning via Sequence Modeling*. 2021. arXiv: 2106.01345 [cs.LG].
- [9] Ilya Sutskever. *Training recurrent neural networks*. University of Toronto Toronto, Canada, 2013.
- [10] Yi Tay et al. *Long Range Arena: A Benchmark for Efficient Transformers*. 2020. arXiv: 2011.04006 [cs.LG].
- [11] Yi Tay et al. *Efficient Transformers: A Survey*. 2020. arXiv: 2009.06732 [cs.LG].
- [12] Aurko Roy et al. *Efficient Content-Based Sparse Attention with Routing Transformers*. 2020. arXiv: 2003.05997 [cs.LG].
- [13] Rewon Child et al. *Generating Long Sequences with Sparse Transformers*. 2019. arXiv: 1904.10509 [cs.LG].
- [14] Wasi Uddin Ahmad, Nanyun Peng, and Kai-Wei Chang. *GATE: Graph Attention Transformer Encoder for Cross-lingual Relation and Event Extraction*. 2021. arXiv: 2010.03009 [cs.CL].
- [15] Jack W. Rae et al. *Compressive Transformers for Long-Range Sequence Modelling*. 2019. arXiv: 1911.05507 [cs.LG].
- [16] Iz Beltagy, Matthew E. Peters, and Arman Cohan. *Longformer: The Long-Document Transformer*. 2020. arXiv: 2004.05150 [cs.CL].
- [17] Anmol Gulati et al. *Conformer: Convolution-augmented Transformer for Speech Recognition*. 2020. arXiv: 2005.08100 [eess.AS].
- [18] Irwan Bello et al. *Attention Augmented Convolutional Networks*. 2020. arXiv: 1904.09925 [cs.CV].
- [19] William Chan et al. *Imputer: Sequence Modelling via Imputation and Dynamic Programming*. 2020. arXiv: 2002.08926 [eess.AS].
- [20] Nikita Kitaev, Łukasz Kaiser, and Anselm Levskaya. *Reformer: The Efficient Transformer*. 2020. arXiv: 2001.04451 [cs.LG].
- [21] Ciprian Chelba et al. *Faster Transformer Decoding: N-gram Masked Self-Attention*. 2020. arXiv: 2001.04589 [cs.LG].
- [22] Krzysztof Choromanski et al. *Rethinking Attention with Performers*. 2021. arXiv: 2009.14794 [cs.LG].
- [23] Zhuoran Shen et al. *Efficient Attention: Attention with Linear Complexities*. 2020. arXiv: 1812.01243 [cs.CV].
- [24] Hao Peng et al. *Random Feature Attention*. 2021. arXiv: 2103.02143 [cs.CL].
- [25] Yunyang Xiong et al. *Nyströmformer: A Nyström-Based Algorithm for Approximating Self-Attention*. 2021. arXiv: 2102.03902 [cs.CL].
- [26] Sankalan Pal Chowdhury et al. *On Learning the Transformer Kernel*. 2021. arXiv: 2110.08323 [cs.LG].
- [27] James Lee-Thorp et al. *FNet: Mixing Tokens with Fourier Transforms*. 2021. arXiv: 2105.03824 [cs.CL].
- [28] Ilya Tolstikhin et al. *MLP-Mixer: An all-MLP Architecture for Vision*. 2021. arXiv: 2105.01601 [cs.CV].
- [29] Anonymous. “Patches Are All You Need?” In: *Submitted to The Tenth International Conference on Learning Representations*. under review. 2022. URL: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=TVHS5Y4dNvM>.
- [30] David Picard. *Torch.manual_seed(3407) is all you need: On the influence of random seeds in deep learning architectures for computer vision*. 2021. arXiv: 2109.08203 [cs.CV].

Appendix A Hyperparameters

Parameter	Classification	Matching	ListOps
Seq. Length	4000	4000	2000
Batch Size	32	32	32
Training Steps	20 000	15 000	15 000
Optimizer	AdamW ($\beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.999$)		
Base LR	0.05	0.05	0.005
Weight Decay	0.1	0.1	0.1
Warmup Steps	8000	8000	1000
Schedule	Base LR * Warmup * Sqrt Decay		
Warmup Mul.	$\min(1, \text{Current Step}/\text{Warmup Steps})$		
Sqrt Decay Mul.	$1/\sqrt{\max(\text{Current Step}, \text{Warmup Steps})}$		
Loss	CCE		
Blocks	4	4	6
Heads	4	4	8
Hidden dim.	256	128	512
QKV dim.	256	128	512
MLP dim.	1024	512	2048
Dropout	0.1	0.1	0.1
Activation	GELU	GELU (ReLU in output)	GELU
Pooling	CLS	CLS	CLS
Pos. encoding	Learnable	Learnable	Learnable

Table 2: Hyperparameters used for this experiment