

Variational Autoencoders for Precoding Matrices with High Spectral Efficiency

Evgeny Bobrov^{1,2}[0000-0002-2584-6649], Alexander Markov⁵
Yulia Novikova², and Dmitry Vetrov^{3,4}

¹ M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia
eugenbobrov@ya.ru

² Moscow Research Center, Huawei Technologies, Russia
novikova.yulia@huawei.com

³ National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia

⁴ Artificial Intelligence Research Institute, Russia
vetrovd@yandex.ru

⁵ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Russia
almarkv@yandex.ru

Abstract. Neural networks have been used for channel decoding, channel detection, channel evaluation, and resource management in multi-input and multi-output (MIMO) wireless communication systems. In this paper, we consider the problem of finding a precoding matrix with high spectral efficiency (SE) using a variational autoencoder. There is an optimization procedure for finding optimal precoding matrices. Our goal is to build a less time-consuming algorithm with minimal loss of quality from the optimal one. As a solution to achieve this goal, we used two types of variational autoencoders to build precoding matrices: the classical variational autoencoder (VAE) and the conditional variational autoencoder (CVAE). Both methods can be used to study a wide range of optimal pre-coding matrices. The VAE and CVAE methods allow restoring the distribution of the predicted value by sampling random variables from the normal distribution at the intermediate stage of calculations. The construction of precoding matrices and their distribution for the SE objective function using VAE and CVAE methods is described in the literature for the first time.

Keywords: MIMO · Precoding · DL · VAE · SE · SINR.

1 Introduction

Massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) is one of the core technologies of fifth-generation (5G) wireless systems as it promises significant improvements in Spectral Efficiency (SE) [29], compared to traditional, small-scale MIMO. Massive MIMO scales up the number of antennas in a conventional system by orders of magnitude [25]. In multi-user (MU) massive MIMO, the infrastructure base stations (BSs) are equipped with hundreds of antenna elements that simultaneously serve tens of user equipments (UEs) in the same frequency band.

A key advantage of the massive number of antennas is that the SE quality is significantly improved by employing simple linear signal processing techniques [5], [16], [1], [2]. In multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems with a large number of antennas, precoding is an important part of downlink signal processing, since this procedure can focus the transmission signal energy on smaller areas and allows for greater spectral efficiency with less transmitted power [20], [3], [7].

Various linear precodings allow to direct the maximum amount of energy to the user as Maximum Ratio Transmission (MRT) or completely get rid of inter-user interference as Zero-Forcing (ZF) [22]. There are also different non-linear precoding techniques such as Dirty Paper Coding (DPC), Vector Perturbation (VP), and L-BFGS SE Optimization, which get much better SE quality than linear methods but have much higher implementation complexity [26]. The authors of L-BFGS SE Optimization reduce the precoding problem to an unconstrained optimization task using a special differential projection method and solve it by the Quasi-Newton iterative procedure to achieve gains in capacity [36]. We will take this work as a basis, and we will accelerate the solution of the iterative procedure using machine learning methods.

The application of machine learning in the field of wireless communication has been widely studied [34], [31], [9] and deep neural network technology has recently become a promising theory in the field of wireless physical layer technology [32]. In [33], deep reinforcement learning is applied to a new decentralized resource allocation mechanism for communication between vehicles. In [4], deep learning tools are used to automatically configure 1-bit precoders in complex MU precoding algorithms. The [28] offers a deep energy autoencoder for incoherent multi-user systems with multiple inputs and multiple outputs with multiple carriers, achieving higher reliability and flexibility. [11] has developed a new collaborative hybrid processing platform based on deep learning for end-to-end optimization. A beam selection method based on a deep neural network is proposed by [24]. In [13], the choice of a delayed repeater based on deep learning for secure cognitive relay networks with buffer management is investigated.

Among the teaching methods [31], [32], the VAE is a generation model that is widely used in unsupervised and supervised learning [34]. VAE is used in many areas, including image processing [27], text data processing [19] and recommendation systems [17]. A method using VAE has also been proposed to develop an equalizer for the blind in [10], and this study demonstrates the potential benefits of VAE for solving the precoding (beamforming) problem in the wireless MIMO system. A method using VAE in the field of data transmission [18] is proposed. A deep learning method for detecting the activity of VAE-based devices is also being studied in [35].

This work attempts to study the great potential of classical variational autoencoder (VAE) and conditional variational autoencoder (CVAE) in wireless MIMO systems as non-linear precoding methods. The structure of the proposed method is a combination of variational inference theory, neural networks and massive MIMO. The contribution of our work is as follows. Firstly, the vari-

ational optimization structure was built. Following the idea of variational inference, we approximate the a posteriori probability by a special distribution, which will be discussed later, and estimate the distance between the presented distributions using the Kullback-Leibler divergence (KL). $KL(q||p)$ denotes the Kullback-Leibler divergence which qualifies the distance of two distribution q and p [15].

In our work, the loss function used for network training was derived, and the network structure was developed on this basis. The loss function is derived from the mathematical theory of variational inference. The final loss function includes the spectral efficiency function (SE)[29]. The network structure consists of two fully connected layers. The channel matrix is fed to the network input. The output of the network is the predicted precoding matrix together with an approximate estimate of SE. The proposed VAE/CVAE method is compared with the complex and highly efficient optimization of L-BFGS[36], [8]. In the case of a single antenna, which is a special case of MIMO, SE performance similar to that of L-BFGS can be achieved using the VAE/CVAE method with less execution time than the iterative optimization L-BFGS method

We measure the quality of precoding using well-known functions such as Signal-to-Interference-and-Noise-Ratio (*SINR*) [30] and Spectral Efficiency (*SE*) [29]. In addition to these functions, the literature considers the function of Minimum MSE (MMSE) [14], and the quality indicator of the discrete bit error rate (BER) [12]. Based on the MMSE function, the linear precoding algorithms are derived [6].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the variational autoencoder model. In Section 3 we describe the system model of the studied Massive MIMO network. In Section 4 we describe the channel dataset. In Section 5 we set up the task that is being solved. In Section 6 we investigate a distribution of precoding matrices corresponding to the same spectral efficiency values. Here we also consider the problem of finding a good precoding matrix using a particular deep learning model – so-called conditional variational autoencoder. Section 7 contains numerical results and Section 8 contains the conclusion.

2 Variational Autoencoder

Given a set of independent and identically distributed samples from true data distribution $x_i \sim p_d(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, variational autoencoder (VAE) is used to build a probabilistic model $p_\theta(x)$ of the true data distribution $p_d(x)$.

Using VAE, it is easy to generate new objects from model distribution $p_\theta(x)$. The generative process of VAE:

1. Sample $z \sim p(z)$ – aka "latent" variable.
2. Sample $x \sim p_\theta(x|z)$.

The parameters of distribution $p_\theta(x|z)$ are obtained using a neural network with weights θ and z as an input (i.g. $p_\theta(x|z) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_\theta(z), \sigma_\theta^2(z))$). This network is called a generator or decoder.

To fit the model to data we maximize marginal log-likelihood $\log p_\theta(x)$ of the train set. However, $\log p_\theta(x)$ cannot be optimized straightforwardly, because there is an integral in high-dimensional space inside the logarithm which cannot be computed analytically or numerically estimated with enough accuracy in a reasonable amount of time. So to perform optimization we maximize the variational lower bound (VLB) on log-likelihood instead:

$$\begin{aligned} \log p_\theta(x) &\geq \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi(z|x)} \log p_\theta(x|z) - KL(q_\phi(z|x)||p(z)) = L(x; \phi, \theta) \rightarrow \max_{\phi, \theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$q_\phi(z|x)$ is called a proposal, recognition or variational distribution. It is usually defined as a Gaussian with parameters from a neural network with weights ϕ which takes x as an input: $q_\phi(z|x) = \mathcal{N}(z|\mu_\phi(x), \sigma_\phi^2(x)I)$.

It is also possible to make variational autoencoder dependent on some additional input c . The generative process of conditional variational autoencoder (CVAE):

1. Sample $z \sim p_\psi(z|c)$.
2. Sample $x \sim p_\theta(x|z, c)$.

Similar as before, to fit the model to data we maximize marginal conditional log-likelihood $\log p_{\psi, \theta}(x|c)$ of train set:

$$\begin{aligned} \log p_{\psi, \theta}(x|c) &\geq \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi(z|x, c)} \log p_\theta(x|z, c) - KL(q_\phi(z|x, c)||p_\psi(z|c)) = L(x; \phi, \psi, \theta) \rightarrow \max_{\phi, \psi, \theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note that CVAE uses three neural networks while VAE uses only two: prior distribution over z is now conditioned on c . It is defined as a Gaussian with parameters from a neural network with weights ψ which takes x as an input: $p_\psi(z|c) = \mathcal{N}(z|\mu_\psi(c), \sigma_\psi^2(c)I)$. Proposal and generative network also have c as an input in CVAE. The whole model is trained with a stochastic gradient ascent.

3 Optimization Function

Consider a MIMO setting with a single antenna for each user. The quality of transmission is determined by SINR_k – signal-to-interference-and-noise coefficient, the ratio of useful signal to interference signal plus noise:

$$\text{SINR}_k = \frac{|h_k \cdot w^k|^2}{\sum_{l \neq k} |h_k \cdot w^l|^2 + \delta^2}. \quad (3)$$

The final criterion for estimating the quality of precoding uses so-called spectral efficiency $\sum_k \log(1 + \text{SINR}_k)$ [29]. Also, we should account for a constraint on the total signal power that can be emitted from i -th antenna $\sum_k |w_i^k|^2$. As a result

we come to the following optimization problem for finding precoding weights $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times q}$:

$$f(W) = \sum_k \log \left(1 + \frac{|h_k \cdot w^k|^2}{\sum_{l \neq k} |h_k \cdot w^l|^2 + \delta^2} \right) \rightarrow \max_W, \quad (4)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_l |w_i^l|^2 \leq p.$$

One can notice that if W is the solution matrix for the above optimization problem then for any diagonal matrix X which elements lie on the unit circle in the complex plane, matrix $W \cdot X$ produces the same spectral efficiency and satisfies per-antenna power constraints.

This observation raises the following question: is there any hidden structure in a set of matrices W with high spectral efficiency? To study the distribution of precoding matrices with high SE we decided to use a variational autoencoder.

4 Dataset

For this task, channel matrices $H \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times n}$ are generated from the normal distribution. To obtain precoding matrices $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times q}$ for a given H , we optimize spectral efficiency using LBFGS optimization approach [8], starting from randomly initialized matrix W , until convergence. The LBFGS method is implemented with the PyTorch [23] framework.

For a given matrix H , we find three different solutions W_0, W_1, W_2 (obtained from different initial points) and choose one with the best spectral efficiency to get pair (H, W) . We repeat this N times to get N different matrices W_j for a fixed H , obtaining a set $(H, W_j)_{j=1}^N$.

To study how MIMO solutions change with the change of H , we than perturb H with random matrices Δ_i , obtaining K different channel matrices $H_i = H + \Delta_i$, where each Δ_i satisfies $\|\Delta_i\|_F^2 = \delta$ and repeat exactly the same process of generating a set of precoding matrices for each H_i .

Overall, we have a set of pairs $(H_i, W_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^{K+1,N}$ of channel matrices and corresponding MIMO solutions. We fix hyper parameters to be equal to $K = 15, q = 4, n = 16, N = 500, \delta = 5$.

5 Problem Setup and Research Questions

To sum up, in this task our goal is to train a variational autoencoder neural network, conditioned on channel matrix H , that generates precoding matrices for MIMO problems with channel matrix H . Our research questions are as follows:

- Can we produce new high-SE precoding matrices for H by training variational autoencoder on a set of precoding matrices W_j , corresponding to a fixed channel matrix H ?

- Can we produce high-SE precoding matrices using variational autoencoder in the case of arbitrary channel matrix H , i. e. by conditioning VAE on H ?
- Can we make such VAE conditional on channel matrix H ?

In this task, we are trying to model a distribution of precoding matrices, which produce high spectral efficiency, so we do not impose any restrictions on the number of operations or any other model complexity.

6 Approach

In this section, we describe the training procedure for (conditional) variational autoencoder.

We begin with the unconditional one. Variational autoencoder consists of two networks: encoder $q_\phi(z|W)$ and decoder $p_\theta(W|z)$. During training, for each matrix W :

1. Encoder takes matrix W as input and produces vector $\mu_\phi(W)$ of shape d_{model} and a single number $\sigma_\phi^2(W)$.
2. A latent code z is sampled from $\mathcal{N}(z|\mu_\phi(W), \sigma_\phi^2(W)I)$ (using reparametrization $z = \mu_\phi(W) + \epsilon \cdot \sigma_\phi(W)$, where ϵ is standard gaussian noise).
3. Decoder takes previously sampled latent code z and produces vector $\mu_\theta(W)$ of shape d_{data} and a single number $\sigma_\theta^2(W)$ which are the parameters of a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(W|\mu_\theta(z), \sigma_\theta^2(z)I)$.
4. Compute VLB (1) and make optimizer step.

We fix d_{model} – the dimension of latent space – to be equal to 64 and prior distribution $p(z)$ to be standard gaussian distribution in d_{model} -dimensional space. We also represent complex matrix W of shape 16×4 as a real vector of shape $d_{data} = 16 \times 4 \times 2 = 128$ of real and imaginary parts of W .

To generate possible matrices W after training, we simply sample z from prior distribution $p(z)$ and then pass it through the decoder network $p_\theta(W|z)$, treating $\mu_\theta(z)$ as a sampled matrix W .

Having trained unconditional autoencoder, it is almost straightforward to obtain a conditional one. In addition to encoder and decoder networks $p_\theta(W|z, H)$ and $q_\phi(z|W, H)$, CVAE also contains a prior network $p_\psi(z|H)$. As previously, d_{data} is equal to $128 = 16 \times 4 \times 2$ and $d_{model} = 64$. To make a training step, we do the following:

1. Encoder takes matrices W and H (represented as two real-valued vectors of shape 128 each) as input and produces vector $\mu_\phi(W, H)$ of shape d_{model} and a single number $\sigma_\phi^2(W, H)$.
2. Prior network takes matrix H as input and produces vector $\mu_\psi(W, H)$ of shape d_{model} and a single number $\sigma_\psi(W, H)$.
3. A latent code z is sampled from $\mathcal{N}(z|\mu_\phi(W, H), \sigma_\phi^2(W)I)$ (using reparametrization).

4. Decoder takes previously sampled latent code z , matrix H (represented as real-valued vector of shape 128) and produces vector $\mu_\theta(z, H)$ of shape d_{data} and a single number $\sigma_\theta^2(z, H)$ which are the parameters of a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(x|\mu_\theta(z, H), \sigma_\theta^2(z, H)I)$.
5. Compute VLB for conditional VAE and make optimizer step.

Usage of CVAE for sampling matrices W , however, is slightly more difficult. Given matrix H we sample latent code z from distribution $p_\psi(z|H)$ parametrized with prior network. We then use this code to obtain decoder output $\mu_\theta(W|z, H)$ and treat it as a sampled precoding matrix W for channel H . The neural network model of CVAE is presented in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. The neural network model used.

7 Results

In this section, we present the results of training VAE and CVAE to produce precoding matrices with high spectral efficiency for a given channel matrix H . First of all, we report the results of training VAE to fit a distribution of precoding matrices W for a fixed channel H . We consider two approaches: classical VAE and a CVAE with the fixed matrix H as input.

7.1 Quality Estimation

We measured the quality of generated precoding matrices W simply by evaluating spectral efficiency (4) $f(W)$ with a given channel H . Having a channel matrix H and a set of 5000 precoding matrices W_i with the mean SE in W_i is equal to 14.85 using the LBFGS approach [8]. This method (Fig. 2 Optimal Precoding Precise SE) returns the optimal precoding matrix using the iterative optimization approach and measures the SE quality using the precise formula of SE (4).

We managed to obtain the mean SE value of 8.87 with classical VAE and 11.31 with CVAE for a fixed H matrix. This method (Fig. 2 Reconstructed Precoding Approximated SE) approximates the precise formula of SE (4) using the deep learning approach of VAE/CVAE. Thus, the quality function for the neural network was chosen as (4) and target precoding matrices (aka "labels") were obtained using the previous LBFGS method.

We also measured mean SE in reconstructed objects (outputs of decoder network during training) and obtained 9.53 and 12.61 for VAE and CVAE-fixed- H respectively. This method (Fig. 2 Reconstructed Precoding Precise SE) approximates the precoding matrix internally using the deep learning VAE/CVAE approach. It reconstructs the precoding matrices using the SE quality function (4) and the LBFGS precoding matrices as labels. The final quality of SE is measured using the precise formula (4).




Fig. 2. Spectral efficiency precoding quality produced by the algorithms.

We also studied how well such CVAE-fixed- H will work on matrices of type $H + \Delta$ depending on $\|\Delta\|_F^2 = \delta$. However, the quality of samples dramatically decreases as δ grows with mean SE equal to 6.45 with $\delta = 0.1$, which is really small perturbation for H with $\|H\|_F^2 = 57.5$. We also tried to train CVAE on a set $(H_i, W_{i,j})$ with $H_i = H + \Delta_i$ and $\Delta_0 = 0$ for convenience. However, we did not manage to get high-quality samples from such a model, having mean SE for reconstructed objects equal to 6.49 and 6.45 if we use one of H_i as a condition and we observe the same fall in spectral efficiency for a perturbation of H which differ from one of Δ_i .

The distribution of spectral efficiency prediction quality of VAE is presented in Fig. 3. It claims that we are able to generate the highly efficient precoding matrices W for an arbitrary channel matrix H .




Fig. 3. Spectral efficiency prediction quality of VAE. There are 10^4 predictions of precoding W from the random initialization of H . The points were colored for convenience: Orange – good SE, Blue – middle SE, Green – bad SE.

7.2 Computational Complexity

The proposed VAE/CVAE solution works much faster than the L-BFGS reference method. We estimate the inference of the proposed two-layers neural network as one iteration of the L-BFGS procedure. Which includes two matrix-vector operations inside the layers and one matrix-vector multiplication of SE (4). The complexity of the L-BFGS iteration is estimated as three SE (2) estimations [29]. Since in practice the number of iterations makes sense up to a hundred [36], the inference of the proposed neural network works faster up to a hundred times. Both VAE and CVAE methods require a learning procedure in the background, but we mainly focus on the inference time in a real system. The learning time of the CVAE method is higher than that of the VAE method [21]. If we denote the complexity of the VAE/VAE inference as x , the relative complexity of the L-BFGS method will be Nx .

8 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a deep learning algorithm based on the theory of variational autoencoders. This algorithm is designed to maximize the spectral efficiency of massive MIMO systems. With its help, we have successfully generated precoding matrices using both versions of the variational autoencoder: conditional and classical. The first one can be conditioned by the matrix of the channel H and thus used for different H , and the second one must be trained from the very beginning for each H . In the case of a fixed channel matrix H , both approaches were able to generate precoding matrices with high spectral efficiency, but CVAE surpasses classical VAE in terms of quality and versatility. However, both approaches can be used to study the distribution of optimal precoding matrices.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Prof. O. Senko for fruitful discussions.

References

1. Abdallah, A., Mansour, M.M., Chehab, A., Jalloul, L.M.: MMSE detection for 1-bit quantized massive MIMO with imperfect channel estimation. In: 2018 IEEE 19th International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC). pp. 1–5. IEEE (2018)
2. Albreem, M.A., Juntti, M., Shahabuddin, S.: Massive MIMO detection techniques: A survey. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials **21**(4), 3109–3132 (2019)
3. Andrews, J.G., Buzzi, S., Choi, W., Hanly, S.V., Lozano, A., Soong, A.C., Zhang, J.C.: What will 5G be? IEEE Journal on selected areas in communications **32**(6), 1065–1082 (2014)

4. Balatsoukas-Stimming, A., Castañeda, O., Jacobsson, S., Durisi, G., Studer, C.: Neural-network optimized 1-bit precoding for massive MU-MIMO. In: 2019 IEEE 20th International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC). pp. 1–5. IEEE (2019)
5. Björnson, E., Larsson, E.G., Marzetta, T.L.: Massive MIMO: Ten myths and one critical question. *IEEE Communications Magazine* **54**(2), 114–123 (2016)
6. Bobrov, E., Chinyaev, B., Kuznetsov, V., Lu, H., Minenkov, D., Troshin, S., Yudakov, D., Zaev, D.: Adaptive regularized zero-forcing beamforming in Massive MIMO with multi-antenna users. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.00853* (2021)
7. Bobrov, E., Kropotov, D., Lu, H., Zaev, D.: Massive MIMO adaptive modulation and coding using online deep learning algorithm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.12827* (2021)
8. Bobrov, E., Kropotov, D., Troshin, S., Zaev, D.: Study on Precoding Optimization Algorithms in Massive MIMO System with Multi-Antenna Users (2021)
9. Bobrov, E., Troshin, S., Chirkova, N., Lobacheva, E., Panchenko, S., Vetrov, D., Kropotov, D.: Machine learning methods for spectral efficiency prediction in massive mimo systems (2021)
10. Caciularu, A., Burshtein, D.: Blind channel equalization using variational autoencoders. In: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops). pp. 1–6. IEEE (2018)
11. Dong, P., Zhang, H., Li, G.Y.: Framework on deep learning-based joint hybrid processing for mmWave massive MIMO systems. *IEEE Access* **8**, 106023–106035 (2020)
12. Garcia-Ordóñez, L., Palomar, D.P., Pages-Zamora, A., Fonollosa, J.R.: Analytical BER performance in spatial multiplexing MIMO systems. In: IEEE 6th Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications, 2005. pp. 460–464. IEEE (2005)
13. Huang, C., Chen, G., Gong, Y., Xu, P.: Deep reinforcement learning based relay selection in delay-constrained secure buffer-aided CRNs. In: GLOBECOM 2020-2020 IEEE Global Communications Conference. pp. 1–6. IEEE (2020)
14. Joham, M., Utschick, W., Nosssek, J.A.: Linear transmit processing in MIMO communications systems. *IEEE Transactions on signal Processing* **53**(8), 2700–2712 (2005)
15. Kingma, D.P., Welling, M.: Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114* (2013)
16. Larsson, E.G., Edfors, O., Tufvesson, F., Marzetta, T.L.: Massive MIMO for next generation wireless systems. *IEEE communications magazine* **52**(2), 186–195 (2014)
17. Liang, D., Krishnan, R.G., Hoffman, M.D., Jebara, T.: Variational autoencoders for collaborative filtering. In: Proceedings of the 2018 world wide web conference. pp. 689–698 (2018)
18. Lopez-Martin, M., Carro, B., Sanchez-Esguevillas, A.: Variational data generative model for intrusion detection. *Knowledge and Information Systems* **60**(1), 569–590 (2019)
19. Miao, Y., Yu, L., Blunsom, P.: Neural variational inference for text processing. In: International conference on machine learning. pp. 1727–1736. PMLR (2016)
20. Ngo, H.Q., Larsson, E.G., Marzetta, T.L.: Energy and spectral efficiency of very large multiuser MIMO systems. *IEEE Transactions on Communications* **61**(4), 1436–1449 (2013)
21. Pagnoni, A., Liu, K., Li, S.: Conditional variational autoencoder for neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.04405* (2018)

22. Parfait, T., Kuang, Y., Jerry, K.: Performance analysis and comparison of ZF and MRT based downlink massive mimo systems. In: 2014 sixth international conference on ubiquitous and future networks (ICUFN). pp. 383–388. IEEE (2014)
23. Paszke, A., Gross, S., Chintala, S., Chanan, G., Yang, E., DeVito, Z., Lin, Z., Desmaison, A., Antiga, L., Lerer, A.: Automatic differentiation in pytorch (2017)
24. Rezaie, S., Manchón, C.N., De Carvalho, E.: Location-and orientation-aided millimeter wave beam selection using deep learning. In: ICC 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC). pp. 1–6. IEEE (2020)
25. Rusek, F., Persson, D., Lau, B.K., Larsson, E.G., Marzetta, T.L., Edfors, O., Tufvesson, F.: Scaling up MIMO: Opportunities and challenges with very large arrays. *IEEE signal processing magazine* **30**(1), 40–60 (2012)
26. Tran, L.N., Juntti, M., Bengtsson, M., Ottersten, B.: Beamformer designs for MISO broadcast channels with zero-forcing dirty paper coding. *IEEE transactions on wireless communications* **12**(3), 1173–1185 (2013)
27. Turhan, C.G., Bilge, H.S.: Variational autoencoded compositional pattern generative adversarial network for handwritten super resolution image generation. In: 2018 3rd International Conference on Computer Science and Engineering (UBMK). pp. 564–568. IEEE (2018)
28. Van Luong, T., Ko, Y., Vien, N.A., Matthaiou, M., Ngo, H.Q.: Deep energy autoencoder for noncoherent multicarrier MU-SIMO systems. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications* **19**(6), 3952–3962 (2020)
29. Verdú, S.: Spectral efficiency in the wideband regime. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **48**(6), 1319–1343 (2002)
30. Wang, B., Chang, Y., Yang, D.: On the SINR in massive MIMO networks with MMSE receivers. *IEEE Communications Letters* **18**(11), 1979–1982 (2014)
31. Xia, W., Zheng, G., Zhu, Y., Zhang, J., Wang, J., Petropulu, A.P.: A deep learning framework for optimization of MISO downlink beamforming. *IEEE Transactions on Communications* **68**(3), 1866–1880 (2019)
32. Ye, H., Li, G.Y., Juang, B.H.: Power of deep learning for channel estimation and signal detection in OFDM systems. *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters* **7**(1), 114–117 (2017)
33. Ye, H., Li, G.Y., Juang, B.H.F.: Deep reinforcement learning based resource allocation for V2V communications. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology* **68**(4), 3163–3173 (2019)
34. Zhao, T., Li, F.: Variational-autoencoder signal detection for MIMO-OFDM-IM. *Digital Signal Processing* **118**, 103230 (2021)
35. Zhao, T., Li, F., Tian, P.: A deep-learning method for device activity detection in mMTC under imperfect CSI based on variational-autoencoder. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology* **69**(7), 7981–7986 (2020)
36. Zhu, C., Byrd, R.H., Lu, P., Nocedal, J.: Algorithm 778: L-BFGS-B: Fortran subroutines for large-scale bound-constrained optimization. *ACM Transactions on mathematical software (TOMS)* **23**(4), 550–560 (1997)