# Super-accuracy calculation for the width of a Voigt profile

Yihong Wang, Bin Zhou<sup>\*</sup>, Rong Zhao, Bubin Wang

School of Energy and Environment, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

\*zhoubinde@seu.edu.cn

Abstract A simple approximation scheme to describe the width of the Voigt profile as a function of the relative contributions of Gaussian and Lorentzian broadening is presented. The proposed approximation scheme is highly accurate and provides accuracy better than  $10^{-17}$  for arbitrary  $\alpha_L/\alpha_G$  ratios. In particular, the accuracy reaches an astonishing  $10^{-34}$  (quadruple precision) in the domain  $0 \le \alpha_L/\alpha_G \le 0.2371 \cup \alpha_L/\alpha_G \ge 33.8786$ .

Key words Voigt profile, line width, super-accurate calculation

# 1. Introduction

The Voigt profile is the convolution of the Gaussian and Lorentzian profiles, which is widely used in optics, laser physics, plasma and spectroscopy[1-6]. In actual application, the half width at half maximum of the Voigt profile,  $\alpha_V$ , is important[3-6]. Unfortunately, there is no analytically exact expression to describe the width of the Voigt profile as a function of the widths of the Lorentzian and Gaussian profiles,  $\alpha_L$  and  $\alpha_G$ , respectively and thus many approximations have been presented in the past to find simple relationships, i.e. composed of basic elementary functions only, between  $\alpha_V$ ,  $\alpha_L$  and  $\alpha_G$ [7-11].

As far as we know, the approximation expression developed by Olivero and Longbothum (Eq.5. in [11]) is the most accurate, and its estimation error is less than 0.01%. Although this approximation is sufficient for the most practical tasks, the more accurate approximation may also be required. Several highly accurate codes such as ACM Algorithm 680[12, 13] (14 significant digits stated accuracy) and ACM Algorithm 916[14] (20 significant digits) or arbitrary precision codes[15, 16] are indispensable for evaluates the Voigt profile, but not necessarily fast. However, in some cases where we only focus on broadening[3-6], it is unwise to use these highly accurate codes. Therefore, a highly accurate approximate scheme specially used to evaluate the half width at half maximum of the Voigt profile is urgently needed.

In this work we present a simple approximation scheme to describe the width of the Voigt profile as a function of the relative contributions of Gaussian and Lorentzian broadening. The numerical calculations suggest that the proposed approximation expression can achieve super-accuracy calculation for Voigt profiles for arbitrary  $\alpha_L/\alpha_G$  ratios.

# 2. Methodology and derivation

It is convenient to introduce the Voigt function when considering the width of the Voigt profile. The Voigt function (normalized to  $\sqrt{\pi}$ ) is defined by

$$K(x, y) = \frac{y}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-t^2}}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} dt$$
  
= Re[e<sup>-z<sup>2</sup></sup> erfc(-iz)], (1)

where the dimensionless variables  $x = \sqrt{\ln 2}(v - v_0)/\alpha_G$ ,  $y = \sqrt{\ln 2}\alpha_L/\alpha_G$  are a measure for the distance from the peak center  $v_0$  and for the ratio of Lorentzian to Gaussian width, respectively, and z = x + iy. At the line center x = 0 the Voigt function can be expressed as the exponentially scaled complementary error function  $K(0, y) = \exp(y^2)\operatorname{erfc}(y)[17]$ . Thus, the half width scale in units of Gaussian broadening of a Voigt profile,  $\Gamma$ , for a given value of y is given by the following equation:

$$K(\Gamma, y) = \frac{1}{2} e^{y^2} \operatorname{erfc}(y), \qquad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is defined as  $\Gamma = \sqrt{\ln 2\alpha_V/\alpha_G}$ . The variables x and y are more convenient for lines which are predominantly Gaussian while the variables X=x/y and  $\eta=1/y$  are more convenient for lines which are predominantly Lorentz [18]. Thus,

the half width scale in units of Lorentzian broadening of a Voigt profile,  $\Gamma'$ , for a given value of  $\eta$  is given by the following equation:

$$K(\Gamma'/\eta, 1/\eta) = \frac{1}{2} e^{1/\eta^2} \operatorname{erfc}(1/\eta),$$
(3)

where  $\Gamma'$  is defined as  $\Gamma' = \alpha_V / \alpha_L$ . It is obvious that  $\Gamma = \sqrt{\ln 2}$  at y = 0 and  $\Gamma' = 1$  at  $\eta = 0$  from the definition of Voigt function.

2.1 The width of Voigt profile with small  $y (0 \le y \le 0.6993)$ 

The following infinite series are given to calculate the width of Voigt profile with small y:

$$\Gamma(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n y^n, \tag{4}$$

and simultaneous Eq. 1, Eq. 2 shows that  $\Gamma$  satisfies the following equation:

$$e^{-\Gamma^{2}}\sin(2\Gamma y)\operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{erfc}(y-\mathrm{i}\Gamma)] + e^{-\Gamma^{2}}\cos(2\Gamma y)\operatorname{Re}[\operatorname{erfc}(y-\mathrm{i}\Gamma)] = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{erfc}(y).$$
(5)

Using the series analysis method for Eq. 5, the recurrence equation satisfied by the expression of the (*r*+1)-th coefficient  $p_r$  (r > = 1) of each order in Eq. 4 can be obtained as follows:

$$p_{r} = \frac{e^{p_{0}^{2}}}{-2p_{0}} [s_{r} - q_{r} \exp(-p_{0}^{2}) - \sum_{\substack{j+k+m=r\\0\leq j\leq r-1, 0\leq k\leq r-1, 1\leq m\leq r}} f_{j}g_{m}l_{k} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j} q_{r-j-k}f_{k}h_{j} - \sum_{k=1}^{r} q_{r-k}f_{k} - \sum_{n=2}^{r} \frac{\alpha_{n,r,r}b_{n}}{n!}],$$
(6)

where each coefficient in the above expression can be calculated by the first *r* coefficients,  $p_0, p_1, ..., p_{r-1}$ . The derivation of Eq. 6 and the definition of each coefficient can be found in Appendix A. We notice the fact that  $\Gamma = \sqrt{\ln 2}$  at y = 0, which indicates that the first coefficient  $p_0 = \sqrt{\ln 2}$ . Therefore, the coefficient of each order in Eq. 4 can be obtained immediately by solving the recurrence equation. For example, the first four coefficients are shown as follows:

$$p_{0} = \sqrt{\ln 2},$$

$$p_{1} = \operatorname{erfi}(\sqrt{\ln 2}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \ln 2}},$$

$$p_{2} = -\frac{(2\theta_{1}^{2} - 1)\theta_{3}^{2}}{2\theta_{1}} - \frac{2\theta_{1}^{4}\theta_{2}^{2} + 6\theta_{1}^{2} + 1}{2\theta_{1}^{3}\theta_{2}^{2}} + \frac{4\theta_{3}}{\theta_{2}},$$

$$p_{3} = \frac{4(4\theta_{1}^{2} - 1)\theta_{3}}{\theta_{1}^{2}\theta_{2}^{2}} + \frac{2}{3}(2\theta_{1}^{2} - 3)\theta_{3}(\theta_{3}^{2} + 1) - \frac{\theta_{1}^{2}(56\theta_{1}^{2} + 6) + 3}{6\theta_{1}^{5}\theta_{2}^{3}} - \frac{16\theta_{1}^{4}(3\theta_{3}^{2} + 1) - 2\theta_{1}^{2}(21\theta_{3}^{2} + 4) - 3\theta_{3}^{2}}{6\theta_{1}^{3}\theta_{2}},$$
(7)

where constants  $\theta_1 = \sqrt{\ln 2}$ ,  $\theta_2 = \sqrt{\pi}$  and  $\theta_3 = \operatorname{erfi}(\sqrt{\ln 2})$ , respectively and  $\operatorname{erfi}(.)$  is the complementary error function. In addition, the first 31 terms with 32 significant digits are given in the Table A.1.

2.2 The width of Voigt profile with large  $y (y \ge 8.2507)$ 

Numerical analysis suggests that it is convenient to analyze the relationship between  $\Gamma'^2$  and  $\eta$ , and a simple series is given as following (Appendix B):

$$\Gamma'^{2}(\eta) = 1 + \frac{3}{2}\eta^{2} - \frac{3}{2^{2}}\eta^{4} + \frac{15}{2^{3}}\eta^{6} - \frac{243}{2^{5}}\eta^{8} + \frac{2493}{2^{6}}\eta^{10} - \frac{927}{2^{2}}\eta^{12} + \frac{405783}{2^{8}}\eta^{14} - \frac{25390179}{2^{11}}\eta^{16} + \frac{446848569}{2^{12}}\eta^{18} - \frac{1089694161}{2^{10}}\eta^{20} + \frac{46704949839}{2^{12}}\eta^{22} - \frac{8735832539883}{2^{16}}\eta^{24} + \frac{221377058104455}{2^{17}}\eta^{26} - \frac{6044700753428715}{2^{18}}\eta^{28} + \frac{353911508743725891}{2^{20}}\eta^{30} - \dots$$
(8)

In the above expression, the coefficients of odd terms are all zero, and the even terms are all rational fractions, which is conducive to high precision calculation.

2.3 The width of Voigt profile with middle y (0.6993 < y < 8.2507)

Because of the best uniform approximation property of Chebyshev polynomial, the best uniform approximation expression of the Voigt profile with middle y (0.6993 < y < 8.2507) can be got. Numerical analysis suggests that it is useful to introduce the non-dimensional quantities  $R = \alpha_V/(\alpha_L + \alpha_G)$  and  $D = (\alpha_L - \alpha_G)/(\alpha_L + \alpha_G) = (y - \sqrt{\ln 2})/(y + \sqrt{\ln 2})$ , and the best uniform approximation expression is given as following:

$$R(D) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} R(D_k) \prod_{\substack{0 \le m \le N \\ m \ne k}} \frac{D - D_m}{D_k - D_m},$$
(9)

where  $(D_k, R(D_k))$  are a set of N sample points without two identical points of  $D_k$ , and  $D_k$  are given as following:

$$D_{k} = 0.3648 + 0.4518\cos(\frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi), k = 1, 2, \dots, N+1.$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

For computational convenience, the above mathematical expression can be changed into the following equivalent series:

$$R(D) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} u_k D^k,$$
(11)

where the coefficients  $u_k$  can be calculated by numerical method, and the first 31 coefficients with 32 significant digits are given in the Table C.1.

Thus, the computation flow for the basic and the main approximations can be maintained as

$$\alpha_{V} \approx \begin{cases} \alpha_{G} \Gamma(y) / \sqrt{\ln 2}, & \text{Eq.(4) for } 0 \le y \le 0.6993 \\ (\alpha_{L} + \alpha_{G}) R(D), & \text{Eq.(11) for } 0.6993 < y < 8.2507 \\ \alpha_{L} \Gamma'(\eta), & \text{Eq.(8) for } y \ge 8.2507. \end{cases}$$
(12)

3. Error analysis




Fig. 1(a) The comparison of each width functions and (b) the logarithm of relative error for the width of Voigt profile, respectively, in the *R*, *D* format Define the relative errors for the width of Voigt profile in form

$$\Delta = \frac{\left[\alpha_{V}\right]_{appr.} - \left[\alpha_{V}\right]_{ref.}}{\left[\alpha_{V}\right]_{ref.}},$$
(13)

where  $[\alpha_V]_{appr.}$  is the approximate value given by Eq. 12 and  $[\alpha_V]_{ref.}$  is the reference. The highly accurate reference values of the Voigt width, i.e.  $[\alpha_V]_{ref.}$ , can be obtained by solving Eq. 2 using the latest versions of MATLAB that supports the symbolic computing system with high number of significant precision.

Fig. 1 shows (a) the comparison of each width functions and (b) the logarithm of relative error for the width of Voigt profile, respectively, in the R, D format. As we can see, in the Gaussian dominant region, i.e.  $0 \le y \le 0.6993(-1 \le D \le -1)$ 0.0870), the approximation Eq. 4 is highly accurate and provides accuracy better than  $10^{-17}$ . Numerical results illustrate that the calculation accuracy of Eq. 4 increases significantly with the decrease of y, which indicates that this expression is more suitable for the calculation of small y. In particular, the accuracy of Eq. 4 can reach the super accuracy of  $10^{-34}$ (quadruple precision) in the domain  $0 \le y \le 0.1974(-1 \le D \le -0.6167)$ . Similarly, in the Lorentz dominant region, i.e.  $y \ge 0.1974(-1 \le D \le -0.6167)$ .  $8.2507(0.8167 \le D \le 1)$ , the approximation Eq. 8 is highly accurate and provides accuracy better than  $10^{-17}$ . Numerical results show that the calculation accuracy of Eq. 8 increases significantly with the increase of y, which indicates that this expression is more suitable for the calculation of large y. In particular, the accuracy of Eq. 8 can reach the super accuracy of  $10^{-34}$  in the domain  $y \ge 28.2058(0.9427 \le D \le 1)$ . The Voigt width approximation is particularly important in the middle region, i.e. 0.6993 < y < 8.2507(-0.0870 < D < 0.8167), in which the width of the Gaussian profile is comparable to the width of the Lorentzian profile. Numerical results show that the approximation Eq. 11 based on the best uniform approximation of Chebyshev polynomial with 30 degree is highly accurate and provides accuracy better than  $10^{-17}$  in the middle region. In summary, the approximation scheme Eq. 12 is highly accurate and provides accuracy better than 10<sup>-17</sup> for arbitrary  $\alpha_L/\alpha_G$  ratios, which indicates that the calculation error can be ignored for the general double precision calculation platform (16 bits).

# 4. Conclusion

A simple approximation scheme to describe the width of the Voigt profile as a function of the relative contributions of Gaussian and Lorentzian broadening is presented in this work. The numerical calculations suggest that the proposed approximation scheme can achieve super-accuracy (better than  $10^{-17}$ ) calculation for Voigt profiles for arbitrary  $\alpha_L/\alpha_G$ ratios. In particular, the accuracy reaches an astonishing  $10^{-34}$  in the domain  $0 \le y \le 0.1974 \cup y \ge 28.2058 (0 \le \alpha_L/\alpha_G \le 0.2371 \cup \alpha_L/\alpha_G \ge 33.8786)$ . Furthermore, the coefficients in Eq. 8 are all simple rational fractions, and the law behind this expression is also an interesting mathematical problem worth exploring.

#### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge financial support from the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant 2017YFB0603204.

# Appendix A

In this part, we derive the expression of the (r+1)-th coefficient  $p_r$  from the first r coefficients  $p_0, p_1, ..., p_{r-1}$  (r>=1) using the series analysis method.

$$\Gamma(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} p_n y^n + \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} p_n y^n.$$
 (A.1)

Step 1: Series expansion for the factors in Eq. 5 at y = 0.

(1) Series expansion for  $e^{\Gamma^2}$ 

The exponential function  $e^{\Gamma^2}$  can be expanded into the following series at y = 0:

$$e^{\Gamma^{2}} = e^{p_{0}^{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n!} (\Gamma - p_{0})^{n} = e^{p_{0}^{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \frac{a_{n}}{n!} (\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} p_{k} y^{k})^{n} + o(y^{r-1}),$$
(A.2)

where the coefficients  $a_n$  is defined as:

$$a_{n} = \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{d}t^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{t^{2}}|_{t=p_{0}} = \mathrm{e}^{p_{0}^{2}} \sum_{k=\left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k-(n-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{j} p_{0}^{2k-n} (1-2j+2k-n)_{n}}{j!(-j+k)!}, \tag{A.3}$$

where  $(.)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol. According to the multinomial theorem, Eq. A.2 can be rewritten as follows in ascending order of the degree of *y*:

$$e^{\Gamma^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} d_k y^k + o(y^{r-1}), \tag{A.4}$$

where the coefficients  $d_k$  is defined as:

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} e^{p_{0}^{2}} & k = 0\\ \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha_{n,k,k} a_{n}}{n!} & k \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
(A.5)

where the coefficients  $\alpha_{n,k,j}$  is defined as:

$$\alpha_{n,k,j} = \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_j=n\\n_1\geq 0,\dots,n_j\geq 0}}^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_j=n} \frac{n!}{n_1!\dots n_j!} p_1^{n_1}\dots p_j^{n_j}.$$
(A.6)

(2) Series expansion for  $e^{-\Gamma^2}$ 

In the same way, the exponential function  $e^{-\Gamma^2}$  can be expanded into the following series at y = 0:

$$e^{-\Gamma^{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} f_{k} y^{k} + (p_{r}b_{1} + \sum_{n=2}^{r} \frac{\alpha_{n,r,r}b_{n}}{n!}) y^{r} + o(y^{r}),$$
(A.7)

where the coefficients  $f_k$  is defined as:

$$f_{k} = \begin{cases} e^{-p_{0}^{2}} & k = 0\\ \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha_{n,k,k} b_{n}}{n!} & k \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
(A.8)

where the coefficients  $b_n$  is defined as:

$$b_n = \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}\,x^n} \,\mathrm{e}^{-x^2} \mid_{x=p_0} = 2^n \,\mathrm{e}^{-p_0^2} \,(-p_0)^n \,n! \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-4)^{-k} \,p_0^{-2k}}{k! (-2k+n)!}.$$
(A.9)

(3) Series expansion for  $sin(2\Gamma y)$ 

In the same way, the sine function  $sin(2\Gamma y)$  can be expanded into the following series at y = 0:

$$\sin(2\Gamma y) = \sum_{m=1}^{r} g_m y^m + o(y^r), \tag{A.10}$$

where the coefficients  $g_m$  is defined as:

$$g_m = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor} (-1)^k \, \frac{\beta_{1+2k,m,m-1}}{(1+2k)!},\tag{A.11}$$

where the coefficients  $\beta_{n,k,j}$  is defined as:

$$\beta_{n,k,j} = \sum_{\substack{n_0+n_1+\dots+n_j=n\\n_0\geq 0,\dots,n_j\geq 0}}^{n_0+n_1+\dots+n_j=n} \frac{n!}{n_0!\cdots n_r!} 2^n p_0^{n_0}\cdots p_j^{n_j}$$
(A.12)

(4) Series expansion for  $\cos(2\Gamma y)$ 

In the same way, the cosine function  $cos(2\Gamma y)$  can be expanded into the following series at y = 0:

$$\cos(2\Gamma y) = \sum_{m=0}^{r} h_m y^m + o(y^r), \tag{A.13}$$

where the coefficients  $h_m$  is defined as:

$$h_{m} = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 0\\ \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k} \beta_{2k,m,m-1}}{(2k)!} & m \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
(A.14)

(5) Series expansion for  $erfi(\Gamma)$ 

In the same way, the imaginary error function  $erfi(\Gamma)$  can be expanded into the following series at y = 0:

$$\operatorname{erfi}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{erfi}(p_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha_{n,k,k} e_n}{n!} y^k + o(y^{r-1}),$$
(A.15)

where the coefficients  $e_n$  is defined as:

$$e_{n} = \frac{d^{n} \operatorname{erfi}(t)}{dt^{n}} \Big|_{t=p_{0}} = \frac{e^{p_{0}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=\left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil}^{n-1} \frac{2^{2+2k-n} p_{0}^{1+2k-n} (2+2k-n)_{2(-1-k+n)}}{(n-k-1)!}.$$
(A.16)

(6) Series expansion for  $erfc(y-i\Gamma)$ 

The complementary error function  $\operatorname{erfc}(y \cdot i\Gamma)$  can be expanded into the following series at y = 0:

$$\operatorname{erfc}(y-i\Gamma) = 1 + \operatorname{i}\operatorname{erfi}(\Gamma) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\Gamma^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=\left\lceil \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rceil}^{k-1} \frac{(-i)^{1-k} 2^{1+2j-k} (2+2j-k)_{2(-1-j+k)} y^k \Gamma^{1+2j-k}}{k!(-1-j+k)!}, \quad (A.17)$$

and the third term in the above formula can be reduced to the following formula:

$$-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{\Gamma^{2}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil}^{k-1}\frac{(-i)^{1-k}2^{1+2j-k}(2+2j-k)_{2(-1-j+k)}y^{k}\Gamma^{1+2j-k}}{k!(-1-j+k)!} = e^{\Gamma^{2}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{r}c_{n}y^{n}+o(y^{r})\right),$$
(A.18)

where the coefficients  $c_n$  is defined as:

$$c_{n} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{(-1)^{n+1} + 1}{2} \frac{1_{n-1} i^{1-n}}{n!((n-1)/2)!} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j = \left\lceil \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rceil \\ 1+2j-k \ge 1}}^{k-1} \chi_{1+2j-k,n-k,n-k} \frac{(-i)^{1-k} 2^{1+2j-k} (2+2j-k)_{2(-1-j+k)}}{k!(-1-j+k)!} \right), \quad (A.19)$$

where the coefficients  $\gamma_{n,k,j}$  is defined as:

$$\gamma_{n,k,j} = \sum_{\substack{n_0+n_1+\dots+n_j=n\\n_1+2n_2+\dots+jn_j=k\\n_0\geq 0,\dots,n_j\geq 0}}^{n_0+n_1+\dots+n_j=n} \frac{n!}{n_0!\dots n_j!} p_0^{n_0} \cdots p_j^{n_j}.$$
(A.20)

Considering the expansion series of A.2 and A.18, the third term in Eq. A.17 can be reduced to the following formula:

$$-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{\Gamma^{2}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil}^{k-1}\frac{(-i)^{1-k}2^{1+2j-k}(2+2j-k)_{2(-1-j+k)}y^{k}\Gamma^{1+2j-k}}{k!(-1-j+k)!} = \sum_{m=1}^{r}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}c_{m-k}d_{k}y^{m} + o(y^{r}).$$
 (A.21)

By substituting Eq. A.15 and Eq. A.21 into Eq. A.17, the expressions of real part and imaginary part of the complementary error function  $\operatorname{erfc}(y-i\Gamma)$  can be expanded into the following series:

$$Im[erfc(y-i\Gamma)] = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} l_k y^k + o(y^{r-1}),$$

$$Re[erfc(y-i\Gamma)] = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} q_k y^k + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} Re[c_{r-k}d_k]y^r + o(y^r),$$
(A.22)

respectively, where the coefficients  $l_k$  and  $q_k$  are defined as:

$$l_{k} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{erfi}(p_{0}) & k = 0\\ \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha_{n,k,k}}{n!} e_{n} + \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \operatorname{Im}[c_{k-n}d_{n}] & k \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
(A.23)

$$q_{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0\\ \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \operatorname{Re}[c_{k-n}d_{n}] & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(A.24)

(7) Series expansion for erfc(y)

The error function  $\operatorname{erfc}(y)$  can be expanded into the following series at y = 0:

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{erfc}(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{r} s_n y^n + o(y^r), \qquad (A.25)$$

where the coefficients  $s_n$  is defined as:

$$s_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & n = 0\\ -\frac{1 + (-1)^{n+1}}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{(-1)^{(n-1)/2}}{((n-1)/2)!n} & n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(A.26)

Step 2: Calculate  $p_r$  by the method of comparing coefficient.

By substituting Eq. A.7, Eq. A.10, Eq. A.13, Eq. A.22 and Eq. A.25 into Eq. 5, the following expression is obtained:

$$\left[\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} f_{k} y^{k}\right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^{r} g_{m} y^{m}\right] \left[\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} l_{k} y^{k}\right] + \left[\sum_{m=0}^{r} h_{m} y^{m}\right] \left[\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} f_{k} y^{k} + (p_{r}b_{1} + \sum_{n=2}^{r} \frac{\alpha_{n,r,r}b_{n}}{n!})y^{r}\right] \times \left[\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} q_{k} y^{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \operatorname{Re}[c_{r-k}d_{k}]y^{r}\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{r} s_{n} y^{n} + o(y^{r})$$
(A.27)

Therefore, a mathematical expression to determine the (r+1)-th coefficient  $p_r$  is obtained by using the method of comparing coefficient:

$$\sum_{\substack{j+k+m=r\\0\leq j\leq r-1, 0\leq k\leq r-1, 1\leq m\leq r}} f_j g_m l_k + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=0}^{r-j} q_{r-j-k} f_k h_j + h_0 \left[\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} q_{r-k} f_k + f_0 \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \operatorname{Re}[c_{r-j} d_j] y^r + (p_r b_1 + \sum_{n=2}^r \alpha_{n,r,r} \frac{b_n}{n!}) q_0\right] = s_r.$$
(A.28)

The recurrence equation satisfied by the expression of the (r+1)-th coefficient  $p_r$  can be obtained by simplifying the above expression:

$$p_{r} = \frac{e^{p_{0}^{2}}}{-2p_{0}} [s_{r} - q_{r} \exp(-p_{0}^{2}) - \sum_{\substack{j+k+m=r\\0\leq j\leq r-1, 0\leq k\leq r-1, 1\leq m\leq r}} f_{j}g_{m}l_{k} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j} q_{r-j-k}f_{k}h_{j} - \sum_{k=1}^{r-j} q_{r-k}f_{k} - \sum_{n=2}^{r} \frac{\alpha_{n,r,r}b_{n}}{n!}].$$
(A.29)

Considering the initial value  $p_0 = \sqrt{\ln 2}$ , arbitrary term coefficients  $p_n$  can be calculated by using formula Eq. A.29, and the first 31 coefficients  $p_n$  with 32 significant digits are given in the Table A.1.

n	$p_n$	n	$p_n$
0	0.8325546111576977563531646448952	16	2.5308903977393059634088084205148×10 <sup>-8</sup>
1	0.53254711842961210323020845059416	17	$-3.3104307709547517055285672959576 \times 10^{-8}$
2	0.13603423870145348659601346974136	18	$-1.1821070040002130133075915099552{\times}10^{-8}$
3	$-6.3839925995348583105863651935208 \times 10^{-3}$	19	$5.0020607880755762331999675884955{\times}10^{-9}$
4	$-7.5882994178697868047017954181619 {\times} 10^{-3}$	20	3.2040951850692659104678394048668×10 <sup>-9</sup>
5	7.5685451134845100193553849814044×10 <sup>-4</sup>	21	$-4.9276721508012916216290609360574 \times 10^{-10}$
6	$6.4174309726033170181322853645455{\times}10^{-4}$	22	$-7.1352246104725448681836423474852 \times 10^{-10}$
7	$-1.0278614365257442345642575963235{\times}10^{-5}$	23	$-3.2407999521382539130974667691197 \times 10^{-11}$
8	-6.6864392638387619203117167133824×10 <sup>-5</sup>	24	$1.4010883014405512366881008147675{\times}10^{-10}$
9	$-1.8800729899141457354675112660009 \times 10^{-5}$	25	$3.3772678382804066494130831543588{\times}10^{{-}11}$
10	9.3901358253570724565409358708571×10 <sup>-6</sup>	26	-2.3680267709485323621904030934022×10 <sup>-11</sup>
11	$5.4149990265667553408636905696295 \times 10^{-6}$	27	$-1.1462686830778835681784218983719{\times}10^{-11}$
12	$-1.2862976252461744893956942201673 \times 10^{-6}$	28	$3.0039670445166124668988923778107 \times 10^{-12}$
13	$-1.0759168918380548822306060203341 \times 10^{-6}$	29	$2.9478889620399924642669859987364 {\times} 10^{{-}12}$
14	$7.8733635964790862989086501951507 \times 10^{-8}$	30	$-9.7467645599626148566298439388065 \times 10^{-14}$
15	$1.9255725519174188542320412973488 {\times} 10^{-7}$		

Table A.1 The first 31 coefficients  $p_n$  in Eq. 4 with 32 significant digits.

# Appendix B

The relationship between  ${\Gamma'}^2$  and  $\eta$  can be written in the form of Taylor series as following:

$$\Gamma'^{2}(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n} \eta^{n}, \qquad (B.1)$$

where  $T_0 = 1$  and the coefficients  $T_n$  (n > 1) can be estimated by the following recurrence relations:

$$T_n = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \frac{\Gamma'^2(\eta) - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} T_m \eta^m}{\eta^n} \approx \frac{\Gamma'^2(\varepsilon) - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} T_m \varepsilon^m}{\varepsilon^n} \triangleq T_n^* (0 < \varepsilon \ll 1).$$
(B.2)

In this work, parameter  $\varepsilon$  in above equation is set to  $1 \times 10^{-20}$  and the benchmark value of  $\Gamma'(\varepsilon)$  is obtained according to Eq. 2 by using the latest versions of MATLAB that supports the symbolic computing system with high number of significant precision (1000 bits). The coefficients  $T_n$  and  $T_n^*$  of the first 31 terms are given in the Table B.1. Numerical

calculation results suggest that the  $T_n^*$  value can be rewritten as the sum of a rational fraction and a fairly small remainder. Fortunately, the reduction in accuracy due to the elimination of the remainder is negligible.

п	$T_n^*$	$T_n$	п	$T_n^*$	$T_n$
0	/	1	16	$\approx$ -25390179/2 <sup>11</sup> +1.1×10 <sup>-35</sup>	-25390179/211
1	$\approx 0+1.5 \times 10^{-20}$	0	17	$\approx 0+1.1 \times 10^{-15}$	0
2	$\approx 3/2-7.5 \times 10^{-41}$	3/2	18	$\approx$ 446848569/2 <sup>12</sup> -1.1 $\times$ 10 <sup>-34</sup>	446848569/212
3	≈0-7.5×10 <sup>-21</sup>	0	19	$\approx 0+-1.1 \times 10^{-14}$	0
4	$\approx -3/2^2 + 1.9 \times 10^{-40}$	$-3/2^2$	20	$\approx$ -1089694161/2 <sup>10</sup> +1.1×10 <sup>-33</sup>	-1089694161/210
5	$\approx 0+1.9 \times 10^{-20}$	0	21	$\approx 0+1.1 \times 10^{-13}$	0
6	$\approx 15/2^3 - 7.6 \times 10^{-40}$	15/2 <sup>3</sup>	22	$\approx 46704949839/2^{12} - 1.3 \times 10^{-32}$	46704949839/212
7	≈0-7.6×10 <sup>-20</sup>	0	23	≈0-1.3×10 <sup>-12</sup>	0
8	$\approx -243/2^5 + 3.9 \times 10^{-39}$	-243/25	24	${\approx}\text{-}8735832539883/2^{16}\text{+}1.7{\times}10^{-31}$	-8735832539883/216
9	$\approx 0+3.9 \times 10^{-19}$	0	25	$\approx 0+1.7 \times 10^{-11}$	0
10	$\approx 2493/2^{6} - 2.3 \times 10^{-38}$	2493/26	26	$\approx 221377058104455/2^{17} - 2.3 \times 10^{-30}$	221377058104455/217
11	$\approx 0-2.3 \times 10^{-18}$	0	27	≈0-2.3×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0
12	$\approx -927/2^2 + 1.6 \times 10^{-37}$	$-927/2^{2}$	28	$\approx -6044700753428715/2^{18} + 3.4 \times 10^{-29}$	$-6044700753428715/2^{18}$
13	$\approx 0+1.6 \times 10^{-17}$	0	29	≈0+3.4×10 <sup>-9</sup>	0
14	$\approx 405783/2^8 - 1.2 \times 10^{-36}$	405783/28	30	$\approx \!\! 353911508743725891 \ / 2^{20} \! + \! 2.9 \! \times \! 10^{-6}$	$353911508743725891/2^{20}$
15	≈0-1.2×10 <sup>-16</sup>	0			

Table B.1 The first 31 coefficients  $T_n$  in Eq. 8.

# Appendix C

Table C.1 The first 31 coefficients  $u_n$  in Eq. 11 with 32 significant digits.

п	$u_n$	n	$u_n$
0	0.81879767981374096480451126966969	16	-11.608186550060767559947858982236
1	-0.087358831239253690600565585478191	17	98.530866614251729915080851559823
2	0.16111263881308988360982026625923	18	-520.58001078415212154632736105054
3	0.10352476879958392716101379868109	19	1996.0992356052342655084175033613
4	0.044701941374241324794152587529398	20	-5861.8655902675083091764869931816
5	-0.0014922440275783965022042298334427	21	13508.475417035315538373423012345
6	-0.025999766558392062049748550766996	22	-24679.618517222644663493302537093
7	-0.027433278283219905509735236616617	23	35800.136359576107078418696532107
8	-0.012324451041403454228824532372558	24	-41003.133466095685472430284467431
9	0.0076580003679061144826236693340858	25	36602.695793508138571786897415062
10	0.020609356479185309053858762785961	26	-24908.669183448932665943004856528
11	0.019910337726501870836014131102016	27	12466.93366679248692417862886569
12	0.0077590409364772777791275317929576	28	-4321.2090018088841034237110866818
13	-0.047206722791611042489905888231109	29	925.71414182435000624708620108582
14	0.16487160565916573888004662456667	30	-92.27479145146679165668461921968
15	0.22613547743220062910838574670492		

# Reference

[1] Schreier F. The Voigt and complex error function: Humlicek's rational approximation generalized. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 2018;479:3068-75.

[2] Liu C, Xu L. Laser absorption spectroscopy for combustion diagnosis in reactive flows: A review. Applied Spectroscopy Reviews. 2019;54:1-44.

[3] Selig M, Berghaeuser G, Raja A, Nagler P, Schueller C, Heinz TF, et al. Excitonic linewidth and coherence lifetime in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides. Nature Communications. 2016;7.

[4] Zektzer R, Stern L, Mazurski N, Levy U. Enhanced light-matter interactions in plasmonic-molecular gas hybrid system. Optica. 2018;5:486-94.

[5] Ouyang Z, Surla V, Cho TS, Ruzic DNJIToPe. Characterization of an Atmospheric-Pressure Helium Plasma Generated by 2.45-GHz Microwave Power. 2012;40:3476-81.

[6] Hariri A, Sarikhani SJOA. Intrinsic linewidth calculation in an argon X-ray laser based on the model of geometrically dependent gain coefficient. 2017;47:325-35.

[7] Whiting EE. An empirical approximation to the Voigt profile. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy Radiative Transfer. 1968;8:1379-84.

[8] Kielkopf JF. New approximation to Voigt function with applications to spectral-line profile analysis. Journal of the Optical Society of America. 1973;63:987-95.

[9] Thompson P, Cox DE, Hastings JB. Rietveld refinement of Debye–Scherrer synchrotron X-ray data from Al2O3. Journal of Applied Crystallography. 1987;20:79-83.

[10] Ida T, Ando M, Toraya H. Extended pseudo-Voigt function for approximating the Voigt profile. Journal of Applied Crystallography. 2000;33:1311-6.

[11] Olivero JJ, Longbothum RL. Empirical fits to Voigt line width : brief review. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy & Radiative Transfer. 1977;17:233-6.

[12] Poppe GPM, Wijers CMJ. More efficient computation of the complex error function. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software. 1990;16:38–46.

[13] Poppe GPM, Wijers CMJ. Evaluation of the complex error function. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software. 1990;16:47.

[14] Zaghloul MR, Ali AN. Algorithm 916: Computing the Faddeyeva and Voigt Functions. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software. 2011;38:15:1-:22.

[15] Boyer W, Lynas-Gray AE. Evaluation of the Voigt function to arbitrary precision. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 2014;444:2555-60.

[16] Molin P. Multi-precision computation of the complex error function, preprint at <u>https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00580855</u>. 2011.

[17] Schreier F. Notes: An assessment of some closed-form expressions for the Voigt function III: Combinations of the Lorentz and Gauss functions. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy & Radiative Transfer. 2019;226:87-91.

[18] Armstrong BH. Spectrum line profiles: The Voigt function. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy & Radiative Transfer. 1967;7:61-88.