$f(\mathcal{G}, T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta})$ Theory and Complex Cosmological Structures

Z. Yousaf,* M. Z. Bhatti,† and S. Khan‡
Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab, Quaid-i-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.

P.K. Sahoo§
Department of Mathematics, Birla Institute of Technology & Science-Pilani, Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad-500078, India.

The basic objective of this investigation is to explore the impact of a novel gravitational modification, specifically, the $f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ (where $\mathbf{T}^2 \equiv T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta}$, $T^{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the stress-energy tensor) model of gravitation, upon the complexity of time-dependent dissipative as well as non-dissipative spherically symmetric celestial structures. To find the complexity factor ($\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$) from the generic version of the structural variables, we performed Herrera's scheme for the orthogonal cracking of Riemann tensor. In this endeavor, we are mainly concerned with the issue of relativistic gravitational collapse of the dynamically relativistic spheres fulfilling the presumption of minimal $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$. The incorporation of a less restrictive condition termed as quasi-homologous ($\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$) condition together with the zero $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$, allows us to formulate a range of exact solutions for a particular choice of $f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ model. We find that some of the given exact solutions relax the Darmois junction conditions and describe thin shells by satisfying the Israel conditions, while some exhibit voids by fulfilling the Darmois constraints on both boundary surfaces. Eventually, few expected applications of the provided solutions in the era of modern cosmology are debated.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Dw; 04.40.Dg; 0 4.50.Kd; 52.40.Db.

arXiv:2112.00575v3 [gr-qc] 30 Mar 2022

I. INTRODUCTION

Einstein's relativistic gravitational model widely known as general relativity (GR) is thought to be the best possible explanation for gravity and can describe a broad spectrum of gravitational phenomena in our mysterious cosmos, from local to large-scale structure. Particularly, it is well-established that GR satisfies the usual solar system tests satisfactorily after decades of intense study. At cosmological scales, the Λ-Cold Dark Matter cosmological model (commonly denominated as ΛCDM model), based on GR is considered as the most appropriate model to interpret the dynamics of our universe. However, researchers point out that there are several unresolved problems which continue to set the stage for frameworks which attempt to generalize GR. Some of the undetermined problems in GR are the dark matter (DM) dilemma at both the galactic as well as cosmological scales, the existence of singularities within the black holes and in the early cosmos, and the puzzle of dark energy (DE). Despite of several successful results in astronomical research, GR is insufficient to characterize the expanding mechanism of our cosmos. It is quite interesting to see that the modification of GR may be helpful to resolve the puzzles of DM and DE. Proceeding on this track, over the past few decades extraordinary endeavors to illustrate the cosmic dynamics have been observed in literature.

To explain the accelerated cosmic acceleration, researchers have left no stones unturned in their effort for a suitable gravity model during the recent decades. Generally, this entire endeavor can be categorized into two techniques. The first is concerned with the type of matter, which constitutes the bulk of our cosmos. According to this technique, our cosmos is filled with an enigmatic unexplained factor having negative pressure dubbed as DE which gives anti-gravitating stress that not only maintains the cosmic expansion but also accelerates this expansion. This is usually done by the addition of a constant Λ (known as cosmological constant) in the Einstein's equations of GR. However, there are numerous DE models that modify GR without the cosmological constant to describe the cosmic acceleration. But then there arises the cosmological constant issue, which is related to the inconsistency between the theoretically estimated greater value of vacuum density (provided by the quantum field theory) and the observed value specified by the lower value of Λ. The second strategy seeks to generate accelerating cosmological solutions by altering the spacetime geometry, i.e., GR over relatively huge distances, particularly beyond our solar system. As a result, the notion of extended theories of gravitation (ETG) has emerged in the literature, with several modified theories. Many

*Electronic address: zeeshan.math@pu.edu.pk
†Electronic address: mzaeem.math@pu.edu.pk
‡Electronic address: suraj.pu.edu.pk@gmail.com
§Electronic address: pksahoo@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in

of these (ETG) are particularly concerned with the modification of linear function of curvature invariant $\mathbf{R}$, where $\mathbf{R} \equiv g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the Ricci scalar. As a result, it is clear that these modifications are based on the generalization of the gravitational Lagrangian ($\mathbf{L}_{GR}$) in Einstein-Hilbert action (EHA), which has a particular form (i.e., $\mathbf{L}_{GR}$=$\mathbf{R}$) in Einstein's GR.

In gravitational physics, ETG have been a prevalent theme of the present research. The ETG models can be formulated by taking the generic functions of certain curvature computing mathematical quantities such as $\mathcal{R}$ (Ricci scalar), $\mathcal{G}$ (Gauss-Bonnet scalar) as well as matter contribution mediating from the trace of $T_{\alpha\beta}$ as $\mathbf{L}_{GR}$ (gravitational Lagrangian), in (EHA). The ETG models are considered relatively successful to demonstrate the DM observations. In this respect, a widely studied simplest modification of GR is the $f(\mathbf{R})$ gravity [1, 2], where $\mathbf{L}_{GR} = \mathbf{R}$ is replaced by a generic function of $\mathbf{R}$, i.e., $\mathbf{L}_{f(\mathbf{R})} = f(\mathbf{R})$. We may explore the non-linear effects emerging from the curvature scalar $\mathbf{R}$ in the cosmic evolution by making a suitable choice of the generic function $f(\mathbf{R})$, using this modification of GR [3, 4]. Amendola *et al.* [5] formulated some particular conditions for the viability of $f(\mathbf{R})$ DE models and investigated the stability of these models to understand the cosmic evolution. Capozziello *et al.* [6] discussed certain key aspects of $f(\mathbf{R})$ cosmology and found this extension of GR to be very promising to retrace the late-time cosmology to the inflationary epoch. Nojiri and Odintsov [7] proposed a generic formulation of $f(\mathbf{R})$ model of DE which may be reconstructed via a particular FLRW spacetime. They explored some realistic form of $f(\mathbf{R})$ models describing different cosmic phases.

By inserting certain couplings between the geometrical constituents and the matter part, further extensions of higher-derivative $f(\mathbf{R})$ theories have been considered. In this context, one of the captivating gravitational model [8] is formulated by considering the Lagrangian as an arbitrary function $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$, where $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{T}$ denote the traces of Ricci tensor and energy-momentum tensor, respectively. Alvarenga *et al.* [9] investigated the cosmology of scalar perturbations for a flat FRW spacetime, in the realm of a specific form of $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$ DE model. Baffou *et al.* [10] explored the late-time cosmic evolution in $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$ model of gravity, under the effect of Lagrange multipliers and mimetic potentials. Yousaf *at el.* [11] studied the evolution of cosmological structures for certain separable forms of $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$ gravity models, and discussed their physical features. Bhatti *et al.* [12] scrutinized some of the constituents controlling the stability of axially symmetric celestial sources with anisotropic fluids for $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$ gravity. Yousaf *at el.* [13] explored particular factors which are responsible for the irregular behavior of energy density for spherically symmetric sources in the presence of anisotropic fluids for $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$ gravity.

The reconstitution mechanism for higher-curvature gravitational theories is one of the most intriguing aspects of modern cosmology and theoretical physics. A further modification of gravity in that respect have been currently suggested that permits a particular coupling of gravity and matter [14]. More particularly, $f(\mathbf{R})$ gravity has been extended in a non-linear way by including the term $\mathbf{T}^2$ (where $\mathbf{T}^2 \equiv T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the stress-energy tensor) along with the Ricci scalar $\mathbf{R}$ in the generic action of GR. This generalization give rise to $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ class of gravity models, also termed as energy-momentum-squared gravity (EMSG) due to the appearance of the term $\mathbf{T}^2$ [15]. Further surveys on this novel modification have been executed by many researchers.

Roshan and Shojai [15] analyzed that EMSG gravity may describe the exact sequence of cosmic phases and can prevent the existence of early-times singularities with a particular functional form defined as $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T}^2) = \mathbf{R} + \eta\mathbf{T}^2$, where η denotes a constant. Board and Barrow [16] explored the cosmological effects by incorporating the non-linear term $(T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta})^n$ to the matter Lagrangian which is the extension of EMSG gravity, wherein the model is defined by $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T}^2) = \mathbf{R} + \eta(\mathbf{T}^2)^n$, where n and η are constants. Against the background of EMSG cosmology, Moraes and Sahoo [17] examined the non-exotic matter wormholes, and Akarsu *et al.* [18] investigated feasible constraints form the compact objects like neutron stars. Nari and Roshan [19] calculated two different type of cosmological solutions for compact stars, one of them corresponds to pressureless star while other exact solution represents a star with constant effective density, within the bounds of EMSG. Bahamonde *et al.* [20] inspected the cosmic dynamics mediating from the EMSG theory of gravitation via minimal as well as non-minimal coupling models. These models can explain the present cosmic evolution and the emergence of accelerated cosmic expansion. Akarsu *et al.* [21] proposed a scale independent model of EMSG theory that permits various types of gravitational couplings and also introduced a generalized form of ΛCDM cosmic model within this new theory. In addition, recent recent research [22, 23] explain various cosmological consequences emerging from the EMSG.

As the incorporation of higher-order curvature ingredients in the GR's generic action as corrections appears to be a natural progression from GR. Therefore, one can also construct the cosmological models in which the Gauss-Bonnet term ($\mathcal{G}$) or its generic function $f(\mathcal{G})$, appear in the gravitational component of the GR's action. Such generalizations give rise to $f(\mathcal{G})$ gravity models [24–26], where $\mathcal{G}$ is a combination of quadratic-curvature terms, given by $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{R}^2 + R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\varsigma}R^{\alpha\beta\sigma\varsigma} - 4R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta}$. Here $R_{\alpha\beta}$, $R_{\alpha\beta\varrho\sigma}$ denote the Ricci tensor and Riemann tensor, respectively. This type of GR modification is endowed with a rich cosmological background and may be utilized to explore a sequence of cosmic events (i.e., primordial inflation, matter-dominated stage, transition of deceleration to cosmic acceleration stage and current cosmic speed-up, etc.) [27–29]. In addition, the concerns of DE as well as DM may also be figured out via this modification of gravity. In the atmosphere of well-known $f(\mathcal{G})$ model, Myrkzakulov *et al.* [30]

explored the cosmological solutions in particular ΛCDM model. They also demonstrated that such type of theory may address the DE contributions as well as the period of inflation. Within the limits of string-motivated $f(\mathcal{G})$ cosmology, Odintsov and Oikonomou [31] investigated the gravitational baryogenesis by formulating a coupling between baryonic current and the scalar $\mathcal{G}$. Oikonomou [32] described different cosmic evolution phases with the assistance of $\mathbf{R}+f(\mathcal{G})$ DE models. Felice and Tsujikawa [29] discussed the cosmological evolution for various $f(\mathcal{G})$ models and explained certain conditions for the viability of these explicit models.

The $f(\mathcal{G})$ cosmology can be extended with the inclusion of matter stresses emerging from the trace ($\mathbf{T}$) of stress-energy tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ and generally characterized as $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T})$ gravity [33]. By following the similar fashion under which Harko *et al.* [8] extended $f(\mathbf{R})$ to $f(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{T})$ gravity. For some specified form of $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T})$ gravity models, Bhatti *et al.* [34] formulated the existence of some compact cosmic structures and examined the compactness and energy conditions at the core of the compact star. Yousaf [35] worked out the theoretical formulation of some dynamical variables, which have a key role to explain the physical features of cosmological structures with the aid of certain theoretical model of $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T})$ gravity. Yousaf [36] figured out some scalar functions for time-dependent orthogonally symmetric spherical sources under $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T})=\alpha\mathcal{G}^n+\beta\ln[\mathcal{G}]+\lambda\mathbf{T}$, where n, α and β are the constant parameters. He concluded that the dynamics of the spherical sources can be well-analyzed with the help of these extended scalar functions. Bhatti [37] also studied the evolution of dissipative spherically charged sources in the presence of the above-stated model. Under the principles of $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T})$ theory Shamir [38] deployed the bouncing cosmology by taking into account the logarithmic trace corrections ($\mathcal{G}+\alpha\mathcal{G}^2+2\beta\log(\mathbf{T})$) as well as linear trace corrections ($\mathcal{G}+\alpha\mathcal{G}^2+\lambda\mathbf{T}$).

For studying self-gravitational fluids, the assumption of local isotropy is very common when fluid approximation is employed to characterize the matter configurations. However, when the densities of the self-gravitational fluids are generally higher than the density of nuclear matter, unequal principal stresses, also known as anisotropic fluids, might be expected. In self-gravitational compact stars, the notion of anisotropy arises due to the presence of exotic phase transitions [39], electromagnetic fields, rotations, super-fluids or type-A fluids [40], pion and meson condensations [41], core formation [42–44] etc. This implies the existence of two different types of pressure components within the self-gravitational systems, i.e., the tangential component ($P_\perp$) and the radial component (P_r). Therefore, radial and tangential pressures become unequal ($P_r\neq P_\perp$), and there emerges the concept of local anisotropy in the study of self-gravitational fluids. This fact was pointed out by Herrera and Santos in 1997 [45] and later on studied by several researchers both in GR [46] and ETG [47]. Bhatti *et al.* [48] illustrated the influence of curvature-matter coupled gravity on the mechanism of evolving self-gravitating stars using modified scalar functions under $f(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{T})=f_1(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{T})+f_2(\mathbf{R})f_3(\mathbf{T})$ model. They found that as the radial coordinate of the system increases, the density inhomogeneity increases. Yousaf *et al.* [11] discussed the role of physical variables, such as density inhomogeneity, pressure anisotropy in the evolution of anisotropic static compact stars using Krori and Barura solutions for $f(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{T})$ gravity. They concluded that the anisotropy remains positive for considered relativistic systems, which shows that the effect of $P_\perp$ is greater than that of P_r in the anisotropic factor. Maurya and Francisco [49] investigated the possible emergence of highly dense charged stellar systems in $f(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{T})$ gravity and explored the relationship between physical characteristics like energy density, tangential pressure, radial pressures by formulating the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation and mass-radius relation. They found that the anisotropy becomes zero at the center of the stellar system. Recently, Herrera [50] pointed out that physical processes such as density inhomogeneities, dissipative fluxes, and the emergence of shear in stellar evolution will always tend to produce pressure anisotropy, even if the system is initially assumed to be isotropic. Nashed and Capozziello [51] explored some interior solutions corresponding to the non-dynamic spherically symmetric stellar structures coupled with anisotropic fluid and examined the stability of these solutions using modified TOV equation in $f(\mathbf{R})$ gravity model. The positive behavior of the anisotropic factor enhanced the existence of more compact and massive structures in this theory. Malik *et al.* [52] studied anisotropic compact stellar systems by performing a graphical analysis for energy conditions, mass-radius relationship, equilibrium conditions, principal stresses and compactness factor in the arena of $f(\mathbf{R},\phi,\mathbf{T})$ gravity. The physical behavior of the above-stated quantities showed the emergence of regular compact systems in this theory.

In the current manuscript we are mainly concerned with a novel gravitational theory, closely related to $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T})$ gravity that enables the term $\mathbf{L}_{GR}$ to depend on some analytic function of the scalar $\mathbf{T}^2$ is characterized by $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$, where $\mathbf{T}^2\equiv T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta}$. The underlying principal for using such material stresses stems from the rational as illustrated by Katirci and Kavuk [14]. Such type of modifications of GR comprises additional contributions from the material stresses to the GR equations of motion. It is reasonable to consider that the correction term $\mathbf{T}^2$ will be significant only in regions of relatively high energy for example within black holes or the early-time cosmos. The presence of regular bounce and maximum energy density in the early cosmos in this gravity theory shows that this can address the Big Bang singularity with both non-quantum and classical methods. It is worth mentioning that EMSG resolve the issue of space-time singularity without changing the cosmological evolution [15].

This investigation is mainly devoted to the issue of relativistic gravitational collapse subject to zero $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ conditions. The gravitational collapse of relatively large cosmic structures is one of the few observable processes where GR is believed to play a significant contribution. This celestial process is considered to be essential for the evolution and

composition of gravitational compact systems. This fact illustrates the importance of this relativistic phenomenon in the field of cosmology and gravitational physics.

Several attempts have been made during the past years to establish a suitable criterion to assess the degree of complexity in various scientific fields [53–56]. However, regardless of all these efforts done so far, there is still no agreement on an accurate definition. The structure of an ideal gas possesses low information content as its atoms are arranged symmetrically (following specified symmetry rules). However, a large amount of information is required to characterize the framework of an ideal gas due to the irregular arrangement of atoms. Being the simplest models, both the above-mentioned systems (i.e., perfect crystal and ideal gas) manifest zero complexity. Since these models are extreme in the scale of information and arrangement, therefore the principle of complexity must comprise some other terms. One of the earliest attempts of describing complexity was based on entropy and information of the system. López-Ruiz *et al.* [55] illustrated the notion of complexity on the basis of disequilibrium, which is maximal for a perfect crystal and vanishes for an ideal gas. This novel concept assigned the same value of complexity to both the systems. It is notable that the concept of complexity in accordance with López-Ruiz's approach has been suggested already for the self-gravitating cosmic structures [57, 58]. However, being a significant constituent, pressure of the fluid distribution plays a crucial part in determining the physical characteristics and evolution of stellar structures. Thus, the definition of complexity must also encompass the term pressure.

In the framework of GR, Herrera [59] proposed a novel concept regarding complexity in which a certain combination of inhomogeneous density and anisotropy of pressure denoted by the name of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$, demonstrate the complexity of the self-gravitating cosmic structures. The trace-free constituent (Y_{TF}) arising by orthogonally decomposing the electric part ($Y_{\mu\nu}$) of Riemann curvature tensor containing the above-stated variables was obtained. This scalar quantity computes the degree of complexity of the celestial objects and is therefore referred to as the $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$. The quantity Y_{TF} vanishes if

- The fluid is isotropic (with equal principal stresses) and homogeneous (in energy density).
- The two terms containing the anisotropic stresses and homogeneous density cancel each other.

Herrera *et al.* [60] extended this novel idea for time-dependent self-gravitating objects evolving in $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ regime. In this case, the function Y_{TF} also contains the dissipative variables and for the complete analysis of complexity, one must consider the simplest evolution pattern described by the homologous condition. Later on, Herrera *et al.* [61] proposed the complexity of a dissipative dynamical system evolving in the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ regime. In this case, they devised various cosmological models under the condition of $Y_{TF}=0$ and the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ evolution. We are generalizing this study by taking into consideration the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ corrections.

The central motive of this manuscript is to scrutinize the role of higher-curvature ingredients mediating from $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)=\alpha\mathcal{G}^n(\beta\mathbf{G}^m+1)+\eta\mathbf{T}^2$ gravitational model on the dynamical characteristics of dissipative, anisotropic and collapsing matter configuration utilizing a family of dynamical variables referred to as structure scalars. We discuss the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ evolution of the system by constructing the $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ via the scalar function Y_{TF}, and then assuming $Y_{TF}=0$ to formulate several models. This manuscript is framed as follows. Section **II** illustrates the primary concepts of $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ theory, respective gravitational equations and conservation equation. After formulating an important expression relating to matter variables, the Weyl tensor and mass function, we establish the $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ via orthogonal decomposition of Riemann tensor. Section **III** includes the possible joining of inner and outer geometries within the atmosphere of $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ gravitational theory. Section **IV** is devoted to address the modified version of $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ condition, while heat equation is defined in section **V**. To construct some specific solutions for $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ gravitational equations, some extra conditions on the fluid variables are imposed in section **VI**. In section **VII**, we describe several modified solutions coupled with electromagnetism and $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ higher-order ingredients. Finally, the last section concludes our discussion.

II. BASIC FORMALISM OF $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ THEORY

The generic action for $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ gravity can be defined as

$$\mathbb{S}_{f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)}\equiv\mathbb{S}_G+\mathbb{S}_M=\frac{1}{2\mathcal{K}^2}\int\left[\mathbf{R}+f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)\right]\sqrt{-g}d^4x+\int\mathbb{L}_M\sqrt{-g}d^4x, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbb{S}_G$, $\mathbb{S}_M$ denote the action of gravity and matter, respectively. Further, $\mathbf{R}\equiv g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}$ (where $R_{\alpha\beta}$ symbolize the Ricci tensor) is the Ricci scalar, $\mathbb{L}_M\equiv P$ is the matter Lagrangian, and g represents the trace part of $g_{\alpha\beta}$. In addition, $\mathcal{K}$ depicts the coupling constant and we will consider $\mathcal{K}=1$ in our calculations. Furthermore, the generic

function $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ is depending upon the the Gauss-Bonnet scalar ($\mathcal{G}$) and the squared-magnitude of stress-energy tensor ($\mathbf{T}^2$), respectively. These quantities are defined as

$$\mathcal{G}\equiv\mathbf{R}^2+R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\varsigma}R^{\alpha\beta\sigma\varsigma}-4R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta},\quad \mathbf{T}^2\equiv T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta},$$

respectively. Here, $R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ and $T^{\alpha\beta}$ denote the Riemann tensor and stress-energy tensor, respectively. The stress-energy tensor is defined as

$$T_{\alpha\beta}=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathbb{L}_M)}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}},\tag{2}$$

Considering that the matter Lagrangian $\mathbb{L}_M$ depends solely on the components of $g_{\alpha\beta}$, but not on their derivatives, we have

$$T_{\alpha\beta}=g_{\alpha\beta}\mathbb{L}_M-2\frac{\partial\mathbb{L}_M}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}.\tag{3}$$

Now, the variation of the generic action (1) with respect to $g^{\alpha\beta}$ gives

$$\delta\mathbb{S}_{f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)}=\int[((\delta\mathbf{R}+f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)\delta\mathcal{G}+f_{\mathbf{T}^2}(\mathcal{G},T^2)\delta\mathbf{T}^2)\sqrt{-g}+(\mathbf{R}+f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2))\delta\sqrt{-g}]d^4x+\int\delta(\mathbb{L}_M\sqrt{-g})d^4x,\tag{4}$$

where $f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)\equiv\frac{\partial f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)}{\partial\mathcal{G}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{T}^2}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)\equiv\frac{\partial f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)}{\partial\mathbf{T}^2}$. The variation of the Gauss-Bonnet scalar $\mathcal{G}$ is given as

$$\delta\mathcal{G}=2\mathbf{R}\delta\mathbf{R}+\delta(R_{\alpha\beta\varrho\sigma}R^{\alpha\beta\varrho\sigma})-4\delta(R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta}),\tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathbf{R}=&(\nabla^2g_{\alpha\beta}-\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta+R_{\alpha\beta})\delta g^{\alpha\beta},\\ \delta R^{\varrho}_{\alpha\beta\sigma}=&\nabla_\beta(\delta\Gamma^{\varrho}_{\sigma\alpha})-\nabla_\sigma(\delta\Gamma^{\varrho}_{\beta\alpha}),\\ =&\nabla_{[\sigma}\nabla^{\varrho}\delta g_{\beta]\alpha}+(g_{\alpha\eta}\nabla_{[\sigma}\nabla_{\beta]}+g_{\eta[\beta}\nabla_{\sigma]}\nabla_\alpha)\delta g^{\varrho\eta},\\ \delta R^{\varrho}_{\alpha\varrho\sigma}=&\delta R_{\alpha\sigma}.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

In this relation, $\nabla^2\equiv\nabla_\eta\nabla^\eta$ (where ∇^η denotes the covariant differentiation) and $\Gamma^{\varrho}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Christoffel symbol. For the variation of $\mathbf{T}^2$, we have

$$\delta(T^2\sqrt{-g})=\delta(T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-g})=T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\delta(\sqrt{-g})+\sqrt{-g}\delta(T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}),\tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\sqrt{-g})=&-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}g_{\alpha\beta}\delta g^{\alpha\beta},\\ \delta(T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})=&\delta(g^{\mu\varrho}g^{\nu\sigma}T_{\mu\nu}T_{\varrho\sigma}),\\ =&2(T^{\mu\nu}\delta T_{\mu\nu}+\delta g^{\mu\varrho}T^{\sigma}_{\mu}T_{\varrho\sigma}),\\ =&2\left(\frac{T^{\mu\nu}\delta T_{\mu\nu}}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}}+T^{\sigma}_{\alpha}T_{\beta\sigma}\right)\delta g^{\alpha\beta},\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Therefore, Eq.(7) gives

$$\delta(\mathbf{T}^2\sqrt{-g})=2\left(\Phi_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{4}g_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{T}^2+T^{\sigma}_{\alpha}T_{\beta\sigma}\right)\sqrt{-g}\delta g^{\alpha\beta},\tag{9}$$

where the tensorial quantity $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta}=T^{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta T_{\mu\nu}}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}}.\tag{10}$$

Now, using Eq.(3), we have

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta}=T^{\mu\nu}\left(g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta\mathbb{L}_M}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}}+\frac{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}}\mathbb{L}_M-2\frac{\partial^2\mathbb{L}_M}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}}\right),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&T^{\mu\nu}\left[-\mathbb{L}_M\left(g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g_{\alpha\beta}\right)-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T_{\alpha\beta}-2\frac{\partial^2\mathbb{L}_M}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}}\right],\\
=&-\mathbb{L}_M\left(T_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{T}g_{\alpha\beta}\right)-\frac{1}{2}TT_{\alpha\beta}-2T^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial^2\mathbb{L}_M}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{11}
$$

In the above expression, we have used the relation $\delta g_{\mu\nu}/\delta g^{\alpha\beta}=-g_{\mu\varrho}g_{\nu\sigma}\delta^{\varrho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ (where $\delta^{\varrho\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta}=\delta g^{\sigma\sigma}/\delta g^{\alpha\beta}$ symbolize the generalized Kronecker delta). Consequently, we have

$$
\Phi_{\alpha\beta}\equiv\frac{\delta(\mathbf{T}^2)}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}}=\frac{\delta(T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}}=-2\mathbb{L}_M\left(T_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{T}g_{\alpha\beta}\right)+2T^{\sigma}_{\alpha}T_{\beta\sigma}-TT_{\alpha\beta}-4T^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial^2\mathbb{L}_M}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}}.
\tag{12}
$$

After some manipulation, the equations of motion for $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ gravity derived from Eq.(1) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\alpha\beta}=&\mathcal{K}^2T_{\alpha\beta}-\Phi_{\alpha\beta}f_{\mathbf{T}^2}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)+\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)-2(\mathbf{R}R_{\alpha\beta}-2R_{\varrho\beta}R^{\varrho}_{\alpha}+R^{\varrho\eta\mu}{}_{\alpha}R_{\beta\varrho\eta\mu}-2R_{\alpha\varrho\beta\eta}R^{\varrho\eta})f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)\\
&-2(\mathbf{R}g_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^2+2R^{\varrho}_{\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\varrho}+2R^{\varrho}_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}\nabla_{\varrho}+2R_{\alpha\varrho\beta\eta}\nabla^{\varrho}\nabla^{\eta}-2R_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^2-\mathrm{R}\nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}-2g_{\alpha\beta}R^{\varrho\eta}\nabla_{\varrho}\nabla_{\eta})f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{13}
$$

The above expression can be reduced to the equation of motion for $f(\mathcal{G})$ gravity in the particular case where $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)=f(\mathcal{G})$ and to the Einstein's equations when $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)=0$. The trace part of Eq.(13) is given by

$$
\mathbf{T}-\Phi f_{\mathbf{T}^2}+2f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)-2\mathcal{G}f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)-2\mathbf{R}\nabla^2f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)+4R_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^{\alpha}\nabla^{\beta}f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)=0.
$$

It is significant to mention that in $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$, the standard conservation equation of the stress-energy tensor is not satisfied, i.e., covariant derivative of $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is non-zero ($\nabla^{\alpha}T_{\alpha\beta}\neq0$). This fact can be justified by taking the covariant derivative of Eq.(13), which on combing with the Bianchi identity $\nabla^{\alpha}G_{\alpha\beta}$, gives

$$
\kappa^2\nabla^{\alpha}T_{\alpha\beta}=-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^{\alpha}f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)+\nabla^{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{\alpha\beta}f_{\mathbf{T}^2}(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)\right).
\tag{14}
$$

It can be easily seen from the above expression that the in general, the conservation law does not hold for this gravitational theory.

In the present article, we utilize the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ principal to evaluate the results of classical GR at large-scale with a certain choice of generic function. We also seek to investigate the consequences mediating from the heat flux (q_{α}) as well as anisotropic factor $\Pi\equiv P_r-P_{\perp}$, in the complex spherical cosmic structures. The energy-stress tensor representing the usual dissipative imperfect fluid is defined as

$$
T_{\alpha\beta}=(\rho+P)V_{\alpha}V_{\beta}+Pg_{\alpha\beta}+\Pi_{\alpha\beta}+(\chi_{\alpha}V_{\beta}+V_{\alpha}\chi_{\beta})q,
\tag{15}
$$

where χ_{α} and V_{α} correspond to the unit four-vector and the velocity four-vector, respectively, which in comoving frame follows

$$
\chi_{\alpha}\chi^{\alpha}=1,\quad V_{\alpha}\chi^{\alpha}=0,\quad q_{\alpha}V^{\alpha}=0,\quad V_{\alpha}V^{\alpha}=-1.
$$

In addition, ρ symbolizes the energy density, $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ is the anisotropic pressure tensor which is defined as $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}=\Pi\{\chi_{\alpha}\chi_{\beta}-1/3h_{\alpha\beta}\}$ (where $h_{\alpha\beta}=V_{\alpha}V_{\beta}+g_{\alpha\beta}$ represents the projection tensor).

The extended form of gravitational models have been discovered to be quite interesting in the evolution of cosmic structure. Abdalla *et al.* [62] showed that the addition of quadratic powers of Ricci scalar $\mathbf{R}$ exhibit several characteristics that are useful for understanding the accelerating DE universe. In such a case, the theory allows for both the primordial inflation and the late-time cosmic acceleration. Researchers analyzed several gravitational models regarding the enigma of accelerated expansion. The addition of higher-curvature ingredients in the generic action of GR can be served to address the primary inflation, the exclusion of Big Bang singularity, acceleratory behavior of the universe, and several cosmological issues [63]. The higher-curvature $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ terms can be incorporated by formulating separate functions of scalars $\mathbf{T}^2$ and $\mathcal{G}$ as

$$
f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)=\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{G})+\mathfrak{g}_2(\mathbf{T}^2),
\tag{16}
$$

yielding $\mathbf{T}^2$ corrections in the principle of $f(\mathcal{G})$ theory initially proposed in [24]. Here, we discuss the quadratic form of the functional defined as $\mathfrak{g}_2(\mathbf{T}^2)=\eta\mathbf{T}^2$. Therefore, Eq.(16) takes the following form

$$
f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)=\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{G})+\eta\mathbf{T}^2,
\tag{17}
$$

where η is an arbitrary real constant. To incorporate the Gauss-Bonnet corrections, we take the functional $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{G})$ [35, 64] as follows

$$\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{G}) = \alpha\mathcal{G}^n(\beta\mathcal{G}^m + 1), \tag{18}$$

where m, n, α and β are constant parameters with $n > 0$. This model of gravity was formulated to study the finite-time future singularities. The string-motivated higher-curvature mathematical ingredients (i.e., the Gauss-Bonnet scalar $\mathcal{G}$) along with the scalar fields can be regarded as a promising alternative for understanding the non-singularties of the early-time universe. These theories may also be employed to explore the late-time cosmological behavior using the DE model based on the term $\mathcal{G}$ [65].

Now, we imagine generic form of the time-dependent spherically symmetric spacetime as

$$ds^2 = -A^2(t,r)dt^2 + B^2(t,r)dr^2 + C^2(t,r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \tag{19}$$

where A, B and C denote the metric potentials which are assumed to be positive definite. The above-stated spacetime satisfies the following relationships of the four-vectors.

$$\chi^\alpha = \frac{1}{B}\delta_1^\alpha, \quad V^\alpha = \frac{1}{A}\delta_0^\alpha, \quad q^\alpha = \frac{1}{B}q(t,r)\delta_1^\alpha. \tag{20}$$

The respective relationships for expansion scalar (Θ), four-acceleration scalar (a) and shear scalar (σ) are defined as (see [61] for details)

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{A}\left(2\frac{\dot{C}}{C} + \frac{\dot{B}}{B}\right), \quad a = \frac{A'}{AB}, \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{A}\left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} - \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right). \tag{21}$$

Here, t-derivative and r-derivative are represented by dot and prime, respectively.

A. Dynamical equations for $f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ Cosmology

We can also reformulate Eq.(13) in the following form

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta} - \Phi_{\alpha\beta}f_{\mathbf{T}^2}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2) + \frac{1}{2}\left[f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2) - \mathcal{G}f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)\right]g_{\alpha\beta} + \varphi_{\alpha\beta},$$

where

$$\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = -2(\mathbf{R}g_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^2 + 2R^\varrho_\beta\nabla_\mu\nabla_\varrho + 2R^\varrho_\alpha\nabla_\beta\nabla_\varrho + 2R_{\alpha\varrho\beta\eta}\nabla^\varrho\nabla^\eta - 2R_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^2 - \mathbf{R}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\beta - 2g_{\alpha\beta}R^{\varrho\eta}\nabla_\varrho\nabla_\eta)f_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2), \tag{22}$$

The $f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ gravity equations regarding the systems given in Eqs.(15), (18) and (19) are

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{00} =& A^2\left[\rho - \{(\rho + 4P + 3P^2)\rho + 2q^2\}\eta - \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\right] + \varphi_{00},\\
G_{01} =& AB\left[q - \frac{\eta}{2}(4P_r - 10P - 2\rho)q\right] + \varphi_{01},
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{11} =& B^2\left[P_r - \{(\rho - 5P + 2P_r)P_r + (3P^2 - 2q^2 - \rho P)\}\eta^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\right] + \varphi_{11},\\
G_{22} =& \frac{G_{33}}{\sin^2\theta} = C^2\left[P_\perp - \{(\rho - 5P + 2P_\perp)P_\perp + P(3P - \rho)\}\eta + \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\right] + \varphi_{22},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where the values of $G_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ can be seen from [66].

The geometric mass $\mathfrak{m}$ for non-static structure could be computed via Misner-Sharp framework as[67]

$$\mathfrak{m}(t,r) = \frac{C^3}{2}R_{23}{}^{23} = \left(1 + \frac{\dot{C}^2}{A^2} - \frac{C'^2}{B^2}\right)\frac{C}{2}. \tag{25}$$

Now, to explore some dynamical features of the structure, the velocity $\mathbb{U}$ of the collapsing fluid is defined as

$$\mathbb{U} = D_T C = \frac{\dot{C}}{A}, \tag{26}$$

where $D_T \equiv \frac{1}{A}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ denotes the proper time derivative operator. Then, Eq.(25) provide

$$\mathbb{E} \equiv \frac{C'}{B} = \left(1 + \mathbb{U}^2 - 2\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{C}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, one can write Eq.(25) as

$$\begin{aligned} D_C\mathfrak{m} =& \frac{C^2}{2}\left(\rho + \frac{\mathbb{U}}{\mathbb{E}}q\right) - \frac{C^2}{2}\left\{\rho(\rho + 4P + 3P^2) + 2q^2\right\}\eta - \frac{\alpha}{4}C^2\left\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\right\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{4}\mathbf{T}^2C^2 \\ &+ \frac{\mathbb{U}C^2}{2\mathbb{E}}\left\{q(\rho + 5P - 2P_r)\eta\right\} + \frac{C^2}{2A^2}\varphi_{00} - \frac{\mathbb{U}C^2}{2\mathbb{E}AB}\varphi_{01}. \end{aligned}$$

The integration of the above relation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m} =& \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r C'C^2\left(\rho + \frac{\mathbb{U}}{\mathbb{E}}q\right)dr - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r C'C^2\left\{\rho(\rho + 4P + 3P^2) + 2q^2\right\}\eta dr + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \frac{\mathbb{U}C'C^2}{\mathbb{E}}\left\{q(\rho + 5P - 2P_r)\eta\right\}dr \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\int_0^r \alpha C'C^2\left\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\right\}\mathcal{G}^n dr - \frac{1}{4}\int_0^r \eta\mathbf{T}^2C'C^2dr + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \frac{C'C^2}{A^2}\varphi_{00}dr - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \frac{\mathbb{U}C'C^2}{\mathbb{E}AB}\varphi_{01}dr. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for regular center, i.e., $\mathfrak{m}(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\mathfrak{m}}{C^3} =& \frac{\rho}{2} - \frac{1}{2C^3}\int_0^r \rho' C^3 dr + \frac{3}{2C^3}\int_0^r \frac{\mathbb{U}}{\mathbb{E}}qC'C^2dr - \frac{3}{2C^3}\int_0^r C'C^2\left\{\rho(\rho + 4P + 3P^2) + 2q^2\right\}\eta dr - \frac{3\eta}{4C^3}\int_0^r \mathbf{T}^2C'C^2dr \\ &+ \frac{3}{2C^3}\int_0^r \frac{\mathbb{U}C'C^2}{\mathbb{E}}\left\{q(\rho + 5P - 2P_r)\eta\right\}dr - \frac{3}{4C^3}\int_0^r \alpha C'C^2\left\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\right\}\mathcal{G}^n dr \\ &+ \frac{3}{2C^3}\int_0^r \frac{C'C^2}{A^2}\varphi_{00}dr - \frac{3}{2C^3}\int_0^r \frac{\mathbb{U}C'C^2}{\mathbb{E}AB}\varphi_{01}dr. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

This equation relates the geometric mass $\mathfrak{m}$ with geometric variables, dissipative variables, homogeneous as inhomogeneous distribution of density together with $f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ ingredients. This relationship allows us to assess the changes in the mass corresponding to the $f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ terms, irregular energy density in the presence of higher-order matter terms.

Matte [68] was the first who split the Weyl tensor into electric and magnetic (which vanishes due to spherical symmetry) parts. The electric part in terms of the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$, velocity vector V_α and unit vector χ_α, is given by

$$\tilde{E}_{\alpha\beta} = \left[3\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta - (V_\alpha V_\beta + g_{\alpha\beta})\right]\frac{\mathcal{E}}{3},$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ denotes Weyl scalar given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \left[\left(\frac{C'}{C} - \frac{A'}{A}\right)\left(\frac{B'}{B} + \frac{C'}{C}\right) - \frac{C''}{C} + \frac{A''}{A}\right]\frac{1}{2B^2} - \frac{1}{2C^2} + \left[\left(\frac{\dot{C}}{C} + \frac{\dot{A}}{A}\right)\left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} - \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right) + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} - \frac{\ddot{B}}{B}\right]\frac{1}{2A^2}. \tag{28}$$

Using the $f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ gravitational field equations together with Eq.(25) and (28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\mathfrak{m}}{C^3} =& -\mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho - P_r + P_\perp) - \frac{\eta}{2}\left\{\rho(\rho + 5P + 3P^2) + 2q^2\right\} - \frac{\alpha}{4}\left\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\right\}\mathcal{G}^m - \frac{\eta}{4}\mathbf{T}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{A^2}\varphi_{00} - \frac{1}{B^2}\varphi_{11} + \frac{1}{C^2}\varphi_{22}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The above-stated equations describe a significant correspondence between mass $\mathfrak{m}$, Weyl tensor as well as fluid variables such as anisotropic stresses, energy density together with $f(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{T}^2)$ dark source ingredients.

B. Formulation of Complexity Factor Through dynamical Variables

Here, we will discuss the analytical formulation of a dynamical variable characterized as structure scalar, which can be utilized to compute the structural complexity of self-gravitating celestial systems. This dynamical variable is

described as the complexity factor ($\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$) [61]. We will examine the cosmological impact on the ($\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$) of large-scale self-gravitating structures with $\alpha\mathcal{G}^n(\beta\mathcal{G}^m+1)+\eta\mathbf{T}^2$ corrections and study the implications of GR at large-scales. The fundamental mechanism to split up the Riemann tensor orthogonally to obtain some dynamical variables (structure scalars), was formerly presented by Bel [69]. Afterward, Herrera [70, 71] deployed this mechanism and employed this approach to study several problems corresponding to the structural and evolutionary features of gravitational compact systems in GR. These scalar variables are particularly significant to our discussion, since one of these scalars appear to assess the complexity of gravitational compact systems [59].

With the aid of Herrera's methodology, the generalized version of these dynamical quantities in different EGT haven been formulated. By using $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T})=\alpha\mathcal{G}+\beta\ln[\mathcal{G}]+\lambda\mathbf{T}$ corrections, the extended version of such dynamical variables have been illustrated by Yousaf [36]. The modified dynamical variables for Palatini $f(R)$ corrections is discussed in [72], and the impact of electromagnetism upon these variables is also examined in [73]. For theocratical formulation of the $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$, we will first define the tensorial quantity $Y_{\alpha\beta}$ (the electric component of Riemann curvature tensor) as

$$Y_{\alpha\beta}=R_{\alpha\sigma\beta\varrho}V^{\sigma}V^{\varrho}.$$

The above definition yields

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{\alpha\beta}=&\frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\rho+3P_r-2\Pi)-\frac{\eta}{2}\left\{\rho^2-13(3P_r-2\Pi)^2\right\}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n+\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\right]h_{\alpha\beta}\\&+\left[\mathcal{E}-\frac{\Pi}{2}\{1+\rho-5(P_r-\frac{2}{3}\Pi)\}\eta\right](\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta-\frac{1}{3}h_{\alpha\beta})-\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}+\varphi_{\varrho\beta}V_\alpha V^\varrho+\varphi_{\alpha\varsigma}V_\beta V^\varsigma+\varphi_{\varrho\varsigma}V^\varrho V^\varsigma g_{\alpha\beta})+S_{\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

It worthwhile to notice that the above-mentioned resullt can be formulated in terms of trace (labeled as T) and trace-free (labeled as TF) parts as

$$Y_{\alpha\beta}=\frac{1}{3}Y_Th_{\alpha\beta}+\left[\chi_\alpha\chi_\beta-\frac{1}{3}h_{\alpha\beta}\right]Y_{TF},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}Y_T=&\left[\frac{1}{2}(\rho+3P_r-2\Pi)-\frac{\eta}{2}\left\{\rho^2-13(3P_r-2\Pi)^2\right\}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n+\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\right]+\psi_{\mathbf{1}}\\Y_{TF}=&\mathcal{E}-\frac{\Pi}{2}\left[1+\rho-5\left(P_r-\frac{2}{3}\Pi\right)\right]\eta+\psi_{\mathbf{2}},\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

where the higher-curvature terms denoted by $\psi_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\psi_{\mathbf{2}}$ are given in Appendix A. Then, using Eqs.(29) and (31) we get

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{TF}=&\frac{\rho}{2}-\frac{3\mathfrak{m}}{C^3}-\Pi\left[1+\frac{1}{2}(1+\rho)-5\left(P_r+\frac{\Pi}{3}\right)\right]\eta-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\rho(\rho+5P+3P^2)+2q^2\right\}\eta-\frac{\eta}{4}\mathbf{T}^2\\&-\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi_{00}}{A^2}-\frac{\varphi_{11}}{B^2}+\frac{\varphi_{22}}{C^2}+\frac{\psi_{\mathbf{2}}}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This equation expresses a significant relationship, which relates the dynamical variable Y_{TF} with $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ corrections, matter variables, heat flux and anisotropic stresses. This relation also manifests homogeneous as well inhomogeneous distribution of energy density, which enables us to compute the complexities of the gravitational compact structures. The emergence of the above factors are thought to be the key reason to produce the complexities in any gravitational compact object. This relationship, however, will be required in the form of kinematical variables (such as four-acceleration scalar, expansion scalar and shear scalar) and metric potentials, given by

$$Y_{TF}\equiv\mathcal{E}-\frac{\Pi}{2}\left[1+\rho-5\left(P_r-\frac{2}{3}\Pi\right)\right]\eta+\psi_{\mathbf{2}}=a^2+\frac{1}{B}\left(a'+a\frac{C'}{C}\right)-\frac{\sigma^2}{3}-\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{A}-\frac{2}{3}\Theta\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi_{11}}{B^2}-\frac{\varphi_{22}}{C^2}+\frac{\psi_{\mathbf{2}}}{2}\right).\tag{32}$$

The aforementioned equation can be used to study the shear-free condition ($\sigma=0$) for the geodesic fluid and also known as the evolution equation. This relation has many physical meaningful features as discussed in [74].

In any gravitational stellar system, a combination of several elements are responsible for the intricate (complex) mechanism of the system (i.e., anisotropic stresses, dissipative variables, irregular the behavior of energy density, etc.).

In general, a gravitational system having regular (in terms of energy density) and isotropic (in terms of pressure) distribution is considered to have minimal complexity. The dynamical gravitational structures have a quite different interpretation of complexity, as compared to the static structures [59, 60]. In this case, the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ corrections, anisotropic stresses, structural variables, and irregular energy density are the key ingredients to complex the gravitational system. The dynamical variable Y_{TF} constitutes a particular amalgam of the above-stated parameters, as indicated in Eq.(32), and therefore it is adopted as the $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ for our system. Later on, we will present several analytical solutions under the condition $Y_{TF}=0$.

III. MATCHING CONDITIONS

This section describes the formulation of matching conditions for $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ theory. If we want to prevent the appearance of thin shells on both the boundary surfaces (interior $\Sigma^{(i)}$ as well as exterior $\Sigma^{(e)}$), then Darmois junction conditions should be imposed. Since few of the given cosmological solutions exhibit the fluid configurations endowed with a void enclosing the center ($r=0$), then in this situation the matching must be considered on both the boundary surfaces [43]. Consider the Vaidya metric exterior to the boundary surface $\Sigma^{(e)}$, classified as

$$ds^2=-\left[1-\frac{2\mathbb{M}(\nu)}{r}\right]d\nu^2-2drd\nu+r^2d\theta^2+r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2,$$

where $\mathbb{M}(\nu)$ and ν symbolize the entire mass and the time of retardation, respectively. The joining of inner spacetime to the Vaidya metric on $r=r_{\Sigma^{(e)}}=$ constant, suggests the continuity of first and second differential forms across the boundary surfaces, gives

$$\mathfrak{m}(t,r)\overset{\Sigma^{(e)}}{=}\mathbb{M}(\nu)\quad\text{and}\quad(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})\overset{\Sigma^{(e)}}{=}\frac{\mathcal{L}}{4\pi r}\overset{\Sigma^{(e)}}{=}\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{G}f_{\mathcal{G}}-f)\overset{\Sigma^{(e)}}{=}(P_r+P_r^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)}),\tag{33}$$

where $q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ represents $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ terms and the luminosity of the self-gravitating source, respectively. The symbol $\overset{\Sigma^{(e)}}{=}$ shows that the calculations of the above quantities are performed over the outer boundary surface. Next, the expression for the luminosity $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{\infty}\left(1+2\frac{dr}{d\nu}-\frac{2\mathfrak{m}}{r}\right)^{-1},\quad\text{where}\quad\mathcal{L}_{\infty}=\frac{d\mathbb{M}}{d\nu}.$$

The above relation depicts that the total luminosity calculated at infinity. The emergence of a void on both the hypersurfaces implies the joining of the interior spacetime to the Minkowski metric on the hypersurfaces. Thus, the junction conditions become

$$\mathfrak{m}(t,r)\overset{\Sigma^{(i)}}{=}0,\quad(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})\overset{\Sigma^{(i)}}{=}(P_r+P_r^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})\overset{\Sigma^{(i)}}{=}\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{G}f_{\mathcal{G}}-f).\tag{34}$$

It is worthwhile to mention that if presented solutions do not fulfill the Darmois matching conditions, then there should arise a shell on both the boundary surfaces.

IV. THE QUASI-HOMOLOGOUS CONDITION

It is already stated in [60] that for dynamical gravitational structures we have to consider not only the $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ of the system of the matter configuration, but also the minimal complexity condition of the evolution pattern. To evaluate this condition, it is considered that the systems evolving in the homologous regime are considered to be the simplest ones (i.e., they correspond to the minimal complexity). To explain the evolving matter configurations, Herrera *et al.*[61] considered a quasi-homologous condition ($\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$) which is less restrictive than the homologous condition presumed in [60]. The $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ condition enables us to examine several physical features of the dissipative gravitational objects which are significant from astrophysical perspective. To formulate the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ condition, let us first notice that Eq.(23) can be written as

$$D_C\left(\frac{\mathbb{U}}{C}\right)=\frac{\sigma}{C}+\frac{q}{2\mathbb{E}}\left\{1+(\rho+5P-2P_r)\eta\right\}-\frac{\varphi_{01}}{2}.\tag{35}$$

The integration of which yields

$$\mathbb{U}=\tilde{b}C+C\int_0^r\left\{\frac{\sigma}{C}+\frac{q}{2\mathbb{E}}\left\{1+(\rho+5P-2P_r)\eta\right\}-\frac{\varphi_{01}}{2}\right\}C'dr,$$

where $\tilde{b}=\tilde{b}(t)$ is an arbitrary function of integration.

$$\mathbb{U}=\left(\frac{\mathbb{U}_{\Sigma(e)}}{C_{\Sigma(e)}}\right)C-C\int_0^r\left\{\frac{\sigma}{C}+\frac{q}{2\mathbb{E}}\left\{1+(\rho+5P-2P_r)\eta\right\}-\frac{\varphi_{01}}{2}\right\}C'dr.$$

The vanishing of the integral in the last expression implies

$$\mathbb{U}=\tilde{b}(t)C, \tag{36}$$

i.e., the areal radius C and the collapsing velocity $\mathbb{U}$ are proportional to one another. In Newtonian hydrodynamics [40, 75, 76] the homologous evolution is characterized by this expression. Therefore, for two concentric spherical shells of radii say C_1 and C_2, assigned by $r=\mathfrak{r}_2$=constant, and $r=\mathfrak{r}_1$=constant, respectively, we get

$$\frac{C_1}{C_2}=\text{constant}. \tag{37}$$

The condition defined in Eqs.(36) together with the condition (37) describes the homologous evolution of the dynamical gravitational system. The key point that we want to justify here is that the conditions provided by Eqs.(36) and (37) are two independent conditions. For two shells of fluids 1, 2, Eq.(36) implies

$$\frac{\mathbb{U}_1}{\mathbb{U}_2}=\frac{A_2\dot{C}_1}{A_1\dot{C}_2}=\frac{C_1}{C_2},$$

If we consider $A=A(r)$, the above expression yields (37). Thus by applying coordinate transformation we get A=constant. Therefore, the condition $\mathbb{U}=\tilde{b}(t)C$ always implies condition (37), in a non-relativistic regime. However, in relativistic regime the condition $\mathbb{U}=\tilde{b}(t)C$ yields (37), only in case of geodesic fluid. Finally, let us define the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ condition, restricted only by the condition (36) as

$$\frac{\sigma}{C}+\frac{qB}{2C'}\left\{1+(\rho+5P-2P_r)\eta\right\}-\frac{\varphi_{01}}{2}=0. \tag{38}$$

Therefore, our solutions will follow the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ condition together with the constraint $Y_{TF}=0$.

V. THE HEAT TRANSPORT EQUATION

we may require a transport equation to compute the explicit relationships of the temperature of evolving matter configuration in the dissipative case. Therefore, in this section, we will use a transport equation which may be obtained from a well-known second-order causal dissipative theory (Israel-Stewart theory) in the presence of $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ corrections. Later on, we will formulate the temperature for each solution with the help of this equation. Therefore, the associated heat transport equation is defined by

$$q^\alpha+\tau h^{\alpha\beta}V^\varrho q_{\beta;\varrho}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\tau V^\beta}{\kappa T^2}\right)_{;\beta}\tilde{\kappa}T^2q^\alpha-\tilde{\kappa}h^{\alpha\beta}(Ta_\beta+T_{,\beta}), \tag{39}$$

where T, $\tilde{\kappa}$ and τ denote the temperature, thermal conductivity and the relaxation time of dissipative fluid configuration, respectively. Notice that, the above equation has only one non-null independent constituent given by as

$$\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})=-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\kappa}(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})T^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\tau}{\tilde{\kappa}T^2}\right)-\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{B}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(TA)-\frac{A}{2}(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})(1+\tau\Theta).$$

The truncated form of the above expression can be obtained by neglecting the last two terms of Eq.(39) as [77]

$$(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})+\tau h^{\alpha\beta}V^\varrho(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})_{\beta;\varrho}=-\tilde{\kappa}h^{\alpha\beta}(Ta_\beta+T_{,\beta}),$$

which gives merely one non-null independent constituent given as

$$(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})A+\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(q+q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})=-\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{B}(TA), \tag{40}$$

where $q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)}$ represents the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ corrections.

VI. NOVEL DEFINITION OF VELOCITY $\mathbb{U}$ AND SOME ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

To formulate some particular analytical solutions, we must put few extra restrictions on the gravitational structure, in addition to the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ evolution and the minimal $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ condition. In this section, we will examine few additions restrictions on the structural variables describing the evolving fluid. For this purpose, we will describe a novel conception of velocity, which completely different from $\mathbb{U}$. In section **II**, we considered the definition of collapsing velocity $\mathbb{U}$ as $\mathbb{U} = D_T C$, i.e., the variation of proper radius C with respect to proper time T. However, here the velocity is defined as $D_T(\delta l)/\delta l$, i.e., the variation of infinitesimal proper length (δl) with respect to proper time. One can easily prove that

$$\frac{D_T(\delta l)}{\delta l} = \frac{1}{3}(\Theta + 2\sigma) = \frac{\dot{B}}{AB}.$$

Therefore, the expressions for the scalars Θ and σ are defined as

$$\Theta = 2\frac{\mathbb{U}}{C} + \frac{D_T(\delta l)}{\delta l}, \tag{41}$$

$$\sigma = -\frac{\mathbb{U}}{C} + \frac{D_T(\delta l)}{\delta l}. \tag{42}$$

It is already demonstrated in [78] that the constraint $\Theta = 0$ implies the appearance of a cavity around the center ($r = 0$) of matter configuration . Let us consider the constraint $\mathbb{U} \neq 0$ and $D_T(\delta l) = 0$, which corresponds to solely areal evolution. However, the condition $D_T(\delta l) = 0$ implies $B = B(r)$ and the re-parametrization of r enables us to consider $B = 1$ providing $C' = \mathbb{E}$. Then, utilizing Eqs.(41) and (42), we get

$$\frac{\Theta}{2} = \frac{\mathbb{U}}{C} = -\sigma. \tag{43}$$

Now, Eq.(35) gives

$$(\sigma C)' = -\frac{q}{2}C\left\{1 + (\rho + 5P - 2P_r)\eta\right\} + \frac{\varphi_{01}}{2}CC'. \tag{44}$$

The integrating of the last expression yields

$$\sigma = \frac{\tilde{\xi}(t)}{C} - \frac{1}{2C}\int_0^r \left[Cq\left\{1 + (\rho + 5P - 2P_r)\eta\right\} - CC'\varphi_{01}\right] dr, \tag{45}$$

where $\tilde{\xi}$ is a function of integration. Here, it is notable that the constraint $\tilde{\xi} = 0$ must be imposed in case when the sphere is filled with fluid. But, we do not consider this case as we are assuming the possibility of a cavity. Now, using Eq.(43) we have

$$\mathbb{U} = -\tilde{\xi} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[Cq\left\{1 + (\rho + 5P - 2P_r)\eta\right\} - CC'\varphi_{01}\right] dr, \tag{46}$$

which is consistent with Eqs.(36) and (38). Now, presume the situation in which the sphere is completely filled with the fluid, i.e., we take $\tilde{\xi} = 0$. Consequently, Eq.(46) provides

$$\mathbb{U} = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[Cq\left\{1 + (\rho + 5P - 2P_r)\eta\right\} - CC'\varphi_{01}\right] dr. \tag{47}$$

However, the emergence of a void encircling the fluid's center ($r = 0$) shows that $\tilde{\xi}$ might be distinct from zero. We will consider this last case because of the following causes. The subject is that Eq.(47) exhibit the appearance of a void under the presumed conditions. Indeed, for $q > 0$, Eqs.(41) and (47) imply that $\mathbb{U} > 0$ and $\Theta > 0$. That is, in the case of outward-directed flux there will be contraction instead of expansion. Inversely, for $q < 0$ we must expect an expansion rather than contraction, which is given by Eq.(47). As a result, the previous comments show that $\tilde{\xi} \neq 0$.

Thus, it is concluded that the condition $\mathbb{U} \neq 0$ but $D_T(\delta l) = 0$ seems to be more appropriate in describing the evolving matter configuration having a cavity around the center. However, the case $\mathbb{U} = 0$ and $D_T(\delta l) \neq 0$ gives another possible constraint on the kinematical variables. In this scenario, C =constant but δl changes with time. Ultimately, under the last restriction, the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ conation takes the form gives

$$\frac{\dot{B}}{AB} = -\frac{CC'}{2B}\left\{1 + (\rho + 5P - 2P_r)\eta\right\} q + \frac{\varphi_{01}}{2}C, \quad \Theta = \sigma. \tag{48}$$

It is notable that this condition does not require the appearance of a void encircling the fluid's center.

VII. $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ GRAVITY MODELS

This section comprises certain analytical solutions exhibiting the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ contributions, fulfilling the minimal $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ condition and evolving in the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ regime.

A. Non-Dissipative Stellar Models

For the non-dissipative scenario, the homologous restriction gives $Y_{TF}=0$, with geodesic fluid [60]. In addition, in this case a specify FRW model fulfilling the condition $Y_{TF}=0$ and evolving homozygously was presented. Now we examine the case in which the spherical structure evolves in the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ regime. Thus substitution $q=0$ in Eq.(38) gives $\sigma=0$. This constraint provides

$$C=rB. \tag{49}$$

Using Eqs.(23) and (49) we get

$$\left(\frac{\dot{B}}{AB}\right)'+\frac{1}{2A}\varphi_{01}=0. \tag{50}$$

Whereas, $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ condition takes the form

$$\mathbb{U}=\frac{r\dot{C}}{A}=\tilde{b}(rB), \tag{51}$$

which is consistence with the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ equations of motion. It is notable that the condition $\mathbb{U}=0$, or $B=1$ provides a non-dynamical model. Moreover the constraint of geodesic fluid presents the FRW model [60]. Now, imposing the shear-free condition (i.e., $\sigma=0$) along with the constraint $Y_{TF}=0$ in Eq.(32), we have

$$a^2+\frac{1}{B}\left(a'+a\frac{C'}{C}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi_{11}}{B^2}-\frac{\varphi_{22}}{C^2}+\frac{\psi_{\mathbf{2}}}{2}\right)=0$$

Substituting the values of a, a', σ, and Θ in the last equation, we get

$$A''-2\frac{A'B'}{A}-\frac{A'}{r}+\frac{AB^2}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi_{11}}{B^2}-\frac{\varphi_{22}}{C^2}+\frac{\psi_{\mathbf{2}}}{2}\right)=0.$$

Next, by using the constant curvature property we obtain $\dot{f}_{\mathcal{G}}=f'_{\mathcal{G}}=0$. Therefore, the values φ_{11}, φ_{22} and $\psi_{\mathbf{2}}$ vanish identically and we get

$$A''-2\frac{A'B'}{B}-\frac{A'}{r}=0,\quad \text{with}\quad r=\frac{C}{B}.$$

Integrating, we have

$$A'=BC\tilde{E}(t)=\frac{C^2}{r}\tilde{E}(t), \tag{52}$$

where $\tilde{E}(t)$ represents an integration function. Now, employing Eqs.(49)-(52), we get

$$-\frac{C'\dot{C}}{C^2}+\frac{\dot{C}'}{C}=\frac{C^2}{r}\tilde{b}\hat{E}.$$

Inserting $x=\frac{C'}{C}$ in the above result, we get

$$\dot{x}'=2x\dot{x}-\frac{\dot{x}}{r}. \tag{53}$$

Net, consider $y=xr$ we have

$$\dot{y}'=\frac{2y\dot{y}}{r}. \tag{54}$$

Then, assume $p=\ln r$ in Eq.(54), we acquire

$$\frac{d\dot{y}}{dp}=2y\dot{y},$$

whose integration yields

$$y=-b_2\tanh(b_1t+b_2\ln r+b_3). \tag{55}$$

Finally, the the value of the metric variable C becomes

$$C=\frac{\hat{C}(t)}{\cosh(b_1t+b_2\ln r+b_3)}, \tag{56}$$

where $\hat{C}(t)$ is an integration function and b_1,b_2,b_3 are integration constants. To obtain more particular model let $\tilde{b}(t)=\tilde{b}=$ constant, so that the structural variables transform as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\rho-\{(\rho+4P+3P^2)\rho+2q^2\}\eta-\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]\\
&=\frac{1}{\hat{C}(t)^2}\left[3b_2^2-(b_2^2-1)\cosh^2x\right]+3\tilde{b}^2,\\
&\Big[P_r-\{(\rho-5P+2P_r)P_r+(3P^2-2q^2-\rho P)\}\eta^2+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]\\
&=\Big\{b_1\hat{C}(t)\tanh x[3b_2^2-(b_2^2-1)\cosh^2x]+\dot{\hat{C}}(t)[-b_2^2-(1-b_2^2)\cosh^2x]\Big\}\frac{1}{\hat{C}^2(t)M}-3\tilde{b}^2,\\
&\Big[P_\perp-\{(\rho-5P+2P_\perp)P_\perp+P(3P-\rho)\}\eta+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]\\
&=-\frac{b_2^2}{\hat{C}^2(t)M}\Big\{\dot{\hat{C}}(t)-3b_1\hat{C}(t)\tanh x\Big\}-3\tilde{b}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$x=b_1t+b_2\ln r+b_3,\quad \text{and}\quad M=\dot{\hat{C}}(t)-b_1\hat{C}(t)\tanh x.$$

This model is singular-free for a wide-range of the parameters. However, we are not concerned with a specific solution, but we just need to explain the fact that several stellar models under the condition $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}=0$ and evolving in the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ regime.

B. Dissipative Stellar Models ($D_T(\delta l)=0$ but $\mathbb{U}\neq 0$)

Here, we formulate the stellar models by imposing the condition $D_T(\delta l)=0$ that gives $B=1$. Thus the structural variables bcome

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\rho-\{(\rho+4P+3P^2)\rho+2q^2\}\eta-\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big] \qquad (57)\\
&=\frac{\dot{C}^2}{A^2C^2}-\left[-\frac{1}{C^2}+\frac{C'^2}{C^2}+2\frac{C''}{C}\right],\\
&\Big[P_r-\{(\rho-5P+2P_r)P_r+(3P^2-2q^2-\rho P)\}\eta^2+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]\\
&=-\frac{1}{A^2}\left[2\frac{\ddot{C}}{C}+\frac{\dot{C}^2}{C^2}-2\frac{\dot{A}\dot{C}}{AC}\right]+\frac{C'}{C}\left[2\frac{A'}{A}+\frac{C'}{C}\right]-\frac{1}{C^2},\\
&\Big[P_\perp-\{(\rho-5P+2P_\perp)P_\perp+P(3P-\rho)\}\eta+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]\\
&=\frac{C''}{C}+\frac{A''}{A}+\frac{A'C'}{AC}-\frac{1}{A^2}\left[\frac{\ddot{C}}{C}-\frac{\dot{C}\dot{A}}{CA}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Big[q-\frac{\eta}{2}(4P_r-10P-2\rho)q\Big]=-\frac{1}{A}\left[-\frac{\dot{C}'}{C}+\frac{\dot{C}A'}{CA}\right]=-\sigma\frac{C'}{C}. \tag{58}$$

The respective matter variables read

$$\Theta=2\frac{\dot{C}}{AC},\quad \sigma=-\frac{\dot{C}}{AC}. \tag{59}$$

Now, from Eq.(59) we get

$$\Theta-\sigma=3\frac{\dot{C}}{AC},$$

whereas the by considering the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ condition, we get

$$\frac{\dot{C}}{AC}=\tilde{b}(t)\quad\text{which implies}\quad\Theta-\sigma=3\tilde{b}(t).$$

While the condition $Y_{TF}=0$ gives

$$Y_{TF}=\frac{A''}{A}-\frac{A'C'}{AC}-\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{A}+\sigma^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi_{11}}{B^2}+\frac{\varphi_{22}}{C^2}+2\psi_{\mathbf{2}}\right)=0.$$

In this particular case, the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ evolution and the $Y_{TF}=0$ provide

$$A''+A\sigma^2-\frac{A'C'}{C}=\dot{\sigma}-\frac{A}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi_{11}}{B^2}+\frac{\varphi_{22}}{C^2}+2\psi_{\mathbf{2}}\right), \tag{60}$$

which by incorporating constant curvature condition reduced to

$$A''+A\sigma^2-\frac{A'C'}{C}=\dot{\sigma} \tag{61}$$

and

$$\frac{\dot{C}}{C}=-\sigma A, \tag{62}$$

respectively. Now, we assume the intermediate variables $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ in the form

$$A=\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma^2}+\mathcal{P}\quad\text{and}\quad C=\mathcal{P}'\mathcal{Q}. \tag{63}$$

Utilizing Eq.(63) in Eq.(61) and (62), we obtain

$$\sigma^2-\frac{\mathcal{P}'}{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\mathcal{Q}'}{\mathcal{Q}}=0, \tag{64}$$

$$-\frac{\dot{\mathcal{P}}'}{\mathcal{P}'}+\frac{\dot{\mathcal{Q}}}{\mathcal{Q}}=-\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma}-\sigma. \tag{65}$$

Next, we will examine several analytical solutions under the above-mentioned conditions.

1. Model 1

In this model, we presume a separable function $\mathcal{P}$ as

$$\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_1(r)\mathcal{P}_2(t). \tag{66}$$

Using Eq.(66) in Eq.(64) and taking derivative with respect to the t, we have

$$-\frac{\mathcal{P}_1'}{\mathcal{P}_1}\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{Q}}}{\mathcal{Q}}\right)'+2\sigma\dot{\sigma}=0. \tag{67}$$

Similarly, using Eq.(66) in Eq.(65) and taking derivative with respect to r, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{Q}}}{\mathcal{Q}}\right)' = -\sigma\mathcal{P}_1'\mathcal{P}_2. \tag{68}$$

Combining Eqs.(67) and (68) we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_1'^2}{\mathcal{P}_1} = -\frac{2\dot{\sigma}}{\mathcal{P}_2} \equiv \omega^2. \tag{69}$$

Here, ω is a constant. Then, integrating Eq.(69) we have

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\omega r + b_1)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_2 = -\frac{2\dot{\sigma}}{\omega^2},$$

with b_1 is an integration constant. Thus, metric variables A and C for this model read as

$$A = \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{2\omega^2\sigma^2}[-\sigma^2(\omega r + b_1)^2 + 2\omega^2], \tag{70}$$

$$C = \hat{C}(t)\frac{\omega}{2}(\omega r + b_1)e^{\frac{\sigma^2 r}{4\omega}(\omega r + 2b_1)}, \tag{71}$$

where $\hat{C}(t)$ is an integration function. Thus, the structural variables Eqs.(57)-(58) read as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\rho - \{(\rho + 4P + 3P^2)\rho + 2q^2\}\eta - \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big] \\
&= -3\sigma^2 - \frac{3\sigma^4}{4\omega^2}(\omega r + b_1)^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{(\omega r + b_1)^2} + \frac{4}{\hat{C}^2(t)\omega^2(\omega r + b_1)^2}e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 r}{2\omega}(\omega r + 2b_1)}, \\
&\Big[P_r - \{(\rho - 5P + 2P_r)P_r + (3P^2 - 2q^2 - \rho P)\}\eta^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big] \\
&= -\frac{4\sigma^2\omega^2}{2\omega^2 - \sigma^2(\omega r + b_1)^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{(\omega r + b_1)^2} - \frac{4}{\hat{C}^2(t)\omega^2(\omega r + b_1)^2}e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 r}{2\omega}(\omega r + 2b_1)} + \frac{\sigma^4}{4\omega^2}(\omega r + b_1)^2, \qquad (72) \\
&\Big[P_\perp - \{(\rho - 5P + 2P_\perp)P_\perp + P(3P - \rho)\}\eta + \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big] \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma^4(\omega r + b_1)^2}{4\omega^2} - \frac{\sigma^2[2\omega^2 + \sigma^2(\omega r + b_1)^2]}{2\omega^2 - \sigma^2(\omega r + b_1)^2}, \\
&\Big[q - \frac{\eta}{2}(4P_r - 10P - 2\rho)q\Big] = -\frac{\sigma[2\omega^2 + \sigma^2(\omega r + b_1)^2]}{2\omega(\omega r + b_1)}. \qquad (73)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we assume the feasible joining of the above solution over the interior $\Sigma^{(i)}$ and exterior $\Sigma^{(e)}$ hyper-surfaces. On $\Sigma^{(i)}$, we have to consider $q = 0$ giving $\sigma = 0$. However, since $\sigma = \sigma(t)$, this provides $\sigma = 0$ providing a non-dissipating solution. In addition, the junction condition $(q + q^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)}) \overset{\Sigma^{(e)}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{G}f_{\mathcal{G}} - f) = (P_r + P_r^{(\mathcal{G}\mathbf{T}^2)})$, Eqs.(73) and (72) give

$$\frac{\sigma(2 + \sigma^2\mathcal{W}^2)}{\mathcal{W}} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}^2}\left[1 + \left(\frac{\sigma\mathcal{W}}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2}\exp^{-\frac{\sigma^2\mathcal{W}^2}{2}}\right] - \frac{4\sigma^2}{2 - \sigma^2\mathcal{W}^2} = 0,$$

here $\mathcal{W} = \frac{\omega r_{\Sigma^{(e)}} + b_1}{\omega}$. This equation has a solution only for fixed values of σ depending on $\mathcal{W}$, ω. Therefore, this solution exhibit a thin shell on both the hyper-surfaces (i.e.,$\Sigma^{(i)}$ and $\Sigma^{(e)}$). Consequently, by using Eqs.(40), (70) and (73) the explicate expression of temperature for this model read as

$$T(t,r) = \frac{\omega^2\sigma^2}{2\pi\tilde{\kappa}[2\omega^2 - \sigma^2(\omega r + b_1)^2]}\left[\left(\tau + \frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\ln(\omega r + b_1) + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\omega^2}\left\{3\tau(\omega r + b_1)^2 - \frac{\sigma}{4\omega^2}(\omega r + b_1)^4\right\}\right] + T_1(t),$$

where $T_1(t)$ is an integration function.

2. Model 2

Here, we consider that the metric variable A has a dependence on r only i.e.,

$$A = A(r).$$

Now, differentiating Eq.(61) with respect to t we obtain

$$2A\sigma\dot{\sigma} - A'\left(\frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right)' = \ddot{\sigma}, \tag{74}$$

while differentiating Eq.(62) with respect to r, we get

$$\left(\frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right)' = -\sigma A'. \tag{75}$$

The combination of Eqs.(74) and (75) read

$$\sigma(A')^2 + 2A\sigma\dot{\sigma} = \ddot{\sigma}. \tag{76}$$

The solution of above equation is

$$\sigma = -\mu_0 t + \mu_1, \tag{77}$$

where α_0 and α_1 are constant parameters. Employing Eq.(76) and (77) we acquire

$$A = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r + b_1)^2. \tag{78}$$

Next, utilizing Eqs.(75) and (78) we obtain

$$C = \hat{C}(r)e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r+b_1)^2(\frac{-\mu_0}{2}t^2+\mu_1 t)}, \tag{79}$$

where $\hat{C}(r)$ is an integration function of r. To procure a more specific solution, put $\hat{C}(r)$ =constant. In this case, Eqs.(57)-(58) read

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\rho - \{(\rho + 4P + 3P^2)\rho + 2q^2\}\eta - \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big] \\
&= \mu_1^2 - \frac{3\mu_0}{2}(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r + b_1)^2(\frac{-\mu_0}{2}t^2 + \mu_1 t)^2 + \frac{1}{\hat{C}^2}e^{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r+c_1)^2(-\frac{\mu_0}{2}t^2+\mu_1 t)}, \\
&\Big[P_r - \{(\rho - 5P + 2P_r)P_r + (3P^2 - 2q^2 - \rho P)\}\eta^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big] \\
&= -3\mu_1^2 + \mu_0 t(2\mu_1 - \mu_0 t) - \frac{8\mu_0}{(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r + b_1)^2} + \frac{\mu_0}{2}(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r + b_1)^2\left(\mu_1 t - \frac{\mu_0}{2}t^2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\hat{C}^2}e^{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r+b_1)^2(-\frac{\mu_0}{2}t^2+\mu_1 t)}, \\
&\Big[P_\perp - \{(\rho - 5P + 2P_\perp)P_\perp + P(3P - \rho)\}\eta + \frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n) + \beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n - \frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big] \\
&= \frac{\mu_0}{2}t^2 - \mu_1^2 - \rho_0\mu_1 t + \frac{\mu_0}{2}(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r + b_1)^2(\frac{-\mu_0}{2}t^2 + \mu_1 t)^2, \\
&\Big[q - \frac{\eta}{2}(4P_r - 10P - 2\rho)q\Big] = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{2}}(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r + b_1)(\frac{-\mu_0}{2}t^2 + \mu_1 t)(-\mu_0 t + \mu_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Now, we inspect the feasible joining of the hyper-surfaces via Darmois junction constraints. As the regularity restriction on the variable P_r need $(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r + b_1) \neq 0$, the matching constraint (34), with Eq.(80), provides $\mu_0 = 0$, implying non-dissipative model. For any value of $\hat{C} = \hat{C}(r)$, it is not possible for the exterior hyper-surface $\Sigma^{(e)}$ to join with the exterior geometry. Thus, in this situation both the hyper-surfaces ($\Sigma^{(e)}$ and $\Sigma^{(i)}$) produce a thin shell. The expression for the temperature for this specific relativistic solution is obtained by using Eqs.(40), (78) and (80) as

$$T(t,r) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\tilde{\kappa}}\left[\left(\mu_1^2 - 3\mu_0\mu_1 t + \frac{3}{2}\mu_0^2 t^2\right)\tau + \frac{(\sqrt{2\mu_0}r + c_1)^2}{8}\left(\frac{-\mu_0}{2}t^2 + \mu_1 t\right)(-\mu_0 t + \mu_1)\right] + T_1(t),$$

3. Model 3

In this model, we provide a solution under the constraint

$$\dot{\sigma}=0 \quad \text{which gives} \quad \sigma=\text{constant}.$$

Now, considering the variable W as

$$C=A'W. \tag{81}$$

Thus, Eqs.(61) and (62) read

$$\frac{A'W'}{AW}=\sigma^2, \tag{82}$$

$$\frac{\dot{W}}{W}+\frac{\dot{A}'}{A'}=-\sigma A, \tag{83}$$

respectively. Next, differentiating Eq.(82) and Eq.(83) with respect to t and r, respectively, we get

$$-\left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A}\right)'\sigma^2\left(\frac{A}{A'}\right)^2=\left(\frac{\dot{W}}{W}\right)', \tag{84}$$

$$-\left(\frac{\dot{A}'}{A'}\right)'-\sigma A'=\left(\frac{\dot{W}}{W}\right)'. \tag{85}$$

Combining Eqs.(84) and (85), we get

$$\sigma A'^3+\sigma^2A'\dot{A}-\sigma^2\dot{A}'A-\dot{A}'A''+\dot{A}''A'=0, \tag{86}$$

whose solution is

$$A=\alpha r-\frac{\alpha^2}{\sigma}t+\alpha_0. \tag{87}$$

Using Eqs.(87) and (82), we obtain

$$C=\tilde{C}_0\alpha e^{(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}t^2+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}r^2+\frac{\sigma^2\alpha_0}{\alpha}r-\sigma\alpha_0t-\sigma\alpha tr)}, \tag{88}$$

where $\tilde{C}_0,\omega_0$ and ω are constants. Thus, by making use of these values Eqs.(57)-(58) become

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\rho-\{(\rho+4P+3P^2)\rho+2q^2\}\eta-\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\right]\\
&=-3\left[-\sigma\alpha t+\sigma^2\left(r+\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)\right]^2-\sigma^2+\frac{1}{(\tilde{C}_0\alpha)^2}e^{-2(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}t^2+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}r^2+\frac{\sigma^2\alpha_0}{\alpha}r-\sigma\alpha_0t-\sigma\alpha tr)},\\
&\left[P_r-\{(\rho-5P+2P_r)P_r+(3P^2-2q^2-\rho P)\}\eta^2+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\right]\\
&=\sigma^4\left[-\frac{\alpha}{\sigma}t+r+\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right]^2-\sigma^2-\frac{1}{(\tilde{C}_0\alpha)^2}e^{-2(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}t^2+\frac{\sigma^2}{2}r^2+\frac{\sigma^2\alpha_0}{\alpha}r-\sigma\alpha_0t-\sigma\alpha tr)},\\
&\left[P_\perp-\{(\rho-5P+2P_\perp)P_\perp+P(3P-\rho)\}\eta+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\right] \qquad (89)\\
&=\left[-\sigma\alpha t+\sigma^2\left(r+\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)\right]^2+\sigma^2,\\
&\left[q-\frac{\eta}{2}(4P_r-10P-2\rho)q\right]=-\left[-\frac{\alpha}{\sigma}t+r+\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right]\sigma^3. \qquad (90)
\end{aligned}$$

This solution does not follow the Darmois junction constraints on any of the hyper-surfaces. The expression of temperature for this solution is defined as

$$T(t,r)=-\frac{\mu\sigma^2}{4\pi\tilde{\kappa}(-\frac{\mu^2}{\sigma}t+\mu r+\mu_0)}\left[-\frac{\sigma}{3}\left(-\frac{\alpha}{\sigma}t+r+\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\right)^3+\tau r\right]+T_1(t).$$

Ultimately, we will examine some solutions described by $\mathbb{U}=0$.

C. Dissipative Models ($\mathbf{U}=0$ but $D_T(\delta l)\neq 0$)

The constraint $\mathbb{U}=0$ provides

$$D_T C=\frac{\dot{C}}{A}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C=C(r),$$

and the respective matter variables we have

$$\Theta=\sigma=\frac{\dot{B}}{AB}. \tag{91}$$

Next, employing the restrictions $Y_{TF}=0$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$, along with the constant curvature condition in Eq.(32), we get

$$\frac{1}{B^2}\left[-\frac{A'C'}{AC}-\frac{A'B'}{AB}+\frac{A''}{A}\right]=\frac{1}{A^2}\left[\frac{\dot{A}\dot{A}}{BA}-\frac{\ddot{B}}{B}\right]. \tag{92}$$

Now, we formulate a solution fulfilling the above relation by coosing

$$\frac{\dot{A}\dot{A}}{BA}-\frac{\ddot{B}}{B}=0, \tag{93}$$

$$-\frac{A'C'}{AC}-\frac{A'B'}{AB}+\frac{A''}{A}=0. \tag{94}$$

The integration of Eqs.(93) and (94) read

$$\dot{B}=A\hat{R}(r), \tag{95}$$

$$A'=CB\hat{S}(t), \tag{96}$$

where $\hat{R}(r)$ and $\hat{S}(t)$ are integration constants. Next, differentiating Eqs.(95) and (96) with respect to radial and temporal coordinate, respectively, we get

$$\frac{\dot{B}'}{B}=C\hat{S}\hat{R}+\frac{\hat{R}'\dot{B}}{\hat{R}B}, \tag{97}$$

$$\frac{\dot{A}'}{A}=Y\hat{S}\hat{R}+\frac{\dot{\hat{S}}A'}{\hat{S}A}. \tag{98}$$

The combination of the above equations gives

$$\frac{\dot{B}'}{B}-\frac{\dot{A}'}{A}=\frac{\hat{R}'\dot{B}}{\hat{R}B}-\frac{\dot{\hat{S}}A'}{SA}, \tag{99}$$

which has a solution

$$A=K\frac{\hat{S}B}{\hat{R}}, \tag{100}$$

where K is a constant. Using Eq.(100) in (95), we acquire

$$\frac{\dot{A}}{A}=K\hat{S}. \tag{101}$$

Thus the function B can be represented in separable form as $B=B_1(r)B_1(t)$. Finally, from Eq.(95) we obtain $A=A(r)$. Differentiating Eq.(100) with respect to t, we have

$$\frac{\dot{B}}{B}=-\frac{\dot{\hat{S}}}{\hat{S}}. \tag{102}$$

Utilizing Eqs.(95) and (100), we obtain

$$\frac{\dot{B}}{B}=K\hat{S}. \tag{103}$$

Making use of the above equation in Eq.(102), we have

$$\hat{S}=\frac{1}{Kt+\mu_0}, \tag{104}$$

where μ_0 is a constant of integration. Now, using Eq.(96) and (100) we acquire

$$\frac{A'}{A}=\frac{C\hat{R}}{K}. \tag{105}$$

Then, from Eqs.(100) and (104) we have

$$B=\frac{A\hat{R}}{K}(Kt+\mu_0). \tag{106}$$

The expression for shear scalar σ using Eqs.(91), (100) and (103) may be defined as

$$\sigma=\frac{\hat{R}}{B}. \tag{107}$$

By incorporating the above conditins, the physical variables read

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\rho-\{(\rho+4P+3P^2)\rho+2q^2\}\eta-\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]\\
&=-\frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{R}^2}\left[\frac{C'^2}{C^2}+\frac{2C''}{C}-\frac{2C'\hat{R}'}{C\hat{R}}-\frac{2C'\hat{R}}{K}\right]+\frac{1}{C^2},\\
&\Big[P_r-\{(\rho-5P+2P_r)P_r+(3P^2-2q^2-\rho P)\}\eta^2+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big] \qquad (108)\\
&=\frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{R}^2}\left[\frac{C'^2}{C^2}+\frac{2\hat{R}C'}{K}\right]-\frac{1}{C^2},\\
&\Big[P_\perp-\{(\rho-5P+2P_\perp)P_\perp+P(3P-\rho)\}\eta+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]\\
&=\frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{R}^2}\left[\frac{C''}{C}+\frac{C'\hat{R}}{K}-\frac{C'\hat{R}'}{C\hat{R}}\right],\\
&\Big[q-\frac{\eta}{2}(4P_r-10P-2\rho)q\Big]=-\frac{\sigma^2C'}{\hat{R}^2C}. \qquad (109)
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain a particular model, consider

$$A=aC^m \quad \text{which gives} \quad \hat{R}=\frac{m_0KC'}{C^2}, \tag{110}$$

where m_0, a and K are integration constants. Thus, in this case we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\rho-\{(\rho+4P+3P^2)\rho+2q^2\}\eta-\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]=\frac{\sigma^2C^2}{m_0^2K^2}(2m_0-5)+\frac{1}{C^2},\\
&\Big[P_r-\{(\rho-5P+2P_r)P_r+(3P^2-2q^2-\rho P)\}\eta^2+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]=\frac{\sigma^2C^2}{m_0^2K^2}(2m_0+1)\\
&-\frac{1}{C^2},\\
&\Big[P_\perp-\{(\rho-5P+2P_\perp)P_\perp+P(3P-\rho)\}\eta+\frac{\alpha}{2}\{(1-n)+\beta(1-m-n)\mathcal{G}^m\}\mathcal{G}^n-\frac{\eta}{2}\mathbf{T}^2\Big]=\frac{\sigma^2C^2}{m_0^2K^2}(m_0+2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left[q-\frac{\eta}{2}(4P_r-10P-2\rho)q\right]=-\frac{\sigma^2 C}{m_0 K}. \tag{111}$$

Generally, this solution does not need the appearance of a Minkowskian void encircling the center. However, its existence implies that $\sigma=0$ (which results from Eqs.(37) and (108)), providing a non-dissipative solution. Next, subtracting Eqs.(108) and (109) together with Eq.(34) we have

$$\sigma=\frac{m_0 K}{C^2}\left[1+2m_0\left(1+\frac{K}{C}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{\sigma}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad K=0,$$

which gives static solution, therefore this solution does not follow the the Darmois constraints. Ultimately, the temperature for this solution is given by

$$T(t,r)=\frac{K}{2\pi b\tilde{\kappa}(\mu_0+Kt)}\left[\frac{\tau K}{cm_0 C^{2m_0}(\mu_0+Kt)}+\frac{\ln C}{2C^{m_0}}\right]+T_1(t).$$

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this endeavor, we have studied a generalized gravitational model which involves an arbitrary coupling between geometry and matter stresses (defined by the square of the trace of energy-momentum tensor), with $\mathbf{L}_{GR}=\mathbf{R}+f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ in the Einstein-Hilbert (EH) action. We have derived the gravitational field equations corresponding to this model, and considered several particular cases that may be relevant in explaining some of the open problems of cosmology and astrophysics.

This novel gravitational theory generalizes GR by including higher-order matter ingredients of the type $T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta}\equiv\mathbf{T}^2$ in the GR's generic action, contrary to the theories that include higher-order curvature ingredients, such as $f(\mathbf{R})$ and $f(\mathcal{G})$ models of gravity. When we generalize GR by accepting higher-order matter stresses, i.e., the scalar square of the stress-energy tensor to the action, we may find that the cosmological behavior has a deeper structure. We have investigated in detail the cosmological effects by including matter stresses of higher-order under the $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ evolution plus the vanishing $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ condition. In order to generate a few particular forms of solutions, we have considered further restrictions on the structural variables in Sec. **VI**. One of these restrictions has been proved to be especially useful for characterizing the development of a matter configuration with a void encircling the center. All the theoretical frameworks governing the spherical star have been formulated and various analytical solutions under the above-stated conditions have been provided. Few of the presented cosmological solutions follow the Darmois constraints on and thereby exhibiting shells either boundary-surfaces. Other cosmological models are procured when Darmois constraints are relaxed and Israel constraints are applied across the shells. We have examined both dissipating and non-dissipating spherical gravitational structures. In the dissipative case, by relaxing the homologous condition (studied in [60]) and adopting a less restrictive $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{H}}$ condition defined in Sec. **IV**, we have obtained a large number of analytical solutions satisfying the zero $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ constraint, contrary to the unique FRW model corresponding to the homologous constraint. These temperature expressions comprehend the thermal history of the gravitational objects.

The classification of self-gravitating cosmic objects on account of their degree of complexity is one of the astonishing astrophysical phenomenon as it is directly linked to the structural characteristic of the system. The measure of C_F can be proved useful in exploring the dynamics of self-gravitating cosmic structures. Here, we have discussed impact of electromagnetism on the complexity of non-rotating charged fluid configuration endowed with anisotropic pressure undergoing heat dissipation in terms of diffusion approximation.

We have adopted the definition of complexity as suggested by Herrera [60]), with the intention of extending this to the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ model of gravity for dynamical gravitational compact objects evolving quasi-homologously. We initiate by formulating the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ field equations via EH-action. Then we formulate the geometric mass m via Misner-Sharp methodology and developed an explicit relationship between structural variables, mass function, and the Weyl scalar. The curvature tensor is then divided orthogonally to procure a dynamical variable Y_{TF} which is connected to the structural characteristics (i.e., anisotropic stresses, $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ corrections and the density inhomogeneity) of the spherical gravitational system. The above-stated variables are the primary ingredients for provoking complexities in any gravitational compact system. The above-stated variables are the primary ingredients for provoking complexities in any gravitational compact system. The presence of these variables in the dynamical variable Y_{TF} is a fundamental cause of entitling this as the $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$. It is observed that the presence of higher-order matter stresses along with the Gauss-Bonnet curvature terms increases the complexity of the gravitational source. Some of the significant changes as a consequence of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ are described as

- It is well-established that the simplest gravitational systems (i.e., the systems having minimal complexity) have a regular distribution of energy density and isotropic pressure. As a result, the zero distribution of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ to such

types of celestial systems is justified. In GR, it is examined that a dynamical variable (Y_{TF}) obtained from the splitting of the electric component of the curvature tensor is denominated as the $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$. Here we observed that $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ corrections are also contributing to the dynamical variable (Y_{TF}) in addition to GR terms, which increases the complexity of the structure.

- Another noteworthy conclusion inferred from the expression of Y_{TF} is that it comprises the spherical structural effects mediating from the higher-order matter stresses $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$, anisotropic stresses as well as the density inhomogeneity in a specific fashion. It is important to mention that in GR the systems evolving with isotropic and homogenious fluids corresponds to minimal complexity, i.e., $Y_{TF}=0$. However, the additional curvature $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ terms, on the other hand, provide resistance to the gravitational structure in leaving their state of homogeneity.

- The dynamical variable Y_{TF} is indicating the function of higher-order $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ terms, anisotropic stresses as well as inhomogeneous density in a certain order.

- The scalar variable is also used to compute the digression of Misner-Sharp mass $\mathfrak{m}$ as a result of higher-curvature $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ ingredients, density inhomogeneity and the anisotropic stresses.

- The modeling of dynamical characteristics and the evolution of cosmic voids is one possible application of the provided modified results [79, 80]. The under-density zones in the large-scale distribution of matter are known as cosmic voids. They are one of the essential components of relativistic cosmic objects, in our mysterious cosmos.

We have investigated thoroughly the outcomes emerging from the expression $Y_{TF}=0$ plus the Q_H condition. We have imposed few additional conditions on the fluid variables to obtain specific cosmological solutions in section **VI**. All of our modified equations illustrating the dynamics of the relativistic system under the assumed conditions have been written down and the various cosmological models have been formulated. Few of the presented stellar models omit the appearance of shells, i.e., they exhibit voids on both the boundary surfaces by satisfying the Darmois junction conditions. On the other hand, some models represent shells on both the hypersurfaces by adopting the Israel junction conditions while relaxing the Darmois junction conditions. To deal with the dissipative case, we have utilized a usual transport equation which enabled us to evaluate the temperature of each stellar model.

This research article is characterized by two main points:

1. we wished to explain certain generic physical features that are inherent to the dissipative spherically symmetric fluids characterized by the condition $Y_{TF}=0$.

2. By including the vanishing $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{F}}$ condition, we hoped to provide analytical solutions to the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ gravity equations.

These solutions could be used to mimic certain fascinating astrophysical processes in a realistic way such as supernova explosions. By applying the usual limits, all extended findings can be transformed to GR [61]. Following this work, some specific physical models must be constructed in order to investigate the relationships between the $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ gravitational model and cosmic evolution in further depth. This will be accomplished in the future. Moreover, we expect to see such investigation in the presence of electromagnetic field.

Appendix A

The higher-curvature $f(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{T}^2)$ corrections appearing in the orthogonal splitting of Riemann curvature tensor are given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\alpha\beta} &= \left(T^{\sigma}_{\alpha}T_{\beta\sigma}+T^{\sigma}_{\varrho}T_{\beta\sigma}V_{\alpha}V^{\varrho}+T^{\sigma}_{\alpha}T_{\varsigma\sigma}V_{\beta}V^{\varsigma}-T^{\sigma}_{\varrho}T_{\varsigma\sigma}V^{\varrho}V^{\varsigma}g_{\alpha\beta}\right)\eta,\\
\psi_{\mathbf{1}} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(-\varphi-\varphi_{\varrho\beta}V^{\varrho}V^{\beta}-\varphi_{\alpha\varsigma}V^{\alpha}V^{\varsigma}+4\varphi_{\varrho\varsigma}V^{\varrho}V^{\varsigma}\right)+\left(T^{\beta\sigma}T_{\beta\sigma}+T^{\sigma}_{\varrho}T_{\sigma\beta}V^{\beta}V^{\varrho}+T^{\sigma}_{\alpha}T_{\varsigma\sigma}V^{\alpha}V^{\varsigma}-4T^{\sigma}_{\varrho}T_{\varsigma\sigma}V^{\varrho}V^{\varsigma}\right)\eta,\\
\psi_{\mathbf{2}} &= \frac{1}{\chi_{\alpha}\chi_{\beta}-\frac{1}{3}h_{\alpha\beta}}\left[T^{\sigma}_{\alpha}T_{\beta\sigma}+T^{\sigma}_{\varrho}T_{\beta\sigma}V_{\alpha}V^{\varrho}+T^{\sigma}_{\alpha}T_{\varsigma\sigma}V_{\beta}V^{\varsigma}-T^{\sigma}_{\varrho}T_{\varsigma\sigma}V^{\varrho}V^{\varsigma}g_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{3}\left(T^{\beta\sigma}T_{\beta\sigma}-4T^{\sigma}_{\varrho}T_{\varsigma\sigma}V^{\varrho}V^{\varsigma}\right)h_{\alpha\beta}\right.,\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\varphi_{\alpha\beta}-\varphi_{\varrho\beta}V_{\alpha}V^{\varrho}-\varphi_{\alpha\varsigma}V_{\beta}V^{\varsigma}+\varphi_{\varrho\varsigma}V^{\varrho}V^{\varsigma}g_{\alpha\beta}\right)-\frac{1}{6}\left(-\varphi+4\varphi_{\varrho\varsigma}V^{\varrho}V^{\varsigma}\right)h_{\alpha\beta}\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Acknowledgments

The work of ZY has been supported financially by University of the Punjab Research Project for the fiscal year 2021-2022. We are very much grateful to the honorable referees and to the editor for the illuminating suggestions that have significantly improved our work in terms of research quality, and presentation.

[1] H. A. Buchdahl, "Non-linear lagrangians and cosmological theory," *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc*, vol. 150, p. 1, 1970.
[2] A. A. Starobinsky, "Disappearing cosmological constant in $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity," *JETP lett.*, vol. 86, p. 157, 2007.
[3] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, "$f(\mathrm{R})$ theories of gravity," *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 82, p. 451, 2010.
[4] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, "$f(\mathrm{R})$ theories," *Living Rev. Relativ.*, vol. 13, p. 3, 2010.
[5] L. Amendola, R. Gannouji, D. Polarski, and S. Tsujikawa, "Conditions for the cosmological viability of $f(\mathrm{R})$ dark energy models," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 75, p. 083504, 2007.
[6] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, and O. Luongo, "Connecting early and late universe by $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, vol. 24, p. 1541002, 2015.
[7] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, "Modified $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity consistent with realistic cosmology: From a matter dominated epoch to a dark energy universe," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 74, p. 086005, 2006.
[8] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, "$f(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 84, p. 024020, 2011.
[9] F. G. Alvarenga, A. De La Cruz-Dombriz, M. J. S. Houndjo, M. E. Rodrigues, and D. Sáez-Gómez, "Dynamics of scalar perturbations in $f(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Phys. rev. D*, vol. 87, p. 103526, 2013.
[10] E. H. Baffou, M. J. S. Houndjo, M. Hamani-Daouda, and F. G. Alvarenga, "Late-time cosmological approach in mimetic $f(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 77, p. 708, 2017.
[11] Z. Yousaf, M. Z. Bhatti, and M. Ilyas, "Existence of compact structures in $f(\mathrm{R},\ \mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 78, p. 307, 2018.
[12] M. Z. Bhatti, Z. Yousaf, and M. Yousaf, "Stability of self-gravitating anisotropic fluids in $f(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Phys. Dark Universe*, vol. 28, p. 100501, 2020.
[13] Z. Yousaf, K. Bamba, and M. Z. Bhatti, "Causes of irregular energy density in $f(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Phys. Rev.D*, vol. 93, p. 124048, 2016.
[14] N. Katırcı and M. Kavuk, "$f(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{T}^{\mu\nu})$ gravity and Cardassian-like expansion as one of its consequences," *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, vol. 129, p. 163, 2014.
[15] M. Roshan and F. Shojai, "Energy-momentum squared gravity," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 94, p. 044002, 2016.
[16] C. V. R. Board and J. D. Barrow, "Cosmological models in energy-momentum-squared gravity," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 96, p. 123517, 2017.
[17] P. Moraes and P. K. Sahoo, "Non-exotic matter wormholes in a trace of the energy-momentum tensor squared gravity," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 97, p. 024007, 2018.
[18] Ö. Akarsu, J. D. Barrow, S. Çıkıntoğlu, K. Y. Ekşi, and N. Katırcı, "Constraint on energy-momentum squared gravity from neutron stars and its cosmological implications," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 97, p. 124017, 2018.
[19] N. Nari and M. Roshan, "Compact stars in energy-momentum squared gravity," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 98, p. 024031, 2018.
[20] S. Bahamonde, M. Marciu, and P. Rudra, "Dynamical system analysis of generalized energy-momentum-squared gravity," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 100, no. 8, p. 083511, 2019.
[21] Ö. Akarsu, N. Katırcı, S. Kumar, R. C. Nunes, and M. Sami, "Cosmological implications of scale-independent energy-momentum squared gravity: Pseudo nonminimal interactions in dark matter and relativistic relics," *Phys. Revi. D*, vol. 98, p. 063522, 2018.
[22] Ö. Akarsu, J. D. Barrow, C. V. R. Board, N. M. Uzun, and J. A. Vazquez, "Screening λ in a new modified gravity model," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 79, p. 846, 2019.
[23] S. Bhattacharjee and P. Sahoo, "Temporally varying universal gravitational and speed of light in energy momentum squared gravity," *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, vol. 135, p. 86, 2020.
[24] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, "Modified Gauss-Bonnet theory as gravitational alternative for dark energy," *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 631, p. 1, 2005.
[25] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and M. Sami, "Dark energy cosmology from higher-order, string-inspired gravity, and its reconstruction," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 74, p. 046004, 2006.
[26] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and P. V. Tretyakov, "From inflation to dark energy in the non-minimal modified gravity," *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl*, vol. 172, p. 81, 2008.
[27] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and S. Zerbini, "Dark energy in modified Gauss-Bonnet gravity: Late-time acceleration and the hierarchy problem," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 73, p. 084007, 2006.
[28] S.-Y. Zhou, E. J. Copeland, and P. M. Saffin, "Cosmological constraints on $f(\mathrm{G})$ dark energy models," *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2009, p. 009, 2009.
[29] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, "Construction of cosmologically viable $f(\mathrm{G})$ gravity models," *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 675, p. 1, 2009.
[30] R. Myrzakulov, D. Sáez-Gómez, and A. Tureanu, "On the λCDM universe in $f(\mathrm{G})$ gravity," *Gen. Relativ. Gravit*, vol. 43,

p. 1671, 2011.
[31] S. Odintsov and V. Oikonomou, "Gauss–Bonnet gravitational baryogenesis," *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 760, p. 259, 2016.
[32] V. K. Oikonomou, "Gauss-Bonnet cosmology unifying late and early-time acceleration eras with intermediate eras," *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, vol. 361, p. 211, 2016.
[33] M. Sharif and A. Ikram, "Energy conditions in $f(\mathrm{G},\mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 76, p. 640.
[34] M. Z. Bhatti, M. Sharif, Z. Yousaf, and M. Ilyas, "Role of $f(\mathrm{G},\mathrm{T})$ gravity on the evolution of relativistic stars," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, vol. 27, p. 1850044, 2018.
[35] Z. Yousaf, "On the role of $f(\mathrm{G},\mathrm{T})$ terms in structure scalars," *Eur. Phys. J. plus*, vol. 134, p. 245, 2019.
[36] Z. Yousaf, "Structure scalars of spherically symmetric dissipative fluids with $f(\mathrm{G},\mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, vol. 363, no. 11, p. 226, 2018.
[37] M. Z. Bhatti, Z. Yousaf, and Z. Tariq, "Structure scalars and their evolution for massive objects in $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 81, p. 16, 2021.
[38] M. F. Shamir, "Bouncing universe in $f(\mathrm{G},\mathrm{T})$ gravity," *Phys. Dark Universe*, vol. 32, p. 100794, 2021.
[39] A. Sokolov, "Phase transformations in a superfluid neutron liquid," *JETP*, vol. 49, p. 1137, 1980.
[40] R. Kippenhahn, A. Weigert, and A. Weiss, *Stellar structure and evolution*, vol. 192. Springer, 1990.
[41] R. F. Sawyer, "Condensed π- phase in neutron-star matter," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 29, p. 382, 1972.
[42] L. Herrera, G. Le Denmat, and N. O. Santos, "Expansion-free evolving spheres must have inhomogeneous energy density distributions," *Physical Review D*, vol. 79, no. 8, p. 087505, 2009.
[43] L. Herrera, G. Le Denmat, and N. O. Santos, "Cavity evolution in relativistic self-gravitating fluids," *Class. Quantum Grav.*, vol. 27, p. 135017, 2010.
[44] Z. Yousaf *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 34, p. 1950333, 2019.
[45] L. Herrera and N. O. Santos, "Local anisotropy in self-gravitating systems," *Phys. Rep.*, vol. 286, p. 53, 1997.
[46] S. K. Maurya, A. Banerjee, M. K. Jasim, J. Kumar, A. K. Prasad, and A. Pradhan, "Anisotropic compact stars in the buchdahl model: A comprehensive study," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 99, p. 044029, 2019.
[47] M. F. Shamir and T. Naz, "Stellar structures in $f(\mathrm{G})$ gravity with tolman-kuchowicz spacetime," *Phys. Dark Universe*, vol. 27, p. 100472, 2020.
[48] M. Z. Bhatti, Z. Yousaf, and M. Ilyas, "Evolution of compact stars and dark dynamical variables," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 77, p. 690, 2017.
[49] S. K. Maurya and F. Tello-Ortiz, "Charged anisotropic compact star in $f(\mathrm{R},\mathcal{T})$ gravity: A minimal geometric deformation gravitational decoupling approach," *Phys. Dark Universe*, vol. 27, p. 100442, 2020.
[50] L. Herrera, "Stability of the isotropic pressure condition," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 101, p. 104024, 2020.
[51] G. Nashed and S. Capozziello, "Anisotropic compact stars in $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 81, p. 481, 2021.
[52] A. Malik, I. Ahmad, and Kiran, "A study of anisotropic compact stars in $f(\mathrm{R},\phi,\mathrm{X})$ theory of gravity," *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, vol. 19, p. 2250028, 2022.
[53] R. Lopez-Ruiz, H. L. Mancini, and X. Calbet, "A statistical measure of complexity," *Phys. lett. A*, vol. 209, p. 321, 1995.
[54] X. Calbet and R. López-Ruiz, "Tendency towards maximum complexity in a nonequilibrium isolated system," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 63, p. 066116, 2001.
[55] R. G. Catalán, J. Garay, and R. López-Ruiz, "Features of the extension of a statistical measure of complexity to continuous systems," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 66, p. 011102, 2002.
[56] J. Sañudo and R. López-Ruiz, "Statistical complexity and Fisher-Shannon information in the H-atom," *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 372, p. 5283, 2008.
[57] J. Sanudo and A. Pacheco, "Complexity and white-dwarf structure," *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 373, p. 807, 2009.
[58] K. C. Chatzisavvas, V. P. Psonis, C. P. Panos, and C. C. Moustakidis, "Complexity and neutron star structure," *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 373, p. 3901, 2009.
[59] L. Herrera, "New definition of complexity for self-gravitating fluid distributions: The spherically symmetric, static case," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 97, p. 044010, 2018.
[60] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, and J. Ospino, "Definition of complexity for dynamical spherically symmetric dissipative self-gravitating fluid distributions," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 98, p. 104059, 2018.
[61] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, and J. Ospino, "Quasi-homologous evolution of self-gravitating systems with vanishing complexity factor," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 80, p. 631, 2020.
[62] M. C. B. Abdalla, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, "Consistent modified gravity: dark energy, acceleration and the absence of cosmic doomsday," *Class. Quantum Grav.*, vol. 22, p. L35, 2005.
[63] T. Kobayashi and K.-i. Maeda, "Can higher curvature corrections cure the singularity problem in $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity?," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 79, p. 024009, 2009.
[64] K. Bamba, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani, and S. Zerbini, "Finite-time future singularities in modified Gauss-Bonnet and $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{R},\mathrm{G})$ gravity and singularity avoidance," *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 67, p. 295, 2010.
[65] N. E. Mavromatos and J. Rizos, "String-inspired higher-curvature terms and the randall-sundrum scenario," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 62, p. 124004, 2000.
[66] M. Z. Bhatti, M. Y. Khlopov, Z. Yousaf, and S. Khan, "Electromagnetic field and complexity of relativistic fluids in $f(\mathrm{G})$ gravity," *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, vol. 506, pp. 4543–4560, 2021.
[67] C. W. Misner and D. H. Sharp, "Relativistic equations for adiabatic, spherically symmetric gravitational collapse," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 136, p. B571, 1964.
[68] A. Matte, "Sur de nouvelles solutions oscillatoires des equations de la gravitation," *Can. J. Math.*, vol. 5, p. 1, 1953.
[69] L. Bel, "Inductions électromagnétique et gravitationnelle," in *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré*, vol. 17, p. 37, 1961.

[70] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, J. Martin, J. Ospino, N. Santos, and O. Troconis, "Spherically symmetric dissipative anisotropic fluids: A general study," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 69, p. 084026, 2004.
[71] L. Herrera, J. Ospino, A. Di Prisco, E. Fuenmayor, and O. Troconis, "Structure and evolution of self-gravitating objects and the orthogonal splitting of the Riemann tensor," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 79, p. 064025, 2009.
[72] M. Z. Bhatti, Z. Yousaf, and Z. Tariq, "Role of structure scalars on the evolution of compact objects in palatini $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity," *Chinese J. Phys.*, vol. 72, p. 18, 2021.
[73] M. Z. Bhatti, Z. Yousaf, and Z. Tariq, "Analysis of structure scalars in $f(\mathrm{R})$ gravity with an electric charge," *Phys. Scr.*, 2021.
[74] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, and J. Ospino, "On the stability of the shear-free condition," *Gen. Relativ. Grav.*, vol. 42, p. 1585, 2010.
[75] P. Ledoux and T. Walraven, "Variable stars," in *Astrophysics II: Stellar Structure/Astrophysik II: Sternaufbau*, p. 353, Springer, 1958.
[76] C. J. Hansen, S. D. Kawaler, and V. Trimble, *Stellar interiors: physical principles, structure, and evolution*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
[77] J. Triginer and D. Pavón, "Heat transport in an inhomogeneous spherically symmetric universe," *Class. Quantum Grav.*, vol. 12, p. 689, 1995.
[78] L. Herrera, N. Santos, and A. Wang, "Shearing expansion-free spherical anisotropic fluid evolution," *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 78, p. 084026, 2008.
[79] E. Bertschinger, "Cosmological detonation waves," *Astrophys. J*, vol. 295, p. 1, 1985.
[80] G. Blumenthal, L. N. Da Costa, D. Goldwirth, M. Lecar, and T. Piran, "The largest possible voids," *Astrophys. J*, vol. 388, p. 234, 1992.