

# Explicit formulas and decay rates for the solution of the wave equation in cosmological spacetimes

José Natário<sup>1</sup> and Flavio Rossetti<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CAMGSD, Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico,  
Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, LMU Munich, Germany

## Abstract

We obtain explicit formulas for the solution of the wave equation, as a function of the initial data, in certain Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetimes. Our method, pioneered by Klainerman and Sarnak, consists in finding differential operators that map solutions of the wave equation in these FLRW spacetimes to solutions of the conformally invariant wave equation in simpler, ultra-static spacetimes, for which spherical mean formulas are available. In addition to recovering the formulas for the dust-filled flat and hyperbolic FLRW spacetimes originally derived by Klainerman and Sarnak, and generalizing them to the spherical case, we obtain new formulas for the radiation-filled FLRW spacetimes and also for the de Sitter, anti-de Sitter and Milne universes. Moreover, we use these formulas to obtain the decay rates for the solutions of the wave equation, formulate a conjecture for the decay rates in a certain family of flat FLRW spacetimes, and prove this conjecture for a large subfamily.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Klainerman-Sarnak method . . . . .	3
1.2	Huygens principle . . . . .	3
1.3	Decay rates . . . . .	4
1.4	Behavior at the Big Bang . . . . .	4
1.5	A note on regularity . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Condition for the existence of operators</b>	<b>5</b>

<b>3 Flat case</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 Minkowski spacetime . . . . .	6
3.2 Dust-filled flat universe . . . . .	6
3.3 Radiation-filled flat universe . . . . .	8
3.4 Flat de Sitter universe . . . . .	10
<b>4 Hyperbolic case</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1 Spherical means formula for $K = -1$ . . . . .	11
4.2 Dust-filled hyperbolic universe . . . . .	13
4.3 Radiation-filled hyperbolic universe . . . . .	15
4.4 Anti-de Sitter universe . . . . .	17
4.5 Hyperbolic de Sitter universe . . . . .	19
4.6 Milne universe . . . . .	20
<b>5 Spherical case</b>	<b>21</b>
5.1 Spherical means formula for $K = 1$ . . . . .	21
5.2 Dust-filled spherical universe . . . . .	22
5.3 Radiation-filled spherical universe . . . . .	24
5.4 Spherical de Sitter universe . . . . .	25
<b>6 Decay of waves in flat FLRW universes</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>A The Friedmann equations</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>B Decay for the dust-filled hyperbolic universe</b>	<b>33</b>

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this article is to obtain explicit formulas and exact decay rates for the solution of the wave equation in certain Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetimes, taken as fixed backgrounds. Besides its intrinsic interest in modelling electromagnetic or gravitational waves, the linear wave equation may be considered as a first step to understanding the qualitative behavior of solutions of the Einstein equations, which necessarily involves a good quantitative grasp of the linearized Einstein equations.

Explicit formulas, albeit applying only to particular FLRW models, are very useful in that they provide detailed information about the solutions, and may indeed inspire conjectures about the behavior of solutions of the wave equation in other spacetimes (see Section 6). Moreover, they highlight special properties of the solutions of the wave equation in the particular FLRW models where they do apply, such as (some form of) the Huygens principle.

The wave equation in cosmological spacetimes has been amply studied in the literature, see for example [1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28] and references therein. Our contribution is described in more detail below.

## 1.1 Klainerman-Sarnak method

The Klainerman-Sarnak method consists of finding an operator  $\hat{O}$  that maps solutions  $\phi$  of the wave equation in a given FLRW background to solutions  $\hat{O}\phi$  of the conformally invariant wave equation on a simpler, ultra-static spacetime (characterized by the same spatial curvature), where a spherical means formula for  $\hat{O}\phi$  is available; by inverting the operator  $\hat{O}$ , one then obtains an explicit expression for  $\phi$ . It should be noticed, however, that such operators can only be found for very particular FLRW spacetimes.

Klainerman and Sarnak introduced this method in [13] for the dust-filled FLRW universes with flat and hyperbolic spatial sections. Abbasi and Craig extended their analysis in [1], where they elaborated on the decay rates of the solutions of the wave equation and also on the validity of the Huygens principle. Physical applications were later discussed in [5, 26].

In this paper, we generalize the Klainerman-Sarnak method to the spherical dust-filled FLRW universe, as well as to a number of other FLRW spacetimes. More precisely, using the parameter  $K$  to denote the curvature of the spatial sections (so that  $K = 0, -1, 1$  corresponds to flat, hyperbolic or spherical geometries, respectively), we obtain new explicit formulas for the solution of the wave equation in radiation-filled ( $K = 0, -1, 1$ ), de Sitter ( $K = 0, -1, 1$ ), anti-de Sitter ( $K = -1$ ) and Milne ( $K = -1$ ) universes. In each case, we are able to find an operator  $\hat{O}$  with the following properties:

- **Flat case:**  $\hat{O}\phi$  satisfies the wave equation in Minkowski's spacetime. We can write  $\hat{O}\phi$  in terms of Kirchhoff's formula and then invert  $\hat{O}$  to obtain  $\phi$  explicitly.
- **Hyperbolic case:**  $\hat{O}\phi$  satisfies the conformally invariant wave equation in an ultra-static universe with hyperbolic spatial sections. We can find a spherical means formula for  $\hat{O}\phi$  and then invert  $\hat{O}$ .
- **Spherical case:**  $\hat{O}\phi$  satisfies the conformally invariant wave equation in an ultra-static universe with spherical spatial sections (i.e. Einstein's universe). Again, we can find a Kirchhoff-like formula for  $\hat{O}\phi$  and then invert  $\hat{O}$ . (The well-known fact that Minkowski's spacetime is conformal to a globally hyperbolic region of the Einstein universe makes it plausible that such a formula should exist; a similar argument applies in the hyperbolic case.)

## 1.2 Huygens principle

All solutions for which we obtain explicit formulas have the property that  $\hat{O}\phi$  satisfies the strong Huygens principle: the values of  $\hat{O}\phi$  at some spacetime point depend only on the values of the initial data at points on its light cone (that is, information propagates along null geodesics). However, as noted by Abbasi and Craig in [1], this is not necessarily the case for  $\phi$  itself. In this paper, we show that the strong Huygens principle does hold for the radiation-filled ( $K = 0, -1, 1$ ) and the Milne universes. Moreover, a weaker form of the

Huygens principle, dubbed the *incomplete Huygens principle* in [27], is satisfied by the de Sitter ( $K = 0, -1, 1$ ) and the anti-de Sitter universes.

### 1.3 Decay rates

The explicit formulas derived in this paper give very detailed information about the solutions, such as decay rates as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . In the case of the flat de Sitter universe, for example, we readily confirm the result in [18] for the decay rate  $e^{-2t}$  of  $\partial_t \phi$ . Also for FLRW spacetimes with flat spatial sections, we obtain a decay rate of  $t^{-1}$  both for the dust-filled and the radiation-filled universes (already noticed by Abbasi and Craig [1] in the dust-filled case).

For FLRW spacetimes with hyperbolic spatial sections, we correct a result in [1] by showing that the decay rate for  $\phi$  in a dust-filled universe is  $t^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  rather than  $t^{-2}$ . Moreover, we obtain a decay rate of  $t^{-2}$  for both the radiation-filled and the Milne universes. Finally, we show a decay rate of  $e^{-2t}$  for  $\partial_t \phi$  in the hyperbolic de Sitter spacetime, and prove that  $\phi$  converges to a constant in the anti-de Sitter spacetime.

It is interesting to notice that in the flat case the decay rates in both the dust-filled and the radiation-filled universes coincide with the decay rate  $t^{-1}$  in Minkowski's spacetime, despite the different behavior of their scale factors ( $a(t) = t^{\frac{2}{3}}$  and  $a(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , respectively). These decay rates differ from those obtained in [4] (by a Fourier mode analysis) for *toroidal* spatial sections, which were, respectively,  $t^{-\frac{2}{3}}$  and  $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . The explanation for this discrepancy is dispersion: whereas in the toroidal models the decay is solely due to the cosmological redshift resulting from the expansion, for noncompact spatial sections the solutions can disperse across an unbounded region. The faster the expansion the larger the decay due to the cosmological redshift, but the smaller the decay due to dispersion (as faraway regions are moving away faster), and the two effects exactly balance to give the same decay rate.

It is therefore tempting to conjecture the decay rate  $t^{-1}$  in flat FLRW spacetimes with scale factor  $a(t) = t^p$  for  $p \in [0, 1]$  (as explained in [4], if  $p > 1$  then the FLRW spacetime has a spacelike future null infinity, and consequently the solutions of the wave equation do not decay to zero, but instead approach some limit function). We prove this conjecture for  $p \in [0, \frac{2}{3}]$  using two different methods: by adapting the analysis of the damped wave equation in [29], and also by employing the more robust vector field method.

### 1.4 Behavior at the Big Bang

Generically, solutions of the wave equation blow up at the Big Bang (and also at the Big Crunch, when there is one). Nonetheless, some solutions (e.g. constant functions) have a well-defined limit at the Big Bang. In the cases of the dust-filled ( $K = 0, -1, 1$ ) and radiation-filled ( $K = 0, -1, 1$ ) universes, we show how to obtain explicit expressions for these solutions. In fact, given an arbitrary smooth function, we obtain, by taking limits of solutions with appropriate initial

data, an explicit expression for a solution with the given function as its limit at the Big Bang (see also [11, 21]).

### 1.5 A note on regularity

In this paper we will assume that the initial data for the wave equation is smooth, so that the solutions are also smooth. Moreover, we will assume that the initial data belongs to any required Sobolev space, or even that it has compact support. All these assumptions can be easily relaxed, but we shall refrain from doing so as this would distract us from the main objectives of this paper.

## 2 Condition for the existence of operators

We are interested in solving the wave equation,

$$\square_g \phi = 0, \quad (1)$$

in a  $(3+1)$ -dimensional FLRW background  $(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_3, g)$ , with metric

$$g = -dt^2 + a^2(t)d\Sigma_3^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $d\Sigma_3^2$  is the standard Riemannian metric for  $\Sigma_3 = \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{H}^3$  or  $S^3$ . Introducing the conformal time

$$\tau = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}, \quad (3)$$

we can write the wave equation in the form

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi + 2\frac{a'}{a} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta_{\Sigma_3} \phi, \quad (4)$$

where  $\Delta_{\Sigma_3}$  is the Laplacian operator for the metric  $d\Sigma_3^2$  and

$$a'(\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} a(t(\tau)). \quad (5)$$

Now, let  $\hat{O}$  be an operator acting on the space of smooth functions that commutes with  $\Delta_{\Sigma_3}$ . If the assumption

$$\hat{O} \left( \partial_\tau^2 + 2\frac{a'}{a} \partial_\tau \right) \phi = \partial_\tau^2 \hat{O} \phi + K \hat{O} \phi \quad (6)$$

holds, where  $K \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  represents the curvature of the spatial sections we are considering, then (4) implies that  $\hat{O}\phi$  satisfies the conformally invariant wave equation:

$$\partial_\tau^2 \hat{O} \phi = (\Delta_{\Sigma_3} - K) \hat{O} \phi. \quad (7)$$

We will search a suitable operator  $\hat{O}$  by taking

$$\hat{O} \phi = f(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi + g(\tau) \phi, \quad (8)$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are functions to be determined. Plugging this expression into (6), we obtain the condition

$$\left(2\frac{a'}{a}f - 2f'\right)\partial_\tau^2\phi + \left[2\frac{a'}{a}g - 2g' - f'' + 2\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)'f - Kf\right]\partial_\tau\phi - (g'' + Kg)\phi = 0. \quad (9)$$

We will now try to satisfy this condition for each of the three different types of spatial sections.

### 3 Flat case

Let  $K = 0$ . Equation (9) holds if and only if

$$\begin{cases} f(\tau) = \kappa a(\tau), \\ g(\tau) = \alpha\tau + \beta, \\ 2\frac{a'}{a}g - 2g' - f'' + 2\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)'f = 0, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

with  $\alpha, \beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let us consider the case

$$a(\tau) = \tau^j \quad (11)$$

with  $\tau > 0$ . It can be easily checked that there are non-trivial solutions  $f$  and  $g$  of the constraint equations (10) only for  $j \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ , provided that  $\alpha, \beta, \kappa$  are chosen appropriately. We will analyze each of these four possibilities next.

#### 3.1 Minkowski spacetime

If  $j = 0$ , the constraint equations (10) force us to choose  $\alpha = 0$ , so that the functions  $f$  and  $g$  become

$$\begin{cases} f(\tau) = \kappa, \\ g(\tau) = \beta. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

In this case  $a(\tau) \equiv 1$ , and so our FLRW universe is simply Minkowski's spacetime. Indeed, if  $\phi$  is a solution of the wave equation in Minkowski's spacetime, then

$$\hat{O}\phi = \kappa\partial_\tau\phi + \beta\phi \quad (13)$$

is a solution as well.

#### 3.2 Dust-filled flat universe

If  $j = 2$ , conditions (10) force the functions  $f$  and  $g$  to be given by

$$\begin{cases} f(\tau) = \kappa\tau^2, \\ g(\tau) = 3\kappa\tau, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and therefore

$$\hat{O}\phi = \tau^2 \partial_\tau \phi + 3\tau \phi. \quad (15)$$

In this case  $a(\tau) = \tau^2$  and

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = a(\tau) \Leftrightarrow t \propto \tau^3, \quad (16)$$

so that

$$a(t) \propto t^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (17)$$

This scale factor  $a(t)$  is a solution of the Friedmann equations when  $K = 0$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$  and  $w = 0$  (see Appendix A), corresponding to a dust-filled flat FLRW model (also known as the Einstein-de Sitter universe).

Here and in what follows we will denote the initial data for the wave equation at  $t = t_0$  by

$$\begin{cases} \phi(t_0, x) =: \phi_0(x), \\ \partial_t \phi(t_0, x) =: \phi_1(x). \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Therefore, the initial data for

$$\hat{O}\phi = \tau^2 \partial_\tau \phi + 3\tau \phi = \tau^4 \partial_t \phi + 3\tau \phi \quad (19)$$

at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$  is:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \tau_0^4 \phi_1(x) + 3\tau_0 \phi_0(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \tau_0^2 \Delta \phi_0(x) + \tau_0^3 \phi_1(x) + 3\phi_0(x) =: \psi_1(x). \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Here we used equation (4) to write

$$\partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi = \partial_\tau (\tau^2 \partial_\tau \phi + 3\tau \phi) = \tau^2 \Delta \phi + \tau^3 \partial_t \phi + 3\phi.$$

Using Kirchhoff's formula for  $\hat{O}\phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{O}\phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{1}{4\pi(\tau - \tau_0)^2} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \psi_0(y) dV_2(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \nabla \psi_0(y) \cdot \frac{y - x}{|y - x|} dV_2(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \psi_1(y) dV_2(y). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

An explicit expression for  $\phi$  can be obtained by noticing that  $\hat{O}\phi = \tau^{-1} \partial_\tau (\tau^3 \phi)$  and then integrating Kirchhoff's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{\tau_0^3}{\tau^3} \phi_0(x) + \frac{1}{\tau^3} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{s}{4\pi(s - \tau_0)^2} \int_{\partial B_{s - \tau_0}(x)} \psi_0(y) dV_2(y) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\tau^3} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{s}{4\pi(s - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{s - \tau_0}(x)} \nabla \psi_0(y) \cdot \frac{y - x}{|y - x|} dV_2(y) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\tau^3} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{s}{4\pi(s - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{s - \tau_0}(x)} \psi_1(y) dV_2(y) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This is the formula obtained by Klainerman and Sarnak in [13]. Abbasi and Craig used this formula in [1] to obtain decay estimates by noticing that

$$\left| \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{s}{4\pi(s-\tau_0)^2} \int_{\partial B_{s-\tau_0}(x)} \psi_0(y) dV_2(y) ds \right| \lesssim \|\psi_0\|_{\infty} + \|\psi_0\|_1 \quad (23)$$

(and similarly for the other integrals in (22)), since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\tau_0}^{2\tau_0} \frac{s}{4\pi(s-\tau_0)^2} \int_{\partial B_{s-\tau_0}(x)} \psi_0(y) dV_2(y) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\tau_0}^{2\tau_0} \frac{s}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \psi_0(x + (s-\tau_0)z) dV_2(z) ds \right| \lesssim \|\psi_0\|_{\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\frac{s}{4\pi(s-\tau_0)^2} \lesssim 1 \quad \text{for } s > 2\tau_0. \quad (25)$$

Therefore

$$|\phi| \lesssim \tau^{-3} (\|\phi_0\|_{\infty} + \|\psi_0\|_{\infty} + \|\psi_0\|_1 + \|\nabla\psi_0\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla\psi_0\|_1 + \|\psi_1\|_{\infty} + \|\psi_1\|_1), \quad (26)$$

and so, provided that the relevant norms are finite, we have

$$|\phi| \lesssim t^{-1}. \quad (27)$$

From (22) we expect the solutions of the wave equation to diverge as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , which can be traced back to the fact that the FLRW metric is singular at  $t = 0$ . However, as noticed in [1] (see also [11, 21]), it is possible to construct special solutions which have a well-defined limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Indeed, if we fix  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\tau$ , and take the limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$  in equations (20) and (22), we obtain

$$\phi(\tau, x) = \frac{3}{4\pi\tau^3} \int_{B_{\tau}(x)} \phi_0(y) dV_3(y). \quad (28)$$

It is clear that this expression determines a solution of the wave equation satisfying

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \phi(\tau, x) = \phi_0(x). \quad (29)$$

### 3.3 Radiation-filled flat universe

If  $j = 1$ , conditions (10) tell us that the functions  $f$  and  $g$  must be of the form

$$\begin{cases} f(\tau) = \kappa\tau, \\ g(\tau) = \alpha\tau + \kappa. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

In this case  $a(\tau) = \tau$  and

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = a(\tau) \Leftrightarrow t \propto \tau^2, \quad (31)$$



which implies

$$a(\tau) \propto t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (32)$$

This scale factor is a solution of the Friedmann equations for  $K = 0$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$  and  $w = \frac{1}{3}$  (see appendix A). Therefore, this model corresponds to a flat, radiation-filled universe. We notice that in this case  $\hat{O}$  can be taken as the multiplicative operator,

$$\hat{O}\phi = \tau\phi. \quad (33)$$

The reason for this is that the energy-momentum tensor of a radiation fluid is traceless, and thus the scalar curvature  $R$  of the 4-dimensional spacetime vanishes. Consequently, the wave equation coincides with the conformally invariant wave equation, and so the scalar field  $a\phi = \tau\phi$  satisfies the wave equation in Minkowski spacetime.

The initial data for  $\hat{O}\phi = \tau\phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \tau_0\phi_0(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \tau_0^2\phi_1(x) + \phi_0(x) =: \psi_1(x). \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

Therefore, if the appropriate Sobolev norms of the initial data are finite, by the standard decay of the wave equation in Minkowski spacetime (see e.g. [12]) we have, as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$|\hat{O}\phi| \lesssim \tau^{-1} \Leftrightarrow |\phi| \lesssim \tau^{-2} \sim t^{-1}, \quad (35)$$

the same decay rate as in Minkowski spacetime.

The explicit expression for  $\phi$  is again given by Kirchhoff's formula (21):

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{1}{4\pi\tau(\tau - \tau_0)^2} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \psi_0(y) dV_2(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi\tau(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \nabla\psi_0(y) \cdot \frac{y - x}{|y - x|} dV_2(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi\tau(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \psi_1(y) dV_2(y). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

As one would expect,  $\phi$  satisfies the strong Huygens principle; a related result was obtained in [14, 16] for gravitational waves in the Regge-Wheeler gauge.

Again it is possible to construct solutions with a well-defined limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$  by fixing  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\tau$  and taking the limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$  in equations (34) and (36):

$$\phi(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{4\pi\tau^2} \int_{\partial B_\tau(x)} \phi_0(y) dV_2(y). \quad (37)$$

It is easy to check that this expression determines a solution of the wave equation satisfying

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \phi(\tau, x) = \phi_0(x). \quad (38)$$

### 3.4 Flat de Sitter universe

If  $j = -1$ , we can choose  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , so that the functions  $f$  and  $g$  become

$$\begin{cases} f(\tau) = \kappa\tau^{-1}, \\ g(\tau) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

These lead to the operator  $\hat{O} = \tau^{-1}\partial_\tau$ . In this case  $a(\tau) = \tau^{-1}$ , and so

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = a(\tau) \Leftrightarrow t = \log \tau. \quad (40)$$

This yields

$$a(t) = e^{-t}, \quad (41)$$

corresponding to the contracting flat de Sitter spacetime (which is a globally hyperbolic region of the full de Sitter universe); the expanding case can be trivially recovered by changing the time coordinate from  $t$  to  $-t$ .

The initial data for

$$\hat{O}\phi = \tau^{-1}\partial_\tau\phi = \tau^{-2}\partial_t\phi \quad (42)$$

at the corresponding conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \tau_0^{-2}\phi_1(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau\hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \tau_0^{-1}\Delta\phi_0(x) + \tau_0^{-3}\phi_1(x) =: \psi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

where we used (4) to write

$$\partial_\tau\hat{O}\phi = \partial_\tau(\tau^{-1}\partial_\tau\phi) = \tau^{-1}\Delta\phi + \tau^{-3}\partial_t\phi. \quad (44)$$

If the appropriate Sobolev norms of the initial data are finite then we have, by boundedness of the solution of the wave equation in the region  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$  of Minkowski's spacetime,

$$|\hat{O}\phi| \lesssim 1 \Leftrightarrow |\partial_t\phi| \lesssim \tau^2 = e^{2t} \quad (45)$$

as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  (that is, as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ). This translates to  $|\partial_t\phi| \lesssim e^{-2t}$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  in the expanding case, confirming the result in [18].

An explicit expression for  $\phi$  can be obtained by integrating Kirchhoff's formula (21):

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, x) = & \phi_0(x) + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{s}{4\pi(s-\tau_0)^2} \int_{\partial B_{s-\tau_0}(x)} \psi_0(y) dV_2(y) ds \\ & + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{s}{4\pi(s-\tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{s-\tau_0}(x)} \nabla\psi_0(y) \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} dV_2(y) ds \\ & + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{s}{4\pi(s-\tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{s-\tau_0}(x)} \psi_1(y) dV_2(y) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

In the particular case when  $\phi_1 \equiv 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\tau, x) &= \phi_0(x) + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{s}{4\pi\tau_0(s-\tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{s-\tau_0}(x)} \Delta\phi_0(y) dV_2(y) ds \\ &= \phi_0(x) + \frac{1}{4\pi\tau_0} \int_{B_{\tau-\tau_0}(x)} \left(1 + \frac{\tau_0}{|z-x|}\right) \Delta\phi_0(z) dV_3(z).\end{aligned}\quad (47)$$

Using the divergence theorem and Green's identities, this expression can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{\tau}{4\pi\tau_0(\tau-\tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau-\tau_0}(x)} \nabla\phi_0(y) \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} dV_2(y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi(\tau-\tau_0)^2} \int_{\partial B_{\tau-\tau_0}(x)} \phi_0(y) dV_2(y).\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

In particular,  $\phi(\tau, x)$  depends only on the values of  $\phi_0$  and  $\nabla\phi_0$  on  $\partial B_{\tau-\tau_0}(x)$ , that is,  $\phi$  satisfies the strong Huygens principle in the special case when  $\phi_1 \equiv 0$ . This fact was previously noticed by Yagdjian in [27], who dubbed this property the *incomplete Huygens principle*.

## 4 Hyperbolic case

Next, we want to find suitable operators  $\hat{O}$  in the case  $K = -1$ . We start by noticing that the constraints (9) require operators of the form  $\hat{O} = f(\tau)\partial_\tau + g(\tau)$  to satisfy

$$\begin{cases} f(\tau) = \kappa a(\tau), \\ g(\tau) = \alpha \cosh(\tau) + \beta \sinh(\tau), \\ 2\frac{a'}{a}g - 2g' - f'' + 2\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)' f + f = 0. \end{cases}\quad (49)$$

These conditions can be met for certain choices of scale factors  $a(\tau)$  satisfying the Friedmann equations (see appendix A). In these cases, it is possible to obtain an explicit formula for  $\phi$  from the expression of  $\hat{O}\phi$  in terms of spherical means, analogous to Kirchhoff's formula in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which we now discuss.

### 4.1 Spherical means formula for $K = -1$

We will now obtain a spherical means formula for the solution of the conformally invariant wave equation (7) in the spacetime given by the metric

$$g = -dt^2 + d\Sigma_3^2, \quad (50)$$

where  $d\Sigma_3^2$  is the line element for the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . What follows is a simplified version of the more general derivation in [13].

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \phi = \Delta \phi + \phi, \\ \phi(0, x) = \phi_0(x), \\ \partial_t \phi(0, x) = \phi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Using geodesic polar coordinates about some point  $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$ , we know that

$$d\Sigma_3^2 = dr^2 + \sinh^2(r)d\Omega^2, \quad (52)$$

where  $d\Omega^2$  is the line element for the unit sphere  $S^2$ , and also that

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + 2 \cotanh(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2(r)} \Delta_{S^2}, \quad (53)$$

where  $\Delta_{S^2}$  is the Laplacian on  $S^2$ . The geodesic sphere  $S(x, r)$  about the point  $x$  is defined as

$$S(x, r) := \exp_x(\{z \in T_x \mathbb{H}^3 : \|z\| = r\}) \equiv \exp_x(\partial B_r(0)), \quad (54)$$

where  $\exp_x : T_x \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  is the geodesic exponential map and  $B_r(0)$  is the ball of radius  $r$  about 0 in  $T_x \mathbb{H}^3$ . We define the *spherical mean* of a function  $\phi(t, x)$  on the geodesic sphere of radius  $r$  about  $x$  as

$$\begin{aligned} M_\phi(t, r, x) &:= \frac{1}{4\pi \sinh^2(r)} \int_{S(x, r)} \phi(t, y) dV_2(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \phi(t, \exp_x(rz)) dV_2(z), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where  $S^2$  is the unit sphere in  $T_x \mathbb{H}^3$ . Note that this last formula allows us to extend the spherical mean to negative values of the second argument, yielding

$$M_\phi(t, -r, x) = M_\phi(t, r, x). \quad (56)$$

Now, if  $\phi$  is a solution of the problem (51), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} M_\phi(t, r, x) = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + 2 \cotanh(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + 1 \right) M_\phi(t, r, x), \quad (57)$$

where we used (55), (51) and the divergence theorem on the sphere. From (51), we have the initial data

$$\begin{cases} M_\phi(0, r, x) = M_{\phi_0}(r; x), \\ \partial_t M_\phi(0, r, x) = M_{\phi_1}(r; x). \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

Using (57), it can be checked that  $\omega(t, r, x) := \sinh(r)M_\phi(t, r, x)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t^2 \omega = \partial_r^2 \omega. \quad (59)$$

Given the initial data

$$\begin{cases} \omega(0, r, x) = \sinh(r)M_{\phi_0}(r, x) =: \gamma(r, x), \\ \partial_t \omega(0, r, x) = \sinh(r)M_{\phi_1}(r, x) =: \psi(r, x), \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

the solution of equation (59) is given by the d'Alembert formula:

$$\omega(t, r, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \gamma(r+t, x) + \gamma(r-t, x) + \int_{r-t}^{r+t} \psi(s, x) ds \right]. \quad (61)$$

Since  $M_\phi(t, r, x) \rightarrow \phi(t, x)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t, x) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 \sinh(r)} \left[ \gamma(r+t, x) + \gamma(r-t, x) + \int_{r-t}^{r+t} \psi(s, x) ds \right] \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2 \cosh(r)} [\gamma'(r+t, x) + \gamma'(r-t, x) + \psi(r+t, x) - \psi(r-t, x)] \\ &= \gamma'(t, x) + \psi(t, x) = \partial_t [\sinh(t)M_{\phi_0}(t, x)] + \sinh(t)M_{\phi_1}(t, x), \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where we used L'Hôpital's rule and the fact that  $\gamma$  and  $\psi$  are odd functions. From (55), the solution of the Cauchy problem (51) is then given by

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \phi(t, x) &= \frac{\cosh(t)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x(tz)) dV_2(z) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sinh(t)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} d\phi_0(\dot{c}_z(t)) dV_2(z) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sinh(t)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \phi_1(\exp_x(tz)) dV_2(z), \end{aligned}} \quad (63)$$

with  $c_z(t) = \exp_x(tz)$ .

## 4.2 Dust-filled hyperbolic universe

Let us consider the case

$$a(\tau) = \cosh(\tau) - 1 \quad (64)$$

with  $\tau > 0$ . This corresponds to the dust-filled hyperbolic model, since  $a(\tau)$  solves Friedmann's equations for  $K = -1$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$  and  $w = 0$  (see appendix A). With this choice of the scale factor, the wave equation (4) becomes

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi + \frac{2 \sinh(\tau)}{\cosh(\tau) - 1} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi. \quad (65)$$

In this case, the constraint equations (49) are satisfied if we choose  $\kappa = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$ , that is, if we choose

$$\hat{O}\phi = (\cosh(\tau) - 1)\partial_\tau \phi + \frac{3}{2} \sinh(\tau)\phi. \quad (66)$$

We notice that we can rewrite this as

$$\hat{O}\phi = \frac{2}{\sinh\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)} \partial_\tau \left( \sinh^3\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \phi \right), \quad (67)$$

which is the expression that can be found in [13]. The initial data for  $\hat{O}\phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$  is then

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = (\cosh(\tau_0) - 1)\phi_1(x) + \frac{3}{2} \sinh(\tau_0)\phi_0(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sinh(\tau_0)(\cosh(\tau_0) - 1)\phi_1(x) \\ \quad + (\cosh(\tau_0) - 1)\Delta\phi_0(x) + \frac{3}{2} \cosh(\tau_0)\phi_0(x) =: \psi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (68)$$

where we used (65) to write

$$\partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi = \frac{1}{2} \sinh(\tau)(\cosh(\tau) - 1)\partial_t \phi + (\cosh \tau - 1)\Delta\phi + \frac{3}{2} \cosh(\tau)\phi. \quad (69)$$

Then (63) and (67) give the following expression for the solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh^3\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \phi(\tau, x) &= \sinh^3\left(\frac{\tau_0}{2}\right) \phi_0(x) \\ &+ \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\cosh(s - \tau_0)}{8\pi} \sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\ &+ \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sinh(s - \tau_0)}{8\pi} \sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(c'_z(s - \tau_0)) dV_2(z) ds \\ &+ \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sinh(s - \tau_0)}{8\pi} \sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Let us analyze the decay of the solution for  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ . The first integral, for instance, can be estimated by noting that

$$\left| \int_{\tau_0}^{2\tau_0} \cosh(s - \tau_0) \sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \right| \lesssim \|\psi_0\|_\infty \quad (71)$$

and that, rewriting the integral on  $S^2$  as an integral over the geodesic sphere,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{2\tau_0}^{\tau} \cosh(s - \tau_0) \sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{2\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\cosh(s - \tau_0)}{\sinh^2(s - \tau_0)} \sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S(x, s - \tau_0)} \psi_0(y) dA(y) ds \right| \lesssim \|\psi_0\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Similar computations apply to the other integrals in expression (70). After dividing both sides by  $\sinh^3\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)$ , we can write

$$|\phi| \lesssim e^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau} (\|\phi_0\|_\infty + \|\psi_0\|_\infty + \|\psi_0\|_1 + \|d\psi_0\|_\infty + \|d\psi_0\|_1 + \|\psi_1\|_\infty + \|\psi_1\|_1), \quad (73)$$

and so, provided that the relevant norms are finite, we have

$$|\phi| \lesssim e^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau}. \quad (74)$$

We notice that, in general, a faster decay rate is not possible (see appendix B). To obtain this estimate in terms of the coordinate time  $t$ , we see from

$$t = \int a(\tau)d\tau = \sinh(\tau) - \tau \quad (75)$$

that, as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$t \sim e^\tau, \quad (76)$$

whence

$$|\phi| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (77)$$

As in the flat case, there exist solutions which have a well-defined limit at the Big Bang: if we take the limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$  while keeping  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\tau$  fixed, we obtain from (68) and (70) the limit solution

$$\phi(\tau, x) = \frac{3}{16\pi \sinh^3\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)} \int_0^\tau \sinh(s) \sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x(zs)) dV_2(z) ds. \quad (78)$$

Using L'Hôpital's rule, one can easily check that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \phi(\tau, x) = \phi_0(x). \quad (79)$$

### 4.3 Radiation-filled hyperbolic universe

Let us now consider the case

$$a(\tau) = \sinh(\tau) \quad (80)$$

with  $\tau > 0$ . This corresponds to the radiation-dominated hyperbolic universe, since  $a(\tau) = \sinh(\tau)$  solves the Friedmann equations for  $K = -1$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$  and  $w = \frac{1}{3}$  (see appendix A). With this choice of the scale factor, our wave equation (4) becomes

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi + 2 \coth(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi. \quad (81)$$

In this case, the constraint equations (49) are satisfied if we choose  $\alpha = \kappa = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ , that is, if we choose

$$\hat{O}\phi = \sinh(\tau)\phi. \quad (82)$$

Again, this operator can be taken as multiplicative because in this universe the wave equation coincides with the conformally invariant wave equation.

The initial data for  $\hat{O}\phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$  is

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \sinh(\tau_0)\phi_0(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \cosh(\tau_0)\phi_0(x) + \sinh^2(\tau_0)\phi_1(x) =: \psi_1(x). \end{cases} \quad (83)$$

The solution in terms of spherical means is then given by (63):

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \sinh(\tau)\phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{\cosh(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((\tau - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) \\ &+ \frac{\sinh(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(c'_z(\tau - \tau_0)) dV_2(z) \\ &+ \frac{\sinh(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x((\tau - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z). \end{aligned}} \quad (84)$$

As in the flat case,  $\phi$  satisfies the strong Huygens principle.

Let us now analyze the decay of the solution as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ . We notice that, after dividing the formula above by  $\sinh(\tau)$ , the first term of the solution can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi| &= \left| \frac{\cosh(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi \sinh(\tau) \sinh^2(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{S(x, \tau - \tau_0)} \psi_0(y) dA(y) \right| \\ &\lesssim (\|\psi_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^3)} + \|d\psi_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^3)}) e^{-2\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

In fact, assuming for simplicity that the initial data has compact support, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(x, R)} \psi_0(y) dA(y) &= - \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \int_{S(x, r)} \psi_0(y) dA(y) \right) dr \\ &= - \int_R^{+\infty} 2 \sinh(r) \cosh(r) \left( \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x(rz)) dV_2(z) \right) dr \\ &\quad - \int_R^{+\infty} \sinh^2(r) \left( \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(\dot{c}_z(r)) dV_2(z) \right) dr, \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

where  $c_z(r) = \exp_x(rz)$ , whence

$$\left| \int_{S(x, R)} \psi_0(y) dA(y) \right| \lesssim \|\psi_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^3)} + \|d\psi_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^3)} \quad (87)$$

for all  $R > 0$ . The remaining two terms have the same decay rate, which can be found after similar computations. Therefore, if the relevant Sobolev norms of the initial data are finite, we have

$$|\phi| \lesssim e^{-2\tau}. \quad (88)$$

To obtain the decay rate in terms of the time variable  $t$ , we use

$$t = \int \sinh(\tau) d\tau = \cosh(\tau) \sim e^\tau, \quad (89)$$

so that, as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$|\phi| \lesssim t^{-2}. \quad (90)$$



Again there exist solutions with a well-defined limit at the Big Bang: if we take the limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$  while keeping  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\tau$  fixed, we obtain from (83) and (84) the limit solution

$$\phi(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x(\tau z)) dV_2(z), \quad (91)$$

which clearly converges to  $\phi_0(x)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .

#### 4.4 Anti-de Sitter universe

Let us now analyze the case

$$a(\tau) = \operatorname{sech}(\tau). \quad (92)$$

This corresponds to a globally hyperbolic region of the anti-de Sitter universe, since  $a(\tau) = \operatorname{sech}(\tau)$  is a solution for the Friedmann equations for  $K = -1$ ,  $\Lambda = -3$  and  $\rho_0 = 0$  (see appendix A). We can obtain the scale factor as a function of the physical time  $t$  by noticing that

$$t = \int \frac{d\tau}{\cosh(\tau)} = 2 \arctan\left(\tanh\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right), \quad (93)$$

and so

$$a(t) = \operatorname{sech}\left(2 \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\tan\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)\right) = \cos(t), \quad (94)$$

with  $t \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ .

With this choice of the scale factor, (4) becomes

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi - 2 \tanh(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi. \quad (95)$$

We can satisfy the constraint equations (49) by choosing  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  and  $\kappa = 1$ , so that we have

$$\hat{O}\phi = \operatorname{sech}(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi. \quad (96)$$

As before, we can express the solution in terms of spherical means. We have the following initial data for  $\hat{O}\phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$ :

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \operatorname{sech}^2(\tau_0) \phi_1(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \operatorname{sech}^2(\tau_0) \tanh(\tau_0) \phi_1(x) + \operatorname{sech}(\tau_0) \Delta \phi_0(x) =: \psi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (97)$$

where we used (95) to write

$$\partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi = \operatorname{sech}^2(\tau) \tanh(\tau) \partial_t \phi + \operatorname{sech}(\tau) \Delta \phi. \quad (98)$$

The spherical means solution is then

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau, x) &= \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\cosh(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \cosh(s) \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\
&+ \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sinh(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \cosh(s) \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(c'_z(s - \tau_0)) dV_2(z) ds \\
&+ \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sinh(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \cosh(s) \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\
&+ \phi_0(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

In the particular case when  $\phi_1 \equiv 0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau, x) &= \phi_0(x) + \int_0^{\tau - \tau_0} \frac{\sinh(r)}{4\pi} \cosh(r + \tau_0) \int_{S^2} \operatorname{sech}(\tau_0) \Delta \phi_0(\exp_x(rz)) dV_2(z) dr \\
&= \phi_0(x) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} (\tanh(\tau_0) + \operatorname{cotanh}(r)) \Delta \phi_0(y) dV_3(y),
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

where we defined  $r(y) = \operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{H}^3}(y, x)$ . Using the divergence theorem and Green's identities, together with  $\Delta \operatorname{cotanh}(r) = 0$ , this expression can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{\cosh(\tau)}{4\pi \cosh(\tau_0) \sinh(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \nabla \phi_0(y) \cdot \nabla r(y) dV_2(y) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi \sinh^2(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \phi_0(y) dV_2(y).
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

Therefore,  $\phi(\tau, x)$  depends only on the values of  $\phi_0$  and  $\nabla \phi_0$  on  $\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)$ , that is,  $\phi$  satisfies Yagdjian's incomplete Huygens principle. In particular, if  $\phi_0$  is compactly supported then we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\tau, x) = 0. \tag{102}$$

Interestingly, in the case when  $\phi_0 \equiv 0$  we have, for compactly supported  $\phi_1$ ,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\tau, x) = -\frac{\operatorname{sech}^3(\tau_0)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{H}^3} \phi_1(y) dV_3(y) \tag{103}$$

(in particular this limit does not depend on  $x$ ). By the superposition principle, this result holds in general for compactly supported initial data; it can be interpreted by noting that for fixed  $x$  the curve  $\tau \mapsto (\tau, x)$  approaches a single point in the full anti-de Sitter spacetime as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  (see [17]).

To check (103), we note that for  $\phi_0 \equiv 0$  equation (99) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\tau, x) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_0} \int_0^{\tau - \tau_0} \frac{\sinh(r)}{4\pi} \cosh(r + \tau_0) \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x(rz)) dV_2(z) dr \\
&+ \int_0^{\tau - \tau_0} \frac{\sinh(r)}{4\pi} \cosh(r + \tau_0) \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x(rz)) dV_2(z) dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

Discarding the boundary term, whose limit will vanish for compactly supported initial data, and using (97), we obtain

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\tau, x) = -\frac{\operatorname{sech}^3(\tau_0)}{4\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \sinh^2(r) \int_{S^2} \phi_1(\exp_x(rz)) dV_2(z) dr. \quad (105)$$

## 4.5 Hyperbolic de Sitter universe

Let us now consider the case

$$a(\tau) = -\operatorname{cosech}(\tau) \quad (106)$$

with  $\tau < 0$ . This corresponds to a globally hyperbolic region of the de Sitter universe, since  $a(\tau) = -\operatorname{cosech}(\tau)$  is a solution for the Friedmann equations for  $K = -1$ ,  $\Lambda = -3$  and  $\rho_0 = 0$  (see appendix A). We can obtain the scale factor as a function of the physical time  $t$  by noticing that

$$t = -\int \frac{d\tau}{\sinh(\tau)} = -\log\left(-\tanh\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\right), \quad (107)$$

and so

$$a(t) = -\operatorname{cosech}(2 \operatorname{arctanh}(-e^{-t})) = \sinh(t), \quad (108)$$

with  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ .

This choice of the scale factor in (4) leads to

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi - 2 \cotanh(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi \quad (109)$$

We can satisfy the constraint equations (49) by choosing  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  and  $\kappa = -1$ , so that

$$\hat{O}\phi = -\operatorname{cosech}(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi. \quad (110)$$

Again we can repeat the same steps as in the previous cases to obtain the solution in terms of spherical means. The initial data for  $\hat{O}\phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$  is

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \operatorname{cosech}^2(\tau_0) \phi_1(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \operatorname{cosech}^2(\tau_0) \cotanh(\tau_0) \phi_1(x) - \operatorname{cosech}(\tau_0) \Delta \phi_0(x) =: \psi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (111)$$

where we used (109) to write

$$\partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi = \operatorname{cosech}^2(\tau) \cotanh(\tau) \partial_t \phi - \operatorname{cosech}(\tau) \Delta \phi. \quad (112)$$

The spherical means solution is then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, x) = & -\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\cosh(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \sinh(s) \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\ & -\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sinh(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \sinh(s) \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(c'_z(s - \tau_0)) dV_2(z) ds \\ & -\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sinh(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \sinh(s) \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\ & + \phi_0(x). \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

Repeating the same steps as was done for the anti-de Sitter solution, one can show that when  $\phi_1 \equiv 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{\sinh(\tau)}{4\pi \sinh(\tau_0) \sinh(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \nabla \phi_0(y) \cdot \nabla r(y) dV_2(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi \sinh^2(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau - \tau_0}(x)} \phi_0(y) dV_2(y). \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

Therefore, again  $\phi$  satisfies Yagdjian's incomplete Huygens principle.

Similarly to what happens in the flat de Sitter universe, the time derivative of the solution decays exponentially as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$|\partial_t \phi| \lesssim e^{-2t}. \quad (115)$$

Indeed, if we take the partial derivative with respect to  $\tau$  of both sides of (113), we have, as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$|\sinh(t) \partial_t \phi| = |\partial_\tau \phi| \lesssim -\sinh \tau = \frac{1}{\sinh(t)}, \quad (116)$$

where we are assuming that the  $L^\infty$  norms of  $\psi_0$ ,  $d\psi_0$  and  $\psi_1$  are finite.

## 4.6 Milne universe

We will now work with the scale factor

$$a(\tau) = e^\tau. \quad (117)$$

This model represents the Milne universe, as we can see by writing the scale factor as a function of the physical variable  $t$ :

$$t = \int e^\tau d\tau = e^\tau, \quad (118)$$

whence

$$a(t) = t \quad (119)$$

for  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ .

With this choice, our wave equation (4) becomes

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi + 2\partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi. \quad (120)$$

To satisfy the constraint equations (49), we can pick  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and  $\kappa = 0$ . In this case, the operator is

$$\hat{O}\phi = e^\tau \phi. \quad (121)$$

To get an explicit expression for solutions we consider the following initial data for  $\hat{O}\phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$ :

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = e^{\tau_0} \phi_0(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = e^{\tau_0} \phi_0(x) + e^{2\tau_0} \phi_1(x) =: \psi_1(x). \end{cases} \quad (122)$$

The solution in terms of spherical means is given by (63):

$$\begin{aligned}
e^\tau \phi(\tau, x) = & \frac{\cosh(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((\tau - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) + \\
& + \frac{\sinh(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(c'_z(\tau - \tau_0)) dV_2(z) \\
& + \frac{\sinh(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x((\tau - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

As could be expected from the fact that the Milne universe is a globally hyperbolic region of Minkowski's spacetime,  $\phi$  satisfies the strong Huygens principle. Notice that the decay of the solution as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  is the same as that of the solution (84) if we assume that the appropriate norms are finite. Thus, as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  we have

$$|\phi| \lesssim e^{-2\tau} = t^{-2} \tag{124}$$

This decay rate can be understood by viewing the Milne universe as the region  $t > |x|$  of Minkowski's spacetime and recalling the well-known estimate

$$|\phi(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 + t + |x|)\sqrt{1 + |t - |x||}} \tag{125}$$

for solutions of the wave equation with compactly supported initial data (see for instance [19]).

## 5 Spherical case

Finally, we consider the case  $K = 1$ . The constraint equations (9) now give

$$\begin{cases} f(\tau) = \kappa a, \\ g(\tau) = \alpha \cos(\tau) + \beta \sin(\tau), \\ 2\frac{a'}{a}g - 2g' - f'' + 2\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)' f - f = 0. \end{cases} \tag{126}$$

Again these conditions are satisfied for certain choices of  $a(\tau)$ , for which it is possible to obtain an explicit expression for  $\phi$  from the expression of  $\hat{O}\phi$  in terms of spherical means.

### 5.1 Spherical means formula for $K = 1$

Let us find the solution of the conformally invariant wave equation (7) in the Einstein universe, that is, in the spacetime given by

$$g = -dt^2 + d\Sigma_3^2, \tag{127}$$

where  $d\Sigma_3^2$  is the line element for the 3-sphere  $S^3$ . We therefore consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \phi = \Delta \phi - \phi, \\ \phi(0, x) = \phi_0(x), \\ \partial_t \phi(0, x) = \phi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (128)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator in  $S^3$ . Following the exact same steps as in the hyperbolic case, but replacing the hyperbolic functions by their trigonometric counterparts, we arrive at the Kirchhoff-like formula

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \phi(t, x) &= \frac{\cos(t)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x(tz)) dV_2(z) \\ &+ \frac{\sin(t)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} d\phi_0(\dot{c}_z(t)) dV_2(z) \\ &+ \frac{\sin(t)}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \phi_1(\exp_x(tz)) dV_2(z), \end{aligned}} \quad (129)$$

where again  $c_z(t) = \exp_x(tz)$ . It is interesting to note that  $\phi$  is periodic in  $t$  with period  $2\pi$ .

## 5.2 Dust-filled spherical universe

Let us consider the case

$$a(\tau) = 1 - \cos(\tau) \quad (130)$$

with  $\tau \in (0, 2\pi)$ . This corresponds to the dust-filled spherical model, since  $a(\tau)$  solves Friedmann's equations for  $K = 1$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$  and  $w = 0$  (see appendix A). With this choice of the scale factor, the wave equation (4) becomes

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi + \frac{2 \sin(\tau)}{1 - \cos(\tau)} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi. \quad (131)$$

The constraint equations (126) are satisfied if we choose  $\kappa = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$ , that is, if we choose

$$\hat{O}\phi = (1 - \cos(\tau))\partial_\tau \phi + \frac{3}{2} \sin(\tau)\phi, \quad (132)$$

which can also be written as

$$\hat{O}\phi = \frac{2}{\sin\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)} \partial_\tau \left( \sin^3\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \phi \right), \quad (133)$$

as remarked in [13]. The initial data for  $\hat{O}\phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \frac{3}{2} \sin(\tau_0)\phi_0(x) + (1 - \cos(\tau_0))\phi_1(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sin(\tau_0)(1 - \cos(\tau_0))\phi_1(x) \\ \quad + (1 - \cos(\tau_0))\Delta\phi_0(x) + \frac{3}{2} \cos(\tau_0)\phi_0(x) =: \psi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (134)$$

where we used (131) to write

$$\partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi = \frac{1}{2} \sin(\tau)(1 - \cos(\tau))\partial_t \phi + (1 - \cos(\tau))\Delta\phi + \frac{3}{2} \cos(\tau)\phi. \quad (135)$$

Finally, the spherical means formula (129) and (133) give:

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^3\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\phi(\tau, x) &= \sin^3\left(\frac{\tau_0}{2}\right)\phi_0(x) \\ &+ \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\cos(s - \tau_0)}{8\pi} \sin\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\ &+ \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sin(s - \tau_0)}{8\pi} \sin\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(c'_z(s - \tau_0)) dV_2(z) ds \\ &+ \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sin(s - \tau_0)}{8\pi} \sin\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

In general, these solutions will diverge as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  and as  $\tau \rightarrow 2\pi$ . As in the flat case, there exist solutions which have a well-defined limit at the Big Bang: if we take the limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$  while keeping  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\tau$  fixed, we obtain from (134) and (136) the limit solution

$$\phi(\tau, x) = \frac{3}{16\pi \sin^3\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)} \int_0^\tau \sin(s) \sin\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x(zs)) dV_2(z) ds. \quad (137)$$

Using L'Hôpital's rule, one can easily check that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \phi(\tau, x) = \phi_0(x). \quad (138)$$

Similarly, we can find solutions with a well-defined limit at the Big Crunch by taking the limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow 2\pi$  while keeping  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\tau$  fixed. In this case we obtain the limit solution

$$\phi(\tau, x) = \frac{3}{16\pi \sin^3\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)} \int_{2\pi}^\tau \sin(s) \sin\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x(zs)) dV_2(z) ds, \quad (139)$$

which, again using L'Hôpital's rule, satisfies

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 2\pi} \phi(\tau, x) = \phi_0(x). \quad (140)$$

Interestingly, if  $\phi_0$  satisfies

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s) \sin\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x(zs)) dV_2(z) ds = 0 \quad (141)$$

for all  $x \in S^3$  then the two limit solutions coincide, yielding a solution with the same limit at the Big Bang and at the Big Crunch (this includes, of course, the constant solutions).

### 5.3 Radiation-filled spherical universe

Next, let us take the scale factor

$$a(\tau) = \sin(\tau) \quad (142)$$

with  $\tau \in (0, \pi)$ . This corresponds to a radiation-dominated spherical universe, since  $a(\tau) = \sin(\tau)$  solves the Friedmann equations for  $K = 1$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$  and  $w = \frac{1}{3}$  (see appendix A). With this choice of the scale factor, our wave equation (4) becomes

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi + 2 \cotan(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi. \quad (143)$$

In this case, the constraint equations (49) are satisfied if we choose  $\alpha = \kappa = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ , that is, if we choose

$$\hat{O}\phi = \sin(\tau)\phi. \quad (144)$$

Again, this operator can be taken as multiplicative because in this universe the wave equation coincides with the conformally invariant wave equation.

The initial data for  $\hat{O}\phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$  is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \sin(\tau_0)\phi_0(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O}\phi(\tau_0, x) = \cos(\tau_0)\phi_0(x) + \sin^2(\tau_0)\phi_1(x) =: \psi_1(x). \end{cases} \quad (145)$$

The spherical means solution is then given by (129):

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{\cos(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi \sin(\tau)} \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((\tau - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) \\ &+ \frac{\sin(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi \sin(\tau)} \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(\dot{c}_z(\tau - \tau_0)) dV_2(z) \\ &+ \frac{\sin(\tau - \tau_0)}{4\pi \sin(\tau)} \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x((\tau - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z). \end{aligned}} \quad (146)$$

As in the flat and hyperbolic cases,  $\phi$  satisfies the strong Huygens principle.

Again, these solutions will in general diverge as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  and as  $\tau \rightarrow \pi$ , but there exist solutions which have a well-defined limit at the Big Bang: if we take the limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$  while keeping  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\tau$  fixed, we obtain from (145) and (146) the limit solution

$$\phi(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x(\tau z)) dV_2(z), \quad (147)$$

which clearly converges to  $\phi_0(x)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . Similarly, we can find solutions with a well-defined limit at the Big Crunch by taking the limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow \pi$  while keeping  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\tau$  fixed. In this case we obtain the limit solution

$$\phi(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \phi_0(\exp_x((\tau - \pi)z)) dV_2(z), \quad (148)$$



which converges to  $\phi_0(x)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow \pi$ . Interestingly, if  $\phi_0$  is an even function on the sphere  $S^3$ , then the two limit solutions coincide, yielding a solution with the same limit at the Big Bang and at the Big Crunch (this includes, of course, the constant solutions).

## 5.4 Spherical de Sitter universe

Finally, we consider the case

$$a(\tau) = \sec(\tau) \quad (149)$$

with  $\tau \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . This corresponds to the spherical (full) de Sitter universe, since  $a(\tau) = \sec(\tau)$  is a solution for the Friedmann equations for  $K = 1$ ,  $\Lambda = 3$  and  $\rho_0 = 0$  (see appendix A). We can obtain the scale factor as a function of the physical time  $t$  by noticing that

$$t = \int \frac{d\tau}{\cos(\tau)} = 2 \operatorname{arctanh} \left( \tan \left( \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right), \quad (150)$$

and so

$$a(t) = \sec \left( 2 \operatorname{arctan} \left( \tanh \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \right) \right) = \cosh(t). \quad (151)$$

With this choice of the scale factor, (4) becomes

$$\partial_\tau^2 \phi + 2 \tan(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi. \quad (152)$$

We can satisfy the constraint equations (126) by choosing  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  and  $\kappa = 1$ , so that we have

$$\hat{O} \phi = \sec(\tau) \partial_\tau \phi. \quad (153)$$

As before, we can express the solution in terms of spherical means. We have the following initial data for  $\hat{O} \phi$  at the initial conformal time  $\tau = \tau_0$ :

$$\begin{cases} \hat{O} \phi(\tau_0, x) = \sec^2(\tau_0) \phi_1(x) =: \psi_0(x), \\ \partial_\tau \hat{O} \phi(\tau_0, x) = \sec(\tau_0) \Delta \phi_0(x) - \sec^2(\tau_0) \tan(\tau_0) \phi_1(x) =: \psi_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (154)$$

where we used (152) to write

$$\partial_\tau \hat{O} \phi = \sec(\tau) \Delta \phi - \sec^2(\tau) \tan(\tau) \partial_t \phi. \quad (155)$$

The spherical means solution is then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau, x) = & \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\cos(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \cos(s) \int_{S^2} \psi_0(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\ & + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sin(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \cos(s) \int_{S^2} d\psi_0(c'_z(s - \tau_0)) dV_2(z) ds \\ & + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sin(s - \tau_0)}{4\pi} \cos(s) \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_x((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\ & + \phi_0(x). \end{aligned} \quad (156)$$

In the particular case when  $\phi_1 \equiv 0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\tau, x) &= \phi_0(x) + \int_0^{\tau-\tau_0} \frac{\sin(r)}{4\pi} \cos(r + \tau_0) \int_{S^2} \sec(\tau_0) \Delta \phi_0(\exp_x(rz)) dV_2(z) dr \\ &= \phi_0(x) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{B_{\tau-\tau_0}(x)} (\cotan(r) - \tan(\tau_0)) \Delta \phi_0(y) dV_3(y),\end{aligned}\quad (157)$$

where we defined  $r(y) = \text{dist}_{S^3}(y, x)$ . Using the divergence theorem and Green's identities, together with  $\Delta \cotan(r) = 0$ , this expression can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\tau, x) &= \frac{\cos(\tau)}{4\pi \cos(\tau_0) \sin(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau-\tau_0}(x)} \nabla \phi_0(y) \cdot \nabla r(y) dV_2(y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi \sin^2(\tau - \tau_0)} \int_{\partial B_{\tau-\tau_0}(x)} \phi_0(y) dV_2(y).\end{aligned}\quad (158)$$

Therefore,  $\phi(\tau, x)$  depends only on the values of  $\phi_0$  and  $\nabla \phi_0$  on  $\partial B_{\tau-\tau_0}(x)$ , that is,  $\phi$  satisfies Yagdjian's incomplete Huygens principle.

As expected by analogy with the flat and hyperbolic de Sitter universes, the time derivative of the solution decays exponentially as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$|\partial_t \phi| \lesssim e^{-2t}.\quad (159)$$

Indeed, if we take the partial derivative with respect to  $\tau$  of both sides of (156), we have, as  $\tau \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,

$$|\cosh(t) \partial_t \phi| = |\partial_\tau \phi| \lesssim \cos \tau = \frac{1}{\cosh(t)}.\quad (160)$$

Similarly, one can show that the time derivative of the solution decays exponentially as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$|\partial_t \phi| \lesssim e^{2t}.\quad (161)$$

## 6 Decay of waves in flat FLRW universes

Let us consider the family of flat FLRW universes described by the scale factor  $a(t) = t^p$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$  (so that future null infinity is null instead of spacelike). In this context, we obtained the Minkowski spacetime decay rate of  $t^{-1}$  for the wave equation when  $p = \frac{2}{3}$  (dust-filled universe, see (27)) and when  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  (radiation-filled universe, see (35)). This improves the decay rate of  $t^{-p}$  obtained in [4] (by a Fourier mode analysis) for *toroidal* spatial sections. Moreover, the independence from  $p$  in our new result suggests a wider range of validity for this decay.

**Conjecture 6.1.** Let  $\phi$  be a solution of the wave equation in a flat FLRW universe with scale factor  $a(t) = t^p$ , where  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Assume that the initial data  $\phi_0(x) := \phi(t_0, x)$  and  $\phi_1(x) := \partial_t \phi(t_0, x)$  is sufficiently regular and belongs to appropriate Sobolev spaces. Then

$$\|\phi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim t^{-1}.\quad (162)$$

We will now present three partial proofs of this conjecture. Let us define

$$\psi(\tau, x) := a(\tau)\phi(\tau, x), \quad (163)$$

where  $\phi$  is a solution of the wave equation in our FLRW universe. If we plug this expression in the wave equation (4) we obtain

$$\left(\square + \frac{\mu}{\tau^2}\right)\psi(\tau, x) = 0, \quad (164)$$

where now  $\square = -\partial_\tau^2 + \Delta$  is the d'Alembertian operator in Minkowski spacetime and

$$\mu := \frac{p(2p-1)}{(1-p)^2}. \quad (165)$$

Equation (164) is a Klein-Gordon equation with time-dependent mass in Minkowski spacetime, and its squared mass is nonnegative when  $\mu \leq 0$ , that is, when  $p \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We are interested in this problem because a good decay rate for  $\psi$  can yield a good decay rate for  $\phi$ . In [20], Theorem 4,  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimates for this problem are obtained through Fourier analysis techniques, and the proofs seem compatible with an extension to the  $L^1$ - $L^\infty$  case, yielding

$$\|\psi(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} \iff \|\phi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t}, \quad (166)$$

where we recall that the physical time and the conformal time are related by  $\tau \sim t^{1-p}$ . Therefore, the decay rate of  $t^{-1}$  for  $\phi$  is valid in the interval  $p \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ .

In order to present an explicit proof of this result, and since we are interested in a proof which is more robust under non-linear perturbations, we are going to show the validity of (166) for  $p \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  by using an adaptation of the Dafermos-Rodnianski  $r^q$ -weighted energy method (the original strategy can be found in [7]). Indeed, let us write (164) as

$$\left(\square - m^2(t)\right)\psi(t, x) = 0, \quad (167)$$

where we temporarily relabelled the conformal time variable and  $m(t) := \frac{\sqrt{-\mu}}{t}$ . The mass term is real since we are considering the case  $p \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ : in fact, we have  $\mu \in [-\frac{1}{4}, 0]$ . We are now going to use spherical coordinates  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ . First of all, it can be checked, using standard methods, that a Morawetz-type estimate holds for (167), i.e. that for some  $R > 0$  and for  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_{t_1}^{t_2} \cap \{r \leq R\}} \left( (\partial_t \psi)^2 + (\partial_r \psi)^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 + \psi^2 \right) \leq C_R E(t_1), \quad (168)$$

where  $C_R$  is a constant depending on  $R$ ,  $\Sigma_t$  is the spacelike hypersurface of constant time  $t$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{t_1}^{t_2}$  is the region bounded by  $\Sigma_{t_1}$  and  $\Sigma_{t_2}$ ,

$$|\nabla \psi|^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \left( (\partial_\theta \psi)^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta)} (\partial_\varphi \psi)^2 \right) \quad (169)$$

and

$$E(t_1) = \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} \left( (\partial_t \psi)^2 + (\partial_r \psi)^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 + m^2 \psi^2 \right). \quad (170)$$

Next, we work with the null coordinates  $u = t - r$  and  $v = t + r$ , so that the Minkowski metric becomes

$$g = -dudv + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (171)$$

and consider the following quantities: the multiplier vector field  $V := r^q \partial_v$ , with  $q \in \{-1, 0\}$ ; the deformation tensor  $\Pi := \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_V g$ ; the radiation field  $\Psi := r\psi$ ; the energy-momentum tensor associated to (167),

$$T_{\mu\nu}[\psi] := \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\partial_\alpha \psi \partial^\alpha \psi + m^2 \psi^2); \quad (172)$$

and the current  $J_\mu := T_{\mu\nu} V^\nu$ . Given some  $R > 0$ , we want to choose a foliation  $\tilde{\Sigma}_t$  given by  $\Sigma_t$  for  $r \leq R$  and by the null hypersurfaces  $N_t := \{u = t - R, r \geq R\}$  otherwise (see figure 1). The subset of the region  $\{r \geq R\}$  bounded by the null hypersurfaces  $N_{t_1}$  and  $N_{t_2}$  will be denoted by  $D_{t_1}^{t_2}$ . In order to get a weighted energy decay estimate, we need to apply the divergence theorem to the current  $J^\mu$  in  $D_{t_1}^{t_2}$ :

$$\int_{D_{t_1}^{t_2}} \nabla^\mu J_\mu[\zeta \Psi] = \int_{\partial D_{t_1}^{t_2}} J_\mu[\zeta \Psi] n^\mu, \quad (173)$$

where  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$  is a cut-off function which vanishes in  $\Delta_{t_1}^{t_2} = D_{t_1}^{t_2} \cap \{r = R\}$  and satisfies  $\zeta \equiv 1$  for  $r \geq R + 1$ .




Figure 1: Foliation used for the weighted energy estimate.

For  $r \geq R + 1$ , the integrand at the left-hand side of (173) can be written as

$$T_{\mu\nu}[\Psi] \Pi^{\mu\nu} + (\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu}[\Psi]) V^\nu =: K^V[\Psi] + \mathcal{E}^V[\Psi]. \quad (174)$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{E}^V$  can be computed by writing the d'Alembertian in spherical coordinates and by noticing that

$$(\square - m^2)\Psi = \frac{2}{r}(\partial_v \Psi - \partial_u \Psi). \quad (175)$$

The computations for  $K^V$  are similar to those for the massless case. So, for  $r$  large, we have

$$K^V[\Psi] + \mathcal{E}^V[\Psi] = (q+2)r^{q-1}(\partial_v\Psi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}qr^{q-1}|\nabla\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}r^{q-1}\hat{\mu}\Psi^2, \quad (176)$$

where

$$\hat{\mu} = \mu \left( \frac{q}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{2t} \right). \quad (177)$$

The terms for small  $r$  will be bounded by the Morawetz estimate (168). As for the right-hand side of (173), the integrand terms on  $N_{t_1}$  and  $N_{t_2}$  are the relevant ones, since the boundary term on  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is negative and the term on  $\Delta_{t_1}^{t_2}$  vanishes due to the cut-off function  $\zeta$ . Thus, if we write the measures explicitly, the inequality (173) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_{t_1}^{t_2}} (q+2)r^{q-1}(\partial_v\Psi)^2 r^2 dt dr d\Omega - \int_{D_{t_1}^{t_2}} \frac{qr^{q-1}}{4} |\nabla\Psi|^2 r^2 dt dr d\Omega \\ & + \int_{D_{t_1}^{t_2}} \frac{r^{q-1}}{t^2} \hat{\mu}\Psi^2 r^2 dt dr d\Omega \leq C \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{t_1}} (T_{\mu\nu}[\psi]X^\mu n^\nu) \epsilon \\ & + \int_{N_{t_1}} r^q (\partial_v\Psi)^2 r^2 dv d\Omega - \int_{N_{t_2}} r^q (\partial_v\Psi)^2 r^2 dv d\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (178)$$

for  $q \in \{-1, 0\}$ , where  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{t_1} := (\Sigma_{t_1} \cap \{r \leq R\}) \cup N_{t_1}$  and we define  $\epsilon := r^2 dr d\Omega$  on  $\Sigma_{t_1} \cap \{r \leq R\}$  and  $\epsilon := \frac{1}{2}r^2 dv d\Omega$  on  $N_{t_1}$  ( $d\Omega$  being the standard measure on the sphere  $S^2$ ); note that the integral on this domain comes from the Morawetz estimate. Following a procedure similar to that of the massless case, we have, for  $q = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \int_{N_\tau} \left( \frac{1}{r} (\partial_v\Psi)^2 + \frac{\mu(t-r)}{4rt^3} \Psi^2 \right) r^2 dv d\Omega \right] d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{t_1}} (T_{\mu\nu}X^\mu n^\nu) \epsilon + \int_{N_{t_1}} (\partial_v\Psi)^2 r^2 dv d\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (179)$$

and, for  $q = -1$ , after adding and subtracting the same mass term,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \int_{N_\tau} T_{\mu\nu}[\psi]X^\mu n^\nu r^2 dv d\Omega \right) d\tau + \int_{D_{t_1}^{t_2}} \frac{\mu(t-r)}{2t^3} \psi^2 r^2 dt dr d\Omega \\ & \leq C \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{t_1}} (T_{\mu\nu}[\psi]X^\mu n^\nu) \epsilon + \int_{N_{t_1}} r^{-1} (\partial_v\Psi)^2 r^2 dv d\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (180)$$

In general, the integral terms containing  $\mu$  have the wrong sign and cannot be removed immediately. However, we can use Hardy inequalities to merge them with the terms containing  $v$ -derivatives. After doing this, (179) and

(180) become the inequalities that one can obtain in the massless case, and the pointwise estimate  $|\psi| \lesssim t^{-1}$  follows. So, let us first remove the term

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \int_{N_\tau} \frac{\mu(t-r)}{4rt^3} \Psi^2 r^2 dv d\Omega \right) d\tau \quad (181)$$

in (179). In order to use the Hardy inequality, we will insert a cut-off function  $\zeta^2$  in the integral. We can do this without loss of generality since the respective error term  $(\Psi^2 - \zeta^2 \Psi^2)$  is trivially zero for  $r$  large, and so it can be estimated by the Morawetz inequality. First, we notice that

$$\frac{t-r}{rt^3} \leq \frac{1}{r^3}, \quad (182)$$

since we can assume  $0 < r \leq t$  on  $D_{t_1}^{t_2}$ . Then, recalling that  $2r = v - u$  and that  $u = \tau - R$  on  $N_\tau$ , we see that the integral (181) is bigger than

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\tau+R}^{+\infty} \frac{2\mu}{(v-\tau+R)^3} (\zeta\Psi)^2 r^2 dv d\tau d\Omega \\ & \geq \int_{S^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\tau+R}^{+\infty} \frac{2\mu}{(v-\tau+R)} [\partial_v(\zeta\Psi)]^2 r^2 dv d\tau d\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

where we used the generalized Hardy inequality in [2], lemma 2.2. Recalling that  $\mu \in [-\frac{1}{4}, 0]$ , we see that the absolute value of the integral (181) is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \int_{N_\tau} \frac{1}{r} (\partial_v \Psi)^2 dv d\Omega \right) d\tau \quad (184)$$

plus additional terms bounded by the Morawetz estimate. Therefore, up to multiplication by a constant, the term (181) in the inequality (179) can be ignored. The same procedure can be used for inequality (180) after noticing that the wrong sign occurs only in the region  $0 < 2r \leq t$ , and then using

$$\frac{1}{t^3} (t-r) \leq \frac{1}{4r^2} \quad (185)$$

in that region. Since the terms with the wrong sign can be removed, we are left with the same hierarchy inequalities of the massless case studied in [7], which imply the pointwise estimate (166) for  $\psi$ .

We can therefore use the Dafermos-Rodnianski hierarchy to prove Conjecture 6.1 for  $p \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . This result can be further extended using Fourier analysis techniques: for  $a(t) = t^p$ , the original wave equation (4) can be seen as a damped wave equation in Minkowski:

$$\square\phi - \frac{\nu}{\tau} \partial_\tau \phi = 0, \quad (186)$$

with

$$\nu := \frac{2p}{1-p}. \quad (187)$$

Such equation was studied in [29], Theorem 3.5 (which can be extended to the  $L^1$ – $L^\infty$  case, as stressed in Remark 3.3), where the decay rate

$$|\phi| \lesssim \tau^{-1-\frac{\nu}{2}} \sim t^{-1} \quad (188)$$

was proved for  $p \in [0, \frac{2}{3}]$ . Therefore we have the following result:

**Theorem 6.2.** *Conjecture 6.1 holds for  $p \in [0, \frac{2}{3}]$ .*

As explained in the introduction, the decay rate  $t^{-1}$  in Conjecture 6.1 is larger than the decay rates  $t^{-p}$  obtained in [4] for toroidal spatial sections, the difference being due to dispersion: as  $p$  increases, the expansion becomes faster and the decay due to the cosmological redshift increases, whereas the decay due to dispersion decreases, the two effects compensating exactly. As such, the decay rate of  $t^{-1}$  for toroidal spatial sections when  $p = 1$  should be counted as evidence for Conjecture 6.1.

## Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by FCT/Portugal through CAMGSD, IST-ID, projects UIDB/04459/2020 and UIDP/04459/2020. Flavio Rossetti was supported by FCT/Portugal through the PhD scholarship UI/BD/152068/2021.

## A The Friedmann equations

Different choices of the scale factor  $a(t)$  in the FLRW metric (2) give rise to different spacetimes and require different operators  $\hat{O}$ . Meaningful choices for  $a(t)$  are given by inspecting the Friedmann equations, which result from the Einstein equations with an ideal fluid source. In 3 spatial dimensions, these are given by (see also [3]):

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}\rho - \frac{K}{a^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}(\rho + 3p), \quad (189)$$

where  $K$  is the curvature of the spatial sections,  $\rho$  is the effective energy density of the fluid, and  $p$  is the effective pressure. If we set

$$\rho = \rho_m + \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}, \quad p = p_m - \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}, \quad (190)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant, and assume the linear equation of state

$$p_m = w\rho_m, \quad (191)$$

where  $w$  is a constant (the square of the speed of sound), then (189) can be written as

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}\rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} - \frac{K}{a^2}, \quad (192)$$

$w$	fluid type
$w = 0$	dust
$w = \frac{1}{3}$	radiation
$w = 1$	stiff fluid
$w > 1$	unphysical (speed of sound $> 1$ )

Table 1: Fluid solutions to Friedmann's equations for different values of  $w$

where  $\rho_0 \geq 0$  is an integration constant and the derivatives are now taken with respect to the conformal time. Interesting values of  $w$  are given in table 1 (see also [11], appendix A).

If  $\rho_m > 0$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$ ,  $K = 0$  and  $w \neq -\frac{1}{3}$ , the solutions of (192) are given by

$$a(\tau) = \tau^{\frac{2}{1+3w}} \quad (193)$$

for an appropriate choice of  $\rho_0 > 0$  (amounting to a choice of units). In this work we consider:

$$a(\tau) = \begin{cases} \tau^2 & \text{(dust),} \\ \tau & \text{(radiation),} \\ \tau^{-1} & \text{(de Sitter).} \end{cases} \quad (194)$$

The de Sitter universe corresponds to  $w = -1$ , but it can more properly be seen as the case  $\rho_m = 0$  and  $\Lambda > 0$  (here we chose units such that  $\Lambda = 3$ ).

If  $\rho_m > 0$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$ ,  $K = -1$  and  $w \neq -\frac{1}{3}$ , the solutions of (192) are given by

$$a(\tau) = \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{1}{2}(3w+1)\tau \right) \right]^{\frac{2}{3w+1}} \quad (195)$$

for an appropriate choice of  $\rho_0 > 0$ . In particular, we consider:

$$a(\tau) = \begin{cases} \cosh(\tau) - 1 & \text{(dust),} \\ \sinh(\tau) & \text{(radiation),} \\ \operatorname{sech}(\tau) & \text{(anti-de Sitter),} \\ -\operatorname{cosech}(\tau) & \text{(de Sitter),} \\ e^\tau & \text{(Milne universe).} \end{cases} \quad (196)$$

Again, the anti-de Sitter, de Sitter and Milne universes are better seen as the cases where  $\rho_m = 0$  and  $\Lambda = -3$ ,  $\Lambda = 3$  and  $\Lambda = 0$ , respectively.

Finally, if  $\rho_m > 0$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$ ,  $K = 1$  and  $w \neq -\frac{1}{3}$ , the solutions of (192) are given by

$$a(\tau) = \left[ \sin \left( \frac{1}{2}(3w+1)\tau \right) \right]^{\frac{2}{3w+1}} \quad (197)$$



for an appropriate choice of  $\rho_0 > 0$ . In particular, we consider:

$$a(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 - \cos(\tau) & (\text{dust}), \\ \sin(\tau) & (\text{radiation}), \\ \sec(\tau) & (\text{de Sitter}). \end{cases} \quad (198)$$

Again, the de Sitter universe is better seen as the case where  $\rho_m = 0$  and  $\Lambda = 3$ .

## B Decay for the dust-filled hyperbolic universe

Let us show that the decay (74) is optimal by fixing a point  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{H}^3$  and choosing initial data such that  $|\phi(\tau, \bar{x})| \sim e^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau}$ . This can be done as follows: given  $\tau_0 > 0$ , we choose the functions  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  such that  $\psi_0 \equiv 0$ . From (68), this implies that

$$\psi_1(x) = A\phi_0(x) + B\Delta\phi_0(x) \quad (199)$$

with  $A = \frac{3}{2}(\cosh(\tau_0) - \frac{1}{2}\sinh^2(\tau_0))$  and  $B = \cosh(\tau_0) - 1$ . Next, we choose the radially symmetric function  $\phi_0(x) = \varphi(\text{dist}_{\mathbb{H}^3}(x, \bar{x}))$ , where

$$\varphi(r) := \begin{cases} Nr^3 \exp\left(\frac{1}{r-1}\right), & 0 \leq r < 1, \\ 0, & r \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (200)$$

and  $N > 0$  is a free parameter. Note that  $\varphi$  is smooth in  $(0, +\infty)$ , and moreover that its behavior at  $r = 0$  implies that  $\phi_0$  is of class  $C^2$ . Plugging this choice of  $\phi_0$  into the right-hand side of the spherical means solution (70) evaluated at  $\bar{x}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{\sinh(s - \tau_0)}{8\pi} \sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{S^2} \psi_1(\exp_{\bar{x}}((s - \tau_0)z)) dV_2(z) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tau - \tau_0} \sinh(r) \sinh\left(\frac{r + \tau_0}{2}\right) (A\varphi(r) + B\varphi''(r) + 2B \cotanh(r)\varphi'(r)) dr, \end{aligned} \quad (201)$$

where we used (53) and the substitution  $r = s - \tau_0$ . By (200), the above integral is constant for  $\tau > \tau_0 + 1$ . We can choose  $\tau_0$  such that this constant is non-zero<sup>1</sup>, and so, after this choice of  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$ , (70) implies

$$\phi(\tau, \bar{x}) = C \sinh^{-3}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \sim e^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau} \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (202)$$

Since  $C^2$  functions on a manifold can be approximated by smooth functions (Whitney approximation theorem, see e.g. [15]), we can choose smooth initial data that approximates the chosen  $\phi_0$  and extend this construction to smooth initial data. The above reasoning disproves the decay rate of  $e^{-2\tau}$  in the conformal time variable stated by Abbasi and Craig in [1]. Indeed, the inequality proved in Theorem 3.1 of their article seems to hold specifically for the term they choose, but not for the last terms in their solutions (3.9) and (3.10).

<sup>1</sup>For  $\tau_0 = 1$ ,  $\tau = 2$  and  $N = 50$ , the evaluation of the integral in (201) using Mathematica gives 0.151503, and this value grows linearly with  $N$ .

## References

- [1] B. Abbasi and W. Craig, *On the initial value problem for the wave equation in Friedmann-Robertson-Walker spacetimes*, Proc. R. Soc. A **470** (2014) 20140361.
- [2] Y. Angelopoulos, S. Aretakis and D. Gajic, *A Vector Field Approach to Almost-Sharp Decay for the Wave Equation on Spherically Symmetric, Stationary Spacetimes*, Ann. PDE (2018) **4**:15.
- [3] S. Chen, G. Gibbons, Y. Li and Y. Yang, *Friedmann's Equations in All Dimensions and Chebyshev's Theorem*, JCAP 1412 (2014) 035.
- [4] J. Costa, J. Natário, and P. Oliveira, *Decay of solutions of the wave equation in expanding cosmological spacetimes*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **16** (2019) 35-58.
- [5] W. Craig and M. Reddy, *On the Initial Value Problem for the Electromagnetic Wave Equation in Friedmann-Robertson-Walker Space-times*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **41** (2019) 45-56.
- [6] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, *The wave equation on Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetimes*, arXiv:0709.2766.
- [7] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, *A new physical-space approach to decay for the wave equation with applications to black hole spacetimes*, in XVIIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, World Scientific (2010), 421-432.
- [8] D. Gajic, *Linear waves on constant radius limits of cosmological black hole spacetimes*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **22** (2018) 919-1005.
- [9] A. Galstian, T. Kinoshita and K. Yagdjian, *A note on wave equation in Einstein & de Sitter spacetime*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 052501.
- [10] A. Galstian and K. Yagdjian, *Microlocal analysis for waves propagating in Einstein & de Sitter spacetime*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **17** (2014) 223-246.
- [11] P. Girão, J. Natário and J. Silva, *Solutions of the wave equation bounded at the Big Bang*, Class. Quantum Grav. **36** (2019) 075016.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, Springer (1997).
- [13] S. Klainerman and P. Sarnak, *Explicit solutions of  $\square u = 0$  on the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker space-times*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. A (N.S.) **35** (1981) 253-257.

- [14] W. Kulczycki and E. Malec, *Gravitational waves in Friedman-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker cosmology, material perturbations and cosmological rotation, and the Huygens principle*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **34** (2017) 135014.
- [15] J. Lee, *Introduction to Riemannian Manifolds*, Springer (2018).
- [16] E. Malec and G. Wylężek, *The Huygens principle and cosmological gravitational waves in the Regge-Wheeler gauge*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22** (2005) 3549.
- [17] J. Natário, *An Introduction to Mathematical Relativity*, Springer (2021).
- [18] J. Natário and A. Sasane, *Decay of solutions to the Klein-Gordon equation on some expanding cosmological spacetimes*, arXiv:1909.01292.
- [19] C. Sogge, *Lectures on non-linear wave equations*, International Press (2008).
- [20] C. Böhme and M. Reissig, *A scale-invariant Klein-Gordon model with time-dependent potential*, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara* **58** (2012) 229–250.
- [21] H. Ringström, *A unified approach to the Klein-Gordon equation on Bianchi backgrounds*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **372** (2019) 599-656.
- [22] H. Ringström, *Linear systems of wave equations on cosmological backgrounds with convergent asymptotics*, *Astérisque* **420** (2020), 1-526.
- [23] H. Ringström, *Wave equations on silent big bang backgrounds*, arXiv:2101.04939.
- [24] H. Ringström, *On the geometry of silent and anisotropic big bang singularities*, arXiv:2101.04955.
- [25] V. Schlue, *Global results for linear waves on expanding Kerr and Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmologies*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **334** (2015) 977-1023.
- [26] D. Starko and W. Craig, *The wave equation in Friedmann-Robertson-Walker space-times and asymptotics of the intensity and distance relationship of a localised source*, *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018) 042502.
- [27] K. Yagdjian, *Huygens' Principle for the Klein-Gordon equation in the de Sitter spacetime*, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013) 091503.
- [28] K. Yagdjian and A. Galstian, *Fundamental solutions of the wave equation in Robertson-Walker spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **346** (2008) 501-520.
- [29] J. Wirth, *Solution representations for a wave equation with weak dissipation*, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **27** (2004) 101-124.