

Curvature Invariants for accelerating Kerr-Newman black holes in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime

G. V. Kraniotis *

University of Ioannina, Physics Department

Section of Theoretical Physics, GR- 451 10, Greece

December 28, 2021

Abstract

The curvature scalar invariants of the Riemann tensor are important in General Relativity because they allow a manifestly coordinate invariant characterisation of certain geometrical properties of spacetimes such as, among others, curvature singularities, gravitomagnetism. We calculate explicit analytic expressions for the set of Zakhary-McIntosh curvature invariants for accelerating Kerr-Newman black holes in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime as well as for the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black hole. Explicit analytic expressions for the Kretschmann scalar are also provided for both cases. Our explicit closed form expressions show that the above gravitational backgrounds possess a non-trivial Hirzebruch signature density. Possible physical applications of this property for the electromagnetic duality anomaly in curved spacetimes are briefly discussed.

1 Introduction

The curvature invariants are important in general relativity since they allow a manifestly coordinate invariant characterisation of certain geometrical properties of spacetimes. In particular they are important in studying curvature singularities [1]-[6]. Geometric curvature invariants play a fundamental role in the classification and distinction of exact analytic solutions of General Relativity [3], particularly for the algebraic Petrov classification [6] and characterisation of curvature singularities. They are also significant in discussing the gravitomagnetic properties of spacetimes [7],[9]. To a lesser extent, curvature scalar invariants have also been applied in the field of numerical relativity, chiefly for

*email: gkraniotis@uoi.gr

code testing when evolving exact solutions numerically. They were also considered in the context of black hole collision simulations [8]. Curvature invariants are scalar quantities constructed from tensors that represent curvature. A well known curvature invariant is the Kretschmann scalar which is constructed from the norm of the Riemann curvature tensor $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ ¹:

$$K := R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}. \quad (1)$$

Carminati and McLenaghan [5] have shown that more than fourteen independent curvature invariants may be required to describe degenerate cases in the presence of matter. Zahkary and McIntosh (ZM) investigated this problem further and offered the first complete set of seventeen independent real curvature invariants for all possible metrics of Petrov and Segre types [1].

It is the purpose of this paper to apply the ZM formalism to the case of accelerating and rotating charged black holes with non-zero cosmological constant Λ and compute for the *first time* analytic algebraic expressions for the corresponding curvature invariants. Specifically, we compute novel closed-form algebraic expressions for the ZM curvature invariants for the accelerating Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black hole. Moreover, for zero acceleration of the black hole we compute also for the *first time* exact algebraic expressions for the ZM curvature invariants by applying the ZM-formalism to the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter metric.

Previous works include the calculation of the Kretschmann scalar for the Kerr-Newman metric [12], and the computation for the first two invariants of the ZM set for the Kerr metric in [13]. Expressions for an earlier set of invariants developed in [5], have been investigated using, GRTensor, for the Kerr-Newman metric in [14]. The first two ZM invariants as well as the Kretschmann scalar were studied within the Newman-Penrose formalism for the Kerr-Newman metric in [15]. Recently, algebraic expressions for the whole set of ZM invariants were produced in [16] for the Kerr-Newman black hole. Curvature invariants for the accelerating Natário warp drive were studied in [17],[18] while quadratic and some cubic curvature invariants-contractions of the Kummer tensor, were investigated for a Plebański-Demiański solution in [19]. For a nice general review of the application of symbolic computing to problems in general relativity see [20].

The material of this paper is organised as follows: In section 2 we present the definitions of the ZM invariants that we shall use in computing explicit algebraic equations for the curvature invariants for the non-accelerating Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black hole. In section 3.1 we derive our explicit analytic expressions for the curvature invariants, Equations (35)-(48) and (33) and Theorem 5. In section 3.2 we perform analytical computations within the Newman-Penrose formalism for computing curvature invariants and also derive the syzygies the

¹Kretschmann's scalar originated from the critical examination of Kretschmann on the role of general covariance in the theory of General Relativity [10]. Kretschmann recognised that the principle of covariance imposes no restriction on the content of the physical laws, but only on the form in which they are written.

ZM invariants obey for non-accelerating and accelerating KN(a)dS black holes. In section 4 we derive novel explicit algebraic expressions for the curvature invariants for accelerating Kerr-Newman black holes in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime, eqns. (85)-(97) and (84), Theorem 7. Finally, we reserve section 5 for our conclusions.

2 Preliminaries on Riemannian invariants

Taking into account the contribution from the cosmological constant Λ , the generalisation of the Kerr-Newman solution [21],[22], is described by the Kerr-Newman de Sitter (KNdS) metric element which in Boyer-Lindquist (BL) coordinates is given by [11],[23],[24],[25] (in units where $G = 1$ and $c = 1$):

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta_r^{KN}}{\Xi^2 \rho^2} (dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi)^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_r^{KN}} dr^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_\theta} d\theta^2 + \frac{\Delta_\theta \sin^2 \theta}{\Xi^2 \rho^2} (adt - (r^2 + a^2)d\phi)^2 \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta_\theta := 1 + \frac{a^2 \Lambda}{3} \cos^2 \theta, \quad \Xi := 1 + \frac{a^2 \Lambda}{3}, \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta_r^{KN} := \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2\right) (r^2 + a^2) - 2mr + q^2, \quad (4)$$

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad (5)$$

where a, m, q , denote the Kerr parameter, mass and electric charge of the black hole, respectively. The KN(a)dS metric is the most general exact stationary black hole solution of the Einstein-Maxwell system of differential equations. This is accompanied by a non-zero electromagnetic field $F = dA$, where the vector potential is [24],[26]:

$$A = -\frac{qr}{\Xi(r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)} (dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi). \quad (6)$$

The Christoffel symbols of the second kind are expressed in the coordinate basis in the form:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\alpha} (g_{\mu\alpha,\nu} + g_{\nu\alpha,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\alpha}), \quad (7)$$

where the summation convention is adopted and a comma denotes a partial derivative. The Riemann curvature tensor is given by:

$$R^\kappa_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\kappa_{\lambda\nu,\mu} - \Gamma^\kappa_{\lambda\mu,\nu} + \Gamma^\alpha_{\lambda\nu} \Gamma^\kappa_{\alpha\mu} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\lambda\mu} \Gamma^\kappa_{\alpha\nu}. \quad (8)$$

The symmetric Ricci tensor and the Ricci scalar are defined by:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R^\alpha_{\mu\alpha\nu}, \quad R = g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (9)$$

while the Weyl tensor $C_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}$ (the trace-free part of the curvature tensor) is given explicitly in terms of the curvature tensor and the metric from the expression:

$$C_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(R_{\lambda\mu}g_{\kappa\nu} + R_{\kappa\nu}g_{\lambda\mu} - R_{\lambda\nu}g_{\kappa\mu} - R_{\kappa\mu}g_{\lambda\nu}) + \frac{1}{6}R(g_{\kappa\mu}g_{\lambda\nu} - g_{\kappa\nu}g_{\lambda\mu}). \quad (10)$$

The Weyl tensor has in general, ten independent components which at any point are completely independent of the Ricci components. It corresponds to the *free gravitational field*² [27]. The definitions of the Zakhary and McIntosh invariants fall into three groups [1],[16]:

Weyl invariants :

$$I_1 = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} = C_{\alpha\beta}^{\kappa\lambda}C_{\kappa\lambda}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (11)$$

$$I_2 = -C_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} = -K_2, \quad (12)$$

$$I_3 = C_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\sigma}C_{\rho\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (13)$$

$$I_4 = -C_{\alpha\beta}^{\kappa\lambda}C_{\kappa\lambda}^{\rho\sigma}C_{\rho\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (14)$$

Ricci invariants :

$$I_5 = R = g_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (15)$$

$$I_6 = R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\lambda\beta}R_{\mu\lambda}, \quad (16)$$

$$I_7 = R_{\mu}^{\nu}R_{\nu}^{\rho}R_{\rho}^{\mu}, \quad (17)$$

$$I_8 = R_{\mu}^{\nu}R_{\nu}^{\rho}R_{\rho}^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\mu} \quad (18)$$

²Globally, however, the Weyl tensor and Ricci tensor are not independent, as they are connected by the differential Bianchi identities. These identities determine the interaction between the free gravitational field and the field sources.

Mixed invariants :

$$I_9 = C_{\alpha\beta\mu}{}^\nu R^{\beta\mu} R_\nu^\alpha = -C_{\mu\alpha\beta}{}^\nu R^{\beta\mu} R_\nu^\alpha, \quad (19)$$

$$I_{10} = -C_{\alpha\beta\lambda}^*{}^\gamma R^{\beta\lambda} R_\gamma^\alpha, \quad (20)$$

$$I_{11} = R^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu} (C_{o\alpha\beta}{}^\rho C_{\rho\mu\nu}{}^o - C_{o\alpha\beta}^*{}^\rho C_{\rho\mu\nu}^*{}^o), \quad (21)$$

$$I_{12} = -R^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu} (C_{o\alpha\beta}^*{}^\rho C_{\rho\mu\nu}{}^o + C_{o\alpha\beta}{}^\rho C_{\rho\mu\nu}^*{}^o), \quad (22)$$

$$I_{15} = \frac{1}{16} R^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu} (C_{o\alpha\beta\rho} C_{\mu\nu}{}^o{}^\rho + C_{o\alpha\beta\rho}^* C_{\mu\nu}^*{}^o{}^\rho), \quad (23)$$

$$I_{16} = -\frac{1}{32} R^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu} \left(C_{o\eta\sigma\rho} C_{\alpha\beta}{}^o{}^\rho C_{\mu\nu}{}^\eta{}^\sigma + C_{o\eta\sigma\rho} C_{\alpha\beta}^*{}^o{}^\rho C_{\mu\nu}^*{}^\eta{}^\sigma \right. \\ \left. - C_{o\eta\sigma\rho}^* C_{\alpha\beta}^*{}^o{}^\rho C_{\mu\nu}{}^\eta{}^\sigma + C_{o\eta\sigma\rho}^* C_{\alpha\beta}{}^o{}^\rho C_{\mu\nu}^*{}^\eta{}^\sigma \right), \quad (24)$$

$$I_{17} = \frac{1}{32} R^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu} \left(C_{o\kappa\lambda\rho}^* C_{\alpha\beta}{}^o{}^\rho C_{\mu\nu}{}^\kappa{}^\lambda + C_{o\kappa\lambda\rho}^* C_{\alpha\beta}^*{}^o{}^\rho C_{\mu\nu}^*{}^\kappa{}^\lambda \right. \\ \left. - C_{o\kappa\lambda\rho} C_{\alpha\beta}^*{}^o{}^\rho C_{\mu\nu}{}^\kappa{}^\lambda + C_{o\kappa\lambda\rho} C_{\alpha\beta}{}^o{}^\rho C_{\mu\nu}^*{}^\kappa{}^\lambda \right) \quad (25)$$

To calculate the above curvature invariants for the metric (2) we used MapleTM. Note that I_{13} and I_{14} both vanish for the metric (2), which is of Petrov type D and Segre type [(11)(1,1)] [1].

3 Results for the ZM curvature invariants and Kretschmann scalar for the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black hole

We start our computations for the Kerr-Newman-(a)dS black hole with the calculation of the Kretschmann scalar. Indeed, our computations yield:

Theorem 1 *The Kretschmann invariant $K = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ for the $KN(a)dS$ black hole is given by the expression:*

$$K^{KN(a)dS} = \frac{1}{3 \left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 \right)^6} \left(8\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^{12} a^{12} + 48\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^{10} a^{10} r^2 + 120\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^8 a^8 r^4 \right. \\ \left. + (160\Lambda^2 a^6 r^6 - 144a^6 m^2) \cos(\theta)^6 + 2160 \left(\frac{1}{18} r^8 \Lambda^2 + m^2 r^2 - \frac{2}{3} m q^2 r + \frac{7}{90} q^4 \right) a^4 \cos(\theta)^4 \right. \\ \left. - 2160 \left(-\frac{1}{45} r^8 \Lambda^2 + m^2 r^2 - \frac{4}{3} m q^2 r + \frac{17}{45} q^4 \right) a^2 r^2 \cos(\theta)^2 + 8\Lambda^2 r^{12} + 144m^2 r^6 - 288m q^2 r^5 + 168q^4 r^4 \right). \quad (26)$$

As limiting cases we obtain the following corollaries:

Corollary 2 For zero angular momentum of the black hole ($a = 0$) i.e. for Reissner-Nordström-(a)dS black hole the Kretschmann scalar is given by:

$$K^{RN(a)dS} = \frac{8\Lambda^2 r^{12} + 144m^2 r^6 - 288m q^2 r^5 + 168q^4 r^4}{3r^{12}}. \quad (27)$$

Corollary 3 For vanishing cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$, i.e for a Kerr-Newman black hole the Kretschmann scalar is given by:

$$K^{KN} = \frac{1}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos^2(\theta))^6} \left(-48 \cos(\theta)^6 a^6 m^2 + 720 \left(m^2 r^2 - \frac{2}{3} m q^2 r + \frac{7}{90} q^4 \right) a^4 \cos(\theta)^4 \right. \\ \left. - 720 \left(m^2 r^2 - \frac{4}{3} m q^2 r + \frac{17}{45} q^4 \right) a^2 r^2 \cos(\theta)^2 + 48m^2 r^6 - 96m q^2 r^5 + 56q^4 r^4 \right). \quad (28)$$

Our result in Corollary (28), agrees with the result obtained in [12].

Corollary 4 For zero rotation and zero cosmological constant ($a = 0, \Lambda = 0$), i.e for a Reissner-Nordström black hole the Kretschmann invariant is given by:

$$\frac{144m^2 r^6 - 288m q^2 r^5 + 168q^4 r^4}{3r^{12}}. \quad (29)$$

The invariant $I_1 = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda}$ is related to the Kretschmann scalar $K = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ the Ricci invariant I_6 through the fundamental identity first proven in [28] :

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} - 2R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{3} R^2. \quad (30)$$

Another non-trivial important identity among the Weyl invariant I_3 , the Ricci invariants and the Kretschmann scalar is the following [28]:

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\sigma} C_{\gamma\sigma}^{\lambda\tau} C_{\lambda\tau}^{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\sigma} R_{\gamma\sigma}^{\lambda\tau} R_{\lambda\tau}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} R R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} - 6R^{\alpha\gamma} R^{\beta\lambda} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} \\ - 6R_{\alpha}^{\beta} R_{\beta}^{\gamma} R_{\gamma}^{\alpha} + 7R R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{17}{18} R^3. \quad (31)$$

Identities like these, e.g. eqns(30)-(31), serve as an additional way to test the correctness of our analytic symbolic computations. The Chern-Pontryagin invariant $K_2 := C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^* C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is one of the fundamental invariants for the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter spacetime. Here $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^*$ is the dual of the Weyl tensor, defined by:

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^* = \frac{1}{2} E_{\alpha\beta\kappa\lambda} C_{\gamma\delta}^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad (32)$$

where $E_{\alpha\beta\kappa\lambda}$ is the Levi-Civita pseudotensor.

We computed analytically this invariant with the following result:

$$K_2 = \frac{96a}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^6} \left(\cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m - 3 \cos(\theta) m r^2 + 2 \cos(\theta) q^2 r \right) \\ \times \left(-3a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 m r + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 q^2 + m r^3 - q^2 r^2 \right). \quad (33)$$

Our result in (33), agrees with the corresponding expressions obtained in [15],[16] for the Kerr-Newman metric. We note that the Chern-Potryagin invariant K_2 is proportional to the Kerr parameter a in Equation (33) and therefore vanishes in the limit of zero angular momentum ($a = 0$). Thus, the Chern-Potryagin invariant characterises the gravitomagnetic properties of the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter spacetime. The invariant K_2 is also equal to the invariant built from the dual of the Riemann tensor:

$$K_2 = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^* C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2} E^{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho} R_{\sigma\rho}^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \equiv * \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}. \quad (34)$$

The invariant $*\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2} E^{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho} R_{\sigma\rho}^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ -the Hirzebruch signature density, has been proposed by Ciufolini [7] to characterise the spacetime geometry and curvature generated by mass-energy currents and by the intrinsic angular momentum of a central body, thus the gravitomagnetic properties of spacetime³. In [7] the quantity $*\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}$ was computed for the Kerr metric. Our result in Eqn.(33) generalises this computation for the most general Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter metric. Moreover, in section 4 we will generalise further our result in eqn(33), by calculating the Chern-Pontryagin invariant K_2 for *accelerating* Kerr-Newman black holes in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime.

³In weak-field general relativity, the angular momentum generated by mass-energy currents plays a role analogous to the magnetic dipole moment of a loop of charge current in Electrodynamics. The electromagnetic analogue of the invariant $*\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}$ is of course $*\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{F}$. However, the latter in electrodynamics characterises the electromagnetic field only, but not the spacetime geometry. While, the former in general relativity characterises the gravitational field and spacetime geometry.

3.1 Analytic computation of curvature invariants for the Kerr-Newman-(anti)de-Sitter black hole

In this section we compute the Weyl, Ricci and mixed invariants for the Kerr-Newman-(a)dS black hole. Our analytic results are:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 = & \frac{48}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^6} \left(-a^3 m \cos(\theta)^3 + (-3a^2 m r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 + (3a m r^2 - 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) \right. \\
& \left. + (m r - q^2) r^2 \right) \\
& \times \left(a^3 m \cos(\theta)^3 + (-3a^2 m r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 + (-3a m r^2 + 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) - (-m r + q^2) r^2 \right), \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 = & \frac{96}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^9} \left(-3 \cos(\theta)^6 a^6 m^2 + (27a^4 m^2 r^2 - 18a^4 m q^2 r + a^4 q^4) \cos(\theta)^4 \right. \\
& \left. + (-33a^2 m^2 r^4 + 44a^2 m q^2 r^3 - 14a^2 q^4 r^2) \cos(\theta)^2 + r^6 m^2 - 2m q^2 r^5 + q^4 r^4 \right) \\
& \times \left((-3a^2 m r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 + m r^3 - q^2 r^2 \right) \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 = & -\frac{864a}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^9} \left(\frac{\cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m}{3} + \left(-m r^2 + \frac{2}{3} q^2 r \right) \cos(\theta) \right) \\
& \times \left[-\frac{\cos(\theta)^6 a^6 m^2}{3} + \left(11a^4 m^2 r^2 - \frac{22}{3} a^4 m q^2 r + a^4 q^4 \right) \cos(\theta)^4 \right. \\
& \left. + \left(-9a^2 m^2 r^4 + 12a^2 m q^2 r^3 - \frac{10}{3} a^2 q^4 r^2 \right) \cos(\theta)^2 - \frac{r^4 (-3m^2 r^2 + 6m q^2 r - 3q^4)}{3} \right], \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

$$I_5 = R = 4\Lambda, \tag{38}$$

$$I_7 = \frac{4 \left(\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^8 a^8 + 4\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^6 a^6 r^2 + 6\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^4 a^4 r^4 + 4\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^2 a^2 r^6 + \Lambda^2 r^8 + 3q^4 \right) \Lambda}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^4}, \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 = & \frac{1}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^8} \left(4\Lambda^4 \cos(\theta)^{16} a^{16} + 32\Lambda^4 \cos(\theta)^{14} a^{14} r^2 + 112\Lambda^4 \cos(\theta)^{12} a^{12} r^4 \right. \\
& + 224\Lambda^4 \cos(\theta)^{10} a^{10} r^6 + (280\Lambda^4 a^8 r^8 + 24\Lambda^2 a^8 q^4) \cos(\theta)^8 + 96r^2 \left(\frac{7}{3} a^6 \Lambda^4 r^8 + a^6 q^4 \Lambda^2 \right) \cos(\theta)^6 \\
& + (112\Lambda^4 a^4 r^{12} + 144\Lambda^2 a^4 q^4 r^4) \cos(\theta)^4 + 96r^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \Lambda^4 a^2 r^{12} + \Lambda^2 a^2 q^4 r^4 \right) \cos(\theta)^2 \\
& \left. + 4\Lambda^4 r^{16} + 24\Lambda^2 q^4 r^8 + 4q^8 \right), \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

$$I_6 = \frac{4q^4}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^4} + 4\Lambda^2, \quad (41)$$

$$I_9 = -\frac{16\left(-3a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 mr + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 q^2 + m r^3 - q^2 r^2\right) q^4}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^7}, \quad (42)$$

$$I_{10} = \frac{16a q^4}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^7} \left(\cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m - 3 \cos(\theta) m r^2 + 2 \cos(\theta) q^2 r\right), \quad (43)$$

$$I_{11} = \frac{64q^4}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^{10}} \left[\cos(\theta)^3 a^3 m + (-3a^2 mr + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 + (-3am r^2 + 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) - r^2 (-mr + q^2) \right] \\ \times \left(-\cos(\theta)^3 a^3 m + (-3a^2 mr + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 + (3am r^2 - 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) + (mr - q^2) r^2 \right), \quad (44)$$

$$I_{12} = -\frac{128a q^4}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^{10}} \left(\cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m - 3 \cos(\theta) m r^2 + 2 \cos(\theta) q^2 r\right) \\ \times \left(-3a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 mr + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 q^2 + m r^3 - q^2 r^2\right), \quad (45)$$

$$I_{15} = \frac{4q^4 \left(\cos(\theta)^2 a^2 m^2 + r^2 m^2 - 2m q^2 r + q^4\right)}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^8}, \quad (46)$$

$$I_{16} = -\frac{24q^4 \left(\cos(\theta)^2 a^2 m^2 + (mr - q^2)^2\right) \left(a^2 \left(mr - \frac{q^2}{3}\right) \cos(\theta)^2 - \frac{r^2(mr - q^2)}{3}\right)}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^{11}}, \quad (47)$$

$$I_{17} = -\frac{24a q^4}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^{11}} \left(\frac{\cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m}{3} + \left(-m r^2 + \frac{2}{3} q^2 r\right) \cos(\theta)\right) \left(\cos(\theta)^2 a^2 m^2 + (mr - q^2)^2\right). \quad (48)$$

We summarise our results as follows:

Theorem 5 *The exact algebraic expressions for the curvature invariants calculated for the Kerr-Newman-(anti)de Sitter metric are given in Equations (35)-(48) and (33).*

Corollary 6 *For zero cosmological constant ($\Lambda = 0$), our results reduce correctly to those obtained in [16] for the Kerr-Newman metric.*

In figures 1-5 we plot the first two invariants for various values of the Kerr parameter and electric charge of the black hole with respect to r, θ coordinates.




Figure 1: The Weyl Invariant I_1 plotted as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, Kerr parameter $a = 0.6$ and charge $q = 0.8$.

In Figure 6 we plot the Ricci invariant I_6 as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, for two different pairs of values for Kerr parameter and electric charge: $(a = 0.9939, q = 0.11)$, $(a = 0.52, q = 0.4)$ and fixed value for a positive cosmological constant.

In Figures 7, 8, we plot the mixed curvature invariants I_9 and I_{17} respectively, as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ .

As it is evident from the plots in Figures 1-8 the geometry of spacetime inside Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black holes exhibit a rich structure. We observe that curvature is negative over significant regions of the $r - \theta$ space, as has been discussed by several researchers for simpler spacetimes [29], [13], while is particularly extreme near the ring singularity at $r = 0, \theta = \pi/2$. The appearance of fluctuations have been attributed to conflicting contributions to the curvature from the gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic components of the Weyl tensor, the latter components generated by the black hole's rotation [9],[15].

3.2 Curvature invariants and the Newman-Penrose formalism

The Newman-Penrose (NP) formalism is a tetrad formalism with a special choice of the tetrad in terms the null vectors $\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \overline{\mathbf{m}}$ [30]. In the NP formalism, the ten independent components of the Weyl tensor are determined by the five




Figure 2: The Weyl Invariant I_1 plotted as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, Kerr parameter $a = 0.52$ and charge $q = 0.4$.




Figure 3: The Weyl Invariant I_1 plotted as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, Kerr parameter $a = 0.9939$ and charge $q = 0.11$.




Figure 4: The Chern-Potryagin Weyl Invariant K_2 plotted as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, angular momentum Kerr parameter $a = 0.52$ and charge $q = 0.4$.




Figure 5: The Chern-Potryagin Weyl Invariant K_2 plotted as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, angular momentum Kerr parameter $a = 0.9939$ and charge $q = 0.11$.




Figure 6: The Ricci invariant I_6 plotted as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, for two different pairs of values for Kerr parameter and charge $(a = 0.9939, q = 0.11)$, $(a = 0.52, q = 0.4)$ and fixed value for the cosmological constant $\Lambda = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ while $m = 1$.




Figure 7: The mixed invariant I_9 plotted as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, for two different values of angular momentum Kerr parameter $a = 0.9939, a = 0.52$ and charge $q = 0.11$.




Figure 8: The mixed invariant I_{17} plotted as a function of the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates r and θ for a black hole of mass $m = 1$, for two different pairs of values for angular momentum Kerr parameter and electric charge ($a = 0.9939, q = 0.11$), ($a = 0.52, q = 0.4$).

complex scalar functions defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_0 &= C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} l^\mu m^\nu l^\lambda m^\sigma, \\
 \Psi_1 &= C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} l^\mu n^\nu l^\lambda m^\sigma, \\
 \Psi_2 &= C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \bar{m}^\mu n^\nu l^\lambda m^\sigma, \\
 \Psi_3 &= C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \bar{m}^\mu n^\nu l^\lambda n^\sigma, \\
 \Psi_4 &= C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \bar{m}^\mu n^\nu \bar{m}^\lambda n^\sigma.
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Two particularly useful complex scalar polynomial invariants for a vacuum spacetime are given in terms of the Weyl tensor components by [24]:

$$\mathbf{I} = \Psi_0 \Psi_4 - 4\Psi_1 \Psi_3 + 3\Psi_2^2, \quad \mathbf{J} = \det \Psi, \quad \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_0 & \Psi_1 & \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_1 & \Psi_2 & \Psi_3 \\ \Psi_2 & \Psi_3 & \Psi_4 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{50}$$

As a matter of fact, as we will show in what follows, the real part of \mathbf{I} is $\frac{1}{16}$ times the Kretschmann scalar for the vacuum case. For the non-vacuum spacetimes which is the class of black hole spacetimes we investigate in this work we will see how the Chern-Pontryagin invariant K_2 and Weyl invariant I_1 are related to \mathbf{I} .

For our computations we use the generalised Kinnersley null tetrad used

in [31], for the construction of the generalised massive Dirac equation in the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black hole background:

$$\begin{aligned}
l^\mu &= \left[\frac{(r^2 + a^2)\Xi}{\Delta_r^{KN}}, 1, 0, \frac{a\Xi}{\Delta_r^{KN}} \right], \quad n^\mu = \left[\frac{\Xi(r^2 + a^2)}{2\rho^2}, -\frac{\Delta_r^{KN}}{2\rho^2}, 0, \frac{a\Xi}{2\rho^2} \right] \\
m^\mu &= \frac{1}{(r + ia \cos \theta)\sqrt{2\Delta_\theta}} \left[ia\Xi \sin \theta, 0, \Delta_\theta, \frac{i\Xi}{\sin \theta} \right] \\
\bar{m}^\mu &= \frac{-1}{(r - ia \cos \theta)\sqrt{2\Delta_\theta}} \left[ia\Xi \sin \theta, 0, -\Delta_\theta, \frac{i\Xi}{\sin \theta} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

From (1.3a) in [5] we have the relation for the trace-free Ricci tensor $S_{\alpha\beta}$, in terms of the Ricci scalars:

$$\frac{1}{4}S_\alpha^\beta S_\beta^\alpha = 2[\Phi_{20}\Phi_{02} + \Phi_{22}\Phi_{00} - 2\Phi_{12}\Phi_{10} - 2\Phi_{21}\Phi_{01} + 2\Phi_{11}^2], \tag{52}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\alpha^\beta R_\beta^\alpha &= S_\alpha^\beta S_\beta^\alpha + 6.24\Lambda'^2, \\
&= S_\alpha^\beta S_\beta^\alpha + 8.18\Lambda'^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where $R = 24\Lambda'$ and Λ' is the Ricci scalar introduced in [32]. Thus, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 \equiv R_\alpha^\beta R_\beta^\alpha &= 8 \left[\Phi_{20}\Phi_{02} + \Phi_{22}\Phi_{00} - 2\Phi_{12}\Phi_{10} - 2\Phi_{21}\Phi_{01} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\Phi_{11}^2 + 18\Lambda'^2 \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Using the generalised Kinnersley null tetrad we compute the Ricci scalars in Eqn.(54) with the result:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\alpha^\beta R_\beta^\alpha &= 16\Phi_{11}^2 + 8.18\Lambda'^2 \\
&= 16 \left(\frac{q^2}{2(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^2} \right)^2 + 8.18 \left(\frac{1}{24}4\Lambda \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{4q^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} + 4\Lambda^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where we computed for the Ricci scalars:

$$\Phi_{00} \equiv \frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu}l^\mu l^\nu = 0, \quad \Phi_{01} \equiv \frac{1}{2}l^\mu m^\nu = \bar{\Phi}_{10} = 0, \tag{56}$$

$$\Phi_{02} \equiv \frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu}m^\mu m^\nu = \bar{\Phi}_{20} = 0, \quad \Phi_{22} \equiv \frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu}n^\mu n^\nu = 0, \tag{57}$$

$$\Phi_{12} \equiv \frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\nu}n^\mu m^\nu = \bar{\Phi}_{21} = 0, \tag{58}$$

$$\Phi_{11} \equiv \frac{1}{4}R_{\mu\nu}(l^\mu n^\nu + m^\mu \bar{m}^\nu) = \frac{q^2}{2(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^2} \tag{59}$$

Now

$$S_\mu^\nu S_\nu^\rho S_\rho^\mu = 48 \left(-\Phi_{00}\Phi_{11}\Phi_{22} + \Phi_{00}\Phi_{12}\Phi_{21} + \Phi_{11}\Phi_{02}\Phi_{20} + \Phi_{22}\Phi_{01}\Phi_{10} \right. \\ \left. - \Phi_{01}\Phi_{20}\Phi_{12} - \Phi_{10}\Phi_{02}\Phi_{21} \right), \quad (60)$$

and

$$S_\mu^\nu S_\nu^\rho S_\rho^\mu = R_\mu^\nu R_\nu^\rho R_\rho^\mu - \frac{3}{4} R R_\mu^\nu R_\nu^\mu + \frac{3R^3}{16} - \frac{4R^3}{64}. \quad (61)$$

Thus we obtain for the invariant I_7 in the NP-formalism the result:

$$R_\mu^\nu R_\nu^\rho R_\rho^\mu = \frac{3}{4}(4\Lambda) \left\{ \frac{4q^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} + 4\Lambda^2 \right\} - \frac{8}{64}(4\Lambda)^3 \\ = 4\Lambda^3 + \frac{4\Lambda 3q^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4}. \quad (62)$$

This agrees with our analytic direct computation for I_7 in eqn (39) performed with Maple. In producing eqn.(62) we used the fact that: $S_\mu^\nu S_\nu^\rho S_\rho^\mu \stackrel{(56)-(59)}{=} 0$, and $R = 4\Lambda$.

Now let us compute the invariant I_8 in the NP formalism. We first derive the following relation:

$$S_\mu^\nu S_\nu^\rho S_\rho^\lambda S_\lambda^\mu = R_\mu^\nu R_\nu^\rho R_\rho^\lambda R_\lambda^\mu - R_\mu^\nu R_\nu^\rho R_\rho^\mu R + \frac{3}{8} R_\mu^\nu R_\nu^\mu R^2 - \frac{3}{64} R^4 \\ = I_8 - I_7 I_5 + \frac{3}{8} I_6 I_5^2 - \frac{3}{64} I_5^4. \quad (63)$$

Using our computations in (56)-(59) we obtain:

$$S_\mu^\nu S_\nu^\rho S_\rho^\lambda S_\lambda^\mu = 64\Phi_{11}^4 = 64 \left[\frac{q^2}{2(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^2} \right]^4. \quad (64)$$

Thus, solving eqn(63) for the curvature invariant I_8 yields:

$$I_8 = \frac{4q^8}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^8} + 4 \left(4\Lambda^3 + \frac{12\Lambda q^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} \right) \Lambda - 6 \left(\frac{4q^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} + 4\Lambda^2 \right) \Lambda^2 \\ + 12\Lambda^4, \quad (65)$$

a result in total agreement with our brute force tensorial computations in eqn.(40).

The Weyl scalar $\Psi_2 = C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\bar{m}^\mu n^\nu l^\lambda m^\sigma$ is calculated to be:

$$\Psi_2 = -\frac{i \cos(\theta)ma + mr - q^2}{(r - ia \cos(\theta))^3(r + ia \cos(\theta))}. \quad (66)$$

We note that Eqn(66) for zero electric charge ($q = 0$) reduces correctly to the expression derived for Kerr spacetime in [32]:

$$\Psi_2 = -\frac{m}{(\bar{\rho}^*)^3}, \quad \bar{\rho}^* = r - ia \cos(\theta). \quad (67)$$

A non-trivial check of our analytic computations performed in section, provided with the aid of the NP formalism, is the following equation that relates the Weyl invariant I_1 and the Chern-Potryagin invariant K_2 with the Weyl scalar Ψ_2 computed in eqn(66):

$$\mathbb{I} := I_1 - iK_2 = 16.3\Psi_2^2 = 16\mathbf{I}. \quad (68)$$

We also derived the following relation:

$$\mathbb{J} := I_3 + iI_4 = 96(-\Psi_2)^3 = 6.16(-\Psi_2)^3 = 96\mathbf{J}. \quad (69)$$

A more detailed account of the use of the NP formalism for the computation of all curvature invariants for rotating charged black holes with $\Lambda \neq 0$ will be a subject of a separate publication.

3.2.1 The syzygies

As the *complete* set of Riemann invariants in [5] contains more than 14 elements not all of them are independent for the different Petrov and Segre types of spacetimes. The relations between the dependent and independent invariants for the different types are called *syzygies* [33]. Such relations provide further non-trivial consistency checks for our analytic computations. The first non-trivial such type of relation we derive for the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black hole is:

$$\mathbb{I}^3 = 12\mathbb{J}^2. \quad (70)$$

The second highly non-trivial syzygy for the Ricci invariants we derive in this work for the case of the Kerr-Newman black hole in the presence of the cosmological constant Λ is:

$$I_6^2 = 4I_8 - \frac{4}{3}I_7I_5 + \frac{1}{12}I_5^4. \quad (71)$$

Defining the quantities:

$$\mathbb{L} = I_{11} + iI_{12}, \quad \mathbb{K} = I_9 + iI_{10}, \quad (72)$$

we derive the syzygy:

$$3\mathbb{L}^2 = \mathbb{I} \mathbb{K}^2. \quad (73)$$

We also discovered the following syzygies:

$$16(I_6 - \frac{1}{4}I_5^2)\mathbb{M}_1 = \mathbb{K}\overline{\mathbb{K}} = |\mathbb{K}|^2, \quad (74)$$

$$3072(I_6 - \frac{1}{4}I_5^2)^2\mathbb{M}_2^2 = \mathbb{I}\mathbb{K}^2\overline{\mathbb{K}}^2, \quad (75)$$

where we define:

$$\mathbb{M}_1 := I_{15}, \quad \mathbb{M}_2 := I_{16} + iI_{17}. \quad (76)$$

For completeness we provide the following analytic expressions for the quantities appearing in the syzygies:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I} &= -\frac{96\left(\frac{\cos(\theta)^2 a^2 m^2}{2} + (-ia m^2 r + im q^2 a) \cos(\theta) - \frac{m^2 r^2}{2} + m q^2 r - \frac{q^4}{2}\right)}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^2 \left(a^4 \cos(\theta)^4 + 4i \cos(\theta)^3 a^3 r - 6 \cos(\theta)^2 a^2 r^2 - 4i \cos(\theta) a r^3 + r^4\right)} \\ &= -\frac{96\left(\frac{\cos(\theta)^2 a^2 m^2}{2} + (-ia m^2 r + im q^2 a) \cos(\theta) - \frac{m^2 r^2}{2} + m q^2 r - \frac{q^4}{2}\right)}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^2 (r - ia \cos(\theta))^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

$$\mathbb{L} = -\frac{128\left(\frac{\cos(\theta)^2 a^2 m^2}{2} + (-ia m^2 r + im q^2 a) \cos(\theta) - \frac{m^2 r^2}{2} + m q^2 r - \frac{q^4}{2}\right) q^4}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^6 (r - ia \cos(\theta))^4}, \quad (78)$$

$$\mathbb{M}_2 = -\frac{8\left(\cos(\theta)^2 a^2 m^2 + m^2 r^2 - 2mr q^2 + q^4\right) q^4 (i \cos(\theta) am + mr - q^2)}{\left(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2\right)^9 \left(a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 + 2i \cos(\theta) ar - r^2\right)}. \quad (79)$$

We note that, for vanishing cosmological constant, $\Lambda = 0$, Equations (71)-(75), reduce correctly to the syzygies found in [16] for the Kerr-Newman metric.

4 Results for the ZM curvature invariants for accelerating Kerr-Newman black holes in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime

4.1 Accelerating and rotating charged black holes with non-zero cosmological constant Λ

The Plebański-Demiański metric covers a large family of solutions which include the physically most significant case: that of an accelerating, rotating and charged black hole with a non-zero cosmological constant [34]. We focus on the following metric that describes an accelerating Kerr-Newman black hole in

(anti)-de Sitter spacetime [24],[35]:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \left\{ -\frac{Q}{\rho^2} [dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi]^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{Q} dr^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{P} d\theta^2 + \frac{P}{\rho^2} \sin^2 \theta [adt - (r^2 + a^2)d\phi]^2 \right\}, \quad (80)$$

where

$$\Omega = 1 - \alpha r \cos \theta, \quad (81)$$

$$P = 1 - 2\alpha m \cos \theta + (\alpha^2(a^2 + q^2) + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda a^2) \cos^2 \theta, \quad (82)$$

$$Q = ((a^2 + q^2) - 2mr + r^2)(1 - \alpha^2 r^2) - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda(a^2 + r^2)r^2, \quad (83)$$

and α is the acceleration of the black hole.

4.2 Computation of the ZM curvature invariants for accelerating charged and rotating black holes with $\Lambda \neq 0$

We first compute the Chern-Pontryagin invariant K_2 for an accelerating Kerr-Newman black hole in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime. The analytic explicit result for this fundamental invariant is:

$$K_2 = \frac{96a(\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^6}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^6} \left(\cos(\theta)^3 a^4 \alpha m + \cos(\theta)^3 a^2 \alpha q^2 r - 3 \cos(\theta) a^2 \alpha m r^2 - \cos(\theta) \alpha q^2 r^3 - 3a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 m r + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 q^2 + m r^3 - q^2 r^2 \right) \times \left(3 \cos(\theta)^2 a^2 \alpha m r + 2 \cos(\theta)^2 \alpha q^2 r^2 + \cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m - \alpha r^3 m - 3 \cos(\theta) m r^2 + 2 \cos(\theta) q^2 r \right) \quad (84)$$

Subsequently, we compute explicit algebraic expressions for the rest of curvature invariants from the ZM set, for the metric (80), with MapleTM. Our results are given below:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \frac{1}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^6} 48 \left((q^2 r \alpha + a m (a \alpha - 1)) a^2 \cos(\theta)^3 + (-2a \alpha q^2 r^2 - 3a^2 m (a \alpha + 1) r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-\alpha q^2 r^3 - 3a m (a \alpha - 1) r^2 - 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) + (m (a \alpha + 1) r - q^2) r^2 \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(a^2 (q^2 r \alpha + a m (a \alpha + 1)) \cos(\theta)^3 + (2a \alpha q^2 r^2 + 3a^2 m (a \alpha - 1) r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-\alpha q^2 r^3 - 3a m (a \alpha + 1) r^2 + 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) - (m (a \alpha - 1) r + q^2) r^2 \right) (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^6, \\
&\hspace{15em} (85)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= -\frac{96 (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^9}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^9} \left(a^4 (q^4 r^2 \alpha^2 + 2a^2 m q^2 r \alpha^2 + a^2 m^2 (a^2 \alpha^2 - 3)) \cos(\theta)^6 \right. \\
&\quad - 24 \left(\frac{3m q^2 r^2}{4} + \left(a^2 m^2 - \frac{q^4}{12} \right) r - \frac{a^2 m q^2}{12} \right) a^4 \alpha \cos(\theta)^5 + \left[-14a^2 \alpha^2 q^4 r^4 - 44a^4 m q^2 \alpha^2 r^3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-33a^6 \alpha^2 m^2 + 27a^4 m^2) r^2 - 18a^4 m q^2 r + a^4 q^4 \right] \cos(\theta)^4 \\
&\quad + 80r^2 \left(\frac{11m q^2 r^2}{20} + \left(a^2 m^2 - \frac{7q^4}{20} \right) r - \frac{11a^2 m q^2}{20} \right) a^2 \alpha \cos(\theta)^3 \\
&\quad + (q^4 \alpha^2 r^6 + 18a^2 \alpha^2 m q^2 r^5 + (27a^4 \alpha^2 m^2 - 33a^2 m^2) r^4 + 44a^2 m q^2 r^3 - 14a^2 q^4 r^2) \cos(\theta)^2 \\
&\quad - 24r^4 \left(\frac{m q^2 r^2}{12} + \left(a^2 m^2 - \frac{q^4}{12} \right) r - \frac{3a^2 m q^2}{4} \right) \alpha \cos(\theta) \\
&\quad \left. + (-3a^2 \alpha^2 m^2 + m^2) r^6 - 2m q^2 r^5 + q^4 r^4 \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(a^2 \alpha (a^2 m + q^2 r) \cos(\theta)^3 + (-3a^2 m r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 + (-3a^2 \alpha m r^2 - \alpha q^2 r^3) \cos(\theta) + m r^3 - q^2 r^2 \right) \\
&\hspace{15em} (86)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 = & \frac{864 (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^9 a}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^9} \left(\frac{\cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m}{3} + r \alpha \left(a^2 m + \frac{2q^2 r}{3} \right) \cos(\theta)^2 + \left(-m r^2 + \frac{2}{3} q^2 r \right) \cos(\theta) - \frac{\alpha r^3 m}{3} \right) \\
& \times \left[a^4 \left(\alpha^2 q^4 r^2 + 2a^2 \alpha^2 m q^2 r + a^2 m^2 \left(a^2 \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right) \cos(\theta)^6 - 8a^4 \left(\frac{11m q^2 r^2}{12} + \left(a^2 m^2 - \frac{q^4}{4} \right) r \right. \right. \\
& - \left. \left. \frac{a^2 m q^2}{4} \right) \alpha \cos(\theta)^5 + \left(-\frac{10a^2 \alpha^2 q^4 r^4}{3} - 12a^4 \alpha^2 m q^2 r^3 + (-9a^6 \alpha^2 m^2 + 11a^4 m^2) r^2 \right. \right. \\
& - \left. \left. \frac{22a^4 m q^2 r}{3} + a^4 q^4 \right) \cos(\theta)^4 + \frac{80r^2 a^2 \left(\frac{9m q^2 r^2}{20} + \left(a^2 m^2 - \frac{q^4}{4} \right) r - \frac{9a^2 m q^2}{20} \right) \alpha \cos(\theta)^3}{3} + \left(\alpha^2 q^4 r^6 \right. \right. \\
& + \left. \left. \frac{22a^2 \alpha^2 m q^2 r^5}{3} + (11a^4 \alpha^2 m^2 - 9a^2 m^2) r^4 + 12a^2 m q^2 r^3 - \frac{10a^2 q^4 r^2}{3} \right) \cos(\theta)^2 - 8r^4 \alpha \left(\frac{m q^2 r^2}{4} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \left(a^2 m^2 - \frac{q^4}{4} \right) r - \frac{11a^2 m q^2}{12} \right) \cos(\theta) - \frac{r^4 (m^2 (a^2 \alpha^2 - 3) r^2 + 6m q^2 r - 3q^4)}{3} \right], \tag{87}
\end{aligned}$$

$$I_5 = 4\Lambda, \tag{88}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 = & \frac{4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} \left(\cos(\theta)^8 \alpha^8 q^4 r^8 - 8 \cos(\theta)^7 \alpha^7 q^4 r^7 + 28 \cos(\theta)^6 \alpha^6 q^4 r^6 \right. \\
& - 56 \cos(\theta)^5 \alpha^5 q^4 r^5 + \Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^8 a^8 + 4\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^6 a^6 r^2 + 70 \cos(\theta)^4 \alpha^4 q^4 r^4 + 6\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^4 a^4 r^4 \\
& \left. - 56 \cos(\theta)^3 \alpha^3 q^4 r^3 + 4\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^2 a^2 r^6 + \Lambda^2 r^8 + 28 \cos(\theta)^2 \alpha^2 q^4 r^2 - 8 \cos(\theta) \alpha q^4 r + q^4 \right), \tag{89}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 = & \frac{4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} \left(3 \cos(\theta)^8 \alpha^8 q^4 r^8 - 24 \cos(\theta)^7 \alpha^7 q^4 r^7 + 84 \cos(\theta)^6 \alpha^6 q^4 r^6 - 168 \cos(\theta)^5 \alpha^5 q^4 r^5 \right. \\
& + \Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^8 a^8 + 4\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^6 a^6 r^2 + 210 \cos(\theta)^4 \alpha^4 q^4 r^4 + 6\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^4 a^4 r^4 \\
& \left. - 168 \cos(\theta)^3 \alpha^3 q^4 r^3 + 4\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^2 a^2 r^6 + \Lambda^2 r^8 + 84 \cos(\theta)^2 \alpha^2 q^4 r^2 - 24 \cos(\theta) \alpha q^4 r + 3q^4 \right) \Lambda, \tag{90}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9 = & \frac{16q^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^7} (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^{11} \left(\cos(\theta)^3 a^4 \alpha m + \cos(\theta)^3 a^2 \alpha q^2 r \right. \\
& \left. - 3 \cos(\theta) a^2 \alpha m r^2 - \cos(\theta) \alpha q^2 r^3 - 3a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 m r + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 q^2 + m r^3 - q^2 r^2 \right), \tag{91}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 = & \frac{1}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^8} \\
& \times \left((4\alpha^{16} q^8 r^{16} + 24\Lambda^2 a^8 \alpha^8 q^4 r^8 + 4\Lambda^4 a^{16}) \cos(\theta)^{16} + (-64\alpha^{15} q^8 r^{15} - 192\Lambda^2 a^8 \alpha^7 q^4 r^7) \cos(\theta)^{15} \right. \\
& + 32r^2 (15\alpha^{14} q^8 r^{12} + 3\Lambda^2 a^6 \alpha^8 q^4 r^8 + 21\Lambda^2 a^8 \alpha^6 q^4 r^4 + \Lambda^4 a^{14}) \cos(\theta)^{14} - 1344r^5 \alpha^5 q^4 \left[\frac{5}{3} q^4 r^8 \alpha^8 + \frac{4}{7} a^6 r^4 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 \right. \\
& \left. \left. + a^8 \Lambda^2 \right] \cos(\theta)^{13} + 112r^4 \left(\left(65q^8 \alpha^{12} + \frac{9}{7} a^4 q^4 \alpha^8 \Lambda^2 \right) r^8 + 24a^6 q^4 r^4 \alpha^6 \Lambda^2 + a^8 \Lambda^2 (15q^4 \alpha^4 + a^4 \Lambda^2) \right) \cos(\theta)^{12} \right. \\
& - 1344r^3 \alpha^3 \left(\left(13q^4 \alpha^8 + \frac{6}{7} a^4 \alpha^4 \Lambda^2 \right) r^8 + 4a^6 r^4 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 + a^8 \Lambda^2 \right) q^4 \cos(\theta)^{11} + 224r^2 \left[\frac{3\Lambda^2 a^2 \alpha^8 q^4 r^{12}}{7} \right. \\
& + (143q^8 \alpha^{10} + 18\Lambda^2 a^4 \alpha^6 q^4) r^8 + a^6 \Lambda^2 (30q^4 \alpha^4 + a^4 \Lambda^2) r^4 + 3a^8 q^4 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 \left. \right] \cos(\theta)^{10} - 192r\alpha q^4 \left[4a^2 r^{12} \alpha^6 \Lambda^2 \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{715}{3} q^4 \alpha^8 + 42a^4 \alpha^4 \Lambda^2 \right) r^8 + 28a^6 r^4 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 + a^8 \Lambda^2 \left. \right] \cos(\theta)^9 + \left[24\Lambda^2 \alpha^8 q^4 r^{16} + 2688\Lambda^2 a^2 \alpha^6 q^4 r^{12} \right. \\
& \left. + (51480q^8 \alpha^8 + 10080\Lambda^2 a^4 \alpha^4 q^4 + 280\Lambda^4 a^8) r^8 + 2688\Lambda^2 a^6 \alpha^2 q^4 r^4 + 24\Lambda^2 a^8 q^4 \right] \cos(\theta)^8 \\
& - 768r^3 \alpha q^4 \left(\frac{r^{12} \alpha^6 \Lambda^2}{4} + 7a^2 r^8 \alpha^4 \Lambda^2 + \left(\frac{715}{12} q^4 \alpha^6 + \frac{21}{2} a^4 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 \right) r^4 + a^6 \Lambda^2 \right) \cos(\theta)^7 + 96r^2 \left[7q^4 r^{12} \alpha^6 \Lambda^2 \right. \\
& + \left(70a^2 q^4 \alpha^4 \Lambda^2 + \frac{7}{3} a^6 \Lambda^4 \right) r^8 + \left(\frac{1001}{3} q^8 \alpha^6 + 42a^4 q^4 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 \right) r^4 + a^6 q^4 \Lambda^2 \left. \right] \cos(\theta)^6 - 1152r^5 \alpha \left[\frac{7}{6} r^8 \alpha^4 \Lambda^2 \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{14}{3} a^2 r^4 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 + \frac{91}{6} q^4 \alpha^4 + a^4 \Lambda^2 \right] q^4 \cos(\theta)^5 + \left(1680\Lambda^2 \alpha^4 q^4 r^{12} + 112\Lambda^4 a^4 r^{12} + 2688\Lambda^2 a^2 \alpha^2 q^4 r^8 \right. \\
& \left. + 7280\alpha^4 q^8 r^4 + 144\Lambda^2 a^4 q^4 r^4 \right) \cos(\theta)^4 - 768r^3 \left(\frac{7}{4} r^8 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 + a^2 r^4 \Lambda^2 + \frac{35}{12} q^4 \alpha^2 \right) \alpha q^4 \cos(\theta)^3 \\
& + 96r^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \Lambda^4 a^2 r^{12} + 7q^4 r^8 \alpha^2 \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 a^2 q^4 r^4 + 5q^8 \alpha^2 \right) \cos(\theta)^2 - 64q^4 r\alpha (3\Lambda^2 r^8 + q^4) \cos(\theta) + 4\Lambda^4 r^{16} \\
& \left. + 24\Lambda^2 q^4 r^8 + 4q^8 \right), \tag{92}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{10} = & -\frac{16aq^4 (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^{11}}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^7} \left(3 \cos(\theta)^2 a^2 \alpha m r + 2 \cos(\theta)^2 \alpha q^2 r^2 + \cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m \right. \\
& \left. - \alpha r^3 m - 3 \cos(\theta) m r^2 + 2 \cos(\theta) q^2 r \right), \tag{93}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11} = & \frac{64q^4 (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^{14}}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^{10}} \left[(q^2 r \alpha + am (a\alpha + 1)) a^2 \cos(\theta)^3 + (2a q^2 \alpha r^2 + 3a^2 m (a\alpha - 1) r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& + \left. (-\alpha q^2 r^3 - 3am (a\alpha + 1) r^2 + 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) - r^2 (m (a\alpha - 1) r + q^2) \right] \\
& \times \left(a^2 (q^2 r \alpha + am (a\alpha - 1)) \cos(\theta)^3 + (-2a q^2 \alpha r^2 - 3a^2 m (a\alpha + 1) r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& \left. + (-\alpha q^2 r^3 - 3am (a\alpha - 1) r^2 - 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) + (m (a\alpha + 1) r - q^2) r^2 \right), \tag{94}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{12} = & -\frac{128aq^4 (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^{14}}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^{10}} \left(3 \cos(\theta)^2 a^2 \alpha m r + 2 \cos(\theta)^2 \alpha q^2 r^2 + \cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m \right. \\
& \left. - \alpha r^3 m - 3 \cos(\theta) m r^2 + 2 \cos(\theta) q^2 r \right) \\
& \times \left[\cos(\theta)^3 a^4 \alpha m + \cos(\theta)^3 a^2 \alpha q^2 r - 3 \cos(\theta) a^2 \alpha m r^2 - \cos(\theta) \alpha q^2 r^3 - 3a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 m r \right. \\
& \left. + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2 q^2 + m r^3 - q^2 r^2 \right], \tag{95}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{15} = & \frac{4q^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^8} \left((\alpha^2 q^4 r^2 + 2a^2 \alpha^2 m q^2 r + a^2 m^2 (a^2 \alpha^2 + 1)) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& \left. + 2q^2 \alpha (a^2 m - m r^2 + q^2 r) \cos(\theta) + m^2 (a^2 \alpha^2 + 1) r^2 - 2m q^2 r + q^4 \right) (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^{14},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{16} = & -\frac{8 (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^{17} q^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^{11}} \left[(\alpha^2 q^4 r^2 + 2a^2 \alpha^2 m q^2 r + a^2 m^2 (a^2 \alpha^2 + 1)) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& \left. + 2q^2 \alpha (a^2 m - m r^2 + q^2 r) \cos(\theta) + m^2 (a^2 \alpha^2 + 1) r^2 - 2m r q^2 + q^4 \right] \\
& \times \left(a^2 \alpha (a^2 m + q^2 r) \cos(\theta)^3 + (-3a^2 m r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& \left. + (-3a^2 \alpha m r^2 - \alpha q^2 r^3) \cos(\theta) + m r^3 - q^2 r^2 \right), \tag{96}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{17} = & \frac{24aq^4 (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^{17}}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^{11}} \left(\frac{\cos(\theta)^3 a^2 m}{3} + r\alpha \left(a^2 m + \frac{2q^2 r}{3} \right) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& + \left(-m r^2 + \frac{2}{3} q^2 r \right) \cos(\theta) - \frac{\alpha r^3 m}{3} \left. \right) \left((\alpha^2 q^4 r^2 + 2a^2 \alpha^2 m q^2 r + a^2 m^2 (a^2 \alpha^2 + 1)) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& \left. + 2q^2 \alpha (a^2 m - m r^2 + q^2 r) \cos(\theta) + m^2 (a^2 \alpha^2 + 1) r^2 - 2m q^2 r + q^4 \right). \tag{97}
\end{aligned}$$

We summarise our results as follows:

Theorem 7 *The exact algebraic expressions for the curvature invariants calculated for the accelerating Kerr-Newman black hole in (anti-)de Sitter spacetime are given in Equations (85)-(97) and (84).*

Remark 8 *For vanishing acceleration of the black hole, i.e. $\alpha = 0$, we recover the results of Theorem 5.*

For completeness we present the result for the Kretschmann scalar invariant:

Theorem 9 *The Kretschmann scalar invariant $K = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ for the accelerating Kerr-Newman black hole in (anti-)de Sitter spacetime is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
K = & \frac{1}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^6} 48 \left((q^2 r \alpha + a m (a \alpha - 1)) a^2 \cos(\theta)^3 + (-2a \alpha q^2 r^2 - 3a^2 m (a \alpha + 1) r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& \left. + (-\alpha q^2 r^3 - 3a m (a \alpha - 1) r^2 - 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) + (m (a \alpha + 1) r - q^2) r^2 \right) \\
& \times \left(a^2 (q^2 r \alpha + a m (a \alpha + 1)) \cos(\theta)^3 + (2a \alpha q^2 r^2 + 3a^2 m (a \alpha - 1) r + a^2 q^2) \cos(\theta)^2 \right. \\
& \left. + (-\alpha q^2 r^3 - 3a m (a \alpha + 1) r^2 + 2a q^2 r) \cos(\theta) - (m (a \alpha - 1) r + q^2) r^2 \right) (\alpha r \cos(\theta) - 1)^6 \\
& + \frac{8}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} \left(\cos(\theta)^8 \alpha^8 q^4 r^8 - 8 \cos(\theta)^7 \alpha^7 q^4 r^7 + 28 \cos(\theta)^6 \alpha^6 q^4 r^6 \right. \\
& - 56 \cos(\theta)^5 \alpha^5 q^4 r^5 + \Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^8 a^8 + 4\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^6 a^6 r^2 + 70 \cos(\theta)^4 \alpha^4 q^4 r^4 + 6\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^4 a^4 r^4 \\
& \left. - 56 \cos(\theta)^3 \alpha^3 q^4 r^3 + 4\Lambda^2 \cos(\theta)^2 a^2 r^6 + \Lambda^2 r^8 + 28 \cos(\theta)^2 \alpha^2 q^4 r^2 - 8 \cos(\theta) \alpha q^4 r + q^4 \right) \\
& - \frac{16\Lambda^2}{3}. \tag{98}
\end{aligned}$$

We note that we have also checked our results for the curvature invariants for accelerating Kerr-Newman-(anti)-de Sitter black holes within the NP formalism, as we did in Section 3.2 for the case of non-accelerating Kerr-Newman-(anti)de Sitter black holes. For instance, the only non-zero Ricci scalars for the metric (80) are :

$$\Phi_{11} = \frac{1}{2}q^2 \frac{(1 - \alpha r \cos(\theta))^4}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^2}, \quad \Lambda \quad (99)$$

As a result, following the same procedure as we did in section 3.2, we obtain for the curvature invariant I_6 the explicit compact form:

$$I_6 = \frac{4q^4 (1 - \alpha r \cos(\theta))^8}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} + 4\Lambda^2, \quad (100)$$

which is in total agreement with eqn.(89) obtained with tensorial computation using a Maple code. Likewise within the NP formalism we derive the following explicit algebraic expression for the curvature invariants I_7, I_8 :

$$I_7 = \frac{12\Lambda q^4 (1 - \alpha r \cos(\theta))^8}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} + 4\Lambda^3, \quad (101)$$

$$I_8 = \frac{4q^8 (1 - \alpha r \cos(\theta))^{16}}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^8} + 4 \left(\frac{12\Lambda q^4 (1 - \alpha r \cos(\theta))^8}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} + 4\Lambda^3 \right) \Lambda - 6 \left(\frac{4q^4 (1 - \alpha r \cos(\theta))^8}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos(\theta)^2)^4} + 4\Lambda^2 \right) \Lambda^2 + 12\Lambda^4. \quad (102)$$

a result that fully agrees with eqns.(90) and (92) respectively.

The syzygies Eqns(71),(73),(74),(75) that the curvature invariants satisfy are still valid in the more general case of accelerating charged and rotating black holes with a non-zero cosmological constant.

5 Conclusions

In this work we computed, exact algebraic expressions for the curvature invariants in the ZM framework, for two of the most general black hole solutions. Namely, i) for the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black hole metric ii) for accelerating Kerr-Newman black hole in the (anti)-de Sitter spacetime. Despite the complexity of the computations involved using the tensorial method of calculation, our final expressions are reasonably compact and easy to use in applications. Our results are culminated in Theorems 5 and 7. We also obtained explicit algebraic expressions for the Kretschmann invariant for both the Kerr-Newman-(anti)-de Sitter black hole geometry and for accelerating charged and rotating

black holes with $\Lambda \neq 0$, Theorems 1 and 9 respectively. We have also checked our computations through the NP formalism and with the discovery of certain syzygies that the curvature invariants satisfy-see Eqns(71),(73),(74),(75).

Interesting potential applications, among others, include: the Chern-Pontryagin invariant-eqn(34), also appears to play a role in the electromagnetic duality anomaly in curved spacetimes. As is known the source-free Maxwell action is invariant under electric-magnetic duality rotations⁴ in arbitrary spacetimes [36]. This leads to a conserved classical Noether charge. In [37], inspired by earlier work [38]⁵, it was shown that this conservation law was broken at the quantum level in the presence of a background field with a non-trivial Chern-Pontryagin invariant.

In particular quantum effects may induce violation of helicity conservation for photons propagating in curved spacetimes. Observable effects of this photon chiral anomaly are directly related to the variation of electromagnetic helicity \mathcal{H}_{em} [40]:

$$\Delta\langle\mathcal{H}_{\text{em}}\rangle \propto \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma^3} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} R^{*\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (103)$$

If the integral on the right term is different from zero, then \mathcal{H}_{em} is not conserved. The difference between the numbers of right circularly polarised photons and left circularly polarised photons changes: the degree of circular polarisation is not conserved. Thus it is worth exploring this issue of quantum chiral anomaly in the context of accelerating charged and rotating black holes with $\Lambda \neq 0$ [41], for the Hirzebruch signature density in eqn.(84).

Another interesting and related application would be to consider a scalar field coupled to the divergence of the photonic chiral current, the Hirzebruch signature density then acts as a source for the axion, and investigate for the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter background. Therefore, generalising the work in [42] for Kerr black holes.

Last but not least, we aim to generalise our work on gravitational lensing and the black hole shadow in Kerr-Newman and Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter spacetimes [43],[44] to the more involved case of accelerating KN(a)dS black holes [45].

Acknowledgement 10 *I thank Dr. George Poulipoulis and Dr. S. Skopoulis for useful discussions.*

References

- [1] E. Zakhary and C. B. G. McIntosh, *A Complete Set of Riemann Invariants*, Gen.Rel.Grav.29 (1997),539-581

⁴ $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} \cos(\theta) + F_{\mu\nu}^* \sin(\theta)$.

⁵The result of [38], was further explored in [39] where it was shown that for antisymmetric gauge fields of rank $2n - 1$ coupled to gravity in $4n$ dimensions, the symmetry under duality rotations is broken by quantum effects.

- [2] J. G eh eniau and R. Debrever, *Les quatorze invariants de courbure de l'espace riemannien   quatre dimensions*, Helvetica Physica Acta 29,(1956),101-105
- [3] L. Witten, *Invariants of General Relativity and the Classification of Spaces*, Phys.Rev. 113 (1959),pp 357-362
- [4] A. Harvey,*On the algebraic invariants of the four-dimensional Riemann tensor*,Class.Quan.Grav 7(1990),715-716
- [5] J. Carminati and R. G. McLenaghan,*Algebraic invariants of the Riemann tensor in a four-dimensional Lorentzian space*, J. Math.Phys.**32**, (1991) pp 3135-3140
- [6] A Z Petrov, *The Classification of Spaces Defining Gravitational Fields*, Gen.Rel.Grav.**32** (2000),1665-1685, Original title: Klassifikacya prostranstv opredelyayushchikh polya tyagoteniya. Uchenye Zapiski Kazanskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta im. V. I. Ulyanova-Lenina [Scientific Proceedings of Kazan State University, named after V.I. Ulyanov-Lenin], 114 (8), 55-69 (1954).
- [7] I. Ciufolini, *Dragging of Inertial Frames, Gravitomagnetism, and Mach's Principle*,in Einstein Studies 6,Mach's Principle, From Newton's Bucket to Quantum Gravity,Birkh user,(1995),pp 386-402
- [8] J. Baker and M. Campanelli, *Making use of geometrical invariants in black hole collisions*, Phys.Rev.D 62 (2000) 127501
- [9] L. Filipe *et al*,*Gravitomagnetism and the significance of the curvature scalar invariants*,Phys.Rev.D 104(2021)084081
- [10] E. Kretschmann, * ber den physikalischen Sinn der Relativit tspostulate. A. Einsteins neue und seine urspr ngliche Relativit tstheorie*,Annalen der Physik **53**,(1917) 575-614
- [11] B. Carter, *Global structure of the Kerr family of gravitational fields* Phys.Rev.**174** (1968)1559-71
- [12] R.C. Henry,*Kretschmann scalar for a Kerr-Newman black hole*, The Astrophys.J.**535**, (2000) 350-353
- [13] K. Lake,*Invariants of the Kerr Vacuum*, Gen.Rel.Grav.35 (2003),2271-2277
- [14] P. Musgrave and K. Lake, *Scalar invariants of the Kerr-Newman metric: a simple application of GRTensor*, Comp.in Phys.8 (1994),589
- [15] C. Cherubini, D. Bini, S. Capozziello and R. Ruffini, *Second order scalar invariants of the Riemann tensor:application to black hole space-times*,Int.J.Mod.Phys.D 11(2002) 827

- [16] J. Overduin, M. Coplan, K. Wilcomb and R. C. Henry, *Curvature invariants for charged and rotating black holes*, Universe (2020),6,22
- [17] Mattingly B.; Kar A.;Gorban M.; Julius W.;Watson C.K.;Ali M.D.;Baas A.;Elmore C.;Lee J.S.;Shakerin B.;Davis E.W.;Cleaver G.B. Curvature Invariants for the Accelerating Natário Warp Drive. *Particles* **2020**,3,642
- [18] Mattingly B.; Kar A.;Gorban M.; Julius W.;Watson C.K.;Ali M.D.;Baas A.;Elmore C.;Lee J.S.;Shakerin B.;Davis E.W.;Cleaver G.B. Curvature Invariants for the Alcubierre and Natário Warp Drives. *Universe* **2021**,7,21
- [19] Boos J. Plebański-Demiański solution of general relativity and its expressions quadratic and cubic in curvature: Analogies to electromagnetism. *Int.J.Mod.Phys.D* **2015**,24,1550079
- [20] M.A.H. MacCallum, *Computer algebra in gravity research*, Liv.Rev.Relat.(2018) 21:6
- [21] Newman E. T.; Couch E.; Chinnapared K.; Exton A.; Prakash A.; Torrence R. Metric of a Rotating, Charged Mass. *J. Math. Phys.* **1965**,6,918
- [22] Kerr R. P. Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1963**,11,237
- [23] Z. Stuchlík, G. Bao, E. Østgaard and S. Hledík, *Kerr-Newman-de Sitter black holes with a restricted repulsive barrier of equatorial photon motion*, Phys. Rev. D. **58** (1998) 084003
- [24] J. B. Griffiths and Jiří Podolský, *Exact spacetimes in Einstein's General Relativity*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press (2009)
- [25] Z. Stuchlík and S.Hledík, *Equatorial photon motion in the Kerr-Newman spacetimes with a non-zero cosmological constant*, Class. Quantum Grav. **17** (2000) 4541-4576
- [26] Z. Stuchlík, *The motion of test particles in black-hole backgrounds with non-zero cosmological constant*, Bull. of the Astronomical Institute of Czechoslovakia **34** (1983) 129-149
- [27] P. Szekeres, *The Gravitational Compass*, J.Math.Phys. 6 (1965) 1387
- [28] X. Dianyan, *Two important invariant identities* Phys.Rev.D 35,(1987),pp 769-770
- [29] H. -J. Schmidt, *The Square of the Weyl Tensor can be Negative*, Gen.Relativ.Grav.35 (2003) 937-938
- [30] Newman E.; Penrose R. An Approach to Gravitational Radiation by a Method of Spin Coefficients. *J. Math.Phys.* **1962** ,3,566

- [31] G. V. Kraniotis, *The massive Dirac equation in the Kerr-Newman-de Sitter and Kerr-Newman black hole spacetimes* J.Phys.Comm. **3** (2019) 035026,[arXiv:1801.03157]
- [32] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* Oxford Classic Texts in Physical Sciences, 1992
- [33] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time* Vol.I: *Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields* Cambridge Monographs in Mathematical Physics,(1984) CUP; Vol.2,*Spinor and twistor methods in space-time geometry* (1986) CUP
- [34] J. F. Plebanski, M. Demianski, *Rotating, Charged, and Uniformly Accelerating Mass in General Relativity*, Annals of Physics **98**,(1976) 98-127
- [35] J. Podolský and J. B. Griffiths, *Accelerating Kerr-Newman black holes in (anti)-de Sitter spacetime*, Phys.Rev.D **73** (2006),044018
- [36] S. Deser and C. Teitelboim, Duality transformations of Abelian and non-Abelian gauge fields, Phys.Rev.D **13**(1976),1592-1597
- [37] I Agullo, A. del Rio and J. Navarro-Salas,*Electromagnetic duality anomaly in curved spacetime*, Phys.Rev.Let.**118** (2017)111301
- [38] A.D. Dolgov, I.B. Khriplovich, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov *Photonic chiral current and its anomaly in a gravitational field*, Nucl.Phys.B**315**(1989) 138-152
- [39] M. Reuter, *Chiral anomaly of antisymmetric tensor fields*,Phys.Rev.D **37**(1988),1456-1463
- [40] M. Galaverni and G. S.J. Gabriele,*Photon helicity and quantum anomalies in curved spacetimes*, Gen.Rel.Gravit. (2021)53:46
- [41] G. V. Kraniotis, Work in Progress
- [42] M. Reuter, *A mechanism generating axion hair for Kerr black holes*, Class.Quantum Grav **9** (1992) 751-756
- [43] G. V. Kraniotis, *Gravitational lensing and frame dragging of light in the Kerr-Newman and the Kerr-Newman-(anti) de Sitter black hole spacetimes*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **46** (2014) 1818
- [44] G. V. Kraniotis *Precise analytic treatment of Kerr and Kerr-(anti) de Sitter black holes as gravitational lenses*, Class. Quant.Grav. **28** (2011) 085021
- [45] G. V. Kraniotis, Work in Progress