

Energy Formula, Surface geometry and Energy Extraction for Kerr-Sen Black Hole

Parthapratim Pradhan*

Department of Physics

Hiralal Mazumdar Memorial College For Women

Dakshineswar, Kolkata-700035, India

Abstract

We evaluate the *surface energy* (\mathcal{E}_s^\pm), *rotational energy* (\mathcal{E}_r^\pm) and *electromagnetic energy* (\mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm) for a *Kerr-Sen black hole (BH)* having the event horizon (\mathcal{H}^+) and the Cauchy horizon (\mathcal{H}^-). Interestingly, we find that the *sum of these three energies is equal to the mass parameter i.e.* $\mathcal{E}_s^\pm + \mathcal{E}_r^\pm + \mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \mathcal{M}$. Moreover in terms of the *scale parameter* (ζ_\pm), the *distortion parameter* (ξ_\pm) and a *new parameter* (σ_\pm) which corresponds to the area (\mathcal{A}_\pm), the angular momentum (J) and the charge parameter (Q), we find that the *mass parameter in a compact form* $\mathcal{E}_s^\pm + \mathcal{E}_r^\pm + \mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \mathcal{M} = \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1+2\sigma_\pm^2}{1-\xi_\pm^2}}$ which is valid through all the horizons (\mathcal{H}^\pm). We also compute the *equatorial circumference and polar circumference* which is a gross measure of the BH surface deformation. It is shown that when the spinning rate of the BH increases, the *equatorial circumference increases* while the *polar circumference decreases*. We show that there exist two classes of geometry separated by $\xi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}$ in Kerr-Sen BH. In the regime $\frac{1}{2} < \xi_\pm \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, the Gaussian curvature is negative and there exist *two polar caps* on the surface. While for $\xi_\pm < \frac{1}{2}$, the Gaussian curvature is positive and the surface will be an oblate deformed sphere. Furthermore, we compute the exact expression of *rotational energy that should be extracted from the BH via Penrose process*. The maximum value of rotational energy which is extractable should occur for *extremal Kerr-Sen BH* i.e. $\mathcal{E}_r^\pm = (\sqrt{2} - 1) \sqrt{\frac{J}{2}}$.

1 Introduction

One of the fascinating objects in Einstein's general theory of relativity is a black hole (BH). It is fascinating in the sense that it has entropy which is proportional to the BH surface area [1] (a two-dimensional surface) and the temperature which is proportional to the surface gravity [2].

The surface geometry of Kerr-Newman class of solutions to Einstein's gravitational field equations was studied by Smarr [9] in detail but the surface geometry of *Kerr-Sen BH* i.e. charged rotating BH in heterotic string theory is not being studied so far in the literature. We want to fill this gap in the literature. The fact is that string theory is a leading candidate to unify gravity with the rest of the fundamental forces in nature. Hence the study of BHs in string theory plays an important role in the current investigation.

Recently [3], we have studied in detail the energy formula for the NUT class of BHs. Specifically, we computed the surface energy, rotational energy, and electromagnetic energy for all the horizons. Remarkably, we proved that the sum of these three energies is equal to the mass parameter. This was tested for Taub-NUT BH, Reissner-Nordström-Taub-NUT BH, Kerr-Taub-NUT BH and Kerr-Newman-Taub-NUT BH. In each case, we showed that the sum of these energies is equal to the mass parameter.

Inspired by the above work, in the present work we want to evaluate these three energies *for a charged spinning BH in heterotic string theory* having the event horizon and the Cauchy horizon in

*pppradhan77@gmail.com

contrast with the Kerr-Newman BH. By computing this energy we prove that the sum of three energies is equal to the mass parameter. *Additionally*, we show that in terms of the scale parameter (ζ_{\pm}), the distortion parameter (ξ_{\pm}) and a new parameter (σ_{\pm}) which corresponds to the BH area (\mathcal{A}_{\pm}), the BH angular momentum (J) and the BH charge parameter (Q) then the mass parameter should be written in a most compact form as

$$\mathcal{E}_s^{\pm} + \mathcal{E}_r^{\pm} + \mathcal{E}_{em}^{\pm} = \mathcal{M} = \frac{\zeta_{\pm}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\sigma_{\pm}^2}{1 - \xi_{\pm}^2}} \quad (1)$$

which is valid for both the horizons (\mathcal{H}^{\pm}). It should be noted that M , J and Q are *conserved quantities in the Einstein frame*.

When material or any physical objects are in a spinning motion, their surfaces become deformed. This deformation could be measured by equatorial circumference and polar circumference. Invariant measures of the surface geometry are calculated by using Gaussian curvature. We want to calculate the *proper equatorial circumference and the proper polar circumference* which is a gross measure of BH surface deformation. It has been shown that when the spinning rate of BH increases, the equatorial circumference increases while the polar circumference decreases. We prove that there exist two classes of geometry separated by $\xi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}$ for Kerr-Sen BH. In the regime $\frac{1}{2} < \xi_{\pm} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, the Gaussian curvature is negative, and there exist two polar caps on the surface. While for $\xi_{\pm} < \frac{1}{2}$, the Gaussian curvature is positive and the surface is likely to be an oblate deformed sphere.

Furthermore, we evaluate the rotational energy that should be extracted from the BH via *Penrose process*. The maximum value of extracted energy should be found for maximally Kerr-Sen BH i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}_r^+ = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{2} \right) \sqrt{2\mathcal{M}^2 - Q^2} = (\sqrt{2} - 1) \sqrt{\frac{J}{2}} \quad (2)$$

In the limit $Q = 0$ or $J = \mathcal{M}^2$ i.e. for extremal Kerr BH, the extractable rotational energy is $\mathcal{E}_r^+ = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \mathcal{M}$. To the best of our knowledge, this is the *first time we have reported in the literature such a remarkable result for a charged rotating BH in heterotic string theory*. All the above results discussed so far have been derived strongly motivated by the work of Smarr [9] [See also [7, 8]].

In the next section (Sec. 2) we will familiarize ourselves with the Kerr-Sen metric and its thermodynamic properties. In Sec. 3, we will derive the surface energy, the rotational energy, and the electromagnetic energy for Kerr-Sen BH. In Sec. 4, we will introduce the scale parameter and the distortion parameter for Kerr-Sen BH. In Sec. 5, we will introduce the intrinsic surface geometry of Kerr-Sen BH. In Sec. 6, we will derive the BH horizons, angular velocity, surface area, and irreducible mass in terms of scale parameter and distortion Parameter. In Sec. 7, we will compute the gross measure of the BH surface deformation in terms of the equatorial circumference and polar circumference. In Sec. 8, we will calculate the amount of rotational energy that should be extracted from the BH in heterotic string theory. Finally, in Sec. 9 we have given our conclusions. In Appendix-A, we will compute the Gaussian curvature for Kerr-Sen BH which measures the intrinsic property of surface geometry. In Appendix B, we will calculate the Euler number of the BH using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

2 The Kerr-Sen BH metric and its properties:

The metric of rotating charged BH solution [in the geometrized units i.e. ($c = G = 1$)] in heterotic string theory [5] is

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta - a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} dt^2 - \frac{4aMr \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} dt d\phi + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + \frac{\Xi}{\rho^2} \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \quad (3)$$

where

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta + 2qr \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2(\mathcal{M} - q)r + a^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\Xi = (r^2 + a^2 + 2qr)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta \quad (6)$$

$$q = \frac{Q^2}{2\mathcal{M}} \quad (7)$$

The above spacetime metric obtained in the Einstein frame implies that there exists a BH solution which consists of the *conserved quantities* i.e. mass (\mathcal{M}), charge (Q), angular momentum (J).

There exist two horizons for Kerr-Sen BH namely the event horizon (\mathcal{H}^+) or outer horizon and Cauchy horizon (\mathcal{H}^-) or inner horizon. Therefore the horizon radius may be determined from the following functions:

$$\Delta \equiv \Delta(r) = r^2 - 2(\mathcal{M} - q)r + a^2 = 0. \quad (8)$$

which gives

$$r_+ = (\mathcal{M} - q) + \sqrt{(\mathcal{M} - q)^2 - a^2} \quad (9)$$

$$r_- = (\mathcal{M} - q) - \sqrt{(\mathcal{M} - q)^2 - a^2}. \quad (10)$$

Note that $r_+ > r_-$. Where r_+ is the radius of outer horizon while r_- is the radius of inner horizon.

From Eq. (8) one must see that the horizon disappears unless $a \leq (\mathcal{M} - q)$. Thus the extremal limit of the Sen BH corresponds to $a = (\mathcal{M} - q)$. and the horizon for extremal Sen BH is located at $r_{ex} = r_+ = r_- = a = (\mathcal{M} - q)$. The ergosphere for Kerr-Sen BH is located at

$$r_{ergo}(\theta) = (\mathcal{M} - q) + \sqrt{(\mathcal{M} - q)^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \theta}. \quad (11)$$

The thermodynamic quantities like the BH area is

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sqrt{g_{\theta\theta}g_{\phi\phi}} d\theta d\phi \quad (12)$$

$$= 4\pi (r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm} + a^2) \quad (13)$$

$$= 8\pi\mathcal{M}r_{\pm}. \quad (14)$$

The horizon area of the BH is a 2D surface and it is constant as pointed out by the Hawking [2]. Also it never decreases. The other thermodynamic quantities like the surface gravity, the angular velocity and the Hawking temperature of (\mathcal{H}^+) [5] and of (\mathcal{H}^-) [4] are

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \frac{a}{r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm} + a^2} = \frac{a}{2\mathcal{M}r_{\pm}}. \quad (15)$$

$$T_{\pm} = \frac{r_{\pm} - r_{\mp}}{4\pi (r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm} + a^2)} = \frac{r_{\pm} - r_{\mp}}{8\pi\mathcal{M}r_{\pm}}, \quad T_+ > T_-. \quad (16)$$

The horizon Killing vector field may be defined for \mathcal{H}^{\pm}

$$\chi_{\pm}^a = (\partial_t)^a + \Omega_{\pm}(\partial_{\phi})^a. \quad (17)$$

3 The Surface energy, The Rotational energy and The Electromagnetic energy for Kerr-Sen BH:

Larry Smarr [7, 8] first derived the mass formula for charged spinning BH i.e. Kerr-Newman BH which could be obtained by integrating the term by term of the mass differential which consists of three

terms interpreted as the *surface energy*, *rotational energy*, and *electromagnetic energy*¹ respectively. Here we *extend this computation for a rotating charged BH in heterotic string theory*. The squared mass for Kerr-Sen BH derived in [4] is

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = \frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{16\pi} + \frac{4\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_\pm} + \frac{Q^2}{2}. \quad (18)$$

The mass differential for both the horizons is

$$d\mathcal{M} = \Upsilon_\pm d\mathcal{A}_\pm + \Omega_\pm dJ + \Phi_\pm dQ. \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_\pm &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{M}}{\partial\mathcal{A}_\pm} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left(\frac{1}{32\pi} - \frac{2\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_\pm^2} \right) \\ \Omega_\pm &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{M}}{\partial J} = \frac{4\pi J}{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_\pm} = \frac{a}{2\mathcal{M}r_\pm} \\ \Phi_\pm &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{M}}{\partial Q} = \frac{Q}{2\mathcal{M}}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_\pm &= \text{The effective surface tension for } \mathcal{H}^\pm \\ \Omega_\pm &= \text{The angular velocity for } \mathcal{H}^\pm \\ \Phi_\pm &= \text{The electromagnetic potentials for } \mathcal{H}^\pm \end{aligned}$$

Remarkably, the parameters Υ_\pm , Ω_\pm and Φ_\pm should be defined and are constant on the horizons for any stationary axisymmetric BH. Since $d\mathcal{M}$ is a perfect differential hence one could choose any convenient path of integration in (A, J, Q) space. In particular, one could choose a path that will be defined for a charged BH in heterotic string theory. It has three energy components: the surface energy \mathcal{E}_s^\pm defined in [4] [Eq.(76)] for \mathcal{H}^\pm as

$$\mathcal{E}_s^\pm = \int_0^{\mathcal{A}_\pm} \Upsilon_\pm(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\pm, 0, 0) d\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\pm; \quad (21)$$

the rotational energy for \mathcal{H}^\pm [Eq.(77) in Ref. [4]] is

$$\mathcal{E}_r^\pm = \int_0^J \Omega_\pm(\mathcal{A}_\pm, \tilde{J}, 0) d\tilde{J}, \quad \mathcal{A}_\pm \text{ fixed}; \quad (22)$$

and the electromagnetic energy [Eq.(78) in Ref. [4]] for \mathcal{H}^\pm is

$$\mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \int_0^Q \Phi_\pm(\mathcal{A}_\pm, J, \tilde{Q}) d\tilde{Q}, \quad \mathcal{A}_\pm, J \text{ fixed}; \quad (23)$$

The mass parameter or BH mass parameter in Eq. (18) may be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_\pm, J, Q) = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{16\pi} + \frac{4\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_\pm} + \frac{Q^2}{2}}. \quad (24)$$

The above energy integrals should be directly computed using the variational definitions which is defined in Eq. (20). First, we would like to compute the surface energy of \mathcal{H}^\pm

$$\mathcal{E}_s^\pm = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{16\pi}} \quad (25)$$

¹For recent calculation of these energies for the NUT class of BHs one must see the Ref. [3]

Next we evaluate the rotational energy of \mathcal{H}^\pm as

$$\mathcal{E}_r^\pm = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{16\pi} + \frac{4\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_\pm}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{16\pi}}. \quad (26)$$

and finally we calculate the electromagnetic energy of \mathcal{H}^\pm as

$$\mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{16\pi} + \frac{4\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_\pm} + \frac{Q^2}{2}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{16\pi} + \frac{4\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_\pm}}. \quad (27)$$

Now we compute the sum of three energies

$$\mathcal{E}_s^\pm + \mathcal{E}_r^\pm + \mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{16\pi} + \frac{4\pi J^2}{\mathcal{A}_\pm} + \frac{Q^2}{2}}. \quad (28)$$

Using Eq. (24), we can rewrite the above equation as

$$\mathcal{E}_s^\pm + \mathcal{E}_r^\pm + \mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_\pm, J, Q). \quad (29)$$

Remarkably, the mass can be expressed as the sum of three energies, namely the surface energy of \mathcal{H}^\pm , the rotational energy of \mathcal{H}^\pm and the electromagnetic energy of \mathcal{H}^\pm . This is one of the *key* results of the paper.

4 The Scale Parameter and Distortion Parameter for Kerr-Sen BH:

Like Kerr-Newman BH [9], it is convenient to study geometry intrinsic to the surface of charged BH in heterotic string theory. Therefore we would like to introduce the scale parameter (ζ_\pm), the distortion parameter (ξ_\pm) and a new parameter (σ_\pm) which corresponds to the BH area (\mathcal{A}_\pm), angular momentum (J) and charge (Q). It is helpful to study the *intrinsic geometry* of both \mathcal{H}^\pm . They should be defined as

$$\zeta_\pm = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_\pm}{4\pi}}, \quad \xi_\pm = \frac{a}{\zeta_\pm}, \quad \sigma_\pm = \frac{Q}{\zeta_\pm}. \quad (30)$$

In terms of these parameters, the three energy can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_s^\pm = \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_r^\pm = \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \xi_0^2}} - 1 \right] \quad (32)$$

where

$$\xi_0 = \xi(\mathcal{A}_\pm, J, Q = 0)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\sigma_\pm^2}{1 - \xi_\pm^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \xi_0^2}} \right]. \quad (33)$$

The integrated mass formula is then given by

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\sigma_\pm^2}{1 - \xi_\pm^2}}. \quad (34)$$

This is an another *key* observation of this work.

5 Intrinsic Surface Geometry for Kerr-Sen BH

In the previous section, we have described the geometry intrinsic to the surface of a Kerr-Sen BH in terms of the scale parameter and distortion parameter. In this section we will write the surface geometry of Kerr-Sen BH described by the 2-metric

$$d\mathcal{S}^2 = (\omega_\theta)^2 + (\omega_\phi)^2. \quad (35)$$

where

$$\omega_\theta = \zeta_\pm \sqrt{1 - \xi_\pm^2 \sin^2 \theta} d\theta, \quad (36)$$

$$\omega_\phi = \frac{\zeta_\pm \sin \theta d\phi}{\sqrt{1 - \xi_\pm^2 \sin^2 \theta}}. \quad (37)$$

Alternatively, a set of values for η_\pm and β_\pm determine only the spin parameter uniquely:

$$a = \xi_\pm \zeta_\pm, \quad (38)$$

On the other hand an algebraic expression relates the mass parameter and the charge parameter:

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} (1 - \xi_\pm^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + 2 \frac{Q^2}{\zeta_\pm^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (39)$$

It should be noted that the angular momentum parameter relates the charge parameter as

$$J = \frac{\zeta_\pm^2}{2} \xi_\pm (1 - \xi_\pm^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + 2 \frac{Q^2}{\zeta_\pm^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (40)$$

Eq. (38) and Eq. (39) determine the *identical intrinsic surface geometry* of whole rotating charged BH in heterotic string theory. Since there exist the horizons r_\pm which are real therefore there must be a condition

$$2\mathcal{M}^2 \geq 2J + Q^2. \quad (41)$$

which gives the range of charge and total mass-energy for the Kerr-Sen BH. Accordingly, one finds the following *upper bound on charge and mass*:

$$0 \leq Q \leq \zeta_\pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\xi_\pm^2} - 1}, \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \xi_\pm^2}} \leq \mathcal{M} \leq \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2\xi_\pm} \quad (43)$$

When the BH satisfy the *equality* in Eq. (41), the BH is said to be an *extreme* Kerr-Sen BH. It must be noted that the maximum value of ξ_\pm should be obtained when the value of the charge parameter becomes zero;

$$(\xi_\pm)_{max} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \simeq 0.707 \quad (44)$$

When it exceeds the above limit [Eq. (41)] the situation is called a naked singularity.

6 Horizon, Angular Velocity, Surface Area and Irreducible mass in terms of Scale parameter and Distortion Parameter

The horizons of \mathcal{H}^\pm in terms of the *scale parameter and distortion parameter* become

$$r_\pm = \zeta_\pm \frac{\sqrt{1 - \xi_\pm^2}}{\sqrt{1 + 2\sigma_\pm^2}}. \quad (45)$$

Similarly, the angular velocity of \mathcal{H}^\pm in terms of these parameters can be written as

$$\Omega_\pm = \frac{\xi_\pm}{\zeta_\pm}, \quad (46)$$

and the surface area of \mathcal{H}^\pm becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_\pm = \int \omega_\theta \wedge \omega_\phi = 4\pi\zeta_\pm^2. \quad (47)$$

where \wedge denotes the wedge product of differential forms. Interestingly the surface area of 2-surfaces depends only on the *scale parameter*. Now we should define the Christodoulou's irreducible mass of \mathcal{H}^\pm in terms of the *scale parameter* as

$$\mathcal{M}_{irr,\pm} = \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2}. \quad (48)$$

It immediately indicates that

$$\mathcal{A}_\pm = 16\pi\mathcal{M}_{irr,\pm}^2. \quad (49)$$

Hence there is no physical process that can reduce the irreducible mass of the BH. This is the Christodoulou's argument of Hawking's theorem and which is applicable for a charged BH in heterotic string theory. Since the irreducible mass parameter (\mathcal{M}_{irr}) or the scale parameter ζ_\pm , therefore the surface area (\mathcal{A}_\pm) remains constant in these processes so it is called as *reversible transformations*.

7 Gross Measure of the Surface Deformation

To determine the gross measure of the surface deformation one can compare the equatorial circumference C_e and the polar circumference C_p , which are defined as the proper length of the curves $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\phi = 0$, respectively. First we calculate the proper equatorial circumference that is

$$C_e = \int \omega_\phi = \frac{2\pi\zeta_\pm}{\sqrt{1 - \xi_\pm^2}}. \quad (50)$$

and the proper polar circumference is defined as

$$C_p = \int \omega_\theta = 4\zeta_\pm E(\xi_\pm) \quad (51)$$

which is a complete elliptical integral of the second kind. These circumferences are invariant quantity because the curves are geodesics of the 2-metric. In Fig. 1, we have drawn a graph of C_e and C_p with ξ in a reversible transformation. From the graph, it shows that as ξ increases the parameter C_e increases and C_p decreases.

Another quantity that is a measure of the oblateness or prolateness of the BH should be determined by the equatorial circumference and polar circumferences i.e. C_e and C_p . The dimensionless number

$$\lambda = 1 - \frac{C_p}{C_e} = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \xi_\pm^2} E(\xi_\pm) \quad (52)$$




Figure 1: The plot denotes the variation of the proper equatorial circumference and the proper polar circumference of Kerr-Sen BH with respect to the distortion parameter. The equatorial circumference (C_e) is increasing when the distortion parameter is increasing. While the polar circumference is decreasing when the distortion parameter is increasing. One can observe the bulging of the equator and flattening of the poles caused by the rotation. It should be remembered that the maximum value ξ_{\pm} should obtain is determined by the value of Q . The red point on the curves implies the extremal Kerr BH when $Q = \zeta_{\pm}$ ($\xi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}$).

which determines the degree of oblateness (or prolateness). This parameter tells us how oblate the BH surface is becoming. It is plotted with respect to the distortion parameter in Fig. 2. The implication of proper equatorial circumference [Eq. (50)] indicates that one could consider the distortion parameter ξ_{\pm} as being the product of the angular velocity of the BH with its effective radius. From the definition of angular velocity defined in Eq. (46) one obtains the distortion parameter as

$$\xi_{\pm} = \Omega_{\pm} \zeta_{\pm} \quad (53)$$

Hence following the definition of Christodoulou [11], the equatorial surface velocity of a BH can be defined as

$$v = \sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}} \Omega_{\pm} = \frac{\xi_{\pm}}{\sqrt{1 - \xi_{\pm}^2}} \quad (54)$$

The significance of this definition is that when the extreme Kerr hole is approached i.e. $\xi_{\pm} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ then the velocity tends to the velocity of light i.e. $v \rightarrow 1$. Now it is clear to us that why the BH surface disappears altogether for $\xi_{\pm} > (\xi_{\pm})_{max}$.

The Gaussian curvature is an important quantity in differential geometry which measures intrinsic property of space geometry. Also it is independent of the coordinate system used to narrate it. It is denoted by the symbol K . It should be noted that the Gaussian curvature for a sphere is $K = \frac{1}{r^2} > 0$, for a plane $K = 0$ and for a pseudosphere $K = -\frac{1}{r^2} < 0$. The detailed of mathematical derivation of Gaussian curvature should be derived in Appendix-A.

8 How much rotational energy can be extracted from Kerr-Sen BH?

In a seminal work [10] Penrose & Floyd first showed how one can extract the rotational energy from a spinning (Kerr) BH. This is possible only due to the presence of the finite region of space (ergosphere)² which is located between the event horizon (r_+) and stationary limit surface (r_{ergo}) i.e. $r_+ < r <$

²Note that the ergosphere coincides with r_+ only at the poles $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$




Figure 2: This plot shows the variation of the surface deformation on a BH caused by rotation. The parameter λ determines the ‘oblateness’ of BH surface.

$r_{ergo}(\theta)$. The finite region has an important effect because it allows to extract the rotational energy from the BH. Here, we will derive this *rotational energy for a charged rotating BH in heterotic string theory* by using Eq. (22). We find the rotational energy for Kerr-Sen BH as

$$\mathcal{E}_r^+ = \int_0^J \Omega_+(\mathcal{A}_+, \tilde{J}, 0) d\tilde{J}, \mathcal{A}_+ \text{ fixed}; \quad (55)$$

Now the above calculation yields

$$\mathcal{E}_r^{KS} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{M}}{2} \left[\left(\mathcal{M} - \frac{Q^2}{2\mathcal{M}} \right) + \sqrt{\left(\mathcal{M} - \frac{Q^2}{2\mathcal{M}} \right)^2 - a^2} \right]} \times \left[\sqrt{\frac{2 \left(\mathcal{M} - \frac{Q^2}{2\mathcal{M}} \right)}{\left[\left(\mathcal{M} - \frac{Q^2}{2\mathcal{M}} \right) + \sqrt{\left(\mathcal{M} - \frac{Q^2}{2\mathcal{M}} \right)^2 - a^2} \right]^2} - 1} \right]. \quad (56)$$

It indicates that *this is the maximum amount of rotational energy we can extract from the rotating charged BH in heterotic string theory*. It also implies that the quantity \mathcal{E}_r^{KS} depends on both the charge and spin parameter.

Now we will compare the above result with the Kerr-Newman BH. For KN BH, the rotational energy yields

$$\mathcal{E}_r^{KN} = \frac{\sqrt{2\mathcal{M} \left(\mathcal{M} + \sqrt{\mathcal{M}^2 - a^2 - Q^2} - Q^2 \right)}}{2} \times \left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{4a^2\mathcal{M}^2}{\left[2\mathcal{M} \left(\mathcal{M} + \sqrt{\mathcal{M}^2 - a^2 - Q^2} - Q^2 \right) \right]^2} - 1} \right] \quad (57)$$

It should be noted that when the charge parameter goes to zero value we get the value of rotational energy for Kerr BH [12]

$$\mathcal{E}_r^{Kerr} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{M}}{2} \left(\mathcal{M} + \sqrt{\mathcal{M}^2 - a^2} \right)} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2\mathcal{M}}{\left(\mathcal{M} + \sqrt{\mathcal{M}^2 - a^2} \right)} - 1} \right]. \quad (58)$$

Firstly taking the extremal limit of Kerr BH ($a = \mathcal{M}$), we find $\mathcal{E}_r^{XKerr} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \mathcal{M}$ i.e. we can get approximately 29 percentage of its total energy.

Now taking the *extremal limit of Kerr-Sen BH*, we get

$$\mathcal{E}_r^{XKS} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2}\right) \sqrt{2\mathcal{M}^2 - Q^2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sqrt{J}. \quad (59)$$

It follows that the energy value strictly depends on charge parameter or the angular momentum parameter. This is the *last key* result of this work. To the best of our knowledge, this is the *first time* we have examined the energy extraction process for a BH in heterotic string theory.

Finally for our record, taking the extremal limit of Kerr-Newman BH ($a^2 + Q^2 = \mathcal{M}^2$) then we find

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_r^{XKN}}{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{J^2}{\mathcal{M}^4}}}{2} \left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{\frac{4J^2}{\mathcal{M}^4}}{\left(1 + \frac{J^2}{\mathcal{M}^4}\right)^2} - 1} \right]. \quad (60)$$

In the limit $J = \mathcal{M}^2$, the rotational energy is indeed equal to extremal Kerr BH.

9 Discussion

In this paper we have considered three parameter charged rotating BH solutions in the low energy limit of effective field theory in heterotic string theory. We computed the *surface energy, the rotational energy and the electromagnetic energy* for a spinning charged BH in heterotic string theory having the event horizon and the Cauchy horizon. Remarkably, we showed that the sum of three energies is equal to the mass parameter i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}_s^\pm + \mathcal{E}_r^\pm + \mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \mathcal{M}$$

Moreover, in terms of the *scale parameter, the distortion parameter and a new parameter* (σ_\pm) which corresponds to the surface area of the BH, the angular momentum and the charge parameter. We proved that the mass parameter in a compact form like

$$\mathcal{E}_s^\pm + \mathcal{E}_r^\pm + \mathcal{E}_{em}^\pm = \mathcal{M} = \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\sigma_\pm^2}{1 - \xi_\pm^2}}$$

which is valid for \mathcal{H}^\pm . Furthermore, we studied the intrinsic surface geometry of the BH. We determined the upper bound of mass and charge parameter in terms of scale parameter and distortion parameter

$$0 \leq Q \leq \zeta_\pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\xi_\pm^2} - 1}, \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{\zeta_\pm}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \xi_\pm^2}} \leq \mathcal{M} \leq \frac{\zeta_\pm}{2\xi_\pm} \quad (62)$$

We also determined the horizon radius, angular velocity, surface area and irreducible mass in terms of scale parameter and distortion parameter. We computed the equatorial circumference and polar circumference for Kerr-Sen BH which are gross measure of BH surface deformation. We proved that when the spinning rate of the BH increases the equatorial circumference increases but polar circumference decreases.

Next we calculated the Gaussian curvature for Kerr-Sen BH. We proved that there exists two class of geometry separated by $\xi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}$ for this type of BH. In the regime $\frac{1}{2} < \xi_\pm \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, the Gaussian

curvature is negative and there exist *two polar caps* on the surface. While for $\xi_{\pm} < \frac{1}{2}$, the Gaussian curvature is positive and the surface would be an oblate deformed sphere. We also derived the topology and the Euler number by using Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

Finally, we computed the value of rotational energy that should be extracted from the spinning charged BH in heterotic string theory via famous Penrose process. The maximum value of extracted rotational energy for *extremal* Kerr-Sen BH is found to be

$$\mathcal{E}_r^+ = (\sqrt{2} - 1) \sqrt{\frac{J}{2}}$$

10 Appendix-A

To compute the Gaussian curvature we have to write the metric in the following form by using Eq. (35)

$$d\mathcal{S}^2 = \zeta_{\pm}^2 \left[\frac{dy^2}{f(y)} + f(y) d\phi^2 \right]. \quad (63)$$

where

$$f(y) = \frac{1 - y^2}{1 - \xi_{\pm}^2(1 - y^2)}. \quad (64)$$

and the value of $y = \cos \theta$. We know that the Gaussian curvature [9] is defined for the above metric as

$$K \equiv K(y) = -\frac{f''(y)}{2\zeta_{\pm}^2}. \quad (65)$$

For our metric, the Gaussian curvature of \mathcal{H}^{\pm} is calculated to be

$$K_{\pm}(\mathcal{M}, a, q, \theta) = \frac{(r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm} + a^2)(r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm} - 3a^2 \cos^2 \theta)}{(r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm} + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^3}. \quad (66)$$

In terms of scale parameter and distortion parameter, the Gaussian curvature of \mathcal{H}^{\pm} becomes

$$K_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}, \xi_{\pm}, \theta) = \frac{[1 - \xi_{\pm}^2(1 + 3 \cos^2 \theta)]}{\zeta_{\pm}^2(1 - \xi_{\pm}^2 \sin^2 \theta)^3}. \quad (67)$$

When the rotation is off i.e. $a = \xi_{\pm} = 0$, we will get the spherically symmetric situation and in this situation the Gaussian curvature becomes

$$K_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}, 0, \theta) = \frac{1}{\zeta_{\pm}^2} = \frac{1}{r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm}} = K_{\pm}(\mathcal{M}, 0, q, \theta). \quad (68)$$

If one could take the value of charge parameter becomes zero then we would get spherically symmetric Schwarzschild BH:

$$K_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}, 0, \theta) = \frac{1}{\zeta_{\pm}^2} = \frac{1}{r_{\pm}^2} = K_{\pm}(\mathcal{M}, 0, 0, \theta). \quad (69)$$

It implies that the Gaussian curvature looks like a sphere. This is the limiting situation. For generalized case the Gaussian curvature is a function of polar angle θ when the the BH is rotating i.e. ($\xi_{\pm} \neq 0$).

Case-I: When $\xi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}$, the Gaussian curvature becomes zero at the poles ($\theta = 0$). Alternatively this occurs when $a = \frac{\sqrt{3\mathcal{M}^2 - 2Q^2}}{2}$

Case-II: When $\frac{1}{2} < \xi_{\pm} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, the Gaussian curvature becomes negative and there exist two polar caps on the surface. Like Kerr-Newman BH, there exist *two geometrically distinct classes* of charged rotating BH in heterotic string theory when the value of distortion parameter satisfied the following criterion:

$$\xi_{\pm} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

Say for example when we choose the criterion $\xi_{\pm} > \frac{1}{2}$, the Gaussian curvature is computed to be

$$K_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}, \frac{3}{5}, \theta) = \frac{625 (16 - 27 \cos^2 \theta)}{\zeta_{\pm}^2 (25 - 9 \sin^2 \theta)^3}. \quad (70)$$

Consequently at the pole it becomes

$$K_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}, \frac{3}{5}, 0) = -\frac{11}{25\zeta_{\pm}^2} < 0. \quad (71)$$

This class of BH geometry having the Gaussian curvature is negative. That's why the surfaces are likely to be a pseudosphere.

On the other hand if we choose the criterion $\xi_{\pm} < \frac{1}{2}$, the Gaussian curvature is computed to be

$$K_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}, \frac{2}{5}, \theta) = \frac{625 (21 - 12 \cos^2 \theta)}{\zeta_{\pm}^2 (25 - 4 \sin^2 \theta)^3}. \quad (72)$$

Consequently at the pole it becomes

$$K_{\pm}(\zeta_{\pm}, \frac{2}{5}, 0) = \frac{9}{25\zeta_{\pm}^2} > 0. \quad (73)$$

This class of BH geometry having the Gaussian curvature is positive everywhere on the surface. The surfaces are likely to be a oblate deformed sphere.

Note that as a special case at the equator, the curvature of \mathcal{H}^{\pm} reduces to

$$K_{\pm}^e = \frac{(r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm} + a^2)}{(r_{\pm}^2 + 2qr_{\pm})^2} = \frac{2\mathcal{M}}{r_{\pm}(r_{\pm} + 2q)^2} > 0. \quad (74)$$

In summary, at the pole, there exist *two polar caps* while at the *equator* there does not exist such a polar cap.

11 Appendix-B: Topology, Euler number and Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

Using the general formula of Gaussian curvature derived in Eq. (67), we can compute the topology of the surface. To evaluate the topology of the surface we can apply the Gauss-Bonnet theorem which states that

$$\iint_M K_{\pm} \omega_{\theta} \wedge \omega_{\phi} = 2\pi\chi(M). \quad (75)$$

where χ is the Euler characteristic of the surface. For \mathcal{H}^{\pm} , we get

$$\iint_M K_{\pm} \omega_{\theta} \wedge \omega_{\phi} = 4\pi. \quad (76)$$

This implies that $\chi = 2$. Like Kerr BH, the surface of the charged BH in heterotic string theory is *topologically a 2-sphere*.

Data Availability

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, “Black Holes and Entropy”, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** 2333 (1973), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333.
- [2] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, “The four laws of black hole mechanics”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31**, 161 (1973), DOI: 10.1007/BF01645742.
- [3] P. Pradhan, “Energy formula for Newman-Unti-Tamburino class of black holes”, *Gen. Rel. Gravit.* **53** 59 (2021), DOI: 10.1007/s10714-021-02836-w.
- [4] P. Pradhan, “Thermodynamic products for Sen Black Hole”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** 131 (2016), DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3976-1.
- [5] A. Sen, “Rotating Charged Black Hole Solution in Heterotic String Theory”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1006 (1992), DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1006.
- [6] A. Sen, “Black Hole Solutions in Heterotic String Theory on a Torus”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **440**, 421 (1995), DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(95)00063-X.
- [7] L. Smarr, “Mass Formula for a Kerr Black Holes”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 71 (1973), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.30.71.
- [8] L. Smarr, “Mass Formula for a Kerr Black Holes”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **31**, 521 (E) (1973), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.30.521.
- [9] L. Smarr, “Surface Geometry of Charged Rotating Black Holes”, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 289 (1973), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.7.289.
- [10] R. Penrose and R. M. Floyd, “Extraction of Rotational Energy from a Black Hole”, *Nature Physical Science* **229**, 177 (1971), DOI: 10.1038/physci229177a0.
- [11] D. Christodoulou, “Reversible and Irreversible Transformations in Black Hole Physics”, *Phys. Rev.* **25** 1596 (1970), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.25.1596
- [12] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1983).