

ON COHOMOLOGY OF (QUASI)TORIC MANIFOLDS OVER A VERTEX CUT AND AN EDGE CUT OF A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

SOUMEN SARKAR AND SUBHANKAR SAU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give the necessary and sufficient condition that when a quasitoric manifold over a product of two simplices is a toric manifold. Moreover, we show that a toric manifold over a finite product of simplices satisfies this necessary condition. Then we study some combinatorial properties of (quasi)toric manifolds over a vertex cut of a finite product of simplices. We discuss their integral cohomology rings with possibly minimal generators and show several relations among the product of these generators. In the course of generalization, we study some of the combinatorial properties of (quasi)toric manifolds over an edge cut of an n -cube. We also discuss the integral cohomology rings of (quasi)toric manifolds over an edge cut of a 3-dimensional cube.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Cohomology of (quasi)toric manifold over a finite product of simplices	3
3. Toric manifold over a finite product of simplices	8
4. (Quasi)toric manifolds over a vertex cut of a finite product of simplices	18
5. Cohomology rings of (quasi)toric manifolds over a vertex cut of a finite product of simplices	30
6. (Quasi)toric manifolds over an edge cut of an n -cube	39
References	44

1. INTRODUCTION

Davis and Januszkiewicz introduced a class of even dimensional smooth manifolds and called them ‘toric manifolds’ in their pioneering paper [DJ91]. These manifolds are a topological generalization of smooth projective toric varieties which are also known as toric manifolds. The authors of [BP02] used the term ‘quasitoric manifolds’ instead of ‘toric manifold’ to avoid any confusion. The articles like [CMS10a], [MP08] used the second naming ‘quasitoric manifold’. So we are choosing the second naming without hesitation. An even dimensional manifold M^{2n} with an effective ‘locally standard’ smooth action of compact torus T^n having the orbit space a simple polytope P^n is called a (quasi)toric manifold.

Classification of toric manifolds is an active topic nowadays, see [MS08], [CMS10a], [CMS10b], [CM12], [Cho15] for some examples. In [CMS10a], the authors classified

Date: December 3, 2021.

Key words and phrases. toric manifold, quasitoric manifold, vertex cut, edge cut, cohomology ring.

the quasitoric manifolds over a finite product of simplices. The authors of the article [HKMP20] discussed and classified toric manifolds over a vertex cut of an n -dimensional cube. Inspired by the above works, in this paper, we study quasitoric manifolds whose orbit spaces are finite product of simplices with a vertex cut or an edge cut. We note that [HKMP20] recalled the structure of the fan of a toric manifold over an n -cube. However, this is not known till now for the toric manifolds over the finite product of simplices. By toric manifold, we mean a complete, non-singular projective toric variety.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly recall the constructive definition of a quasitoric manifold over a simple polytope using a combinatorial data called characteristic function following [DJ91]. We also recollect its integral cohomology ring. Following [CMS10a], we discuss the quasitoric manifold over a finite product of simplices and the integral cohomology ring with (possibly) minimal generators. We also revisit the classification of a square matrix A having all the proper principal minors 1 depending on the determinant of A .

In Section 3, we discuss the toric manifolds over a finite product of simplices. In Definition 3.5, we define the distance between two vertices of a polytope. Let

$$P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$$

be a finite product of simplices where Δ^{n_j} is simplex of dimension n_j and $\mathcal{F}(P)$ the set of codimension one faces in P . Let

$$\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim P}$$

be a characteristic function on P defined as in (2.10). Let us fix a vertex \mathbf{v}_0 in P and \mathbf{v} be a vertex in P . Then we associate a matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ to the vertex \mathbf{v} whose columns are $\{\lambda(F_{i_1}), \dots, \lambda(F_{i_n})\}$ where $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n} = \mathbf{v}$ and ordering of the columns depends on the minimal distant path from \mathbf{v}_0 to \mathbf{v} . Then we show the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.8). *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a finite product of simplices and λ a characteristic function on P as in (2.10). If $X(P, \lambda)$ is a toric manifold then*

$$(1.1) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{v}_0 denotes the vertex $v_{0\dots 0}$.

We also prove the converse of this theorem when P is a product of two simplices, see Theorem 3.10.

In Section 4, we first recall vertex cut of a simple polytope. We denote the vertex cut of the product of simplices P along a vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ by \bar{P} . Let

$$\bar{\lambda}: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim \bar{P}}$$

be a characteristic function defined as in (4.2). Also let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a toric manifold satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{u} denotes a vertex of \bar{P} and \mathbf{u}_0 is a fixed vertex of \bar{P} corresponding to the vertex \mathbf{v}_0 of P . Then the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ associated to the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ of P can be characterised depending on the determinant of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$, see Lemma 4.3. With the same situation as above we also show that how the vector \mathbf{b} , assigned to the new facet in \bar{P} , is dependent on the vectors assigned to the other facets of \bar{P} . In Theorem 4.4, we classify the vector \mathbf{b} depending on the determinant of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$.

In Section 5, we study the integral cohomology of toric manifold $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ where \bar{P} is the vertex cut along $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ of the product of simplices $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined as in (4.2) satisfying (1.2). First we calculate the cohomology ring depending on the determinant of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ with possibly minimal generators. Moreover, we show several relations among the product of these generators of the integral cohomology ring. For $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.2). *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a product of simplices as in (2.5) and \bar{P} a vertex cut of P along a vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m}$ such that $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Then the cohomology rings $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ are isomorphic to each other if $b_i = 0$ for $i \neq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ in the vector \mathbf{b} where N_j is defined in (2.6).*

We also provide an additive basis of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. Also, for $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$ we prove the following.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 5.7). *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a product of simplices as in (2.5) with $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and \bar{P} a vertex cut of P along a vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ such that $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$. Then $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings if and only if $H^*(X(P, \lambda))$ and $H^*(X(P, \lambda'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings.*

By edge cut we mean a blowup along an edge (dimension one face), see [BSS21] for the details of blowup of polytope along a face. In Section 6, we study the (quasi)toric manifold over an edge cut of a cube where the edge consists of the vertices $\{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2\}$ and the characteristic function is defined as in (6.1) satisfying (1.2). We first classify the matrices $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1}$ and $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2}$ depending on their determinant. Then we determine the vector assigned to the new facet using the vectors assigned to the other facets for a 3-cube. We study the integral cohomology of toric manifolds over an edge cut of 3-cube. Next we discuss how these cohomology rings are interrelated and look for the conditions for isomorphism of them and its application to conclude the corresponding quasitoric manifolds are not homeomorphic.

2. COHOMOLOGY OF (QUASI)TORIC MANIFOLD OVER A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

In this section, we recall the definition and integral cohomology rings of quasitoric manifolds following [BP02]. Then we exhibit some of its properties when they are over a finite product of simplices following [CMS10a]. We also recall the classification of a square matrix A which has all the proper principal minors 1 depending on the determinant of A .

An n -dimensional simple polytope is an n -dimensional convex polytope such that at each vertex (zero dimensional face) exactly n facets (codimension one faces) intersect. Let P be an n -dimensional simple polytope in \mathbb{R}^n . We denote the facet set and the vertex set of P by $\mathcal{F}(P)$ and $V(P)$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{F}(P) := \{F_1, \dots, F_r\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a function such that

$$(2.1) \quad \{\lambda(F_{i_1}), \dots, \lambda(F_{i_k})\} \text{ span a } k\text{-dimensional unimodular submodule in } \mathbb{Z}^n$$

whenever $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k} \neq \emptyset$.

Then λ is called a characteristic function on P . We denote $\lambda(F_i)$ by λ_i , the characteristic vector assigned to the facet F_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. The pair (P, λ) is called a characteristic pair.

Remark 2.2. Let $\mathbf{v} \in V(P)$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \bigcap_{j=1}^n F_{i_j}$ for some unique facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_n} of P . If λ is a characteristic function on P , then $\{\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_n}\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}^n .

We briefly recall the construction of a quasitoric manifold from a characteristic pair (P, λ) . Let x be a point in P which is in the relative interior of a codimension d face F of P . Thus $F = \bigcap_{j=1}^d F_{i_j}$ where F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_d} are d many unique facets in P . We consider T^n as a standard n -dimensional torus, that is

$$T^n := (\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^n) / \mathbb{Z}^n;$$

and \mathbb{R}^n as the Lie algebra of T^n . Note that, each λ_i determines a line in \mathbb{R}^n . We denote the image of the line under the map $exp: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T^n$ by T_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let T_F be the d -dimensional subtorus generated by T_{i_j} for $1 \leq j \leq d$.

Consider the identification \sim on $T^n \times P$ defined as follows:

$$(t, p) \sim (s, q) \text{ if and only if } p = q \text{ and } t^{-1}s \in T_F,$$

where p is a point belongs the the relative interior of the face F . Then the quotient space

$$X(P, \lambda): = (T^n \times P) / \sim$$

is a T^n manifold. The T^n action is induced by the group operation on the first factor of $T^n \times P$ and orbit map

$$(2.2) \quad \pi: X(P, \lambda) \rightarrow P$$

is given by $\pi([(t, p)]_{\sim}) = p$ where $[(t, p)]_{\sim}$ is the equivalence class of (t, p) . We note that if M is a (quasi)toric manifold then there is a characteristic pair (P, λ) such that M is equivariantly homeomorphic to $X(P, \lambda)$, see [DJ91].

Recall that the facets of P are F_1, \dots, F_r . Suppose the indeterminate x_i is assigned to the facet F_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then following [DJ91, Section 4], we get the integral cohomology ring of $X(P, \lambda)$,

$$(2.3) \quad H^*(X(P, \lambda); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r] / I + J$$

where I and J are ideals in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ and they are defined as follows. Here I is the homogeneous ideal generated by all square-free monomials of the form $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s}$ such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^s F_{i_j} = \emptyset$. Let

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_J = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j x_j.$$

Then the ideal J is generated by the n coordinates of λ_J .

Next we discuss some properties of the characteristic vectors associated to the quasitoric manifolds over a finite product of simplices following [CMS10a]. Let

$$(2.5) \quad P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$$

be a product of m many simplices of dimensions n_1, \dots , and n_m respectively. So, the dimension of P is $n := \sum_{j=1}^m n_j$. Let us denote

$$(2.6) \quad N_s := \sum_{j=1}^s n_j$$

for $s = 1, \dots, m$. Note that $N_1 = n_1$ and $N_m = n$. Also we assume $N_0 := 0$.

Let $V(\Delta^{n_j}) := \{v_0^j, \dots, v_{n_j}^j\}$ be the vertex set of Δ^{n_j} for $j = 1, \dots, m$. So,

$$(2.7) \quad V(P) = \{v_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_m} := (v_{\ell_1}^1, v_{\ell_2}^2, \dots, v_{\ell_m}^m) \mid 0 \leq \ell_j \leq n_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $\mathcal{F}(\Delta^{n_j}) := \{f_0^j, \dots, f_{n_j}^j\}$ where the unique facet $f_{k_j}^j$ does not contain the vertex $v_{k_j}^j$ in Δ^{n_j} for $0 \leq k_j \leq n_j$. Then the facets of P are given by

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{F}(P) := \{F_{k_j}^j \mid 0 \leq k_j \leq n_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$$

where

$$F_{k_j}^j := \Delta^{n_1} \times \dots \times \Delta^{n_{j-1}} \times f_{k_j}^j \times \Delta^{n_{j+1}} \times \dots \times \Delta^{n_m}.$$

Observe that the simple polytope P has $(n \times m)$ many vertices and $(n + m)$ many facets. Note that the vertex $v_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_m}$ in P is the unique intersection of the n -many facets of $\mathcal{F}(P) \setminus \{F_{\ell_j}^j \mid j = 1, \dots, m\}$. In particular,

$$(2.9) \quad v_{0 \dots 0} = F_1^1 \cap \dots \cap F_{n_1}^1 \cap \dots \cap F_1^m \cap \dots \cap F_{n_m}^m.$$

Note that the facets $F_1^1, \dots, F_{n_1}^1, \dots, F_1^m, \dots, F_{n_m}^m$ are adjacent to the vertex $v_{0 \dots 0}$. We call two faces $F_1, F_2 \notin V(P)$ adjacent if $F_1 \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let one of F_1 or F_2 is a vertex in P . Without loss of generality let $F_1 \in V(P)$. Then we call F_1 and F_2 are adjacent if $F_1 \in V(F_2)$. We call two vertices v_1 and v_2 are adjacent if there exists an edge in P joining v_1 and v_2 . In some articles these kind of vertices are called neighboring vertices, see [Gal56].

Let

$$(2.10) \quad \lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

be a characteristic function on P where P is the simple polytope as in (2.5). Then $\{\lambda(F_1^1), \dots, \lambda(F_{n_1}^1), \dots, \lambda(F_1^m), \dots, \lambda(F_{n_m}^m)\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . So, we may assume that the facets adjacent to $v_{0 \dots 0}$ are assigned to the standard basis vectors

$$e_1, \dots, e_{n_1}, \dots, e_{(n-n_m+1)}, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

in the respective order as they are in (2.9); that is

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(F_1^1) &= e_1, \dots, \lambda(F_{n_1}^1) = e_{n_1}, \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda(F_1^j) &= e_{N_{j-1}+1}, \dots, \lambda(F_{n_j}^j) = e_{N_j}, \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda(F_1^m) &= e_{N_{m-1}+1}, \dots, \lambda(F_{n_m}^m) = e_n. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining m facets F_0^1, \dots, F_0^m , we denote

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbf{a}_j := \lambda(F_0^j) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

This gives the vector matrices of order $1 \times m$ and $m \times m$ which we can also write as an $m \times n$ scalar matrix as follows

$$(2.13) \quad A := (\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_m)_{1 \times m} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^1 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_m^1 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_1^m & \dots & \mathbf{a}_m^m \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^1 & \dots & a_{m1}^1 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{1n_1}^1 & \dots & a_{mn_1}^1 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^m & \dots & a_{m1}^m \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{1n_m}^m & \dots & a_{mn_m}^m \end{pmatrix}_{n \times m}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is considered as the j -th column vector of A , $\mathbf{a}_j^k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_k}$ is the (k, j) -th entry of the $m \times m$ vector matrix and $a_{ji}^k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the $(N_{k-1} + i, j)$ -th entry of the $n \times m$ scalar matrix. For simplicity of notation, we often denote the ℓ -th coordinate of the vector \mathbf{a}_j by $a_{j\ell}$. Then $\ell = N_{k-1} + i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n_k$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$.

We remark that to know quasitoric manifolds over P up to an equivariant homeomorphism, it is enough to know the matrices A which satisfy the definition of characteristic function, see (2.1). Here we want to notify that throughout this thesis the vectors e_i and \mathbf{a}_j of \mathbb{Z}^n are considered as the column entries of the matrices for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Definition 2.3. Given an $n \times n$ scalar matrix M , a k -th ($1 \leq k < n$) proper principal minor of M is the determinant of the $k \times k$ submatrix obtained by removing $(n - k)$ many rows and the corresponding columns from M .

Example 2.4. Let M be a 4×4 matrix given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} & a_{41} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{32} & a_{42} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & a_{43} \\ a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{34} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then 2-nd proper principal minors are

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{31} \\ a_{13} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{41} \\ a_{14} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{23} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{42} \\ a_{24} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{43} \\ a_{34} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Let A be an $n \times m$ vector matrix as in (2.13). Given $k_j \in \{1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, we define an $m \times m$ submatrix

$$(2.14) \quad A_{k_1, \dots, k_m} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{1k_1}^1 & \dots & a_{mk_1}^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1k_m}^m & \dots & a_{mk_m}^m \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

of A whose j -th row is the $(N_{j-1} + k_j)$ -th row of A where N_{j-1} is defined in (2.6). By proper principal minor of the matrix A we mean a proper principal minor of the matrix A_{k_1, \dots, k_m} .

Proposition 2.5. [CMS10a, Lemma 3.2] Let λ be a characteristic function on $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ as in (2.10) and A the matrix associated to λ as of the form (2.13). Then the condition on λ in (2.1) is equivalent to all principal minors of A is ± 1 .

Remark 2.6. Proposition 2.5 implies that the diagonal entry vector

$$\mathbf{a}^j = (a_{j1}^j, \dots, a_{jn_j}^j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_j}$$

of A is equipped with entries $a_{ji}^j = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_j$. \square

Recall that an upper or lower triangular matrix is called *unipotent* if all of its diagonal entries are units. The i -th row (respectively column) of the vector matrix $A_{m \times m}$ defined in (2.13) is called *elementary row* (respectively *elementary column*) if $\mathbf{a}_j^j = \mathbf{1}$ (respectively $\mathbf{1}^t$) and all other entries of the row (respectively column) are $\mathbf{0}$ vectors.

Definition 2.7. Let A be a matrix of the form (2.13). By ‘all the determinants of A ’, we denote the determinants of all the $m \times m$ submatrices A_{k_1, \dots, k_m} of A for some $N_{j-1} < k_j \leq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ where A_{k_1, \dots, k_m} is defined in (2.14).

The following proposition follows from [MP08, Lemma 3.3] and [CMS10a, Lemma 5.1].

Proposition 2.8. Let A be an $m \times m$ vector matrix of the form (2.13) such that all the proper principal minors of A are 1. If all the determinants of A are 1, then A is conjugate to a unipotent upper triangular vector matrix of the following form:

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_2^1 & \mathbf{a}_3^1 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_m^1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_3^2 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_m^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & \dots & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_m^{m-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)^t$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^t$ of appropriate size. If all the determinants of A are ± 1 and at least one of them is -1 , then A is conjugate to a vector matrix of the following form:

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_2^1 & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_3^2 & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_m^{m-1} \\ \mathbf{a}_1^m & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where \mathbf{a}_1^m and \mathbf{a}_j^{j-1} are non-zero vectors for $j = 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$\prod_{j=2}^m a_{ji}^{j-1} \times a_{1i}^m = (-1)^m 2,$$

where a_{ji}^{j-1} is any non-zero coordinate of \mathbf{a}_j^{j-1} for $j = 2, \dots, m$ and a_{1i}^m is any non-zero coordinate of \mathbf{a}_1^m . Therefore, the non-zero coordinates in $\mathbf{a}_1^m, \mathbf{a}_2^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m^{m-1}$ are all same and they are either ± 1 or ± 2 .

Proof. This follows from the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [CMS10a] and Lemma 3.3 in [MP08]. \square

Now we recall the integral cohomology of the quasitoric manifold over a finite product of simplices. The following result follows from [CMS10a, Section 7].

Theorem 2.9. *Let $X(P, \lambda)$ be a quasitoric manifold where $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ is a product of simplices as in (2.5) and λ is defined following (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12). Then*

$$(2.17) \quad H^*(X(P, \lambda); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m]/I,$$

where the indeterminate y_j is assigned to the facet F_0^j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and I is the ideal generated by

$$y_j \prod_{\ell=1}^{n_j} (a_{1\ell}^j y_1 + a_{2\ell}^j y_2 + \dots + a_{m\ell}^j y_m) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

3. TORIC MANIFOLD OVER A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

In this section, we briefly recall the definition of toric variety from [Ful93] and [Oda88]. A complete, non-singular toric variety is called a toric manifold. Then we define the distance between two vertices of a polytope. We define matrix A_v at a vertex $v \in V(P)$ consisting the vectors assigned to the facets intersecting at v and fix their ordering uniquely. We show that in a toric manifold over product of simplices the sign of determinant at vertices are classified by the odd and even distance of the vertices from a fixed one. We also provide necessary and sufficient condition for a quasitoric manifold over a product of two simplices to be a toric manifold.

Let \mathcal{K} be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex with m vertices which we denote by $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{K}) = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. Define a map

$$\lambda: \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

We denote $\lambda(v_i) = \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. For each $I \in \mathcal{K}$, one can define the following cone

$$C(I) := \left\{ \sum_{v_i \in I} t_i \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid t_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } v_i \in I \right\}.$$

Definition 3.1. The pair (\mathcal{K}, λ) is called a (simplicial) fan of dimension n over \mathcal{K} if it satisfies:

- (1) For $I = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\} \in \mathcal{K}$, the vectors $\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_k}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} .
- (2) $C(I) \cap C(J) = C(I \cap J)$ for $I, J \in \mathcal{K}$.

If $\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} C(I) = \mathbb{R}^n$, then the fan (\mathcal{K}, λ) is called *complete*. If $\{\lambda_i: v_i \in I\}$ forms a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n for any $I \in \mathcal{K}$, then the fan is called *non-singular*.

By [CLS11] one can construct a toric variety $X(\mathcal{K}, \lambda)$ from (\mathcal{K}, λ) . Moreover we have the following.

Proposition 3.2. [Ful93] There exists a one to one correspondence between the toric varieties of complex dimension n and rational fans of dimension n .

By rational fan we mean a simplicial fan where the simplices are lattice polytopes. We define the simplicial fan such that all vectors assigned to the vertices are \mathbb{Z} -vectors. So in our sense simplicial fans are rational fans.

Definition 3.3. A complete, non-singular toric variety is called a toric manifold.

Note that a toric manifold (in the above sense) is a quasitoric manifold, but the converse is not true. For example, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \sharp \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ is a quasitoric manifold but not toric manifold.

The boundary of the dual complex of a simple polytope is a simplicial complex, see Chapter 2 in [BP02]. The duality induces a face reversing bijection from the faces of the simple polytope and the faces of the dual complex. That is the dual of a facet of simple polytope is a vertex in its dual simplicial complex. So, assigning characteristic vectors to the facets of a simple polytope is equivalent to assigning vectors to the vertices of its dual simplicial complex.

Now we discuss when a quasitoric manifold is a toric. Let P be a simple n -polytope and \mathcal{K}_P its dual complex. So the vertices of \mathcal{K}_P are facets of P . Let $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a characteristic function and F a face of an n -dimensional simple polytope. So, $F = F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_k}$ for unique facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k} of P . Then the corresponding cone is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad C(F) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k t_j \lambda_{i_j} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid t_j \geq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.4. The quasitoric manifold $X(P, \lambda)$ is a toric variety if and only if the collection of cones in (3.1) is a complete non-singular fan.

The edges of a polytope P form a connected graph and one can have the following.

Definition 3.5 (Distance function). Let P be a polytope and v_1, v_2 two different vertices in P . A *path* between v_1 and v_2 is a sequence of edges $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d$ such that $v_1 \in \xi_1$, $v_2 \in \xi_d$ and $\xi_i \cap \xi_{i+1}$ is a vertex of both for $i = 1, \dots, (d-1)$. The *distance* between two vertices v_1 and v_2 is the minimum d and it is denoted by $D(v_1, v_2)$.

If $D(v_1, v_2) = d \geq 1$, then we may call v_2 a distant d vertex from v_1 in P .

We remark that if P is a product of m simplices as in (2.5), then the maximum distance between two vertices in P is always m . Also there may exist more than one different paths of length m .

Let (P, λ) be a characteristic pair where P is a product of m simplices as in (2.5) and λ a characteristic function on P as defined in (2.10) satisfying (2.11) and (2.12). Let \mathbf{v} be a vertex in P . So, $\mathbf{v} = \bigcap_{j=1}^n F_j$ for some unique facets F_j 's of P . Recall the vertices in P from (2.7). Without any loss of generality, we may assume at $\mathbf{v}_0 := v_{0\dots 0}$ we fix the order of columns

$$(3.2) \quad A_{\mathbf{v}_0} := \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc} \lambda(F_1^1) & \cdots & \lambda(F_{n_1}^1) & \cdots & \lambda(F_1^m) & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda(F_{n_m}^m) \\ e_1 & \cdots & e_{n_1} & \cdots & \cdots & e_{N_{m-1}+1} & \cdots & e_n \end{array} \right)$$

where the columns e_1, \dots, e_n are the standard \mathbb{Z} -basis in \mathbb{Z}^n considering them as column of $A_{\mathbf{v}_0}$ and N_{m-1} is defined in (2.6).





FIGURE 1. A distance function on the vertices of a polytope.

FIGURE 2. The characteristic map λ on a prism following (2.10).

Now we fix the ordering of the column entries in $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ as follows for a vertex $\mathbf{v} \in V(P)$. Let $D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = d > 0$. By (2.7), $\mathbf{v} = v_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_m}$ for some $0 \leq \ell_j \leq n_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$\mathbf{v} = \bigcap_{\substack{j=1 \\ k_j \neq \ell_j}}^m F_{k_j}^j$$

where $F_{k_j}^j$'s are defined in (2.8). Note that if $\ell_j \neq 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then $e_{N_{j-1} + \ell_j}$ is replaced by \mathbf{a}_j by keeping the order of other columns of $A_{\mathbf{v}_0}$ intact where N_{j-1} is defined in (2.6). See Example 3.6 for a particular case.

If \mathbf{v} is a vertex such that $D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = m$, i.e., $\ell_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then the matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ is given by

$$A_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{\ell_1-1} & \mathbf{a}_1 & e_{\ell_1+1} & \dots & e_{N_1} & e_{N_1+1} & \dots & e_{N_1+\ell_2-1} & \mathbf{a}_2 & e_{N_1+\ell_2+1} & \dots \\ e_{N_2} & \dots & e_{N_{m-1}+1} & \dots & e_{N_{m-1}+\ell_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m & e_{N_{m-1}+\ell_m+1} & \dots & e_{N_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us consider a path of length d from \mathbf{v}_0 to \mathbf{v} . Notice that at each step along the path from \mathbf{v}_0 to \mathbf{v} exactly one vector is replaced and the new vector takes the place of the vector which it replaces. That is if ξ_1, \dots, ξ_d is the sequence of edges joining \mathbf{v}_0 to \mathbf{v} such that $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \xi_1$, $v \in \xi_d$ and $\xi_i \cap \xi_{i+1} = \mathbf{v}_i$ then the matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}_{i+1}}$ is formed by a replacement of exactly one standard basis vector in the columns of $A_{\mathbf{v}_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. Note that the matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ does not alter by the choice of the path if we choose any other shortest path of length d . These matrices $A_{\mathbf{v}}$'s with this form are important for the upcoming computations throughout this paper.

Example 3.6. Consider the 3-dimensional prism $P = \Delta^2 \times I$ where Δ^2 is 2-simplex and I is the interval as in Figure 2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(P) &= \{v_{00}, v_{01}, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{20}, v_{21}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(P) &= \{F_0^1, F_1^1, F_2^1, F_0^2, F_1^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define a characteristic function $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ following (2.10). Thus

$$\lambda(F_1^1) = e_1, \quad \lambda(F_2^1) = e_2, \quad \lambda(F_1^2) = e_3, \quad \lambda(F_0^1) = \mathbf{a}_1, \quad \lambda(F_0^2) = \mathbf{a}_2.$$

Then the matrix $A_{v_{00}} = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$. Now consider the vertex v_{01} , then $D(v_{01}, v_{00}) = 1$. So, the matrix $A_{v_{01}}$ is formed by replacing one vector of $A_{v_{00}}$. By the above method we have $A_{v_{01}} = (e_1, e_2, \mathbf{a}_2)$. Similarly, for the vertices v_{10} and v_{20} at distance 1 from v_{00} , we get

$$A_{v_{10}} = (e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, e_3), \quad \text{and} \quad A_{v_{20}} = (\mathbf{a}_1, e_2, e_3)$$

respectively. The vertices $\{v_{11}, v_{21}\}$ are at distance 2 from v_{00} . So $A_{v_{11}}$ is formed by replacing two vectors of $A_{v_{00}}$. The consistency of ordering of the vectors in $A_{v_{11}}$ can be followed by using $D(v_{11}, v_{01}) = 1$. Therefore $A_{v_{11}} = (e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$. Similarly, we get $A_{v_{21}} = (\mathbf{a}_1, e_2, \mathbf{a}_2)$. \square

Let σ be an n -dimensional nonsingular cone in \mathbb{R}^n . Then σ is generated by n linearly independent vectors $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $M := (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ be the nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix. By $\det(\sigma)$ we denote the determinant of the matrix M .

Lemma 3.7. *Let σ_1 and σ_2 be two nonsingular cones in \mathbb{R}^n of dimension n . If $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a face of dimension $n - 1$ then $\det(\sigma_1)$ and $\det(\sigma_2)$ have different signs.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_1 = \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n \rangle$. From our assumption $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a face both σ_1 and σ_2 of dimension $(n - 1)$. So we may have $\sigma_2 = \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda'_n \rangle$ for some $\lambda'_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. There exists a rigid transformation $T \in SO(n)$ with $\det T = 1$ such that $\{T(\lambda_1), \dots, T(\lambda_{n-1}), T(\lambda_n)\}$ forms a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . Let $T \in SO(n)$ such that $T(\lambda_i) = e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\det(T\sigma_1) = 1$ which implies $\det \sigma_1 = 1$.

Now let $T(\lambda'_n) = \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then $\{T(\lambda_1), \dots, T(\lambda_{n-1}), T(\lambda'_n)\} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, \mathbf{a}\}$ is also a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . As $\det(T\sigma_2) = \pm 1$ we have the n -th coordinate a_n of the vector \mathbf{a} is ± 1 . If $a_n = 1$ then either $\sigma_1 \subseteq \sigma_2$ or $\sigma_2 \subseteq \sigma_1$ which implies $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is an n -dimensional cone. Contradiction arises. Thus $a_n = -1$. So $\det(T\sigma_2) = -1$ and $\det(\sigma_2) = -1$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let P be a finite product of simplices as (2.5) and λ a characteristic function on P as in (2.10). If $X(P, \lambda)$ is a toric manifold then*

$$(3.3) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{v}_0 denotes the vertex $v_{0\dots 0}$.

Proof. Repeated use of Lemma 3.7 proves this theorem. Let $\dim P = n$. Then the matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}_0}$ consists of the basis vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of \mathbb{Z}^n in their respective order, see (3.2). Thus

$$\det A_{\mathbf{v}_0} = 1.$$

Now let $\mathbf{v}_1 \in V(P)$ be a vertex such that $D(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) = 1$. Using Lemma 3.7, we get $\det A_{\mathbf{v}_1} = -1$ for all distant one vertices from \mathbf{v}_0 in P . Now consider a vertex $\mathbf{v}_2 \in V(P)$ such that $D(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_2) = 2$. Then there exists $\mathbf{v}_1^2 \in V(P)$ such that

$D(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1^2) = 1$ and $D(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1^2) = 1$. From Lemma 3.7, we have $\det A_{\mathbf{v}_2} = 1$ for all distant two vertices from \mathbf{v}_0 in P . Following similar argument we can conclude the desired result. \square

Next result shows that the converse of the above theorem is true if P is product of two simplices. Before that we discuss an example where $P = \Delta^2 \times \Delta^2$.

Example 3.9. Let P be the product of two 2-simplices, that is $P := \Delta^2 \times \Delta^2$. Let us denote the vertices and facets of the first factor by $\{v_0^{\Delta_1}, v_1^{\Delta_1}, v_2^{\Delta_1}\}$ and $\{F_0^{\Delta_1}, F_1^{\Delta_1}, F_2^{\Delta_1}\}$ respectively. Similarly for the second factor, the vertices and the facets are respectively denoted by $\{v_0^{\Delta_2}, v_1^{\Delta_2}, v_2^{\Delta_2}\}$ and $\{F_0^{\Delta_2}, F_1^{\Delta_2}, F_2^{\Delta_2}\}$. Then the vertex and facet sets of P are respectively given by the following.

$$\begin{aligned} V(P) &= \{v_{00}, v_{01}, v_{02}, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{20}, v_{21}, v_{22}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(P) &= \{F_0^1, F_1^1, F_2^1, F_0^2, F_1^2, F_2^2\} \end{aligned}$$

where $v_{ij} = v_i^{\Delta_1} \times v_j^{\Delta_2}$, $F_i^1 = F_i^{\Delta_1} \times \Delta^2$ and $F_j^2 = \Delta^2 \times F_j^{\Delta_2}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Note that $v_{00} = F_1^1 \cap F_2^1 \cap F_1^2 \cap F_2^2$.

Now we consider a characteristic function $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^4$. By our assumption on λ in (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(F_1^1) &= e_1, & \lambda(F_2^1) &= e_2, & \lambda(F_1^2) &= e_3, \\ \lambda(F_2^2) &= e_4, & \lambda(F_0^1) &= \mathbf{a}_1, & \lambda(F_1^1) &= \mathbf{a}_2. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that for a vertex $\mathbf{v} \in V(P)$, $\det A_{\mathbf{v}} = -1$ if $D(\mathbf{v}, v_{00}) = \text{odd}$ and $\det A_{\mathbf{v}} = 1$ if $D(\mathbf{v}, v_{00}) = \text{even}$. Let $D_1(v_{00})$ be the set of vertices which are at distance 1 from v_{00} . Then $D_1(v_{00}) := \{v_{01}, v_{02}, v_{10}, v_{20}\}$. Similarly, $D_2(v_{00}) := \{v_{11}, v_{21}, v_{12}, v_{22}\}$ is the set of distance 2 vertices from v_{00} in P .

Let $\mathbf{a}_1 = (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14})$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 = (a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24})$. Then by the assumption on $\det A_{\mathbf{v}} = -1$ implies

$$a_{11} = a_{12} = -1 = a_{23} = a_{24}$$

for $\mathbf{v} \in D_1(v_{00})$. Also the assumption $\det A_{\mathbf{v}} = 1$ for $\mathbf{v} \in D_2(v_{00})$ implies

$$(3.4) \quad a_{13}a_{21} = 0, \quad a_{13}a_{22} = 0, \quad a_{14}a_{21} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{14}a_{22} = 0.$$

Let F be a face of P . Then $C(F)$ is a cone in \mathbb{R}^4 where $C(F)$ is defined in (3.1). So we get the collection $\mathcal{K}_P = \{C(F): F \text{ is a face of } P\}$ of cones in \mathbb{R}^4 . Now consider two cones $\sigma_{21} = \langle \{e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, e_4\} \rangle$ and $\sigma_{22} = \langle \{e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, e_3, \mathbf{a}_2\} \rangle$ corresponding to the facets F_1 and F_2 respectively. Note that $e_3 \notin \sigma_{21}$ and $e_4 \notin \sigma_{22}$. Let $z \in \sigma_{21} \cap \sigma_{22}$. Then

$$z = t_1 e_1 + t_2 \mathbf{a}_1 + t_3 \mathbf{a}_2 + t_4 e_4 = s_1 e_1 + s_2 \mathbf{a}_1 + s_3 e_3 + s_4 \mathbf{a}_2$$

where $t_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. So,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &(t_1 - t_2 + t_4 a_{21}, -t_2 + t_4 a_{22}, t_2 a_{13} + t_3 - t_4, t_2 a_{14} - t_4) \\ &= (s_1 - s_2 + s_3 a_{21}, -s_2 + s_3 a_{22}, s_2 a_{13} - s_3, s_2 a_{14} - s_3 - s_4). \end{aligned}$$

Then two cases arise:

Case 1: If either $a_{13} \neq 0$ or $a_{14} \neq 0$ or $a_{13} \neq 0 \neq a_{14}$, then the 2-nd coordinate of both side of (3.5) implies $t_2 = s_2$. The third coordinate of both side of (3.5) along with $t_2 = s_2$ implies $t_3 - t_4 = -s_3$. Similarly the fourth coordinate implies

$-t_4 = -s_3 + s_4$. These together lead us to $t_3 + s_4 = 0$. But $t_3, s_4 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Thus $t_3 = 0 = s_4$ and

$$\sigma_{21} \cap \sigma_{22} \text{ is a cone generated by } \{e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}.$$

Case 2: If $a_{13} = 0 = a_{14}$, then third and fourth coordinates in (3.5) imply $t_3 - t_4 = -s_3$ and $-t_4 = -s_3 - s_4$ respectively. Thus $t_3 = 0 = s_4$ and the cone

$$\sigma_{21} \cap \sigma_{22} = \langle e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \rangle.$$

Similar calculation leads us to conclude that intersection of any two cones in \mathcal{K}_P is again a cone. This ensures a toric manifold structure on $X(P, \lambda)$ by Proposition 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let P be a product of two simplices and λ a characteristic function defined on P as in (2.10) such that for any vertex $\mathbf{v} \in V(P)$ the following holds:*

$$(3.6) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{v}, v_{00}) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{v}, v_{00}) = \text{even}. \end{cases}$$

Then $X(P, \lambda)$ is a toric manifold.

Proof. Let $P = \Delta^{n_1} \times \Delta^{n_2}$ be the product of two simplices of dimensions n_1 and n_2 respectively. From the notation in Section 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(P) &= \{v_{k_1 k_2} \mid 0 \leq k_1 \leq n_1, 0 \leq k_2 \leq n_2\} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{F}(P) &= \{F_0^1, F_1^1, \dots, F_{n_1}^1, F_0^2, F_1^2, \dots, F_{n_2}^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n_1 + n_2 = n$. We may assume that the characteristic function $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ is of the form of (2.10). Then at the vertex v_{00} the facets $F_1^1, \dots, F_{n_1}^1, F_1^2, \dots, F_{n_2}^2$ can be assigned with the standard basis vectors

$$e_1, \dots, e_{n_1}, e_{(n_1+1)}, \dots, e_n$$

of \mathbb{Z}^n respectively and $\lambda(F_0^1) = \mathbf{a}_1 = (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n})$, $\lambda(F_0^2) = \mathbf{a}_2 = (a_{21}, \dots, a_{2n})$.

Since $\det A_{\mathbf{v}} = -1$ for any vertex v satisfying $D(\mathbf{v}, v_{00}) = 1$ then the calculation of these determinants leads us to conclude

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{a}_1 = (-1, \dots, -1, a_{1(n_1+1)}, \dots, a_{1n}), \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = (a_{21}, \dots, a_{2n_1}, -1, \dots, -1).$$

Also, $\det A_{\mathbf{v}} = +1$ for any vertex $\mathbf{v} \in V(P)$ with $D(\mathbf{v}, v_{00}) = 2$ implies

$$(3.8) \quad a_{1p} a_{2q} = 0 \quad \text{for } n_1 + 1 \leq p \leq n, 1 \leq q \leq n_1.$$

Here we want to remark that $a_{1p} \neq 0$ for at least one $p \in \{n_1 + 1, \dots, n\}$ implies $a_{2q} = 0$ for all $q \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}$. Similarly $a_{2q} \neq 0$ for at least one $q \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}$ implies $a_{1p} = 0$ for all $p \in \{n_1 + 1, \dots, n\}$.

The $(n + 2)$ facets of P are assigned with the elements in $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ as in (2.10) where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let us denote the set of these vectors by

$$S := \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\} = S(b) \cup \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$$

where $S(b) = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the standard \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . Let F be a face of P and $C(F)$ the cone in \mathbb{R}^n defined as in (3.1). Let the collection of cones in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{K}_P := \{C(F) : F \text{ is a face of } P\}.$$

Now we prove the toric manifold structure on $X(P, \lambda)$. Let F_1, F_2 be two faces of P and $\sigma_i := C(F_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. We first show the intersection of two cones $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is

also a cone and it is a face of σ_1 and σ_2 . This leads us to different cases depending on the set of vectors S_1 and S_2 that generates the cones σ_1 and σ_2 respectively. Let us assume that $\dim(\sigma_1) = \alpha_1 \leq n$ and $\dim(\sigma_2) = \alpha_2 \leq n$.

Case 1: Let $S_1, S_2 \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$. Let

$$S_1 = \{e_{11}, e_{12}, \dots, e_{1\alpha_1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{e_{21}, e_{22}, \dots, e_{2\alpha_2}\}$$

where e_{1i} and e_{2j} are from $S(b)$, the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . For any $z \in \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$; there exists $t_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_1$, $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad z = t_1 e_{11} + \dots + t_{\alpha_1} e_{1\alpha_1} = s_1 e_{21} + \dots + s_{\alpha_2} e_{2\alpha_2}.$$

If $|S_1 \cap S_2| = \alpha$ then there exists two index sets I, J of cardinality α such that for each $i \in I$ there exists unique $j \in J$ such that $e_{1i} = e_{2j}$ consequently $t_i = s_j$. Also $t_i = 0 = s_j$ if $i \notin I$, $j \notin J$ since $S(b)$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . Thus

$$\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 = \langle e_{1i} \mid i \in I \rangle = \langle e_{1j} \mid j \in J \rangle.$$

So, the intersection of two cones is again a cone and it is a face of both σ_1 and σ_2 .

Case 2: Let $S_1 \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2 \subset S$. Let

$$S_1 = \{e_{11}, \dots, e_{1\alpha_1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{e_{21}, \dots, e_{2(\alpha_2-2)}, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$$

where e_{1i} and e_{2j} are from $S(b)$, standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n and $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ as in (3.7). As $\mathbf{a}_1 \in S_2$, there exists at least one basis vector e_{k_1} of \mathbb{Z}^n with $1 \leq k_1 \leq n_1$ such that $e_{k_1} \notin S_2$. Similarly $\mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2$ implies there exists at least one basis vector e_{k_2} of \mathbb{Z}^n satisfying $n_1 < k_2 \leq n$ such that $e_{k_2} \notin S_2$. From (3.8), we have

$$(3.11) \quad a_{1k_2} a_{2k_1} = 0.$$

For any $z \in \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$; there exists $t_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_1$, $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad z = t_1 e_{11} + \dots + t_{\alpha_1} e_{1\alpha_1} = s_1 e_{21} + \dots + s_{\alpha_2-2} e_{2(\alpha_2-2)} + s_{\alpha_2-1} \mathbf{a}_1 + s_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{a}_2.$$

If $e_{k_1} \in S_1$, then $e_{k_1} = e_{1\ell_1}$ for some $1 \leq \ell_1 \leq \alpha_1$. Thus the k_1 -th coordinate of both side of (3.12) gives

$$(3.13) \quad t_{\ell_1} = -s_{(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_1}.$$

Otherwise, if $e_{k_1} \notin S_1$ the left hand side of (3.13) is zero. Similarly if $e_{k_2} \in S_1$, then $e_{k_2} = e_{2\ell_2}$ for some $1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \alpha_2$. Now comparing the k_2 -th coordinate of both side of (3.12), we have

$$(3.14) \quad t_{\ell_2} = s_{(\alpha_2-1)} a_{1k_2} - s_{\alpha_2}.$$

Otherwise, if $e_{k_2} \notin S_1$ the left hand side of (3.14) is zero.

Now without loss of generality let us assume $a_{2k_1} = 0$ in (3.11). This hypothesis along with (3.13) implies $t_{\ell_1} = -s_{(\alpha_2-1)}$ if $e_k \in S_1$ and $0 = s_{(\alpha_2-1)}$ if $e_k \notin S_1$. Note that $t_{\ell_1}, s_{(\alpha_2-1)} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Thus

$$t_{\ell_1} = 0 = s_{(\alpha_2-1)}.$$

So in either of the sub-cases, we have $s_{(\alpha_2-1)} = 0$. Using this result in (3.14) leads us to conclude

$$t_{\ell_2} = 0 = s_{\alpha_2}$$

by similar arguments. Now this case reduces to **Case 1** with slight modification. Thus the intersection $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is again a cone and face of both σ_1 and σ_2 .

Case 3: Let $\mathbf{a}_1 \in S_1 \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_2\}$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2 \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_1\}$. Let

$$S_1 = \{e_{11}, \dots, e_{1(\alpha_1-1)}, \mathbf{a}_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{e_{21}, \dots, e_{2(\alpha_2-1)}, \mathbf{a}_2\}$$

where e_{1i} and e_{2j} are standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n and $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ as in (3.7). As $\mathbf{a}_1 \in S_1$, there exists at least one basis vector e_{k_1} of \mathbb{Z}^n with $1 \leq k_1 \leq n_1$ such that $e_{k_1} \notin S_1$. Similarly $\mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2$ implies there exists at least one basis vector e_{k_2} of \mathbb{Z}^n satisfying $n_1 < k_2 \leq n$ such that $e_{k_2} \notin S_2$.

Let $z \in \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$. Then there exists $t_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_1$, $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that

$$(3.15) \quad z = t_1 e_{11} + \dots + t_{\alpha_1-1} e_{1(\alpha_1-1)} + t_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{a}_1 = s_1 e_{21} + \dots + s_{\alpha_2-1} e_{2(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{a}_2.$$

Now we wish to compare the k_1 -th and k_2 -th coordinates from both sides. If $e_{k_2} = e_{1\ell_1} \in S_1$ for some $1 \leq \ell_1 \leq (\alpha_1 - 1)$ then

$$(3.16) \quad t_{\alpha_1} a_{1k_2} + t_{\ell_1} = -s_{\alpha_2}.$$

If $e_{k_2} \notin S_1$, then $t_{\alpha_1} a_{1k_2} = -s_{\alpha_2}$. Similarly if $e_{k_1} = e_{1\ell_2} \in S_2$ for some $1 \leq \ell_2 \leq (\alpha_2 - 1)$ then

$$(3.17) \quad -t_{\alpha_1} = s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_1} + s_{\ell_2}.$$

Otherwise $-t_{\alpha_1} = s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_1}$.

Now from (3.8) we have $a_{1k_2} a_{2k_1} = 0$. Without loss of generality, let $a_{1k_2} = 0$. Then (3.16) implies $t_{\ell_1} = -s_{\alpha_2}$ where $t_{\ell_1}, s_{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Thus $t_{\ell_1} = 0 = s_{\alpha_2}$. If $e_{k_2} \notin S_1$, then we can directly imply $s_{\alpha_2} = 0$. Using similar arguments along with $s_{\alpha_2} = 0$ in (3.17) and the other sub-case $e_{k_1} \notin S_2$ gives $t_{\alpha_1} = 0$. Thus

$$t_{\alpha_1} = 0 = s_{\alpha_2}.$$

So with proper modification this case shapes into **Case 1** and we can conclude the intersection $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ a cone generated by $S_1 \cap S_2$ and face of both σ_1 and σ_2 .

Case 4: Let $S_1 \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2 \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_1\}$. Let

$$S_1 = \{e_{11}, \dots, e_{1\alpha_1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{e_{21}, \dots, e_{2(\alpha_2-1)}, \mathbf{a}_2\}$$

where e_{1i} and e_{2j} are from $S(b)$, the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n and \mathbf{a}_2 as in (3.7). For any $z \in \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$; there exists $t_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_1$, $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that

$$(3.18) \quad z = t_1 e_{11} + \dots + t_{\alpha_1} e_{1\alpha_1} = s_1 e_{21} + \dots + s_{\alpha_2-1} e_{2(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{a}_2.$$

Note that, $\mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2$ implies that there exists at least one basis vector $e_k \in S(b)$ with $n_1 < k \leq n$ such that $e_k \notin S_2$. Let $e_k \in S_1$. Then $e_k = e_{1\ell}$ for unique $1 \leq \ell \leq \alpha_1$. If we consider z be generated by the vectors of S_1 , its k -th coordinate is t_ℓ . On the other hand, z generated by the vectors of S_2 implies its k -th entry to be $-s_{\alpha_2}$. So $t_\ell = -s_{\alpha_2}$. But $t_\ell, s_{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. This leads us to conclude

$$t_\ell = 0 = s_{\alpha_2}.$$

Now let $e_k \notin S_1$. Then k -th entry of the vector z is 0. This implies $s_{\alpha_2} = 0$. In both cases we show that the coefficient of the vector \mathbf{a}_2 is zero. Then this case reduces to **Case 1**. Thus $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2$ is again a cone generated by the vectors in $S_1 \cap S_2$ and it is a face of both σ_1 and σ_2 .

Case 5: Let $\mathbf{a}_1 \in S_1, S_2 \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_2\}$. Let

$$S_1 = \{e_{11}, \dots, e_{1(\alpha_1-1)}, \mathbf{a}_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{e_{21}, \dots, e_{2(\alpha_2-1)}, \mathbf{a}_1\}$$

where e_{1i} and e_{2j} are standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n and \mathbf{a}_1 as in (3.7). As $\mathbf{a}_1 \in S_1$, there exists at least one basis vector e_{k_1} of \mathbb{Z}^n with $1 \leq k_1 \leq n_1$ such that $e_{k_1} \notin S_1$.

Similarly $\mathbf{a}_1 \in S_2$ implies there exists at least one basis vector e_{k_2} of \mathbb{Z}^n satisfying $1 \leq k_2 \leq n_1$ such that $e_{k_2} \notin S_2$.

Let $z \in \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$. Then there exists $t_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_1$, $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that

$$(3.19) \quad z = t_1 e_{11} + \cdots + t_{\alpha_1-1} e_{1(\alpha_1-1)} + t_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{a}_1 = s_1 e_{21} + \cdots + s_{\alpha_2-1} e_{2(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{a}_1.$$

Now we compare the k_1 -th coordinate from both sides in (3.19). If $e_{k_1} = e_{2\ell_1} \in S_2$ for some $1 \leq \ell_1 \leq (\alpha_2 - 1)$, we have

$$(3.20) \quad -t_{\alpha_1} = -s_{\alpha_2} + s_{\ell_1}.$$

Otherwise, if $e_{k_1} \notin S_2$ we get $t_{\alpha_1} = s_{\alpha_2}$. Similarly we compare the k_2 -th coordinate of both sides of (3.19). If $e_{k_2} = e_{1\ell_2} \in S_1$ for some $1 \leq \ell_2 \leq (\alpha_1 - 1)$, we get

$$(3.21) \quad -t_{\alpha_1} + t_{\ell_2} = -s_{\alpha_2}.$$

Otherwise $t_{\alpha_1} = s_{\alpha_2}$. From (3.20) and (3.21) we get $-t_{\ell_2} = s_{\ell_1}$ where $t_{\ell_2}, s_{\ell_1} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. This implies $t_{\ell_2} = 0 = s_{\ell_1}$. So for all the sub-cases we can conclude

$$t_{\alpha_1} = s_{\alpha_2}.$$

Thus, the coefficients of \mathbf{a}_1 for both cones are same. This case reduces to **Case 1** with appropriate modifications. We now conclude $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a cone generated by the vectors of $S_1 \cap S_2$ and face of both σ_1 and σ_2 .

Case 6: Let $\mathbf{a}_1 \in S_1 \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_2\}$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2 \subset S$. Let

$$S_1 = \{e_{11}, \dots, e_{1(\alpha_1-1)}, \mathbf{a}_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{e_{21}, \dots, e_{2(\alpha_2-2)}, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$$

where e_{1i} and e_{2j} are standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n and $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ as in (3.7). As $\mathbf{a}_1 \in S_1$, there exists at least one basis vector e_{k_1} of \mathbb{Z}^n with $1 \leq k_1 \leq n_1$ such that $e_{k_1} \notin S_1$. Similarly $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2$ implies there exist at least two basis vectors e_{k_2}, e_{k_3} of \mathbb{Z}^n with $1 \leq k_2 \leq n_1$ and $n_1 < k_3 \leq n$ such that $e_{k_2}, e_{k_3} \notin S_2$.

Let $z \in \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$. Then there exists $t_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_1$, $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that

$$(3.22) \quad z = t_1 e_{11} + \cdots + t_{\alpha_1-1} e_{1(\alpha_1-1)} + t_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{a}_1 = s_1 e_{21} + \cdots + s_{\alpha_2-1} e_{2(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{a}_2.$$

We tally the k_1 -th, k_2 -th, k_3 -th coordinates from both sides in (3.22). If $e_{k_1} = e_{2\ell_1} \in S_2$ for some $1 \leq \ell_1 \leq (\alpha_2 - 2)$, then

$$(3.23) \quad -t_{\alpha_1} = s_{\ell_1} - s_{(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_1};$$

otherwise $-t_{\alpha_1} = -s_{(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_1}$. If $e_{k_2} = e_{1\ell_2} \in S_1$ for some $1 \leq \ell_2 \leq (\alpha_1 - 1)$, then

$$(3.24) \quad t_{\ell_2} - t_{\alpha_1} = -s_{(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_2};$$

and if $e_{k_2} \notin S_1$ then $-t_{\alpha_1} = -s_{(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_2}$. Similarly if $e_{k_3} = e_{1\ell_3} \in S_1$ for some $1 \leq \ell_3 \leq (\alpha_1 - 1)$, then

$$(3.25) \quad t_{\ell_3} + t_{\alpha_1} a_{1k_3} = s_{(\alpha_2-1)} a_{1k_3} - s_{\alpha_2};$$

otherwise $t_{\alpha_1} a_{1k_3} = s_{(\alpha_2-1)} a_{1k_3} - s_{\alpha_2}$.

Let us assume $a_{1k_3} \neq 0$. From (3.8) we get $a_{2q} = 0$ for all $1 \leq q \leq n_1$. Then (3.23) and (3.24) implies

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} -t_{\alpha_1} &= s_{\ell_1} - s_{(\alpha_2-1)}, \\ t_{\ell_2} - t_{\alpha_1} &= -s_{(\alpha_2-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

These two equations imply $t_{\ell_2} = -s_{\ell_1}$ where $t_{\ell_2}, s_{\ell_1} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Thus $t_{\ell_2} = 0 = s_{\ell_1}$ and furthermore

$$(3.27) \quad t_{\alpha_1} = s_{(\alpha_2-1)}.$$

Using similar logic along with (3.27) we have $s_{\alpha_2} = 0$ from (3.25).

Now let $a_{1k_3} = 0$. Then (3.25) implies $t_{\ell_3} = s_{\alpha_2} = 0$. This further implies (3.26). Similar argument leads us to conclude $t_{\alpha_1} = s_{(\alpha_2-1)}$. Thus with appropriate modification this case reduces to **Case 1**. So the intersection $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a cone and it is a face of both σ_1 and σ_2 .

Case 7: Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in S_1, S_2 \subset S$. Let

$$S_1 = \{e_{11}, \dots, e_{1(\alpha_1-1)}, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{e_{21}, \dots, e_{2(\alpha_2-2)}, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$$

where e_{1i} and e_{2j} are standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n and $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ as in (3.7). As $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in S_1$, there exist at least two basis vectors e_{k_1}, e_{k_2} of \mathbb{Z}^n with $1 \leq k_1 \leq n_1$ and $n_1 < k_3 \leq n$ such that $e_{k_1}, e_{k_2} \notin S_1$. Similarly $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2 \in S_2$ implies there exist at least two elements $e_{k_3}, e_{k_4} \in S(b)$ with $1 \leq k_3 \leq n_1$ and $n_1 < k_4 \leq n$ such that $e_{k_3}, e_{k_4} \notin S_2$.

Let $z \in \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$. Then there exists $t_i, s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_1$, $1 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} z &= t_1 e_{11} + \dots + t_{\alpha_1-2} e_{1(\alpha_1-2)} + t_{\alpha_1-1} \mathbf{a}_1 + t_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{a}_2 \\ &= s_1 e_{21} + \dots + s_{\alpha_2-2} e_{2(\alpha_2-2)} + s_{\alpha_2-1} \mathbf{a}_1 + s_{\alpha_2} \mathbf{a}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we compare the k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 -th coordinates of z from both sides of (3.28). Thus

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} -t_{(\alpha_1-1)} + t_{\alpha_1} a_{2k_1} &= s_{\ell_1} - s_{(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_1} \\ t_{(\alpha_1-1)} a_{1k_2} - t_{\alpha_1} &= s_{\ell_2} + s_{(\alpha_2-1)} a_{1k_2} - s_{\alpha_2} \\ t_{\ell_3} - t_{(\alpha_1-1)} + t_{\alpha_1} a_{2k_3} &= -s_{(\alpha_2-1)} + s_{\alpha_2} a_{2k_3} \\ t_{\ell_4} + t_{(\alpha_1-1)} a_{1k_4} - t_{\alpha_1} &= s_{(\alpha_2-1)} a_{1k_4} - s_{\alpha_2} \end{aligned}$$

if $e_{k_1} = e_{2\ell_1}, e_{k_2} = e_{2\ell_2} \in S_2$ for some $1 \leq \ell_1, \ell_2 \leq (\alpha_2 - 2)$ and $e_{k_3} = e_{1\ell_3}, e_{k_4} = e_{1\ell_4} \in S_2$ for some $1 \leq \ell_3, \ell_4 \leq (\alpha_1 - 2)$. Otherwise $s_{\ell_1}, s_{\ell_2}, t_{\ell_3}, t_{\ell_4}$ will not be involved in (3.29) accordingly.

Using (3.8) and similar logic as in previous cases we can conclude

$$t_{(\alpha_1-1)} = s_{\alpha_2-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad t_{\alpha_1} = s_{\alpha_2}.$$

So, this case can be reduced to **Case 1** with proper modifications. Thus $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a cone generated by the vectors from $S_1 \cap S_2$ and face of both σ_1 and σ_2 .

The other cases are basically permutations of the cases discussed above and using similar techniques discussed above. So, we can conclude that the intersection $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ of any two cones in $X(P, \lambda)$ is again a cone if (3.6) is satisfied. \square

Question 3.11. Let P be a product of m (> 2) many simplices as in (2.5) and λ a characteristic function on P as in (2.10) such that for any vertex $\mathbf{v} \in V(P)$ the following holds:

$$(3.30) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_0 := v_{0\dots 0}$. Is the quasitoric manifold $X(P, \lambda)$ a toric manifold?




FIGURE 3. Condition (3.6) satisfied but not a toric manifold.

The next example shows if P is not a product of simplices then a characteristic function λ satisfying the condition (3.6) does not imply $X(P, \lambda)$ a toric manifold.

Example 3.12. Let P be a heptagon with $V(P) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ and $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ as in Figure 3. Note that at v_0 , we consider the standard basis vectors to the facets containing it. We follow the ordering of other vectors as discussed previously in this section. Considering the shortest distance, let D_i be the set of i distant vertices in P . So $D_1 = \{v_1, v_6\}$, $D_2 = \{v_2, v_5\}$ and $D_3 = \{v_3, v_4\}$. Then

$$\det A_{v_i} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } v_i \in D_1, D_3 \\ +1 & \text{if } v_i \in D_2. \end{cases}$$

Though $X(P, \lambda)$ is a quasitoric manifold, it is not a toric manifold since the corresponding characteristic map does not generate a complete fan in the sense of Definition 3.3. \square

4. (QUASI)TORIC MANIFOLDS OVER A VERTEX CUT OF A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

The idea of blowup of a polytope along a face is studied in [GP13] and [BSS21]. The vertex (zero dimensional face) cut of a polytope is a special case of blowup of polytope. In this section, first we recall the definition of blowup and vertex cut. Then we characterize quasitoric manifolds, or equivalently characteristic pairs over a vertex cut of a product of finitely many simplices. We use the notation of previous sections.

Definition 4.1. Let Q be an n -dimensional simple polytope in \mathbb{R}^n and F a face of Q . Take an hyperplane H in \mathbb{R}^n such that one open half space $H_{>0}$ contains $V(F)$ and $V(Q) \setminus \{\mathbf{v}\}$ is a subset of the other open half space $H_{<0}$. Then the polytope $\bar{Q} := Q \cap H_{\leq 0}$ is called a blowup of Q along the face F .

If $F = \mathbf{v} \in V(Q)$ in Definition 4.1, then the blowup is called a *vertex cut* of Q . The new facet \bar{F} which arises due to vertex cut is called the facet associated to \mathbf{v} . Then from the definition of blowup, \bar{F} is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex Δ^{n-1} if Q is an n -dimensional polytope.

Let P be a product of m many simplices

$$P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$$

as in (2.5) with the vertices $V(P)$ and facets $\mathcal{F}(P)$ of P

$$\begin{aligned} V(P) &= \{v_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_m} := (v_{\ell_1}^1, v_{\ell_2}^2, \dots, v_{\ell_m}^m) \mid 0 \leq \ell_j \leq n_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(P) &= \{F_{k_j}^j \mid 0 \leq k_j \leq n_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}, \end{aligned}$$

as in (2.7) and (2.8) respectively. Let \bar{P} be a vertex cut of P at the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} := v_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$. Note that the distance $D(\mathbf{v}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = m$ where $\mathbf{v}_0 = v_{0 \dots 0}$. Then the vertex set and the facet set of \bar{P} are respectively

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} V(\bar{P}) &:= (V(P) \setminus \{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\}) \cup V(\bar{F}), \\ \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) &:= \{\bar{F}_{k_j}^j := F_{k_j}^j \cap \bar{P} \mid F_{k_j}^j \in \mathcal{F}(P)\} \cup \{\bar{F}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience of notation, the vertices of \bar{P} coming from $V(P) \setminus \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ are denoted by

$$u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} := v_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}$$

and in $V(\bar{F}) := \{u^1, \dots, u^n\}$.

Let

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{\lambda}: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$$

be a characteristic function defined as follows

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_j^1) &:= e_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n_1, \\ &\vdots \\ \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_j^m) &:= e_{N_{m-1}+j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n_m, \\ \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_0^j) &:= \mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m, \\ \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}) &:= \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \end{aligned}$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n are the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n . Observe that

$$u_{0 \dots 0} = \bar{F}_1^1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{F}_{n_1}^1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{F}_1^m \cap \dots \cap \bar{F}_{n_m}^m.$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote the vertex $u_{0 \dots 0}$ by \mathbf{u}_0 . Then the matrix associated to the vertex

$$A_{\mathbf{u}_0} = (e_1 \quad \dots \quad e_{N_1} \quad e_{N_1+1} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_{m-1}} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_m})$$

where e_i is considered as the i -th column of the matrix $A_{\mathbf{u}_0}$. Recall from Section 2 that the ℓ -th coordinate of the vector \mathbf{a}_j by $a_{j\ell}$ where $\ell = N_{k-1} + i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n_k$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$.

The characteristic pair $(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ induces a map $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ defined by

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda(F_{k_j}^j) := \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_{k_j}^j)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $1 \leq k_j \leq n_j$. In general, this induced λ may not be a characteristic function on P , see Example 4.2.

Example 4.2. Let P_1 be a prism and \bar{P}_1 a vertex cut of P_1 at the vertex \mathbf{v} as in Figure 4. Let $\bar{\lambda}^1: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a characteristic function defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_1) &= e_1 = (1, 0, 0), & \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_2) &= e_2 = (0, 1, 0), & \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_3) &= e_3 = (0, 0, 1), \\ \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_4) &= \mathbf{a}_1 = (4, 3, 2), & \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_5) &= \mathbf{a}_2 = (3, 1, 2), & \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}) &= \mathbf{b} = (2, 1, 1). \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 4. A vertex cut of a prism.

One can define a map $\lambda^1: \mathcal{F}(P_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ on the facets of P_1 from $\bar{\lambda}^1$ following (4.4). This λ^1 is the following

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^1(F_1) &= e_1 = (1, 0, 0), & \lambda^1(F_2) &= e_2 = (0, 1, 0), & \lambda^1(F_3) &= e_3 = (0, 0, 1), \\ \lambda^1(F_4) &= \mathbf{a}_1 = (4, 3, 2), & \lambda^1(F_5) &= \mathbf{a}_2 = (3, 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that λ^1 is not a characteristic function since $\{e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$ is not a basis of \mathbb{Z}^3 over \mathbb{Z} while $\mathbf{v} = F_1 \cap F_4 \cap F_5$ in P . \square

Consider the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} := v_{n_1 \dots n_m} \in V(P)$. From the product of simplices P discussed in Section 2, we recall that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = F_1^1 \cap \dots \cap F_{n_1-1}^1 \cap F_0^1 \cap F_1^2 \cap \dots \cap F_{n_m-1}^m \cap F_0^m.$$

So we can associate the following matrix

$$(4.5) \quad A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} := A_{v_{n_1 \dots n_m}} = (e_1 \quad \dots \quad e_{N_1-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad e_{N_1+1} \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_{m-1} \quad e_{N_{(m-1)}+1} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_m-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_m)$$

to the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ with the ordering of the columns as discussed in Section 2. Let us consider the following matrix consisting of N_1, \dots, N_m -th rows and columns of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$.

$$(4.6) \quad A'_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{2N_1} & a_{3N_1} & \dots & a_{mN_1} \\ a_{1N_2} & -1 & a_{3N_2} & \dots & a_{mN_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{1N_m} & a_{2N_m} & a_{3N_m} & \dots & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

where N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m are defined in (2.6). As the columns other than N_1, \dots, N_m -th columns are from the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n , then

$$\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \det A'_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}.$$

The following lemma characterizes the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ corresponding to the characteristic function $\bar{\lambda}$ on \bar{P} .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a (quasi)toric manifold where \bar{P} is vertex cut at $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m}$ of the polytope $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined as in (4.2) satisfying*

$$(4.7) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

for $\mathbf{u} \in V(\bar{P})$. Then the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ can be characterized based on the determinant of the matrix.

Proof. From the description of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ in (4.5), the matrix

$$A = (\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_m)$$

is a submatrix of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. Note that the matrix A is defined in (2.13). Define

$$B := -A.$$

Now we show that all the proper principal minors B_{Λ} of B are 1 where by Λ we mean an index set. Denote the size of B_{Λ} by $|B_{\Lambda}|$. Note that $B_{\Lambda} = -A_{\Lambda}$.

Let $|B_{\Lambda}| = 1$. That means we look into the diagonal entries of B , that is the diagonal entries of $-A$. Note that the matrix A and B are vector matrices. By diagonal entry, we mean the entries of the vector of the diagonal entries of the matrix. At the distant one vertices in \bar{P} exactly one of the columns of $A_{\mathbf{u}_0} = I_n$ is replaced by one of $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$ where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity scalar matrix. Without loss of generality, we consider $\mathbf{u}_1 := u_{10 \dots 0} \in V(\bar{P})$. Then $D(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1) = 1$. Also $A_{\mathbf{u}_1}$ is formed by replacing the column vector e_1 in $A_{\mathbf{u}_0}$ by \mathbf{a}_1 that is

$$A_{\mathbf{u}_1} := (\mathbf{a}_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n).$$

The condition in (4.7) implies $\det A_{\mathbf{u}_1} = -1$. Thus

$$a_{11} = -1$$

where a_{11} is the first entry of the column \mathbf{a}_1 . Using similar argument for all one distant vertices along with the condition in (4.7) leads us to

$$(4.8) \quad a_{j\ell} = -1$$

for $N_{j-1} < \ell \leq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ where $a_{j\ell}$ is the ℓ -th coordinate of the column vector \mathbf{a}_j . This implies that the result is true for $|B_{\Lambda}| = 1$.

Now let $|B_{\Lambda}| = d$ for some $1 \leq d < m$. Then the principal minor

$$(4.9) \quad B_{\Lambda} = (-1)^d \det A_{\mathbf{u}_d}$$

where the matrix $A_{\mathbf{u}_d}$ is associated with some vertex $\mathbf{u}_d \in V(\bar{P})$ with $D(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_d) = d$. Since $B = -A$, we have $(-1)^d$ in (4.9). We determine the principal minors depending on whether d is even or odd.

Case 1: Let $d = \text{even}$. Then from (4.7), we have $\det A_{\mathbf{u}_d} = 1$. Thus the principal minor $B_{\Lambda} = 1$, follows from (4.9).

Case 2: Let $d = \text{odd}$. From (4.7), we have $\det A_{\mathbf{u}_d} = -1$. Then using (4.9), we get the principal minor $B_{\Lambda} = 1$.

Thus all the principal minors of the matrix B is 1.

Now using Proposition 2.8, we can classify the matrix B depending on the determinants of B . This leads us to classify the matrix A , since $A = -B$. Recall that the entries of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ other than the columns of A are from the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n . Consequently, we can characterize the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. Note that

the columns of $A_{\vec{v}}$ are in a specific order which is discussed in Section 2. This characterization is given below.

Case 1: Let $\det A_{\vec{v}} = (-1)^m$. Note that other than the columns of A , the columns of $A_{\vec{v}}$ are from standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n . Thus the determinants of the vector matrix A are $(-1)^m$, where the determinants of A is defined in Definition 2.7. Recall that $B = -A$. Since the determinants of B are determinants of all the $m \times m$ submatrix of B , we have

$$\text{determinants of } B = (-1)^m \times \text{determinants of } A = (-1)^{2m} = 1.$$

Thus $B = -A$ is an upper triangular matrix of the form (2.15) and (4.10)

$$A_{\vec{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{21} & \dots & a_{31} & \dots & 0 & a_{m1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{22} & \dots & a_{32} & \dots & 0 & a_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{2N_1-1} & \dots & a_{3N_1-1} & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_1-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{2N_1} & \dots & a_{3N_1} & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & a_{2N_1+1} & \dots & a_{3N_1+1} & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_1+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case 2: Let $\det A_{\vec{v}} \neq (-1)^m$. Then at least one of determinants of B should not be 1, otherwise $\det A_{\vec{v}} = (-1)^m$. Then $B = -A$ is of the form (2.16), from Proposition 2.8. Also, the condition (4.7) implies that

$$a_{j\ell} = -1$$

for $N_{j-1} < \ell \leq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. This we have discussed in (4.8).

If $a_{jN_{j-1}} \neq 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$ and $a_{1N_m} \neq 0$ then the condition (4.7) along with an induction argument shows that all other entries of the vectors \mathbf{a}_j are only zeros for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then by Proposition 2.8, we have

$$(4.11) \quad A'_{\vec{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{2N_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & a_{3N_2} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & a_{mN_{m-1}} \\ a_{1N_m} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

and hence

(4.12)

$$A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{2N_1} & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1N_m} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the above discussion and Proposition 2.8, we get

$$(4.13) \quad \det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \det A'_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m + (-1)^{m-1} a_{1n} a_{2N_1} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}}.$$

□

The following result states how the vector \mathbf{b} ($= \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F})$) is dependent on the columns of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a (quasi)toric manifold where \bar{P} is the vertex cut at $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m}$ of the polytope $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined as in (4.2) satisfying*

$$(4.14) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

for any $\mathbf{u} \in V(\bar{P})$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 := u_{0, \dots, 0}$. Then we can determine \mathbf{b} according to the values of $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ as follows

Case 1: If $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = -1$$

where N_1, \dots, N_m are defined in (2.6) and b_i can be arbitrary if $i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_m\}$.

Case 2: If $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq 0$ then

$$b_i = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} \sum_{q=1}^n A_{(i,q)}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ where $A_{(i,q)}$ is the (i, q) -th entry of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$.

Proof. Recall that $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}) = \mathbf{b}$ where \bar{F} is the facet of \bar{P} associated to the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in P$. During the vertex cut the hyperplane cut all the n edges adjacent to the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ in P . This gives the n vertices of \bar{F} . Recall that $V(\bar{F}) = \{u^1, \dots, u^n\}$. Also note that there are m many shortest paths from \mathbf{v}_0 to $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ in P . A new vertex on the last edge of a shortest path gives an m -distant vertex in $\bar{F} \subset \bar{P}$. Continuing similarly, we get m many m -distant vertices in $\{u^1, \dots, u^n\}$. Without loss of generality, we

may assume that the m -distant vertices are $\{u^1, \dots, u^m\}$ and the other $(n - m)$ vertices are at distance $m + 1$. Thus using (4.14), we get

$$(4.15) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^m & \text{if } \mathbf{u} \in \{u^1, \dots, u^m\} \\ (-1)^{m+1} & \text{if } \mathbf{u} \in \{u^{m+1}, \dots, u^n\} \end{cases}$$

since the determinant depends on the odd or even distant vertex from \mathbf{u}_0 .

Now we focus into the matrices A_{u^1}, \dots, A_{u^m} and observe how they are formed. Let us consider the vertex u^1 and the matrix A_{u^1} . Note that $D(u^1, \mathbf{u}_0) = m$. From the simple polytope P , we recall

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m} = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \left(\bigcap_{k_j=0}^{n_j-1} F_{k_j}^j \right).$$

Also the matrix at $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ at the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ is defined in (4.5) following the ordering of the columns. Let

$$S_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} := \{F_{k_j}^j : 1 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k_j \leq (n_j - 1)\}$$

be the set of facets at $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. Suppose the vertex u^1 is on the edge E_{u^1} of P such that

$$E_{u^1} = \bigcap_{F_i \in S_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \{F_0^1\}} F_i$$

in P . Thus in \bar{P} , we have

$$u^1 = \bigcap_{F_i \in S_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \{F_0^1\}} \bar{F}_i \cap \bar{F}$$

where \bar{F} is the new facet associated to the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. Then the matrix A_{u^1} at the vertex u^1 is defined by replacing $\lambda(F_0^1) = \mathbf{a}_1$ by $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}) = \mathbf{b}$; that is

$$(4.16) \quad A_{u^1} = \left(e_1 \quad \dots \quad e_{n_1-1} \quad \mathbf{b} \quad e_{n_1+1} \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_{m-1} \quad e_{N_{m-1}+1} \quad \dots \quad e_{n-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_m \right)$$

where the relation of λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined in (4.4). Note that $\det A_{u^1} = (-1)^m$.

Similarly for $j = 2, \dots, m$ the matrix A_{u^j} is formed by replacing the column vector \mathbf{a}_j by \mathbf{b} in the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ defined in (4.5); that is

$$(4.17) \quad A_{u^j} = \left(e_1 \quad \dots \quad e_{N_1-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad e_{N_1+1} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_j-1} \quad \mathbf{b} \right. \\ \left. e_{N_{(j+1)}+1} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_m-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_m \right)$$

for $j = 2, \dots, m$. So, $\det A_{u^j} = (-1)^m$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$.

For $j = m + 1, \dots, n$, the matrix A_{u^j} is formed by replacing a standard basis vector by the vector \mathbf{b} in the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ defined in (4.5). Remember that we follow the fixed ordering of the columns which is discussed in Section 2. Consider the vertices $u^{m+\alpha}$ and the corresponding matrices $A_{u^{m+\alpha}}$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, (n - m)$. Recall that \bar{F} is homeomorphic to Δ^{n-1} as a manifold with corners and $u^1, \dots, u^n \in V(\bar{F})$. Then $D(u^p, u^q) = 1$ for $u^p, u^q \in V(\bar{F})$ and $p \neq q$. So

$$D(u^j, u^{m+\alpha}) = 1$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, (n - m)$. Thus the matrix $A_{u^{m+\alpha}}$ associated to the vertex $u^{m+\alpha}$ is generated by replacing the column $e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha}$ by \mathbf{a}_j in A_{u^j} defined in

(4.17) where $N_{j-1} < \alpha < N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$; that is

$$(4.18) \quad A_{u^{m+\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{N_1-1} & \mathbf{a}_1 & e_{N_1+1} & \dots & \mathbf{a}_{j-1} & \dots & e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha-1} & \mathbf{a}_j & e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & e_{N_j-1} & \mathbf{b} & e_{N_{(j+1)+1}} & \dots & e_{N_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $D(\mathbf{u}_0, u^{m+\alpha}) = m+1$, we have $\det A_{u^{m+\alpha}} = (-1)^{m+1}$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, (n-m)$. Interchanging the columns \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{b} in $A_{u^{m+1}}$ leads to

$$(4.19) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{N_1-1} & \mathbf{a}_1 & e_{N_1+1} & \dots & \mathbf{a}_{j-1} & \dots & e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha-1} & \mathbf{b} & e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & e_{N_j-1} & \mathbf{a}_j & e_{N_{(j+1)+1}} & \dots & e_{N_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{m+2} = (-1)^m.$$

Let $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ be the adjoint matrix of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ and $\tilde{A}_{(p,q)}$ the (p,q) -th entry of $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. Then

$$(4.20) \quad \tilde{A}_{(p,q)} = (-1)^{(p+q)} \times \text{cofactor of } A_{(q,p)}$$

where $A_{(q,p)}$ denotes the (q,p) -th entry of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. From (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19), we get

$$\sum_{p=1}^n \tilde{A}_{(p,q)} b_p = (-1)^m$$

for $q = 1, \dots, n$. Thus

$$(4.21) \quad \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{b} = (-1)^m \mathbf{1}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the matrix $(1, \dots, 1)_{n \times 1}^t$ and considering \mathbf{b} as column vector. Also note that

$$A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}) I_n$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Case 1: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Then $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$ where $\mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$ is an $n \times n$ zero matrix. From Lemma 4.3, the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ is of the form (4.12). We first show that in $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$, the columns other than N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m -th columns have only zeros as its entries. First, we concentrate on s -th column of $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ where $s \neq N_j$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$. Its entries are calculated following (4.20) when $q = s$. As $s \neq N_j$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$ then e_s must be a column vector in $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. The (s, s) -th entry of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ is 1 and its cofactor is 0 as $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Thus $\tilde{A}_{(s,s)} = 0$.

Now we look into $\tilde{A}_{(t,s)}$, that is the cofactor of $A_{(s,t)}$ in $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ where $t \neq s$. Let $M_{(s,t)}$ be the cofactor matrix of $A_{(s,t)}$ in $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ where $t \neq s$. If $t < s$, then $(s-1)$ -th column of $M_{(s,t)}$ is zero column. The s -th column of $M_{(s,t)}$ is zero column if $t > s$. This zero column comes from the zeros of e_s . Thus

$$\tilde{A}_{(t,s)} = 0$$

when $s \neq N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. So, the columns other than N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m have only zeros as there entries. Thus b_i 's have no contribution when we use (4.21) for $i \neq N_j, j = 1, \dots, m$. Note that, one can compute the N_j -th column of $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ using (4.12) for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We omit this calculation here since it is irrelevant for our context.

Let us denote the i -th row vector of $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ by \mathbf{r}_i . Then from $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$ and (4.12) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{2N_1} & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1N_m} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 \\ \mathbf{r}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_1-1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_1+1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_{m-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_{m-1}} \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_m} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n}.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{N_j} &= 0 & \text{for } N_{j-1} < i < N_j \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, m, \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_j} - a_{j'N_j} \mathbf{r}_{N_{j'}} &= 0 & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_m} - a_{1N_m} \mathbf{r}_{N_1} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = j + 1$. We can rewrite (4.21) by $(-1)^m \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{1}$. Now we go through the following row operations on the matrix $[(-1)^m \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}, \mathbf{1}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{N_j} & \text{ for } N_{j-1} < i < N_j \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, m \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_j} - a_{j'N_j} \mathbf{r}_{N_{j'}} & \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = j + 1$, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 - a_{2N_1} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 - a_{3N_2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 - a_{mN_{m-1}} \\ * & \dots & \dots & * & (-1)^{m+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the $*$'s in the last row are some integers (possibly zero). Note that from the previous discussion we have that the entries other than N_j -th column are zeros and the N_j -th entries are non zero integers for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Since $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{b} = (-1)^m \mathbf{1}$, we get \mathbf{b} as a solution of $(-1)^m \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{1}$. Thus we have $\text{rank}[(-1)^m \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}, \mathbf{1}] = \text{rank} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. Therefore

$$a_{jN_{j-1}} = 1 \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, m.$$

As $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m + (-1)^{m-1} a_{1N_m} a_{2N_1} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}} = 0$, we have $a_{1N_m} = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \det(e_1 \dots e_{N_1-1} \mathbf{a}_1 e_{N_1+1} \dots e_{N_{m-1}-1} \mathbf{a}_{m-1} e_{N_{m-1}+1} \dots e_{N_{m-1}} \mathbf{b}) \\ = (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 5. Vertices and facets of a 2-simplex and an interval.

Also left hand side is the determinant of a matrix which is at distance m from \mathbf{u}_0 . Therefore, $(-1)^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = (-1)^m$. Now we conclude that

$$(4.22) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = -1$$

and b_i can be arbitrary if $i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_m\}$, see Example 4.5.

Case2: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq 0$. Then from (4.21) and the fact $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}) I_n$, we have

$$(4.23) \quad b_i = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} \sum_{q=1}^n A_{(i,q)}$$

where $A_{(i,q)}$ is the (i, q) -th entry of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Subcase 1: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$. First, let $i \neq N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. If $i > N_{j-1}$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, then

$$b_i = \sum_{k>j}^m a_{ki}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N_{m-1} - 1$ and $b_i = 0$ for $N_{m-1} < i < N_m$. Now if $i = N_j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, then

$$(4.24) \quad b_i = -1 + \sum_{k>j}^m a_{ki}$$

and $b_n = -1$.

Subcase 2: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq (-1)^m$. If $i \neq N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ then $b_i = 0$ following Case 2 of the proof of Lemma 4.3. Also if $i = N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, then

$$(4.25) \quad b_i = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} (a_{(j+1)N_j} - 1)$$

and $b_n = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} (a_{1n} - 1)$. □

Example 4.5. Consider a 2-simplex Δ^2 and a closed interval I as in Figure 5. Let P_1 be a prism $\Delta^2 \times I$ in \mathbb{R}^3 as in Figure 6(a) where the vertices and facets are labeled in the way we discussed in Section 2. The vertex cut of P_1 at the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} := v_{21}$ produces new simple polytope \bar{P}_1 , see Figure 6(b). Note that $D(v_{00}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = 2$. We denote the facet in \bar{P}_1 associated to $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ by \bar{F} and the vertices of \bar{F} by u^1, u^2, u^3 .




FIGURE 6. A vertex cut of a prism where the facets and vertices are induced from 2-simplex and interval.

Then the vertex set and facet set of \bar{P}_1 are

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{P}_1) &:= \{\bar{F}_1, \bar{F}_2, \bar{F}_3, \bar{F}_4, \bar{F}_5, \bar{F} \mid \bar{F}_k := F_k \cap \bar{P}_1 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq 5\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}_1) &:= \{u_{ij}, u^1, u^2, u^3 \mid u_{ij} := v_{ij} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2; j = 0, 1; (i, j) \neq (2, 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbf{u}_0 := u_{00} = \bar{F}_1 \cap \bar{F}_2 \cap \bar{F}_3$. Let $\bar{\lambda}^1: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a characteristic function defined by

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_1) &= \mathbf{e}_1, & \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_2) &= \mathbf{e}_2, & \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_3) &= \mathbf{e}_3, \\ \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_4) &= \mathbf{a}_1, & \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}_5) &= \mathbf{a}_2, & \bar{\lambda}^1(\bar{F}) &= \mathbf{b}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_1 := (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13})$, $\mathbf{a}_2 := (a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23})$ and $\mathbf{b} := (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ are primitive elements in \mathbb{Z}^3 . Assume that this $\bar{\lambda}^1$ satisfies (4.14). The condition (4.14) for distance 1 vertices u_{10}, u_{20}, u_{01} implies $a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{23} = -1$ respectively. The vertex u_{11} is at distance 2 from \mathbf{u}_0 which implies

$$(4.27) \quad \det(\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_2) = 1 \Rightarrow a_{13}a_{21} = 0.$$

The new vertices u^2 and u^3 are at distance 2 from \mathbf{u}_0 and $D(u^1, \mathbf{u}_0) = 3$. From the condition (4.14) they respectively imply

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} -b_2 - a_{22}b_3 &= 1, \\ -a_{13}b_2 - b_3 &= 1, \\ b_1(a_{13}a_{22} - 1) + b_2 + b_3(a_{22} - a_{21}) &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the condition (4.14) implies that the vector \mathbf{b} defined on the facet \bar{F} is dependent on the vectors defined on another facets of \bar{P}_1 , and one can compute \mathbf{b} if both $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ are known.

Now $\bar{\lambda}^1$ induces $\lambda^1: \mathcal{F}(P_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ following (4.4). Note that λ^1 may not be a characteristic function on P_1 as the determinant of the 3×3 matrix

$$(4.29) \quad A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & a_{21} \\ 0 & -1 & a_{22} \\ 0 & a_{13} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

at the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ may not be equal to ± 1 always, see for Example 4.2. Note that

$$\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 1 - a_{13}a_{22}.$$

We now investigate how the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ changes depending on the value of its determinant and consequently classify the quasitoric manifolds on \bar{P}_1 . Note that here $N_0 = 0$, $N_1 = 2$ and $N_2 = 3$.

Case 1: Let $\det(A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}) = 0$. This implies $a_{13}a_{22} = 1$. So $a_{13} \neq 0$ and $a_{21} = 0$, by (4.27). Then

$$(4.30) \quad A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first matrix in (4.30) along with (4.28) leads us to conclude that

$$b_2 + b_3 = -1.$$

Whereas using (4.28) the second matrix in (4.30) leads to $-b_2 + b_3 = 1$ and $b_2 - b_3 = 1$, which is impossible. So $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ is the first matrix in (4.30).

Case 2: Now let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq 0$. Then the following two subcases may arise.

Subcase 1: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 1$. Then $a_{13}a_{22} = 0$. Thus either $a_{13} = 0, a_{21} \neq 0$ or $a_{13} \neq 0, a_{21} = 0$ or $a_{13} = 0 = a_{21}$. So, we may have the following matrices respectively:

$$A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & a_{21} \\ 0 & -1 & a_{22} \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, using (4.28) we have

$$\mathbf{b} = (a_{21}, a_{22} - 1, -1), \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{b} = (0, -1, a_{13} - 1), \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{b} = (a_{21}, -1, -1).$$

respectively.

Subcase 2: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = a \neq 0, 1$. Then $a_{21} = 0$ and

$$A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & a_{22} \\ 0 & a_{13} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With a similar calculation using (4.28), we get

$$\mathbf{b} = \left(0, \frac{a_{22} - 1}{a}, \frac{a_{13} - 1}{a}\right).$$

□

5. COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF (QUASI)TORIC MANIFOLDS OVER A VERTEX CUT OF A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

In the pioneering paper [DJ91], the authors came up with a technique to calculate the cohomology ring of a (quasi)toric manifold using the minimal non-face structure, see Section 2. In this section, we calculate the integral cohomology of (quasi)toric manifolds over the vertex cut of finite product of simplices depending on the determinant of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ defined in (4.5).

Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a finite product of simplices as in (2.5) and \bar{P} be the vertex cut of P at the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} \in V(P)$. Let $\bar{\lambda}: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a characteristic map defined as in (4.2) such that $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ is a quasitoric manifold, and the map $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfies the following

$$(5.1) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0 = u_{0\dots 0} \in V(\bar{P})$. Then, by Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, we can classify the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ and the vector \mathbf{b} assigned to the new facet \bar{F} . We give a presentation of the cohomology ring of the (quasi)toric manifold $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ using the techniques of [DJ91]. Then we calculate the products of the generators in this cohomology ring.

Recall the facet set of \bar{P}

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) = \{\bar{F}_{k_j}^j := F_{k_j}^j \cap \bar{P} \mid F_{k_j}^j \in \mathcal{F}(P)\} \cup \{\bar{F}\}$$

from (4.1) and $N_j = \sum_{s=1}^j n_s$ from (2.6). We assign the indeterminate x_i to the facet $\bar{F}_{k_j}^j$ where $i = N_{j-1} + k_j$ for $1 \leq k_j \leq n_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. Note that these facets are assigned with the standard basis vectors e_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We also assign the indeterminate x_{n+i} to the facet F_0^i which is assigned to the vector \mathbf{a}_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, the new facet \bar{F} of \bar{P} is assigned to an indeterminate x . Then following Section 2, we have

$$H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_{n+m}, x] / \bar{I} + \bar{J}$$

where \bar{I} and \bar{J} are ideals defined similarly to (2.3). They are discussed in the following.

The ideal \bar{I} can be determined by the minimal non-faces of \bar{P} as it is generated by square-free monomials corresponding the non-faces of \bar{P} which are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k_j=0}^{n_j} \bar{F}_{k_j}^j & \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \bar{F}_{n_j}^j \bar{F} & \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k_j=0}^{n_j-1} \bar{F}_{k_j}^j. & \end{aligned}$$

Then the ideal \bar{I} is generated by the following monomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}, x]$:

$$(5.2) \quad x_{N_{j-1}+1} \dots x_{N_j} x_{n+j}, \quad x x_{N_j}, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq N_j}}^{n+m} x_i$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Recall $N_0 = 0$ and $N_m = n$.

Note that the characteristic matrix is already determined by Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 4.3. Let us look into the vector equation

$$(5.3) \quad \lambda_{\bar{J}} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i x_{n+i} + \mathbf{b}x.$$

Then the ideal \bar{J} is generated by the n coordinates of $\lambda_{\bar{J}}$. In other words, \bar{J} is generated by n many degree one homogeneous polynomials. Next we compute the integral $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ with less number of generators depending on the determinant of the matrix $A_{\bar{\vee}}$.

Case 1: Let $\det A_{\bar{\vee}} = (-1)^m$. We characterized the matrix $A_{\bar{\vee}}$ in Lemma 4.3. The matrix $A_{\bar{\vee}}$ is given in (4.10). Then the ideal \bar{J} is generated by the following degree one polynomials come from (5.3)

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i - x_{n+j} + \sum_{k>j}^m a_{ki} x_{n+k} + b_i x & \quad \text{if } N_{j-1} < i \leq N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ x_i - x_{n+m} + b_i x & \quad \text{if } N_{m-1} < i \leq N_m. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i = x_{n+j} - \sum_{k>j}^m a_{ki} x_{n+k} - b_i x & \quad \text{if } N_{j-1} < i \leq N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ x_i = x_{n+m} - b_i x & \quad \text{if } N_{m-1} < i \leq N_m. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote the generator $x_{n+j} \in H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ by y_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and x by y . Then

$$(5.6) \quad H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y] / \bar{I}$$

where the generators of the ideal \bar{I} are reduced to the following polynomials

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & y_j \prod_{i>N_{j-1}}^{N_j} \left(y_j - \sum_{k>j} a_{ki} y_k - b_i y \right) & \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ & y_m \prod_{i>N_{m-1}}^{N_m} (y_m - b_i y) \\ & y \left(y_j - \sum_{k>j} a_{kN_j} y_k - b_{N_j} y \right) & \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ & y(y_m - b_{N_m} y) \\ & \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq N_j}}^{N_{m-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(y_j - \sum_{k>j} a_{ki} y_k - b_i y \right) \prod_{i>N_{m-1}}^{N_{m-1}} (y_m - b_i y). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Let $\det A_{\bar{\vee}} \neq (-1)^m$. Recall the classification of the matrix $A_{\bar{\vee}}$ from Lemma 4.3. The matrix $A_{\bar{\vee}}$ is given in (4.12). Then the ideal \bar{J} is generated by

the following degree one polynomials

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & x_i - x_{n+j} + b_i x, && \text{if } N_{j-1} < i < N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_i - x_{n+j} + a_{(j+1)(N_j)} x_{n+j+1} + b_i x, && \text{if } i = N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ & x_n - x_{n+m} + a_{1n} x_{n+1} + b_n x. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.4, we have $b_i = 0$ where $N_{j-1} < i < N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ when $\det A_{\check{v}} \neq 0$. When $\det A_{\check{v}} = 0$, these b_i 's are arbitrary. Though we can calculate the cohomology with arbitrary b_i 's, we are going to calculate them when $b_i = 0$ for $N_{j-1} < i < N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$; as our future interest of lies into classification of cohomology rings upto isomorphism for $b_i = 0$ for $N_{j-1} < i < N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then from (5.8), we get the following

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & x_i = x_{n+j} && \text{if } N_{j-1} < i < N_j, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m \\ & x_i = x_{n+j} - a_{(j+1)(N_j)} x_{n+j+1} - b_i x && \text{if } i = N_j, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ & x_n = x_{n+m} - a_{1n} x_{n+1} - b_n x. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity of notation, here we denote the generator $x_{n+j} \in H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ by y_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and x by y . Then

$$(5.10) \quad H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]/\bar{I}$$

where the generators of the ideal \bar{I} are reduced to the following polynomials

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & y_j^{n_j} (y_j - a_{j'N_j} y_{j'} - b_{N_j} y) && \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ & y_m^{n_m} (y_m - a_{1N_m} y_1 - b_{N_m} y), \\ & y(y_j - a_{j'N_j} y_{j'} - b_{N_j} y) && \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ & y(y_m - a_{1N_m} y_1 - b_n y), \\ & \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{n_j}. \end{aligned}$$

with $j' = j + 1$. Note that the polynomials in (5.11) are zero in the cohomology ring $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$.

Theorem 5.1. *The elements y_1, \dots, y_m, y belong to $H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and satisfy the following:*

- (1) $yy_1 = yy_2 = \dots = yy_m$, and
- (2) $y^2 = (-1)^{m+1} (\det A_{\check{v}}) yy_j$ for any $j=1, \dots, m$.

Proof. The computation of cohomology ring of a (quasi)toric manifold following [DJ91] gives $y_1, \dots, y_m, y \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. We shall prove (1) and (2) depending on the values of $\det A_{\check{v}}$. There are following two possibilities.

Case 1: In this case, $\det A_{\check{v}} = 0$ and following (4.10) and (4.13); we get

$$a_{jk} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = N_{j-1} \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, m \text{ or } k = n \text{ for } j = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, the polynomials in (5.11) reduce to the following equations

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} y(y_1 - y_2 - b_{N_1}y) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_j - y_{j'} - b_{N_j}y) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_{m-1} - y_m - b_{N_{m-1}}y) &= 0, \\ y(y_m - y_1 - b_{N_m}y) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = j + 1$. By summing up these equations altogether, we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j}\right)y^2 = 0.$$

From **Case 1** of Theorem 4.4 we have $\sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = -1$ when $\det A_{\check{\nu}} = 0$. This implies $y^2 = 0$, which proves (2) for this case. Now using $y^2 = 0$ in the equations in (5.12) one by one we obtain the desired result (1).

Case 2: In this case, we have $\det A_{\check{\nu}} \neq 0$ and we may have following two subcases.

Subcase 1: Let $\det A_{\check{\nu}} = (-1)^m$. The third and fourth polynomials of (5.7) become the following m equations

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} y\left(y_1 - \sum_{k>1} a_{kN_1}y_k - b_{N_1}y\right) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y\left(y_j - \sum_{k>j} a_{kN_j}y_k - b_{N_j}y\right) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_{m-1} - a_{mN_{m-1}}y_m - b_{N_{m-1}}y) &= 0, \\ y(y_m - b_{N_m}y) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the j -th equation in (5.13) by (5.13. j) for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Recall from the proof of Theorem 4.4 and (4.24) that $b_{N_m} = -1$ for this subcase. Using this in (5.13. m) we get $y^2 + yy_m = 0$. Also from (4.24), we have $b_{N_{m-1}} = -1 + a_{mN_{m-1}}$. Using $b_{N_{m-1}} = -1 + a_{mN_{m-1}}$ and $y^2 + yy_m = 0$ in (5.13. $(m-1)$), we get $y^2 + yy_{m-1} = 0$. Repeating similar arguments inductively, we have

$$y^2 + yy_j = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. This proves our claim (1) and (2) in this subcase.

Subcase 2: Let $\det A_{\check{\nu}} \neq (-1)^m$. Then third and fourth polynomials of (5.11) become the following m equations

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} y(y_1 - a_{2N_1}y_2 - b_{N_1}y) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_j - a_{j'N_j}y_{j'} - b_{N_j}y) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_{m-1} - a_{(m)(N_m)}y_m - b_{N_{m-1}}y) &= 0, \\ y(y_m - a_{1N_m}y_1 - b_{N_m}y) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = j + 1$. We denote the j -th equation in (5.14) by (5.14. j) for $j = 1, \dots, m$. First we fix an $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and consider the equation (5.14. j'') for $j'' = j - 1$. Then we substitute the value of $yy_{j''}$ from (5.14. j'') into the equation (5.14. $(j'' - 1)$) and have

$$(5.15) \quad yy_{j''-1} - a_{j''N_{j''-1}}(a_{jN_{j''}}y_j + b_{N_{j''}}y)y - b_{N_{j''-1}}y^2 = 0.$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.4 and (4.25), we have

$$b_{N_{j''}} = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} (a_{jN_{j''}} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad b_{N_{j''-1}} = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} (a_{j''N_{j''-1}} - 1).$$

Using $b_{N_{j''}}$ and $b_{N_{j''-1}}$ in (5.15), we get the following

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} yy_{j-2} - \frac{(a_{j''N_{j''-1}})(a_{jN_{j''}})}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} [(\det A_{\check{\nu}})yy_j + (-1)^m y^2] + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} (a_{j''N_{j''-1}})y^2 \\ - \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} (a_{j''N_{j''-1}})y^2 + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} y^2 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow yy_{j-2} - \frac{(a_{j''N_{j''-1}})(a_{jN_{j''}})}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} [(\det A_{\check{\nu}})yy_j + (-1)^m y^2] + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} y^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then we replace the value of yy_{j-2} from (5.16) in (5.14. $(j'' - 2)$). We follow this recursive process of substitution for $(m - 1)$ times which gives us the following

$$(5.17) \quad (1 - a_{1n}a_{2N_1} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}})[yy_j + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} y^2] = 0.$$

Note that if $j \neq m$ then in the recursive process at some point we reach (5.14.1). Then we plug in the value of yy_1 from (5.14.1) in (5.14. m) and carry on the process. As $\det A_{\check{\nu}} = (-1)^m(1 - a_{1n}a_{2N_1} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}}) \neq 0$, from (5.17) we have

$$(5.18) \quad yy_j + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} y^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y^2 = (-1)^{m+1}(\det A_{\check{\nu}})yy_j$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$; which proves (2) for this subcase. These equations further implies that the polynomials $yy_j + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\check{\nu}}} y^2$ are equal to each other in the cohomology ring for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Thus

$$yy_1 = yy_2 = \dots = yy_j.$$

Thus (1) is proved for this subcase. \square

In the rest of this section, we discuss the relation among the cohomology rings of (quasi)toric orbifolds over the vertex cut of a finite product of simplices. The integral cohomology ring of $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ in the case of $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ is given in (5.10)

$$(5.19) \quad H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y] / \bar{I}$$

where the ideal \bar{I} is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{n_j}, \\ (2) \quad & y_j^{n_j} (y_j - y_{j+1} - b_{N_j} y), \\ (3) \quad & y (y_j - y_{j+1} - b_{N_j} y) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ where $y_1 = y_{m+1}$. Note that the ideal \bar{I} depends on the entries of the vector \mathbf{b} .

Theorem 5.2. *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a product of simplices as in (2.5) and \bar{P} is a vertex cut of P along a vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m}$ such that $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Then the cohomology rings $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ are isomorphic to each other if $b_i = 0$ for $i \neq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ in the vector \mathbf{b} assigned to the new facet \bar{F} .*

Proof. Let us define two quasitoric manifolds over \bar{P} by two characteristic functions $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}'$. Let (A, \mathbf{b}) and (A', \mathbf{b}') be the characteristic matrices associated to $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}'$ respectively. Also the quasitoric manifolds are denoted by $X(A, \mathbf{b})$ and $X(A', \mathbf{b}')$ respectively. In Lemma 4.3 we have classified the matrices A and A' . Also from Theorem 4.4, we get $\sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = -1$. And from the hypothesis, $b_i = 0$ for $i \neq N_j$. Let ℓ be an integer which satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b_{N_j} \equiv \ell \pmod{m}$. Thus $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$.

Let $\mathbf{b}' = (b'_1, \dots, b'_n)^t$ such that

$$b'_{N_\ell} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad b'_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq N_\ell.$$

Then $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b'_{N_j} = \ell$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b_{N_j} \equiv \ell \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b'_{N_j} \pmod{m}.$$

This implies that there exists an integer k such that

$$(5.20) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b_{N_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b'_{N_j} + mk = 0.$$

Let $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$ be the ideals of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]$ such that

$$H^*(X(A, \mathbf{b})) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y] / \bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}} \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(X(A', \mathbf{b}')) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y] / \bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$$

where $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$ are described as in (5.19). Now let

$$c_j := \sum_{i=1}^j (b_{N_i} - b'_{N_i}) + k \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_m := k.$$

So, c_j is an integer for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and they satisfy the following two conditions

$$(5.21) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m c_j = 0 \text{ (using (5.20))} \quad \text{and} \\ c_j - c_{j-1} = b_{N_j} - b'_{N_j} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m$$

where $c_0 := c_m$.

Now we consider an automorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]$ which is defined by

$$\phi(y_j) = y_j + c_j y \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(y) = y.$$

We now show that this ϕ induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\phi}: H^*(X(A', \mathbf{b}')) \rightarrow H^*(X(A, \mathbf{b})).$$

For that, we need to show the generators of $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$ maps to $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}}$ through ϕ . Let us inspect the generators of $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$ given in (5.7) one by one. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y_j^{n_j} (y_j - y_{j+1} - b'_{N_j} y)) &= (y_j + c_j y)^{n_j} (y_j - y_{j+1} + (c_j - c_{j+1} - b'_{N_j}) y) \\ &= (y_j + c_j y)^{n_j} (y_j - y_{j+1} - b_{N_j} y) \quad \text{(using (5.21))} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{in } H^*(X(A, \mathbf{b})). \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Similar calculation gives

$$\phi(y(y_j - y_{j+1} - b'_{N_j} y)) = y(y_j - y_{j+1} - b_{N_j} y) = 0 \quad \text{in } H^*(X(A, \mathbf{b})).$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\prod_{j=1}^m y_j\right) &= \prod_{j=1}^m (y_j + c_j y) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j y \prod_{i \neq j} y_i) \quad \text{(as } y^2 = 0, \text{ from Lemma 5.1)} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j\right) y y_1^{m-1} \quad \text{(as } y y_j = y y_1 \text{ for any } j, \text{ from Lemma 5.1)} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{(using (5.21))} \end{aligned}$$

in $H^*(X(A, \mathbf{b}))$. Thus we have shown that ϕ induces a graded ring homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: H^*(X(A', \mathbf{b}')) \rightarrow H^*(X(A, \mathbf{b}))$. Similarly we can construct the inverse of $\tilde{\phi}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\phi}(y_j) = y_j - c_j y \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\phi}(y) = y$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. This proves our claim. \square

Remark 5.3. If $n_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ in Theorem 5.2, i.e. P is an m -cube, one can have a similar result in [HKMP20].

Proposition 5.4. Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a finite product of simplices as in (2.5) and n_{j_1}, \dots, n_{j_s} are greater than 1 for some $\{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a (quasi)toric manifold as discussed previously in this chapter. Then the following elements forms an additive basis of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$:

- (1) $y_i y_j$ where $1 \leq i < j \leq m$,
- (2) y_j^2 if $n_j \in \{n_{j_1}, \dots, n_{j_s}\}$, and

(3) yy_j for any $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. From (5.11) we have $y_i y_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq m$), y_j^2 and yy_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ generate $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. Lemma 5.1 implies that $yy_i = yy_j$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. If $n_j = 1$, then y_j^2 can be represented as a linear combination of $y_i y_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq m$) and yy_j ; see (5.7) and (5.11). So, we do not need to consider y_j^2 as generators if $n_j = 1$. Thus the number of generators are now updated to $\binom{m}{2} + s + 1$.

Let us denote the rank of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ by $R(H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})))$. Now we show

$$R(H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))) = \binom{m}{2} + s + 1$$

to conclude our claim. The equivariant connected sum of $\prod_{j=1}^m \mathbb{C}P^{n_j}$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ at a T^n -fixed point with an orientation reversing map is a (quasi)toric manifold over \bar{P} and its i -th Betti number is $h_i(\bar{P})$. Here $h_i(\bar{P})$ is the i -th h -vector of \bar{P} , see [DJ91, Section 3]. Note that the i -th Betti number of $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ is $h_i(\bar{P})$. So the second Betti number of the equivariant connected sum of $\prod_{j=1}^m \mathbb{C}P^{n_j}$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is $\binom{m}{2} + s + 1$. Thus the rank of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ is $\binom{m}{2} + s + 1$. \square

For an element $z \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$, the annihilator of z is defined by

$$\text{Ann}(z) = \{w \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \mid zw = 0 \text{ in } H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))\}.$$

Since $\{\bar{F}, \bar{F}_{n_j}^j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ are non-faces of \bar{P} , then $\text{Ann}(cy)$ is of rank m for a nonzero constant c . The following lemma discusses about the converse.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a finite product of simplices as in (2.5) with $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ and $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ is a (quasi)toric manifold over the vertex cut at $\tilde{v} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m}$ of P as discussed previously in this chapter. If $\text{Ann}(z)$ is of rank m for a $z \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and $\det A = (-1)^m$, then z is a constant multiple of y .*

Proof. As $z \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$, let $z = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j y_j + cy$ for some integers c_1, \dots, c_m, c . Let $w \in \text{Ann}(z)$. Then there exists integers d_1, \dots, d_m, d such that $w = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j y_j + dy$ satisfying

$$(5.22) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j y_j + cy \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j y_j + dy \right) = 0.$$

We have $zw \in H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. Also we have the additive basis of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ from Proposition 5.4. Let P be the product of s -many simplices Δ^{n_j} with $n_j \geq 2$ and the other simplices are intervals. Without loss of generality, we assume the first $(m-s)$ -many simplices are intervals. Then the basis elements of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ are

$$yy_1, \quad y_i y_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq m) \quad \text{and} \quad y_j^2 \quad \text{for } j = (m-s+1), \dots, m.$$

Case1: Let $s = 0$. From (5.22) and the fact $yy_1 = yy_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$(5.23) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j d_j) y_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i < j}}^{j=m} (c_i d_j + c_j d_i) y_i y_j + \left(c \left(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j \right) d - cd \right) yy_1 = 0.$$

As the linear equation involves only basis elements, all the coefficients are equal to zero. That is

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} c_j d_j &= 0, & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m \\ c_i d_j + c_j d_i &= 0, & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m \end{aligned}$$

$$c\left(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j\right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j\right)d - cd = 0.$$

As $\text{Ann}(z) = m$, there exists coefficient $d_j \neq 0$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m$. This implies $c_j = 0$ from the first set of equations in (5.24). Thus from the second set of equations in (5.24) we conclude $c_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. This proves z is a constant multiple of y .

Case 2: Let $s > 0$. Also, by assumption $P = I^{m-s} \times \prod_{j=1}^s \Delta^{n_j}$ where $I = [0, 1]$. Then from (5.11), y_j^2 can be written as a linear combination of $y_j y_{j+1}$ and yy_j for $j = 1, \dots, (m-s)$. We define an index set

$$I := \{(i, j) : i = j + 1, i = 1, \dots, (m-s)\}.$$

Note that $|I| = s$. From (5.22) and the fact $yy_1 = yy_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, we get

$$(5.25) \quad \sum_{j=m-s+1}^m (c_j d_j) y_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i=1 \\ i < j \\ (i,j) \notin I}}^{j=m} (c_i d_j + c_j d_i) y_i y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in I} (c_i d_j + c_j d_i + a_{jN_i} c_i d_i) y_i y_j$$

$$+ \left(c\left(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j\right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j\right)d - cd + \sum_{j=1}^{m-s} (c_j d_j) b_{N_j}\right) y y_1 = 0.$$

Other than the coefficients of $y_i y_j$ for $(i, j) \in I$ we consider the coefficients of $y_i y_j$ for $j = i + 1$, $i = (m-s+1, \dots, m-1)$ and $y_1 y_m$. Hence we get the following

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_2 + c_1 a_{2N_1} & c_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & c_3 + c_2 a_{3N_2} & c_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & c_{s+1} + c_s a_{s+1N_s} & c_s & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_{s+2} & c_{s+1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_m & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & c_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_s \\ d_{s+1} \\ \vdots \\ d_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\text{Ann}(z)$ is of rank m , then the $m \times m$ matrix is of rank at most 1. Thus, we have $c_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. So we can conclude that z is a nonzero constant multiple of y . \square

Remark 5.6. In the previous lemma, if $s = 0$ i.e. P involves no interval in its product then we do not need the assumption $\det A_{\tilde{v}} = (-1)^m$ to prove the result in Theorem 5.5. \square

Theorem 5.7. Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a product of simplices as in (2.5) with $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and \bar{P} is a vertex cut of P along a vertex \tilde{v} such that $\det A_{\tilde{v}} = (-1)^m$. Then $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings if and only if $H^*(X(P, \lambda))$ and $H^*(X(P, \lambda'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings.

Proof. First let $H^*(X(P, \lambda))$ and $H^*(X(P, \lambda'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings. Note that $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ is a blowup of $X(P, \lambda)$ along $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ where π is the orbit map defined as in (2.2). This implies

$$X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}) = X(P, \lambda) \sharp \mathbb{C}P^n$$

where \sharp denotes the connected sum of two spaces. Also note that here $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is equivariantly connected with reversed orientation. Similarly $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}')$ is a blowup of $X(P, \lambda')$ along $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})$. This implies the isomorphism of $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$ as graded rings. Therefore, ‘if’ part is concluded.

Now let

$$\bar{\Phi} : H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \rightarrow H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$$

be an isomorphism as graded rings. Recall that $\{y_1, \dots, y_m, y\}$ is an additive basis of $H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ as well as $H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$. Thus $\bar{\Phi}$ induces an automorphism Φ of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]$ such that

$$\Phi(\bar{I}) = \bar{I}'$$

where \bar{I} and \bar{I}' are ideals generated as in (5.10) for characteristic maps $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}'$ respectively. From Lemma 5.5, we have $\bar{\Phi}(y) = y$ upto sign. Thus $\bar{\Phi}$ induces an automorphism ϕ of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ satisfying $\phi(I) = I'$. This proves the ‘only if’ part of our claim. \square

6. (QUASI)TORIC MANIFOLDS OVER AN EDGE CUT OF AN n -CUBE

Recall the definition of blowup from Definition 4.1. Edge cut of a simple polytope is a blowup along an edge (one dimensional face). If \bar{P} is an edge cut of an n dimensional simple polytope P then the new facet \bar{F} in \bar{P} is homeomorphic to $(\Delta^{n-2} \times I)$ as a manifold with corners where Δ^{n-2} is a simplex of dimension $(n-2)$ and I is an interval. In this section, we discuss the rigidity of quasitoric manifolds over the edge cut of an n -cube.

Let P be an n -cube with the vertex set and facet set defined similar to (2.7) and (2.8) respectively. Interestingly, we can realize the facet set of P by $\mathcal{F}(P) = \{F_1, \dots, F_n, F_{n+1}, \dots, F_{2n}\}$ where

$$F_j = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_j = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{n+j} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_j = 1\}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Note that $v_{0\dots 0} = F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_n$.

Let \bar{P} be the edge cut along an edge ξ where $V(\xi) = \{v_{11\dots 10}, v_{11\dots 11}\}$. For simplicity of notation, we denote $v_{11\dots 10} := \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1$ and $v_{11\dots 11} := \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2$. Note that $D(\mathbf{v}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1) = (n-1)$ and $D(\mathbf{v}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2) = n$ where $\mathbf{v}_0 := v_{0\dots 0}$. The facets of \bar{P} are $\mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) := \{\bar{F}_1, \dots, \bar{F}_{2n}, \bar{F}\}$ where

$$\bar{F}_i = F_i \cap \bar{P} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 2n$$

$$\bar{F} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n = c \text{ for some } c \in (\sqrt{n-2}, \sqrt{n-1})\}.$$

If \mathbf{v}_I is a vertex in $V(P) \setminus \{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2\}$, then we denote the corresponding vertex in \bar{P} by \mathbf{u}_I where I is the indexing set. Note that exactly $(n-1)$ vertices arise in place of both $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2$. By $\{\mathbf{u}_i^1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_i^{n-1}\}$ we denote the set of vertices which arises in place of $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let a characteristic function

$$(6.1) \quad \bar{\lambda} : \bar{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$$

be defined as follows

$$\bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_i) = \begin{cases} e_j & \text{if } i = j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \mathbf{a}_j & \text{if } i = n + j \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, m, \\ \mathbf{b} & \text{if } \bar{F}_i = \bar{F} \end{cases}$$

such that it satisfies

$$(6.2) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0 := u_{0\dots 0}$. We can induce a map $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ from $\bar{\lambda}$ by

$$(6.3) \quad \lambda(F_i) := \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_i)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$. Note that, the map λ may not be a characteristic function. We consider the following two matrices

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1} &= (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}, e_n), \\ A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2} &= (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}, \mathbf{a}_n) \end{aligned}$$

associated to the facets at the vertices $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2$ respectively with the fixed ordering of the column vectors as discussed in Section 3.

The distance one vertices in \bar{P} with condition (6.2) implies $a_{jj} = -1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. The vertices $\mathbf{u}_1^1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_1^{n-1}$ which arise in place of $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1$ are $(n-1)$ distant from \mathbf{u}_0 . The matrix $A_{\mathbf{u}_1^i}$ is defined by replacing \mathbf{a}_i in the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1}$ by \mathbf{b} for $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)$ that is

$$(6.4) \quad A_{\mathbf{u}_1^i} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}, e_n).$$

Note that $\det A_{\mathbf{u}_1^i} = (-1)^{n-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)$. The vertices $\mathbf{u}_2^1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_2^{n-1}$ which arise in place of $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2$ satisfy $D(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_2^i) = n$ for $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)$. The matrix

$$(6.5) \quad A_{\mathbf{u}_2^i} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}, \mathbf{a}_n)$$

is defined by replacing \mathbf{a}_i by \mathbf{b} in $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2}$ for $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)$. Note that $\det A_{\mathbf{u}_2^i} = (-1)^n$ for $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)$.

The conditions in (6.2) on $\bar{\lambda}$ and Proposition 2.8 implies

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & a_{3n} & \dots & a_{(n-2)n} & a_{(n-1)n} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1} = 0 \\ A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{21} & a_{31} & \dots & a_{(n-2)1} & a_{(n-1)1} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & a_{32} & \dots & a_{(n-2)2} & a_{(n-1)2} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & a_{(n-1)(n-2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & a_{3n} & \dots & a_{(n-2)n} & a_{(n-1)n} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } \det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1} = (-1)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Let the co-factor matrix of the $n \times n$ -th entry of the matrix $A_{\tilde{v}_1}$ is denoted by $C_{n \times n}$ for future references.

In the remaining of the paper, we assume P be a 3-cube I^3 in \mathbb{R}^3 and discuss the classification of quasitoric manifold over an edge cut of P . Let $V(I) = \{v_0, v_1\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(I) = \{F_0, F_1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(P) &= \{v_{000}, v_{001}, v_{010}, v_{011}, v_{100}, v_{101}, v_{110}, v_{111}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(P) &= \{F_0^1, F_1^1, F_0^2, F_1^2, F_0^3, F_1^3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here for example, $v_{010} = (v_0, v_1, v_0) \in V(I^3)$ and $F_1^1 = I \times F_1 \times I$, see Section 2. Let \bar{P} be an edge cut of I^3 along the face F where $V(F) = \{v_{110}, v_{111}\}$. Then the new facet \bar{F} is homeomorphic to $I \times I$ as a manifold with corners. For simplicity of notation we denote $\tilde{v}_1 := v_{110}$ and $\tilde{v}_2 := v_{111}$. Due to the edge cut exactly two vertices arise in place of \tilde{v}_1 as well as \tilde{v}_2 . We name them $u_1^1, u_1^2, u_2^1, u_2^2$ respectively. The vertex and facet sets of \bar{P} are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{P}) &= \{u_{000}, u_{001}, u_{010}, u_{011}, u_{100}, u_{101}, u_1^1, u_1^2, u_2^1, u_2^2\} \\ \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) &= \{\bar{F}_0^1, \bar{F}_1^1, \bar{F}_0^2, \bar{F}_1^2, \bar{F}_0^3, \bar{F}_1^3, \bar{F}\} \end{aligned}$$

where the facets $\bar{F}_{kj}^j := F_{kj}^j \cap \bar{P}$ and $u_{ijk} := v_{ijk}$ with $(i, j, k) \neq (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1)$ and $i, j, k \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a toric manifold in the sense of Section 3 where $\bar{\lambda}: \bar{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ is defined by

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_1^1) &= e_1, & \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_1^2) &= e_2, & \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_1^3) &= e_3, \\ \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_0^1) &= \mathbf{a}_1, & \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_0^2) &= \mathbf{a}_2, & \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_0^3) &= \mathbf{a}_3, & \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}) &= \mathbf{b}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose this function satisfies the condition in (6.2). The distance one vertices $u_{001}, u_{010}, u_{100}$ from u_{000} along with (6.2) implies $a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33} = -1$. The distance two vertices u_{011}, u_{101} from u_{000} and (6.2) implies

$$(6.8) \quad a_{13}a_{31} = 0 = a_{23}a_{32}.$$

The new vertices u_1^1 and u_1^2 are at distance two from $\mathbf{u}_0 := u_{000}$ in \bar{P} . Thus we have the following

$$(6.9) \quad -b_1a_{12} - b_2 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad -b_1 - b_2a_{21} = 1.$$

Also the vertices u_2^1 and u_2^2 arise in place of \tilde{v}_2 and they are at distance 3 from \mathbf{u}_0 . Then we get

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} -b_1(-a_{12} - a_{13}a_{32}) + b_2 - b_3(-a_{32} - a_{12}a_{31}) &= -1, \quad \text{and} \\ b_1 - b_2(-a_{21} - a_{23}a_{31}) + b_3(a_{21}a_{32} + a_{31}) &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

From $\bar{\lambda}$ on \bar{P} we can induce $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ using (4.4) which may not be a characteristic function on P . However, for this map, we can define the following matrices

$$(6.11) \quad A_{\tilde{v}_1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{21} & 0 \\ a_{12} & -1 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\tilde{v}_2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{12} & -1 & a_{32} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

associated to the facets at the vertices \tilde{v}_1 and \tilde{v}_2 respectively. At the other vertices of P , the associated matrices are same as the matrices associated to corresponding vertices of \bar{P} . That is $A_{u_{ijk}} := A_{v_{ijk}}$ for $(i, j, k) \neq (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1)$ with $i, j, k \in$




FIGURE 7. Toric manifold over an edge cut of a cube and induced λ on the cube.

$\{0, 1\}$. We can classify the toric manifolds according to determinants of matrices in (6.11).

Case 0: Let $\det A_{\tilde{v}_1} = 0$. Then $a_{12}a_{21} = 1$. This implies $a_{12} = 1 = a_{21}$, otherwise (6.9) will lead us to an ambiguity. Thus

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} b_1 + b_2 &= -1, \\ b_1(a_{13}a_{32}) + b_3(a_{31} + a_{32}) &= 0, \\ b_2(a_{23}a_{31}) + b_3(a_{31} + a_{32}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $A_{\tilde{v}_2}$ is conjugate to

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & a_{31} \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{23} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} = 0$ and $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} = a_{23}a_{31} \neq 0$ respectively. For $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} = a_{23}a_{31} \neq 0$, we have $b_3a_{31} = 0 = b_2(a_{23}a_{31}) + b_3a_{31}$ from (6.12). Thus $\mathbf{b} = (-1, 0, 0)$, since $a_{31} \neq 0$.

Case 1: Let $\det A_{\tilde{v}_1} = 1$. Then all proper principal minors of the matrix $B := -A_{\tilde{v}_2}$ are 1. Then we can classify the matrix B as well as $A_{\tilde{v}_2}$ depending on their determinant using Proposition 2.8. Thus we have $A_{\tilde{v}_2}$ is conjugate to

$$(6.13) \quad A_{\tilde{v}_2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ 0 & -1 & a_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & a_{32} \\ a_{13} & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} = (-1)^3$ and $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} \neq (-1)^3$ respectively. In the second matrix a_{13}, a_{21}, a_{32} are the nonzero entries and $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} = (-1)^3 + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}$.

In any of the above subcases we have b_1 and b_2 as following

$$(6.14) \quad b_1 = a_{21} - 1, \quad b_2 = -1.$$

If $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} = (-1)^3$, then

$$(6.15) \quad b_3a_{32} = 0 = b_3a_{31};$$

and if $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} \neq (-1)^3$, then

$$(6.16) \quad b_3a_{32} + (a_{21} - 1)a_{13}a_{32} = 0 = b_3(a_{21}a_{32}).$$

As a_{21} and a_{32} are nonzero integers for $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} \neq (-1)^3$, we have $b_3 = 0$. Thus for $\det A_{\tilde{v}_2} \neq (-1)^3$, we get $\mathbf{b} = (a_{21} - 1, -1, 0)$.

Now we study the cohomology of edge cut of 3-cube and investigate if there is any relation among them. Let us assign the indeterminates $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3$ and y to the facets $\bar{F}_1^1, \bar{F}_1^2, \bar{F}_1^3, \bar{F}_0^1, \bar{F}_0^2, \bar{F}_0^3$ and \bar{F} respectively. The integer cohomology ring of the edge cut of 3-cube is

$$(6.17) \quad H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y]/I$$

where I is an ideal generated by

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{aligned} (1) & y_i \left(y_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{j=3} a_{ji} y_j - b_i y \right) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \\ (2) & y(y_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{j=3} a_{ji} y_j - b_i y) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \\ (3) & y_1 y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Case 0: Let $\det A_{\bar{v}_1} = 0$. If $\det A_{\bar{v}_2} = 0$, then the ideal I is generated by

$$(6.19) \quad I = \langle y_1(y_1 - y_2 - b_1 y), y_2(y_2 - y_1 - b_2 y), y_3(y_3 - a_{13} y_1 - a_{23} y_2 - b_3 y), \\ y(y_1 - y_2 - b_1 y), y(y_2 - y_1 - b_2 y), y_1 y_2 \rangle.$$

As $y_1 y_2 = 0$, the first generating polynomial becomes $y_1(y_1 - b_1 y)$ and the second generating polynomial becomes $y_2(y_2 - b_2 y)$. If $\det A_{\bar{v}_2} = a_{23} a_{31} \neq 0$, the ideal I is generated by

$$(6.20) \quad I = \langle y_1(y_1 - y_2 - a_{31} y_3 + y), y_2(y_2 - y_1), y_3(y_3 - a_{23} y_2), \\ y(y_1 - y_2 - a_{31} y_3 + y), y(y_2 - y_1), y_1 y_2 \rangle.$$

As $y_1 y_2 = 0$ the first generating polynomial becomes $y_1(y_1 - a_{31} y_3 + y)$ and the second polynomial becomes y_2^2 . So, the corresponding quasitoric manifolds are not homeomorphic.

Case 1: Let $\det A_{\bar{v}_1} = 1$. If $\det A_{\bar{v}_2} = a (\neq -1)$, then the ideal I is generated by

$$(6.21) \quad I = \langle y_1(y_1 - a_{21} y_2 - b_1 y), y_2(y_2 - a_{32} y_3 - b_2 y), y_3(y_3 - a_{13} y_1 - b_3 y), \\ y(y_1 - a_{21} y_2 - b_1 y), y(y_2 - a_{32} y_3 - b_2 y), y_1 y_2 \rangle.$$

As $y_1 y_2 = 0$, the first generating polynomial becomes $y_1(y_1 - b_1 y)$. Also from Section 6 we have $b_3 = 0$. Thus the third generating polynomial updated to $y_3(y_3 - a_{13} y_1)$.

If $\det A_{\bar{v}_2} = -1$, then the ideal I is generated by

$$(6.22) \quad I = \langle y_1(y_1 - a_{21} y_2 - a_{31} y_3 - b_1 y), y_2(y_2 - a_{32} y_3 - b_2 y), y_3(y_3 - b_3 y), \\ y(y_1 - a_{21} y_2 - a_{31} y_3 - b_1 y), y(y_2 - a_{32} y_3 - b_2 y), y_1 y_2 \rangle.$$

As $y_1 y_2 = 0$, the first generating polynomial becomes $y_1(y_1 - a_{31} y_3 - b_1 y)$.

Let us assume $a_{31} = 0$ for the subcase $\det A_{\bar{v}_2} = -1$. Then the generators of I differ by only one polynomial. That is $y_3(y_3 - a_{13} y_1)$ in first subcase and $y_3(y_3 - b_3 y)$ in second subcase. If $a_{13} \neq b_3$, then the two cohomology rings are not isomorphic to each other. Therefore, the corresponding quasitoric manifolds are not homeomorphic in general.

Now we consider the second subcase with $a_{31} = 0 = a_{32}$ and discuss two cases. Let $b_3 = 0$. The ideal I is generated by the polynomials $\{y_1(y_1 - b_1y), y_2(y_2 - b_2y), y_3^2, y(y_1 - a_{21}y_2 - b_1y), y(y_2 - b_2y), y_1y_2\}$. Now let $b_3 \neq 0$. The ideal I is generated by the polynomials $\{y_1(y_1 - b_1y), y_2(y_2 - b_2y), y_3(y_3 - b_3y), y(y_1 - a_{21}y_2 - b_1y), y(y_2 - b_2y), y_1y_2\}$. They too differ by only one generator. Thus the two cohomology rings for two cases are not isomorphic in general. This implies the corresponding quasitoric manifolds are not homeomorphic.

From the above discussion, we get the following.

Proposition 6.1. Let P be a 3-cube and \bar{P} an edge cut of P along an edge consisting the vertices $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1 = v_{110}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2 = v_{111}$. Let two characteristic functions $\bar{\lambda}_1$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$ be defined on \bar{P} as defined in (6.7) where $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ and \mathbf{b} differ for $\bar{\lambda}_1$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$. Then the quasitoric manifolds $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}_1)$ and $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}_2)$ are not homeomorphic to each other in general even if the determinant of matrices $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1}$ and $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2}$ remain same for both $\bar{\lambda}_1$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$.

REFERENCES

- [BP02] Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, University Lecture Series, vol. 24, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR 1897064 (2003e:57039)
- [BSS21] Koushik Brahma, Soumen Sarkar, and Subhankar Sau, *Torsion in the cohomology of blowups of quasitoric orbifolds*, *Topology and its Applications* **295** (2021), 107666.
- [Cho15] Suyoung Choi, *Classification of Bott manifolds up to dimension 8*, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **58** (2015), no. 3, 653–659. MR 3391366
- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. MR 2810322 (2012g:14094)
- [CM12] Suyoung Choi and Mikiya Masuda, *Classification of \mathbb{Q} -trivial Bott manifolds*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **10** (2012), no. 3, 447–461. MR 2983437
- [CMS10a] Suyoung Choi, Mikiya Masuda, and Dong Youp Suh, *Quasitoric manifolds over a product of simplices*, *Osaka J. Math.* **47** (2010), no. 1, 109–129. MR 2666127
- [CMS10b] ———, *Topological classification of generalized Bott towers*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), no. 2, 1097–1112. MR 2551516
- [DJ91] Michael W. Davis and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, *Duke Math. J.* **62** (1991), no. 2, 417–451. MR 1104531 (92i:52012)
- [Ful93] William Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. MR 1234037 (94g:14028)
- [Gal56] David Gale, *Neighboring vertices on a convex polyhedron*, *Linear inequalities and related system*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, no. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1956, pp. 255–263. MR 0085552
- [GP13] Saibal Ganguli and Mainak Poddar, *Almost complex structure, blowdowns and McKay correspondence in quasitoric orbifolds*, *Osaka J. Math.* **50** (2013), no. 4, 977–1005. MR 3161424
- [HKMP20] Sho Hasui, Hideya Kuwata, Mikiya Masuda, and Seonjeong Park, *Classification of toric manifolds over an n -cube with one vertex cut*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2020), no. 16, 4890–4941. MR 4139029
- [MP08] M. Masuda and T. E. Panov, *Semi-free circle actions, Bott towers, and quasitoric manifolds*, *Mat. Sb.* **199** (2008), no. 8, 95–122. MR 2452268
- [MS08] Mikiya Masuda and Dong Youp Suh, *Classification problems of toric manifolds via topology*, *Toric topology*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 460, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 273–286. MR 2428362
- [Oda88] Tadao Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*, vol. 15,

Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, An introduction to the theory of toric varieties,
Translated from the Japanese. MR 922894 (88m:14038)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, INDIA
Email address: `soumen@iitm.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, INDIA
Email address: `subhankarsau18@gmail.com`