

ON COHOMOLOGY OF QUASITORIC MANIFOLDS OVER A VERTEX CUT OF A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

SOUMEN SARKAR AND SUBHANKAR SAU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we classify the characteristic matrices associated to quasitoric manifolds over a vertex cut of a finite product of simplices. We discuss the integral cohomology rings of these quasitoric manifolds with possibly minimal generators and show several relations among the product of these generators. We classify integral cohomology rings (up to isomorphism as graded rings) of the quasitoric manifolds over the vertex cut of a finite product of simplices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Davis and Januszkiewicz introduced a class of even-dimensional smooth manifolds and called them ‘toric manifolds’ in their pioneering paper [DJ91]. These manifolds are the topological generalizations of smooth projective toric varieties, also known as toric manifolds, see [Mas08]. Buchstaber and Panov [BP02] used the term ‘quasitoric manifolds’ instead of ‘toric manifolds’ to avoid any confusion. Choi-Masuda-Suh [CMS10a] and Masuda-Panov [MP08] also used the second naming ‘quasitoric manifold’ for this class of manifolds. So we are choosing the second terminology without hesitation. Briefly, a $2n$ -dimensional manifold M^{2n} with an effective ‘locally standard’ smooth action of the compact n -torus T^n having the orbit space a simple n -polytope P is called a quasitoric manifold.

Classification of toric manifolds is an active topic in mathematics nowadays; see [MS08], [CMS10a], [CMS10b], [CM12] and [Cho15]. Choi-Masuda-Suh [CMS10a] classified the quasitoric manifolds over a finite product of simplices. In [HKMP20], Hasui-Kuwata-Masuda-Park discussed and classified toric manifolds over a vertex cut of an n -dimensional cube. Inspired by the above works, in this paper, we study some properties of quasitoric manifold whose orbit spaces are the product of m many simplices with a vertex cut. In particular, if the simplices are closed intervals then their product is an m -cube. Consequently, a few of our results generalizes some results of [HKMP20].

The paper is organized as follows. We start Section 2 with the concept of simple polytopes P and their codimension one faces $\mathcal{F}(P)$. Then we briefly recall the constructive definition of a quasitoric manifold, denoted by $X(P, \lambda)$, corresponding to a simple polytope P and a characteristic function $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim P}$, following [DJ91] and [BP02]. The characteristic function induces a linear map $\mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{F}(P)|} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim P}$. The matrix Λ of this linear map is called a characteristic matrix. It is enough to characterize the matrix Λ up to an equivalence to classify quasitoric manifolds over P up to an equivariant homeomorphism, see [DJ91, Proposition 1.8] and [BP02, Proposition 5.14]. We study the quasitoric manifold over a finite product of simplices following [CMS10a]. For a vector matrix, we discuss the concept of principal minors and determinants. We also discuss the classification of square vector matrices A with all the proper principal minors 1 and their determinants belonging to $\{1, -1\}$. We define the distance, denoted by $D(u_1, u_0)$, between two vertices u_0, u_1 of a

Date: December 26, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57S12, 13F55, 14M25, 52B11, 55N10.

Key words and phrases. torus action, quasitoric manifold, vertex cut, cohomology ring.

polytope. If P is a product of m many simplices and $\lambda': \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim P}$ then we uniquely define the matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ at a vertex \mathbf{v} of P .

In Section 3, we first recall the idea of a vertex cut of a simple polytope. We denote the vertex cut of the product of simplices $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ along a vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ by \bar{P} where Δ^{n_j} is simplex of dimension n_j and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ is an m -distant vertex from a fixed point $\mathbf{v}_0 \in V(P)$. If $\mathcal{F}(P) = \{F_1, \dots, F_r\}$ then $\mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) = \{F_1 \cap \bar{P}, \dots, F_m \cap \bar{P}, \bar{F}\}$ where the codimension one face \bar{F} arises due to the vertex cut of P . Let

$$\bar{\lambda}: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim \bar{P}}$$

be a characteristic function defined as in (3.2). One can define a matrix $A_{\mathbf{u}}$ uniquely for each vertex \mathbf{u} of \bar{P} similar to the construction of $A_{\mathbf{v}}$. The characteristic function $\bar{\lambda}$ on \bar{P} induces a function $\lambda': \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim P}$, see (3.4). The function λ' may not be a characteristic function on P ; see Figure 3 for an example. Then, we prove one of the main results of our paper.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.2). *Let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a quasitoric manifold where \bar{P} is vertex cut at $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ of the polytope $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined as in (3.2) satisfying*

$$(1.1) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

for $\mathbf{u} \in V(\bar{P})$ and \mathbf{u}_0 is a fixed vertex of \bar{P} corresponding to the vertex \mathbf{v}_0 of P . Then the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ can be characterized based on its determinant.

We also show how the vector $\mathbf{b} := \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F})$, assigned to the facet \bar{F} of \bar{P} , is dependent on the vectors assigned to the other facets of \bar{P} . Moreover, we classify the vector \mathbf{b} depending on the determinant of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$, see Theorem 3.3.

In Section 4, we calculate the integral cohomology of toric manifold $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ with possibly minimal generators where \bar{P} is the vertex cut along $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ of the product of simplices $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined as in (3.2) satisfying (1.1). We show several relations among the product of these generators. Let $\bar{\lambda}: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim P}$ be a characteristic function and $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim P}$ be the function induced from $\bar{\lambda}$ as in (3.4). Then, for $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.2). *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a product of simplices as in (2.3) and \bar{P} a vertex cut of P along the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ such that $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Then the cohomology rings $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ are isomorphic to each other if $b_i = 0$ for $i \neq N_s$ and $s = 1, \dots, m$ in the vector \mathbf{b} where $N_s = \sum_{j=1}^s n_j$.*

We provide an additive basis of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$, see Theorem 4.4. Also, for $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.7). *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a product of simplices as in (2.3) with $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and \bar{P} a vertex cut of P along the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ such that $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$. Then $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings if and only if $H^*(X(P, \lambda))$ and $H^*(X(P, \lambda'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings where λ and λ' are characteristic functions induced from $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}'$ respectively.*

2. QUASITORIC MANIFOLD OVER A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

In this section, we recall the constructive definition and some properties of polytopes and quasitoric manifolds following [BP02]. We also recall the classification of a square matrix A which has all the proper principal minors 1. Then we define the distance between two vertices of a polytope. Given a function $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\dim P}$ on a finite product of simplices P , we define a matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ at a vertex $\mathbf{v} \in V(P)$ of the product of simplices P whose

columns are the vectors assigned to the facets intersecting at \mathbf{v} with an ordering fixed uniquely.

An n -dimensional simple polytope is an n -dimensional convex polytope such that at each vertex, exactly n codimension one faces (called facets) intersect. Let Q be an n -dimensional simple polytope in \mathbb{R}^n . We denote the facets and the vertices of Q by $\mathcal{F}(Q)$ and $V(Q)$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{F}(Q) := \{F_1, \dots, F_r\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a function such that

$$(2.1) \quad \{\lambda(F_{i_1}), \dots, \lambda(F_{i_k})\} \text{ is a part of } \mathbb{Z}\text{-basis of } \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ whenever } F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then λ is called a characteristic function on Q . We denote $\lambda(F_i)$ by λ_i , the characteristic vector assigned to the facet F_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. The pair (Q, λ) is called a characteristic pair. Also, the $(n \times r)$ matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_1 \ \lambda_2 \ \dots \ \lambda_r)$ is called characteristic matrix associated to the characteristic function λ where λ_i is the i -th column of Λ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

We briefly recall the construction of a quasitoric manifold from a characteristic pair (Q, λ) . Let p be a point in Q belongs to the relative interior of a codimension d face F of Q . Thus $F = \bigcap_{j=1}^d F_{i_j}$ for some unique facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_d} of Q . We consider the standard n -dimensional torus $T^n := (\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^n) / \mathbb{Z}^n$ and \mathbb{R}^n as the Lie algebra of T^n . Note that each λ_i determines a unique line in \mathbb{R}^n . We denote the image of this line under the map $\exp: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T^n$ by T_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let T_F be the d -dimensional subtorus of T^n generated by $\{T_{i_1}, \dots, T_{i_d}\}$.

Consider the identification \sim on $T^n \times Q$ defined as follows:

$$(t, p) \sim (s, q) \text{ if and only if } p = q \text{ and } t^{-1}s \in T_F,$$

where p is a point belonging to the relative interior of the face F . Then the quotient space

$$X(Q, \lambda) := (T^n \times Q) / \sim$$

is a T^n -manifold and is called a quasitoric manifold, see [BP02, Chapter 5]. The T^n action is induced by the group operation on the first factor of $T^n \times Q$ and the orbit map

$$(2.2) \quad \pi: X(Q, \lambda) \rightarrow Q$$

is given by $\pi((t, p) \sim) = p$ where $(t, p) \sim$ is the equivalence class of (t, p) . We note that if M is a quasitoric manifold then there is a characteristic pair (Q, λ) such that M is equivariantly homeomorphic to $X(Q, \lambda)$, see [DJ91, Proposition 1.8].

Next, we discuss some properties of the characteristic functions on a finite product of simplices following [CMS10a]. Let

$$(2.3) \quad P := \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$$

be a product of m simplices of dimensions n_1, \dots, n_{m-1} and n_m . So, the dimension of P is $\sum_{j=1}^m n_j$ which we denote by n . Let us denote

$$(2.4) \quad N_s := \sum_{j=1}^s n_j$$

for $s = 1, \dots, m$. Note that $N_1 = n_1$ and $N_m = n$. Also, we assume $N_0 := 0$.

Let $V(\Delta^{n_j}) := \{v_0^j, \dots, v_{n_j}^j\}$ be the vertex set of Δ^{n_j} for $j = 1, \dots, m$. So,

$$(2.5) \quad V(P) = \{v_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} := (v_{k_1}^1, v_{k_2}^2, \dots, v_{k_m}^m) \mid 0 \leq k_j \leq n_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $\mathcal{F}(\Delta^{n_j}) := \{f_0^j, \dots, f_{n_j}^j\}$ where the facet $f_{k_j}^j$ does not contain the vertex $v_{k_j}^j$ in Δ^{n_j} for $0 \leq k_j \leq n_j$. Then the facets of P are given by

(2.6)

$$\mathcal{F}(P) := \{F_{k_j}^j := \Delta^{n_1} \times \dots \times \Delta^{n_{j-1}} \times f_{k_j}^j \times \Delta^{n_{j+1}} \times \dots \times \Delta^{n_m} \mid 0 \leq k_j \leq n_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

Observe that the simple polytope P has $\prod_{j=1}^m n_j$ many vertices and $(n + m)$ many facets. Note that the vertex $v_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}$ in P is the unique intersection of the n -many facets $\mathcal{F}(P) \setminus \{F_{k_j}^j \mid j = 1, \dots, m\}$. In particular,

(2.7)

$$v_{0\dots 0} = F_1^1 \cap \dots \cap F_{n_1}^1 \cap \dots \cap F_1^m \cap \dots \cap F_{n_m}^m.$$

We call two faces $F_1, F_2 \notin V(P)$ adjacent if $F_1 \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$. Note that the facets $F_1^1, \dots, F_{n_1}^1, \dots, F_1^m, \dots, F_{n_m}^m$ are adjacent to the vertex $v_{0\dots 0}$. Let

(2.8)

$$\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

be a characteristic function on P . Then $\{\lambda(F_1^1), \dots, \lambda(F_{n_1}^1), \dots, \lambda(F_1^m), \dots, \lambda(F_{n_m}^m)\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . So, we may assume that it is the standard basis vectors; that is

(2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(F_1^1) &= e_1, \dots, \lambda(F_{n_1}^1) = e_{n_1}, \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda(F_1^j) &= e_{N_{j-1}+1}, \dots, \lambda(F_{n_j}^j) = e_{N_j}, \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda(F_1^m) &= e_{N_{m-1}+1}, \dots, \lambda(F_{n_m}^m) = e_n. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining m facets F_0^1, \dots, F_0^m of P , we assign

(2.10)

$$\mathbf{a}_j := \lambda(F_0^j) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

This gives the vector matrices of order $1 \times m$ and $m \times m$, which we can also write as an $n \times m$ scalar matrix as follows.

$$(2.11) \quad A := (\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a}_m)_{1 \times m} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^1 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_m^1 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_1^m & \dots & \mathbf{a}_m^m \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^1 & \dots & a_{m1}^1 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{1n_1}^1 & \dots & a_{mn_1}^1 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^m & \dots & a_{m1}^m \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{1n_m}^m & \dots & a_{mn_m}^m \end{pmatrix}_{n \times m}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is considered as the j -th column vector of A , $\mathbf{a}_j^k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_k}$ is the (k, j) -th entry of the $m \times m$ vector matrix and $a_{ji}^k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the $(N_{k-1} + i, j)$ -th entry of the $n \times m$ scalar matrix. For simplicity of notation, we often denote the ℓ -th coordinate of the vector \mathbf{a}_j by $a_{j\ell}$ where $\ell = N_{k-1} + i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n_k$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Definition 2.2. Given an $n \times n$ scalar matrix M , a k -th ($1 \leq k < n$) proper principal minor of M is the determinant of the $k \times k$ submatrix obtained by removing $(n - k)$ many rows and the corresponding columns from M .

Let A be an $n \times m$ scalar matrix as in (2.11). Given $k_j \in \{1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, we define an $m \times m$ submatrix

$$(2.12) \quad A_{k_1, \dots, k_m} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{1k_1}^1 & \cdots & a_{mk_1}^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1k_m}^m & \cdots & a_{mk_m}^m \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

of A whose j -th row is the $(N_{j-1} + k_j)$ -th row of A where N_{j-1} is defined in (2.4). By proper principal minor of the matrix A we mean the proper principal minors of the matrix A_{k_1, \dots, k_m} for all $k_j \in \{1, \dots, n_j\}$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proposition 2.3. [CMS10a, Lemma 3.2] Let λ be a characteristic function on $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ as in (2.8) and A the matrix associated to λ as in (2.11). Then the condition on λ in (2.1) is equivalent to all principal minors of A is ± 1 .

Remark 2.4. Proposition 2.3 implies that the diagonal entry vector

$$\mathbf{a}_j^j = (a_{j1}^j, \dots, a_{jn_j}^j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_j}$$

of A is equipped with entries $a_{ji}^j = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Recall that an upper or lower triangular matrix is called *unipotent* if all of its diagonal entries are units. The i -th row (respectively column) of the vector matrix $A_{m \times m}$ defined in (2.11) is called *elementary row* (respectively *elementary column*) if $\mathbf{a}_j^j = \mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ (respectively $\mathbf{1}^t$) and all other entries of the row (respectively column) are $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ vectors.

Definition 2.5. Let A be a matrix of the form (2.11). By ‘all the determinants of A ’, we denote the determinants of all the $m \times m$ submatrices A_{k_1, \dots, k_m} of A for some $N_{j-1} < k_j \leq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ where A_{k_1, \dots, k_m} is defined in (2.12).

Proposition 2.6. Let A be an $m \times m$ vector matrix of the form (2.11) such that all the proper principal minors of A are 1. If all the determinants of A are 1, then A is conjugate to a unipotent upper triangular vector matrix of the following form:

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_2^1 & \mathbf{a}_3^1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m^1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_3^2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_m^{m-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)^t$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^t$ of appropriate size. If all the determinants of A are ± 1 and at least one of them is -1 , then A is conjugate to a vector matrix of the following form:

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_2^1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_3^2 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{a}_m^{m-1} \\ \mathbf{a}_1^m & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where \mathbf{a}_1^m and \mathbf{a}_j^{j-1} are non-zero vectors for $j = 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$\prod_{j=2}^m a_{ji}^{j-1} \times a_{1i}^m = (-1)^m 2,$$




FIGURE 1. A distance function on the vertices of a polytope.

a_{ji}^{j-1} is any non-zero coordinate of \mathbf{a}_j^{j-1} for $j = 2, \dots, m$ and a_{1i}^m is any non-zero coordinate of \mathbf{a}_1^m . Moreover, the non-zero coordinates in $\mathbf{a}_1^m, \mathbf{a}_2^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m^{m-1}$ are all same and they are either ± 1 or ± 2 .

Proof. The proof of the proposition follows from Lemma 3.3 of [MP08] and Lemma 5.1 of [CMS10a]. \square

The edges of a polytope Q form a connected graph and one can have the following.

Definition 2.7 (Distance function). Let Q be a polytope and v_1, v_2 two different vertices in Q . A *path* between v_1 and v_2 is a sequence of edges $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d$ such that $v_1 \in \xi_1$, $v_2 \in \xi_d$ and $\xi_i \cap \xi_{i+1}$ is a vertex of both for $i = 1, \dots, (d-1)$. The *distance* between two vertices v_1 and v_2 is the minimum d and it is denoted by $D(v_1, v_2)$. If $D(v_1, v_2) = d \geq 1$, then we call v_2 a d -distant vertex from v_1 in Q .

We remark that if P is a product of m simplices as in (2.3) and $v_1, v_2 \in V(P)$, then $D(v_1, v_2) \leq m$. Also, there may exist more than one different path of length m if $D(v_1, v_2) = m$.

Let (P, λ) be a characteristic pair where P is a product of m simplices as in (2.3) and λ a characteristic function on P as defined in (2.8) satisfying (2.9) and (2.10). Let \mathbf{v} be a vertex in P . So, $\mathbf{v} = \bigcap_{j=1}^n F_j$ for some unique facets F_j 's of P . Without any loss of generality, at $\mathbf{v}_0 := v_{0\dots 0} \in V(P)$, we may fix the order of columns as follows.

$$(2.15) \quad A_{\mathbf{v}_0} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(F_1^1) & \dots & \lambda(F_{n_1}^1) & \dots & \lambda(F_1^m) & \dots & \lambda(F_{n_m}^m) \end{pmatrix} \\ = (e_1 \quad \dots \quad e_{n_1} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_{m-1}+1} \quad \dots \quad e_n)$$


where e_1, \dots, e_n are the standard \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}^n .

Now for any vertex $\mathbf{v} \in V(P)$, we fix the ordering of the column entries in $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ as follows. Let $D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = d > 0$. By (2.5), $\mathbf{v} = v_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_m}$ for some $0 \leq \ell_j \leq n_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$\mathbf{v} = \bigcap_{\substack{j=1 \\ k_j \neq \ell_j}}^m F_{k_j}^j$$

where $F_{k_j}^j$'s are defined in (2.6). Note that if $\ell_j \neq 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then $e_{N_{j-1}+\ell_j}$ is replaced by \mathbf{a}_j by keeping the order of other columns of $A_{\mathbf{v}_0}$ intact where N_{j-1} is defined in (2.4).

Let us consider a path of length d from \mathbf{v}_0 to \mathbf{v} . Notice that at each step along the path from \mathbf{v}_0 to \mathbf{v} exactly one vector is replaced and the new vector takes the place of the vector which it replaces. That is if ξ_1, \dots, ξ_d is the sequence of edges joining \mathbf{v}_0 to \mathbf{v} such that $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \xi_1$, $\mathbf{v} \in \xi_d$ and $\xi_i \cap \xi_{i+1} = \mathbf{v}_i$ then the matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}_{i+1}}$ is formed by replacing exactly one standard basis vector in the columns of $A_{\mathbf{v}_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. Note that the matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ does not alter by the choice of the path if we choose any other shortest path of

FIGURE 2. The characteristic function λ on a prism following (2.8).

length d . If \mathbf{v} is a vertex such that $D(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0) = m$, i.e., $\ell_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then the matrix $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ is given by

$$A_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{\ell_1-1} & \mathbf{a}_1 & e_{\ell_1+1} & \dots & e_{N_1} & e_{N_1+1} & \dots & e_{N_1+\ell_2-1} & \mathbf{a}_2 & e_{N_1+\ell_2+1} & \dots \\ e_{N_2} & \dots & e_{N_{m-1}+1} & \dots & e_{N_{m-1}+\ell_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m & e_{N_{m-1}+\ell_m+1} & \dots & e_{N_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we want to notify that throughout this paper, the vectors e_i and \mathbf{a}_j of \mathbb{Z}^n are considered as the columns of matrices $A_{\mathbf{v}}$'s for some $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. In the following, we illustrate this process for a particular case.

Example 2.8. Consider the 3-dimensional prism $P = \Delta^2 \times I$ where Δ^2 is 2-simplex and I is the interval as in Figure 2. Then

$$V(P) = \{v_{00}, v_{01}, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{20}, v_{21}\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(P) = \{F_0^1, F_1^1, F_2^1, F_0^2, F_1^2\}.$$

Define a characteristic function $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ following (2.8). Thus

$$\lambda(F_1^1) = e_1, \quad \lambda(F_2^1) = e_2, \quad \lambda(F_1^2) = e_3, \quad \lambda(F_0^1) = \mathbf{a}_1, \quad \lambda(F_0^2) = \mathbf{a}_2.$$

Then the matrix $A_{v_{00}} = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$. Now consider the vertex v_{01} , then $D(v_{01}, v_{00}) = 1$. So, the matrix $A_{v_{01}} = (e_1, e_2, \mathbf{a}_2)$ is formed by replacing one vector of $A_{v_{00}}$. Similarly, we get $A_{v_{10}} = (e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, e_3)$, and $A_{v_{20}} = (\mathbf{a}_1, e_2, e_3)$. The vertices $\{v_{11}, v_{21}\}$ are at distance 2 from v_{00} . So $A_{v_{11}}$ is formed by replacing two vectors of $A_{v_{00}}$. The consistency of ordering of the vectors in $A_{v_{11}}$ can be followed by using $D(v_{11}, v_{01}) = 1$. Therefore, $A_{v_{11}} = (e_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$. Similarly, we get $A_{v_{21}} = (\mathbf{a}_1, e_2, \mathbf{a}_2)$. \square

3. QUASITORIC MANIFOLDS OVER A VERTEX CUT OF A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

The idea of blowup of a polytope along a face is studied in [GP13] and [BSS21]. The vertex cut of a polytope is a blowup of a polytope along a vertex. In this section, first we recall the definition of blowup and vertex cut. Then we characterise quasitoric manifolds, or equivalently characteristic pairs, over a vertex cut of a product of finitely many simplices.

Definition 3.1. Let Q be an n -dimensional simple polytope in \mathbb{R}^n and F a proper face of Q . Take a hyperplane H in \mathbb{R}^n such that one open half space $H_{>0}$ contains all the vertices of $V(F)$ and $V(Q) \setminus V(F)$ is a subset of the other open half space $H_{<0}$. Then the polytope $\bar{Q} := Q \cap H_{\leq 0}$ is called a blowup of Q along the face F .

If $F = \mathbf{v} \in V(Q)$ in Definition 3.1, then the blowup is called a *vertex cut* of Q along the vertex \mathbf{v} . The new facet \bar{F} in \bar{Q} , which arises due to vertex cut of Q is called the facet associated to \mathbf{v} . Then \bar{F} is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex.

Let P be the product $\prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ as in (2.3). The vertices and facets of P are

$$\begin{aligned} V(P) &= \{v_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} := (v_{k_1}^1, v_{k_2}^2, \dots, v_{k_m}^m) \mid 0 \leq k_j \leq n_j, j = 1, \dots, m\} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{F}(P) &= \{F_{k_j}^j \mid 0 \leq k_j \leq n_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}, \end{aligned}$$

as discussed in (2.5) and (2.6) respectively. Let \bar{P} be a vertex cut of P at the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} := v_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$. Note that the distance $D(\mathbf{v}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = m$ where $\mathbf{v}_0 = v_{0 \dots 0}$ in P . Then the vertex set and the facet set of \bar{P} are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad V(\bar{P}) &:= (V(P) \setminus \{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\}) \cup V(\bar{F}) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) &:= \{\bar{F}_{k_j}^j := F_{k_j}^j \cap \bar{P} \mid F_{k_j}^j \in \mathcal{F}(P)\} \cup \{\bar{F}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience of notation, when we consider $v_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}$ as a vertex of \bar{P} , we denote it by $u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}$ if $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \neq (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$. We denote the vertex $u_{00 \dots 0}$ by \mathbf{u}_0 .

Let

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{\lambda}: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$$

be a characteristic function on \bar{P} . Note that $\mathbf{u}_0 = \bar{F}_1^1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{F}_{n_1}^1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{F}_1^m \cap \dots \cap \bar{F}_{n_m}^m$. So, one can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_j^1) &:= e_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n_1, \\ &\vdots \\ \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_j^m) &:= e_{N_{m-1}+j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n_m, \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}) := \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_0^j) := \mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

One can define a matrix $A_{\mathbf{u}}$ uniquely for each vertex \mathbf{u} of \bar{P} similar to the construction of $A_{\mathbf{v}}$ for each vertex \mathbf{v} of P . Then the matrix associated to the vertex \mathbf{u}_0 is

$$A_{\mathbf{u}_0} = (e_1 \quad \dots \quad e_{N_1} \quad e_{N_1+1} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_{m-1}} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_m})$$

where e_i is considered as the i -th column of the matrix $A_{\mathbf{u}_0}$. Recall from Section 2 that the ℓ -th coordinate of the vector \mathbf{a}_j is denoted by $a_{j\ell}$ where $\ell = N_{k-1} + i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n_k$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$.

The characteristic pair $(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ induces a map $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda(F_{k_j}^j) := \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F}_{k_j}^j)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $1 \leq k_j \leq n_j$. In general, this map λ may not be a characteristic function on P . For example, in Figure 3, \bar{P}_1 is the vertex cut of the prism P_1 along $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = F_1^1 \cap F_0^1 \cap F_0^2$ and $\{(1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$ is not a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}^3 .

Consider the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} := v_{n_1 \dots n_m} \in V(P)$. Then from Section 2,

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}} = F_1^1 \cap \dots \cap F_{n_1-1}^1 \cap F_0^1 \cap F_1^2 \cap \dots \cap F_1^m \cap \dots \cap F_{n_m-1}^m \cap F_0^m.$$

So we can associate the following matrix

$$(3.6) \quad A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} := (e_1 \quad \dots \quad e_{N_1-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad e_{N_1+1} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_{(m-1)}-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_{m-1} \quad e_{N_{(m-1)}+1} \quad \dots \quad e_{N_m-1} \quad \mathbf{a}_m)$$

to the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ with the ordering of the columns according to the ordering of the facets in the intersection in (3.5). Let $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}^k$ be the submatrix of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ defined as in (2.12) for $k_j = n_j$




FIGURE 3. A vertex cut of a prism.

and $j = 1, \dots, m$. So,

$$(3.7) \quad A'_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1N_1} & a_{2N_1} & a_{3N_1} & \dots & a_{mN_1} \\ a_{1N_2} & a_{2N_2} & a_{3N_2} & \dots & a_{mN_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{1N_m} & a_{2N_m} & a_{3N_m} & \dots & a_{mN_m} \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

where N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m are defined in (2.4). Also, from (3.6), we have

$$\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \det A'_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}.$$

The following theorem characterizes the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ corresponding to the characteristic function $\bar{\lambda}$ on \bar{P} .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a quasitoric manifold where \bar{P} is vertex cut at $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m}$ of the polytope $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined as in (3.2) satisfying*

$$(3.8) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

for $\mathbf{u} \in V(\bar{P})$. Then the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ can be characterized based on its determinant.

Proof. The $(n \times m)$ matrix $A = (\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{a}_m)$ is a submatrix of the $(n \times n)$ matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. We can consider A as an $(m \times m)$ vector matrix as discussed in (2.11). Since the columns of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ which are not columns of A are from the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n , it is enough to understand A to characterize $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$.

We compute the determinants of $A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}}$ for $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, and $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \neq (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$ where $u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} \in V(\bar{P})$. Recall that $0 \leq k_j \leq n_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Since P is a finite product of simplices, $D(u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}, \mathbf{u}_0) = d$ for $0 < d \leq m$ if and only if $k_j \neq 0$ for exactly d many j 's. This implies

$$(3.9) \quad \det A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}} = (-1)^d$$

from our hypothesis (3.8). Note that if $k_j \neq 0$, then the standard basis vector $e_{N_{j-1}+k_j}$ in $A_{\mathbf{u}_0} = I_n$ is replaced by \mathbf{a}_j to form $A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}}$ where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. In particular, if we consider $k_1 \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}$ and $k_j = 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$, then the corresponding vertex is $u_{0 \dots 010 \dots 0} \in V(\bar{P})$. So $D(\mathbf{u}_0, u_{0 \dots 010 \dots 0}) = 1$ where 1 is at the k_1 -th position. Then

$$A_{u_{0 \dots 010 \dots 0}} := (e_1, \dots, e_{k_1-1}, \mathbf{a}_1, e_{k_1+1}, \dots, e_n).$$

The hypothesis in (3.8) implies $\det A_{u_0 \dots u_{10} \dots 0} = -1$. Thus $a_{1k_1} = -1$ where a_{1k_1} is the k_1 -th entry of \mathbf{a}_1 . Using a similar argument for all one distant vertices, the hypothesis in (3.8) leads us to

$$(3.10) \quad a_{j\ell} = -1$$

for $N_{j-1} < \ell \leq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ where $a_{j\ell}$ is the ℓ -th coordinate of the vector \mathbf{a}_j .

The d -th proper principal minors of A are the determinants of the matrices $A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}}$ where exactly d many entries of (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) are non-zero. Thus from (3.9), we have the d -th proper principal minors of A are $(-1)^d$. Let us consider

$$B = -A.$$

Then B is an $(m \times m)$ vector matrix with d -th proper principal minors $(-1)^d \times d$ -th proper principal minors of A . Thus all the proper principal minors of the matrix B are 1. Now we classify the matrix B using Proposition 2.6 up to a conjugation.

Case 1: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$. Also, when all the entries of (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) are non-zero and $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \neq (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$ then $\det A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}} = (-1)^m$, follows from (3.9). Thus the determinants of the vector matrix A are $(-1)^m$, where the determinants of A are defined in Definition 2.5. Let B_m be an $m \times m$ submatrix of B . So $B_m = -A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}}$ for some (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) with all the entries non-zero and

$$\det B_m = (-1)^m \times \det A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}} = (-1)^{2m} = 1.$$

Thus $B = -A$ is an upper triangular matrix of the form (2.13) and

$$(3.11) \quad A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{21} & \dots & a_{31} & \dots & 0 & a_{m1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{22} & \dots & a_{32} & \dots & 0 & a_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{2N_1-1} & \dots & a_{3N_1-1} & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_1-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{2N_1} & \dots & a_{3N_1} & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & a_{2N_1+1} & \dots & a_{3N_1+1} & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_1+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case 2: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq (-1)^m$. This implies at least one of the determinants of B is not 1. Thus by Proposition 2.6, $A = -B$ is of the following form:

$$(3.12) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \mathbf{a}_2^1 & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -1 & \mathbf{a}_3^2 & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & -1 & \mathbf{a}_m^{m-1} \\ \mathbf{a}_1^m & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the matrix $A'_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ defined in (3.7) can be given by

$$A'_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{2N_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & a_{3N_2} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & a_{mN_{m-1}} \\ a_{1N_m} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \det A'_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m + (-1)^{m-1} a_{1N_m} a_{2N_1} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}}.$$

Since $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq (-1)^m$, then $a_{jN_{j-1}} \neq 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$ and $a_{1N_m} \neq 0$.

Now we consider the matrices $A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}}$ with all the entries of (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) are non-zero and $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \neq (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$. Note that these matrices have A as their submatrix whereas the other columns are appropriate standard basis vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n . Then using (3.12) and hypothesis (3.8), we have

$$\det A_{u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}} = (-1)^m + (-1)^{m-1} a_{1\ell_1} a_{2\ell_2} \dots a_{m\ell_m} = (-1)^m \Rightarrow a_{1\ell_1} a_{2\ell_2} \dots a_{m\ell_m} = 0$$

where $\ell_1 = N_{m-1} + k_1$ and $\ell_j = N_{j-1} + k_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, (m-1)$. Let us consider $k_j = n_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, m$ and $k_i > 0$. So, the vertex $u_{n_1 \dots n_{i-1} k_i n_{i+1} \dots n_m}$ is at a distance m from \mathbf{u}_0 in \bar{P} . Then $A_{u_{n_1 \dots n_{i-1} k_i n_{i+1} \dots n_m}} = (-1)^m$. Thus

$$a_{1N_m} a_{2N_1} \dots a_{(i-1)N_{i-2}} a_{i(N_{i-1}+k_i)} a_{(i+1)N_i} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}} = 0.$$

Since the product $a_{1N_m} a_{2N_1} \dots a_{(i-1)N_{i-2}} a_{(i+1)N_i} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}}$ is non-zero from (3.13), we have $a_{i(N_{i-1}+k_i)} = 0$. Similar arguments for the vertices $u_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}$ with all the entries of (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) non-zero and $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \neq (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$, we have

$$a_{j(N_{j-1}+k_j)} = 0$$

for $0 < k_j < n_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. Thus, we get

$$(3.14) \quad A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{2N_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1N_m} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

The following result states how the vector \mathbf{b} ($= \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F})$) is dependent on the columns of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ where \bar{F} is the facet of \bar{P} associated to the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in P$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a quasitoric manifold where \bar{P} is the vertex cut at $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m}$ of the polytope $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ is defined as in (3.2) satisfying*

$$(3.15) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

for any $\mathbf{u} \in V(\bar{P})$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 := u_{0, \dots, 0}$. Then we can determine \mathbf{b} according to the determinant of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ as follows.

Case 1: If $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = -1$$

where N_1, \dots, N_m are defined in (2.4) and b_i can be arbitrary if $i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_m\}$.

Case 2: If $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq 0$ then

$$b_i = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} \sum_{q=1}^n A_{(i,q)}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ where $A_{(i,q)}$ is the (i, q) -th entry of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$.

Proof. Let $V(\bar{F}) = \{u^1, \dots, u^n\}$. Also, $u^j = E_j \cap \bar{F}$ for unique edge E_j of P adjacent to $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. There are m many shortest paths from \mathbf{v}_0 to $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ in P . A vertex on the last edge of the shortest path gives an m -distant vertex in $\bar{F} \subset \bar{P}$. Continuing similarly, we get m many m -distant vertices in $\{u^1, \dots, u^n\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the m -distant vertices are $\{u^1, \dots, u^m\}$ and the other $(n - m)$ vertices $\{u^{m+1}, \dots, u^n\}$ are at a distance $m + 1$. Thus using (3.15), we get

$$(3.16) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^m & \text{if } \mathbf{u} \in \{u^1, \dots, u^m\}, \\ (-1)^{m+1} & \text{if } \mathbf{u} \in \{u^{m+1}, \dots, u^n\}. \end{cases}$$

Now we focus on the matrices A_{u^1}, \dots, A_{u^m} and observe their formation. First, consider the vertex u^1 and the matrix A_{u^1} . From Section 2, we recall

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m} = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \left(\bigcap_{k_j=0}^{n_j-1} F_{k_j}^j \right).$$

Also the matrix at $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ at the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ is defined in (3.6) following the ordering of the columns. Let

$$S_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} := \{F_{k_j}^j : 1 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k_j \leq (n_j - 1)\}$$

be the set of facets containing $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. Then

$$E_1 = \bigcap_{F_i \in S_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \{F_0^1\}} F_i$$

in P . Thus in \bar{P} , we have

$$u^1 = \bigcap_{F_i \in S_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \setminus \{F_0^1\}} \bar{F}_i \cap \bar{F}.$$

Then the matrix A_{u^1} for the vertex u^1 is defined by replacing \mathbf{a}_1 by \mathbf{b} ; that is

$$(3.17) \quad A_{u^1} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{n_1-1} & \mathbf{b} & e_{n_1+1} & \dots & \mathbf{a}_{m-1} & e_{N_{m-1}+1} & \dots & e_{n-1} & \mathbf{a}_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, the matrix A_{u^j} is formed by replacing the column vector \mathbf{a}_j by \mathbf{b} in the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$; that is

$$(3.18) \quad A_{u^j} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{N_1-1} & \mathbf{a}_1 & e_{N_1+1} & \dots & e_{N_j-1} & \mathbf{b} & e_{N_{(j+1)}+1} & \dots & e_{N_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m \end{pmatrix}$$

for $j = 2, \dots, m$. So, $\det A_{u^j} = (-1)^m$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Now $D(u^p, u^q) = 1$ for $u^p, u^q \in V(\bar{F})$ and $p \neq q$. So

$$D(u^j, u^{m+\alpha}) = 1$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, (n - m)$. Thus the matrix $A_{u^{m+\alpha}}$ associated to the vertex $u^{m+\alpha}$ is obtained by replacing the column $e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha}$ by \mathbf{a}_j in A_{u^j} defined in (3.18) where $N_{j-1} < \alpha < N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$; that is

$$(3.19) \quad A_{u^{m+\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{N_1-1} & \mathbf{a}_1 & e_{N_1+1} & \dots & \mathbf{a}_{j-1} & \dots & e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha-1} & \mathbf{a}_j & e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha+1} & \dots & e_{N_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m \\ \dots & e_{N_j-1} & \mathbf{b} & e_{N_{(j+1)}+1} & \dots & e_{N_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $D(\mathbf{u}_0, u^{m+\alpha}) = m + 1$, we have $\det A_{u^{m+\alpha}} = (-1)^{m+1}$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, (n - m)$. Interchanging the columns \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{b} in $A_{u^{m+1}}$ leads to

$$(3.20) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \dots & e_{N_1-1} & \mathbf{a}_1 & e_{N_1+1} & \dots & \mathbf{a}_{j-1} & \dots & e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha-1} & \mathbf{b} & e_{N_{j-1}+\alpha+1} & \dots & e_{N_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m \\ \dots & e_{N_j-1} & \mathbf{a}_j & e_{N_{(j+1)}+1} & \dots & e_{N_m-1} & \mathbf{a}_m \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{m+2} = (-1)^m.$$

Let $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ be the adjoint matrix of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ and $\tilde{A}_{(p,q)}$ the (p, q) -th entry of $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. Then

$$(3.21) \quad \tilde{A}_{(p,q)} = (-1)^{(p+q)} \times \text{cofactor of } a_{(q,p)}$$

where $a_{(q,p)}$ denotes the (q,p) -th entry of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. From (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20), we get

$$\sum_{p=1}^n \tilde{A}_{(p,q)} b_p = (-1)^m$$

for $q = 1, \dots, n$. Thus

$$(3.22) \quad \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{b} = (-1)^m \mathbf{1}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the matrix $(1, \dots, 1)_{n \times 1}^t$ and considering \mathbf{b} as column vector. Also note that

$$A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}) I_n$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Case1: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Then $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$ where $\mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$ is an $n \times n$ zero matrix. From Theorem 3.2, the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ is of the form (3.14). We first show that in $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$, the columns other than N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m -th columns have only zeros as its entries. First, we concentrate on the s -th column of $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ where $s \neq N_j$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$. Its entries are calculated following (3.21) when $q = s$. As $s \neq N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ then e_s must be a column vector in $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. The (s, s) -th entry of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ is 1 and its cofactor is 0 as $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Thus $\tilde{A}_{(s,s)} = 0$.

Now we look at $\tilde{A}_{(t,s)}$, that is the cofactor of $a_{(s,t)}$ in $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ where $t \neq s$. Let $M_{(s,t)}$ be the cofactor matrix of $a_{(s,t)}$ in $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ where $t \neq s$. If $t < s$, then $(s-1)$ -th column of $M_{(s,t)}$ is a zero column. The s -th column of $M_{(s,t)}$ is zero column if $t > s$. This zero column comes from the zeros of e_s . Thus

$$\tilde{A}_{(t,s)} = 0$$

when $s \neq N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. So, the columns other than N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m have only zeros as their entries.

Let us denote the i -th row vector of $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ by \mathbf{r}_i . Then from $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$ and (3.14) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & a_{2N_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{mN_{m-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1N_m} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 \\ \mathbf{r}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_1-1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_1+1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_{m-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_{m-1}} \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_m-1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_m} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n}.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{N_j} &= 0 & \text{for } N_{j-1} < i < N_j \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, m, \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_j} - a_{j'N_j} \mathbf{r}_{N_{j'}} &= 0 & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_m} - a_{1N_m} \mathbf{r}_{N_1} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = j+1$. We can rewrite (3.22) by $(-1)^m \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{1}$. Now we go through the following row operations on the augmented matrix $[(-1)^m \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \mid \mathbf{1}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{N_j} & \quad \text{for } N_{j-1} < i < N_j \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, m \\ \mathbf{r}_{N_j} - a_{j'N_j} \mathbf{r}_{N_{j'}} & \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = j + 1$. Then we get

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 - a_{2N_1} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 - a_{mN_{m-1}} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & \dots & \dots & * & (-1)^{m+1} & 1 \end{array} \right)_{n \times (n+1)}$$

where the $*$'s in the last row are some integers. So, $\text{rank} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = 1$. There exists a vector \mathbf{b} such that $(-1)^m \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{1}$ iff $\text{rank}[(-1)^m \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}, \mathbf{1}] = \text{rank} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$. Therefore,

$$(3.23) \quad a_{jN_{j-1}} = 1 \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, m.$$

As $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m + (-1)^{m-1} a_{1N_m} a_{2N_1} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}} = 0$, we have $a_{1N_m} = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \det(e_1 \dots e_{N_1-1} \mathbf{a}_1 e_{N_1+1} \dots e_{N_{m-1}-1} \mathbf{a}_{m-1} e_{N_{m-1}+1} \dots e_{N_m-1} \mathbf{b}) \\ = (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Also left hand side is the determinant of a matrix associated to a vertex in \bar{F} at a distance m from \mathbf{u}_0 in \bar{P} . Therefore, $(-1)^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = (-1)^m$, by (3.15). Then we conclude

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = -1$$

and b_i can be arbitrary if $i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_m\}$.

Case2: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq 0$. Then from (3.22) and the fact $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}) I_n$, we have

$$(3.25) \quad b_i = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} \sum_{q=1}^n a_{(i,q)}$$

where $a_{(i,q)}$ is the (i, q) -th entry of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Subcase 1: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$. First, let $i \neq N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. If $i > N_{j-1}$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, then

$$b_i = \sum_{k>j}^m a_{ki}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N_{m-1} - 1$ and $b_i = 0$ for $N_{m-1} < i < N_m$. Now if $i = N_j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, then

$$(3.26) \quad b_i = -1 + \sum_{k>j}^m a_{ki}, \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = -1.$$

Subcase 2: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq (-1)^m$. If $i \neq N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ then $b_i = 0$ following Case 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.2. Also if $i = N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, then

$$(3.27) \quad b_i = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} (a_{(j+1)N_j} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} (a_{1n} - 1).$$

□

4. PROPERTIES OF THE COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF QUASITORIC MANIFOLDS OVER A VERTEX CUT OF A FINITE PRODUCT OF SIMPLICES

In this section, we calculate the integral cohomology (possibly with minimal generators) of quasitoric manifolds over a vertex cut of a finite product of simplices depending on the determinant of $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ defined in (3.6). Moreover, we show several relations among the product of the generators. We also classify the integral cohomology rings of quasitoric manifolds over a vertex cut of finite product of simplices.

Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a finite product of simplices as in (2.3) and \bar{P} the vertex cut of P at the vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} \in V(P)$. Let $\bar{\lambda}: \mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a characteristic function defined as in (3.2) such that $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfies the following:

$$(4.1) \quad \det A_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{odd} \\ +1 & \text{if } D(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0) = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_0 = u_{0\dots 0} \in V(\bar{P})$. Then by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we can classify the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ and the vector \mathbf{b} assigned to the new facet \bar{F} . Recall the facet set

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{P}) = \{\bar{F}_{k_j}^j := F_{k_j}^j \cap \bar{P} \mid F_{k_j}^j \in \mathcal{F}(P)\} \cup \{\bar{F}\}$$

of \bar{P} from (3.1). We assign the indeterminate x_i to the facet $\bar{F}_{k_j}^j$ where $i = N_{j-1} + k_j$ for $1 \leq k_j \leq n_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. We also assign the indeterminate x_{n+i} to the facet F_0^i . Moreover, the new facet \bar{F} of \bar{P} is assigned to an indeterminate x . Then following [DJ91], we have

$$H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_{n+m}, x] / \bar{I} + \bar{J}$$

where \bar{I} and \bar{J} are ideals given by the following. The ideal \bar{I} can be determined by the minimal non-faces of \bar{P} which are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k_j=0}^{n_j} \bar{F}_{k_j}^j & \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \bar{F}_{n_j}^j \bar{F} & \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k_j=0}^{n_j-1} \bar{F}_{k_j}^j. & \end{aligned}$$

Then the ideal \bar{I} is generated by the following monomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}, x]$:

$$(4.2) \quad x_{N_{j-1}+1} \dots x_{N_j} x_{n+j}, \quad x x_{N_j}, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq N_j}}^{n+m} x_i$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. The characteristic matrix is already determined by Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 3.2. Let us consider the vector equation

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_{\bar{J}} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i x_{n+i} + \mathbf{b}x.$$

Then the ideal \bar{J} is generated by the coordinates of $\lambda_{\bar{J}}$. Next we compute the integral cohomology $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ with less number of generators depending on the determinant of the matrix $A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$.

Case 1: Let $\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$. Then $A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}$ can be given by (3.11), see proof of Theorem 3.2. So, the ideal \bar{J} is generated by the following degree one polynomials come from (4.3).

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i - x_{n+j} + \sum_{k>j}^m a_{ki}x_{n+k} + b_i x & \quad \text{if } N_{j-1} < i \leq N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \quad \text{and} \\ x_i - x_{n+m} + b_i x & \quad \text{if } N_{m-1} < i \leq N_m. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(4.5) \quad x_i = \begin{cases} x_{n+j} - \sum_{k>j}^m a_{ki}x_{n+k} - b_i x & \text{if } N_{j-1} < i \leq N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ x_{n+m} - b_i x & \text{if } N_{m-1} < i \leq N_m \end{cases}$$

in $H^*(X(\bar{P}))$. For simplicity of notation, we denote the generator $x_{n+j} \in H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ by y_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and x by y . Then

$$(4.6) \quad H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]/\bar{I}$$

where the generators of the ideal \bar{I} have the form of the following polynomials

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & y_j \prod_{i>N_{j-1}}^{N_j} \left(y_j - \sum_{k>j} a_{ki}y_k - b_i y \right) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ & y_m \prod_{i>N_{m-1}}^{N_m} (y_m - b_i y), \\ & y \left(y_j - \sum_{k>j} a_{kN_j}y_k - b_{N_j}y \right) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ & y(y_m - b_{N_m}y) \quad \text{and} \\ & \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq N_j}}^{N_{m-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(y_j - \sum_{k>j} a_{ki}y_k - b_i y \right) \prod_{i>N_{m-1}}^{N_m-1} (y_m - b_i y). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Let $\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} \neq (-1)^m$. Then $A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}$ can be given by (3.14), see the proof of Theorem 3.2. Then the ideal \bar{J} is generated by the following degree one polynomials

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i - x_{n+j} + b_i x & \quad \text{if } N_{j-1} < i < N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m, \\ x_i - x_{n+j} + a_{(j+1)(N_j)}x_{n+j+1} + b_i x & \quad \text{if } i = N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \quad \text{and} \\ x_n - x_{n+m} + a_{1n}x_{n+1} + b_n x. & \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have $b_i = 0$ if $N_{j-1} < i < N_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ when $\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} \neq 0$; and b_i 's are arbitrary when $\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. For our purpose, we assume $b_i = 0$ for $N_{j-1} < i < N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ if $\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Then from (4.8), we get the following

$$(4.9) \quad x_i = \begin{cases} x_{n+j} & \text{if } N_{j-1} < i < N_j, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m \\ x_{n+j} - a_{(j+1)(N_j)}x_{n+j+1} - b_i x & \text{if } i = N_j, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ x_{n+m} - a_{1n}x_{n+1} - b_n x. & \end{cases}$$

For simplicity of notation, here we denote the generator $x_{n+j} \in H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ by y_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and x by y . Then

$$(4.10) \quad H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]/\bar{I}$$

where the generators of the ideal \bar{I} have the form of the following polynomials

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & y_j^{n_j} (y_j - a_{j'N_j} y_{j'} - b_{N_j} y) && \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ & y_m^{n_m} (y_m - a_{1N_m} y_1 - b_{N_m} y), \\ & y(y_j - a_{j'N_j} y_{j'} - b_{N_j} y) && \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ & y(y_m - a_{1N_m} y_1 - b_{N_m} y), \quad \text{and} \\ & \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{n_j} \end{aligned}$$

with $j' = j + 1$. Note that if $n_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, then there is no i such that $N_{j-1} < i < N_j = N_{j-1} + 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *The elements y_1, \dots, y_m, y belong to $H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and satisfy the following.*

- (1) $yy_1 = yy_2 = \dots = yy_m$, and
- (2) $y^2 = (-1)^{m+1}(\det A_{\bar{v}})yy_j$ for any $j=1, \dots, m$.

Proof. The computation of the cohomology ring of a quasitoric manifold following [DJ91] gives $y_1, \dots, y_m, y \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. We shall prove (1) and (2) depending on the value of $\det A_{\bar{v}}$. There are following two possibilities.

Case 1: Let $\det A_{\bar{v}} = 0$. Following (3.10), (3.14) and (3.23); we get

$$(4.12) \quad a_{jk} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = N_{j-1} \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, m \text{ or } k = n \text{ for } j = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, the polynomials in (4.11) reduce to the following equations.

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} y(y_1 - y_2 - b_{N_1} y) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_j - y_{j'} - b_{N_j} y) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_{m-1} - y_m - b_{N_{m-1}} y) &= 0, \\ y(y_m - y_1 - b_{N_m} y) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = j + 1$. By summing up these equations altogether, we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} \right) y^2 = 0.$$

From **Case 1** of Theorem 3.3, we have $\sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = -1$ when $\det A_{\bar{v}} = 0$. This implies $y^2 = 0$, which proves (2) for this case. Now using $y^2 = 0$ in the equations in (4.13) one by one, we obtain the desired result (1).

Case 2: Let $\det A_{\bar{v}} \neq 0$. We have following two subcases.

Subcase 1: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$. The third and fourth types of polynomials in (4.7) become the following m equations

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} y \left(y_1 - \sum_{k>1} a_{kN_1} y_k - b_{N_1} y \right) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y \left(y_j - \sum_{k>j} a_{kN_j} y_k - b_{N_j} y \right) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_{m-1} - a_{mN_{m-1}} y_m - b_{N_{m-1}} y) &= 0, \\ y(y_m - b_{N_m} y) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the j -th equation in (4.14) by (4.14. j) for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Recall from the proof of Theorem 3.3 and (3.26) that $b_{N_m} = -1$ for this subcase. Using this in (4.14. m) we get $y^2 + yy_m = 0$. Also from (3.26), we have $b_{N_{m-1}} = -1 + a_{mN_{m-1}}$. Using $b_{N_{m-1}} = -1 + a_{mN_{m-1}}$ and $y^2 + yy_m = 0$ in (4.14. $(m-1)$), we get $y^2 + yy_{m-1} = 0$. Repeating similar arguments inductively, we have

$$y^2 + yy_j = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. This proves our claims (1) and (2) in this subcase.

Subcase 2: Let $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq (-1)^m$ and $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} \neq 0$. Then third and fourth types of polynomials in (4.11) become the following m equations

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} y(y_1 - a_{2N_1} y_2 - b_{N_1} y) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_j - a_{j'N_j} y_{j'} - b_{N_j} y) &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ y(y_{m-1} - a_{(m)(N_m)} y_m - b_{N_{m-1}} y) &= 0, \\ y(y_m - a_{1N_m} y_1 - b_{N_m} y) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = j + 1$. We denote the j -th equation in (4.15) by (4.15. j) for $j = 1, \dots, m$. First, we fix an $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and consider the equation (4.15. j'') for $j'' = j - 1$. Then we substitute the value of $yy_{j''}$ from (4.15. j'') into the equation (4.15. $(j'' - 1)$). So, we have

$$(4.16) \quad yy_{j''-1} - a_{j''N_{j''-1}}(a_{jN_{j''}} y_j + b_{N_{j''}} y) y - b_{N_{j''-1}} y^2 = 0.$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.3 and (3.27), we have

$$b_{N_{j''}} = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} (a_{jN_{j''}} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad b_{N_{j''-1}} = \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} (a_{j''N_{j''-1}} - 1).$$

Using $b_{N_{j''}}$ and $b_{N_{j''-1}}$ in (4.16), we get the following

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} yy_{j-2} - \frac{(a_{j''N_{j''-1}})(a_{jN_{j''}})}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} [(\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}) yy_j + (-1)^m y^2] &+ \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} (a_{j''N_{j''-1}}) y^2 \\ &- \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} (a_{j''N_{j''-1}}) y^2 + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} y^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(4.18) \quad yy_{j-2} - \frac{(a_{j''N_{j''-1}})(a_{jN_{j''}})}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} [(\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}) yy_j + (-1)^m y^2] + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} y^2 = 0$$

Then we replace the value of yy_{j-2} from (4.18) in (4.15. $(j'' - 2)$). We follow this recursive process of substitution for $(m - 1)$ times which gives us the following.

$$(4.19) \quad (1 - a_{1n}a_{2N_1} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}})[yy_j + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}}y^2] = 0.$$

Note that if $j \neq m$ then in the recursive process at some point we reach (4.15.1), then we plug in the value of yy_1 from (4.15.1) in (4.15. m) and carry on the process. As $\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m(1 - a_{1n}a_{2N_1} \dots a_{mN_{m-1}}) \neq 0$, from (4.19) we have

$$(4.20) \quad yy_j + \frac{(-1)^m}{\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}}y^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y^2 = (-1)^{m+1}(\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}})yy_j$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$; which proves (2) for this subcase. Also, by (4.20)

$$yy_1 = yy_2 = \dots = yy_m.$$

□

In the rest of this section, we discuss the relation among the cohomology rings of quasitoric manifolds over a vertex cut of a finite product of simplices. Let $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ be a quasitoric manifold such that $\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ with $b_i = 0$ for $i \neq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ in the vector \mathbf{b} . Then by (4.10) and (4.12), the integral cohomology ring of $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ is given by

$$(4.21) \quad H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]/\bar{I}$$

where the ideal \bar{I} is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} (1) & \prod_{j=1}^m y_j^{n_j}, \\ (2) & y_j^{n_j}(y_j - y_{j+1} - b_{N_j}y), \\ (3) & y(y_j - y_{j+1} - b_{N_j}y) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $y_{m+1} := y_1$. Note that the ideal \bar{I} depends on the entries of the vector $\mathbf{b} = \bar{\lambda}(\bar{F})$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a product of simplices as in (2.3) and \bar{P} is a vertex cut of P along a vertex $\bar{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1 \dots n_m}$ such that $\det A_{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$. Then the cohomology rings $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ are isomorphic to each other if $b_i = 0$ for $i \neq N_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ in the vector \mathbf{b} assigned to the new facet \bar{F} .*

Proof. Let us define two quasitoric manifolds over \bar{P} by two characteristic functions $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}'$. Let (A, \mathbf{b}) and (A', \mathbf{b}') be the characteristic matrices associated to $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}'$ respectively. In Theorem 3.2, we have classified the matrices A and A' up to conjugation. Also from Theorem 3.3, we get $\sum_{j=1}^m b_{N_j} = -1$; and from the hypothesis, $b_i = 0$ for $i \neq N_j$. Let ℓ be an integer which satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b_{N_j} \equiv \ell \pmod{m}$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$.

Let us consider $\mathbf{b}' = (b'_1, \dots, b'_n)^t$ such that $b'_{N_\ell} = -1$ and $b'_i = 0$ for $i \neq N_\ell$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b'_{N_j} = \ell$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b_{N_j} \equiv \ell \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b'_{N_j} \pmod{m}.$$

This implies that there exists an integer k such that

$$(4.22) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b_{N_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)b'_{N_j} + mk = 0.$$

Let $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$ be the ideals of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]$ such that

$$H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y] / \bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}} \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}')) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y] / \bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$$

where $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$ can be described similar to \bar{I} as in (4.21). Now let

$$c_j := \sum_{i=1}^j (b_{N_j} - b'_{N_j}) + k \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m-1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_m := k.$$

So, c_j 's are integers for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and they satisfy the following two conditions

$$(4.23) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m c_j = 0 \text{ (using (4.22))} \quad \text{and} \quad c_j - c_{j-1} = b_{N_j} - b'_{N_j} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m$$

where $c_0 := c_m$.

Now we consider an automorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]$ which is defined by

$$\phi(y_j) = y_j + c_j y \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(y) = y.$$

We now show that this ϕ induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\phi}: H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}')) \rightarrow H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})).$$

For that, we need to show the generators of $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$ maps to $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}}$ through ϕ . Let us inspect the map ϕ on the generators of $\bar{I}_{\mathbf{b}'}$ which can be obtained from (4.21) replacing b_{N_j} by b'_{N_j} one by one. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y_j^{n_j} (y_j - y_{j+1} - b'_{N_j} y)) &= (y_j + c_j y)^{n_j} (y_j - y_{j+1} + (c_j - c_{j+1} - b'_{N_j}) y) \\ &= (y_j + c_j y)^{n_j} (y_j - y_{j+1} - b_{N_j} y) \quad (\text{using (4.23)}) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{in } H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})). \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Similar calculation gives

$$\phi(y(y_j - y_{j+1} - b'_{N_j} y)) = y(y_j - y_{j+1} - b_{N_j} y) = 0 \quad \text{in } H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})).$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\prod_{j=1}^m y_j\right) &= \prod_{j=1}^m (y_j + c_j y) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j y \prod_{i \neq j}^m y_i) \quad (\text{as } y^2 = 0, \text{ from Theorem 4.1}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j\right) y y_1^{m-1} \quad (\text{as } y y_j = y y_1 \text{ for any } j, \text{ from Theorem 4.1}) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{using (4.23)}) \end{aligned}$$

in $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. Thus we have shown that ϕ induces a graded ring homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}')) \rightarrow H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. Similarly, we can construct the inverse $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$ of $\tilde{\phi}$ such that

$$\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(y_j) = y_j - c_j y \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(y) = y$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. This proves our claim. \square

Remark 4.3. If $n_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ in Theorem 4.2, i.e. P is an m -cube, one can have a similar result Lemma 5.1 in [HKMP20].

Theorem 4.4. *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a finite product of simplices as in (2.3) and n_{j_1}, \dots, n_{j_s} are greater than 1 for some $\{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let \bar{P} be a vertex cut of P at $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1} \dots v_{n_m}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ a characteristic function as considered in Section 3. Then the following elements forms an additive basis of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$:*

- (1) $y_i y_j$ where $1 \leq i < j \leq m$,
- (2) y_j^2 if $n_j \in \{n_{j_1}, \dots, n_{j_s}\}$, and
- (3) yy_j for any $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. From (4.11) we have that the polynomials $y_i y_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq m$), y_j^2 and yy_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ generate $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. Theorem 4.1 implies that $yy_i = yy_j$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. If $n_j = 1$, then y_j^2 can be represented as a linear combination of $y_i y_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq m$) and yy_j ; see (4.7) and (4.11). So, we do not need to consider y_j^2 as generators if $n_j = 1$. Thus the number of generators is $\binom{m}{2} + s + 1$.

Let us denote the rank of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ by $R(H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})))$. We show

$$R(H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))) = \binom{m}{2} + s + 1$$

to conclude our claim. The equivariant connected sum of $\prod_{j=1}^m \mathbb{C}P^{n_j}$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ at a T^n -fixed point with an orientation reversing map is a quasitoric manifold over \bar{P} and its i -th Betti number is $h_i(\bar{P})$. Here $h_i(\bar{P})$ is the i -th h -vector of \bar{P} , see [DJ91, Section 3]. Note that the $2i$ -th Betti number of $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ is $h_i(\bar{P})$. So the fourth Betti number of the equivariant connected sum of $\prod_{j=1}^m \mathbb{C}P^{n_j}$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is $\binom{m}{2} + s + 1$. Thus the rank of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ is $\binom{m}{2} + s + 1$. \square

For an element $z \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$, the annihilator of z is defined by

$$\text{Ann}(z) = \{w \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \mid zw = 0 \text{ in } H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))\}.$$

Since $\{\bar{F}, \bar{F}_{n_j}^j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ are non-faces of \bar{P} , then the rank of $\text{Ann}(cy)$ is m for a non-zero constant c . The following theorem discusses the converse.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a finite product of simplices as in (2.3) with $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ and $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ is a quasitoric manifold over the vertex cut at $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = v_{n_1} \dots v_{n_m}$ of P as discussed previously in Section 3. If $\text{Ann}(z)$ is of rank m for $z \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and $\det A = (-1)^m$, then z is a constant multiple of y .*

Proof. As $z \in H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$, let $z = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j y_j + cy$ for some integers c_1, \dots, c_m, c . Let $w \in \text{Ann}(z)$. Then there exists integers d_1, \dots, d_m, d such that $w = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j y_j + dy$ satisfying

$$(4.24) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j y_j + cy \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j y_j + dy \right) = 0.$$

We have $zw \in H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$. Also we have the additive basis of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ from Theorem 4.4. Let P be the product of s -many simplices Δ^{n_j} with $n_j \geq 2$ and the other simplices are closed intervals. Without loss of generality, we assume the first $(m-s)$ -many simplices are closed intervals. Then the basis elements of $H^4(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ are

$$yy_1, \quad y_i y_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq m) \quad \text{and} \quad y_j^2 \quad \text{for } j = (m-s+1), \dots, m.$$

Case1: Let $s = 0$. From (4.24) and the fact $yy_1 = yy_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$(4.25) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m (c_j d_j) y_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i < j}}^{j=m} (c_i d_j + c_j d_i) y_i y_j + (c(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j) + (\sum_{j=1}^m c_j) d - cd) yy_1 = 0.$$

As the linear equation involves only basis elements, all the coefficients are equal to zero. That is

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} c_j d_j &= 0, & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m \\ c_i d_j + c_j d_i &= 0, & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m \end{aligned}$$

$$c\left(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j\right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j\right)d - cd = 0.$$

As the rank of $\text{Ann}(z)$ is m , there exists coefficient $d_j \neq 0$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. This implies $c_j = 0$ from the first set of equations in (4.26). Thus from the second set of equations in (4.26) we conclude $c_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. This proves that z is a constant multiple of y .

Case 2: Let $s > 0$. Then $P = I^{m-s} \times \prod_{j=1}^s \Delta^{n_j}$ where $I = [0, 1]$. Thus from (4.11), y_j^2 can be written as a linear combination of $y_j y_{j+1}$ and yy_j for $j = 1, \dots, (m-s)$. We define an index set

$$\mathcal{J} = \{(i, j) : i = j + 1, i = 1, \dots, (m-s)\}.$$

Note that the cardinality $|\mathcal{J}| = m-s$. From (4.24) and the fact $yy_1 = yy_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, we get

$$(4.27) \quad \sum_{j=m-s+1}^m (c_j d_j) y_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ (i,j) \notin \mathcal{J}}} (c_i d_j + c_j d_i) y_i y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{J}} (c_i d_j + c_j d_i + a_{jN_i} c_i d_i) y_i y_j \\ + \left(c\left(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j\right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j\right)d - cd + \sum_{j=1}^{m-s} (c_j d_j) b_{N_j}\right) yy_1 = 0.$$

Then the coefficients of $y_i y_j$ are zeros. We consider the coefficients of $y_i y_j$ for $(i, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, $y_i y_j$ for $j = i + 1$, $i = (m-s+1, \dots, m-1)$ and $y_1 y_m$. Considering d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m as variables, one can write these linear equations as the following.

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_2 + c_1 a_{2N_1} & c_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & c_3 + c_2 a_{3N_2} & c_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & c_{s+1} + c_s a_{s+1N_s} & c_s & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_{s+2} & c_{s+1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_m & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & c_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_s \\ d_{s+1} \\ \vdots \\ d_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\text{Ann}(z)$ is of rank m , then the rank of the $m \times m$ coefficient matrix in the above equation is either 0 or 1. Thus, we have $c_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. So, we conclude that z is a non-zero constant multiple of y . \square

Remark 4.6. If $s = 0$ i.e. P involves no interval in its product then we do not need the assumption $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$ to prove the claim in Theorem 4.5. \square

Theorem 4.7. Let $P = \prod_{j=1}^m \Delta^{n_j}$ be a product of simplices as in (2.3) with $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and \bar{P} is a vertex cut of P along a vertex $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ such that $\det A_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = (-1)^m$. Then $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings if and only if $H^*(X(P, \lambda))$ and $H^*(X(P, \lambda'))$ are isomorphic as graded rings.

Proof. First, suppose that $H^*(X(P, \lambda))$ and $H^*(X(P, \lambda'))$ be isomorphic as graded rings. Note that $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})$ is a blowup of $X(P, \lambda)$ along $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ where π is the orbit map defined as in (2.2). This implies

$$X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}) = X(P, \lambda) \# \mathbb{C}P^n$$

where \sharp denotes the equivariant connected sum of two spaces. Also, note that $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is equivariantly connected with reversed orientation. Similarly $X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}')$ is a blowup of $X(P, \lambda')$ along $\pi^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$. This implies the isomorphism of $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ and $H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$ as graded rings. Therefore, the ‘if’ part is concluded.

Let

$$\bar{\Phi} : H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda})) \rightarrow H^*(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$$

be an isomorphism as graded rings. Recall that $\{y_1, \dots, y_m, y\}$ is an additive basis of $H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}))$ as well as $H^2(X(\bar{P}, \bar{\lambda}'))$. Thus $\bar{\Phi}$ induces an automorphism Φ of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, y]$ such that

$$\Phi(\bar{I}) = \bar{I}'$$

where \bar{I} and \bar{I}' are ideals generated as in (4.11) for characteristic functions $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}'$ respectively. From Theorem 4.5, we have $\Phi(y) = y$ up to sign. Thus Φ induces an automorphism ϕ of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ satisfying $\phi(I) = I'$. This proves the ‘only if’ part of our claim. \square

Acknowledgment. The first author thanks the ‘ICSR IIT Madras’ for a research grants. The second author thanks the IMSc for PDF fellowship.

REFERENCES

- [BP02] Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, University Lecture Series, vol. 24, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR 1897064 (2003e:57039)
- [BSS21] Koushik Brahma, Soumen Sarkar, and Subhankar Sau, *Torsion in the cohomology of blowups of quasitoric orbifolds*, *Topology Appl.* **295** (2021), Paper No. 107666, 23. MR 4236049
- [Cho15] Suyoung Choi, *Classification of Bott manifolds up to dimension 8*, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **58** (2015), no. 3, 653–659. MR 3391366
- [CM12] Suyoung Choi and Mikiya Masuda, *Classification of \mathbb{Q} -trivial Bott manifolds*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **10** (2012), no. 3, 447–461. MR 2983437
- [CMS10a] Suyoung Choi, Mikiya Masuda, and Dong Youp Suh, *Quasitoric manifolds over a product of simplices*, *Osaka J. Math.* **47** (2010), no. 1, 109–129. MR 2666127
- [CMS10b] ———, *Topological classification of generalized Bott towers*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), no. 2, 1097–1112. MR 2551516
- [DJ91] Michael W. Davis and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, *Duke Math. J.* **62** (1991), no. 2, 417–451. MR 1104531 (92i:52012)
- [GP13] Saibal Ganguli and Mainak Poddar, *Almost complex structure, blowdowns and McKay correspondence in quasitoric orbifolds*, *Osaka J. Math.* **50** (2013), no. 4, 977–1005. MR 3161424
- [HKMP20] Sho Hasui, Hideya Kuwata, Mikiya Masuda, and Seonjeong Park, *Classification of toric manifolds over an n -cube with one vertex cut*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2020), no. 16, 4890–4941. MR 4139029
- [Mas08] Mikiya Masuda, *Equivariant cohomology distinguishes toric manifolds*, *Adv. Math.* **218** (2008), no. 6, 2005–2012. MR 2431667
- [MP08] M. Masuda and T. E. Panov, *Semi-free circle actions, Bott towers, and quasitoric manifolds*, *Mat. Sb.* **199** (2008), no. 8, 95–122. MR 2452268
- [MS08] Mikiya Masuda and Dong Youp Suh, *Classification problems of toric manifolds via topology*, *Toric topology*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 460, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 273–286. MR 2428362

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, INDIA
Email address: soumen@iitm.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHENNAI, INDIA
Email address: subhankarsau18@gmail.com