

Stochastic Quantization of General Relativity à la Ricci-Flow

Matteo Lulli,^{1,*} Antonino Marcianò,^{2,3,†} and Xiaowen Shan^{1,‡}

¹*Department of Mechanics and Aerospace Engineering,*

Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518055, China

²*Center for Field Theory and Particle Physics & Department of Physics, Fudan University, 200433 Shanghai, China*

³*Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati INFN, Frascati (Rome), Italy, EU*

We follow a new pathway to the definition of the Stochastic Quantization (SQ), first proposed by Parisi and Wu, of the action functional yielding the Einstein equations. Hinging on the functional similarities between the Ricci-Flow equation and the SQ Langevin equations, we push forward a novel approach in which the stochastic time converges to the proper time of a space-like foliation in the equilibrium limit. This procedure in turn requires adding to the usual symmetric connection a projective Weyl term that does not modify the classical equations of motion. Furthermore, we express the starting system of equations using the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) variables and their conjugated Hamiltonian momenta. Such a choice is instrumental for understanding the newly derived equations in terms of the breaking the diffeomorphism invariance of the classical theory, which will hold on average at the steady state. We comment on the physical interpretation of the Ricci flow equations, and argue how they can naturally provide, in a geometrical way, the renormalization group equation for gravity theories. In the general setting, the equation associated to the shift vector yields the Navier-Stokes equation with a stochastic source. Moreover, we show that the fluctuations of the metric tensor components around the equilibrium configurations, far away from the horizon of a Schwarzschild black hole, are forced by the Ricci flow to follow the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation, whose probabilistic distribution can yield an intermittent statistics. We finally comment on the possible applications of this novel scenario to the cosmological constant, arguing that the Ricci flow may provide a solution to the Hubble tension, as a macroscopic effect of the quantum fluctuation of the metric tensor.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantizing gravity has become a longstanding problem, posing continuous challenges over the last 100 years, since the birth of General Relativity (GR). Many similarities exist between GR and other gauge theories that can be recovered casting the Einstein-Hilbert action in terms of the field strength of the gravitational spin-connection and the tetrad as frame-field. On one side, the Hamiltonian first-class constraints of GR provide its classical equations of motion, together with the Hamilton equations; on the other side, for non-Abelian Yang-Mills theories, the (Gauss) constraint emerges as a subset of the classical equations of motion, providing the only constraints to the theory. While moving from the path-integral point of view, the Hamiltonian path integral approach to GR enforces the constraints in the definition of the measure by means of functional deltas [1], similarly to the Faddeev-Popov gauge fixing for Yang-Mills gauge theories.

Thus the quantization procedures have to deal with the substantial difference among the two theories: the constraint for Yang-Mills theories only appears as the generator of internal transformations, while gravity involve also time and space re-parametrization generators as constraints of the theory. The imposition of the constraints in the integration measure does not allow the

integral to *sample* possible fields configurations that do not belong to the saddle point. In other words, configurations that do not obey the classical equations of motion, i.e. four out of ten Einstein equations, are forbidden. This is in stark contrast with the commonly accepted interpretation, for which the path integral is exactly a way to weight the quantum contributions (of the fields configuration) that do not belong to the saddle point. Thus, from the perspective of the symmetries, the usual path integral quantization of GR always considers only classical fields configurations.

It is appealing to look for different approaches that assume, instead, an explicit violation of the whole set of equations of motion, i.e. for a path integral sampling entirely away from the saddle point. It turns out that this is the main feature of the Stochastic Quantization method [2], in which quantization is performed by reaching the steady-state of a stochastic process modelled by a Langevin equation for the fields,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \phi_A(x^\mu, \lambda) = -\frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi_A} + \eta_A(x^\mu, \lambda), \quad (1)$$

where the drift term is provided by the first variation of the action functional S and η_A is the associated additive noise with $\langle \eta_A(x, \lambda) \eta_B(x', \lambda') \rangle = \alpha_\eta \delta_{AB} \delta(x - x') \delta(\lambda - \lambda')$. The evolution is computed with respect to a fictive stochastic time λ , which is generally treated as an extra (non-physical) dimension with respect to the chosen ambient space, e.g. the Minkowskian four-dimensional space-time. Under rather general assumptions for the noise terms, it is possible to write the

* lulli@sustech.edu.cn

† marciano@fudan.edu.cn

‡ shanxw@sustech.edu.cn

necessary momenta of the probability distribution of the fields, which in turn allow to write the associated Fokker-Planck equation.

At this point, it is possible to show that the stationary distribution is largest for field configurations yielding diffeomorphism symmetry, so that instantaneous configurations will likely break the diffeomorphisms algebra, which however is expected to hold on average in the equilibrium long-time limit. The breaking of symmetries at a given short (stochastic) time scale, which are then recovered on larger time scales, is something very similar to what happens in turbulence [3], for which the Galilean symmetries, broken at small scales by the intermittent fluctuations of the velocity field, are recovered on large (spatial) scales. Furthermore, we emphasize the link between the notion of proper time we are about to introduce and the one introduced by York [4], and the connection with the formulation provided by Nambu for quantization [27].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we start by commenting on the conformal transformations and the role of the scale factor for theories of gravity. We then show how a cosmological constant term in the gravity actions can be automatically generated by a non-torsional extension of the gravitational connection, and how this is related to the conformal transformations. In Sec. III we ponder the choice of the stochastic time and shed light on the link with the conformal symmetry. In Sec. IV we focus on the scale dependence that is generated by the Ricci equations, inspected in analogy with the thermal nature of the diffusion time. In Sec. V we comment on the link between the Ricci flow and the RG flow for non-linear sigma models, in order to clarify to reader the connection between these two concepts. In Sec. VI we provide a perspective on the possible implication of the Ricci flow stochastic quantization for the fate of quadratic gravity. In Sec. VII we provide the main results we obtained in re-writing the Ricci equation in the Hamiltonian formalism. In Sec. VIII we comment on the physical interpretation of the results we obtained, and in Sec. IX we apply the framework we have been developing to the study case of the running of the cosmological constant. Finally in Sec. X we provide some preliminary conclusions and outlooks.

II. CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATIONS AND THE ROLE OF THE SCALE FACTOR

In order to start providing a physical intuition of the conformal transformations at the base of the Ricci flow, we inspect the features connected to this symmetries in GR. Specifically, we move from the Einstein-Hilbert action of gravity, which encodes two transverse propagative degrees of freedom of integer spin ± 2 . A further longitudinal scalar massless excitation can be unfrozen thanks to the introduction of the cosmological constant term in the action. The cosmological constant term can be indeed considered as part of the energy momentum tensor of the

theory of gravity coupled to matter. The inspection of the second order perturbation of the Einstein equations of motion provides ground to this intuitive statement. Nonetheless, there is a surprise we are going to comment about in the following.

A. Conformal ghost in the geometric stochastic flow

The background solution in presence of the cosmological constant Λ , and in absence of other forms of matter, is provided by either the de Sitter or anti-de Sitter solution, respectively for either $\Lambda > 0$ or $\Lambda < 0$, both maximally symmetric solutions of the Einstein equations. Denoting these solutions as $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$, and with $h_{\mu\nu}$ the perturbation, one easily finds that for the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$\begin{aligned} (\sqrt{-g}R)^{(2)} = & \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \left[\bar{R} \left(-\frac{1}{4} h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8} h^2 \right) \right. \\ & + \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \left(h_{\rho}^{\mu} h^{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} h h^{\mu\nu} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\nabla}_{\mu} h \bar{\nabla}^{\mu} h - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nabla}_{\mu} h \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} h^{\mu\nu} \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\nabla}_{\rho} h^{\mu\nu} \bar{\nabla}^{\rho} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nabla}_{\rho} h_{\mu\nu} \bar{\nabla}^{\rho} h^{\mu\nu} \right] \\ & + \text{total derivative.} \end{aligned}$$

Decomposing the metric — see e.g. [5] — in its irreducible components

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu} = & h_{\mu\nu}^{\perp} + \partial_{\mu} a_{\nu}^{\perp} + \partial_{\nu} a_{\mu}^{\perp} \\ & + \left(\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \square \right) a + \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with h^{\perp} transverse traceless

$$\partial^{\mu} h_{\mu\nu}^{\perp} = \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}^{\perp} = 0, \quad (3)$$

a_{μ}^{\perp} transverse, thus

$$\partial^{\mu} a_{\mu}^{\perp} = 0, \quad (4)$$

a scalar and $\varphi = h_{\mu}^{\mu}$ trace of the perturbation tensor, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\text{EH}}^{(2)} = & \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{4} h_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\square} - \frac{\bar{R}}{6} \right) h_{\mu\nu}^{\perp} - \frac{3}{32} \Phi \left(\bar{\square} + \frac{\bar{R}}{3} \right) \Phi \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

in which $\Phi = \varphi - \bar{\square}a$ represents a scalar massless excitation, invariant under the gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu}^{\perp} & \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu}^{\perp}, \\ a_{\mu}^{\perp} & \rightarrow a_{\mu}^{\perp} + \xi_{\mu}^{\perp}, \\ a & \rightarrow a + 2\xi, \\ \varphi & \rightarrow \varphi + 2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

namely $\Phi = \varphi - \square a \rightarrow \Phi$ under Eq. (6).

Despite the appearance of a quadratic kinetic term in Eq. (5), this the excitation connected to Φ is not a physical degree of freedom but rather a ghost, as evident from the opposite sign with respect to the kinetic term of the graviton.

Nonetheless, this ghost is harmless, since is not a propagative degree of freedom. This can be understood from its non-locality, namely

$$\Phi = P^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (7)$$

with

$$P^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{4}{3}\square^{-1} \left(\partial^\mu \partial^\nu - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\square \right). \quad (8)$$

It immediately follows indeed that the Cauchy problem cannot be solved within this framework, since the initial data at a given time for the metric perturbations $h_{\mu\nu}$ are not enough to determine Φ . This confirms the non existence of an extra propagative degree of freedom.

An alternative way to unveil the real nature of the scalar ghost excitation consists in performing a residual gauge transformation on the gauge invariant quantities $h_{\mu\nu}^\perp$ and Φ , which reads

$$h_{\mu\nu}^\perp \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu}^\perp + \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu + \nabla_\nu \xi_\mu, \quad \Phi \rightarrow \Phi + 2\nabla^\mu k_\mu, \quad (9)$$

with ξ_μ and k_μ conformal Killing vector that fulfil

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^\mu \xi_\mu &= 0, \quad \left(\square + \frac{R}{4} \right) \xi_\mu = 0, \quad (10) \\ \nabla_\mu k_\nu + 4\nabla_\nu k_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\sigma k_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Then ξ_μ eliminates four of the six components of $h_{\mu\nu}^\perp$, leaving only two propagating components corresponding to the helicity states of the graviton, while the divergence of the conformal Killing vector eliminates the spin 0 ghost scalar excitation Φ .

It is now crucial to notice that a thermal flow of the metric driven either by the Ricci tensor, or by the difference of the Ricci tensor and the target matter tensor, breaks the aforementioned residual gauge symmetry. Asymptotically, at equilibrium, when the Einstein equations hold, the residual gauge symmetry is recovered, and the ghost mode can be finally reabsorbed. Nonetheless, outside of the equilibrium, the scalar ghost mode arises in the geometric flow as a non-local propagative degree of freedom. We finally notice that in a stochastic geometric flow, endowed *ad hoc* with of any non-vanishing space-time curvature term, a similar effect to the one we have just shown is found.

B. Torsion, conformal symmetry and the cosmological constant

We may now inspect the emergence of the term that at equilibrium, when the Einstein equations hold, provides the cosmological constant. Not surprisingly, this term can be again related, through the extension of the gravitational connection so as to encode non-torsional components, to the inclusion of matter. This is a link that becomes manifest within the first order formulation of the Einstein-Hilbert action of gravity, when the torsional parts of the connection are integrated out, being recast thanks to the Cartan structure equations in terms of the (fermionic) matter fields. We show that these additional components of the gravitational connection are ‘‘conformal’’, namely are connected to a conformal transformation of the metric. We keep focusing in this section on the second order formalism, without seeking for an interpretation of the conformal degree of freedom in term of matter.

Moving from the Christoffel connection $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$, we first define the extended connection $\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ by addition of a non-symmetric component $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$, namely

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma + \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma. \quad (11)$$

The extended covariant derivative then casts

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_\mu V^\alpha &= \partial_\mu V^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha V^\beta + \mathcal{C}_{\mu\beta}^\alpha V^\beta, \quad (12) \\ \bar{\nabla}_\mu V^\alpha &= \nabla_\mu V^\alpha + \mathcal{C}_{\mu\beta}^\alpha V^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

respectively for a covariant and contravariant vector. The covariant derivative of the metric tensor then reads $\bar{\nabla}_\mu g_{\alpha\beta} = -2\mathcal{C}_{\mu(\alpha}^\gamma g_{\beta)\gamma}$.

We can then consider the possible parametrization of the extended part of the connection

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \lambda_1 \delta_\alpha^\gamma u_\beta + \lambda_2 u_\alpha \delta_\beta^\gamma + \lambda_3 w_{\alpha\beta} u^\gamma + \lambda_4 u_\alpha u_\beta u^\gamma. \quad (13)$$

The parametrization of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ adopts here the normal u_α to the three-dimensional hypersurfaces in the ADM decomposition of $g_{\mu\nu}$, and fixes $w_{\alpha\beta}$ as the projector on the three-dimensional hypersurfaces.

The extended Einstein-Hilbert action can be then derived starting from the extended Riemann tensor

$$\bar{R}_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha = \partial_\gamma \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\delta}^\alpha - \partial_\delta \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha + \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\delta}^\mu \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\gamma}^\alpha - \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\mu \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\delta}^\alpha, \quad (14)$$

which recasts as

$$\bar{R}_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha = R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha + \mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\gamma}^\alpha - \mathcal{C}_{\beta\gamma}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\delta}^\alpha + \nabla_\gamma \mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\alpha - \nabla_\delta \mathcal{C}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha, \quad (15)$$

and then contracting first once with the metric to find the Ricci tensor

$$\bar{R}_{\beta\delta} = \bar{R}_{\beta\alpha\delta}^\alpha = R_{\beta\delta} + \mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - \mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\delta}^\alpha + \nabla_\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\alpha - \nabla_\delta \mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha, \quad (16)$$

and a second time with the metric tensor to recover the Ricci scalar

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R} &= g^{\beta\delta} \bar{R}_{\beta\delta} = R + g^{\beta\delta} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - \mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\delta}^\alpha \right) \\ &+ g^{\beta\delta} \left(\nabla_\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\alpha - \nabla_\delta \mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian density finally reads

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-g} \bar{R} &= \sqrt{-g} R + \sqrt{-g} g^{\beta\delta} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - \mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\delta}^\alpha \right) \\ &+ \partial_\alpha \left[\sqrt{-g} \left(g^{\beta\delta} \mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\alpha - g^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\delta \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

in which the last term in Eq. (18) is a boundary term that does not affect the Einstein equations of motion.

We finally examine the extended component of the action, i.e. the second term of Eq. (18), within the round brackets, by substitution of the expression of the $\mathcal{C}_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha$ connection, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-g} g^{\beta\delta} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\beta\delta}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - \mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}^\mu \mathcal{C}_{\mu\delta}^\alpha \right) \\ = \sqrt{-g} \left[(\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2) (D-1) u_\mu u^\mu \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Eq. (19) provides an expression of the cosmological constant in terms of the square of the fields entering the definition of \mathcal{C} . It turns out that, since $\lambda_i \sim \sqrt{\Lambda}$ (for $i = 2, 3$), the quadratic λ_i fields undergo a non-local expansion in terms of the derivative of the cosmological constant Λ , which hence acquires a dynamics within this framework. In other words, according to the stochastic geometric flow we are about to introduce, the fields λ_i , even though might fluctuate around their zero-values minimizing the action, may still have under stochastic flow non-vanishing quadratic average mimicking the cosmological constant. This will be obtained by the substitution $w_{\alpha\beta} = q_{\alpha\beta}$ and $u^\mu = n^\mu$ where $q_{\alpha\beta}$ and n^μ are the projector and the normal to the equal-time hypersurface.

III. STOCHASTIC TIME

The choice of the quantity representing the stochastic time λ shall be carefully pondered. While most studies applying the Stochastic Quantization to various field theories do not always match on the physical meaning of the stochastic time, it seems reasonable that the geometric nature of General Relativity would require some properties to be fulfilled. As an example, one might expect the stochastic time λ to be represented as an affine parameter whose integral should transform as a scalar under diffeomorphisms. Indeed, it has been already observed in previous works on the Stochastic Quantization of two-dimensional causal dynamic triangulations (CDT) [6] that the proper time τ naturally plays the role of the stochastic time, and indeed τ possesses all the transformation properties we discussed above. However, two-dimensional dynamic triangulations are somewhat an oversimplified model as we are about to argue.

In a four-dimensional theory all the proper-time dependence is encoded in the coordinate dependence itself. Indeed the total proper-time derivative can be written as

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} = u^\mu \nabla_\mu, \quad (20)$$

with u^μ a time-like four-vector. This operator can be quickly adapted to the case of a space-like foliation by identifying $u^\mu = n^\mu$. One can notice that by writing the Ricci-flow equations with a total derivative with respect to τ one would be left with the following equation

$$n^\alpha \nabla_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \frac{c}{m_S} \left[R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R \right] + g_{\mu\nu} \eta(x, \tau). \quad (21)$$

Whether or not the left-hand side vanishes depends on the metric compatibility of the connection ∇_α . One is left with a Langevin equation without any derivative with respect to the stochastic time, signaling the need for further scrutiny. On the other hand, in Stochastic Quantization one typically introduces the stochastic time as a further dependence in the fields. Hence, one would look for an operator like

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} + \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \nabla_\mu. \quad (22)$$

Now, the connection between λ and the proper time τ can be determined from the identification of $dx^\mu/d\lambda = n^\mu$, which is also defined as the covariant derivative of the scalar time field $n_\mu = -N \nabla_\mu t$, namely

$$\frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = -g^{\mu\alpha} N \partial_\alpha t, \quad (23)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = -g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} g^{\mu\alpha} N \partial_\alpha t, \quad (24)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu = -\frac{dt}{d\lambda} N = \varepsilon(\lambda), \quad (25)$$

from which it follows that

$$\varepsilon(\lambda) \delta\lambda = -N \delta t. \quad (26)$$

Here, we are considering a normalization which depends on the affine parameter λ . If one has in the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(\lambda) \delta\lambda = \varepsilon \delta\lambda = -N \delta t, \quad (27)$$

then this, together with $\varepsilon = -1$, would correspond to the usual definition of the proper time

$$\delta\tau = N \delta t, \quad (28)$$

so that

$$\lambda \rightarrow \tau, \quad (29)$$

with the Jacobian between λ and τ provided by

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\lambda} = -\varepsilon(\lambda). \quad (30)$$

Hence, in order to define a stochastic time λ that tends to the proper time τ at equilibrium, we need to take into account a variable normalization of the normal vector to the space-like hypersurfaces. It is possible to write the average relation as the long-time limit of an equal-time correlator

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \langle n^\mu(\lambda) n_\mu(\lambda) \rangle = \varepsilon. \quad (31)$$

Furthermore, we can write

$$\delta\lambda = \frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} \delta\tau = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{d\lambda}{d\tau}\right)^2 ds^2} = \sqrt{\frac{ds^2}{c^2 \varepsilon^2(\lambda)}}, \quad (32)$$

from which one can see that the effect of a changing normalization of the normal vector to the space-like hypersurface is to rescale the line element by ε^{-2} . Interestingly, it is possible to introduce a projective term in the connection ∇_μ , in such a way that the proper-time derivative of the metric tensor generates an infinitesimal scale transformation of the metric tensor itself

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \lambda)}{d\tau} = -2\varphi(\tau, \lambda) g_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \lambda), \quad (33)$$

which can be integrated as

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\tau, \lambda) = \exp\left[-2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\bar{\tau} \varphi(\bar{\tau}, \lambda)\right] g_{\mu\nu}(\tau_0, \lambda). \quad (34)$$

This would suggest the identification

$$\varepsilon^2(\lambda) = \exp\left[2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\bar{\tau} \varphi(\bar{\tau}, \lambda)\right]. \quad (35)$$

Hence, we can connect the variation of the normalization of the normal to a scale transformation that is generated by the proper-time derivative through the addition of a projective part of the connection. This observation provides a bridge among the discussion developed in the previous section and the choice we have made of the stochastic time and stochastic time derivative here.

IV. RG FLOW AND PROPER STOCHASTIC TIME

Alternative perspectives have been hitherto developed to uncover the possibility of a non-perturbative quantization of gravity. In the asymptotic safety (AS) scenario the search for a non-trivial fixed point that would enable to control the UV-limit behaviour of the coupling constant has remained an urgent question to which has been provided so far only a partial tentative answer. The development of different pathways to the quantization

of gravity, including the exact renormalization group (ERG) approach, as well as CDT, allowed to unveil un-expected properties of space-time while approaching the Planck scale, and hence unveil the behaviour of its spectral dimension d_s . This latter has been found to encode a fractal behaviour [7, 8], due to the emergence of a ground state spacetime acquiring at large scales the classical value $d_s = 4$, and at short scales $d_s = 2$. The scale-dependence of the fractal dimension is generally present also for a spacetime with a quantum group of symmetry, hence showing similarities with other quantum gravity approaches [9].

The investigation of the fractal properties of space-time is intimately connected to the thermal nature of the diffusion time. As a matter of fact, the spectral dimension is defined for a generic Riemannian (or pseudo-Riemannian) manifold \mathcal{M} , with possibly curved metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, as

$$\partial_s K(x, y; s) + \Delta_x K(x, y; s) = 0, \quad (36)$$

to be solved with the initial condition $K(x, y; s) = \delta(x - y)/\sqrt{\pm g}$ (the sign \pm accounting the Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian signature of the manifold \mathcal{M}), having denoted the Laplacian as $\Delta_x = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu$, with ∇_μ covariant derivative, and $K(x, y; s)$ the heat kernel on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

The spectral dimension is related to the trace of the heat kernel by the relation

$$d_s \equiv -2 \frac{\partial \text{Tr} K}{\partial \log s}, \quad (37)$$

in which $\text{Tr} K$ undergoes the expansion in the heat-kernel time [10]

$$\text{Tr} K = \frac{\int d^d x \sqrt{\pm g(x)} K(x, x; s)}{\int d^d x \sqrt{\pm g(x)}} \sim \frac{1}{(4\pi s)^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n s^n. \quad (38)$$

The solution to (36) is formally provided by the expression $K(x, y; s) = \langle x | e^{-s\Delta} | y \rangle$. Equivalently, one can introduce a complete basis of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator Δ , namely $\{\phi_j(x)\}$, with eigenvalues λ_j and such that

$$K(x, y; s) = \sum_j e^{-\lambda_j s} \phi_j(x) \phi_j^*(y), \quad (39)$$

which in flat space-time $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ acquires the usual expression

$$K_\eta(x, y; s) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-p^2 s} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)}. \quad (40)$$

These latter relations, and in particular the formal expression $K(x, y; s) = \langle x | e^{-s\Delta} | y \rangle$, make also explicit the link to the propagator in quantum mechanics, and suggest the way it can be extended covariantly to relativistic

systems. In Minkowski space-time, for relativistic continuous fields, the definition of the Feynman propagator $D_F(x, y)$ traces back to introduction of the so-called Schwinger proper-time

$$\begin{aligned} D_F(x, y) &= \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-ip(x-y)} \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \\ &= \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-ip(x-y)} \int_0^\infty ds e^{is(p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds e^{is(p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-ip(x-y)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s\epsilon} e^{-ism^2} K_\eta(x, y; -is). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

This allows for a double useful identification, at least working on flat space-time. The Schwinger proper-time corresponds to the Wick-rotation of the thermal time, and hence the Feynman propagator to the integration of the heat-kernel. At the same time, the Schwinger proper-time is by definition conjugated to the Casimir of the space-time, or in other words, it accounts for the relativistic structure of the manifold, introducing a concept of proper time which depends on the space-time element.

On the other hand, the Ricci flow is precisely the heat equation of the metric on a d -dimensional manifold (either Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian), namely

$$\frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{ds} = -2R_{\mu\nu}. \quad (42)$$

One of the main result of our analysis, which will be discussed in details in the forthcoming sections, stems in having recovered an intimate link between the Ricci flow and the stochastic quantization. This thermal time, interpolating among different scales in the RG flow, has therefore the interpretation of stochastic time.

V. THE RICCI FLOW AND THE RENORMALIZATION GROUP FLOW

The Ricci flow provides a geometrically intuitive insight to unveil the fate of the conformal symmetry at the quantum level, the emergence of anomalies, and the very renormalization group (RG) flow of the theory.

In the previous section we have clarified the relation between the heat flow equation for the metric and the Ricci flow. Now we provide an intuitive link between the Ricci flow and the RG flow of a non-linear quantum field theory. At this purpose, it is sufficient to recall the inspection of the bosonic non-linear sigma models [12, 13] that map a two-dimensional spacetime (world-sheet) into a curved Riemannian d -dimensional target manifold. The Nambu-Goto action of this theory casts, without accounting for a boundary term,

$$S = \alpha' \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2\sigma \sqrt{-h} h^{ab}(\sigma) g_{ij}(X) \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial \sigma^a} \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial \sigma^b}, \quad (43)$$

with α' a constant with the dimension of the square of a distance (“string scale”), \mathcal{M} a two-dimensional world-sheet spacetime with metric h_{ab} , $\sigma = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ coordinates on the world-sheet and X^i coordinates on the target d -dimensional manifold that are valued on the worldsheet. The action in Eq. (44) can be then thought to introduce a theory of d coupled scalar fields provided with a non-canonical kinetic term and coupling constants specified by $g_{ij}(X)$.

For this theory, remarkably, the RG flow can be expressed perturbatively in a power series of α' , finding [12, 13]

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial \lambda} = -\alpha' R_{ij} - \frac{\alpha'^2}{2} R_{iklm} R_j{}^{klm} + \dots \quad (44)$$

This allows to recover, up to first order in α' , the Ricci tensor R_{ij} as the generator of the RG flow for the coupling constant g_{ij} .

VI. FINITE THEORIES OF QUANTUM GRAVITY AND RG-FLOW

The fascinating possibility to recover a dynamical breakdown of the conformal invariance suggests to make contact with conformal invariant theories of gravity, among which prominently appears Stelle’s theory [11]. Considering this latter may indeed provide particular advantage for the RG flow program we are implementing here, based on the Ricci flow equations. In our stochastic quantization framework the appearance of ghosts, which plagues the Stelle theory — this would be otherwise a finite theory of quantum gravity — might be indeed removed due to the independence on the gauge-fixing.

This picture provides an unprecedented pathway toward the quantization of gravity. Besides the possibility to obtain a finite and unitary theory of quantum gravity, solving a longstanding problem in physics, a further most appealing perspective appears. The emergence in the UV regime of a conformal symmetry hinges towards the natural convergence to zero of the theory’s coupling constants, including the gravitational one. This drives the theory toward a topological phase. Moving from this latter towards the infrared regime, due to the dynamical conformal symmetry breaking, theories accounting for propagating degrees of freedom can be finally recovered.

This scenario is somehow reminiscent of the dimensional transmutation in QCD, in which the Λ_{QCD} scale is recovered as a signature of the conformal anomaly. Nonetheless, the higher order derivative terms that are present in the Stelle theory naturally encode several additional dynamical degrees of freedom. Among these latter, in the [11], we can identify scalar modes that can be associated to the Higgs iso-doublet field. The conformal symmetry breaking mechanism can be then conjectured to be instantiated by degrees of freedom that can be then

identified with those ones of the Higgs field. At the same time, within this framework the very same emergence of the arrow of time can be traced back to the conformal symmetry breaking.

VII. THE RICCI FLOW IN THE HAMILTONIAN ADM FORMALISM

We start recalling the splitting of the metric in the ADM decomposition [22] of a generic line element

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= -N^2 dt^2 + h_{ij}(dx^i + N^i dt)(dx^j + N^j dt), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

in which h_{ij} is the three-metric induced on the three-dimensional spatial hyper-surfaces by the action of the projector $q^{\alpha\beta}$ on the four-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, N denotes the lapse function and finally N^i stands for the shift vector.

We define the unit time-like vector n^μ , normal to the hypersurfaces of constant coordinate time t , to be

$$n_\mu = (-N, 0), \quad n^\mu = \left(\frac{1}{N}, -\frac{N^i}{N} \right). \quad (46)$$

With these definitions, we are allowed to introduce the extrinsic curvature tensor — this measures the curvature of the hyper-surface within the spacetime manifold, i.e. after parallel transport respect to the space-time manifold Levi-Civita connection, the failure of a vector tangent to the hyper-surface to remain tangent to it — observing that it rewrites

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} &= -\nabla_{(j} n_{i)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2N} \left(-\partial_t h_{ij} + {}^{(3)}\nabla_{(i} N_{j)} + {}^{(3)}\nabla_{(j} N_{i)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

${}^{(3)}\nabla_i$ denoting the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection on the spatial hyper-surface.

When the extended connection $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$ is taken into account, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{(\alpha} n_{\beta)} &= \nabla_{(\alpha} n_{\beta)} - \mathcal{C}^\gamma_{(\alpha\beta)} n_\gamma \\ &= \nabla_{(\alpha} n_{\beta)} - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) n_\alpha n_\beta \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(\lambda) [\lambda_3 w_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_4 n_\alpha n_\beta], \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

so that clearly, when projecting to the hypersurface, i.e. defining the extrinsic curvature with four-dimensional indices $\bar{K}_{\alpha\beta} = -q^\mu_\alpha q^\nu_\beta \bar{\nabla}_\mu n_\nu$, only the term proportional to λ_3 does not vanish. In this work we set for convenience $\lambda_3 = 0$ so that $\bar{K}_{ij} = K_{ij}$.

A. From Ricci-flow to Proper Time Stochastic Quantization

The Ricci-flow equations [28–32] have been historically cast for three-dimensional Riemannian manifolds as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\mu\nu} = -2R_{\mu\nu}. \quad (49)$$

A fundamental aspect of these equations is that, when considering the four-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian case, the fixed point, where $\partial g_{\mu\nu}/\partial \lambda = 0$, corresponds to the Einstein vacuum equations. In particular one can easily see that the supplemental thermal time variable λ labels a sequence of manifolds that are not related by diffeomorphisms. Hence, diffeomorphism invariance is broken in the relaxation regime, while it is recovered at the fixed point.

The Ricci-flow equations drive the metric tensor toward an evolution according to a “diffusive” dynamics; the Ricci flow they determine has been shown to be a gradient flow. The Langevin equation generalizes the concept of gradient flow by introducing a suitable noise term such that a potential function is minimized on the average. This very principle is used as a building block for the Stochastic Quantization procedure proposed by Parisi and Wu [2], who wrote a Langevin equation for a generic field theory as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \phi_A(x^\mu, \lambda) = -\frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi_A} + \eta(x^\mu, \lambda). \quad (50)$$

The associated Fokker-Planck equation ensures the convergence of the probability distribution function of the fields to that one of Euclidean quantum field theories.

It is possible to rewrite the Ricci-flow equations as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\mu\nu} &= -2R_{\mu\nu} \\ &= -2 \left[R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R \right] - g_{\mu\nu} R \\ &= -2 \frac{\delta S_G}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} - g_{\mu\nu} R. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Thus, Eq. (51) acquires a functional form very similar to that of stochastic quantization, where instead of the noise η we find the scalar curvature R . However, by direct substitution of $g_{\mu\nu} R$ with $g_{\mu\nu} \eta$ one would find a stochastic differential equation with *multiplicative* noise. We would like to push forward such a choice in order to adhere as closely as possible to the Ricci flow, representing a classical geometric flow naturally breaking diffeomorphisms covariance. Thus this amounts to dress the noise term with the geometric and physical meaning of a scalar quantity under general coordinates transformations. This new dynamics would indeed allow to study the fluctuations of the gravitational field around the saddle point $\delta S_G = 0$, where the symmetries are implemented by the dynamics dictated by the Einstein equations. A few works in the literature have approached the Ricci-flow as a tool of analysis for GR both classically [34] and, more recently, from the quantum perspective [33]. However, the present connection to the SQ approach represents a novelty in the literature.

From the Hamiltonian point of view, symmetries emerge as functions of the phase space variables that are constrained to vanish at the saddle-point: these are the so-called constraints of the theory in the Hamiltonian formalism. Constraints can be indeed used to generate infinitesimal gauge transformation by their Poisson brackets action on the phase-space variables. A peculiarity of GR is that the constraints realizing the non-internal symmetry of the theory (diffeomorphism) implement part of the equations of motion.

At the same time one typically approaches the quantization of gravity by imposing the constraints in the path integral. This approach, however, yields a clash between the requirement of preserving the symmetries in the quantization and the basic idea of “fluctuating” around the equations of motion, with a weight provided by the classical action. This is not true for the canonical quantization of GR. Thus, the Langevin formulation of the Stochastic Quantization approach would naturally allow for a change of the perspective that is normally adopted.

B. Proper Time Stochastic Quantization in ADM variables

To have control over the fluctuation of the constraints, which recast the dynamics of GR at the Hamiltonian level, we provide to rewrite the Ricci flow equations in the ADM variables [22]. We assume that the noise can be decomposed in terms of a scalar noise η , as $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta g_{\mu\nu}$.

The inspection of the 00 component of the Ricci flow equations provides, after several manipulations, the RG flow of the scalar component of the Hamiltonian constraint, namely

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{N}{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\sqrt{h}} + \eta \right], \quad (52)$$

denoting that the RG flow of the lapse function is driven by the scalar constraint \mathcal{H} , as it was actually intuitive to argue, but is subjected to fluctuations induced by the noise source η . At equilibrium, i.e. at the end of the relaxation, the scalar constraint is restored on average.

It is remarkable that the $0i$ components of the Ricci flow equations are instead not directly affected by the thermal noise that we introduced — namely, in our assumption, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta g_{\mu\nu}$ — and that the expression of the flow of the shift vector N^k writes

$$\frac{\partial N^k}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{N \mathcal{H}^k}{\sqrt{h}}. \quad (53)$$

Again, as it would have been natural to guess, the components of the vector constraint \mathcal{H}^k , which for the theory at equilibrium, namely GR, generates the space diffeomorphism transformations, is driving the

flow of the components of N^k . At equilibrium, the diffeomorphism constraint is recovered.

Finally, we inspect the ij components of the Ricci RG flow of the metric. These can be cast in terms of the Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_m along the vector $m^\alpha = N n^\alpha$, and involve Poisson-brackets of the tri-metric with the scalar constraint \mathcal{H} in the form

$$\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{L}_m [\mathcal{H}, h_{ij}] + [\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, h_{ij}]] + \frac{h_{ij} \mathcal{H}}{2\sqrt{h}} - h_{ij} \eta, \quad (54)$$

where the noise source η is appearing again in the flow equations.

C. Coupling with matter

The insertion of matter within the scheme developed so far can be easily achieved by means of a careful manipulation of the Einstein equations. It is indeed possible to introduce the coupling to matter by inserting a “target” value of the Ricci tensor, compatible with the Einstein’s equations

$$R_{\mu\nu}^T = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left[T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T \right]. \quad (55)$$

The modified Ricci flow equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_{\mu\nu} &= -2 [R_{\mu\nu} - R_{\mu\nu}^T] \\ &= -2 \left[R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R - \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \right] - g_{\mu\nu} (R - T). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Notice that this form of the Ricci flow suggests to substitute with a scalar noise term the combination of the traces $(R - T)$, which, however, should be not vanishing on average.

VIII. PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION OF THE RICCI FLOW EQUATIONS

In this section we provide a physical interpretation of the components of the Ricci RG flow equations that have been recovered in the previous section.

A. The Ricci RG flow and the Hamiltonian de-parametrization

The imposition of the scalar Hamiltonian constraint at equilibrium, at the fixed point of the Ricci RG flow, realizes the time-reparametrization invariance of GR. Removing this symmetry due to the quantum fluctuations of the metric tensor (and of the matter fields), technically imposed by the random noise sources,

enables time de-parametrization in an unprecedented and hitherto unexplored way.

This novel scheme then implies the emergence of a new concept of time, which is connected to the very concept of stochastic quantization of the gravitational field. The thermal time, namely a time connected to the conformal transformation on the hyper-surfaces of the ADM splitting, can be therefore argued to play the role of a new scale variable that labels the evolution of the systems under scrutiny, including both the metric and matter quantities.

B. The Hamiltonian scalar constraint, the Schrödinger equation and the collapse of the wave function

A further consequence of Eq. (52) is that its non-relativistic limit can be deployed to tackle the issue of the gravitational collapse of the wave function. The collapse is recovered at the equilibrium, where the Hamiltonian scalar constraint is imposed on the wave-functional of the matter fields.

Recovering a meaningful relativistic formulation for any possible model able to describe the collapse of the wave-function is a longstanding problem. More specifically, the measurement problem in quantum field theory still remains partially unsolved and un-addressed. A novel perspective on the problem can be adopted, inspired by the statistical mechanics description of large N-body systems, and its reformulation within the language of topological quantum field theory can be achieved.

Our considerations here are also reminiscent of a way of understanding gravity that is analogical to several notable systems in soft-condensed matter. These systems undergo “yielding transition” that possess several features typical of critical phenomena. We can then separately assume that large N-body systems may fluctuate around configurations of “equilibrium” — in which the (gravitational) Hamiltonian constraints are implemented — and that these fluctuations can be actually modeled, in the semi-classical limit, resorting to the Ricci RG flow description.

When describing phenomena involving the collapse of a wave-function, macroscopic measurements of the position operator, which stands as a preferred basis, can actually be reduced to measurements of space and time (or better space-time intervals, and thus at the end time measurements). At the same time, using the first-order formalism for GR, one may resort to a representation of the geometric quantities in terms of holonomies and Wilson loops of the gravitational connection, and their conjugated fluxes. We may then represent the

wave-function as a functional of the smeared quantities of the metric operator.

We now observe that the Ricci RG flow can be re-expressed in terms of the Hamiltonian variables, and it makes contact, in the semi-classical limit, with the Wheeler-de Witt equation.

A description in the Hamiltonian variables either of the Ricci flow, or of its version relaxing the metric tensor towards the GR solutions (namely the flow generated by the tensor corresponding to the difference between the Ricci tensor and the Ricci target in Eq. (56)), would encode the use of the Hamiltonian of the system, de-parametrized at equilibrium through the introduction of the thermal time. This can finally allow to recover in the semiclassical limit the Schrödinger equation.

This framework then suggests that the Ricci flow could be seen as a dynamical equation that: i) yields the Schrödinger equation at the fixed point; ii) provides away from the equilibrium, around the fixed point, the dynamical description (in the thermal time of the Ricci flow) of the “flux” of the wave-function during its relaxation towards the “eigenstate” individuated by the measurement process. In this sense, the thermal time can parametrize the RG flow of the energy scale of the interaction involved (system-apparatus interaction) in the localization process. In other words, the time, space and energy scales involved depend on the details of the matter interaction, which enter the matter Ricci-target — see e.g. Eq. (56) — in the general relativistic version of the Ricci flow.

The proof of this conjecture and the implementation of this mechanism for the geometric collapse of the wave-functions are currently under construction in a companion paper with collaborators.

C. Fluctuating away from the vector constraints: chaos and intermittency in Navier-Stokes equations

The duality between solutions of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation in d -dimensions and their uniquely associated solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations in $(d + 1)$ -dimensions was pointed in [20], providing a rigorous realization of the holographic duality between fluids and horizons, discussed in the literature a couple of decades before the AdS/CFT correspondence.

Within this extended framework, it is relevant to emphasize once again that the ADM transformation of the metric tensor induces a non-trivial result for the equation of the shift vector N^k , Eq. (53), i.e. the noise term vanishes. Even more interestingly, the usual expression of the conjugated momentum to the three-dimensional metric tensor is proportional to the Brown-York stress

tensor T^{ij}

$$\Pi^{ij} = \sqrt{h}(Kh^{ij} - K^{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{h}T^{ij}. \quad (57)$$

As it was shown in [20], the ordinary divergence $\partial^i T_{ij}$ is proportional to the Navier-Stokes equations, yielding

$$r_c^{3/2} \partial^k T_{ki} = \partial_t v_i - \zeta \partial^2 v_i + \partial_i P + v^k \partial_k v_i = 0, \quad (58)$$

where ζ is the kinematic viscosity and v_i represents the velocity field of an incompressible fluid. In particular, the incompressibility condition directly follows from the divergence $r_c^{3/2} \partial_k T^{ki} = \partial_k v^k = 0$ — see e.g. [20].

Given the usual definition of the super-momentum constraint

$$\mathcal{H}^i = 2 \text{}^{(3)}\nabla_k \Pi^{ki}, \quad (59)$$

it follows that imposing the constraint $\mathcal{H}^k = 0$ implies Eq. (58).

It is relevant at this stage to point out an interesting connection with turbulence theory, which may play a role for the analysis of the stochastic background of gravitational waves over first order phase transitions (of possible dark matter candidates) in particle physics. It is well known that turbulent flows can develop in low-viscosity liquids [3] when subject to a stochastic forcing. Indeed, one can recast Eq. (53) as

$$r_c^{3/2} \partial_k T^{ki} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial N^i}{\partial \lambda}. \quad (60)$$

Since N and N^k (through the normalization $\varepsilon(\lambda)$) are both stochastic fields, one recovers that Eq. (53) represents a Navier-Stokes equation with stochastic forcing, which in turn can inject into the system an intermittent noise, qualitatively different from the Gaussian noise [3] explicitly introduced through the term $\eta(x, \lambda)$.

D. Emergence of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation

We finally inspect the physical meaning of the ij space components of the Ricci RG flow equations, adapting those latter to spherical symmetric metrics of the form

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + e^{\mu(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \quad (61)$$

with $N^2 = e^{\nu(r)}$.

Imposing $\mu(r) = -\nu(r)$ on the $\mu(r)$ and $\nu(r)$ functions, before performing the functional variation necessary to determine the Ricci flow equations, would amount to impose the Einstein equations, namely to consider the solutions at equilibrium. We shall therefore refrain from this substitution, and perform first the functional variation of the Einstein-Hilbert action for the spherically symmetric metrics taken into account in Eq. (61), and then impose the condition $\mu(r) = -\nu(r)$ at the very end.

Starting from the variation

$$\frac{\partial g_{00}}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{00}} + g_{00} \eta, \quad (62)$$

which recasts as

$$-e^\nu \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \lambda} = +e^{-\nu} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \nu} - e^\nu \eta, \quad (63)$$

after some manipulations we find

$$\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \lambda} = -e^{-2\nu} \int \sin^2 \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\nu+\mu}{2}} r^2 R + e^{\frac{\nu+\mu}{2}} r^2 \frac{\delta R}{\delta \nu} \right) + \eta. \quad (64)$$

Re-assembling all the components, we finally find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \lambda} &= -4\pi e^{-\nu} r^2 \\ &\times \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \nu}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{r^2} (1 - e^{-\nu}) \right] + \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

We observe that, at the right hand-side of Eq. (65), the quantity $e^{-\nu} \rightarrow 1$ for $r \gg r_S$, where $r_S = 2Gm$ denotes the Schwarzschild radius of a black hole of mass m . At the same time, the quantity proportional to $(1 - e^{-\nu})$ disappears. Based on these observations, we can conclude that Eq. (65) coincides with the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation [35] in spherical coordinates.

We have then recovered that, close to equilibrium (at the asymptotic limit of spherically symmetric metrics) the (Ricci) RG flow of gravity is described by the non-trivial properties of the probability distribution of the KPZ universality class. We further notice that, in a one-dimensional space, the KPZ equation is linked to the Burgers equation. This latter provides the simplest model yielding intermittent fluctuations, and hence intermittent statistics, similarly to the Navier-Stokes statistics for turbulent flows.

Addressing the profound consequences of this result, we shall emphasize that intermittency is not self-similarity, like it is usually assumed in condensed matter and quantum field theory. For instance, the Wilsonian approach to the RG flow is based on the self-similarity of the fluctuations, close to the critical point of the phase transition, this latter denoting the point where one applies the RG flow in order to compute the critical exponents and the critical amplitudes.

We further comment that, since KPZ has a general role, it is remarkable and reassuring that, at least for a certain class of metric, this equation can be recovered in our analysis. The solutions to the KPZ equation represent indeed a universality class as general as the one provided by the solutions to the Brownian motion equation. This latter instantiates a continuum scaling

limit for a very large class of random processes. Its properties, including the distribution function or the regularity, have been widely studied in the literature. The KPZ universality class was proposed over the last two decades, to describe a wealth of relevant physical and probabilistic models, which include the one-dimensional interface growth processes and the interaction of systems of particle and polymers in random environments, all phenomena which retain a new statistics and display unusual novel scaling features. The elements of the KPZ universality class are solutions to a non-linear stochastic partial differential equations. For the KPZ equation, the exact one-point distribution of the solutions can be determined, with narrow wedge initial data, and remarkable connections with directed polymers in random media can be recovered.

We notice finally that, in achieving this result, it has been crucial to switch to coordinates that allow the noise to become additive, as indeed in the case of the KPZ equation. Namely, moving from

$$\frac{\partial g_{rr}}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{rr}} + g_{rr}\eta, \quad (66)$$

and by imposing the change of variables

$$e^\mu \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{d\mu}{dg_{rr}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \mu} + e^\mu \eta, \quad (67)$$

one can find

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} = -e^{\frac{\nu}{2}} r^2 \sin^2 \theta e^{-2\mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\mu}{2}} R + e^{\frac{\nu+\mu}{2}} \frac{\delta R}{\delta \mu} \right) + \eta. \quad (68)$$

IX. THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT IN THE NEW FRAMEWORK

A paradigmatic study case is provided by the RG flow of the cosmological constant. This can be investigated adapting the Ricci flow stochastic equation to the Friedman Lemaître Robertson Walker (FLRW) background, which reads in co-moving spherical coordinates

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[\frac{(dr)^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right], \quad (69)$$

with $k = 0, +1, -1$ denoting respectively vanishing, positive and negative space curvature. Within these coordinates,

$$R = 6 \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} \right), \quad (70)$$

where dot denotes the derivative with respect to the co-moving time, and $\sqrt{-g} = Na^3$. Thus finally, in units where $c^3/(16\pi G) = 1$, the Einstein-Hilbert action casts

$$S = 6 \int d^4x Na^3 R + \int d^4x Na^3 (D-1) \lambda_2^2 \epsilon(\lambda). \quad (71)$$

A. Ricci RG flow of the cosmological constant

Varying the action in Eq. (71) with respect to the fields λ_2 , N and a , we find the components of the Einstein equations, to which we shall add the thermic noises. After some manipulations, we recover the system for the stochastic differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda} &= -Na^2 \left[\frac{R}{3} - 2H^2 - \frac{k}{a^2} + 3N\lambda_2^2 \epsilon(\lambda) \right] + a\eta, \\ \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial \lambda} &= -2Na^3(D-1)\lambda_2\epsilon(\lambda) + \lambda_2\eta, \\ \frac{\partial N}{\partial \lambda} &= a^3 [R + (D-1)\lambda_2^2\epsilon(\lambda)] + N\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ denotes the Hubble function.

For simplicity, we can assume $k = 0$ and the slow-variation in the cosmological time of the space-time curvature, which is justified over a de Sitter or quasi-de Sitter phase of expansion of the Universe. Thus R , H and the bare cosmological constant λ_2^2 are handled as independent on the cosmological time over the adiabatic expansion of the Universe, and consequently also assumed to be scale-independent.

We start solving the coupled system of stochastic differential equations, recast as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial a^2}{\partial \lambda} &= -(2Na^3) \left[\frac{R}{3} - 2H^2 - \frac{k}{a^2} + 3N\lambda_2^2 \epsilon(\lambda) \right] + a^2\eta, \\ \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial \lambda} &= -(2Na^3)(D-1)\lambda_2\epsilon(\lambda) + \lambda_2\eta, \\ \frac{\partial N^2}{\partial \lambda} &= (2Na^3) [R + (D-1)\lambda_2^2\epsilon(\lambda)] + N^2\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Making the ansatz $Na^3 \sim \lambda^\alpha$ allows to solve self-consistently for the background order of the solutions, provided that the condition $\alpha < -1$ holds, with α very close to -1 . This yields to a logarithmic dependence on the thermal time, which in turn makes relevant to consider also the noise sources. Expanding on the right hand-side of the second of Eqs. (73) the solutions for N and a so as to encode also the noise terms, we will account in the differential equation for λ_2 for terms quadratic in the noise, namely η^2 , and quartic in the noise, namely η^4 — we assume fluctuations regulated by a Gaussian distribution, and disregard terms that are linear and cubic in the noise sources. Terms quadratic in the noise then provide the solution

$$\lambda_2 \propto e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda^\beta} \langle \eta(\lambda)\eta(\lambda) \rangle}, \quad (74)$$

with $\beta \equiv -(\alpha + 1)/2$ real and positive by assumption. The solution in Eq. (74) prospects a cosmological constant $\Lambda \sim \lambda_2^2$ which is mildly increasing in λ . Thus, being the cosmological time oriented in a similar way than the thermal time, this provides a cosmological constant the value of which should increase as time increases.

B. A way out from the Hubble tension?

The falsification of our framework may have deep repercussions in cosmology, in particular in the estimate of the Hubble constant, measuring the current (accelerated) expansion of the Universe.

Observations announced in 1998 of distance–redshift relation for Type Ia supernovae [23] indicated that the Universe is currently undergoing an accelerated expansion. When combined with measurements of the cosmic microwave background radiation these implied a value of $\Omega_\Lambda \simeq 0.7$ [24], a result which has been supported and refined by more recent measurements deploying CMB observables [25].

Several different origins have been advocated to account for an accelerating Universe. The cosmological constant is in most respects the simplest solution. The current standard model of cosmology, the Λ -CDM model, includes the cosmological constant, which is measured to be on the order of 10^{-52} m^{-2} (it is often expressed as 10^{-35} s^{-2} or as 10^{-122} by multiplication with then square Planck length, i.e. 10^{-70} m^2). This value is based on recent estimations of vacuum energy density: $\rho_{\text{vac}} = 5.96 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg/m}^3$ [26].

The two aforementioned measurements, respectively the ones achieved by the redshift relation for Type Ia supernovae and by the CMB measurements, provided different values for the Hubble constant, and hence for the cosmological constant. The SH0ES experiment is providing regular updates of the astronomical measurement, all of them within the same range of ever narrowing error. The most recent update, in 2019, fixed the value of the Hubble constant to be 74.03 ± 1.42 (in kilometres per second per 3.26 million light-years). Concerning the CMB measurements, the ESA Planck satellite release that came in 2014 fixed the value of Hubble constant to be 67.4 ± 1.4 (in kilometres per second per 3.26 million light-years).

We conjecture that this observed gap between the two values, of about 10%, could be explained resorting to a mild cosmological-time variation of the cosmological constant, which is induced by its Ricci RG flow, as described in Eq. (74). This hypothesis, which suggests that

the variation of the mismatch in the measurements of the cosmological constant is due to the quantum fluctuations of space-time, deserves a more detailed analysis that we postpone to a forthcoming study.

X. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOKS

We have investigated the physical richness of the Ricci flow, clarifying how the stochastic quantization to it inspired can provide the renormalization group flow of theories of gravity. We have commented on the deep physical meaning of the Ricci flow cast within the Hamiltonian formulation in ADM variables, unveiling that the fluctuations of the metric tensor components are forced by the renormalization group flow to fulfill the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation [35], characterized non-trivial fluctuations. We have discussed the appearance of chaos and intermittency, due to the mapping of the equations for the shift vector N^i to the Navier-Stokes equations.

The renormalization group flow scheme that we have deepened through this study can be extended to the Wilsonian non-perturbative attempt for the quantization of gravity, and further adopted to the description of the gravitational collapse of the wave-function, to shed light to the measurement problem in quantum field theory and quantum mechanics. Finally, the Hubble tension recently observed between cosmological and astronomical measurements of the cosmological constant has been conjectured to be possibly solved, the mismatch between the measurements emerging as a macroscopic manifestation of quantum gravity, due to the stochastic geometric quantum fluctuations regulated by the geometric (Ricci) renormalization group flow.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank A. Addazi, A. Bassi, C. Curceanu, C. Fields, G. Parisi, R. Pasechnik, K. Piscicchia, M. Ramsey-Musolf and Y.S. Wu for inspiring discussions over the course of the investigation that lead to this draft. A.M. wishes to acknowledge support by the NSFC, through the grant No. 11875113, the Shanghai Municipality, through the grant No. KBH1512299, and by Fudan University, through the grant No. JJH1512105. M.L. and X.S. wish to acknowledge support by NSFC grant No. 12050410244.

-
- [1] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1992).
 [2] G. Parisi and Y. Wu, *Scientia Sinica* **24**, 483 (1981).
 [3] U. Frisch, *Turbulence* (Cambridge University Press, 1995), URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/2Fcb09781139170666>.
 [4] J. W. York, *Physical Review*

- Letters* **28**, 1082 (1972), URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/2Fphysrevlett.28.1082>.
 [5] L. Alvarez-Gaume, A. Kehagias, C. Kounnas, D. Lüst and A. Riotto, *Fortsch. Phys.* **64** (2016) no.2-3, 176-189 doi:10.1002/prop.201500100 [arXiv:1505.07657 [hep-th]].
 [6] J. Ambjørn, R. Loll, W. Westra, and S. Zohren, *Physics Letters B* **680**, 359 (2009), URL

- <https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.physletb.2009.09.016>.
- [7] J. Ambjørn, J. Jurkiewicz and R. Loll, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 171301 [arXiv:hep-th/0505113v2].
- [8] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, JHEP 10 (2005) **050** [arXiv:hep-th/0508202v1].
- [9] D. Benedetti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009), 111303 [arXiv:0811.1396 [hep-th]].
- [10] D.V. Vassilevich, Phys. Rept. **388** (2003) 279 [arXiv:hep-th/0306138v3].
- [11] K. S. Stelle, Gen. Rel. Grav. **9**, 353-371 (1978) doi:10.1007/BF00760427
- [12] D.H. Friedan, Phys Rev Lett 45 (1980) 1057.
- [13] D.H. Friedan, Ann Phys (NY) 163 (1985) 318.
- [14] M. Shaposhnikov and C. Wetterich, Physics Letters B **683**, 196 (2010), ISSN 03702693, 0912.0208, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.12.022>
- [15] A. Eichhorn, A. Held, and C. Wetterich, Physics Letters B **782**, 198 (2018), ISSN 03702693, 1711.02949, URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.05.016>
- [16] T. R. Morris, Physics Letters B **329**, 241 (1994), ISSN 03702693, URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0370269394907676>
- [17] T. R. Morris, International Journal of Modern Physics A **09**, 2411 (1994), ISSN 0217-751X, URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217751X9450097a>
- [18] J. H. Kwapisz, Physical Review D **100**, 115001 (2019), ISSN 2470-0010, URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.115001>
- [19] C. Wetterich, Physics Letters B **301**, 90 (1993), ISSN 03702693, 1710.05815, URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/037026939390726x>
- [20] I. Bredberg, C. Keeler, V. Lysov, and A. Strominger, Journal of High Energy Physics **2012** (2012), URL <https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fjhep07%282012%29146>.
- [21] N. Dadhich and J. M. Pons, General Relativity and Gravitation **44**, 2337 (2012), URL <https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fs10714-012-1393-9>.
- [22] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, Physical Review **116**, 1322 (1959), URL <https://doi.org/10.1103%2Fphysrev.116.1322>.
- [23] S. Perlmutter, G. Aldering, M. D. Valle, S. Deustua, R. S. Ellis, S. Fabbro, A. Fruchter, G. Goldhaber, D. E. Groom, I. M. Hook, et al., Nature **391**, 51 (1998), URL <https://doi.org/10.1038%2F34124>.
- [24] J. C. Baker, K. Grainge, M. P. Hobson, M. E. Jones, R. Kneissl, A. N. Lasenby, C. M. M. O'Sullivan, G. Pooley, G. Rocha, R. Saunders, et al., Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society **308**, 1173 (1999), URL <https://doi.org/10.1046%2Fj.1365-8711.1999.02829.x>.
- [25] and R. Adam, P. A. R. Ade, N. Aghanim, Y. Akrami, M. I. R. Alves, F. Argüeso, M. Arnaud, F. Arroja, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, et al., Astronomy & Astrophysics **594**, A1 (2016), URL <https://doi.org/10.1051%2F0004-6361%2F201527101>.
- [26] and P. A. R. Ade, N. Aghanim, M. Arnaud, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, A. J. Banday, R. B. Barreiro, J. G. Bartlett, N. Bartolo, et al., Astronomy & Astrophysics **594**, A13 (2016), URL <https://doi.org/10.1051%2F0004-6361%2F201525830>.
- [27] Y. Nambu, Progress of Theoretical Physics **5**, 82 (1950), URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptp/5.2.82>
- [28] R. S. Hamilton, Journal of Differential Geometry **17** (1982), URL <https://doi.org/10.4310%2Fjdg%2F1214436922>.
- [29] R. S. Hamilton, Journal of Differential Geometry **24** (1986), URL <https://doi.org/10.4310%2Fjdg%2F1214440433>.
- [30] R. S. Hamilton, Journal of Differential Geometry **37** (1993), URL <https://doi.org/10.4310%2Fjdg%2F1214463430>.
- [31] R. Hamilton, Surveys in Differential Geometry **2**, 7 (1993), URL <https://doi.org/10.4310%2Fsdg.1993.v2.n1.a2>.
- [32] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the ricci flow and its geometric applications* (2002), arXiv:math/0211159.
- [33] A. Frenkel, P. Horava, and S. Randall, *Topological quantum gravity of the ricci flow* (2020), 2010.15369.
- [34] W. Graf, PMC Physics A **1** (2007), URL <https://doi.org/10.1186%2F1754-0410-1-3>.
- [35] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Physical Review Letters **56**, 889 (1986), URL <https://doi.org/10.1103%2Fphysrevlett.56.889>.