

Quadratic-in-spin interactions at fifth post-Newtonian order probe new physics

Jung-Wook Kim,¹ Michèle Levi,^{1,2,*} and Zhewei Yin³

¹*Queen Mary University of London, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom*

²*Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

³*Uppsala University, 75108 Uppsala, Sweden*

(Dated: April 8, 2022)

We obtain for the first time all quadratic-in-spin interactions in spinning binaries at the third subleading order in post-Newtonian (PN) gravity, and provide their observable binding energies and their gauge-invariant relations to the angular momentum. Our results are valid for generic compact objects, orbits, and spin orientations, and enter at the fifth PN order for maximally-rotating objects, thus pushing the state of the art. This is accomplished through an extension of the effective field theory of spinning gravitating objects, and of its computational application. We also discover a new finite-size effect which is unique to spinning objects, with a new “Spin Love number” as its characteristic coefficient, that is a new probe for gravity and QCD.

The success of ground-based experiments in measuring gravitational waves (GWs) since the first detection from a black-hole (BH) binary merger [1] by the Advanced LIGO [2] and Advanced VIRGO [3] collaboration has exceeded most expectations. By now there is a worldwide network of GW detectors of second-generation technology, as the twin Advanced LIGO detectors in the US have been joined by the Advanced Virgo detector in Europe [3], and then by the KAGRA detector in Japan [4]. These experiments have been continually reaching higher sensitivities, which yield more frequent detections, and the influx of data has been steeply growing [5–7].

Since 2017 these detections also include neutron stars (NSs) as individual components of the binaries, in NS binaries [8] or mixed NS-BH binaries [9]. The study of these various GW sources in the inspiral phase, when the components of the binary are still orbiting in non-relativistic velocities, is carried out analytically via the post-Newtonian (PN) approximation of General Relativity (GR) [10]. This enables to model theoretical gravitational waveforms, which inform us on a wealth of astrophysical and cosmological scenarios that were previously unthought-of, and uniquely also on gravity in the strong-field regime, and QCD in extreme conditions for NSs [11, 12]. To this end, it is crucial to study spin and finite-size effects, as all components of the binaries are in fact spinning gravitating objects [13].

In both traditional GR and modern HEP approaches to study these GW sources, the compact objects that make up the binaries are essentially captured by an effective description of a point particle that is endowed with characteristic coefficients which encapsulate the physics at the small scales of its internal structure [14–16]. These effective characteristic coefficients are generally referred to as “Wilson coefficients” in the language of effective field theory (EFT) from QFT. Determining the numerical values of these coefficients in the low-energy or large-scale approximation constitutes the final and often most challenging piece of fixing the effective theory. This task, commonly referred to as “matching” in EFT parlance, is

tackled in an indefinite variety of ways, e.g. via analytical studies of specific observables in the full theory, numerical simulations of the full theory, or by simply matching the unknown coefficients to experimental data.

In the non-spinning case the effective description of a point particle remains trivial till high PN orders, where the simple point-mass alone is sufficient up to the fifth PN (5PN) order, that has been approached only recently after decades of studies in PN theory. Finite-size effects thus enter only at the 5PN order in this simplified case, preceded by effective coefficients that correspond to the so-called “Love numbers”. These have been introduced more than a century ago in Newtonian theory for planetary bodies as the parameters that measure their rigidity, and thus their response to tidal forces. In the context of BH physics the related numbers have been studied for almost 4 decades already, see e.g. [17] for reference, where general studies in GR have been carried out mainly in the last 15 years, pioneered by e.g. [17–19].

For the real spinning case the physics gets dramatically more complicated. To begin with, the spin induces higher multipoles to all orders, and the associated finite-size effects enter already as of the 2PN order with the spin-induced quadrupole [20]. These finite-size effects are characterized by coefficients commonly referred to in GR as “multipole deformation parameters”, see e.g. [21], corresponding to analogous Wilson coefficients in the EFT description [22], see (4) below. The “multipole deformation parameters” are not to be confused with the aforementioned “Love numbers”, see (7a) below. For example, whereas “Love numbers”, which can also be studied for spinning objects, see e.g. a recent surge of studies [23–28], have been shown in virtually all studies to date, to vanish for BHs in GR (in 4 dimensions), the spin-induced “multipole deformation parameters” in contrast equal 1 for BHs [16, 22].

In recent years impressive progress has been made in the state of the art of PN theory for the conservative dynamics of an inspiraling binary. In particular, the point-mass interaction at the 5PN order has been recently ac-

complished via a combined exploitation of traditional GR methods [29–31], with crucial ingredients taken from self-force theory, and the effective-one-body approach [32]. Shortly after, this sector was also confirmed via an EFT computation [33]. However, in order to attain any PN accuracy (beyond 1PN), the spinning case must be tackled. [34, 35] then followed the footsteps of [29, 30] in implementing a similar approach to the sector that is linear in the spins at 4.5PN order, and for a limited simplified configuration of circular orbits with aligned spins – to the piece that is linear in the spins at 5PN order [35].

It is critical to note however that while it is important and illustrative to target specific new PN sectors via the capitalization on available results from existing elementary methods, such an ad-hoc approach is essentially limited. It does not provide a conceptual framework to generally tackle the various sectors required to a certain accuracy, nor does it provide an independent framework to study PN theory, and thus it is also prone to the propagation of errors from the combined inputs of the various ingredient methods.

In this letter we tackle the 5PN order with spins in the most generic settings, obtaining for the first time all the interactions that are quadratic in the spins. Our derivation builds on the EFT of spinning gravitating objects introduced in [22], see also [16, 36], and its extensions [37–46]. It is by far the most formidable undertaking in PN theory with spins as yet. The cutting-edge grand calculation of the present sectors outlined here also serves as a unique computational experiment, to eventually discover a new feature in the theory of a spinning particle: a new type of finite-size effect, which is *unique to spinning objects*, with new “Spin Love numbers”. These do not exist in the non-spinning case, and thus they provide a new unique probe for gravity and QCD.

EFT of spinning gravitating objects. We build on the EFT of spinning gravitating objects introduced in [22]. To obtain all the quadratic-in-spin interactions, we need to start from the two-particle effective action for the compact binary [14, 16]:

$$S_{\text{eff}} = S_{\text{g}}[g_{\mu\nu}(x)] + \sum_{a=1}^2 S_{\text{pp}}[(\lambda_a)], \quad (1)$$

and then carefully consider the effective action of the spinning particle, S_{pp} , localized on the worldline parametrized by λ_a for each of the two components of the binary.

First, in the non-relativistic approximation it is useful to employ a Kaluza-Klein time+space decomposition of the field [47, 48], which was first tested in sectors with spins in [49, 50]. The spatial dimension, d , must be kept generic throughout, as dimensional regularization will be used to evaluate the Feynman integrals, with the modified minimal subtraction ($\overline{\text{MS}}$) prescription applied

through the d -dimensional gravitational constant [44]:

$$G_d \equiv G_N \left(\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon^{\gamma_E}} R_0 \right)^{d-3}, \quad (2)$$

in which $G_N \equiv G$ is Newton’s gravitational constant in three-dimensional space, γ_E is Euler’s constant, and R_0 is some fixed renormalization scale.

The quadratic-in-spin sectors include finite-size effects in addition to the minimal coupling of spinning objects to gravity, so we need to consider the following extended effective action for each of the two spinning particles [16, 22]:

$$S_{\text{pp}}[(\lambda)] = \int d\lambda \left[-m\sqrt{u^2} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{S}_{\mu\nu} \hat{\Omega}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\hat{S}^{\mu\nu} p_\nu}{p^2} \frac{Dp_\mu}{D\lambda} + L_{\text{NMC}}[g_{\mu\nu}, u^\mu, S^\mu] \right], \quad (3)$$

where the non-minimal coupling of gravity to spin, L_{NMC} , is formulated in terms of the definite-parity classical analogue of the Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector, S_μ , as defined in [22, 39, 43]. We note that another treatment of spin utilizing EFT techniques was approached in [51], where it was applied to low PN orders.

The non-minimal coupling of gravity to all orders in spin, that is linear in the curvature, is given in the following compact form [22]:

$$L_{\text{NMC(R)}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \frac{C_{ES^{2n}}}{m^{2n-1}} D_{\mu_{2n}} \cdots D_{\mu_3} \frac{E_{\mu_1\mu_2}}{\sqrt{u^2}} \bullet S^{\mu_1} S^{\mu_2} \cdots S^{\mu_{2n-1}} S^{\mu_{2n}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \frac{C_{BS^{2n+1}}}{m^{2n}} D_{\mu_{2n+1}} \cdots D_{\mu_3} \frac{B_{\mu_1\mu_2}}{\sqrt{u^2}} \bullet S^{\mu_1} S^{\mu_2} \cdots S^{\mu_{2n}} S^{\mu_{2n+1}}, \quad (4)$$

with the definite-parity electric and magnetic components of the curvature:

$$E_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta, \quad (5)$$

$$B_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu} R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\delta\nu} u^\gamma u^\delta, \quad (6)$$

and their covariant derivatives, D_μ . In this infinite series we introduced an infinite set of Wilson coefficients, which correspond to the aforementioned “multipole deformation parameters”. The only term from this series that contributes to our present sectors is the first electric term preceded by a Wilson coefficient, which corresponds to the quadrupolar deformation constant, similar to [20].

Yet, at this high PN order the effective action of a spinning particle needs to be extended beyond linear order in the curvature, namely beyond (4). Such extension was suggested in passing very recently only in [45] in a loose manner, from naive symmetry considerations and

reasoning [16, 22]. From dimensional analysis and basic power counting only the following terms may enter at the 5PN order to quadratic order in the spins:

$$L_{\text{NMC}(R^2)} = C_{E^2} \frac{E_{\alpha\beta} E^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{u^2}^3} + C_{B^2} \frac{B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{u^2}^3} \quad (7a)$$

$$+ C_{E^2 S^2} S^\mu S^\nu \frac{E_{\mu\alpha} E_\nu^\alpha}{\sqrt{u^2}^3} + C_{B^2 S^2} S^\mu S^\nu \frac{B_{\mu\alpha} B_\nu^\alpha}{\sqrt{u^2}^3}. \quad (7b)$$

Interestingly, non-minimal couplings that are only linear in the spins, enter only at higher PN orders. The terms in (7) involve coefficients that at this point absorb all numerical and mass factors (unlike those in (4)). Further scrutiny of (7a), (7b) reveals that their dimensionless Wilson coefficients should be defined as

$$C_{[E/B]^2} \rightarrow +\frac{1}{2} G^4 m^5 C_{[E/B]^2}, \quad (8)$$

$$C_{[E/B]^2 S^2} \rightarrow +\frac{1}{2} G^2 m C_{[E/B]^2 S^2}. \quad (9)$$

Notably these are the first terms that exhibit an additional scaling in G in their coefficients. This is unlike any PN contributions previously encountered, where the order in G of the leading field couplings has always been identical to the order of their overall contributions.

The coefficients in (7a), (8) correspond to the aforementioned generic ‘‘Love numbers’’. The terms in (7b) however seem to represent a new type of effects that would be relevant only for spinning objects, preceded by a new type of coefficients in (9). Yet, at this high perturbative order, in which quadratic-in-spin effects have already entered at many subleading corrections, these seemingly new terms may not correspond to a real physical effect, but rather could be possibly removed by virtue of subleading equations of motion, or more formally via some complicated subleading redefinitions of the field and worldline variables. Such spurious terms in the theory are referred to as ‘‘redundant operators’’ in EFT parlance, and simply vanish from physical observables [16]. Indeed, due the high complexity of the present sectors it is virtually impossible to identify such a redundancy by any means other than actually computing the total observables, and therefore we must press on with the full-scale evaluation of the sectors to discover the nature of the terms in (7b).

From EFT formulation through to observables. To proceed towards the physics of the present sectors, we need to obtain first the effective action of the quadratic-in-spin interactions via an evaluation of the diagrammatic expansion of the two-particle action in (1) in terms of Feynman graphs. To that end, we build on the `EFTofPNG` – a unique public code for Feynman computation in PN theory [36, 52]. First we need to extend the code to generate the required Feynman rules in a generic number of spatial dimensions d , including a recursive implementation of the gauge of the rotational variables, where we use the ‘‘canonical gauge’’ which was




FIG. 1. The unique graph at the $N^3\text{LO}$ quadratic-in-spin sectors, which arises from the quadratic-in-curvature coupling that is also quadratic in the spin, and is preceded by an unknown coefficient, see (7b), (9), (10). The coupling is denoted by a black square labelled EE.

introduced in [22]. Notably, there are now spin couplings up to quadratic order in the curvature, in addition to a proliferation of time derivatives on the spin couplings, and from the gravitational self-interaction.

The Feynman graphs that contribute to these sectors are then generated through another extension of `EFTofPNG`, and we find that there are 1122 graphs that make up the complete next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order ($N^3\text{LO}$) quadratic-in-spin sectors, and are of the highest complexity ever tackled in sectors with spins as yet. This large volume of intricate graphs also carries a higher tensorial load than ever, due to the derivative coupling of spins, on top of the high PN order. It was thus essential to streamline new code for the projection of integrals, due to the high rank of their numerators [53], and for algorithmic integration by parts (IBP) to reduce the integrals that show up to basic master integrals [45, 54, 55]. In general, to verify the reliability of our new codes, we carried out independent code development in parallel, and new results were compared at crucial check points along the elaborate derivation.

An expansion of the terms in (7b), (9), reveals that only the term with the electric curvature component actually enters at this PN order. This term gives rise to a unique graph of a two-graviton exchange depicted in Fig. 1 [56, 57], whose value is given by

$$\text{Fig. 1} = -\frac{1}{2} C_{1(E^2 S^2)} \frac{G^4 m_1 m_2^2}{r^6} \left[S_1^2 + 3(\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{n})^2 \right]. \quad (10)$$

As noted the Feynman graphs are evaluated using dimensional regularization with the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme in (2), and similar to [45] the values of the individual graphs contain poles in the dimensional parameter, $\epsilon_d \equiv d - 3$, in conjunction with logarithms in r/R_0 . However, whereas in the piece which was approached in [45], all the poles and logarithms conspired to cancel out from the total sum, summing over all the graphs in our sectors does leave such divergent and logarithmic terms, which do not cancel out from the total sum. In fact, the expansion of results in terms of ϵ_d that is required here, makes for one of the most computationally demanding tasks in the evaluation of the present sectors.

Summing up all of the graphs’ values we get an initial

action with many large pieces that contain terms with higher-order time derivatives – up to 6th order in the total number of derivatives. To remove these terms, we need to extend the formulation of a rigorous procedure that was uniquely introduced in [38], for subleading redefinitions of both position and rotational variables. This elaborate procedure is critical here since such redefinitions, e.g. $\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x} + \Delta\vec{x}$, need to be applied beyond linear order, e.g. beyond $\mathcal{O}(\Delta\vec{x})$, in sectors that are beyond linear in the spins, and at high PN orders. The redefinitions of rotational variables, the Lorentz matrices Λ^{ij} and the spins S^{ij} , are parametrized by ω^{ij} , the anti-symmetric generator of rotations on the Lorentz matrices,

$$\Lambda^{ij} \equiv \Lambda^{ik} (e^\omega)^{kj}. \quad (11)$$

We then require here for the first time redefinitions of position which depend on the spins, in addition to redefinitions of the rotational variables, that both scale as:

$$|\Delta\vec{x}| \sim \epsilon_d^{-1}, \quad |\omega_{ij}| \sim \epsilon_d^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

Further, to reduce the action to a standard action without higher-order time derivatives, all sectors up to quadratic-in-spin and to this PN order should be treated consistently, i.e. from LO to N³LO of point-mass sectors (namely Newtonian through to 3PN order), and further

through spin-orbit, to quadratic-in-spin sectors. We get contributions to the present sectors from the application of redefinitions in all these sectors. Notably, further logarithmic terms arise, preceded by the dimensional parameter, ϵ_d , and thus the Newtonian and the LO quadratic-in-spin interactions should all be expanded here in ϵ_d , as these yield contributions to the present sectors.

Altogether, after this arduous procedure all the divergent and logarithmic terms vanish when going to observables. Alternatively as we also verified, they can be removed already from the reduced action through the addition of a total time derivative. At this stage the transition to a generic Hamiltonian, as well as to various observables in simplified binary configurations, of e.g. circular orbits and aligned spins, is straightforward. The total binding energy of the N³LO quadratic-in-spin interactions can be written as the following sum of distinct pieces:

$$(e)_{S^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}} = (e)_{S_1 S_2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}} + \left[(e)_{S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}} + (e)_{C_{1(E^2 S^2)} S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}} + (e)_{C_{1(E^2 S^2)} S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right]. \quad (13)$$

As a function of the orbital frequency parameter x , these various pieces are given by

$$(e)_{S_1 S_2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}}(x) = \tilde{S}_1 \tilde{S}_2 x^6 \nu \left[\frac{243}{16} - \left(\frac{2107}{16} - \frac{123}{32} \pi^2 \right) \nu + \frac{147}{8} \nu^2 + \frac{13}{16} \nu^3 \right], \quad (14)$$

$$(e)_{S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}}(x) = \tilde{S}_1^2 x^6 \nu \left[\left(\frac{1947}{112} \nu - \frac{48357}{560} \nu^2 + \frac{159}{16} \nu^3 \right) - q^{-1} \left(\frac{243}{16} - \left(\frac{747}{16} - \frac{189}{2048} \pi^2 \right) \nu + \frac{13731}{280} \nu^2 - \frac{153}{16} \nu^3 \right) \right], \quad (15)$$

$$(e)_{C_{1(E^2 S^2)} S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}}(x) = C_{1(E^2 S^2)} \tilde{S}_1^2 x^6 \nu \left[\left(\frac{789}{28} \nu - \frac{156}{7} \nu^2 + \frac{5}{8} \nu^3 \right) + q^{-1} \left(\frac{405}{32} - \left(\frac{2389}{32} - \frac{3747}{2048} \pi^2 \right) \nu - \frac{555}{56} \nu^2 + \frac{21}{32} \nu^3 \right) \right], \quad (16)$$

$$(e)_{C_{1(E^2 S^2)} S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}}(x) = -\frac{3}{2} C_{1(E^2 S^2)} \tilde{S}_1^2 x^6 \nu [\nu^2 (1 + q^{-1})], \quad (17)$$

where all definitions and notations are identical to [38]. These results were obtained by going from our most general new results to the simplified specific configuration of circular orbits with aligned spins. The simplest piece is linear in the individual spins as shown in (14), and is in agreement with [35], who obtained it only within a limited treatment of the simplified specific case of circular orbits with aligned spins in the center-of-mass frame, unlike our most generic treatment.

Importantly we also find that there is a new type of contribution in (17) due to the new term from (7b), (9). This means that this new term is not a “redundant operator” in the EFT, but rather represents a real new physical effect which is *unique to spinning objects*. It can be verified that (17) is always negative, and thus it increases the binding energy of the compact binary, similar to the effects linked with the long-known “Love numbers” in (7a). Thus, we also discovered here a new “Spin Love number”, which is *unique to spinning objects*.

We also find the following pieces for the gauge-invariant relation of the binding energy to the angular momentum:

$$(e)_{S_1 S_2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}}(\tilde{L}) = -\tilde{S}_1 \tilde{S}_2 \frac{\nu}{\tilde{L}^{12}} \left[\frac{102897}{16} - \left(\frac{31653}{32} - \frac{369}{32} \pi^2 \right) \nu - \frac{579}{16} \nu^2 + \frac{209}{64} \nu^3 \right], \quad (18)$$

$$(e)_{S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}}(\tilde{L}) = -\tilde{S}_1^2 \frac{\nu}{\tilde{L}^{12}} \left[\left(\frac{2117357}{896} \nu - \frac{714891}{2240} \nu^2 + \frac{201}{128} \nu^3 \right) + q^{-1} \left(\frac{211653}{128} + \left(\frac{21195}{16} - \frac{63}{2048} \pi^2 \right) \nu - \frac{167739}{560} \nu^2 + \frac{3}{32} \nu^3 \right) \right], \quad (19)$$

$$(e)_{C_{1(E^2 S^2)} S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}}(\tilde{L}) = -C_{1(E^2 S^2)} \tilde{S}_1^2 \frac{\nu}{\tilde{L}^{12}} \left[\left(\frac{2593}{14} \nu - \frac{319}{28} \nu^2 + \frac{3}{8} \nu^3 \right) + q^{-1} \left(\frac{16065}{32} - \left(\frac{3061}{32} - \frac{11745}{2048} \pi^2 \right) \nu - \frac{313}{28} \nu^2 + \frac{17}{32} \nu^3 \right) \right], \quad (20)$$

$$(e)_{C_{1(E^2 S^2)} S_1^2}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}}(\tilde{L}) = \frac{1}{2} C_{1(E^2 S^2)} \tilde{S}_1^2 \frac{\nu}{\tilde{L}^{12}} [\nu^2 (1 + q^{-1})]. \quad (21)$$

State of the art and new physics. The EFT of spinning gravitating objects introduced in [22] provides a self-contained framework that allows for significant formal and technical extensions which in turn enable to push the precision frontier, as demonstrated in this letter. Our framework handles generic compact binaries, and provides a host of useful mathematical and observable quantities, which are not limited to simplified specific binary configurations, such as circular orbits or no eccentricity, and the aligned-spins cases. In this letter we pushed the state of the art of the conservative dynamics at the 5PN order in these most generic settings for all quadratic-in-spin sectors. The push in PN accuracy already greatly improves our ability to learn on the fundamental physics that is encrypted in GW data. Yet, the state of the art accomplished in this letter is even more crucial as it handles the real-world spinning case, and moreover goes beyond linear order in spins, namely to finite-size effects that provide unique information on gravity and QCD.

Our framework here also enabled to discover a new type of physical effect that is *unique to spinning objects*, with a new “Spin Love number”. The new effect enters at the 5PN interaction, and binding energy in (13), similar to the spinless terms in (7a) with coefficients in (8) that correspond to the long-known “Love numbers”. As the 5PN frontier has been recently approached, there has been a surge of studies on these long-known Love numbers – for rotating BHs and NSs [23–28], which arrived at various new findings and insights, and seem to be far from being concluded. It is thus vital to thoroughly tackle the challenging task of studying these coefficients for generic compact objects, in various approaches, and for various general theories, including in generic dimensions, see e.g. [58], as this is bound to uncover new physics. It remains for future analytical and numerical studies, and analysis of GW data, to also uncover the unique new physics, that is encapsulated in the new coefficients or “Spin Love numbers” discovered here in this letter.

Acknowledgments. We thank Johannes Blümlein and Alex Edison for pleasant discussions. J-WK was supported by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) consolidated grant ST/T000686/1 “*Amplitudes, Strings and Duality*”. ML received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant 847523, and is supported by the STFC Rutherford grant ST/V003895/1 “*Harnessing QFT for Gravity*”. ZY is supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation under grants KAW 2018.0116 and KAW 2018.0162.

* michele.levi@qmul.ac.uk

- [1] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO, VIRGO), Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016), arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc].
- [2] J. Aasi *et al.* (LIGO Scientific), Advanced LIGO, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 074001 (2015), arXiv:1411.4547 [gr-qc].
- [3] F. Acernese *et al.* (VIRGO), Advanced Virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 024001 (2015), arXiv:1408.3978 [gr-qc].
- [4] T. Akutsu *et al.* (KAGRA), Overview of KAGRA: Detector design and construction history, (2020), arXiv:2005.05574 [physics.ins-det].
- [5] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GWTC-1: A Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalog of Compact Binary Mergers Observed by LIGO and Virgo during the First and Second Observing Runs, *Phys. Rev.* **X9**, 031040 (2019), arXiv:1811.12907 [astro-ph.HE].
- [6] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GWTC-2: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo During the First Half of the Third Observing Run, *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 021053 (2021), arXiv:2010.14527 [gr-qc].
- [7] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, VIRGO, KAGRA), GWTC-3: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo During the Second Part of the Third

- Observing Run, (2021), arXiv:2111.03606 [gr-qc].
- [8] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161101 (2017), arXiv:1710.05832 [gr-qc].
- [9] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, KAGRA, VIRGO), Observation of Gravitational Waves from Two Neutron Star–Black Hole Coalescences, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **915**, L5 (2021), arXiv:2106.15163 [astro-ph.HE].
- [10] L. Blanchet, Gravitational Radiation from Post-Newtonian Sources and Inspiralling Compact Binaries, *Living Rev. Rel.* **17**, 2 (2014), arXiv:1310.1528 [gr-qc].
- [11] B. P. a. o. Abbott (Virgo, LIGO Scientific), Tests of general relativity with GW150914, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 221101 (2016), arXiv:1602.03841 [gr-qc].
- [12] B. P. a. o. Abbott (Virgo, LIGO Scientific), Properties of the Binary Black Hole Merger GW150914, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 241102 (2016), arXiv:1602.03840 [gr-qc].
- [13] B. P. a. o. Abbott (Virgo, LIGO Scientific), Improved analysis of GW150914 using a fully spin-precessing waveform Model, *Phys. Rev.* **X6**, 041014 (2016), arXiv:1606.01210 [gr-qc].
- [14] W. D. Goldberger and I. Z. Rothstein, An Effective field theory of gravity for extended objects, *Phys.Rev.* **D73**, 104029 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0409156 [hep-th].
- [15] W. D. Goldberger, Les Houches lectures on effective field theories and gravitational radiation, in *Les Houches Summer School - Session 86: Particle Physics and Cosmology: The Fabric of Spacetime Les Houches, France, July 31-August 25, 2006* (2007) arXiv:hep-ph/0701129 [hep-ph].
- [16] M. Levi, Effective Field Theories of Post-Newtonian Gravity: A comprehensive review, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **83**, 075901 (2020), arXiv:1807.01699 [hep-th].
- [17] T. Binnington and E. Poisson, Relativistic theory of tidal Love numbers, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 084018 (2009), arXiv:0906.1366 [gr-qc].
- [18] T. Hinderer, Tidal Love numbers of neutron stars, *Astrophys. J.* **677**, 1216 (2008), arXiv:0711.2420 [astro-ph].
- [19] T. Damour and A. Nagar, Relativistic tidal properties of neutron stars, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 084035 (2009), arXiv:0906.0096 [gr-qc].
- [20] B. Barker and R. O’Connell, Gravitational Two-Body Problem with Arbitrary Masses, Spins, and Quadrupole Moments, *Phys.Rev.* **D12**, 329 (1975).
- [21] E. Poisson, Gravitational waves from inspiralling compact binaries: The Quadrupole moment term, *Phys.Rev.* **D57**, 5287 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9709032 [gr-qc].
- [22] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Spinning gravitating objects in the effective field theory in the post-Newtonian scheme, *JHEP* **09**, 219, arXiv:1501.04956 [gr-qc].
- [23] A. Le Tiec and M. Casals, Spinning Black Holes Fall in Love, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 131102 (2021), arXiv:2007.00214 [gr-qc].
- [24] A. Le Tiec, M. Casals, and E. Franzin, Tidal Love Numbers of Kerr Black Holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 084021 (2021), arXiv:2010.15795 [gr-qc].
- [25] H. S. Chia, Tidal deformation and dissipation of rotating black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 024013 (2021), arXiv:2010.07300 [gr-qc].
- [26] P. Charalambous, S. Dubovsky, and M. M. Ivanov, On the Vanishing of Love Numbers for Kerr Black Holes, *JHEP* **05**, 038, arXiv:2102.08917 [hep-th].
- [27] P. Charalambous, S. Dubovsky, and M. M. Ivanov, Hidden Symmetry of Vanishing Love Numbers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 101101 (2021), arXiv:2103.01234 [hep-th].
- [28] G. Castro, L. Gualtieri, and P. Pani, Hidden symmetry between rotational tidal Love numbers of spinning neutron stars, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 044052 (2021), arXiv:2103.16595 [gr-qc].
- [29] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Novel approach to binary dynamics: application to the fifth post-Newtonian level, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 231104 (2019), arXiv:1909.02375 [gr-qc].
- [30] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Binary dynamics at the fifth and fifth-and-a-half post-Newtonian orders, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 024062 (2020), arXiv:2003.11891 [gr-qc].
- [31] D. Bini, T. Damour, A. Geralico, S. Laporta, and P. Mastrolia, Gravitational dynamics at $O(G^6)$: perturbative gravitational scattering meets experimental mathematics, (2020), arXiv:2008.09389 [gr-qc].
- [32] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, Effective one-body approach to general relativistic two-body dynamics, *Phys.Rev.* **D59**, 084006 (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9811091 [gr-qc].
- [33] J. Blümlein, A. Maier, P. Marquard, and G. Schäfer, The fifth-order post-Newtonian Hamiltonian dynamics of two-body systems from an effective field theory approach: potential contributions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **965**, 115352 (2021), arXiv:2010.13672 [gr-qc].
- [34] A. Antonelli, C. Kavanagh, M. Khalil, J. Steinhoff, and J. Vines, Gravitational spin-orbit coupling through third-subleading post-Newtonian order: from first-order self-force to arbitrary mass ratios, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 011103 (2020), arXiv:2003.11391 [gr-qc].
- [35] A. Antonelli, C. Kavanagh, M. Khalil, J. Steinhoff, and J. Vines, Gravitational spin-orbit and aligned spin₁-spin₂ couplings through third-subleading post-Newtonian orders, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 124024 (2020), arXiv:2010.02018 [gr-qc].
- [36] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, EFTofPNG: A package for high precision computation with the Effective Field Theory of Post-Newtonian Gravity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34**, 244001 (2017), arXiv:1705.06309 [gr-qc].
- [37] M. Levi, Binary dynamics from spin₁-spin₂ coupling at fourth post-Newtonian order, *Phys.Rev.* **D85**, 064043 (2012), arXiv:1107.4322 [gr-qc].
- [38] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Equivalence of ADM Hamiltonian and Effective Field Theory approaches at next-to-next-to-leading order spin₁-spin₂ coupling of binary inspirals, *JCAP* **1412**, 003, arXiv:1408.5762 [gr-qc].
- [39] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Leading order finite size effects with spins for inspiralling compact binaries, *JHEP* **06**, 059, arXiv:1410.2601 [gr-qc].
- [40] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Next-to-next-to-leading or-

- der gravitational spin-orbit coupling via the effective field theory for spinning objects in the post-Newtonian scheme, *JCAP* **1601**, 011, arXiv:1506.05056 [gr-qc].
- [41] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Next-to-next-to-leading order gravitational spin-squared potential via the effective field theory for spinning objects in the post-Newtonian scheme, *JCAP* **1601**, 008, arXiv:1506.05794 [gr-qc].
- [42] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Complete conservative dynamics for inspiralling compact binaries with spins at the fourth post-Newtonian order, *JCAP* **09**, 029, arXiv:1607.04252 [gr-qc].
- [43] M. Levi, S. Mougiakakos, and M. Vieira, Gravitational cubic-in-spin interaction at the next-to-leading post-Newtonian order, *JHEP* **01**, 036, arXiv:1912.06276 [hep-th].
- [44] M. Levi, A. J. Mcleod, and M. Von Hippel, N^3 LO gravitational spin-orbit coupling at order G^4 , *JHEP* **07**, 115, arXiv:2003.02827 [hep-th].
- [45] M. Levi, A. J. Mcleod, and M. Von Hippel, N^3 LO gravitational quadratic-in-spin interactions at G^4 , *JHEP* **07**, 116, arXiv:2003.07890 [hep-th].
- [46] M. Levi and F. Teng, NLO gravitational quartic-in-spin interaction, *JHEP* **01**, 066, arXiv:2008.12280 [hep-th].
- [47] B. Kol and M. Smolkin, Non-Relativistic Gravitation: From Newton to Einstein and Back, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **25**, 145011 (2008), arXiv:0712.4116 [hep-th].
- [48] B. Kol, M. Levi, and M. Smolkin, Comparing space+time decompositions in the post-Newtonian limit, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **28**, 145021 (2011), arXiv:1011.6024 [gr-qc].
- [49] M. Levi, Next to Leading Order gravitational Spin1-Spin2 coupling with Kaluza-Klein reduction, *Phys.Rev.* **D82**, 064029 (2010), arXiv:0802.1508 [gr-qc].
- [50] M. Levi, Next to Leading Order gravitational Spin-Orbit coupling in an Effective Field Theory approach, *Phys.Rev.* **D82**, 104004 (2010), arXiv:1006.4139 [gr-qc].
- [51] R. A. Porto, Post-Newtonian corrections to the motion of spinning bodies in NRGR, *Phys.Rev.* **D73**, 104031 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0511061 [gr-qc].
- [52] M. Levi, A public framework for Feynman calculations and post-Newtonian gravity, (2018), arXiv:1811.12401 [gr-qc].
- [53] G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, One Loop Corrections for $e^+ e^-$ Annihilation Into $\mu^+ \mu^-$ in the Weinberg Model, *Nucl. Phys.* **B160**, 151 (1979).
- [54] S. Laporta, High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A15**, 5087 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0102033 [hep-ph].
- [55] V. A. Smirnov, *Analytic tools for Feynman integrals*, Vol. 250 (2012).
- [56] J. A. M. Vermaseren, Axodraw, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **83**, 45 (1994).
- [57] D. Binosi, J. Collins, C. Kaufhold, and L. Theussl, JaxoDraw: A Graphical user interface for drawing Feynman diagrams. Version 2.0 release notes, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180**, 1709 (2009), arXiv:0811.4113 [hep-ph].
- [58] B. Kol and M. Smolkin, Black hole stereotyping: Induced gravito-static polarization, *JHEP* **02**, 010, arXiv:1110.3764 [hep-th].