

The Amplituhedron BCFW Triangulation

Chaim Even-Zohar*

Tsvika Lakrec†

Ran J. Tessler‡

Abstract

The amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}$ is a geometric object, introduced by Arkani-Hamed and Trnka (2013) in the study of scattering amplitudes in quantum field theories. They conjecture that $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}$ admits a decomposition into images of BCFW positroid cells, arising from the Britto–Cachazo–Feng–Witten recurrence (2005). We prove that this conjecture is true.

1 Introduction

The amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}$ is the image of a positive map $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq} \xrightarrow{\tilde{Z}} \text{Gr}_{k,(k+m)}$ which “projects” the nonnegative real Grassmannian to a smaller Grassmannian. This paper targets the fundamental problem of triangulating the amplituhedron into images of positroid cells of $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$. We first briefly review the definitions of all these objects.

Grassmannians, Positroids, and Amplituhedra

The real *Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$ is the variety of k -dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{R}^n . It is concretely represented as the quotient space $\text{Gr}_{k,n} = \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \text{Mat}_{k \times n}^*(\mathbb{R})$. This means full-rank $k \times n$ real matrices modulo row operations performed by invertible $k \times k$ matrices. For a representative $k \times n$ matrix C , every set $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of k columns defines a *Plücker coordinate* $P_I(C)$ as the determinant of the $k \times k$ minor corresponding to I . Using all Plücker coordinates, the Grassmannian embeds in the $\binom{n}{k} - 1$ -dimensional real projective space. The *positive Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^>$ is the subset of points in the Grassmannian with all $P_I > 0$. Its closure is the *nonnegative Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$, with all $P_I \geq 0$.

The theory of positivity for algebraic groups, and in particular the positive Grassmannian, was developed by Lusztig [Lus94]. It was further studied by Rietsch [Rie98, Rie06], Marsh and Rietsch [MR04], Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ99, FZ02, FZ03], and Postnikov [Pos06, Pos18]. Postnikov developed a rich combinatorial picture of the nonnegative Grassmannian and its cell decomposition into positroid cells. An open *positroid* $S \subseteq \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ comprises the points where a certain subset of the Plücker coordinates are positive, i.e., all C such that $P_I(C) > 0$ for $I \in M$ and $P_I(C) = 0$ for $I \notin M$, for some set M . These positroids admit explicit parametrizations by $(0, \infty)^d$ for some $d = \dim S$. They correspond to various combinatorial objects such as graphs, tableaux, and permutations, which will play a role below. The positive Grassmannian bears relations and applications to diverse areas, including cluster algebras, tropical geometry, and integrable systems [SW05, KW13, KW14, LPW20, SW21]. A recent application to scattering amplitudes in theoretical physics by Arkani-Hamed and Trnka [AT14] motivates the definition of the amplituhedron, which is the focus of this work.

The amplituhedron depends on an additional parameter $m \leq n - k$ and a real matrix $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+m)}^>$. This notation means that Z is assumed to be *positive*, in the sense that its $\binom{n}{k+m}$ maximal minors have

*Faculty of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa, chaim@technion.ac.il

†Institute of Mathematics, University of Zürich, tsvika@gmail.com

‡Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, ran.tessler@weizmann.ac.il

positive determinants. The right multiplication by Z induces a well-defined map $\tilde{Z} : \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,(k+m)}$. In terms of representative matrices, the image of a point $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ is $\tilde{Z}(C) = CZ \in \text{Gr}_{k,(k+m)}$, and the image of a cell $S \subseteq \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ is $\tilde{Z}(S) = \{CZ : C \in S\}$. The tree *amplituhedron* is defined as the image of the entire nonnegative Grassmannian:

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}(Z) = \left\{ CZ : C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq} \right\} \subset \text{Gr}_{k,(k+m)}$$

The amplituhedron is known to be a proper subspace of full dimension km . Since many of its structural properties do not seem to depend on the choice of Z , we often denote the amplituhedron by $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}$ and argue for any fixed Z . See [AT14, ABC⁺16, Hod13] for an exposition of the topic and physical background. The amplituhedron has been much studied in recent years [BH19, KW19, LPSV19, GL20, KWZ20, LM21, MMP21, PSW21]. Generalizations to non-tree level or other physical theories have been considered [ABC⁺15, ABHY18, AHS19, AHH21, Trn21, AHL21]. Its mathematical foundations are being studied under the name *positive geometries* [ABL17].

Triangulating the Amplituhedron

The structure of the amplituhedron is less understood than that of the nonnegative Grassmannian. It is desirable to establish ways to subdivide $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}$ into simple pieces, in analogy to triangulations of a polytope for example. The following definition suggests that this can be achieved using homeomorphic images under Z of positroid cells of the appropriate dimension.

Definition 1.1 (Bao and He [BH19]). A *triangulation* of the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}$ is a collection \mathcal{T} , of km -dimensional open positroid cells of the nonnegative Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$, that satisfies the following properties for every $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+m)}^>$:

- *Injectivity*: $S \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(S)$ is an injective map for every cell $S \in \mathcal{T}$.
- *Separation*: $\tilde{Z}(S)$ and $\tilde{Z}(S')$ are disjoint for every two cells $S \neq S'$ in \mathcal{T} .
- *Surjectivity*: $\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{T}} \tilde{Z}(S)$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}(Z)$.

The case $m = 4$ is the most relevant to physics, being applicable to scattering amplitudes in planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory (SYM). Recurrence relations for computing scattering amplitudes arise from the work of Britto, Cachazo, Feng, and Witten [BCF05, BCFW05]. The BCFW recurrence translates into a recursive definition of a collection of $4k$ -dimensional positroid cells in the nonnegative Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$. These cells correspond to different terms that add up to the scattering amplitude, see [AT14, ABC⁺16]. A direct definition by Karp, Williams, and Zhang [KWZ20] will be elaborated in Section 2 below. This collection is named the *BCFW cells* and denoted here by $\mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$, see Definition 2.16 below. It contains $\frac{1}{n-3} \binom{n-3}{k+1} \binom{n-3}{k}$ cells. The relation between scattering amplitudes and the amplituhedron is based on the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2 (Arkani-Hamed and Trnka [AT14]). *For every $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq k + 4$, the cells $\mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ form a triangulation of the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}$.*

In this paper we prove this conjecture. We develop new machinery for analyzing how positroid cells map into the amplituhedron. We devise a scheme of procedures for recursively constructing subsets of the positive Grassmannian, including all BCFW cells. We derive distinctive characteristics of their images, such as functions having constant sign on a given cell, and keep track of their evolution as the construction proceeds. These techniques let us locate preimages in order to show injectivity, compare two cells to tell their images apart, and analyze boundaries between cells. We demonstrate our approach in the case of Conjecture 1.2, showing that the BCFW cells triangulate the $m = 4$ amplituhedron in the

sense of Definition 1.1. Namely, we prove the following three properties for every $k \geq 1$, $n \geq k + 4$ and positive $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^>$.

Theorem 1.3. *The map $S \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(S)$ is injective for every cell $S \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$.*

Theorem 1.4. *The images $\tilde{Z}(S)$ and $\tilde{Z}(S')$ are disjoint for every two different cells $S, S' \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$.*

Theorem 1.5. *The union of $\tilde{Z}(S)$ over $S \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$.*

Another outcome of our analysis is a characterization of the boundary of the amplituhedron. We also show that this is a *good* triangulation, in the sense that every internal wall is an image of a boundary stratum between two BCFW cells. See Corollaries 8.7 and 8.8 for the precise formulation of these results. An additional property that we deduce is that the interior of the amplituhedron is homeomorphic to an open ball, see Theorem 9.2.

Many of the techniques we develop generalize to other values of m and other triangulations and families of positroid cells. These are useful for manipulating functions of the amplituhedron's coordinates, showing injectivity of the amplituhedron map, separating between cells, and boundary cancellations.

Related Work

Since Conjecture 1.2 was posed, triangulations of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}$ have been studied for various values of m and k . Karp and Williams give a triangulation of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,1}$ [KW19]. The case of $\mathcal{A}_{n,1,m}$ is a cyclic polytope in projective space [Stu88]. Galashin and Lam introduce the parity duality, which relates triangulations of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}$ with triangulations of $\mathcal{A}_{n,n-m-k,m}$ [GL20]. Karp, Williams and Zhang prove injectivity and separation in the special case $\mathcal{A}_{n,2,4}$ using domino forms and an exhaustive case analysis [KWZ20]. Bao and He prove a triangulation of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,2}$ based on BCFW-like cells [BH19]. Parisi, Sherman-Bennett and Williams use twistor coordinates to establish many triangulations of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,2}$, and relate them to triangulations of the hypersimplex [PSW21]. For other related results, see a very recent survey by Williams [Wil21].

Our methods are inspired by several ideas from previous works on Conjecture 1.2. We use matrix operations similar to Lam [Lam15] and Bao and He [BH19] in order to manipulate cells. Our basic ingredient in separating cells is the twistor coordinates, similarly to Parisi, Sherman-Bennett and Williams [PSW21]. Our analysis of boundaries is reminiscent with that of Agarwala and Marcott [AM21]. We use the domino form by Karp, Williams, Zhang, and Thomas [KWZ20] to represent BCFW cells. In particular, we settle their Conjecture A.7 and prove the following.

Theorem 1.6. *Every point in a BCFW cell has a representative matrix in the domino form of that cell. Conversely, every domino matrix represents a point in the corresponding BCFW cell.*

See Section 2.2 for the definition of domino matrices and their sign rules, and see Theorem 3.37 and Proposition 7.3 for a more detailed formulation of this result.

Proof Overview

In Section 2 we define the main three structures that we use to represent BCFW positroids: chord diagrams, domino matrices, and decorated permutations. We also show that these correspond to the BCFW cells from previous works.

In Section 3 we describe explicit algorithms for constructing domino representatives for positroid cells. We analyze this process by algebraic and combinatorial methods, and deduce Theorem 1.6 on the matrix form of BCFW cells. Our proofs in later sections rely on the recursive nature of this construction scheme, which follows the structure of the chord diagram.

In Section 4 we move to discuss the amplituhedron map. We review the twistor coordinates of the amplituhedron, and present key ideas and tools for the next sections. We introduce the study of functionaries, which are polynomials in the twistors, and how they evolve under natural algebraic operations that preserve nonnegativity.

In Section 5 we show that the BCFW cells map injectively into the amplituhedron. We use the hierarchical structure of chord diagrams to find a preimage. This requires showing that certain twistors are nowhere vanishing on a cell's image. Employing the tools developed in Sections 3-4, we prove that they do not vanish by induction on chord diagrams.

In Section 6 we separate the images of the BCFW positroids using functionaries, which are combinatorially determined by their chord diagrams. Given two BCFW cells, we use the tools of Sections 3-4 to provide a functionary that has a fixed opposite sign on each image, independently of the positive matrix Z .

Section 7 revisits the BCFW cells, and characterizes their boundaries via inequalities in the entries and 2×2 minors of their domino matrices. We combinatorially identify all pairs of chord diagrams whose positroids share a codimension one boundary stratum.

In Section 8 we prove surjectivity. It follows by a topological argument from the above characterization of internal and external boundaries of the triangulation.

In Section 9 we prove an additional, topological result that the interior of the amplituhedron is homeomorphic to an open ball, for every positive Z . Then, we further investigate the structure of the amplituhedron, and describe its decomposition into images of some type of products of two positive Grassmannians.

Acknowledgments

C.E. thanks the Lloyds Register Foundation's Alan Turing Institute programme on Data-Centric Engineering for their support during part of the research. T.L. was supported by ERC 2020 grant HomDyn, grant no. 833423 during part of the research and SNSF grant Dynamical Systems, grant no. 188535. R.T., incumbent of the Lillian and George Lyttle Career Development Chair, was supported by the ISF grant no. 335/19 and by a research grant from the Center for New Scientists of Weizmann Institute. R.T. would like to thank Jian-Rong Li, Xavier Blot and Yoel Groman for helpful discussions. The authors thank Nima Arkani-Hamed, Matteo Parisi, Melissa Sherman-Bennett and Lauren Williams for interesting discussions in relation to this work. The authors thank the IT team in the Computer Science and Mathematics Department of the Weizmann Institute, and especially Unix engineer Amir Gonen, who helped us to run our computations.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Definitions for BCFW Cells	5
3	Structure of BCFW Cells	15
4	Twistors and Functionaries	35
5	Injectivity	49
6	Separation	52
7	Boundaries of BCFW Cells	57
8	Surjectivity	78
9	Consequences	83

2 Definitions for BCFW Cells

In the seminal work of Postnikov [Pos06], several families of combinatorial structures are employed to define and study the cells of the nonnegative Grassmannian. A few objects that are identified with positroids are: certain bicolored planar networks, known as *plabic graphs*; certain 0/1-filled Young tableaux, known as \oplus -*diagrams*; and permutations with two kinds of fixed points, known as *decorated permutations*.

More combinatorial representations are available for the special class of BCFW cells. These are given by a particular family of plabic graphs in [ABC⁺16, with a certain rotation by two], and by pairs of *noncrossing lattice walks* in [KWZ20], who also described additional equivalent viewpoints using *binary trees*, *Dyck paths* and *domino matrices*. For our purposes, it is convenient to start from yet another representation, which is a variant of the *Wilson loop diagrams* used by Agarwala, Marin-Amat and Marcott [AM17, AM21]. We start with a definition of these combinatorial structures.

2.1 Chord Diagrams


Definition 2.1. A *chord diagram* is a circle, containing n markers labeled $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ counterclockwise, and k chords, always oriented increasingly with respect to the markers, such that:

- (a) No chord starts or ends on a marker.
- (b) No two chords intersect.
- (c) No chord starts before the marker 1 or ends after $n - 1$.
- (d) No chord starts and ends on the same segment between markers, nor on adjacent segments.
- (e) No two chords *start* on the same segment between two markers.

The set of all chord diagrams with n markers and k chords is denoted $\mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$.

Remark 2.2. Agarwala et al. [AM17, AM21] use the term *propagators* for chords, and label the markers by Z 's rows: Z_1, \dots, Z_n . The constraints they put on the chords, and the relation to positroids, are different from ours.

Example 2.3. Here is a chord diagram in $\mathcal{CD}_{14,3}$ and an equivalent linear drawing of it.



Combinatorially, a chord diagram is represented by $D = ([n], (c_1, \dots, c_k))$. First comes the set of all markers $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Second, we have k quadruples of markers of the form $c = (i, i + 1, j, j + 1)$, corresponding to the k chords, where the pairs $(i, i + 1)$ and $(j, j + 1)$ are the two segments incident to the chord c . By convention, each c_l is increasing, and c_1, \dots, c_k are listed in lexicographic order, i.e., increasingly according to their starts. For example, the diagram drawn above is represented by:

$$([14], ((1, 2, 11, 12), (3, 4, 6, 7), (8, 9, 10, 11)))$$

Definition 2.4. The following terminology for chord diagrams is self-explanatory.

- A chord $c = (i, i + 1, j, j + 1)$ is said to *start* at the pair $(i, i + 1)$ and *end* at the pair $(j, j + 1)$. These two segments are respectively the *tail* and the *head* of c .

- If two chords $c = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ and $c' = (i', i'+1, j', j'+1)$ satisfy $i < j \leq i' < j'$, then c' comes *after* c , and c comes *before* c' .
- If two chords $c = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ and $c' = (i', i'+1, j', j'+1)$ satisfy $i < i' < j' \leq j$, then c' is a *descendant* of c , and c is an *ancestor* of c' .
- A chord with no ancestor is a *top* chord. A chord with no descendant is a *lowest* chord. A top chord that starts first and ends last is *long*. A lowest chord $(i, i+1, i+2, i+3)$ is *short*.
- The *chain* from c to c' is the longest descendent sequence (c, \dots, c') , in which every chord is a descendant of the previous one. If (c, c') is a chain of two chords, then c is the *parent* of c' , and c' is a *child* of c .
- Two chords are *siblings* if they are either top chords or children of a common parent. The terms *next/previous/first/last sibling* refer to their position in a maximal sequence (c, \dots, c') of siblings ordered by the before/after relation.
- If c starts at $(i, i+1)$ and c' starts at $(i+1, i+2)$ then c is a *sticky* parent and c' is a *sticky* child. A chain of chords (c, \dots, c') is *sticky* if all consecutive pairs are sticky. For every chord c_l , we denote by c_{*l} and c_{l*} the first and last chords in the maximal sticky chain $(c_{*l}, \dots, c_{l-1}, c_l, c_{l+1}, \dots, c_{l*})$.
- If two chords or more end at the same pair $(j, j+1)$ then this pair is a *sticky end*. Such chords relate to each other as *same-end* child/descendant/parent/ancestor/chain.
- If c' starts at the same pair $(j, j+1)$ where c ends, then (c, c') are *head-to-tail* chords. A sequence of chords (c, \dots, c') is a *Loch Ness Monster* if all consecutive pairs are head-to-tail.

See Example 2.30 below for a more complicated chord diagram than the above one, which illustrates some cases of sticky, same-end, and head-to-tail chords.

2.2 Domino Matrices

Karp, Williams, Zhang and Thomas [KWZ20, Appendix A] suggest representing the points in the BCFW cells by special matrices, called *domino bases*. This form is especially useful for analyzing the amplitude-dron map. Here we redefine these matrices via their one-to-one correspondence to chord diagrams.

Definition 2.5. The *domino matrix* C of a chord diagram $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ is a $k \times n$ real matrix depending on $5k$ real variables. For each $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, construct the row C_l of C corresponding to the chord $c_l = (i_l, i_l+1, j_l, j_l+1)$ as follows.

- Write the four variables $(\alpha_l, \beta_l, \gamma_l, \delta_l)$ at the respective positions (i_l, i_l+1, j_l, j_l+1) .
- If c_l is a top chord, write another variable ε_l at the last position n .
- If c_l is a child of c_m , add $(\varepsilon_l \alpha_m, \varepsilon_l \beta_m)$ at the tail positions (i_m, i_m+1) of the parent.
- Elsewhere, write zeros.

We denote by $\mathcal{DM}_{n,k}$ the set of all $k \times n$ domino matrices so obtained from $\mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$.

Example 2.6. Here is the domino matrix of the chord diagram from Example 2.3.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 & \delta_1 & 0 & \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \alpha_1 & \varepsilon_2 \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & 0 & \gamma_2 & \delta_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_3 \alpha_1 & \varepsilon_3 \beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \gamma_3 & \delta_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 2.7. It follows from the definition that if the chord c_l is a sticky child then at position i_l of C_l appears the sum $\alpha_l + \varepsilon_l \beta_{l-1}$. See Example 2.30 below for such cases.

Definition 2.8. We refer to the pairs (α_l, β_l) and (γ_l, δ_l) as the *start domino* and *end domino* of the row C_l . The pair $(\varepsilon_l \alpha_m, \varepsilon_l \beta_m)$ is the domino that C_l inherits from C_m . The support of the row C_l , denoted $\text{support}(C_l)$, is the set of five or six positions in $[n]$ where C_l is nonzero.

Remark 2.9. Since the rows of C correspond to chords in D , and dominoes correspond to tails and heads of chords, we borrow terms from chord diagrams to domino matrices and vice versa. For example, we refer to the *dominoes of a chord* c_l , and write $\text{support}(c_l)$. Conversely, we use *children*, *parents* and the other terms from Definition 2.4 to matrix rows. The four *markers of* C_l refer to those of c_l .

Without any restrictions on the $5k$ variables in a domino matrix, it might represent points outside the nonnegative Grassmannian. We show that the following conditions guarantee nonnegativity. Moreover, we later show that under these rules, a domino matrix provides a parametrization of an appropriate BCFW positroid.

Definition 2.10. The *sign rules* of the domino matrix C that corresponds to a chord diagram D is the following set of conditions on its variables.

1. For every chord c_l : $\alpha_l > 0, \beta_l > 0$
2. For every chord c_l : $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_l)} \gamma_l > 0, (-1)^{\text{below}(c_l)} \delta_l > 0$
3. If c_l is a top chord: $(-1)^{\text{behind}(c_l)} \varepsilon_l > 0$
4. If c_l is not a top chord: $(-1)^{\text{beyond}(c_l)} \varepsilon_l > 0$
5. If c_l is a same-end child of c_m : $\delta_l / \gamma_l < \delta_m / \gamma_m$
6. If c_m, c_l are head-to-tail chords: $\delta_m / \gamma_m < \beta_l / \alpha_l$

where

- $\text{below}(c_l)$ is the number of descendants of c_l .
- $\text{behind}(c_l) = k - l$ is the number of chords that start after the start of c_l .
- $\text{beyond}(c_l) = l - m - 1$ is the number of descendants of c_l 's parent c_m , that come before c_l .

Note that according to the sign rules, the two entries of a domino always have the same sign, and the ratios in rules 5 and 6 are always positive. These two rules are not explicitly specified in [KWZ20, Appendix A]. See Example 2.30 for a case where they are applicable.

2.3 Decorated Permutations

Several combinatorial structures are given by Postnikov [Pos06] for representing general positroid cells, and one of them is particularly useful here. It is the one-to-one correspondence between positroids in the nonnegative Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ and decorated permutations of $[n]$ with k anti-excedances, the latter defined as follows.

Definition 2.11. A *decorated permutation* of $[n]$ is a one-to-one map $\pi : [n] \rightarrow [n]$, whose fixed points are classified into two types, black and white. A *black* fixed point is written $\pi(m) = m$ as usual, while a *white* fixed point is written $\pi(m) = \overline{m}$. An element $m \in [n]$ is an *anti-excedance* of π if either $\pi^{-1}(m) > m$ or $\pi(m) = \overline{m}$.

Remark 2.12. White fixed points do not show up in the decorated permutations corresponding to our positroids. Hence, we freely use the standard notation and operations for permutations, such as the composition of two permutations. Any unspecified fixed point is assumed to be black.

Definition 2.13. The *decorated permutation of $[n]$ corresponding to a chord diagram $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$* is the following product of 5-cycles:

$$\pi = (T_1 U_1 V_1 W_1 n) (T_2 U_2 V_2 W_2 n) \cdots (T_k U_k V_k W_k n)$$

where for every chord $c_l = (i_l, i_l + 1, j_l, j_l + 1)$,

- $T_l = i_l$
- $U_l = i_{l*} + 1$
- $V_l = \begin{cases} j_l & \text{if no chord starts at } (j_l, j_l + 1) \\ i_{m*} + 1 & \text{if some chord } c_m \text{ starts at } (j_l, j_l + 1) \end{cases}$
- $W_l = \begin{cases} j_l + 1 & \text{if no chord starts at } (j_l, j_l + 1), \text{ nor does any chord start at } (j_l + 1, j_l + 2) \\ i_{m*} + 1 & \text{if no chord starts at } (j_l, j_l + 1), \text{ and } c_m \text{ starts at } (j_l + 1, j_l + 2) \\ j_m & \text{if } c_m \text{ starts at } (j_l, j_l + 1), \text{ and no chord starts at } (j_m, j_m + 1) \\ i_{h*} + 1 & \text{if } c_m \text{ starts at } (j_l, j_l + 1), \text{ and } c_h \text{ starts at } (j_m, j_m + 1) \end{cases}$

where as in Definition 2.4, $c_{l*} = (i_{l*}, i_{l*} + 1, j_{l*}, j_{l*} + 1)$ is the last chord in a maximal sticky chain descendent from c_l , and it is understood that $c_l = c_{l*}$ if no chord starts at $(i_l + 1, i_l + 2)$. The set of decorated permutations that correspond to chord diagrams in $\mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ is denoted $\mathcal{DP}_{n,k}$.

Example 2.14. The decorated permutation of $[14]$ that corresponds to the chord diagram given in Example 2.3 is

$$\pi = (1\ 2\ 11\ 12\ 14) (3\ 4\ 6\ 7\ 14) (8\ 9\ 10\ 11\ 14) \in \mathcal{DP}_{14,3}$$

Writing π in two-line notation, i.e., $\pi(m)$ below m , we obtain:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
2	11	4	6	5	7	1	9	10	12	3	14	13	8

This permutation has 3 anti-excedances, at $\{1, 3, 8\}$. Hence it defines a positroid in $\text{Gr}_{3,14}^{\geq}$. This example demonstrates the first cases for V_l and W_l . See Example 2.30 below for some of the other cases.

The common theme of the various cases in Definition 2.13 is that (T_l, U_l, V_l, W_l) are preferably the four markers in c_l , unless they coincide with some first markers i_m of other chords c_m . If they do, then we advance them to subsequent elements of those chords in an order-preserving way. It follows that each 5-cycle $(T_l U_l V_l W_l n)$ has a single anti-excedance, namely $n \mapsto T_l$. The element $T_l = i_l$ is also an anti-excedance in the product of the 5-cycles of c_l, \dots, c_k because it is the smallest element there. Since i_l is a first marker, it does not repeat in the 5-cycles of c_1, \dots, c_{l-1} and remains an anti-excedance of the whole decorated permutation π . We conclude the following.

Corollary 2.15. *Every decorated permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{DP}_{n,k}$ has exactly k anti-excedances. Therefore, π corresponds to a positroid $S \subseteq \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$.*

We emphasize that the correspondence we use between decorated permutations and positroid cells in the nonnegative Grassmannian is the specific one defined by Postnikov in [Pos06, Section 17]. The following main definition is based on this correspondence, composed on Definition 2.13 of the decorated permutation corresponding to a chord diagram.

Definition 2.16. The *BCFW cells*, denoted $\mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ are the set of positroids represented by $\mathcal{DP}_{n,k}$, i.e., by the decorated permutations of $[n]$ that correspond to the chord diagrams in $\mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$.

Using other equivalent combinatorial objects, Postnikov [Pos06, Section 6] gives a concrete algorithm for computing a parametrized family of matrices that represent the positroid of π . These representatives are *not* the domino matrices given above in Section 2.2. As mentioned above in Theorem 1.6, we show that they are indeed equivalent under the left $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$ action.


2.4 Equivalent Representations

The positroid cells we obtain from chord diagrams in Definition 2.16 of $\mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ are indeed those previously regarded as BCFW cells. In principle, the BCFW recurrence can be performed in several ways, and our convention follows a canonical choice that was described using plabic graphs by Karp, Williams, and Zhang [KWZ20, Section 5] based on Arkani-Hamed et al. [ABC⁺16, Section 16].

Karp, Williams, and Zhang introduce several equivalent combinatorial structures that index the set of BCFW cells [KWZ20, Sections 6-7]. One of these equivalent objects is a noncrossing pair of lattice walks, as in the following definition. Using direct bijections with certain \oplus -diagrams and other objects, which are also included below, these walks provide a concise and nonrecursive description of $\mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$. The definitions and results of this section are not needed for later ones.

Definition 2.17. A *lattice walk* in a $k \times l$ rectangle is a path W from its upper right corner to its lower left corner that takes k vertical unit steps and l horizontal unit steps. A pair of lattice walks (W_A, W_B) is *noncrossing* if W_A stays weakly above W_B . The set of all noncrossing pairs of lattice walks in a $k \times (n - k - 4)$ rectangle is denoted $\mathcal{LW}_{n,k}$.

Example 2.18. Here is a noncrossing pair of lattice walks $(W_A, W_B) \in \mathcal{LW}_{14,3}$. The grid's dimensions are $3 \times (14 - 3 - 4) = 3 \times 7$. The vertical steps of W_A are at positions $J = \{2, 8, 10\} \subseteq [10]$, and those of W_B are at $I = \{1, 3, 8\}$. Equivalently, the walk W_A makes $(a_0, \dots, a_3) = (1, 5, 1, 0)$ horizontal steps at the different rows of the lattice, whereas W_B makes $(b_0, \dots, b_3) = (0, 1, 4, 2)$ horizontal steps.



Definition 2.19. Let the map $\Phi : \mathcal{LW}_{n,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ define the chord diagram corresponding to a noncrossing pair of lattice walks by the following procedure. Consider $(W_A, W_B) \in \mathcal{LW}_{n,k}$. The walk W_B determines the chords' tails as follows. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq [n - 4]$ be the set of k vertical steps in the walk W_B . For every step $i_l \in I$ we put a chord tail on the segment $(i_l, i_l + 1)$. Then, a reverse walk along W_A determines the heads of c_k, \dots, c_1 . We maintain a set J_l containing j iff the segment $(j, j + 1)$ is available for ending c_l . The end of c_l is successively determined by selecting $j_l \in J_l$ as a *monotone* function of the number a_l of W_A 's horizontal steps at row l . After an example, we show that this map is well defined.

Example 2.20. We apply Φ on the lattice walks $(W_A, W_B) \in \mathcal{LW}_{14,3}$ from Example 2.18. Since W_B steps vertically at $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\} = \{1, 3, 8\}$ we put chord tails at $(1, 2)$, $(3, 4)$, and $(8, 9)$. The options

for ending c_3 are $J_3 = \{10, 11, 12\}$. Since W_A makes $a_3 = 0$ steps at row 3, we select the smallest $j_3 = 10$ and end c_3 at $(10, 11)$. The options for ending c_2 are $J_2 = \{5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12\}$. Since $a_2 = 1$ we skip 5, select $j_2 = 6$, and end c_2 at $(6, 7)$. Finally, c_1 can end at any of $J_1 = \{3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12\}$. Since $a_1 = 5$ we skip the five first options, select $j_1 = 11$, and end c_1 at $(11, 12)$. This resulting chord diagram $\Phi(W_A, W_B)$ is the one in Example 2.3.

Proposition 2.21. *The map Φ is a well-defined bijection between the noncrossing pairs of lattice walks $\mathcal{LW}_{n,k}$ and the chord diagrams $\mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$.*

Proof. Clearly, any choice of k tail segments in $\{(1, 2), \dots, (n-4, n-3)\}$ is obtained from some walk W_B , and every walk uniquely determines such tails. It is left to match the options for chord heads given tails with the possible walks W_A given W_B .

The map Φ starts assigning heads from the last chord c_k . The set J_k always contains the possible marker $n-2$ representing the segment $(n-2, n-1)$. If c_k starts at $(n-4, n-3)$ then $j_k = n-2$ is the only option. This is the case $b_k = 0$, where no horizontal steps are taken by W_B and hence also by W_A at row k of the lattice. Otherwise, c_k starts at some $i_k = (n-4) - b_k$ and the $b_k + 1$ available ends are $J_k = \{i_k + 2, \dots, n-2\}$. In this case, W_B takes some $b_k > 0$ horizontal steps at row k , and W_A can take any $a_k \in \{0, \dots, b_k\}$ horizontal steps without crossing W_B , so W_A has exactly $|J_k|$ options as desired. The definition of Φ asserts that the head of c_k lies on the segment $(j_k, j_k + 1)$ selected by skipping the a_k smallest options in J_k and letting j_k be the next one. The skipped options will not be included in J_{k-1} , because segments under the chord c_k are no longer available for ending other chords.

We continue with $c_{k-1}, c_{k-2}, \dots, c_1$ in the same fashion. Suppose that the heads of c_{l+1}, \dots, c_k are already determined. If c_l sticks to c_{l+1} then J_l contains the remainder of J_{l+1} as no new end segments become available. Otherwise, c_l has some new end options $\{(i_l + 2, i_l + 3), \dots, (i_{l+1}, i_{l+1} + 1)\}$. These are b_l segments, same as the horizontal steps taken by W_B at row l of the lattice. These steps make room for W_A to potentially take b_l extra steps without crossing W_B , beyond the steps not taken in the previous row $l+1$. Thus $|J_l| = |J_{l+1}| - a_{l+1} + b_l$ is again the number of options for a_l , the horizontal steps at row l . By the definition of Φ , the head of c_l is selected by skipping the a_l smallest options in J_l . These a_l segments will not appear in J_{l-1} , to prevent intersection of chords.

In conclusion, throughout the procedure, the ways to continue the walk W_A in row l are in bijection with the ways to pick the head of c_l in the chord diagram. \square


The next combinatorial objects are \oplus -diagrams, which are used to represent positroid cells in general. Here we only consider the special case of \oplus -diagrams that are equivalent to chord diagrams, and refer to [Pos06, LW08, KWZ20] for the general case.

Definition 2.22. A BCFW \oplus -diagram of type (n, k) is a Young diagram with k rows and at most $n-k$ columns, filled with \bigcirc and $+$ according to the following rules. In every row of the diagram,

- (a) Four boxes contain $+$ and the rest contain \bigcirc .
- (b) No \bigcirc appears before the 1st $+$ or after the 4th $+$.
- (c) No \bigcirc between the 3rd and 4th $+$ is directly below a $+$.
- (d) Each \bigcirc between the 2nd and 3rd $+$ is directly above an \bigcirc between the 3rd and 4th $+$ in its row.

The set of all BCFW \oplus -diagrams of type (n, k) is denoted $\mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$.

Example 2.23. Here is a BCFW \oplus -diagram of type $(14, 3)$. The role of the labels along the rim will be explained below.



Definition 2.24. Let the map $\Psi : \mathcal{CD}_{n,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$ define the BCFW \oplus -diagram that corresponds to a chord diagram by the following procedure. Consider $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ with chords c_1, \dots, c_k . The shape of the Young diagram $\Psi(D)$ is determined by an n -step lattice walk that outlines its rim. We take a unit step down at every $i \in [n]$ such that $(i, i+1)$ starts a chord, and take a step left otherwise. We assign the corresponding labels $1, \dots, n$ to the rows and columns of the diagram, as in Example 2.23. We then fill $\Psi(D)$ from bottom to top, iterating over the chords c_k, \dots, c_1 . In the row of $c_l = (i_l, i_l+1, j_l, j_l+1)$, we first identify the suffix of boxes whose column label is smaller than j_l . Then,

1. Write $\boxed{\circ \circ \cdots \circ +}$ in that suffix, though some of the \circ may already be there.
2. Fill with $\boxed{\circ}$ the column of boxes above each \circ in the suffix.
3. Write $\boxed{+ \circ \cdots \circ + +}$ in the remaining *empty* boxes of the row, which may be nonconsecutive.

This map is demonstrated by Examples 2.3 and 2.23, or the more complicated Example 2.30 below.

Proposition 2.25. The map Ψ from chord diagrams to \oplus -diagrams is well defined and one-to-one.

Proof. Since there are k segments where chords start, the walk determining the rim of the diagram fits in a $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. The suffix considered for each row contains at least the rightmost box, because $(i_{l*}, i_{l*}+1)$ is a segment under the chord c_l where no chord starts. Clearly, that box is still empty, so writing $+$ there does not violate Item (c) of Definition 2.22. At least three empty boxes are available outside the suffix, because markers $\{n-2, n-1, n\}$ are never smaller than j_m for any chord $c_m \in \{c_l, \dots, c_k\}$. Item (d) follows since any \circ between the 2nd and 3rd $+$ has arisen from a suffix of a lower row. Items (a) and (b) are straightforward.

To see that Ψ is one-to-one, first note that the segments (i_l, i_l+1) where chords start can be recovered from the shape of $\Psi(D)$. The segment (j_l, j_l+1) where a chord c_l ends given c_{l+1}, \dots, c_k is revealed by the column label of the 3rd $+$ which is either j_l , or $i_{m*}+1$ if some $i_m = j_l$. The assumption that c_l can not intersect such c_m uniquely determines j_l in the latter case. \square

Karp, Williams, and Zhang describe a procedure $\Omega : \mathcal{LW}_{n,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{OP}_{n,k}$ that assigns an \oplus -diagram to a given noncrossing pair of lattice walks. See [KWZ20, Definition 6.2] for the details. It can be verified that Ω produces BCFW \oplus -diagrams satisfying Definition 2.22. A close examination of the three procedures shows that $\Omega = \Psi \circ \Phi$.


Definition 2.26. A *pipe dream* of type (n, k) is an equivalent way to draw an \oplus -diagram of type (n, k) . The content of the boxes is replaced by the rule:



The labels $1, \dots, n$ are written along the rim of the diagram, from top right to bottom left, and copied to the opposite side of every row or column. The *decorated permutation* of $[n]$ that corresponds to the

pipe dream assigns, to each rim label, the left or top label obtained by flowing through the pipes. Fixed points are black or white if they arise from a column or a row, respectively.

Example 2.27. The pipe dream of type $(14,3)$ of the BCFW \oplus -diagram in Example 2.23 is the following one. The induced decorated permutation maps $1 \mapsto 2$, $2 \mapsto 11$, $3 \mapsto 4$, $4 \mapsto 6$, and so on.




Karp, Williams and Zhang [KWZ20] show that the recursively defined BCFW positroid cells are those that correspond to \oplus -diagrams that arise from noncrossing pairs of lattice walks. According to this direct definition, the BCFW cells also correspond to the decorated permutations of the pipe dreams of these \oplus -diagrams, which also arise from chord diagrams via the map Ψ . In Definition 2.16, we define the BCFW positroid cells differently, via the decorated permutations that arise from chord diagrams. The following proposition implies that the two definitions agree, yielding the same cells.

Proposition 2.28. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{k,n}$ be a chord diagram. The decorated permutation of $[n]$ that corresponds to D , via Definition 2.13, is equal to the decorated permutation of $[n]$ that corresponds to the pipe dream of $\Psi(D)$, via Definitions 2.24 and 2.26.*

Proof. The pipes in a pipe dream always flow upwards. Therefore, one can break a pipe dream with k rows into k smaller pipe dreams, of one row each. The decorated permutation that corresponds to the original pipe dream is the composition of the k decorated permutations that correspond to its one-row pipe dreams. The labels are induced from the original pipe dream. Labels that do not appear in a certain row can safely be regarded as fixed points.

Consider a pipe dream of type (n, k) that arises from a BCFW \oplus -diagram, so it satisfies the rules in Definition 2.22. Each of its rows has the following form, with some labels $T' < U' < V' < W' < n$.



The decorated permutation of this one-row pipe dream is the 5-cycle $(T' U' V' W' n)$. Overall, the decorated permutation of $[n]$ that corresponds to the pipe dream of $\Psi(D)$ is

$$\pi' = (T'_1 U'_1 V'_1 W'_1 n) (T'_2 U'_2 V'_2 W'_2 n) \cdots (T'_k U'_k V'_k W'_k n)$$

The element T'_l is the row label of the l th row of the pipe dream of $\Psi(D)$. The four elements n, W'_l, V'_l, U'_l are the labels of the four columns where there is a $+$ in the l th row of $\Psi(D)$. These labels are determined by D via the procedure described in Definition 2.24.

Not incidentally, this expression is similar to the decorated permutation of $[n]$ that corresponds to the chord diagram D . Definition 2.13 writes it as

$$\pi = (T_1 U_1 V_1 W_1 n) (T_2 U_2 V_2 W_2 n) \cdots (T_k U_k V_k W_k n)$$

where T_l, U_l, V_l, W_l are defined there as markers in the chord diagram D . In order to show that $\pi' = \pi$, it is sufficient to verify that the labels T'_l, U'_l, V'_l, W'_l agree with T_l, U_l, V_l, W_l for every $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Consider the l th row of $\Psi(D)$, which corresponds to the chord $c_l = (i_l, i_l + 1, j_l, j_l + 1)$ of D . When considering the following cases, it is illuminating to examine some chords in Example 2.30 below, and see how the 5-cycles in its decorated permutation correspond to the positions of $+$ in its \oplus -diagram.

- (T) By Definition 2.24, the label T'_l of row l of $\Psi(D)$ is the l th vertical step in the rim walk, which is the first marker of c_l , which is $i_l = T_l$ by Definition 2.13. Therefore $T'_l = T_l$.
- (U) The label U'_l , of the column of the 4th $+$ in row l , is the next horizontal step after i_l . If no chord starts at $(i_l + 1, i_l + 2)$ then $U'_l = i_l + 1$. Otherwise, it is $U'_l = i_{l*} + 1$ because all markers from $i_l + 1$ to i_{l*} are first markers of chords in the sticky chain c_l, \dots, c_{l*} . In any case, $U'_l = U_l$.
- (V) The label V'_l , of the 3rd $+$ in row l , is determined as the rightmost box of column label at least j_l that is still empty when we fill the l th row. If no other chord starts at $(j_l, j_l + 1)$ then j_l is a column label. If there exists another chord c_m with $i_m = j_l$ then the smallest column label after j_l is the next horizontal step $i_{m*} + 1$. We verify that in either case this box is still empty and thus filled with the 3rd $+$.

Indeed, step 2 of the procedure in Definition 2.22 in row $h > l$ only fills columns whose label L satisfies $i_{h*} + 1 < L < j_h$ for some chord $c_h = (i_h, i_h + 1, j_h, j_h + 1)$. The case $L = j_l$ is impossible, since then c_h would intersect c_l . The case $L = i_{m*} + 1$ is also impossible because only chord in the sticky chain that ends at c_{m*} may start between j_l and L and these have their 2nd $+$ in column L . It follows that V'_l is the column label of the right empty box, and $V'_l = V_l$.

- (W) First, consider the case that no chord starts at $(j_l, j_l + 1)$. Then, we have seen that the 3rd $+$ fills an empty box in column j_l , and the next box may be either in column $j_l + 1$, or $i_{m*} + 1$ if some chord c_m starts at $(j_l + 1, j_l + 2)$. This box is empty and filled with the 2nd $+$ exactly for the same reasons as in the two cases for V'_l above. It follows that $W'_l = W_l$ in these cases.

Now, suppose that a chord c_m starts at $(j_l, j_l + 1)$. Then the 3rd $+$ went to column $i_{m*} + 1$. All the subsequent columns $L < j_m$ are filled with \bigcirc in step 2 of row m . If no chord starts at $(j_m, j_m + 1)$, then the next empty box is at j_m since it labels a horizontal rim step. This one is empty because a chord that would fill it with \bigcirc , would also intersect c_l . Hence the 2nd $+$ lands at column $W'_l = j_m = W_l$.

In the remaining case that c_m starts at $(j_l, j_l + 1)$ and c_h starts at $(j_m, j_m + 1)$ the next column label without an \bigcirc is $i_{h*} + 1$. Again, it is empty by a nonintersection argument, and thus filled with the 2nd $+$. So also in this case $W'_l = i_{h*} + 1 = W_l$.


We conclude $\pi' = \pi$. □

Remark 2.29. An \oplus -diagram is *reduced* if no two pipes of its pipe dream cross twice. It follows from the conditions in Definition 2.22 that BCFW \oplus -diagrams are reduced. This implies that the dimension of the corresponding positroid in $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ equals the number of $+$ in the diagram, which is $4k$. See [KWZ20, Lemma 6.4] for a detailed proof.

2.5 Example

Example 2.30. We summarize this section with a larger example of a chord diagram and its various derived combinatorial structures. Consider the following chord diagram.

$$D = ([18], ((1, 2, 6, 7), (2, 3, 4, 5), (4, 5, 6, 7), (6, 7, 10, 11), (7, 8, 9, 10), \\ (10, 11, 16, 17), (11, 12, 16, 17), (13, 14, 16, 17))) \in \mathcal{CD}_{18,8}$$



To practice some terminology: c_1 , c_4 , and c_6 are the top chords. c_1 is a sticky parent of c_2 , since their tails (1, 2) and (2, 3) overlap. The siblings c_2 and c_3 are head-to-tail. The three chords in the chain c_6, c_7, c_8 are same-end, and their sticky end is (16, 17). The chords c_2 , c_3 , and c_5 are short. There is no long chord in this diagram. The sequence (c_2, c_3, c_4, c_6) is a Loch Ness Monster.

The domino matrix $C \in \mathcal{DM}_{18,8}$ corresponding to D is

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
α_1	β_1	0	0	0	γ_1	δ_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ε_1
$\varepsilon_2\alpha_1$	$\varepsilon_2\beta_1+\alpha_2$	β_2	γ_2	δ_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\varepsilon_3\alpha_1$	$\varepsilon_3\beta_1$	0	α_3	β_3	γ_3	δ_3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	α_4	β_4	0	0	γ_4	δ_4	0	0	0	0	0	0	ε_4
0	0	0	0	0	$\varepsilon_5\alpha_4$	$\varepsilon_5\beta_4+\alpha_5$	β_5	γ_5	δ_5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	α_6	β_6	0	0	0	0	γ_6	δ_6	ε_6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\varepsilon_7\alpha_6$	$\varepsilon_7\beta_6+\alpha_7$	β_7	0	0	0	γ_7	δ_7	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\varepsilon_8\alpha_7$	$\varepsilon_8\beta_7$	α_8	β_8	0	γ_8	δ_8	0

According to the sign rules, the variables $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_3, \gamma_4, \delta_4, \gamma_7, \delta_7$ are negative and the rest are positive. The ratio relations are: $\delta_2/\gamma_2 < \beta_3/\alpha_3$, $\delta_3/\gamma_3 < \delta_1/\gamma_1 < \beta_4/\alpha_4$, $\delta_4/\gamma_4 < \beta_6/\alpha_6$, and $\delta_8/\gamma_8 < \delta_7/\gamma_7 < \delta_6/\gamma_6$. The general rule is that these ratios are increasing in the order of occurrence of the respective chords' endpoints in their common segments.


The decorated permutation corresponding to D is

$$\pi = (1\ 3\ 8\ 12\ 18)\ (2\ 3\ 5\ 8\ 18)\ (4\ 5\ 8\ 12\ 18)\ (6\ 8\ 12\ 16\ 18) \\ (7\ 8\ 9\ 12\ 18)\ (10\ 12\ 16\ 17\ 18)\ (11\ 12\ 16\ 17\ 18)\ (13\ 14\ 16\ 17\ 18) \in \mathcal{DP}_{18,8}$$

$$= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 \\ \hline 3 & 8 & 5 & 12 & 1 & 18 & 2 & 9 & 16 & 6 & 4 & 17 & 14 & 7 & 15 & 10 & 11 & 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

with 8 anti-excedances at 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13.

Here is the BCFW \oplus -diagram $\Psi(D)$. Its pipe dream is the same, with pipe tiles instead of \bigcirc and $+$. One may verify that the pipe flow on this diagram yields the same decorated permutation π .



3 Structure of BCFW Cells

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.37, that every point in a BCFW cell has an explicit matrix representative in the domino form of the corresponding chord diagram, as conjectured in [KWZ20, Conjecture A.7]. We give an algorithmic construction of domino matrices based on simple row and column operations, and analyze its properties mainly in terms of decorated permutations. The inductive nature of our construction is the key to our approach in later sections, where these cells are shown to form a triangulation.

3.1 Matrix Operations

We define certain operations on matrices that let one manipulate subsets of the nonnegative Grassmannian. These definitions are close to those of Bao and He [BH19]. We then use them to define two embeddings from a given Grassmannian to a larger one that play a main role in this work.

Definition 3.1. First, we set up some convenient notation for indexing vectors and matrices. We use arbitrary *index sets* $K, N \subset \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ to index rows and columns. Thus, the space of matrices is $\text{Mat}_{K \times N}$ rather than $\text{Mat}_{k \times n}$ which stands for the special case where $N = [n]$ and $K = [k]$. Similarly, $\text{Gr}_{k, N}$ is the Grassmannian of k -dimensional vector spaces in \mathbb{R}^N . These spaces are clearly equivalent to those with $n = |N|$, but they are more convenient for performing insertion operations. When working with general index sets, we write $i +_N 1$ for the next-largest element after $i \in N$, and similarly $i +_N 2$ and $i -_N 1$, etc. The ordering of the index set N is understood to be cyclic, so that $(\max N) +_N 1 = \min N$. If N is clear from the context, then we write $i \oplus 1$ and $i \ominus 1$ to emphasize that these are successors and predecessors with respect to a general index set with circular ordering. The definitions of chord diagrams, domino matrices, and decorated permutations in Section 2 naturally extend from $[n]$ to this setting. We usually denote by M_j^l the (j, l) entry of the matrix M , by M_J its restriction to rows indexed by J , and M^I for columns indexed I . We often state and prove results for $[n]$ and then use their natural extension to general index sets.

Definition 3.2. The following map *preserves the number of rows and inserts a zero column at position i* .

$$\text{pre}_i : \text{Mat}_{K \times N} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{K \times (N \cup \{i\})}$$

where $K, N \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $i \notin N$. For a matrix $A = (A_j^{i \in N})_{j \in K}$ in the domain,

$$\text{pre}_i(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\min K}^{\min N} & \cdots & A_{\min K}^{i \ominus 1} & 0 & A_{\min K}^{i \oplus 1} & \cdots & A_{\min K}^{\max N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{\max K}^{\min N} & \cdots & A_{\max K}^{i \ominus 1} & 0 & A_{\max K}^{i \oplus 1} & \cdots & A_{\max K}^{\max N} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $i \ominus 1$ and $i \oplus 1$ relate of course to the index set $N \cup \{i\}$. This map induces an embedding between Grassmannians, which restricts also to positive Grassmannians:

$$\text{pre}_i : \text{Gr}_{k,N} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,N \cup \{i\}}$$

$$\text{pre}_i : \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,N \cup \{i\}}^{\geq}$$

Definition 3.3. The following map *increments the number of rows and inserts a unit column*,

$$\text{inc}_{i,j} : \text{Mat}_{K \times N} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{(K \cup \{j\}) \times (N \cup \{i\})}$$

where $K, N \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $i \notin N$, $j \notin K$. The new column is at i and the new row at j , with 1 at the entry (j, i) and zeros elsewhere. This map also flips the signs of all entries (j', i') with either $i' > i$ or $j' > j$ but not both. For $A = (A_j^{i \in N})_{j \in K}$ in the domain,

$$\text{inc}_{i,j}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\min K}^{\min N} & \cdots & A_{\min K}^{i \ominus 1} & 0 & -A_{\min K}^{i \oplus 1} & \cdots & -A_{\min K}^{\max N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{j \ominus 1}^{\min N} & \cdots & A_{j \ominus 1}^{i \ominus 1} & 0 & -A_{j \ominus 1}^{i \oplus 1} & \cdots & -A_{j \ominus 1}^{\max N} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -A_{j \oplus 1}^{\min N} & \cdots & -A_{j \oplus 1}^{i \ominus 1} & 0 & A_{j \oplus 1}^{i \oplus 1} & \cdots & A_{j \oplus 1}^{\max N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -A_{\max K}^{\min N} & \cdots & -A_{\max K}^{i \ominus 1} & 0 & A_{\max K}^{i \oplus 1} & \cdots & A_{\max K}^{\max N} \end{pmatrix}$$

This map induces an embedding between Grassmannians, which preserves positivity:

$$\text{inc}_i : \text{Gr}_{k,N} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k+1,N \cup \{i\}}$$

$$\text{inc}_i : \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k+1,N \cup \{i\}}^{\geq}$$

Definition 3.4. The next operations are *adding to one column a multiple of an adjacent one*. This is done by right multiplication with the following $N \times N$ matrices, where $N \subset \mathbb{N}$, $i \in N \setminus \{\max N\}$, and t is a real variable.

$$[x_i(t)] = \begin{pmatrix} & i & i \oplus 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & t & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} i \\ i \oplus 1 \end{matrix} \quad [y_i(t)] = \begin{pmatrix} & i & i \oplus 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & t & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} i \\ i \oplus 1 \end{matrix}$$

These two matrices act on $\text{Mat}_{K \times N}$ by right multiplication and on $\text{Mat}_{N \times K}$ by left multiplication, for index sets $K, N \subset \mathbb{N}$. They induce well-defined actions on the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,N}$, which are defined by matrix multiplication $x_i(t) C = C \cdot [x_i(t)]$ and $y_i(t) C = C \cdot [y_i(t)]$ for a representative matrix $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}$. Observe that if $t \geq 0$ then the positive Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ maps to itself under $x_i(t)$ and $y_i(t)$. This definition extends as follows to the last index $i = \max N$ by introducing a sign that depends on k in order to preserve positivity.

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i^k(t)] &= \text{Id}_N + (-1)^{(k-1) \cdot \delta[i=\max N]} t E_{i \oplus 1}^i \\ [y_i^k(t)] &= \text{Id}_N + (-1)^{(k-1) \cdot \delta[i=\max N]} t E_{i \oplus 1}^i \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Id}_N \in \text{Mat}_{N \times N}$ is the identity matrix, $E_j^i \in \text{Mat}_{N \times N}$ is the matrix whose (j, i) entry is 1 and the rest are 0, and $\delta[\dots] = 1$ if its argument holds and 0 otherwise. The dimension $k = |K|$ is usually implied from the context of $x_i(t)$ and $y_i(t)$ and omitted.

Remark 3.5. These definitions of matrix operations include the trivial case where $K = \emptyset$ and $k = 0$. The nonnegative Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{0,N}^{\geq}$ is a single point, and hence pre_i , $x_i(t)$ and $y_i(t)$ are trivial maps between one-point spaces. The matrix operation inc_i maps the one point of $\text{Gr}_{0,N}^{\geq}$ to an element of $\text{Gr}_{1,N \cup \{i\}}^{\geq}$, represented by a unit row with 1 at i and zeros elsewhere.

Definition 3.6. We compose previous operations, and introduce a useful map that *increments with a new unit column, and then successively adds adjacent multiples from both sides*. For $N \subset \mathbb{N}$, $i \notin N$, and two sequences of real variables s_1, \dots, s_r and t_1, \dots, t_l such that $r + l \leq |N|$, we define a map between Grassmannians:

$$\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_r) : \text{Gr}_{k-1,N} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,N \cup \{i\}}$$

as the composition of maps

$$y_{i \oplus l}(t_l) \circ \dots \circ y_{i \oplus 1}(t_1) \circ x_{i \oplus (r-1)}(s_r) \circ \dots \circ x_{i \oplus 1}(s_2) \circ x_i(s_1) \circ \text{inc}_i$$

More explicitly, the map $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}$ first takes a representative matrix $C \in \text{Mat}_{(k-1) \times N}$, increments it by a row and a unit column to obtain the matrix $\text{inc}_{i,k}(C)$. Then it adds a multiple of the new column to its right neighbor, a multiple of that neighbor to its right neighbor, and so on, and similarly to the left. Note that all the $r + l$ column additions can be performed at once by right multiplication to $\text{inc}_{i,k}(C)$ of one $(N \cup \{i\}) \times (N \cup \{i\})$ matrix: $[x_i] \cdots [x_{i \oplus (r-1)}] \cdot [y_{i \oplus 1}] \cdots [y_{i \oplus l}]$. In some cases, we equivalently use $\text{inc}_{i,j}$ with another choice of new row $j \in [k]$.

Definition 3.7. Here are our two main examples for the composed map $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}$.

- *Lower embedding:* Denoting $n = \max N$ and assuming $n \oplus 2 < i < n \oplus 1$, for $(t, u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4$

$$\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,2,2} = y_{i \oplus 2}(t) \circ y_{i \oplus 1}(u) \circ x_{i \oplus 1}(w) \circ x_i(v) \circ \text{inc}_i$$

- *Upper embedding:* Assuming $i < \min(N)$ and denoting $n = \max N$, for $(t, u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4$

$$\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,3,1} = y_{n \oplus 2}(u) \circ y_{n \oplus 1}(v) \circ y_n(w) \circ x_i(t) \circ \text{inc}_i$$

In matrix form, the lower embedding of $C \in \text{Mat}_{(k-1) \times N}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^{\min N} & \dots & C_1^{n \oplus 4} & C_1^{n \oplus 3} + t C_1^{n \oplus 2} & C_1^{n \oplus 2} & 0 & -C_1^{n \oplus 1} & -C_1^n - w C_1^{n \oplus 1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ C_{k-1}^{\min N} & \dots & C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 4} & C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 3} + t C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 2} & C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 2} & 0 & -C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 1} & -C_{k-1}^n - w C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & tu & u & 1 & v & vw \end{pmatrix}$$

and the upper embedding of C is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^{k-1}uvw & (-1)^{k-1}vw & (-1)^{k-1}w \\ 0 & C_1^{\min N} & C_1^{\min N \oplus 1} & \cdots & C_1^{n \oplus 3} & C_1^{n \oplus 2} + uC_1^{n \oplus 1} + uvC_1^n & C_1^{n \oplus 1} + vC_1^n & C_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & C_{k-1}^{\min N} & C_{k-1}^{\min N \oplus 1} & \cdots & C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 3} & C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 2} + uC_{k-1}^{n \oplus 1} + uvC_{k-1}^n & C_{k-1}^{n \oplus 1} + vC_{k-1}^n & C_{k-1}^n \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 3.8. *Let S be a positroid cell in a nonnegative Grassmannian. The following properties of the matrix operations follow from their definitions.*

- $\text{pre}_i(S)$ and $\text{inc}_i(S)$ map S to a positroid cell in a larger Grassmannian.
- x_i and y_i map $S \times (0, \infty)$ to a positroid cell in the same Grassmannian.
- $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}$ maps $S \times (0, \infty)^{l+r}$ to a positroid cell in a larger Grassmannian.

Remark 3.9. We have special interest in the cases of upper and lower embeddings given in Definition 3.7. These two maps turn out to be embeddings of the BCFW cells of $\text{Gr}_{k-1,n-1}^{\geq}$ into BCFW cells of $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$. See, for example, Corollary 3.40 at the end of Section 3. The names *upper* and *lower* derive from the representation of image cells in terms of chord diagrams. The upper embedding adds a long, top chord from $(1, 2)$ to $(n-2, n-1)$. The lower embedding adds a lowest, short chord from $(n-4, n-3)$ to $(n-2, n-1)$.

3.2 Generating Domino Matrices

Consider a chord diagram $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ as defined in Section 2.1. It corresponds to a domino matrix $C \in \mathcal{DM}_{n,k}$ as defined in Section 2.2. The first step towards showing that the BCFW cell that correspond to D has the domino form of C , is an alternative description of the relation of C to D . In this section, we define an algorithm that gradually constructs a domino matrix from D based on the matrix operations defined above. We then show that its output is indeed C .

Algorithm 3.10. The following algorithm CONSTRUCT-MATRIX maintains a matrix $M \in \text{Mat}_{K \times N}$ with $4k$ real variables s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l for $l \in [k]$. We start with an empty row index set K , and $N = \{n\}$. The process iteratively updates K , N , and M using the matrix operations. Eventually $K = [k]$, $N = [n]$, and $M \in \text{Mat}_{k \times n}$ is the output. The index-set notation $i \oplus 1$, $i \ominus 1$ always relates to the current set N . When indices are meant to be consecutive numbers, we use the regular notation $i+1$, $i-1$.

```

CONSTRUCT-MATRIX(chord diagram  $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ , variables  $s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $l \in [k]$ )
  INITIALIZE: Let  $N = \{n\}$ , and  $M \in \text{Mat}_{\emptyset \times N}$ 
  for  $m$  in  $(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1)$  do
    FILL( $m$ ): if  $m \notin N$  then apply  $\text{pre}_m$  to  $M$  and add  $m$  to  $N$ 
    if there exists in  $D$  a chord  $c_l = (m, m+1, j, j+1)$  then
      TAIL( $c_l$ ): if  $c_l$  is not a sticky child, and  $(c_l, \dots, c_{l+h})$  is a maximal sticky chain
        then apply  $y_{m+h}(u_{l+h}) \circ \dots \circ y_{m+1}(u_{l+1}) \circ y_m(u_l)$  to  $M$ 
      for every chord  $c_l = (i, i+1, m, m+1)$  in  $D$  in parent-to-child order do
        HEAD( $c_l$ ): apply  $y_{(i+1) \ominus 1}(s_l) \circ x_m(w_l) \circ x_{i+1}(v_l) \circ \text{inc}_{i+1;l}$  to  $M$  and add  $i+1$  to  $N$ 
  return  $M$ 

```

Example 3.11. We run CONSTRUCT-MATRIX on the chord diagram from Example 2.3,

$$D = ([14], ((1, 2, 11, 12), (3, 4, 6, 7), (8, 9, 10, 11)))$$

The pointer m goes from 13 to 1. In steps $\text{FILL}(13)$, $\text{FILL}(12)$, $\text{FILL}(11)$ it applies pre_{13} , pre_{12} , pre_{11} . Then the $\text{HEAD}(c_1)$ statement applies $y_{14}(s_1) \circ x_{11}(w_1) \circ x_2(v_1) \circ \text{inc}_{2;1}$. The current matrix M is

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 2 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ \hline 1 & v_1 & w_1 v_1 & 0 & s_1 \end{array}$$

Then at step $m = 10$ the algorithm applies pre_{10} in $\text{FILL}(10)$ and $\text{HEAD}(c_3)$: $y_2(s_3) \circ x_{10}(w_3) \circ x_9(v_3) \circ \text{inc}_{9;3}$. At step $m = 9$ nothing happens. At $m = 8$, pre_8 and the $\text{TAIL}(c_3)$ statement $y_8(u_3)$. Now $M =$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccccccc} 2 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -v_1 & -w_1 v_1 & 0 & -s_1 \\ s_3 & u_3 & 1 & v_3 & w_3 v_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

In the remaining steps, the algorithm applies $\text{FILL}(7)$: pre_7 and $\text{FILL}(6)$: pre_6 followed by $\text{HEAD}(c_2)$: $y_2(s_2) \circ x_6(w_2) \circ x_4(v_2) \circ \text{inc}_{4;2}$, then $\text{FILL}(5)$: pre_5 , nothing at $m = 4$, and then $\text{TAIL}(c_2)$: $y_3(u_2) \circ \text{pre}_3$, again nothing at $m = 2$, and finally $\text{TAIL}(c_1)$: $y_1(u_1) \circ \text{pre}_1$. The resulting matrix M is

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ \hline u_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & w_1 v_1 & 0 & s_1 \\ u_1 s_2 & s_2 & u_2 & 1 & 0 & v_2 & w_2 v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -u_1 s_3 & -s_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_3 & 1 & v_3 & w_3 v_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

This matrix has the same domino form as the one in Example 2.6 up to change of variables, and for positive s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l it satisfies the sign rules.

Example 3.12. As another demonstration of CONSTRUCT-MATRIX, we run the algorithm on a more condensed version of D , that features the sticky chain, sticky end, and head-to-tail situations.

$$D' = ([8], ((1, 2, 6, 7), (2, 3, 4, 5), (4, 5, 6, 7)))$$

After $\text{FILL}(7)$, $\text{FILL}(6)$, and $\text{HEAD}(c_1)$:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 2 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & v_1 & w_1 v_1 & s_1 \end{array}$$

Then $\text{HEAD}(c_3)$ affects both rows in the application of $x_6(w_3)$, and after $\text{FILL}(4)$ and $\text{TAIL}(c_3)$:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc} 2 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & -v_1 & -w_1 v_1 - w_3 v_1 & -s_1 \\ s_3 & u_3 & 1 & v_3 & w_3 v_3 & 0 \end{array}$$

Then $\text{HEAD}(c_2)$ affects also the row of c_3 in the application of $x_4(w_2)$:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & w_1 v_1 + w_3 v_1 & s_1 \\ s_2 & 1 & v_2 & w_2 v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -s_3 & 0 & u_3 & 1 + w_2 u_3 & v_3 & w_3 v_3 & 0 \end{array}$$

Nothing happens at $\text{TAIL}(c_2)$ because it sticks to c_1 . After $\text{FILL}(1)$ we apply $\text{TAIL}(c_1)$ with the sticky chain (c_1, c_2) , which yields $y_2(u_2) \circ y_1(u_1)$:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
u_1	1	0	0	0	v_1	$w_1 v_1 + w_3 v_1$	s_1
$u_1 s_2$	$s_2 + u_2$	1	v_2	$w_2 v_2$	0	0	0
$-u_1 s_3$	$-s_3$	0	u_3	$1 + w_2 u_3$	v_3	$w_3 v_3$	0

This result satisfies the domino form of the chord diagram D' with the sign rules as defined in Section 2.2.

Remark 3.13. For a chord diagram $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ the name of the Algorithm 3.10 denotes its output matrix,

$$M = \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D, \{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\}_{l=1}^k) \in \text{Mat}_{k \times n}$$

where, unless stated otherwise, the $4k$ real variables are assigned positive values. Given D , the resulting M lies in a single positroid cell, regardless of this assignment, by Proposition 3.8. By common abuse of notation, here and throughout this paper, we regard a matrix as the point in the Grassmannian that it represents, and thus we can write: $M \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}$. For a point in the nonnegative Grassmannian, it is assumed that M has nonnegative Plücker coordinates, rather than nonpositive. We occasionally omit the variables and write $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D)$. See [ELT22] for a Sage implementation of this algorithm.

Chord diagrams retain the key property that no two chords cross. Hence, once the algorithm starts handling the head of a chord, it processes all its descendants before handling its tail and moving on. This means that the construction actually admits a recursive nature. This viewpoint is useful for the analysis. We therefore describe a recursive formulation of the algorithm, equivalent to the iterative one above.

Algorithm 3.14. The following subroutine SUB-CONSTRUCT-MATRIX is defined using the statements HEAD, TAIL, and FILL from Algorithm 3.10, which are not restated here. The input is a list of sibling chords (c'_1, \dots, c'_g) between two given points *parent-tail* and *parent-head*. Always c'_g is the first sibling and c'_1 the last one, so they are handled in right-to-left order. We use the notation c'_h because our indexing is not the standard one c_1, \dots, c_k of a chord diagram, and their order is decreasing.

```

SUB-CONSTRUCT-MATRIX(chords  $(c'_1, \dots, c'_g)$  in  $D$ , parent-tail, parent-head)
  for every  $c'_h = (i_h, i_h + 1, j_h, j_h + 1)$  in  $(c'_1, \dots, c'_g)$  do
    for  $m$  in  $(i_{h-1} - 1, i_{h-1} - 2, \dots, j_h)$  do FILL( $m$ )           // where  $i_0 = \text{parent-head}$ 
    HEAD( $c'_h$ )
    SUB-CONSTRUCT-MATRIX(children( $c'_h$ ),  $i_h, j_h$ )                 // ordered last to first
    TAIL( $c'_h$ )
  for  $m$  in  $(i_g - 1, i_g - 2, \dots, \text{parent-tail})$  do FILL( $m$ )

```

With this definition, running $\text{SUB-CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(\text{top}(D), 1, n)$ is equivalent to the above algorithm $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D)$, as the two algorithms can be seen to apply the same matrix operations in the same order. The input $\text{top}(D)$ is the sequence of top chords, ordered last to first.

We now prove that the algorithm generates a domino matrix. Specifically, it generates the domino matrix that corresponds to the given chord diagram according to Definition 2.5. Moreover, this domino matrix satisfies the sign rules of Definition 2.10.

Proposition 3.15. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram, and $C \in \mathcal{DM}_{n,k}$ the corresponding domino matrix. Then $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D)$ has the matrix form of C and satisfies the domino sign rules.*

Proof. Denote $M = \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D)$. We have to show that for every assignment in M of the variables $\{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\}_{l=1}^k \in (0, \infty)^{4k}$, there exists an assignment in C of $\{\alpha_l, \beta_l, \gamma_l, \delta_l, \varepsilon_l\}_{l=1}^k \in \mathbb{R}^{5k}$, that satisfies $M = C$ and the sign rules. We recover the variables row by row, where we separately consider top chords, nonsticky children, and sticky children.

Let $c_l = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ be a top chord. The row M_l corresponding to c_l is created at $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$ when $m = j$, with nonzero entries at positions $i+1, j, j+1, n$. The only changes at positions $j, j+1, n$ are occasional sign flips whenever an $\text{inc}_{i_h+1;h}$ operation is invoked for some descendant c_h of c_l . In any case, the entries at $j, j+1$ stay with equal signs. This is important, because every same-end descendant c_h of c_l invokes an application of $x_j(w_h)$ with $w_h > 0$, and the equal signs guarantee that the $j+1$ entry does not vanish or flip sign. At $\text{TAIL}(c_l)$ when $m = i$, a positive entry u_l is created via $y_i(u_l)$ at position i , next to the 1 at position $i+1$. Later, only $x_i(w_h)$ applications might affect the row M_l , if some chords are head-to-tail with c_l . These changes preserve the positivity of the entries at positions $i, i+1$. All the remaining steps for $m < i$ do not affect the row M_l , and its support is $\{i, i+1, j, j+1, n\}$. These are exactly the positions of $\{\alpha_l, \beta_l, \gamma_l, \delta_l, \varepsilon_l\}$ in the row C_l corresponding to a top chord.

Now, let $c_l = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ be a child of some other chord $c_h = (i', i'+1, j', j'+1)$. Suppose that none of c_h and c_l is a sticky child, and in particular $i - i' \geq 2$. The row M_l is created at $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$ when $m = j$ with positive entries at positions $\{i'+1, i+1, j, j+1\}$. Later, an application of $y_i(u_l)$ at $\text{TAIL}(c_l)$ puts a positive multiple of entry $i+1$ at i , and $y_{i'}(u_h)$ during $\text{TAIL}(c_h)$ puts a positive multiple of entry $i'+1$ at i' . No other operation affects the support of M_l which remains $\{i', i'+1, i, i+1, j, j+1\}$, as for the row C_l in the domino matrix. Similar to top chords, it is important to notice that the pair at $j, j+1$ may only encounter sign flips due to c_l 's descendants, or $x_j(w_h)$ for same-end descendants c_h . Similarly there might occur $x_i(w_h)$ at step $m = i$ due to head-to-tail chords c_h , with no effect on the support. The inherited entry at $i'+1$ flips sign upon applications of $\text{inc}_{i_g+1;g}$ as m goes down from i to $i'+1$, and then its neighbor at i' is created with equal sign. Therefore, further $x_{i'}(w_g)$ for chords c_g head-to-tail with c_h cannot make $i'+1$ vanish or flip sign. Note that just before performing the $y_{i'}(u_h)$ step, the column indexed $i'+1$ has nonzero entries in rows h and l , and then the new column indexed i' is proportional to the column $i'+1$. Further applications of $x_{i'}$ may only change the ratio of proportion. Eventually, entries $i', i'+1$ of rows M_h and M_l are proportional, and given (α_h, β_h) there exists $\varepsilon_l \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the inherited domino is $(\varepsilon_l \alpha_h, \varepsilon_l \beta_h)$ as in C . The other variables $\alpha_l, \beta_l, \gamma_l, \delta_l$ are set to the nonzero entries at $i, i+1, j, j+1$.

Finally, let $c_l = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ be a sticky child of some other chord $c_{l-1} = (i-1, i, j', j'+1)$. This case starts similarly to the nonsticky case. When we reach the tails, the nonzero terms are at $i, i+1, j, j+1$ since $(i+1) \oplus 1 = i$. The entry at i is positive in this case because there is no other chord between the two tails, so no sign flip could occur. Before applying $\text{TAIL}(c_{*l})$ at c_l 's sticky ancestor, the entries at $i-1, i, i+1$ are $(0, s_l, 1)$. After applying $y_{i-1}(u_{l-1})$ they become $(u_{l-1}s_l, s_l, 1)$, and after applying $y_i(u_l)$ they become $(u_{l-1}s_l, s_l + u_l, 1)$. We let $\varepsilon_l = s_l$, $\alpha_l = u_l$ and $\beta_l = 1$, in purpose to express these three entries in the desired form $(\varepsilon_l \alpha_{l-1}, \varepsilon_l \beta_{l-1} + \alpha_l, \beta_l)$ as in C_l . If c_{l-1} itself is a sticky child, then this substitution works since $(\alpha_{l-1}, \beta_{l-1}) = (u_{l-1}, 1)$ by induction on the sticky chain, and these entries do not change in the remaining steps of the algorithm. It now follows that nonsticky children of a sticky child c_l inherit proportional copies of $(u_l, 1) = (\alpha_l, \beta_l)$, and the rest of their analysis is as in the previous paragraph. At the top of the sticky chain, if $c_{l-1} = c_{*l}$ without another sticky parent, we have $(u_{l-1}, 1)$ at positions $(i-1, i)$ of row $l-1$ after $\text{TAIL}(c_{*l})$, though the algorithm continues to handle heads occurring at $(i-1, i)$. The x_{i-1} operations at these heads add a positive multiple of column $i-1$ to column i , which is eventually reflected in β_{l-1} . The above substitution for ε_l, α_l , and β_l still works without change,

because under x_{i-1} operations the form of the 2×2 minor $M_{l-1,l}^{i-1,i}$ remains $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{l-1} & \beta_{l-1} \\ \varepsilon_l \alpha_{l-1} & \varepsilon_l \beta_{l-1} + \alpha_l \end{pmatrix}$.

So far, we have shown that there exist $\{\alpha_l, \beta_l, \gamma_l, \delta_l, \varepsilon_l\}_{l=1}^k \in \mathbb{R}^{5k}$ such that the output of the algorithm $M = \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D, \{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\}_{l=1}^k)$ is equal to the domino matrix C . It remains to verify that these $5k$ real numbers satisfy the six sign rules of Definition 2.10.

- (1) **For every chord c_l :** $\alpha_l > 0, \beta_l > 0$

The tail variables are created by $\text{inc}_{i_l+1;l}$ which inserts the entry 1, and $y_{(i_l+1) \oplus 1}(u_l)$ which add a positive multiple to its left. Future $\text{inc}_{i_h+1;h}$ operations only occur to the upper left, and hence do not flip the sign of these two entries. As discussed above in the different cases, head-to-tail chords may only add a positive multiple of one entry to the other, and do not compromise their positivity. The positivity of the tail variables in the sticky-tail case holds by definition, as given in the detailed discussion above.

- (2) **For every chord c_l :** $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_l)} \gamma_l > 0, (-1)^{\text{below}(c_l)} \delta_l > 0$

The two head entries are positive when they are first created by $x_{i_l+1}(v_l)$ and $x_{j_l}(w_l)$. Every application of $\text{inc}_{i_h+1;h}$ for a descendant c_h of c_l flips their sign since it inserts the 1 to their lower left. The number of such chords is $\text{below}(c_l)$. Other chords c_h before c_l apply $\text{inc}_{i_h+1;h}$ to the upper left, and do not affect the head entries of c_l . Same-head chords may only add a positive multiple of the first entry to the second. In conclusion their sign is $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_l)}$.

- (3) **If c_l is a top chord:** $(-1)^{\text{behind}(c_l)} \varepsilon_l > 0$

The n th entry of a top chord is created by $y_n^{k'}(s_l)$ when there are $k' = k - l - \text{below}(c_l) + 1$ rows in the matrix, and hence its sign is $(-1)^{k-l-\text{below}(c_l)}$ by definition 3.4. Similar to head entries, the $\text{inc}_{i_h+1;h}$ operations of the descendants c_h of c_l flip the sign, while chords to the left of c_l do not. Since c_l has $\text{below}(c_l)$ descendants, the final sign is $(-1)^{k-l} = (-1)^{\text{behind}(c_l)}$.

- (4) **If c_l is not a top chord:** $(-1)^{\text{beyond}(c_l)} \varepsilon_l > 0$

Let c_h be c_l 's parent. The variable ε_l is the coefficient of the domino that c_l inherits from c_h , i.e., the proportional copy of the two tail entries from row h that appears in row l . Since α_h and β_h are positive, ε_l has the sign of those entries. One of them is created at column $i_h + 1$ by the operation $y_{(i_l+1) \oplus 1}(s_l)$ at $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$ and the other one at $\text{TAIL}(c_{*h})$ by $y_{i_h}(u_h)$ as a positive multiple of it. The $\text{inc}_{i_g+1;g}$ operations that flip the sign occur at descendants of c_h whose head is left to c_l 's. This number is defined as $\text{beyond}(c_l)$. As above, some x_{i_h} operations may add a multiple of the first entry to the second one, but do not change any sign. This analysis applies also for a sticky child c_l , where $\text{beyond}(c_l) = 0$ and ε_l is positive as defined above.

- (5) **If c_l is a same-end child of c_m :** $\delta_l/\gamma_l < \delta_m/\gamma_m$

Consider two heads at rows m, l . After $\text{HEAD}(c_m)$ this 2×2 minor is $\begin{pmatrix} v_m & w_m v_m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, after $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$ it becomes $\begin{pmatrix} -v_m & -w_m v_m - w_l v_m \\ v_l & w_l v_l \end{pmatrix}$. An additional chord c_h at the same sticky end may add another term, yielding $\begin{pmatrix} v_m & w_m v_m + w_l v_m + w_h v_m \\ -v_l & -w_l v_l - w_h v_l \end{pmatrix}$ and so on. Other descendants of c_l and c_m may flip the sign of one row, or both, but do not change the ratio between its two entries. Eventually,

$$\delta_l/\gamma_l = w_l + w_h + \dots < w_m + w_l + w_h + \dots = \delta_m/\gamma_m$$

- (6) **If c_m, c_l are head-to-tail chords:** $\beta_l/\alpha_l > \delta_m/\gamma_m$

Suppose that c_l starts where c_m ends. After $\text{TAIL}(c_l)$ the two entries at its tail are $(u_l, 1)$. Then $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$ adds another row above, and the 2×2 minor is $\begin{pmatrix} v_m & w_m v_m \\ u_l & 1 + w_m u_l \end{pmatrix}$. As before, c_m might have

same-end descendants, changing it to $(\frac{\pm v_m}{u_l} \frac{\pm w_m v_m \pm w_h v_m \pm \dots}{1 + w_m u_l + w_h u_l + \dots})$, and the sign of the top row flips below(c_m) times. In any case, the ratios between row entries eventually satisfy

$$\beta_l/\alpha_l = 1/u_l + w_m + w_h + \dots > w_m + w_h + \dots = \delta_m/\gamma_m$$

In conclusion, the output of CONSTRUCT-MATRIX has the domino form and satisfies the sign rules. \square

3.3 The Generated Cell

The algorithm in Section 3.2 takes a chord diagram $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ and generates a matrix $C \in \mathcal{DM}_{n,k}$, shown to have the domino form corresponding to D as defined in Section 2.2. By our definitions in Section 2.3, the chord diagram D also directly corresponds to a decorated permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{DP}_{n,k}$. This permutation π corresponds in turn to a positroid cell $S_D \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ in a unique and standard manner, see the references in Section 2.3. Besides, the generated matrix C , for any assignment of $4k$ positive numbers, lies in a particular positroid cell S , as observed in Remark 3.13. If σ is the decorated permutation of S , then our goal is to show that $\sigma = \pi$, and therefore $S = S_D$. To that end, we first turn to analyze Algorithm 3.10 and describe its output in terms of decorated permutations.

We temporarily give the following definition of the *algorithmic permutation* σ , which corresponds to the output of the algorithm. In Section 3.4 below, we prove that it agrees with the decorated permutation that is already associated with D , which defines its BCFW cell.

Definition 3.16. Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ and $C = \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D, \{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\})$. Then the *algorithmic permutation* corresponding to D and C is the following composition of 2-cycles and 3-cycles:

$$\sigma = (p_1 \ q_1) (p_2 \ q_2) \cdots (p_{2k} \ q_{2k}) \cdot (i_k + 1 \ j_k \ j_k + 1) \cdots (i_1 + 1 \ j_1 \ j_1 + 1) \in S_n$$

where

- The terms $(i_l + 1 \ j_l \ j_l + 1)$ correspond to the k chords $c'_l = (i_l, i_l + 1, j_l, j_l + 1)$, where c'_1, \dots, c'_k are indexed increasingly by the occurrence of their heads along D .
- The terms $(p_m \ q_m)$ correspond to the sequence of endpoints, both tails and heads, in order of occurrence along the diagram D , and determined as follows:
 - If the m th endpoint is a tail of some chord $c_l = (i_l, i_{l+1}, j_l, j_{l+1})$ then $(p_m \ q_m) = (i_l \ i_{l*} + 1)$, using the notation c_{l*} for a maximal sticky descendant of c_l , and as usual possibly $c_l = c_{l*}$.
 - If the m th endpoint is a head of some chord c_l then this term swaps the following elements:

* $p_m = i_l + 1$, i.e., the second marker at the *tail* of c_l .

$$* \ q_m = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } c_l \text{ is a top chord,} \\ i_h + 1 & \text{if } c_l \text{ is a child of another chord } c_h. \end{cases}$$

Example 3.17. For $D = ([14], ((1, 2, 11, 12), (3, 4, 6, 7), (8, 9, 10, 11)))$ of Examples 2.3 and 3.11:

$$\sigma = (1 \ 2) (3 \ 4) (2 \ 4) (8 \ 9) (2 \ 9) (2 \ 14) (2 \ 11 \ 12) (9 \ 10 \ 11) (4 \ 6 \ 7)$$

This permutation coincides with Example 2.14, as we later prove in general.

Example 3.18. For $D = ([8], ((1, 2, 6, 7), (2, 3, 4, 5), (4, 5, 6, 7)))$ of Example 3.12:

$$\sigma = (1 \ 3) (2 \ 3) (2 \ 3) (4 \ 5) (2 \ 5) (2 \ 8) (2 \ 6 \ 7) (5 \ 6 \ 7) (3 \ 4 \ 5)$$

Note that the leftmost transposition is $(1 \ 3)$ rather than $(1 \ 2)$, because the chord has a sticky child.

Remark 3.19. If there are several top chords $c_{(1)}, \dots, c_{(g)}$ in D , indexed left to right, then the product in Definition 3.16 admits a useful factorization, grouping together the descendants of each top chord:

$$\sigma = \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \cdots \tau_g \cdot \rho_g \cdot \rho_{g-1} \cdots \rho_1$$

where τ_h is the product over transpositions $(p_m \ q_m)$ that arise from tails and heads of $c_{(h)}$ and its descendants, and ρ_h is the product of 3-cycles that arise from their heads.

The following lemma explains how the algorithmic permutation σ indeed relates to Algorithm 3.10, by constructing this permutation in an equivalent way. It is useful afterwards, where we show that σ actually corresponds to the positroid of the output matrix.

Lemma 3.20. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$, and $\sigma \in S_n$ the algorithmic permutation of D . Consider the following construction running in parallel to the algorithm CONSTRUCT-MATRIX:*

1. *Start with the one-element permutation $\sigma : \{n\} \rightarrow \{n\}$.*
2. *Whenever pre_m is applied, augment σ by the fixed point, $\sigma(m) = m$.*
3. *Whenever $\text{inc}_{i+1;l}$ is applied, augment σ by the white fixed point, $\sigma(i+1) = \overline{i+1}$.*
4. *Whenever $x_j(t)$ is applied, update σ to be $\sigma \cdot (j \ j \oplus 1)$.*
5. *Whenever $y_j(t)$ is applied, update σ to be $(j \ j \oplus 1) \cdot \sigma$.*

Then σ , the resulting decorated permutation of $[n]$ equals the algorithmic permutation of D .

Remark 3.21. At any point of this process, we have a permutation $\sigma : N \rightarrow N$, for the current index set N maintained by the algorithm. Thus, the adjacent transpositions $(j \ j \oplus 1)$ are with respect to the current state of the index set N at the moment of application. The apparent distinction between black and white fixed points will not be important, due to transpositions applied later at these points.

Proof. The computation is straightforward. The contribution of the $x_j(t)$ applications happens at the head of every chord, and thus according to the reverse order of these heads, which is c'_k, \dots, c'_1 as in Definition 3.16. At each head of a chord $c'_l = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$, the algorithm applies $x_{i+1}(v_l)$ and then $x_j(w_l)$. These operations right-multiply σ by $(i+1 \ j)(j \ j+1) = (i+1 \ j \ j+1)$, noting that these three indices are adjacent in N at that moment. Repeating for all chord heads, this yields the 3-cycles in the right half of the algorithmic permutation σ .

For the 2-cycles, first assume that there are no sticky chords in D . In this case, the applications of $y_j(t)$ happen at every head and tail of a chord according to their reverse order of occurrence along the diagram D . This is indeed the order used in the definition of the terms $(p_m \ q_m)$ in Definition 3.16. At every tail of a chord $c_l = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$, an application of $y_i(u_l)$ contributes the left-factor $(i \ i+1)$. At every head the contribution of $y_{(i+1) \oplus 1}(s_l)$ gives the left-factor $(i+1 \ n)$ if c_l is a top chord, and $(i+1 \ i'+1)$ otherwise where $c_h = (i', i'+1, j', j'+1)$ is c_l 's parent. This gives the product of 2-cycles in the left half of the algorithmic permutation.

What if there are sticky chains in D ? In this case the $y_i(u_l)$ applications at tails are applied in the opposite order of their occurrence when going back on the diagram. Suppose that (c_l, \dots, c_{l+h}) is a maximal descending sticky chain in D . Then we apply the operations $y_{i+h}(u_{l+h}) \circ \dots \circ y_{i+1}(u_{i+1}) \circ y_i(u_l)$. This yields the product of transpositions

$$(i+h \ i+h+1) \cdots (i+1 \ i+2) (i \ i+1) = (i \ i+h+1) (i+1 \ i+h+1) \cdots (i+h \ i+h+1)$$

where the verification of this equality is routine. On the right hand side, the 2-cycles are listed in order of occurrence, where the contribution of c_l 's tail is $(i_l \ i_{l*}+1)$ where $c_{l*} = c_{l+h}$ is its maximal sticky

descendant. In conclusion, the construction described in the lemma yields the algorithmic permutation σ of D as defined above. \square

Note that Lemma 3.20 does not yet claim that the matrices generated by CONSTRUCT-MATRIX lie in the positroid cell corresponding to the decorated permutation σ . Proving this requires several preparations. One of them is the following brief discussion of an analogous process on plabic graphs.

Summary 3.22. *Plabic graphs* are another one of the many combinatorial structures that Postnikov [Pos06] introduced to represent positroid cells. Our discussion of plabic graphs in this paper is limited, and does not require going into details. Therefore, we do not present here their definitions and properties, and refer to [Pos06, Lam15]. Here, we consider $\{1, 3\}$ -valent plabic graphs whose boundary vertices are indexed by a cyclically ordered set $N \subseteq [n]$, and use the following two terms. A *lollipop* is a degree-one black or white internal vertex connected to a boundary vertex. A *bridge* is a boundary-parallel edge, weighted by a variable, from black to white internal vertices that are neighbors of boundary vertices.

One way to associate positroids, or their points, with plabic graphs is the following sequential process, following [Lam15, Section 7] and [ABC⁺16, Section 3]. Start from an empty graph and a zero vector space, and simultaneously generate a plabic graph G and a vector space V by steps of the following types:

1. Add to G a black lollipop with a new boundary vertex labeled $i \in [n]$, and apply pre_i to V .
2. Add to G a white lollipop with a new boundary vertex labeled $i \in [n]$, and apply inc_i to V .
3. Add to G a bridge of weight t from i to $i \oplus 1$, and apply $x_i(t)$ to V .
4. Add to G a bridge of weight t from $i \oplus 1$ to i , and apply $y_i(t)$ to V .

This construction gives a map $(0, \infty)^d \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$, where d is the number of added bridges, k is the number of added white lollipops, and n is the total number of added lollipops. The graph is *reduced* if the dimension of the image, as a subvariety of the Grassmannian, equals d , the number of faces of the graph minus one. A plabic graph G is associated a decorated permutation π_G defined by certain trips between boundary vertices [Pos06, Section 13]. From the definition of these trips, π_G is immediately seen to be constructed from the sequence of $\text{pre}_i, \text{inc}_i, x_i(t), y_i(t)$ by the rules of Lemma 3.20. If G is reduced then the map from $(0, \infty)^d$ to the nonnegative Grassmannian is a homeomorphism on a positroid cell ([Lam15, Theorem 7.12], [Pos06, Theorem 12.7]), and π_G is its decorated permutation via the standard correspondence used in Definition 2.16 ([Pos06, Sections 19-20]).

Remark 3.23. In terms of plabic graphs, the composed map $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}$ corresponds to a white lollipop at i , then r bridges from i to $i \oplus 1$ to $i \oplus 2$ etc., and l bridges to the other side with the appropriate weight variables. In terms of permutations, one adds a white fixed point at i , and then multiplies by the cycle $(i \ (i \oplus 1) \ \cdots \ (i \oplus r))$ from the right and by $((i \ominus l) \ \cdots \ (i \ominus 1) \ i)$ from the left. For example, the upper embedding $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{1,3,1}$ corresponds to $(1 \ (n-2) \ (n-1) \ n)$ from the left and $(1 \ 2)$ from the right.

What we take from Summary 3.22 is that in order to show that CONSTRUCT-MATRIX produces the cell corresponding to the algorithmic permutation σ , we have to prove that its dimension is precisely $4k$. This is done in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.24. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram, and let S be the positroid cell that arises from CONSTRUCT-MATRIX $(D, \{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\}_{l=1}^k)$. Every point in S is obtained from a unique choice of the $4k$ positive variables. Hence, S is $4k$ -dimensional.*

In the proof of lemma 3.24, we need the following useful lemma, which serves us for other purposes later in the paper. It is stated here and proven below, at the end of this Section 3.3. We note that $\binom{[n]}{k}$ is the set of k -element subsets of $[n]$.

Lemma 3.25. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram with a top chord $c = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$, and let $S \subseteq \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ be all the points representable by a domino matrix of the form corresponding to D . Then,*

- *For every $V \in S$, the Plücker coordinate $P_I(V) \neq 0$ only if I intersects $A = \{i, i+1, j, j+1, n\}$.*
- *For every $p \in A$, there exists $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ such that $I \cap A = \{p\}$ and $P_I(V) \neq 0$ for every $V \in S$.*
- *In particular, no $V \in S$ contains a nonzero vector supported on a proper subset of A .*

Proof of Lemma 3.24. The proof goes by establishing an inverse map. We proceed by induction on k , the number of chords. For a chord diagram D_a , we prove that given an output of $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D_a)$ in the corresponding positroid S_a , all the real parameters of the x_i and y_i operations in the algorithm can be uniquely determined. The claim is obviously true when $k = 1$.

Suppose we have shown the claim for less than k chords. If D_a has at least two top chords, denote by $(i, i+1)$ the head of the first top chord c_1 , and let D_b be the subdiagram made of chords which end no later than $(i, i+1)$, so that all its chords are c_1 and its descendants, and the marker set is $[i+1] \cup \{n\}$. Let D_c be the subdiagram made of the other chords, with markers $[n] \setminus [i-1]$. Suppose that D_b has k' chords.

Let C be a domino representative of $V \in S_a$. C can be written as

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C' \\ C'' \end{pmatrix},$$

where C' is the submatrix which consists of the upper k' rows and C'' is the submatrix which consists of the remaining rows. Denote by V', V'' the row spans of C', C'' respectively. Algorithm 3.10 is structured so that the construction of C' is independent of the construction of C'' which precedes it, as can be immediately seen from its recursive form, Algorithm 3.14. In other words,

$$C' = \text{pre}_{n-1} \cdots \text{pre}_{i+2} \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D_b, \{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k']}).$$

Similarly, C'' is almost untouched by the construction of C' . They are only affected when operations of the form $x_i(w_h)$ are performed in $\text{HEAD}(c_h)$ for c_h which ends at $(i, i+1)$, $h \in [k']$. If w is the sum of all those w_h , then

$$C'' = \text{pre}_{i-1} \cdots \text{pre}_1 x_i(w) \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D_c, \{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k] \setminus [k']}).$$

We claim that V', V'' are uniquely determined from V . Indeed, if some linear combination of rows, which involves at least one of the $k - k'$ rows nontrivially lies in V' , then since all vectors in V' have zero entries between $i+2$ and $n-1$, there must be some nontrivial linear combination v of rows from V'' which has zeros in these places as well. But all vectors in V'' have zeros at entries $1, \dots, i-1$, thus, this linear combination must be supported on $\{i, i+1, n\}$. Lemma 3.25 applied to c_1 tell us that there is a set $I \in \binom{[n] \setminus \{i, i+1, n\}}{k}$ with $P_I(V) \neq 0$. But if we take a representative matrix M for V which contains v as one of the rows, expanding $\det(M^I)$ with respect to the row of v shows that $P_I(V)$ must be zero, which is a contradiction. A similar claim holds for V'' .

Applying the induction hypothesis on V' allows us to uniquely calculate all $4k'$ positive parameters $\{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k']}$. It also allows us to calculate the parameter w in $x_i(w)$ above, since it is a sum of some of these w_h variables. Applying the induction hypothesis on $x_i(-w).V''$ allows us to uniquely calculate all parameters $\{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k] \setminus [k']}$.

The remaining case is that D_a has a single top chord c_1 . We may assume it starts at $(1, 2)$ and ends at $(n-2, n-1)$, or otherwise we can erase zero columns, and recover the algorithm variables for the smaller problem, which does satisfy these assumptions. Let D_b be the subdiagram made of c_1 's descendants with index set $[n] \setminus [1]$.

Take $V \in S_a$, and let C be a domino representative of V . We can write

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C' \end{pmatrix}.$$

The submatrix C' obtained from C by erasing the first row is not a domino matrix for S_b . But it equals

$$\text{pre}_n \text{rescale}_1(u_1) \ x_1(1) \ \text{rotate}_{k-1} \ \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX} (D_b, \{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k] \setminus [1]})$$

Here, rotate_k is the circular shift that takes the last column, puts it first, relabels it as 1, and multiplies it by $(-1)^{k-1}$ in order to preserve positivity. $\text{rescale}_1(t)$ multiplies the first column by t .

Both $\text{span}(C_1)$ and V' are uniquely determined by V , by an argument similar to that used to distinguish V' and V'' in the previous case. Thus, C_1 is the unique vector in $\text{span}(C_1)$ whose second entry is 1. The variables $\{s_1, u_1, v_1\}$ are read from its n th, 1st and $(n-2)$ th coordinates respectively. w_1 cannot be determined yet, since there may applications of $x_{n-2}(w_l)$ for same-end descendants c_l of c_1 . Similarly, starting from V' , projecting on the first $n-1$ columns and undoing the reversible $\text{rescale}_1(u_1)$, $x_1(1)$, rotate_{k-1} operations we obtain a vector space in S_b which has a domino representation

$$\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX} (D_b, \{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k] \setminus [1]}).$$

By induction this representation is unique, and we can determine its parameters. Now, if we define w to be the sum of w_l , over same-end descendants c_l of c_1 , a simple calculation reveals that w_1 is precisely the ratio between the $(n-1)$ th entry of $x_{n-2}(-w).C_1$ and its $(n-2)$ th entry. Thus, all parameters are now found. As needed. \square

Example 3.26. Recall the two chord diagrams considered in Examples 3.11-3.12. Consider first the subdiagrams which contain only c_2, c_3 . The matrix of the subdiagram $(\{2, \dots, 14\}, ((3, 4, 6, 7), (8, 9, 10, 11)))$, is

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
0	u_2	1	0	v_2	$w_2 v_2$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-s_2$
0	0	0	0	0	0	u_3	1	v_3	$w_3 v_3$	0	0	s_3

and the matrix of the subdiagram $(\{2, \dots, 8\}, ((2, 3, 4, 5), (4, 5, 6, 7)))$ is

2	3	4	5	6	7	8
u_2	1	v_2	$v_2 w_2$	0	0	$-s_2$
0	0	u_3	$1 + w_2 u_3$	v_3	$w_3 v_3$	s_3

respectively. In both cases it is easy to see that each row vector is uniquely determined, after fixing its second entry to be 1, from the two dimensional vector space. In the first case the construction by the algorithm of each row is independent of the other. In the second the construction of the top row is independent of the bottom row. After performing $x_4(-w_2)$ on the bottom row, where w_2 is determined from the first row, and throwing zeros, we are left with the row vector which is the output of the generating algorithm to the chord diagram made of c_3 .

If we compare the matrices considered in this example, to the matrices constructed in Examples 3.11-3.12, which correspond to adding c_1 we first observe that indeed the row which corresponds to c_1 is uniquely determined in both cases. We also see that the submatrices made of the rows which correspond to c_2, c_3 are indeed related to the matrices considered in this example by first shifting the last column to be the first, and fixing the sign. Then acting with $x_1(1)$, scaling the first column by u_1 and adding a zero column as a last column.

Remark 3.27. The nonzero entries of a matrix in domino form can be written, after one fixes some nonzero entry in each row to be 1 as rational functions in the Plücker coordinates. This can be seen by undoing Algorithm 3.10, every time we undo a x_i or y_i operation we use [Lam15, Proposition 7.10] to write its parameter using the Plücker coordinates of the matrix at the time of the action, and to update the new Plücker coordinate in terms of the previous ones. We omit the details.

In the beginning of this section, Section 3.3, we have defined the algorithmic permutation σ of D , and have shown that it is made of $4k$ transpositions that record steps along CONSTRUCT-MATRIX. By Lemma 3.24, the positroid S generated by the same CONSTRUCT-MATRIX(D) attains its largest possible dimension, $4k$. By the discussion in Summary 3.22, we can deduce that these two algorithmic outcomes bear the standard correspondence between a decorated permutation and a positroid.

Corollary 3.28. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram. The decorated permutation of the positroid S that arises from CONSTRUCT-MATRIX(D) is the algorithmic permutation σ of D .*

We now give the proof of Lemma 3.25.

Proof of Lemma 3.25. The first part is straightforward. Consider the domino form C of V . Then by expanding $\det(C^I)$ with respect to the row which corresponds to c we see that this determinant vanishes unless I intersects the support of this row, which is precisely A .

For the second part, we construct a set I of size k , consists of one element for each chord, such that $\det(C^I) \neq 0$. The set I has the form $I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3 \cup \{p\}$, where $I_1 \subseteq [i-1]$, $I_2 \subseteq \{i+2, \dots, j-1\}$, and $I_3 \subseteq \{j+2, \dots, n-1\}$ are defined as follows.

1. For I_1 we take the set of first markers of all chords that start before c .
2. I_2 is the set of second markers for all sticky descendants c_h of c , together with the first markers of all other descendant of c . Recall that the first two markers are the tail of the chord, and not the markers inherited from its parent, which may come earlier.
3. We construct I_3 as follows. Denote by c_1 the first chord which starts after c ends.
 - If c_1 starts at $(j', j' + 1)$ for $j' > j + 1$ we take for I_3 the set of first markers of each chord which starts c ends.
 - If c_1 starts at $(j + 1, j + 2)$, then we act as we did for I_2 , namely, for c_1 and any other chord in a maximal sticky chain from c_1 we add to I_3 the second marker, and for all the remaining chords which start afterwards we choose the first marker.
 - Finally, if c_1 starts at $(j, j + 1)$, we write $c_0 = c$ and we initiate I_3 to be the empty set. Write c_2, c_3, \dots, c_h for a maximal Loch Ness Monster which starts at c_0 . We recall that we mean the longest collection of chords with the property that c_l starts at the head $(j_{l-1}, j_{l-1} + 1)$ of c_{l-1} , for $l = 1, \dots, h$, put $j_0 = j$ and $(j_h, j_h + 1)$ for the head of c_h . Observe that each c_l must be a top chord.

For each $l \in [h]$ first we add j_l to I_3 . Then, for any descendant in a sticky chain from c_l , add the second marker. For any other chord which descends from c_l we add the first marker.

Denote by \tilde{c} the first chord which starts after c_h ends. \tilde{c} is a top chord, and we denote its tail by $(\tilde{j}, \tilde{j} + 1)$. $\tilde{j} > j_h$, since \tilde{c} does not belong to the Loch Ness Monster. If $\tilde{j} > j_h + 1$, add \tilde{j} to I_3 , as well as the first marker of every chord that comes after it.

If $\tilde{j} = j_h + 1$ then for each sticky descendant \tilde{c} of it, take the second marker, while for the remaining chords take the first marker.

Note that all added entries are different, since chords start at different markers, and the third marker of a chord c_l can never be the first or second marker of any other chord, except c_{l+1} . Therefore, the size of I is k , and is easily seen not to intersect A . Moreover, if $l \leq h$ then $j_l + 1 \notin I$ by construction.

We now change the matrix C , by applying row operations, to another matrix \bar{C} which is more convenient to analyze, and $\det(C^I) \neq 0$ if and only if $\det(\bar{C}^I) \neq 0$. We define \bar{C} in two steps.

- First apply row operations that cancel the domino inherited by the parent, and make the first marker of every chord c' be the first nonzero position in the row of c' . This is done by going parent-to-child, for each chord c_l we subtract ε_l times its parent's chord, which cancels the domino inherited to that chord by its parent. These operations result in adding nonzero entries, but only to the right of the starting domino of each chord's column. Moreover, the first domino of each row is untouched, and rows that correspond to top chords, like c , are not affected.
- Then for every chord $c' \in \{c, c_1, \dots, c_h\}$ or $c' = \tilde{c}$, and $\tilde{j} = j_l + 1$, we act iteratively on the sticky chain which descends from c' , in parent-to-child order. For every parent we subtract a multiple of its row from its sticky child in order to cancel the first domino entry of the latter. The second domino entries remain unchanged during this process.

These actions may add nonzero entries at positions which are after the head of each chord. They may also add nonzero entries before the tail of a chord, but in a limited way: If $c' = c$ they may add nonzero entries at column i . If $c' = c_l$, they may add nonzero entries at column j_{l-1} . If $c' = \tilde{c}$, they may add nonzero entries at column j_h . The rows of $\{c, c_1, \dots, c_h, \tilde{c}\}$ and non-sticky descendants do not change.

Consider the matrix \bar{C}^I . Its restriction to the first $|I_1|$ rows and columns is upper triangular with nonzero diagonal. Thus, it is nonsingular precisely if the matrix $\tilde{C} = \bar{C}_{[k] \setminus [I_1]}^{I \setminus I_1}$, obtained from it by erasing the first $|I_1|$ rows and columns is nonsingular.

The first row of this matrix corresponds to c . In this row there is a single nonzero entry, column indexed p . Thus, \tilde{C} is nonsingular precisely if the matrix C' , obtained from \tilde{C} by erasing the first row and the column indexed p is nonsingular.

Now, by the construction of \bar{C} and of I_2 , which misses $i, i + 1$ the restriction of C' to its first $|I_2|$ rows and columns is again upper triangular, hence C' is nonsingular precisely if $C^{(1)}$, obtained from C' by erasing these rows and columns is nonsingular.

We iteratively construct $C^{(l+1)}$ from $C^{(l)}$ which is nonsingular precisely if $C^{(l)}$ is nonsingular in a similar fashion. We first note that in $C^{(l)}$ the row which corresponds to c_l has nonzero entry only in the location which corresponds to column j_l . Indeed $c_l = (j_{j-1}, j_{j-1} + 1, j_l, j_l + 1, n)$, as remarked above $j_l + 1 \notin I$, and $\{j_{j-1}, j_{j-1} + 1, n\}$ either do not belong to I , or were removed from the column set in previous steps. Erasing the row which corresponds to c_l and column j_l we obtain a matrix whose restriction to the first $\text{below}(c_l)$ rows and columns is upper triangular with nonzero diagonal, where we remind that $\text{below}(c_l)$ is the number of chords which descend from c_l . Erasing also these rows and columns we obtain $C^{(l+1)}$. Continuing like this, we end at $C^{(h+1)}$.

By the same reasoning this matrix is upper triangular with nonzero diagonal, hence invertible. As needed.

For the third part of the lemma, suppose that there is a vector in V spanned by $\{e_h\}_{h \in A \setminus \{p\}}$. Take $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ with $I \cap A = \{p\}$ and $P_I(V) \neq 0$. Its existence implies that the support of every nontrivial linear combination of the elements of V must contain an element out of $A \setminus \{p\}$. \square

3.4 Agreement of Permutations

Recall Definition 2.13 of π , the decorated permutation of $[n]$ associated directly to a chord diagram $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$, which was used in Definition 2.16 of the BCFW positroid cell of D . On the other hand, D gives rise to a positroid cell via the algorithm $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D)$, and by Corollary 3.28 the decorated permutation of that cell is the algorithmic permutation σ of D , from Definition 3.16. The next two lemmas show that π and σ admit an identical inductive characterization. It follows that $\sigma = \pi$ and the algorithm CONSTRUCT-MATRIX indeed produces the BCFW cell of D .

Lemma 3.29. *Let $D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$. The permutation σ_a satisfies the following properties, and is uniquely determined by them.*

1. *If $k = 1$ and $c_1 = (i, i+1, l, l+1)$ then $\sigma_a = (i \ (i+1) \ l \ (l+1) \ n)$.*
2. *If D_a contains a top chord $c_j = (i, i+1, l, l+1)$, then let D_c be the subdiagram made of c_j and the chords which start to its right, with index set $N_c = [n] \setminus [i-1]$, and let D_b be the subdiagram made of all the remaining chords, with index set $N_b = [i-1] \cup \{i_{j*}+1, l, n\}$, where $(i_{j*}, i_{j*}+1)$ is tail of the last descendant of c_j in a sticky chain, and we relabel markers so that i is relabeled as i_{j*} and $i+1$ as l , so that chord which ends at $(i, i+1)$ in D_a ends in D_b at $(i_{j*}+1, l)$ while every chord that ends at $(i-1, i)$ in D_a ends at $(i-1, i_{j*}+1)$ in D_c . Then $\sigma_a = \sigma_b \sigma_c$, where the permutations are extended to $[n]$ by adding black fixed points outside of N_b, N_c .*
3. *Suppose that D_a is obtained from D_b by a combinatorial upper embedding: adding a new long top chord c_1 which starts at $(1, 2)$ and ends at $(n-2, n-1)$. Then*

$$\sigma_a = (1 \ (n-2) \ (n-1) \ n) \sigma_b (1 \ 2),$$

where σ_b is the decorated permutation of D_b , whose index set is $[n] \setminus \{1\}$.

Proof. The first item is straightforward. For the second item, note that by Remark 3.19 the algorithmic permutation can be written as

$$\sigma_a = \tau_b \tau_c \rho_c \rho_b,$$

If in D_b all chords end before i , then the two permutations commute and the effect of the replacements $i \rightarrow i_{j*}+1$, $i+1 \rightarrow l$ in D_b is trivial, and the claim holds.

If in D_b there are some chords which end at $(i-1, i)$ but no chord ends at $(i, i+1)$ then ρ_c, τ_c commute, since their periods do not contain common indices: in ρ_c appear only elements of the form i_h+1, l_h, l_h+1 for chords $c_h = (i_h, i_h+1, l_h, l_h+1)$ in D_c , and these are always larger than the corresponding terms for D_b . Also most transpositions of τ_c are of indices which do not appear in D_b . The only exclusion is the leftmost transposition in τ_c which is $(i \ i_{j*}+1)$. It has a common index only with triplets of D_b of the form $(p+1 \ i-1 \ i)$. Commuting to such elements gives

$$(i \ i_{j*}+1)(p+1 \ i-1 \ i) = (p+1 \ i-1 \ i_{j*}+1)(i \ i_{j*}+1).$$

Thus, the commutation of $(i, i_{j*}+1)$ with ρ_b has the prescribed effect. Since i does not appear in τ_b the claim also follows in this case.

The final case is when there are chords in D_b which end at $(i, i+1)$ and possibly also chords which ends at $(i-1, i)$. Note that the latter appears in ρ_b to the right of the former. The only element in ρ_c which shares an index with elements of ρ_b is $((i+1) \ l \ (l+1))$ which does not commute only with elements of the form $((p+1) \ i \ (i+1))$. Commuting such elements results in replacing each appearance of $i+1$ in ρ_b by l , since

$$((i+1) \ l \ (l+1))((p+1) \ i \ (i+1)) = ((p+1 \ i \ l)((i+1) \ l \ (l+1)).$$

After moving ρ_c to the right of ρ_b the only term of τ_c which does not commute with elements in its right is again $(i \ i_{j*} + 1)$ which does not commute with elements of the form $((p+1) \ (i-1) \ i)$ or $((p+1) \ i \ l)$. Again the effect of commuting it with them is $i \rightarrow i_{j*} + 1$ in the terms of b . Since again $i, i+1$ do not appear in τ_b also in this case the result follows.

For the third item, we can write

$$\sigma_a = (1 \ (i_{1*} + 1))\tau_b(2 \ n)(2 \ (n-2) \ (n-1))\rho_b,$$

where τ_b, ρ_b are as in the previous item. The required permutation σ_b is obtained from $\tau_b\rho_b$ by replacing every term of the form $(2 \ (i_h + 1))$, for children $c_h = (i_h, i_h + 1, l_h, l_h + 1)$ of c_1 , by $(2 \ n)$.

We first move $(1 \ (i_{1*} + 1))$ to the right. If in D_b no chord starts at 2 then $i_{1*} + 1 = 2$ and $(1 \ 2)$ commutes with τ_b . Otherwise τ_b is of the form

$$(2 \ (i_{1*} + 1))(3 \ (i_{1*} + 1)) \cdots (i_{1*} \ (i_{1*} + 1))\sigma',$$

σ' does not contain 1 but it contains $(2 \ (i_h + 1))$ for every child $c_h = (i_h, i_h + 1, l_h, l_h + 1)$ of c_1 .

Commuting with $(1 \ (i_{1*} + 1))$ we obtain that

$$(1 \ (i_{1*} + 1))\tau_b = \tilde{\tau}_b(1 \ 2)$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_b$ is obtained from τ_b by replacing every appearance of 2 by 1, where we have used

$$(1 \ (i_{1*} + 1))(2 \ (i_{1*} + 1)) = (2 \ (i_{1*} + 1))(1 \ 2)$$

to commute with $(2 \ (i_{1*} + 1))(3 \ (i_{1*} + 1)) \cdots (i_{1*} \ (i_{1*} + 1))$ and

$$(1 \ 2)(2 \ (i_h + 1)) = (1 \ (i_h + 1))(1 \ 2)$$

to commute with σ' .

Then we commute it with $(2 \ n)(2 \ (n-2) \ (n-1)) = (2 \ (n-2) \ (n-1) \ n)$ and obtain

$$(1 \ 2)(2 \ (n-2) \ (n-1) \ n) = (1 \ (n-2) \ (n-1) \ n)(1 \ 2),$$

and then further commute $(1 \ 2)$ to the right, which is possible since ρ_b does not contain 1, 2 in the indices on which it acts nontrivially.

We now wish to commute $(1 \ (n-2) \ (n-1) \ n)$ to the left of $\tilde{\tau}_b$. Among the indices $1, n-2, n-1, n$ only 1 can appear in $\tilde{\tau}_b$, and only in $(1 \ (i_h + 1))$ terms. Since

$$(1 \ (i_h + 1))(1 \ (n-2) \ (n-1) \ n) = (1 \ (n-2) \ (n-1) \ n)((i_h + 1) \ n),$$

the result follows.

The fact that the permutation is uniquely determined by these properties follows from a simple induction on the number of chords. \square

Lemma 3.30. π_a satisfies the following properties, and is uniquely determined by them.

1. If $k = 1$ then $\pi_a = (a_1 \ (a_1 + 1) \ b_1 \ (b_1 + 1) \ n)$.
2. If D_a contains a top chord $c_h = (i, i + 1, l, l + 1)$, let D_c be the subdiagram made of c_h , and the chords which start after it, including its descendants, on the markers set of is $N_c = [n] \setminus [i - 1]$. Let D_b be the subdiagram made of all the remaining chords, with index set $N_b = [i - 1] \cup \{i_{h*} + 1, l, n\}$, where $(i_{h*}, i_{h*} + 1)$ is the head of the lowest chord in the sticky chain from c_h , and we relabel the markers so that a chord that ends at $(i, i + 1)$ in D_a ends in D_b at $(i_{h*} + 1, l)$ while every chord that ends at $(i - 1, i)$ in D_a ends at $(i - 1, i_{h*} + 1)$ in D_c . Then $\pi_a = \pi_b\pi_c$, where the permutations are extended to $[n]$ by adding black fixed points.

3. Suppose that D_a is obtained from D_b by a combinatorial upper embedding: adding a new top chord which starts at $(1, 2)$ and ends at $(n - 2, n - 1)$. Then

$$\pi_a = (1 \ (n - 2) \ (n - 1) \ n)\pi_b(1 \ 2),$$

where π_b is the decorated permutation of D_b , whose markers set is $[n] \setminus \{1\}$.

Proof. The first item is immediate from the definition of T, U, V, W . The third item is also immediate: π_b and π_c are just the partial products made of the 5-cycles which correspond to the chords of D_b, D_c respectively.

For the first item, by definition we have

$$\pi_a = (T_1 \ U_1 \ V_1 \ W_1 \ n)\pi_b,$$

and it is easily seen that $(T_1 \ U_1 \ V_1 \ W_1 \ n) = (1 \ R \ (n - 2) \ (n - 1) \ n)$ where $R = 2$ if the next chord starts at $(j, j + 1)$ for $j > 2$, and otherwise $R = U_2$. In the former case, 1, 2 do not appear in π_b and we can split

$$(1 \ 2 \ (n - 2) \ (n - 1) \ n) = (1 \ (n - 2) \ (n - 1) \ n)(1 \ 2),$$

and commute $(1 \ 2)$ to the rightmost position. In the latter case, 1 does not appear in π_b , and 2 appears there exactly once - in the leftmost term $(2 \ R_2)$. We split

$$(1 \ R_2 \ (n - 2) \ (n - 1) \ n) = (1 \ (n - 2) \ (n - 1) \ n)(1 \ R_2).$$

Since $(1 \ R_2)(2 \ R_2) = (2 \ R_2)(1 \ 2)$, we can again commute $(1 \ 2)$ to the rightmost position. As needed.

Simple induction shows that these properties determine the permutation uniquely. \square

Corollary 3.31. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram. The decorated permutation π of D is equal to the algorithmic permutation σ of D .*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemmas 3.30 and 3.29. Both permutations are determined by the same recursion. \square

3.5 Another Algorithm

We describe a variant of Algorithms 3.10 and 3.14, which is useful for analyzing the effect of extending a chord diagram by adding chords to the right of the given ones. The variant algorithm iterates the top chords in opposite order, increasing in their occurrence along D , rather than decreasing. The descendants of each chord are scanned in decreasing order as before.

Algorithm 3.32. Given a chord diagram D , The algorithm CONSTRUCT-MATRIX-RIGHTWARDS is the following variation of CONSTRUCT-MATRIX from Algorithm 3.10. The variables $M, N, K, \{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\}_{l=1}^k$ are as before. The statements TAIL, FILL and the subroutine SUB-CONSTRUCT-MATRIX are as in Algorithms 3.10 and 3.14 and not restated here. Denote the top chords of D by $c_{(1)}, \dots, c_{(g)}$ in *increasing* order, from first to last. The notation $c_1, \dots, c_l, \dots, c_k$ is reserved for the usual tailwise increasing ordering.

```

CONSTRUCT-MATRIX-RIGHTWARDS(chord diagram  $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ , variables  $s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $l \in [k]$ )
  INITIALIZE: Let  $N = \{n\}$ , and  $M \in \text{Mat}_{\emptyset \times N}$ 
  for every  $c_{(h)} = (i_h, i_h + 1, j_h, j_h + 1) = c_l$  in  $(c_{(1)}, \dots, c_{(g)})$  do
    for  $m$  in  $(j_{h-1} + 2, j_{h-1} + 3, \dots, i_h, i_h + 1, j_h + 1)$  do FILL( $m$ ) // where  $j_0 = -1$ 
    HEADRIGHT( $c_{(h)}$ ): apply  $y_{i_h+1}(v_l) \circ x_{j_h+1}(s_l) \circ x_{j_h}(w_l) \circ \text{inc}_{j_h;l}$  to  $M$  and add  $j_h$  to  $N$ 
    SUB-CONSTRUCT-MATRIX( $\text{children}(c_{(h)})$ ,  $i_h + 2, j_h$ ) // ordered last to first
    TAIL( $c_{(h)}$ )
  for  $m$  in  $(j_g + 2, \dots, n - 1)$  do FILL( $m$ )
  return  $M$ 

```

The analysis of CONSTRUCT-MATRIX-RIGHTWARDS is similar to the original variant. Let S' be the positroid cell constructed by this algorithm. Its dimension is again at most $4k$. As in Definition 3.16 and Remark 3.19 for the case of CONSTRUCT-MATRIX, the chord diagram D is associated via the left-to-right algorithm with *another algorithmic permutation*,

$$\sigma' = \tau'_g \cdot \tau'_{g-1} \cdots \tau'_1 \cdot \rho'_1 \cdot \rho'_2 \cdots \rho'_g$$

where $c_{(1)}, \dots, c_{(g)}$ are the top chords in increasing order, and the factors τ'_f and ρ'_f are the following products of 2-cycles and 3-cycles corresponding to a chord $c_{(f)} = (i, i + 1, j, j + 1)$:

- τ'_f is the same as τ_f except for the rightmost transposition, which is $(i + 1 \ j)$ instead of $(i + 1 \ n)$.
- ρ'_f is the same as ρ_f except for the leftmost 3-cycle, which is $(j \ j + 1 \ n)$ instead of $(i + 1 \ j \ j + 1)$.

The following two lemmas are analogous to Proposition 3.15 and Lemma 3.24 of the original algorithm, and their proofs are omitted.

Lemma 3.33. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram, and let $C \in \mathcal{DM}_{n,k}$ be the domino matrix that corresponds to D . Then CONSTRUCT-MATRIX-RIGHTWARDS(D) has the same form of C , and it satisfies the domino sign rules.*

Lemma 3.34. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram. The decorated permutation of the positroid S' that arises from CONSTRUCT-MATRIX-RIGHTWARDS(D) is the other algorithmic permutation σ' of D .*

In order to show that the two algorithms parametrize the same positroid cell, we prove that their decorated permutations agree.

Lemma 3.35. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram. Let S the positroid arising from CONSTRUCT-MATRIX(D), and associated with the algorithmic permutation σ , and let S' be the positroid arising from CONSTRUCT-MATRIX-RIGHTWARDS(D), and associated with the other algorithmic permutation σ' . Then $\sigma = \sigma'$ and hence $S = S'$.*

Proof. The two algorithmic permutations are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \cdots \tau_g \cdot \rho_g \cdot \rho_{g-1} \cdots \rho_1 \\ \sigma' &= \tau'_g \cdot \tau'_{g-1} \cdots \tau'_1 \cdot \rho'_1 \cdot \rho'_2 \cdots \rho'_g \end{aligned}$$

We claim first that for every top chord $c_{(f)}$

$$\tau'_f \cdot \rho'_f = \tau_f \cdot \rho_f$$

Indeed, if $c_{(f)} = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ then these factors differ by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_f &= \cdots (i+1 \ n) & \rho_f &= (i+1 \ j \ j+1) \cdots \\ \tau'_f &= \cdots (i+1 \ j) & \rho'_f &= (j \ j+1 \ n) \cdots\end{aligned}$$

Our claim follows from the identity

$$(i+1 \ n) (i+1 \ j \ j+1) = (i+1 \ j) (j \ j+1 \ n)$$

We apply this claim to the factors of the first top chord $c_1 = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ in σ' , and obtain

$$\sigma' = \tau'_g \cdots \tau'_2 \cdot \tau_1 \cdot \rho_1 \cdot \rho'_2 \cdots \rho'_g$$

The factor ρ_1 commutes with all ρ'_f for $f \geq 2$ as they do not share any elements other than fixed points. Indeed, the least element in ρ_2 is either j_2 the third marker of c_2 or the second marker of one of its descendants, and these are strictly greater than $j+1$ the fourth marker of c_1 . Similarly, τ_1 commutes with all τ'_f for $f \geq 2$. Indeed, all the transpositions in τ'_f are in the range j to $n-1$, while the rightmost transposition in τ_1 swaps its second marker $i+1$ with n , and other transposition only involve tails of c_1 and its descendants which are smaller than j . In conclusion,

$$\sigma' = \tau_1 \cdot \tau'_g \cdots \tau'_2 \cdot \rho'_2 \cdots \rho'_g \cdot \rho_1$$

The lemma follows by iterating for all top chords $c_{(2)}, \dots, c_{(g)}$ until we obtain σ . \square

3.6 The Domino Theorem

We conclude Section 3 by stating key results and corollaries that play a role in later sections. We have seen that the algorithm $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D)$ defined in Sections 3.1-3.2 generates domino matrices. By the analysis of Section 3.3 it gives a positroid cell, shown in Section 3.4 to have the decorated permutation of D as in Definition 2.13. An equivalent variant of this algorithm has been given in Section 3.5. We record this alternative construction of BCFW cells in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.36. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram. The BCFW positroid cell that corresponds to D is equal to $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D)$ and to $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX-RIGHTWARDS}(D)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.24 and its Corollary 3.28, Corollary 3.31, Definition 2.16, and Lemma 3.35. \square

It follows that BCFW cells have domino representations. This result gives one direction of Theorem 1.6. It was conjectured by Karp, Williams, Zhang, and Thomas [KWZ20, Conjecture A.7]. The other direction that domino matrices exactly parametrize these cells is shown in Section 7.

Theorem 3.37. *Let $D \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram and S its BCFW positroid cell. Every point $V \in S$ has a representative matrix in the domino form of D , that satisfies the domino sign rules. This representation is unique up to rescaling each row by a positive number.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.36, the BCFW cell that corresponds to D is generated by Algorithm 3.10. The output has the domino form of D and satisfies the sign rules by Proposition 3.15. Uniqueness up to rescaling rows follows from the proof of Lemma 3.24. \square

The analysis of the BCFW triangulation in the coming sections uses the recursive nature of its algorithmic constructions. It critically depends on which matrix operations generate a BCFW cell S of some chord diagram D from a BCFW cell S' of some subdiagram D' of D . The relation between D and D' comes in three different flavors, stated in Corollaries 3.38, 3.39 and 3.40 below.

In these corollaries, we always consider a chord diagram $D = (N, \mathcal{C})$ and a subdiagram $D' = (N', \mathcal{C}')$ with a subset $N' \subset N$ of the markers and a subset $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ of the chords. In fact, N' is taken to be a cyclic interval of N in these cases, and the chords \mathcal{C}' are exactly those whose four markers are in N' . In each case, we relate the respective BCFW positroid cells S and S' .

We always assume a common largest marker $n = \max N = \max N'$. The matrix operation $x_i = x_i(t)$ is always carried out with a positive variable $t \in (0, \infty)$, and similarly for y_i . Writing $y_{i \oplus 1}$ implies that i is contained in the index set when the operation is applied at its current precedent. In all diagrams and subdiagrams, the two markers of a chord's tail or head are assumed to be consecutive numbers, and hence denoted $(i, i + 1)$ rather than $(i, i \oplus 1)$.

Corollary 3.38 (left extension). *Let $D' = (N', \mathcal{C}')$ be a subdiagram of $D = (N, \mathcal{C})$, obtained by removing markers $i \in N \setminus N'$ for $i < m = \min N'$ and removing chords $(i, i + 1, j, j + 1) \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'$ for $j \leq m$. Then the BCFW cell of D is generated from the BCFW cell of D' by a sequence of operations from*

$$\{\text{pre}_i : 1 \leq i < m\} \cup \{\text{inc}_i : 1 \leq i < m\} \cup \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{y_{i \oplus 1} : 1 \leq i < m\}$$

Corollary 3.39 (right extension). *Let $D' = (N', \mathcal{C}')$ be a subdiagram of $D = (N, \mathcal{C})$ obtained by removing markers $i \in N \setminus N'$ for $i > m = \max(N' \setminus \{n\})$ and removing chords $(i, i + 1, j, j + 1) \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'$ for $i + 1 \geq m$. Then the BCFW cell of D is generated from the BCFW cell of D' by a sequence of operations from*

$$\{\text{pre}_i : m < i < n\} \cup \{\text{inc}_i : m < i < n\} \cup \{x_i : m < i < n\} \cup \{y_{i \oplus 1} : m \leq i < n\}$$

Corollary 3.40 (top extension). *Let $D' = (N', \mathcal{C}')$ be a subdiagram of $D = (N, \mathcal{C})$, obtained by removing the marker $m = \min N$ and removing a top chord $(m, m + 1, n \oplus 2, n \oplus 1) \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'$. Then the BCFW cell of D is generated from the BCFW cell of D' by an upper embedding, whose sequence of operations is*

$$y_{n \oplus 2} \circ y_{n \oplus 1} \circ y_n \circ x_m \circ \text{inc}_m$$

Proof of Corollaries 3.38, 3.39 and 3.40. For all three claims, we consider a sequence of matrix operations that constructs the BCFW cell S of D . This sequence splits into a prefix that constructs the BCFW cell S' of D' , and a suffix that only contains operations in the given ranges. Thus, by applying the prefix of the sequence we obtain S , and then we generate S' from S as required.

For Corollary 3.38 we use Algorithm 3.10. This algorithm scans the diagram D right to left, such that all heads and tails and markers in D' are handled before those not in D' . Hence, if we stop it at the right moment, then we have ran the algorithm for D' and have generated S' . The exact ranges for the remaining operations are straightforward to verify from the details of the algorithm.

Corollary 3.39 is shown similarly using Algorithm 3.32, which handles top chords from left to right, each one together with its descendants. Hence it first generates S' , and then S by a sequence of operations in the given ranges. For later reference, we mention additional properties of this sequence in case of a single top chord $(i, i + 1, j, j + 1)$ in $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}'$. It starts with pre operations followed by $x_{j+1} \circ x_j \circ y_{i+1} \circ \text{inc}_j$. Afterwards, there are no $y_{j \oplus 1}$ or y_j , and only in the case $i = m - 1$ there is one y_{m-1} .

Finally, Corollary 3.40 is based on the fact that a cell with a single top chord is obtained by an upper embedding. This follows from Remark 3.23 and the last case of Lemma 3.29. More explicitly, these operations can be shown to produce the cell as in the end of the proof of Lemma 3.24. \square

4 Twistors and Functionaries

The points of the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}(Z)$ are k -dimensional spaces in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,k+m}$. Hence, they may be described by representative $k \times (k + m)$ full rank matrices, or by the Plücker

coordinates of that Grassmannian. Arkani-Hamed and Trnka [AT14] introduced yet another set of coordinates for the amplituhedron, the *twistor coordinates*, which take into account the positive matrix Z . These coordinates were used by Arkani-Hamed, Thomas and Trnka [ATT18] to develop a combinatorial and topological picture of the amplituhedron. Parisi, Sherman-Bennett and Williams [PSW21] used them to characterize the Z -images of a large family of positroid cells giving triangulations of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,2}$.

4.1 Definitions

We start with some definitions and basic results stated for general m . Later, we focus on the case $m = 4$ relevant to this paper, even where our treatment extends to other values of m . As before, our definitions for $\{1, \dots, n\}$ extend to general index sets $N \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Let $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+m)}^>$ be a matrix with positive $(k+m) \times (k+m)$ minors where $k < n$ and $m \leq n - k$, as in the definition of the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}(Z)$. Denote the rows of Z by $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathbb{R}^{k+m}$. For a point $Y \in \text{Gr}_{k,k+m}$ we also denote by Y a representative matrix in $\text{Mat}_{k \times (k+m)}$ when its choice factors out. When this matrix is written as $Y = \tilde{Z}(C) = CZ$, the convention is that both C and Z have nonnegative determinants in their maximal minors, and in cases that determinants might be negative we explicitly say so. Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k+m}$ denote the rows of Y . The determinant of a square matrix M is denoted by $\langle M \rangle$.

Definition 4.1. Consider a matrix $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+m)}$ and a representative matrix $Y \in \text{Gr}_{k,k+m}$. For every set $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$, such that $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$, the I th *twistor coordinate* of Y is the determinant of the $(k+m) \times (k+m)$ matrix whose rows are $Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_m}$. We write it using any of the following notations:

$$\langle Y Z_I \rangle = \langle Y_1 \dots Y_k Z_{i_1} \dots Z_{i_m} \rangle = \langle Y Z_{i_1} \dots Z_{i_m} \rangle = \langle Y i_1 i_2 \dots i_m \rangle_Z$$

When Y or Z are fixed and understood from the context, we omit one or both of them and write, for example, $\langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_m \rangle$. In the case $k = 0$, the twistor $\langle i_1 i_2 \dots i_m \rangle$ is simply the determinant of the corresponding minor of Z .

Remark 4.2. Although the $\binom{n}{m}$ different twistors correspond to unordered subsets $I \in \binom{[n]}{m}$, the order of indices in a twistor $\langle Y i_1 i_2 \dots i_m \rangle_Z$ is important, by the above definition as a determinant. In the forthcoming, we occasionally use the freedom to write indices not in order, for example $\langle 1375 \rangle = -\langle 1357 \rangle$. We also write twistors with repeating indices, for example $\langle 2466 \rangle = 0$.

The twistors coordinates $\langle Y Z_i Z_j \rangle$ are instrumental in the study of triangulations of the $m = 2$ amplituhedron [PSW21]. It turns out that in triangulations of the $m = 4$ amplituhedron, sums of products of twistors serve an important function. We hence give them the following name.

Definition 4.3. Let $0 \leq m \leq n$. A *functionary* is a homogeneous polynomial in the $\binom{n}{m}$ twistors $\langle Y Z_I \rangle$. In more detail, a functionary is a real function of $Y \in \text{Gr}_{k,k+m}$ and $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+m)}^>$ of the form

$$F \left(\langle Y Z_I \rangle : I \in \binom{[n]}{m} \right) := F \left((x_I)_{I \in \binom{[n]}{m}} \right) \Big|_{x_I = \langle Y Z_I \rangle}$$

where F is a homogeneous polynomial over \mathbb{R} of degree d in the variables $\{x_I : I \in \binom{[n]}{m}\}$, defined for all applicable $k \in \{0, \dots, n - m\}$.

Example 4.4. Here is a functionary of degree 3:

$$F = \langle Y1234 \rangle \langle Y1256 \rangle \langle Y3489 \rangle - \langle Y1346 \rangle \langle Y1259 \rangle \langle Y2348 \rangle$$

Definition 4.5. A functionary is *pure* if the multisets of indices occurring in all monomials are the same. This multiset is called the *type* of the functionary. The *multiplicity* of an index i is denoted $d_i(F)$.

Example 4.6. The functionary from Example 4.4 is pure of type 112233445678. Its multiplicities are $(d_1(F), \dots, d_9(F)) = (2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1)$. The functionary $F' = \langle 1345 \rangle + \frac{1}{8} \langle 2345 \rangle$ is not pure.

Definition 4.7. For Y and Z of $m = 4$, we use a special shorthand for the following two-term pure quadratic functionary:

$$\langle\langle i \ i' \mid j \ j' \mid h \ h' \mid l \rangle\rangle_{Y,Z} = \langle Y \ i \ j \ j' \ l \rangle_Z \langle Y \ i' \ h \ h' \ l \rangle_Z - \langle Y \ i' \ j \ j' \ l \rangle_Z \langle Y \ i \ h \ h' \ l \rangle_Z$$

where, in fact, Y and Z are always omitted from this notation.

Example 4.8. $\langle\langle 1 \ 2 \mid 4 \ 5 \mid 7 \ 8 \mid 9 \rangle\rangle = \langle 1459 \rangle \langle 2789 \rangle - \langle 2459 \rangle \langle 1789 \rangle$

Remark 4.9. This functionary and similar ones have appeared in the literature before, for example in [Lam15] and in [AM21]. It is most often applied with $i' = i + 1$, $j' = j + 1$, and $h' = h + 1$.

Lemma 4.10. *The following identity is straightforward from the Plücker relations.*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle i \ i' \mid j \ j' \mid h \ h' \mid l \rangle\rangle &= -\langle\langle i \ i' \mid h \ h' \mid j \ j' \mid l \rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle j \ j' \mid h \ h' \mid i \ i' \mid l \rangle\rangle = -\langle\langle h \ h' \mid j \ j' \mid i \ i' \mid l \rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle h \ h' \mid i \ i' \mid j \ j' \mid l \rangle\rangle = -\langle\langle j \ j' \mid i \ i' \mid h \ h' \mid l \rangle\rangle \end{aligned}$$

We conclude this introduction to the amplituhedron's coordinates by recalling some well-known properties of twistors. First, we expand a twistor coordinate of a point in the amplituhedron in terms of determinants in Z and Plücker coordinates of its preimage.

Lemma 4.11 (Lemma 3.6, [PSW21]). *Consider a matrix $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+m)}$ and two representative matrices $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}$ and $Y = CZ \in \text{Gr}_{k,k+m}$. For every $I \in \binom{[n]}{m}$, the I th twistor coordinate is given by*

$$\langle Y \ Z_I \rangle = \sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \langle C^J \rangle \langle Z_J \ Z_I \rangle = \sum_{J \in \binom{[n] \setminus I}{k}} s(J, I) \langle C^J \rangle \langle Z_{I \cup J} \rangle$$

where $s(J, I) = (-1)^{|\{(i, j) : i \in I, j \in J, i < j\}|}$.

We remark that this expansion is based on the Cauchy-Binet formula. The determinants of C are simply the Plücker coordinates $\langle C^J \rangle = P_J(C)$ in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$. Note that I , J and $I \cup J$ are unordered sets, and hence the rows of Z_I , Z_J and $Z_{I \cup J}$ are taken in increasing order. In the typical use case, C and Z are nonnegative matrices, so the sign of the J th term is $s(J, I)$.

In the rest of Section 4 we focus on the case $m = 4$ for the sake of simplicity. The following definition concerns twistors made of consecutive pairs.

Definition 4.12. Let $\tilde{Z} : \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,k+4}$ as before. The twistor coordinates of the form $\langle i \ i+1 \ j \ j+1 \rangle$ or $\langle 1 \ i \ i+1 \ n \rangle$ are named *boundary twistors*.

We later show that the points in $\text{Gr}_{k,k+4}$ where a boundary twistor vanishes are the boundary of the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$, as previously conjectured, see e.g. [ATT18]. The next lemma presents a well-known fact, that boundary twistors have a constant sign on the amplituhedron.

Lemma 4.13 (e.g. [ATT18]). *Let $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^{\geq}$. For every $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$*

1. $\langle \tilde{Z}(C) \ Z_I \rangle \geq 0$ for every set of four indices of the form $I = \{i, i+1, j, j+1\}$.

2. $(-1)^k \langle \tilde{Z}(C) Z_I \rangle \geq 0$ for every set of four indices of the form $I = \{1, i, i+1, n\}$.

with equality if and only if the space C contains a nonzero vector supported on the four indices I .

Example 4.14. In $\mathcal{A}_{8,3,4}$ the twistors $\langle 1234 \rangle$ and $\langle 2367 \rangle$ are nonnegative, and $\langle 1348 \rangle$ is nonpositive.

Remark 4.15. As noted above, by writing $\langle \tilde{Z}(C) Z_I \rangle$ we slightly abuse notation, as this requires considering a specific nonnegative representative matrix $C \in \text{Mat}_{k \times n}^{\geq}$ for $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$, and interpreting its image $\tilde{Z}(C) = CZ \in \text{Mat}_{k,k+m}$ as the corresponding representative matrix of $\tilde{Z}(C) \in \text{Gr}_{k,k+m}$. Here, for example, the lemma holds regardless of the choice of C , though it is crucial to avoid a nonpositive representative matrix $C \in \text{Mat}_{k \times n}^{\leq}$. We continue this abuse of notation in the rest of this section, where it is also crucial to consider the same representative C in all the twistors that occur in a certain functionary or claim.

Proof of Lemma 4.13. Both types of inequality follow from Lemma 4.11, noting that all the terms in the sum have the same sign as stated. There is equality $\langle i \ i+1 \ j \ j+1 \rangle = 0$ exactly when all these terms vanish, which means that the Plücker coordinates $\langle C^J \rangle = 0$ for every k columns $J \subseteq [n] \setminus \{i, i+1, j, j+1\}$. Equivalently, these $n-4$ columns of C are not of full rank k . Since $\text{rank } C = k$, this condition amounts to the existence of a nonzero linear combination of C 's rows supported on $\{i, i+1, j, j+1\}$. \square

For general I , it depends on the choice of Z which points $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ satisfy $\langle \tilde{Z}(C) Z_I \rangle = 0$. However, Lemma 4.13 shows that for some twistors this only depends on the preimage point C regardless of Z . We denote these points as follows.

Definition 4.16. For $n \geq k \geq 1$, let

$$S_{\partial \mathcal{A}} = S_{\partial \mathcal{A}, n, k, 4} = \left\{ C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq} : C \cap \text{span} \{e_i, e_{i+1}, e_j, e_{j+1}\} \neq \{0\} \text{ for some } i \text{ and } j \right\}$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the i th unit vector.

Remark 4.17. It follows from the characterization by Plücker coordinates above, that for every n and k the set $S_{\partial \mathcal{A}}$ is a union of positroid cells, and is closed. For every $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^{\geq}$ it comprises the points whose Z -image has a zero twistor coordinate of the form $\langle i \ i+1 \ j \ j+1 \rangle$. Also by the Plücker characterization, for every positroid $S \subseteq S_{\partial \mathcal{A}}$ there is a boundary twistor $\langle i \ i+1 \ j \ j+1 \rangle$ that vanishes on $\tilde{Z}(S)$.

We later show that $S_{\partial \mathcal{A}}$ is the preimage of the boundary of the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$.

4.2 Promotion of Functionaries

We turn to analyze how twistors, and thereby functionaries, transform under the matrix operations pre , inc , x , y , and $\overleftarrow{\tau}$, defined in Section 3.1. We start with the map $\text{pre}_i : \text{Gr}_{k,N} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,N \cup \{i\}}$, which adds a column of zeros at some new index $i \notin N$.

Lemma 4.18. Let $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ and let $Z \in \text{Mat}_{(N \cup \{i\}) \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$ where $i \notin N$ and $k \geq 0$. For every $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} \subseteq N$,

$$\langle \tilde{Z}_N(C) (Z_N)_I \rangle = \langle \tilde{Z}(\text{pre}_i C) Z_I \rangle$$

Here we use the notation $\tilde{Z}_N : \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,k+4}^{\geq}$ for the map induced from right multiplication $C \mapsto CZ_N$, where $Z_N \in \text{Mat}_{N \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$ is obtained from Z by deleting the i th row. The lemma follows from $(\text{pre}_i C)Z = CZ_N$ and $Z_I = (Z_N)_I$.

We mainly use this lemma and similar ones in order to keep track of the signs of functionaries under the matrix operations. We first define an abbreviated notation for a functionary having a fixed sign at a point, and then state an immediate corollary of Lemma 4.18 as another lemma.

Definition 4.19. Let $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}$ for a finite $N \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq 0$, let $F(x_I : I \in \binom{N}{4})$ be a functionary, and let $s \in \{-1, +1\}$. We say that F has a *fixed sign* s at C , and write

$$\text{sign } F \left(\langle \tilde{Z}(C) \rangle_{Z_I} : I \in \binom{N}{4} \right) = s$$

if this evaluation of F at $\tilde{Z}(C)$ has the same sign s for every $Z \in \text{Mat}_{N \times [k+4]}^>$. If the sign depends on Z then we say that F does not have a fixed sign at C . Note that in this definition Z is not a given matrix, and its dimensions are understood from the context.

Lemma 4.20. Let $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ and $i \notin N$, and let $F(x_I : I \in \binom{N}{4})$ be a homogeneous polynomial. If $\text{sign } F(\langle \tilde{Z}(C) \rangle_{Z_I} : I \in \binom{N}{4}) = s \in \{\pm 1\}$, then $\text{sign } F(\langle \tilde{Z}(\text{inc}_i C) \rangle_{Z_I} : I \in \binom{N}{4}) = s$.

The next matrix operation is $\text{inc}_i : \text{Gr}_{k,N} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k+1, N \cup \{i\}}$, which adds a unit vector at a new coordinate $i \notin N$. For a representative matrix $C \in \text{Mat}_{[k] \times N}^{\geq}$, without loss of generality we insert the i th unit vector as the last row. The resulting representative matrix is $\text{inc}_{i,k+1} C \in \text{Mat}_{[k+1] \times (N \cup \{i\})}^{\geq}$ where the columns after i are negated.

The next lemma analyzes how the twistor coordinates of $\tilde{Z}(C)$ translate to those of $\tilde{Z}(\text{inc}_i C)$. This requires a certain “projection” of $Z \in \text{Mat}_{(N \cup \{i\}) \times [k+5]}^>$ to another positive matrix $Z_{-i}^{-j} \in \text{Mat}_{N \times [k+4]}^>$.

Lemma 4.21. Let $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ and $Z \in \text{Mat}_{(N \cup \{i\}) \times [k+5]}^>$ where $i \notin N$ and $k \geq 0$, and let $j \in [k+5]$ such that $Z_i^j \neq 0$. For every $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} \subseteq N$,

$$\langle \tilde{Z}_{-i}^{-j}(C) \rangle_{(Z_{-i}^{-j})_I} = (-1)^{|I \cap [i]|} \langle \tilde{Z}(\text{inc}_i C) \rangle_{Z_I}$$

where the matrix $Z_{-i}^{-j} \in \text{Mat}_{N \times ([k+5] \setminus \{j\})}^>$ is defined by

$$(Z_{-i}^{-j})_p^q = (-1)^{\delta[p < i] + \delta[q < j]} \left(Z_p^q - \frac{Z_i^q Z_p^j}{Z_i^j} \right) (Z_i^j)^{\delta[q = j+1]}$$

Proof. First, we interpret the formula defining Z_{-i}^{-j} . As $Z_i^j \neq 0$, we subtract multiples of Z_i from all other rows Z_p to cancel Z_p^j and make this column vanish for $p \in N$. The sign in the beginning means that we negate all rows before i , and again negate all columns before j . Since $p \in N$ and $q \in [k+5] \setminus \{j\}$ the i th row and j th column of Z are actually deleted. The last factor multiplies some arbitrary column $j+1$ by the removed entry Z_i^j . As an illustration, if $Z_i = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ then we just delete the i th row and 1st column, and negate the rows before i . This example may be regarded as a generic case, since $\text{Gr}_{k+1, k+5}$ can always be rotated by composing a suitable $(k+5) \times (k+5)$ matrix on Z , to turn Z_i into a unit vector. As before, \tilde{Z}_{-i}^{-j} denotes the induced map from $\text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ to $\text{Gr}_{k, k+4}$.

Examine the maximal determinants in the resulting matrix Z_{-i}^{-j} . Let $J \in \binom{N}{k+4}$. The factors $(-1)^{\delta[p < i] + \delta[q < j]}$ contributes $(-1)^{j+|J \cap [i]|}$, while the column multiplied by $(Z_i^j)^{\delta[q = j+1]}$ contributes Z_i^j . The remaining matrix $(Z_p^q - Z_i^q Z_p^j / Z_i^j)$ is exactly the (i, j) minor of $Z_{J \cup \{i\}}$ after subtraction of Z_i from other rows. On the other hand, this subtraction does not affect the determinant of $Z_{J \cup \{i\}}$, so the Laplace expansion by the column j expresses it as the same (i, j) minor multiplied by $(-1)^{j+|J \cap [i]|} Z_i^j$. In conclusion, both maximal determinants are given by the same product, hence $\langle Z_{J \cup \{i\}} \rangle = \langle (Z_{-i}^{-j})_J \rangle$.

The lemma follows by applying Lemma 4.11 back and forth. Note that all maximal determinants of $\text{inc}_i C$ vanish unless one of their $k+1$ columns is C^i . Therefore, we restrict the summation to terms of the form $J \cup \{i\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{Z}(\text{inc}_i(C)) \rangle_{Z_I} &= \sum_{J \in \binom{N \setminus \{i\}}{k}} s(J \cup \{i\}, I) \langle (\text{inc}_i C)^{J \cup \{i\}} \rangle \langle Z_{I \cup J \cup \{i\}} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{J \in \binom{N \setminus \{i\}}{k}} s(J, I) (-1)^{|I \cap [i]|} \langle C^J \rangle \langle (Z_{-i}^{-j})_{I \cup J} \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|I \cap [i]|} \langle \tilde{Z}_{-i}^{-j}(C) \rangle_{(Z_{-i}^{-j})_I} \end{aligned}$$

The second line is obtained by the definitions of the sign $s(J, I)$ and the map inc_i , together with the identity of determinants shown above. Finally, note that this calculation holds in the case $k = 0$ as well, when there is a single term $J = \emptyset$, and the 0×0 determinant $\langle C^J \rangle = 1$ by convention. \square

Lemma 4.21 has the following useful corollary for functionaries.

Lemma 4.22. *Let $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ and $i \notin N$, and let $F(x_I : I \in \binom{N}{k+4})$ be a homogeneous polynomial. If $\text{sign } F(\langle \tilde{Z}(C) Z_I \rangle : I \in \binom{N}{k+4}) = s \in \{\pm 1\}$, then $\text{sign } F((-1)^{|I \cap [i]|} \langle \tilde{Z}(\text{inc}_i C) Z_I \rangle : I \in \binom{N}{k+4}) = s$.*

Proof. If $Z \in \text{Mat}_{(N \cup \{i\}) \times [k+5]}^{\geq}$ is a positive matrix, let $j \in [k+5]$ be such that $Z_i^j \neq 0$. As shown in the proof of the previous lemma, $\langle Z_{J \cup \{i\}} \rangle = \langle (Z_{-i}^{-j})_J \rangle$ for all $J \in \binom{N}{k+4}$, hence $Z_{-i}^{-j} \in \text{Mat}_{N \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$ is a positive matrix as well. Thus $F((-1)^{|I \cap [i]|} \langle \tilde{Z}(\text{inc}_i C) Z_I \rangle : I \in \binom{N}{k+4}) = F(\langle \tilde{Z}_{-i}^{-j}(C) (Z_{-i}^{-j})_I \rangle : I \in \binom{N}{k+4})$ has the given fixed sign s . \square

Example 4.23. If $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,1235678}^{\geq}$ is such that $\langle \tilde{Z}(C) 1356 \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}(C) 2567 \rangle > 0$ for all positive $7 \times (k+4)$ matrices Z , then $\langle \tilde{Z}(\text{inc}_4 C) 1356 \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}(\text{inc}_4 C) 2567 \rangle < 0$ for all positive $8 \times (k+5)$ matrices Z .

We continue with the two matrix operations $x_i^k(t), y_i^k(t) : \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$, which add to some column a t -multiple of an adjacent column, usually applied with $t \in (0, \infty)$. Recall from Definition 3.4 that these maps act as right multiplication $C \mapsto C \cdot [x_i(t)]$ by $N \times N$ matrices. These matrices are $[x_i(t)] = \text{Id}_N + t E_i^{i+1}$ and $[y_i(t)] = \text{Id}_N + t E_{i+1}^i$, with the “overflow” exception that if $i = \max N$ and k is even then $t E_{\max N}^{\max N}$ or $t E_{\min N}^{\max N}$ is subtracted rather than added. The following lemma examines the effect of these transformations on the twistor coordinates.

Lemma 4.24. *Let $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ and $Z \in \text{Mat}_{N \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$ for some N and $k \geq 0$. For $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $i \in N$ let $Z' = [x_i(t)]Z$ and $Z'' = [y_i(t)]Z$. For every $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} \subseteq N$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{Z}'(C) Z'_I \rangle &= \langle \tilde{Z}(x_i(t) C) Z_I \rangle + \delta[i \in I, i+1 \notin I] (-1)^{(k-1)\delta[i=\max N]} t \langle \tilde{Z}(x_i(t) C) Z_{I \cup \{i+1\} \setminus \{i\}} \rangle \\ \langle \tilde{Z}''(C) Z''_I \rangle &= \langle \tilde{Z}(y_i(t) C) Z_I \rangle + \delta[i \notin I, i+1 \in I] (-1)^{(k-1)\delta[i=\max N]} t \langle \tilde{Z}(y_i(t) C) Z_{I \cup \{i\} \setminus \{i+1\}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The positivity of Z' and Z'' follows from the positivity of Z and $t \geq 0$, for the same reasons that the nonnegativity of C is preserved under x_i and y_i . Note that this argument relies on the sign coefficient $(-1)^{k-1} = (-1)^{k+4-1}$ in the overflow case $i = \max N$. Hence the induced maps \tilde{Z}' and \tilde{Z}'' are well-defined from $\text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ to $\text{Gr}_{k,k+4}$.

The first k rows of the determinants on the left are $\tilde{Z}'(C) = C \cdot [x_i(t)] \cdot Z = (x_i(t) C) \cdot Z = \tilde{Z}(x_i(t) C)$ by the associativity of matrix multiplication. Every row $j \neq i$ remains $Z'_j = Z_j$ while $Z'_i = Z_i \pm t Z_{i+1}$, with subtraction if and only if k is even and $i = \max N$. If $i \notin I$ then clearly $Z_I = Z'_I$ and the claim follows. If both $i \in I$ and $i+1 \in I$ then Z_I and Z'_I differ by a unimodular row operation, so $\langle Y Z'_I \rangle = \langle Y Z_I \rangle$. The remaining case that $i \in I$ and $i+1 \notin I$ gives rise to the additional term $\pm t \langle \tilde{Z}(x_i(t) C) Z_{I \cup \{i+1\} \setminus \{i\}} \rangle$ by the linearity of the determinant in each row. The argument for y_i is analogous, where $Z''_{i+1} = Z_{i+1} \pm t Z_i$ and the exceptional case is that $i \notin I$ and $i+1 \in I$. \square

Example 4.25. Take $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ and $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^{\geq}$ and $i = 3$. Let $Z' = [x_3(t)]Z$ as in the lemma, and denote $Y = \tilde{Z}'(C) = \tilde{Z}(x_3(t) C)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y Z'_2 Z'_5 Z'_6 Z'_7 \rangle &= \langle Y Z_2 Z_5 Z_6 Z_7 \rangle \\ \langle Y Z'_2 Z'_3 Z'_4 Z'_7 \rangle &= \langle Y Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 Z_7 \rangle \\ \langle Y Z'_2 Z'_3 Z'_6 Z'_7 \rangle &= \langle Y Z_2 Z_3 Z_6 Z_7 \rangle + t \langle Y Z_2 Z_4 Z_6 Z_7 \rangle \\ \langle Y Z'_2 Z'_4 Z'_6 Z'_7 \rangle &= \langle Y Z_2 Z_4 Z_6 Z_7 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous matrix operations, we state the following useful corollary for functionaries. Unlike the previous cases, here the resulting functionary computed at $Y = \tilde{Z}(x_i(t)C)$ depends on the preimages in the Grassmannian via the real parameter t . In some cases where we use this Lemma, t is expressible using $\langle Y Z_I \rangle$ twistors as well.

Lemma 4.26. *Let $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$, $i \in N$, and $t \in (0, \infty)$, and let $F(x_I : I \in \binom{N}{4})$ be a homogeneous polynomial. If $\text{sign } F(\langle \tilde{Z}(C) Z_I \rangle : I \in \binom{N}{4}) = s \in \{\pm 1\}$, then the functionaries*

$$F\left(\langle \tilde{Z}(x_i(t)C) Z_I \rangle + \delta[i \in I, i \oplus 1 \notin I](-1)^{(k-1)\delta[i=\max N]} t \langle \tilde{Z}(x_i(t)C) Z_{I \cup \{i \oplus 1\} \setminus \{i\}} \rangle : I \in \binom{N}{4}\right)$$

$$F\left(\langle \tilde{Z}(y_i(t)C) Z_I \rangle + \delta[i \notin I, i \oplus 1 \in I](-1)^{(k-1)\delta[i=\max N]} t \langle \tilde{Z}(y_i(t)C) Z_{I \cup \{i\} \setminus \{i \oplus 1\}} \rangle : I \in \binom{N}{4}\right)$$

have the same fixed sign s .

Remark 4.27. For every even m , twistors and functionaries of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}(Z)$ transform similarly to the above lemmas, under the application of the matrix operations pre , inc , x and y to a preimage $C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ under $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+m)}^{\geq}$. The situation is different for odd m only in the overflow case, where $x_n(t)$ or $y_n(t)$ acts on the last and first columns. While the matrix $[x_n^k(t)] = \text{Id}_n + (-1)^{(k-1)} t E_1^n$ preserves the nonnegativity of C , a different matrix $[x_n^k(-t)] = \text{Id}_n + (-1)^{(k+m-1)} t E_1^n$ is required in order to preserve the positivity of Z .

We proceed to the matrix operation $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_r) : \text{Gr}_{k-1,N}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,N \cup \{i\}}^{\geq}$, given in Definition 3.6. Since $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}$ composes a sequence of $x_{i \oplus j}$ and $y_{i \ominus j}$ on inc_i , it transforms functionaries as in the above analysis of these operations. Thus, the resulting functionaries depend on the real parameters $t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_r$. We first analyze the effect of $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}$ for $m = 4$ and any l and r , though later we focus on the case that $l + r = 4$ as well.

Lemma 4.28. *Let $C \in \text{Gr}_{k-1,N}^{\geq}$, $i \notin N$, $l \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$ for $l+r \leq |N|$, $(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_r) \in (0, \infty)^{l+r}$, and let $F(x_I : I \in \binom{N}{4})$ be a homogeneous polynomial. If $\text{sign } F(\langle \tilde{Z}(C) Z_I \rangle : I \in \binom{N}{4}) = s \in \{\pm 1\}$, then*

$$\text{sign } F\left((-1)^{|I \cap [i]|} \left\langle \tilde{Z}(\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_r)C) Z_I \right\rangle : I \in \binom{N}{4}\right) = s$$

when multilinearly expanded as a functionary with respect to $Z \in \text{Mat}_{(N \cup \{i\}) \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$ via

$$Z' = [x_i(s_1)] \cdot [x_{i \oplus 1}(s_2)] \cdots [x_{i \oplus (r-1)}(s_r)] \cdot [y_{i \ominus 1}(t_1)] \cdot [y_{i \ominus 2}(t_2)] \cdots [y_{i \ominus l}(t_l)] \cdot Z$$

Proof. Let $Z \in \text{Mat}_{(N \cup \{i\}) \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$. Using the definition of $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}$, the relation between Z and Z' , and the associativity of matrix multiplication, write the twistors of $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}(C)$ in the lemma as $\langle \tilde{Z}'(\text{inc}_i C) Z'_I \rangle$. The matrix $Z' \in \text{Mat}_{(N \cup \{i\}) \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$ is positive as argued in Lemma 4.24 for a single x_i operation. Hence the expression in the lemma has the same sign s by Lemma 4.22.

It is left to show that this expression is a functionary with respect to Z . The four rows of every Z'_I are linear combinations of the rows of Z . Hence every twistor $\langle Y Z'_I \rangle$ can be expanded linearly, successively in each of the four rows, and expressed as a linear combination of twistors of the form $\langle Y Z_J \rangle$. Repeating for all twistors in F , we obtain a functionary at $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}(C)$ with respect to Z as required.

In more detail, and for later reference, we spell out the relation of rows of Z' to rows of Z . These are used below when computing the functionaries that arise from this lemma. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_j &= Z_j & j \notin \{i \ominus (l-1), \dots, i \oplus (r-1)\} \\ Z'_{i \ominus j} &= Z_{i \ominus j} \pm t_{j+1} Z'_{i \ominus (j+1)} & 0 < j < l \\ Z'_{i \oplus j} &= Z_{i \oplus j} \pm s_{j+1} Z'_{i \oplus (j+1)} & 0 < j < r \\ Z'_i &= Z_i \pm t_1 Z'_{i \ominus 1} \pm s_1 Z'_{i \oplus 1} \end{aligned}$$

where the \pm sign is $+$ in the consecutive case, and $(-1)^{k-1}$ in the overflow case, i.e., when the two row indices are $\min(N \cup \{i\})$ and $\max(N \cup \{i\})$. The formula for $Z'_{i \ominus j}$ follows from the application of $[y_{i \ominus (j+1)}(t_{j+1})]$ on Z . The added term is specifically $Z'_{i \ominus (j+1)}$ rather than $Z_{i \ominus (j+1)}$ because of a previous application of $[y_{i \ominus (j+2)}(t_{j+2})]$. The formula for $Z'_{i \oplus j}$ similarly follows from $[x_{i \oplus j}(s_{j+1})]$. The case of Z'_i follows from both $[x_i(s_1)]$ and $[y_{i \ominus 1}(t_1)]$.

From these recursive relations, we can expand every row Z'_j as a linear combination of the rows of Z as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_{i \ominus l} &= Z_{i \ominus l} \\ Z'_{i \ominus (l-1)} &= Z_{i \ominus (l-1)} \pm t_l Z_{i \ominus l} \\ Z'_{i \ominus (l-2)} &= Z_{i \ominus (l-2)} \pm t_{l-1} Z_{i \ominus (l-1)} \pm t_{l-1} t_l Z_{i \ominus l} \\ &\vdots \\ Z'_{i \ominus 1} &= Z_{i \ominus 1} \pm t_2 Z_{i \ominus 2} \pm t_2 t_3 Z_{i \ominus 3} \pm \cdots \pm (t_2 t_3 \cdots t_l) Z_{i \ominus l} \end{aligned}$$

where the sign of the term $\pm Z_p$ in the expansion of Z'_q is $+$ unless k is even and $p > q$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_{i \oplus r} &= Z_{i \oplus r} \\ Z'_{i \oplus (r-1)} &= Z_{i \oplus (r-1)} \pm s_r Z_{i \oplus r} \\ Z'_{i \oplus (r-2)} &= Z_{i \oplus (r-2)} \pm s_{r-1} Z_{i \oplus (r-1)} \pm s_{r-1} s_r Z_{i \oplus r} \\ &\vdots \\ Z'_{i \oplus 1} &= Z_{i \oplus 1} \pm s_2 Z_{i \oplus 2} \pm s_2 s_3 Z_{i \oplus 3} \pm \cdots \pm (s_2 s_3 \cdots s_r) Z_{i \oplus r} \end{aligned}$$

where the sign of the term $\pm Z_p$ in the expansion of Z'_q is $+$ unless k is even and $p < q$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_i &= Z_i \pm t_1 Z_{i \ominus 1} \pm t_1 t_2 Z_{i \ominus 2} \pm \cdots \pm (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_l) Z_{i \ominus l} \\ &\quad \pm s_1 Z_{i \oplus 1} \pm s_1 s_2 Z_{i \oplus 2} \pm \cdots \pm (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r) Z_{i \oplus r} \end{aligned}$$

where \pm is $(-1)^{k-1}$ for terms with index $i \ominus j > i$ or $i \oplus j < i$, and $+$ otherwise.

Expanding each twistor using these combinations for its four Z'_I rows, we obtain a functionary of $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}(C)$ with respect to Z as claimed above. \square

Remark 4.29. Let $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} \subset N \cup \{i\}$. In the setting of Lemma 4.28, we point to some cases in which the expansion of $\langle Y Z'_I \rangle$ in the twistors $\langle Y Z_J \rangle$ can be simplified.

1. If $\{i \ominus p, i \ominus q\} \subset I$ for $0 \leq p < q \leq l$, truncate the expansion of $Z'_{i \ominus p}$ from the term $Z_{i \ominus q}$.
2. If $\{i \oplus p, i \oplus q\} \subset I$ for $0 \leq p < q \leq r$, truncate the expansion of $Z'_{i \oplus p}$ from the term $Z_{i \oplus q}$.
3. If $\{i \ominus p, i \ominus (p+1)\} \subset I$ for $0 < p < l$, then replace $Z'_{i \ominus p}$ by $Z_{i \ominus p}$.
4. If $\{i \oplus p, i \oplus (p+1)\} \subset I$ for $0 < p < r$, then replace $Z'_{i \oplus p}$ by $Z_{i \oplus p}$.
5. If $\{i \ominus l, \dots, i, \dots, i \oplus r\} \setminus I = \{i \ominus l', \dots, i, \dots, i \oplus r'\}$ for some $l', r' \geq 0$ then $\langle Y Z'_I \rangle = \langle Y Z_I \rangle$.

Proof. The first two cases follow from the general formulas for Z'_j in the proof of Lemma 4.28, noting that twistors where $Z'_{i \ominus q}$ or $Z'_{i \oplus q}$ appears twice must vanish. The remaining are special cases. \square

The functionary generated by $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}$ in Lemma 4.28 contains the parameters $t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_r$ in the coefficients of twistors. Apparently, these coefficients depend not only on the point $Y \in \mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}$ but also on its preimage $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}(t_1, \dots, s_r) C \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$. A key step in the promotion of functionaries is that when $l + r \leq 4$ these variables can generically be recovered from the twistor coordinates of Y .

Lemma 4.30. Let $C = \overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,r}(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_r) C' \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ for some $t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $C' \in \text{Gr}_{k-1,N \setminus \{i\}}^{\geq}$ such that $i \in N$ and $l + r \leq 4$. Let $J = \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5\} \subseteq N$ be such that $\{i \ominus l, \dots, i, \dots, i \oplus r\} \subseteq J$. Then, for every $Z \in \text{Mat}_{N \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$,

$$\begin{aligned} t_j &= \frac{-\langle CZ \ Z_{J \setminus \{i \ominus j\}} \rangle}{\langle CZ \ Z_{J \setminus \{i \ominus (j-1)\}} \rangle} (-1)^{(k-1)\delta[i \ominus j = \max N]} & 1 \leq j \leq l \\ s_j &= \frac{-\langle CZ \ Z_{J \setminus \{i \oplus j\}} \rangle}{\langle CZ \ Z_{J \setminus \{i \oplus (j-1)\}} \rangle} (-1)^{(k-1)\delta[i \oplus j = \min N]} & 1 \leq j \leq r \end{aligned}$$

as long as the denominators are nonzero.

These formulas follow by comparing the two determinants, noting that one row of CZ gives essentially $Z_{i \ominus (j-1)} + t_j Z_{i \ominus j}$. We omit further details, and instead state the following lemma, which formulates the relation between variables and twistors suitably for our purposes.

Lemma 4.31. Let $C = \overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,4-l}(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_{4-l}) C' \in \text{Gr}_{k,N}^{\geq}$ for some $C' \in \text{Gr}_{k-1,N \setminus \{i\}}^{\geq}$ where $i \in N$, and $(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_{4-l}) \in (0, \infty)^4$. Denote $J = \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5\} = \{i \ominus l, \dots, i, \dots, i \oplus (4-l)\}$ in this order. If $j_1 < j_5$ then for every $Z \in \text{Mat}_{N \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$ the vector

$$\left(\langle \tilde{Z}(C) \ Z_{J \setminus \{j_1\}} \rangle, -\langle \tilde{Z}(C) \ Z_{J \setminus \{j_2\}} \rangle, \langle \tilde{Z}(C) \ Z_{J \setminus \{j_3\}} \rangle, -\langle \tilde{Z}(C) \ Z_{J \setminus \{j_4\}} \rangle, \langle \tilde{Z}(C) \ Z_{J \setminus \{j_5\}} \rangle \right)$$

is a nonnegative scalar multiple of the vector

$$\left((t_1 t_2 \cdots t_l), \dots, t_1 t_2, t_1, 1, s_1, s_1 s_2, \dots, (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{4-l}) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^5$$

If $j_5 < j_1$ then the same holds where each $\pm \langle \tilde{Z}(C) \ Z_{J \setminus \{j_h\}} \rangle$ is multiplied by $(-1)^{k|\{\min N, \dots, j_5\} \setminus \{j_h\}|}$.

Example 4.32. On the image of $\tilde{Z} \circ \overleftarrow{\tau}_{5,2,2}(t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{7,k,4}(Z)$,

$$(\langle 4567 \rangle, -\langle 3567 \rangle, \langle 3467 \rangle, -\langle 3457 \rangle, \langle 3456 \rangle) \propto (t_1 t_2, t_1, 1, s_1, s_1 s_2)$$

Example 4.33. On the image of $\tilde{Z} \circ \overleftarrow{\tau}_{1,3,1}(t_1, t_2, t_3, s_1)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{7,k,4}(Z)$,

$$(\langle 1267 \rangle, -\langle 1257 \rangle, \langle 1256 \rangle, -(-1)^k \langle 2567 \rangle, +(-1)^k \langle 1567 \rangle) \propto (t_1 t_2 t_3, t_1 t_2, t_1, 1, s_1)$$

Specializing Lemma 4.31 even to one coordinate, it already shows that certain twistors are either nonnegative or nonpositive on some parts of the amplituhedron, such as $\langle 3567 \rangle$, $\langle 3457 \rangle$, $\langle 1257 \rangle$, and $\langle 2567 \rangle$ in the above examples. The other twistors in these examples have a constant sign on the amplituhedron by Lemma 4.13. We remark that Lemma 4.31 easily generalizes to all even m , with $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,m-l}$ and $|J| = m + 1$, and also to odd m in the case $j_1 < j_{m+1}$.

The proof of Lemma 4.31 is given below, using the following observation from linear algebra, which is stated as a separate lemma for later use.

Lemma 4.34. Let $k, m \geq 1$ and let $Y \in \text{Mat}_{k \times (k+m)}^*$ and $Z \in \text{Mat}_{(m+1) \times (k+m)}^*$ be two matrices of full rank. If at least one of the $m + 1$ determinants

$$\langle Y \ Z_2 \ Z_3 \ \cdots \ Z_{m+1} \rangle, \langle Y \ Z_1 \ Z_3 \ \cdots \ Z_{m+1} \rangle, \dots, \langle Y \ Z_1 \ Z_2 \ \cdots \ Z_m \rangle$$

is nonzero, then $\text{span}(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \cap \text{span}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{m+1})$ is a one-dimensional space, spanned by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{j-1} \langle Y \ Z_1 \ \cdots \ Z_{j-1} \ Z_{j+1} \ \cdots \ Z_{m+1} \rangle Z_j$$

Proof. Since one of the $m+1$ determinants is nonzero, the space $\text{span}(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Z_1, \dots, Z_{m+1})$ is of full dimension $k+m$. The matrices Y and Z have full ranks k and $m+1$ respectively, and it follows that the subspace $\text{span}(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \cap \text{span}(Z_1, \dots, Z_{m+1})$ is exactly one-dimensional. Consider a nonzero vector in this intersection:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} b_j Z_j$$

For $p, q \in [m+1]$, apply to both sides the linear functional $f_{pq}(u) = \langle Y Z_{[m+1] \setminus \{p, q\}} u \rangle$. Since $f_{pq}(Y_i) = 0$ for every $i \in [k]$, and $f_{pq}(Z_j) = 0$ for every $j \notin \{p, q\}$,

$$0 = b_p f_{pq}(Z_p) + b_q f_{pq}(Z_q)$$

Hence, the ratios between the coefficients b_1, \dots, b_{m+1} are given by

$$\frac{b_p}{b_q} = -\frac{\langle Y Z_{[m+1] \setminus \{p, q\}} Z_q \rangle}{\langle Y Z_{[m+1] \setminus \{p, q\}} Z_p \rangle} = (-1)^{p-q} \frac{\langle Y Z_{[m+1] \setminus \{p\}} \rangle}{\langle Y Z_{[m+1] \setminus \{q\}} \rangle}$$

The claim follows by starting from any $b_q \neq 0$. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.31. First consider the case $j_1 < j_5$. The point $C \in \text{Gr}_{k, N}$ is as usual regarded as a nonnegative representative $k \times N$ matrix. Since C arises from $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i, l, 4-l}$ it contains a row C_k with the five nonzero entries

$$C_k^J = \left((t_1 t_2 \cdots t_l), \dots, t_1 t_2, t_1, 1, s_1, s_1 s_2, \dots, (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{4-l}) \right)$$

Therefore, the image $Y = \tilde{Z}(C)$ contains the corresponding row

$$Y_k = (CZ)_k = C_k^{j_1} Z_{j_1} + C_k^{j_2} Z_{j_2} + C_k^{j_3} Z_{j_3} + C_k^{j_4} Z_{j_4} + C_k^{j_5} Z_{j_5} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+4}$$

The $5 \times (k+4)$ matrix Z_J has a full rank by the positivity of Z , and so does the $k \times (k+4)$ matrix Y . By Lemma 4.34, the five twistors

$$\left(+\langle Y j_2 j_3 j_4 j_5 \rangle_Z, -\langle Y j_1 j_3 j_4 j_5 \rangle_Z, +\langle Y j_1 j_2 j_4 j_5 \rangle_Z, -\langle Y j_1 j_2 j_3 j_5 \rangle_Z, +\langle Y j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4 \rangle_Z \right)$$

are proportional to the coefficients $C_k^{j_1}, \dots, C_k^{j_5}$. Also, if these twistors all vanish then the required claim holds as well, where the proportion equals zero. Otherwise, the proportion is positive because the first term $(t_1 \cdots t_l) > 0$ while the first twistor $\langle Y j_2 j_3 j_4 j_5 \rangle$ is nonnegative by Lemma 4.13.

The overflow case $j_5 < j_1$ is similar with some sign adjustments. In exactly one of the two intervals $J' = \{j_1, \dots, \max N\}$ or $J'' = \{\min N, \dots, j_5\}$, the coefficients $C_k^{j_h}$ gain a factor of $(-1)^{k-1}$ due to an x_j or y_j operation. Thus, by Lemma 4.34, the vector $(C_k^{j_1}, \dots, C_k^{j_5})$ is proportional to the same five twistors with some \pm signs, that still alternate within each of the two parts. The row reordering that rewrites $\langle Y j_1 \cdots j_{h-1} j_{h+1} \cdots j_5 \rangle$ as $\langle Y J \setminus \{j_h\} \rangle$ contributes another (-1) factor to the twistors in either J' or J'' , depending on parity. Note that the parity of $|J'' \setminus \{j_h\}|$ detects exactly whether $j_h \in J'$ or J'' . In conclusion, the ratio $(-1)^{h-1} (-1)^{|J'' \setminus \{j_h\}|} \langle Y J \setminus \{j_h\} \rangle / C_k^{j_h}$ is equal to a single proportionality constant for all $h \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, which is again nonnegative by the two cases of Lemma 4.13. \square

We return to the setting of Lemma 4.28, which analyzes the evolution of a functionary F under the matrix operation $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i, l, r}(t_1, \dots, s_r)$. In the case $l+r=4$, the output matrix has a row with five nonzero entries, and one can apply Lemma 4.31 in order to decouple the resulting functionary from the apparent dependence on the parameters t_1, \dots, s_{4-l} . The following lemma gives formulas that generically let us express this functionary only in terms of twistors of the image under \tilde{Z} .

Lemma 4.35. Let $Y = \tilde{Z}(\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,4-l}(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_{4-l}) C)$ for some $C \in \text{Gr}_{k-1, N \setminus \{i\}}^{\geq}$ where $i \notin N$ and $Z \in \text{Mat}_{N \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$. Then, the rows of the matrix

$$Z' = [x_i(s_1)] \cdot [x_{i \oplus 1}(s_2)] \cdots [x_{i \oplus (3-l)}(s_{4-l})] \cdot [y_{i \ominus 1}(t_1)] \cdot [y_{i \ominus 2}(t_2)] \cdots [y_{i \ominus l}(t_l)] \cdot Z$$

satisfy the following formulas, regardless of the nonnegative variables $(t_1, \dots, t_l, s_1, \dots, s_{4-l})$.

1. If $j = i \ominus l$ or $j = i \oplus (4-l)$ or $j \notin J = \{i \ominus l, \dots, i \oplus (4-l)\}$ then $Z'_j = Z_j$.
2. If $i \ominus l \leq j \leq i \ominus 1$ then

$$\langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{j\}} \rangle Z'_j = \langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{j\}} \rangle Z_j - \langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{j \oplus 1\}} \rangle Z_{j \oplus 1} + \dots \pm \langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{i \ominus l\}} \rangle Z_{i \ominus l}$$

3. If $i \oplus 1 \leq j \leq i \oplus (4-l)$ then

$$\langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{j\}} \rangle Z'_j = \langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{j\}} \rangle Z_j - \langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{j \oplus 1\}} \rangle Z_{j \oplus 1} + \dots \pm \langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{i \oplus (4-l)\}} \rangle Z_{i \oplus (4-l)}$$

Proof. These relations follow immediately from those derived in the proof of Lemma 4.28. The case $Z'_j = Z_j$ is only restated. The second case is obtained by replacing the coefficients $1, t_{j+1}, t_{j+1}t_{j+2}, \dots$ with proportional twistors, supplied by Lemma 4.31. The third case is similarly obtained by replacing the coefficients $1, s_{j+1}, s_{j+1}s_{j+2}, \dots, (s_{j+1} \cdots s_{4-l})$.

We note that a combined formula holds for Z'_i and it is omitted from this lemma. Also the overflow cases are similar with possible sign adjustments, as derived in the proofs of Lemmas 4.28 and 4.31, and not repeated here. \square

The upshot of Lemma 4.35 is the following procedure for analyzing the effect of $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,4-l}$ on twistors and functionaries. Suppose that a functionary F of fixed sign at C is given, and we are interested in the consequences for $Y = \tilde{Z}(\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,4-l}(t_1, \dots, s_{4-l}) C)$. Then, express F using twistors of the form $\langle Y Z'_I \rangle$ as in Lemma 4.28. Suppose also that the twistor $\langle Y Z_{J \setminus \{j\}} \rangle$ is nonzero for each Z'_j in this expression. This depends in general on the given point C , though usually sufficient to verify for one of five twistors, by Lemma 4.31. Then, expand each $\langle Y Z'_I \rangle$ as a rational function in twistors of Z using Lemma 4.35. Usually the product of twistors in the denominator has a fixed and computable sign, by Lemma 4.31. Then, multiply by these twistors to obtain a functionary, only with twistor of Y and Z , that has a known fixed sign. This procedure is demonstrated by Examples 4.37, 4.38, and 4.40 below.

Remark 4.36. Recall Definition 4.5 of a pure functionary, where the multiplicity of every occurring index is the same in all monomials. The promotion F' of a pure functionary F under $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,4-l}$ is also pure, with a different type. If one applies Lemma 4.35 with $J = \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5\} = \{i \ominus l, \dots, i, \dots, i \oplus (4-l)\}$ then the degree of F' is larger than the degree of F by $\sum_{j \in J} d_j(F)$. In this case, the multiplicity of each $j \notin J$ stays $d_j(F') = d_j(F)$, and the multiplicity of each $j \in J$ becomes $d_j(F') = \sum_{j \in J \setminus \{i\}} d_j(F)$. Using Remark 4.29, one may reduce the degree and multiplicities. See the examples below.

In conclusion, the results of this section let us compute new functionaries from given ones. Let F be a pure functionary that has a fixed sign at some point or some cell in the nonnegative Grassmannian. In many cases, Lemmas 4.20, 4.22, 4.26, 4.28, 4.30, 4.31 and 4.35 let us compute new pure functionaries of fixed sign on its image under the matrix operations pre_i , inc_i , x_i , y_i , and $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,l,4-l}$. We call the resulting functionary the *promotion* of F .

4.3 Lower and Upper Embeddings

Recall the upper embedding $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{1,3,1}$ and the lower embedding $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{n-2,2,2}$ from Definition 3.7. In this section we analyze some important cases of promotion of twistors and functionaries under these operations. It is helpful to first illustrate the procedure with a specific example.

Example 4.37. Let $C' \in \text{Gr}_{k-1,234567}^{\geq}$ and suppose that the functionary $\langle 3457 \rangle$ has a fixed sign $+1$ at C' . This means that $\langle \tilde{Z}(C') Z_3 Z_4 Z_5 Z_7 \rangle > 0$ for all $Z \in \text{Mat}_{234567 \times [k+3]}^{\geq}$, which is for example the case if it arises from $C' = \text{pre}_6 C''$, by Lemmas 4.13 and 4.20. Suppose also that we are interested in the upper embedding $C = \overleftarrow{\tau}_{1,3,1}(t, t', t'', s) C'$ for all $(t, t', t'', s) \in (0, \infty)^4$.

Lemma 4.28 says that also $\langle \tilde{Z}(C) Z'_3 Z'_4 Z'_5 Z'_7 \rangle$ is a functionary of fixed sign $+1$, when expanded in rows of $Z \in \text{Mat}_{1234567 \times [k+4]}^{\geq}$ using the relation $Z' = [x_1(s)] \cdot [y_7(t)] \cdot [y_6(t')] \cdot [y_5(t'')] \cdot Z$. By the first case of Lemma 4.35, we obtain $Z'_3 = Z_3$, $Z'_4 = Z_4$, and $Z'_5 = Z_5$. The second case yields

$$\langle \tilde{Z}(C) 1256 \rangle Z'_7 = \langle \tilde{Z}(C) 1256 \rangle Z_7 - \langle \tilde{Z}(C) 1257 \rangle Z_6 + \langle \tilde{Z}(C) 1267 \rangle Z_5$$

Substituting the Z'_j in the functionary, we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{Z}(C) 1256 \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}(C) Z'_3 Z'_4 Z'_5 Z'_7 \rangle = \langle \tilde{Z}(C) 1256 \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}(C) 3457 \rangle - \langle \tilde{Z}(C) 1257 \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}(C) 3456 \rangle$$

Note that the third terms drops since $\langle 3455 \rangle = 0$, which demonstrates a case of Remark 4.29. The twistor $\langle 1256 \rangle$ is nonnegative by Lemma 4.13. If C is such that this twistor is strictly positive at C , then the above expression is nonzero. In conclusion, the promotion of $\langle 3457 \rangle$ under the upper embedding $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{1,3,1}$ is $\langle 1256 \rangle \langle 3457 \rangle - \langle 1257 \rangle \langle 3456 \rangle$, and it has the same fixed sign. By Definition 4.7 it is written also as $\ll 67 \mid 12 \mid 34 \mid 5 \gg$, or as $\ll 12 \mid 34 \mid 67 \mid 5 \gg$ by Lemma 4.10.

Example 4.38. Consider a point C in image of the lower embedding

$$\overleftarrow{\tau}_{n-2,2,2}(t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2) : \text{Gr}_{k-1,[n] \setminus \{n-2\}}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,[n]}^{\geq}$$

for any positive t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 . Let $Y = \tilde{Z}(C)$ where $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^{\geq}$ may be any such matrix. We assume throughout this example that C is such that $\langle Y \ n-3 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle_Z \neq 0$, and omit Y and Z from all twistor notations. By Lemma 4.31, the following vectors are positively proportional.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle n-3 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \\ -\langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \\ \langle n-4 \ n-3 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \\ -\langle n-4 \ n-3 \ n-2 \ n \rangle \\ \langle n-4 \ n-3 \ n-2 \ n-1 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_2 t_1 \\ t_1 \\ 1 \\ s_1 \\ s_1 s_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose that at some preimage of C under $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{n-2,2,2}(t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2)$ the twistor $\langle j \ j+1 \ n-3 \ n \rangle$ has a fixed sign s , for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n-5\}$. Since $|\{j, j+1, n-3, n\} \cap [n-2]| = 3$, Lemma 4.28 gives

$$\text{sign} \langle Y \ Z'_j \ Z'_{j+1} \ Z'_{n-3} \ Z'_n \rangle = -s$$

when regarded as a functionary at $Y = \tilde{Z}(C)$ after expanding rows of Z' in rows of Z . By the formulas derived in that lemma, $Z'_j = Z_j$, $Z'_{j+1} = Z_{j+1}$, $Z'_n = Z_n$, and $Z'_{n-3} = Z_{n-3} + t_2 Z_{n-4}$. The latter is written in twistors using Lemma 4.35 as

$$\langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z'_{n-3} = \langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z_{n-3} - \langle n-3 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z_{n-4}$$

By the above proportional vectors, $\langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle < 0$ at Y for every Z , hence

$$\text{sign} \langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \langle Z'_j \ Z'_{j+1} \ Z'_{n-3} \ Z'_n \rangle = s$$

We use the above relations between Z' and Z to expand this expression, and find the following functionary with respect to Z that has the fixed sign s at C .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \langle Z'_j \ Z'_{j+1} \ Z'_{n-3} \ Z'_n \rangle \\
&= \langle Z'_j \ Z'_{j+1} \ \langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z'_{n-3} \ Z'_n \rangle \\
&= \langle Z_j \ Z_{j+1} \ (\langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z_{n-3} - \langle n-3 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z_{n-4}) \ Z_n \rangle \\
&= \langle n-4 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \langle j \ j+1 \ n-3 \ n \rangle - \langle n-3 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \langle j \ j+1 \ n-4 \ n \rangle \\
&= \langle\langle n-4 \ n-3 \mid n-2 \ n-1 \mid j \ j+1 \mid n \rangle\rangle \\
&= \langle\langle j \ j+1 \mid n-4 \ n-3 \mid n-2 \ n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Note that this derivation covers also the extremal case $j = n - 5$, where the index $n - 4$ repeats twice in the result. In this case, $\langle j \ j+1 \ n-4 \ n \rangle = 0$, and the second term drops. Equivalently, Remark 4.29 lets us replace Z'_{n-3} directly by Z_{n-3} in this case, and learn that $\text{sign}\langle n-5 \ n-4 \ n-3 \ n \rangle = -s$ at C .

One use case of this derivation is when the preimage of C has a column of zeros at the position $n - 1$. In other words, for some C' and C'' ,

$$C = \overleftarrow{\tau}_{n-2,2,2}(t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2) C' \quad C' = \text{pre}_{n-1} C'' \quad C'' \in \text{Gr}_{k-1, [n] \setminus \{n-1, n-2\}}^{\geq}$$

By Lemma 4.13, $\text{sign}\langle j \ j+1 \ n-3 \ n \rangle = +1$ at C'' for every j , and also at C' using Lemma 4.20. Therefore, the quadratic functionary $\langle\langle j \ j+1 \mid n-4 \ n-3 \mid n-2 \ n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$ is nonnegative at every such C .

Example 4.39. We focus on the special case $k = 1$ of the previous example, where the promotion is applied on a $0 \times (n - 1)$ matrix. We demonstrate with direct calculations that there is no exception in this case. After $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{n-2,2,2}$ the resulting $1 \times n$ matrix C has the form $(0, \dots, 0, a_{n-4}, a_{n-3}, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, a_n)$, which is the domino matrix of a single chord from $(n - 4, n - 3)$ to $(n - 2, n - 1)$. For $j \leq n - 5$, we expand in the first row every twistor that participates in the functionary $\langle\langle j \ j+1 \mid n-4 \ n-3 \mid n-2 \ n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle j \ n-4 \ n-3 \ n \rangle \langle j+1 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle - \langle j+1 \ n-4 \ n-3 \ n \rangle \langle j \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \\
&= (-a_{n-2} \langle Z_{j, n-4, n-3, n-2, n} \rangle - a_{n-1} \langle Z_{j, n-4, n-3, n-1, n} \rangle) \\
&\quad \cdot (-a_{n-4} \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle - a_{n-3} \langle Z_{j+1, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle) \\
&- (-a_{n-2} \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-3, n-2, n} \rangle - a_{n-1} \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-3, n-1, n} \rangle) \\
&\quad \cdot (-a_{n-4} \langle Z_{j, n-4, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle - a_{n-3} \langle Z_{j, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

Expanding and regrouping,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a_{n-2} a_{n-4} (\langle Z_{j, n-4, n-3, n-2, n} \rangle \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle - \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-3, n-2, n} \rangle \langle Z_{j, n-4, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle) \\
&+ a_{n-2} a_{n-3} (\langle Z_{j, n-4, n-3, n-2, n} \rangle \langle Z_{j+1, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle - \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-3, n-2, n} \rangle \langle Z_{j, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle) \\
&+ a_{n-1} a_{n-4} (\langle Z_{j, n-4, n-3, n-1, n} \rangle \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle - \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-3, n-1, n} \rangle \langle Z_{j, n-4, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle) \\
&+ a_{n-1} a_{n-3} (\langle Z_{j, n-4, n-3, n-1, n} \rangle \langle Z_{j+1, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle - \langle Z_{j+1, n-4, n-3, n-1, n} \rangle \langle Z_{j, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying with the Plücker relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a_{n-2} a_{n-4} \langle Z_{j, j+1, n-4, n-2, n} \rangle \langle Z_{n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle + a_{n-2} a_{n-3} \langle Z_{j, j+1, n-3, n-2, n} \rangle \langle Z_{n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle \\
&+ a_{n-1} a_{n-4} \langle Z_{j, j+1, n-4, n-1, n} \rangle \langle Z_{n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle + a_{n-1} a_{n-3} \langle Z_{j, j+1, n-3, n-1, n} \rangle \langle Z_{n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, n} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

This expression is positive as required, even in the end case $j = n - 5$, where some but not all of the terms vanish.

Example 4.40. Consider a point C in the image of the upper embedding

$$\hookrightarrow_{1,3,1}(t_1, t_2, t_3, s_1) : \text{Gr}_{k-1, [n] \setminus \{1\}}^{\geq} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$$

for any positive t_1, t_2, t_3, s_1 . As before, let $Y = \tilde{Z}(C)$ where $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^>$ may be any such matrix. Throughout this example, assume that C is such that $\langle Y \ 1 \ 2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle_Z \neq 0$, and omit Y and Z from all twistor notations. By Lemma 4.31 the following vectors are positively proportional.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \\ -\langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle \\ \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n-1 \rangle \\ (-1)^{k-1} \langle 2 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \\ (-1)^k \langle 1 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} t_1 t_2 t_3 \\ t_1 t_2 \\ t_1 \\ 1 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider a twistor of the form $\langle j \ j+1 \ n-1 \ n \rangle$. By Lemma 4.13 it has a fixed sign $+1$ on the preimage of C under the upper embedding, for $j \in \{2, \dots, n-4\}$. Lemma 4.28, with $|I \cap [i]| = |I \cap [1]| = 0$, implies that after the embedding $\langle Y \ Z'_j \ Z'_{j+1} \ Z'_{n-1} \ Z'_n \rangle$ is still nonnegative. This expression has to be written as a functionary with the rows of Z , using the relations from the proof of that lemma: $Z'_i = Z_i$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$, while $Z'_{n-1} = Z_{n-1} - t_3 Z_{n-2}$ and $Z'_n = Z_n - t_2 Z'_{n-1} = Z_n - t_2 Z_{n-1} + t_2 t_3 Z_{n-2}$. Using Lemma 4.35, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle Z'_{n-1} &= \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle Z_{n-1} - \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z_{n-2} \\ \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n-1 \rangle Z'_n &= \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n-1 \rangle Z_n - \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle Z_{n-1} + \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z_{n-2} \end{aligned}$$

Note, however, that in a twistor of the form $Z'_{\{\dots, n-1, n\}}$ the second relation can be truncated as in Remark 4.29, and one may substitute $Z'_n \leftarrow Z_n$. The above proportional vectors imply that the twistor coordinate $\langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle < 0$ on the image of the upper embedding for every Z . Therefore,

$$\langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle \langle Z'_j \ Z'_{j+1} \ Z'_{n-1} \ Z'_n \rangle \leq 0$$

Using the above relations between Z' and Z , we expand this expression multilinearly, and derive the following nonpositive functionary with respect to Z .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle \langle Z'_j \ Z'_{j+1} \ Z'_{n-1} \ Z'_n \rangle \\ &= \langle Z'_j \ Z'_{j+1} \ \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle Z'_{n-1} \ Z'_n \rangle \\ &= \langle Z_j \ Z_{j+1} \ (\langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle Z_{n-1} - \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle Z_{n-2}) \ Z_n \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-2 \ n \rangle \langle j \ j+1 \ n-1 \ n \rangle - \langle 1 \ 2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \langle j \ j+1 \ n-2 \ n \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle n-2 \ n-1 \mid 1 \ 2 \mid j \ j+1 \mid n \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle 1 \ 2 \mid j \ j+1 \mid n-2 \ n-1 \mid n \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

As in the example of lower embedding, we directly verify the case $k = 1$. Here C is the $1 \times n$ matrix $(a_1, a_2, 0, \dots, 0, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, a_n)$, the domino form of one long top chord. The following calculation shows that the quadratic functionary is strictly negative, even in the end case $j = 2$ where some terms vanish.

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 1 \ j \ j+1 \ n \rangle \langle 2 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle - \langle 2 \ j \ j+1 \ n \rangle \langle 1 \ n-2 \ n-1 \ n \rangle \\ &= (-a_2 \langle Z_{1,2,j,j+1,n} \rangle - a_{n-2} \langle Z_{1,j,j+1,n-2,n} \rangle - a_{n-1} \langle Z_{1,j,j+1,n-1,n} \rangle) \cdot (+a_1 \langle Z_{1,2,n-2,n-1,n} \rangle) \\ &\quad - (+a_1 \langle Z_{1,2,j,j+1,n} \rangle - a_{n-2} \langle Z_{2,j,j+1,n-2,n} \rangle - a_{n-1} \langle Z_{2,j,j+1,n-1,n} \rangle) \cdot (-a_2 \langle Z_{1,2,n-2,n-1,n} \rangle) \\ &= \langle Z_{1,2,n-2,n-1,n} \rangle (-a_1 a_{n-2} \langle Z_{1,j,j+1,n-2,n} \rangle - a_1 a_{n-1} \langle Z_{1,j,j+1,n-1,n} \rangle \\ &\quad - a_2 a_{n-2} \langle Z_{2,j,j+1,n-2,n} \rangle - a_2 a_{n-1} \langle Z_{2,j,j+1,n-1,n} \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

5 Injectivity

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3, that each BCFW cell maps injectively onto its image. Moreover, we solve an inverse problem: given a point in the image of the BCFW cell S , we find its unique preimage in that cell.

We start the inverse problem. Fix a BCFW cell S . By Theorem 3.37 there is a chord diagram D with chords $\{c_i\}_{i \in [k]}$ such that every point in the cell S has a domino matrix representation dictated by D , which is unique up to rescaling each one of the k rows by a positive number. It follows that every point in S has a representative matrix C , such that nonzero entries of every row C_i are precisely in the five or six positions $\text{support}(c_i)$, and with the right signs.

Our strategy is to calculate the nonzero entries of C iteratively in parent-to-child order. We start with the top chords, and after calculating the entries for a row, we calculate the entries of each of its children. The procedure for finding the preimage of a point in $\tilde{Z}(S)$, in its domino form, is as follows.

- Let $c_i = (j, j+1, l, l+1)$ be a top chord. Let C_i be the corresponding matrix row, so that $\text{support}(C_i) = \{i_1, \dots, i_5\} = \{j, j+1, l, l+1, n\}$. Lemma 4.34 with Y and $Z_{\text{support}(C_i)}$ determines uniquely the nonzero entries of this row $C_i^{i_1}, \dots, C_i^{i_5}$, up to rescaling the row by a positive constant, assuming at least one of $\langle Y \ i_2 \ i_3 \ i_4 \ i_5 \rangle, \langle Y \ i_1 \ i_2 \ i_3 \ i_5 \rangle, \dots, \langle Y \ i_1 \ i_2 \ i_3 \ i_4 \rangle \neq 0$.
- Suppose we have calculated the nonzero entries of the parent of c_i . Write $c_i = (j, j+1, l, l+1)$, and let $(h, h+1)$ be the tail of the parent of c_i . Apply Lemma 4.34 with Y and the five row vectors

$$t_1 Z_h + t_2 Z_{h+1}, \quad Z_j, \quad Z_{j+1}, \quad Z_l, \quad Z_{l+1}$$

where (t_1, t_2) are the entries in positions h and $h+1$ of the *parent* of c_i . Given that at least one of the determinants $\langle Y \ Z_j \ Z_{j+1} \ Z_l \ Z_{l+1} \rangle, \dots, \langle Y \ (t_1 Z_h + t_2 Z_{h+1}) \ Z_j \ Z_{j+1} \ Z_l \rangle$ is nonzero, there is a unique solution for the coefficients of these five vectors, and hence for the entries of the row C_i , up to rescaling the row by a nonzero constant.

Remark 5.1. This method for calculating the entries of a row that is supported on $m+1$ entries using twistor coordinates has previously appeared in the literature. The appendix of [AM21, Appendix A] provides one example for $m = 4$. Another example for $m = 2$ appears in [PSW21, Section 4]. The novelty of the above procedure is that it allows, in the context of BCFW cells, to calculate entries in rows of larger support, which is generally the case for descendants.

The existence and uniqueness of a preimage rely on the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $S \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$, $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^>$, and $Y \in \tilde{Z}(S)$. For every chord $c_i = (j, j+1, l, l+1)$ in the chord diagram of S :*

1. *If c_i is a top chord then $\langle Y Z_I \rangle \neq 0$ for every $I \subseteq \{j, j+1, l, l+1, n\}$ of size $|I| = 4$.*
2. *If c_i is a child of another chord then $\langle Y Z_{\{j, j+1, l, l+1\}} \rangle \neq 0$.*

Proof. We start with the first case. Suppose that $Y = CZ$, where C is the domino form of an arbitrary point $V \in S$. In this case, C_i has support $A = \{j, j+1, l, l+1, n\}$. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_4\} \subset A$ be as in the statement, and $\{p\} = A \setminus I$. Then by Lemma 4.11 and the fact that C_i is supported on the entries of A ,

$$\langle Y Z_I \rangle = \sum_{J \in \binom{[n] \setminus I}{k}, p \in J} \langle C^J \rangle \langle Z_{J \cup I} \rangle s(J, I).$$

If $\langle C^J \rangle \neq 0$ for a given J , then there must be a bijection $f : [k] \rightarrow J$ such that $C_h^{f(h)} \neq 0$, or equivalently the entry $f(h)$ is in the support of C_h . Since c_i is a top chord, the supports of the rows that correspond

to chords that start before c_i are contained in $[j+1] \cup \{n\}$. The supports of rows for chords that descend from c_i are contained in $[l+1] \setminus [j+1]$. The support of the remaining rows (other than C_i) are contained in $[n] \setminus [l]$. Thus, if $\langle C^J \rangle \neq 0$, then the conditions $J \in \binom{[n] \setminus [l]}{k}$, $p \in J$ and the fact that c_i is a top chord imply:

- $k_1 = |J \cap [j-1]|$ is the number of chords that start before c_i , which is $i-1$.
- $k_2 = |J \cap \{j+2, \dots, l-1\}|$ is the number of chords that descend from c_i , which is $\text{below}(c_i)$
- $k_3 = |J \cap \{l+2, \dots, n-1\}|$ is the number of chords that start after c_i ends: $\text{behind}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_i)$.

Hence, for every J in the above sum that contributes a nonzero determinant, the sign $s(J, A \setminus \{p\})$ as defined in Lemma 4.11 depends solely on p , as follows.

$$s(J, A \setminus \{p\}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k_2+k_3} = (-1)^{\text{behind}(c_i)}, & \text{if } p = j, \\ (-1)^{1+k_2+k_3} = (-1)^{\text{behind}(c_i)+1}, & \text{if } p = j+1, \\ (-1)^{k_3} = (-1)^{\text{behind}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_i)}, & \text{if } p = l, \\ (-1)^{1+k_3} = (-1)^{\text{behind}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_i)+1}, & \text{if } p = l+1, \\ 1, & \text{if } p = n. \end{cases}$$

Thus, it is enough to show that there is a set $J \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ with $J \cap \{j, j+1, l, l+1, n\} = \{p\}$ and $\langle C^J \rangle \neq 0$, but this follows from Lemma 3.25.

We turn to the second case. Write $c = c_i = (j, j+1, l, l+1)$. We show that $\langle Yj, j+1, l, l+1 \rangle$ is non vanishing for all Z and $Y \in \tilde{Z}(S)$. The strategy is to start with the subdiagram D' that descends from c , that is, made of the chord c and its descendants, erasing the markers outside, except for n . Then one uses Corollaries 3.38-3.40 to extend it to the full diagram. We show how the twistor of interest evolves during this process, and that it remains nonzero, for all positive Z , and even keeps its sign. We note that a direct proof for this claim can be produced along the lines of Lemma 3.25, but the proof we now show is simpler, and demonstrates the promotion technique, which is crucial in Section 6 below, where direct proofs seem less accessible.

Let D' be the subdiagram which descends from c and S' the corresponding positroid. In D' , c is a top chord, hence Lemma 5.2,(1) applies. Thus, for all positive matrices Z' of the appropriate size, on $\tilde{Z}'(S')$ it holds that

$$\langle j, j+1, l, l+1 \rangle > 0$$

By Corollaries 3.38-3.40, S is obtained from S' by a series of operations of the form:

1. $\text{inc}_h, \text{pre}_h$ for $h \notin \{j, j+1, \dots, l+1\} \cup \{n\}$.
2. $x_h(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, for $h \notin \{j+1, \dots, l+1\} \cup \{n\}$, or $y_h(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for $h \notin \{j-1, \dots, l-1\} \cup \{n \ominus_N 1\}$, where \ominus is calculated with respect to the index set at the time of the application of $y_h(t)$.
3. Upper embeddings with respect to a chord which starts before $(j, j+1)$ and ends at $(l, l+1)$ or after. It has the form $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}_{i,3,1}(t_1, t_2, t_3, s_1)$, $t_1, t_2, t_3, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $i \oplus 1 \leq j$ and $i \ominus 3 \geq l$.

All these operations preserve the form and the sign of the twistor $\langle j, j+1, l, l+1 \rangle$. Indeed, for $\text{pre}_h, \text{inc}_h$ this follows from Lemmas 4.20 and 4.22, where for inc_h we use that $|I \cap [h]|$ is either 0 or 4 for each twistor which participates in the expressions for the determinants, by the restrictions on h . For x_h, y_h this follows from Lemma 4.26 and the restrictions on h . For upper embeddings it follows from Remark 4.29 and the constraints on h . Thus, each step keeps this twistor positive, for all Z . \square

We now prove that the inverse problem has a solution, and that Theorem 1.3 holds.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $S \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$, $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^>$, and $Y \in \tilde{Z}(S)$. Then, Y has a unique preimage under \tilde{Z} , which is described by the above procedure. In particular, the restriction of \tilde{Z} to S is injective.*

Proof. Assume that, perhaps by changing the representative matrix Y , that $Y = CZ$ where C has the domino form of S . If $c_i = (j, j+1, l, l+1)$ is a top level chord then on the one hand Y_i belongs to the row span of Y , and on the other hand it is a linear combination of Z_s for $s \in \{j, j+1, l, l+1, n\}$. The five vectors Z_s for $s \in \{j, j+1, l, l+1, n\}$ are linearly independent, since $k \geq 1$ and Z is a positive $n \times (k+4)$ matrix, so every collection of different $k+4$ rows is linearly independent. By Lemma 4.34 and the first case of Lemma 5.2, C_i is uniquely determined, as the correct i th row of C .

Suppose now that c_i is not a top chord, but we have found the unique possible representative for the row of its parent C_p . In this case $k \geq 2$. Since C is a domino matrix and C_p is its p th row, the entries $t_1 = \alpha_p$ and $t_2 = \beta_p$ are both nonzero. Therefore if $(h, h+1)$ is the tail of c_p then $v = t_1 Z_h + t_2 Z_{h+1} \neq 0$. As before, Y_i is both in the row span of Y and in the linear span of $\{v, Z_j, Z_{j+1}, Z_l, Z_{l+1}\}$. These five vectors are again linearly independent. When $h+1 = j$ this follows from the linear independence of the five vectors $\{Z_h, Z_{h+1}, Z_{j+1}, Z_l, Z_{l+1}\}$, which in turn follows from the positivity of Z . Otherwise, $h+1 < j$ and it follows from the independence of the six vectors $\{Z_h, Z_{h+1}, Z_j, Z_{j+1}, Z_l, Z_{l+1}\}$, because Z is positive and $k \geq 2$. Hence, by Lemma 4.34 and the second case of Lemma 5.2 the entries of C_i are uniquely recovered, and we obtain the correct row in the domino matrix C . Repeating for every chord, we recover the whole matrix C . \square

The output of the inverse problem process is a functionary-valued matrix. Observe that every point in $\text{Gr}_{k,k+4}$ where twistor coordinates used in the inverse problem process are nonzero, has a preimage in the same functionary-valued matrix form, though in general the resulting matrix is not nonnegative. This preimage has the same form of a domino matrix corresponding to the chord diagram D , though the sign rules might be violated. This observation leads to the following generalization of Proposition 5.3, which is useful in later sections. Recall $S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$ from Definition 4.16.

Proposition 5.4. *Let D be a chord diagram. Consider the subspace of the Grassmannian consisting of vector spaces that have a D -domino representative in the sense of Definition 2.5, but do not necessarily satisfy the sign constraints of Definition 2.10. Denote by S' the subset of this space made of elements which do not belong to $S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$. Then, for all positive Z , the restriction of \tilde{Z} to S' is injective. The unique preimage of every $Y \in \tilde{Z}(S')$ can be written in terms of twistor coordinates by the same formulas as those produced in the course of the inverse problem process described above. In particular the inverse map from $\tilde{Z}(S')$ to S' is smooth.*

Proof. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Proposition 5.3, only that the verification of the assumptions of Lemma 4.34 differs. In the previous proof it relied on Lemma 5.2, while here it relies on Lemma 4.13. We only list the changes.

In the course of calculating the row C_i , which corresponds the chord $c_i = (j, j+1, l, l+1)$, we first need to check that the twistor $\langle j, j+1, l, l+1 \rangle \neq 0$. In the current setting, the non vanishing of $\langle j, j+1, l, l+1 \rangle$ is a consequence of $S' \cap S_{\partial\mathcal{A}} = \emptyset$ and Lemma 4.13.

The only other assumption we need to check is that $v, Z_j, Z_{j+1}, Z_l, Z_{l+1}$ are linearly independent, where $v = Z_n$ if c_i is a top chord, and otherwise $v = t_1 Z_h + t_2 Z_{h+1}$, using the domino C_i inherits from the parent. In case of a top chord there is no change in the proof. In the other case, the argument used to show these five vectors are linearly independent can only fail if either $v = 0$ or v is proportional to Z_j , where the latter option might happen only if c_i is a sticky child. In both cases $\langle j, j+1, l, l+1 \rangle = 0$, which, using Lemma 4.13, implies $C \in S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$.

The inverse map is smooth since the resulting preimage is given as a functionary-valued matrix. \square

We end this section with a corollary of Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 1.3 about the signs of different twistors on a BCFW cell. It is stated with the index set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for convenience.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $S \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ be a BCFW cell.*

1. *Let $i, j \in [n]$ such that $i+1 < j$ and $|\{i, i+1, j, j+1\}| = 4$. Then the boundary twistor $\langle i, i+1, j, j+1 \rangle$ has a fixed sign on $\tilde{Z}(S)$, which is $+1$ unless $j = n$ and k is odd.*
2. *If $c_l = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ is a top chord and $\{i_1, \dots, i_4\} = \{i, i+1, j, j+1, n\} \setminus \{p\}$, then the twistor $\langle Y \ Z_{i_1} \ Z_{i_2} \ Z_{i_3} \ Z_{i_4} \rangle$ has a fixed sign on $\tilde{Z}(S)$, which is $+1$ if $p = n$, $(-1)^{k-l}$ if $p = i$, $(-1)^{k-l+1}$ if $p = i+1$, $(-1)^{k-l-\text{below}(c_l)}$ if $p = j$, and $(-1)^{k-l-\text{below}(c_l)+1}$ if $p = j+1$.*

Proof. For the first item, by Remark 4.17 and Lemma 4.13, it is enough to show that S is not contained in $S_{\partial A}$. But, by Remark 4.17 again, if S were contained in $S_{\partial A}$, then some twistor $\langle i, i+1, j, j+1 \rangle$ would have vanished identically on S . This would imply that $\tilde{Z}(S)$ is contained in a codimension one subspace of $\text{Gr}_{k,k+4}$, but then \tilde{Z} cannot be injective on S , in contradiction to Theorem 1.3.

The second item is an immediate consequence of the proof of Lemma 5.2, where the signs appear in the calculation of Lemma 5.2,(1) and we use that $\text{behind}(c_l) = k - l$. \square

6 Separation

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4. We find for each pair (S_a, S_b) of BCFW cells a separating functionary, meaning a functionary that has, for every positive Z , fixed and opposite signs on $\tilde{Z}(S_a)$ and $\tilde{Z}(S_b)$. Finding the separating functionary involves analyzing the corresponding chord diagrams, D_a and D_b . We first analyze cases where the two cells are separated by a twistor. We show that such a twistor exists if D_a and D_b have rightmost top chords with different heads. If the rightmost top chords of D_a and D_b have same heads and different tails, then we find a quadratic functionary that separates the cells. Finally, if the two diagrams have the same rightmost top chord then we use induction.

Both in finding a quadratic separator, and in the induction step, we crucially rely on the results of Section 4 and Corollaries 3.38-3.40. We show a separation for simpler subdiagrams, explicitly or by induction, and analyze their evolution under the extension steps of Corollaries 3.38-3.40.

The first proposition shows that certain twistors have certain fixed signs on some BCFW cells. It is used below for separation of cells by twistors.

Proposition 6.1. *Let Z be a positive $N \times (k+4)$ matrix, and $i = \max(N) \oplus 1$. Then*

$$\langle j, j+1, \max(N) \oplus 2, \max(N) \rangle \geq 0$$

for every point of $\tilde{Z}(\text{pre}_i(\text{Gr}_{k,N \setminus \{i\}}^{\geq}))$, and every $j < i \oplus 2$. Moreover, this twistor is strictly positive on $\tilde{Z}(S)$ if S is a BCFW cell whose corresponding chord diagram does not include a chord ending at $(\max(N) \oplus 2, \max(N) \oplus 1)$.

If S is a BCFW cell whose chord diagram contains a chord ending at $(\max(N) \oplus 2, \max(N) \oplus 1)$ then there exists $j < i \oplus 2$ for which $\langle j, j+1, \max(N) \oplus 2, \max(N) \rangle < 0$ for every point of $\tilde{Z}(S)$, for all Z .

Proof. The first claim is known, see for example the closely related [BH19, Lemma 4.3]. It follows immediately from the Cauchy-Binet formula in Lemma 4.11 by noting that in this case nonzero terms in the summation must have $i \notin J$, and therefore $s(J, I) = 1$.

For the 'Moreover' part, note that $S = \text{pre}_i(S')$, for S' a BCFW cell on the marker set $N \setminus \{i\}$. On the image of S' in the amplituhedron $\langle j, j+1, \max(N) \oplus 2, \max(N) \rangle > 0$. By Lemma 5.5,(1) applied to S' (where \oplus is calculated with respect to N). Applying pre_i and using Lemma 4.20 we obtain the result.

When the chord diagram contains a chord that ends at $(\max(N) \ominus 2, \max(N) \ominus 1)$, there is a unique such chord that is also a top chord. It is supported on $\{j, j \oplus 1, \max(N) \ominus 2, \max(N) \ominus 1, \max(N)\}$. Applying Lemma 5.5,(2) establishes the claim in this case. \square

The next proposition shows that certain quadratic functionaries have certain fixed signs on certain BCFW cells. It is used below for separating cells by quadratics.

Proposition 6.2. *Let Z be a positive $n \times (k+4)$ matrix. Let $D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram with a top chord c whose markers are $(i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$. Then, at every point of $\tilde{Z}(S_a)$, the functionaries*

$$\langle\langle i, i+1 \mid j, j+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle < 0$$

for every $j \in [n-4] \setminus \{i\}$.

Remark 6.3. In Proposition 6.2 it is equivalent to say that at every point of $\tilde{Z}(S_a)$,

$$\langle\langle j, j+1 \mid i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle > 0$$

for every $j \in [n-4] \setminus \{i\}$. The two statements are equivalent by the Plücker's relations, see Lemma 4.10. This alternative writing is more natural when $j < i$.

Proof. We first consider $j > i$. Denote by D_c the sub diagram of D_a which contains the descendants of c and whose index set is $\{i+1, \dots, n\}$. By Corollaries 3.38 and 3.40, S_a can be constructed as follows.

- Apply the upper embedding $\hookrightarrow_{i,3,1} \mathbb{R}_+^4 \times S_c$.
- Apply to the resulting cell a sequence of operations from:
 - $\text{pre}_h, \text{inc}_h$ for $h < i$
 - $x_h(t), t > 0, h \leq i$
 - $y_h(t), t > 0, h < i-1$ or $h = n$

By Lemma 5.2,(1) the twistors $\langle i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \rangle \neq 0$ for all $\{i_1, \dots, i_4\} \subset \{i, i+1, n-2, n-1, n\}$. By Lemma 5.5,(1) all boundary twistors are nonzero. Thus we can use Example 4.40 to deduce that after applying the upper embedding,

$$\langle\langle i, i+1 \mid j, j+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle < 0$$

for every $j \in \{i+1, \dots, n-4\}$.

The iterations of pre_h do not change the negativity, by Lemma 4.20. For iterations of inc_h , we have $I \cap [h] = \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.22 again the sign remains. Finally, for steps of the form x_h, y_h , our constraint on h guarantees that the form or sign of the functionary do not change, by Lemma 4.26.

We turn to the case $j < i$. By Corollary 3.39, S_a can also be constructed as follows. Let D_b be the sub diagram of D_a made of chords whose support is contained in $[i+1] \cup \{n\}$. Note that $i \leq n-4$. Let S_b be the corresponding positroid cell, then S_a can be constructed from S_b by

- Apply to S_b $\text{pre}_{n-1}, \text{inc}_{n-2}$, followed by y_{i+1}, x_{n-2} and x_{n-1} .
- Apply to the resulting cells a sequence of operations from:
 - $\text{pre}_h, \text{inc}_h$ where $h \in \{i+2, \dots, n-3\}$
 - x_h where $h \in \{i+2, \dots, n-1\}$
 - y_h where $h \in \{i, \dots, n-4\}$

As noted in the proof of Corollary 3.39, this sequence contains a single $y_i(u)$ operation.

By Proposition 6.1 after applying pre_{n-1} all twistors $\langle j, j+1, i+1, n \rangle$ for $j < i$ are positive. If D_a differs from D_b by exactly one chord, then only the first step and one additional y_i operation are performed. The combination of these operations is precisely the lower embedding $\overleftarrow{t}_{n-2,2,2}(t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2)$. By Lemma 5.2.(1) the twistors $\langle i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 \rangle \neq 0$ for all $\{i_1, \dots, i_4\} \subset \{i, i+1, n-2, n-1, n\}$. Thus, we can employ Example 4.38 and deduce that the twistor $\langle j, j+1, i+1, n \rangle$ is promoted to the functionary

$$\langle\langle j, j+1 \mid i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle,$$

which is positive.

In the general case the situation is rather similar. Write $c = c_l$. First note that after the first step, every point of the positroid

$$S' = x_{n-1}(s_2)x_{n-2}(s_1)y_{i+1}(t_1)\text{inc}_{n-2}\text{pre}_{n-1}(S_b)$$

has a matrix representative C whose l th has non zero entries only in positions $i+1, n-2, n-1, n$. Therefore, by the Cauchy-Binet formula of Lemma 4.11 the twistor $\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle$ is always negative. Indeed, each contribution to it uses the entry $C_l^{i+1} \neq 0$, and a minor of $C_{[l-1]}^{[i]}$, and all of these contributions appear with the same minus sign. Moreover, at least one term is non zero, the minor $C_{[l-1]}^I$ for I the set of first domino entries of each chord, just as in Lemma 3.25. No operation from the series of operations of the second step affects the form of this twistor, by Lemmas 4.20, 4.22, 4.26. Its sign changes by -1 for every inc_h operation, since $|I \cap [h]| = |\{i\}| = 1$.

Second, note that most operations do not affect the twistors $\langle j, j+1, i+1, n \rangle$. These include all pre_h and x_h operations, and all y_h operations, except for the single $y_i(u)$ operation, by Lemmas 4.20 and 4.26 respectively. Each application of inc_h preserves the form of the twistor, but changes the sign by -1 , since $|I \cap [h]| = |\{j, j+1, i+1\}| = 3$, by Lemma 4.22.

Let k' be the number of applications of inc_h during the process, which come before the application of $y_i(u)$, where we include inc_{n-2} in the count. Then just before we apply $y_i(u)$,

$$\text{sgn}(\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle) = \text{sgn}(\langle j, j+1, i+1, n \rangle) = (-1)^{k'}.$$

By Lemma 4.26, the application of $y_i(u)$ promotes $\langle j, j+1, i+1, n \rangle$ to

$$\langle \tilde{Z}(C), Z_j, Z_{j+1}, (Z_{i+1} + uZ_i), Z_n \rangle = \langle \tilde{Z}(C), Z_j, Z_{j+1}, Z_{i+1}, Z_n \rangle + u \langle \tilde{Z}(C), Z_j, Z_{j+1}, Z_i, Z_n \rangle \quad (1)$$

where matrix argument C is the matrix obtained after applying $y_i(u)$. Moreover, this expression has the same sign $(-1)^{k'}$, for every positive Z .

Now, u can actually be read from $\tilde{Z}(C)$. Indeed, before applying $y_i(u)$, the l th row of the matrix only had non zero entries at positions $i+1, n-2, n-1, n$. Therefore, after applying $y_i(u)$, C_l has non zero entries at positions $i, i+1, n-2, n-1, n$ and we can write

$$u = \frac{C_l^i}{C_l^{i+1}}.$$

We also know that $\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle \neq 0$. Thus, we can apply Lemma 4.34 to C and the linearly independent vectors $Z_i, Z_{i+1}, Z_{n-2}, Z_{n-1}, Z_n$ to deduce

$$u = -\frac{\langle i+1, n-2, n-1, n \rangle}{\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle}.$$

Multiplying (1) by $\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle$ and substituting for u we obtain that

$$\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}(C), Z_j, Z_{j+1}, Z_{i+1}, Z_n \rangle - \langle i+1, n-2, n-1, n \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}(C), Z_j, Z_{j+1}, Z_i, Z_n \rangle$$

has sign $(-1)^{k'+k'} = 1$. This expression is precisely the functionary

$$\langle\langle i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid j, j+1 \mid n \rangle\rangle.$$

By applying Plücker's relations, Lemma 4.10, we see that this functionary equals

$$\langle\langle j, j+1 \mid i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle,$$

which must therefore be positive. Finally, the additional operations of the second step of the construction of S_a from S_b do not change the form of this functionary, by Lemmas 4.20, 4.22 and 4.26. Only inc_h operations may affect the sign, but as above, inc_h alters the sign of each of the four twistors forming the functionary $\langle\langle i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid j, j+1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$, hence does not affect the sign of the functionary, which remains positive. As claimed. \square

Remark 6.4. The argument in the second part of the above proof can be applied to more general twistors. A twistor of the form $\langle i_1, \dots, i_4 \rangle$ with $i_1, \dots, i_4 \in [i] \cup \{n\}$ keeps its original form. It also keeps its original sign if $n \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_4\}$, and it changes sign to $(-1)^{k'}$ times the original sign if $n \in \{i_1, \dots, i_4\}$. If one of the indices, say i_4 , equals $i+1$, then the twistor is promoted to the functionary

$$\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle \langle i_1, i_2, i_3, i+1 \rangle - \langle i+1, n-2, n-1, n \rangle \langle i_1, i_2, i_3, i \rangle,$$

which has the same sign if $n \in \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$, or $(-1)^{k'}$ times the same sign otherwise, where k' is as above. In all cases the resulting functionary is pure. Moreover, as in Remark 4.36, a pure functionary F with a fixed sign s and multiplicities $d_j(F)$ as in Definition 4.5, is promoted to another pure functionary F' with a fixed sign. The multiplicity of each index $i, n-2, n-1, n$ increases by $d_{i+1}(F)$. The sign s changes by $(-1)^{d_{i+1}(F)+d_n(F)}$.

We are now in position to prove the main theorem, Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We show that for every two different BCFW cells of a certain n , even with different values of k , there is a *separating functionary*. A separating functionary is a pure functionary having fixed and opposite signs on the two cells, independently of the positive matrix Z . We proceed by induction on n . The case where $n = 4$ and $k = 0$ is trivially true, since there is a single cell. Assuming the claim for all $n' < n$, we consider two different BCFW cells,

$$S_a, S_b \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-4} \text{BCFW}_{n,k}$$

Let D_a and D_b denote the corresponding chord diagrams. We consider several cases.

(A) One Diagram is Trivial

Suppose that D_b is the diagram with no chords. Then all twistors, which are the determinants of 4×4 minors of Z , are positive on the image of S_b . Since $D_a \neq D_b$, the other diagram D_a has at least one chord, and hence at least one top chord. Let $c = (i, i+1, j, j+1)$ be the last top chord. Then by Lemma 5.5 (2), the twistor $\langle i, i+1, j, n \rangle$ is always negative on the image of S_a .

(B) Common Unused Markers

If both D_a and D_b do not have a chord in the segments next to some marker $h \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, then S_a and S_b have a common zero column at h . It follows that $S_a = \text{pre}_h(S_{a'})$ and $S_b = \text{pre}_h(S_{b'})$ for some BCFW cells $S_{a'} \neq S_{b'}$ with $n-1$ columns. By the induction on n , there is a separating functionary between $S_{a'}$ and $S_{b'}$. Applying Lemma 4.20, this functionary is promoted to a separating functionary between S_a and S_b .

(C) Different Last Used Marker

Suppose that exactly one of D_a and D_b has a top chord of the form $(i, i+1, n-1, n-2)$. Then the other cell must have a zero column at its $n-1$ coordinate. In this case, the twistor $\langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle$ separate between them, by Proposition 6.1.

(D) Different Last Chords

Suppose that D_a and D_b have last top chords $(i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$ and $(j, j+1, n-2, n-1)$ respectively, for $i \neq j$. Then Proposition 6.2 implies that the sign of the functionary $\langle\langle i, i+1 \mid j, j+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$ differs between the images of S_a and S_b .

(E) Different Last Subdiagrams

The remaining case is $i = j$, meaning that both D_a and D_b have the same last top chord $c = (i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$. Here induction may produce higher degree functionaries. Since $D_a \neq D_b$, they either differ in the chords descendent from c , or in those before c . We first consider the former case. Let $D_{a'}$ and $D_{b'}$ be the respective subdiagrams of D_a and D_b , induced on the marker set $\{i+1, \dots, n\}$, and let $S_{a'}$ and $S_{b'}$ be the corresponding cells. Note that $D_{a'}$ and $D_{b'}$ may consist of a different number of chords, and one of them may even be empty.

By induction there is a pure functionary F separating the images of $S_{a'}$ and $S_{b'}$. It follows from Corollary 3.40 and Corollary 3.38 that S_a and S_b can be constructed from $S_{a'}$ and $S_{b'}$ by the following procedure.

- Apply the upper embedding $\overleftarrow{\tau}_{i,3,1}$ to both $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times S_{a'}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times S_{b'}$.
- Apply to the resulting cells two sequences of operations from:
 - $\text{pre}_h, \text{inc}_h$ for $h < i$
 - $x_h(t), t > 0, h \leq i$
 - $y_h(t), t > 0, h < i-1$ or $h = n$

As in the proof of Proposition 6.2, by Lemma 5.2,(1) all twistors $\langle i_1, \dots, i_4 \rangle \neq 0$ for $\{i_1, \dots, i_4\} \subset \{i, i+1, n-2, n-1, n\}$. Thus we can apply Lemma 4.28 and Lemma 4.31 to deduce that after the first step, the functionary F is promoted to a pure functionary F' . Importantly, since all indices from F are larger than i , the index i may appear in a twistor in F' only if it comes as a coefficient in the expansion of Z'_n or Z'_{n-1} in case 2 of Lemma 4.35. In particular, whenever i appears in a twistor of F' , also $i+1$ appears in the same twistor.

Applications of pre_h do not change the form or sign of the functionary, by Lemma 4.20. They also maintain the special property that every twistor which includes the index i contains also $i+1$. A similar claim holds for iterations of inc_h , where this time Lemma 4.22 is called, and we use $I \cap [h] = \emptyset$. Using Lemma 4.26, the restriction on h , and the special property described in the previous paragraph, also steps of x_h, y_h do not affect the form of the promoted functionary, and do not change the signs of the functionary. Thus, the separating functionary is promoted in both cases to the same functionary, and its sign is still a witness for separation.

(F) Same Last Subdiagram

Finally, suppose that the subdiagram which descends from c is the same for both D_a and D_b . Let $D_{a'}$ and $D_{b'}$ be the respective chord diagrams obtained by erasing c and its descendants. Their marker set is $\{1, \dots, i, i+1, n\}$, and $D_{a'} \neq D_{b'}$ since $D_a \neq D_b$, so at least one of them contains chords. By induction, there is a pure separating functionary F between the corresponding images of $S_{a'}$ and $S_{b'}$. This time we apply Corollary 3.39 to construct the cells S_a and S_b from $S_{a'}$ and $S_{b'}$ respectively.

- Apply to both cells pre_{n-1} , inc_{n-2} , followed by y_{i+1} , x_{n-2} and x_{n-1} .
- Apply to the resulting cells the same sequence of operations from:
 - $\text{pre}_h, \text{inc}_h$ where $h \in \{i+2, \dots, n-3\}$
 - x_h where $h \in \{i+2, \dots, n-1\}$
 - y_h where $h \in \{i, \dots, n-4\}$

As noted in the proof of Corollary 3.39, this sequence contains a single $y_i(t)$ operation.

Arguing as in the analogous part of Proposition 6.2, and as in Remark 6.4, the separating functionary F is promoted to a pure functionary F' which separates S_a from S_b , for all Z .

In conclusion, the cases (A)-(F) cover all possible configurations of two different chord diagrams, and the theorem follows. \square

Remark 6.5. In case (E), the functionary F' is obtained from F by replacing every occurrence of $n-1$ or n in a twistor, according to the rule

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{n-1} &\leftarrow \langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle Z_{n-1} - \langle i, i+1, n-1, n \rangle Z_{n-2} \\ Z_n &\leftarrow \langle i, i+1, n-2, n-1 \rangle Z_n - \langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle Z_{n-1} + \langle i, i+1, n-1, n \rangle Z_{n-2} \end{aligned}$$

and expanding multilinearly. Similarly, in case (F), the functionary F' is obtained from F by replacing every occurrence of the index $i+1$ in a twistor by

$$Z_{i+1} \leftarrow \langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle Z_{i+1} - \langle i+1, n-2, n-1, n \rangle Z_i$$

Suppose that $d_j(F)$ are the multiplicities of indices in F , as in Definition 4.5, and s is its sign on the cell. In case (E), the multiplicities of $\{i, i+1, n-2, n\}$ increase by $d_{n-1}(F)$, and those of $\{i, i+1, n-2, n-1\}$ increase again by $d_n(F)$ by Remark 4.36. The sign changes by $(-1)^{d_{n-1}(F)}$, since only the negative factor $\langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle$, which is the coefficient of Z_{n-1} , flips the sign. In case (F), the sign and type change as in Remark 6.4.

This gives a straightforward recursive algorithm to compute a separating functionary between every two given cells, corresponding to two chord diagrams with $k_1, k_2 \in \{0, \dots, n-4\}$. We provide an implementation of this function in [ELT22], and its complete output for $n = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$.

7 Boundaries of BCFW Cells

In this section we complete the characterization of the BCFW cells via explicit inequalities on its domino entries and some of their 2×2 minors. We moreover characterize the codimension one boundaries of those cells. We show that many boundary components are shared by pairs of cells. We characterize those, as well as those which are not.

7.1 Representation by Inequalities

Recall Definition 2.10. The following corollary is a simple consequence of Proposition 3.15.

Corollary 7.1. *Every point in a BCFW cell $S_a \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ satisfies the following constraints.*

1. *The entries of the starting domino of each row are positive.*
2. *The entries of the ending domino of C_i have sign $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)}$.*

3. The n th entry, if c_i is a top chord, is of sign $(-1)^{k-i}$.
4. If C_i is not a top chord, then the constant which multiplies the domino entries inherited to C_i from its parent is of sign $(-1)^{\text{beyond}(c_i)}$.
5. If c_j is a descendant of c_i which ends at the same domino $(l, l+1)$ then the determinant of the 2×2 minor $C_{i,j}^{l,l+1}$ is of sign $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_j) + 1}$.
6. If c_j starts in the domino $(l, l+1)$ in which c_i ends, then the determinant of the 2×2 minor $C_{i,j}^{l,l+1}$ is of sign $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)}$.

Indeed, the first four items are as in Definition 2.10. The last two are obtained by rewriting the last two items in that definition using the previous items.

From here until the end of this section, fix a chord diagram D_a , as well as the corresponding BCFW cell S_a . Recall Definitions 2.5, 2.10.

Notation 7.2. For $i = 1, \dots, k$ let

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_i = (-1)^{\text{behind}(c_i)} \varepsilon_i,$$

if c_i is a top level chord, and otherwise

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_i (-1)^{\text{beyond}(c_i)} \varepsilon_i.$$

Let

$$\hat{\gamma}_i = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \gamma_i, \quad \hat{\delta}_i = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \delta_i.$$

Note that if c_i is a sticky child of c_j and $(h, h+1)$ is the tail of c_i then $C_i^h = \alpha_i + \hat{\varepsilon}_i \beta_j$. If c_j is a same-end descendant of c_i , and the head of both is $(l, l+1)$ write

$$\eta_{i,j} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_j) + 1} \det(C_{i,j}^{l,l+1}).$$

If (c_i, c_j) are head-to-tail chords, whose common markers are $(l, l+1)$ put

$$\theta_{i,j} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \det(C_{i,j}^{l,l+1})$$

Denote by $\widetilde{Var} = \widetilde{Var}_a$ the collection of these elements, $Var^1 = Var_a^1$ the subset made of elements of the form $\hat{\varepsilon}_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \hat{\gamma}_i, \hat{\delta}_i$. Finally, let $Var = Var_a$ be the subset of \widetilde{Var} defined by

- η_{ij} appears only if i is the parent of j . In this case $\hat{\delta}_i$ and $\hat{\gamma}_j$ do not appear.
- θ_{ij} appears only if c_i and c_j are siblings. In this case $\hat{\gamma}_i$ and β_j do not appear.
- All other variables of types $\hat{\varepsilon}_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \hat{\gamma}_i, \hat{\delta}_i$ belong to Var .

By Corollary 7.1 all the variables defined above are positive. In this section and the next one it is more convenient to work with this domino variables and not the usual ones. We can now complete the description of S_a in terms of domino bases.

Proposition 7.3. *Let C be a domino matrix in the sense of Definition 2.5 for the chord diagram D_a . For every $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$, $\det(C^I)$ is a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients in the variables of \widetilde{Var} , which is nonzero precisely if the Plücker coordinate P_I is nonvanishing on S_a . Thus, if in addition C satisfies the inequalities of Definition 2.10, or equivalently the inequalities of Corollary 7.1, then C represents a point in S_a .*

Proof. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ be an arbitrary set. Expand $\det(C^I)$ to obtain

$$\sum_{f: [k] \rightarrow I, \text{ a bijection}} (-1)^{s(f)} \prod_{i=1}^k C_i^{f(i)},$$

where

$$s(f) = |\{(i, j) | i < j \text{ and } f(i) > f(j)\}|.$$

Further expand in terms of the domino variables $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, \varepsilon_i$ to obtain

$$\det(C^I) = \sum_{\substack{f: [k] \rightarrow I, \text{ a bijection,} \\ \mathcal{M}_f \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{s(f)} \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_f} M, \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{M}_f is the collection of monomials in the domino variables which appear in the expansion of $\prod_{i=1}^k C_i^{f(i)}$. The set \mathcal{M}_f may include more than one element only if for some i c_i is a sticky child of c_j , and $f(i)$ is its first marker. In this case $C_i^{f(i)} = \alpha_i + \varepsilon_i \beta_j$.

Define an involution φ on $\sqcup_f \mathcal{M}_f$ as follows. Let M be a monomial, and let $i < j \in [k]$ be the smallest indices, if such indices can be found, which satisfy

1. c_i, c_j are either siblings or a parent and a child,
2. $f(i), f(j)$ are the first two markers of c_h , the parent of c_j (which may be c_i).
3. M is divisible by $\alpha_h \beta_h$.

In case i, j can be found, set $f' : [k] \rightarrow I$ as the bijection which agrees with f everywhere on $[k]$, except at i, j in which $f'(i) = f(j)$, $f'(j) = f(i)$. We note that $M \in \mathcal{M}_{f'}$ and define $\varphi(f, M) = (f', M)$. If there is no such pair, define $\varphi(f, M) = (f, M)$.

The meaning of the third condition above is that the contribution of c_i, c_j to M comes from the terms inherited by the parent of c_j .

Observe that $s(f) = s(f') + 1$. Thus if we change the order of summation in (2), to first sum over φ -equivalence classes, and then summing the terms $(-1)^{s(f)} M$ in each equivalence class, we see that the sum of equals the sum over fixed point of φ , that is over monomials which do not contain $\alpha_h \beta_h$ for any h . Denote this collection of monomials by \mathcal{M} . We can thus write

$$\det(C^I) = \sum_{(f, M) \in \mathcal{M}} (-1)^{s(f)} M. \quad (3)$$

Let \mathcal{D} be the collection of pairs $d = (h, h+1)$ which appear in D_a , either as heads or tails of chords. Given a bijection $f : [k] \rightarrow I$, we say that two chords c_i, c_j f -share d , if both contain d as their head or tail, and $\{f(i), f(j)\} = \{h, h+1\}$. Define an involution φ_d on \mathcal{M} , for each $d = (h, h+1) \in \mathcal{D}$ as follows. Consider $(f, M) \in \mathcal{M}$. If there are two chords c_i, c_j which f -share d , we define f' by $f'(i) = f(j)$, $f'(j) = f(i)$ and $f(l) = f'(l)$ otherwise. Note that for any d there is at most one such pair $\{i, j\}$. There is a natural bijection between $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}_f$ and $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}_{f'}$. In case d is the head of both c_i, c_j , and, without loss of generality $f(i) < f(j)$ then in terms of minors this bijection is just the multiplication by $\frac{\gamma_j \delta_i}{\gamma_i \delta_j}$. In case d is the head of c_i and the tail of c_j , then it is the multiplication by $\frac{\alpha_j \delta_i}{\beta_j \gamma_i}$, if $f(i) < f(j)$ and $\frac{\beta_j \gamma_i}{\alpha_j \delta_i}$ otherwise. We define $\varphi_d(f, M)$ as (f', M') , where M' is the outcome of the aforementioned multiplication. Note that again $s(f) = s(f') + 1$.

Let Φ be the equivalence relation generated by $\{\varphi_d\}_{d \in \mathcal{D}}$. We show that the sum of all monomials in a Φ -equivalence class is a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients in the elements of \widetilde{Var} , and thus prove the claim.

Define $s_i(f)$ by

- $\text{below}(c_i)$, the number of descendants of c_i if $f(i)$ belongs to the head of c_i .
- $\text{beyond}(c_i)$, the number of descendants of the parent of c_i which start before c_i , if c_i is not a top level chord, and $f(i)$ belongs to the tail of c_i 's parent.
- $\text{behind}(c_i) = k - i$, if c_i is a top chord, and $f(i) = n$.

For every $d = (h, h + 1) \in \mathcal{D}$, define $\text{Contradiction}_d(f)$ to be 0, except in the following two cases in which it is 1.

- There are c_i, c_j which f -share d , c_j is a same-end descendant of c_i , and $f(j) > f(i)$.
- There are (c_i, c_j) are head-to-tail chords which f -share d and $f(i) > f(j)$.

For bijection f which is not cancelled by the first involution φ we have

$$s(f) = \sum_{i \in [k]} s_i(f) + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \text{Contradiction}_d(f). \quad (4)$$

Indeed, if f has no contradictions, then a pair (i, j) where $i < j$ but $f(i) > f(j)$, which contributes to $s(f)$ may appear if only if

- $f(i)$ belongs to the head of c_i and c_j is a descendant of c_i .
- $f(j)$ belongs to the tail of the parent of c_j , and c_i is a descendant of that parent, which comes before c_j .
- c_i is a top chord, and $f(i) = n$, c_j starts after c_i .

These cases are enumerated exactly once in the definition of $\{s_i(f)\}_{i \in [k]}$.

If there are contradictions, we can resolve them, as in the definition of φ_d , to obtain a bijection \tilde{f} without contradictions, for which the formula holds. Then it is easy to see that undoing the resolution adds one to the sign of both sides of (4), for each contradiction.

By Corollary 7.1 and the definition of s_i we have

$$(-1)^{s_i(f)} C_i^{f(i)} > 0. \quad (5)$$

Every Φ -equivalence class R has a collection $D_R \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, a bijection f_R and a monomial M_R , such that f_R has contradictions for any $d \in D_R$, and any $(f, M) \in R$ is of the form $\varphi_{d_1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{d_l}(f_R, M_R)$ for some d_1, \dots, d_l . Set $I(D_R) = \bigcup_{d \in D_R} \{j_d, j_d + 1\}$ where $(j_d, j_d + 1)$ are the markers of d , which are seen to form disjoint sets for different elements in D_R . The size of R is $2^{|D_R|}$.

Using (4), (5) we can write $\sum_{(f, M) \in R} (-1)^{s(f)} M$ as

$$\sum_{(f, M) \in R} \prod_{d \in D_R} (-1)^{\text{Contradiction}_d(f)} \prod_{i \in [k]} (-1)^{s_i(f)} C_i^{f(i)} = \sum_{(f, M) \in R} \prod_{d \in D_R} (-1)^{\text{Contradiction}_d(f)} \prod_{i \in [k]} |C_i^{f(i)}|.$$

This sum can be reorganized to

$$\prod_{j \notin I(D_R)} |C_{f_R^{-1}(j)}^j| \prod_{d \in D_R} (|C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d+1)}^{j_d}| |C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d)}^{j_d+1}| - |C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d)}^{j_d}| |C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d+1)}^{j_d+1}|), \quad (6)$$

where we have used that f_R has a contradiction for each $d \in D_R$, and the above description of R .

All terms in the first product are clearly positive. But also all terms in the second product are. Indeed, if d is the head of both c_i, c_j where $i < j$ then by the assumption $\det(C_{i,j}^{j_d, j_d+1})$ has sign

$(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_j) + 1}$. When we scale the i th and j th rows of the determinant by $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)}$ and $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_j)}$ respectively, we obtain

$$|C_i^{j_d}||C_j^{j_d+1}| - |C_i^{j_d+1}||C_j^{j_d}| < 0. \quad (7)$$

Now, in this case the contradiction is obtained for f , such as f_R , which maps $i \rightarrow j_d$, $j \rightarrow j_d + 1$. Thus, the left hand side of (7) equals

$$- \left(|C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d+1)}^{j_d}||C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d)}^{j_d+1}| - |C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d)}^{j_d}||C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d+1)}^{j_d+1}| \right).$$

which implies that $|C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d+1)}^{j_d}||C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d)}^{j_d+1}| - |C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d)}^{j_d}||C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d+1)}^{j_d+1}| > 0$.

Similarly, if d is the ending domino of both c_i and the starting domino of c_j then $i < j$, and a contradiction will be obtained from bijections f , like f_R , with $f(i) = j_d + 1$, $f(j) = j_d$. By the assumption the determinant of $C_{i,j}^{j_d,j_d+1}$ has sign $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)}$. We scale the i th row of the determinant by $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)}$. The result is thus positive. Thus, the corresponding determinant which appears in (6) is

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d+1)}^{j_d}||C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d)}^{j_d+1}| - |C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d)}^{j_d}||C_{f_R^{-1}(j_d+1)}^{j_d+1}| &= |C_i^{j_d}||C_j^{j_d+1}| - |C_i^{j_d+1}||C_j^{j_d}| \\ &= (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \det(C_{i,j}^{j_d,j_d+1}) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

All terms in the product (6) belong to \widetilde{Var} . As claimed.

The fact that the nonzero Plücker coordinates correspond precisely to the nonzero polynomials is straightforward. Since we only used in the proof the domino form of C and the signs of Corollary 7.1, every matrix in that form that satisfies these inequalities has the same nonvanishing determinants, and they are all positive. \square

Remark 7.4. Showing that every point that satisfies the constraints of Corollary 7.1 lies in the positroid S_a can also be done in an alternative way. Looking carefully at the argument of Lemma 3.24 which determines the variables of the CONSTRUCT-MATRIX from a point in the positroid, one can see that these constraints are exactly the required constraints for finding a preimage in \mathbb{R}_+^{4k} . The argument we presented above has the advantage of giving the positive decomposition of the Plücker coordinates, a decomposition we will soon use.

The upshot of the next lemma is to show that the vanishing of an element in $\widetilde{Var} \setminus Var$ implies the vanishing of at least two elements of Var .

Lemma 7.5. *Every element in $\widetilde{Var} \setminus Var$ can be represented as a linear combination of Laurent monomials in the variables of \widetilde{Var} , such that all coefficients are nonnegative, and at least one of the monomials appearing in this sum with a positive coefficient is of the form $\frac{P}{Q}$, where P is a product of elements of Var , and Q is a product of elements of Var^1 .*

Proof. We first prove the claim for elements of $Var^1 \setminus Var$. There are two cases to consider. The first is that c_i has a same-end child c_j . In this case $\hat{\gamma}_j, \hat{\delta}_i \in Var^1 \setminus Var$ and $\eta_{ij} \in Var$. From the definitions and Corollary 7.1 we have:

$$\eta_{ij} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_j) + 1} \det \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_i & \delta_i \\ \gamma_j & \delta_j \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_j) + 1} (\gamma_i \delta_j - \gamma_j \delta_i),$$

and

$$\gamma_i = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \hat{\gamma}_i, \quad \delta_i = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \hat{\delta}_i, \quad \gamma_j = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_j)} \hat{\gamma}_j, \quad \delta_j = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_j)} \hat{\delta}_j.$$

Thus, $\hat{\delta}_i \hat{\gamma}_j = \eta_{ij} + \hat{\gamma}_i \hat{\delta}_j$, and hence $\hat{\delta}_i = \frac{\eta_{ij} + \hat{\gamma}_i \hat{\delta}_j}{\hat{\gamma}_j}$, $\hat{\gamma}_j = \frac{\eta_{ij} + \hat{\gamma}_i \hat{\delta}_j}{\hat{\delta}_i}$, as claimed.

The second case is when c_i, c_j are head-to-tail siblings. Then $\hat{\gamma}_i, \beta_j \in Var^1 \setminus Var$ and $\theta_{ij} \in Var$. Again by Corollary 7.1,

$$\theta_{ij} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \det \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_i & \delta_i \\ \alpha_j & \beta_j \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} (\gamma_i \beta_j - \alpha_j \delta_i),$$

and

$$\gamma_i = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \hat{\gamma}_i, \delta_i = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \hat{\delta}_i, \alpha_j = \alpha_j, \beta_j = \beta_j.$$

Thus, $\hat{\gamma}_i \beta_j = \theta_{ij} + \hat{\delta}_i \alpha_j$, and therefore $\hat{\gamma}_i = \frac{\theta_{ij} + \hat{\delta}_i \alpha_j}{\beta_j}$, $\beta_j = \frac{\theta_{ij} + \hat{\delta}_i \alpha_j}{\hat{\gamma}_i}$, showing the claim for this case.

We now find an expression for η_{il} when c_l is a same-end descendant which is not the child of c_i . In this case c_i must have a same end child, denote it by c_j . Then $\eta_{ij} \in Var$. Write

$$C_{h,h+1}^{i,j,l} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_i & \delta_i \\ \gamma_j & \delta_j \\ \gamma_l & \delta_l \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Corollary 7.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i &= (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \hat{\gamma}_i, \delta_i = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \hat{\delta}_i, \gamma_j = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_j)} \hat{\gamma}_j, \delta_j = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_j)} \hat{\delta}_j, \\ \gamma_l &= (-1)^{\text{below}(c_l)} \hat{\gamma}_l, \delta_l = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_l)} \hat{\delta}_l, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ij} &= (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_j) + 1} (\gamma_i \delta_j - \gamma_j \delta_i), \eta_{il} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_l) + 1} (\gamma_i \delta_l - \gamma_l \delta_i), \\ \eta_{jl} &= (-1)^{\text{below}(c_j) - \text{below}(c_l) + 1} (\gamma_j \delta_l - \gamma_l \delta_j). \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\delta_l(\gamma_i \delta_j - \gamma_j \delta_i)$, $\delta_i(\gamma_j \delta_l - \gamma_l \delta_j)$, $\delta_j(\gamma_i \delta_l - \gamma_l \delta_i)$ all have the same sign

$$(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) + \text{below}(c_j) + \text{below}(c_l) + 1},$$

and moreover the sum of the first two equals the third. Thus, $\frac{\hat{\delta}_l}{\hat{\delta}_j} \eta_{ij} + \frac{\hat{\delta}_i}{\hat{\delta}_j} \eta_{jl} = \eta_{il}$. If $\hat{\delta}_l \in Var$ then this case follows. Otherwise we use the first case to write $\hat{\delta}_l = \frac{\eta_{ll'} + \hat{\gamma}_l \hat{\delta}_{l'}}{\hat{\gamma}_{l'}}$, and substitute this in the expression for η_{il} .

We now express θ_{jl} where c_j has a same-end ancestor c_i and there is a third chord c_l which starts at $(h, h+1)$ where c_i, c_j end. We may take c_i to be a sibling of c_l , and then $\theta_{il} \in Var$.

Write

$$C_{h,h+1}^{i,j,l} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_i & \delta_i \\ \gamma_j & \delta_j \\ \alpha_l & \beta_l \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Corollary 7.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i &= (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \hat{\gamma}_i, \delta_i = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} \hat{\delta}_i, \gamma_j = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_j)} \hat{\gamma}_j, \delta_j = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_j)} \hat{\delta}_j \\ \alpha_l &= \alpha_l, \beta_l = \beta_l, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta_{ij} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) - \text{below}(c_j) + 1} (\gamma_i \delta_j - \gamma_j \delta_i), \theta_{il} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_i)} (\gamma_i \beta_l - \delta_i \alpha_l), \theta_{jl} = (-1)^{\text{below}(c_j)} (\gamma_j \beta_l - \delta_j \alpha_l).$$

We see that $\beta_l(\gamma_i \delta_j - \gamma_j \delta_i)$, $-\delta_j(\gamma_i \beta_l - \delta_i \alpha_l)$, $-\delta_i(\gamma_j \beta_l - \delta_j \alpha_l)$ have sign $(-1)^{\text{below}(c_i) + \text{below}(c_j) + 1}$, and moreover the sum of the first two equals the third. Thus, $\frac{\beta_l}{\hat{\delta}_i} \eta_{ij} + \frac{\hat{\delta}_j}{\hat{\delta}_i} \theta_{il} = \theta_{jl}$, and we finish as in the previous case. \square

7.2 Codimension One Boundaries

The stratification of the nonnegative Grassmannian was much studied in the literature [Pos06, Section 18], [PSW09, Section 6]. Postnikov showed that the closure of a positroid is a disjoint union of positroids. Moreover, a positroid S is contained in the closure of another positroid S' if and only if all the Plücker coordinates that vanish on S' also vanish on S . It was later shown that this stratification yields a CW complex structure on the closure of each positroid, and in particular on $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ [PSW09, Section 6].

In what follows, we refer to a positroid as a *stratum* or *boundary stratum* of another positroid, if the former is a cell in the CW decomposition of the closure of the latter. Recall that Corollary 7.1 and Notation 7.2 allow translating the inequalities of Definition 2.10 to the positivity of the elements of \widehat{Var} .

Definition 7.6. Let D_a be a chord diagram, and $\star \in \text{Var}_a$. A matrix C is a (D_a, \star) -extended domino matrix if it is in the form of Definition 2.5, satisfies all inequalities of Definition 2.10, with the exception that $\star = 0$ instead of being positive. C is said to be a D_a -extended domino matrix if it is in the form of Definition 2.5, only that the inequalities of Definition 2.10 are relaxed to be weak inequalities. We write $\partial_{\star} S_a$ for the subset of the nonnegative Grassmannian whose elements have a representative in the (D_a, \star) -extended domino form. We also write $\widehat{\partial_{\star} S_a} \supseteq \partial_{\star} S_a$ for the set of vector spaces which have a representative in the D_a extended domino form with $\star = 0$.

Recall that $S_{\partial A} \subseteq \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ is defined as the collection of vector spaces which intersect nontrivially $\text{span}(e_i, e_{i+1}, e_j, e_{j+1})$ for some i, j .

We start by describing generation algorithms for these spaces, in the spirit of Algorithm 3.10, in all cases but the case of $\partial_{\beta_i} S_a$, when c_i is a sticky child. A generation algorithm for this case can also be written, but will not be needed in what follows.

Recall the algorithm CONSTRUCT-MATRIX in Section 3.2. We now describe the changes needed in order to generate the boundary positroids ∂_{\star} , $\star \in \text{Var}$ above. Let $c_l = (i, i+1, h, h+1)$ be a chord in D_a .

- $\partial_{\hat{\varepsilon}_1}$: Act as in CONSTRUCT-MATRIX, but do not perform $y_{(i+1) \oplus 1}(s_l)$ in $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$.
- ∂_{α_l} : Act as in CONSTRUCT-MATRIX, but do not perform $y_i(u_l)$ in $\text{TAIL}(c_{*l})$.
- ∂_{β_l} (if c_l is not a sticky child and $\beta_l \in \text{Var}$), $\partial_{\theta_{ml}}$ (assuming $\theta_{ml} \in \text{Var}$) : Act as in CONSTRUCT-MATRIX, but instead of $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$ apply inc_i , $y_{i \oplus 1}(s_l)$, $x_i(v_l)$, $x_h(w_l)$, and add i to N . In $\text{TAIL}(c_{*l})$ skip $y_{i+1}(u_l)$.
- $\partial_{\hat{\gamma}_l}$ (assuming $\hat{\gamma}_l \in \text{Var}$) : Since $\hat{\gamma}_l \in \text{Var}$, then the parent of c_l ends after c_l , and no sibling of c_l starts at $(h, h+1)$. pre_h is skipped in $\text{FILL}(h)$, and is performed in $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$ instead of doing $x_h(w_l)$.
- $\partial_{\hat{\delta}_l}$ (if $\hat{\delta}_l \in \text{Var}$), $\partial_{\eta_{lm}}$ (assuming $\eta_{lm} \in \text{Var}$) : Act as in the algorithm, omitting $x_h(w_l)$ in $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$.

Denote by $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}^{\star}(D, \{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\}_{l=1}^k)$, for $\star \in \text{Var}$, the output of the algorithm, applied with the variables $\{s_{\star}, u_{\star}, v_{\star}, w_{\star}\}$. Note that one variable is absent, according to the above items, but in order not to make the notation heavier we do not write it explicitly.

Lemma 7.7. $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}^{\star}(D_a, \{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\}_{l=1}^k)$, for a chord diagram D_a and $\star \in \text{Var}_a$, generates positroid cells whose elements have representatives in the (D_a, \star) -extended domino form.

Proof. The proof for $\hat{\varepsilon}_l, \alpha_l, \beta_l, \hat{\gamma}_l, \hat{\delta}_l$ is identical to the proof of Proposition 3.15. We should note that for β_l , the assumption $\beta_l \in \text{Var}$ is used to guarantee that not only when the row of c_l is generated, its $i+1$

entry will be zero, but also that this will not change by later x_i operations. A similar comment holds for $\hat{\gamma}_l, \hat{\delta}_l$.

The proof for η_{lm} and θ_{ml} is also similar to the proof of Proposition 3.15. This time, after $\text{HEAD}(c_l)$ in the case of η_{lm} , the $h+1$ entry of that row is 0. After $\text{HEAD}(c_m)$, the minor $\{l, m\} \times \{h, h+1\}$ will have proportional columns. The subsequent steps will not change that. Similarly, for θ_{ml} , after $\text{TAIL}(c_{*l})$ the entry $i+1$ of the row of c_l is 0. After $\text{HEAD}(c_{*m})$, the minor $\{m, l\} \times \{i, i+1\}$ will have proportional columns. The subsequent steps will not change that.

By repeating the argument of Proposition 3.15 it is easily seen that not only every $\star' \in \text{Var} \setminus \star$ is nonzero, it also has the expected sign of Corollary 7.1. \square

The generation algorithm can be translated into an algorithmic decorated permutation, which we denote by $\pi_{a,\star}$ according to the receipt of Lemma 3.20. Recall Definition 3.16. It will be convenient in what follows to use slightly different notations for the same permutation, and to write it in terms of the chords c_i , enumerated according to their starting point, rather than c'_i which are enumerated according to their endpoints. We will write $c_i = (a_i, a_i + 1, b_i, b_i + 1)$, and then the permutation π_a reads

$$(p_1 \ q_1) (p_2 \ q_2) \cdots (p_{2k} \ q_{2k}) \prod_{\substack{c_i \text{ is ordered according} \\ \text{to increasing order of heads}}} ((a_i + 1) \ b_i \ (b_i + 1)) \quad (8)$$

where, p_i, q_i are as in Definition 3.16 and since we work with non commutative variables our convention is that the multiplication is from left, i.e. $\prod_{i=1}^3 x_i = x_3 x_2 x_1$. In case of same-end chords, the parent is considered to end after the child. We also recall the notation c_{j*} to denote the last sticky descendant in the sticky chain from c_j , and a_{j*} is its first marker. We denote by a_j^* either n , if c_j is a top chord, or $a_h + 1$, where c_h is the parent of a_h , otherwise.

Observation 7.8. In cases $\star \in \text{Var}_a$ for which the generation algorithm was defined, the algorithmic permutation $\pi_{a,\star}$ is obtained as follows.

- $\pi_{a,\hat{\varepsilon}_j}$ is obtained from (8) by omitting the transposition $((a_j + 1) \ a_j^*)$.
- π_{a,α_j} is obtained from (8) by omitting the transposition $(a_j \ (a_{j*} + 1))$, where c_{j*} is the last sticky descendant in the sticky chain from c_j .
- $\pi_{a,\hat{\gamma}_j}$ is obtained from (8) by replacing the cycle $((a_j + 1) \ b_j \ (b_j + 1))$ by $((a_j + 1) \ (b_j + 1))$.
- $\pi_{a,\hat{\delta}_j}, \pi_{a,\eta_{j,j'}}$ are obtained from (8) by replacing the cycle $((a_j + 1) \ b_j \ (b_j + 1))$ by $((a_j + 1) \ b_j)$.
- $\pi_{a,\beta_j}, \pi_{a,\theta_{j',j}}$ are obtained from (8) by replacing $((a_j + 1) \ b_j \ (b_j + 1))$ by $(a_j \ b_j \ (b_j + 1))$, replacing $((a_j + 1) \ a_j^*)$ by $(a_j \ a_j^*)$, and removing the transposition $(a_j \ (a_{j*} + 1))$.

Lemma 7.9. Let $D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ be a chord diagram. Let $\star \in \text{Var}_a$ be an element which is not of the form β_i for a sticky child, α_i for a chord which has a sticky child, or $\hat{\varepsilon}_i$. Denote by S the positroid cell that arises from $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}^*(D, \{s_l, u_l, v_l, w_l\}_{l=1}^k)$. Every point in S is obtained from a unique choice of the $4k - 1$ positive variables. Hence, S is $4k - 1$ -dimensional.

Moreover, in these cases the positroid generated by the algorithm coincides with $\partial_\star S_a$, and $\pi_{a,\star}$ is the associated permutation. In addition $\partial_\star S_a$ is a codimension one boundary of S_a .

The restrictions we make in this statement are not always necessary, but they suffice for our needs, and simplify the proof.

Proof. The proof follows the lines of the proof of Lemma 3.24. The first statement and the 'Hence' part are proven by constructing an inverse map, again in an inductive process. That is, for a given point in

S , which must be an output of $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}^\star$, we determine the real parameters of the x_l and y_l operations used to construct it. Finding these values for $k = 1$ is straightforward.

More than one top chord:

Suppose we have shown the claim for less than k chords. Assume first that D_a has at least two top chords. For $\star \in \{\alpha_l, \beta_l, \hat{\gamma}_l, \hat{\delta}_l, \eta_{lm}, \theta_{ml}\}$, write $c_l = (i, i+1, h, h+1)$ and denote by $c_p = (q, q+1, j, j+1)$ the top chord from which c_l descends. Let D_b be the subdiagram made of chords which end no later than $(j, j+1)$. Let D_c be the subdiagram made of the other chords, with index set $[n] \setminus [j-1]$. Suppose that D_b has k' chords. We consider first the case $k' < k$.

We can write

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C' \\ C'' \end{pmatrix},$$

where C' consists of the first k' rows and C'' of the last $k - k'$ rows. Let V', V'' be their row spans, respectively. The recursive structure of the algorithm $\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}^\star(D, \{s_r, u_r, v_r, w_r\}_{r=1}^k)$, allows to write, as in the proof of Lemma 3.24, C', C'' explicitly:

$$C' = \text{pre}_{n-1} \cdots \text{pre}_{j+2} \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}^\star(D, \{s_r, u_r, v_r, w_r\}_{r \in [k']}) ,$$

and

$$C'' = \text{pre}_{j-1} \cdots \text{pre}_1 x_j(w) \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D_c, \{s_r, u_r, v_r, w_r\}_{r \in [k] \setminus [k']}) ,$$

where w is the sum of w_r variables that appear in x_j operations for C' .

We claim that V', V'' are uniquely determined from V . Indeed, by the same argument of Lemma 3.24, we see that if this is not the case then either V' or V'' contains a vector in $\text{span}\{e_j, e_{j+1}, e_n\}$. V'' is the row span of a standard domino matrix. Therefore, by the same argument of Lemma 3.25, $V'' \cap \text{span}\{e_j, e_{j+1}, e_n\} = 0$. We want to show that also C' does not contain a vector in the span of $\{e_j, e_{j+1}, e_n\}$. If $\star \in \{\alpha_p, \beta_p, \hat{\gamma}_p, \hat{\delta}_p\}$, which means $p = l$, then there is $i' \in \{i, i+1\}$ such that $(C'_p)^{i'} \neq 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.25 we can find $I \in \binom{[j-1]}{k'}$ which intersects $\{i, i+1\}$ in i' for which $\det((C')^I) > 0$, which implies, again as in that proof, that there is no linear combination of the rows of C' which lies in $\text{span}\{e_j, e_{j+1}, e_n\}$. If $\star \notin \{\alpha_p, \beta_p, \hat{\gamma}_p, \hat{\delta}_p\}$, and $\star \neq \alpha_l$, then $\det((C')^I) > 0$, where I is the set of first markers of each chord. This is seen by performing row operations which cancel the dominoes inherited to chords from their parent. These operations do not change the starting dominoes and transform $(C')^I$ to an upper triangular with nonzero diagonal. If $\star = \alpha_l$, then we take I to be the set of first markers of each chord, except for l and the maximal sticky chain which descends from it. For these chords we pick the second marker, and again the same reasoning shows that the determinant is nonzero. Thus, in this case we also see that V' does not contain a vector in $\text{span}\{e_j, e_{j+1}, e_n\}$.

By induction we can recover from V' the parameters used to construct C' . We then apply $x_j(-w)$ to V'' and use induction again to recover the remaining parameters for C'' .

The next case is $k' = k$. Since we assume that there is more than one top chord, c_p must be the last top chord. This time let D_c be the subdiagram of c_p and its descendants, and D_b the subdiagram of chords which precede c_p , with marker set $[q+1] \cup \{n\}$. Redefine k' to be the number of rows in D_b , and set

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C' \\ C'' \end{pmatrix},$$

where C' consists of the first k' rows, and C'' the last $k - k'$ rows. V', V'' are the row spans.

We use the recursive structure of the algorithm again to write

$$C' = \text{pre}_{n-1} \cdots \text{pre}_{q+2} \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D, \{s_r, u_r, v_r, w_r\}_{r \in [k']})$$

and

$$C'' = \text{pre}_{q-1} \cdots \text{pre}_1 x_q(w) \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}^{\star'}(D_c, \{s_r, u_r, v_r, w_r\}_{r \in [k] \setminus [k']}),$$

where w is the sum of w_r variables that appear in x_q operations for C' , and $\star' = \star$ unless $c_l = c_p$ is a top chord and $\star = \theta_{ml}$. In that exceptional case $\star' = \beta_l$, since after the algorithm finishes to construct the row of c_l its entry labeled $i + 1 = q + 1$ is 0. It becomes non zero only by applications of x_q in later stages, whose total effect on this row is the effect of $x_q(w)$.

Again we show that V', V'' can be determined from V . We need to show that neither V' nor V'' contain a non zero vector in $\text{span}\{e_q, e_{q+1}, e_n\}$. This time V' is the row span of a standard domino matrix, hence for V' this is done as in Lemma 3.24. For V'' , we split as above to the two cases depending on whether \star belongs to $\{\alpha_p, \beta_p, \hat{\gamma}_p, \hat{\delta}_p\}$ or not, and the same conclusion holds. As in the previous case we use induction to retrieve the parameters of the algorithm for C' , and then for C'' after applying $x_q(-w)$ to V'' .

A single top chord:

The final case to consider is that D_a has a single top chord $c_p = c_1$, which we may assume, perhaps after removing zero columns, that starts at $(1, 2)$ and ends at $(n - 2, n - 1)$. If $\star \notin \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\delta}_1\}$, then as in the analogous part in the proof of Lemma 3.24 we can write

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C' \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$C' = \text{pre}_n \text{rescale}_1(u_1) x_1(1) \text{rotate}_{k-1} \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}^{\star}(D_b, \{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k] \setminus [1]}),$$

if $\star \neq \eta_{1m}$ and

$$C' = \text{pre}_n \text{rescale}_1(u_1) x_1(1) \text{rotate}_{k-1} \text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX}(D_b, \{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k] \setminus [1]}),$$

otherwise. The reason for this exception is that in case $\eta_{1m} = 0$ the missing parameter is w_1 from $\text{HEAD}(c_1)$.

As above, the next step is to show that there is no non zero vector in the intersection between the linear span of rows $2, \dots, k$ and $V' = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1}\}$. In order to show that this intersection is zero, first recall that by assumption \star is not of the form β_l , for any c_l which is a sticky child. In this case, we can find $I \in \binom{\{3, 4, \dots, n-3, n\}}{k}$ such that $\det(C^I) \neq 0$, showing that no vector in $\text{span}\{e_1, e_2, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1}\}$ is spanned by C 's rows. We construct I as follows. First, we add n to I . Then for every chord in the sticky chain from c_1 we take its second marker. Then, if $\star \neq \alpha_l$ for $l \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ we add to I the first marker of any other chord. If $\star = \alpha_l$, for some c_l , then also for this chord, which by assumption does not have a sticky child we take the second marker, and for other chords the first. The first row of C^I has a single nonzero entry at n . Removing this row and the column n leaves us with a matrix, that like in the proof of Lemma 3.25 is upper triangular with nonzero diagonal after some row operations.

Thus, the intersection is 0, hence C_1, V' can be determined from V . As in the proof of Lemma 3.24 we calculate s_1, u_1, v_1 from C_1 , then undo $\text{pre}_n, \text{rescale}_1(u_1), x_1(1), \text{rotate}_{k-1}$, use induction to recover the parameters $\{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k] \setminus [1]}$ and then recover w_1 .

We are left with the case $\star \in \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\delta}_1\}$. The proof of Lemma 3.25 extends to this case, and we can find a set $I \subseteq \binom{\{3, 4, \dots, n-3, n\}}{k}$ with $\det(C^I) \neq 0$. This shows as above that indeed C_1 , and $V' = \text{span}\{2, \dots, k\}$ are uniquely determined. Again we can recover the parameters for c_1 , except, possibly, w_1 . If $\star \in \{\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\delta}_1\}$ then we act just as above. If $\star = \beta_1$, we erase the first row and n th column, cyclically shift the first column to be the last, possibly changing the sign of this column to preserve positivity, and re-index it as n . If $\star = \alpha_1$, then by assumption c_1 does not have a child which sticks to it. We erase the first row and n th column. Note that the first column is now a zero column. We then

move the second column to be the n th column, possibly changing the sign of this column to preserve positivity. In all these cases, the resulting vector space is precisely the row span of

$$\text{CONSTRUCT-MATRIX} \left(D_b, \{s_h, u_h, v_h, w_h\}_{h \in [k] \setminus [1]} \right),$$

we use induction to calculate its parameters and then calculate w_1 if $\star \neq \hat{\delta}_1$.

The 'Moreover' part:

Arguing exactly as in Section 3.3, based on Summary 3.22, we deduce from the dimension calculation above that $\pi_{a,\star}$ is the associated permutation.

By definition $\partial_\star S_a$ contains the positroid S generated by the algorithm. But using Proposition 7.3, whose proof generalizes to the case where one element in Var is taken to vanish, we see that the set of nonvanishing Plücker coordinates for any point in $\partial_\star S_a$ are the same. But since $S \neq \emptyset$ and S is characterized by the nonvanishing Plücker coordinates, the two spaces coincide. The set of nonvanishing Plücker coordinates for $\partial_\star S_a$ is contained in the corresponding set for S_a , for example by Proposition 7.3 again. Since they are not in the same dimension, the former is a boundary of the latter, of codimension one. \square

The main result of this section is the next proposition.

Proposition 7.10. *Each boundary stratum of a BCFW cell S_a is either contained in $S_{\partial A}$ or is a boundary stratum of another BCFW cell S_b .*

Before getting to the proof, we define shift operations on chords in a chord diagram. These operation are crucial to the pairing of boundaries.

Definition 7.11. Let $c_i = (h, h+1, l, l+1)$ be a chord in a chord diagram D_a .

Left shifting the tail of c_i (unobstructed):

If $h > 1$, no chord starts at $(h-1, h)$ or ends at $(h, h+1)$ we define $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,l}(a)}$ as the diagram obtained from D_a by replacing the i th chord with a new i th chord $(h-1, h, l, l+1)$.

Right shifting the tail of c_i (unobstructed):

If c_i is not short, and no chord starts at $(h+1, h+2)$ we define $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ as the diagram obtained from D_a by replacing the i th chord with a new i th chord $(h+1, h+2, l, l+1)$. If c_i is short, $l < n-2$ and no other chord starts or ends at $(l, l+1) = (h+2, h+3)$ we define $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ as the diagram obtained from D_a by replacing the i th chord with a new i th chord $(h+1, h+2, h+3, h+4)$.

Right shifting the tail of c_i (obstructed):

Suppose c_i is short and $l < n-2$.

- If no other chord ends at $(l, l+1) = (h+2, h+3)$ but c_i has a sibling $(h+2, h+3, j, j+1)$ then we define $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ as the diagram obtained from D_a by replacing the i th chord with a new i th chord $(h+1, h+2, j, j+1)$.
- If no other chord starts at $(l, l+1) = (h+2, h+3)$ but some ancestors of c_i end there, we define $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ as the diagram obtained from D_a by removing the i th chord, pushing the heads of all of its same-end ancestors one marker backwards, that is to end at $(h+1, h+2)$, and adding a new short i chord $(h+1, h+2, h+3, h+4)$.
- If there is a chord $(h+2, h+3, j, j+1)$ and some ancestors of c_i end at $(h+2, h+3)$, we define $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ as the diagram obtained from D_a by removing the i th, pushing the heads of all of its same-end ancestors one marker backwards, that is to end at $(h+1, h+2)$, and adding a new i th chord $(h+1, h+2, j, j+1)$.

Left shifting the head of c_i (unobstructed):

If c_i is not short and it has no same-end descendant $D_{\text{shift}_{i,s,l}(a)}$ is defined from D_a by replacing the i th chord by $(h, h+1, l-1, l)$. If c_i is short, $h > 1$ and no chord starts at $(h-1, h)$ or ends at $(h, h+1)$, $D_{\text{shift}_{i,e,l}(a)}$ is defined from D_a by replacing the i th chord by $(h-1, h, h+1, h+2)$.

Left shifting the head of c_i (obstructed):

- Suppose c_i is not short, and it has a same-end child which starts at $(j, j+1)$, for $j > h+1$. In this case $D_{\text{shift}_{i,e,l}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by replacing the i th chord with a new i th chord $(h, h+1, j, j+1)$.
- Suppose c_i is not short, and it has a same-end child which starts at $(h+1, h+2)$, but no chord ends at $(h, h+1)$ or starts at $(h-1, h)$ and $h > 1$. In this case $D_{\text{shift}_{i,e,l}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by replacing the i th chord with a new i th short chord $(h-1, h, h+1, h+2)$.
- Suppose c_i is not short, it has a same end child which starts at $(h+1, h+2)$, and there are chords ending at $(h, h+1)$. In this case $D_{\text{shift}_{i,e,l}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by removing the i th chord, pushing the endpoint of each chord whose head is $(h, h+1)$ to end at $(h+1, h+2)$ and add a new i th short chord $(h-1, h, h+1, h+2)$.
- If c_i is short and some chord ends at $(h, h+1)$ then $D_{\text{shift}_{i,e,l}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by removing the i th chord, pushing the endpoint of each chord whose head is $(h, h+1)$ to end at $(h+1, h+2)$ and add a new i th short chord $(h-1, h, h+1, h+2)$.


Right shifting the head of c_i (unobstructed):


If $l < n-2$, the parent of c_i does not end at $(l, l+1)$ and no sibling of c_i starts at $(l, l+1)$ we define $D_{\text{shift}_{i,e,r}(a)}$ as the diagram obtained from D_a by replacing the i th chord with a new i th chord $(h, h+1, l+1, l+2)$.

Right shifting the head of c_i (obstructed):


If the parent of c_i does not end at $(l, l+1)$ but a sibling of c_i starts at $(l, l+1)$ and ends at $(j, j+1)$, we define $D_{\text{shift}_{i,e,r}(a)}$ as the diagram obtained from D_a by replacing the i th chord with a new i th chord $(h, h+1, j, j+1)$.

In all these cases we call the resulting chord diagram the *shifted diagram*.







(4) left head obstructed nonsticky
right head obstructed



(5) left head obstructed sticky
right tail obstructed short



(6) left head short rolling heads
right tail short rolling heads



(7) left head obstructed sticky rolling heads
right tail obstructed short rolling heads

The following observation is straightforward.

Observation 7.12.

- If the left shift of the tail of c_i is defined, then in $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,l}(a)}$ the right shift of the tail of the i th chord is defined, and

$$D_a = D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(\text{shift}_{i;s,l}(a))}.$$

- If c_i is not short, and the right shift of its tail is defined, then in $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ the left shift of the head of the i th chord is defined, and

$$D_a = D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,l}(\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a))}.$$

- If c_i is short and the right shift of its tail is defined, then in $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ the left shift of the head of the i th chord is defined, and

$$D_a = D_{\text{shift}_{i;e,l}(\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a))}.$$

- If c_i is short, and the left shift its head of c_i is defined, then in $D_{\text{shift}_{i;e,l}(a)}$ the right shift of the i th chord's tail is defined, and

$$D_a = D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(\text{shift}_{i;e,l}(a))}.$$

- If c_i is not short, and the left shift its head of c_i is defined, then in $D_{\text{shift}_{i;e,l}(a)}$ the right shift of the i th chord's head is defined, and

$$D_a = D_{\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(\text{shift}_{i;e,l}(a))}.$$

- If right shift of the head of c_i is defined, then in $D_{\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(a)}$ the left shift of the i th chord's head is defined, and

$$D_a = D_{\text{shift}_{i;e,l}(\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(a))}.$$

Proof of Proposition 7.10. The proof follows from the following three lemmas.

Lemma 7.13. $\partial S_a \subseteq S_{\partial \mathcal{A}} \cup \bigcup_{\star \in \text{Var}_a} \widehat{\partial_\star S_a} = S_{\partial \mathcal{A}} \cup \bigcup_{\star \in \text{Var}_a} \overline{\partial_\star S_a}$, where $\overline{\partial_\star S_a}$ is the topological closure of $\partial_\star S_a$.

Lemma 7.14. In the following situations $\widehat{\partial_\star S_a} \subseteq S_{\partial \mathcal{A}}$:

1. $\star = \hat{\varepsilon}_i$.
2. $\star = \alpha_i$, where c_i is either a short chord which ends at $(n-2, n-1)$ or c_i has a sticky child.
3. $\star = \beta_i$ for c_i which either starts at $(1, 2)$ or c_i is a sticky child.
4. $\star = \hat{\gamma}_i$, when c_i ends at $(n-2, n-1)$.
5. $\star = \hat{\delta}_i$ when c_i is short and either starts at $(1, 2)$ or is a sticky child.
6. $\star = \eta_{ij}$, if c_j is a sticky and same-end child of c_i , and c_i either starts at $(1, 2)$ or is a sticky child.

Lemma 7.15. The following codimension one boundaries of BCFW cells are boundaries of two different cells, hence also their closures are:

1. Unobstructed cases:

- If the right shift of the head of c_i is unobstructed then

$$\partial_{\hat{\gamma}_i} S_a = \partial_{\hat{\delta}_i} S_{\text{shift}_{i,e,r}(a)}.$$

- If the right shift of the tail of c_i is unobstructed and c_i is not short,

$$\partial_{\alpha_i} S_a = \partial_{\beta_i} S_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}.$$

- If the right shift of the tail of c_i is unobstructed and c_i is short,

$$\partial_{\alpha_i} S_a = \partial_{\hat{\delta}_i} S_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}.$$

2. If c_i is short and there is another chord c_j which starts where c_i ends then $\alpha_i \in \text{Var}_a$ and $\eta_{ij} \in \text{Var}_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$, and vice versa (meaning: if c_j is a child of c_i which is both sticky and same ended, then $\eta_{ij} \in \text{Var}_b$ and $\alpha_i \in \text{Var}_{\text{shift}_{i,e,l}(a)}$). In this case

$$\partial_{\alpha_i} S_a = \partial_{\eta_{ij}} S_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}.$$

3. If c_i is short, ends before $(n-2, n-1)$ and ends where its parent end, but no chord starts where it ends, then $\hat{\delta}_i \in \text{Var}_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$, and vice versa (meaning: if c_i is short and there is a chord ending where c_i starts then $\hat{\delta}_i \in \text{Var}_b$ and $\alpha_i \in \text{Var}_{\text{shift}_{i,e,l}(b)}$). In this case

$$\partial_{\alpha_i} S_a = \partial_{\hat{\delta}_i} S_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}.$$

4. If c_i has a sibling c_j which starts where c_i ends (as usual we consider two top chords also as siblings) then $\theta_{ij} \in \text{Var}_a$, and $\eta_{ij} \in \text{Var}_{\text{shift}_{i,e,r}}$, and vice versa (meaning: if c_i has a same-end child which is not sticky, then $\eta_{ij} \in \text{Var}_b$ and $\theta_{ij} \in \text{Var}_{\text{shift}_{i,e,l}(b)}$). In this case

$$\partial_{\theta_{ij}} S_a = \partial_{\eta_{ij}} S_{\text{shift}_{i,e,r}}.$$

By Lemma 7.13 each boundary stratum of S_a is either contained in $S_{\partial A}$, or is characterized by the vanishing of at least one $\star \in Var$. All possible cases for the vanishing of $\star \in Var_a$ are covered by Lemma 7.14 and Lemma 7.15, as we now show.

Indeed, $\hat{\varepsilon}_i$ boundaries are covered in Lemma 7.14, case 1.

α_i boundaries are covered in case 1 of Lemma 7.15, if the right shift of the tail is unobstructed. If the right shift of the tail is obstructed, then if c_i is short, then either c_i ends at $(n-2, n-1)$ (Lemma 7.14, case 2), or it ends where some other chord ends or starts (cases 2,3 of Lemma 7.15). If c_i is not short, but this shift is obstructed then c_i must have a sticky child (case 2 of Lemma 7.14 again).

β_i boundaries are covered in case 1 of Lemma 7.15, if the left shift of the tail is unobstructed. If the left shift of the tail of c_i is obstructed, then either c_i starts at $(1, 2)$, or it is a sticky child (case 3 of Lemma 7.14), or it starts where some other chord c_j ends. In this case $\beta_i \notin Var$.

$\hat{\gamma}_i$ boundaries are covered in case 1 of Lemma 7.15, if the right shift of the head is unobstructed. The right shift of the head is obstructed when this chord either ends at $(n-2, n-1)$, when it has a sibling which starts where c_i ends, or when c_i is the same-end child of c_j . The first situation is treated in Lemma 7.14, case 4. In the second and third situations $\hat{\gamma}_i \notin Var$.

$\hat{\delta}_i$ boundaries are covered in case 1 of Lemma 7.15, if the left shift of the head is unobstructed. There are several cases in which this shift is obstructed. If c_i is short it could either be that it starts at $(1, 2)$ or it is a sticky child (case 5 of Lemma 7.14), or it starts where some other chord ends (case 2 of Lemma 7.15). If c_i is not short then the left shift of the head is obstructed only if c_i has a same-end child. In this case $\hat{\delta}_i \notin Var$,

θ_{ij} boundaries are covered in Lemma 7.15, case 4.

η_{ij} boundaries are covered in Lemma 7.15, case 4, when c_j is not a sticky child of c_i . If it is a sticky child, and c_i is either a sticky child itself, or starts at $(1, 2)$ then this case is covered in Lemma 7.14, case 6. The remaining possibilities are handled in Lemma 7.15, case 2.

The proof follows. \square

We turn to the proofs of the lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 7.14. Assume that c_i starts at $(h, h+1)$ and ends at $(l, l+1)$.

For the first item, if $\hat{\varepsilon}_i = 0$ then the i th row a linear combination of $e_h, e_{h+1}, e_l, e_{l+1}$.

For the second item, if c_i is short and ends at $(n-2, n-1)$ then $\alpha_i = 0$ implies that the i th row a linear combination of $e_{n-3}, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1}, e_n$. If c_i has a sticky child c_j then if c_j ends at $(l', l'+1)$ then the vanishing of α_i , which affects also c_i 's children, in the domino they inherit from c_i , makes the j th row a linear combination of $e_{h+1}, e_{h+2}, e_{l'}, e_{l'+1}$.

For the third item, if $h = 1$ then also $i = 1$ and c_1 is a top level chord. Thus if $\beta_1 = 0$ then the first row is a linear combination of e_1, e_l, e_{l+1}, e_n . If c_i is a sticky child, and $\beta_i = 0$ then the i th row a linear combination of $e_{h-1}, e_h, e_l, e_{l+1}$.

When c_i ends at $(n-2, n-1)$, setting $\hat{\gamma}_i = 0$ implies that the i th row is a linear combination of $e_h, e_{h+1}, e_{n-1}, e_n$.

When c_i is short and starts at $(1, 2)$ putting $\hat{\delta}_i = 0$ makes the i row a linear combination of e_1, e_2, e_3, e_n , since in this case c_i is also a top chord. If c_i is short and a sticky child then after substituting $\hat{\delta}_i = 0$ the resulting i th row lies in the span of $e_{h-1}, e_h, e_{h+1}, e_{h+2}$.

Finally, in the last case, if c_i starts at $(1, 2)$, then $c_i = c_1$ is a top chord, and the submatrix $C_{1,j}^{l,l+1}$ has rank one. By adding to C_1 an appropriate multiple of C_j we can make the $l, l+1$ entries of the first row 0, and the resulting row is in the span of e_1, e_2, e_3, e_n . Similarly, if c_i is a sticky child, the same argument shows that some linear combination of C_i and C_j belongs to $\text{span}(e_{h-1}, e_h, e_{h+1}, e_{h+2})$. \square

Proof of Lemma 7.13. Every $V \in \overline{S}_a$, the closure of S_a , is the limit of a converging sequence $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset S_a$. Let C_i be a domino representatives for V_i , where we use the freedom to scale each row by an element in \mathbb{R}_+ to guarantee that as $i \rightarrow \infty$ the entries of C_i remain bounded, and at least one of them does not tend to 0. By passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that each entry of C_i tends to a limit. Let C_∞ be the limit matrix. Note that this matrix's rows need not to span V , but must be included in V . This matrix will have at least one nonzero entry in each row, and it will be in the extended D_a -domino form.

If for C_∞ all elements of \widetilde{Var} remain nonzero, then by Proposition 7.3 $C_\infty \in S_a$.

If, for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ the starting domino of $(C_\infty)_i$ is zero, then this row has support contained in the set of indices $(j, j+1, h, h+1)$ where $(j, j+1)$ is the head of c_i , and $(h, h+1)$ is $(n-1, n)$ if c_i is a top chord, and otherwise it is the tail of c_i 's parent. As in the proof of Lemma 7.14, in this case $V \in S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$. Similarly, if a chord c_i has a sticky child c_j and either the first domino entry of $(C_\infty)_i$ vanishes, or the second domino entry of $(C_\infty)_j$ vanishes, then by Lemma 7.14 $V \in S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$.

When those situations do not occur, C_∞ has full rank. Indeed, let i_j be the index of the first non vanishing entry of the tail of c_j . By our assumption on C_∞ , for every $j \in [k]$ the index i_j is defined, and $i_{j+1} > i_j$. Set $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$. Then the matrix $(C_\infty)_I$ is invertible, since, if we apply row operations, going top-bottom, which cancel the domino inherited to chords from their parents, the resulting matrix is upper triangular, with non zero diagonal elements.

Since C_∞ is an extended domino matrix, and some element of \widetilde{Var} vanishes, by Lemma 7.5, at least one element of Var vanishes. Thus, $V \in \partial_\star \widetilde{S}_a$, for some $\star \in Var$.

If \star is one of the cases considered in Lemma 7.14, then $V \in S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$. We will finish the proof by showing that in the remaining cases

$$\widetilde{\partial_\star S_a} \setminus S_{\partial\mathcal{A}} = \overline{\partial_\star S_a} \setminus S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}, \quad (9)$$

and thus $V \in \overline{\partial_\star S_a}$.

For any point $\widetilde{\partial_\star S_a} \setminus \partial S_a$ the set of vanishing Plücker coordinates strictly contains the vanishing Plücker coordinates of the positroid $\partial_\star S_a$, by Proposition 7.3 and the fact a positroid is determined by its set of vanishing Plücker coordinates. Thus it is a true boundary point of $\partial_\star S_a$ and is therefore contained in the closure. By the above analysis any point of $\widetilde{\partial_\star S_a} \setminus S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$ has an extended domino form. It is easily seen that at any such point $\star = 0$, and therefore $\widetilde{\partial_\star S_a} \setminus S_{\partial\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \overline{\partial_\star S_a} \setminus S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$. \square

Proof of Lemma 7.15. In all cases considered in the lemma, the boundary strata are the ones which are associated to the decorated permutations of Observation 7.8 by Lemma 7.9. It is therefore enough to compare the permutations for pairs of strata that we claim that are equal. We first write each permutation of the shifted chord diagram using the permutation of the original chord diagram, *and with the notations of the former* and then examine, using Observation 7.8 how these permutations change when we move to the boundary strata. The final step is to carefully compare the resulting permutations.

First item: We start with the unobstructed cases.

- The diagram $D_{\text{shift}_{i,e,r}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by shifting the head of c_i to the right. The associated permutations, $\pi_a, \pi_{\text{shift}_{i,e,r}(a)}$ in their (8) forms, differ in a single cycle: the cycle $((a_i+1) b_i (b_i+1))$ in π_a is replaced by $((a_i+1) (b_i+1) (b_i+2))$. By Observation 7.8, $\pi_{a,\hat{\gamma}_i}$ is obtained from π_a by replacing $((a_i+1) b_i (b_i+1))$ by $((a_i+1) (b_i+1))$, while $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i,e,r}(a),\hat{\delta}_i}$ is obtained from π_a by replacing $((a_i+1) (b_i+1) (b_i+2))$ by $((a_i+1) (b_i+1))$, hence they are the same.
- When c_i is not short, the diagram $D_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by shifting the tail of c_i to the right. Since we can do the shift, before the shift c_i had no sticky child. The associated permutations, $\pi_a, \pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$, in their (8) forms, differ in three places: the cycle $((a_i+1) b_i (b_i+1))$ in π_a is replaced by $((a_i+2) b_i (b_i+1))$, the transposition $((a_i+1) a_i^*)$ in π_a is replaced by $((a_i+2) a_i^*)$,

and the transposition $(a_i (a_i + 1))$ in π_a is replaced by $((a_i + 1) t)$, where $t = a_j + 1$ where c_j is the last descendant in a sticky chain starting from the *shifted* c_i .

By Observation 7.8, π_{a, α_i} is obtained from π_a by omitting the transposition $(a_i (a_i + 1))$. Similarly, $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a), \beta_i}$ is obtained from $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$ by erasing $(a t)$, replacing $((a_i + 2) b_i (b_i + 1))$, by $((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))$, and replacing $((a_i + 2) a_i^*)$ by $((a_i + 1) a_i^*)$. The resulting permutations are again the same.

- The last unobstructed case to consider is when c_i is short and we consider the α_i boundary. Note that now $b_i = a_i + 2$. $D_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by shifting all markers of c_i one position to the right. The effect on the permutation is shifting all indices by $+1$, except for a_i^* which does not change. According to Observation 7.8, π_{a, α_i} is obtained from π_a by omitting $(a_i (a_i + 1)) = (a_i (a_i + 1))$. $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a), \delta_i}$ is obtained from $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$ by removing $((a_i + 2) (b_i + 1) (b_i + 2)) = (b_i (b_i + 1) (b_i + 2))$ and adding $(b_i (b_i + 1))$ instead. Note that since c_i is short, its two transpositions are consecutive in the order of (8). This holds also after the shift. More precisely, for D_a we have

$$\pi_a = \sigma_1((a_i (a_i + 1))((a_i + 1) a_i^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))\sigma_3,$$

for $D_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)} &= \sigma_1((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))((a_i + 2) a_i^*)\sigma_2(b_i (b_i + 1) (b_i + 2))\sigma_3 \\ &= \sigma_1((a_i + 1) b_i)(b_i a_i^*)\sigma_2(b_i (b_i + 1) (b_i + 2))\sigma_3, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\pi_{a, \alpha_i} = \sigma_1(((a_i + 1) a_i^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))\sigma_3,$$

$$\pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)} = \sigma_1((a_i + 1) b_i)(b_i a_i^*)\sigma_2(b_i (b_i + 1))\sigma_3 = \sigma_1((a_i + 1) a_i^*)(b_i (a_i + 1))\sigma_2(b_i (b_i + 1))\sigma_3$$

For some permutations $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$. Now, σ_2 is made from transpositions $((a_j + 1) a_j^*)$ and cycles $((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))$ for chord c_j which end after the head of c_i . For any such chord $b_j > b_i = a_i + 2$, otherwise the right shift would have been obstructed. From the same reason $a_j + 1, a_j^* \neq b_i$. Also $a_j \neq a_i + 1$ since $a_i + 1$ cannot be the first marker of any chord, and $a_j^* = a_i + 1$ would imply that c_j is a child of c_i , which is impossible as c_i is short. Thus, σ_2 and $(b_i (a_i + 1))$ commute, and we can write

$$\pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)} = \sigma_1((a_i + 1) a_i^*)\sigma_2(b_i (a_i + 1))(b_i (b_i + 1))\sigma_3 = \sigma_1((a_i + 1) a_i^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))\sigma_3$$

hence $\pi_{a, \alpha_i} = \pi_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$.

Second item: We consider the case where c_i is short, and there is another chord c_j which starts where c_i ends. Let $c_{i_r}, \dots, c_{i_2}, c_{i_1} = c_i$ be the longest same-end chain whose lowest element is c_i , the possibility $r = 1$ is not excluded. Note that c_{i_r} and c_j are siblings, and we write a^* for the second marker of their parent, or n if they are top chords. In addition,

$$a_{i_1} + 2 = b_{i_1} = \dots = b_{i_r} = a_j.$$

By (8), $\pi_a =$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_1(a_{i_1} (a_{i_1} + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)(a_j (a_{j^*} + 1))\sigma_2((a_j + 1) a^*) \\ &\cdot \sigma_3((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))\sigma_4((a_{i_r} + 1) b_{i_r} (b_{i_r} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) b_{i_2} (b_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1))\sigma_5 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_5$. $D_{\text{shift}_{i,s,r}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by removing c_i , moving the endpoints of c_{i_2}, \dots, c_{i_r} one marker backwards, and then adding a new i th chord which starts one marker before c_j and ends

with c_j . Note that now c_j becomes a sticky child, hence both have the same element $a_{j*} + 1$ in the leftmost transposition. In addition the new i th chord becomes a sibling of c_{i_r} , with the same a^* . Putting together, with the notations for π_a ,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)} &= \sigma_1((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{j*} + 1))(a_j (a_{j*} + 1)) \\ &\quad \cdot \sigma_2((a_j + 1) (a_{i_1} + 2))((a_{i_1} + 2) a^*)\sigma_3((a_{i_1} + 2) b_j (b_j + 1))((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)) \\ &\quad \cdot \sigma_4((a_{i_r} + 1) (b_{i_r} - 1) b_{i_r}) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) (b_{i_2} - 1) b_{i_2})\sigma_5.\end{aligned}$$

By Observation 7.8, π_{a,α_i} is obtained from π_a by omitting the transposition $(a_{i_1} (\tilde{a}_{i_1} + 1))$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{a,\alpha_i} &= \sigma_1((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)(a_j (a_{j*} + 1))\sigma_2((a_j + 1) a^*) \\ &\quad \cdot \sigma_3((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))\sigma_4((a_{i_r} + 1) b_{i_r} (b_{i_r} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) b_{i_2} (b_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1))\sigma_5\end{aligned}$$

By the same observation, $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a),\eta_{i,j}}$ is obtained from $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ by replacing the cycle $((a_{i_1} + 2) b_j (b_j + 1))$ by the transposition $((a_{i_1} + 2) b_j)$, thus obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a),\eta_{i,j}} &= \sigma_1((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{j*} + 1))(a_j (a_{j*} + 1)) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_2((a_j + 1) (a_{i_1} + 2))((a_{i_1} + 2) a^*) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_3((a_{i_1} + 2) b_j)((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_4((a_{i_r} + 1) (b_{i_r} - 1) b_{i_r}) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) (b_{i_2} - 1) b_{i_2})\sigma_5.\end{aligned}$$

We wish to compare the permutations. We apply commutation relations on π_{a,α_i} . Since

$$(x y)(y z) = (y z)(x z),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*) = \\ &((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{i_r} + 1)).\end{aligned}$$

Second, since $a_i + 1 = b_i - 1$ we have

$$((a_{i_t} + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) b_i (b_i + 1)) = ((a_{i_1} + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) (b_i - 1) b_i),$$

we can iterate this relation to write

$$\begin{aligned}&((a_{i_r} + 1) b_{i_r} (b_{i_r} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) b_{i_2} (b_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1)) = \\ &((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1))((a_{i_r} + 1) (b_{i_r} - 1) b_{i_r}) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) (b_{i_2} - 1) b_{i_2}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{a,\alpha_i} &= \sigma_1((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)((a_{i_1} + 1) a^*)(a_j (a_{j*} + 1)) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_2((a_j + 1) a^*) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_3((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_4((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1))((a_{i_r} + 1) (b_{i_r} - 1) b_{i_r}) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) (b_{i_2} - 1) b_{i_2})\sigma_5\end{aligned}$$

Now, σ_4 is made of cycles of the form $((a_h + 1) b_h (b_h + 1))$, for c_h which start after c_j and end no later than c_j . In this case $a_h + 1, b_h, b_h + 1$ are all larger than $a_{i_1} + 1, b_{i_1}, b_{i_1} + 1$, since the largest is $b_{i_1} + 1 = a_j + 1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{a,\alpha_i} &= \sigma_1((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)((a_{i_1} + 1) a^*)(a_j (a_{j*} + 1)) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_2((a_j + 1) a^*) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_3((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1)) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_4((a_{i_r} + 1) (b_{i_r} - 1) b_{i_r}) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) (b_{i_2} - 1) b_{i_2})\sigma_5\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to compare with $\pi_{\text{shift}i;s,r(a),\eta_{i,j}}$ it is enough to compare

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1 &= ((a_{i_1} + 1) a^*) (a_j (a_{j*} + 1)) \sigma_2((a_j + 1) a^*) \sigma_3((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)) ((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1)), \\ \pi_2 &= ((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{j*} + 1)) (a_j (a_{j*} + 1)) \sigma_2((a_j + 1) (a_{i_1} + 2)) ((a_{i_1} + 2) a^*) \cdot \sigma_3 \\ &\quad ((a_{i_1} + 2) b_j) ((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)),\end{aligned}$$

which we can simplify to

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1 &= ((a_i + 1) a^*) ((a_i + 2) (a_{j*} + 1)) \sigma_2((a_i + 3) a^*) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_3((a_i + 3) b_j (b_j + 1)) ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2) (a_i + 3)), \\ \pi_2 &= ((a_i + 1) (a_{j*} + 1)) ((a_i + 2) (a_{j*} + 1)) \sigma_2((a_i + 3) (a_i + 2)) ((a_i + 2) a^*) \cdot \\ &\quad \sigma_3((a_i + 2) b_j) ((a_i + 3) b_j (b_j + 1)),\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$((a_i + 3) b_j (b_j + 1)) ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2) (a_i + 3)) = ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2)) ((a_i + 2) b_j) ((a_i + 3) b_j (b_j + 1)),$$

Thus, we can write π_1 as

$$\begin{aligned}&((a_i + 1) a^*) ((a_i + 2) (a_{j*} + 1)) \sigma_2((a_i + 3) a^*) \sigma_3((a_i + 3) b_j (b_j + 1)) ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2) (a_i + 3)) = \\ &((a_i + 1) a^*) ((a_i + 2) (a_{j*} + 1)) \sigma_2((a_i + 3) a^*) \sigma_3((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2)) ((a_i + 2) b_j) ((a_i + 3) b_j (b_j + 1)).\end{aligned}$$

σ_3 is product of transpositions of the form $((a_h + 1) a_h^*)$, for c_h which end (strictly) to the right of $(a_i + 2, a_i + 3)$, transpositions of the form $(a_h (a_{h*} + 1))$, for c_h which starts (strictly) to the right of $(a_i + 2, a_i + 3)$, and cycles $((a_h + 1) b_h (b_h + 1))$ for $c_h \neq c_i, c_j$ which end at $(b_j, b_j + 1)$ or to the right of it. Such cycles do not have a common support with $((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))$. This is clear if they also start to the right of c_j . If they start to the left of it, then they are ancestors of both c_i and c_j , and then $a_h + 1 \leq a_i$. So we can move $((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))$ past σ_3 . and the comparison of π_1, π_2 simplifies to the comparison of

$$\begin{aligned}\pi'_1 &= ((a_i + 1) a^*) ((a_i + 2) (a_{j*} + 1)) \sigma_2((a_i + 3) a^*) ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2)), \\ \pi'_2 &= ((a_i + 1) (a_{j*} + 1)) ((a_i + 2) (a_{j*} + 1)) \sigma_2((a_i + 3) (a_i + 2)) ((a_i + 2) a^*).\end{aligned}$$

The final simplification we can do is as follows. σ_2 is made of transpositions of the forms $(a_h (a_{h*} + 1))$, or $((a_h + 1) a_h^*)$ for c_h which descend from c_j . All indices involved in such transpositions satisfy $a_h, a_{h*} + 1, a_h^* \geq a_i + 3$. They are all smaller than n , since neither of them is a top chord, and if $a^* \neq n$ then they are also greater than a^* , since $a_i \geq a^*$ in this case. Thus, σ_2 commutes with $((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))$, $((a_i + 2) a^*)$. Using

$$((a_i + 3) a^*) ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2)) = ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2)) ((a_i + 3) a^*)$$

and

$$((a_i + 3) (a_i + 2)) ((a_i + 2) a^*) = ((a_i + 2) a^*) ((a_i + 3) a^*),$$

we can finally reduce the comparison of π'_1, π'_2 to the comparison of

$$\begin{aligned}\pi''_1 &= ((a_i + 1) a^*) ((a_i + 2) (a_{j*} + 1)) ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2)), \\ \pi''_2 &= ((a_i + 1) (a_{j*} + 1)) ((a_i + 2) (a_{j*} + 1)) ((a_i + 2) a^*).\end{aligned}$$

Both π''_1, π''_2 equal to

$$((a_i + 2) a^* (a_i + 1) (a_{j*} + 1))$$

and the second case of the lemma is also proven.

Third item: This case is similar to the previous one, but the absence of c_j simplifies the argument.

Let $c_{i_1} = c_i, c_{i_2}, \dots, c_{i_r}$, $r \geq 1$, be the longest chain of children which stick to their parent from the left, c_i being the last in the chain. We write a^* for the second marker c_{i_r} 's parent, or n if c_{i_r} is a top chord. In addition,

$$a_{i_1} + 2 = b_{i_1} = \dots = b_{i_r} \leq n - 2.$$

By (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_a = & \sigma_1(a_{i_1} (a_{i_1} + 1)) \cdot ((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_2((a_{i_r} + 1) b_{i_r} (b_{i_r} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) b_{i_2} (b_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1))\sigma_3 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$. $D_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by removing c_i , moving the endpoints of c_{i_2}, \dots, c_{i_r} one marker backwards, and then adding a new i th chord which starts at $(a_i + 1, a_i + 2)$ and ends at $(a_i + 3, a_i + 4)$. The new i th chord is a sibling of c_{i_r} , with the same a^* . Putting together, with the notations for π_a ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)} = & \sigma_1((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))((a_i + 2) a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_2((a_i + 2) (a_i + 3) (a_i + 4))((a_{i_r} + 1) (b_{i_r} - 1) b_{i_r}) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) (b_{i_2} - 1) b_{i_2})\sigma_3. \end{aligned}$$

By Observation 7.8, π_{a,α_i} is obtained from π_a by omitting the transposition $((a_{i_1} (a_{i_1} + 1)))$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{a,\alpha_i} = & \sigma_1((a_{i_1} + 1) (a_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_2((a_{i_r} + 1) b_{i_r} (b_{i_r} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) b_{i_2} (b_{i_2} + 1))((a_{i_1} + 1) b_{i_1} (b_{i_1} + 1))\sigma_3 \end{aligned}$$

By the same observation, $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a), \text{eri}}$ is obtained from $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a)}$ by replacing the cycle $((a_i + 2) (a_i + 3) (a_i + 4))$ by the transposition $((a_i + 2) (a_i + 3))$, thus obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{shift}_{i;s,r}(a), \text{eri}} = & \sigma_1((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))((a_i + 2) a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_2((a_i + 2) (a_i + 3))((a_{i_r} + 1) (b_{i_r} - 1) b_{i_r}) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) (b_{i_2} - 1) b_{i_2})\sigma_3. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to compare the permutations. As in the previous case we can rewrite, using the commutation relations, π_{a,α_i} as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_1((a_{i_2} + 1) (a_{i_3} + 1)) \cdots ((a_{i_r} + 1) a^*)((a_i + 1) a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_2((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))((a_{i_r} + 1) (b_{i_r} - 1) b_{i_r} + 1) \cdots ((a_{i_2} + 1) (b_{i_2} - 1) b_{i_2})\sigma_3 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is enough to compare

$$((a_i + 1) a^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1)), \text{ and } ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))((a_i + 2) a^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 2) (a_i + 3)).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} ((a_i + 1) a^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1)) &= ((a_i + 1) a^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2) (a_i + 3)) \\ &= ((a_i + 1) a^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))((a_i + 2) (a_i + 3)). \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case we can commute σ_2 and $((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))$. Indeed σ_2 is the product of transpositions and cycles. The first type of transpositions are $((a_h + 1) a_h^*)$ for h which ends strictly to the right of the endpoint of c_i , and in this case $a_h > a_i + 2$, and a_h^* is either n or smaller than a_i . The second type is $(a_h (a_{h*} + 1))$ for chords which start to the right of the endpoint of c_i . By assumption their $a_h \geq a_i + 3$. The third type is cycles $((a_h + 1) b_h (b_h + 1))$, for c_h which end strictly to the right of the endpoint of c_i . This means that they either start to its right, and then $a_h, b_h > a_i + 2$, or that they start before c_i

and end after. In this case $a_h + 1 < a_i$, since we assume $r \geq 1$ and $b_h \geq a_i + 3$, since c_h is not one of the c_{i_j} s. All these commute with $((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))$. Thus, we are left to verify

$$((a_i + 1) a^*)((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))\sigma_2((a_i + 2) (a_i + 3)), ((a_i + 1) (a_i + 2))((a_i + 2) a^*)\sigma_2((a_i + 2) (a_i + 3)),$$

which indeed holds.

Fourth Item: c_i has a sibling c_j which starts where c_i ends. We write a^* for the second marker of their parent, or n if they are top chords and note that $a_j = b_i$. By (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_a = & \sigma_1((a_i + 1) a^*)(a_j (a_{j*} + 1))\sigma_2((a_j + 1) a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_3((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))\sigma_4((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))\sigma_5 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_5$. $D_{\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(a)}$ is obtained from D_a by moving the head of c_i to that of c_j . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(a)} = & \sigma_1(a_j (a_{j*} + 1))\sigma_2((a_j + 1) (a_i + 1))((a_i + 1) a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_3((a_i + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))\sigma_4\sigma_5 \end{aligned}$$

By Observation 7.8 $\pi_{a,\theta_{i,j}}$ is obtained from π_a by replacing $((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))$ by $(a_j b_j (b_j + 1))$, replacing $((a_j + 1) a^*)$, by $(a_j a^*)$ and removing the transposition $(a_j (a_{j*} + 1))$, Thus, the permutation reads

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{a,\theta_{i,j}} = & \sigma_1((a_i + 1) a^*)\sigma_2(a_j a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_3(a_j b_j (b_j + 1))\sigma_4((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))\sigma_5. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Observation 7.8 again, $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(a),\eta_{i,j}}$ is obtained from $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(a)}$ by replacing $((a_i + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))$ with $((a_i + 1) b_j)$, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(a),\eta_{i,j}} = & \sigma_1(a_j (a_{j*} + 1))\sigma_2((a_j + 1) (a_i + 1))((a_i + 1) a^*) \\ & \cdot \sigma_3((a_i + 1) b_j)((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))\sigma_4\sigma_5 \end{aligned}$$

σ_2 is composed of transpositions of the forms $(a_h (a_{h*} + 1))$, or $((a_h + 1) a_h^*)$ for chords c_h which descend from c_j . Thus, σ_2 commutes with $((a_i + 1) a^*)$. Similarly, σ_4 is the product of cycles of the form $((a_h + 1) b_h (b_h + 1))$, for c_h which descend from c_j , and thus it commutes with $((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1))$.

The only terms in σ_2 which may not commute with $(a_j (a_{j*} + 1))$ are of the form $(a_h (a_{h*} + 1))$, for the sticky descendants of c_j . These are the leftmost terms in σ_2 , and we can write

$$\sigma_2 = ((a_j + 1) (a_{j*} + 1)) \cdots (a_{j*} (a_{j*} + 1))\sigma'_2,$$

where σ'_2 is composed of the remaining terms. It is therefore a simple check that

$$(a_j (a_{j*} + 1))\sigma_2 = \sigma_2(a_j (a_j + 1)).$$

With these simplifications verifying that $\pi_{a,\theta_{i,j}}$, $\pi_{\text{shift}_{i;e,r}(a),\eta_{i,j}}$ agree reduces to showing that

$$\pi' = ((a_i + 1) a^*)(a_j a^*)\sigma_3(a_j b_j (b_j + 1))((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1)), \text{ and}$$

$$\pi'' = (a_j (a_j + 1))((a_j + 1) (a_i + 1))((a_i + 1) a^*)\sigma_3((a_i + 1) b_j)((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))$$

agree. Using $a_j = b_i$ can write

$$(a_j b_j (b_j + 1))((a_i + 1) b_i (b_i + 1)) = (a_j (a_j + 1) (a_i + 1))((a_i + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)).$$

We also have

$$((a_i + 1) b_j)((a_j + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)) = ((a_i + 1) (a_j + 1))((a_i + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)).$$

Now σ_3 is composed again from three types of components. Cycles $((a_h + 1) b_h (b_h + 1))$, for c_h which end (weakly) after c_j . For such triplets $b_h \geq b_j$, and $a_h < a_i$. Transposition $((a_h + 1) a_h^*)$ for c_h which end weakly after c_j . Again $a_h < a_i$, and a_h^* is either even smaller or equals n . The last type is transpositions $(a_h (a_{h*} + 1))$, for c_h which start weakly to the right of where c_i, c_j end. Together with the above equalities we can rewrite π', π'' as

$$\pi' = ((a_i + 1) a^*)(a_j a^*)(a_j (a_j + 1) (a_i + 1))\sigma_3((a_i + 1) b_j (b_j + 1))$$

$$\pi'' = (a_j (a_j + 1))((a_j + 1) (a_i + 1))((a_i + 1) a^*)((a_i + 1) (a_j + 1))\sigma_3((a_i + 1) b_j (b_j + 1)),$$

and these permutations equal, since the part of π', π'' to the left of σ_3 equals

$$(a_i + 1)(a_j (a_j + 1) a^*)$$

for both. As needed. \square

Remark 7.16. In [AM21] an interesting analysis of boundaries and their cancellation was performed for another related physical model. In that model some other class of chord diagrams were used for indexing cells. Their techniques are very different from ours, but we believe that both techniques can be used to address either problem.

8 Surjectivity

In this section we prove the surjectivity, hence showing that the images of the BCFW cells triangulate the amplituhedron. We first prove the following two propositions which concern the amplituhedron map on $S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$ and away from $S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$.

Proposition 8.1. *For every $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^>$, the set $\tilde{Z}(S_{\partial\mathcal{A}})$ is contained in the topological boundary of the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$.*

Proof. By definition $\tilde{Z}(S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$. We need to show that any neighborhood of any point $p \in \tilde{Z}(S_{\partial\mathcal{A}})$ intersects $\text{Gr}_{k,k+4} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$. Assume that $\langle i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1 \rangle$ vanishes at p . Since $\langle i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1 \rangle$ has a constant sign s on $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z) \setminus \tilde{Z}(S_{\partial\mathcal{A}})$ and vanishes on $\tilde{Z}(S_{\partial\mathcal{A}})$, by Lemma 4.13, it is enough to find points arbitrarily close to p for which $\langle i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1 \rangle$ is of sign $-s$.

Let $C \in S_{\partial\mathcal{A}}$ be a matrix representation for a preimage of p . For $R = (R_i^j)_{i \in K}^{j \in N} \in \text{Mat}_{K \times N}$, write $C(R) = C + R$. The function $\langle \tilde{Z}(C(R)) Z_{i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1} \rangle$ is a multilinear function of the variables R_i^j , $i \in K$, $j \in N$. It vanishes when all $R_i^j = 0$, but it is not identically zero, since any element of $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$ can be represented by $C(R)$ for some R , and $\langle i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1 \rangle$ is not identically zero on the Grassmannian. Order the pairs $(a, b)_{a \in K, b \in N}$ arbitrarily. Let (a', b') be the minimal element in that order such that

$$\langle \tilde{Z}(C(R)) Z_{i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1} \rangle|_{R_a^b = 0 \ \forall (a, b) > (a', b')} \neq 0,$$

where $' >'$ is the aforementioned order on pairs. By the choice of (a', b') , by fixing the value of each R_a^b for $(a, b) < (a', b')$ arbitrarily, and by setting $R_a^b = 0$ for each $(a, b) > (a', b')$, the resulting function $\langle \tilde{Z}(C(R)) Z_{i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1} \rangle$, thought of as a function of $R_{a'}^{b'}$, is linear in $R_{a'}^{b'}$. In addition, by the choice of (a', b') again, there are substitutions for $(R_a^b)_{(a, b) < (a', b')}$ such that the resulting function is not identically zero. Moreover, because of multilinearity the set of such substitutions is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{\{(a, b) \mid (a, b) < (a', b')\}}$. By

choosing $(R_a^b)_{(a,b) < (a',b')}$ in that set to be arbitrarily close to 0, and taking $R_{a'}^{b'} = \pm t$, for $t \neq 0$ which is arbitrarily small, we obtain matrices arbitrarily close to C on which the twistor $\langle i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1 \rangle$ takes both signs. As needed. \square

Proposition 8.2. *For every BCFW cell $S_a \in \mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ and $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^>$, the amplituhedron map \tilde{Z} is injective on $\overline{S_a} \setminus S_{\partial A}$, and the inverse map is smooth. For every stratum $S \subseteq \overline{S_a} \setminus S_{\partial A}$, the image $\tilde{Z}(S)$ is an embedded smooth submanifold of $\text{Gr}_{k,k+4}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.13 and (9) every element of $\overline{S_a} \setminus S_{\partial A}$ has an extended domino representation, hence belongs to the set S'_a defined in Proposition 5.4. The first claim is the a consequence of applying Proposition 5.4. In particular $\tilde{Z}|_S : S \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,k+4}$ is a topological embedding. It is left to show that $\tilde{Z}|_S$ is an immersion. We sketch the argument. Let $p \in S$ be an arbitrary point, and write $q = \tilde{Z}(p)$. We will see in the proof of Theorem 1.5 below that the map \tilde{Z} extends to a smooth map, given by the same formula, to an open neighborhood of $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ in $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$. In particular \tilde{Z} extends smoothly, and by the same expression to a neighborhood W of p in $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$. Denote also the extended map by \tilde{Z} . Similarly, since $q \notin S_{\partial A}$, the inverse map constructed in Proposition 5.4 extends smoothly, and by the same expression, to an open neighborhood U of q , on which we may assume that no boundary twistor vanishes. Denote the extended inverse map by F_a , where the subscript a indicates it is calculated with respect to the D_a -domino form. By making U smaller we may assume $F_a(U) \subseteq W$. By construction every element of $F_a(U)$ has a D_a -domino form of Definition 2.5 only without the inequalities of Definition 2.10. By the argument of Proposition 5.4 $F_a : U \rightarrow F_a(U)$ is a right inverse to \tilde{Z} , and for every $x \in U$, the vector space $F_a(x)$ has a unique D_a -domino representative, in the sense of Definition 2.5, given by the matrix $F_a(x)$. It is easily seen that $F_a(U)$ is a smooth submanifold of $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$ of dimension $4k$, and $S \cap F_a(U)$ is a smooth submanifold of $F_a(U)$ of dimension $\dim(S)$. $\tilde{Z}|_{F_a(U)} : F_a(U) \rightarrow U$ is a smooth bijection with a smooth inverse, hence a diffeomorphism, and its restriction to $S \cap F_a(U)$ is therefore an immersion. Applying this argument for every $p \in S$ yields the result. \square

Remark 8.3. $\partial_\star S_a$ are defined using vanishing of matrix entries or of 2×2 minors. The inverse problem procedure provides a formula for each matrix entry in terms of twistor coordinates. Thus, the image of $\partial_\star S_a$ under the amplituhedron map is contained in the zero locus of some function of the twistors. This function is of constant sign on S_a .

Proposition 8.4. *Let $f : B \rightarrow N$ be a smooth submersion between two manifolds B, N , where the dimension of N is n . Let L be a connected open subset of B with a compact closure $\overline{L} \subset B$. Denote $f(\overline{L})$ by K . Let $\{S_a\}_{a \in A}$ be a collection of n -dimensional submanifolds of B , which are contained in \overline{L} and satisfy the following properties:*

1. $f(S_a) \cap f(S_b) = \emptyset$, $a \neq b$.
2. $\overline{S_a}$ is compact. The (topological) boundary of each S_a has a stratification $\partial S_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_a} S_{a;i}$ where each $S_{a;i}$ is a submanifold (without boundary) of B of dimension at most $n-1$. We further assume that for each $S_{a;i}$, its topological boundary is contained in the union of strata $S_{a;j}$ of smaller dimension.
3. Every $f(S_{a;i})$ is either contained in ∂K or it is a smooth submanifold of K . Moreover, every $S_{a;i}$ of dimension $n-1$ which does not map under f to ∂K , equals another boundary component $S_{b;j}$ for some other b, j . Boundaries of this type are called internal boundaries.
4. For every D_a , f is injective on the union S_a and its internal boundaries, and the inverse map is continuous.

Then

$$f\left(\bigcup_{a \in A} \overline{S_a}\right) = K.$$

Moreover, ∂K is precisely the union of $f(\overline{S_{a;i}})$, taken on $S_{a;i}$ of dimension $n - 1$ which are not internal boundaries.

Our proof uses the following transversality result, whose proof is postponed to the end of the section.

Lemma 8.5. *Let M be a smooth connected manifold of dimension n and N_1, \dots, N_r a finite collection of smooth submanifolds of dimensions at most $n - 1$. Suppose that the closure of each N_i (inside M) is contained in the union of N_i with other submanifolds from $\{N_1, \dots, N_r\}$, of dimensions smaller than $\dim(N_i)$. Then for every*

$$p, q \in M \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r N_i \right)$$

there exists a smooth path connecting p, q which intersects each N_i finitely many times, and, if $\dim(N_i) < n - 1$ then it does not intersect N_i at all.

Proof. Since L is open and connected, and f is a submersion, $f(L)$ is open and connected. Since \overline{L} is compact and connected, so is $\overline{f(L)} = K$. Thus, $\text{int}(K)$, the interior of K , which is an open set containing $f(L)$ but contained in its closure, is connected as well. Since $\overline{S_a}$ are all compact, so are $f(\overline{S_a})$. By the third assumption and the smoothness of f , any $S_{a;i}$ which does not map to ∂K , maps to a smooth submanifold of dimension at most the dimension of $S_{a;i}$. By the last item f restricts to a homeomorphism of manifolds with boundary between from union of S_a and its internal boundaries to its image. The remaining boundaries of S_a either map to ∂K or to smooth submanifolds of codimension at least 2.

Assume by way of contradiction that the images of $(\overline{S_a})_{a \in A}$ do not cover K .

Then one can find a point $p \in \text{int}(K)$ which is not in this union. Take an arbitrary point q in the image of some S_a . Then $f(q) \in \text{int}(K)$, since $f|_{S_a}$ is open and $f|_{S_a}(S_a) = f(S_a) \subset K$. We can apply Lemma 8.5 with $M = \text{int}(K)$, which is a connected submanifold of N , and with N_1, \dots, N_r being the images $f(S_{a;i})$, for strata $S_{a;i}$ which do not map to ∂K . The second and third items above guarantee that the assumptions of the lemma are satisfied. Thus, we can find a path which does not pass through any $f(S_{a;i})$, for boundary strata $S_{a;i}$ of codimension two or more, and passes only finitely many times through images of $n - 1$ -dimensional boundary strata, which must be internal boundaries. Denote this path by $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{int}(K)$, with $u(0) = q$, $u(1) = p$. Let $t^* \in [0, 1]$ be the last time $u(t)$ lies in the image of $\bigcup \overline{S_a}$. Then $t^* < 1$ since this union is closed. Moreover, $u(t^*)$ cannot belong to any S_a , since the image of any point in such a cell has a neighborhood which is also contained in the image of the cell. So $u(t^*)$ must lie in the image of an internal boundary $S_{a;i} = S_{b;j}$, $b \neq a$. Let q^* be the unique preimage of $u(t^*)$ in that boundary, let W_a, W_b be two neighborhoods of q^* in S_a, S_b respectively whose intersection with $S_{a;i}$ is the same, and let U_a, U_b be the images of W_a, W_b in K . Note that $\partial W_a = \partial W_b$. Then $u(t^*)$ lies in the interior of $U_a \cup U_b$. Indeed, let \mathbb{R}_\pm^n be the half spaces of \mathbb{R}^n defined by first coordinate being nonnegative or nonpositive, and consider \mathbb{R}^{n-1} as their subspace where the first coordinate is 0. By making W_a, W_b smaller if necessary, we may assume that there are homeomorphisms of manifolds with boundary

$$f : (\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow (W_a, S_{a;i} \cap W_a), \quad g : \mathbb{R}_-^n \rightarrow (W_b, S_{b;j} \cap W_b) \text{ such that } f|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} = g|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}, \quad f(0) = q^*.$$

Composing with the amplituhedron map we obtain maps

$$F : (\mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow (U_a, \partial U_a), \quad G : (\mathbb{R}_-^n, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow (U_b, \partial U_b) \text{ such that } F|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} = G|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}, \quad F(0) = u(t^*).$$

We can glue F and G along the boundary, to obtain a map from \mathbb{R}^n to $U_a \cup U_b$. This map is continuous. It is injective since it is injective on each closed half space, by the above discussion, and also on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by the first assumption. Its inverse is continuous since it is continuous on the image of each closed half space, by the fourth assumption again. Thus, it is a homeomorphism onto its image, which must be open, and contains $u(t^*)$. But then for small enough $t' > t^*$, $u(t')$ must still be in $U_a \cup U_b$, hence in the union of the images of $\overline{S}_a, \overline{S}_b$. A contradiction to the definition of t^* .

We turn to the 'Moreover' part. By the above proof

$$\partial K \subseteq f\left(\bigcup_{a \in A} \partial S_a\right).$$

Also by that proof, every point in S_a or in an internal boundary $S_{a;i}$ maps to an internal point of K . Write

$$S' = \bigcup_{\substack{S_{a;i} \text{ is not an internal} \\ \text{boundary of } S_a}} S_{a;i}, \quad S = \bigcup_{\substack{S_{a;i} \text{ is not an internal boundary} \\ \text{of } S_a, \dim S_{a;i} = n-1}} S_{a;i}.$$

Then $S' \setminus S$ is the union of boundary components of dimensions at most $n-2$. Assume by way of contradiction that there exists $p \in \partial K \setminus f(\overline{S})$. Take a small neighborhood U of p which does not intersect $f(\overline{S}) = \overline{f(S)}$. Then

$$U \cap \partial K \subseteq f(S' \setminus S).$$

Since p is a boundary point, and K is the closure of an open set, we can find $q_1 \in \text{int}(U \cap K)$, and $q_2 \in U \setminus K$. As in the proof of the previous part of the proposition, Lemma 8.5 guarantees the existence of a path in U which connects q_1, q_2 and does not pass through $f(S' \setminus S)$. But again by the same argument of the above proof, this path cannot escape from K , which is a contradiction to the choice of q_2 . \square

Remark 8.6. The proof of the above proposition shows that the first item, the separation, can be weakened to just assuming that S_a and S_b are *locally separated* near their common internal boundary, for every pair of cells that have an internal boundary, where by local separation we mean that the outward normals of the internal boundary with respect to S_a and S_b are pointing oppositely.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof follows Proposition 8.4, once we verify its assumptions. More precisely, we verify that for $f = \tilde{Z}$, the amplituhedron map, $B \subset \text{Gr}_{k,n}$ being some open neighborhood of \overline{L} for $L = \text{Gr}_{k,n}^>$, $N = \text{Gr}_{k,k+4}$ and $\{S_a\}_{a \in A}$ being the BCFW cells, the assumptions of Proposition 8.4 hold. The conclusion is that the closure of images of the BCFW cells covers $K = \mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}$.

We first verify the assumptions on $f = \tilde{Z}$, $L = \text{Gr}_{k,n}^>$, and its neighborhood B . The open space $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^>$ and its compact closure $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$ are connected. The map $M \mapsto MZ$ is a smooth submersion from $\text{Mat}_{k \times n}$ to $\text{Mat}_{k \times (k+m)}$, as the restriction to rows shows. If M has nonnegative $k \times k$ minors then $\text{rank } MZ = k$ (see, for example, [Lam15, Section 15]). By continuity this holds in a small neighborhood of $M \in \text{Mat}_{k \times n}$ as well. Hence, after modding out the left $\text{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$ action, $M \mapsto MZ$ descends to the amplituhedron map $\tilde{Z} : B \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,k+m}$, where $B \subset \text{Gr}_{k,n}$ is some open neighborhood of $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$, which is a smooth submersion.

Next, we need to verify the assumptions of Proposition 8.4 for the BCFW cells. Their dimensions indeed equal $\dim(\text{Gr}_{k,k+4}) = 4k$. The first property is Theorem 1.4. The second property is true for any positroid cell. The third property follows from Proposition 8.1 and Proposition 7.10. Proposition 8.2 shows the fourth property, that \tilde{Z} is injective on the union of each BCFW cell and its *internal boundaries*, those codimension one boundary strata which do not map to the boundary, and that \tilde{Z}^{-1} is injective on the image of this union. The theorem follows. \square

Propositions 8.1, 8.2, 8.4 and the proof of Theorem 1.5 yield the following corollaries.

Corollary 8.7. *The boundary of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$ equals $\tilde{Z}(S_{\partial\mathcal{A}})$, which is precisely the union of the intersections of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$ with the hyperplanes*

$$\langle i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1 \rangle = 0,$$

for $i, j \in [n]$ such that $|\{i, i \oplus 1, j, j \oplus 1\}| = 4$. Thus, $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)) = \tilde{Z}(\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq} \setminus S_{\partial\mathcal{A}})$.

Corollary 8.8. *The image of each codimension-one stratum of a BCFW cell that does not map to the boundary, is also the injective image of a codimension-one boundary of another BCFW cell.*

We conclude this section with the proof of Lemma 8.5. The proof relies on the notion of transversality and on Thom's Parametric Transversality Theorem [Tho54] that we now recall. A proof of Thom's theorem can be found, for example, in [GP10]. We assume that all manifolds of interest have a countable atlas.

Definition 8.9. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth map between a smooth manifold with boundary X and a smooth manifold Y . Let Z be a smooth submanifold of Y . We say that f is *transverse* to Z , and write $f \pitchfork Z$ if for every $x \in f^{-1}(Z)$

$$df_x(T_x X) + T_{f(x)} Z = T_{f(x)} Y,$$

where $T_b B$ denotes the tangent space of B at $b \in B$, and df_x is the differential map at x , which maps $T_x X$ into $T_{f(x)} Y$. We denote by ∂f the restriction of f to ∂X .

Definition 8.10. Let X be an n dimensional manifold with an atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n)\}_{\alpha \in A}$, we say that a set $C \subseteq X$ is of measure 0, if for every $\alpha \in A$, the set $\phi_\alpha(M \cap U_\alpha)$ is of Lebesgue measure 0 in \mathbb{R}^n . If $Y \subset X$ is the complement of a measure 0 subset, we say that almost every $x \in X$ belongs to Y .

Theorem 8.11 (Thom's Parametric Transversality Theorem). *Let X be a smooth manifold with boundary, let B, Y be smooth manifolds and let Z be a submanifold of Y . Let $F : X \times B \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth map. Suppose that $F \pitchfork Z$ and that $\partial F \pitchfork Z$. Then for almost every $b \in B$ the map*

$$F(-, b) : X \times \{b\} \rightarrow Y$$

is transverse to Y .

Proof of Lemma 8.5. Fix $p, q \in M \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^r N_i)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Take an arbitrary smooth embedding $u_0 : (-\epsilon, 1 + \epsilon) \rightarrow M$ with $u(0) = p, u(1) = q$. Extend u_0 to a diffeomorphism U between $(-\epsilon, 1 + \epsilon) \times B_1$, where B_1 is the open ball of radius 1 in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , and a tubular neighborhood W of $u_0((-\epsilon, 1 + \epsilon))$. Define $F : (0, 1) \times B_1 \rightarrow M$ by

$$F(t, x) = U(t, t(1 - t)x).$$

We claim that F satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 8.11 with $X = [0, 1]$, $B = B_1$ and $Z = N_i$, for any given i . Since $F(0, x) = p \notin N_i$, $F(1, x) = q \notin N_i$, it follows that $\partial F \pitchfork N_i$. It is left to verify $F|_{(0,1) \times B_1} \pitchfork N_i$. Since U is a submersion, also F is a submersion when $t \neq 0, 1$. Thus,

$$dF_{(t,x)}(T_{(t,x)}((0, 1) \times B_1)) = T_{F(t,x)} M.$$

Applying Theorem 8.11 we see that for each i , for almost all $b \in B_1$, the path $F(-, b) \pitchfork N_i$. Thus, we can find b for which

$$F(-, b) \pitchfork N_1, \dots, N_r.$$

Write $u(t) = F(t, b)$. Then $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is a smooth path which satisfies

$$u(0) = p, u(1) = q, u \pitchfork N_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

For any i with $\dim(N_i) < n - 1$, the definition of transversality, and the fact that $du_x(T_x(0, 1))$ is one dimensional implies that $u([0, 1]) \cap N_i = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $\dim(N_i) = n - 1$, but assume, towards contradiction, that $u([0, 1])$ intersects N_i infinitely many times. Let t_1, t_2, \dots be a converging sequence of such times, and let t_* be its limit. Since u is continuous,

$$u(t_*) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u(t_j) \in \overline{N_i}.$$

Since $p, q \notin \overline{N_i}$, by the assumptions, $t_* \in (0, 1)$. Since $\overline{N_i}$ is contained in the union of N_i with submanifolds N_j of smaller dimension, which are known not to intersect $u([0, 1])$ we can deduce that $u(t_*) \in N_i$.

Because u is smooth, and the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converges to t_* , we can calculate the tangent to $u((0, 1))$ at $u(t_*)$ along the sequence $\{u(t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset N_i$, which shows that this tangent belongs to $T_{u(t_*)}N_i$, contradicting the transversality. The proof follows. \square

9 Consequences

In this final section we present two consequences of our results and methods to the topology and the structure of the amplituhedron. First, the interior of the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$ is homeomorphic to an open ball, for every positive Z . So far, this has been known for one particular Z , based on a general- m result by Galashin, Karp and Lam [GKL22].

Our second result gives a decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$ as a union of \tilde{Z} -images of a certain type of products of two positive Grassmannians. This kind of decomposition is less refined than the BCFW triangulation that we define in Section 2 following the work of Karp, Williams, and Zhang [KWZ20], based on Arkani-Hamed et al. [ABC⁺16]. However, this high-level decomposition more transparently reflects the outcome of one step in the BCFW recurrence, see Bai and He [BH15]. A consequence of this decomposition and other tools developed in this work is a mechanism to obtain triangulations of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$ from various triangulations of amplituhedra of smaller k and n . This includes many more collections of cells beyond those discussed in this work, such as those obtainable from different ways to apply the BCFW recursion. These further results will appear in a separate paper.

9.1 The Interior is a Ball

Galashin, Karp and Lam [GKL22] proved the following result

Theorem 9.1. *For every n, k, m there is a special choice of a positive matrix $Z_* = Z_*^{n,k,m}$ such that the amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,m}(Z_*)$ is homeomorphic to a closed ball.*

An immediate conclusion is that for the same Z_* the interior of the amplituhedron is homeomorphic to an open ball. We now show that when $m = 4$, this last fact generalizes for every positive Z .

Theorem 9.2. *Let Z be an arbitrary positive $n \times (k + 4)$ matrix. Then the interior of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$ is homeomorphic to an open ball.*

The proof relies on the following lemma, which is interesting in its own right.

Lemma 9.3. *The amplituhedron map is a homeomorphism from $M = \bigcup_{D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}} \overline{S_a} \setminus S_{\partial A}$ onto its image $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4})$.*

Proof. \tilde{Z} is continuous on M , and surjects on its image $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4})$ by Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 8.7. We need to prove it is also injective and open.

M is endowed with the topology induced from the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$. Write M_i for the open subset of M defined as the union of all dimension $4k - j$ strata of $\overline{S_a}$, over all BCFW cells and $j = 0, \dots, i$. Note that $M = M_{4k}$. We prove the following three claims, whose combination immediately implies the lemma:

1. If for $i \geq 1$, $\tilde{Z}|_{M_i}$ is open, then $\tilde{Z}|_{M_i}$ is also injective.
2. $\tilde{Z}|_{M_1} : M_1 \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(M_1)$ is a homeomorphism.
3. If $\tilde{Z}|_{M_{i-1}} : M_{i-1} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(M_{i-1})$ is a homeomorphism, then $\tilde{Z}|_{M_i}$ is an open map.

For the first claim, assuming $\tilde{Z}|_{M_i}$ is open, if $\tilde{Z}(p_1) = \tilde{Z}(p_2)$ for some $p_1 \neq p_2 \in M_i$, then for any two neighborhoods $B_1, B_2 \subset M_i$ of p_1, p_2 respectively, $\tilde{Z}(B_1) \cap \tilde{Z}(B_2)$ contains an open ball B around $\tilde{Z}(p_1) = \tilde{Z}(p_2)$. By making B_1, B_2 smaller we may assume $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. Since \tilde{Z} is a smooth map, the images of strata of positive codimension are nowhere dense, hence there are $q_1 \in B_1 \cap \left(\bigcup_{D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}} S_a\right)$ and $q_2 \in B_2 \cap \left(\bigcup_{D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}} S_a\right)$ respectively with $\tilde{Z}(q_1) = \tilde{Z}(q_2)$, in contradiction to Theorem 1.3.

We move to the second claim. For each point of $\bigcup_{D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{n,k}} S_a$ the proof of Theorem 1.3 shows that \tilde{Z} maps a small neighborhood of it homeomorphically on its image, which is open. The same holds for codimension 1 internal boundaries, using Proposition 8.2 and the gluing process of Proposition 8.4. Thus, \tilde{Z} is open and injective on M_1 .

Finally, for the third claim, suppose that \tilde{Z} is a homeomorphism on M_{i-1} , for some $i \geq 2$, but assume towards contradiction that for some point $p \in M_i$ we cannot find a small enough neighborhood of it which is mapped under \tilde{Z} to an open set. Then $p \in M_i \setminus M_{i-1}$, since M_{i-1} is open in M_i and $\tilde{Z}|_{M_{i-1}}$ is open. Let S be the positroid containing p .

Take a smooth metric on $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$ and restrict to $\text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$. For each point $x \in \text{Gr}_{k,n}^{\geq}$, and every $r > 0$ small enough, the ball of radius r around x only intersects positroids that contain the positroid of x in its closure. Moreover, the metric can be chosen so that the intersection of the ball with any stratum is path connected. Further restrict the metric to M . Take an open ball B around p , which is small enough to be path connected and that its closure intersects only positroids S' with $p \in \overline{S'}$. By Proposition 8.2 \tilde{Z} is injective on $S \cap B$, and moreover, for every x which belongs to a positroid $S' \neq S$ with $S \subseteq \overline{S'}$, $\tilde{Z}(x) \notin \tilde{Z}(S)$. By the assumption, \tilde{Z} is also injective on $B \setminus S \subset M_{i-1}$, and hence \tilde{Z} is injective on B .

By its construction B is a connected and path connected component of $M \setminus \partial B$, and $\tilde{Z}(\partial B), \tilde{Z}(\overline{B})$ are compact subsets of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}$, which do not intersect $\partial \mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}$, as $B \cap S_{\partial \mathcal{A}} = \emptyset$. Every connected component of $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}) \setminus \tilde{Z}(\partial B)$ is open. We therefore finish by showing that $\tilde{Z}(B)$ is a path connected component of $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}) \setminus \tilde{Z}(\partial B)$. Since B is path connected, also $\tilde{Z}(B)$ is. Let C be the connected component of $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}) \setminus \tilde{Z}(\partial B)$ which contains it. C is an open manifold. It is enough to show that there is no $x \in C \setminus \tilde{Z}(B)$. If there were such x , then since $x \notin \tilde{Z}(\partial B)$ as well, then $x \notin \tilde{Z}(\overline{B})$. Since $\tilde{Z}(\overline{B}) = \overline{\tilde{Z}(B)}$ is compact, x has an open connected neighborhood $U \subseteq C$ with $U \cap \tilde{Z}(\overline{B}) = \emptyset$. Since U is open it contains a point $\tilde{Z}(q_1)$ for q_1 which belongs to a BCFW cell. Let $q_2 \in B$ be another point of a BCFW cell. We can apply Lemma 8.5, whose assumptions are seen to hold just as in the proof of Proposition 8.4, to find a path in C which connects $\tilde{Z}(q_1)$ and $\tilde{Z}(q_2)$, and avoids $C \setminus M_1$. This path is therefore contained in $C \cap M_1$.

Since $\tilde{Z}|_{M_1}$ is a homeomorphism, this path lifts to a path in M , which connects q_1, q_2 but avoids ∂B . This is a contradiction, since $q_1 \in B$, $q_2 \notin B$ and B is a path connected component of $M \setminus \partial B$. And the proof follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 9.2. Let Z_* be as in Theorem 9.1. By Lemma 9.3 and Theorem 9.1, \tilde{Z}_* maps M homeomorphically on $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z_*))$, which is an open ball. In particular M is homeomorphic to an open

ball. Now, let Z be an arbitrary positive matrix. By using Lemma 9.3 for this Z , $\tilde{Z}(M) = \text{int}(\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z))$ is also homeomorphic to an open ball. \square

9.2 A High-Level Decomposition

In this section we describe the decomposition of the amplituhedron corresponding to one step in the BCFW recurrence, which is stated in Theorem 9.5 below. It is given in terms of the following definition.

Definition 9.4. Let k_1, k_2, j and n be nonnegative integers satisfying

$$k_1 + k_2 \leq n - 5, \quad k_1 \leq j - 2, \quad k_2 \leq n - j - 4.$$

For $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and two matrices

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_1^1 & \cdots & L_1^{j+1} & L_1^n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{k_1}^1 & \cdots & L_{k_1}^{j+1} & L_{k_1}^n \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{k_1 \times ([j+1] \cup \{n\})}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} R_1^j & \cdots & R_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{k_2}^j & \cdots & R_{k_2}^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{k_2 \times ([n-1] \setminus [j-1])}$$

we define the *middle embedding* $\Upsilon_j(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, L, R)$ as the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_1^1 & \cdots & L_1^{j-1} & L_1^j + \frac{s_1}{s_2} L_1^{j+1} & L_1^{j+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{k_2} L_1^n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{k_1}^1 & \cdots & L_{k_1}^{j-1} & L_{k_1}^j + \frac{s_1}{s_2} L_{k_1}^{j+1} & L_{k_1}^{j+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{k_2} L_{k_1}^n \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & s_1 & s_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^{k_2} t_1 & (-1)^{k_2} t_2 & (-1)^{k_2} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & R_1^j & R_1^{j+1} + \frac{s_2}{s_1} R_1^j & R_1^{j+2} & \cdots & R_1^{n-3} & R_1^{n-2} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} R_1^{n-1} & R_1^{n-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & R_{k_2}^j & R_{k_2}^{j+1} + \frac{s_2}{s_1} R_{k_2}^j & R_{k_2}^{j+2} & \cdots & R_{k_2}^{n-3} & R_{k_2}^{n-2} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} R_{k_2}^{n-1} & R_{k_2}^{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In other words,

$$\Upsilon_j(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, L, R) = \begin{pmatrix} L' \\ v \\ R' \end{pmatrix},$$

where L' is the $k_1 \times [n]$ matrix

$$L' = \text{pre}_{j+2} \cdots \text{pre}_{n-1} y_j \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2} \right) L$$

R' is the $k_2 \times [n]$ matrix

$$R' = \text{pre}_1 \cdots \text{pre}_{j-1} \text{pre}_n x_j \left(\frac{s_2}{s_1} \right) y_{n-2} \left(\frac{t_1}{t_2} \right) R$$

and v is the vector

$$(0, \dots, 0, s_1, s_2, 0, \dots, 0, (-1)^{k_2} t_1, (-1)^{k_2} t_2, (-1)^{k_2}),$$

where the non zero entries are at positions $j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n$.

This map descends to Grassmannians, and preserve positivity when applied to nonnegative Grassmannians.

Define the positroid $S_{j,n;k_1,k_2}$ to be the middle embedding $\Upsilon_j(\mathbb{R}_+^4, \text{Gr}_{k_1, [j+1] \cup \{n\}}^>, \text{Gr}_{k_2, [n-1] \setminus [j-1]}^>)$. We extend the definition to the case $k_1 = 0, j = 1$ by setting

$$S_{1,n;0,k_2} = \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}}_{1;3,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \text{Gr}_{k_2, [n] \setminus \{1\}}).$$

The middle embedding operation was considered implicitly in [AT14], and explicitly in [BH15] as part of their explicit interpretation of the BCFW recursion. In matrix formulation it appeared in [Bou10]. The lower embedding can be seen to be the special case $k_2 = 0$, $j = n - 4$.

Theorem 9.5. *For all $k \geq 0$, $n \geq k + 4$, and positive $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^>$, the sets*

$$\tilde{Z}(\text{pre}_{n-1} \text{Gr}_{k, [n] \setminus \{n-1\}}^>), \quad \tilde{Z}(S_{1,n;0,k-1}), \quad \left\{ \tilde{Z}(S_{j,n;k_1,k_2}) \right\}_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0, k_1+k_2=k-1 \\ k_1+2 \leq j \leq n-k_2-4}}$$

are disjoint and their union is dense in $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$.

The following lemma provides alternative descriptions for the middle embedding, which are in the spirit of the constructions of Section 3.

Lemma 9.6. *For $(k_1, j) \neq (0, 1)$ the space $S_{j,n;k_1,k_2}$ can be constructed in the following two ways.*

1. *Start with $\text{Gr}_{k_2, [n] \setminus [j]}^>$. Apply*

- *Upper embedding $\hookrightarrow_{j,3,1}$ to $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \text{Gr}_{k_2, [n] \setminus [j]}^>$.*
- *Perform $\text{inc}_1, \dots, \text{inc}_{k_1}$, and then $\text{pre}_{k_1+1}, \dots, \text{pre}_{j-1}$.*
- *For each $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ in that order apply $y_{i \oplus 1}(t_{i;1})$, $t_{i;1} > 0$.*
- *For each $h = 1, \dots, j+1-k_1$, apply for $i = k_1, \dots, 1$, in that order, the operation $x_{i+h-1}(t_{i;h+1})$ with $t_{\bullet, \bullet} > 0$.*

2. *Start with $\text{Gr}_{k_1, [j+1] \cup \{n\}}^>$. Apply*

- *Lower embedding $\hookrightarrow_{n-2,2,2}$ to $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \text{pre}_{n-1} \text{Gr}_{k_1, [j+1] \cup \{n\}}^>$.*
- *Perform $\text{inc}_{j+2}, \dots, \text{inc}_{k_2+j+1}$, followed by $\text{pre}_{k_2+j+2}, \dots, \text{pre}_{n-3}$.*
- *For each $i = 1, \dots, k_2$, in that order apply $y_{j+1+i \oplus 1}(t_{i;1})$, $y_{j+1+i \oplus 2}(t_{i;2})$, $t_{i;1}, t_{i;2} > 0$.*
- *For each $h = 1, \dots, n-2-k_2-j$, apply for $i = k_2, \dots, 1$, in that order $x_{j+i+h}(t_{i;h+2})$ with $t_{\bullet, \bullet} > 0$.*

Sketch of proof. The dimensions of $S_{j,n;k_1,k_2}$, and of the two positroids constructed above are all easily seen to be $(j+2-k_1)k_1 + (n-j-k_2)k_2 + 4$. We claim that for each of these three positroids, the Plücker coordinate P_I is non vanishing precisely if I can be written as

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad I_1 \in \binom{[j+1] \cup \{n\}}{k_1}, \quad I_2 \in \binom{[n-1] \setminus [j-1]}{k_2}, \quad a \in \{j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n\}.$$

This is straight forward for $S_{j,n;k_1,k_2}$.

In order to analyze the two constructions, note that the effect of $x_i(t)$, $t > 0$ on the set of non vanishing Plücker coordinates is as follows: $P_I \neq 0$ on $x_i(t)S$ precisely if $P_I \neq 0$ on S , or $\{i+1 \in I\}$ and $P_{I \setminus \{i+1\} \cup \{i\}} \neq 0$ on S . The same rule holds for y_i with the roles of $i, i+1$ interchanged.

Let S_0 be the output of the first two steps, i.e. the upper embedding and the sequence of $\text{pre}_h, \text{inc}_h$. Then it is easily seen that the non vanishing Plücker coordinates for S_0 are precisely sets I of the form

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad I_1 = [k_1], \quad I_2 \in \binom{[n-1] \setminus [j-1]}{k_2}, \quad a \in \{j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n\}.$$

After the third step, i.e. the sequence of y_h operations, by the above rule, the non vanishing coordinates P_I are those with

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad I_1 \in \binom{[k_1] \cup \{n\}}{k_1}, \quad I_2 \in \binom{[n-1] \setminus [j-1]}{k_2}, \quad a \in \{j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n\}.$$

Similarly, after the h th part of the fourth step, i.e. the applications of $x_{i+h-1}(t_{i,h+1})$, $i = k_1, \dots, 1$, the set of non zero coordinates grows to

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad I_1 \in \binom{[k_1 + h] \cup \{n\}}{k_1}, \quad I_2 \in \binom{[n-1] \setminus [j-1]}{k_2}, \quad a \in \{j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n\}.$$

In the end of the fourth step, when $h = j+1-k_1$, the resulting set of non vanishing Plücker coordinates is as stated.

The argument for the second positroid constructed above is similar. After the first two steps the non vanishing Plücker coordinates are P_I for

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad I_1 \in \binom{[j+1] \cup \{n\}}{k_1}, \quad I_2 = \{j+2, j+3, \dots, j+k_2+1\}, \quad a \in \{j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n\}.$$

After the third step the set of non zero coordinates becomes

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad I_1 \in \binom{[j+1] \cup \{n\}}{k_1}, \quad I_2 \in \binom{[j+k_2+1] \setminus [j-1]}{k_2}, \quad a \in \{j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n\}.$$

After the h th part of the fourth step this set further grows to be

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad I_1 \in \binom{[j+1] \cup \{n\}}{k_1}, \quad I_2 \in \binom{[j+k_2+1+h] \setminus [j-1]}{k_2}, \quad a \in \{j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n\},$$

and in the end of the fourth step, when $h = n-2-k_2-j$, it becomes

$$I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad I_1 \in \binom{[j+1] \cup \{n\}}{k_1}, \quad I_2 \in \binom{[n-1] \setminus [j-1]}{k_2}, \quad a \in \{j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n\}.$$

Thus, the cells are all equal. \square

Lemma 9.7. *Let Z be a positive $n \times (k+4)$ matrix. At every point in $\tilde{Z}(S_{i,n;k_1,k-k_1-1})$,*

- *The twistors $\langle i+1, n-2, n-1, n \rangle$, $\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle$, $\langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle$ have constant signs $(-1)^{k-k_1-1}$, $(-1)^{k-k_1}$ and -1 , respectively, on $\tilde{Z}(S_{i,n;k_1,k-k_1-1})$.*

- *The functionaries*

$$\langle\langle i, i+1 \mid j, j+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$$

are negative for $j \in \{i+1, \dots, n-4\}$, while

$$\langle\langle j, j+1 \mid i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$$

are positive for $j \in [i-1]$.

Proof. The proof of the first item is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 5.2,(1). Every point of $S_{i,n;k_1,k-k_1-1}$ contains a vector supported on positions $i, i+1, n-2, n-1, n$, as seen from Definition 9.4. As in Lemma 5.2,(1), its i th, $i+1$ th and $n-1$ th entries are proportional to

$$(-1)^{k-k_1-1} \langle i+1, n-2, n-1, n \rangle, \quad (-1)^{k-k_1} \langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle, \quad (-1)^{k-k_1} \langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle$$

respectively. The first item follows from showing that these twistors are non zero. As in the proof of Lemma 5.2,(1), when a twistor coordinate $\langle Z_{\{i,i+1,n-2,n-1,n\} \setminus \{p\}} \rangle$, $p = i, i+1, n-1$, is expanded using Cauchy-Binet, all summands $\langle C^I \rangle \langle Z_{I \cup (\{i,i+1,n-2,n-1,n\} \setminus \{p\})} \rangle$ have the same sign. We therefore finish by showing that at least one such $\langle C^I \rangle$, for $I \cap (\{i, i+1, n-2, n-1, n\} \setminus \{p\}) = \emptyset$, is non zero. The existence of such I was shown for every chord diagram in $\mathcal{CD}_{n,k}$ that contains a top chord $(i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$

with $k - k_1 - 1$ descendants in Lemma 3.25. Since every such chord diagram is contained in the closure of $S_{i,n;k_1,k-k_1-1}$, the Plücker coordinate P_I , for the same I , is non vanishing also on $S_{i,n;k_1,k-k_1-1}$.

The proof of the second item when $(k_1, j) = (0, 1)$ is just Example 4.40. For $(k_1, j) \neq (0, 1)$ the proof is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 6.2, where any usage of Corollaries 3.38-3.40 is replaced by Lemma 9.6. For the case $j > i$ we use the first construction of Lemma 9.6. After its first step, the upper embedding of $\mathbb{R}_+^4 \times \text{Gr}_{k-k_1-1,[n]\setminus[i]}^>$, using Example 4.40 we deduce that the functionaries

$$\langle\langle i, i+1 \mid j, j+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle = -\langle\langle j, j+1 \mid i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$$

for $j \in \{i+1, \dots, n-4\}$ are all negative. The remaining steps involve applications of inc_h , pre_h , x_h , y_h which do not affect the functionary, or its sign, by Lemmas 4.20, 4.22, 4.26.

Similarly, for $j < i$, we use the second construction of Lemma 9.6. After the lower embedding step the functionary

$$\langle\langle j, j+1 \mid i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$$

is positive on the resulting cell, by Example 4.38. And the same reasoning of the previous case shows that the functionary and its sign are preserved under the following steps of the construction. \square

Notation 9.8. Denote by $\mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k_2}$ the subset of $\mathcal{CD}_{n,k_1+k_2+1}$ which consists of chord diagrams with a rightmost top chord $(i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$ which has k_2 descendants.

Proposition 9.9. For every $Z \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (k+4)}^>$, $\tilde{Z}(S_{i,n;k_1,k-k_1-1})$ is triangulated by the images of the BCFW cells that correspond to the chord diagrams in $\mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k-k_1-1}$.

Proof. Write $k_2 = k - k_1 - 1$. Every cell S_a which corresponds to a chord diagram $D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k_2}$ is clearly in the closure of $S_{i,n;k_1,k_2}$. Thus, $\tilde{Z}(S_a) \subseteq \overline{\tilde{Z}(S_{i,n;k_1,k_2})}$. Each such S_a maps injectively into $\tilde{Z}(S_{i,n;k_1,k_2})$, by Theorem 1.3, and the images of every two different cells $S_a, S_b \in \mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k_2}$ are disjoint, by Theorem 1.4.

Write $S = \bigcup_{D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k_2}} S_a$. We would like to analyze codimension 1 boundaries of S . These boundaries come from codimension 1 boundaries of cells S_a in the union. By the treatment of Section 7, and in particular Proposition 7.10, we know that some of them belong to two cells in the union, some map to the boundaries, and the remaining are codimension 1 boundaries of both S_a and S_b where the former is in the union, and the latter is not. In the last situation the diagram D_a contains has a top chord $(i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$ with k_2 descendants, while the diagram D_b does not have such a top chord. Since S_a, S_b share a boundary, D_a, D_b must differ by a shift. There are three possibilities for the nature of this shift:

1. It either moves the chord $c_l = (i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$, or
2. the shift makes c_l a descendant of another chord, or
3. the shift changes the number of chords which descend from the top chord $(i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$.

The first possibility may happen in one of three ways. It is either the result of a left shift of c_l 's tail, or of an unobstructed right shift of c_l 's tail or of a left shift of c_l 's head. The first two ways, and the unobstructed version of the third way, correspond to the vanishings of $\beta_l, \alpha_l, \hat{\delta}_l$, or equivalently the twistors

$$\langle i, n-2, n-1, n \rangle, \langle i+1, n-2, n-1, n \rangle, \langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle,$$

respectively.

The left shift of the head of c_l is obstructed precisely when c_l has a same end child $c_h = (j, j+1, n-2, n-1)$. On the corresponding boundary $\eta_{l,h}$ vanishes. By adding the appropriate multiple of

the row C_l to the row C_h that cancels the inherited domino, the resulting new h th row is supported on $j, j+1, n-2, n-1, n$, and its entries can be solved in terms of twistors, as in the inverse problem procedure of Section 5. If we denote by C' the resulting matrix, then

$$(C')_{h,l}^{n-2,n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle i, i+1, n-1, n \rangle & -\langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle \\ \langle j, j+1, n-1, n \rangle & -\langle j, j+1, n-2, n \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

The vanishing of $\eta_{l,h}$ is the vanishing of the determinant of the minor $C_{h,l}^{n-2,n-1}$, which is equivalent to the vanishing of the determinant of $(C')_{h,l}^{n-2,n-1}$, which can thus be written as

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \langle i, i+1, n-1, n \rangle & -\langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle \\ \langle j, j+1, n-1, n \rangle & -\langle j, j+1, n-2, n \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \langle\langle n-2, n-1 \mid i, i+1 \mid j, j+1 \mid n \rangle\rangle = 0.$$

By Lemma 4.10 the last equality is equivalent to $\langle\langle j, j+1 \mid i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle = 0$. Note that in this case $j > i$.

We proceed to the second possibility, the situation where under the shift from D_a to D_b the chord c_l ceases to be a top chord. This possibility happens precisely when in D_a c_l has a sibling $c_h = (j, j+1, i, i+1)$, and the shift under consideration is the right shift of c_h 's head. In this obstructed case $\theta_{h,l}$ vanishes, and it is easy to see, as in the previous case, that this implies the vanishing of $\langle\langle j, j+1 \mid i, i+1 \mid n-2, n-1 \mid n \rangle\rangle$. Note that in this case $j < i$.

Finally, the third possibility for the shift is impossible, since $(i, i+1, n-2, n-1)$ is a rightmost chord.

Any unmatched codimension 1 boundary of S maps to $\partial\tilde{Z}(S_{i,n;k_1,k_2})$. This is clear for boundaries of S which are contained in $S_{\partial A}$. The \tilde{Z} -image of an unmatched boundary $\partial_\star S_a$ of some S_a , $D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k_2}$, which is also a boundary of S_b , $D_b \notin \mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k_2}$, is contained in the zero locus of a twistor or functionary, by the above analysis. These twistors and functionaries are exactly those which appear in the statement of Lemma 9.7 and that are shown to have a constant sign on $\tilde{Z}(S_{i,n;k_1,k-k_2})$. But every neighborhood of every $p \in \partial_\star S_a$ also intersects S_b , and the twistor or functionary which vanishes on $\partial_\star S_a$ has the opposite sign on $\tilde{Z}(S_b)$, showing that indeed $\tilde{Z}(\partial_\star S_a) \subseteq \partial\tilde{Z}(S_{i,n;k_1,k_2})$.

We are now in the setting of Proposition 8.4, with $L = S_{i,n;k_1,k_2}$, and subspaces S_a being the BCFW cells which correspond to $D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k_2}$. We have verified the additional assumptions required for applying the proposition in the proof Theorem 1.5. Thus we can deduce that

$$\tilde{Z}(\overline{S_{i,n;k_1,k_2}}) = \bigcup_{a: D_a \in \mathcal{CD}_{i,n;k_1,k_2}} \overline{S_a}.$$

□

A corollary of the proof, and of Proposition 8.4 is that the boundary of the image of $\overline{S_{i,n;k_1,k_2}}$ is the union of zero loci of the boundary twistors $\langle i, i+1, j, j+1 \rangle$, and of the twistors and functionaries in the statement of Lemma 9.7 above.

Proof of Theorem 9.5. Let Z' be the matrix obtained from Z by omitting the $n-1$ th row. By Theorems 1.3-1.5 $\mathcal{BCFW}_{[n]\setminus\{n-1\},k}$, the BCFW cells for the chord diagrams with k chords on the marker set $[n]\setminus\{n-1\}$, triangulate $\mathcal{A}_{k,4,[n]\setminus\{n-1\}}(Z')$. Clearly

$$\tilde{Z}(\text{pre}_{n-1} \text{Gr}_{k,[n]\setminus\{n-1\}}^{\geq}) = \mathcal{A}_{k,4,[n]\setminus\{n-1\}}(Z').$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{CD}_{[n]\setminus\{n\},k}$, the chord diagrams which correspond to $\mathcal{BCFW}_{[n]\setminus\{n-1\},k}$, are in natural bijection with chord diagrams on the marker set $[n]$, without a chord ending at $(n-2, n-1)$. pre_{n-1} maps homeomorphically every BCFW cell of $\mathcal{BCFW}_{[n]\setminus\{n-1\},k}$ to the cell of $\mathcal{BCFW}_{n,k}$ corresponding to it under this bijection. Thus, the latter cells triangulate $\tilde{Z}(\text{pre}_{n-1} \text{Gr}_{k,[n]\setminus\{n-1\}}^{\geq})$.

The remaining cells triangulate the different $\tilde{Z}(S_{j,n;k_1,k-1-k_1})$, by Proposition 9.9. Theorem 1.5 implies that the union of closures of these sets covers the amplituhedron.

Regarding disjointness, the same reasoning which showed that the space $\tilde{Z}(\text{Gr}_{k,n}^>)$ is open, shows that $\tilde{Z}(\text{pre}_{n-1}\text{Gr}_{k,[n]\setminus\{n-1\}}^>)$ and every $\tilde{Z}(S_{j,n;k_1,k-1-k_1})$ are open, and are thus contained in the interiors of their closures. As a consequence, if two such spaces $\tilde{Z}(S_1), \tilde{Z}(S_2)$ for $S_1 \neq S_2$ intersect, then their intersection contains an open ball. Each $\tilde{Z}(S_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{D_a \in A_i} \tilde{Z}(S_a)$, where the union is taken over a collection A_i of chord diagrams, where $A_i = \mathcal{CD}_{j,n;k_1,k-1-k_1-1}$ if $S_i = S_{j,n;k_1,k-1-k_1-1}$ and otherwise $A_i = \mathcal{CD}_{n,k} \setminus \bigcup_{j,k_1} \mathcal{CD}_{j,n;k_1,k-1-k_1-1}$, and these set are all disjoint. Hence we can find BCFW cells S_{a_1}, S_{a_2} for $D_{a_1} \in A_1, D_{a_2} \in A_2$ with a non empty intersection, contradicting Theorem 1.4. \square

As a final comment we note that $\tilde{Z}(\text{pre}_{n-1}\text{Gr}_{k,[n]\setminus\{n-1\}}^{\geq})$ is precisely the subspace of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k,4}(Z)$ on which all the twistors $\langle i, i+1, n-2, n \rangle$ are nonnegative.

References

- [ABC⁺15] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Jacob L Bourjaily, Freddy Cachazo, Alexander Postnikov, and Jaroslav Trnka. On-shell structures of MHV amplitudes beyond the planar limit. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2015(6):1–16, 2015.
- [ABC⁺16] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Jacob Bourjaily, Freddy Cachazo, Alexander Goncharov, Jaroslav Trnka, and Alexander Postnikov. *Grassmannian Geometry of Scattering Amplitudes*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [ABHY18] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Yuntao Bai, Song He, and Gongwang Yan. Scattering forms and the positive geometry of kinematics, color and the worldsheet. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2018(5):1–78, 2018.
- [ABL17] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Yuntao Bai, and Thomas Lam. Positive geometries and canonical forms. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2017(11):1–124, 2017.
- [AHH21] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Tzu-Chen Huang, and Yu-tin Huang. The EFT-hedron. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2021(5):1–108, 2021.
- [AHL21] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Song He, and Thomas Lam. Stringy canonical forms. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2021(2):1–62, 2021.
- [AHS19] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Yu-tin Huang, and Shu-Heng Shao. On the positive geometry of conformal field theory. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2019(6):1–48, 2019.
- [AM17] Susama Agarwala and Eloi Marin-Amat. Wilson loop diagrams and positroids. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 350(2):569–601, 2017.
- [AM21] Susama Agarwala and Cameron Marcott. Cancellation of spurious poles in N=4 SYM: physical and geometric. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.00541*, 2021.
- [AT14] Nima Arkani-Hamed and Jaroslav Trnka. The amplituhedron. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2014(10):1–33, 2014.
- [ATT18] Nima Arkani-Hamed, Hugh Thomas, and Jaroslav Trnka. Unwinding the amplituhedron in binary. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2018(1):1–41, 2018.

- [BCF05] Ruth Britto, Freddy Cachazo, and Bo Feng. New recursion relations for tree amplitudes of gluons. *Nuclear Physics B*, 715(1-2):499–522, 2005.
- [BCFW05] Ruth Britto, Freddy Cachazo, Bo Feng, and Edward Witten. Direct proof of the tree-level scattering amplitude recursion relation in Yang–Mills theory. *Physical review letters*, 94(18):181602, 2005.
- [BH15] Yuntao Bai and Song He. The amplituhedron from momentum twistor diagrams. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2015(2):1–39, 2015.
- [BH19] Huanchen Bao and Xuhua He. The $m=2$ amplituhedron. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.06015*, 2019.
- [Bou10] Jacob L Bourjaily. Efficient tree-amplitudes in $N=4$: automatic BCFW recursion in Mathematica. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1011.2447*, 2010.
- [ELT22] Chaim Even-Zohar, Tsvika Lakrec, and Ran J Tessler. bcfw-amp, <https://github.com/chaim-e/bcfw-amp>, 2022.
- [FZ99] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Double Bruhat cells and total positivity. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(2):335–380, 1999.
- [FZ02] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras I: foundations. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 15(2):497–529, 2002.
- [FZ03] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras II: Finite type classification. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 154(1):63, 2003.
- [GKL22] Pavel Galashin, Steven N Karp, and Thomas Lam. The totally nonnegative grassmannian is a ball. *Advances in Mathematics*, 397:108123, 2022.
- [GL20] Pavel Galashin and Thomas Lam. Parity duality for the amplituhedron. *Compositio Mathematica*, 156(11):2207–2262, 2020.
- [GP10] Victor Guillemin and Alan Pollack. *Differential topology*, volume 370. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [Hod13] Andrew Hodges. Eliminating spurious poles from gauge-theoretic amplitudes. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(5):1–23, 2013.
- [KW13] Yuji Kodama and Lauren Williams. Combinatorics of KP solitons from the real Grassmannian. In *Algebras, Quivers and Representations*, pages 155–193. Springer, 2013.
- [KW14] Yuji Kodama and Lauren Williams. KP solitons and total positivity for the grassmannian. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 198(3):637–699, 2014.
- [KW19] Steven N Karp and Lauren K Williams. The $m=1$ amplituhedron and cyclic hyperplane arrangements. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2019(5):1401–1462, 2019.
- [KWZ20] Steven N Karp, Lauren K Williams, and Yan X Zhang. Decompositions of amplituhedra. *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré D*, 7(3):303–363, 2020.
- [Lam15] Thomas Lam. Totally nonnegative Grassmannian and Grassmann polytopes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.00603*, 2015.

- [LM21] Tomasz Lukowski and Robert Moerman. Boundaries of the amplituhedron with amplituhedron boundaries. *Computer Physics Communications*, 259:107653, 2021.
- [LPSV19] Tomasz Lukowski, Matteo Parisi, Marcus Spradlin, and Anastasia Volovich. Cluster adjacency for $m=2$ Yangian invariants. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2019(10):1–11, 2019.
- [LPW20] Tomasz Lukowski, Matteo Parisi, and Lauren K Williams. The positive tropical Grassmannian, the hypersimplex, and the $m=2$ amplituhedron. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06164*, 2020.
- [Lus94] George Lusztig. Total positivity in reductive groups. In *Lie theory and geometry*, pages 531–568. Springer, 1994.
- [LW08] Thomas Lam and Lauren Williams. Total positivity for cominuscule Grassmannians. *New York J. Math.*, 14:53–99, 2008.
- [MMP21] Fatemeh Mohammadi, Leonid Monin, and Matteo Parisi. Triangulations and canonical forms of amplituhedra: a fiber-based approach beyond polytopes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 387(2):927–972, 2021.
- [MR04] R Marsh and Konstanze Rietsch. Parametrizations of flag varieties. *Representation Theory of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(9):212–242, 2004.
- [Pos06] Alexander Postnikov. Total positivity, grassmannians, and networks. *arXiv preprint math/0609764*, <http://math.mit.edu/~apost/papers/tpgrass.pdf>, 2006.
- [Pos18] Alexander Postnikov. Positive Grassmannian and polyhedral subdivisions. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians: Rio de Janeiro 2018*, pages 3181–3211. World Scientific, 2018.
- [PSW09] Alexander Postnikov, David Speyer, and Lauren Williams. Matching polytopes, toric geometry, and the totally non-negative grassmannian. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 30(2):173–191, 2009.
- [PSW21] Matteo Parisi, Melissa Sherman-Bennett, and Lauren Williams. The $m=2$ amplituhedron and the hypersimplex: signs, clusters, triangulations, Eulerian numbers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08254*, 2021.
- [Rie98] Konstanze Christina Rietsch. *Total positivity and real flag varieties*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1998.
- [Rie06] K Rietsch. Closure relations for totally nonnegative cells in G/P . *MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH LETTERS*, 13(5-6):775–786, 2006.
- [Stu88] Bernd Sturmfels. Totally positive matrices and cyclic polytopes. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 107:275–281, 1988.
- [SW05] David Speyer and Lauren Williams. The tropical totally positive Grassmannian. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 22(2):189–210, 2005.
- [SW21] David Speyer and Lauren Williams. The positive Dressian equals the positive tropical Grassmannian. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Series B*, 8(11):330–353, 2021.

- [Tho54] René Thom. Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 28(1):17–86, 1954.
- [Trn21] Jaroslav Trnka. Towards the Gravituhedron: new expressions for NMHV gravity amplitudes. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2021(4):1–63, 2021.
- [Wil21] Lauren K Williams. The positive Grassmannian, the amplituhedron, and cluster algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.10856*, 2021.