

Physics of emergence beyond Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition for interacting topological quantum matter

Ranjith R Kumar

*Theoretical Sciences Division, Poornaprajna Institute of Scientific Research, Bidalur, Bengaluru-562164, India. and
Graduate Studies, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Madhava Nagar, Manipal-576104, India.*

Sujit Sarkar

Theoretical Sciences Division, Poornaprajna Institute of Scientific Research, Bidalur, Bengaluru-562164, India.

An attempt is made to find different emergent quantum phases for interacting topological state of quantum matter. Our study is based on the quantum field theoretical renormalization group (RG) calculations. The behaviour of the RG flow lines gives the emergence of different quantum phases for non-interacting and interacting topological state of quantum matter. We show explicitly electron-electron interaction can turn a topologically trivial phase into a non-trivial one and also topological non-trivial phase to topological trivial phase. We show that physics of emergent is go beyond the quantum Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. We also present the analysis of fixed point and show the behaviour of fixed point changes in presence and absence of interaction. This work provides a new perspective not only from the topological state of interacting quantum matter and but also for the correlated quantum many body physics.

Introduction

The field of topological state of quantum matter is one of the most active research areas in experimental and also in theoretical quantum matter physics¹⁻⁷. Topological materials are expected to be robust against disorder⁸⁻¹³. This makes topological materials candidates for new electronic devices. The physics of topological state of quantum matter is typically described by the band theory and symmetry of non-interacting fermions^{1-7,9,10}. But in all solids, electron-electron interaction are unavoidable, either screened or weak or sometimes even stronger dominate the physical properties of a material^{9,10,14} electron-electron interaction leads to the different physical phenomena in quantum many-body systems, such as the Kondo effect, Mott-Hubbard transition and superconductivity to mention a few^{9,10,14}. Therefore, to get a complete picture of the topological state of a quantum many-body system, one has to consider the effect of interaction¹⁵⁻³¹.

Models of strongly correlated electrons have provided outstanding challenges to condensed matter theory for many decades^{10,14}. Most of the previous work on topological superconductivity in one-dimensional wires has focused on for the noninteracting limit^{1-7,11}. Interaction induced topological phases such as topological Kondo insulator, topological Mott insulator and fractional Chern insulator only exists due to the interplay of topology and strong correlations¹¹.

In addition, topological features in strongly correlated systems are much more readily controllable by external parameters than in the non-interacting case. We expect the presence of interaction in the physical system makes it much more complex than the simple non-interacting topological state of quantum matter¹⁵⁻³¹.

The physics of one dimensional quantum many body is interesting in its own right^{32,33}. One dimensional quantum many body system has strong quantum fluctuations that do not allow spontaneously broken continuous symmetries. As a result of this, the pairing instabilities do not lead to any ordered density-wave¹⁰. This many body has a critical phase with power law decay of various correlation functions, universally known as a Luttinger liquid^{10,14}. In one dimensional quantum many body systems whether it is weakly correlated or strongly proper treatment of the quantum fluctuations

leads in both cases to a Luttinger liquid characterized by phonon-like collective density fluctuation modes.

In the present study, we use the bosonization process to recast the model Hamiltonian in continuum field theory. Our systems can be mapped to a dual-field double sine-Gordon model as a bosonized effective field theory. The mathematical structure and results of the RG theory are a significant conceptual advancement in the quantum field theory of both high-energy and condensed matter physics³⁴⁻³⁶ in the last several decades.

Motivation :

In quantum many-body physics, emergent phenomena are an essential aspect¹⁰. In this view, fundamentally new kinds of phenomena emerge in the different region of parameter space of quantum many body system. In this paper, we study various quantum emergence phases of one-dimensional one-component fermions having proximity-induced pairing gap and inter particle short-range interaction, as a generalization of the Kitaev model. In this study we raise the question and also solve how electron-electron interaction turns a non-trivial topological state to a topological trivial state and also a topological non-trivial state to topological trivial state for interacting topological quantum matter. The detail analysis of fixed point for the non-interacting and interacting topological states show many interesting feature. The most important part of this study is to show that the emergent quantum phases are far richer and go beyond the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition^{37,42}.

Model Hamiltonians and Renormalization Group Equations for Non-Interacting ($U = 0$) Quantum Matter

The model Hamiltonian for the non-interacting Kitaev chain^{43,44} for the topological state of quantum matter is the following,

$$H_1 = -t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + h.c) + \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (c_i c_{i+1} + h.c) - \mu \sum_i^N c_i^\dagger c_i. \quad (1)$$

t is the hopping integral for nearest-neighbour sites, Δ is the




FIG. 1: (Color online.) Behaviour of the RG flow lines for the couplings in the Δ - K plane. We present the RG flow lines based on the solution of non-interacting RG equations (eq.3).




FIG. 2: (Color online.) Behaviour of the RG flow lines for the couplings in the Δ - K plane. We present the RG flow lines based on the exact solution (eq. 4).

proximity induced superconducting gap and μ is the chemical potential.

Bosonized version of the model Hamiltonian is the following,

$$H = H_0 + \frac{\Delta}{2} \int \cos \left(2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{K}} \theta(x) \right) dx + \mu \sqrt{\frac{K}{\pi}} \int (\partial_x \phi(x)) dx, \quad (2)$$

where $H_0 = \frac{v}{2} \int [(\partial_x \theta)^2 + (\partial_x \phi)^2] dx$ is the non-interacting part of the Hamiltonian and v is the collective velocity of the system and K is the Luttinger liquid parameter of the system¹⁴ (detail derivation is relegated to the "Method" section). Please notice that initial Hamiltonian (eq.1) has no K term but the bosonized Hamiltonian (eq.2) has the K term. We express our model Hamiltonian in terms of two dual fields $\theta(x)$ and $\phi(x)$, which bosonized the Hamiltonian. The fermionic fields for right (R) and left (L) movers of a one dimensional quantum many body system are $\psi_{R/L,\uparrow/\downarrow}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha} \eta_{R,\uparrow} e^{i\sqrt{4\pi}\phi_{R,\uparrow/\downarrow}(x)}$, where $\eta_{L/R}$ is

the Klein factor to preserve the anticommutivity of the fermionic field which obeys Clifford algebra¹⁴. These two fields are related by the relations, $\phi(x) = \phi_R(x) + \phi_L(x)$ and $\theta(x) = \theta_R(x) + \theta_L(x)$.

The analytical expressions for the non-interacting RG are the following (detail derivation is relegated to the "Method" section),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Delta}{dl} &= \left[2 - \frac{\alpha}{K} \right] \Delta \\ \frac{dK}{dl} &= \frac{\Delta^2}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\alpha = \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} \right), \quad (\alpha = 1, \text{ for } \mu = 0).$$

Exact solution for Δ (detail derivation is relegated to the "Method" section),

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + 32(K - K_0) - 16\ln(K/K_0)(1 + \frac{\mu}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}})}. \quad (4)$$

Model Hamiltonians and Renormalization Group Equations for Interacting ($U \neq 0$) Quantum Matter

The model Hamiltonian for the interacting Kitaev model is,

$$H_2 = -t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + h.c) + \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (c_i c_{i+1} + h.c) + U \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (2c_i^\dagger c_i - 1)(2c_{i+1}^\dagger c_{i+1} - 1) - \mu \sum_i^N c_i^\dagger c_i. \quad (5)$$

The bosonized form of the interacting Kitaev model Hamiltonian is,

$$H_2 = H_0 + \frac{\Delta}{2} \int \cos\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{K}}\theta(x)\right) dx + U \int \cos\left(4\sqrt{\pi K}\phi(x)\right) dx - \mu \sqrt{\frac{K}{\pi}} \int (\partial_x \phi(x)) dx, \quad (6)$$

the third term (U) represents the intersite repulsive interaction. In this model Hamiltonian, there is no on-site repulsion owing to the Pauli exclusion principle.

The analytical expressions for the interacting RG are the (detail derivation is relegated to the "Method" section),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Delta}{dl} &= \left[2 - \frac{\alpha}{K}\right] \Delta + 2K\Delta U^2 \\ \frac{dU}{dl} &= (2 - 4K)U \\ \frac{dK}{dl} &= \frac{\Delta^2}{8} - 8K^2 U^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\alpha = \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}}\right), \quad (\alpha = 1, \text{ for } \mu = 0).$$

Results:

(A). Emergence of Quantum Phases for Non-Interacting Topological State of Quantum Matter:

In fig.1, we present the behaviour of RG flow lines in Δ - K plane from the solution of RG equations (eq. 3). We study the RG flow lines behaviour of coupling with K for the following reasons: The physics of low-dimensional quantum many body condensed matter system is enriched with its new and interesting emergent behavior with the parameter K ¹⁴. In one dimensional quantum many body system, K , plays an important role to determine the different emergent quantum phases. $K < 1$ and $K > 1$ and $K = 1$ characterizes the repulsive, attractive interactions and non-interacting¹⁴. We present four figures for different values of μ . It reveals from the behaviour of RG flow lines that the upper panel, the left and right figures are respectively for $\mu = 0$ and $\mu = 0.3$. It has only two quantum phases one is the weak coupling phase, (I), and the other is the strong coupling phase (II). There is no evidence of phase crossover from weak coupling to strong coupling or vice versa. In the lower panel, the left and right figures are respectively for $\mu = 0.6$ and $\mu = 1$. The qualitative behaviour of the RG flow lines are the same but the gapless LL phase region increase as we increase μ .




FIG. 3: (Colour online.) Behaviour of the RG flow lines in the Δ - K plane for the different initial values of $U(0) = (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3)$. Here we consider $\mu = 0$. We present the RG flow lines from the study of RG equation (eq. 7).




FIG. 4: (Colour online.) Behaviour of the RG flow lines in the Δ - K plane for the different initial values of $U(0) = (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3)$. Here we consider $\mu = 1$. We present the RG flow lines from the study of RG equation (eq. 7).

In fig.2, we present the exact solution for the RG flow equation for the coupling Δ based on eq.4. This figure panels consists four figures for different values of μ as depicted in the figures. It is very clear from this figure that for this RG flow diagram system is in always in two phase regime, i.e., either in the weak coupling phase or in the strong coupling phase. It reveals from this study that for $\mu = 0$ this transition occurs for $K = 0.5$. As we increase the value of μ the transition points shift from $K = 0.5$. This exact solution study is consistent with the numerical study of the RG flow equations in fig. 1.

(B). Emergence of Quantum Phases for Interacting Topological State of Quantum Matter:

Now we present the result of interacting Kitaev model to show the emergence of different quantum phases in presence of electron-electron interaction.

Fig.3 shows the behaviour of RG flow lines (eq.7) for the coupling Δ for the different initial values of K . This figure




FIG. 5: (Colour online.) Behaviour of the RG flow lines in the Δ - K plane for the different initial values of $U(0) = (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3)$. Here we consider $\mu = 0$. We present the RG flow lines from the study of RG equation (eq.7).

panel consists of four figures for the different initial values of U as depicted in the figures and for $\mu = 0$. It reveals from the behaviour of the RG flow lines of the left upper panel, it consists of three different phase regions. The system is in the weak coupling phase in region (I), where the RG flow lines are flowing off to the weak coupling phase and finally touch the base line. Region (II) is the phase crossover region from weak coupling phase to the strong coupling phase, i.e., finally systems drives to the gapped phase, we term this phase crossover as first phase crossover. In region (III), RG flow lines are flowing off to the strong coupling phase. The right figure of the upper panel is for the higher initial values of $U(= 0.1)$, the most interesting feature of this RG flow diagram is the appearance of extra phase crossover from strong coupling to the weak coupling due to the reverse flow of the RG flow lines. We term this phase crossover as second phase crossover (III) and region (IV) is the strong coupling phase.

It reveals from the further higher initial values of $U(= 0.2)$ that the second crossover phase take the dominant region and the first crossover region totally disappear. We observe the appearance strong coupling phase for higher initial values of K and Δ .

The most interesting feature that we observe for further initial values of $U = 0.3$. We observe weak coupling phase in region I. For the higher initial values of $\Delta(\leq 0.22)$, the initial phase of the RG flow lines are strong coupling phase but the direction of these RG flow lines change to the weak coupling phase around $K = 0.5$ and sharply touches the base line.

Another interesting phase we observe from this study is the appearance of a different type of phase crossover. We mark this region of phase crossover as region (II). In this phase crossover region system drives from flat phase to the weak coupling phase, we term this phase crossover as a third phase crossover. This flat phase occurs for the higher initial values of K (~ 0.8) and for the smaller initial values of Δ (~ 0.2). The RG flow lines are flowing off with constant values and finally reach the weak coupling phase and touch the base line. In fig.4 and fig.5, we present the results for $\mu = 0.7$ and 2 respectively for the same RG equation for interacting Kitaev chain. The behaviour of RG flow lines

for the higher values of μ are differ from the $\mu = 0$ in the following manner.

- (1). For $U = 0.05$, the phase crossover region shifted to the higher values of K .
- (2). For $U = 0.1$, the second phase crossover region is very faint for $\mu = 0.7$ and almost disappear for $\mu = 2$.
- (3). Flat phase appears for the smaller initial value of $U = 0.2$.
- (4). For higher initial values of $U = 0.3$, we predict only two phases and there is no evidence of first phase crossover region, as we observe for $\mu = 0$. We observe weak coupling phase and phase crossover from the flat phase region.

Thus it is clear from this study how the chemical potential drives to the different emergent phases for same value of electron-electron interaction.

Fig.6 consists of three panels. The upper, middle and lower are respectively for $U = 0.1, 0.15$ and 0.3 . Each panel consists of three figures for three different values of μ , the left, middle and right figures are respectively for $\mu = 0, 0.5$ and 1. The main emphasis of this study is to show explicitly the transition from the second phase crossover to the flat phase. The upper panel shows the evidence of second phase crossover for all values of chemical potential. We observe that for the higher initial values of U , as we notice in the second and third panel. The second phase crossover has started slowly and finally appears as a flat phase for higher values of $U = 0.3$. Thus we show explicitly how the electron-electron interaction turns the topologically non-trivial state to topologically trivial phase.

Physical interpretation of quantum emergence phases and phase crossovers for non-interacting and interacting topological quantum states

We present the results for non-interacting and interacting topological state of quantum matter through fig.1 to fig. 6. We observe the emergence of three different quantum phases and three phase crossovers regions. Now we interpret these quantum phase and phase crossover. Weak coupling phase for the non-interacting system is the gapless Luttinger liquid phase and the strong coupling phase is the proximity induce topological superconducting phase (fig.1 and fig.2).

For the interacting system, this weak coupling phase is the charge density wave phase (CDW) phase due to the electron-electron interaction and the strong coupling phase is the proximity induce topological superconducting phase. The first phase cross over region is the transition of the system from CDW phase to the topological superconducting phase. The second phase crossover region is the transition from topological superconducting phase to the CDW phase. Flat phase is for the constant initial value of topological superconducting phase and it is always associated with the third phase crossover to the weak coupling CDW phase. There is no evidence of phase crossover from flat phase to strong coupling phase.

It reveals from our study that there are only two phase regions for non-interacting phase, there are no phase crossover regions and the topological superconducting regions shifted with the chemical potential. We have observed that for interacting phase, three different kind of phase crossover have appeared as a function of electron-electron interaction and chemical potential. Thus the electron-electron interaction can turn topologically trivial phase to the topologically non-trivial phase and also the topologically non-trivial




FIG. 6: (Color online.) Behaviour of the RG flow lines for the couplings in the Δ - K plane. We present the RG flow lines based on the solution of eq. 7.

phase to the topologically trivial phase. We have observed that for the higher values of chemical potential and also for the higher values of U , there is no evidence of proximity induced topological superconducting phase. To the best of our knowledge this is the first study in the literature where the effect of electron-electron interaction and the effect of chemical potential has studied for topological state of quantum matter rigorously along with the physical interpretation.

(C). Characterization of fixed points and stability analysis:

Now we present the nature of fixed points and stability analysis^{45,46} for the non-interacting and interacting Hamiltonians.

Stable fixed points: The scaling fields are become irrelevant. These fixed points are for the stable phase for matter. This fixed point behave as a attractor. When one releases the system in the parameter space close to these fixed points , it scales towards to this fixed point and eventually sits there. These fixed point is impervious to moderate variations in the microscopic morphology of the system.

Unstable fixed points: The scaling fields are become relevant. These fixed points are not for the stable phase for matter. The quantum phase of matter in this fixed point will not stable phase of matter, if the RG flow lines approach to this fixed point, finally it will be away from it.

Marginal fixed points: Marginal scaling field corresponds to a direction in coupling constant space with vanishing partial derivative. For this situation one can consider the second order derivative $\partial^2 R|_{g^*=0} = 2x$. In the vicinity of this fixed point, the scaling field then behaves as $dv_\alpha = xv_\alpha^2$. The scaling field are marginally relevant and irrelevant for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$ respectively.

Stability matrix for the non-interacting RG equations (eq.3)

Now we do the stability analysis for the fixed point analysis of RG equation (3) (detail derivation is relegated to the "Method" section).

$$\frac{dA_1}{dt} = B_1 A_1, \quad A_1 = (\delta K, \delta \Delta)^T$$

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\Delta^*}{4} \\ \frac{\alpha \Delta^*}{K^{*2}} & (2 - \frac{\alpha}{K^*}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

Stability matrix for the interacting RG equation (eq.7)

$$\frac{dA_2}{dt} = B_2 A_2, \quad A_2 = (\delta K, \delta U, \delta \Delta)^T$$

$$B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -16U^{*2}K^* & -8U^*K^{*2} & \frac{\Delta^*}{4} \\ -4U^* & (2 - 4K) & 0 \\ C & 4K^*\Delta^*U^* & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where $C = \frac{\alpha}{K^{*2}} + 2\Delta^*U^{*2}$, $D = (2 - \frac{\alpha}{K^*}) + 2K^*U^{*2}$, where U^* , Δ^* and K^* are the value of U , Δ and K at the fixed point.

Results from the analysis of the fixed point:

(A). Stability of phase analysis for non-interacting systems

The detail derivation of the analysis of fixed points are relegated in the "Method" section. The analysis of table-I is for the non-interacting RG equation. This table consists of three rows and three column. Each row is for the different values of α and each column is for the different values of K . It is clear from the non-interacting case for zero chemical potential ($\alpha = 1$), there is no stable phase. But for finite chemical potential ($\alpha = 1.5$), the system has stable phase for $K = 1/2$ and $K = 1$ regime but for $K = 1.5$ regime. The system has again no stable system. We find for further higher values of chemical potential ($\alpha = 2$) system has no stable phase.

(B). Stability of phase analysis for interacting systems

The analysis of table-II is for the interacting RG equation. This table consists of three rows and three column. Each row is for the different values of α and each column is for the different values of K .

It is clear from the interacting case for zero chemical

K	1/2	1	1.5
$\alpha = 1$	One relevant and one marginal	One relevant and one marginal	All are marginal
$\alpha = 1.5$	One irrelevant and one marginal coupling	One irrelevant and one marginal	One relevant and one marginal
$\alpha = 2$	One relevant and one marginal	All are marginal	One relevant and one marginal

TABLE I: Results of the fixed point analysis for the non-interacting RG equation (eq. 3) for Δ

K	1/2	1	1.5
$\alpha = 1$	All are marginal	One irrelevant and two marginal	One relevant, one irrelevant and one marginal
$\alpha = 1.5$	One irrelevant and two marginal	One relevant, one irrelevant and one marginal	One relevant, one irrelevant and one marginal
$\alpha = 2$	One irrelevant and two marginal	One irrelevant and two marginal	One relevant, one irrelevant and one marginal

TABLE II: Results of the fixed point analysis for the interacting RG equation (eq. 7)

potential ($\alpha = 1$), there is stable phase exists only for $K = 1$ and $K = 1.5$. But for finite chemical potential ($\alpha = 1.5$), the system has stable phase for the all values of K . We find for further higher values of chemical potential ($\alpha = 2$) system has also in the stable phase for all regime.

Physics of emergence beyond the quantum Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition

The BKT mechanism³⁷⁻⁴², in which a phase transition is mediated by the proliferation of topological defects, governs the critical behavior of a wide range of equilibrium two dimensional systems with a continuous symmetry, ranging from spin systems to superconducting thin films and two-dimensional Bose fluids, such as liquid helium and ultracold atoms³⁷⁻⁴².

The physics of emergence of quantum phases in low dimensional quantum many body system is an essential phenomena. Many of the systems have shown the appearance of quantum emergence phases and their behaviour is alike to the QBKT transition^{14,31,46}. We now discuss the results of emergence quantum physics which we have obtained in this study.

QBKT system possesses two phase regions, weak coupling and strong coupling, and a single phase crossover region. In weak coupling, system is gapless, and in the strong coupling phase system is in the gapped phase and the coupling is in the relevant phase in the sense of RG. The phase crossover region is associated with the transition of the system from weak coupling to the strong coupling phase.

We observe the two phases region from the study of the behaviour of RG flow lines for non-interacting topological quantum matter, one is the weak coupling phase and the other is the strong coupling phase, thus the behaviour of RG flow lines is different from the behaviour of RG flow lines of QBKT.

For the interacting topological state of matter, we observe the two phase regions and one phase crossover region for the lower values of electron-electron interaction which is consistent with the QBKT physics. As we increase the value of μ and U , we observe the different quantum emergent phases and three phase crossovers. We observe two phases region for both non-interacting and interacting topological

state of quantum matter but the characters are different for non-interacting and interacting topological state of quantum matter. Thus the emergent physics of topological excitation is far more enrich than the conventional QBKT.

Discussions

We have shown that there are no phase crossover physics for non-interacting topological state of quantum matter, this system has only two quantum emergence phase. We have shown explicitly that there are three phase crossovers regions and also three quantum phases for interacting topological state of quantum matter. We have shown explicitly that how the electron-electron interaction can turn a topologically trivial state to topologically non-trivial state at the same time we have also shown how the electron-electron interaction can turn a non-trivial topological phase to topologically trivial phase. We have shown the physics of emergence for interacting topological state of quantum matter is beyond the BKT transition. This work provides a new perspective for the topological state of interacting quantum matter and also for the correlated quantum many body physics.

Method

(A). Scaling law and critical exponent

It is well known in the literature that the diverging coherence length ($\xi \rightarrow \infty$) is the signature of second order quantum phase transition. Here we discuss the basic physics and mathematical analysis of the fixed points and stability analysis of the RG equations and from that analysis we interfere about the stable and unstable phases of the system^{45,46}.

Our main interest is to study the behaviour of the flow in the immediate vicinity of the fixed point manifolds. One can do the stability analysis from the following condition. When the coupling constant λ is close to the fixed point λ^* .

$R(\lambda) \equiv R(\lambda - \lambda^* + \lambda^*) \simeq W(\lambda - \lambda^*)$, where $W_{ab} = \left(\frac{\partial R_a}{\partial g_b}\right)_{\lambda=\lambda^*}$.

To explain the properties of RG flow we consider the following steps. At first, we diagonalize the matrix W , suppose our coupling parameter space is W then the Eigenvalues are g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N . The left eigenvector is ϕ^α then the eigen value equation $\phi_\alpha^T W = \phi_\alpha^T \lambda_\alpha$.

The advantage of proceeding via the unconventional set of left eigenvectors is that it allows us to conventionally express the flow of the physical constant under renormalization.

Let v_α be the α th component of the vector, $g - g^*$ when represented in the basis $\{\phi_\alpha\}$, we can write $v_\alpha = \phi_\alpha^T (g - g^*)$. These concept display a particularly simple behaviour under renormalization.

$$\frac{dv_\alpha}{dl} = \phi_\alpha^T \frac{d(g - g^*)}{dl} = \phi_\alpha^T W (g - g^*) = \lambda_\alpha v_\alpha, \quad (10)$$

where v_α is the scaling field which changes by the scaling factor thus $v_\alpha \simeq e^{l\lambda_\alpha}$. For $\lambda_\alpha > 0$, the flow is directed away from the v_α is the scaling field which changes by the scaling factor thus $v_\alpha \simeq e^{l\lambda_\alpha}$. For $\lambda_\alpha > 0$, the flow is directed away from the critical point and this scaling field is the relevant one. When $\lambda_\alpha < 0$, the flow is attracted by the fixed point and the scaling field is the irrelevant one. The scaling field which are invariant under the flow, $\lambda_\alpha = 0$ are

(B). Analysis of fixed point for the non-interacting RG equations (eq. 3)

(1). Here we consider $\alpha = 1$

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1/2, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are 1, 0, corresponding eigenfunctions are (0, 1), (1, 0).

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are 1.333, 0, corresponding eigenfunctions are (0, 1), (1, 0).

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1.5, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are 0, 0, corresponding eigenfunctions are (0, 1), (-1, 0).

We consider $\alpha > 1$ (i.e., $\mu \neq 0, \Delta^* = 0$). For this case, we consider the three different fixed points.

(2). Here we consider $\alpha = 1.5$

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1/2, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are -1, 0, corresponding eigenfunctions are (0, 1), (-1, 0).

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are 0.5, 0, corresponding eigenfunctions are (0, 1), (-1, 0).

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1.5, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are 1, 0, corresponding eigenfunctions are (0, 1), (-1, 0).

(3). Here we consider $\alpha = 2$

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1/2, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are -2, 0, corresponding eigenfunctions are (0, 1), (1, 0).

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $0, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 1), (1, 0)$.

$(K^*, \Delta^*) = (1.5, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $0.667, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 1), (-1, 0)$.

(B). Stability analysis for the fixed point of interacting topological RG equations (eq. 7)

Now we do the fixed point analysis for the whole set of RG equation. Here we take three different values of K , which corresponds to the three different region of interaction, i.e, strongly correlated regime ($K = 0.5$), non-interacting regime ($K = 1$) and attractive regime ($K = 1.5$). We also present the results three different values of μ for each K .

(1). At first we consider $\alpha = 1$ ($\mu = 0, U^* = 0, \Delta^* = 0$).

For this case, we consider the three different fixed points.

$(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1/2, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $0, 0, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$. Here all the couplings are the marginal, there is no evidence of stable phase.

$(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $-2, 1, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0)$.

Here we notice that one of the coupling is irrelevant which corresponds to the stable phase of the system. The other two couplings are the relevant and marginal. The relevant coupling corresponds to the unstable phase and the marginal coupling behave as irrelevant coupling when its approach to the fixed point but but it behave as relevant coupling when it very close or slightly away from the fixed point as we have shown through mathematical analysis. Marginal coupling never gives the stable fixed point.

$(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1.5, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $-4, 1.33, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0)$.

Here we notice that one of the coupling is irrelevant which corresponds to the stable phase of the system. The other two couplings are the relevant and marginal. The relevant coupling corresponds to the unstable phase. There is no evidence stable phase for this coupling.

We consider $\alpha > 1$ (i.e., $\mu \neq 0, U^* = 0, \Delta^* = 0$). For this case, we consider the three different fixed points.

(2). Here we consider $\alpha = 1.5$,

$(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1/2, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $-1, 0, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0)$.

Here we notice that one of the coupling is irrelevant which corresponds to the stable phase of the system. The other two couplings are the marginal. The marginal coupling corresponds to the unstable phase. There is no evidence critical surface.

(E). $(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $-2, 0.5, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0)$. Here we notice that one of the coupling is irrelevant which corresponds to the stable phase of the system. The another two couplings are the relevant and marginal. The relevant coupling corresponds to the unstable phase. There is no evidence critical surface.

(F). $(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1.5, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $-4, 1, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0)$.

Here we notice that one of the coupling is irrelevant which corresponds to the stable phase of the system. The other two couplings are the relevant and marginal. The marginal coupling corresponds to the unstable phase. There is no evidence critical surface.

Here we consider $\alpha = 2$,

(G). $(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1/2, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $-2, 0, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 0, 1)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, $(1, 0, 0)$.

Here we notice that one of the coupling is irrelevant which corresponds to the stable phase of the system. The other two couplings are the marginal couplings. The marginal coupling corresponds to the unstable phase. There is no evidence critical surface.

(H). $(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $-2, 0, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 1, 0)$, $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$.

Here we notice that one of the coupling is irrelevant which corresponds to the stable phase of the system. The other two couplings are the marginal couplings. The marginal coupling corresponds to the unstable phase. There is no evidence critical surface.

(I). $(K^*, U^*, \Delta^*) = (1.5, 0, 0)$:

Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the stability matrix analysis are the following:

Eigenvalues are $-4, 0.66, 0$, corresponding eigenfunctions are $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$, $(1, 0, 0)$.

(D). Derivation of bosonized Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = -t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + h.c) + \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (c_i c_{i+1} + h.c) (2c_{i+1}^\dagger c_{i+1} - 1) - \mu \sum_i^N c_i^\dagger c_i. \quad (11)$$

$$H_2 = -t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + h.c) + \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (c_i c_{i+1} + h.c) + U \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (2c_i^\dagger c_i - 1) (2c_{i+1}^\dagger c_{i+1} - 1) - \mu \sum_i^N c_i^\dagger c_i. \quad (12)$$

We recast this model Hamiltonian in terms of spin-1/2 operators by using the Jordan-Wigner transformation to connect the spinless fermion operators to the spin-1/2 operator, which is below.

$c_j^\dagger = (s_j^+) \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} (-s_l^z)$. $c_j = (s_j^-) \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} (-s_l^z)$.

After this transformation the Kitaev Hamiltonian become

$$H_1 = \sum_n [(\frac{t+\Delta}{2}) S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + \frac{(t-\Delta)}{2} S_n^y S_{n+1}^y - \mu S_n^z]. \quad (13)$$

$$H_2 = \sum_n [(\frac{t+\Delta}{2}) S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + \frac{(t-\Delta)}{2} S_n^y S_{n+1}^y + U S_n^z S_{n+1}^z - \mu S_n^z]. \quad (14)$$

The above two Hamiltonians are free from K . Therefore, now our main task is to find the analytical expression for spin-1/2 operators in terms of in terms of bosonized fields ϕ and θ and that also show how K appears in the Kitaev model.

We present spin operators interms of ϕ , θ and K ²⁹,

We present spin operators interms of ϕ , θ and K ²⁹,

$$S_n^x = [\cos(2\sqrt{\pi K} \phi(x)) + (-1)^n] \cos(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{K}} \theta(x)), \quad (15)$$

$$S_n^y = -[\cos(2\sqrt{\pi K} \phi(x)) + (-1)^n] \sin(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{K}} \theta(x)), \quad (16)$$

$$S_n^z = (-1)^n \cos(2\sqrt{\pi K} \phi(x)) + \sqrt{\frac{K}{\pi}} \partial_x \phi(x). \quad (17)$$

Bosonized version of non-interacting and interacting Hamiltonians are the following.

$$H_1 = H_0 + \frac{\Delta}{2} \int \cos\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{K}} \theta(x)\right) dx - \mu \sqrt{\frac{K}{\pi}} \int (\partial_x \phi(x)) dx, \quad (18)$$

$$H_2 = H_0 + \frac{\Delta}{2} \int \cos\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{K}} \theta(x)\right) dx + U \int \cos\left(4\sqrt{\pi K} \phi(x)\right) dx - \mu \sqrt{\frac{K}{\pi}} \int (\partial_x \phi(x)) dx, \quad (19)$$

$H_0 = \frac{v}{2} \int [(\partial_x \theta)^2 + (\partial_x \phi)^2] dx$. We notice that H_0 appears with out K , therefore the rest three terms of eq. 17 appear as a function of K otherwise it appears with out K .

(E). Derivation of exact solutions for the non-interacting RG equation (eq. 3)

We may write the equation for $\frac{d\Delta}{dK}$ from eq. 9 as

$$\frac{d\Delta}{dK} = \frac{8[2 - \frac{1}{K}(1 + \frac{\mu}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}})]}{\Delta}$$

We can write the integration constant as

$$C = \frac{1}{2}\Delta^2 - 16K + 8(1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}})\ln(K)$$

One can evaluate the constant from the initial value of Δ and K , i.e., Δ_0 and K_0 .

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 + 32(K - K_0) - 16(1 + \frac{\mu}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}})\ln(K/K_0)}$$

Finally we obtain the exact solution for RG flow lines by integrating the RG equations.

(F). Derivation of renormalization group equations for non-interacting and interacting Hamiltonians :

Our starting point is the bosonized Hamiltonian,

$$H = H_0 + a \int \cos\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{K}}\theta(x)\right) dx + \mu\sqrt{\frac{K}{\pi}} \int (\partial_x\phi(x))dx, \quad (20)$$

where $H_0 = \frac{v}{2} \int [(\partial_x\theta)^2 + (\partial_x\phi)^2]dx$. Here, we consider $\Delta = 2a$ for the smoothness of calculation, but we finally present the RG equations in terms of Δ . Now we write the partition function \mathcal{Z} in terms of fields as,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{D}\theta e^{-S_E[\theta]}, \quad (21)$$

where S_E is the Euclidean action which can be written as $S_E = -\int dr\mathcal{L} = -\int dr(\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_{int})$, where $r = (\tau, x)$. Now we divide the fields into slow and fast modes and integrate out the fast modes. θ is $\theta(r) = \theta_s(r) + \theta_f(r)$, where

$$\theta_s(r) = \int_{-\Lambda/b}^{\Lambda/b} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega r} \theta(\omega) \quad \& \quad \theta_f(r) = \int_{\Lambda/b < |\omega_n| < \Lambda} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega r} \theta(\omega). \quad (22)$$

Here Λ is the cut-off to start with and b is a factor greater than one. It is clear from the above definitions of faster and slower mode. One can make the average over the fast mode in order to get an effective action for the slower mode. Thus \mathcal{Z} is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\theta_s\mathcal{D}\theta_f e^{-S_s(\phi_s, \theta_s)} e^{-S_f(\phi_f, \theta_f)} e^{-S_{int}(\phi, \theta)}. \quad (23)$$

Using the relation $\langle A \rangle_f = \int \mathcal{D}\theta_f e^{-S_f(\theta_f)} A$, one can write

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\theta_s e^{-S_s(\theta_s)} \left\langle e^{-S_{int}(\theta)} \right\rangle_f. \quad (24)$$

We write the effective action as

$$e^{-S_{eff}(\theta_s)} = e^{-S_s(\theta_s)} \left\langle e^{-S_{int}(\theta)} \right\rangle_f. \quad (25)$$

Taking ln on both sides give, $S_{eff}(\theta_s) = S_s(\theta_s) - \ln \langle e^{-S_{int}(\theta)} \rangle_f$. By writing the cumulant expansion up to third order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff}(\theta_s) &= S_s(\theta_s) + \langle S_{int}(\theta) \rangle_f - \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle S_{int}^2(\theta) \rangle_f - \langle S_{int}(\theta) \rangle_f^2 \right) + \\ &\frac{1}{6} \left(\langle S_{int}^3(\theta) \rangle_f - 3 \langle S_{int}^2(\theta) \rangle_f \langle S_{int}(\theta) \rangle_f + 2 \langle S_{int}(\theta) \rangle_f^3 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

At first we derive the 2nd order RG equations for $\mu = 0$ and then we extend it for finite μ .

$$\langle S_{int}(\theta) \rangle = \int dr \left[a \langle \cos(2\sqrt{\pi}\theta(r)) \rangle_f \right] \quad (27)$$

$$\int dr \left[a \langle \cos(2\sqrt{\pi}\theta(r)) \rangle_f \right] = b^{-\frac{1}{\bar{K}}} \int dr \left[a \cos(2\sqrt{\pi}\theta_s(r)) \right] \quad (28)$$

Now we calculate the second order cumulant term of the action $S_{eff}(\theta)$ (eq. 25).

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\langle S_{int}^2 \rangle - \langle S_{int} \rangle^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \int dr dr' \{ a^2 [\dots] \}, \quad (29)$$

where the dotted term represents the expectation value of the correlation function of sine-Gordon operators, which we evaluate below.

$$-\frac{a^2}{2} \int dr dr' \{ \langle \cos[2\sqrt{\pi}\theta(r)] \cos[2\sqrt{\pi}\theta(r')] \rangle - \langle \cos[2\sqrt{\pi}\theta(r)] \rangle \langle \cos[2\sqrt{\pi}\theta(r')] \rangle \} = \frac{a^2}{4} \left(1 - b^{-\frac{2}{\bar{K}}} \right) \int dr (\partial_r \theta_s(r))^2. \quad (30)$$

We obtain the following relation by comparison of rescaled a term using the rescaled relation as $b = e^{dl}$.

$$\bar{a} = ab^{(2-\frac{1}{\bar{K}})}$$

Finally we write the RG equation in terms of $\Delta (= 2a)$ as,

$$\frac{d\Delta}{dl} = \left(2 - \frac{1}{\bar{K}} \right) \Delta$$

Comparison of rescaled K terms from the contribution of ϕ , gives,

$$\bar{K} = K + \frac{Ka^2}{4} \left(b^2 - b^{(2-\frac{2}{\bar{K}})} \right)$$

We obtain the final form of $\frac{dK}{dl}$ after the contribution from θ for K .

$$\frac{dK}{dl} = \frac{\Delta^2}{8}$$

Similarly one can find the complete RG equation in the following way.

Now we calculate the third order terms of cumulant expansion for the effective action (eq. 25),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6} \left(\langle S_{int}^3 \rangle - 3 \langle S_{int}^2 \rangle \langle S_{int} \rangle + 2 \langle S_{int} \rangle^3 \right) &= \frac{1}{6} \int d\tau d\tau' d\tau'' \\ &\quad \{ a^3 [\dots] + U^3 [\dots] + a^2 U [\dots] + a U^2 [\dots] \} \\ \frac{a^3}{6} \int d\tau d\tau' d\tau'' &\left[\langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau)] \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau')] \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau'')] \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3 \langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau)] \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau')] \rangle \langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau'')] \rangle + 2 \langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau)] \rangle \langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau')] \rangle \langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau'')] \rangle \right] = \quad (31) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{a^3}{24} \right) (6b^{-\frac{3}{\bar{K}}} - 3b^{-\frac{5}{\bar{K}}} - 3b^{-\frac{1}{\bar{K}}}) \int d\tau \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta_s(\tau)]. \end{aligned}$$

Following the same procedure used to derive the second order RG, we obtain the following equations.

$$da = \left(2 - \frac{1}{\bar{K}} \right) a dl$$

Finally we write this equation in term of Δ ,

$$\frac{d\Delta}{dl} = \left(2 - \frac{1}{\bar{K}} \right) \Delta$$

We obtain, by comparing the θ terms,

$$\frac{dK}{dl} = \frac{a^2}{2} = \frac{\Delta^2}{8} \quad (32)$$

Modified RG equations in presence of μ

Now we derive the RG equations for finite chemical potential ($\mu \neq 0$). The presence of finite chemical potential yields five

extra terms in the second order cumulant expansion (eq. 29), of which three are vanishing. The non-vanishing contributions are from the two correlation functions, (a). $\int dr dr' ai \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, and (b). $\int dr dr' i \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}} a$,

Now we calculate $ai \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ term:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\tau' \left(-\frac{ai}{\mu} \sqrt{\pi} \langle \cos(\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau)) \partial_{\tau'} \theta(\tau') \rangle - \langle \cos(\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau)) \rangle \langle \partial_{\tau'} \theta(\tau') \rangle \right) \\ & = \frac{ai\mu}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int d\tau d\tau' \left[\langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau)] (\partial_{\tau'} \theta_s(\tau') + \partial_{\tau'} \theta_f(\tau')) \rangle - \langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau)] \rangle \langle \partial_{\tau'} \theta_s(\tau') + \partial_{\tau'} \theta_f(\tau') \rangle \right] \\ & = \frac{ai\mu}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int d\tau d\tau' \left[\langle \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta(\tau)] \partial_{\tau'} \theta_f(\tau') \rangle \right] \\ & = -\frac{a\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}2\pi} (1 - b^{-\frac{1}{\kappa}}) \int d\tau \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta_s(\tau)] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can calculate the, $\int dr dr' i \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}} a$.

Finally, combination of these two terms ($a+b$) yields $-\frac{a\mu}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} (1 - b^{-\frac{1}{\kappa}}) \int d\tau \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}\theta_s(\tau)]$, as a consequence of it a term modify to

$$\bar{a} = a \left(b^{2-\frac{1}{\kappa}} \right) - \frac{a\mu}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} (b^2 - b^{2-\frac{1}{\kappa}}).$$

Finally we obtain the modified 2nd order and 3rd RG equations in presence of μ from the rescaled a term as

$$\bar{a} = a \left(b^{2-\frac{1}{\kappa}} \right) - \frac{a\mu}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} (b^2 - b^{2-\frac{1}{\kappa}}) + \left(\frac{a^3}{24} \right) (6b^{2-\frac{3}{\kappa}} - 3b^{2-\frac{5}{\kappa}} - 3b^{2-\frac{1}{\kappa}})$$

The correlation functions for finite μ , only show up for the RG equations of $\frac{da}{dl}$ because only these two correlation functions give non vanishing contributions. The 3rd order RG equation in terms of Δ as

$$\frac{d\Delta}{dl} = \left[2 - \frac{1}{K} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) \right] \Delta \quad (33)$$

-
- [1] Hasan, M. Zahid, and Charles L. Kane. "Colloquium: topological insulators." *Reviews of modern physics* 82, no. 4 (2010): 3045.
- [2] Hasan, M. Zahid, and Joel E. Moore. "Three-dimensional topological insulators." *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 2, no. 1 (2011): 55-78.
- [3] Maciejko, Joseph, Taylor L. Hughes, and Shou-Cheng Zhang. "The quantum spin Hall effect." *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 2, no. 1 (2011): 31-53.
- [4] Yan, Binghai, and Shou-Cheng Zhang. "Topological materials." *Reports on Progress in Physics* 75, no. 9 (2012): 096501.
- [5] Bernevig, B. A., and T. L. Hughes. "Topological Insulators and Topological Superconductors" (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press) (2013).
- [6] Zhang, Haijun, and Shou-Cheng Zhang. "Back Cover: Topological insulators from the perspective of first-principles calculations". *Phys. Status Solidi RRL* 1-2 (2013).
- [7] Ren, Yafei, Zhenhua Qiao, and Qian Niu. "Topological phases in two-dimensional materials: a review." *Reports on Progress in Physics* 79, no. 6 (2016): 066501.
- [8] Wilczek, Frank. "Majorana returns." *Nature Physics* 5, no. 9 (2009): 614-618.
- [9] Fradkin, E. *Field Theories in Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press, New Delhi, 2013).
- [10] Girvin, S., and Yang, K. *Modern Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Physics, New Delhi, 2019).
- [11] Rachel, Stephan. "Interacting topological insulators: a review." *Reports on Progress in Physics* 81, no. 11 (2018): 116501.
- [12] Sarkar, Sujit. "Physics of Majorana modes in interacting helical liquid." *Scientific reports* 6, no. 1 (2016): 1-7.
- [13] Beenakker, C. W. J. "Search for Majorana fermions in superconductors." *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 4, no. 1 (2013): 113-136.
- [14] Giamarchi, T. *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003).
- [15] Fu, Liang, and Charles L. Kane. "Superconducting proximity effect and Majorana fermions at the surface of a topological insulator." *Physical review letters* 100, no. 9 (2008): 096407.
- [16] Qi, Xiao-Liang, and Shou-Cheng Zhang. "The quantum spin Hall effect and topological insulators." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1001.1602* (2010).
- [17] Qi, Xiao-Liang, and Shou-Cheng Zhang. "Topological insulators and superconductors." *Reviews of Modern Physics* 83, no. 4 (2011): 1057.
- [18] Kane, Charles L., and Eugene J. Mele. "Quantum spin Hall effect in graphene." *Physical review letters* 95, no. 22 (2005): 226801.
- [19] Haldane, F. Duncan M. "Model for a quantum Hall effect without Landau levels: Condensed-matter realization of the" parity anomaly". *Physical review letters* 61, no. 18 (1988): 2015.

- [20] Nadj-Perge, Stevan, Ilya K. Drozdov, Jian Li, Hua Chen, Sangjun Jeon, Jungpil Seo, Allan H. MacDonald, B. Andrei Bernevig, and Ali Yazdani. "Observation of Majorana fermions in ferromagnetic atomic chains on a superconductor." *Science* 346, no. 6209 (2014): 602-607.
- [21] Dumitrescu, Eugene, Brenden Roberts, Sumanta Tewari, Jay D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma. "Majorana fermions in chiral topological ferromagnetic nanowires." *Physical Review B* 91, no. 9 (2015): 094505.
- [22] Li, Zhidan, and Qiang Han. "Effect of interaction on the Majorana zero modes in the Kitaev chain at half filling." *Chinese Physics Letters* 35, no. 4 (2018): 047101.
- [23] Chan, Y-H., Ching-Kai Chiu, and Kuei Sun. "Multiple signatures of topological transitions for interacting fermions in chain lattices." *Physical Review B* 92, no. 10 (2015): 104514.
- [24] Katsura, Hoshio, Dirk Schuricht, and Masahiro Takahashi. "Exact ground states and topological order in interacting Kitaev/Majorana chains." *Physical Review B* 92, no. 11 (2015): 115137.
- [25] Fidkowski, Lukasz, and Alexei Kitaev. "Effects of interactions on the topological classification of free fermion systems." *Physical Review B* 81, no. 13 (2010): 134509.
- [26] Fidkowski, Lukasz, and Alexei Kitaev. "Topological phases of fermions in one dimension." *Physical review b* 83, no. 7 (2011): 075103.
- [27] Rahmani, Armin, Xiaoyu Zhu, Marcel Franz, and Ian Affleck. "Emergent supersymmetry from strongly interacting Majorana zero modes." *Physical review letters* 115, no. 16 (2015): 166401.
- [28] Gergs, Niklas M., Lars Fritz, and Dirk Schuricht. "Topological order in the Kitaev/Majorana chain in the presence of disorder and interactions." *Physical Review B* 93, no. 7 (2016): 075129.
- [29] Lutchyn, Roman M., and Matthew PA Fisher. "Interacting topological phases in multiband nanowires." *Physical Review B* 84, no. 21 (2011): 214528.; Gangadharaiyah, Suhas, Bernd Braunecker, Pascal Simon, and Daniel Loss. "Majorana edge states in interacting one-dimensional systems." *Physical review letters* 107, no. 3 (2011): 036801.
- [30] Stoudenmire, E. M., Jason Alicea, Oleg A. Starykh, and Matthew PA Fisher. "Interaction effects in topological superconducting wires supporting Majorana fermions." *Physical Review B* 84, no. 1 (2011): 014503.
- [31] Sarkar, Sujit., " A Study of Interaction Effects and Quantum Berezinskii-Kosterlitz- Thouless Transition in the Kitaev Chain " *Scientific reports* 10, 2299 (2020).
- [32] Hohenberg, Pierre C. "Existence of long-range order in one and two dimensions." *Physical Review* 158, no. 2 (1967): 383.
- [33] Mermin, N. David, and Herbert Wagner. "Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one-or two-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg models." *Physical Review Letters* 17, no. 22 (1966): 1133.
- [34] Zee, A. *Quantum Field Theory in a NutShell*, Universities Press, Hyderabad (2013)
- [35] Shankar, Rev. "Renormalization-group approach to interacting fermions." *Reviews of Modern Physics* 66, no. 1 (1994): 129.
- [36] Shankar, R. "Quantum Field Theory and Condensed Matter: An Introduction" (Cambridge University Press, New Delhi, 2017).
- [37] Ortiz, G., E. Cobanera, and Z. Nussinov. "Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition through the eyes of duality." In *40 Years of Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless Theory*, pp. 93-134. 2013.
- [38] Berezinskii, V. L. "Destruction of long-range order in one-dimensional and two-dimensional systems possessing a continuous symmetry group. II. Quantum systems." *Sov. Phys. JETP* 34, no. 3 (1972): 610-616.
- [39] Kosterlitz, John Michael, and David James Thouless. "Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems." *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* 6, no. 7 (1973): 1181.
- [40] Hadzibabic, Zoran, Peter Krüger, Marc Cheneau, Baptiste Battelier, and Jean Dalibard. "Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless crossover in a trapped atomic gas." *Nature* 441, no. 7097 (2006): 1118-1121.
- [41] Haldane. D., (Nobel Prize in Physics 2016), Distinguished lecture on 11 January 2019 at ICTS, India.
- [42] José, Jorge V. "Duality, gauge symmetries, renormalization groups and the BKT Transition." *International Journal of Modern Physics B* 31, no. 6 (2017): 1730001.
- [43] Majorana, Ettore. "Teoria simmetrica dell'elettrone e del positrone." *Il Nuovo Cimento* (1924-1942) 14, no. 4 (1937): 171-184.
- [44] Kitaev, A. Yu. "Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires." *Physics-uspekhi* 44, no. 10S (2001): 131.
- [45] Huang, K., *Statistical Mechanics* (John Wiley and Sons, Singapore, 1976).
- [46] Altland, A., and Simons, B. *Condensed Matter Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, New Delhi, 2010).

Acknowledgments The author would like to acknowledge Dr. R. Srikanth for reading the manuscript critically. The author also would like to acknowledge RRI library, DST (EMR/2017/000898) for books/journals.

Author contributions statement:

S.S. identified the problem and also write the manuscript, R.R.K. do the calculations of this problem under the guidance of S.S. All authors have analysed the results and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Informations:

Competing interests: The author declare no competing interests.